

*Commission of Inquiry*  
*Into the Wrongful*  
*Conviction of David Milgaard*  
*before*  
**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE**  
**EDWARD P. MacCALLUM**

\*\*\*\*\*

Transcript of Proceedings  
and  
Testimony before the Commission  
sitting at the  
Delta Bessborough Hotel at  
Saskatoon, Saskatchewan

\*\*\*\*\*

On Wednesday, May 17th, 2006

Volume 151

Inquiry Proceedings



**Commission Staff:**

<i>Mr. Douglas C. Hodson,</i>	Commission Counsel
<i>Ms. Candace D. Congram,</i>	Executive Director
<i>Ms. Sandra Boswell,</i>	Document Manager
<i>Ms. Jodie Kendry,</i>	Document Assistant
<i>Ms. Cheryl Ellerman,</i>	Document Assistant

**Support Staff:**

<i>Ms. Irene Beitel,</i>	Clerk to the Commission
<i>Ms. Karen Hinz, CSR, and</i>	Official Q.B. Court Reporters
<i>Mr. Don Meyer, RPR, CSR,</i>	
<i>Mr. Jerry Wilde,</i>	Security Officer
<i>Mr. Jay Boechler,</i>	Audio Technician





1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS

DESCRIPTION:

PAGE:

JOYCE IOLA MILGAARD, CONTINUED

- BY MR. HODSON

30864



Transcript of Proceedings

(Reconvened at 9:01 a.m.)

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Good morning.

ALL COUNSEL: Good morning.

JOYCE IOLA MILGAARD, continued:

BY MR. HODSON:

Q Good morning, Mr. Milgaard.

A Morning.

Q Just to touch on a couple of points we talked about yesterday. If we could call up 336950, please, and we talked yesterday about I think March or April of 1990, you told us that things changed and the decision then was to go, to go the public route, if we can call it that. Remember discussing that?

A Yes, I do.

Q And this is a transcript, if we can go to page 970, and I believe it is around, I can't precisely put the date other than I think it's in 1990, but it's a discussion with you and Mr. Asper and "as I said to Mitch". Now, who is Mitch, was he someone who was helping the cause?

A Mitch Podolak.

Q Yes.

A He was with the Folk Festival and David Asper was



1 in charge of the Folk Festival, was -- yeah, I  
2 think the president of it, and they opened up the  
3 west-end cultural centre, did a number of things  
4 to support me and that's where I recorded my song  
09:03 5 to the minister, was with Mitch.

6 Q Right, okay, yeah, so we see his name from time to  
7 time. And here, this is Mr. Asper and you  
8 discussing:

9 "... over the next few days I think  
09:03 10 we're going to get a better sense  
11 precisely of what Justices plans are,  
12 but if you want to do something in the  
13 interim, you know, my idea was for  
14 you -- it's not a question of publicity  
09:03 15 for you, it's publicity for the  
16 cause --"

17 "-- to go to Saskatoon, and I mean  
18 you'll stir up a complete hornet's nest  
19 there. It's -- I mean, you know, you  
09:03 20 haven't been there for a while, you  
21 haven't been there since February."

22 "No, that's right."

23 "-- since February or March."

24 And I think that would be 1990; does that sound  
09:03 25 right?



1 A Yes, I think that would be.

2 Q And he says:

3 "Go to Saskatoon, with Maureen and Susan  
4 if they will go, we'll set you up for  
5 Colleen's show --"

6 And that would be Colleen Wilson's show; correct,  
7 with --

8 A That's right.

9 Q And was that a Global production I think at the  
09:03 10 time, or CanWest?

11 A I think it was.

12 Q And you appeared on that show on at least one  
13 occasion; is that right?

14 A Oh, yes.

09:04 15 Q Or perhaps more?

16 A More.

17 Q And Mr. Asper says:

18 "-- we'll issue a release that you are  
19 going be there. We'll issue a release,  
09:04 20 like, a couple of days in advance and  
21 give a time and a place of where you're  
22 going to be. You'll get a little --  
23 you'll get a placard made up of  
24 something saying, you know, Free David  
09:04 25 Milgaard --"



1                   "-- and you'll go -- and you'll go and  
2                   you'll be visible and getting Petitions  
3                   signed up and you'll be -- you know,  
4                   you'll be mad as hell, and you know, it  
09:04 5                   will -- and then when I said to Mitch if  
6                   you want to create the sense that this  
7                   is -- this thing is going to roll to  
8                   Ottawa --"

9                   Tell them we're heading, etcetera, and then  
09:04 10                  scroll down:

11                  "From there you're going to Regina, and  
12                  then Regina to Winnipeg, and then  
13                  Winnipeg east, and you're going to keep  
14                  going as long as it takes the Department  
09:04 15                  of Justice --"

16                  "-- which gives us a little bit of time  
17                  to sort of see what --"

18                  "They're doing."

19                  "-- they're going to do because if they  
09:04 20                  jerk us around again, as I said to  
21                  Mitch --"

22                  "-- my view is let's let the dogs loose  
23                  everywhere."

24                  And etcetera. So this would have been the type  
09:05 25                  of conversations, and I think this is around



1 1990, about the idea of getting this out in the  
2 public domain; is that correct?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And is it fair to say that starting -- let's say  
09:05 5 spring of 1990, March of 1990, would it be correct  
6 that a significant part of your efforts and David  
7 Asper's efforts were to -- stage is maybe the  
8 wrong word, maybe the right word, but to get  
9 events out there in the public, to get media  
09:05 10 exposure to David's cause in whatever shape or  
11 form you could?

12 A That's correct.

13 Q And so you would have, and I think we saw with the  
14 incident with Kim Campbell, that would be an  
09:05 15 example where, I think you said you wanted to give  
16 her the report, but as well it gave an opportunity  
17 to get public exposure to the cause?

18 A That's correct.

19 Q And so in this case it looks like Mr. Asper is  
09:05 20 telling you to go out to Saskatoon and stir up a  
21 hornet's nest and get things going; is that right?

22 A That's correct.

23 Q And did that happen from time to time when matters  
24 were not progressing as quickly or as you had  
09:06 25 hoped, that there would be a plan, a planned



1 event, for lack of a better word, that lookit,  
2 let's go out and get something in the news or go  
3 contact reporters and say lookit, we need a story,  
4 we need something out there to keep this alive?

09:06 5 A David was pretty good about setting things up and  
6 knowing what we should do and so I was certainly  
7 following his advice at that time. You have to be  
8 cognizant of the fact that we put this application  
9 in in 1988.

09:06 10 Q Right.

11 A And it's two years later and, you know, I'm so  
12 frustrated and so tired of waiting, waiting,  
13 waiting for something to happen that when David  
14 suggested these ideas, I went right along with  
09:06 15 them, I didn't think there was anything wrong in  
16 doing that.

17 Q Right. And my question, though, is that these  
18 would be designed to get something in the media  
19 with the objective of causing justice to do  
09:07 20 something and do it quicker?

21 A Yes, because it was just to get the facts out  
22 there and let people know what was happening and  
23 challenging the system.

24 Q And my earlier question was on this point, that we  
09:07 25 see this in some of the transcripts and some of



1 the letters, that at least it was the view of Mr.  
2 Asper, I think, and perhaps yours, that in order  
3 to get Federal Justice to do something or get a  
4 reaction, that you felt or he felt that lookit,  
09:07 5 let's get something in the news?

6 A You had to light a fire under them before you got  
7 any action, that's about what we felt.

8 Q And so your perception at the time, you and Mr.  
9 Asper, your belief was that in order to light a  
09:07 10 fire under justice, you needed to get things out  
11 in the media that would put pressure on them?

12 A Correct.

13 Q We talked yesterday about dog urine and the  
14 secretor issue, I'll only be brief on this this  
09:08 15 morning, we talked about Dr. Markesteyn's report  
16 and saying it might be dog urine and then I think  
17 the media got to the point where they said it was  
18 dog urine. In the evidence at trial, and we've  
19 heard evidence before this Commission that with  
09:08 20 the frozen semen that was found at the scene,  
21 there were also seven human pubic hairs, and I  
22 think it was the evidence of Victor Molchanko at  
23 trial that dealt with that issue, and in October  
24 or November of 1991 when Neil Boyd brought this to  
09:08 25 the attention of Dr. Markesteyn, he said, "okay,



1 well, I guess I didn't realize that or I missed  
2 that or I didn't see it, that changes my view  
3 somewhat." Were you aware around the time of this  
4 dog urine issue coming up in June of 1990 about  
09:08 5 any discussion about how this theory that it was  
6 dog urine would fit with the fact that human pubic  
7 hairs were found in the frozen semen?

8 A I -- I don't recall any awareness of that.

9 Q Do you recall any discussions about that, do you  
09:09 10 recall learning about that at some point, that  
11 there were human pubic hairs frozen in the semen?

12 A Yes, I remember hearing about that, but my  
13 reaction to you -- I can't say what it is, I can't  
14 remember that.

09:09 15 Q If we could go back to the Markesteyn report,  
16 155517, go to page 155522, or sorry, 523, and here  
17 is where Dr. Markesteyn talks about the secretor  
18 issue and he says:

19 "I must stress, however, my assumption  
09:10 20 that Mr. Milgaard is an A, non-secretor  
21 is based on the evidence submitted at  
22 the trial. This assumption could be  
23 subject to challenge. The determination  
24 of the non-secretor status of Mr.

09:10 25 Milgaard, although perhaps acceptable at



1                   that time, would now no longer serve as  
2                   proof of his non-secretor status."

3                   And would you agree that at this time at least,  
4                   June 4th, 1990, based on the report that Mr.  
09:10 5                   Asper obtained for David from Dr. Markesteyn,  
6                   that he was saying lookit, you can't rely on the  
7                   1969 secretor test and that that is not a valid  
8                   assumption; would that be correct?

9                   A                That's what it looks like.

09:11 10                  Q                And then yesterday -- actually, if we could just  
11                   go to -- so that yesterday I read that transcript  
12                   between you and Mr. Asper where you discussed,  
13                   remember that, where shortly after this you talked  
14                   to David Asper about saying, well, didn't Ferris  
09:11 15                   do the test?

16                  A                Yes.

17                  Q                And --

18                  A                And I assumed that he had.

19                  Q                Right, that was my question. So around this time  
09:11 20                   when this report was raised, what was your  
21                   understanding of David's secretor status and  
22                   whether or not it had been tested by anyone after  
23                   David was convicted?

24                  A                I believe at that time I assumed that Ferris had  
09:11 25                   done it, I was sure that he would have and that he



1 was a non-secretor.

2 Q And what about the fact that here Dr.  
3 Markesteyn -- if Dr. Ferris had done it and Dr.  
4 Markesteyn was reviewing Dr. Ferris' report and  
09:11 5 his work, would you not assume that Dr. Markesteyn  
6 would have referenced that or -- the fact that Dr.  
7 Markesteyn raises it as an issue, I'm wondering if  
8 that might have suggested to you that Dr. Ferris  
9 had not done it?

09:12 10 A Well, I don't know, like, this would have gone to  
11 David Asper first.

12 Q Right.

13 A And if David had looked at it and said we needed  
14 something, I would have assumed that that would  
09:12 15 have been done and followed up.

16 Q And would you then rely on your legal counsel then  
17 to do whatever needed to be done with respect to  
18 this issue of secretor status, getting it checked,  
19 whether it had been done or needed to be done?

09:12 20 A Yes, because they really did all the legal things,  
21 and the tests and things like that they would be  
22 handling.

23 Q And I think we saw in the transcript yesterday  
24 that your discussion was, if I can summarize it,  
09:12 25 was I'm assuming it's done, check if it's done?



1 A Yes.

2 Q And if it's not done, you better do it?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Is that a fair summary?

09:12 5 A That's a fair summary.

6 Q Now, you talked yesterday about the headlines, if  
7 we could go to your book, 269317, and go to page  
8 483, 269483, and this is a comment about this  
9 issue, you say:

09:13 10 "Science stories don't generally have  
11 the news appeal of face-to-face  
12 confrontations. Kim Campbell snubbing  
13 me in the hotel hallway made good  
14 television, but a camera shot of dog  
09:13 15 urine didn't cut it. Still, this was so  
16 bizarre that we could see some news  
17 potential.

18 "What's your headline going to  
19 be, Dan?" I asked Dan Lett of the  
09:13 20 *Winnipeg Free Press*.

21 "Well, I'm not sure that we can  
22 get dog piss in the headline, Dan  
23 replied, "but I think you're going to  
24 find that the guys who write headlines  
09:14 25 for Page One are going to have a field



1 day with this one."

2 The story did make Page One, on  
3 June 6, 1990, but appeared under the  
4 rather restrained headline, "Milgaard  
09:14 5 Evidence 'Shaky,' Examiner Says."

6 And so again you talked about this yesterday, the  
7 dog urine idea was one that would grab the  
8 attention of the media and would generate  
9 significant attention to the cause?

09:14 10 A Yes.

11 Q And am I correct that any attention was welcome  
12 and that whether or not it drilled down into the  
13 details of how exactly it affected, in other words  
14 this issue that if it's dog urine it knocks the  
09:15 15 legs out of Dr. Ferris' opinion, that was a  
16 concern that you were prepared to put aside and  
17 say, lookit, as long as it's out there and as long  
18 as it's getting attention, that's fine; would that  
19 be a fair summary?

09:15 20 A I don't think that I felt, at that time, anything  
21 negative about his report. I think I would have  
22 been hesitant of putting it out there if I had  
23 felt it was a negative report.

24 Q And I don't mean to suggest it was negative, but I  
09:16 25 think what -- and what we'll, what we later see



1 is, and certainly what doctor -- and we haven't  
2 heard from Dr. Markesteyn yet -- but certainly the  
3 premise of Dr. Ferris' opinion is that what he was  
4 examining or what he was considering, the frozen  
09:16 5 semen, that it came from the perpetrator?

6 A Correct.

7 Q And on that basis he said, "on the assumption this  
8 is human semen from the perpetrator, it cannot be  
9 David Milgaard's, therefore it proves his  
09:16 10 innocence". When Dr. Markesteyn comes along and  
11 says "well lookit, Dr. Ferris, your assumption  
12 isn't valid because it's not human semen from the  
13 perpetrator, it's dog urine", therefore I guess  
14 the negative sense of that is that if Markesteyn  
09:16 15 is right that it's dog urine, it can't be human  
16 semen, and it can't exonerate David Milgaard, and  
17 I think what came after that is that the position  
18 retreated a bit to say "okay, well it doesn't link  
19 David Milgaard to the crime, I mean it's a  
09:17 20 nothing, it's contaminated, it's dog urine, it's  
21 nothing", and --

22 A And of course, at the trial, it had definitely  
23 been used to link David to the crime and to make  
24 it seem like it was David, so I think I still felt  
09:17 25 this was very strong in our favour.



1 Q And, but perhaps changed?

2 A Changed in context, yes.

3 Q 157075. This is the letter from Mr. Asper to  
4 Eugene Williams sending the Markesteyn report in,  
09:17 5 and he says:

6 "With all due respect, this is the kind  
7 of action that we anticipated your  
8 office would take when we first  
9 submitted the application on behalf of  
09:18 10 David Milgaard."

11 And would that be the review of Dr. Ferris'  
12 report?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And, again, at this time --

09:18 15 A They still hadn't seen him.

16 Q Okay. At this time, am I correct that your  
17 position put forward by Mr. Asper is that "here's  
18 the Markesteyn report, we had expected that you  
19 would go out and check Ferris' report by getting  
09:18 20 advice from someone"?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And at the time, and we will hear evidence from  
23 this from Mr. Williams, back I think in the summer  
24 of 1989 or the fall of 1989 he did in fact get  
09:18 25 advice on this issue from I think a Patricia



1 Alain, who would have been an RCMP forensic  
2 scientist -- I'm not sure of her exact  
3 designation -- and had reviewed this issue there.  
4 I take it, in June of 1990, you didn't know that,  
09:18 5 that he had done that?

6 A We didn't know anything they were doing.

7 Q Yeah.

8 A That's why it was so frustrating.

9 Q If we could go to 157077. Go down to the bottom.  
09:19 10 It goes through the -- this is where the  
11 statements are provided of Dennis Cadrain and  
12 Ronald Wilson, and he says:

13 "Obviously, we take the view that the  
14 enclosed statements provide further  
09:19 15 dramatic proof of the wrongful  
16 conviction of David Milgaard. It is  
17 unfortunate that your office did not  
18 speak with these people at the outset,  
19 even if only to confirm their evidence."

09:19 20 And was that your understanding, we've touched on  
21 this before, that was it your understanding from  
22 somewhere -- and I'll come back to the source --  
23 that the Department of Justice would go out and  
24 contact all of the witnesses from the trial and  
09:20 25 basically review all the evidence that was put



1 in?

2 A That was our understanding.

3 Q And where did you get that understanding from?

4 Who, who or how did you, Joyce Milgaard, come up  
09:20 5 with that, was it something you were told by your  
6 lawyer, something you read somewhere, or something  
7 you thought, or a combination of --

8 A Well, first of all, I think it's common sense --

9 Q Okay.

09:20 10 A -- and I think that we all thought, on that basis,  
11 that it would be done.

12 Q Did you become aware at some point -- and, again,  
13 we have not heard evidence from Federal Justice  
14 yet -- but did you become aware at some point,  
09:20 15 from Mr. Asper, Mr. Wolch or through reading  
16 anything, that Federal Justice's position in  
17 response to an application under Section 690 was  
18 primarily to look at the specific grounds raised  
19 in the application as opposed to doing a complete  
09:21 20 reinvestigation? And I'm simply paraphrasing  
21 what's in some of the documents that came later  
22 on, but were you aware of their -- of that being  
23 their position?

24 A No, I was not, and it seems to me that if that is  
09:21 25 the way the system operates, and someone is



1 applying to have a conviction overturned, that  
2 they should be letting you know exactly what the  
3 rules and parameters are.

09:21 4 Q Okay. So, again, back, as did Mr. Asper or Mr.  
5 Wolch ever say to you "lookit, the reason they  
6 didn't investigate Ron Wilson or Albert Cadrain is  
7 because they say we didn't put it in our  
8 application or we didn't raise it as a ground of a  
9 miscarriage of justice"; do you recall anything of  
09:21 10 that being brought to your attention at any time?

11 A No, I don't, but I'm sure it probably was. I  
12 don't specifically remember that.

13 Q If we can go to 229913, please. And this is the  
14 front-page story in the *StarPhoenix* June 6th, 1990  
09:22 15 after the Markesteyn and Merry report, and:

16 "Alleged semen found in the snow at the  
17 scene four days after the murder, which  
18 was linked to Milgaard, could have been  
19 contaminated by dog urine."

09:22 20 And then, down at the bottom, it quotes  
21 Markesteyn's report saying:

22 "'The evidence doesn't  
23 exclude it (as dog urine)."

24 Then:

09:22 25 "David Asper, Milgaard's



1 lawyer, is more blunt about the report.

2 'It concludes that what Penkala  
3 found in the snow could very well be dog  
4 urine,' said Asper."

09:23 5 And if we can just pause there, would it be  
6 correct, Mrs. Milgaard, that this type of  
7 information, I think you said before, was  
8 sensational and grabbed people's attention, the  
9 fact that maybe David had been convicted on the  
09:23 10 basis of dog urine would cause people to look  
11 twice at that; is that fair?

12 A Yeah, they would sit up and take notice.

13 Q Sit up and take notice?

14 A Absolutely.

09:23 15 Q And if that were true it would certainly, if it  
16 was in fact dog urine and it was in fact used to  
17 link David Milgaard to the crime, then I think you  
18 are saying that would be a significant --

19 A Breakthrough.

09:23 20 Q -- breakthrough. We heard a bit, we heard  
21 evidence some time ago from Mr. Penkala, and I  
22 guess the flip side of this headline on the front  
23 page is if it's not true, in other words that if  
24 it wasn't dog urine, that it was in fact semen.

09:24 25 Did you have any concerns, or tell me whether you



1           discussed with Mr. Asper or considered what impact  
2           this type of information in the public domain  
3           might have on those people who were involved in  
4           the investigation? Let's talk about Mr. Penkala  
09:24 5           who said basically, "lookit, the front page says I  
6           found dog urine when I didn't find dog urine", and  
7           caused him to perhaps maybe take a more strident  
8           view in response to what you are putting forward?  
9           And I'm not sure that he said that, but that whole  
09:24 10          issue of saying, okay, if you poke a stick in  
11          their eye and you are not right, what is -- what  
12          about the reaction that might come of it. Now, if  
13          you are right, then I suspect you say "too bad",  
14          but what about that issue? Do you understand what  
09:24 15          I'm -- where I'm trying to get at?

16          A           Yes, I do.

17          Q           And --

18          A           But I think, at that point, we were so exasperated  
19          and so frustrated that we were willing to strike  
09:25 20          out at anything in any way that we could to get  
21          some action, to get some feedback about what was  
22          going on, because we were getting nowhere.

23          Q           And so again on the dog urine, and if -- let's  
24          just talk about Mr. Penkala. So am I correct  
09:25 25          that, okay, we're taking this step, and I think



1 Mr. Asper said the words "the ends justify the  
2 means", and would that be --

3 A I don't think, even now when you are talking about  
4 it, I never ever thought that this would hurt  
09:25 5 Mr. Penkala, that information coming out. I mean  
6 I never personalized that, I never thought that it  
7 could damage anyone by that kind of information  
8 coming out.

9 Q Let's -- and I appreciate what you are saying  
09:25 10 about the hurt and the feelings, but let's focus  
11 on the reaction to the merits of the case for a  
12 moment, and let's just talk about -- let's talk  
13 about two people, one would be Joseph Penkala --

14 A Yes.

09:25 15 Q -- and one would be the Minister, Kim Campbell.  
16 Did you consider or discuss that, if this isn't  
17 true about the dog urine, that Mr. Penkala, who  
18 hears and reads this on the front page, might say  
19 "well lookit, I know that's not reliable because  
09:26 20 we did all these tests, and that is so  
21 outrageously wrong, therefore whatever else I read  
22 or consider", that that somehow might, might  
23 wrongly influence his view of the rest of the  
24 information you are putting forward? So, in other  
09:26 25 words, that when you get to the next piece of



1 evidence, when the person looks at it, they say  
2 "well, lookit, I remember what they did about the  
3 dog urine and that was out there, I now look at  
4 this piece of evidence, I'm now suspicious about  
09:26 5 that because of my view", being Penkala's, "about  
6 that other issue". And was that a consideration,  
7 not only for someone like Mr. Penkala, who at that  
8 time I think was the chief of police, may have  
9 been about to retire, but also as well with Kim  
09:26 10 Campbell and perhaps the Justice officials, that  
11 the risk of getting things out in the public  
12 domain, if it ended up being viewed as being  
13 unreliable -- and I appreciate your, you have a  
14 different view of, than the authorities as to  
09:27 15 whether it's right or not -- but was that a  
16 concern, that in going to the public domain and  
17 just letting the dogs loose, as Mr. Asper says,  
18 that information might get out there that might be  
19 viewed by the authorities as not as reliable as  
09:27 20 other information, and that might hurt sort of the  
21 merits of your other arguments? Sorry for the  
22 long-winded question, but I wouldn't remind you  
23 responding to that issue.

24 A It is a very long question, and I don't know where  
09:27 25 to start. I know we had discussions back and



1           forth about how we should do this, how we should  
2           do that, what if this did that, what if it did  
3           something else, but I think it all went back to  
4           the time factor, it all went back to the fact that  
09:28 5           we're getting no feedback, it all went back to the  
6           fact that we just felt they were sitting on their  
7           hands and doing nothing, and it went back -- it  
8           went back, it went back to David, it went back to  
9           he was deteriorating so quickly at this time that  
09:28 10          we had to do something. So I know that in  
11          hindsight, when you look back at things you think,  
12          well, maybe that wasn't a good idea, but Mr.  
13          Hodson, we did the best we could under the  
14          circumstances, and what we felt was the wisest.

09:28 15          Q           Right. And again, and I appreciate that, and I  
16          think what you told us yesterday is that when you  
17          went this route -- and Mr. Asper said the same  
18          thing -- there were risks involved, in other words  
19          that once it got out into the media there were  
09:29 20          risks involved, and I think what he said is that  
21          "we weighed those and decided that this was the  
22          best route to go, the only route to go", and I  
23          think in Mr. Asper's words, "had we not gone this  
24          route we wouldn't have got David out of jail"?

09:29 25          A           I believe that's true.



1 Q And so my question was to get back to this, to the  
2 assessments of these things at the time when you  
3 made the decision to go public about the -- not  
4 the wisdom of your decision to do so, but rather  
09:29 5 whether -- whether you contemplated that in going  
6 public as opposed to -- or not -- going public and  
7 perhaps putting more emphasis on the public avenue  
8 of getting a remedy as opposed to the legal  
9 avenue, if I can call it that, whether in going  
09:29 10 the public avenue you might end up harming or  
11 prejudicing the legal avenue by having information  
12 out there that might, might influence  
13 decision-makers adversely, and whether that was --

14 A I'm sure we must have discussed it. I don't  
09:30 15 remember that aspect of it, and I think that we  
16 were just going ahead step by step --

17 Q Yeah.

18 A -- and taking whatever step opened up, and that  
19 seemed wisdom to us to go public.

09:30 20 Q Yeah, and you talked about this yesterday, I think  
21 you said you had no idea that, when you decided to  
22 go public, that you would get the reaction that  
23 you did; --

24 A Oh, --

09:30 25 Q -- is that fair?



1 A -- I think maybe David knew better than I did, but  
2 I had no idea of what would happen. It was just  
3 like suddenly people are phoning me from  
4 everywhere, and people are on my doorstep, and  
09:30 5 cameras are there rolling all the time, it was  
6 just -- and you -- it was hard to know how to  
7 react to all of this.

8 Q No, and I appreciate we're now looking back at  
9 events that were probably fairly fluid, and on  
09:30 10 June 6th, 1990 you would have known what had  
11 happened up to that date but you wouldn't have  
12 known what was going to happen the next month?

13 A That's right.

14 Q And is it also correct to say that once you sort  
09:31 15 of went down this path and got into the middle of  
16 this public campaign --

17 A There was no turning back.

18 Q -- you couldn't get out of it?

19 A That's right.

09:31 20 Q In other words it was the horse was out of the  
21 barn and you really couldn't get it back in; is  
22 that fair?

23 A That's fair.

24 Q And again, just back on this concern about  
09:31 25 reliability of information, that sort of once



1 information -- would you agree that if you had a  
2 piece of information and you gave it to the  
3 minister, that there's this piece of information,  
4 but if you put it in the public domain and it ends  
09:31 5 up going through various media reports, etcetera,  
6 by the time -- and your objective was that the  
7 minister or the decision-makers would actually  
8 read this; correct?

9 A Correct.

09:31 10 Q And, by the time it got there, it might not be  
11 exactly how you would have presented it; is that  
12 fair?

13 A That's fair, there was that danger.

14 Q And if we go back, I asked you last week and said  
09:32 15 if I'm the minister and you came in in January of  
16 '86 to convince me that David was innocent, if we  
17 move it ahead to 1988 or '89 I think with the  
18 Ferris report, that would be one thing where I  
19 think you said "here is the Ferris report, it  
09:32 20 proves David's innocence because the frozen semen  
21 came from the perpetrator and it can't be his",  
22 and then you tell me that and say "on that basis  
23 let David out of jail, give me a remedy", and then  
24 more information comes out from you that says  
09:32 25 "hang on a minute here, that isn't semen, that's



1 dog urine", and I guess the question is did you  
2 consider, well okay, what might -- how will the  
3 minister respond to something like that?

09:32 4 A We felt, each time we learned something new, that  
5 it was proper for us to relay it to the  
6 authorities and pass the information on. We kept  
7 finding -- we were expecting them to find the  
8 information that we found, the witnesses that we  
9 went out and re-investigated, all of the things I  
09:33 10 felt, and David Asper and Hersh I know felt that  
11 the Justice Department would do the things that we  
12 ended up having to go out and do.

13 Q Okay. Now Mr. Asper also said that at some point,  
14 and probably around this time frame, I think his  
09:33 15 words were that, lookit, as long as it was out  
16 there, it was whether it was -- I don't think he  
17 said if it was unreliable -- but information that  
18 caused people to sit up and say, eh, there might  
19 be something wrong with David Milgaard's  
09:33 20 conviction, that was sort of his threshold, that  
21 it was good enough if it caused people to sit up  
22 and take notice and perhaps question the  
23 conviction, that was the purpose at this stage; is  
24 that correct?

09:33 25 A That definitely is correct and was our purpose.



1 Q And so is it correct to say that this dog urine  
2 versus Ferris issue, by this point it was, lookit,  
3 one or the other causes people -- and the dog  
4 urine might get people's attention better than Dr.  
09:34 5 Ferris's opinion, and if that be the case so be  
6 it, because that will get us a remedy?

7 A I honestly remember, and it was in this talking  
8 with Dan Lett and that, the fact that it would be  
9 coffee table talk, everybody would be talking  
09:34 10 about it when they got finished with it, and --  
11 and that was the purpose, it was to get it out  
12 there and get people talking about it.

13 Q And then if we can go to 159853. This is Dan  
14 Lett's article of the same date, and again that's  
09:34 15 the report and quotes Markesteyn's report, and  
16 here Mr. Asper said that:

17 "Asper said that after  
18 reading the Markesteyn report it seems  
19 entirely likely that his client was  
09:34 20 convicted partly on the basis of dog  
21 urine left in the snow after the  
22 murder."

23 And this would be the type of notion that, I  
24 think you said, would cause people to sit up and  
09:35 25 take notice, --



1 A Yes.

2 Q -- that if that were the case people would say,  
3 well, there must be something wrong here?

4 A Absolutely.

09:35 5 Q If we could then go to 039140. And this would be  
6 the next day, and I think this is when Ron  
7 Wilson's statement -- he gave the statement June  
8 4th, 1990 and I think the evidence is that Dan  
9 Lett was given the statement, he did an interview  
09:35 10 with Ron Wilson, and then the story was run on  
11 June 7th, and I think either the same day or maybe  
12 the day before Federal Justice got Ron Wilson's  
13 statement; is that correct?

14 A That's correct.

09:35 15 Q Or maybe, I'm not sure whether -- whether they got  
16 the news story before the statement, or after, but  
17 it would be very close in time; is that right?

18 A We would have probably faxed them the statement  
19 and given the story to Dan at the same time.

09:36 20 Q And so would the idea being that that would put  
21 Justice in a bit of an awkward position, because  
22 the media would be going to Mr. Williams, his  
23 superiors would be saying "what's going on here"?

24 A Absolutely.

09:36 25 Q And that was the design?



1 A It was.

2 Q 004759. And this is, again, the same date, and I  
3 think this is Dan Lett as well, and here's where  
4 Mr. Asper says:

09:36 5 "Winnipeg lawyer David Asper  
6 said he was shocked to learn federal  
7 investigators have not bothered to  
8 contact any of the original witnesses in  
9 the case especially since one has  
09:36 10 already recanted his original testimony.

11 'How do you explain where 18  
12 months went?' ... 'We are insisting that  
13 the minister react immediately to this  
14 latest evidence. I can only hope that  
09:37 15 they don't use this as an excuse to  
16 prolong the whole thing."

17 And, again, I think your viewpoint at this time  
18 is that they should have been out talking to all  
19 these people as opposed to you going out and  
09:37 20 talking to them?

21 A Absolutely.

22 Q Go to 010035. This is a letter of Mr. Asper to  
23 Eugene Williams June 12th of '90. Mr. Asper says:

24 "We have heard through  
09:37 25 sources in the Office of the Minister of



1 Justice that the investigation into  
2 Larry Fisher is complete, and has been  
3 complete since the middle of May of  
4 1990. Would you please confirm that it  
09:37 5 is either complete or ongoing."

6 Do you know who Mr. Asper and Mr. Wolch's sources  
7 in the office of the Minister of Justice were?

8 A No I do not.

9 Q It would appear from this letter that Mr. Asper  
09:38 10 and/or Mr. Wolch were having -- had someone within  
11 the Minister of Justice's office, or the  
12 Department of Justice office, that was providing  
13 them with information; is that correct?

14 A I --

09:38 15 Q Do you recall that being the case?

16 A I do.

17 Q And what do you remember about who it was and what  
18 type of information was being conveyed to your  
19 group?

09:38 20 A I think it was just a matter of someone was  
21 letting us know what they were doing when they  
22 were doing it, --

23 Q And do you know who?

24 A -- and sort of updating us, but I have no idea who  
09:38 25 it was.



1 Q And so, again, updating you on what the minister  
2 was doing or what the Justice lawyers were doing?

3 A No, I believe it -- well, just overall, if  
4 anything was happening on it.

09:38 5 Q Okay. I had -- I think, earlier, you had said you  
6 were -- you heard nothing from Justice about what  
7 they were doing or what was going on?

8 A We didn't, and I think that's why. We didn't hear  
9 anything from Justice, any official information  
09:39 10 from Justice, that's what I said.

11 Q Okay.

12 A Okay.

13 Q So in --

14 A But we --

09:39 15 Q Sorry?

16 A -- heard rumours and we heard, like these sources  
17 that Hersh and David had, I don't know who they  
18 were, but periodically we hear "it's close, it's  
19 this, it's that, it's the other thing", you know,  
09:39 20 but I never had names or any things of that sort.

21 Q Was it your understanding, then, that Mr. Wolch  
22 and Mr. Asper were getting information from a  
23 Justice lawyer about what Justice, Department of  
24 Justice, was doing with the application, some  
09:39 25 information?



1 A I think they were getting some information from  
2 somewhere. I don't know if it was a lawyer, if it  
3 was a file clerk, I have no idea.

4 Q And so this would have gone on, do you know when  
09:39 5 this started, would this have been throughout the  
6 application process or are you able to shed any  
7 light on when that might have been?

8 A No, I think it was when we started getting really  
9 frustrated that we started phoning and asking  
09:40 10 questions. I have no idea.

11 Q And so there was some -- you talked about rumours,  
12 was there some information coming from someone,  
13 perhaps on an unofficial basis, but someone from  
14 the Justice Department informing Mr. Wolch or Mr.  
09:40 15 Asper about -- giving some information about the  
16 process, or the progress of the case, or what was  
17 happening; is that correct?

18 A I think so.

19 Q And was that information shared with you by Mr.  
09:40 20 Wolch and Mr. Asper?

21 A Well they were encouraging me to hang in there and  
22 not get upset and, you know, we -- the kind of  
23 information they would give me would be, like,  
24 "we've heard that it's almost complete", you know,  
09:40 25 "it won't be long now, Joyce". They were really



1 just encouraging me to be patient and for the  
2 family to be patient.

3 Q Now, down at the bottom, Mr. Asper writes:

4 "Finally, further to our conversation  
09:41 5 with respect to the witnesses whom your  
6 investigator has interviewed, we can  
7 advise that these witnesses were left  
8 with a very negative impression about  
9 your investigator."

09:41 10 And then it goes on to talk about Deborah Hall, I  
11 think you've told us about her?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And then as well:

14 "We understand that Linda Fisher had  
09:41 15 much the same feeling after your  
16 investigators visited with her."

17 And there was a taped conversation or Mr. Asper's  
18 evidence, one of the two, that I think he said  
19 you would have got this information from Linda  
09:41 20 Fisher. Can you tell us what you recall Linda  
21 Fisher telling you about her -- how -- or what --  
22 how she described her interaction with Eugene  
23 Williams?

24 A Well I do recall she was very upset, and she was  
09:41 25 such a sweet person, and she said "he frightened



1 me", and "it was like he didn't believe me", and  
2 then I found out that he had actually put her  
3 under oath and that he had done the same with  
4 Deborah Hall, but he didn't do that with Caldwell,  
09:42 5 he didn't do it with any of the police witnesses  
6 or anything like that, so it just seemed as if  
7 anybody that we provided him with was just being  
8 raked over the coals, and -- and she felt she was  
9 being cross-examined, rather than when I went out  
09:42 10 to see her we listened to her story, we let her  
11 tell what had happened, but he interjected all the  
12 time when he talked to her and made her feel  
13 wrong.

14 Q Okay. And if I can just go back, and I appreciate  
09:42 15 that we've heard from Linda Fisher, we've got the  
16 transcript of that interview, I'm -- if I can get  
17 you to go back to June of 1990, at this time, as  
18 to what she told -- what she told you at this  
19 time, what do you recall of what Linda Fisher told  
09:42 20 you, if you can distinguish that from anything you  
21 might have learned later or looked at later?

22 A It all blends in together, Mr. Hodson.

23 Q Okay.

24 A I'm not sure about when I heard any of it, other  
09:43 25 than the fact that I know at this time she was



1 extremely upset about the manner in which he  
2 behaved with her and felt that he grilled her, and  
3 I think Deborah Hall felt the same way.

4 Q When you say "grilled", is that asked tough  
09:43 5 questions, or I'm not sure what --

6 A Seemed to have everything -- was it -- she said  
7 that "he made everything I was saying sound  
8 unbelievable".

9 Q And that's what Linda Fisher --

09:43 10 A Yes.

11 Q -- told you?

12 A He didn't believe her, she had the feeling that he  
13 didn't believe her.

14 Q And did she tell you that?

09:43 15 A Yes.

16 Q And it would have been around, before this letter,  
17 or are you able to place that?

18 A I can't place --

19 Q Okay.

09:44 20 A -- it in time.

21 Q If we can go to 002483. And this is a memo of Mr.  
22 Williams to his file June 12th, 1990, and I -- I  
23 just want to go through a couple parts and find  
24 out whether you would have become aware of this  
09:44 25 information at the time. And just to assist you,



1           you'll recall yesterday I read you that transcript  
2           between you and Mr. Asper when you talked about  
3           Dr. Ferris being visited by Mr. Williams and then  
4           Dr. Ferris being away and about the need to check  
09:44 5           whether David's secretor status was checked; do  
6           you remember us going through that transcript?

7           A           I do.

8           Q           And I think that discussion with David Asper would  
9           have been after this interview that Mr. Williams  
09:44 10           had with Ferris, Markesteyn and Merry, just so  
11           that you know, --

12          A           The time of it.

13          Q           -- because I want to come back and find out  
14           whether this information that Mr. Williams  
09:44 15           gathered from Ferris, Markesteyn and Merry found  
16           its way to you through Mr. Asper. Okay?

17          A           Okay.

18          Q           And here he talks about interviewing Dr. Ferris  
19           and goes on, at the bottom, and says:

09:45 20                        "Apparently ..."

21           Ferris:

22                        "... had not read the evidence of the  
23                        Crown's key witnesses, the prosecutor's  
24                        address to the jury and the judge's  
09:45 25                        charge to the jury."



1           Again, as far as what information Dr. Ferris had  
2           to put together his opinion, was that something  
3           that you left up to Mr. Asper and Mr. Wolch to  
4           decide as opposed to --

09:45 5           A           Yes, it would have been.

6           Q           And then down, the next paragraph -- no -- Ferris  
7           is asked to comment on:

8                         "... Markesteyn's report concerning the  
9                         identity of the frozen lump of yellow  
09:45 10                        ... snow ...",

11           Ferris says he's:

12                         "... in general agreement with the  
13                         findings of ... Markesteyn ..."

14           about not being able to exclude the yellow frozen  
09:46 15           lumps and sort of said "okay, there is a  
16           reasonable doubt that it was human".

17                         And then if we can go to the  
18           next page, and what Dr. Ferris tells Mr.  
19           Williams, according to this memo, and I think Dr.  
09:45 20           Ferris confirmed for us that this would have been  
21           accurate, that:

22                         "... serological evidence should not  
23                         have been admitted because the  
24                         continuity of the sample had not been  
09:45 25                         satisfactorily established. He readily



1 admitted that the semen was probably  
2 contaminated ..."

3 And:

4 "He also acknowledged that the testing  
09:45 5 performed on David Milgaard's saliva may  
6 have been wrong. Therefore, the  
7 non-secretor status attributed to David  
8 Milgaard may be wrong."

9 And then the next page, Williams then:

09:45 10 "... asked Dr. Ferris to take into  
11 account the contamination of the semen  
12 ... and indicate whether the evidence  
13 excluded David Milgaard."

14 "Dr. Ferris then stated that the  
09:45 15 serological evidence did not link David  
16 Milgaard to the offence, however, you  
17 could not say that it ... excluded him."

18 And would you have been made aware of this, this  
19 type of information by Mr. Asper or Dr. Ferris  
09:46 20 around this time? Here's what Mr. Williams got  
21 from Dr. Ferris about his opinion and I'm  
22 wondering whether you became aware, either  
23 through Mr. Asper, or somehow, that -- about what  
24 Federal Justice obtained from your expert?

09:46 25 A I really can't recall. I don't know if that



1 information was shared at that time or later.

2 I've looked at this document many times.

3 Q And again, maybe if we can go do it a bit more  
4 generally. Do you remember after Eugene Williams

09:46 5 visiting -- do you remember becoming aware that  
6 Eugene Williams had been up to see Dr. Ferris? I

7 think the transcript I showed you yesterday --

8 A Oh, we were aware, we thought "wow, two years  
9 later, now he's going out to see him". We just

09:47 10 felt it was very ironic.

11 Q And did you ever learn that, based on that

12 discussion, that Dr. Ferris may have provided

13 information that would have perhaps not retracted,  
14 but may not have been -- that may have put some

09:47 15 doubt on the certainty of the opinion that you

16 believed he had given? In other words, you told

17 us you believed he said unequivocally this proves  
18 David's innocence.

19 A I think I held to that, I think David and I held

09:47 20 to that. I don't think that we really were aware  
21 what all this meant.

22 Q Okay. So you think David is your David, David  
23 Milgaard?

24 A Yes, yes.

09:47 25 Q So this was going on, you may have been aware



1 generally what was happening, but from your  
2 understanding are you telling us lookit, I  
3 continued to believe that Dr. Ferris' --

4 A Absolutely.

09:48 5 Q -- opinion proved David's innocence?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And just for the record, if you can call up  
8 336785, go to page 797, I won't go -- go to 797.  
9 This is the document I took you through yesterday,  
09:48 10 this discussion about the secretor status, so I  
11 think this sequentially or chronologically came  
12 after the meeting that Mr. Williams had with Dr.  
13 Ferris.

14 If we can now go to 009487, and  
09:48 15 this is June 22nd, 1990, and I think on this date,  
16 or maybe June 21, 1990 do you remember the CBC  
17 running a story that disclosed the name of Larry  
18 Fisher? Do you remember that happening?

19 A Oh, yes, I do.

09:48 20 Q And I think that was the first public disclosure  
21 of his name; correct?

22 A That's correct.

23 Q Do you remember how that came about or what --

24 A They phoned us to say it was going to take place  
09:49 25 and to watch.



1 Q And you had told us earlier that at some time in  
2 March or April you had given the Fisher name to  
3 media outlets including the CBC under some type of  
4 arrangement that they were not to publish the  
09:49 5 name. What happened on June 21, 1990 that changed  
6 that, so that they did run and publish his name;  
7 do you remember?

8 A Because they found this information independently  
9 of us.

09:49 10 Q Okay. So is it correct that the deal was lookit,  
11 here's some information we're giving you, you  
12 can't publish anything and attribute this with our  
13 information that's attributed to us, but if you go  
14 get it elsewhere, do with it as you please; is  
09:49 15 that right?

16 A No, we didn't say that.

17 Q Okay.

18 A I think that they went out and did that, but I  
19 don't think that that was something we said up  
09:49 20 front, no.

21 Q Okay, I'm sorry. So what --

22 A I think that what happened was as a result of us  
23 giving the information, they did go out and they  
24 found it and informed us that it was going to air  
09:50 25 because they found it independently of us, it was



1 nothing to do with us, how they found that  
2 information.

3 Q And I think they may have checked the court file;  
4 is that right? Do you know what they checked or  
09:50 5 where they got the information from?

6 A I don't.

7 Q Sorry, I maybe didn't phrase the question  
8 properly. I thought when you gave -- you gave the  
9 CBC the name Larry Fisher, you gave them the  
09:50 10 information, I think you told us, about Linda  
11 Fisher, said here you go, but don't publish the  
12 name and don't publish the fact that he's being  
13 investigated because we're allowing Federal  
14 Justice and the RCMP to do their work, but go  
09:50 15 ahead and investigate to your heart's content?

16 A Yes, but their position was once they found this  
17 information independently of us --

18 Q Right.

19 A -- that they would have found that anyhow, their  
09:51 20 decision was they were going to go ahead and they  
21 were going to use it.

22 Q I see. And in June of 1990 was there some -- Mr.  
23 Asper also talked about the competition amongst  
24 the media and I got the sense that maybe others  
09:51 25 had had this information but had decided not to



1 run with it because it involved naming somebody as  
2 a possible perpetrator of an offence; in other  
3 words, publicly saying Larry Fisher either is the  
4 killer or might be the killer --

09:51 5 A Yes.

6 Q -- of Gail Miller, and that that, there might be  
7 some ethical or legal concerns about doing that?

8 A I think there was a lot of that, and so we were  
9 quite -- I know, I think it was Hersh that phoned  
09:51 10 me and said you've got to watch, apparently  
11 there's something really big coming on, and that's  
12 when we went and watched that particular show.

13 Q And in this letter, it's to Mr. MacFarlane, and it  
14 appears that Mr. Wolch has had a discussion with  
09:52 15 Mr. MacFarlane on June 21. Did you -- do you know  
16 if your lawyers started to deal with people other  
17 than Eugene Williams at some point; in other  
18 words, above him in the hierarchy?

19 A Yes, because they felt they were getting nowhere  
09:52 20 with Mr. Williams and I know that Bruce MacFarlane  
21 was I believe someone that Hersh had worked with  
22 and knew and so I think that he appropriately went  
23 over Williams' head to find out what was going on.

24 Q And do you know when that started to happen, that  
09:52 25 Mr. Wolch would go to Mr. MacFarlane, was that at



1           some point during the first application? Are you  
2           able to pinpoint when?

3           A           I could not pinpoint when that happened.

4           Q           And then Mr. Asper was given a copy of the news  
09:52 5           story that ran and he says:

6                        "Unfortunately, the rules seem to have  
7                        changed somewhat with the broadcast by  
8                        the CBC and its story relating to and  
9                        naming Larry Fisher. Many people in the  
09:53 10                      media have assisted us in our  
11                      investigation at various stages along  
12                      the way. They became privy to  
13                      confidential information which we have  
14                      successfully dissuaded them from  
09:53 15                      publishing up until this point.

16                      However, the problem of competition has  
17                      crept into the picture and I am advised  
18                      that the media is now taking the  
19                      position that all deals are off. I  
09:53 20                      expect that over the next couple of days  
21                      a variety of stories will be published  
22                      and/or broadcast with respect to --"

23                      And, I'm sorry, that's blacked out or  
24                      highlighted,

09:53 25                      "-- both currently and at the time of



1 the trial. I cannot speculate what else  
2 might be published, but as I say, we  
3 unfortunately cannot exercise any  
4 further control in this situation.

09:53 5 We are being inundated by the  
6 media to respond to all of these  
7 matters, and I can assure you that we  
8 will not be taking positions adverse to  
9 the Department of Justice."

09:53 10 And so what is your recollection at the time,  
11 what was the thinking or strategy at this time  
12 about your dealings with justice and the fact  
13 that Larry Fisher's name was now out there in  
14 light of the fact that both Mr. Pearson and Mr.  
09:54 15 Williams had asked your lawyers to refrain from  
16 getting this in the public domain because it  
17 might hurt their investigation of Larry Fisher?

18 A What was the question?

19 Q Sorry. I'm wondering what your view was at the  
09:54 20 time, what was -- was this a good thing that  
21 happened once the Fisher name came out, was it  
22 something that was inevitable, something that was  
23 planned, or was it something that when it happened  
24 you became concerned that it might have an adverse  
09:54 25 effect on your application?



1 A I think that we felt it was something -- I was  
2 glad it was out there myself personally, I was  
3 glad it was out there, I was glad that people knew  
4 about it. I was concerned about Linda, she was  
09:54 5 concerned about her daughter, she was concerned  
6 about her own safety, I had lots of concerns about  
7 it being out there, but I think in a sense I was  
8 relieved it was out there. As far as what the  
9 Justice Department felt about it, at this point I  
09:55 10 really didn't care.

11 Q And so again the information about Mr. Fisher and  
12 his crimes started to get picked up by other media  
13 and there was a fair bit of publicity after about  
14 him?

09:55 15 A It really put fuel on the fire and allowed  
16 everybody to go out and investigate.

17 Q And when you say everybody, you are talking about  
18 the media?

19 A Yes.

09:55 20 Q If we can then go to 000229, please. So that's  
21 June 21, '90. June 24, '90 Albert Cadrain gave  
22 this statement to Paul Henderson. Do you remember  
23 that event happening?

24 A Yes, I do.

09:56 25 Q Do you know how that came about? I had asked this



1 of Mr. Henderson and Mr. Asper. He had  
2 interviewed Dennis Cadrain and Albert Cadrain at  
3 the end of May and got the information, the  
4 statement from Dennis Cadrain, but as far as what  
09:56 5 prompted Albert Cadrain to give a statement on  
6 June 24th, 1990, do you have any recollection of  
7 how that came about or what caused Mr. Henderson  
8 to go back out there?

9 A I think -- I thought it was because we decided  
09:56 10 that it's important for us to have it.

11 Q And so he -- he went back out to try and get a  
12 statement; is that right?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And we've been through this statement on a number  
09:56 15 of occasions, and would it be fair to say that the  
16 statement given by Albert Cadrain on June 24th,  
17 1990 would contain or did contain information that  
18 was similar in nature to what he provided, not  
19 only what he provided to Peter Carlyle-Gordge in  
09:57 20 1983, but what Dennis provided to Peter  
21 Carlyle-Gordge in 1983?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And essentially that Albert stuck to his story, he  
24 saw what he thought was blood on David's clothing,  
09:57 25 number one?



1 A Right.

2 Q And number two, that he was suffering from some  
3 mental illness and according to Dennis was  
4 unreliable?

09:57 5 A Yes.

6 Q And as well I think in 1983 he also talked about  
7 his treatment by the police and the questioning  
8 and the questioning having some effect on him,  
9 that's information that he gave in '83?

09:57 10 A Yes, and we felt that that was important  
11 information.

12 Q Now, this is a statement that has the words mental  
13 hell and torture which Albert Cadrain says the  
14 police put him through?

09:57 15 A Right.

16 Q And would that be something again that -- let me  
17 back up. I think the evidence we heard from Mr.  
18 Henderson, Mr. Asper and you is that your initial  
19 approach to Albert Cadrain was he's lying about  
09:58 20 seeing blood, that's inconsistent with David's  
21 innocence and we think the police influenced him  
22 to lie, we need to go out and get him to recant  
23 that because the truth is he didn't see blood,  
24 that would have been your initial position?

09:58 25 A I think that's fair, it was our initial position.



1 Q And then after Mr. Henderson meeting with Albert  
2 Cadrain on at least one occasion, I think he came  
3 back and said lookit, he's not going to change his  
4 story about the blood?

09:58 5 A That's right.

6 Q But I think this fellow is, I think his words were  
7 nuts, I think he's got problems?

8 A Absolutely.

09:58 9 Q And therefore in order to eliminate or reduce the  
10 impact of his incriminating evidence, we can  
11 challenge his credibility and say because of his  
12 mental illness his evidence at trial wasn't  
13 reliable?

14 A That's correct.

09:58 15 Q And so it would have been a bit of a shift to say  
16 okay, we can -- and I think yesterday you said it  
17 was either as good as or even better than a  
18 recantation because it answered the incriminating  
19 nature of his evidence; is that fair?

09:59 20 A I think that's fair.

21 Q And was it also better in the sense that it was,  
22 had that sensational factor to it, the mental hell  
23 and torture and the mental illness, was that as  
24 well something that --

09:59 25 A I don't think we went out looking for



1           sensationalism, but I think the statement  
2           certainly provided it and that was helpful.

3           Q       No, and I -- so when you had this information,  
4           when you have a witness saying I suffered from  
09:59 5           mental illness and the police put me through  
6           mental hell and torture, that would be the type of  
7           information that, apart from assisting David on  
8           the legal aspect of it, it had some newsworthy  
9           components to it; is that fair?

09:59 10          A       It certainly did, it got the cameras pointed right  
11          in his direction.

12          Q       And so that was something that may have been a  
13          collateral benefit in that the manner in which he  
14          responded to your interviews in 1990 gave you a  
10:00 15          product that was helpful in explaining his  
16          evidence at trial, but also very helpful in your  
17          public campaign; is that correct?

18          A       That's correct.

19          Q       And if we can go to 039118, and we've been through  
10:00 20          this, this is the article that came out from Dan  
21          Lett, "Milgaard witness says detectives 'tortured'  
22          him", and this would be the type of publicity, I  
23          take it, that would be -- you talked before about  
24          discussions at the coffee table on the dog urine.  
10:00 25          Would this be again that type of information that



1 would draw attention to the case and cause people  
2 to say --

3 A -- there's something going on here, something  
4 should be done, and I think we were feeding this  
10:01 5 to the public so they could see what our position  
6 was and that there were so many things that were  
7 wrong with this case that were now coming out.

8 Q The one question that came up after this that has  
9 been raised from time to time is the question of  
10:01 10 the fact that Albert Cadrain went into the city  
11 police on March 2nd, 1969, and we've heard  
12 evidence that he was interviewed in Regina prior,  
13 but on March 2nd, 1969 went into the police  
14 apparently voluntarily and said I saw blood on  
10:01 15 David Milgaard, and the question was okay, well,  
16 how could the detectives torture him after that;  
17 at trial he said I saw blood, what would be the  
18 purpose of the torture. Do you follow? Was that  
19 a question or an issue that you or Mr. Asper or  
10:01 20 others considered at the time, aren't people going  
21 to say, well, how could they torture him when he  
22 went in voluntarily and gave the first statement?

23 A But his own brother, his own brother felt that  
24 they had.

10:02 25 Q Oh --



1 A His own brother said, you know, and said how often  
2 that they picked him up. If it was as simple as  
3 you tried to make that sound, okay, that if it was  
4 as simple as that, he went in, they took his  
10:02 5 statement and that was the end of it, but it  
6 wasn't. They kept picking him up day after day  
7 after day and going over and over it. Now, why?  
8 Because they don't believe him.

9 Q No, and I think maybe you misunderstood my  
10:02 10 question. I wasn't challenging you about the  
11 information you had, I was simply asking you the  
12 question that in your group when this became part  
13 of the public campaign to say that Albert Cadrain  
14 was tortured by the police, or whatever the  
10:02 15 headline was, I appreciate you didn't write the  
16 headline --

17 A That's true.

18 Q -- but it became in the public domain that he was  
19 tortured by the police, and my question was did  
10:03 20 your group, you and Mr. Asper, ever consider or  
21 were you ever asked by anybody at the time saying  
22 okay, well, is that claim credible or how could he  
23 be tortured if he went in voluntarily because  
24 that -- and I think that question has come up  
10:03 25 later from time to time and perhaps even by the



1 federal authorities to say, okay, well, could he  
2 have been tortured by the police when, on some  
3 people's view of the facts, he went in voluntarily  
4 and gave the information before the police, at  
10:03 5 least the Saskatoon City Police even questioned  
6 him. So I'm not questioning the information you  
7 gathered, I'm simply trying to find out whether  
8 your group or you at the time this information  
9 went out into the public, whether you considered  
10:03 10 or whether you were ever asked that question,  
11 saying okay, well, does this fit, does this make  
12 sense, that's all.

13 A Well, I guess as far as I was concerned after  
14 talking to, or going over the statements, going  
10:04 15 over what Dennis had said, he was very clear in  
16 his description of what they did to Albert and he  
17 felt that they really put him through a lot  
18 because of the number of times that they had  
19 picked him up, and I guess myself, I'm thinking,  
10:04 20 well, if it's as simple as that, like, if he had  
21 just gone in and said that, they would have taken  
22 his statement and then they would have used it,  
23 why were they going back and picking him up again  
24 and again and again and again. Now -- so then  
10:04 25 logically you start thinking, well, the only



1 rationale for that is if they didn't believe him  
2 and so they want to talk to him some more, and  
3 Albert on the stand and everything later, I mean,  
4 he had this wild imagination that kept coming out  
10:05 5 and I'm thinking that the police maybe were  
6 getting some of these wild stories at that point,  
7 so in hindsight that's probably why they kept  
8 picking him up and going back, maybe they really  
9 didn't believe him, but where it says, "Before I  
10:05 10 walked into that police station I was a happy,  
11 normal kid," and his life will never be the same  
12 again, I believed that that was true about him, I  
13 think he was -- maybe not real normal at that  
14 point, but he was a happy-go-lucky kid and he  
10:05 15 never went back to that afterwards.

16 Q Call up your book again, 269317, and go to page  
17 269495 --

18 A And what page number in the book?

19 Q It's page 171.

10:06 20 A Thank you.

21 Q And you write:

22 "I wanted to keep the media on the story  
23 but away from Shorty. He just seemed  
24 too fragile, and I kept imagining how  
10:06 25 his mother must feel. "Joyce, you can't



1 contain this kind of stuff," Jim  
2 McCloskey said. "You're trying to put a  
3 cap on a volcano. You just can't do it.  
4 Shorty Cadrain is a major player in the  
10:06 5 original conviction."

6 David Asper calmed me somewhat,  
7 noting that there had been an unbalanced  
8 figure in the Donald Marshall case as  
9 well, and the media had treated him with  
10:06 10 kid gloves throughout that inquiry.

11 "The individual wasn't exploited, the  
12 police were," David said."

13 And again, would that have been a concern at the  
14 time about what -- the media exposure might have  
10:06 15 an adverse effect on Albert Cadrain?

16 A That's what I was afraid of, I just felt he was so  
17 fragile.

18 Q And is it correct that Mr. Asper's view was that  
19 the media wouldn't exploit him, but rather the  
10:07 20 police?

21 A Yes.

22 Q So in other words they focused?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And would that have been the strategy then or was  
10:07 25 that the --



1 A I think that's what happened, the media did focus  
2 on the police rather than him.

3 Q Go to 163 --

4 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: What's the doc.  
10:07 5 number? I missed it.

6 MR. HODSON: That's the book, the doc. ID  
7 of the book is 269317.

8 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thanks.

9 BY MR. HODSON:

10:07 10 Q If we can go to 163079, and this is a letter to  
11 George Oake of the *Toronto Star* and Mr. Asper is  
12 sending clippings from Winnipeg and Saskatoon and  
13 the stories of Wilson, under police pressure,  
14 Albert Cadrain, the mental hell and torture, and  
10:08 15 the statement, etcetera, and I think Mr. Asper's  
16 evidence, and perhaps yours as well, is that  
17 around this time, June of 1990, you were trying to  
18 get more immediate exposure in eastern Canada; is  
19 that correct?

10:08 20 A That's correct.

21 Q And you were getting great coverage by Dan Lett in  
22 the Winnipeg paper; correct?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And Dave Yanko and Cam Fuller were covering it in  
10:08 25 the *StarPhoenix* fairly significantly?



1 A Right.

2 Q And is it correct that --

3 A And there were a few in *The Globe and Mail*.

4 Q And some in *The Globe and Mail*?

10:08 5 A Uh-huh.

6 Q But is it correct that at this time the  
7 decision-makers, if I can call it that, are in  
8 Ottawa, Toronto?

9 A Correct.

10:08 10 Q And your concern or Mr. Asper's concern was how to  
11 get this in the newspapers there and that if it  
12 got in *The Globe and Mail*, then it suddenly became  
13 more credible to the politicians; is that a  
14 correct summary?

10:08 15 A That's a correct summary.

16 Q And efforts were made to try and get the story in  
17 the eastern media?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And is it correct that this would be an effort,  
10:09 20 this is to the *Toronto Star*, saying lookit, here's  
21 some sensational news in the west, the dog urine  
22 stories, the Ronald Wilson manipulation story and  
23 the Albert Cadrain torture story and those would  
24 be sent out to the eastern media saying lookit,  
10:09 25 you are missing something out here, here's



1 something and use that to entice them to get  
2 involved; is that a correct statement?

3 A That's a correct statement, and then I believe I  
4 mentioned the other day that when that didn't  
10:09 5 work, that's when I went out and played my  
6 switchboard operator card.

7 Q And that was with the Toronto newspapers?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And then I take it at some point --

10:09 10 A And once they got interested, that got the others  
11 interested.

12 Q And so then the *Toronto Star*, *Toronto Globe and*  
13 *Mail* started to report on the matter?

14 A They did.

10:10 15 Q This letter, Mr. Asper also says, this is June of  
16 1990:

17 "We are starting to have some high level  
18 discussions with the Department of  
19 Justice which at this stage focus  
10:10 20 principally on the recanting statement  
21 of Wilson. As things progress, I will  
22 keep you posted."

23 Did Mr. Asper advise you of these discussions  
24 and, if so, what was happening at this time?

10:10 25 A Oh, I'm sure that -- I don't know what he's



1 talking about here, but I'm sure that he was  
2 keeping me informed about what was going on.

3 Q There's some suggestion in the record --

4 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: He was or he  
10:10 5 wasn't?

6 A That he was.

7 BY MR. HODSON:

8 Q He was keeping you?

9 A I believe he was keeping me informed.

10:10 10 Q Right. And again, is it fair to assume that what,  
11 to the extent that Mr. Asper and Mr. Wolch were  
12 having discussions with justice officials, they  
13 would be keeping you informed on a regular basis?

14 A We were in touch daily.

10:10 15 Q Right. And so --

16 A Several times a day quite often.

17 Q So when we look back at this, it's a case of I  
18 guess saying are you telling us you can't recall  
19 specifically what this would have been, but  
10:11 20 whatever was happening, I would have been  
21 informed?

22 A I believe so, but I think what he's doing here is  
23 trying to give the newspaper something. "We are  
24 starting to have some high level discussions." I  
10:11 25 mean, he's trying to feed them and get them



1 interested.

2 Q So are you saying that maybe there weren't high  
3 level discussions with the department ongoing?

4 A I don't know if at that time we had high level  
10:11 5 discussions going on.

6 Q There's a suggestion in the documents that a  
7 meeting was scheduled or planned for July the 6th  
8 or 7th of 1990 in Ottawa with justice officials?

9 A I remember that.

10:11 10 Q And that it didn't happen because Ron Wilson --  
11 Eugene Williams was to interview Ron Wilson around  
12 June 18th or thereabouts and it didn't happen for  
13 a number of different reasons and then Mr. Wilson  
14 refused to be interviewed and as a result the  
10:12 15 meeting of July 6th between your lawyers and  
16 justice lawyers was postponed because Mr. Wilson  
17 hadn't been interviewed yet. Does that sound  
18 correct?

19 A Yes, and I was looking at one document and I  
10:12 20 believe it was Wilson's lawyer that said Eugene  
21 Williams told him that he believed that David  
22 Milgaard was guilty and so I think after what they  
23 put our witnesses through, Wilson wasn't prepared  
24 and his lawyer Mr. Watson wasn't prepared to have  
10:12 25 him be put through the same intimidation, if you



1 will, by someone that is obviously prejudiced  
2 about the case.

3 Q And we'll come back to that, I'll find the letter,  
4 I think I know which document you are talking to,  
10:13 5 and I'll find that and we'll see if we can shed  
6 some light on that, but as far as the chronology  
7 here, I'm just wondering, this high level  
8 discussions, it's my understanding from the record  
9 that there may have been a meeting planned for  
10:13 10 early July with your lawyers to perhaps share  
11 information.

12 A What's the date of this letter?

13 Q This is June 27th.

14 A So they -- he may have been talking about ones  
10:13 15 that were coming up?

16 Q Right.

17 A That's possible.

18 Q But you have a recollection of a meeting, some  
19 meeting being planned in Ottawa between your  
10:13 20 lawyers and the justice lawyers?

21 A Yes, I did.

22 Q And I think that would have been the first  
23 face-to-face meeting; is that correct?

24 A Yes, that's correct.

10:13 25 Q And we saw, or I saw in some transcript some



1           disappointment I think expressed by you that that  
2           meeting had to be cancelled because I think  
3           everybody agreed or your lawyers agreed with their  
4           lawyers that until Mr. Williams examined Ron  
10:14 5           Wilson it couldn't take place and I think that's  
6           when Mr. Asper made efforts to try and get Ron  
7           Wilson to agree to the interview?

8           A           Yes.

9           Q           If we can go to 043139, please. And this is the  
10:15 10           July 3rd, 1990 memo of David Asper about a phone  
11           call he had with Carl Karp of the CBC during the  
12           weekend of July 1, 1990, and obtained the names  
13           and addresses of Larry Fisher's victims, and I  
14           think it was at this point, Mrs. Milgaard, that  
10:16 15           everybody -- when I say "everybody" meaning people  
16           in your group and Sergeant Pearson and Mr.  
17           Williams -- became aware that, contrary to what  
18           was read in the CPIC, the Fisher offences in '68  
19           to '70 were in Saskatoon and not Regina; is that  
10:16 20           correct?

21           A           Yes, that's correct. I remember how excited we  
22           were when we got this information.

23           Q           Okay. And prior to this you would have had the  
24           date of the offences?

10:16 25           A           That's all.



1 Q And the fact that he had committed rapes?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And let's put the Winnipeg ones aside for a  
4 moment; you would have believed they took place in  
10:16 5 Regina?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And now that you found out they took place in  
8 Saskatoon what, if any, change, or how did that  
9 affect your thinking on the matter, if at all?

10:16 10 A Well it was the wild factor. I mean when we found  
11 out, when we looked at the addresses and then  
12 looked at the map and, I mean, they encircled Gail  
13 Miller, it was so obvious.

14 Q And had you -- if we can just go back, I think  
10:17 15 prior to this point is it correct that I don't  
16 think Federal Justice or Mr. Pearson had checked  
17 the Court file to identify the victims' names or  
18 the location of the rapes, I think that's -- I've  
19 asked that question of them, I haven't asked Mr.  
10:17 20 Williams yet, but Mr. Pearson said that's the  
21 case. Is it also correct that your counsel,  
22 neither your counsel nor you, checked the Court  
23 file in Regina about Mr. Fisher's rapes either; is  
24 that correct?

10:17 25 A No. I think that it came out on this, you know,



1 through the CBC --

2 Q And it --

3 A -- when they found it.

4 Q And I believe, and I'm not sure if there's any  
10:18 5 record of this, but there may have been one, one  
6 telephone call you had with Cec Rosner that  
7 suggests that -- well the Court file in Regina --  
8 in fact, let me call up 012649. And we have seen  
9 these documents before, and the Regina Court file,  
10:18 10 and this is the one, the conviction for (V1)---  
11 (V1)-, and it talks about the rape having taken  
12 place in Saskatoon, and certainly the indictment  
13 as well talks about Saskatoon, so presumably --  
14 presumably CBC went to the Court file in Regina,  
10:18 15 found the name of the victim and the location of  
16 the rapes --

17 A Yes.

18 Q -- in Saskatoon, and I also recall seeing a  
19 document somewhere about perhaps using the  
10:19 20 Henderson Directory to get the addresses of these  
21 women at the time; does that ring a bell with you  
22 at all as far as how -- let's just go back to  
23 043139.

24 A Yes, I believe that everything was happening very  
10:19 25 quickly just at this time, and if you recall they



1 had done some publicity and a story. When we got  
2 this information, I know how excited we were about  
3 having it, I don't -- I don't know why we didn't  
4 go to Regina to get it, but I think just the fact  
10:19 5 that we had the information, and it was a real  
6 bombshell as far as we were concerned, and would  
7 be turning it directly over to the Justice  
8 Department, and we felt they could not discount  
9 this, there -- there was no way. We found the  
10:20 10 rapist that was in the -- you know, we had found a  
11 rapist that lived in the area, that lived in the  
12 house David was going to visit, and this man was a  
13 rapist; isn't it more likely it's him than it's  
14 David? We just felt that this information was  
10:20 15 going to -- that Justice would investigate it.

16 Q But prior to this date, and learning the location  
17 of the rapes, you would have had -- the  
18 information you had was that Larry Fisher lived in  
19 the Cadrain house at the time of Gail Miller's  
10:20 20 murder; correct?

21 A Right.

22 Q And that he had been convicted for four rapes,  
23 three before -- well, actually one was an  
24 attempted rape, --

10:20 25 A Uh-huh.



1 Q -- three before and one after David's conviction,  
2 and that he was convicted on those and, as well,  
3 some rapes in Winnipeg. So that's the information  
4 you had, and I think you've told us earlier that  
10:21 5 that was significant, that a rapist lived in the  
6 basement of the house that David visited that  
7 morning and therefore lived in the neighbourhood  
8 where -- lived a block and a half away from where  
9 Gail Miller was murdered, and was a rapist?

10:21 10 A That's right. And so, to us, that was huge, huge.

11 Q And so again, and I asked this question of  
12 Sergeant Pearson, so whether he committed the  
13 rapes in Vancouver, Regina, Winnipeg or Saskatoon  
14 --

10:21 15 A He was a rapist.

16 Q -- he was a rapist. So my question is now,  
17 finding out that the rapes took place in Saskatoon  
18 versus Regina, what, if any, did that change as  
19 far as the -- sort of your position or theory that  
10:21 20 Larry Fisher was the culprit here?

21 A Oh, I mean when we started looking at these  
22 addresses and how they surrounded the house and  
23 the area, and started to make all the connections,  
24 we just -- there is no way, we felt, that anyone  
10:21 25 could look at that --



1 Q So the fact that he had committed rapes in the  
2 neighbourhood of where he lived, in and around the  
3 time, before and after --

4 A Was tremendously -- to us, it was the proof, it  
10:22 5 was right there.

6 Q Now you said that you don't know why you or your  
7 group didn't go out and get this information?

8 A I think the fact that, even just the fact that it  
9 was a rapist that lived there, that was enough --

10:22 10 Q Okay.

11 A -- we felt. And to me we still, you know, felt  
12 that, well you are handing this over to them, they  
13 would have to see it too, you saw it.

14 Q And is it correct to say that the CBC, when you  
10:22 15 gave them this information in March or April and  
16 say "go out and investigate", that this was the  
17 type of thing you might expect or that, in effect,  
18 were they your investigators?

19 A They were.

10:22 20 Q And --

21 A And because we didn't have the money to go out and  
22 investigate any more, we were, you know, having a  
23 tough time at that time.

24 Q And if -- and maybe this is a question better to  
10:23 25 Mr. Asper, but let me ask you -- if the CPIC,



1           which I think we saw in your interview with Linda  
2           Fisher, your view at the time, I think you said to  
3           Linda, "lookit, these rapes were in Regina"; if  
4           you had been informed that they were Saskatoon  
10:23 5           rapes back initially, if the CPIC had not been  
6           relied upon or --

7           A           Yes.

8           Q           -- if there was some other back in March, would  
9           you have done anything different? If you would  
10:23 10           have known, at that time, they were Saskatoon  
11           rapes, would you have gone and checked names of  
12           victims, location, things like that?

13          A           Oh, yes, absolutely.

14          Q           And so is it correct to say that the CPIC  
10:23 15           information naming it as Regina may have been a  
16           factor that --

17          A           Impeded us.

18          Q           -- that caused your group not to go out and get  
19           the information as to where the rapes took place?

10:24 20          A           That's possible.

21          Q           And then if we could go to 337022. And I take it  
22           this information about the rapes and the victims  
23           was significant to your group, significant  
24           information?

10:24 25          A           Oh, very.



1 Q This is an interview between you and I think it's,  
2 it's either Carl Karp or Cec Rosner from the CBC,  
3 and if we can go to page 337040. And the reason I  
4 say it's one of those two, maybe someone else at  
10:24 5 the CBC, and I think this is a discussion in July  
6 of 1990 after the Carl Karp memo. You say:

7 "MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Yeah, that's  
8 interesting that this stuff is coming  
9 out. Now, we need to find the other  
10 Statements from the other two. Are you  
11 sure your CBC detective crew can't go  
12 back out operating again?

13 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Well, maybe,  
14 but how did --

15 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: You guys sure did do  
16 real good.

17 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: How did the --  
18 Wilson's lawyer get hold -- there was a  
19 reference there that he opened a package  
20 from the Justice Department."

21 So I think the article that's being talked about,  
22 which we're going to get to, is the July 17th,  
23 1990 article of Dan Lett that talks about the  
24 original statement of Ron Wilson?

10:25 25 A Uh-huh.



1 Q And then I think when you say about getting the  
2 other two:

3 "Now, we need to find the other  
4 Statements from the other two.",

10:25 5 I think you are referring to Albert Cadrain and  
6 Nichol John?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And so that would put this around July 17-18, or  
9 thereabouts, 1990; is that correct?

10:25 10 A I believe that's correct.

11 Q And when you are talking about the CBC detective  
12 crew, that would be the group that you had talked  
13 to in March or April of 1990, and gave the Fisher  
14 information to; is that right?

10:26 15 A Yes.

16 Q And would that be Carl Karp and Cec Rosner?

17 A Probably.

18 Q Yeah. Then, if we can go down to the bottom right  
19 here, and it's -- so it appears you asked them to  
10:26 20 go out, at least on the first statements from  
21 Nichol John and Albert Cadrain, and then here the  
22 CBC person says:

23 "UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Tell me one  
24 other thing.

25 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Mmhmm.



1 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Paul --

2 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Henderson?

3 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: -- Henderson,  
4 is he still actively doing anything  
5 right now.

6 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Not at the moment,  
7 but we do have another investigator out  
8 following up where you guys left off in  
9 Saskatoon.

10 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Oh, really.

11 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Yeah. Yeah, going  
12 out to see the victims.

13 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Oh, really.

14 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Yeah. Yeah.

15 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Who is that?  
16 Who do you have doing that.

17 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Mike -- Mike -- I  
18 think it's Mike Brecht (ph). He's with  
19 an agency up there that did skip tracing  
20 for us.

21 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: I see."

22 Next page:

23 "MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: And our idea is, you  
24 know, to get anything that helps our  
25 case, to line him up. Oh, the other



1 thing is, if you had a CBC crew out by  
2 that Saskatoon Hospital it would be  
3 interesting to see what happens when  
4 Williams goes to see Fisher."

10:27 5 And this is around the time that Eugene Williams  
6 is going to go interview Fisher:

7 "UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: When is that  
8 taking place.

9 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: I don't know for  
10 sure, but he's out west and I know he's  
11 going there.

12 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Mmhmm. Well,  
13 Williams is going to go see him  
14 personally.

15 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Oh, yeah.

16 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Instead of Rick  
17 Pearson.

18 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Oh, yeah. Mmhmm.  
19 And I would give anything to be a bug on  
20 the wall in that one."

21 And then the CBC fellow says:

22 "No ...",

23 going back to his question:

24 "When is that taking place.",

10:27 25 he says:



1 "UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: No, talking to  
2 the victims, Fisher's victims, you're  
3 saying.

4 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Yeah.

5 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Yeah, that  
6 would be interesting because we really  
7 could -- the information we relied on is  
8 Henderson Directory, that type of thing,  
9 and so to actually try to track them  
10 down would be -- might be very  
11 interesting.

12 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Mmhmm. And that's  
13 what we're doing because it may be that  
14 we can get something there because from  
15 the way Lorne Huff spoke about those  
16 other paring knives that he definitely  
17 used in the case, you know, like for  
18 instance if he held the knife up next to  
19 the victims' throat, and you know, there  
20 were a lot of little cut -- little cuts  
21 right in Gail Miller's throat --"

22 "MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: -- just indicative  
23 of someone holding a knife at her  
24 throat, so if he sort of did that with  
25 the other victims, I think that that



1 would be very telling --"

2 "MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: -- very conclusive  
3 stuff like that."

4 "MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: So these are the  
5 areas that we're sort of trying to dig  
6 around in."

7 Now do you recall this conversation?

8 A Not really. I mean, I had many conversations with  
9 many callers similar to that, but, you know, --

10:28 10 Q It appears from this that --

11 A -- I think it would be CBC.

12 Q Yeah. It appears in July of 1990 you had

13 discussed, with him, getting a private

14 investigator to go interview the victims to look

10:28 15 at the similarities, or to look at the attacks

16 against these women, and to look for similarities;

17 is that right?

18 A That's right.

19 Q Now do you know if that happened?

10:29 20 A I believe it got bogged down because of money.

21 I'm not sure. And then -- but it seemed to me we

22 also hired Mike Brecht, I just --

23 Q Mike Brecht was hired the following year, on the

24 second application, to find the victims, and then

10:29 25 you and Mr. Henderson went and looked for them.



1 He was also involved in finding Albert Cadrain,  
2 Ron Wilson, and Nichol John in May. And I don't  
3 have any documents that suggest in July or August  
4 of 1990, other than this transcript, that suggest  
10:29 5 he went out and interviewed the victims, but it  
6 appears from this that you are saying it was  
7 happening and --

8 A I think we were thinking of, you know, we were  
9 talking about it happening. I don't know where it  
10:29 10 went, but I remember something, I think it was  
11 financial, came up that we couldn't do it at that  
12 time.

13 Q And so, again, what would be the purpose in having  
14 Mike Brecht or someone go out and talk to the  
10:30 15 victims at this time?

16 A Just what I said, to get the similarities, and  
17 just make it even more conclusive that it was  
18 Larry Fisher.

19 Q Okay. And then if we can go to page 042 on this  
10:30 20 transcript, and this is the same conversation with  
21 the CBC reporter, and you say:

22 "MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: And like I said to  
23 David Asper, I would really like him to  
24 rewrite the Application from the basis  
25 of this -- all this new information



1                   because I think it would present very  
2                   differently now with Markesteyn, with  
3                   what you guys found out --

4                   UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Right.

5                   MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: -- and everything put  
6                   together.

7                   UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Exactly.

8                   MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: It's a different  
9                   Application --

10                  UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Yes.

11                  MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: -- but he's afraid to  
12                  do anything because it'll just delay  
13                  things more."

14                  Scroll down:

15                  "MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: So it's hard to know  
16                  what to do.

17                  UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Mhmm. Well,  
18                  we shall see. Something will probably  
19                  happen in the near future.

20                  MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Well, maybe when Kim  
21                  Campbell hears my son she'll swoon and  
22                  say get me the file."

23                  And they talk about a TV camera. So it appears  
24                  at this time, June of -- July of 1990, when you  
25                  talk about it being a different application now

10:31



1 with Markesteyn, would it be fair to conclude  
2 that Markesteyn's report may have affected  
3 Ferris' opinion so that, if you were redoing the  
4 application, you might put the Ferris report in a  
10:31 5 different light in light of the Markesteyn  
6 report?

7 A That's possible, yes.

8 Q And, as well, you've got the information that the  
9 CBC came up with and you say "it's a different  
10:31 10 application"; correct?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And so, what, am I right that you wanted to  
13 rewrite the application and put out, in your  
14 terms, --

10:32 15 A All this new stuff.

16 Q -- "here's all the new stuff". Now, I mean --

17 A But we'd been sharing the new stuff with them, so  
18 it wasn't like they didn't have it, and this is  
19 what David Asper pointed out to me, "they have got  
10:32 20 it, Joyce, they have got all this stuff".

21 Q But if we -- if, July of 1990, if you had not yet  
22 filed an application with the minister is it  
23 correct that it would look quite different --

24 A Absolutely.

10:32 25 Q -- than the application you filed in 1988?



1 A That's correct.

2 Q So was that your concern at the time, saying maybe  
3 we should put it in our words exactly what it is  
4 we're seeking and why?

10:32 5 A Yes.

6 Q And spell out where the Fisher information fits  
7 in, where Markesteyn fits in, and spell it exactly  
8 why we say we're entitled to a remedy?

9 A Yes.

10:32 10 Q And is it correct to say that Mr. Asper's advice  
11 back was, well, if we do that we'll simply delay  
12 it, and therefore don't, they have got it anyway?

13 A Yes, they have got it anyway, and it's going to  
14 delay it more.

10:32 15 Q And when you wanted to file a new application --  
16 if we could go back to the left-hand corner --  
17 when you say "it's a different application" and  
18 "everything put together", was your concern to put  
19 together sort of your own -- when I say "your",  
10:33 20 your group's -- own interpretation on the  
21 significance of all of this information? I  
22 appreciate what you are saying is you have given  
23 everything to them, --

24 A Uh-huh.

10:33 25 Q -- but to put in an application document to say



1 "here's why I think the Fisher information is  
2 important and here's why", and --

3 A Yes.

4 Q -- and to spell it out in a written document.

10:33 5 Because I think, other than letters from your  
6 counsel which put forward positions and the  
7 information was provided, were you concerned that  
8 you might want to have one all-encompassing  
9 document spell it out?

10:33 10 A I felt it would be good to have that, however, I  
11 also got copies of the letters that they were  
12 writing in to them explaining what we were doing,  
13 why we were doing, and the outcome.

14 Q This is probably an appropriate spot to break.

10:34 15 *(Adjourned at 10:34 a.m.)*

16 *(Reconvened at 10:52 a.m.)*

17 BY MR. HODSON:

18 Q Get 027179 up please. This is an article that Dan  
19 Lett wrote July 17, 1990, I was through this with  
10:53 20 Mr. Asper and a few other witnesses, and in this  
21 article, this relates to Ron Wilson's first  
22 statement, the March 3, '69 statement, and the  
23 question -- I guess really two questions; number  
24 one, did Mr. Caldwell give it to Mr. Tallis,  
10:53 25 disclose it at the time of trial; and number two,



1 if so, how did Mr. Tallis use it. And the  
2 article, I'll just read the pertinent parts, it  
3 says:

4 "A statement given by a star  
5 witness in the David Milgaard case that  
6 could have discredited his entire  
7 testimony appears to have been withheld  
8 from defence counsel during the 1969  
9 trial, two lawyers close to the case  
10 have charged.",

11 and that is Ken Watson and David Asper, later on.  
12 Now let me just pause there, Mrs. Milgaard. Last  
13 week you will recall, when we went through the  
14 1981 time period, you told us that you, in fact,  
15 had Ron Wilson's first statement, the March 3rd,  
16 '69 statement, that you got from Mr. Tallis'  
17 file; correct?

18 A I believe that's correct, yes.

19 Q And, when we went through your April 15th, 1981  
20 interview of Ron Wilson you actually informed Mr.  
21 Wilson about the first statement, he doubted you,  
22 and you said "well I've got it right here from Mr.  
23 Tallis' file", or words to that effect, "and it's  
24 to Riddell March of '69"; correct?

25 A Yes.



1 Q Yeah. So at this time, July of 1990, is it  
2 correct that you obviously had and knew -- or let  
3 me ask the question. Would you have known at this  
4 time -- certainly, I'll take you to two  
10:54 5 transcripts in a moment, your discussions with Mr.  
6 Asper and Mr. Lett where you say lookit, based on  
7 my reading of the transcript I think Mr. Tallis  
8 had it; remember going through that?

9 A Yes.

10:54 10 Q But, in addition to that, you would have obtained  
11 it yourself from his file back in 1981; correct?

12 A Probably, yes.

13 Q And do you know if that was something that Mr.  
14 Asper was aware of, or would you have shared that  
10:55 15 with him?

16 A I really couldn't honestly say whether I shared it  
17 with him or not. In a way, when we started again,  
18 it was like we were starting fresh, and it may  
19 have been that it wasn't something that got to  
10:55 20 him, I don't know.

21 Q But I --

22 A But I'm sure that, if he knew that, he wouldn't  
23 have been co-operating with this.

24 Q Okay. And, again, from -- let's just focus on  
10:55 25 your end. You would have given him the Ron Wilson



1 transcript from 1981, you've already told us that,

2 --

3 A Yes.

4 Q -- which has that information in it?

10:55 5 A Yes. Whether he'd read everything.

6 Q And, secondly, you would have given him a copy of  
7 the Ron Wilson statement that you obtained from  
8 Mr. Tallis' file; that would have been in the  
9 materials you gave to him?

10:56 10 A Yes.

11 Q Yeah. And so, as far as a discussion with him  
12 around this time, there's nothing in the  
13 transcript which I'm going to show you later where  
14 you bring it up, and I'm just wondering if it was  
10:56 15 something that maybe the significance of it had  
16 not been appreciated at the time, that --

17 A That's possible.

18 Q And if we could go to 336054. And again, sorry,  
19 just back to the article, *Witness statement*  
10:56 20 *withheld*, and now Mr. Asper explained what he  
21 thought he said to Mr. Lett and sort of took issue  
22 with how, I can't remember what he said, how two  
23 things were conjoined, I think were his words.  
24 But, in any event, the fact that *Witness statement*  
10:57 25 *withheld*, lawyers say.



1 "A statement given by a star  
2 witness in the David Milgaard case that  
3 could have discredited his entire  
4 testimony appears to have been withheld  
5 ...",

6 and then, later on in the article, Ron Wilson  
7 says, "lookit, if Tallis would have had that  
8 statement he would have destroyed me"?

9 A Yes.

10:57 10 Q And is it correct to say, in reading that article,  
11 the reader would likely say, "well hang on, first  
12 of all the Crown committed some misconduct, he  
13 didn't give a statement of a key witness";  
14 correct?

10:57 15 A Uh-huh, yes.

16 Q Two, the witness is saying "well boy, if the  
17 defence would have had that he would have got the  
18 truth out of me, he would have been able to crack  
19 me"?

10:57 20 A Yes.

21 Q And so back to this sort of the observer at the  
22 coffee table, as you say, this is the type of  
23 article that would cause people to sit up and take  
24 notice and say "lookit, something is wrong with  
10:57 25 this case"?



1 A Yes.

2 Q Now back to my question before, that if it were  
3 true, that it would certainly suggest something  
4 quite wrong; is that fair?

10:58 5 A That's fair.

6 Q If it's not true, which I think the evidence now  
7 shows that in fact the first statement was given  
8 to Mr. Tallis and Mr. Tallis used it in his  
9 examination and he's described what he did and why  
10:58 10 he did it, --

11 A Yes.

12 Q -- again from that side, and, again, did you back  
13 at the time -- and I've asked you this question  
14 before with other pieces of information that went  
10:58 15 out -- would there have been a consideration at  
16 the time -- and maybe this isn't a fair question  
17 to you because you are not quoted in this  
18 article -- but a consideration at the time that  
19 says "okay, when we put information out there that  
10:58 20 causes people to sit up and take notice, draws  
21 attention to the case, if it turns out that it's  
22 not true I guess the downsides would be, one, it  
23 might affect some people's reputation or might  
24 have -- might damage some people advertently,  
10:58 25 inadvertently"; is that fair?



1 A No.

2 Q No? So that, by saying that there was misconduct  
3 or that something wasn't happened --

4 A I really truly believe that, in all of my dealings  
10:59 5 with the reporters and with everyone, I tried to  
6 be very truthful. I would not -- I would not have  
7 allowed something like this out myself if I was  
8 realizing at that point. I think I've tried to  
9 explain to you that it was important to me that  
10:59 10 everything be very truthful so, if this went out,  
11 I don't think I had anything to do with it. I may  
12 even have pointed it out to them afterwards, I  
13 don't know, but --

14 Q You did actually talk to Mr. Lett about this. And  
10:59 15 let me just pause for a moment, this isn't your  
16 quote or anything and I'm not suggesting that you  
17 deliberately gave information that you knew to be  
18 wrong, I'm not suggesting that at all. I'm trying  
19 to get back to the -- this idea of when you go to  
11:00 20 the public and put things into the media that, in  
21 this case it wasn't you but it was Mr. Asper that  
22 put in the media this information, so I'm not  
23 suggesting you, but -- but back on the --

24 A But --

11:00 25 Q -- on the overall plan, that if it turns out that



1           what is put out there turns out not to be true,  
2           although it has the effect of causing people to  
3           sit up and take notice, my question would be would  
4           there be a couple of potential downsides, one is  
11:00 5           that it might, it might unfairly malign people?

6           A           Yes.

7           Q           And the second thing is that it might cause the  
8           authorities, namely the Justice Minister, if it's  
9           not true, to look at this and say "well, hang on a  
11:00 10           minute here," --

11          A           "This isn't true".

12          Q           -- "this isn't true", and therefore -- my question  
13           is was there a concern about the reliability  
14           factor, in other words that if we put something  
11:00 15           out there that we think is true, believe to be  
16           true, turns out not to be true, does that then  
17           cause decision-makers to look at the rest of our  
18           materials perhaps in a less-favourable light?

19          A           I think that there was definitely a possibility of  
11:01 20           that, and that's one of the reasons I tried to  
21           stick very closely to doing everything in a very  
22           true fashion.

23          Q           And I think what you've told us is that everything  
24           you would give to the media you would, you  
10:59 25           explained that you believed to be true?



1 A Yes.

2 Q And that in some cases is it fair to say that the  
3 media would take the seed and perhaps, by the time  
4 it got reported in the media, it might be a little  
5 bit different than what you had said, did that  
6 happen from time to time?

7 A It happened a lot of the time and so -- and that's  
8 one of the reasons why a lot of those reporters  
9 are taped, and they knew they were being taped.

10 Q Okay.

11 A Because I told them.

12 Q If we can go back to 336054, and I went through  
13 this in detail with Mr. Asper, so I won't with  
14 you, go to page 336107, and this is your  
15 discussion with Mr. Asper, and I think after the  
16 article appeared in the paper you phoned both him  
17 and Dan Lett and I think you expressed some  
18 concern after you read this article; didn't you,  
19 that it might not be correct?

20 A Yes, that's correct.

21 Q In fact, not might not be correct, I think your  
22 reaction was that this is wrong and that your view  
23 was that Mr. Tallis did have the statements?

24 A And knew about the statements, yes.

25 Q And so this is a discussion where you tell Mr.



1 Asper, lookit, the only problem is, according to  
2 the testimony, I think Tallis knew about these  
3 statements, and then you go on and you went back  
4 to the transcript, and based on your knowledge of  
11:01 5 the transcript and your reading of it, you were  
6 trying to tell Mr. Asper, look, he had the  
7 statement?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And then to page 336109, and I think Mr. Asper's  
11:01 10 response was notwithstanding what was in the  
11 transcript, he was saying, well, lookit, that  
12 doesn't mean he has the statement and I went  
13 through that with him and he had a number of  
14 reasons as to the fact that even though Mr. Tallis  
11:01 15 refers to the fact that Ron Wilson gave a  
16 statement to Riddell in March of '69 in his  
17 questioning, Mr. Asper said, well, that still  
18 doesn't satisfy him that he had it, there could be  
19 other explanations, and he says here:

11:02 20 "When you have -- you see, umm, I don't  
21 know that Tallis necessarily has a copy  
22 of the actual statement."

23 And at this time, about 18 or 19 years earlier  
24 you had -- sorry, nine years earlier, I added a  
11:02 25 decade there -- you had actually got a copy of



1           that very statement from his file?

2           A           Yes.

3           Q           And then I think the question then, the discussion  
4                       went on between you and Mr. Asper that one of two  
5                       scenarios, both of which were wrongdoings, if I  
6                       can call it that, and I think what he was saying  
7                       is, number one, if Caldwell didn't give, Mr.  
8                       Caldwell didn't given the statement to Mr. Tallis,  
9                       that would be serious Crown misconduct; correct?

11:02 10           A           Correct.

11           Q           And if he did give it to Mr. Tallis, Mr. Tallis  
12                       didn't put it to the witness and it didn't become  
13                       an exhibit, it didn't get in and therefore that  
14                       would be wrongdoing on Mr. Tallis' part, so one  
15                       way or the other one of those two did wrong?

11:03 16           A           That's right.

17           Q           That was his position. And then if you could go  
18                       to 336054 and go to -- this is a conversation  
19                       around July of '90, this is with Mr. Dan Lett, and  
20                       go to page 059, and it looks as though -- would  
21                       you have called Dan Lett, do you remember, or was  
22                       this just a conversation -- would you have picked  
23                       up the phone after the article and phoned him and  
24                       said -- here you say:

11:04 25                       "I was just going through, you know,



1                   it's been bugging me ever since I read  
2                   that story because I was so sure --"  
3                   "-- that somewhere Tallis talked about  
4                   the original statement."

11:04 5                   And he says:

6                   "Well I have -- did you find anything  
7                   yet?"

8                   And then you say:

9                   "Yeah. There is something in the prelim  
11:04 10                  here, I've got it in front of me --"

11                  And then you go through an exercise with him  
12                  similar to what you did with Mr. Asper; is that  
13                  correct?

14                  A                Correct, because Dan did have both.

11:04 15                 Q                Now, Dan would also have had -- he had both -- he  
16                  would have had Ron Wilson's transcript of April,  
17                  '81 --

18                  A                That's right.

19                  Q                -- where you told Ron Wilson that you got his  
11:04 20                  first statement off of Mr. Tallis' file?

21                  A                Right.

22                  Q                And he would also have Ron Wilson's first  
23                  statement that you gave him?

24                  A                Well, I don't know if he had Ron Wilson's  
11:05 25                  statement, but I know that we were talking about



1 he had both the prelim and the --

2 Q Oh, I'm sorry.

3 A -- trial.

4 Q And I think you told me earlier though that you  
11:05 5 would have given Mr. Lett all the transcripts and  
6 everything you had?

7 A I believe I did.

8 Q And so that would have been the Ron Wilson  
9 interview?

11:05 10 A Yes.

11 Q And then if we go to page 062, I think the  
12 discussion then turns to saying okay, well, if he  
13 did have it, you say:

14 "-- as a lawyer he would have called the  
11:05 15 statement into evidence. It was never  
16 brought in."

17 And Dan Lett says:

18 "Well, yeah, the fair -- well I suppose  
19 the one thing that we really can't do is  
11:05 20 we can't bring up the issue of Tallis  
21 and incompetency, which really may have,  
22 you know, that may be an element at work  
23 here. But, you know, I still find it  
24 surprising that, that, that he couldn't  
11:06 25 have used it more to his advantage."



1 And:

2 "Well, you see, when I read this --"

3 You say:

4 "-- I mean you can read it two ways."

11:06 5 And you say:

6 "Like if we read it that he has just,  
7 that he hasn't had the statement, and he  
8 just knows that he talked to Riddell."

9 And so it appears the discussion turned to where  
11:06 10 Mr. Lett said okay, well, if Tallis did have it,  
11 then maybe, at least in Mr. Lett's view, that  
12 that might shed some light on Mr. Tallis'  
13 competency because Mr. Lett thought he should  
14 have used it differently?

11:06 15 A Yes.

16 Q Is that correct?

17 A Correct.

18 Q Now, I don't think there was ever a further  
19 article written on this subject. Do you remember  
11:06 20 raising with anybody that based on your  
21 discussion -- let me ask you this. After you  
22 talked to David Asper, Dan Lett, did your view  
23 change as to whether or not Mr. Tallis had Ron  
24 Wilson's first statement?

11:07 25 A I think they convinced me that it was possible



1 that it had been the other way, the way that David  
2 Asper was presenting it to me, and not having a  
3 lot of knowledge about what defence lawyers would  
4 do and what they had, I may have thought that he  
11:07 5 could have got that afterwards. I didn't know.

6 Q Then if we could call up 337022 --

7 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: He got the  
8 statement afterwards?

9 A Yes, for his file.

11:07 10 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: And that's after  
11 what, what do you remember about that, after the  
12 preliminary, after the trial?

13 A After the prelim.

14 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: After the prelim.

11:07 15 BY MR. HODSON:

16 Q I'm sorry, that you thought that maybe after he  
17 examined Mr. Wilson he got -- but it was the  
18 questioning at trial I think where he referenced  
19 the statement to Riddell.

11:07 20 A Where he referenced the statement, but I guess in  
21 my mind once David Asper and Dan Lett talked to me  
22 about this and went back and forth about the  
23 possibilities of him just having had the other, I  
24 knew that when we got to his file he had it in  
11:08 25 there, I thought it could have been after



1 everything was over. I didn't know when he got  
2 hold of that.

3 Q Okay. Let me just back up. So you are saying it  
4 was after the trial was done that he would have  
11:08 5 got a copy of Ron Wilson's statement?

6 A That's a possibility that they were presenting to  
7 me.

8 Q Okay. Now, I don't think in either of those  
9 transcripts you raised with either Mr. Asper or  
11:08 10 Mr. Lett the fact that lookit, I got a copy of the  
11 statement off Mr. Tallis' file, that's not in the  
12 tapes that we have, and so is it possible that at  
13 the time of this discussion you maybe didn't  
14 recall that you had obtained the statement off of  
11:08 15 his file?

16 A That's very possible. I was pretty good about  
17 remembering things and I think that I would have  
18 remembered it, but if I had remembered that, it  
19 would certainly have been in my -- it would have  
11:09 20 been a focus in this conversation.

21 Q And is it possible, I think in both conversations  
22 you say to Mr. Asper and Mr. Lett, saying it  
23 bothered me when I read that article, you said to  
24 Mr. Lett something is bugging me because I think  
11:09 25 he had the statement?



1 A Yes.

2 Q Possibly your recollection related back to '81  
3 seeing it in Mr. Tallis' file, but it doesn't  
4 appear that you specifically raise that.

11:09 5 A That's possible.

6 Q But again back to this question of Mr. Tallis  
7 getting it after David was convicted, what would  
8 cause you to think that he would get it after the  
9 conviction?

11:09 10 A I was just thinking that now, is it possible that  
11 that would have been one of the explanations for  
12 it, that when I got it in -- when I got it in his  
13 file that he had got -- I had no idea of how these  
14 things worked, whether he would have access to  
11:09 15 that through another way of getting it after the  
16 trial or with his files or if he didn't have it at  
17 the time. I know that when we went back I found  
18 out that I really did have that, or that he had it  
19 in his file, but when we discussed it here, I  
11:10 20 obviously didn't remember that.

21 Q Right. And I guess that was a question earlier,  
22 and as well at this point I think the record  
23 reflects that there had not been any communication  
24 yet between Mr. Asper and Mr. Tallis other than I  
11:10 25 think there may have been a letter or two



1           indicating involvement, there hadn't been a  
2           meeting and there hadn't been a request to Mr.  
3           Tallis yet; is that correct?

4           A           That's correct. I think that came later.

11:10 5           Q           And if we go to 337022, this is around the same  
6                   time, and this is a discussion with Cec Rosner, go  
7                   to 337034, please, and actually just scroll up a  
8                   bit, please, you say:

9                           "Now, Wilson in this interview --"

11:11 10           And I think this is the one maybe with Eugene  
11           Williams,

12                           "-- said, like, that he had --"

13           Or sorry, with Lett,

14                           "Now, Wilson, in this interview, said,  
11:11 15           like, that he had with Lett, said that  
16           he's even more confident than ever that  
17           similar Statements must exist for the  
18           other witnesses, like Nicole, John and  
19           Albert Cadrain ..."

11:11 20           And so I think this is after Dan Lett's article,  
21           maybe he talked to Ron Wilson again, and Wilson  
22           said, well, Nichol John and Albert Cadrain must  
23           also have other statements. Rosner says:

24                           "... so that there must be similar

11:11 25                           original Statements somehow -- where --"



1 And you say:

2 "Somewhere in the Saskatoon Police  
3 files."

4 Rosner says:

11:11 5 "If they haven't been purged by now."

6 And you say:

7 "Well, this one wasn't, I mean,  
8 obviously Williams got it from  
9 somewhere, but you see what was  
11:12 10 happening was Watson was refusing to let  
11 Wilson talk to Justice until he'd gone  
12 over his Statement with him, and over  
13 his trial transcript. He wanted to make  
14 sure that he knew exactly what he was  
11:12 15 talking about and to familiarize himself  
16 with the case."

17 And again this discussion about original  
18 statements for Cadrain and John, you would have  
19 got those as well in 1981 off of Mr. Tallis' file  
11:12 20 you told us; correct?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And so it would appear at this point that maybe  
23 that had, that you maybe had forgotten about that?

24 A Yes.

11:12 25 Q If we can go to 337 -- sorry, if you can actually



1 go to page 023 on this, and I think again this is  
2 around the same time frame, or after the July 17th  
3 article, and this is a discussion with Mr.  
4 McCloskey, so we've had Paul Henderson out for  
11:13 5 Linda Fisher, we've had Paul Henderson out late  
6 May, early June for the Cadrain, Wilson  
7 statements; correct?

8 A I'm sorry?

9 Q That Mr. Henderson had been out twice to help you  
11:13 10 prior to this time?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And I think you told us Mr. McCloskey you  
13 continued to stay in touch with?

14 A Yes.

11:13 15 Q And keep updated?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And you say here:

18 "... and it was quite a good thing.  
19 There's a small article in the paper  
11:13 20 today. What we found out is Wilson  
21 received a Statement -- Wilson's lawyer,  
22 Watson, received a statement --"  
23 And it goes on, next page, and you say:  
24 "Okay. They sent him Wilson's first  
11:13 25 Statement, which we've never had."



1 "Right."

2 And I take it again is it fair to say that you  
3 would have forgotten about the fact that you got  
4 the statement?

11:13 5 A I obviously -- yeah, it was nine years before I  
6 guess and it probably had gone from my head.

7 Q And you say:

8 "And this Statement is completely almost  
9 identical to the Statement he gave to  
11:13 10 Paul."

11 And I think you are talking about the March 3rd,  
12 '69 statement --

13 A Yes.

14 Q -- and the statement you gave to Paul. And  
11:14 15 McCloskey says:

16 "Is that -- that's great, no kidding."

17 And then scroll down, bottom left, you say:

18 "But Wilson phoned David and told him  
19 about this Statement, so David leaked it  
11:14 20 to Dan Lett, and Dan Lett called  
21 Watson."

22 So again, would that be how the Dan Lett article  
23 came about, that Ron Wilson told David Asper and  
24 Asper leaked it to Dan Lett and then Dan Lett  
11:14 25 called Ken Watson, Wilson's lawyer?



1 A Yes.

2 Q And then you read from the paper the article:

3 "Watson said, "Not only does the first  
4 Statement lend credibility to his  
11:14 5 client's recent recant of his testimony,  
6 it suggests a serious omission in  
7 information given to Tallis. I can see  
8 no reason for the statement to be  
9 withheld," Watson said, "any lawyer  
11:14 10 would have questioned it and it would  
11 have been quickly exposed in court"."

12 And Mr. McCloskey says:

13 "Right."

14 And if we can go to the next page. So you would  
11:15 15 have been raising this with Mr. McCloskey to keep  
16 him up to date and get his --

17 A Just updating him on what was happening, we did  
18 that all the time.

19 Q And then here I think there's a discussion, you  
11:15 20 continue to talk about things and then you talk  
21 here about -- actually, just scroll up to the top,  
22 top right, please, here's where you tell Mr.  
23 McCloskey that Hersh Wolch -- sorry, I need  
24 337028, top right -- and this is where you tell  
11:16 25 that Mr. Wolch is going to be an a panel with



1 Mr. Tallis, our former lawyer:

2 "-- and he's going to be talking with  
3 him a little bit to see what he can get  
4 out of him, if he'll talk."

11:16 5 And:

6 "Was he the trial attorney."

7 Then you go on to say he's a judge, and then the  
8 bottom right, and you indicate he's the Chief  
9 Justice and then you say:

11:16 10 "-- rumoured -- he's rumoured as  
11 going -- as being on the list for the  
12 Supreme Court of Canada."

13 And McCloskey says:

14 "Oh, for God's sake, my God. Jesus."

11:16 15 And you say:

16 "So if he was dirty, you can understand  
17 why we're running into all this stuff?"

18 And McCloskey says:

19 "Well, I don't know if he was dirty, but  
11:16 20 at a minimum he certainly was negligent,  
21 grossly negligent."

22 "Well, maybe, but if he didn't have  
23 these Statements, if he didn't have --  
24 like, let's give him the benefit of the  
11:16 25 doubt, if he didn't have Wilson's



1 Statement, original Statement, saying  
2 that, you know, nothing happened --"  
3 "-- and he'd gone in there not knowing  
4 that, things could have been entirely  
11:17 5 different."

6 McCloskey:

7 "Oh, yeah, I know, but, you know, the  
8 Saskatoon Police could have just  
9 withheld that stuff."

11:17 10 And then scroll down, here:

11 "So that's where I'm -- you know, that's  
12 where we're coming from right now."

13 And McCloskey:

14 "Now, does David not have some kind of  
11:17 15 recourse to find out -- to get all --  
16 what we call discovery, to go back, even  
17 though it's 21 years later, and get that  
18 police file in this case and get the --  
19 all the Statements given by any witness  
11:17 20 in this case."

21 And you say:

22 "No."

23 "He cannot do that."

24 And you say:

11:17 25 "He's already asked the Justice



1 Department for it and hasn't been able  
2 to get it. You see, this was a real  
3 break because Watson is negotiating with  
4 Justice ..."

11:17 5 So again, that excerpt, let's just go back.

6 Would you have been telling Mr. McCloskey here's  
7 what -- I mean, this appears to relate to the  
8 disclosure, non-disclosure of Wilson's first  
9 statement to Tallis and it appears from this  
11:17 10 discussion that certainly Mr. McCloskey is of the  
11 view, and perhaps you, that Mr. Tallis was either  
12 dirty or grossly negligent in handling the  
13 matter; is that right?

14 A Well, this had been my feeling after the comment  
11:18 15 that David had made in Prince Albert about the  
16 fact that it was rumoured that Tallis was going --  
17 that David was going down for the count and Tallis  
18 was going up for a judgeship and after that Mr.  
19 Tallis became a judge and you put those two  
11:18 20 statements together and I became very suspicious  
21 of Mr. Tallis at that time.

22 Q And that --

23 A And that carried through to there.

24 Q If we can just go back to the previous page on the  
11:18 25 bottom right, please, and is it fair to read the



1 comment here that what you are saying to McCloskey  
2 is that -- I mean, you've talked about the fact  
3 that the Ron Wilson statement, that there's either  
4 been police misconduct, Crown misconduct or  
11:19 5 defence counsel misconduct or incompetence; is  
6 that fair?

7 A Right.

8 Q One somewhere along the way. And then you go on  
9 to tell him that lookit, Mr. Tallis is rumoured to  
11:19 10 be going to the Supreme Court or on the list and  
11 then, "For God's sake, my God. Jesus." Is it  
12 fair to read that McCloskey is thinking that  
13 something nefarious is happening here?

14 A Yes, he is.

11:19 15 Q And that you say:

16 "So if he was dirty --"

17 And I think you said that relates back to your  
18 son David's information from prison years  
19 earlier?

11:19 20 A Yes.

21 Q "-- you can understand why we're running  
22 into all this stuff?"

23 And is it correct to read this conversation as  
24 you and Mr. McCloskey saying that somehow you are  
11:19 25 not getting ahead and you are not getting answers



1 or somehow the system, or the justice system is  
2 covering up because Mr. Tallis may have committed  
3 misconduct, been incompetent and he might go to  
4 the Supreme Court and therefore you better not  
11:19 5 get any remedy; in other words, you are being  
6 held back because you might expose something?

7 A Yeah.

8 Q Is that your thinking, is that --

9 A I think that's fair. I think we were suspicious  
11:20 10 of all of these things and certainly I was not  
11 understanding the way the system worked, but I am  
12 glad at least I put in the comment "give him the  
13 benefit of the doubt" at the bottom.

14 Q Right. And as far as -- I'm sorry, I didn't mean  
11:20 15 to -- as far as Mr. McCloskey, would his -- again,  
16 the information he would be getting about the case  
17 at this time would be from you primarily?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And Mr. Asper?

11:20 20 A So he was reacting to that.

21 Q And so was his -- was his thinking at the time and  
22 later on that there was some kind of conspiracy or  
23 cover-up here, that people in high places were  
24 doing terrible things to prevent you from getting  
11:20 25 a remedy, was that his thinking?



1 A Yeah, I think it was all of our thinking because  
2 of the way we had been pushed back all the time.

3 Q And then if we can go to page 030, and here again  
4 this is around July of 1990, I showed you the  
11:21 5 discussion you had with the CBC on this subject  
6 and here you say to Mr. McCloskey:

7 "No, but we are -- we do have -- I've  
8 asked David to get some -- a local  
9 investigator in Saskatoon to go out and  
11:21 10 see the victims of Fisher and talk to  
11 them, the victims that were there in  
12 Saskatoon, talk to them, research the  
13 entire crimes, and see if there's  
14 anything there that's similar in the  
11:21 15 Miller case that we can tie into --"

16 "-- something he says, something that,  
17 you know anything."

18 "So we're doing that."

19 And again, do you recall, had that happened or  
11:21 20 what was going on with that?

21 A I do think that we planned on doing that, but  
22 somehow or other, and there probably are letters  
23 in the file back and forth, I think the point was  
24 that they had spent so much money on this already  
11:22 25 that there weren't funds to go ahead and I didn't



1           have the funds to pay it and that's why that part  
2           didn't go ahead at that time.

3           Q       Is that a recollection or are you assuming that  
4           that was the likely reason?

11:22 5           A       I'm assuming that's the likely reason.

6           Q       Okay.

7           A       I don't know that for sure.

8           Q       And the David here would be David Asper, you would  
9           have asked David Asper to get a local  
11:22 10          investigator?

11          A       Yes, yes.

12          Q       And it would appear to be your thinking at this  
13          time that this information would be helpful from  
14          the victims?

11:22 15          A       Yes.

16          Q       And is this similar, if not exactly what you and  
17          Mr. Henderson ended up doing the following May of  
18          1991?

19          A       It was, but I know that -- it's probably David and  
11:22 20          Hersh at this point in time, rather than spend  
21          this money, would have thought they've given this  
22          to justice, they would have to take a very serious  
23          look at it and they would be going out and doing  
24          this so it would not necessitate us going out and  
11:23 25          doing it.



1 Q Now, is that a recollection or is that something  
2 you assumed might have been the explanation?

3 A Well, it's the only logical explanation for it.  
4 If I was asking for it to be done, it could have  
11:23 5 been two things, they would expect, and I think I  
6 would expect too when I think back, I probably --  
7 we had this tremendous information, I would have  
8 felt they would have to go out and look at it,  
9 they would have to go out and talk to the victims,  
11:23 10 they would have to check it out.

11 Q Would you trust them to do that though? You told  
12 us -- and again, you said back in March and April,  
13 on Larry Fisher, you didn't trust their  
14 investigation, you would want to do it yourself.  
11:23 15 Would that be a reason here why you are saying  
16 lookit, I want to go and talk to them as opposed  
17 to letting justice do that?

18 A That was probably my reasoning there, and perhaps  
19 Hersh and David, because they had been working  
11:24 20 with the department, and I think that they  
21 believed in the system to a degree, would have  
22 felt that, well, they've got the information, now  
23 they will look at it and they will research it.

24 Q If we can just go back, and again, is what you've  
11:24 25 told me your assumption or your thinking, okay,



1 well, this must have been the reason why nothing  
2 happened as opposed to your recollection of why it  
3 wasn't followed?

4 A It's my assumption rather than my memory.

11:24 5 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: What's the date on  
6 this discussion, what was it, 337023?

7 MR. HODSON: This would be July of 1990 and  
8 it's I think shortly after the July 17th  
9 newspaper article of Dan Lett because that's  
11:24 10 what's discussed with Mr. McCloskey.

11 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay.

12 MR. HODSON: So I think the latter part --  
13 latter part of July, 1990.

14 BY MR. HODSON:

11:25 15 Q If we could go to 336054. Do you remember  
16 again the -- we talked a bit earlier about Ron  
17 Wilson and there were some challenges in getting,  
18 not in getting, he was reluctant to meet with Mr.  
19 Williams for a while or his lawyer was and then  
11:25 20 ultimately it took place; is that correct?

21 A That's correct.

22 Q And I think David Asper tried to make contact with  
23 Mr. Watson and encouraged him to meet with Mr.  
24 Williams so that -- I think justice was saying  
11:25 25 lookit, we can't consider the Wilson recantation



1           until we've had a chance to talk to him; is that  
2           --

3           A           Yes, that's correct.

4           Q           And this is a discussion I think again around, I  
11:25 5           think July 25 was the date, of 1990, was the date  
6           of Ron Wilson's examination by Mr. Williams. If  
7           we could go to 336169 and if I can give you a bit  
8           of background, this -- I think prior to Mr. Wilson  
9           being examined Ken Watson phoned David Asper, they  
11:26 10           had a discussion about what Wilson was going to  
11           say to Eugene Williams, and just to refresh your  
12           memory, in the statement that Ron Wilson gave to  
13           Paul Henderson on June 4, 1990 Ron Wilson said  
14           that David did not have a knife between Regina and  
11:26 15           Saskatoon. Do you remember that?

16           A           I remember that.

17           Q           And so here Mr. Asper is telling you:

18                        "Well, Watson, Watson asked me, he said  
19                        "what was that murder weapon here", and  
11:26 20                        I said "well I'm not sure, you know, the  
21                        Crown said it was this paring knife but  
22                        there was also this bone-handled hunting  
23                        knife that they lost", at which point he  
24                        went "oh sit", and I said "what", and he  
11:27 25                        says "well here's what Wilson is gonna



1 say."

2 You said:

3 "Well if Wilson is going to say that,  
4 what's the difference David? Like I  
5 don't understand it. He's also going to  
6 say that he was never separated from  
7 David."

8 Asper says:

9 "Yes."

11:27 10 And then I think this is talking about where Mr.  
11 Asper learned from Mr. Watson that when Wilson  
12 was going to be interviewed by Eugene Williams,  
13 he was actually going to correct his statement  
14 and say, "oh yes, I did see a bone-handled  
11:27 15 hunting knife on David Milgaard on the trip up to  
16 Saskatoon". Do you remember that coming up?

17 A Yes, I do.

18 Q And then to page 188, I think this is Mr. Asper  
19 again saying:

11:27 20 "See, because when I talked to Watson  
21 yesterday the first question he asked  
22 was "what was the murder weapon in this  
23 case"."

24 The next page, and he talks about the Crown's  
11:28 25 theory that it was a maroon-handled paring knife:



1                    "... "but, you know, there's this other  
2                    knife that was found at the scene" --"  
3                    "-- "and lost", and he -- and at that  
4                    point, to use his words, he says "oh  
11:28 5                    shit"."

6                    Scroll down, and:

7                    "So I don't know. We'll just have to  
8                    see if it --"

9                    And you say:

11:28 10                    "The truth can't hurt us."

11                    Asper:

12                    "No, I know, it just -- I'm just  
13                    concerned, now, that it's gonna send  
14                    Williams off on a tangent to go and  
11:28 15                    investigate that break-in now."

16                    And I think that relates to the elevator break-in  
17                    where I think Nichol John had said David came  
18                    back with a bone-handled hunting knife?

19                    A                    That's correct.

11:28 20                    Q                    And so is it fair to say at this time, and I think  
21                    you had already in the media said there was  
22                    another murder weapon, it was a bone-handled  
23                    hunting knife, it was found at the scene?

24                    A                    Yes.

11:28 25                    Q                    And it's disappeared under suspicious



1 circumstances?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And is it correct to summarize David Asper was  
4 concerned that this might cause Federal Justice to  
11:28 5 go off and indicate that, okay, well, since you've  
6 now publicly said we think there was another  
7 murder weapon and Ron Wilson is now saying in my  
8 recantation I forgot to mention that David had a  
9 bone-handled hunting knife, that somehow this  
11:29 10 would be harmful to the re-opening?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And that was David's view. Your view was, well,  
13 the truth can't hurt us; is that correct?

14 A No. That was my view.

11:29 15 Q Did it bother David Asper though, was he concerned  
16 about this?

17 A Yes, I believe he was.

18 Q And then on the next page you make the point again  
19 saying:

11:29 20 "Because the point is, if he was never  
21 separated from David, it couldn't have  
22 been David. Now he's remaining firm in  
23 that; isn't he?"

24 And then Asper says:

11:29 25 "That's right, that's right."



1 And on that point, in the interview that Ron  
2 Wilson had with Eugene Williams he did indicate  
3 then, and I think has subsequently, has  
4 continually said that he and David were apart for  
11:30 5 a short time?

6 A But not long enough for --

7 Q Right.

8 A -- him to murder Gail Miller.

9 Q And so -- and I think in Paul Henderson's  
11:30 10 statement of June 4, 1990, and I stand to be  
11 corrected, I don't think that issue was raised  
12 with Mr. Wilson specifically in the statement.

13 A I don't remember.

14 Q But again, was that -- when you say that he was  
11:30 15 never separated from David, is it fair to read  
16 that as never separated from David long enough to  
17 have committed the murder; is that --

18 A That's what I was meaning.

19 Q Right. Then go to 220989. I just want to carry  
11:32 20 on, there is a couple of further articles and  
21 transcripts, so this is July 25, 1990, this is  
22 after the -- I think, up until this point, we've  
23 covered the Wilson, the Cadrain, the Fisher  
24 information, and at this point do you recall;  
11:32 25 would it be correct to say that you had given a



1 fair bit of new information to Justice in the  
2 month of June --

3 A Yes.

4 Q -- 1990, you gave them the Dennis Cadrain  
11:32 5 statement, the Albert Cadrain statement, the Ron  
6 Wilson statement, the Markesteyn report, the Dr.  
7 Merry report, and information came to light that  
8 Larry Fisher's rapes had been in Saskatoon, not  
9 Regina, so that was all new information that was  
11:32 10 generated and given to them in the month of June?

11 A Correct.

12 Q And was the month of July, at this point was it,  
13 "okay, well let's let them digest that and work on  
14 that"; --

11:33 15 A Yes.

16 Q -- would that be -- and here we're talking about  
17 *Delays cause Milgaard 'untold damage,' Asper says.*  
18 And Mr. Asper says:

19 "The federal Justice  
11:33 20 Department is inflicting untold  
21 psychological damage to David Milgaard  
22 by delaying his application for a new  
23 trial, Milgaard's lawyer charged  
24 yesterday.

11:33 25 David Asper was responding to



1                   comments from Justice Department  
2                   officials that Milgaard could face  
3                   several more months in jail before his  
4                   application for a new trial is heard by  
11:33 5                   Justice Minister Kim Campbell."

6                   And am I correct that, at this time, that the  
7                   several more months to allow Justice -- Justice  
8                   was saying "lookit, we need to go through all  
9                   this information that you've given us", and that  
11:33 10                  that was communicated to you, that --

11                  A                   It could be months and months and months.

12                  Q                   Right. And, again, the frustration level at this  
13                   point was similar to what it was before, or worse?

14                  A                   It was worse. We didn't think David could handle  
11:34 15                   it.

16                  Q                   Your son David?

17                  A                   That's right.

18                  Q                   What about Mr. Asper, was he becoming quite  
19                   agitated at this point?

11:34 20                  A                   He was very agitated.

21                  Q                   And he makes a comment at the bottom:

22   "'This is supposed to be the  
23   final repository of justice in the  
24   country,' Asper said of appeals to the  
11:34 25   justice minister. 'From our



1 perspective, it looks a lot more like  
2 the three stooges.'"

3 And, again, was that something that was -- and,  
4 again, it's not your comment, but your  
11:34 5 observations at the time -- was that a comment  
6 made out of frustration or was it designed to  
7 send a message?

8 A I think it was just out of frustration. I think  
9 he was so upset at that point that -- and, I mean,  
11:35 10 he really felt that they were not doing a good  
11 job.

12 Q And, again, would this have been -- we talked  
13 earlier about, I think the words were a hornet's  
14 nest, getting things in the media to put pressure  
11:35 15 on the Justice Minister; from your perspective or  
16 observation would this have been that type of  
17 story? If we can just go back to the headline,  
18 *Delays cause Milgaard 'untold damage'*, would this  
19 have had another purpose in getting the public to  
11:35 20 put pressure on the Justice Minister, to put some  
21 heat on them to maybe move quicker?

22 A Yes, I believe so.

23 Q If we can go to 337105. This is a conversation  
24 with Mr. Asper, I think it is July of 1990, if we  
11:36 25 can go to page 336 -- I'm sorry, I've called up



1 the wrong -- if we could call up 336054. If we  
2 can go to page 132 or, I'm sorry, go to 134, and  
3 this is a discussion with you and Mr. Asper, I  
4 think it's again around this same time frame, July  
5 of 1990. And you say:

11:36

6 "MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Right. We know the  
7 end, David.

8 MR. DAVID ASPER: Well, we know the end,  
9 except we don't know how dirty it's  
10 gonna be.

11 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: (*Sighs*).

12 MR. DAVID ASPER: I mean this thing could  
13 get really, really dirty.

14 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Oh, David, don't tell  
15 me that.

16 MR. DAVID ASPER: Because the truth, the  
17 truth in all of this is not -- it -- the  
18 truth is very, very bad.

19 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: But the truth in all  
20 of this is coming out little specks at a  
21 time."

22 Do you know what he might have been referring to,  
23 or what did you take out of this conversation,  
24 that things are going to get:

11:37

25 "... really, really dirty.",



1 and:

2 "... the truth is really, really bad."

3 A What else were we talking about? I'm sorry, from  
4 this little bit, I can't tell you.

11:37 5 Q I'm sorry, earlier you were talking about a book,  
6 about whether somebody was going to write a book,  
7 and there's nothing prior this to this, I don't  
8 think, that sheds any light on this, but just sort  
9 of a conversation. Actually, if we want to go to  
11:37 10 the previous page, it's a discussion, I think,  
11 with a fellow, Michael Harris, about the guy who  
12 wrote the book on Donald Marshall, and writing  
13 biographies, keep it in mind -- and Asper says:

14 "MR. DAVID ASPER: And shouldn't be done  
15 until everything is -- about the story  
16 is known."

17 "MR. DAVID ASPER: He said it's pointless  
18 to even begin until you know the end."

19 So this is talking about writing a book, and I  
11:38 20 think the point is that, "okay, well until the  
21 story is done, don't write it".

22 A Right.

23 Q My concern more is about what -- what did you take  
24 Mr. Asper's comments to being that the:

11:38 25 "... this thing could get really, really



1                   dirty."

2                   I presume he's talking about your efforts to  
3                   re-open?

4           A           Obviously.

11:38 5           Q           And that:

6                   "... the truth is very, very bad."

7                   I'm trying -- what did you understand or take out  
8                   of this conversation, if anything?

9           A           I honestly don't know. I -- when I read it in  
11:38 10           this context:

11                   "... the truth is very, very bad.",

12                   everything that the police have done and

13                   everything that Justice has done, there's an

14                   awful lot of stuff there that we have that's

11:39 15           going to come out, and it's gonna -- it's very,  
16           very bad. And I'm saying:

17                   "But the truth in all of this is coming

18                   out little specks at a time."

19           Q           And is it correct to read this that, when he says:

11:39 20           "... the truth is very, very bad.",

21                   that Mr. Asper's view, expressed to you around

22                   this time and perhaps before and after, is that

23                   the truth is going to hurt people in the

24                   system --

11:39 25           A           Yeah.



1 Q -- and that it's --

2 A And it's very, very bad.

3 Q It's very, very bad, in other words that there was  
4 some very --

11:39 5 A Significant things had been turning up.

6 Q And so some serious misconduct, --

7 A Yes.

8 Q -- and I think the word "dirty":

9 "... how dirty it's gonna be."?

11:39 10 A Yes.

11 Q And so that was -- is it fair to say that Mr.

12 Asper was telling you here, and perhaps what he

13 was telling you from time to time, was that

14 something really bad has happened with people in

11:40 15 the system, and there's been --

16 A Yup.

17 Q -- misconduct, and it's gonna get really messy --

18 A Yes.

19 Q -- because people have done so very bad things; is  
11:40 20 that --

21 A I think that's fair.

22 Q And that would have been his view at the time and  
23 that's what he would have told you?

24 A Yes.

11:40 25 Q And if we could go to 336950 and go to page



1 336976, and this is a conversation that you had  
2 with Mr. Asper, again around this time, and I  
3 think it came as a result of the newspaper article  
4 that talked about where Justice said it could be a  
5 number of months to review the information.

11:41

6 A Okay.

7 Q And you say:

8 "MR. DAVID ASPER: So Cec did call, ...",  
9 I presume that's Cec Rosner:

10 "... and apparently this guy, Corbett,  
11 told Cec that, you know, there is no  
12 hurry, there's plenty of things to do  
13 and that, you know, the Report won't  
14 even go to the Minister until the end of  
15 the summer or early fall.

16 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Oh, that's just  
17 totally ridiculous.

18 MR. DAVID ASPER: Well, I know. I know,  
19 especially in light of the fact that  
20 yesterday we had a conversation with  
21 Williams, and Williams suggested that it  
22 might be a week or ten days."

23 And then follow down:

24 "MR. DAVID ASPER: Hang on, hang on, let me  
25 -- were only going to have this -- I'm



1 not - were never having this  
2 conversation again, I can tell you that,  
3 I'm absolutely fed up, and I said to  
4 Hersh -- I had a fairly strong  
5 conversation with him last night,  
6 essentially saying to him Hersh, they  
7 have done this from day one. You have  
8 been detached from it, and you haven't  
9 had the sense of frustration that I  
10 have, and imagine what Mrs. Milgaard is  
11 going through and imagine what David is  
12 going through, but it's time that  
13 somebody take these guys to task. This  
14 is absolutely stupid. Anyway, Hersh put  
15 a call in first thing this morning to  
16 Corbett. He was on a conference call  
17 and has not yet returned the call. We  
18 are going to clarify what their position  
19 is today."

11:42 20 And down at the bottom Asper says:

21 "MR. DAVID ASPER: -- you know, I've got a  
22 role here, but my opinion is, assuming  
23 that Corbett is correct -- now,  
24 apparently Corbett told Cec that they  
25 want to talk to -- they want to



1 re-interview Melnyk and Lapchuk ...",  
2 and then go back to the bottom right, and again  
3 he says:

4 "MR. DAVID ASPER: Well, this is stupid.

5 This is stupid.",

6 and then goes on to talk about David. And what  
7 does this -- again, we talked about sort of the  
8 mindset of you and David Milgaard at the time; at  
9 this point was Mr. -- was your group becoming --  
10 it appears here that Mr. Asper is more than  
11 frustrated, more than he was before; do you  
12 recall this conversation or do you recall his  
13 frame of mind at the time?

14 A I recall many conversations like this with him,  
15 and it was terrible.

16 Q And I think this was around the time of the  
17 newspaper article about the three stooges; do you  
18 remember that discussion with him?

19 A That's -- probably came out of this.

20 Q If we can go to 334970. This is a conversation  
21 with Dan Lett, and -- or pardon me -- this is a  
22 conversation with you, with David, with David  
23 Asper, and then I think Dan Lett joined in. And  
24 if we can go to page 33 -- or sorry, start off is  
25 a conversation with you, and then a second



1 conversation with Dan Lett, 334982.

2 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Have you got an  
3 approximate time?

4 MR. HODSON: Umm, July of 1990, I think, is  
11:44 5 the time frame, because it precedes a letter and  
6 a memo that comes up, might be July-August of  
7 1990.

8 BY MR. HODSON:

9 Q And this is a conversation with Dan Lett where he  
11:44 10 tells you:

11 "So ... the other thing too is, that  
12 I'm, I'm trying to get some, to get some  
13 clause ...",

14 I think that should be with a "w":

11:44 15 "... into the justice, the Saskatchewan  
16 Justice Minister to find out if they  
17 won't ... you know, like we're, we  
18 talked it over today about which  
19 official channels we could go through to  
11:44 20 find out more information about Albert  
21 Cadrain, and what happened to him and  
22 ... and so, what we talked about was  
23 just approaching the justice minister in  
24 Saskatchewan and saying 'Look, you  
11:44 25 know..., ... you know, there's all, all



1 of these reversals and all of these  
2 problems with the investigation,' and I  
3 said, 'worse than that, one of your  
4 senior counsels....," see, my guess is  
11:45 5 that there are people in the provincial  
6 government who are gonna rat on Caldwell  
7 ... basically because it's quite evident  
8 from him having been canned that he's  
9 not popular there...",

11:45 10 and you say:

11 "Right."

12 "... and I think that, I think that,  
13 that either on the record or off the  
14 record, I'm going to find out that  
11:45 15 Caldwell is just a screw-up all around  
16 ...",

17 And would it be correct to say, from this, that  
18 Mr. Lett would be out, trying to get information  
19 that might assist your efforts, information  
11:45 20 negative about Mr. Caldwell, or do you recall  
21 this?

22 A I don't recall it but it's obvious, from the  
23 conversation, that that's what he was doing.

24 Q And, again, would that have been one of the --  
11:45 25 when you talked before about getting the media to



1 be, I think your words were akin to your  
2 investigators, in other words let them loose, see  
3 what they can dig up, --

4 A Right.

11:45 5 Q -- would this be a case here, an example where, if  
6 Dan Lett can go out and get some -- what were his  
7 words --

8 A Some claws into Justice.

9 Q Right.

11:45 10 A Yeah, I know that various of the reporters would  
11 come to me before they went and did things, and I  
12 certainly didn't discourage them, because if they  
13 could, you know, if they could get information  
14 that we couldn't get it would be helpful.

11:46 15 Q And as well, if they publicized information that  
16 was negative about the Crown or the police or  
17 their conduct in the prosecution or the  
18 investigation, that would be helpful to your  
19 cause?

11:46 20 A Yes, it would.

21 Q So, again, would that be something that would be  
22 encouraged in the sense that, lookit -- or one of  
23 the things that you would hope the reporters would  
24 do, go out and get as much bad stuff you can find  
11:46 25 about the people who were involved in the original



1 investigation, the prosecution, and the people who  
2 are looking into the re-opening; would that be a  
3 fair statement?

4 A I honestly don't think that I was asking them to  
11:47 5 go out and find all the bad stuff they could find.  
6 What I essentially was asking them to go out and  
7 find was the truth about what really happened.

8 Q And --

9 A If it was bad, then definitely, we wanted that  
11:47 10 stuff as well.

11 Q And if it was information that might assist you in  
12 causing the public to sit up and take notice, --

13 A That was great.

14 Q -- that would be helpful?

11:47 15 A Yes.

16 Q So then if we can go to 162386. This is an August  
17 10th, 1990 memo from David Asper to Hersh Wolch,  
18 and in this memo he says:

19 "Dan Lett article burning Bob Caldwell  
11:47 20 is going to appear sometime over the  
21 weekend in the Free Press."

22 Again, did -- and I'm assuming that that's  
23 related to the discussion you had with Dan Lett,  
24 and I will show you an article August 29th, and  
11:47 25 what it relates to is suggesting that Mr.



1 Caldwell was involved in assisting Eugene  
2 Williams; do you remember that issue coming up?

3 A Yes, I do.

4 Q And so, again, --

11:48 5 A And I found it totally unbelievable that they  
6 could have, that Justice could have gone to Mr.  
7 Caldwell and had him work with them on something  
8 that should -- they should have been investigating  
9 him, not having him working with them on  
11:48 10 something, and we were very upset by that.

11 Q And was it a case where, when you learned this  
12 information, that that would have been given to  
13 Dan Lett and said "here, go, go find something and  
14 bring this to the public's attention"?

11:48 15 A Oh, I think Dan Lett found it.

16 Q Oh, Dan Lett?

17 A I believe that he was the one that found it; am I  
18 not correct in that?

19 Q I don't know, sorry.

11:48 20 A I believe he was.

21 Q And so again, regardless of where the information  
22 came, when it came to your attention that Mr.  
23 Caldwell had some involvement -- and that was your  
24 understanding, he was involved in the work of  
11:48 25 Eugene Williams?



1 A That's right, and it just seemed so unprincipled  
2 that someone that they're supposed to be  
3 investigating them, is actually working on the  
4 investigation, it seemed so wrong.

11:49 5 Q And then if we can go to -- and I'll come back to  
6 that, I'll come back to the article and the  
7 letter, --

8 A All right.

9 Q -- so we're not finished with this subject yet.  
11:49 10 026530. This is an article August 13th, 1990 in  
11 the *Western Report*, and if you could go to page  
12 026532, and right at the tail end -- actually, go  
13 back to the full page. You'll see here, although  
14 it's not a very good picture, but here the *Western*  
11:49 15 *Report* -- and it's now August 13th -- now said:

16 "The Crown's sperm samples were dog  
17 urine.",

18 attributed that to Dr. Markesteyn?

19 A Yes.

11:50 20 Q And so that would be, is it fair to say, a little  
21 bit different than what Dr. Markesteyn had said in  
22 his report, he had said "I can't exclude it being  
23 dog urine"?

24 A That's right.

11:50 25 Q And so, here, the media has now shown a picture of



1 him and reported that it is -- that it was dog  
2 urine?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And so that's a case where a piece of information  
11:50 5 had got into the media and somehow --

6 A Escalated.

7 Q -- escalated. Back to the bottom right, and it  
8 talks about Mr. Watson and his comments on Wilson,  
9 and then:

11:50 10 "Says Mrs. Milgaard: 'For us to sit and  
11 wait for the minister of Justice to  
12 decide is horrible. We have proof. I  
13 think the Justice Department is going  
14 out and just trying to cover up what is  
11:50 15 going on."

16 And, again, presumably that would be the Federal  
17 Justice department?

18 A That's right.

19 Q Sand so August of 1990, was that your view, that  
11:50 20 Federal Justice Department was -- or tell me what  
21 you meant by those words:

22 "... just trying to cover up ..."?

23 A Well it seemed that no matter what we gave them,  
24 they were taking it and trying to destroy it, they  
11:51 25 weren't looking at it and evaluating it fairly,



1 so, in my mind, I felt that they were trying to  
2 cover up the mistakes that had been made rather  
3 than to fairly bring them to the surface.

4 Q If we could go to 331162 and go to page 331207.

11:52 5 And this is a conversation, it just has "Male" but  
6 it is David Asper I believe, and he says -- and,  
7 again, I think this is early August, I'm going to  
8 show you a letter August 14th, 1990 from Mr. Asper  
9 to the minister -- and he says:

11:52 10 "So, next time your up at the office or  
11 I can drop it off or whatever, I'll show  
12 you the letter that we sent that was  
13 faxed out Monday and ... that's the end  
14 of Williams."

11:52 15 "Well, I hope in it, you said something  
16 about prosecutorial misconduct of  
17 Caldwell."

18 Asper:

19 "Well, we ... we said that ... we sort  
20 of did it backhanded because we did talk  
21 to Tallis, ultimately and ..."

22 "You've talked to Tallis?"

23 "Well, he called simply to, to respond  
24 to the letter that I wrote to him."

11:52 25 "And what did he say?"



1 "And he said that he had no knowledge  
2 whatsoever of ... any rapes occurring in  
3 the neighbourhood prior to the Miller  
4 murder."

5 "Really!"

6 "He had none."

7 "Oh, David, that is incredible!"

8 "Yeah, so we included that in this  
9 letter."

11:53 10 "Well in, if Tallis had no knowledge of  
11 that, then Caldwell certainly did have."

12 And Asper:

13 "Well, one would think so, one would  
14 think so. As to the statements ...  
11:53 15 Tallis has no recollection of exactly  
16 what he got ... and simply says if  
17 Caldwell says I had them, then I had  
18 them, which is not, I mean that's a  
19 nothing answer. So, we may, we may not  
11:53 20 ultimately, unless we can find some  
21 paper trail to give us an answer on  
22 that, we may not know the answer to  
23 that, you know."

24 And you say:

11:53 25 "So, he may have known that Ute Frank



1 had been questioned?"

2 Asper:

3 "Oh, he had Ute Frank's statement."

4 "Who said he did?"

5 Well:

6 "According to Caldwell he had...

7 According to Caldwell ...",

8 and it goes on to talk about Melnyk and Lapchuk.

9 And so it appears, at this time, that Mr. Asper

11:53 10 has talked to Mr. Tallis, Mr. Tallis has

11 confirmed that he did have statements from

12 Caldwell, certainly the Ute Frank statement; --

13 A Yes.

14 Q -- correct? And then the letter, and I'll come

11:53 15 back to the letter in a moment, it appears to be

16 talking about a letter being sent about Eugene

17 Williams and prosecutorial conduct of Mr.

18 Caldwell; would that be relating to -- when you

19 are talking of prosecutorial misconduct are you

11:54 20 talking disclosure of statements, would that be --

21 A Yes.

22 Q Yeah. And then, down at the bottom, Mr. Asper

23 says:

24 "... and Disbery's recollection was that

11:54 25 they, that their assessment was that it



1                   would potentially be harmful ... to put  
2                   someone who was admitting to being high  
3                   on drugs and whatever else ... on the  
4                   stand even though her recollection was  
11:54 5                   that, you know, that this didn't  
6                   happen."

7                   And, again, this is Ute Frank's statement. And  
8                   is it looks like, from this tape and perhaps a  
9                   few others, that Mr. Asper may have contacted Ian  
11:54 10                  Disbery, who was an articling student or junior  
11                  counsel at the trial, for information as opposed  
12                  to Mr. Tallis, and I'm wondering if it was  
13                  because of concerns that you had or Mr. Asper had  
14                  that somehow Mr. Tallis may have been involved in  
11:54 15                  some bad way in the defence of David Milgaard; do  
16                  you remember that being the case?

17                  A                No, I think that we contacted him because he was  
18                  more available than Tallis, and that we were able  
19                  to talk to him. And I think we got involved, in  
11:55 20                  some case, in trying to get files through him, and  
21                  that may have been the connection in talking with  
22                  him.

23                  Q                And, again, do you know any reason why -- it looks  
24                  like that Mr. Asper did talk to Mr. Tallis -- any  
11:55 25                  reason why he would be talking to Ian Disbery



1 about what happened with Ute Frank's statement and  
2 why she wasn't put on the stand as opposed to  
3 asking Mr. Tallis; are you aware of any reason  
4 why?

11:55 5 A No.

6 Q And then the top of the next page -- actually,  
7 sorry, go back to the previous page. So I think  
8 it talks about Disbery's recollection, why Ute  
9 Frank wasn't called, you say:

11:56 10 "But if they knew that they must have  
11 known that others were in the room, why  
12 wouldn't they have even have questioned  
13 them?"

14 And, presumably, that would have been Deborah  
11:56 15 Hall and Bob Harris?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And then the top of the next page:

18 "Well, that's, that, that goes to a  
19 broader issue. It goes to like, I mean,  
11:56 20 you, you can back track on that score  
21 all the way to why didn't they go and  
22 find the guy that they bought the  
23 chicken soup from on the way into town."

24 And it goes on to say that:

11:56 25 "... Tallis has told us that he had no



1 knowledge at all..." ,

2 So this would be going back and saying "why  
3 didn't Mr. Tallis do" --

4 A Some research and find out, yeah.

11:56 5 Q -- "some research." 157100. I think this is the  
6 letter that was referred to in the tape, it is a  
7 letter to the minister from Mr. Asper, saying:

8 "It has come to our attention that your  
9 officials have been to some degree using  
11:57 10 the services of Mr. T.D.R. Caldwell, who  
11 is employed with the Department of  
12 Justice in Saskatoon, Saskatchewan.  
13 This is shocking since it was Mr.  
14 Caldwell who conducted the prosecution  
11:57 15 against David Milgaard in 1969.  
16 Apparently your officials have told the  
17 media that unless Mr. Milgaard  
18 specifically alleges prosecutorial  
19 misconduct, in their view there is  
11:57 20 nothing improper with the inclusion of  
21 the original prosecutor in the present  
22 investigation."

23 Now let me just pause there. You'll recall, in  
24 the earlier tape that I just showed you, you said  
11:57 25 to Mr. Asper, "I hope you included in that letter



1 something about prosecutorial misconduct of  
2 Caldwell", and is it a correct reading of that to  
3 say "lookit, put it in issue"?

4 A Yes.

11:57 5 Q And so that you heard back, it appears through the  
6 media, that they talked to the Justice officials  
7 and said, "lookit, Milgaards have not put  
8 Caldwell's conduct in issue in the application,  
9 therefore, at least according to the media or  
11:58 10 according to this letter, it's okay for us to talk  
11 to him", you say "okay, well now put it in issue";  
12 is that a fair reading of that?

13 A Yes, that's a fair reading.

14 Q What was your understanding about what Mr.  
11:58 15 Caldwell, what involvement he had in -- in the fed  
16 -- in the work that Mr. Williams was doing, and  
17 would that have been from Mr. Asper or where did  
18 you learn what he was doing?

19 A I honestly don't remember, but I remember just --  
11:58 20 I think it was probably from David.

21 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Asper?

22 A David Asper, yes.

23 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Uh-huh.

24 MR. HODSON: 153152.

11:58 25 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Excuse me, Mr.



1 Hodson.

2 MR. HODSON: Oh, I'm sorry.

3 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: It's occurred to  
4 me, from time to time, that our record might not  
11:59 5 be free of ambiguity with respect to the use of  
6 "David", usually it's apparent from the context  
7 of what is being said, but everybody concerned  
8 should be careful to differentiate between David  
9 Asper and David Milgaard for fear that the record  
11:59 10 might be confusing.

11 MR. HODSON: Right. And I will try, I will  
12 try to do that, I know I have not done that in  
13 every case.

14 BY MR. HODSON:

11:59 15 Q Is it correct to say, though, that with respect to  
16 any reference about dealings with Federal Justice  
17 officials and dealings with the media, that when  
18 you refer to "David", it is David Asper as opposed  
19 to your son David that he would likely be  
11:59 20 referring to as far as discussions?

21 A Yes, it's more likely it would be, but, however, I  
22 could certainly see the Commissioner's point --

23 Q Yes.

24 A -- in that if -- I mean David, my David -- and  
11:59 25 perhaps maybe that's the way I should be



1 addressing it as "my David" --

2 Q Well --

3 A -- would be the one that would be, he would be in  
4 touch with the Justice Department too, so that --

12:00 5 Q Right, okay.

6 A -- that could be.

7 Q If we can go to 153512. This is a letter August  
8 15th, 1990 from Mr. Wolch to Mr. Tallis.

9 Actually, let me just scroll up two paragraphs.

12:00 10 Looks like, here, Mr. Wolch is asking Mr. Tallis  
11 here about whether or not he got the Ron Wilson  
12 statement, correct, the very first one?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Wondered whether you were ever provided with a  
12:00 15 copy, and here he says:

16 "During Mrs. Milgaard's early  
17 investigation in 1980 she came upon some  
18 notes in Mr. Caldwell's file which  
19 indicated that Mr. Caldwell had enquired  
12:01 20 of the police as to whether there were  
21 any other reported incidents in that  
22 area within the time frame preceding the  
23 murder. Nothing was mentioned of any of  
24 these rapes, and we wonder whether you  
12:01 25 have any recollection of being informed



1 of these particular acts committed by  
2 Mr. Fisher."

3 Now do you know what Mr. Wolch is referring to in  
4 this letter?

12:01 5 A No.

6 Q And, in particular, --

7 A No.

8 Q And I've gone through, and maybe I've missed it, I  
9 did not see anything in either Mr.

12:01 10 Carlyle-Gordge's notes or in Mr. Caldwell's file  
11 that indicated that he made inquiries:

12 "... of the police as to whether there  
13 were any other reported incidents in  
14 that area within the time frame  
15 preceding the murder."

16 Now I may have missed it, but do you have any  
17 recollection as to what that would have been  
18 referring to?

19 A I do not.

12:01 20 Q That would probably be an appropriate spot to  
21 break for lunch.

22 (Adjourned at 12:02 p.m.)

23 (Reconvened at 1:31 p.m.)

24 BY MR. HODSON:

01:31 25 Q 004745, please, this is the article August 29th,



1 1990 that I think had been talked about in earlier  
2 memos about the conflict alleged against Mr.  
3 Caldwell, and it appears here that Mr. Williams  
4 has confirmed, according to Dan Lett:

01:31 5 "... that he has on occasion conferred  
6 with Bobs Caldwell."

7 And:

8 "The admission has prompted Milgaard's  
9 lawyers, David Asper and Hersh Wolch, to  
01:32 10 charge Williams with conflict of  
11 interest and file a formal complaint ...  
12 asking her to intervene immediately."

13 And I think this is where you wanted to get  
14 Eugene Williams removed from the case; is that  
01:32 15 right?

16 A Absolutely.

17 Q And on the basis of his interaction with Mr.  
18 Caldwell; correct?

19 A Correct, and also the fact that I felt he was  
01:32 20 prejudiced with his remarks to Mr. Watson, I  
21 believe we had them at that time.

22 Q And that was with respect to --

23 A That he believed that David was guilty.

24 Q Okay.

01:32 25 A There's no way he should be out there



1           investigating when he had that in his mind.

2           **Q**       And just on the comments of Ken Watson, was  
3           that -- did you have a direct conversation with  
4           Mr. Watson or did this information come --

01:32 5           **A**       It came via Mr. Asper.

6           **Q**       So from Mr. Asper you understood that Ken Watson  
7           had told Mr. Asper that Eugene Williams said he  
8           thought David was guilty; is that right?

9           **A**       It was also in one of the newspaper accounts.

01:33 10          **Q**       I'm sorry?

11          **A**       I just read it in one of the newspaper accounts  
12          again now.

13          **Q**       That --

14          **A**       About Eugene Williams.

01:33 15          **Q**       But from what Mr. Watson -- what I'm trying to  
16          understand is what information you had about what  
17          Mr. Watson, and I think what you said is you  
18          didn't talk to Mr. Watson, Mr. Asper told you what  
19          Mr. Watson told him?

01:33 20          **A**       I believe he did, either that or I read it in the  
21          newspaper.

22          **Q**       Okay.

23          **A**       Because it is in one of the newspaper articles  
24          that you gave me here.

01:33 25          **Q**       Do you have the doc. ID there? I'm sorry, I'll



1 look for it at the break. I thought if you had it  
2 handy there.

3 A I probably have. I flipped the page over. Yes,  
4 it's 217222.

01:33 5 Q If you can maybe call that up.

6 A It's the second column from the end where it says:  
7 "Lawyers representing other witnesses  
8 have said previously that Williams  
9 bluntly told them that he was personally  
01:34 10 convinced Milgaard was guilty, despite  
11 overwhelming evidence to the contrary."

12 Q Okay.

13 A And as a mother, when I read that, I get mad.

14 Q I think this article was in November of '90 and I  
01:34 15 was getting there.

16 A Oh, sorry.

17 Q No, that's fine. So there's this article in the  
18 newspaper and I think if we go back, this is Mr.  
19 Lett -- full page, please -- so this is Mr. Lett's  
01:34 20 article. This one isn't dated, but I think when  
21 we get there it is around November, 1990. So  
22 that's one source of information. And you read  
23 that to be referring to what Ken Watson heard  
24 from --

01:34 25 A That's what I assumed, yes.



1 Q And again, did Mr. Asper also tell you -- was  
2 there any other source of information that you  
3 were relying on?

4 A No. I couldn't tell you at this time.

01:35 5 Q And then if we can go back to 004745, and here in  
6 the article there's reference where at least Dan  
7 Lett is reporting that:

8 "Williams said Caldwell has been ruled  
9 out as a witness because in Milgaard's  
01:35 10 application to the Justice Department,  
11 there was no specific allegation of  
12 prosecutorial misconduct."

13 And I think you told us earlier this is where you  
14 then said to Mr. Asper, well, add it so that  
01:35 15 there is that allegation?

16 A Correct.

17 Q 213049, this is a reporting letter from Mr. Wolch  
18 to you September 24, 1990 sending a letter from  
19 Mr. MacFarlane talking about a meeting of October  
01:36 20 1, 1990 in Ottawa and he describes that:

21 "David Asper and I are both intending on  
22 attending this meeting which we consider  
23 to be quite important."

24 And I think they went and spent a day in Ottawa  
01:36 25 with Bruce MacFarlane, Eugene Williams, I think



1 Mr. Corbett and reviewed documents in the case.

2 Do you remember that happening?

3 A Yes, I do, and the reason he was asking me to  
4 forward the letter was at that point he was fired.

01:36 5 Q By David?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Your son David?

8 A Yes.

9 Q So he was telling you this, and why, do you  
01:36 10 remember why David had fired them?

11 A He got -- they got -- he got so upset with all the  
12 things that were not happening that he just felt  
13 that we should be representing ourselves, and of  
14 course when that happened, I rationalized the fact  
01:37 15 that even though David was the client in the  
16 sense, I was the one that had hired them and I was  
17 the only one that could fire them, and so they  
18 were acting on behalf of David via me and that,  
19 that's the rationale we took, but when David was  
01:37 20 going through these periods of depression and  
21 upset, there was no way you could tell him that  
22 Hersh and David were going to go acting for him  
23 somewhere.

24 Q And what were the types of things that Mr. Wolch  
01:37 25 and Mr. Asper were doing or not doing that caused



1 concern to David, to your David?

2 A Well, because the job wasn't getting done. I  
3 mean, he didn't realize that it wasn't their  
4 fault, that it was the fault of the Justice  
01:37 5 Department and all the stalling that they were  
6 doing and how -- because it was taking so long, he  
7 blamed them for that.

8 Q And I noticed in some of the tapes or some of the  
9 letters maybe that David, your son David's desire  
01:38 10 to have justice deal with the family, namely, you  
11 and David, as opposed to lawyers on his behalf,  
12 that that was his desire for a while; is that  
13 correct?

14 A Yes, it was.

01:38 15 Q Do you know why he, or what was his rationale for  
16 that, did he see there to be some advantage in  
17 having you and he and the family interface with  
18 justice as opposed to lawyers?

19 A Well, he felt that possibly we would get further  
01:38 20 ahead because they would have to talk with us if  
21 there were no lawyers involved and I think that's  
22 what he wanted, was hands on, to be involved.

23 Q Were there also some issues, and again I recall  
24 seeing this in some of the taped interviews, were  
01:38 25 there also some issues about the focus of the



1 media attention, and let me be a bit more  
2 specific, that your David had concerns with the  
3 type of coverage and exposure that Mr. Asper, Mr.  
4 Wolch and perhaps even you were focusing on where  
01:39 5 your David wanted a different focus on media  
6 attention, I think with prisoner issues?

7 A Yes, he did. He was very eager to put forth the  
8 position of the Justice Group inside the prison  
9 which he had founded and rather than just having  
01:39 10 the media concentrating on his case, but rather be  
11 concentrated on all of the cases and all of the  
12 injustices that were taking place.

13 Q Would it be correct to say, we've talked a fair  
14 bit with you and with Mr. Asper about, in the  
01:39 15 spring of 1990, this public campaign, and I think  
16 that's when --

17 A Yes.

18 Q -- everything went out. Is it correct that your  
19 son David had some concerns about that and that  
01:39 20 maybe wasn't always on side with that strategy?

21 A That's correct.

22 Q Or maybe was at all times he against that  
23 strategy, or can you shed some light on that?

24 A He would go in and out of despair, he would --  
01:40 25 there were times that you couldn't even talk to



1 him about the case he was so down, and so -- he  
2 wasn't being rational, Mr. Hodson, at that time.

3 Q And when he said he wasn't in favour of this  
4 public campaign, do you recall what concerns he  
01:40 5 would have had or why he was against it?

6 A Well, it wasn't so much that he was against the  
7 public campaign, it was because he wasn't getting  
8 any answers and nothing was happening that he felt  
9 that if it was just the family that was dealing  
01:40 10 with the Justice Department, then we would have to  
11 get the answers.

12 Q And is it correct to say that your son David felt  
13 that a different approach than what was being  
14 taken might work better in his view?

01:41 15 A That's correct.

16 Q And would that have been the source of conflict  
17 from time to time between your David and David  
18 Asper and Hersh Wolch that led to their firing  
19 from time to time?

01:41 20 A Probably, but it was more his mental state, his  
21 ups and his downs, if I can put them that way.

22 Q And would these occasions when he wished to fire  
23 or did fire counsel, those would be during his  
24 down times I take it?

01:41 25 A Yes, that's right.



1 Q So let's just get back to the meeting. What was  
2 your understanding of this October 1, 1990 meeting  
3 with justice? This, I think, would have been the  
4 first face-to-face meeting; is that right?

01:41 5 A That's correct, and I was very excited about it,  
6 thought we're finally, we're getting there, we're  
7 opening the door.

8 Q And what was your understanding of -- actually,  
9 let me just go to 157117, and this is the letter  
01:42 10 that was sent from Mr. MacFarlane and this talks  
11 about the meeting and it appears that according to  
12 Mr. MacFarlane:

13 "During telephone conversations over the  
14 past several months, you --"  
01:42 15 Being Mr. Wolch,  
16 "-- have expressed an interest in  
17 meeting to discuss Mr. Milgaard's  
18 application once the Department had  
19 completed its review, in order to  
01:42 20 provide your perspective of the case  
21 personally and fully.

22 The departmental review of the  
23 case is now complete and, as I indicated  
24 during our telephone conversation  
01:42 25 earlier today, I am quite prepared to



1 meet with you for the purpose you  
2 earlier have outlined to me."

3 And then goes on to talk about the meeting with  
4 Corbett and Williams. And so is it correct that  
01:42 5 Mr. Wolch had said lookit, once -- it appears  
6 here that this issue of a departmental review  
7 came up. Would it be correct to say by this time  
8 you and your counsel were aware that before the  
9 minister would make a decision, the Justice  
01:42 10 Department would prepare a department report?

11 A Yes, we were now aware of that.

12 Q And as well that Mr. Wolch has said to Mr.  
13 MacFarlane at some point that lookit, once your  
14 departmental report is complete, we would like to  
01:43 15 meet with you and talk about the case before you  
16 send the report up to the minister; is that  
17 correct?

18 A Yes, we wanted to see what they were going to send  
19 to the minister.

01:43 20 Q And so that would be the purpose of this meeting,  
21 is to find out what they had concluded and what  
22 they might be saying?

23 A Correct.

24 Q And to have some of your own input?

01:43 25 A Correct.



1 Q Now, you didn't attend the meeting; correct?

2 A No, I did not. I did try.

3 Q And we do have a reporting letter from Mr. Wolch,  
4 162374. What is your recollection of what Mr.

01:43 5 Asper and Mr. Wolch reported to you after this  
6 meeting, and again, I'm not so much looking for  
7 specifics, I do not have any minutes of that

8 meeting, all I've got is Mr. Wolch's report to  
9 David of October 3, but maybe -- what was your  
01:44 10 sense when they came back, was it positive,  
11 negative? Do you remember much of that?

12 A Can I read this report?

13 Q Sure.

14 A And that might give me a better idea of what --

01:44 15 Q I'll tell you what, let's maybe go through parts  
16 of this and then I can come back to that. So this  
17 is a report to David and they talk about meeting  
18 with -- who they met with:

19 "Prior to the meeting we were provided  
01:44 20 with a number of reports contained in a  
21 thick black binder, most of which we had  
22 seen before."

23 And we'll make available the binder, so let's  
24 just pause there. It looks like your counsel got  
01:44 25 some materials --



1 A Yes.

2 Q -- that you maybe had not seen before?

3 A Yes, that's correct.

4 Q And do you remember what those documents were?

01:44 5 A Off the top of my head, I can't tell you. Umm --

6 Q My understanding is that it may have been

7 certainly some of the police reports and

8 statements relating to the motel incident

9 witnesses, Ron Wilson and some of the matters in

01:45 10 which Mr. Williams --

11 A Oh, that's right, they did come back with a lot of  
12 things like that that we hadn't seen before.

13 Q So Saskatoon City Police reports?

14 A Yes, some of them.

01:45 15 Q And information from Mr. Caldwell's file, some of  
16 that?

17 A Yes, but we never got the information from the  
18 RCMP report.

01:45 19 Q Okay. So some information at this time, and I  
20 don't think we've been able to identify from our  
21 documents what would have been in that binder, or  
22 what you would have received at that time, but I  
23 think you are saying there was some new  
24 information there?

01:45 25 A There definitely was.



1 Q And anything stand out in your mind as to being  
2 revealing at that time, anything stick out?

3 A Well, it seemed to me we got some reports that we  
4 then followed up on to our advantage, like witness  
01:46 5 reports in the area --

6 Q Okay.

7 A -- that we hadn't had before.

8 Q And then if we can just scroll down, the next  
9 paragraph, Mr. Wolch reports on the purpose of the  
01:46 10 meeting and that Mr. MacFarlane said that he  
11 wanted to get some submissions to:

12 "... address any area of the case that  
13 might cause reviewers to have any  
14 negative thoughts. Also, we wanted to  
01:46 15 be certain he was being properly briefed  
16 by Mr. Williams."

17 So it appears here that Mr. Wolch said that we  
18 were invited to, or we did address any things  
19 that we thought were negative and here's our  
01:46 20 chance to put input in the event that Mr.  
21 Williams was properly briefing everybody?

22 A Correct.

23 Q And then scroll down, it appears that there was a  
24 lengthy discussion about Mr. Wilson, about the  
01:46 25 physical impossibility argument, the Nichol John



1 statement at length, and Mr. Wolch says:

2 "It was quite easy to point out the  
3 absurdity of her statement and the  
4 impossibility of same. The forensic  
01:47 5 evidence was reviewed at great length  
6 and the evidence of Deborah Hall, Melnyk  
7 and Labchuk was considered."

8 And:

9 "The situation regarding Larry Fisher  
01:47 10 was examined fully."

11 Again, does that accord with your recollection as  
12 to what Mr. Asper and Wolch told you happened at  
13 the meeting; would that be accurate?

14 A Yes, it does, yes.

01:47 15 Q Do you recall any concern being expressed by  
16 either Mr. Wolch or Mr. Asper that we didn't get a  
17 chance to put our submissions in or we didn't get  
18 a chance to deal with this issue or that issue?

19 A No, I think that they felt that they had been able  
01:47 20 to address most issues.

21 Q And then at the bottom paragraph:

22 "It was obvious in talking to the  
23 Justice officials that the points we  
24 were making were sufficient and they  
01:47 25 took copious notes.



1                   There was even a discussion as  
2                   to what the test should be for the  
3                   Minister to apply and should the matter  
4                   be referred to a Court, which would be  
01:48 5                   the appropriate Court. My personal  
6                   opinion is that it should go to the  
7                   Supreme Court directly."

8                   Do you have any recollection about what, the  
9                   discussion about the test for the minister to  
01:48 10                  apply, any -- anything stand out?

11           A           No, nothing stands out on that.

12           Q           Would that be something, and again what we've  
13                       heard is probable miscarriage of justice, likely  
14                       miscarriage of justice, maybe miscarriage of  
01:48 15                       justice, innocence, those things, would that be  
16                       something you would leave to your lawyers to sort  
17                       out, what type of proof you had to establish to  
18                       get a remedy?

19           A           Oh, yes, because they would be ones that knew what  
01:48 20                       had to be presented.

21           Q           Then the top of the next page it says:

22                       "All in all we brought 'life' to our  
23                       written submissions. We did have  
24                       certain facts brought to our attention.  
01:48 25                       It is clear that Deborah Hall in her



1 examination by Mr. Williams in some ways  
2 corroborated Melnyk and Labchuk. But in  
3 reading her evidence thoroughly, it  
4 became obvious that she was not wavering  
01:49 5 and she was very clear that there was no  
6 re-enactment and that your comments, if  
7 made, were sarcastic at best."

8 And so again, is it fair to say that at this  
9 meeting, and I think you said earlier you would  
01:49 10 have learned about Deborah Hall's examination?

11 A Yes.

12 Q So here you would have been aware of Federal  
13 Justice's position saying that lookit, Deborah  
14 Hall's evidence corroborates, at least to some  
01:49 15 extent --

16 A They were saying it corroborated Melnyk and  
17 Lapchuk, yes.

18 Q And then as well he ends up saying:  
19 "I believe that everything that can be  
01:49 20 done has been done to this point, with  
21 the possible exception of the recent  
22 suggestion that we may have more  
23 evidence regarding Fisher. Any  
24 direction from you would be  
01:49 25 appreciated."



1 Do you know what that relates to?

2 A "With the possible exception of the recent  
3 suggestion that we may have more evidence  
4 regarding Fisher"?

01:50 5 Q Yes.

6 A Well, I think "any direction from you would be  
7 appreciated," this is a letter to David, to my  
8 David isn't it?

9 Q Yes, it is.

01:50 10 A Yeah.

11 Q And I guess --

12 A I think he was giving David an opportunity to  
13 input here.

14 Q No, I'm sorry, I think -- I'm wondering if you can  
01:50 15 shed some light on Mr. Wolch, what he was meaning  
16 here when he said everything has been done with  
17 the possible exception of the recent suggestion  
18 that we may have more evidence regarding Fisher.  
19 I'm wondering what the more evidence might be  
01:50 20 that you may have.

21 A Well, the fact that -- I think at this point we  
22 still had felt that they would follow it up and  
23 the more recent evidence that we had of course I  
24 think was the Saskatoon evidence, that they were  
01:50 25 in Saskatoon, that could have been the more recent



1 evidence he was talking about here.

2 Q Okay. I think, if I can just go back, at this  
3 point the departmental report of Federal Justice  
4 is done, it's about to be sent up to the minister.  
01:51 5 This is October of 1990.

6 A So they would have had all that information?

7 Q Well, no, no, just let me finish. And so Mr.  
8 Wolch is reporting to your David saying everything  
9 has been done up to this point except the recent  
01:51 10 suggestion that we, presumably being the Milgaard  
11 group, may have more evidence regarding Fisher, so  
12 I think -- and all I'm asking is what might that  
13 be. I don't know what it is. I'm trying to see  
14 if you know.

01:51 15 A I don't know, unless David had asked him to get  
16 more evidence on Fisher.

17 Q So you don't know what other evidence may have  
18 been --

19 A I don't. I do point, do notice up at the top  
01:51 20 there:

21 "We were also able to see some very  
22 unfair and untrue police reports  
23 regarding --"

24 David.

01:51 25 "For example, a reference to your



1                   serious criminal record prior to your  
2                   arrival in Saskatoon was simply not  
3                   true, but yet was contained in the  
4                   police report."

01:52 5           Q           Oh, I see. Okay, yes.

6           A           And I think that that's important because it  
7                   showed an obvious bias on their part and --

8           Q           Do you know, did Mr. Wolch or Mr. Asper shed any  
9                   light on what he was referring to in this letter?

01:52 10          A           No, but there were some police reports that were  
11                   wrong and were giving information that was  
12                   incorrect and I believe --

13          Q           So, sorry, these reports would have been the ones  
14                   that were given to Mr. Wolch and Mr. Asper at this  
01:52 15                   meeting then?

16          A           That they saw at the meeting. I don't know  
17                   whether they were given to him, or given to them,  
18                   but maybe they were.

19          Q           It's my understanding, and we'll hear more  
01:52 20                   evidence about this, that anything that Mr. Wolch  
21                   and Asper were shown at that meeting, I believe  
22                   the evidence we will hear is that that's what they  
23                   were given a copy of, but --

24          A           Then they must have had copies of it.

01:52 25          Q           I believe that will be the evidence.



1 A Okay.

2 Q So again, so that would be some reference there  
3 that some police reports were wrong and put an  
4 unfavourable light, or were unfair to David, and  
01:53 5 then again as well the reward money, there's some  
6 discussion there about Mr. Cadrain getting the  
7 reward. Do you have any recollection of Mr. Wolch  
8 or Mr. Asper reporting on that?

9 A Yes, I remember that.

01:53 10 Q Now, again, I've gone through the letter. Just  
11 back to my earlier question, do you remember Mr.  
12 Wolch and Mr. Asper, when they came back from the  
13 meeting, was it reported to you that lookit, this  
14 is positive, this is negative, we understand where  
01:53 15 they are coming from, we don't understand? What  
16 was your sense from hearing their report?

17 A They were trying -- they were trying to make David  
18 feel that it was good.

19 Q Okay.

01:53 20 A But I think my feeling of it was they were a  
21 little on edge about it.

22 Q And on edge meaning that --

23 A Well, because of some of the stuff they found,  
24 they felt it was very biased.

01:54 25 Q Biased in what way?



1 A Against David.

2 Q And so biased in the sense that information that  
3 might hurt David's chance or information that was  
4 wrong and unfair, or both?

01:54 5 A Both.

6 Q And so --

7 A And I think they felt that it was good that they  
8 were there and could give that information to Mr.  
9 MacFarlane.

01:54 10 Q Now, there isn't any mention in here about the  
11 Ferris report other than the earlier thing that  
12 they discussed it fully, and I think you told us  
13 earlier that the Ferris report was, in your view,  
14 sort of the one piece of information that you  
01:54 15 thought proved his innocence and -- subject to  
16 some other items in there, but that should prompt  
17 I think David to get out of jail, and certainly  
18 that was your son David's view. What is your  
19 recollection of what came out of this meeting  
01:54 20 about the Ferris report?

21 A I don't remember them mentioning anything having  
22 come out of the Ferris report at this meeting.

23 Q And I'm wondering, did you find that to be unusual  
24 given the significance that the Ferris report had  
01:55 25 played in the original application?



1 A No, because I think we just assumed it was good  
2 and, you know, and that it was going to be our big  
3 gun, so to speak.

4 Q Do you have any recollection of hearing from  
01:55 5 either Mr. Wolch or Mr. Asper that the Ferris  
6 report may not be as convincing and as compelling  
7 as once contemplated?

8 A Not at that time, no.

9 Q At some later point did you?

01:55 10 A I think there was some discussion later on when  
11 they -- but this would be after, the discussion  
12 that I'm thinking of was after the minister had  
13 turned the application down.

14 Q And what about the discussions of Larry Fisher,  
01:56 15 what is your recollection of what Mr. Wolch and  
16 Mr. Asper told you about the Fisher information?  
17 Presumably from the letter it says that it was  
18 dealt with. Did you have a discussion with them  
19 about what significance that information played in  
01:56 20 the application?

21 A No. We didn't sort of go over it step by step at  
22 that time, you know, what they had learned. I  
23 think they felt there was a lot of good  
24 information there, that if the minister was seeing  
01:56 25 it and in the right context, that would get an



1 approved application.

2 Q You said that you felt that your lawyers were a  
3 bit on edge after the meeting. Was it your sense  
4 after this meeting you would have known then the  
01:56 5 report was going up to the minister for a  
6 decision; correct?

7 A Yes. When I say they were on edge, we were all  
8 really on edge.

9 Q Did you think -- and what I'm trying to get is  
01:57 10 whether or not you formed the view or were told by  
11 your lawyers or concluded that lookit, this may  
12 not go our way based on --

13 A No.

14 Q -- the feedback obtained at the meeting, or was it  
01:57 15 the other way or was it lookit, we just don't  
16 know?

17 A We just don't know.

18 Q And was there some sense of -- and I'm trying to  
19 probe a bit on this when you say your lawyers  
01:57 20 returned on edge. Was there some sense that maybe  
21 there were some issues, perhaps not with respect  
22 to, in your view, David's innocence, but rather  
23 with respect to the information that was being put  
24 forward, that there may be some issues there that  
01:57 25 justice may have raised some concerns that might



1 have caused your lawyers to be concerned?

2 A I think they were concerned about the information  
3 that was going in, that may be going in very  
4 prejudicial because of the investigation by  
01:58 5 Williams, I think that's where their concern lay,  
6 and I think that up to this point, you know, it's  
7 taken so long to get there that we were hopeful  
8 that it would be a good report going in, but it  
9 was obvious to us that maybe that wasn't the case,  
01:58 10 so I think that's why it put us all on edge, you  
11 just didn't know.

12 Q And is it correct that you would have known that  
13 the minister would base her decision primarily on  
14 the information collected; in other words, the  
01:58 15 information put forward by you --

16 A In that report.

17 Q -- and the information followed up by Mr.  
18 Williams, that the application was going to be  
19 decided based upon the information that had been  
01:58 20 gathered in this process?

21 A Correct.

22 Q And were there any concerns that there wasn't  
23 enough information or that the information given  
24 maybe wasn't as good as it could be or there might  
01:59 25 be problems or was the feeling lookit, we put



1 everything forward, there's sufficient information  
2 there to get our remedy?

3 A I believe that we felt there was sufficient  
4 information there to get our remedy.

02:01 5 Q In we could go to 059 -- let me just back up. Is  
6 it -- just so I understand, on this letter to  
7 David Milgaard, are you -- if we can go back to  
8 the full page, please -- when you said you were  
9 trying to keep David, I can't remember your words,  
02:01 10 but --

11 A Probably "happy" would be a good one.

12 Q -- happy -- would this letter, and were you  
13 telling us -- and maybe I've heard your answer  
14 wrongly -- that this letter would have been more  
02:01 15 optimistic than what the meeting really was so as  
16 not to alarm David?

17 A It certainly would be more optimistic, I would  
18 think that that would be part of the letter, yes.

19 Q And so that, rather than saying, "lookit, David,  
02:01 20 here are a bunch of problems" --

21 A Yeah.

22 Q -- "and it's not looking good" -- I'm not saying  
23 that's what happened -- but that perhaps the  
24 letter was written with a view to making sure  
02:02 25 that, or keeping in mind your son David's



1 condition at the time, you did not want to upset  
2 him with negative news?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q 059336. And I'm not gonna show this, this is just  
02:02 5 for the date, September 25, 1990. The *Fifth*  
6 *Estate*, I think, finally, I think this is the  
7 program they ran, is that right? Would this be  
8 the first one or is this the second one, I think  
9 this the first one?

02:02 10 A I have no idea.

11 Q In any event, they ran a 16-minute segment on the  
12 *Fifth Estate*, and I want to take you to a couple  
13 discussions you had with Mr. Asper after that. Do  
14 you remember, do you remember viewing the *Fifth*  
02:02 15 *Estate* program?

16 A Yes. They had --

17 Q This is the one that had Larry Fisher --

18 A -- they had more than one, so --

19 Q Okay. If we could go to 337105 and go to page  
02:03 20 337127. And this is a conversation, I think,  
21 right around -- right after the program September  
22 25, 1990, and you say:

23 "I thought it was excellent. What did  
24 you think?"

02:03 25 And Mr. Asper says:



1 "I don't know."

2 "You don't know."

3 "I don't know. I...I think it was great  
4 but I -- I'm so desensitized to it. Did  
02:03 5 it punch?"

6 "Oh I think it really punched."

7 And then if we can go ahead to 337131. And there  
8 is a discussion here, Mr. Asper says:

9 "Yeah, yeah. But they didn't get into  
02:04 10 Markesteyn or Ferris or any of that  
11 stuff"

12 And let me just pause there. In the *Fifth Estate*  
13 program there is no mention about anything about  
14 the Dr. Ferris report or the Dr. Markesteyn  
02:04 15 report or the forensic information, okay, --

16 A Right.

17 Q -- in this program, and Mr. Asper says:

18 "Which ... sort of supports Justice's  
19 argument."

02:04 20 "You know - to say even the *Fifth Estate*  
21 didn't think it was important."

22 And you say:

23 "Well, there's pages and pages of it.

24 That can't hold em ...",

02:04 25 and then:



1 "Believe me Joyce I've spent today  
2 preparing the briefing books for Hersh  
3 and I pulling out evidence and ...  
4 there's six pages out of 49 in the  
02:04 5 Crown's address, opening address to the  
6 jury devoted to forensic evidence."  
7 "Great. What about his closing  
8 address."

9 Mr. Asper:

02:04 10 "Well I don't have that."

11 Joyce:

12 "Well we did."

13 "All we've got are his rough notes."

14 And then you go on to discuss it. And I think  
02:04 15 Mr. Asper testified that, at this time, he  
16 wouldn't have looked at Mr. Caldwell's closing  
17 address.

18 But just back up to this  
19 comment here, now this is before, I believe  
02:05 20 before the October 1 meeting with Justice, and it  
21 looks like Mr. Asper is referring to Justice's  
22 argument that the Markesteyn and Ferris  
23 information isn't important; do you remember that  
24 being brought to your attention around this time?

02:05 25 A Obviously, it was.



1 Q And, again, do you have any -- I had asked this  
2 question earlier about being aware, prior to the  
3 October 1, 1990 meeting, that Justice was saying  
4 "we don't put much or any weight on the Markesteyn  
02:05 5 or Ferris report"; do you remember that coming to  
6 your attention? Does this assist you in  
7 refreshing your memory on that?

8 A It didn't. To me, I almost held that report as  
9 sacred, I just felt it was so important, and it  
02:05 10 was because of Dr. Ferris, the way he told me, and  
11 I mean it's a memory in my heart that I'll always  
12 remember when he said, "You have more than enough  
13 evidence to prove that your son is innocent,  
14 Mrs. Milgaard, why do you need this", and then,  
02:06 15 just I believed in the man, I believed that that  
16 report showed David's innocence, and so even when  
17 they were saying some of these other things about  
18 it, I still felt that there was more than enough  
19 evidence there to prove that David was innocent.

02:06 20 Q But is it correct that, here, Mr. Asper's concern,  
21 after having watched the *Fifth Estate*, is that our  
22 at one time key piece of evidence -- I think what  
23 he said is that the Fisher information kind of  
24 overtook the Ferris report --

02:06 25 A Right.



1 Q -- as the primary ground -- but certainly what was  
2 viewed and still viewed by you and by your son  
3 David as the prime piece of evidence to secure his  
4 freedom, that the *Fifth Estate* didn't even mention  
02:07 5 it in their program, and that Mr. Asper is saying  
6 lookit, you know, this lends credence to the  
7 Justice position. And what I am trying to find  
8 out, whether you were aware, at that time, that  
9 your lawyers had been informed by Justice,  
02:07 10 directly or indirectly, that the Ferris report and  
11 the Markesteyn report were viewed by them as not  
12 being valid and credible or supporting your  
13 application. That's all. And I appreciate what  
14 you are saying is, lookit, I always thought it was  
02:07 15 sacred.

16 A Yes, and where, where he says here, you know, to  
17 say:

18 "... even the *Fifth Estate* didn't think  
19 it was important.",

02:07 20 I'm saying:

21 "Well, there's pages and pages of it.",

22 and:

23 "That can't hold em ...",

24 and that, like, *Fifth Estate* couldn't get into  
02:07 25 that kind of stuff, that won't hold the audience,



1           they -- they were working with the stuff that  
2           would hold the audience, and that's, that's what  
3           I'm talking about there.

02:07 4           Q       And do you recall the other day, when I showed you  
5           the letter from your son David where he had been  
6           informed by the *Fifth Estate* that two months after  
7           they received the Ferris report in February of '89  
8           they reported to your son David that they had a  
9           forensic pathologist in Toronto look at it, and I  
02:08 10          think the implication was that Ferris' findings  
11          were disputed?

12          A       That's correct. And we asked, at that time, to  
13          get someone else to look at it.

14          Q       Okay. I think it was actually about a year later.  
02:08 15          This, just the timing here is when the application  
16          is filed in December of '88, it was sent to Sandra  
17          Bartlett at the same time it was sent to the  
18          minister, two months later the *Fifth Estate* got  
19          back and said "lookit, we're not running our show,  
02:08 20          we don't have enough to prove innocence", your son  
21          David corresponded with the *Fifth Estate* and they  
22          got back, and David wrote a letter saying "the  
23          *Fifth Estate* told me that they went and got a  
24          pathologist or some scientist in Toronto, and that  
02:08 25          person disputed Ferris' findings, and that that



1           may have been the reason they didn't run the  
2           show", and it wasn't until May of 1990, it was a  
3           year later, a year and a bit, that Dr. Markesteyn  
4           was retained. So I think that's the sequence  
02:09 5           we've heard so far; does that sound right?

6           A           That sounds right.

7           Q           And so again, at this point, I'm trying to probe  
8           and find out what your knowledge and understanding  
9           was about the Ferris report and, in particular,  
02:09 10           whether, based on what your lawyers told you, you  
11           had any concerns about the value of it? And I  
12           think you are saying no, you still held it to be  
13           valuable, and that any criticism that came you  
14           discounted and said "well they must be wrong"; is  
02:09 15           that correct?

16          A           I think that was part of it, but I think that we  
17          had so much other stuff now, with the Fisher  
18          information, that I really didn't even think it  
19          would be needed, I -- so it wasn't as if we were  
02:09 20          depending on it as much as we had been before.

21          Q           Okay. But it was still part of the arsenal, but  
22          had been overtaken, perhaps, by the Fisher  
23          information?

24          A           By the Fisher information, right.

02:10 25          Q           Yeah. And then if we can go to 337043. This is



1 another -- and go to page 337062 -- and this is a  
2 further discussion about -- and I think this is  
3 the *Fifth Estate*, or it may have been another CBC  
4 program around the time, and again talking about  
02:10 5 it, and Mr. Asper says:

6 "Well I've gotta go and check his  
7 affidavit to tell you the truth because  
8 he was saying some things that I was  
9 quite concerned about."

02:10 10 "Like what?"

11 "Well for example that they had talked  
12 about a purse snatching ...",

13 And you say:

14 "Well, he did."

02:10 15 "And ... he has no recollection of there  
16 being a TV or being bugged by Melnyk or  
17 anybody in the motel room."

18 You say:

19 "That's right"

02:11 20 And then Mr. Asper says:

21 "He just says I don't remember, I don't  
22 know. And ... I mean he had to be  
23 prepped with about four questions. She  
24 kept after him. Saying well did you..  
02:11 25 did you re-enact it or not? And he kept



1 saying well I don't know. And ... her  
2 reaction ... the question would be ...  
3 she'd come back with well is it possible  
4 that because of the drugs that you were  
02:11 5 taking that you wouldn't recall  
6 re-enacting it and his answer was I  
7 don't know."

8 And then you:

9 "Did you have a list of the questions  
02:11 10 that he ... that they were going to ask  
11 him?"

12 And I think this may have been another interview  
13 around the time, as opposed to the *Fifth Estate*;  
14 do you recall David, your son David, being  
02:11 15 involved in a program or being interviewed?

16 A Well, he was involved in some program, and --  
17 other than the *Fifth Estate*, he was involved in  
18 other interviews with CTV and that, but sometimes  
19 he was not in good shape for a program and so --  
02:12 20 and I remember one where the film went wrong and  
21 they had to, they couldn't use it and they were  
22 gonna have to go back, and I -- it could have been  
23 something to do with this particular interview, I  
24 -- but I don't know, I can't give you more  
02:12 25 specific details on it, I'm sorry.



1 Q And in any event, if we could go to page 064. And  
2 this continues on, the discussion, it says:

3 "Yeah ... it's gonna be an interesting  
4 contrast because they've got...they did  
02:12 5 a lengthy interview with Deborah Hall  
6 where she describes what happens in the  
7 motel room and...you know she describes  
8 what David did and ...exactly what  
9 happened and then you've got that...up  
02:12 10 against David saying look I don't even  
11 remember if there was a television in  
12 the room."

13 And you say:

14 "Well that's something that he's always  
02:13 15 said - that he just - he says I could  
16 have done it - I don't know mom. I mean  
17 he's been totally up front about that  
18 for years David. And he said that to me  
19 - I don't know if there was even a  
02:13 20 television there, I can't remember that  
21 room. Can't remember anything about  
22 it."

23 Mr. Asper:

24 "Yeah, well which is a bit of a problem  
02:13 25 because then the...the viewer may get



1 the impression while either he was so  
2 stoned that he could have done it or if  
3 he doesn't remember it how could Deborah  
4 Hall remember it. It cuts both ways."

02:13 5 And is it correct to say, at this time, that the  
6 concern would be that your son David may have  
7 been doing interviews and responding to certain  
8 questions in a manner that would be inconsistent  
9 with positions that had been taken on his behalf  
02:13 10 in a re-opening, namely the purse snatching, the  
11 motel room incident, things of that nature; would  
12 that be the concern?

13 A I imagine so.

14 Q And that Mr. Asper said "well, I have to go back  
02:13 15 and check the affidavit", because in the affidavit  
16 filed with the minister David said that he did  
17 not, did not perform the motel room incident and  
18 denied that, and so would that be the concern  
19 here, that, lookit, he's gone on television and  
02:14 20 said "well maybe I did" --

21 A Yeah.

22 Q -- or implying that maybe he did?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And I think what you are saying is "well, maybe he  
02:14 25 did, he has always said that"?



1 A And he always has, that he just didn't know.

2 Q 336852, if we can go to page 336901. And this is  
3 in September of 1990, and this is a discussion  
4 with you and Dan Lett, and I think Dan Lett went  
02:14 5 up to visit your son David from time to time; is  
6 that right?

7 A Yes, he did.

8 Q And I think you say here:

9 "And ... he said well just ... I'm gonna  
02:15 10 tell him about Hersh and David but I'm  
11 gonna tell him that, that my reason for  
12 it is because I feel that they've done  
13 everything that they can do but now is  
14 the time to just sit back and ... just  
02:15 15 wait, and he, of course his feeling was  
16 ..."

17 "... which is unrealistic Dan, that the  
18 work is done, he can sit back now and  
19 he's just gonna walk out of there."

02:15 20 And I think this is the time around when David,  
21 your David, had said he was -- he had fired Hersh  
22 and David Asper; correct?

23 A Correct.

24 Q And then that:

02:15 25 "... the decision is gonna be let him go



1 and I said David, what if that is not  
2 the decision? What if it has to go back  
3 to a court? He said well, I'll have to  
4 deal with that then but he said right  
02:15 5 now he said, I would like to ... put it  
6 up to the public about what ... Williams  
7 is doing to us, and he said I would like  
8 ... under apparently a section of the  
9 Code somewhere that he's dug up, he  
02:15 10 feels that as a family, we could go  
11 after Williams for ineffective  
12 administration of justice."

13 And then Dan Lett says yeah:

14 "... what you do is ... you can ... you  
02:15 15 can bring an action against the Minister  
16 ... the section of the Criminal Code  
17 that allows for this type of review also  
18 says that the Minister is performance  
19 ...",

02:16 20 etcetera. And am I correct that, at this time,  
21 Mr. Lett and your son David, the discussion there  
22 is that your son wanted to bring a criminal  
23 prosecution against Eugene Williams?

24 A And against the Justice Department.

02:16 25 Q And do you recall him taking that view at the



1 time?

2 A Yes, I do, and I know that he, between he and Dan  
3 they found the legislation that would allow you to  
4 do that type of thing, and that's where he wanted  
02:16 5 to go with it.

6 Q And so this was something that Mr. Lett was  
7 working with him on, to see whether or not he  
8 could initiate a prosecution?

9 A I think David asked for his help in it --

02:16 10 Q And --

11 A -- and Dan had provided the information he needed.

12 Q And is it correct that your son David would not  
13 have had any direct contact with Eugene Williams  
14 up to this point; is that correct?

02:16 15 A No. But, you know, he'd have the input from all  
16 of us about what went in.

17 Q And so your son David's impression of what role  
18 Eugene Williams was playing in the matter would  
19 have been, he would have been informed of that by  
02:17 20 you, Dan Lett, and Mr. Asper; is that correct?

21 A Yeah, well he heard through us what had happened  
22 to Deborah Hall, what had happened to Linda Fisher  
23 and, you know, when we were approaching Justice  
24 about the way he was behaving, David would have  
02:17 25 been aware of all of that.



1 Q And so his reaction at this time was to say based  
2 on the information he'd received from you, Mr.  
3 Asper, Mr. Lett, Mr. Wolch -- and would there be  
4 anyone else that would be communicating to David,  
02:17 5 to your David?

6 A Not really. Not really.

7 Q And his reaction was, "there, based on that  
8 information, I want to prosecute Mr. Williams and  
9 the Justice Department for a criminal offence"?

02:17 10 A That's right.

11 Q And did that cause some issues between he and  
12 Mr. Wolch and Mr. Asper? Actually, let me bring  
13 up a document, 331162, and go to page 331180. And  
14 I think what this transcript talks about, this is  
02:18 15 a discussion I think with Mr. Asper, and this is  
16 where I think he is talking about getting Mr.  
17 Williams arrested, or that Hersh should be  
18 arrested. And I think, was there a suggestion  
19 that because Mr. Wolch and Mr. Asper may be  
02:18 20 friends with Mr. Williams, or be lawyers, and  
21 that's why they were not taking steps, that  
22 somehow Mr. Wolch and Mr. Asper might be somehow  
23 responsible; do you recall that coming up? I'm  
24 not sure if I'm reading this right, I think that's  
02:18 25 what was --



1 A Yeah. At that time David was just out of it, he  
2 really was out of it.

3 Q And, if we could go to page 182, and this is  
4 where:

02:19 5 "I think that what he ... really feels  
6 very strongly about ... Williams ... he  
7 really feels that you people are not  
8 acting against Williams because he's  
9 your friend."

02:19 10 And that would be referring to Mr. Wolch and Mr.  
11 Asper?

12 A That's correct.

13 Q And then on page 331186. And here there is a  
14 further discussion, again this same -- and I think  
02:19 15 this is with Mr. Asper, and here:

16 "Oh, he said that to ... you some time  
17 ago."

18 Or, sorry, back up. I think Mr. Asper says:

19 "But, we've never, we've never been  
02:19 20 advised to go after Williams. He's,  
21 that's never, I mean...",

22 and then you say:

23 "... he said ... to you some time ago.  
24 He wanted to have, and you told me that  
02:19 25 he told you he wanted Williams



1                   arrested."

2                   And the male, who I think is Asper, says:

3                   "No, he told me he wanted Penkala and  
4                   Caldwell arrested ...",

02:20 5                   So, again, would that have been around this time  
6                   that he, based on information that your David  
7                   would have received from you and Mr. Wolch, Mr.  
8                   Asper and Mr. Lett, that in addition to Mr.  
9                   Williams he felt that Mr. Penkala and Mr.  
02:20 10                  Caldwell should be arrested?

11                  A                Yeah. I mean he, you can see, he was quite out of  
12                   it at that point.

13                  Q                If we can go to 337105.

14                  A                But, if you'll notice, I -- right there:

02:20 15                         "Well, we talked about that too ...",  
16                   and that's, I said:

17                         "... I still don't think it's a bad idea  
18                   to sue those guys ...",

19                   and I had actually talked to them about launching  
02:20 20                   a lawsuit because I felt that maybe that would  
21                   get it out there.

22                  Q                And 337105. Again, this is around September of  
23                   1990 -- go to page 337140. And I think this is a  
24                   discussion around September 1990 between you and  
02:21 25                   Donna Friesen. Donna Friesen, I think, was a



1 reporter with --

2 A CBC.

3 Q -- CBC, and you appeared on a radio phone-in show  
4 with Roy Norris; do you remember that?

02:21 5 A Yes, I did. That, Roy Norris, that's in  
6 Saskatchewan?

7 Q Saskatoon, yes.

8 A Saskatoon, yes.

9 Q It's a phone-in radio show. And there is a  
02:21 10 discussion here where you are talking about -- go,  
11 scroll up to the top, please. And I think, and I  
12 think you are referring to what Mr. Norris said to  
13 you, you said:

14 "What he'd said to me was about the  
02:22 15 witnesses and it's something that you  
16 know it just sort of crept in I guess  
17 through the years ... I said the police  
18 went down and talked to these witnesses  
19 from Saskatoon. They drove all the way  
02:22 20 down to Regina and talked to these  
21 witnesses and said don't talk to  
22 Mrs. Milgaard and I said why and he said  
23 are you sure they said don't talk to  
24 Mrs. Milgaard or did they say you don't  
02:22 25 have to talk to Mrs. Milgaard. And all



1 of a sudden it just stopped me dead in  
2 my tracks and I...I...then I sort of  
3 turned my thought and listened and you  
4 know it was. They did. And I said you  
02:22 5 know you're right. That's what they did  
6 say. They said you don't have to talk  
7 to Mrs. Milgaard. But I said why would  
8 they do that? Why would they drive all  
9 the way down from Saskatoon to Regina  
02:22 10 anyhow and say that? Because I had  
11 admitted and I had realized that its  
12 just sort of a leap that I took in my  
13 thought because ..."

14 And then Donna says:

15 "Yeah, I see what you mean."

16 "... but it wasn't right and it was good  
17 that he caught me up on that."

18 Yeah, no, back up. And then Donna says:

19 "Well I guess there's nothing real...I  
02:23 20 mean I think I've asked you this before  
21 and I seem to remember your answer was  
22 you didn't really want to lay blame but  
23 do you feel...I mean is there some  
24 accountability here from Saskatoon that  
02:23 25 you still would like someone...",



1 and then:

2 "Oh...definitely".

3 I'm just wondering if you could elaborate on that  
4 comment? It seems to be that you are saying that  
02:23 5 Mr. Norris challenged you a bit on your comment  
6 that Saskatoon Police went and told witnesses not  
7 to talk to you and said "maybe what they did say  
8 is you don't have to talk to them if you don't  
9 want to", and that you agreed with him and said  
02:23 10 "okay" --

11 A I did agree with him, because as he said that to  
12 me -- and that's one of the reasons, I obviously  
13 hadn't played my tapes over before I went on the  
14 show -- but when I got that information from him I  
02:23 15 knew he was correct, he was right in that, when he  
16 said it it twiggged a memory and I admitted right  
17 on the show that that was the truth.

18 Q And so --

19 A But I still felt it was very suspicious that they  
02:23 20 were, Saskatoon Police, were down in Regina saying  
21 that to the witnesses.

22 Q And just so that I'm clear on this, after the Roy  
23 Norris show you then said, okay, the police didn't  
24 go down and tell the witnesses that you don't --  
02:24 25 they didn't go down and tell the witnesses "don't



1 talk to Mrs. Milgaard", but rather they went down  
2 and said "you don't have to talk to  
3 Mrs. Milgaard"?

4 A That's right.

02:24 5 Q Is that the distinction?

6 A That was the distinction there. Now it's  
7 possible, because it was very firmly established  
8 in my mind the other way, that at a later date I  
9 could still have said that, but that particular  
02:24 10 time I remember just having -- when he said that  
11 to me I thought "you're right, that is the  
12 situation".

13 Q If we could go to 222477. Now this is a news  
14 release October 9, 1990, and there is a letter  
02:24 15 that goes with it, so this would be a week after  
16 the October 1 meeting with Mr. Wolch, Mr. Asper,  
17 and the Justice officials, and it says:

18 "David Milgaard and his family have  
19 today in the attached letter challenged  
02:25 20 Mr. Eugene Williams of the Federal  
21 Department of Justice to account for his  
22 inaction on the Milgaard case.  
23 Demanding that he meet personally with  
24 the family within 72 hours, Milgaard  
02:25 25 states in his letter, 'Do you have the



1 courage to face us and the truth?'"

2 "Although Milgaard's counsel,  
3 David Asper and Hersh Wolch, met with  
4 the Justice Department officials in  
02:25 5 Ottawa on October 1, 1990, Milgaard  
6 stated, 'I appreciate what they're  
7 doing, but you can't realistically  
8 expect me to have any faith in the  
9 system. I'm going to tell the world  
02:25 10 that I am not getting the respect an  
11 innocent man deserves."

12 And then just go to the next page, and this is a  
13 letter from David to the minister -- or to Eugene  
14 Williams, it looks like it's prepared by Mr.  
02:25 15 Asper's office; is that correct?

16 A That's correct.

17 Q And it says:

18 "I feel you have failed me and my  
19 family. You are responsible for my  
02:26 20 application, and you received it in  
21 1988. I am an innocent man and have  
22 written to Minister after Minister with  
23 questions, and none have ever been  
24 answered.

02:26 25 Dr. J. Ferris made it clear in



1 his report that I never murdered anyone,  
2 and in fact someone else did. At the  
3 very least you should have had a group  
4 of specialists prove or disprove this.  
02:26 5 It was my family and I who had to obtain  
6 the second opinion.

7 We want you to come to see us  
8 personally and explain why this has  
9 happened. If we have not heard from you  
02:26 10 within 72 hours, we will simply have  
11 proven our point. Do you have the  
12 courage to face us and the truth?"

13 Do you recall how this came about and what the  
14 purpose of this was?

02:26 15 A David felt that he had to do something, that he  
16 couldn't sit still any longer, that something had  
17 to be done, and he wanted to have a press  
18 conference and get this information out there.

19 Q And it would appear -- and please correct me if  
02:27 20 I'm wrong -- that in this letter your David is  
21 relying on the Ferris report, saying "lookit, this  
22 report makes it clear that I never murdered  
23 anyone"?

24 A Yes.

02:27 25 Q And on October 1, 1990 I expect, some of the



1 evidence we've heard and I expect more evidence  
2 that we will hear, is that your lawyers were told  
3 at that meeting by Justice officials that they did  
4 not put much stock, if any, in the Ferris report.  
02:27 5 And so here, again, would David have been unaware  
6 of that if that were, in fact, the message sent at  
7 that meeting?

8 A If that -- it's possible that they didn't share  
9 that with him because of the state he was in.

02:27 10 Q And so is it correct that at this time your David  
11 is of the view that the Ferris report is his key  
12 out of jail, that it proves his innocence?

13 A It would certainly look like that.

14 Q And that, notwithstanding the meeting that took  
02:27 15 place with his lawyers, he wanted a face-to-face  
16 meeting with Mr. Williams?

17 A Correct.

18 Q 159805. And, again, this news release would have  
19 been sent out to the media; correct?

02:28 20 A Yes.

21 Q And would the hope be that this would again get  
22 some exposure to the case and cause people to sit  
23 up and take notice, again, similar to the other  
24 information that was given to the media?

02:28 25 A I think so.



1 Q And this is one, I think there are others, this is  
2 just one article that talks about the ultimatum,  
3 there's nothing specific in there, but, again,  
4 that would have been one of the objectives here  
02:28 5 with this, was to get the matter back in the news,  
6 --

7 A Yes.

8 Q -- keep it in the news? 217222, please. And this  
9 is the article that you had referred to earlier  
02:29 10 with respect to the comments; do you remember  
11 that?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And I think, this article is not dated, but I  
14 believe it is around November 1990. If we can go  
02:29 15 back to the full page, please, and there is a  
16 comment here that says:

17 "Some senior justice officials ...",  
18 and this is Mr. Lett:

19 "Some senior Justice officials believe  
02:29 20 department lawyer Eugene Williams has  
21 mishandled the investigation into  
22 Milgaard's application and are trying to  
23 rewrite his report before giving it to  
24 Justice Minister Kim Campbell, sources  
02:29 25 said.



1 In addition, Milgaard's lawyer,  
2 David Asper, has accused Williams of  
3 completely misconstruing evidence  
4 obtained during his two-year review of  
02:29 5 the application.

6 Asper said concerns about  
7 Williams' handling of the case emerged  
8 during a meeting in October when he and  
9 co-counsel Hersh Wolch met Williams and  
02:29 10 other Justice Department officials."

11 And talks about:

12 "... there was very clearly a bias  
13 against our client, but for reasons that  
14 he ... couldn't explain."

02:30 15 So is it correct that, certainly from Mr. Asper's  
16 perspective, that the October 1 meeting with --  
17 if we can go back to the full page, please --  
18 that the October 1 meeting with Justice may have  
19 been more negative, from his perspective, as to  
02:30 20 what he observed?

21 A Yes, I -- and when I, I read that, I remember  
22 David being absolutely -- David Asper being  
23 absolutely livid about Williams, and I think Hersh  
24 said something to the effect, almost, that he was  
02:30 25 ready to attack him physically he was so upset.



1 Q This is at the October 1, 1990 --

2 A Yes, yeah, they really got into it.

3 Q So if we go back to my question earlier, that  
4 after the October 1, 1990 meeting, your impression  
02:31 5 of whether things were going well, and whether  
6 your information and the application you had filed  
7 was being favourably looked at by the minister, is  
8 it correct to say that maybe there were some  
9 concerns that --

02:31 10 A There were some concerns. Now that you've got me  
11 into the time slot there with this part, I can  
12 remember David Asper coming back at that time and  
13 how furious he was, and Hersh trying to calm him  
14 down, but a feeling that something was definitely  
02:31 15 wrong at that point.

16 Q And then as far as your optimism that your  
17 application would be favourably received by the  
18 minister, would it be correct to say that maybe  
19 you had some concerns after the October 1 meeting,  
02:29 20 the meeting of your counsel, that --

21 A That's right.

22 Q Now, what about --

23 A One of the things that came out of that meeting,  
24 if I'm not -- and, I mean, you'll be examining  
02:30 25 David Asper on this, but it seems to me where



1 David became ballistic about it, David Asper, was  
2 when he discovered all these reports and  
3 everything that we had never been given and he  
4 said -- oh, I know what it was, he had all of  
02:30 5 David's psychiatric reports and records and he was  
6 so upset because we couldn't get hold of them and  
7 he said "how did you get them?" and the two of  
8 them got into it.

9 Q Now, if I might assist, I think that as far as  
02:30 10 dates, there was a meeting in either December of  
11 1991 or January of 1992 right before the Supreme  
12 Court reference where Mr. Williams had David's,  
13 your David's psychiatric records that were going  
14 to be put in as evidence at the Supreme Court  
02:31 15 reference at which time I know that Mr. Asper,  
16 there's some documents where he was quite irate at  
17 that.

18 A Oh, okay. Then I'm mixing up those two meetings.  
19 I would have thought that that was then.

02:31 20 Q Now, there could be more than one meeting where  
21 Mr. Asper was irate with Mr. Williams.

22 A I'm sure there was.

23 Q And so just back to this time, and I don't want to  
24 suggest that your recollection is of the Supreme  
02:31 25 Court meeting.



1 A I think it was, because it was the psychiatric  
2 reports, so if that is in the Supreme Court  
3 part --

4 Q I believe it is.

02:31 5 A -- then that would be that meeting, not the  
6 October 1st meeting.

7 Q But again, back to this meeting then, is it your  
8 evidence that Mr. Asper was not upset with Mr.  
9 Williams at this time or do you recall anything of  
02:31 10 that nature?

11 A I think he was always upset with Mr. Williams, but  
12 I would say that at this time -- my feeling was  
13 that, my recollection was at that first meeting  
14 they were not that discouraged by it.

02:32 15 Q Okay. If we can just call this paragraph up  
16 again, please. Do you have any personal knowledge  
17 about what is being referred to here, that:

18 "Senior justice officials believed  
19 department lawyer Eugene Williams has  
02:32 20 mishandled the investigation ... and are  
21 trying to rewrite his report ..."

22 Do you know --

23 A No. I remember Dan dug up that information and I  
24 had no idea where he got it.

02:32 25 Q Dan Lett?



1 A Yes.

2 Q And do you know who the senior justice officials  
3 were?

4 A No, no. I believe he kept his sources secret from  
02:32 5 me too.

6 Q And then 159802, I think after this article,  
7 December 4, 1990, "Furious Campbell defends  
8 handling of Milgaard case".

9 A Oh, yeah.

02:32 10 Q And it appears that Ms. Campbell reacted, became  
11 upset with what Mr. Asper had said about the  
12 manner in which her department handled the matter;  
13 is that correct?

14 A That's correct.

02:33 15 Q And at the bottom her response as reported here  
16 is:

17 "I'm concerned about what I consider an  
18 extraordinarily unprofessional approach  
19 that is being taken by some people," she  
02:33 20 said."

21 And again, at this point would --

22 A She was being harassed in the House. I mean, John  
23 Harvard was after her, Lloyd Axworthy was after  
24 her, a lot of people were after her in the House  
02:33 25 about what she was doing, and so this is why she



1           came flying back, fighting back.

2           **Q**           Isn't that precisely what the plan had been,  
3                        though, back in the spring of 1990?

4           **A**           Yes.

02:33 5           **Q**           To get, to put all this pressure on her?

6           **A**           Yes.

7           **Q**           From all directions?

8           **A**           Yes.

9           **Q**           And so is it correct to say that at this point  
02:34 10                    that this was going according to plan, that she  
11                    was feeling the pressure from your group?

12           **A**           Yes, but she was reacting in a way that we weren't  
13                    comfortable with let's say.

14           **Q**           And elaborate on that, you were hoping for what?

02:34 15           **A**           Well, we were sort of hoping that she would do  
16                    something about the case rather than stand up and  
17                    defend her actions.

18           **Q**           Okay. And so was there a concern at this point,  
19                    or at any point, that the strategy or the plan may  
02:34 20                    not be unfolding as it had hoped?

21           **A**           A little. I was hoping that we didn't get her so  
22                    mad that she wouldn't look at it favourably.

23           **Q**           Was that a concern at this time?

24           **A**           It was certainly my concern as David's mom.

02:34 25           **Q**           And explain a bit further, that somehow all this



1           publicity and all this pressure might have a  
2           negative effect on her --

3           A        That she might say just turn the thing down. I  
4           mean, she was government, she could do what she  
02:35 5           wanted.

6           Q        Now, you'll recall, and I don't have it handy  
7           here, but she did at one point, and I can't  
8           recall, I think it was around this time or perhaps  
9           on the second application, indicate that the  
02:35 10          justice minister exercising the discretion under  
11          Section 690 of the Code could not be persuaded  
12          about how popular an applicant could be and how  
13          much media coverage they could get and how much  
14          pressure they could put on, that those are matters  
02:35 15          that the minister should not be looking at in an  
16          application for mercy, I think I read that to Mr.  
17          Asper. Do you remember that part where she said  
18          she should not, she would not -- should not be  
19          persuaded by those external things?

02:35 20          A        That's right.

21          Q        And again, so at this time am I correct that one  
22          of the concerns that came to light is that lookit,  
23          maybe this is pushing her the wrong way as opposed  
24          to the right way?

02:35 25          A        I think I had some concerns there, yes.



1 Q 159801. You'll be happy to know we're almost in  
2 1991, Mrs. Milgaard.

3 A Do you realize this is practically an anniversary?

4 Q For?

02:36 5 A 37 years.

6 Q Today?

7 A Think of the date today.

8 Q I'm not following. May 17th.

9 A Yeah, the date today is when they -- wasn't that  
02:36 10 the date that they went out and interviewed David?

11 Q No, I think we're back in March, March 3rd.

12 A Well, anyhow, I was figuring out at this date  
13 somehow that we were right at our 37th year that  
14 I've been doing this, and I would really  
02:36 15 appreciate it, Mr. Hodson, if you finish it off  
16 quickly.

17 Q Okay. I'll see what I can do. I'm only  
18 responsible for half though.

19 A Okay.

02:37 20 Q This is an article that talks about your trek to  
21 Ottawa and this is where you went and visited MPs  
22 and handed out the Centurion Ministries' report;  
23 correct, and I think --

24 A That's correct.

02:37 25 Q Bring up 009456, and this is your letter to



1 members of parliament, and if we can go to the  
2 next page, this is the Centurion report that was  
3 prepared; is that right, that you sent out?

4 A That's correct.

02:37 5 Q Can you tell us, what was the purpose -- who  
6 prepared this report and what was the purpose of  
7 it?

8 A Well, we had a number of the officials in the  
9 House that were actively acting on our behalf and  
02:38 10 we felt that if we could get all of this  
11 information out, that we would get more of them,  
12 and the report I think was prepared by Centurion,  
13 I mean, it's got their logo and everything on it,  
14 so I'm sure that it was prepared by Jim.

02:38 15 Q I think Mr. Henderson's evidence was that Mr.  
16 McCloskey would have prepared most of it with  
17 input from --

18 A Yes, from us.

19 Q And I guess my question is that, if we can go  
02:38 20 back, your first written application was made  
21 December 28th, 1988, the written materials were  
22 filed, it was supplemented by letters and  
23 information, and then October 1, 1990 your counsel  
24 made submissions before the departmental report  
02:38 25 went up to the minister, I think you said you knew



1 that went up to the minister?

2 A Right.

3 Q And here's another piece of information and I'm  
4 wondering why this would not have been given to  
02:38 5 the Department of Justice much like all the other  
6 information to go up to the minister as opposed to  
7 giving it to members of parliament by you. Was  
8 there some reason that it was done this way to get  
9 further information other than through the regular  
02:39 10 course?

11 A I don't recall that.

12 Q Okay. Was there a concern that if you sent this  
13 report in again, that it might delay matters; is  
14 that -- or was this more of a political --

02:39 15 A I think it was more of a political ploy and that  
16 we thought we wouldn't even give it to her. I  
17 don't even know if we gave it to her. I think it  
18 went to every other MP in the House.

19 Q And was that to try and get other MPs to influence  
02:39 20 the minister?

21 A It was.

22 Q 213025, and I think this is a January 11th, 1991  
23 letter and this is, I think, identical to the  
24 letter that was sent to Eugene Williams by David  
02:39 25 on October 9th about you failed my family, you are



1 responsible and challenging him, or the courage to  
2 face us, and this one is now sent to Mr.

3 MacFarlane. Do you know how and why that came up?

4 A No, I don't. It probably was in one of my son's  
02:40 5 down sessions.

6 Q And I'm wondering why Mr. MacFarlane would be the  
7 recipient of this?

8 A Well, because we were obviously all aware that  
9 Williams was not going to do anything and  
02:40 10 MacFarlane was in charge of him.

11 Q And so it would be one person up?

12 A One person up, yes.

13 Q Go to 001529, this is the February 27th, 1991  
14 letter from Kim Campbell to Mr. Wolch that  
02:40 15 outlines the response to the first application, we  
16 have been through this on a number of occasions,  
17 and I presume this is a document, Mrs. Milgaard,  
18 that you have reviewed a number of times over the  
19 years and at the time; is that fair?

02:41 20 A Yes, that's fair.

21 Q And if we can go to page 001530, there's a  
22 reference here about Mr. Justice McIntyre and it  
23 says:

24 "In view of the allegations that were  
02:41 25 made, senior --"



1 And I think those allegations were the ones that  
2 Mr. Asper made saying because Mr. Williams had  
3 contacted Mr. Caldwell and that he said Mr.  
4 Williams was biased, that that would be -- that  
02:41 5 was your understanding of the allegations; is  
6 that correct?

7 A I think it was, yes.

8 Q "-- senior officials concluded that, in  
9 the particular circumstances of this  
02:41 10 case, especially in view of the public  
11 perceptions that could flow from these  
12 unwarranted allegations, it would be  
13 appropriate to seek the advice of  
14 eminent counsel ..."

02:41 15 Etcetera.

16 "The Honourable William R. McIntyre ...  
17 was retained for that purpose."

18 "Mr. McIntyre has reviewed the case in  
19 detail, and has provided his advice to  
02:42 20 me as well."

21 Do you remember when you would have become aware  
22 of this, the fact that Mr. McIntyre had given an  
23 opinion to the minister?

24 A When we got the letter.

02:42 25 Q And we see in subsequent correspondence your



1           counsel raised that as an issue, did they not,  
2           that they had concerns about that?

3           A           I need to take a break.

4           Q           Sure.

02:42 5           A           I find -- this is a letter I find very upsetting  
6           and I would really appreciate a break.

7           Q           Sure, we'll take the afternoon break.

8                        (*Adjourned at 2:42 p.m.*)

9                        (*Reconvened at 3:02 p.m.*)

03:02 10          A           Mr. Commissioner, I would like to apologize to you  
11          for my breakdown.

12                        COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Oh, don't  
13          apologize for that, that's fine. Thank you.

14          BY MR. HODSON:

03:02 15          Q           Thank you. If we could just, again 001529, I just  
16          have a couple of questions about this, and the  
17          letter details a response to I think five of the  
18          issues raised in the application and I think what  
19          we see from subsequent correspondence and  
03:02 20          comments, that -- and maybe to understate it would  
21          be that your response to this was that you did not  
22          agree with, fair to say, anything that the  
23          minister came back and told you; is that correct?

24          A           I think the main thing was it was a no and I had  
03:03 25          expected a yes.



1 Q Right. And again, I don't want to get into too  
2 much of the detail, but if we go to, for example,  
3 001533, and this is dealing with the submissions,  
4 and this would be the first ground, you remember  
03:03 5 when you initially filed it, the ground was that  
6 Deborah Hall, based on Deborah Hall's affidavit  
7 she said Melnyk and Lapchuk lied at trial and  
8 therefore that was the new ground, and the  
9 minister came back and side lookit, after  
03:03 10 interviewing Deborah Hall, she actually  
11 corroborates the incident. She may have a  
12 different view about whether it was serious or  
13 not, but it actually corroborates Melnyk and  
14 Lapchuk and it's not a lie about the incident,  
03:03 15 etcetera, so again, that would have been the  
16 response saying lookit, that ground of your  
17 application fails, and I'm wondering, is that what  
18 your reaction would have been to that at the time,  
19 was it something you looked at and said, oh, okay,  
03:04 20 I guess that was wrong, or we disagreed with that,  
21 we think you got that wrong?

22 A I disagreed with it wholeheartedly.

23 Q And because of the substance of what she said or  
24 was it simply lookit, you turned us down,  
03:04 25 therefore anything and everything you say I



1 dispute?

2 A No, I think it was that we really believed that  
3 Deborah Hall, even though she had said a lot of  
4 things to Williams that she didn't say to us, the  
03:04 5 fact that she was saying it was a joke was  
6 important and should have been taken into  
7 consideration.

8 Q Okay. So that sort of an alternative position  
9 that what was initially put forward, that okay,  
03:04 10 the incident happened, but there was evidence that  
11 could have been put in front of the jury that --

12 A That it was a joke.

13 Q That it was a joke. And so that would have been  
14 something you thought should have been considered?

03:05 15 A I felt that the jury should have known that, yes.

16 Q And then on the next page, the forensic evidence,  
17 and again we've been through this before, if we  
18 can go to the next page, and basically it says a  
19 couple of things, one, the application proceeds on  
03:05 20 the assumption that David Milgaard is a  
21 non-secretor and I think they in a way say, well,  
22 that's not necessarily the case.

23 A Yes.

24 Q But even if we assume that it is, they then go on  
03:05 25 to say, based on discussions with Dr. Ferris, that



1 basically when interviewed he agreed that once  
2 contamination of the sample was taken into  
3 account:

03:05 4 "... the forensic evidence neither  
5 inculpated nor exculpated David  
6 Milgaard."

7 And goes on to basically say lookit, the Dr.  
8 Ferris report doesn't get anywhere. In fact, go  
9 to the next page, there's one other line:

03:06 10 "The suggestion that the forensic  
11 evidence exonerates Milgaard mis-states  
12 the value of that evidence."

13 So here sort of the two grounds, the second  
14 ground that you put forward, being the Ferris  
03:06 15 opinion, the minister came back and said, number  
16 one, the assumption that David is a non-secretor  
17 is flawed, two, even if he is a non-secretor,  
18 Ferris has basically confirmed that the sample is  
19 contaminated and doesn't exonerate him, and that  
03:06 20 the application misstates the value of that by  
21 saying it proves innocence when it doesn't, it  
22 proves nothing, that would be paraphrasing the  
23 response back; correct?

24 A Correct.

03:06 25 Q And was that something that you took issue with?



1 I'm trying to understand, when you got that back  
2 did you say okay, well, on that specific piece I  
3 see where the minister is coming from and what we  
4 now know maybe wasn't as good as we thought, or  
03:07 5 were you saying lookit, you are dead wrong,  
6 Ferris' opinion still proves innocence?

7 A Mr. Hodson, I really hardly read it, I didn't get  
8 into the specifics of it because I found it so  
9 upsetting that he was being turned down and I  
03:07 10 think I really left the rest of it for the  
11 lawyers.

12 Q Okay. And so again is it correct to say though  
13 that -- let me back up. So you would let your  
14 lawyers interpret what it meant and go through all  
03:07 15 these things. Do you have a recollection then of  
16 what Mr. Asper, Mr. Wolch would have said to you  
17 about the response, would it be, one extreme would  
18 be everything she says is wrong, she's wrong on  
19 every one of the grounds we put forward, there's  
03:07 20 no merit in anything she says, the other extreme  
21 might be that some of the grounds that we put  
22 forward it turned out weren't as good as we  
23 thought and there might be some merit in what she  
24 has to say -- again, not agreeing with the overall  
03:08 25 response, but with respect to the certain grounds



1 put forward -- and can you tell us where in there  
2 your thinking might have been or what your lawyers  
3 might have been telling you?

03:08 4 A Well, I think that the lawyers pointed out that  
5 she could take that view, but that she could have  
6 had a more expanded view which would have allowed  
7 her to open the case, and of course we had no  
8 knowledge of what she gave to Mr. McIntyre to  
9 view, we didn't know what he had saw when she  
03:08 10 sought his opinion. This would be the second time  
11 that -- like, I've not gone through it for years  
12 because it's a document that I just found  
13 extremely upsetting.

03:08 14 Q And I'm trying to get an understanding, though,  
15 of -- because we go into the next application in  
16 August of 1991.

17 A Yes.

03:09 18 Q And I'm trying to understand that after this  
19 response came back, was it okay, well, let's  
20 regroup and find something else, or lookit, we  
21 think she's wrong on a number of these points and  
22 there should have been enough information, she  
23 should have done something?

03:09 24 A We felt she should have done something. We  
25 decided to regroup, we went ahead and decided



1           that -- all I remember is calling Jim McCloskey  
2           and that's when Jim said we've got to go out,  
3           you've got to do a profile of Larry Fisher and,  
4           Joyce, you are going to have to go out and  
03:09 5           interview the witnesses.

6           **Q**       If we can go to 165532, please, and this is a  
7           letter March 12th, 1991, if we can go to the last  
8           page, page 538. This letter is signed by you and  
9           your family; is that correct?

03:10 10          **A**       That's correct.

11          **Q**       And if we can just go back to the first page.  
12          When I questioned Mr. Asper on this, there was an  
13          earlier draft of March 4th that was very similar  
14          on Wolch Pinx letterhead from David and it  
03:11 15          appeared, I think Mr. Asper confirmed this, that  
16          it was revised, and rather than being his letter  
17          it became the family letter, but that it would be  
18          principally drafted by him on the family's behalf;  
19          is that correct?

03:11 20          **A**       That's correct.

21          **Q**       And again, as far as the details of what's put in  
22          here, the March 12th letter, would it be correct  
23          to say that this would be Mr. Asper's drafting on  
24          behalf of the family saying lookit, here's what  
03:11 25          the family thinks, as opposed to you sitting down



1 and saying, okay, David, I would like you to raise  
2 this point, this point, this point?

3 A I think we did talk about what points were  
4 necessary to be in it.

03:11 5 Q In a general way?

6 A In a general way, yes.

7 Q And I guess the drafting though was left up to Mr.  
8 Asper, that what -- in other words, we take issue  
9 with a whole bunch of things, you would have  
03:11 10 discussed it with Mr. Asper, some of these things,  
11 but you would have left it up to him as to how to  
12 characterize it; is that fair?

13 A Yes, yes.

14 Q And this letter would have represented the family,  
03:12 15 your view and your family's view about your  
16 response to the minister's letter; is that  
17 correct?

18 A Yes.

19 Q 162441, this is a news release March 12th, 1991,  
03:12 20 and this is the David Milgaard Support Group, care  
21 of John Howard Society. We talked earlier about  
22 the fact sheet, and I'm going to get to that, in  
23 August of 1991 when we get there. Who -- was  
24 there more than one support group?

03:12 25 A Yes, there were support groups all across the



1 country.

2 Q Who was this support group, are you able to  
3 identify?

4 A Well, this would be the Winnipeg one.

03:12 5 Q And so this would be the one -- you would have had  
6 some involvement with these people; is that right?

7 A With all the people right across the country, each  
8 support group. Like, in Ottawa, when I was there  
9 I would visit with the support group members. All  
03:13 10 across the country, they were there.

11 Q And so here, and this is the response, again it's  
12 out of Stony Mountain Penitentiary, would that  
13 have been -- would your son David have been part  
14 of this group?

03:13 15 A Yes, he was.

16 Q Call that out, please.

17 A We had a press conference that day.

18 Q And so would this have been on behalf -- I mean,  
19 would you have had some involvement in the  
03:13 20 drafting of this or this coming forth?

21 A Absolutely.

22 Q And so here:

23 "The David Milgaard Support Group to-day  
24 officially declared war on the Minister  
03:13 25 of In Justice, Kim Campbell and her



1 department."

2 A David did the "Minister of In Justice", that was  
3 his wording, my David.

4 Q David Milgaard?

03:13 5 A Yes.

6 Q And so again this would have been sort of the  
7 family position and the group's position?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Declaring war on the minister?

03:14 10 A That's correct.

11 Q And then 006737, doc. ID is 006736, next page, and  
12 so this I think is around the same date,  
13 "Milgaards Declare War." "Prisoner, family target  
14 Campbell in campaign," and here Mr. Asper says:

03:14 15 "Her decision is an outrage. Either she  
16 got bad advice and didn't exercise due  
17 diligence, or she is an active  
18 co-conspirator in this injustice."

19 And would that have sort of represented your  
03:14 20 views at the time?

21 A Yes, it did.

22 Q And would it be correct to say that -- I think we  
23 saw earlier on that the, much of the focus, if I  
24 can call it that, of the Milgaard group's public  
03:15 25 criticism of the system would have been focused on



1 Eugene Williams; is that correct --

2 A Yes.

3 Q -- prior? And is it now --

4 A Focused on the minister.

03:15 5 Q Focused directly on Kim Campbell?

6 A Yes.

7 Q A bit of a shift, that Kim Campbell is now the one  
8 that is, well, declared war on; is that --

9 A That's correct.

03:15 10 Q And what did you mean by that, when you say  
11 declare war, what message were you trying to send  
12 or what were you trying to say?

13 A Well, if you go back to what we, to that first  
14 document where we said that, I think in it it sets  
03:15 15 it out pretty clearly, that was -- I don't know  
16 the number.

17 Q Sure, 162441.

18 A Okay, we wanted her to know that we wouldn't stop  
19 fighting until justice is done and we felt that  
03:16 20 David had become a political prisoner, he's been  
21 in, being held hostage by the criminal injustice  
22 system, a prisoner of conscience, and we had, I  
23 cannot tell you the calls that I got from people  
24 all across the country and they were outraged with  
03:16 25 this decision and they all said that they would



1 fight to make sure that this decision was changed,  
2 that it's gone beyond David, it threatens everyone  
3 if the justice system can do this, it's an affront  
4 to decent people everywhere, she's just making a  
03:16 5 mockery of the due process that we have and that  
6 the Charter of Human Rights gives, so we felt we  
7 couldn't let it go unchallenged, and that's why we  
8 had that meeting and we started to go out and I  
9 remember coming home that day feeling that I was a  
03:17 10 failure to David and the family, but I knew that I  
11 had to start again and I did the very next day, I  
12 was on CBC or one of the television programs and  
13 encouraging support group members to come forward  
14 and help.

03:17 15 Q Now, I don't want to get into the legal issues  
16 that followed this, I think what we saw and heard  
17 from Mr. Asper, that after this decision, for some  
18 time or a short time period one consideration was  
19 going to Federal Court to quash the minister's  
03:17 20 decision or to challenge that, perhaps go to the  
21 Court of Appeal?

22 A Yes.

23 Q But ultimately I think in April of 1991, within  
24 about a month, within a month or so of the  
03:17 25 decision, the decision was made to make a second



1 application; is that right, to go back to the  
2 minister with more -- more and different  
3 information?

4 A That's correct.

03:17 5 Q And I think that's where Mr. McCloskey -- would it  
6 be correct to say that the second application,  
7 that the principal guiding force on that, would  
8 that have been Mr. McCloskey, or am I overstating  
9 his involvement? Let me rephrase it. Would it  
03:18 10 have been his idea to say we've seen in some of  
11 the documents and heard his reports where, and you  
12 just told us Mr. McCloskey said go out, talk to  
13 all the victims and let's analyse the specifics of  
14 each of Mr. Fisher's crimes and compare that to  
03:18 15 Gail Miller and use that as a basis to go back to  
16 the minister?

17 A Yes, I believe that he felt that that was the  
18 right steps to take. However, I believe that  
19 Hersh and David were the ones that were the  
03:18 20 driving force behind it. Jim was just sort of  
21 there giving advice, but he then agreed to send  
22 Paul Henderson up to work with me to go out and  
23 see the victims because I just felt I didn't want  
24 to go and have to see these women and I knew what  
03:18 25 I would be asking them to do.



1 Q Whose idea was it to go interview the victims and  
2 get --

3 A Jim McCloskey's idea.

4 Q And what was the purpose of interviewing, what  
03:21 5 were you hoping to get from that?

6 A He felt that we had to build a profile, he wanted  
7 to have a profile of a serial rapist where Gail  
8 Miller would fit right in the middle of it. And,  
9 of course, that's what we thought the Justice  
03:21 10 Department would have done, that they would have  
11 gone out and interviewed the victims and found out  
12 how -- the similarities and everything, and once  
13 we got out and interviewing them I couldn't  
14 believe how close they were.

03:21 15 Q Now do you recall any discussion amongst your  
16 counsel or Mr. McCloskey about -- the minister's  
17 response to your first application was, with  
18 respect to the Fisher information, was that even  
19 though there's suspicion about him there is no  
03:22 20 link between Mr. Fisher and the Gail Miller death?

21 A Right, and I was just reading in, in this report  
22 that Mr. Williams put in, because -- and this is  
23 what Kim Campbell got, you know, and she said --  
24 or he said in here:

03:22 25 "The circumstances of Ms. Miller's death



1 do not bear a similarity to the offences  
2 for which Mr. Fisher was convicted."

3 Now that's utter nonsense. It's a lie.

4 Q And what are you referring to?

03:22 5 A Well, he's saying --

6 Q No, I'm sorry, which document are you referring  
7 to?

8 A Mr. Williams' report, and I don't think I've ever  
9 read it before, I just --

03:22 10 Q What, is that, that may be the 1991 --

11 A Oh.

12 Q That might be the second application.

13 A The page that I am on is 04 -- 004392.

14 Q 004392?

03:23 15 A 392.

16 Q And maybe just bring that up.

17 A Oh, the doc. ID is 004374, page 19.

18 Q Go back to the first page, please. So this is  
19 August 28th, this would have been Mr. Williams'  
03:23 20 report to Mr. MacFarlane around the time of the  
21 end of the investigation on the first application?

22 A Yes.

23 Q So that this is a document you've read recently  
24 then?

03:23 25 A This morning.



1 Q Okay. Back to the question about the link between  
2 Larry Fisher and Gail Miller's death. In the  
3 minister's response February of '91 she said  
4 suspicion is not enough, there needs to be a link  
03:24 5 between Larry Fisher and Gail Miller?

6 A Right.

7 Q And was what Mr. McCloskey suggested, in fact, to  
8 go out, get the similarities, was that with a view  
9 to addressing that response from the minister, --

03:24 10 A That's right.

11 Q -- in other words to show a link?

12 A To show a link.

13 Q And I think, I mean the legal term that's been  
14 used from time to time is 'similar act' or  
03:24 15 'similar fact evidence'?

16 A Similar fact evidence, yes.

17 Q And to try and build a case against Mr. Fisher by  
18 saying the similarities between all of his other  
19 offences are so similar to Gail Miller's death  
03:24 20 that that's evidence that establishes, that  
21 provides a link to show that he --

22 A Yes, --

23 Q -- is the perpetrator?

24 A -- that's correct.

03:24 25 Q And so that would have been the plan after the



1 February 27th letter, is to go out and gather  
2 evidence to show the link, and go back with a  
3 second application and say "here's the link,  
4 here's how we link Larry Fisher to Gail Miller"?

03:25 5 A Yes.

6 Q And if we could call up -- so, again, the decision  
7 to have you and Mr. Henderson go out, that would  
8 have been from Mr. McCloskey; is that right?

9 A Mr. McCloskey, yes.

03:25 10 Q And so you go out, interview the victims, gather  
11 the details, and he would then prepare a report;  
12 was that the plan?

13 A Yes, he did.

14 Q If we can go to 224162 and go to 224215. I think  
03:25 15 this is from a diary of yours that has an  
16 excerpt, --

17 A Hmm.

18 Q -- and this is March 18th, 1991, and I think it's  
19 when you are in New Jersey, if I'm not mistaken.

03:25 20 At the top:

21 "Caught ... bus into Princeton",

22 and just go back here, please. It says:

23 "Had a good meeting with Jim. Decided  
24 to zero in on Fisher - Get everything on  
03:26 25 him possible Visit all his victims also



1 rape in (V14)-- Send Wpg. police officer  
2 Do a comparative analysis Jim would come  
3 up for Press Release & filing in Federal  
4 Court Called Dan, David. Must get press  
03:26 5 in Vancouver & Ottawa - Get pressure on  
6 Campbell in these areas."

7 And let me just pause there. So this would be --  
8 would this be the start of the second  
9 application, right here?

03:26 10 A Yes.

11 Q So the plan would be to, as we see, gather the  
12 information, that Jim would come up for the press  
13 release and filing. And I think at this time,  
14 Federal Court, I think Mr. Asper had talked about  
03:26 15 going to Court to challenge the minister's  
16 decision, and I think later on it was concluded  
17 that a second application to the minister might be  
18 the better route to go than to go to Court to  
19 challenge the first one; is that correct?

03:27 20 A That's correct.

21 Q But the plan would be the same, whether it's for  
22 Federal Court or the minister, --

23 A Yes.

24 Q -- to gather this information? And so then you  
03:27 25 would have called, and then I take it the plan



1 would have been to involve Mr. McCloskey in the  
2 press release?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And why would that be, what was the rationale or  
03:27 5 the thinking there?

6 A Well I believe the rationale for that is he  
7 brought a lot of credibility with him, with his  
8 organization, Centurion Ministries, and we felt  
9 that just having him standing up there and saying  
03:27 10 "here, we've got this, look at it" was going to be  
11 helpful.

12 Q And so the plan would be to gather the  
13 information, file the application, but involve Jim  
14 McCloskey and Centurion Ministries in a public way  
03:27 15 to add credibility to your cause --

16 A Yes.

17 Q -- in the eye of the public?

18 A Absolutely.

19 Q And, again, is it correct to say that in the  
03:27 20 second application the plan to involve the media,  
21 as you did in the first application, would  
22 continue?

23 A Yes, it did.

24 Q And so here Dan, I'm presuming, is Dan Lett?

03:28 25 A Right.



1 Q And:

2 "... David. Must get press in Vancouver  
3 & Ottawa - Get pressure on Campbell in  
4 these areas."

03:28 5 Now Kim Campbell --

6 A Lived in Vancouver.

7 Q -- was from Vancouver?

8 A From Vancouver, yes.

9 Q So the plan here was to get some media pressure in  
03:28 10 her home city, --

11 A Yes.

12 Q -- put pressure on her, because she would be  
13 considering the second application?

14 A That's correct.

03:28 15 Q And would it be correct to say that the public  
16 campaign plan on the first application would be  
17 renewed in the second application, perhaps with  
18 even more vigour?

19 A It would, and was.

03:28 20 Q And then if we can go to 224221, which is -- I'm  
21 sorry, and the doc. ID of this is 224162. And  
22 this is now April the 2nd, 1991, and I think this  
23 is where you met with Jim McCloskey again:

24 "He wants me to go with Paul to visit  
03:29 25 all of Fisher's victims & to get every



1 nitty-gritty bit we might ...",

2 did I get that right:

3 "... we might use. God do I really have  
4 to do this"

03:29 5 And so, again, this would have been where and  
6 when Mr. McCloskey said that you and Paul  
7 Henderson should go and interview the victims; is  
8 that correct?

9 A Yes.

03:29 10 Q And then 156886. This is an April 16th, 1991  
11 letter from Mr. Asper to Mike Brecht asking him to  
12 find the four victims in Saskatoon, and that Paul  
13 Henderson will be in Winnipeg, he's working -- at  
14 the end of April, expect to be travelling to  
03:30 15 Saskatoon, and it might be a good idea to get  
16 together. So this would be the start of the  
17 interview process, Mr. Asper went to Mike Brecht  
18 to find these women?

19 A That's correct.

03:30 20 Q Now Mike Brecht, that's the same fellow that was  
21 referred to in the July 1990 conversation as the  
22 person --

23 A Right.

24 Q -- you had either thought or asked to go out and  
03:30 25 interview these women; is that correct?



1 A That's correct.

2 Q 212782. This is a letter from Mr. Wolch to the  
3 minister in response to the application, and there  
4 is a part here I just want to ask you about, it  
03:30 5 talks about the reaction of the family and what he  
6 says here is:

7 "They view your Department as just one  
8 more extension of the prosecutorial arm  
9 that contributed to David's wrongful  
03:31 10 conviction and incarceration. They have  
11 always viewed this procedure with  
12 scepticism and have always felt that the  
13 delays herein were simply time required  
14 to avoid and evade the merit of our  
03:31 15 application."

16 And, again, would that accurately reflect what  
17 you thought about the process?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And so, prior to even filing the application with  
03:31 20 the Federal Minister of Justice, was that your  
21 view?

22 A We felt we'd been victimized by the system, we  
23 felt the whole process was bad.

24 Q But I guess I'm trying to figure out when, I mean  
03:31 25 the letter simply says, the letter says:



1 "They have always viewed this procedure  
2 with scepticism and have always felt  
3 that the delays herein were simply time  
4 required to avoid and evade the merit of  
03:31 5 our application.",

6 and I'm wondering if that's something you felt  
7 before you filed the application -- and I  
8 appreciate these aren't your words, they're your  
9 lawyer's words -- but can you shed some light on  
03:32 10 this?

11 A I think originally when we filed the application,  
12 we had hope it would work, but I think it was in  
13 view of the delays and everything that started us  
14 being skeptical, and more so as time went on.

03:32 15 Q Umm --

16 A And then we, then we started feeling that, that  
17 they were against us and trying to, as it says:

18 "... evade the merit of our  
19 application."

03:32 20 Q If we can go to 213827. And you'll recall I  
21 showed you the letter that your family wrote to  
22 the minister on March I think 11th or 12th, 1991,  
23 and this would be the minister's reply of April  
24 30th, 1991 to you and family, and if we can go to  
03:33 25 the last page, please, page 213829. And this was



1 in response to the letter that your family wrote,  
2 and the minister says:

3 "I ... wish to emphasize that mere  
4 suspicion of guilt in the absence of  
03:33 5 some information to link Mr. Fisher to  
6 the offence is an insufficient basis to  
7 grant the relief that was requested."

8 And, again, would that have been -- and we talked  
9 about this earlier -- was that the purpose of the  
03:33 10 McCloskey idea, or the idea to go out and  
11 interview the victims, to try and provide a link  
12 between Mr. Fisher and the offence, then, to  
13 address this issue that had been raised by the  
14 minister?

03:33 15 A Yes.

16 Q Now I think -- we're done with that document -- I  
17 think around the spring of 1991, when you and Mr.  
18 Henderson went out to interview the victims, I  
19 think that's when a fellow by the name of Tom  
03:34 20 Vanin became involved; is that right? Do you  
21 remember Mr. Vanin who was a Saskatoon police  
22 officer?

23 A Yes.

24 Q What is your recollection of your interaction or  
03:34 25 direct dealings with Mr. Vanin?



1 A I don't have a lot of recollections of the time  
2 spent with him. I know that when you were going  
3 over Paul Henderson's testimony -- was it Paul  
4 Henderson's testimony --

03:34 5 Q Yes.

6 A -- with Mr. Vanin, that I was involved in some of  
7 those interviews with him.

8 Q I think the evidence that Mr. Vanin and Mr.  
9 Henderson gave is that the initial meeting, I  
03:34 10 think at the Colonial Hotel on 8th Street, you may  
11 have been there for a short time and then --

12 A Just for a short time, and then out of it, and  
13 then after that I think it was pretty well dealt  
14 with between Vanin and the lawyers and Paul.

03:35 15 Q And so is it fair to say that any information that  
16 you, Joyce Milgaard, have about Tom Vanin's  
17 involvement would have come from either Mr. Asper,  
18 Mr. Wolch, or Mr. Henderson?

19 A Correct.

03:35 20 Q And we have Mr. Vanin, the issue of the (V5)--  
21 (V5)--- file and that being shown to Mr.  
22 Henderson, Mr. Henderson has testified and he has  
23 a memorandum that says Tom Vanin obtained a copy  
24 of the (V5)-- (V5)--- file from the police station  
03:35 25 and showed him a copy, we have Mr. Vanin saying



1 "no I did not"; do you have any recollection or  
2 any information that might assist us in dealing  
3 with that issue?

4 A I recall Paul telling me that he had seen it.

03:35 5 Q That he had seen the (V5)-- (V5)--- file?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Do you recall Paul Henderson telling you who had  
8 shown it to him?

9 A Well, the only one he was working with at that  
03:35 10 time was Vanin, so it must have been him.

11 Q And is that something that you recall or something  
12 you assume?

13 A No, I actually recall, because we were very  
14 excited about getting it.

03:36 15 Q And you were --

16 A But he couldn't copy it, I don't think we got a  
17 copy of it.

18 Q I think that was Mr. Henderson's evidence. So you  
19 didn't see the file; is that correct?

03:36 20 A No, I didn't see it, but Paul told me about it, --

21 Q And --

22 A -- but we didn't get a copy of it and I had really  
23 wanted a copy of it.

24 Q Okay. What about Gus Weir; did you have any  
03:36 25 direct dealings or involvement with Gus Weir, or



1 are you aware of any dealings that your counsel,  
2 Mr. Asper, or Mr. Henderson may have had with Gus  
3 Weir about --

4 A I knew he was one of the other sources, but --

03:36 5 Q A source for who?

6 A For us.

7 Q When you say "us" are you talking about Mr. Asper,  
8 or --

9 A Yes, yes.

03:36 10 Q Who would have talked to Gus Weir, who did you  
11 understand had talked to Gus Weir?

12 A I'm sorry, I can't recall, it would either have  
13 been David or Paul I guess.

14 Q Okay. And, what, do you have any recollection of  
03:36 15 what information Gus Weir would have given anybody  
16 in your group?

17 A I think it was Gus Weir that gave us -- I'm really  
18 stretching here, and I want to be accurate, but it  
19 seems to me it was one of the victims, and he was  
03:37 20 telling about how upset he was when he found out  
21 that Larry Fisher had confessed -- confessed --

22 Q Right.

23 A -- a number -- and that no one had told him, and  
24 he was furious.

03:37 25 Q Okay. Now -- and I think that's, I think Dave



1           Roberts from *The Globe and Mail* had had some  
2           dealings with Gus Weir as well, --

3           A           Okay.

4           Q           -- and I think we saw that in one of the newspaper  
03:37 5           articles, that information from Gus Weir. So  
6           when, when you say he was a source, would it be  
7           correct to say that the information that the  
8           Commission has already heard about -- from Gus  
9           Weir --

03:38 10          A           Yes.

11          Q           -- and I think we saw it in the newspaper articles  
12          and that -- was there anything in addition to what  
13          we've already heard --

14          A           I don't think I have any additional information on  
03:38 15          that.

16          Q           And is it your recollection that Gus Weir was a  
17          source in the sense that he talked directly to Mr.  
18          Henderson or Mr. Asper and gave information about  
19          the case?

03:38 20          A           I know he gave information on that part, whether  
21          he gave other information, I do not know.

22          Q           Okay. If we could go to your book, 269317, it's  
23          page 148, and go to page 269475. You write here:

24    "A police source let us see,  
03:39 25   but not photocopy, records of Fisher's



1 attacks. We were somewhat fortunate to  
2 have had a couple of police insiders  
3 helping us out, and David Asper went to  
4 extreme lengths to both preserve and  
03:39 5 protect these sources. They gave us  
6 information not only about Fisher but  
7 also about how the Justice Department  
8 was conducting its investigation, using  
9 Bobs Caldwell and others involved in the  
03:39 10 original prosecution. This later proved  
11 to be great fodder for our 'political  
12 campaign' to clear David's name."

13 And then go on to talk about scuttlebutt, about  
14 police incompetence and possible corruption,  
03:39 15 etcetera, related to Mr. Penkala. Now, just on  
16 that latter point, I think what Mr. Henderson  
17 told us is that he talked to I think a John  
18 McDonald and a Terry Thrasher about information  
19 they had about Mr. Penkala and Operation Donut,  
03:40 20 an unrelated matter?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And is that what you are referring to here?

23 A That would have been.

24 Q Now let's get back to the first paragraph. It  
03:40 25 says here:



1 "A police source let us see,  
2 but not photocopy, records of Fisher's  
3 attacks.",

4 plural, and it's my understanding that there  
03:40 5 would have been only one file, the (V5)--- file,  
6 that was shown, as opposed to all of the Fisher  
7 files, is that correct, or is your recollection  
8 different?

9 A Well, I put "attacks" here, I thought there was  
03:40 10 more than one.

11 Q There was -- I think the evidence that the  
12 Commission has heard is that there was a partial  
13 of the (V1)--- (V1)- file, the very first one,  
14 from -- that was found in 1990, and the (V5)---  
03:40 15 file was there in 1991.

16 A Yes, okay.

17 Q So that there was --

18 A Two.

19 Q -- two. And, again, what I am trying to  
03:41 20 understand, Mrs. Milgaard, is whether you have  
21 information that suggests that, different than  
22 what we've already heard, that there were more of  
23 the Fisher files than what the Commission has,  
24 namely the (V5)--- file and a partial of the (V1)-  
03:41 25 file?



1 A I could not say that, I don't know, I -- as far as  
2 I know, I remember seeing more than one file.

3 Q And when did you see the files?

4 A I have no idea. It was at -- at one point when we  
03:41 5 were here.

6 Q In the Commission hearing, or at the Supreme  
7 Court, I'm sorry?

8 A I think it was probably at the Supreme Court.

9 Q And so is it correct to say that, whatever  
03:41 10 information you got from your police sources about  
11 records of Fisher attacks, it would be the same  
12 information that would have been put forward in  
13 the Supreme Court reference?

14 A Yes.

03:41 15 Q And so that there isn't something out there that  
16 we don't have, whatever you saw the Supreme Court  
17 had, and we have; is that correct?

18 A I think that's correct, yes.

19 Q And as far as the sources here, it says:

03:42 20 "... a couple of police insiders ...";  
21 do you know who you would have been referring to  
22 there?

23 A No, I do not, because David Asper really kept his  
24 sources close to his vest.

03:42 25 Q And then if we could go to 0018 -- or 108, I just



1 want to go through a couple of the interviews with  
2 the Fisher assault victims, I don't propose to go  
3 through them all, I have done that with Mr.  
4 Henderson and other witnesses. But if we could go  
03:42 5 to -- let me just pause here. It's my  
6 understanding that, when you went out to interview  
7 these victims, that you would take notes of the  
8 interview, Paul Henderson would prepare his own  
9 memorandum, is that correct, --

03:42 10 A

That's correct.

11 Q

-- you each took your own, and then when it came  
12 time for the application that was filed that for  
13 the most part, for each victim, that would include  
14 Mr. Henderson's memorandum and your memorandum?

03:43 15 A

That's correct.

16 Q

So that both went in?

17 A

Yes.

18 Q

And so, here, this is your note of your -- and I  
19 think it's been typed up -- but this would be your  
03:43 20 note of your interview with (V5)-- (V5)---;  
21 correct?

22 A

Yes.

23 Q

And just scroll down. Sorry, this is -- sorry.

24

And it says here:

03:43 25

"She was eighteen years old ... She



1 still locks doors at night. At the  
2 time, a tall skinny detective mentioned  
3 it was very similar to the Gail Miller  
4 case."

03:43 5 Do you have a recollection of that discussion  
6 with Ms. (V5)---?

7 A Yes, I do, and I remember her saying that she  
8 didn't know who he was, but it was a tall, skinny  
9 detective, and we tried to find out who it was  
03:44 10 after that. But she said, she mentioned that it  
11 was very similar -- that he had said to her it was  
12 very similar to the *Gail Miller* case.

13 Q And then, if we can go to the next page, this  
14 would be Mr. Henderson's memo of the same meeting;  
03:44 15 correct?

16 A Correct.

17 Q And again, if we can go to the next page, he  
18 writes about getting access to the police reports  
19 and mentions Tom Vanin, and then goes on to  
03:44 20 outline some of the information that was in the  
21 report or in the police file, but I think this is  
22 one where he got a chance to look at it but you  
23 didn't; is that correct?

24 A That's correct.

03:44 25 Q I now want to talk about (V14)- (V14)-, and you



1 will recall --

2 A And he's talking about, here, that the file is  
3 quite thick.

4 Q Right.

03:44 5 A I don't -- I didn't recall that.

6 Q I think that was his evidence. I think the file  
7 is about 60 pages or thereabouts, the file that we  
8 have, --

9 A Right.

03:45 10 Q -- and I think that's what Mr. Henderson --

11 A Felt he'd seen? Okay.

12 Q Now, just while we're on that, Mr. Vanin's  
13 evidence before the Commission was that he did not  
14 get the (V5)--- file but, instead, he got a file  
03:44 15 card that has Fisher's name and occurrence numbers  
16 and a partial police report; do you have any  
17 recollection of being informed of that information  
18 coming from Mr. Vanin?

19 A I would probably have been informed of it. Do I  
03:44 20 have a --

21 Q Recollection?

22 A -- recollection today? No, I do not.

23 Q Okay. If we can then turn to (V14)- (V14)-, and  
24 you'll recall your dealings with Ms. (V14)-?

03:44 25 A I certainly do.



1 Q And I do have some documents to go through, and I  
2 don't mind going through, and I will go through  
3 some of the documents with you, and your  
4 memorandum, but I'm wondering if you are able to  
03:44 5 just give us just your general recollection about  
6 how that came about and your meeting with her, and  
7 if you'd rather me go through the documents with  
8 you I'm prepared to do that as well?

9 A No, she just came forward out of the blue and  
03:44 10 called me and wanted to -- and told me what had  
11 happened to her, and wanted -- she wanted to go to  
12 the prison and see David, and she said --

13 Q I mean --

14 A -- that she never ever put her television on  
03:45 15 because she didn't want to see David on TV.

16 Q Sorry, let me just back up for a moment. I think,  
17 is it fair so say that she informed you that she  
18 had been raped in 1968?

19 A She did.

03:45 20 Q And did she tell you that she thought that David  
21 may have been --

22 A The one that raped her.

23 Q -- the one that raped her?

24 A Yes.

03:45 25 Q So that would be the first information you got



1 from her was "I was raped in 1968 and I think your  
2 son did it"; is that fair?

3 A That's fair.

4 Q And "I want to see him to confirm in my mind  
03:45 5 whether he is the perpetrator"; is that --

6 A That's right.

7 Q Okay. Carry on?

8 A And then, then she had this story about how she  
9 couldn't look at him on TV, and it just seemed  
03:45 10 weird. I mean if she really thought it was David,  
11 why would she want to go see him in person, and I  
12 just felt it was maybe some kind of publicity  
13 stunt or, you know, that there was -- it was  
14 weird. And I just didn't believe her, I was very,  
03:46 15 very suspicious of her, but she did go into all  
16 the details and everything. And the fact that it  
17 was, the date of it, I mean, and the fact that  
18 whoever it was had a truck, I knew David wouldn't  
19 have had access to a truck.

03:46 20 Q Okay. Let me just, maybe just back up for a  
21 moment. So she would have phoned you, and I think  
22 there was a meeting, and you and Mr. Henderson  
23 went to meet with her; is that correct?

24 A Yes, that's right, we ended up going to meet her  
03:46 25 and she didn't show, and I remember deciding to



1 check out the bus depot in case she was taking the  
2 bus back home, and when we got down there I saw  
3 this woman and figured it was her and went up and  
4 approached her, and she came off the bus and we  
03:47 5 went into a restaurant and talked.

6 Q Okay. Now the purpose of the meeting, you'd  
7 agreed to meet with her, is that right?

8 A Yes, she wanted to meet with us.

9 Q And maybe we'll call up 054514, which is Mr.

03:47 10 Henderson's memo, and I will come to your memo as  
11 well.

12 A Thank you. And this is Mr. Henderson's note, and  
13 there's just a couple parts here, he says:

14 "Within the past year, David Asper  
03:47 15 received a call from a man who claimed  
16 to be an attorney representing a woman  
17 who was attacked by a rapist in the  
18 (V14)-- area in May of 1968. According  
19 to the caller, police later told the  
03:47 20 woman that the case had been solved with  
21 the arrest of David Milgaard in  
22 Saskatoon in 1969."

23 And then scroll down to here, please. And she  
24 was:

03:47 25 "Recent publicity surrounding the



1 Milgaard case had resurrected her  
2 memories of the rape ordeal. ... She was  
3 determined to resolve the matter and and  
4 planned to visit David Milgaard in  
03:48 5 prison and see if she recognized him."

6 And I think, is it correct that her purpose --  
7 and she may have contacted Mr. Asper first; is  
8 that right?

9 A Yes.

03:48 10 Q And is it correct to say that, from her  
11 perspective, the reason she was contacting you or  
12 Mr. Asper is she wanted to follow up to establish  
13 whether David Milgaard had been the person who  
14 raped her? That's what she believed or  
03:48 15 thought, --

16 A Yes.

17 Q -- and she wanted to see him or get a picture of  
18 him to try and resolve in her own mind whether she  
19 was the perpetrator; is that fair?

03:48 20 A Right, that's fair.

21 Q And is it also correct that, before you met with  
22 her, that she had never raised with either Mr.  
23 Asper or with you or Mr. Henderson her suspicion  
24 that Larry Fisher may have been the rapist; is  
03:48 25 that right?



1 A No, she had never raised that.

2 Q And that was something that you and Mr. Henderson  
3 had introduced to her, was that Mr. Fisher is a  
4 better suspect than David Milgaard, and he is more  
03:49 5 likely the rapist than your son; is that fair?

6 A That's fair.

7 Q And here, if we could scroll down, and Mr.  
8 Henderson talks about the fact this woman worked  
9 at the Justice Department, and:

03:49 10 "It was starting to smell like a  
11 possible setup."

12 Did you have that view at the time?

13 A Absolutely.

14 Q Okay.

03:49 15 A And that's the reason why, when we met with her  
16 and talked with her, I felt that she was out for,  
17 I didn't know, publicity, lawsuit, whatever it  
18 was, so that's why I had a picture of Larry Fisher  
19 with me, --

03:49 20 Q What --

21 A -- and I showed it to her when she wanted to see a  
22 picture of David.

23 Q Okay. And is that what I think Mr. Henderson  
24 referred to as the switcharoo?

03:49 25 A That was it.



1 Q So she thought --

2 A So --

3 Q I'm sorry -- she thought you were showing her a  
4 picture of your son?

03:50 5 A Yes, and she said, "and his hair was a little  
6 longer than that", but, yeah, she was identifying  
7 it.

8 Q Let's just walk through -- sorry -- walk through  
9 your recollection of what was spoken between you  
03:50 10 and Mrs. (V14)-. And when you showed -- you  
11 showed her a picture of Larry Fisher; is that  
12 correct?

13 A Yes, that's correct.

14 Q And what did you say to her about the photo?

03:50 15 A I said "well, do you want to see a picture, I'll  
16 show it to you?"

17 Q A picture of whom; did you tell her who?

18 A I didn't say of whom, because I said "you wanted  
19 to see a picture", she'd been asking to see a  
20 picture of David, --

21 Q Okay.

22 A -- but I didn't lie to her, I said "you wanted to  
23 see a picture, here's the picture".

24 Q And what were the words that she spoke after  
03:50 25 looking at that?



1 A She looked at it and she said, "yes, his hair was  
2 a little longer though".

3 Q Okay.

4 A And that's when I told her that she had just  
03:50 5 identified Larry Fisher.

6 Q Okay. Now Mr. Henderson, I think, had a different  
7 interpretation of the discussion, and as did  
8 Ms. (V14)-, about whether she did or didn't  
9 identify the photograph, and your recollection is  
03:51 10 that she did identify the photograph?

11 A She didn't say "that's him", like she didn't say  
12 "oh yes, that's him", what she said was "his hair  
13 was a little longer".

14 Q Okay.

03:51 15 A But she gave the impression that that was him.

16 Q So your perception or your take on it was that she  
17 had identified Larry Fisher?

18 A That's correct.

19 Q Now if we just pause here for a moment,  
03:51 20 Mrs. Milgaard, and say, okay, you have been  
21 working for a number of months, your son is in  
22 jail for a crime that you say Larry Fisher  
23 committed in Saskatoon, that he is serving the  
24 crime in Saskatoon that Larry Fisher had  
03:51 25 committed, you now have a woman come forward that



1 says "I think David raped me in 1968 hundreds of  
2 miles away from Saskatoon at a different time",  
3 and this woman is now saying that -- who also  
4 accused your son of a crime, or in a way thought  
03:52 5 he did, is now also saying "Larry Fisher committed  
6 that crime", so that Larry Fisher now has  
7 committed two crimes for which your son has been  
8 blamed for; did that cause you to think that was a  
9 bit unusual?

03:50 10 A I hadn't thought of it the way you put it.

11 Q And --

12 A I just felt that I was very suspicious of this  
13 woman. Afterwards I think, as I spent more time  
14 with her, I thought, well, maybe I shouldn't have  
03:51 15 been, maybe this really happened, and when she  
16 explained and told how it had happened and  
17 everything and then we sort of investigated and  
18 found out that Larry Fisher did have access to a  
19 truck and that he could have been working in that  
03:51 20 area at that time, I thought, well, you know,  
21 maybe she had been raped by Larry Fisher and had  
22 thought it was David because of what happened to  
23 Gail Miller and with all the publicity that had  
24 been surrounding him.

03:52 25 Q Okay.



1 A So I sort of started to see her as more believable  
2 as time went on.

3 Q Okay.

4 A And that's why we ended up putting it in the  
03:52 5 application I believe.

6 Q Okay, I'll get to that. If we could just, about  
7 this setup, when you were talking about a setup,  
8 did you think that the police or the Justice  
9 Department was somehow behind sending this woman  
03:52 10 out?

11 A Oh, no, no, no, I thought that she and whoever it  
12 was that had called David Asper, that they were  
13 maybe trying to set the family up or something. I  
14 didn't know. I just -- it smelled, it just didn't  
03:52 15 seem right.

16 Q So if we can go to the next page, this is how Mr.  
17 Henderson described it:

18 "Without identifying the person, we  
19 showed her the photo-booth strip of  
03:52 20 (four) Larry Fisher pictures. She  
21 studied the photos and commented, "His  
22 hair was longer"."

23 And would that be accurate, is that --

24 A Yes, that's what I remember.

03:53 25 Q And you took that to being that she identified him



1 by saying his hair was longer?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Would it be correct to say that -- well, no, I'll  
4 come back to that. So then if we can scroll down  
03:53 5 to the bottom, it says:

6 "We talked for about an hour. Her plan  
7 was to spend another night in Regina and  
8 take the bus home in the morning. I  
9 believe we were able to convince her  
03:53 10 that Larry Fisher is a far more probable  
11 suspect than David Milgaard. Strangely  
12 though, she still expressed a desire to  
13 view David in prison."

14 A And that really bugged me because David had been  
03:53 15 on TV a lot and his picture, but every time I  
16 mentioned that to her, she said I don't want to  
17 see him on TV.

18 Q Was there a concern at this time that -- I mean,  
19 you were in the process of getting ready to go  
03:53 20 with a second application to the minister with a  
21 public presentation as well?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Were you concerned that this woman may come  
24 forward with this allegation and that this might  
03:54 25 hurt David's chance to have his remedy?



1 A Absolutely.

2 Q And is it correct to say that one way to deal with  
3 this woman's allegation -- firstly, you believed,  
4 you did not believe her when she said that your  
03:54 5 son had raped her; correct?

6 A That's right.

7 Q And I think what you found out either at this  
8 meeting, or at this interview or at some later  
9 point, was that she either was told by the police  
03:54 10 or believed, based on something the police had  
11 said, that the person who had raped her had been  
12 convicted for murder and she thought that that was  
13 David Milgaard?

14 A That's right.

03:54 15 Q And that that's perhaps where this idea started  
16 from back in 1968?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q And would it be correct to say that one way to  
19 deal with this type of allegation, which you  
03:54 20 viewed to be unfounded, in other words, her  
21 allegation that David had raped her, would be to  
22 come forward and say, okay, well, lookit, we're  
23 going to take the position that Fisher is the  
24 perpetrator and, in other words, the best defence  
03:55 25 is a strong offence and let's beat her to the



1           punch and say bang, we're out here saying it's  
2           Fisher based on this meeting where you and Mr.  
3           Henderson try to convince her that Fisher is a  
4           butter suspect than David?

03:55 5           A           Yes.

6           Q           Would that be a fair summary of the plan?

7           A           I think that's fair.

8           Q           And in other words, to -- would it be correct to  
9           say that if you did not include her complaint in  
03:55 10          your application, you were concerned that the  
11          authorities would find out about it or the public  
12          would find out about it and that it might be  
13          damaging to David's application?

14          A           No, I don't think that was in my thought. I think  
03:55 15          that towards the end I really felt that this woman  
16          had been raped by Larry Fisher.

17          Q           Did she ever say that to you, that she thought or  
18          suspected that Larry Fisher had raped her?

19          A           No, but after she looked at the picture of him, to  
03:56 20          me that was identifying him, and I felt that --  
21          like, when we got more of her story and that she  
22          had been told it was David Milgaard that did it, I  
23          could understand her thinking it was David  
24          Milgaard because that's what she had been told,  
03:56 25          but as I listened to more of the story and started



1 to feel a little bit more sympathy for the woman I  
2 guess, because at first I thought she was just  
3 trying to set us up, and then I started to feel  
4 that she was somewhat believable and I felt that  
03:56 5 it was important to include it.

6 Q Sorry, believable on what point?

7 A Believable on the fact that she had been raped.  
8 Like, at first I wasn't even prepared to believe  
9 that, I thought the whole thing had been  
03:56 10 manufactured out of the cloth.

11 Q Okay.

12 A And that maybe we were going to be blackmailed. I  
13 didn't know.

14 Q Now, did you become aware that she had gone to the  
03:57 15 police and the police had investigated the rape?

16 A Yes, we got more details from her when we talked  
17 to her.

18 Q And is it correct, you only met with her once and  
19 spoke to her once; is that right, and that was at  
03:57 20 the bus depot?

21 A Yes, I believe that's right, but we spent over an  
22 hour with her.

23 Q Right. And then again, let me just go back  
24 though, would it be correct that one of your  
03:57 25 concerns was that you were trying to protect your



1 son David and you did not want what you viewed to  
2 be an unfounded allegation made against him either  
3 privately or publicly?

4 A Correct.

03:57 5 Q And so one approach would be to say you are wrong  
6 and it isn't David, you are mistaken; correct?

7 A Correct.

8 Q But to introduce -- what I'm trying to understand  
9 is to why you would introduce Larry Fisher to her  
03:57 10 as sort of a substitute perpetrator, saying okay,  
11 well, lookit, it can't be David, it must be Larry,  
12 and let me tell you about Larry and here's how bad  
13 he is and isn't he a far better suspect and isn't  
14 he the fellow who did it?

03:58 15 A No. When I first showed her the picture of Larry  
16 Fisher, okay, you have to know where my head was,  
17 my head was in this space that I thought we were  
18 trying to be set up and I in return was going to  
19 set her up, because if she really didn't know what  
03:58 20 my David looked like, then I was going to provide  
21 her with this picture and see if she would  
22 identify it.

23 Q Let me just pause there and ask you this question.  
24 Before you met her and you had this photo of Larry  
03:58 25 Fisher --



1 A Right.

2 Q -- did you have any inkling, idea or suspicion  
3 that Larry Fisher was the perpetrator of her rape  
4 or was it a convenient photo for you to show her?  
03:58 5 You wanted to show her another photo, but did you  
6 go there to the meeting thinking okay, this is a  
7 setup, I'm going to use Larry Fisher's picture to  
8 see if she might identify him because I think he  
9 might be the person who raped her, or was it  
03:59 10 simply part of the setup to say, okay, if she  
11 identifies him, then I know it's not David?

12 A I honestly don't know. I think that the purpose  
13 of showing her that picture was she was saying --

14 Q It was to throw her off, wasn't it? If she  
03:59 15 identified the photo you could say "aha, it's not  
16 David, you identified somebody else"?

17 A It wasn't just to throw her off -- well, yes, it  
18 was to throw her off, but at the same time I felt  
19 if she identifies it, then she could be talking  
03:59 20 about Larry Fisher, because we did find out before  
21 we talked, you know, before we went to talk with  
22 her, we did find out that he -- we went and  
23 investigated and found out that he had been  
24 employed in that area at that time and that he  
03:59 25 had -- I think it was a red truck, a company



1 vehicle, so there were enough of the information  
2 that we had gleaned from the phone calls that we  
3 thought that it could be him.

4 Q Okay. Let me back up. So that before you went to  
04:00 5 see her, based on her phone call did you do some  
6 checking with the idea that maybe Larry Fisher is  
7 the perpetrator of this rape?

8 A Yes, we did.

9 Q And that was talking to Jake Ketler?

04:00 10 A Yes.

11 Q And was that Mr. Henderson who did that or was  
12 that you?

13 A I think I did.

14 Q And that was to find out whether he had been in  
04:00 15 the area?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And whether he had a truck?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Now, I think the information that came perhaps  
04:00 20 later was that Mr. Fisher would not have been in  
21 the area and his truck, if he had one, would have  
22 been white or grey but not red. Do you remember  
23 that?

24 A I remember that coming up later, yeah.

04:00 25 Q And so going into the meeting then, the interview



1 with Ms. (V14)- then, you had some suspicions that  
2 maybe Mr. Fisher had been the perpetrator?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Is that fair?

04:00 5 A That's fair.

6 Q Okay. And so in showing the photograph, you  
7 called it a setup, that I guess two possible  
8 objectives, one is that if she identified it, it  
9 would mean that it's not David, and two, if she  
04:01 10 identified it, it might be here's another rape  
11 that Fisher committed?

12 A That's right.

13 Q Now, do you have any -- if we could go to the next  
14 page, and I've been through this with Mr.  
04:01 15 Henderson and Mr. Pearson, she basically gives a  
16 description of the assailant that I think could be  
17 fairly characterized as being similar to Mr.  
18 Fisher, being part Indian?

19 A Correct.

04:01 20 Q A certain height, work boots, work clothes. We  
21 then later see the police report that she filed in  
22 1968 that described a white man, taller, finer  
23 features, and then in her description to Mr.  
24 Pearson later she says no, I never identified  
04:01 25 Larry Fisher, I never said he was part Indian, I



1 never said he was that height, I never said he was  
2 wearing this, but provided a completely different  
3 description than what Mr. Henderson was given, and  
4 I'm wondering if you have any explanation as to  
04:02 5 why you and Mr. Henderson might get a completely  
6 different description of the assailant than the  
7 police did in 1968 and Mr. Pearson did a few  
8 months later in 1991.

9 A All I know is that's the description she gave us.

04:02 10 Q And if we can go to 222275, these I think are your  
11 notes, aren't they, of the meeting? Would these  
12 have been notes of the --

13 A It's my handwriting.

14 Q And again maybe just take a look at it. This  
04:02 15 ended up getting typed and put in the application.  
16 Is this something you would have done at the time  
17 or was it something that was done later for the  
18 application? Do you remember?

19 A I don't remember when I did it, I'm sorry.

04:03 20 Q That's fine. And if we can go to the next --  
21 these would be your notes?

22 A They are my handwritten notes, yes.

23 Q And the next page, what you say here about the  
24 exchange with the photo is:

04:03 25 "She looked at Larry Fisher's picture &



1                   said it could be him but she especially  
2                   remembers his hair longer, dirtier & not  
3                   so clean cut as the picture."

04:03

4                   And again, would that be -- that's similar to  
5                   what you told us before --

6           A        Yes.

7           Q        -- but here I think you are saying her words were  
8                   "it could be him". Do you have a recollection of  
9                   what precise words she used as opposed to what  
10                  your impression was of what she said?

04:03

11          A        That sounds, what I put here sounds more, that  
12                  what she said, "not so clean cut as in the  
13                  picture," she remembered his hair longer, but she  
14                  remembered -- I remember her saying, well, "his  
15                  hair was longer", and I do remember that "dirtier  
16                  & not so clean cut as in the picture".

04:04

17          Q        What about the comment about "it could be him"?

18          A        I don't honestly remember that.

19          Q        Okay.

04:04

20          A        But I don't think I would have put it in at that  
21                  time if she hadn't said it.

22          Q        And then if we can go to the next page, she said:

23                    "She had wanted to come to Wpg to see

24                    David & see if she could identify him."

04:04

25                    And so is it correct to say that after your



1 meeting with her, you and Mr. Henderson, after  
2 this hour long meeting, she still said lookit, I  
3 want to see your son David?

4 A Yes.

04:04 5 Q And in fact I think she maybe had followed up a  
6 bit further with Mr. Asper to try and get either a  
7 photograph or in to see him and those requests  
8 were not accommodated; is that correct?

9 A That's correct.

04:04 10 Q And why was that?

11 A I think David Asper was very suspect of the whole  
12 situation, and Hersh.

13 Q And so that you did not, for example, I mean --

14 A Felt we shouldn't get involved in it.

04:05 15 Q I'm sorry?

16 A Felt that we shouldn't get involved in it, that  
17 she was sort of a crazy woman and that we just  
18 shouldn't get involved in it.

19 Q And then how come it, or why would it then be put  
04:05 20 in the application then to the minister?

21 A Well, because I felt that it was important to go  
22 in because it was part of our investigation and I  
23 felt that it could have been a possibility.

24 Q Now, in the application materials it's listed as  
04:05 25 one of the assaults that Larry Fisher committed



1 and was similar, and again would you agree it was  
2 a bit stronger than it could be one of his, it was  
3 listed as one of his assaults; correct?

4 A Yes, it probably was.

04:05 5 Q And Mr. Henderson expressed some concern, and I  
6 think his evidence here was he didn't think it  
7 should be included. Do you remember any  
8 discussions at the time with Mr. Henderson or Mr.  
9 Asper about this?

04:06 10 A I honestly don't remember why we put it in, or if  
11 there was a reason for putting it in, other than I  
12 felt that if it was, you know -- of course at that  
13 time I was thinking when we put something like  
14 that in it's going to be checked out, but that --

04:06 15 Q Well, it was checked out by Sergeant Pearson and  
16 I'll take you to his memo. He ended up checking  
17 it out and she told him, basically, "I never said  
18 it was Larry Fisher, I never suspected him, I  
19 suspect David Milgaard," and the RCMP then spent a  
04:06 20 fair bit of time for the following years  
21 investigating and dealing with her, that concern,  
22 so again I'm trying to find out what was the  
23 reason it was put into the application.

24 A Well, if I look back on it now, I really felt that  
04:07 25 the woman had come forward, she felt it was David



1 and yet she had identified, as far as I was  
2 concerned, Larry Fisher, and that if it was  
3 possible that Larry Fisher had done it, it should  
4 be in the report, that was my feeling. Now,  
04:07 5 whether I influenced David and Hersh at that time,  
6 you'll have to ask them.

7 Q Okay. I think Mr. Asper couldn't recall much of  
8 that.

9 A We just felt she was a nut case by the end of it.

04:07 10 Q And again, so I go back and say, okay, then what  
11 you are saying is her version of events you didn't  
12 believe?

13 A That's right.

14 Q And then I guess the question is why then would it  
04:07 15 be included in the application to the minister  
16 saying this is a rape that Larry Fisher committed  
17 on this woman knowing that they would go and  
18 investigate and find out that perhaps what you say  
19 wasn't supported by what she said?

04:07 20 A Well, we knew -- we knew that the woman believed  
21 it -- I knew that David couldn't have been in that  
22 area, so I knew it couldn't have been David at  
23 that time, I mean, he wasn't able to get out and  
24 drive or do those things at that time, and I  
04:08 25 really felt, as I said, that because she had come



1 forward and we had investigated it and it just  
2 seemed right to put it in to me, although I think  
3 that probably when I'm making these comments, and  
4 I hate to be making these about this woman now,  
04:08 5 but the part about the nut case, I'm uncomfortable  
6 with that comment, but I think that that maybe  
7 surfaced afterwards, like, in our later dealings  
8 with her.

9 Q But again, let's just go back, at the time of the  
04:08 10 application, August 14th, you would have met with  
11 her once for an hour with Mr. Henderson?

12 A Yes, but don't forget, I had lots of phone calls  
13 and talks with her after that.

14 Q Oh, okay.

04:09 15 A Okay, and so at the time, and then when we put in  
16 the application, it wasn't until afterwards where  
17 we continued to want to see David and everything.

18 Q What were the nature of the subsequent phone  
19 calls, between the time you met with her and the  
04:09 20 time you filed the application was she calling you  
21 to say lookit, I would like to see your son David?

22 A Yes, she was.

23 Q And that would be each of the calls would be her  
24 request to see David?

04:09 25 A Yeah.



1 Q Because she felt that he was the person who raped  
2 her?

3 A That's right.

4 Q And so when you filed the application August 14th,  
04:09 5 1991, is it correct to say that at that time,  
6 although you believed that David had not committed  
7 that rape, that your belief at that time was that  
8 (V14)- (V14)- thought he did?

9 A That's right.

04:09 10 Q And so --

11 A -- I felt it should be in there.

12 Q But in there, and again what I'm trying to  
13 understand is you think this woman is saying your  
14 son raped her, you disagreed with her and you put  
04:10 15 it in the application, though, saying this is a  
16 crime committed by Larry Fisher when you know she  
17 doesn't think that, she thinks your son committed  
18 it, and I'm trying to understand what, whether  
19 there was another reason that it was put into the  
04:10 20 application.

21 A No. I believed that she identified Larry Fisher  
22 as the one that raped her, so I believed she  
23 identified him in that way, I believed that she  
24 went back in her mind to what the police told her  
04:10 25 that it was David Milgaard and she still wanted to



1 see David Milgaard and see if it was him, but in  
2 my mind she had identified Larry Fisher.

3 Q Based on the photograph that you showed her?

4 A Correct.

04:10 5 Q And then following that photograph, she went back  
6 to you and said I want to see your son?

7 A That's right.

8 Q I think he raped me?

9 A Yes.

04:10 10 Q And so you were relying --

11 A And that happened many times, many different times  
12 that she phoned, so at that point we were thinking  
13 she was unstable.

14 Q Okay. And back to this point, and I asked this  
04:11 15 question earlier, I'm going to ask it again, was  
16 it possible that the thinking at the time was that  
17 this woman's allegation that your son raped her  
18 was going to come either to the attention of the  
19 authorities or in the public in the midst of your  
04:11 20 application being considered by the minister and  
21 that one way to diffuse that or to respond to it  
22 would be to include it in your application and put  
23 it as one of the Fisher crimes and if she later  
24 said, well, no, no, it's David Milgaard, that  
04:11 25 somehow the credibility was suspect and it would



1 be, that issue would be moved to the side; in  
2 other words, the best defence is a strong offence,  
3 and by including it you could make sure that her  
4 unfounded allegation in your mind would not hurt  
04:11 5 David's application to the minister. Is that  
6 something that might have been considered or was  
7 considered?

8 A It was not something that I considered, and I  
9 don't think it was considered, but I could be  
04:12 10 wrong.

11 Q And so your thinking at the time when you put it  
12 in is you believed that Fisher may have been the  
13 perpetrator of the crime?

14 A I did.

04:12 15 Q Notwithstanding that she was saying David did it?

16 A Yeah, but don't forget, she didn't say that when I  
17 showed her the picture.

18 Q Okay. And so you were relying on the photograph  
19 exchange?

04:12 20 A Identification, right.

21 Q Now, when the application was filed, and I think I  
22 had shown this to Mr. Henderson, that his  
23 memorandum I think with respect to all of the  
24 other victims, what was filed for the most part  
04:12 25 was his complete memo of the meeting and your memo



1 of the meeting for each of the victims; correct?

2 A Correct.

3 Q With respect to Ms. (V14)-, what was filed was the  
4 first two pages of his memorandum were removed and  
04:12 5 your first page of notes were substituted and so  
6 his first two pages were not included in the  
7 application, and I asked him about that and he  
8 wasn't able to give an answer and he thought that  
9 that shouldn't have happened because his memo was  
04:13 10 complete. Do you have any recollection of how  
11 that came about?

12 A None whatsoever.

13 Q And let me just show you, if we could go to  
14 054518, and this is part of the, I think actually  
04:13 15 the application, and I've compared the typewritten  
16 version and I think it mirrors your handwritten  
17 notes, okay, so this would have been your report  
18 about your meeting with Ms. (V14)-, and I want to  
19 just show from the application an example from one  
04:13 20 of the other files, 000928, please, which is, I  
21 think that's part of the -- 000901 is the second  
22 application and if we could go to page 928. This  
23 is for the -- this is part of the application,  
24 this deals with the (V2)----- matter, and if you  
04:14 25 could just go to the next page, and this is the



1 (V2)----- memorandum of Mr. Henderson, so that's  
2 what's in the application.

3 If you could then go to 048152,  
4 and actually put that on the right-hand side, and  
04:14 5 000928 on the left-hand side, and you'll see the  
6 right-hand side, I think those are your --  
7 actually, there's a further document that I'll  
8 show you in a moment -- no, you don't have to call  
9 it out -- that has the handwritten notes of your  
04:15 10 interview with Ms. (V2)-----, and then I think  
11 your handwritten notes were typed and then I think  
12 for the application in this type of font, or  
13 whatever you want to call it, your report was  
14 reproduced. Does that sound correct?

04:15 15 A I don't know.

16 Q Who would have been responsible for putting  
17 together the documents that went into the  
18 minister?

19 A David Asper and Hersh.

04:15 20 Q Okay. So would you have had any involvement in  
21 what reports went in or out?

22 A No.

23 Q So on the (V14)- matter, you would have given your  
24 notes and memo to Mr. Asper and what ended up in  
04:15 25 the application would have been he or Mr. Wolch



1 then would have decided that?

2 A That's correct.

3 Q Okay. So again if we just want to go, and I'll  
4 show you what I was referring to before, if we go  
04:15 5 to 009 -- so anyway, here's what was for the other  
6 victims, if we go to 000920 --

7 A I guess I don't understand where you are going  
8 with this.

9 Q Yeah, no, I'll show you.

04:16 10 A Thank you.

11 Q I'll try to show you. And this is what's in the  
12 second application to the minister with respect to  
13 the (V14)- matter. The first page mirrors your  
14 handwritten notes of the meeting.

04:16 15 A Okay.

16 Q That's the one that I showed you.

17 A Right.

18 Q Okay. And that is consistent with what's put in  
19 for the other seven victims. If we go to the next  
04:16 20 page, you'll see this picks up, and it goes to  
21 page 3 of Mr. Henderson's memo, and so it may be  
22 simply that the first two pages were taken out of  
23 his memo by somebody, so then page 3, this is  
24 what's included in the application. So your  
04:17 25 memorandum --



1 A So are you saying that page 1 then goes to page 3  
2 and there's no page 2?

3 Q Right. What I'm telling you, what the application  
4 shows, I believe, is that -- let me just back up.  
04:17 5 With respect to the other victims, what is  
6 included is your memorandum of your meeting with  
7 the witness.

8 A Right.

9 Q Mr. Henderson's memorandum of the meeting with the  
04:17 10 witness.

11 A Right.

12 Q So a full version of each.

13 A Right.

14 Q So with respect to Ms. (V14)-, what's included is  
04:17 15 your memorandum, and then Mr. Henderson's  
16 memorandum, the first two pages are taken out, and  
17 Mr. Henderson couldn't figure out why.

18 A I can't either.

19 Q And again, he suggested that I ask you, which is  
04:17 20 why I'm asking you.

21 A Well, I have no idea. Are these our documents or  
22 is that what justice got?

23 Q That's what justice got.

24 A That's what justice got. Interesting.

04:18 25 Q And if you go back to 054514 --



1 A And do we have page 2 and page 1 of Paul  
2 Henderson's somewhere?

3 Q Yes, I'm going to show you that right now.

4 A Okay.

04:18 5 Q And this is page 1, and go to page 2, and this  
6 talks -- and down here, this is where the comment  
7 is made about:

8 "I believe we were able to convince her  
9 that Larry Fisher is a far more probable  
04:18 10 suspect than David Milgaard."

11 And the first two pages set out how the meeting  
12 came about and thought it was a setup and things  
13 of that nature, and the purpose of my question is  
14 to find out if you have any knowledge or  
04:18 15 information as to why, with respect to the (V14)-  
16 matter, the materials filed with the minister  
17 would be different than the other victims and, in  
18 particular, that the first two pages of Mr.  
19 Henderson's memo appear not to have been included  
04:19 20 and either replaced or supplemented with your  
21 memo. Do you have any knowledge or information  
22 as to how that came about?

23 A None whatsoever.

24 Q 158358, please, and perhaps there was one other  
04:19 25 source that I didn't mention to you as a possible



1 explanation. Here is May 14, 1991, Mr. Asper's  
2 letter to Mr. McCloskey:

3 "We are enclosing for your information  
4 copies of the statements made by the  
04:19 5 various victims of Larry Fisher."

6 And I suppose another possibility is that Mr.  
7 McCloskey -- would Mr. McCloskey have put  
8 together your memos and Mr. Henderson's memo --

9 A Yes.

04:19 10 Q -- to prepare the application?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Or was it -- and I hate to get into the mundane of  
13 who actually prepared the paper, but was the  
14 booklet put together in New Jersey by Mr.  
04:20 15 McCloskey or was it done by Mr. Asper's office?

16 A I think it was done by Jim McCloskey's office, and  
17 what makes me think that was in order for us to  
18 have put it together, we would have had to have  
19 his letterhead and I don't think we did, because  
04:20 20 it was done on his letterhead.

21 Q Okay. I'll maybe actually take you to --

22 A I believe.

23 Q I'll get to that. If we go back to 000901, this  
24 is the application to the minister of August 14th,  
04:22 25 and you'll see, here, that in the covering letter



1 from Mr. Wolch he talks about Centurion and then  
2 says:

3 "We agreed and Centurion investigators  
4 developed a startling profile showing  
04:22 5 the similarity of all of Fisher's  
6 attacks and of that committed against  
7 Gail Miller. I am enclosing statements  
8 of the victims and a summary of the  
9 findings ..."

04:22 10 And then if we go ahead to page 000901 -- or  
11 sorry -- 903, this is the cover page, An  
12 Application Made By David Milgaard, submitted by  
13 Mr. Wolch, Mr. Asper, and if you go to the next  
14 page -- or actually 905, the next page, this is  
04:23 15 the table of contents, and this is where it lists  
16 the victims. And was this document something  
17 that Centurion prepared, or do you know, or was  
18 this prepared -- and I'm not talking about the  
19 substantive content, but who put it together?

04:23 20 A I have no idea.

21 Q Okay. If we can go back to 185851, please. And  
22 this is Mr. Henderson's interview notes about  
23 going to see Linda Fisher, and it says:

24 "We drove to Candu to talk with Linda  
25 ...",



1 and I think he said you may have been with her.  
2 Do you remember, around the time that Mr.  
3 Henderson was out to interview the victims, that  
4 you went to see Linda Fisher; is that -- do you  
04:23 5 remember that at all?

6 A Yes, I believe so.

7 Q And, again, it looks like there was just some  
8 further information followed up about Larry Fisher  
9 that, --

04:24 10 A Yes.

11 Q -- presumably, Mr. McCloskey needed to put  
12 together the profile; is that correct?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q And then if you can go to page 853, please.  
04:24 15 There's an interview of Jake Ketler, and here's  
16 where it says:

17 "Ketler recalled that the truck Fisher  
18 bought from the company in September  
19 1970 was a grey and white coloured GMC.  
04:24 20 (Note: this corrects earlier  
21 information from Joyce that the pickup  
22 purchased by Fisher was red)."

23 A Yup.

24 Q And so, I think at the time you interviewed Ms.  
04:24 25 (V14)-, she said there was a red truck and --



1 A And we thought, I think we got some information at  
2 one time that it was a red truck, but because it  
3 says:

4 "this corrects earlier information from  
04:25 5 Joyce that the pickup purchased was  
6 red."

7 Q So at the time you interviewed Ms. (V14)- --

8 A I thought it was a red vehicle.

9 Q If we can go to 054461, please. I want to ask  
04:25 10 you, you mentioned earlier about the fact sheets,  
11 and I've got a number of them here, and I am  
12 wondering if you can just maybe give us an idea of  
13 your involvement in these. This is a, it's called  
14 A Portrait of a Canadian Injustice compiled by  
04:25 15 VOICE, Victims of Injustice Cry Enough, August  
16 1991. And if we can go to the next page, there's  
17 some information there, a quote from David, and  
18 then to the next page, Fact; and do you know, is  
19 this document familiar to you?

04:26 20 A Yes.

21 Q And who was this group?

22 A This document is familiar in the sense that all of  
23 those facts and all of that listings we had on a  
24 fact sheet. This was a supportive group, and the  
04:26 25 first page that you showed me belonged to that



1 group, and they have obviously picked up our fact  
2 sheet and used it. There were a lot of sort of  
3 groups that picked up and became part of it that  
4 were not really part of it.

04:26 5 Q Right. So that this might have been a group that  
6 you knew about but you were not integrally part of  
7 it; is that right?

8 A That's right.

9 Q If we can go to 219257.

04:26 10 A Now that's our fact sheet.

11 Q So that, when you talk about the fact sheet, this  
12 is your document then?

13 A Yes, that's correct.

14 Q And this would be updated from time to time?

04:27 15 A Yes, it would.

16 Q And who would have prepared this, who was the  
17 keeper of this?

18 A Well I think we were all involved in it, David and  
19 Hersh and I, and, you know, in putting it  
04:27 20 together, --

21 Q If we could go to 21 --

22 A -- what should go on it.

23 Q If we could go to 217573, please. Is this  
24 familiar, this --

04:27 25 A Yes, it is.



1 Q And would this be a version of the fact sheet,  
2 then?

3 A Yes, it was.

4 Q And this would be something that you, or people  
04:27 5 helping you, prepared --

6 A Would be using, uh-huh.

7 Q And these would be used to send out to the public  
8 and media, etcetera?

9 A Yes.

04:27 10 Q 219255.

11 A We were going around and getting petitions signed,  
12 as well, so we had the fact sheet to give to  
13 people to look at.

14 Q And, again, would this be another version of that  
04:28 15 that your group, or you or people on your behalf,  
16 would have prepared; does that look familiar?

17 A Yes. Can you go down a little farther?

18 Q Sure. Go to the full page, call out that, it  
19 contains similar information to the other fact  
04:28 20 sheets.

21 A Yeah, but see we've added a bunch of stuff here,  
22 so I think -- do we have a subsequent report that  
23 shows all of this on it? I think we would have  
24 had.

04:28 25 Q Go to --



1 A I think the report changed as our investigation  
2 changed.

3 Q If we could go to 219 -- or 228924, please. And,  
4 again, that is, I think, one typed up --

04:29 5 A Ah, yeah, and that's typed in there.

6 Q So this would be one of your fact sheets?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Okay.

9 A Yes, it would.

04:29 10 Q This is probably an appropriate spot to break for  
11 the day.

12 (Adjourned at 4:29 p.m.)  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25



1        **OFFICIAL QUEEN'S BENCH COURT REPORTERS' CERTIFICATES:**

2        We, Karen Hinz, CSR, and Donald G. Meyer, RPR, CSR,  
3        Official Queen's Bench Court Reporters for the Province of  
4        Saskatchewan, hereby certify that the foregoing pages  
5        contain a true and correct transcription of our shorthand  
6        notes taken herein to the best of our knowledge, skill,  
7        and ability.

8  
9  
10  
11  
12        \_\_\_\_\_, CSR

13        Karen Hinz, CSR

14        Official Queen's Bench Court Reporter

15  
16  
17  
18        \_\_\_\_\_, RPR, CSR

19        Donald G. Meyer, RPR, CSR

20        Official Queen's Bench Court Reporter



<p>'<b>68</b> [1] - 30925:18 '<b>69</b> [5] - 30942:22, 30943:16, 30943:24, 30951:16, 30962:12 '<b>70</b> [1] - 30925:19 '<b>81</b> [2] - 30953:17, 30958:2 '<b>83</b> [1] - 30911:9 '<b>86</b> [1] - 30888:16 '<b>88</b> [1] - 31035:16 '<b>89</b> [2] - 30888:17, 31035:7 '<b>90</b> [5] - 30892:23, 30909:21, 30952:19, 31007:14 '<b>91</b> [1] - 31082:3 '<b>do</b> [1] - 31050:25 '<b>for</b> [1] - 30994:10 '<b>from</b> [1] - 30979:25 '<b>how</b> [1] - 30892:11 '<b>it</b> [1] - 30881:2 '<b>life</b>' [1] - 31019:22 '<b>look</b> [1] - 30988:24 '<b>political</b> [1] - 31095:11 '<b>shaky</b> [1] - 30875:5 '<b>similar</b> [2] - 31082:14, 31082:15 '<b>the</b> [1] - 30880:22 '<b>this</b> [1] - 30979:22 '<b>tortured</b>' [1] - 30913:21 '<b>untold</b> [2] - 30978:17, 30980:18 '<b>we</b> [1] - 30892:12 '<b>worse</b> [1] - 30989:3</p>	<p><b>006737</b> [1] - 31076:11 <b>009</b> [1] - 31129:5 <b>009456</b> [1] - 31062:25 <b>009487</b> [1] - 30903:14 <b>010035</b> [1] - 30892:22 <b>012649</b> [1] - 30927:8 <b>023</b> [1] - 30961:1 <b>026530</b> [1] - 30993:10 <b>026532</b> [1] - 30993:12 <b>027179</b> [1] - 30942:18 <b>030</b> [1] - 30969:3 <b>039118</b> [1] - 30913:19 <b>039140</b> [1] - 30891:5 <b>04</b> [1] - 31081:13 <b>042</b> [1] - 30938:19 <b>043139</b> [2] - 30925:9, 30927:23 <b>048152</b> [1] - 31128:3 <b>054461</b> [1] - 31135:9 <b>054514</b> [2] - 31103:9, 31130:25 <b>054518</b> [1] - 31127:14 <b>059</b> [2] - 30952:20, 31029:5 <b>059336</b> [1] - 31030:4 <b>062</b> [1] - 30954:11 <b>064</b> [1] - 31039:1</p>	<p><b>1</b> [17] - 30925:12, 31008:20, 31013:2, 31032:20, 31033:3, 31050:16, 31051:5, 31052:25, 31055:16, 31055:18, 31056:1, 31056:4, 31056:19, 31063:23, 31130:1, 31131:1, 31131:5 <b>108</b> [1] - 31097:25 <b>10:34</b> [1] - 30942:15 <b>10:52</b> [1] - 30942:16 <b>10th</b> [1] - 30991:17 <b>11th</b> [2] - 31064:22, 31089:22 <b>12:02</b> [1] - 31004:22 <b>12th</b> [6] - 30892:23, 30898:22, 31073:7, 31073:22, 31074:19, 31089:22 <b>132</b> [1] - 30981:2 <b>134</b> [1] - 30981:2 <b>13th</b> [2] - 30993:10, 30993:15 <b>14</b> [1] - 31132:1 <b>148</b> [1] - 31094:23 <b>14th</b> [4] - 30995:8, 31123:10, 31124:4, 31132:24 <b>151</b> [1] - 30860:22 <b>153152</b> [1] - 31001:24 <b>153512</b> [1] - 31003:7 <b>155517</b> [1] - 30871:16 <b>155522</b> [1] - 30871:16 <b>156886</b> [1] - 31087:10 <b>157075</b> [1] - 30877:3 <b>157077</b> [1] - 30878:9 <b>157100</b> [1] - 31000:5 <b>157117</b> [1] - 31013:9 <b>158358</b> [1] - 31131:24 <b>159801</b> [1] - 31062:1 <b>159802</b> [1] - 31059:6 <b>159805</b> [1] - 31053:18 <b>159853</b> [1] - 30890:13 <b>15th</b> [2] - 30943:19, 31003:8 <b>16-minute</b> [1] - 31030:11 <b>162374</b> [1] - 31015:4 <b>162386</b> [1] - 30991:16 <b>162441</b> [2] - 31074:19, 31077:17 <b>163</b> [1] - 30919:3 <b>163079</b> [1] - 30919:10 <b>165532</b> [1] - 31073:6 <b>16th</b> [1] - 31087:10 <b>17</b> [1] - 30942:19 <b>17-18</b> [1] - 30933:8 <b>171</b> [1] - 30917:19 <b>17th</b> [5] - 30860:21, 30932:22, 30961:2, 30972:8, 31062:8 <b>18</b> [2] - 30892:11, 30951:23 <b>182</b> [1] - 31045:3 <b>185851</b> [1] - 31133:21 <b>188</b> [1] - 30974:18 <b>18th</b> [2] - 30923:12, 31083:18 <b>19</b> [2] - 30951:23, 31081:17 <b>1968</b> [7] - 31101:18, 31102:1, 31103:18, 31108:1, 31111:16, 31117:22, 31118:7 <b>1969</b> [6] - 30872:7, 30914:11, 30914:13, 30943:8, 31000:15, 31103:22 <b>1970</b> [1] - 31134:19 <b>1980</b> [1] - 31003:17 <b>1981</b> [5] - 30943:14, 30943:19, 30944:11, 30945:1, 30960:19 <b>1983</b> [3] - 30910:20, 30910:21, 30911:6 <b>1988</b> [5] - 30869:9, 30888:17, 30940:25, 31051:21, 31063:21 <b>1989</b> [2] - 30877:24 <b>1990</b> [80] - 30864:12, 30864:19, 30865:24, 30868:1, 30868:5, 30871:4, 30872:4, 30875:3, 30878:4, 30880:14, 30887:10, 30891:8, 30893:4, 30897:17, 30898:22, 30903:15, 30903:16, 30904:5, 30905:22, 30910:6, 30910:17, 30913:14, 30919:17, 30921:16, 30923:8, 30925:10, 30925:12, 30932:6, 30932:23, 30933:9, 30933:13, 30937:12, 30938:4, 30939:24, 30940:21, 30942:19, 30944:1, 30969:4, 30972:7, 30972:13, 30973:5, 30973:13, 30977:10, 30977:21, 30978:4, 30980:24, 30981:5, 30988:4, 30988:7, 30991:17, 30993:10, 30994:19, 30995:8, 31003:8, 31005:1, 31007:21, 31008:18, 31008:20, 31011:15, 31013:2, 31022:5, 31030:5, 31030:22, 31033:3, 31036:2, 31041:3, 31046:23, 31046:24, 31050:14, 31051:5, 31052:25, 31054:14, 31056:1, 31056:4, 31059:7, 31060:3, 31063:23, 31087:21, 31096:14 <b>1991</b> [23] - 30870:24, 30970:18, 31057:11, 31062:2, 31064:22, 31065:13, 31072:16, 31073:7, 31074:19, 31074:23, 31078:23, 31081:10, 31083:18, 31086:22, 31087:10, 31089:22, 31089:24, 31090:17, 31096:15, 31118:8, 31124:5, 31132:1, 31135:16 <b>1992</b> [1] - 31057:11 <b>1:31</b> [1] - 31004:23 <b>1st</b> [1] - 31058:6</p>	<p><b>2</b> [3] - 31130:2, 31131:1, 31131:5 <b>2006</b> [1] - 30860:21 <b>21</b> [6] - 30903:16, 30904:5, 30906:15, 30909:21, 30965:17, 31136:21 <b>212782</b> [1] - 31088:2 <b>213025</b> [1] - 31064:22 <b>213049</b> [1] - 31008:17 <b>213827</b> [1] - 31089:20 <b>213829</b> [1] - 31089:25 <b>217222</b> [2] - 31007:4, 31054:8 <b>217573</b> [1] - 31136:23 <b>219</b> [1] - 31138:3 <b>219255</b> [1] - 31137:10 <b>219257</b> [1] - 31136:9 <b>220989</b> [1] - 30977:19 <b>222275</b> [1] - 31118:10 <b>222477</b> [1] - 31050:13 <b>224162</b> [2] - 31083:14, 31086:21 <b>224215</b> [1] - 31083:14 <b>224221</b> [1] - 31086:20 <b>228924</b> [1] - 31138:3 <b>229913</b> [1] - 30880:13 <b>22nd</b> [1] - 30903:15 <b>24</b> [2] - 30909:21, 31008:18 <b>24th</b> [2] - 30910:6, 30910:16 <b>25</b> [4] - 30973:5, 30977:21, 31030:5, 31030:22 <b>269317</b> [4] - 30874:7, 30917:16, 30919:7, 31094:22 <b>269475</b> [1] - 31094:23 <b>269483</b> [1] - 30874:8 <b>269495</b> [1] - 30917:17 <b>27th</b> [3] - 30924:13, 31065:13, 31083:1 <b>28th</b> [2] - 31063:21, 31081:19 <b>29th</b> [2] - 30991:24, 31004:25 <b>2:42</b> [1] - 31067:8 <b>2nd</b> [3] - 30914:11, 30914:13, 31086:22</p>	<p><b>3</b> [5] - 30942:22, 31015:9, 31129:21, 31129:23, 31130:1</p>
--	---	--	---	--



<p><b>30864</b> [1] - 30863:4  <b>30th</b> [1] - 31089:24  <b>33</b> [1] - 30987:24  <b>331162</b> [2] - 30995:4, 31044:13  <b>331180</b> [1] - 31044:13  <b>331186</b> [1] - 31045:13  <b>331207</b> [1] - 30995:4  <b>334970</b> [1] - 30987:20  <b>334982</b> [1] - 30988:1  <b>336</b> [1] - 30980:25  <b>336054</b> [5] - 30945:18, 30950:12, 30952:18, 30972:15, 30981:1  <b>336107</b> [1] - 30950:14  <b>336109</b> [1] - 30951:9  <b>336169</b> [1] - 30973:7  <b>336785</b> [1] - 30903:8  <b>336852</b> [1] - 31041:2  <b>336901</b> [1] - 31041:2  <b>336950</b> [2] - 30864:10, 30984:25  <b>336976</b> [1] - 30985:1  <b>337</b> [1] - 30960:25  <b>337022</b> [3] - 30931:21, 30956:6, 30959:5  <b>337023</b> [1] - 30972:6  <b>337028</b> [1] - 30963:24  <b>337034</b> [1] - 30959:7  <b>337040</b> [1] - 30932:3  <b>337043</b> [1] - 31036:25  <b>337062</b> [1] - 31037:1  <b>337105</b> [4] - 30980:23, 31030:19, 31046:13, 31046:22  <b>337127</b> [1] - 31030:20  <b>337131</b> [1] - 31031:7  <b>337140</b> [1] - 31046:23  <b>37</b> [1] - 31062:5  <b>37th</b> [1] - 31062:13  <b>392</b> [1] - 31081:15  <b>3:02</b> [1] - 31067:9  <b>3rd</b> [4] - 30925:10, 30943:15, 30962:11, 31062:11</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>5</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>523</b> [1] - 30871:16  <b>538</b> [1] - 31073:8</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>6</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>6</b> [1] - 30875:3  <b>60</b> [1] - 31100:7  <b>690</b> [2] - 30879:17, 31061:11  <b>6th</b> [4] - 30880:14, 30887:10, 30923:7, 30923:15</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>7</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>72</b> [2] - 31050:24, 31052:10  <b>797</b> [2] - 30903:8  <b>7th</b> [2] - 30891:11, 30923:8</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>8</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>853</b> [1] - 31134:14  <b>8th</b> [1] - 31091:10</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>9</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>9</b> [1] - 31050:14  <b>903</b> [1] - 31133:11  <b>905</b> [1] - 31133:14  <b>928</b> [1] - 31127:22  <b>970</b> [1] - 30864:18  <b>9:01</b> [1] - 30864:2  <b>9th</b> [1] - 31064:25</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>A</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>Aaron</b> [1] - 30862:8  <b>ability</b> [1] - 31139:7  <b>able</b> [16] - 30895:6, 30898:17, 30900:14, 30907:2, 30946:18, 30966:1, 30998:18, 31016:20, 31018:19, 31022:21, 31075:2, 31101:4, 31110:9, 31122:23, 31127:8, 31131:8  <b>absence</b> [1] - 31090:4  <b>absolutely</b> [5] - 30931:13, 30986:3,</p>	<p>30986:14, 31055:22, 31055:23  <b>Absolutely</b> [12] - 30881:14, 30891:4, 30891:24, 30892:21, 30903:4, 30912:8, 30940:24, 31005:16, 31075:21, 31085:18, 31105:13, 31111:1  <b>absurdity</b> [1] - 31018:3  <b>acceptable</b> [1] - 30871:25  <b>access</b> [4] - 30958:14, 31099:18, 31102:19, 31108:18  <b>accommodated</b> [1] - 31120:8  <b>accord</b> [1] - 31018:11  <b>according</b> [8] - 30900:19, 30911:3, 30951:1, 31001:9, 31001:10, 31005:4, 31013:11, 31060:10  <b>Accounting</b> [3] - 30997:6, 30997:7, 31103:18  <b>account</b> [3] - 30901:11, 31050:21, 31070:3  <b>accountability</b> [1] - 31048:24  <b>accounts</b> [2] - 31006:9, 31006:11  <b>accurate</b> [4] - 30900:21, 31018:13, 31093:18, 31109:23  <b>accurately</b> [1] - 31088:16  <b>accused</b> [2] - 31055:2, 31108:4  <b>acknowledged</b> [1] - 30901:4  <b>act</b> [1] - 31082:14  <b>acting</b> [4] - 31009:18, 31009:22, 31045:8, 31063:9  <b>action</b> [4] - 30870:7, 30877:7, 30882:21, 31042:15  <b>actions</b> [1] - 31060:17  <b>active</b> [1] - 31076:17  <b>actively</b> [2] - 30934:4, 31063:9  <b>acts</b> [1] - 31004:1  <b>actual</b> [1] - 30951:22  <b>add</b> [2] - 31008:14, 31085:15  <b>added</b> [2] - 30951:24, 31137:21  <b>addition</b> [4] - 30944:10, 31046:8, 31055:1,</p>	<p>31094:12  <b>additional</b> [1] - 31094:14  <b>address</b> [9] - 30899:24, 31017:12, 31017:18, 31018:20, 31032:5, 31032:8, 31032:17, 31090:13  <b>addresses</b> [4] - 30925:13, 30926:11, 30927:20, 30929:22  <b>addressing</b> [2] - 31003:1, 31082:9  <b>Adjoined</b> [4] - 30942:15, 31004:22, 31067:8, 31138:12  <b>administration</b> [1] - 31042:12  <b>admission</b> [1] - 31005:8  <b>admitted</b> [4] - 30900:23, 30901:1, 31048:11, 31049:16  <b>admitting</b> [1] - 30998:2  <b>advance</b> [1] - 30866:20  <b>advantage</b> [3] - 30954:25, 31010:16, 31017:4  <b>adverse</b> [3] - 30908:8, 30908:24, 30918:15  <b>adversely</b> [1] - 30886:13  <b>advertently</b> [1] - 30947:24  <b>advice</b> [8] - 30869:7, 30877:20, 30877:25, 30941:10, 31066:13, 31066:19, 31076:16, 31079:21  <b>advise</b> [2] - 30896:7, 30921:23  <b>advised</b> [2] - 30907:17, 31045:20  <b>affect</b> [2] - 30926:9, 30947:23  <b>affected</b> [2] - 30875:13, 30940:2  <b>affidavit</b> [4] - 31037:7, 31040:15, 31068:6  <b>affront</b> [1] - 31078:3  <b>afraid</b> [2] - 30918:16, 30939:11  <b>afternoon</b> [1] - 31067:7  <b>Afterwards</b> [1] - 31108:13  <b>afterwards</b> [6] - 30917:15, 30948:12, 30956:5, 30956:8, 31123:7, 31123:16  <b>agency</b> [1] - 30934:19  <b>agitated</b> [2] - 30979:19,</p>	<p>30979:20  <b>ago</b> [3] - 30881:21, 31045:17, 31045:23  <b>agree</b> [6] - 30872:3, 30888:1, 30925:7, 31049:11, 31067:22, 31121:1  <b>agreed</b> [7] - 30925:3, 31049:9, 31070:1, 31079:21, 31103:7, 31133:3  <b>agreeing</b> [1] - 31071:24  <b>agreement</b> [1] - 30900:12  <b>aha</b> [1] - 31115:15  <b>ahead</b> [11] - 30886:16, 30888:17, 30905:15, 30905:20, 30967:25, 30969:25, 30970:2, 31010:20, 31031:7, 31072:25, 31133:10  <b>air</b> [1] - 30904:24  <b>akin</b> [1] - 30990:1  <b>Alain</b> [1] - 30878:1  <b>alarm</b> [1] - 31029:16  <b>Albert</b> [24] - 30880:6, 30909:21, 30910:2, 30910:5, 30910:16, 30910:23, 30911:13, 30911:19, 30912:1, 30914:10, 30915:13, 30916:16, 30917:3, 30918:15, 30919:14, 30920:23, 30933:5, 30933:21, 30938:1, 30959:19, 30959:22, 30966:15, 30978:5, 30988:20  <b>alive</b> [1] - 30869:4  <b>all-encompassing</b> [1] - 30942:8  <b>allegation</b> [9] - 31008:11, 31008:15, 31110:24, 31111:3, 31111:19, 31111:21, 31114:2, 31125:17, 31126:4  <b>allegations</b> [4] - 31065:24, 31066:1, 31066:5, 31066:12  <b>Alleged</b> [1] - 30880:16  <b>alleged</b> [1] - 31005:2  <b>alleges</b> [1] - 31000:18  <b>allow</b> [2] - 30979:7, 31043:3  <b>allowed</b> [3] - 30909:15, 30948:7, 31072:6  <b>allowing</b> [1] - 30905:13  <b>allows</b> [1] - 31042:17  <b>almost</b> [5] - 30895:24,</p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>4</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>4</b> [3] - 30973:13, 30977:10, 31059:7  <b>483</b> [1] - 30874:8  <b>49</b> [1] - 31032:4  <b>4:29</b> [1] - 31138:12  <b>4th</b> [3] - 30872:4, 30891:8, 31073:13</p>				



<p>30962:8, 31033:8, 31055:24, 31062:1 <b>alternative</b> [1] - 31069:8 <b>ambiguity</b> [1] - 31002:5 <b>analyse</b> [1] - 31079:13 <b>analysis</b> [1] - 31084:2 <b>and...you</b> [1] - 31039:7 <b>anniversary</b> [1] - 31062:3 <b>answer</b> [7] - 30996:19, 30996:21, 30996:22, 31029:13, 31038:6, 31048:21, 31127:8 <b>answered</b> [2] - 30912:18, 31051:24 <b>answers</b> [3] - 30967:25, 31012:8, 31012:11 <b>anticipated</b> [1] - 30877:7 <b>anyhow</b> [3] - 30905:19, 31048:10, 31062:12 <b>anyway</b> [3] - 30941:12, 30941:13, 31129:5 <b>Anyway</b> [1] - 30986:14 <b>apart</b> [2] - 30913:7, 30977:4 <b>apologize</b> [2] - 31067:10, 31067:13 <b>apparent</b> [1] - 31002:6 <b>Appeal</b> [1] - 31078:21 <b>appeal</b> [1] - 30874:11 <b>appeals</b> [1] - 30979:24 <b>appear</b> [7] - 30893:9, 30958:4, 30960:22, 30970:12, 30991:20, 31052:19, 31131:19 <b>Appearances</b> [1] - 30862:1 <b>appeared</b> [5] - 30866:12, 30875:3, 30950:16, 31047:3, 31073:15 <b>applicant</b> [1] - 31061:12 <b>Application</b> [3] - 30938:24, 30939:9, 31133:12 <b>application</b> [100] - 30869:8, 30877:9, 30879:17, 30879:19, 30880:8, 30894:24, 30895:6, 30907:1, 30908:25, 30937:24, 30939:25, 30940:4, 30940:10, 30940:13, 30940:22, 30940:25, 30941:15, 30941:17, 30941:25, 30978:22, 30979:4, 31001:8, 31008:10, 31013:18,</p>	<p>31025:25, 31026:13, 31026:20, 31027:1, 31028:18, 31034:13, 31035:15, 31051:20, 31054:22, 31055:5, 31056:6, 31056:17, 31061:9, 31061:16, 31063:20, 31065:15, 31067:18, 31068:17, 31069:19, 31070:20, 31072:15, 31079:1, 31079:6, 31080:17, 31081:12, 31081:21, 31083:3, 31084:9, 31084:17, 31085:13, 31085:20, 31085:21, 31086:13, 31086:16, 31086:17, 31088:3, 31088:15, 31088:19, 31089:5, 31089:7, 31089:11, 31089:19, 31098:12, 31109:5, 31110:20, 31112:10, 31112:13, 31118:15, 31118:18, 31120:20, 31120:24, 31121:23, 31122:15, 31123:10, 31123:16, 31123:20, 31124:4, 31124:15, 31124:20, 31125:20, 31125:22, 31126:5, 31126:21, 31127:7, 31127:15, 31127:19, 31127:22, 31127:23, 31128:2, 31128:12, 31128:25, 31129:12, 31129:24, 31130:3, 31132:10, 31132:24 <b>apply</b> [2] - 31019:3, 31019:10 <b>applying</b> [1] - 30880:1 <b>appreciate</b> [12] - 30883:9, 30884:13, 30885:15, 30887:8, 30897:14, 30915:15, 30941:22, 31034:13, 31051:6, 31062:15, 31067:6, 31089:8 <b>appreciated</b> [3] - 30945:16, 31020:25, 31021:7 <b>approach</b> [4] - 30911:19, 31012:13, 31059:18, 31114:5 <b>approached</b> [1] - 31103:4 <b>approaching</b> [2] - 30988:23, 31043:23 <b>appropriate</b> [5] - 30942:14, 31004:20,</p>	<p>31019:5, 31066:13, 31138:10 <b>appropriately</b> [1] - 30906:22 <b>approved</b> [1] - 31027:1 <b>approximate</b> [1] - 30988:3 <b>April</b> [12] - 30864:12, 30904:2, 30930:15, 30933:13, 30943:19, 30953:16, 30971:12, 31078:23, 31086:22, 31087:10, 31087:14, 31089:23 <b>area</b> [12] - 30928:11, 30929:23, 31003:22, 31004:14, 31017:5, 31017:12, 31103:18, 31108:20, 31115:24, 31116:15, 31116:21, 31122:22 <b>areas</b> [3] - 30937:5, 31084:6, 31086:4 <b>argument</b> [3] - 31017:25, 31031:19, 31032:22 <b>arguments</b> [1] - 30884:21 <b>arm</b> [1] - 31088:8 <b>arrangement</b> [1] - 30904:4 <b>arrest</b> [1] - 31103:21 <b>arrested</b> [5] - 31044:17, 31044:18, 31046:1, 31046:4, 31046:10 <b>arrival</b> [1] - 31023:2 <b>arsenal</b> [1] - 31036:21 <b>article</b> [39] - 30890:14, 30913:20, 30932:21, 30932:23, 30942:18, 30942:21, 30943:2, 30945:19, 30946:6, 30946:10, 30946:23, 30947:18, 30950:16, 30950:18, 30952:23, 30955:19, 30957:23, 30959:20, 30961:3, 30961:19, 30962:22, 30963:2, 30972:9, 30985:3, 30987:17, 30991:19, 30991:24, 30993:6, 30993:10, 31004:25, 31007:14, 31007:17, 31007:20, 31008:6, 31054:2, 31054:9, 31054:13, 31059:6, 31062:20 <b>articles</b> [4] - 30977:20, 31006:23, 31094:5, 31094:11</p>	<p><b>articling</b> [1] - 30998:10 <b>aside</b> [2] - 30875:16, 30926:3 <b>aspect</b> [2] - 30886:15, 30913:8 <b>Asper</b> [220] - 30864:20, 30864:25, 30865:7, 30866:17, 30868:19, 30870:2, 30870:9, 30872:5, 30872:12, 30872:14, 30873:11, 30877:3, 30877:17, 30879:15, 30880:4, 30880:25, 30881:4, 30882:1, 30883:1, 30884:17, 30885:17, 30889:10, 30889:13, 30890:16, 30890:17, 30892:4, 30892:5, 30892:22, 30892:23, 30893:6, 30893:9, 30894:22, 30895:15, 30895:20, 30896:3, 30899:2, 30899:8, 30899:16, 30900:3, 30901:19, 30901:23, 30905:23, 30907:4, 30910:1, 30911:18, 30914:19, 30915:20, 30918:6, 30919:11, 30921:15, 30921:23, 30922:11, 30925:6, 30925:10, 30930:25, 30938:23, 30940:19, 30942:20, 30943:11, 30944:6, 30944:14, 30945:20, 30948:21, 30950:13, 30950:15, 30951:1, 30951:6, 30951:17, 30952:4, 30953:12, 30955:22, 30956:2, 30956:21, 30957:9, 30957:22, 30958:24, 30962:23, 30962:24, 30968:19, 30970:8, 30970:9, 30972:22, 30973:9, 30973:17, 30974:8, 30974:11, 30974:18, 30975:11, 30976:3, 30976:15, 30976:24, 30978:17, 30978:18, 30978:25, 30979:18, 30979:24, 30980:24, 30981:3, 30981:8, 30981:12, 30981:16, 30982:13, 30982:14, 30982:17, 30984:12, 30985:2, 30985:8, 30985:18, 30985:24, 30986:20, 30986:21,</p>	<p>30987:4, 30987:10, 30987:23, 30991:17, 30995:6, 30995:8, 30995:18, 30996:12, 30997:2, 30997:9, 30997:22, 30998:9, 30998:13, 30998:24, 31000:7, 31000:25, 31001:17, 31001:21, 31001:22, 31002:9, 31002:18, 31005:9, 31006:5, 31006:6, 31006:7, 31006:18, 31008:1, 31008:14, 31008:21, 31009:25, 31011:3, 31011:14, 31012:18, 31015:5, 31018:12, 31018:16, 31023:8, 31023:14, 31023:21, 31024:8, 31024:12, 31026:5, 31026:16, 31030:13, 31030:25, 31031:8, 31031:17, 31032:9, 31032:15, 31032:21, 31034:5, 31037:5, 31037:20, 31039:23, 31040:14, 31041:22, 31043:20, 31044:3, 31044:12, 31044:15, 31044:19, 31044:22, 31045:11, 31045:15, 31045:18, 31046:2, 31046:8, 31050:16, 31051:3, 31055:2, 31055:6, 31055:22, 31056:12, 31056:25, 31057:1, 31057:15, 31057:21, 31058:8, 31059:11, 31061:17, 31066:2, 31071:16, 31073:12, 31073:15, 31074:8, 31074:10, 31076:14, 31078:17, 31084:14, 31087:11, 31087:17, 31091:17, 31093:2, 31093:7, 31094:18, 31095:3, 31097:23, 31103:14, 31104:7, 31104:12, 31104:23, 31109:12, 31120:6, 31120:11, 31121:9, 31122:7, 31128:19, 31128:24, 31133:13 <b>Asper's</b> [16] - 30868:7, 30885:23, 30896:17, 30918:18, 30919:15, 30920:10, 30941:10, 30951:9, 30982:24, 30983:21, 31033:20,</p>
---	--	---	--	--



<p>31051:15, 31055:15, 31073:23, 31132:1, 31132:15 <b>assailant</b> [2] - 31117:16, 31118:6 <b>assault</b> [1] - 31098:2 <b>assaults</b> [2] - 31120:25, 31121:3 <b>assessment</b> [1] - 30997:25 <b>assessments</b> [1] - 30886:2 <b>assist</b> [6] - 30898:25, 30989:19, 30991:11, 31033:6, 31057:9, 31092:2 <b>Assistant</b> [2] - 30861:5, 30861:6 <b>assisted</b> [1] - 30907:10 <b>assisting</b> [2] - 30913:7, 30992:1 <b>assume</b> [4] - 30873:5, 30922:10, 31069:24, 31092:12 <b>assumed</b> [6] - 30872:18, 30872:24, 30873:14, 30971:2, 31007:25, 31026:1 <b>assuming</b> [5] - 30873:25, 30970:3, 30970:5, 30986:22, 30991:22 <b>assumption</b> [9] - 30871:19, 30871:22, 30872:8, 30876:7, 30876:11, 30971:25, 30972:4, 31069:20, 31070:16 <b>assure</b> [1] - 30908:7 <b>attached</b> [1] - 31050:19 <b>attack</b> [1] - 31055:25 <b>attacked</b> [1] - 31103:17 <b>attacks</b> [6] - 30937:15, 31095:1, 31096:3, 31096:9, 31097:11, 31133:6 <b>attempted</b> [1] - 30928:24 <b>attend</b> [1] - 31015:1 <b>attending</b> [1] - 31008:22 <b>attention</b> [19] - 30870:25, 30875:8, 30875:9, 30875:11, 30875:18, 30880:10, 30881:8, 30890:4, 30914:1, 30947:21, 30992:14, 30992:22, 31000:8, 31011:1, 31011:6, 31019:24,</p>	<p>31032:24, 31033:6, 31125:18 <b>attorney</b> [2] - 30964:6, 31103:16 <b>attribute</b> [1] - 30904:12 <b>attributed</b> [3] - 30901:7, 30904:13, 30993:18 <b>audience</b> [2] - 31034:25, 31035:2 <b>Audio</b> [1] - 30861:13 <b>august</b> [1] - 30988:6 <b>August</b> [17] - 30938:3, 30991:16, 30991:24, 30993:10, 30993:15, 30994:19, 30995:7, 30995:8, 31003:7, 31004:25, 31072:16, 31074:23, 31081:19, 31123:10, 31124:4, 31132:24, 31135:15 <b>authorities</b> [7] - 30884:14, 30884:19, 30889:6, 30916:1, 30949:8, 31112:11, 31125:19 <b>available</b> [2] - 30998:18, 31015:23 <b>avenue</b> [4] - 30886:7, 30886:9, 30886:10, 30886:11 <b>avoid</b> [2] - 31088:14, 31089:4 <b>aware</b> [24] - 30871:3, 30879:12, 30879:14, 30879:22, 30898:24, 30901:18, 30901:22, 30902:5, 30902:8, 30902:20, 30902:25, 30925:17, 30944:14, 30999:3, 31014:8, 31014:11, 31020:12, 31033:2, 31034:8, 31043:25, 31065:8, 31066:21, 31093:1, 31113:14 <b>awareness</b> [1] - 30871:8 <b>awful</b> [1] - 30983:14 <b>awkward</b> [1] - 30891:21 <b>Axworthy</b> [1] - 31059:23</p>	<p>30983:6, 30983:11, 30983:16, 30983:20, 30984:2, 30984:3, 30984:14, 30984:19, 30990:24, 30991:5, 30991:9, 30998:15, 31046:17, 31076:16, 31088:23, 31114:12 <b>ballistic</b> [1] - 31057:1 <b>bang</b> [1] - 31112:1 <b>barn</b> [1] - 30887:21 <b>Bartlett</b> [1] - 31035:17 <b>base</b> [1] - 31028:13 <b>based</b> [17] - 30871:21, 30872:4, 30902:11, 30944:6, 30951:4, 30955:20, 31027:12, 31028:19, 31036:10, 31044:1, 31044:7, 31046:6, 31068:6, 31069:25, 31111:10, 31112:2, 31116:5 <b>Based</b> [1] - 31125:3 <b>basement</b> [1] - 30929:6 <b>basis</b> [11] - 30876:7, 30879:10, 30881:10, 30888:22, 30890:20, 30895:13, 30922:13, 30938:24, 31005:17, 31079:15, 31090:6 <b>bear</b> [1] - 31081:1 <b>beat</b> [1] - 31111:25 <b>became</b> [15] - 30901:22, 30907:12, 30908:24, 30915:12, 30915:18, 30920:12, 30925:17, 30966:19, 30966:20, 31020:4, 31057:1, 31059:10, 31073:17, 31090:20, 31136:3 <b>become</b> [7] - 30879:12, 30879:14, 30898:24, 30952:12, 31066:21, 31077:20, 31113:14 <b>becoming</b> [3] - 30902:5, 30979:18, 30987:9 <b>begin</b> [1] - 30982:18 <b>behalf</b> [9] - 30877:9, 31009:18, 31010:11, 31040:9, 31063:9, 31073:18, 31073:24, 31075:18, 31137:15 <b>behaved</b> [1] - 30898:2 <b>behaving</b> [1] - 31043:24 <b>behind</b> [2] - 31079:20, 31109:9 <b>Beitel</b> [1] - 30861:9 <b>belief</b> [2] - 30870:9, 31124:7</p>	<p><b>believable</b> [3] - 31109:1, 31113:4, 31113:6 <b>Believable</b> [1] - 31113:7 <b>bell</b> [1] - 30927:21 <b>belonged</b> [1] - 31135:25 <b>Bench</b> [4] - 31139:1, 31139:3, 31139:14, 31139:20 <b>benefit</b> [3] - 30913:13, 30964:24, 30968:13 <b>Beresh</b> [1] - 30862:10 <b>Bessborough</b> [1] - 30860:16 <b>best</b> [6] - 30885:13, 30885:22, 31020:7, 31111:24, 31126:2, 31139:6 <b>better</b> [14] - 30865:10, 30869:1, 30874:2, 30887:1, 30890:4, 30912:17, 30912:21, 30930:24, 30968:4, 31012:14, 31015:14, 31084:18, 31105:4, 31114:13 <b>between</b> [21] - 30872:12, 30899:2, 30923:15, 30924:19, 30932:1, 30952:4, 30958:24, 30973:14, 31002:8, 31012:17, 31043:2, 31044:11, 31046:24, 31080:20, 31082:1, 31082:5, 31082:18, 31090:12, 31091:14, 31106:9, 31123:19 <b>beyond</b> [1] - 31078:2 <b>bias</b> [2] - 31023:7, 31055:12 <b>biased</b> [3] - 31024:24, 31025:2, 31066:4 <b>Biased</b> [1] - 31024:25 <b>big</b> [2] - 30906:11, 31026:2 <b>binder</b> [3] - 31015:21, 31015:23, 31016:21 <b>biographies</b> [1] - 30982:13 <b>bit</b> [30] - 30867:16, 30876:18, 30881:20, 30891:21, 30902:3, 30909:13, 30912:15, 30950:5, 30959:8, 30964:3, 30972:16, 30973:7, 30978:1, 30982:4, 30993:21, 31011:1, 31011:14,</p>	<p>31027:3, 31027:19, 31036:3, 31039:24, 31049:5, 31060:25, 31077:7, 31087:1, 31108:9, 31113:1, 31120:6, 31121:2, 31121:20 <b>bizarre</b> [1] - 30874:16 <b>black</b> [1] - 31015:21 <b>blacked</b> [1] - 30907:23 <b>blackmailed</b> [1] - 31113:12 <b>blame</b> [1] - 31048:22 <b>blamed</b> [2] - 31010:7, 31108:8 <b>blends</b> [1] - 30897:22 <b>block</b> [1] - 30929:8 <b>blood</b> [6] - 30910:24, 30911:20, 30911:23, 30912:4, 30914:14, 30914:17 <b>blue</b> [1] - 31101:9 <b>blunt</b> [1] - 30881:1 <b>bluntly</b> [1] - 31007:9 <b>Bob</b> [2] - 30991:19, 30999:15 <b>Bobs</b> [3] - 30862:5, 31005:6, 31095:9 <b>Boechler</b> [1] - 30861:13 <b>bogged</b> [1] - 30937:20 <b>bombshell</b> [1] - 30928:6 <b>bone</b> [5] - 30973:22, 30974:14, 30975:18, 30975:22, 30976:9 <b>bone-handled</b> [5] - 30973:22, 30974:14, 30975:18, 30975:22, 30976:9 <b>book</b> [10] - 30874:7, 30917:16, 30917:18, 30919:6, 30919:7, 30982:5, 30982:6, 30982:12, 30982:19, 31094:22 <b>booklet</b> [1] - 31132:14 <b>books</b> [1] - 31032:2 <b>booth</b> [1] - 31109:19 <b>boots</b> [1] - 31117:20 <b>Boswell</b> [1] - 30861:4 <b>bother</b> [1] - 30976:15 <b>bothered</b> [2] - 30892:7, 30957:23 <b>bottom</b> [17] - 30878:9, 30880:20, 30896:3, 30899:19, 30933:18, 30962:17, 30964:8, 30966:25, 30968:13, 30979:21, 30986:20, 30987:2, 30994:7,</p>
<p><b>B</b></p>				
	<p><b>background</b> [1] - 30973:8 <b>backhanded</b> [1] - 30995:20 <b>bad</b> [19] - 30882:13, 30981:18, 30982:2,</p>			



30997:22, 31018:21, 31059:15, 31110:5 <b>bought</b> [2] - 30999:22, 31134:18 <b>boy</b> [1] - 30946:16 <b>Boyd</b> [1] - 30870:24 <b>break</b> [10] - 30942:14, 30966:3, 30975:15, 30975:16, 31004:21, 31007:1, 31067:3, 31067:6, 31067:7, 31138:10 <b>break-in</b> [2] - 30975:15, 30975:16 <b>breakdown</b> [1] - 31067:11 <b>Breakthrough</b> [1] - 30881:19 <b>breakthrough</b> [1] - 30881:20 <b>Brecht</b> [7] - 30934:18, 30937:22, 30937:23, 30938:14, 31087:11, 31087:17, 31087:20 <b>Brian</b> [1] - 30862:10 <b>brief</b> [1] - 30870:14 <b>briefed</b> [1] - 31017:15 <b>briefing</b> [2] - 31017:21, 31032:2 <b>Bring</b> [1] - 31062:25 <b>bring</b> [8] - 30945:14, 30954:20, 30992:14, 30995:3, 31042:15, 31042:22, 31044:12, 31081:16 <b>broadcast</b> [2] - 30907:7, 30907:22 <b>broader</b> [1] - 30999:19 <b>brother</b> [3] - 30914:23, 30915:1 <b>brought</b> [7] - 30870:24, 30880:10, 30954:16, 31019:22, 31019:24, 31032:24, 31085:7 <b>Bruce</b> [3] - 30862:9, 30906:20, 31008:25 <b>bug</b> [1] - 30935:19 <b>bugged</b> [2] - 31037:16, 31110:14 <b>bugging</b> [2] - 30953:1, 30957:24 <b>build</b> [2] - 31080:6, 31082:17 <b>bunch</b> [3] - 31029:20, 31074:9, 31137:21 <b>burning</b> [1] - 30991:19 <b>bus</b> [6] - 31083:21, 31103:1, 31103:2, 31103:4, 31110:8, 31113:20	<b>butter</b> [1] - 31112:4  <b>C</b>  <b>Cadrain</b> [30] - 30878:11, 30880:6, 30909:21, 30910:2, 30910:4, 30910:5, 30910:16, 30911:13, 30911:19, 30912:2, 30914:10, 30915:13, 30918:4, 30918:15, 30919:14, 30920:23, 30928:19, 30933:5, 30933:21, 30938:1, 30959:19, 30959:22, 30960:18, 30961:6, 30977:23, 30978:4, 30978:5, 30988:21, 31024:6 <b>Caldwell</b> [32] - 30862:5, 30897:4, 30942:24, 30952:7, 30952:8, 30989:6, 30989:15, 30989:20, 30991:19, 30992:1, 30992:7, 30992:23, 30995:17, 30996:11, 30996:17, 30997:6, 30997:7, 30997:12, 30997:18, 31000:10, 31000:14, 31001:2, 31001:15, 31003:19, 31005:3, 31005:6, 31005:18, 31008:8, 31046:4, 31046:10, 31066:3, 31095:9 <b>Caldwells</b> [5] - 31001:8, 31003:18, 31004:10, 31016:15, 31032:16 <b>caller</b> [1] - 31103:19 <b>callers</b> [1] - 30937:9 <b>calm</b> [1] - 31056:13 <b>calmed</b> [1] - 30918:6 <b>Calvin</b> [1] - 30862:13 <b>Cam</b> [1] - 30919:24 <b>camera</b> [2] - 30874:14, 30939:23 <b>cameras</b> [2] - 30887:5, 30913:10 <b>campaign</b> [8] - 30887:16, 30913:17, 30915:13, 31011:15, 31012:4, 31012:7, 31076:14, 31086:16 <b>campaign</b> [1] - 31095:12 <b>Campbell</b> [18] - 30868:14, 30874:12,	30883:15, 30884:10, 30939:21, 30979:5, 31054:24, 31059:7, 31059:10, 31065:14, 31075:25, 31076:14, 31077:5, 31077:7, 31080:23, 31084:6, 31086:3, 31086:5 <b>Canada</b> [3] - 30862:12, 30919:18, 30964:12 <b>Canadian</b> [1] - 31135:14 <b>cancelled</b> [1] - 30925:2 <b>Candace</b> [1] - 30861:3 <b>Candu</b> [1] - 31133:24 <b>canned</b> [1] - 30989:8 <b>cannot</b> [5] - 30876:8, 30908:1, 30908:3, 30965:23, 31077:23 <b>Canwest</b> [1] - 30866:10 <b>cap</b> [1] - 30918:3 <b>card</b> [2] - 30921:6, 31100:15 <b>care</b> [2] - 30909:10, 31074:20 <b>careful</b> [1] - 31002:8 <b>Carl</b> [4] - 30925:11, 30932:2, 30932:6, 30933:16 <b>Carlyle</b> [3] - 30910:19, 30910:21, 31004:10 <b>Carlyle-gordge</b> [2] - 30910:19, 30910:21 <b>Carlyle-gordge's</b> [1] - 31004:10 <b>carried</b> [1] - 30966:23 <b>Carry</b> [1] - 31102:7 <b>carry</b> [1] - 30977:19 <b>case</b> [60] - 30868:19, 30883:11, 30890:5, 30891:2, 30892:9, 30893:15, 30895:16, 30914:1, 30914:7, 30918:8, 30922:17, 30924:2, 30926:21, 30934:25, 30936:17, 30943:5, 30943:9, 30946:2, 30946:25, 30947:21, 30948:21, 30960:16, 30965:18, 30965:20, 30968:16, 30969:15, 30974:23, 30990:5, 30992:11, 30994:4, 30998:16, 30998:20, 31002:13, 31005:14, 31009:1, 31011:10, 31012:1, 31013:20, 31013:23, 31014:15, 31017:12, 31028:9, 31050:22, 31053:22, 31055:7,	31059:8, 31060:16, 31066:10, 31066:18, 31069:22, 31072:7, 31082:17, 31094:19, 31099:4, 31099:12, 31103:1, 31103:20, 31104:1, 31122:9, 31123:5 <b>cases</b> [2] - 30950:2, 31011:11 <b>Catherine</b> [1] - 30862:5 <b>caught</b> [1] - 31048:17 <b>Caught</b> [1] - 31083:21 <b>caused</b> [7] - 30882:7, 30889:18, 30889:21, 30910:7, 30931:18, 31009:25, 31028:1 <b>causes</b> [2] - 30890:3, 30947:20 <b>causing</b> [3] - 30869:19, 30949:2, 30991:12 <b>Cbc</b> [23] - 30903:16, 30904:3, 30905:9, 30907:8, 30925:11, 30927:1, 30927:14, 30930:14, 30932:2, 30932:5, 30932:11, 30933:11, 30933:22, 30935:1, 30935:21, 30937:11, 30938:21, 30940:9, 30969:5, 31037:3, 31047:2, 31047:3, 31078:12 <b>Cec</b> [8] - 30927:6, 30932:2, 30933:16, 30959:6, 30985:8, 30985:9, 30985:11, 30986:24 <b>centre</b> [1] - 30865:3 <b>Centurion</b> [8] - 31062:22, 31063:2, 31063:12, 31085:8, 31085:14, 31133:1, 31133:3, 31133:17 <b>certain</b> [5] - 31017:15, 31019:24, 31040:7, 31071:25, 31117:20 <b>certainly</b> [25] - 30869:6, 30876:1, 30876:2, 30881:15, 30913:2, 30913:10, 30927:12, 30944:4, 30947:3, 30957:19, 30964:20, 30966:10, 30968:10, 30990:12, 30996:11, 30997:12, 31002:22, 31016:7, 31025:17, 31029:17, 31034:1, 31053:13, 31055:15, 31060:24, 31100:25	<b>certainty</b> [1] - 30902:15 <b>Certificates</b> [1] - 31139:1 <b>certify</b> [1] - 31139:4 <b>challenge</b> [5] - 30871:23, 30912:11, 31078:20, 31084:15, 31084:19 <b>challenged</b> [2] - 31049:5, 31050:19 <b>challenges</b> [1] - 30972:17 <b>challenging</b> [3] - 30869:23, 30915:10, 31065:1 <b>change</b> [7] - 30973:1, 31017:20, 31018:17, 31018:18, 31025:3, 31099:22, 31110:25 <b>change</b> [4] - 30912:3, 30926:8, 30929:18, 30955:23 <b>changed</b> [7] - 30864:13, 30877:1, 30904:5, 30907:7, 31078:1, 31138:1, 31138:2 <b>Changed</b> [1] - 30877:2 <b>changes</b> [1] - 30871:2 <b>channels</b> [1] - 30988:19 <b>characterize</b> [1] - 31074:12 <b>characterized</b> [1] - 31117:17 <b>charge</b> [4] - 30865:1, 30899:25, 31005:10, 31065:10 <b>charged</b> [2] - 30943:10, 30978:23 <b>Charter</b> [1] - 31078:6 <b>check</b> [7] - 30873:25, 30877:19, 30899:4, 30971:10, 31037:6, 31040:15, 31103:1 <b>checked</b> [9] - 30873:18, 30899:5, 30905:3, 30905:4, 30926:16, 30926:22, 30931:11, 31121:14, 31121:15 <b>checking</b> [2] - 31116:6, 31121:16 <b>Cheryl</b> [1] - 30861:6 <b>chicken</b> [1] - 30999:23 <b>chief</b> [1] - 30884:8 <b>Chief</b> [1] - 30964:8 <b>chronologically</b> [1] - 30903:11 <b>chronology</b> [1] - 30924:6 <b>circumstances</b> [4] - 30885:14, 30976:1,
---	---	--	---	--



<p>31066:9, 31080:25  <b>city</b> [2] - 30914:10, 31086:10  <b>City</b>[2] - 30916:5, 31016:13  <b>claim</b> [1] - 30915:22  <b>claimed</b> [1] - 31103:15  <b>clarify</b> [1] - 30986:18  <b>clause</b> [1] - 30988:13  <b>claws</b> [1] - 30990:8  <b>clean</b> [3] - 31119:3, 31119:12, 31119:16  <b>clear</b> [7] - 30916:15, 31019:25, 31020:5, 31049:22, 31051:25, 31052:22, 31095:12  <b>clearly</b> [2] - 31055:12, 31077:15  <b>clerk</b> [1] - 30895:3  <b>Clerk</b>[1] - 30861:9  <b>client</b> [3] - 30890:19, 31009:15, 31055:13  <b>client's</b> [1] - 30963:5  <b>clippings</b> [1] - 30919:12  <b>close</b> [5] - 30891:17, 30894:18, 30943:9, 31080:14, 31097:24  <b>closely</b> [1] - 30949:21  <b>closing</b> [2] - 31032:7, 31032:16  <b>cloth</b> [1] - 31113:10  <b>clothes</b> [1] - 31117:20  <b>clothing</b> [1] - 30910:24  <b>co</b> [3] - 30944:23, 31055:9, 31076:18  <b>co-conspirator</b> [1] - 31076:18  <b>co-counsel</b> [1] - 31055:9  <b>co-operating</b> [1] - 30944:23  <b>coals</b> [1] - 30897:8  <b>Code</b>[3] - 31042:9, 31042:16, 31061:11  <b>coffee</b> [3] - 30890:9, 30913:24, 30946:22  <b>cognizant</b> [1] - 30869:8  <b>collateral</b> [1] - 30913:13  <b>collected</b> [1] - 31028:14  <b>Colleen</b>[1] - 30866:6  <b>Colleen's</b> [1] - 30866:5  <b>Colonial</b>[1] - 31091:10  <b>coloured</b> [1] - 31134:19  <b>column</b> [1] - 31007:6  <b>combination</b> [1] - 30879:7  <b>comfortable</b> [1] - 31060:13  <b>coming</b> [23] - 30871:4,</p>	<p>30883:5, 30883:8, 30895:12, 30906:11, 30914:7, 30917:4, 30924:15, 30932:8, 30965:12, 30974:16, 30981:20, 30983:17, 30992:2, 31024:15, 31033:5, 31044:23, 31056:12, 31071:3, 31075:20, 31078:9, 31100:18, 31116:24  <b>comment</b> [15] - 30874:8, 30900:7, 30966:14, 30967:1, 30968:12, 30979:21, 30980:4, 30980:5, 31032:19, 31049:4, 31049:5, 31054:16, 31119:17, 31123:6, 31131:6  <b>commented</b> [1] - 31109:21  <b>comments</b> [8] - 30979:1, 30982:24, 30994:8, 31006:2, 31020:6, 31054:10, 31067:20, 31123:3  <b>Commission</b>[11] - 30860:2, 30860:14, 30861:1, 30861:2, 30861:9, 30870:19, 31094:8, 31096:12, 31096:23, 31097:6, 31100:13  <b>Commissioner</b>[16] - 30864:3, 30919:4, 30919:8, 30922:4, 30956:7, 30956:10, 30956:14, 30972:5, 30972:11, 30988:2, 31001:21, 31001:23, 31001:25, 31002:3, 31067:10, 31067:12  <b>Commissioner's</b> [1] - 31002:22  <b>committed</b> [18] - 30926:1, 30929:12, 30930:1, 30946:12, 30968:2, 30977:17, 31004:1, 31107:23, 31107:25, 31108:5, 31108:7, 31117:11, 31120:25, 31122:16, 31124:6, 31124:16, 31124:17, 31133:6  <b>common</b> [1] - 30879:8  <b>communicated</b> [1] - 30979:10  <b>communicating</b> [1] - 31044:4</p>	<p><b>communication</b> [1] - 30958:23  <b>company</b> [2] - 31115:25, 31134:18  <b>comparative</b> [1] - 31084:2  <b>compare</b> [1] - 31079:14  <b>compared</b> [1] - 31127:15  <b>compelling</b> [1] - 31026:6  <b>competency</b> [1] - 30955:13  <b>competition</b> [2] - 30905:23, 30907:16  <b>compiled</b> [1] - 31135:14  <b>complaint</b> [2] - 31005:11, 31112:9  <b>complete</b> [10] - 30865:18, 30879:19, 30893:2, 30893:3, 30893:5, 30895:24, 31013:23, 31014:14, 31126:25, 31127:10  <b>completed</b> [1] - 31013:19  <b>completely</b> [4] - 30962:8, 31055:3, 31118:2, 31118:5  <b>components</b> [1] - 30913:9  <b>concentrated</b> [1] - 31011:11  <b>concentrating</b> [1] - 31011:10  <b>concern</b> [25] - 30875:16, 30884:16, 30887:24, 30918:13, 30920:10, 30941:2, 30941:18, 30949:13, 30950:18, 30982:23, 31010:1, 31018:15, 31028:5, 31033:20, 31040:6, 31040:12, 31040:18, 31060:18, 31060:23, 31060:24, 31064:12, 31110:18, 31121:5, 31121:21  <b>concerned</b> [18] - 30908:24, 30909:4, 30909:5, 30916:13, 30928:6, 30942:7, 30975:13, 30976:4, 30976:15, 31002:7, 31028:1, 31028:2, 31037:9, 31059:17, 31110:23, 31112:10, 31122:2  <b>concerning</b> [1] - 30900:8</p>	<p><b>concerns</b> [18] - 30881:25, 30906:7, 30909:6, 30998:13, 31011:2, 31011:19, 31012:4, 31027:25, 31028:22, 31036:11, 31055:6, 31056:9, 31056:10, 31056:19, 31061:22, 31061:25, 31067:2, 31113:25  <b>conclude</b> [1] - 30940:1  <b>concluded</b> [4] - 31014:21, 31027:11, 31066:8, 31084:16  <b>concludes</b> [1] - 30881:2  <b>conclusive</b> [2] - 30937:2, 30938:17  <b>condition</b> [1] - 31030:1  <b>conduct</b> [3] - 30990:17, 30997:17, 31001:8  <b>conducted</b> [1] - 31000:14  <b>conducting</b> [1] - 31095:8  <b>conference</b> [3] - 30986:16, 31052:18, 31075:17  <b>conferred</b> [1] - 31005:5  <b>confessed</b> [2] - 31093:21  <b>confident</b> [1] - 30959:16  <b>confidential</b> [1] - 30907:13  <b>confirm</b> [3] - 30878:19, 30893:4, 31102:4  <b>confirmed</b> [5] - 30900:20, 30997:11, 31005:4, 31070:18, 31073:15  <b>conflict</b> [3] - 31005:2, 31005:10, 31012:16  <b>confrontations</b> [1] - 30874:12  <b>confusing</b> [1] - 31002:10  <b>Congram</b> [1] - 30861:3  <b>conjoined</b> [1] - 30945:23  <b>connection</b> [1] - 30998:21  <b>connections</b> [1] - 30929:23  <b>conscience</b> [1] - 31077:22  <b>consider</b> [7] - 30883:16, 30883:22, 30889:2, 30915:20, 30972:25, 31008:22, 31059:17</p>	<p><b>consideration</b> [5] - 30884:6, 30947:15, 30947:18, 31069:7, 31078:18  <b>considered</b> [10] - 30882:1, 30914:20, 30916:9, 31018:7, 31069:14, 31125:20, 31126:6, 31126:7, 31126:8, 31126:9  <b>considering</b> [2] - 30876:4, 31086:13  <b>consistent</b> [1] - 31129:8  <b>conspiracy</b> [1] - 30968:22  <b>conspirator</b> [1] - 31076:18  <b>contact</b> [5] - 30869:3, 30878:24, 30892:8, 30972:22, 31043:13  <b>contacted</b> [4] - 30998:9, 30998:17, 31066:3, 31104:7  <b>contacting</b> [1] - 31104:11  <b>contain</b> [4] - 30910:17, 30918:1, 31139:5  <b>contained</b> [2] - 31015:20, 31023:3  <b>contains</b> [1] - 31137:19  <b>contaminated</b> [4] - 30876:20, 30880:19, 30901:2, 31070:19  <b>contamination</b> [2] - 30901:11, 31070:2  <b>contemplated</b> [2] - 30886:5, 31026:7  <b>content</b> [2] - 30905:15, 31133:19  <b>contents</b> [1] - 31133:15  <b>context</b> [4] - 30877:2, 30983:10, 31002:6, 31026:25  <b>continually</b> [1] - 30977:4  <b>continue</b> [2] - 30963:20, 31085:22  <b>Continued</b>[1] - 30863:3  <b>continued</b> [4] - 30864:5, 30903:3, 30961:13, 31123:17  <b>continues</b> [1] - 31039:2  <b>continuity</b> [1] - 30900:24  <b>contrary</b> [2] - 30925:17, 31007:11  <b>contrast</b> [1] - 31039:4  <b>contributed</b> [1] - 31088:9</p>
---	--	---	---	--



<p><b>control</b> [1] - 30908:4  <b>convenient</b> [1] - 31115:4  <b>conversation</b> [28] - 30896:4, 30896:17, 30937:7, 30938:20, 30952:18, 30952:22, 30957:20, 30967:23, 30980:23, 30981:23, 30982:9, 30983:8, 30985:1, 30985:20, 30986:2, 30986:5, 30987:12, 30987:20, 30987:22, 30987:25, 30988:1, 30988:9, 30989:23, 30995:5, 31006:3, 31013:24, 31030:20, 31087:21  <b>conversations</b> [5] - 30867:25, 30937:8, 30957:21, 30987:14, 31013:13  <b>conveyed</b> [1] - 30893:18  <b>convicted</b> [8] - 30872:23, 30881:9, 30890:20, 30928:22, 30929:2, 30958:7, 31081:2, 31111:12  <b>Conviction</b> [1] - 30860:4  <b>conviction</b> [9] - 30878:16, 30880:1, 30889:20, 30889:23, 30918:5, 30927:10, 30929:1, 30958:9, 31088:10  <b>convince</b> [4] - 30888:16, 31110:9, 31112:3, 31131:8  <b>convinced</b> [2] - 30955:25, 31007:10  <b>convincing</b> [1] - 31026:6  <b>copies</b> [3] - 30942:11, 31023:24, 31132:4  <b>copious</b> [1] - 31018:25  <b>copy</b> [14] - 30907:4, 30945:6, 30951:21, 30951:25, 30957:5, 30957:10, 31003:15, 31023:23, 31091:23, 31091:25, 31092:16, 31092:17, 31092:22, 31092:23  <b>Corbett</b> [6] - 30985:10, 30986:16, 30986:23, 30986:24, 31009:1, 31014:4  <b>corner</b> [1] - 30941:16</p>	<p><b>correct</b> [198] - 30866:6, 30868:2, 30868:5, 30868:12, 30868:18, 30868:22, 30872:8, 30875:11, 30877:16, 30881:6, 30882:24, 30887:14, 30888:8, 30889:24, 30889:25, 30890:1, 30891:13, 30891:14, 30893:13, 30895:17, 30903:21, 30903:22, 30904:10, 30912:14, 30913:17, 30913:18, 30918:18, 30919:19, 30919:20, 30919:22, 30920:2, 30920:6, 30920:14, 30920:15, 30920:19, 30921:2, 30921:3, 30923:18, 30924:23, 30924:24, 30925:20, 30925:21, 30926:15, 30926:21, 30926:24, 30928:20, 30930:14, 30931:14, 30933:9, 30933:10, 30940:10, 30940:23, 30941:1, 30941:10, 30943:17, 30943:18, 30943:24, 30944:2, 30944:11, 30946:10, 30946:14, 30950:19, 30950:20, 30950:21, 30952:9, 30953:13, 30955:16, 30959:3, 30959:4, 30960:20, 30961:7, 30967:23, 30972:20, 30972:21, 30973:3, 30974:13, 30975:19, 30976:3, 30976:13, 30977:25, 30979:6, 30983:19, 30986:23, 30989:17, 30992:18, 30997:14, 31001:2, 31002:15, 31003:12, 31005:18, 31010:13, 31011:13, 31011:18, 31011:21, 31012:12, 31012:15, 31013:5, 31014:4, 31014:7, 31014:17, 31015:1, 31016:3, 31027:6, 31028:12, 31030:3, 31033:20, 31035:12, 31036:15, 31040:5, 31041:22, 31042:20, 31043:12, 31043:14, 31043:20, 31045:12, 31049:15, 31051:15, 31051:16, 31052:19, 31053:10, 31053:19,</p>	<p>31055:15, 31056:8, 31056:18, 31059:13, 31059:14, 31060:9, 31061:21, 31062:23, 31062:24, 31063:4, 31066:6, 31067:23, 31070:23, 31071:12, 31073:9, 31073:10, 31073:19, 31073:20, 31073:22, 31074:17, 31076:10, 31076:22, 31077:1, 31077:9, 31079:4, 31079:6, 31082:24, 31084:19, 31084:20, 31085:19, 31086:14, 31086:15, 31087:8, 31087:19, 31087:25, 31088:1, 31092:19, 31094:7, 31096:7, 31097:9, 31097:17, 31097:18, 31098:9, 31098:10, 31098:15, 31098:21, 31099:15, 31099:23, 31099:24, 31102:23, 31104:6, 31104:10, 31104:21, 31106:12, 31106:13, 31107:18, 31110:3, 31111:2, 31111:5, 31111:17, 31111:18, 31112:8, 31113:18, 31113:24, 31114:6, 31119:25, 31120:8, 31120:9, 31121:3, 31124:5, 31127:1, 31128:14, 31129:2, 31134:12, 31134:13, 31136:13, 31139:5  <b>Correct</b> [24] - 30870:12, 30876:6, 30888:9, 30920:9, 30952:10, 30953:14, 30955:17, 30978:11, 31005:19, 31008:16, 31014:23, 31014:25, 31017:22, 31028:21, 31041:23, 31053:17, 31070:24, 31091:19, 31099:16, 31114:4, 31114:7, 31117:19, 31125:4, 31127:2  <b>corrected</b> [1] - 30977:11  <b>corrects</b> [2] - 31134:20, 31135:4  <b>corresponded</b> [1] - 31035:21  <b>correspondence</b> [2] - 31066:25, 31067:19,</p>	<p><b>corroborated</b> [2] - 31020:2, 31020:16  <b>corroborates</b> [3] - 31020:14, 31068:11, 31068:13  <b>corruption</b> [1] - 31095:14  <b>counsel</b> [18] - 30873:16, 30926:21, 30926:22, 30942:6, 30943:8, 30967:5, 30998:11, 31012:23, 31014:8, 31015:24, 31051:2, 31055:9, 31056:20, 31063:23, 31066:14, 31067:1, 31080:16, 31093:1  <b>Counsel</b> [2] - 30861:2, 30864:4  <b>counsels</b> [1] - 30989:4  <b>count</b> [1] - 30966:17  <b>country</b> [5] - 30979:24, 31075:1, 31075:7, 31075:10, 31077:24  <b>couple</b> [13] - 30864:9, 30866:20, 30898:23, 30907:20, 30949:4, 30977:20, 31030:12, 31067:16, 31069:19, 31095:2, 31097:20, 31098:1, 31103:13  <b>courage</b> [3] - 31051:1, 31052:12, 31065:1  <b>course</b> [8] - 30876:22, 31009:14, 31021:23, 31041:15, 31064:10, 31072:7, 31080:9, 31121:12  <b>court</b> [3] - 30905:3, 30963:11, 31042:3  <b>Court</b> [31] - 30861:10, 30926:17, 30926:22, 30927:7, 30927:9, 30927:14, 30964:12, 30967:10, 30968:4, 31019:4, 31019:5, 31019:7, 31057:12, 31057:14, 31057:25, 31058:2, 31078:19, 31078:21, 31084:4, 31084:14, 31084:15, 31084:18, 31084:22, 31097:7, 31097:8, 31097:13, 31097:16, 31139:1, 31139:3, 31139:14, 31139:20  <b>cover</b> [5] - 30968:23, 30994:14, 30994:22, 30995:2, 31133:11  <b>cover-up</b> [1] - 30968:23</p>	<p><b>coverage</b> [3] - 30919:21, 31011:3, 31061:13  <b>covered</b> [1] - 30977:23  <b>covering</b> [3] - 30919:24, 30968:2, 31132:25  <b>Cpic</b> [4] - 30925:18, 30930:25, 30931:5, 30931:14  <b>crack</b> [1] - 30946:18  <b>crazy</b> [1] - 31120:17  <b>create</b> [1] - 30867:6  <b>credence</b> [1] - 31034:6  <b>credibility</b> [5] - 30912:11, 30963:4, 31085:7, 31085:15, 31125:25  <b>credible</b> [3] - 30915:22, 30920:13, 31034:12  <b>crept</b> [2] - 30907:17, 31047:16  <b>crew</b> [3] - 30932:11, 30933:12, 30935:1  <b>crime</b> [9] - 30876:19, 30876:23, 30881:17, 31107:22, 31107:24, 31108:4, 31108:6, 31124:16, 31126:13  <b>crimes</b> [5] - 30909:12, 30969:13, 31079:14, 31108:7, 31125:23  <b>criminal</b> [4] - 31023:1, 31042:22, 31044:9, 31077:21  <b>Criminal</b> [1] - 31042:16  <b>criticism</b> [2] - 31036:13, 31076:25  <b>cross</b> [1] - 30897:9  <b>cross-examined</b> [1] - 30897:9  <b>Crown</b> [5] - 30946:12, 30952:9, 30967:4, 30973:21, 30990:16  <b>Crown's</b> [4] - 30899:23, 30974:24, 30993:16, 31032:5  <b>Cry</b> [1] - 31135:15  <b>Csr</b> [8] - 30861:10, 30861:11, 31139:2, 31139:12, 31139:13, 31139:18, 31139:19  <b>Ctv</b> [1] - 31038:18  <b>culprit</b> [1] - 30929:20  <b>cultural</b> [1] - 30865:3  <b>cut</b> [5] - 30874:15, 30936:20, 31119:3, 31119:12, 31119:16  <b>cuts</b> [2] - 30936:20, 31040:4</p>
--	---	---	---	--



<p style="text-align: center;"><b>D</b></p> <p><b>daily</b> [1] - 30922:14  <b>damage</b> [4] - 30883:7, 30947:24, 30978:17, 30978:21  <b>damage'</b> [1] - 30980:18  <b>damaging</b> [1] - 31112:13  <b>Dan</b> [51] - 30874:19, 30874:22, 30890:8, 30890:13, 30891:8, 30891:19, 30892:3, 30913:20, 30919:21, 30932:23, 30942:18, 30950:17, 30952:19, 30952:21, 30953:14, 30953:15, 30954:17, 30955:22, 30956:21, 30959:20, 30962:20, 30962:22, 30962:24, 30972:9, 30987:21, 30987:23, 30988:1, 30988:9, 30990:6, 30991:19, 30991:23, 30992:13, 30992:15, 30992:16, 31005:4, 31008:6, 31041:4, 31041:17, 31042:13, 31043:2, 31043:11, 31043:20, 31058:23, 31058:25, 31084:4, 31085:24  <b>danger</b> [1] - 30888:13  <b>date</b> [20] - 30864:19, 30887:11, 30890:14, 30892:2, 30903:15, 30924:12, 30925:24, 30928:16, 30963:16, 30972:5, 30973:5, 31030:5, 31050:8, 31062:7, 31062:9, 31062:10, 31062:12, 31076:12, 31102:17  <b>dated</b> [2] - 31007:20, 31054:13  <b>dates</b> [1] - 31057:10  <b>daughter</b> [1] - 30909:5  <b>Dave</b> [2] - 30919:24, 31093:25  <b>David</b> [274] - 30860:4, 30862:2, 30862:11, 30864:25, 30866:24, 30868:6, 30869:5, 30869:13, 30872:5, 30872:14, 30872:23, 30873:11, 30873:13, 30876:9, 30876:16, 30876:19, 30876:23,</p>	<p>30876:24, 30877:10, 30878:16, 30880:25, 30881:9, 30881:17, 30885:8, 30885:24, 30887:1, 30888:16, 30888:23, 30889:10, 30889:19, 30892:5, 30894:17, 30899:8, 30901:5, 30901:7, 30901:13, 30901:15, 30902:19, 30902:22, 30913:7, 30914:15, 30918:6, 30918:12, 30923:21, 30925:10, 30928:12, 30928:14, 30929:6, 30938:23, 30940:19, 30943:5, 30943:11, 30946:2, 30955:22, 30956:1, 30956:21, 30958:7, 30962:18, 30962:19, 30962:23, 30965:14, 30966:15, 30966:17, 30969:8, 30970:8, 30970:9, 30970:19, 30971:19, 30972:22, 30973:9, 30973:14, 30974:4, 30974:7, 30974:15, 30975:17, 30976:3, 30976:8, 30976:15, 30976:21, 30976:22, 30977:4, 30977:15, 30977:16, 30978:21, 30978:25, 30979:14, 30979:16, 30981:7, 30981:8, 30981:12, 30981:14, 30981:16, 30982:14, 30982:17, 30985:8, 30985:18, 30985:24, 30986:11, 30986:21, 30987:4, 30987:6, 30987:8, 30987:22, 30991:17, 30995:6, 30996:7, 30998:15, 31000:15, 31001:20, 31001:22, 31002:6, 31002:8, 31002:9, 31002:18, 31002:19, 31002:24, 31003:1, 31005:9, 31005:23, 31006:8, 31008:21, 31009:5, 31009:7, 31009:10, 31009:15, 31009:18, 31009:19, 31009:22, 31010:1, 31010:9, 31010:11, 31011:2, 31011:5, 31011:19, 31012:12, 31012:17, 31015:9, 31015:17, 31021:7,</p>	<p>31021:8, 31021:12, 31022:8, 31022:15, 31022:24, 31024:4, 31024:17, 31025:1, 31025:17, 31029:7, 31029:9, 31029:16, 31029:19, 31033:19, 31034:3, 31035:5, 31035:8, 31035:21, 31035:22, 31038:14, 31039:8, 31039:10, 31039:18, 31040:6, 31040:16, 31041:5, 31041:10, 31041:20, 31041:21, 31041:22, 31042:1, 31042:21, 31043:9, 31043:12, 31043:24, 31044:4, 31044:5, 31045:1, 31046:6, 31050:18, 31051:3, 31051:13, 31052:15, 31052:20, 31053:5, 31053:10, 31055:2, 31055:22, 31056:12, 31056:25, 31057:1, 31062:10, 31064:24, 31069:20, 31070:5, 31070:16, 31073:14, 31074:1, 31074:20, 31075:13, 31075:23, 31076:2, 31076:3, 31076:4, 31077:20, 31078:2, 31078:10, 31079:19, 31084:4, 31086:2, 31093:13, 31095:3, 31097:23, 31101:12, 31101:15, 31101:20, 31102:18, 31103:14, 31103:21, 31104:4, 31104:13, 31105:4, 31105:22, 31106:20, 31108:1, 31108:22, 31109:12, 31110:11, 31110:13, 31110:14, 31111:13, 31111:21, 31112:4, 31112:22, 31112:23, 31114:1, 31114:6, 31114:11, 31114:20, 31115:11, 31115:16, 31117:9, 31119:24, 31120:3, 31120:11, 31121:19, 31121:25, 31122:5, 31122:21, 31122:22, 31123:17, 31123:21, 31123:24, 31124:6, 31124:25, 31125:1, 31125:24, 31126:15, 31128:19, 31131:10, 31133:12,</p>	<p>31135:17, 31136:18  <b>David's</b> [27] - 30868:10, 30872:21, 30888:20, 30899:5, 30902:18, 30903:5, 30910:24, 30911:20, 30929:1, 30967:18, 30976:12, 31010:9, 31025:3, 31025:18, 31027:22, 31029:25, 31033:16, 31043:17, 31057:5, 31057:12, 31057:13, 31060:24, 31088:9, 31095:12, 31110:25, 31112:13, 31126:5  <b>days</b> [5] - 30865:9, 30866:20, 30880:17, 30907:20, 30985:22  <b>dead</b> [2] - 31048:1, 31071:5  <b>deal</b> [7] - 30904:10, 30906:16, 31010:10, 31018:18, 31042:4, 31111:2, 31111:19  <b>dealing</b> [4] - 31012:9, 31068:3, 31092:2, 31121:21  <b>dealings</b> [10] - 30908:12, 30948:4, 31002:16, 31002:17, 31090:25, 31092:25, 31093:1, 31094:2, 31100:24, 31123:7  <b>deals</b> [2] - 30907:19, 31127:24  <b>dealt</b> [3] - 30870:23, 31026:18, 31091:13  <b>death</b> [4] - 31080:20, 31080:25, 31082:2, 31082:19  <b>Deborah</b> [15] - 30896:10, 30897:4, 30898:3, 30999:14, 31018:6, 31019:25, 31020:10, 31020:13, 31039:5, 31040:3, 31043:22, 31068:6, 31068:10, 31069:3  <b>decade</b> [1] - 30951:25  <b>December</b> [4] - 31035:16, 31057:10, 31059:7, 31063:21  <b>decent</b> [1] - 31078:4  <b>decide</b> [2] - 30900:4, 30994:12  <b>Decided</b> [1] - 31083:23  <b>decided</b> [8] - 30885:21, 30886:21, 30905:25, 30910:9, 31028:19, 31072:25, 31129:1</p>	<p><b>deciding</b> [1] - 31102:25  <b>decision</b> [22] - 30864:13, 30886:3, 30886:4, 30886:13, 30888:7, 30905:20, 30920:7, 30949:17, 31014:9, 31027:6, 31028:13, 31041:25, 31042:2, 31076:15, 31077:25, 31078:1, 31078:17, 31078:20, 31078:25, 31083:6, 31084:16  <b>decision-makers</b> [4] - 30886:13, 30888:7, 30920:7, 30949:17  <b>Declare</b> [1] - 31076:13  <b>declare</b> [1] - 31077:11  <b>declared</b> [2] - 31075:24, 31077:8  <b>Declaring</b> [1] - 31076:9  <b>defence</b> [7] - 30943:8, 30946:17, 30956:3, 30967:5, 30998:15, 31111:24, 31126:2  <b>defend</b> [1] - 31060:17  <b>defends</b> [1] - 31059:7  <b>definitely</b> [7] - 30876:22, 30889:25, 30936:16, 30949:19, 30991:9, 31016:25, 31056:14  <b>degree</b> [2] - 30971:21, 31000:9  <b>delay</b> [4] - 30939:12, 30941:11, 30941:14, 31064:13  <b>delaying</b> [1] - 30978:22  <b>delays</b> [3] - 31088:13, 31089:3, 31089:13  <b>Delays</b> [2] - 30978:17, 30980:18  <b>deliberately</b> [1] - 30948:17  <b>Delta</b> [1] - 30860:16  <b>Demanding</b> [1] - 31050:23  <b>denied</b> [1] - 31040:18  <b>Dennis</b> [7] - 30878:11, 30910:2, 30910:4, 30910:20, 30911:3, 30916:15, 30978:4  <b>department</b> [8] - 30923:3, 30971:20, 30994:17, 31014:10, 31054:20, 31058:19, 31059:12, 31076:1  <b>Department</b> [34] - 30867:14, 30878:23, 30889:11, 30893:12,</p>
---	---	--	---	--



<p>30913:21, 30914:16  <b>deteriorating</b> [1] - 30885:9  <b>determination</b> [1] - 30871:23  <b>determined</b> [1] - 31104:3  <b>developed</b> [1] - 31133:4  <b>devoted</b> [1] - 31032:6  <b>diary</b> [1] - 31083:15  <b>difference</b> [1] - 30974:4  <b>different</b> [24] - 30884:14, 30923:13, 30931:9, 30939:8, 30939:25, 30940:5, 30940:9, 30940:23, 30941:17, 30950:5, 30965:5, 30993:21, 31011:5, 31012:13, 31068:12, 31079:2, 31096:8, 31096:21, 31107:6, 31108:2, 31118:2, 31118:6, 31125:11, 31131:17  <b>differentiate</b> [1] - 31002:8  <b>differently</b> [2] - 30939:2, 30955:14  <b>diffuse</b> [1] - 31125:21  <b>dig</b> [2] - 30937:5, 30990:3  <b>digest</b> [1] - 30978:13  <b>diligence</b> [1] - 31076:17  <b>direct</b> [4] - 31006:3, 31043:13, 31090:25, 31092:25  <b>direction</b> [3] - 30913:11, 31020:24, 31021:6  <b>directions</b> [1] - 31060:7  <b>directly</b> [5] - 30928:7, 31019:7, 31034:10, 31077:5, 31094:17  <b>Directory</b> [1] - 30861:3  <b>Directory</b> [2] - 30927:20, 30936:8  <b>dirty</b> [2] - 31119:2, 31119:15  <b>dirty</b> [10] - 30964:16, 30964:19, 30966:12, 30967:16, 30981:9, 30981:13, 30981:25, 30983:1, 30984:8, 30984:9  <b>disagreed</b> [3] - 31068:20, 31068:22, 31124:14  <b>disappeared</b> [1] - 30975:25  <b>disappointment</b> [1] -</p>	<p>30925:1  <b>Disbery</b> [2] - 30998:10, 30998:25  <b>Disbery's</b> [2] - 30997:24, 30999:8  <b>disclose</b> [1] - 30942:25  <b>disclosed</b> [1] - 30903:17  <b>disclosure</b> [4] - 30903:20, 30966:8, 30997:20  <b>discount</b> [1] - 30928:8  <b>discounted</b> [1] - 31036:14  <b>discourage</b> [1] - 30990:12  <b>discouraged</b> [1] - 31058:14  <b>discovered</b> [1] - 31057:2  <b>discovery</b> [1] - 30965:16  <b>discredited</b> [2] - 30943:6, 30946:3  <b>discretion</b> [1] - 31061:10  <b>discuss</b> [3] - 30883:16, 31013:17, 31032:14  <b>discussed</b> [8] - 30872:12, 30882:1, 30886:14, 30937:13, 30958:19, 30972:10, 31025:12, 31074:10  <b>discussing</b> [2] - 30864:15, 30865:8  <b>discussion</b> [48] - 30864:20, 30871:5, 30873:24, 30899:8, 30902:12, 30903:10, 30906:14, 30932:5, 30945:11, 30950:15, 30950:25, 30952:3, 30954:12, 30955:9, 30955:21, 30957:13, 30959:6, 30960:17, 30961:3, 30963:19, 30966:10, 30969:5, 30972:6, 30973:4, 30973:10, 30981:3, 30982:10, 30987:18, 30991:23, 31017:24, 31019:1, 31019:9, 31024:6, 31026:10, 31026:11, 31026:18, 31031:8, 31037:2, 31039:2, 31041:3, 31042:21, 31044:15, 31045:14, 31046:24, 31047:10, 31080:15, 31099:5, 31107:7</p>	<p><b>discussions</b> [16] - 30871:9, 30884:25, 30913:24, 30921:18, 30921:23, 30922:12, 30922:24, 30923:3, 30923:5, 30924:8, 30944:5, 31002:20, 31026:14, 31030:13, 31069:25, 31121:8  <b>disprove</b> [1] - 31052:4  <b>dispute</b> [1] - 31069:1  <b>disputed</b> [2] - 31035:11, 31035:25  <b>dissuaded</b> [1] - 30907:14  <b>distinction</b> [2] - 31050:5, 31050:6  <b>distinguish</b> [1] - 30897:20  <b>doc</b> [6] - 30919:4, 30919:6, 31006:25, 31076:11, 31081:17, 31086:21  <b>doctor</b> [1] - 30876:1  <b>document</b> [20] - 30902:2, 30903:9, 30923:19, 30924:4, 30927:19, 30941:25, 30942:4, 30942:9, 31044:13, 31065:17, 31072:12, 31077:14, 31081:6, 31081:23, 31090:16, 31128:7, 31133:16, 31135:19, 31135:22, 31136:12  <b>Document</b> [3] - 30861:4, 30861:5, 30861:6  <b>documents</b> [14] - 30879:21, 30923:6, 30927:9, 30938:3, 31009:1, 31016:4, 31016:21, 31057:16, 31079:11, 31101:1, 31101:3, 31101:7, 31128:17, 31130:21  <b>dog</b> [32] - 30870:13, 30870:16, 30870:18, 30871:4, 30871:6, 30874:14, 30874:22, 30875:7, 30875:14, 30876:13, 30876:15, 30876:20, 30880:19, 30880:23, 30881:3, 30881:10, 30881:16, 30881:24, 30882:6, 30882:23, 30883:17, 30884:3, 30889:1, 30890:1, 30890:3, 30890:20, 30913:24,</p>	<p>30920:21, 30993:16, 30993:23, 30994:1  <b>dogs</b> [2] - 30867:22, 30884:17  <b>domain</b> [7] - 30868:2, 30882:2, 30884:12, 30884:16, 30888:4, 30908:16, 30915:18  <b>Don</b> [1] - 30861:11  <b>Donald</b> [4] - 30918:8, 30982:12, 31139:2, 31139:19  <b>done</b> [49] - 30872:25, 30873:3, 30873:9, 30873:15, 30873:17, 30873:19, 30873:25, 30874:2, 30878:5, 30879:11, 30897:3, 30914:4, 30928:1, 30931:9, 30957:4, 30971:4, 30982:14, 30982:21, 30983:12, 30983:13, 30984:19, 30986:7, 31002:12, 31010:2, 31020:20, 31021:16, 31022:4, 31022:9, 31039:16, 31040:2, 31041:12, 31041:18, 31052:17, 31064:8, 31072:23, 31072:24, 31077:19, 31080:10, 31090:16, 31098:3, 31118:16, 31118:17, 31122:3, 31132:15, 31132:16, 31132:20  <b>Donna</b> [4] - 31046:25, 31048:14, 31048:18  <b>Donut</b> [1] - 31095:19  <b>door</b> [1] - 31013:7  <b>doors</b> [1] - 31099:1  <b>doorstep</b> [1] - 30887:4  <b>doubt</b> [4] - 30900:16, 30902:15, 30964:25, 30968:13  <b>doubted</b> [1] - 30943:21  <b>Douglas</b> [1] - 30861:2  <b>down</b> [43] - 30867:10, 30875:12, 30878:9, 30880:20, 30887:15, 30896:3, 30900:6, 30933:18, 30936:10, 30937:20, 30939:14, 30962:17, 30965:10, 30966:17, 30975:6, 30985:23, 30986:20, 30997:22, 31012:1, 31012:24, 31017:8, 31017:23, 31026:13, 31047:18, 31047:20,</p>
---	---	--	---



<p>31048:9, 31049:20, 31049:24, 31049:25, 31050:1, 31056:14, 31061:3, 31065:5, 31068:24, 31071:9, 31073:25, 31098:23, 31103:2, 31103:23, 31105:7, 31110:4, 31131:6, 31137:17  <b>downs</b> [1] - 31012:21  <b>downsides</b> [2] - 30947:22, 30949:4  <b>Dr</b> [42] - 30870:15, 30870:25, 30871:17, 30872:5, 30873:2, 30873:3, 30873:4, 30873:5, 30873:6, 30873:8, 30875:15, 30876:2, 30876:3, 30876:10, 30876:11, 30877:11, 30890:4, 30899:3, 30899:4, 30899:18, 30900:1, 30900:18, 30900:19, 30901:10, 30901:14, 30901:19, 30901:21, 30902:6, 30902:12, 30903:3, 30903:12, 30978:6, 30993:18, 30993:21, 31031:14, 31033:10, 31036:3, 31051:25, 31069:25, 31070:7  <b>draft</b> [1] - 31073:13  <b>drafted</b> [1] - 31073:18  <b>drafting</b> [3] - 31073:23, 31074:7, 31075:20  <b>dramatic</b> [1] - 30878:15  <b>draw</b> [1] - 30914:1  <b>draws</b> [1] - 30947:20  <b>drilled</b> [1] - 30875:12  <b>drive</b> [2] - 31048:8, 31122:24  <b>driving</b> [1] - 31079:20  <b>drop</b> [1] - 30995:11  <b>drove</b> [2] - 31047:19, 31133:24  <b>drugs</b> [2] - 30998:3, 31038:4  <b>due</b> [3] - 30877:6, 31076:16, 31078:5  <b>dug</b> [2] - 31042:9, 31058:23  <b>During</b> [2] - 31003:16, 31013:13  <b>during</b> [7] - 30907:1, 30925:11, 30943:8, 31012:23, 31013:24, 31055:4, 31055:8</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>E</b></p> <p><b>eager</b> [1] - 31011:7  <b>early</b> [5] - 30924:10, 30961:6, 30985:15, 30995:7, 31003:16  <b>east</b> [1] - 30867:13  <b>eastern</b> [3] - 30919:18, 30920:17, 30920:24  <b>easy</b> [1] - 31018:2  <b>Eddie</b> [1] - 30862:8  <b>edge</b> [7] - 31024:21, 31024:22, 31027:3, 31027:7, 31027:8, 31027:20, 31028:10  <b>Edward</b> [1] - 30860:7  <b>effect</b> [8] - 30908:25, 30911:8, 30918:15, 30930:17, 30943:23, 30949:2, 31055:24, 31061:2  <b>effort</b> [1] - 30920:19  <b>efforts</b> [6] - 30868:6, 30868:7, 30920:16, 30925:6, 30983:2, 30989:19  <b>eighteen</b> [1] - 31098:25  <b>either</b> [29] - 30891:11, 30893:5, 30901:22, 30906:3, 30912:17, 30926:23, 30932:2, 30957:8, 30957:9, 30966:11, 30967:3, 30989:13, 31004:9, 31006:20, 31018:16, 31026:5, 31040:1, 31057:10, 31087:24, 31091:17, 31093:12, 31104:22, 31111:7, 31111:9, 31114:2, 31120:6, 31125:18, 31130:18, 31131:20  <b>Either</b> [1] - 31076:15  <b>elaborate</b> [2] - 31049:3, 31060:14  <b>element</b> [1] - 30954:22  <b>elevator</b> [1] - 30975:16  <b>eliminate</b> [1] - 30912:9  <b>Ellerman</b> [1] - 30861:6  <b>elsewhere</b> [1] - 30904:14  <b>em</b> [2] - 31031:24, 31034:23  <b>emerged</b> [1] - 31055:7  <b>eminent</b> [1] - 31066:14  <b>emphasis</b> [1] - 30886:7  <b>emphasize</b> [1] - 31090:3  <b>employed</b> [2] -</p>	<p>31000:11, 31115:24  <b>enact</b> [1] - 31037:25  <b>enacting</b> [1] - 31038:6  <b>enactment</b> [1] - 31020:6  <b>encircled</b> [1] - 30926:12  <b>enclosed</b> [1] - 30878:14  <b>enclosing</b> [2] - 31132:3, 31133:7  <b>encompassing</b> [1] - 30942:8  <b>encouraged</b> [2] - 30972:23, 30990:22  <b>encouraging</b> [3] - 30895:21, 30896:1, 31078:13  <b>end</b> [16] - 30865:3, 30886:10, 30910:3, 30915:5, 30944:25, 30981:7, 30981:8, 30982:18, 30985:14, 30993:12, 30995:13, 31007:6, 31081:21, 31087:14, 31112:15, 31122:9  <b>ended</b> [8] - 30884:12, 30889:12, 30970:17, 31102:24, 31109:4, 31118:15, 31121:16, 31128:24  <b>ends</b> [3] - 30883:1, 30888:4, 31020:18  <b>enquired</b> [1] - 31003:19  <b>entice</b> [1] - 30921:1  <b>entire</b> [3] - 30943:6, 30946:3, 30969:13  <b>entirely</b> [2] - 30890:19, 30965:4  <b>entitled</b> [1] - 30941:8  <b>Escalated</b> [1] - 30994:6  <b>escalated</b> [1] - 30994:7  <b>especially</b> [4] - 30892:9, 30985:19, 31066:10, 31119:1  <b>Esq</b> [3] - 30862:7, 30862:9, 30862:13  <b>essentially</b> [3] - 30910:23, 30986:6, 30991:6  <b>establish</b> [2] - 31019:17, 31104:12  <b>established</b> [2] - 30900:25, 31050:7  <b>establishes</b> [1] - 31082:20  <b>Estate</b> [16] - 31030:6, 31030:12, 31030:15, 31031:12, 31031:20, 31033:21, 31034:4, 31034:18, 31034:24,</p>	<p>31035:6, 31035:18, 31035:21, 31035:23, 31037:3, 31038:13, 31038:17  <b>etcetera</b> [8] - 30867:9, 30867:24, 30888:5, 30919:15, 31042:20, 31068:15, 31095:15, 31137:8  <b>Etcetera</b> [1] - 31066:15  <b>ethical</b> [1] - 30906:7  <b>Eugene</b> [29] - 30877:4, 30892:23, 30896:22, 30902:4, 30902:6, 30906:17, 30923:11, 30923:20, 30935:5, 30959:10, 30973:11, 30974:12, 30977:2, 30992:1, 30992:25, 30997:16, 31005:14, 31006:7, 31006:14, 31008:25, 31042:23, 31043:13, 31043:18, 31050:20, 31051:13, 31054:20, 31058:19, 31064:24, 31077:1  <b>evade</b> [3] - 31088:14, 31089:4, 31089:18  <b>evaluating</b> [1] - 30994:25  <b>event</b> [6] - 30869:1, 30909:23, 30945:24, 31017:20, 31030:11, 31039:1  <b>events</b> [3] - 30868:9, 30887:9, 31122:11  <b>everywhere</b> [3] - 30867:23, 30887:4, 31078:4  <b>Evidence</b> [1] - 30875:5  <b>evidence</b> [72] - 30870:18, 30870:19, 30870:22, 30871:21, 30877:22, 30878:19, 30878:25, 30879:13, 30880:22, 30881:21, 30884:1, 30884:4, 30891:8, 30892:14, 30896:18, 30899:22, 30900:22, 30901:12, 30901:15, 30911:17, 30912:10, 30912:12, 30912:19, 30913:16, 30914:12, 30919:16, 30947:6, 30954:15, 31007:11, 31018:5, 31018:6, 31020:3, 31020:14, 31020:23, 31021:3, 31021:18, 31021:19, 31021:23,</p>	<p>31021:24, 31022:1, 31022:11, 31022:16, 31022:17, 31023:20, 31023:22, 31023:25, 31032:3, 31032:6, 31033:13, 31033:19, 31033:22, 31034:3, 31053:1, 31055:3, 31057:14, 31058:8, 31063:15, 31069:10, 31069:16, 31070:4, 31070:11, 31070:12, 31082:16, 31082:20, 31083:2, 31091:8, 31092:18, 31096:11, 31100:6, 31100:13, 31121:6  <b>evidence</b> [1] - 31082:15  <b>evident</b> [1] - 30989:7  <b>exact</b> [1] - 30878:2  <b>Exactly</b> [1] - 30939:7  <b>exactly</b> [9] - 30875:13, 30880:2, 30888:11, 30941:3, 30941:7, 30960:14, 30970:16, 30996:15, 31039:8  <b>examination</b> [4] - 30947:9, 30973:6, 31020:1, 31020:10  <b>examined</b> [5] - 30897:9, 30925:4, 30956:17, 30973:9, 31018:10  <b>Examiner</b> [1] - 30875:5  <b>examining</b> [2] - 30876:4, 31056:24  <b>example</b> [7] - 30868:15, 30990:5, 31022:25, 31037:11, 31068:2, 31120:13, 31127:19  <b>exasperated</b> [1] - 30882:18  <b>excellent</b> [1] - 31030:23  <b>except</b> [2] - 30981:9, 31022:9  <b>exception</b> [3] - 31020:21, 31021:2, 31021:17  <b>excerpt</b> [2] - 30966:5, 31083:16  <b>exchange</b> [2] - 31118:24, 31126:19  <b>excited</b> [4] - 30925:21, 30928:2, 31013:5, 31092:14  <b>exclude</b> [3] - 30880:23, 30900:14, 30993:22  <b>excluded</b> [2] - 30901:13, 30901:17  <b>exculpated</b> [1] -</p>
--	--	--	---	--



31070:5 <b>excuse</b> [1] - 30892:15 <b>Excuse</b> [1] - 31001:25 <b>Executive</b> [1] - 30861:3 <b>exercise</b> [3] - 30908:3, 30953:11, 31076:16 <b>exercising</b> [1] - 31061:10 <b>exhibit</b> [1] - 30952:13 <b>exist</b> [1] - 30959:17 <b>exonerate</b> [2] - 30876:16, 31070:19 <b>exonerates</b> [1] - 31070:11 <b>expanded</b> [1] - 31072:6 <b>expect</b> [8] - 30907:20, 30930:17, 30971:5, 30971:6, 31051:8, 31052:25, 31053:1, 31087:14 <b>expected</b> [2] - 30877:18, 31067:25 <b>expecting</b> [1] - 30889:7 <b>expert</b> [1] - 30901:24 <b>explain</b> [5] - 30892:11, 30948:9, 31052:8, 31055:14, 31060:25 <b>explained</b> [3] - 30945:20, 30949:25, 31108:16 <b>explaining</b> [2] - 30913:15, 30942:12 <b>explanation</b> [4] - 30971:2, 30971:3, 31118:4, 31132:1 <b>explanations</b> [2] - 30951:19, 30958:11 <b>exploit</b> [1] - 30918:19 <b>exploited</b> [1] - 30918:11 <b>expose</b> [1] - 30968:6 <b>exposed</b> [1] - 30963:11 <b>exposure</b> [6] - 30868:10, 30868:17, 30918:14, 30919:18, 31011:3, 31053:22 <b>expressed</b> [7] - 30925:1, 30950:17, 30983:21, 31013:16, 31018:15, 31110:12, 31121:5 <b>extension</b> [1] - 31088:8 <b>extent</b> [2] - 30922:11, 31020:15 <b>external</b> [1] - 31061:19 <b>extraordinarily</b> [1] - 31059:18 <b>extreme</b> [3] - 31071:17, 31071:20, 31095:4 <b>extremely</b> [2] - 30898:1, 31072:13	<b>eye</b> [2] - 30882:11, 31085:17  <b>F</b>  <b>face</b> [12] - 30874:11, 30924:23, 30979:2, 31013:4, 31051:1, 31052:12, 31053:15, 31065:2 <b>face-to-face</b> [4] - 30874:11, 30924:23, 31013:4, 31053:15 <b>fact</b> [60] - 30869:8, 30871:6, 30873:2, 30873:6, 30877:24, 30881:9, 30881:16, 30881:24, 30885:4, 30885:6, 30890:8, 30897:25, 30905:12, 30908:12, 30908:14, 30914:10, 30926:1, 30927:8, 30928:4, 30930:1, 30930:8, 30943:14, 30945:24, 30947:7, 30950:21, 30951:14, 30951:15, 30957:10, 30962:3, 30966:16, 30967:2, 30985:19, 31005:19, 31009:14, 31021:21, 31052:2, 31053:6, 31066:22, 31069:5, 31070:8, 31074:22, 31082:7, 31082:15, 31082:16, 31102:16, 31102:17, 31105:8, 31113:7, 31120:5, 31135:10, 31135:24, 31136:1, 31136:10, 31136:11, 31137:1, 31137:12, 31137:19, 31138:6 <b>Fact</b> [1] - 31135:18 <b>factor</b> [5] - 30885:4, 30912:22, 30926:10, 30931:16, 30949:14 <b>facts</b> [4] - 30869:21, 30916:3, 31019:24, 31135:23 <b>failed</b> [2] - 31051:18, 31064:25 <b>fails</b> [1] - 31068:17 <b>failure</b> [1] - 31078:10 <b>fair</b> [57] - 30868:4, 30874:4, 30874:5, 30875:19, 30881:11, 30886:25, 30887:22, 30887:23, 30888:12,	30888:13, 30909:13, 30910:15, 30911:25, 30912:19, 30912:20, 30913:9, 30922:10, 30940:1, 30947:4, 30947:5, 30947:16, 30947:25, 30950:2, 30954:18, 30962:2, 30966:25, 30967:6, 30967:12, 30968:9, 30975:20, 30977:15, 30978:1, 30984:11, 30984:21, 30991:3, 30993:20, 31001:12, 31001:13, 31011:13, 31020:8, 31065:19, 31065:20, 31067:22, 31074:12, 31091:15, 31101:17, 31102:2, 31102:3, 31104:19, 31104:20, 31105:5, 31105:6, 31112:6, 31112:7, 31117:4, 31117:5, 31121:20 <b>fairly</b> [6] - 30887:9, 30919:25, 30986:4, 30994:25, 30995:3, 31117:17 <b>faith</b> [1] - 31051:8 <b>fall</b> [2] - 30877:24, 30985:15 <b>familiar</b> [4] - 31135:19, 31135:22, 31136:24, 31137:16 <b>familiarize</b> [1] - 30960:15 <b>family</b> [23] - 30896:2, 31010:10, 31010:17, 31012:9, 31042:10, 31050:18, 31050:24, 31051:19, 31052:5, 31064:25, 31073:9, 31073:17, 31073:24, 31073:25, 31074:14, 31076:7, 31076:13, 31078:10, 31088:5, 31089:21, 31089:24, 31090:1, 31109:13 <b>family's</b> [2] - 31073:18, 31074:15 <b>far</b> [22] - 30900:1, 30909:8, 30910:4, 30916:13, 30924:6, 30927:22, 30928:6, 30929:19, 30945:11, 30968:14, 30968:15, 31002:20, 31036:5, 31056:16, 31057:9, 31073:21, 31097:1, 31097:19, 31110:10,	31114:13, 31122:1, 31131:9 <b>fashion</b> [1] - 30949:22 <b>fault</b> [2] - 31010:4 <b>favour</b> [2] - 30876:25, 31012:3 <b>favourable</b> [1] - 30949:18 <b>favourably</b> [3] - 31056:7, 31056:17, 31060:22 <b>faxed</b> [2] - 30891:18, 30995:13 <b>fear</b> [1] - 31002:9 <b>features</b> [1] - 31117:23 <b>February</b> [6] - 30865:21, 30865:23, 31035:7, 31065:13, 31082:3, 31083:1 <b>fed</b> [2] - 30986:3, 31001:15 <b>Federal</b> [19] - 30870:3, 30879:13, 30879:16, 30891:12, 30901:24, 30905:13, 30926:16, 30976:4, 30994:16, 30994:20, 31002:16, 31020:12, 31022:3, 31050:20, 31078:19, 31084:3, 31084:14, 31084:22, 31088:20 <b>federal</b> [3] - 30892:6, 30916:1, 30978:19 <b>feed</b> [1] - 30922:25 <b>feedback</b> [3] - 30882:21, 30885:5, 31027:14 <b>feeding</b> [1] - 30914:4 <b>feel...i</b> [1] - 31048:23 <b>feelings</b> [1] - 30883:10 <b>fellow</b> [6] - 30912:6, 30935:21, 30982:11, 31087:20, 31090:19, 31114:14 <b>Felt</b> [3] - 31100:11, 31120:14, 31120:16 <b>felt</b> [80] - 30870:4, 30870:7, 30875:20, 30875:23, 30876:24, 30885:6, 30885:14, 30889:4, 30889:10, 30897:8, 30898:2, 30898:3, 30902:10, 30906:19, 30909:1, 30909:9, 30911:10, 30914:23, 30916:17, 30918:16, 30928:8, 30928:14, 30929:24, 30930:11, 30942:10, 30971:8, 30971:22,	30980:10, 30995:1, 31005:19, 31009:12, 31010:19, 31012:8, 31012:12, 31018:19, 31021:22, 31024:24, 31025:7, 31026:23, 31027:2, 31029:3, 31033:9, 31033:18, 31046:9, 31046:20, 31049:19, 31052:15, 31063:10, 31069:15, 31072:24, 31077:19, 31078:6, 31079:17, 31079:23, 31080:6, 31085:8, 31088:12, 31088:22, 31088:23, 31089:2, 31089:6, 31102:12, 31105:16, 31108:12, 31112:15, 31112:20, 31113:4, 31115:18, 31120:21, 31120:23, 31121:12, 31121:24, 31121:25, 31122:9, 31122:25, 31124:1, 31124:11 <b>Ferris</b> [50] - 30872:14, 30872:24, 30873:3, 30873:8, 30876:11, 30888:18, 30888:19, 30890:2, 30899:3, 30899:4, 30899:10, 30899:15, 30899:18, 30899:21, 30900:1, 30900:6, 30900:11, 30900:18, 30900:20, 30901:10, 30901:14, 30901:19, 30901:21, 30902:6, 30902:12, 30903:13, 30940:4, 31025:11, 31025:13, 31025:20, 31025:22, 31025:24, 31026:5, 31031:10, 31031:14, 31032:22, 31033:5, 31033:10, 31033:24, 31034:10, 31035:7, 31036:9, 31051:25, 31052:21, 31053:4, 31053:11, 31069:25, 31070:8, 31070:14, 31070:18 <b>Ferris</b> [10] - 30873:4, 30875:15, 30876:3, 30877:11, 30877:19, 30903:3, 30940:3, 31035:10, 31035:25, 31071:6 <b>Ferriss</b> [1] - 30890:5 <b>Festival</b> [2] - 30864:25, 30865:1
--	---	--	--	--



<p><b>few</b> [5] - 30865:9, 30920:3, 30942:20, 30998:9, 31118:7</p> <p><b>field</b> [1] - 30874:25</p> <p><b>Fifth</b>[16] - 31030:5, 31030:12, 31030:14, 31031:12, 31031:20, 31033:21, 31034:4, 31034:18, 31034:24, 31035:6, 31035:18, 31035:21, 31035:23, 31037:3, 31038:13, 31038:17</p> <p><b>fight</b> [1] - 31078:1</p> <p><b>fighting</b> [2] - 31060:1, 31077:19</p> <p><b>figure</b> [3] - 30918:8, 31088:24, 31130:17</p> <p><b>figured</b> [1] - 31103:3</p> <p><b>figuring</b> [1] - 31062:12</p> <p><b>file</b> [48] - 30895:3, 30898:22, 30905:3, 30926:17, 30926:23, 30927:7, 30927:9, 30927:14, 30939:22, 30941:15, 30943:17, 30943:23, 30944:11, 30945:8, 30952:1, 30953:20, 30956:9, 30956:24, 30957:11, 30957:15, 30958:3, 30958:13, 30958:19, 30960:19, 30965:18, 30969:23, 31003:18, 31004:10, 31005:11, 31016:15, 31085:13, 31091:21, 31091:24, 31092:5, 31092:19, 31096:5, 31096:13, 31096:15, 31096:24, 31096:25, 31097:2, 31099:21, 31100:2, 31100:6, 31100:7, 31100:14</p> <p><b>filed</b> [17] - 30940:22, 30940:25, 31035:16, 31040:16, 31056:6, 31063:22, 31068:5, 31089:7, 31089:11, 31098:12, 31117:21, 31123:20, 31124:4, 31126:21, 31126:24, 31127:3, 31131:16</p> <p><b>files</b> [7] - 30958:16, 30960:3, 30998:20, 31096:7, 31096:23, 31097:3, 31127:20</p> <p><b>filing</b> [3] - 31084:3, 31084:13, 31088:19</p> <p><b>film</b> [1] - 31038:20</p>	<p><b>final</b> [1] - 30979:23</p> <p><b>Finally</b>[1] - 30896:4</p> <p><b>finally</b> [2] - 31013:6, 31030:6</p> <p><b>financial</b> [1] - 30938:11</p> <p><b>findings</b> [4] - 30900:13, 31035:10, 31035:25, 31133:9</p> <p><b>fine</b> [4] - 30875:18, 31007:17, 31067:13, 31118:20</p> <p><b>finer</b> [1] - 31117:22</p> <p><b>finish</b> [2] - 31022:7, 31062:15</p> <p><b>finished</b> [2] - 30890:10, 30993:9</p> <p><b>fire</b> [6] - 30870:6, 30870:10, 30909:15, 31009:17, 31012:22, 31012:23</p> <p><b>fired</b> [3] - 31009:4, 31009:10, 31041:21</p> <p><b>firing</b> [1] - 31012:18</p> <p><b>firm</b> [1] - 30976:22</p> <p><b>firmly</b> [1] - 31050:7</p> <p><b>first</b> [53] - 30873:11, 30877:8, 30879:8, 30903:20, 30907:1, 30914:22, 30924:22, 30933:20, 30942:21, 30943:15, 30943:21, 30946:11, 30947:7, 30953:20, 30953:22, 30955:24, 30961:24, 30963:3, 30966:8, 30974:21, 30986:15, 31003:12, 31013:4, 31030:8, 31030:9, 31058:13, 31063:20, 31065:15, 31068:4, 31073:11, 31077:13, 31080:17, 31081:18, 31081:21, 31084:19, 31085:21, 31086:16, 31095:24, 31096:13, 31101:25, 31104:7, 31113:2, 31113:8, 31114:15, 31127:4, 31127:5, 31127:6, 31129:13, 31129:22, 31130:16, 31131:11, 31131:18, 31135:25</p> <p><b>firstly</b> [1] - 31111:3</p> <p><b>Fisher</b>[110] - 30862:10, 30893:2, 30896:14, 30896:20, 30896:21, 30897:15, 30897:19, 30898:9, 30903:18, 30904:2, 30905:9, 30905:11, 30906:3,</p>	<p>30907:9, 30908:17, 30908:21, 30909:11, 30925:18, 30928:18, 30929:20, 30931:2, 30933:13, 30935:4, 30935:6, 30938:18, 30941:6, 30942:1, 30961:5, 30969:10, 30971:13, 30977:23, 31004:2, 31018:9, 31020:23, 31021:4, 31021:18, 31022:11, 31022:16, 31026:14, 31026:16, 31030:17, 31033:23, 31036:17, 31036:22, 31036:24, 31043:22, 31073:3, 31080:18, 31080:20, 31081:2, 31082:2, 31082:5, 31082:17, 31083:4, 31083:24, 31090:5, 31090:12, 31093:21, 31095:6, 31096:6, 31096:23, 31097:11, 31098:2, 31104:24, 31105:3, 31105:18, 31106:11, 31107:5, 31107:17, 31107:22, 31107:24, 31108:5, 31108:6, 31108:18, 31108:21, 31109:20, 31110:10, 31111:23, 31112:2, 31112:3, 31112:16, 31112:18, 31114:9, 31114:16, 31114:25, 31115:3, 31115:20, 31116:6, 31116:20, 31117:2, 31117:11, 31117:18, 31117:25, 31120:25, 31121:18, 31122:2, 31122:3, 31122:16, 31124:16, 31124:21, 31125:2, 31125:23, 31126:12, 31131:9, 31132:5, 31133:23, 31134:4, 31134:8, 31134:17, 31134:22</p> <p><b>Fishers</b> [12] - 30908:13, 30925:13, 30926:23, 30978:8, 31079:14, 31086:25, 31094:25, 31096:2, 31100:15, 31115:7, 31118:25, 31133:5</p> <p><b>Fishers</b> [1] - 30936:2</p> <p><b>fit</b> [3] - 30871:6, 30916:11, 31080:8</p> <p><b>fits</b> [2] - 30941:6, 30941:7</p>	<p><b>five</b> [1] - 31067:17</p> <p><b>flawed</b> [1] - 31070:17</p> <p><b>flip</b> [1] - 30881:22</p> <p><b>flipped</b> [1] - 31007:3</p> <p><b>flow</b> [1] - 31066:11</p> <p><b>fluid</b> [1] - 30887:9</p> <p><b>flying</b> [1] - 31060:1</p> <p><b>focus</b> [8] - 30883:10, 30919:1, 30921:19, 30944:24, 30957:20, 31010:25, 31011:5, 31076:23</p> <p><b>focused</b> [2] - 30918:22, 31076:25</p> <p><b>Focused</b>[2] - 31077:4, 31077:5</p> <p><b>focusing</b> [1] - 31011:4</p> <p><b>fodder</b> [1] - 31095:11</p> <p><b>Folk</b>[2] - 30864:25, 30865:1</p> <p><b>follow</b> [4] - 30914:18, 30985:23, 31021:22, 31104:12</p> <p><b>followed</b> [7] - 30873:15, 30972:3, 31017:4, 31028:17, 31078:16, 31120:5, 31134:8</p> <p><b>following</b> [7] - 30869:7, 30934:8, 30937:23, 30970:17, 31062:8, 31121:20, 31125:5</p> <p><b>font</b> [1] - 31128:12</p> <p><b>force</b> [2] - 31079:7, 31079:20</p> <p><b>foregoing</b> [1] - 31139:4</p> <p><b>forensic</b> [8] - 30878:1, 31018:4, 31031:15, 31032:6, 31035:9, 31069:16, 31070:4, 31070:10</p> <p><b>forget</b> [2] - 31123:12, 31126:16</p> <p><b>forgot</b> [1] - 30976:8</p> <p><b>forgotten</b> [2] - 30960:23, 30962:3</p> <p><b>form</b> [1] - 30868:11</p> <p><b>formal</b> [1] - 31005:11</p> <p><b>formed</b> [1] - 31027:10</p> <p><b>former</b> [1] - 30964:1</p> <p><b>forth</b> [5] - 30885:1, 30956:22, 30969:23, 31011:7, 31075:20</p> <p><b>fortunate</b> [1] - 31095:1</p> <p><b>forward</b> [21] - 30877:17, 30882:8, 30883:24, 30942:6, 31009:4, 31027:24, 31028:15, 31029:1, 31069:9, 31070:14, 31071:19, 31071:22, 31072:1,</p>	<p>31078:13, 31097:12, 31101:9, 31107:25, 31110:24, 31111:22, 31121:25, 31123:1</p> <p><b>founded</b> [1] - 31011:9</p> <p><b>four</b> [5] - 30880:17, 30928:22, 31037:23, 31087:12, 31109:20</p> <p><b>Fox</b>[1] - 30862:8</p> <p><b>fragile</b> [2] - 30917:24, 30918:17</p> <p><b>frame</b> [7] - 30889:14, 30961:2, 30981:4, 30987:13, 30988:5, 31003:22, 31004:14</p> <p><b>Frank</b>[3] - 30996:25, 30997:12, 30999:9</p> <p><b>Franks</b> [3] - 30997:3, 30998:7, 30999:1</p> <p><b>Fraye</b>[1] - 30862:11</p> <p><b>free</b> [1] - 31002:5</p> <p><b>Free</b>[3] - 30866:24, 30874:20, 30991:21</p> <p><b>freedom</b> [1] - 31034:4</p> <p><b>fresh</b> [1] - 30944:18</p> <p><b>friend</b> [1] - 31045:9</p> <p><b>friends</b> [1] - 31044:20</p> <p><b>Friesen</b>[2] - 31046:25</p> <p><b>frightened</b> [1] - 30896:25</p> <p><b>front</b> [8] - 30880:14, 30881:22, 30882:5, 30883:18, 30904:20, 30953:10, 31039:17, 31069:11</p> <p><b>front-page</b> [1] - 30880:14</p> <p><b>frozen</b> [7] - 30870:20, 30871:7, 30871:11, 30876:4, 30888:20, 30900:9, 30900:14</p> <p><b>frustrated</b> [4] - 30869:12, 30882:19, 30895:9, 30987:11</p> <p><b>frustrating</b> [1] - 30878:8</p> <p><b>frustration</b> [4] - 30979:12, 30980:6, 30980:8, 30986:9</p> <p><b>fuel</b> [1] - 30909:15</p> <p><b>full</b> [7] - 30993:13, 31007:19, 31029:8, 31054:15, 31055:17, 31130:12, 31137:18</p> <p><b>Fuller</b>[1] - 30919:24</p> <p><b>fully</b> [3] - 31013:21, 31018:10, 31025:12</p> <p><b>funds</b> [2] - 30969:25, 30970:1</p> <p><b>Furious</b>[1] - 31059:7</p>
---	--	--	---	--



<p><b>furious</b> [2] - 31056:13, 31093:24</p> <p><b>future</b> [1] - 30939:19</p>	<p><b>God</b> [3] - 30964:14, 30967:11, 31087:3</p> <p><b>God's</b> [2] - 30964:14, 30967:11</p> <p><b>gonna</b> [14] - 30973:25, 30975:13, 30981:10, 30983:15, 30984:9, 30984:17, 30989:6, 31030:4, 31038:22, 31039:3, 31041:9, 31041:11, 31041:19, 31041:25</p> <p><b>gordge</b> [2] - 30910:19, 30910:21</p> <p><b>gordge's</b> [1] - 31004:10</p> <p><b>got...they</b> [1] - 31039:4</p> <p><b>gotta</b> [1] - 31037:6</p> <p><b>government</b> [2] - 30989:6, 31061:4</p> <p><b>Government</b> [1] - 30862:4</p> <p><b>grab</b> [1] - 30875:7</p> <p><b>grabbed</b> [1] - 30881:8</p> <p><b>grant</b> [1] - 31090:7</p> <p><b>Great</b> [1] - 31032:7</p> <p><b>great</b> [6] - 30919:21, 30962:16, 30991:13, 31018:5, 31031:3, 31095:11</p> <p><b>grey</b> [2] - 31116:22, 31134:19</p> <p><b>grilled</b> [2] - 30898:2, 30898:4</p> <p><b>gritty</b> [1] - 31087:1</p> <p><b>grizzly</b> [2] - 30964:21, 30966:12</p> <p><b>ground</b> [7] - 30880:8, 31034:1, 31068:4, 31068:5, 31068:8, 31068:16, 31070:14</p> <p><b>grounds</b> [5] - 30879:18, 31070:13, 31071:19, 31071:21, 31071:25</p> <p><b>Group</b> [3] - 31011:8, 31074:20, 31075:23</p> <p><b>group</b> [25] - 30893:19, 30915:12, 30915:20, 30916:8, 30925:16, 30930:7, 30931:18, 30931:23, 30933:12, 30987:9, 31022:11, 31052:3, 31060:11, 31074:24, 31075:2, 31075:8, 31075:9, 31075:14, 31078:13, 31093:16, 31135:21, 31135:24, 31136:1, 31136:5, 31137:15</p> <p><b>group's</b> [3] - 30941:20, 31076:7, 31076:24</p>	<p><b>groups</b> [2] - 31074:25, 31136:3</p> <p><b>guess</b> [25] - 30871:1, 30876:13, 30881:22, 30889:1, 30916:13, 30916:19, 30922:18, 30942:23, 30947:22, 30956:20, 30958:21, 30962:6, 30989:4, 31021:11, 31047:16, 31048:19, 31063:19, 31068:20, 31074:7, 31088:24, 31093:13, 31122:14, 31127:7, 31122:14, 31129:7</p> <p><b>guiding</b> [1] - 31079:7</p> <p><b>guilt</b> [1] - 31090:4</p> <p><b>guilty</b> [4] - 30923:22, 31005:23, 31006:8, 31007:10</p> <p><b>gun</b> [1] - 31026:3</p> <p><b>Gus</b> [1] - 31092:24, 31092:25, 31093:2, 31093:10, 31093:11, 31093:15, 31093:17, 31094:2, 31094:5, 31094:8, 31094:16</p> <p><b>guy</b> [3] - 30982:11, 30985:10, 30999:22</p> <p><b>guys</b> [6] - 30874:24, 30932:15, 30934:8, 30939:3, 30986:13, 31046:18</p>	<p><b>handing</b> [1] - 30930:12</p> <p><b>handle</b> [1] - 30979:14</p> <p><b>handled</b> [7] - 30973:22, 30974:14, 30974:25, 30975:18, 30975:22, 30976:9, 31059:12</p> <p><b>handling</b> [4] - 30873:22, 30966:12, 31055:7, 31059:8</p> <p><b>hands</b> [2] - 30885:7, 31010:22</p> <p><b>handwriting</b> [1] - 31118:13</p> <p><b>handwritten</b> [5] - 31118:22, 31127:16, 31128:9, 31128:11, 31129:14</p> <p><b>handy</b> [2] - 31007:2, 31061:6</p> <p><b>Hang</b> [1] - 30985:24</p> <p><b>hang</b> [5] - 30888:25, 30895:21, 30946:11, 30949:9, 30985:24</p> <p><b>happy</b> [5] - 30917:10, 30917:14, 31029:11, 31029:12, 31062:1</p> <p><b>happy-go-lucky</b> [1] - 30917:14</p> <p><b>harassed</b> [1] - 31059:22</p> <p><b>hard</b> [2] - 30887:6, 30939:15</p> <p><b>hardly</b> [1] - 31071:7</p> <p><b>harmful</b> [2] - 30976:10, 30998:1</p> <p><b>harming</b> [1] - 30886:10</p> <p><b>Harris</b> [2] - 30982:11, 30999:15</p> <p><b>Harvard</b> [1] - 31059:23</p> <p><b>hate</b> [2] - 31123:4, 31132:12</p> <p><b>head</b> [5] - 30906:23, 30962:6, 31016:5, 31114:16, 31114:17</p> <p><b>heading</b> [1] - 30867:9</p> <p><b>headline</b> [7] - 30874:18, 30874:22, 30875:4, 30881:22, 30915:15, 30915:16, 30980:17</p> <p><b>headlines</b> [2] - 30874:6, 30874:24</p> <p><b>hear</b> [6] - 30877:22, 30894:8, 30894:18, 31023:19, 31023:22, 31053:2</p> <p><b>heard</b> [29] - 30870:19, 30876:2, 30879:13, 30881:20, 30892:24, 30894:6, 30894:16, 30895:24, 30897:15, 30897:24, 30911:17,</p>	<p>30914:11, 30979:4, 31001:5, 31007:23, 31019:13, 31029:13, 31036:5, 31043:21, 31052:9, 31053:1, 31078:16, 31079:11, 31094:8, 31094:13, 31096:12, 31096:22</p> <p><b>hearing</b> [4] - 30871:12, 31024:16, 31026:4, 31097:6</p> <p><b>hears</b> [2] - 30883:18, 30939:21</p> <p><b>heart</b> [1] - 31033:11</p> <p><b>heart's</b> [1] - 30905:15</p> <p><b>heat</b> [1] - 30980:21</p> <p><b>height</b> [2] - 31117:20, 31118:1</p> <p><b>held</b> [7] - 30902:19, 30936:18, 30968:6, 31033:8, 31036:12, 31077:21</p> <p><b>hell</b> [5] - 30867:4, 30911:13, 30912:22, 30913:6, 30919:14</p> <p><b>help</b> [3] - 30961:9, 31043:9, 31078:14</p> <p><b>helpful</b> [8] - 30913:2, 30913:15, 30913:16, 30970:13, 30990:14, 30990:18, 30991:14, 31085:11</p> <p><b>helping</b> [3] - 30864:22, 31095:3, 31137:5</p> <p><b>helps</b> [1] - 30934:24</p> <p><b>Henderson</b> [5] - 30909:22, 30910:1, 30910:7, 30911:18, 30912:1, 30927:20, 30934:2, 30934:3, 30936:8, 30937:25, 30961:4, 30961:5, 30961:9, 30970:17, 30973:13, 31079:22, 31083:7, 31087:7, 31087:13, 31090:18, 31091:9, 31091:18, 31091:22, 31092:7, 31093:2, 31094:18, 31095:16, 31098:4, 31098:8, 31100:10, 31102:22, 31104:23, 31105:2, 31105:8, 31105:23, 31107:6, 31109:17, 31112:3, 31116:11, 31117:15, 31118:3, 31118:5, 31120:1, 31121:5, 31121:8, 31123:11, 31126:22, 31128:1,</p>
		<p><b>hair</b> [8] - 31106:5, 31107:1, 31107:12, 31109:22, 31110:1, 31119:2, 31119:13, 31119:15</p> <p><b>hairs</b> [3] - 30870:21, 30871:7, 30871:11</p> <p><b>half</b> [2] - 30929:8, 31062:18</p> <p><b>Hall</b> [12] - 30896:10, 30897:4, 30898:3, 30999:15, 31018:6, 31019:25, 31039:5, 31040:4, 31043:22, 31068:6, 31068:10, 31069:3</p> <p><b>Half's</b> [3] - 31020:10, 31020:14, 31068:6</p> <p><b>hallway</b> [1] - 30874:13</p> <p><b>hand</b> [4] - 30941:16, 31128:4, 31128:5, 31128:6</p> <p><b>handed</b> [1] - 31062:22</p>		
		<p><b>H</b></p>		



31130:17, 31134:3 <b>Henderson's</b> [16] - 30977:9, 31063:15, 31091:3, 31091:4, 31092:18, 31098:14, 31099:14, 31103:10, 31103:12, 31129:21, 31130:9, 31130:15, 31131:2, 31131:19, 31132:8, 31133:22 <b>hereby</b> [1] - 31139:4 <b>herein</b> [3] - 31088:13, 31089:3, 31139:6 <b>Hersh</b> [28] - 30862:2, 30889:10, 30894:17, 30906:9, 30906:21, 30963:23, 30970:20, 30971:19, 30986:4, 30986:6, 30986:14, 30991:17, 31005:9, 31009:22, 31012:18, 31032:2, 31041:10, 31041:21, 31044:17, 31051:3, 31055:9, 31055:23, 31056:13, 31079:19, 31120:12, 31122:5, 31128:19, 31136:19 <b>hesitant</b> [1] - 30875:22 <b>He's</b> [1] - 30934:18 <b>he's</b> [3] - 30935:10, 30939:11 <b>hierarchy</b> [1] - 30906:18 <b>high</b> [7] - 30921:17, 30922:24, 30923:2, 30923:4, 30924:7, 30968:23, 30998:2 <b>highlighted</b> [1] - 30907:24 <b>himself</b> [1] - 30960:15 <b>hindsight</b> [2] - 30885:11, 30917:7 <b>Hinz</b> [3] - 30861:10, 31139:2, 31139:13 <b>hired</b> [3] - 30937:22, 30937:23, 31009:16 <b>Hmm</b> [1] - 31083:17 <b>Hodson</b> [25] - 30861:2, 30863:4, 30864:6, 30885:13, 30897:22, 30919:6, 30919:9, 30922:7, 30942:17, 30956:15, 30972:7, 30972:12, 30972:14, 30988:4, 30988:8, 31001:24, 31002:1, 31002:2, 31002:11, 31002:14, 31004:24, 31012:2, 31062:15,	31067:14, 31071:7 <b>hold</b> [7] - 30932:18, 30957:2, 31031:24, 31034:23, 31034:25, 31035:2, 31057:6 <b>holding</b> [1] - 30936:23 <b>home</b> [4] - 31078:9, 31086:10, 31103:2, 31110:8 <b>Hon</b> [1] - 30862:12 <b>honestly</b> [8] - 30890:7, 30944:16, 30983:9, 30991:4, 31001:19, 31115:12, 31119:18, 31121:10 <b>Honorable</b> [2] - 30860:6, 31066:16 <b>hope</b> [6] - 30892:14, 30990:23, 30995:15, 31000:25, 31053:21, 31089:12 <b>hoped</b> [2] - 30868:25, 31060:20 <b>hopeful</b> [1] - 31028:7 <b>hoping</b> [4] - 31060:14, 31060:15, 31060:21, 31080:5 <b>Hopkins</b> [1] - 30862:13 <b>hornet's</b> [3] - 30865:18, 30868:21, 30980:13 <b>horrible</b> [1] - 30994:12 <b>horse</b> [1] - 30887:20 <b>Hospital</b> [1] - 30935:2 <b>hostage</b> [1] - 31077:21 <b>hotel</b> [1] - 30874:13 <b>Hotel</b> [2] - 30860:16, 31091:10 <b>hour</b> [4] - 31110:6, 31113:22, 31120:2, 31123:11 <b>hours</b> [2] - 31050:24, 31052:10 <b>house</b> [4] - 30928:12, 30928:19, 30929:6, 30929:22 <b>House</b> [4] - 31059:22, 31059:24, 31063:9, 31064:18 <b>Howard</b> [1] - 31074:21 <b>Huff</b> [1] - 30936:15 <b>huge</b> [2] - 30929:10 <b>human</b> [7] - 30870:21, 30871:6, 30871:11, 30876:8, 30876:12, 30876:15, 30900:16 <b>Human</b> [1] - 31078:6 <b>hundreds</b> [1] - 31108:1 <b>hunting</b> [5] - 30973:22, 30974:15, 30975:18, 30975:23, 30976:9	<b>hurry</b> [1] - 30985:12 <b>hurt</b> [10] - 30883:4, 30883:10, 30884:20, 30908:17, 30975:10, 30976:13, 30983:23, 31025:3, 31110:25, 31126:4  <b>I</b>  <b>I...i</b> [1] - 31031:3 <b>I...i...then</b> [1] - 31048:2 <b>lan</b> [2] - 30998:9, 30998:25 <b>Id</b> [5] - 30919:6, 31006:25, 31076:11, 31081:17, 31086:21 <b>idea</b> [30] - 30865:13, 30868:1, 30875:7, 30885:12, 30886:21, 30887:2, 30891:20, 30893:24, 30895:3, 30895:10, 30934:23, 30948:19, 30958:13, 31015:14, 31030:10, 31046:17, 31058:24, 31079:10, 31080:1, 31080:3, 31087:15, 31090:10, 31097:4, 31111:15, 31115:2, 31116:6, 31130:21, 31133:20, 31135:12 <b>ideas</b> [1] - 30869:14 <b>identical</b> [2] - 30962:9, 31064:23 <b>Identification</b> [1] - 31126:20 <b>identified</b> [12] - 31107:5, 31107:17, 31109:25, 31115:15, 31115:16, 31117:8, 31117:10, 31117:24, 31122:1, 31124:21, 31124:23, 31125:2 <b>identifies</b> [2] - 31115:11, 31115:19 <b>identify</b> [8] - 30926:17, 31016:20, 31075:3, 31107:9, 31107:10, 31114:22, 31115:8, 31119:24 <b>identifying</b> [3] - 31106:6, 31109:18, 31112:20 <b>identity</b> [1] - 30900:9 <b>illness</b> [4] - 30911:3, 30912:12, 30912:23, 30913:5 <b>imagination</b> [1] -	30917:4 <b>imagine</b> [3] - 30986:10, 30986:11, 31040:13 <b>imagining</b> [1] - 30917:24 <b>immediate</b> [1] - 30919:18 <b>immediately</b> [2] - 30892:13, 31005:12 <b>impact</b> [2] - 30882:1, 30912:10 <b>Impeded</b> [1] - 30931:17 <b>implication</b> [1] - 31035:10 <b>implying</b> [1] - 31040:22 <b>important</b> [13] - 30910:10, 30911:10, 30942:2, 30948:9, 31008:23, 31023:6, 31031:21, 31032:23, 31033:9, 31034:19, 31069:6, 31113:5, 31120:21 <b>impossibility</b> [2] - 31017:25, 31018:4 <b>impression</b> [6] - 30896:8, 31040:1, 31043:17, 31056:4, 31107:15, 31119:10 <b>improper</b> [1] - 31000:20 <b>inaction</b> [1] - 31050:22 <b>inadvertently</b> [1] - 30947:25 <b>incarceration</b> [1] - 31088:10 <b>incident</b> [7] - 30868:14, 31016:8, 31040:11, 31040:17, 31068:11, 31068:14, 31069:10 <b>incidents</b> [2] - 31003:21, 31004:13 <b>include</b> [4] - 31098:13, 31112:9, 31113:5, 31125:22 <b>included</b> [9] - 30996:8, 31000:25, 31121:7, 31122:15, 31127:6, 31129:24, 31130:6, 31130:14, 31131:19 <b>including</b> [2] - 30904:3, 31126:3 <b>inclusion</b> [1] - 31000:20 <b>incompetence</b> [2] - 30967:5, 31095:14 <b>incompetency</b> [1] - 30954:21 <b>incompetent</b> [1] - 30968:3 <b>inconsistent</b> [2] -	30911:20, 31040:8 <b>incorrect</b> [1] - 31023:12 <b>incredible</b> [1] - 30996:7 <b>incriminating</b> [2] - 30912:10, 30912:18 <b>inculcated</b> [1] - 31070:5 <b>independently</b> [3] - 30904:8, 30904:25, 30905:17 <b>Index</b> [1] - 30863:1 <b>Indian</b> [2] - 31117:18, 31117:25 <b>indicate</b> [5] - 30901:12, 30964:8, 30976:5, 30977:2, 31061:9 <b>indicated</b> [3] - 31003:19, 31004:11, 31013:23 <b>indicating</b> [1] - 30959:1 <b>indicative</b> [1] - 30936:22 <b>indictment</b> [1] - 30927:12 <b>indirectly</b> [1] - 31034:10 <b>individual</b> [1] - 30918:11 <b>ineffective</b> [1] - 31042:11 <b>inevitable</b> [1] - 30908:22 <b>inflicting</b> [1] - 30978:20 <b>influence</b> [3] - 30883:23, 30886:12, 31064:19 <b>influenced</b> [2] - 30911:21, 31122:5 <b>information</b> [185] - 30881:7, 30882:2, 30883:5, 30883:7, 30883:24, 30884:18, 30884:20, 30886:11, 30887:25, 30888:1, 30888:2, 30888:3, 30888:24, 30889:6, 30889:8, 30889:17, 30893:13, 30893:18, 30894:9, 30894:22, 30894:25, 30895:1, 30895:12, 30895:15, 30895:19, 30895:23, 30896:19, 30898:25, 30899:14, 30900:1, 30901:19, 30902:1, 30902:13, 30904:8, 30904:11, 30904:13, 30904:23, 30905:2, 30905:5, 30905:10, 30905:17, 30905:25,
---	---	--	--	--



30907:13, 30909:11, 30910:3, 30910:17, 30911:9, 30911:11, 30913:3, 30913:7, 30913:25, 30915:11, 30916:4, 30916:6, 30916:8, 30924:11, 30925:22, 30928:2, 30928:5, 30928:14, 30928:18, 30929:3, 30930:7, 30930:15, 30931:15, 30931:19, 30931:22, 30931:24, 30933:14, 30936:7, 30938:25, 30940:8, 30941:6, 30941:21, 30942:1, 30942:7, 30945:4, 30947:14, 30947:19, 30948:17, 30948:22, 30963:7, 30967:18, 30968:16, 30970:13, 30971:7, 30971:22, 30977:24, 30978:1, 30978:7, 30978:9, 30979:9, 30985:5, 30988:20, 30989:18, 30989:19, 30990:13, 30990:15, 30991:11, 30992:12, 30992:21, 30994:4, 30998:11, 31006:4, 31006:16, 31007:22, 31008:2, 31016:15, 31016:17, 31016:19, 31016:24, 31022:6, 31023:11, 31025:2, 31025:3, 31025:8, 31025:14, 31026:16, 31026:19, 31026:24, 31027:23, 31028:2, 31028:14, 31028:15, 31028:17, 31028:19, 31028:23, 31029:1, 31029:4, 31031:15, 31032:23, 31033:23, 31036:18, 31036:23, 31036:24, 31043:11, 31044:2, 31044:8, 31046:6, 31049:14, 31052:18, 31053:24, 31056:6, 31058:23, 31063:11, 31063:23, 31064:3, 31064:6, 31064:9, 31072:22, 31079:3, 31080:18, 31084:12, 31084:24, 31085:13, 31090:5, 31091:15, 31092:2, 31093:15, 31094:5, 31094:7, 31094:14, 31094:18, 31094:20,	31094:21, 31095:6, 31095:18, 31096:21, 31097:10, 31097:12, 31099:20, 31100:17, 31101:25, 31116:1, 31116:19, 31131:15, 31131:21, 31132:3, 31134:8, 31134:21, 31135:1, 31135:4, 31135:17, 31137:19 <b>informed</b> [14] - 30904:24, 30922:2, 30922:9, 30922:13, 30922:21, 30931:4, 30943:20, 31003:25, 31034:9, 31035:6, 31043:19, 31100:17, 31100:19, 31101:17 <b>informing</b> [1] - 30895:14 <b>initial</b> [4] - 30911:18, 30911:24, 30911:25, 31091:9 <b>initiate</b> [1] - 31043:8 <b>Injustice</b> [2] - 31135:14, 31135:15 <b>injustice</b> [2] - 31076:18, 31077:21 <b>injustices</b> [1] - 31011:12 <b>inkling</b> [1] - 31115:2 <b>innocence</b> [13] - 30876:10, 30888:20, 30902:18, 30903:5, 30911:21, 31019:15, 31025:15, 31027:22, 31033:16, 31035:20, 31053:12, 31070:21, 31071:6 <b>innocent</b> [5] - 30888:16, 31033:13, 31033:19, 31051:11, 31051:21 <b>input</b> [5] - 31014:24, 31017:20, 31021:13, 31043:15, 31063:17 <b>inquiries</b> [1] - 31004:11 <b>inquiry</b> [1] - 30918:10 <b>Inquiry</b> [2] - 30860:2, 30860:23 <b>inside</b> [1] - 31011:8 <b>insiders</b> [2] - 31095:2, 31097:20 <b>insisting</b> [1] - 30892:12 <b>instance</b> [1] - 30936:18 <b>instead</b> [1] - 31100:14 <b>Instead</b> [1] - 30935:16 <b>insufficient</b> [1] - 31090:6 <b>integrally</b> [1] - 31136:6	<b>intending</b> [1] - 31008:21 <b>interaction</b> [3] - 30896:22, 31005:17, 31090:24 <b>interest</b> [2] - 31005:11, 31013:16 <b>interested</b> [3] - 30921:10, 30921:11, 30923:1 <b>interesting</b> [5] - 30932:8, 30935:3, 30936:6, 30936:11, 31039:3 <b>Interesting</b> [1] - 31130:24 <b>interface</b> [1] - 31010:17 <b>interim</b> [1] - 30865:13 <b>interjected</b> [1] - 30897:11 <b>interpret</b> [1] - 31071:14 <b>interpretation</b> [2] - 30941:20, 31107:7 <b>intervene</b> [1] - 31005:12 <b>interview</b> [35] - 30891:9, 30897:16, 30899:9, 30923:11, 30925:7, 30931:1, 30932:1, 30935:6, 30937:14, 30943:20, 30954:9, 30959:9, 30959:14, 30977:1, 30987:1, 31038:12, 31038:23, 31039:5, 31073:5, 31080:1, 31083:10, 31087:7, 31087:17, 31087:25, 31090:11, 31090:18, 31098:6, 31098:8, 31098:20, 31111:8, 31116:25, 31128:10, 31133:22, 31134:3, 31134:15 <b>interviewed</b> [13] - 30896:6, 30910:2, 30914:12, 30923:14, 30923:17, 30938:5, 30974:12, 31038:15, 31062:10, 31070:1, 31080:11, 31134:24, 31135:7 <b>interviewing</b> [4] - 30899:18, 31068:10, 31080:4, 31080:13 <b>interviews</b> [6] - 30913:14, 31010:24, 31038:18, 31040:7, 31091:7, 31098:1 <b>intimidation</b> [1] -	30923:25 <b>introduce</b> [2] - 31114:8, 31114:9 <b>introduced</b> [1] - 31105:3 <b>inundated</b> [1] - 30908:5 <b>investigate</b> [8] - 30880:6, 30905:15, 30909:16, 30928:15, 30930:16, 30930:22, 30975:15, 31122:18 <b>investigated</b> [6] - 30889:9, 30905:13, 31108:17, 31113:15, 31115:23, 31123:1 <b>investigating</b> [4] - 30992:8, 30993:3, 31006:1, 31121:21 <b>investigation</b> [18] - 30882:4, 30893:1, 30907:11, 30908:17, 30971:14, 30989:2, 30990:18, 30991:1, 30993:4, 31000:22, 31003:17, 31028:4, 31054:21, 31058:20, 31081:21, 31095:8, 31120:22, 31138:1 <b>investigator</b> [6] - 30896:6, 30896:9, 30934:7, 30937:14, 30969:9, 30970:10 <b>investigators</b> [5] - 30892:7, 30896:16, 30930:18, 30990:2, 31133:3 <b>invited</b> [1] - 31017:18 <b>involve</b> [3] - 31085:1, 31085:13, 31085:20 <b>involved</b> [23] - 30882:3, 30885:18, 30885:20, 30906:1, 30921:2, 30938:1, 30990:25, 30992:1, 30992:24, 30998:14, 30998:19, 31010:21, 31010:22, 31038:15, 31038:16, 31038:17, 31090:20, 31091:6, 31095:9, 31120:14, 31120:16, 31120:18, 31136:18 <b>involvement</b> [10] - 30959:1, 30992:23, 31001:15, 31075:6, 31075:19, 31079:9, 31091:17, 31092:25, 31128:20, 31135:13 <b>lola</b> [2] - 30863:3, 30864:5 <b>irate</b> [2] - 31057:16,	31057:21 <b>Irene</b> [1] - 30861:9 <b>ironic</b> [1] - 30902:10 <b>issue</b> [36] - 30866:18, 30866:19, 30870:14, 30870:23, 30871:4, 30871:18, 30873:7, 30873:18, 30874:9, 30875:14, 30877:25, 30878:3, 30882:10, 30882:14, 30884:6, 30884:23, 30890:2, 30914:19, 30945:21, 30954:20, 30977:11, 30992:2, 30999:19, 31001:3, 31001:8, 31001:11, 31014:6, 31018:18, 31067:1, 31070:25, 31074:8, 31090:13, 31091:20, 31092:3, 31126:1 <b>issues</b> [9] - 31010:23, 31010:25, 31011:6, 31018:20, 31027:21, 31027:24, 31044:11, 31067:18, 31078:15 <b>it'll</b> [1] - 30939:12 <b>items</b> [1] - 31025:16 <b>It's</b> [1] - 30939:8 <b>it's</b> [2] - 30934:18, 30939:15
				<b>J</b>
				<b>jail</b> [6] - 30885:24, 30888:23, 30979:3, 31025:17, 31053:12, 31107:22 <b>Jake</b> [2] - 31116:9, 31134:15 <b>January</b> [3] - 30888:15, 31057:11, 31064:22 <b>Jay</b> [1] - 30861:13 <b>jerk</b> [1] - 30867:20 <b>Jerry</b> [1] - 30861:12 <b>Jersey</b> [2] - 31083:19, 31132:14 <b>Jesus</b> [2] - 30964:14, 30967:11 <b>Jim</b> [12] - 30918:1, 31063:14, 31073:1, 31073:2, 31079:20, 31080:3, 31083:23, 31084:2, 31084:12, 31085:13, 31086:23, 31132:16 <b>Joanne</b> [1] - 30862:3 <b>job</b> [2] - 30980:11, 31010:2



<p><b>Jodie</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30861:5  <b>John</b><sup>[11]</sup> - 30933:6, 30933:21, 30938:2, 30959:18, 30959:22, 30960:18, 30975:17, 31017:25, 31059:22, 31074:21, 31095:17  <b>joined</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30987:23  <b>joke</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 31069:5, 31069:12, 31069:13  <b>Joseph</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30883:13  <b>Joyce</b><sup>[41]</sup> - 30862:3, 30863:3, 30864:5, 30879:4, 30895:25, 30917:25, 30932:7, 30932:15, 30933:25, 30934:2, 30934:6, 30934:11, 30934:14, 30934:17, 30934:23, 30935:9, 30935:15, 30935:18, 30936:4, 30936:12, 30936:22, 30937:2, 30937:4, 30938:22, 30939:5, 30939:8, 30939:11, 30939:15, 30939:20, 30940:20, 30981:6, 30981:11, 30981:14, 30981:19, 30985:16, 31032:1, 31032:11, 31073:4, 31091:16, 31134:21, 31135:5  <b>judge</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30964:7, 30966:19  <b>judge's</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30899:24  <b>judgeship</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30966:18  <b>July</b><sup>[28]</sup> - 30923:7, 30923:15, 30924:10, 30925:10, 30925:12, 30932:5, 30932:22, 30933:8, 30937:12, 30938:3, 30939:24, 30940:21, 30942:19, 30944:1, 30952:19, 30961:2, 30969:4, 30972:7, 30972:8, 30972:13, 30973:5, 30977:21, 30978:12, 30980:24, 30981:4, 30988:4, 30988:6, 31087:21  <b>Julyaugust</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30988:6  <b>June</b><sup>[30]</sup> - 30871:4, 30872:4, 30875:3, 30878:4, 30880:14, 30887:10, 30891:7, 30891:11, 30892:23, 30897:17, 30898:22,</p>	<p>30903:15, 30903:16, 30904:5, 30905:22, 30906:15, 30909:21, 30910:6, 30910:16, 30919:17, 30921:15, 30923:12, 30924:13, 30939:24, 30961:6, 30973:13, 30977:10, 30978:2, 30978:10  <b>junior</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30998:10  <b>jury</b> <sup>[5]</sup> - 30899:24, 30899:25, 31032:6, 31069:11, 31069:15  <b>Justice</b><sup>[89]</sup> - 30860:6, 30862:11, 30862:13, 30867:15, 30870:3, 30878:23, 30879:13, 30884:10, 30889:11, 30891:12, 30891:21, 30893:1, 30893:7, 30893:12, 30894:2, 30894:6, 30894:9, 30894:10, 30894:23, 30894:24, 30895:14, 30901:24, 30905:14, 30908:9, 30909:9, 30921:19, 30926:16, 30928:7, 30928:15, 30932:20, 30949:8, 30960:11, 30964:9, 30965:25, 30966:4, 30976:4, 30978:1, 30978:19, 30979:1, 30979:5, 30979:7, 30980:15, 30980:20, 30983:13, 30985:4, 30988:16, 30990:8, 30992:6, 30994:11, 30994:13, 30994:17, 30994:20, 31000:12, 31001:6, 31002:16, 31003:4, 31008:10, 31010:4, 31011:8, 31012:10, 31014:9, 31018:23, 31022:3, 31032:20, 31033:3, 31034:7, 31034:9, 31042:24, 31043:23, 31044:9, 31050:17, 31050:21, 31051:4, 31053:3, 31054:19, 31054:24, 31055:10, 31055:18, 31064:5, 31065:22, 31075:25, 31076:2, 31080:9, 31088:20, 31095:7, 31105:9, 31109:8  <b>justice</b> <sup>[33]</sup> - 30869:19, 30870:10, 30880:9, 30908:12, 30922:12, 30923:8, 30923:16,</p>	<p>30924:20, 30968:1, 30970:22, 30971:17, 30972:24, 30979:23, 30979:25, 30988:15, 30988:23, 31010:10, 31010:18, 31013:3, 31019:13, 31019:14, 31019:15, 31027:25, 31042:12, 31054:17, 31058:18, 31059:2, 31061:10, 31077:19, 31078:3, 31130:22, 31130:23, 31130:24  <b>Justicés</b> <sup>[5]</sup> - 30879:16, 30893:11, 31020:13, 31031:18, 31032:21  <b>Justices</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30865:11  <b>justify</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30883:1</p>	<p>31065:14, 31075:25, 31077:5, 31077:7, 31080:23, 31086:5  <b>kind</b> <sup>[9]</sup> - 30877:6, 30883:7, 30895:22, 30918:1, 30965:14, 30968:22, 31033:23, 31034:25, 31102:12  <b>knife</b> <sup>[11]</sup> - 30936:18, 30936:23, 30973:14, 30973:21, 30973:23, 30974:15, 30974:25, 30975:2, 30975:18, 30975:23, 30976:9  <b>knives</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30936:16  <b>knocks</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30875:14  <b>knowing</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 30869:6, 30965:3, 31122:17  <b>knowledge</b> <sup>[11]</sup> - 30951:4, 30956:3, 30996:1, 30996:10, 31000:1, 31036:8, 31058:16, 31072:8, 31131:14, 31131:21, 31139:6  <b>known</b> <sup>[10]</sup> - 30887:10, 30887:12, 30931:10, 30944:3, 30982:16, 30996:25, 30999:11, 31027:4, 31028:12, 31069:15  <b>knows</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30955:8  <b>Knox</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30862:5  <b>Krogan</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30862:4  <b>Krogan-stevely</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30862:4  <b>Kujawa</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30862:6</p>	<p>31105:18, 31106:11, 31107:5, 31107:17, 31107:22, 31107:24, 31108:5, 31108:6, 31108:18, 31108:21, 31109:20, 31110:10, 31112:16, 31112:18, 31114:9, 31114:11, 31114:12, 31114:15, 31114:24, 31115:3, 31115:7, 31115:20, 31116:6, 31117:25, 31118:25, 31120:25, 31121:18, 31122:2, 31122:3, 31122:16, 31124:16, 31124:21, 31125:2, 31131:9, 31132:5, 31134:8  <b>Last</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30943:12  <b>last</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 30888:14, 30986:5, 31073:7, 31089:25  <b>late</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30961:5  <b>latest</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30892:14  <b>latter</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 30972:12, 30972:13, 31095:16  <b>launching</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 31046:19  <b>lawsuit</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 31046:20, 31105:17  <b>lawyer</b> <sup>[18]</sup> - 30879:6, 30881:1, 30892:5, 30894:23, 30895:2, 30923:20, 30923:24, 30932:18, 30954:14, 30961:21, 30962:25, 30963:9, 30964:1, 30972:19, 30978:23, 31054:20, 31055:1, 31058:19  <b>lawyer's</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 31089:9  <b>Lawyers</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 31007:7  <b>lawyers</b> <sup>[32]</sup> - 30894:2, 30906:16, 30908:15, 30923:15, 30923:16, 30924:10, 30924:20, 30925:3, 30925:4, 30943:9, 30945:25, 30956:3, 31005:9, 31010:11, 31010:18, 31010:21, 31019:16, 31027:2, 31027:11, 31027:19, 31028:1, 31034:9, 31036:10, 31044:20, 31053:2, 31053:15, 31071:11, 31071:14, 31072:2, 31072:4, 31091:14  <b>lay</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 31028:5, 31048:22</p>
<b>K</b>				
<p><b>Karen</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 30861:10, 31139:2, 31139:13  <b>Karp</b><sup>[4]</sup> - 30925:11, 30932:2, 30932:6, 30933:16  <b>Karst</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30862:8  <b>keep</b> <sup>[9]</sup> - 30867:13, 30869:4, 30917:22, 30921:22, 30961:15, 30963:15, 30982:13, 31029:9, 31054:8  <b>keeper</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 31136:17  <b>keeping</b> <sup>[5]</sup> - 30922:2, 30922:8, 30922:9, 30922:13, 31029:25  <b>Ken</b><sup>[6]</sup> - 30943:11, 30962:25, 30973:9, 31006:2, 31006:6, 31007:23  <b>Kendry</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30861:5  <b>kept</b> <sup>[9]</sup> - 30889:6, 30915:6, 30917:4, 30917:7, 30917:24, 31037:24, 31037:25, 31059:4, 31097:23  <b>Ketler</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 31116:9, 31134:15, 31134:17  <b>key</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 30899:23, 30946:13, 31033:22, 31053:11  <b>kid</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 30917:11, 30917:14, 30918:10  <b>kidding</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30962:16  <b>killer</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30906:4  <b>Kim</b><sup>[13]</sup> - 30868:14, 30874:12, 30883:15, 30884:9, 30939:20, 30979:5, 31054:24,</p>	<p><b>L</b></p>	<p><b>Labchuk</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 31018:7, 31020:2  <b>lack</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30869:1  <b>Lana</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30862:4  <b>Lapchuk</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 30987:1, 30997:8, 31020:17, 31068:7, 31068:14  <b>Larry</b><sup>[59]</sup> - 30862:10, 30893:2, 30903:17, 30905:9, 30906:3, 30907:9, 30908:13, 30908:17, 30925:13, 30928:18, 30929:20, 30938:18, 30971:13, 30978:8, 31018:9, 31026:14, 31030:17, 31073:3, 31082:2, 31082:5, 31083:4, 31093:21, 31104:24,</p>		



<p><b>leaked</b> [2] - 30962:19, 30962:24</p> <p><b>leap</b> [1] - 31048:12</p> <p><b>learn</b> [3] - 30892:6, 30902:11, 31001:18</p> <p><b>learned</b> [6] - 30889:4, 30897:21, 30974:11, 30992:11, 31020:10, 31026:22</p> <p><b>learning</b> [2] - 30871:10, 30928:16</p> <p><b>least</b> [12] - 30866:12, 30870:1, 30872:3, 30912:2, 30916:5, 30933:20, 30955:11, 30968:12, 31001:9, 31008:6, 31020:14, 31052:3</p> <p><b>leave</b> [1] - 31019:16</p> <p><b>led</b> [1] - 31012:18</p> <p><b>left</b> [10] - 30890:21, 30896:7, 30900:3, 30934:8, 30941:16, 30962:17, 31071:10, 31074:7, 31074:11, 31128:5</p> <p><b>left-hand</b> [2] - 30941:16, 31128:5</p> <p><b>legal</b> [8] - 30873:16, 30873:20, 30886:8, 30886:11, 30906:7, 30913:8, 31078:15, 31082:13</p> <p><b>legislation</b> [1] - 31043:3</p> <p><b>legs</b> [1] - 30875:15</p> <p><b>lend</b> [1] - 30963:4</p> <p><b>lends</b> [1] - 31034:6</p> <p><b>length</b> [2] - 31018:1, 31018:5</p> <p><b>lengths</b> [1] - 31095:4</p> <p><b>lengthy</b> [2] - 31017:24, 31039:5</p> <p><b>less</b> [1] - 30949:18</p> <p><b>less-favourable</b> [1] - 30949:18</p> <p><b>Letts</b> [56] - 30874:19, 30890:8, 30891:9, 30892:3, 30913:21, 30919:21, 30932:23, 30942:19, 30944:6, 30945:21, 30948:14, 30950:17, 30952:19, 30952:21, 30954:5, 30954:17, 30955:10, 30955:13, 30955:22, 30956:21, 30957:10, 30957:22, 30957:24, 30959:13, 30959:15, 30962:20, 30962:22,</p>	<p>30962:24, 30972:9, 30987:21, 30987:23, 30988:1, 30988:9, 30989:18, 30990:6, 30991:19, 30991:23, 30992:13, 30992:15, 30992:16, 31005:4, 31007:19, 31008:7, 31041:4, 31042:13, 31042:21, 31043:6, 31043:20, 31044:3, 31046:8, 31054:18, 31058:25, 31085:24</p> <p><b>Letts</b> [4] - 30890:14, 30955:11, 30959:20, 31007:19</p> <p><b>letter</b> [69] - 30877:3, 30892:22, 30893:9, 30898:16, 30906:13, 30919:10, 30921:15, 30924:3, 30924:12, 30958:25, 30988:5, 30993:7, 30995:8, 30995:12, 30995:24, 30996:9, 30997:14, 30997:15, 30997:16, 31000:6, 31000:7, 31000:25, 31001:10, 31003:7, 31004:4, 31008:17, 31008:18, 31009:4, 31013:9, 31015:3, 31021:7, 31023:9, 31024:10, 31026:17, 31029:6, 31029:12, 31029:14, 31029:18, 31029:24, 31035:5, 31035:22, 31050:14, 31050:19, 31050:25, 31051:13, 31052:20, 31062:25, 31064:23, 31064:24, 31065:14, 31066:24, 31067:5, 31067:17, 31073:7, 31073:8, 31073:16, 31073:17, 31073:22, 31074:14, 31074:16, 31083:1, 31087:11, 31088:2, 31088:25, 31089:21, 31090:1, 31132:2, 31132:25</p> <p><b>letterhead</b> [3] - 31073:14, 31132:19, 31132:20</p> <p><b>letters</b> [6] - 30870:1, 30942:5, 30942:11, 30969:22, 31010:9, 31063:22</p> <p><b>letting</b> [4] - 30880:2, 30884:17, 30893:21,</p>	<p>30971:17</p> <p><b>level</b> [6] - 30921:17, 30922:24, 30923:3, 30923:4, 30924:7, 30979:12</p> <p><b>lie</b> [4] - 30911:22, 31068:14, 31081:3, 31106:22</p> <p><b>lied</b> [1] - 31068:7</p> <p><b>life</b> [1] - 30917:11</p> <p><b>light</b> [18] - 30870:6, 30870:9, 30895:7, 30908:14, 30924:6, 30940:5, 30949:18, 30955:12, 30978:7, 30982:8, 30985:19, 31011:23, 31021:15, 31023:9, 31024:4, 31061:22, 31089:9</p> <p><b>likely</b> [9] - 30890:19, 30928:13, 30946:11, 30970:4, 30970:5, 31002:19, 31002:21, 31019:13, 31105:5</p> <p><b>Linda</b> [15] - 30896:14, 30896:19, 30896:20, 30897:15, 30897:19, 30898:9, 30905:10, 30909:4, 30931:1, 30931:3, 30961:5, 31043:22, 31133:23, 31133:24, 31134:4</p> <p><b>line</b> [2] - 30934:25, 31070:9</p> <p><b>link</b> [15] - 30876:18, 30876:23, 30881:17, 30901:15, 31080:20, 31082:1, 31082:4, 31082:11, 31082:12, 31082:21, 31083:2, 31083:3, 31083:4, 31090:5, 31090:11</p> <p><b>linked</b> [1] - 30880:18</p> <p><b>list</b> [3] - 30964:11, 30967:10, 31038:9</p> <p><b>listed</b> [2] - 31120:24, 31121:3</p> <p><b>listened</b> [3] - 30897:10, 31048:3, 31112:25</p> <p><b>listings</b> [1] - 31135:23</p> <p><b>lists</b> [1] - 31133:15</p> <p><b>lived</b> [8] - 30928:11, 30928:18, 30929:5, 30929:7, 30929:8, 30930:2, 30930:9</p> <p><b>Lived</b> [1] - 31086:6</p> <p><b>livid</b> [1] - 31055:23</p> <p><b>Lloyd</b> [1] - 31059:23</p> <p><b>local</b> [2] - 30969:8, 30970:9</p>	<p><b>location</b> [4] - 30926:18, 30927:15, 30928:16, 30931:12</p> <p><b>locks</b> [1] - 31099:1</p> <p><b>logical</b> [1] - 30971:3</p> <p><b>logically</b> [1] - 30916:25</p> <p><b>logo</b> [1] - 31063:13</p> <p><b>long-winded</b> [1] - 30884:22</p> <p><b>look</b> [29] - 30879:18, 30881:10, 30884:3, 30885:11, 30922:17, 30929:25, 30937:14, 30937:15, 30937:16, 30940:23, 30949:9, 30949:17, 30951:6, 30970:23, 30971:8, 30971:23, 31007:1, 31035:9, 31035:13, 31039:10, 31053:13, 31060:22, 31085:10, 31099:22, 31102:9, 31118:14, 31121:24, 31137:13, 31137:16</p> <p><b>looked</b> [13] - 30873:13, 30897:21, 30902:2, 30926:11, 30926:12, 30937:25, 31032:16, 31056:7, 31068:19, 31107:1, 31112:19, 31114:20, 31118:25</p> <p><b>looking</b> [10] - 30887:8, 30912:25, 30923:19, 30929:21, 30991:2, 30994:25, 31015:6, 31029:22, 31061:15, 31106:25</p> <p><b>lookit</b> [56] - 30869:1, 30869:3, 30870:4, 30872:6, 30875:17, 30876:11, 30880:5, 30882:5, 30883:19, 30884:2, 30889:15, 30890:2, 30903:2, 30904:10, 30912:3, 30920:20, 30920:24, 30931:3, 30944:6, 30946:7, 30946:24, 30951:1, 30951:11, 30957:10, 30967:9, 30971:16, 30972:25, 30979:8, 30990:22, 31001:3, 31001:7, 31014:5, 31014:13, 31020:13, 31024:13, 31027:11, 31027:15, 31028:25, 31029:19, 31034:6, 31034:14, 31035:19, 31040:19, 31052:21, 31061:22,</p>	<p>31068:9, 31068:16, 31068:24, 31070:7, 31071:5, 31072:20, 31073:24, 31111:22, 31114:11, 31120:2, 31123:21</p> <p><b>Looks</b> [1] - 31003:10</p> <p><b>looks</b> [11] - 30868:19, 30872:9, 30884:1, 30952:20, 30980:1, 30998:8, 30998:23, 31015:24, 31032:21, 31051:14, 31134:7</p> <p><b>loose</b> [3] - 30867:22, 30884:17, 30990:2</p> <p><b>Loran</b> [1] - 30862:7</p> <p><b>Lorne</b> [1] - 30936:15</p> <p><b>lost</b> [2] - 30973:23, 30975:3</p> <p><b>lucky</b> [1] - 30917:14</p> <p><b>lump</b> [1] - 30900:9</p> <p><b>lumps</b> [1] - 30900:15</p> <p><b>lunch</b> [1] - 31004:21</p> <p><b>lying</b> [1] - 30911:19</p>
<b>M</b>				
<p><b>Maccallum</b> [16] - 30860:7, 30864:3, 30919:4, 30919:8, 30922:4, 30956:7, 30956:10, 30956:14, 30972:5, 30972:11, 30988:2, 31001:21, 31001:23, 31001:25, 31002:3, 31067:12</p> <p><b>Macfarlane</b> [15] - 30906:13, 30906:15, 30906:20, 30906:25, 31008:19, 31008:25, 31013:10, 31013:12, 31014:13, 31017:10, 31025:9, 31065:3, 31065:6, 31065:10, 31081:20</p> <p><b>mad</b> [3] - 30867:4, 31007:13, 31060:22</p> <p><b>Mail</b> [5] - 30920:3, 30920:4, 30920:12, 30921:13, 31094:1</p> <p><b>main</b> [1] - 31067:24</p> <p><b>major</b> [1] - 30918:4</p> <p><b>makers</b> [4] - 30886:13, 30888:7, 30920:7, 30949:17</p> <p><b>male</b> [1] - 31046:2</p> <p><b>Male</b> [19] - 30932:13, 30932:17, 30933:23, 30934:1, 30934:3,</p>				



<p>30934:10, 30934:13, 30934:15, 30934:21, 30935:7, 30935:12, 30935:16, 30936:1, 30936:5, 30939:4, 30939:7, 30939:10, 30939:17, 30995:5</p> <p><b>malign</b> [1] - 30949:5</p> <p><b>man</b> [6] - 30928:12, 31033:15, 31051:11, 31051:21, 31103:15, 31117:22</p> <p><b>Manager</b> [1] - 30861:4</p> <p><b>manipulation</b> [1] - 30920:22</p> <p><b>manner</b> [4] - 30898:1, 30913:13, 31040:8, 31059:12</p> <p><b>manufactured</b> [1] - 31113:10</p> <p><b>map</b> [1] - 30926:12</p> <p><b>March</b> [23] - 30864:12, 30865:23, 30868:5, 30904:2, 30914:11, 30914:13, 30930:15, 30931:8, 30933:13, 30942:22, 30943:15, 30943:24, 30951:16, 30962:11, 30971:12, 31062:11, 31073:7, 31073:13, 31073:22, 31074:19, 31083:18, 31089:22</p> <p><b>Markesteyn</b> [31] - 30870:25, 30871:15, 30871:17, 30872:5, 30873:3, 30873:4, 30873:5, 30873:7, 30876:2, 30876:10, 30876:14, 30877:4, 30877:18, 30880:15, 30890:18, 30899:10, 30899:15, 30900:13, 30939:2, 30940:1, 30940:5, 30941:7, 30978:6, 30993:18, 30993:21, 31031:10, 31031:14, 31032:22, 31033:4, 31034:11, 31036:3</p> <p><b>Markesteyn's</b> [5] - 30870:15, 30880:21, 30890:15, 30900:8, 30940:2</p> <p><b>maroon</b> [1] - 30974:25</p> <p><b>maroon-handled</b> [1] - 30974:25</p> <p><b>Marshall</b> [3] - 30862:13, 30918:8, 30982:12</p> <p><b>materials</b> [6] - 30945:9,</p>	<p>30949:18, 31015:25, 31063:21, 31120:24, 31131:16</p> <p><b>matter</b> [15] - 30893:20, 30921:13, 30926:9, 30966:13, 30994:23, 31019:3, 31043:18, 31054:5, 31059:12, 31095:20, 31104:3, 31127:24, 31128:23, 31129:13, 31131:16</p> <p><b>matters</b> [5] - 30868:23, 30908:7, 31016:9, 31061:14, 31064:13</p> <p><b>Maureen</b> [1] - 30866:3</p> <p><b>Mccloskey</b> [38] - 30918:2, 30961:4, 30961:12, 30962:15, 30963:12, 30963:15, 30963:23, 30964:13, 30964:18, 30965:6, 30965:13, 30966:6, 30966:10, 30967:1, 30967:12, 30967:24, 30968:15, 30969:6, 30972:10, 31063:16, 31073:1, 31079:5, 31079:8, 31079:12, 31080:16, 31082:7, 31083:8, 31083:9, 31085:1, 31085:14, 31086:23, 31087:6, 31090:10, 31132:2, 31132:7, 31132:15, 31134:11</p> <p><b>Mccloskey's</b> [2] - 31080:3, 31132:16</p> <p><b>Mcdonald</b> [1] - 31095:18</p> <p><b>Mcintyre</b> [5] - 31065:22, 31066:16, 31066:18, 31066:22, 31072:8</p> <p><b>Mclean</b> [1] - 30862:3</p> <p><b>mean</b> [47] - 30865:17, 30865:19, 30875:24, 30876:19, 30883:5, 30917:3, 30922:25, 30926:10, 30926:12, 30929:21, 30937:8, 30940:16, 30951:12, 30955:4, 30960:7, 30966:7, 30967:2, 30968:14, 30980:9, 30981:12, 30996:18, 30999:19, 31002:24, 31010:3, 31033:11, 31037:22, 31039:16, 31045:21, 31046:11, 31048:15, 31048:20,</p>	<p>31048:23, 31056:24, 31059:22, 31061:4, 31063:13, 31075:18, 31077:10, 31082:13, 31088:24, 31101:13, 31102:10, 31102:17, 31110:18, 31117:9, 31120:13, 31122:23</p> <p><b>meaning</b> [4] - 30925:15, 30977:18, 31021:15, 31024:22</p> <p><b>means</b> [1] - 30883:2</p> <p><b>meant</b> [3] - 30902:21, 30994:21, 31071:14</p> <p><b>media</b> [45] - 30868:9, 30869:18, 30870:11, 30870:17, 30875:8, 30885:19, 30888:5, 30891:22, 30904:3, 30905:24, 30907:10, 30907:18, 30908:6, 30909:12, 30909:18, 30917:22, 30918:9, 30918:14, 30918:19, 30919:1, 30920:17, 30920:24, 30948:20, 30948:22, 30949:24, 30950:3, 30950:4, 30975:21, 30980:14, 30989:25, 30993:25, 30994:5, 31000:17, 31001:6, 31001:9, 31002:17, 31011:1, 31011:5, 31011:10, 31053:19, 31053:24, 31061:13, 31085:20, 31086:9, 31137:8</p> <p><b>meet</b> [9] - 30972:18, 30972:23, 31014:1, 31014:15, 31050:23, 31102:23, 31102:24, 31103:7, 31103:8</p> <p><b>meeting</b> [79] - 30903:12, 30912:1, 30923:7, 30923:15, 30924:9, 30924:18, 30924:19, 30924:23, 30925:2, 30959:2, 31008:19, 31008:22, 31013:1, 31013:2, 31013:4, 31013:11, 31013:17, 31014:3, 31014:20, 31015:1, 31015:6, 31015:8, 31015:17, 31015:19, 31017:10, 31018:13, 31020:9, 31023:15, 31023:16, 31023:21, 31024:13, 31025:19, 31025:22, 31027:3,</p>	<p>31027:4, 31027:14, 31029:15, 31032:20, 31033:3, 31050:16, 31053:3, 31053:7, 31053:14, 31053:16, 31055:8, 31055:16, 31055:18, 31056:4, 31056:19, 31056:20, 31056:23, 31057:10, 31057:20, 31057:25, 31058:5, 31058:6, 31058:7, 31058:13, 31078:8, 31083:23, 31091:9, 31099:14, 31101:6, 31102:22, 31103:6, 31111:8, 31112:2, 31115:6, 31116:25, 31118:11, 31120:1, 31120:2, 31126:25, 31127:1, 31127:18, 31129:14, 31130:6, 31130:9, 31131:11</p> <p><b>meetings</b> [1] - 31057:18</p> <p><b>Melnyk</b> [8] - 30987:1, 30997:8, 31018:6, 31020:2, 31020:16, 31037:16, 31068:7, 31068:13</p> <p><b>members</b> [4] - 31063:1, 31064:7, 31075:9, 31078:13</p> <p><b>memo</b> [20] - 30898:21, 30900:19, 30925:10, 30932:6, 30988:6, 30991:17, 30991:18, 31099:14, 31103:10, 31121:16, 31126:25, 31127:9, 31128:24, 31129:21, 31129:23, 31131:19, 31131:21, 31132:8</p> <p><b>memorandum</b> [13] - 31091:23, 31098:9, 31098:14, 31101:4, 31126:23, 31127:4, 31128:1, 31129:25, 31130:6, 31130:9, 31130:15, 31130:16</p> <p><b>memories</b> [1] - 31104:2</p> <p><b>memory</b> [5] - 30972:4, 30973:12, 31033:7, 31033:11, 31049:16</p> <p><b>memos</b> [2] - 31005:2, 31132:8</p> <p><b>mental</b> [9] - 30911:3, 30911:12, 30912:12, 30912:22, 30912:23, 30913:5, 30913:6, 30919:14, 31012:20</p>	<p><b>mention</b> [5] - 30976:8, 31025:10, 31031:13, 31034:4, 31131:25</p> <p><b>mentioned</b> [6] - 30921:4, 31003:23, 31099:2, 31099:10, 31110:16, 31135:10</p> <p><b>mentioning</b> [1] - 31025:21</p> <p><b>mentions</b> [1] - 31099:19</p> <p><b>mercy</b> [1] - 31061:16</p> <p><b>mere</b> [1] - 31090:3</p> <p><b>merit</b> [5] - 31071:20, 31107:23, 31088:14, 31089:4, 31089:18</p> <p><b>merits</b> [2] - 30883:11, 30884:21</p> <p><b>Merry</b> [4] - 30880:15, 30899:10, 30899:15, 30978:7</p> <p><b>message</b> [3] - 30980:7, 31053:6, 31077:11</p> <p><b>messy</b> [1] - 30984:17</p> <p><b>met</b> [10] - 31015:18, 31051:3, 31055:9, 31086:23, 31104:21, 31105:15, 31113:18, 31114:24, 31123:10, 31123:19</p> <p><b>Meyer</b> [3] - 30861:11, 31139:2, 31139:19</p> <p><b>Michael</b> [1] - 30982:11</p> <p><b>middle</b> [3] - 30887:15, 30893:3, 31080:8</p> <p><b>midst</b> [1] - 31125:19</p> <p><b>might</b> [82] - 30870:16, 30873:8, 30882:3, 30882:12, 30883:18, 30883:22, 30884:18, 30884:20, 30886:10, 30886:12, 30888:10, 30889:2, 30889:18, 30890:4, 30895:7, 30897:21, 30906:4, 30906:6, 30908:2, 30908:17, 30908:24, 30918:14, 30930:17, 30936:10, 30940:4, 30942:8, 30947:23, 30947:24, 30949:5, 30949:7, 30950:4, 30950:19, 30950:21, 30955:12, 30968:3, 30968:6, 30971:2, 30976:4, 30981:22, 30985:22, 30988:6, 30989:19, 30991:11, 31002:4, 31002:10, 31012:14, 31014:22,</p>
---	--	---	--	---



<p>31015:14, 31017:13, 31021:19, 31022:12, 31025:3, 31027:25, 31028:24, 31044:22, 31057:9, 31061:1, 31061:3, 31064:13, 31071:21, 31071:23, 31072:2, 31072:3, 31081:12, 31084:17, 31087:1, 31087:3, 31087:15, 31092:2, 31110:24, 31112:12, 31115:8, 31115:9, 31117:10, 31118:5, 31126:6, 31136:5</p> <p><b>Mike</b> [9] - 30934:17, 30934:18, 30937:22, 30937:23, 30938:14, 31087:11, 31087:17, 31087:20</p> <p><b>miles</b> [1] - 31108:2</p> <p><b>Milgaard</b> [111] - 30860:4, 30862:2, 30862:3, 30863:3, 30864:5, 30864:7, 30866:25, 30871:20, 30871:25, 30875:4, 30876:16, 30876:19, 30877:10, 30878:16, 30879:4, 30880:18, 30881:6, 30881:17, 30901:8, 30901:13, 30901:16, 30902:23, 30913:21, 30914:15, 30923:22, 30925:14, 30932:7, 30932:15, 30933:25, 30934:2, 30934:6, 30934:11, 30934:14, 30934:17, 30934:23, 30935:9, 30935:15, 30935:18, 30936:4, 30936:12, 30936:22, 30937:2, 30937:4, 30938:22, 30939:5, 30939:8, 30939:11, 30939:15, 30939:20, 30943:5, 30943:12, 30946:2, 30974:15, 30978:17, 30978:21, 30979:2, 30980:18, 30981:6, 30981:11, 30981:14, 30981:19, 30985:16, 30986:10, 30987:8, 30994:10, 30998:15, 31000:15, 31000:17, 31002:9, 31007:10, 31022:10, 31029:7, 31033:14, 31047:22, 31047:24, 31047:25, 31048:7, 31050:1,</p>	<p>31050:3, 31050:18, 31050:22, 31050:24, 31051:5, 31059:8, 31062:2, 31065:17, 31069:20, 31070:6, 31070:11, 31074:20, 31075:23, 31076:4, 31076:24, 31091:16, 31096:20, 31103:21, 31104:1, 31104:4, 31104:13, 31105:4, 31107:20, 31110:11, 31111:13, 31112:22, 31112:24, 31121:19, 31124:25, 31125:1, 31125:24, 31131:10, 31133:12</p> <p><b>Milgaard's</b> [12] - 30876:9, 30880:25, 30889:19, 30901:5, 30978:23, 31003:16, 31005:8, 31008:9, 31013:17, 31051:2, 31054:22, 31055:1</p> <p><b>Milgaards</b> [2] - 31001:7, 31076:13</p> <p><b>Miller</b> [15] - 30906:6, 30926:13, 30929:9, 30969:15, 30977:8, 30996:3, 31079:15, 31080:8, 31080:20, 31082:5, 31083:4, 31099:3, 31099:12, 31108:23, 31133:7</p> <p><b>Miller's</b> [4] - 30928:19, 31080:25, 31082:2, 31082:19</p> <p><b>Miller's</b> [1] - 30936:21</p> <p><b>mind</b> [14] - 30956:21, 30982:13, 30987:13, 30995:1, 31006:1, 31017:1, 31029:25, 31050:8, 31101:2, 31102:4, 31104:18, 31124:24, 31125:2, 31126:4</p> <p><b>mindset</b> [1] - 30987:8</p> <p><b>minimum</b> [1] - 30964:20</p> <p><b>minister</b> [58] - 30865:5, 30888:3, 30888:7, 30888:15, 30889:3, 30892:13, 30894:1, 30940:22, 30979:25, 30988:23, 30994:11, 30995:9, 31000:7, 31014:9, 31014:16, 31014:19, 31019:9, 31022:4, 31026:12, 31026:24, 31027:5,</p>
--	--

<p>30979:8, 31033:14, 31067:3  <b>needed</b> [7] - 30870:10, 30873:13, 30873:17, 30873:19, 31036:19, 31043:11, 31134:11  <b>needs</b> [1] - 31082:4  <b>nefarious</b> [1] - 30967:13  <b>negative</b> [14] - 30875:21, 30875:23, 30875:24, 30876:14, 30896:8, 30989:20, 30990:16, 31015:11, 31017:14, 31017:19, 31024:14, 31030:2, 31055:19, 31061:2  <b>negligent</b> [3] - 30964:20, 30964:21, 30966:12  <b>negotiating</b> [1] - 30966:3  <b>neighbourhood</b> [3] - 30929:7, 30930:2, 30996:3  <b>Neil</b> [1] - 30870:24  <b>nest</b> [3] - 30865:18, 30868:21, 30980:14  <b>never</b> [29] - 30883:4, 30883:6, 30894:20, 30917:11, 30917:15, 30954:15, 30961:25, 30974:6, 30976:20, 30977:15, 30977:16, 30986:1, 31016:17, 31045:19, 31045:21, 31052:1, 31052:22, 31057:3, 31101:14, 31104:22, 31105:1, 31117:24, 31117:25, 31118:1, 31121:17, 31121:18  <b>new</b> [12] - 30889:4, 30938:25, 30940:15, 30940:16, 30940:17, 30941:15, 30978:1, 30978:9, 30978:22, 30979:4, 31016:23, 31068:8  <b>New</b> [2] - 31083:19, 31132:14  <b>news</b> [13] - 30869:2, 30870:5, 30874:11, 30874:16, 30891:16, 30907:4, 30920:21, 31030:2, 31050:13, 31053:18, 31054:5, 31054:8, 31074:19  <b>newspaper</b> [11] - 30922:23, 30972:9,</p>	<p>30985:3, 30987:17, 31006:9, 31006:11, 31006:21, 31006:23, 31007:18, 31094:4, 31094:11  <b>newspapers</b> [2] - 30920:11, 30921:7  <b>newsworthy</b> [1] - 30913:8  <b>next</b> [39] - 30865:9, 30883:25, 30887:12, 30891:6, 30900:6, 30900:18, 30901:9, 30907:20, 30936:18, 30961:23, 30963:14, 30974:24, 30976:18, 30995:10, 30999:6, 30999:17, 31017:8, 31019:21, 31051:12, 31063:2, 31069:16, 31069:18, 31070:9, 31072:15, 31076:11, 31078:11, 31099:13, 31099:17, 31109:16, 31117:13, 31118:20, 31118:23, 31119:22, 31127:25, 31129:19, 31133:13, 31133:14, 31135:16, 31135:18  <b>Next</b> [1] - 30934:22  <b>Nichol</b> [6] - 30933:6, 30933:21, 30938:2, 30959:22, 30975:17, 31017:25  <b>Nicole</b> [1] - 30959:18  <b>night</b> [3] - 30986:5, 31099:1, 31110:7  <b>nine</b> [2] - 30951:24, 30962:5  <b>nitty</b> [1] - 31087:1  <b>nitty-gritty</b> [1] - 31087:1  <b>non</b> [9] - 30871:20, 30871:24, 30872:2, 30873:1, 30901:7, 30966:8, 31069:21, 31070:16, 31070:17  <b>non-disclosure</b> [1] - 30966:8  <b>non-secretor</b> [8] - 30871:20, 30871:24, 30872:2, 30873:1, 30901:7, 31069:21, 31070:16, 31070:17  <b>none</b> [2] - 30996:6, 31051:23  <b>None</b> [2] - 31127:12, 31131:23  <b>nonsense</b> [1] - 31081:3  <b>normal</b> [2] - 30917:11, 30917:13</p>	<p><b>Norris</b> [5] - 31047:4, 31047:5, 31047:12, 31049:5, 31049:23  <b>note</b> [3] - 31098:18, 31098:20, 31103:12  <b>Note</b> [1] - 31134:20  <b>notes</b> [17] - 31003:18, 31004:10, 31018:25, 31032:13, 31098:7, 31118:11, 31118:12, 31118:21, 31118:22, 31127:5, 31127:17, 31128:9, 31128:11, 31128:24, 31129:14, 31133:22, 31139:6  <b>nothing</b> [16] - 30876:20, 30876:21, 30885:7, 30894:6, 30905:1, 30945:12, 30965:2, 30972:1, 30982:7, 30996:19, 31000:20, 31012:8, 31019:11, 31048:19, 31054:3, 31070:22  <b>Nothing</b> [1] - 31003:23  <b>notice</b> [11] - 30881:12, 30881:13, 30889:22, 30890:25, 30946:24, 30947:20, 30949:3, 30991:12, 31022:19, 31046:14, 31053:23  <b>noticed</b> [1] - 31010:8  <b>noting</b> [1] - 30918:7  <b>notion</b> [1] - 30890:23  <b>notwithstanding</b> [2] - 30951:10, 31053:14  <b>Notwithstanding</b> [1] - 31126:15  <b>November</b> [4] - 30870:24, 31007:14, 31007:21, 31054:14  <b>nowhere</b> [2] - 30882:22, 30906:19  <b>number</b> [23] - 30865:3, 30910:14, 30910:25, 30911:2, 30916:18, 30917:18, 30919:5, 30923:13, 30942:23, 30942:25, 30951:13, 30952:7, 30985:5, 31015:20, 31063:8, 31065:16, 31065:18, 31070:15, 31072:21, 31077:16, 31093:23, 31107:21, 31135:11  <b>numbers</b> [1] - 31100:15  <b>nut</b> [2] - 31122:9, 31123:5  <b>nuts</b> [1] - 30912:7</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>O</b></p> <p><b>Oake</b> [1] - 30919:11  <b>oath</b> [1] - 30897:3  <b>objective</b> [2] - 30869:19, 30888:6  <b>objectives</b> [2] - 31054:4, 31117:8  <b>observation</b> [1] - 30980:16  <b>observations</b> [1] - 30980:5  <b>observed</b> [1] - 31055:20  <b>observer</b> [1] - 30946:21  <b>obtain</b> [1] - 31052:5  <b>obtained</b> [9] - 30872:5, 30901:24, 30925:12, 30944:10, 30945:7, 30957:14, 31027:14, 31055:4, 31091:23  <b>obvious</b> [6] - 30926:13, 30989:22, 31018:22, 31020:4, 31023:7, 31028:9  <b>obviously</b> [8] - 30924:1, 30944:2, 30958:20, 30960:8, 30962:5, 31049:12, 31065:8, 31136:1  <b>Obviously</b> [3] - 30878:13, 30983:4, 31032:25  <b>occasion</b> [3] - 30866:13, 30912:2, 31005:5  <b>occasions</b> [3] - 30910:15, 31012:22, 31065:16  <b>occurred</b> [1] - 31002:3  <b>occurrence</b> [1] - 31100:15  <b>occurring</b> [1] - 30996:2  <b>October</b> [20] - 30870:23, 31008:19, 31013:2, 31015:9, 31022:5, 31032:20, 31033:3, 31050:14, 31050:16, 31051:5, 31052:25, 31055:8, 31055:16, 31055:18, 31056:1, 31056:4, 31056:19, 31058:6, 31063:23, 31064:25  <b>offence</b> [7] - 30901:16, 30906:2, 31044:9, 31090:6, 31090:12, 31111:25, 31126:2  <b>offences</b> [4] - 30925:18,</p>	<p>30925:24, 31081:1, 31082:19  <b>Office</b> [1] - 30892:25  <b>office</b> [9] - 30877:8, 30878:17, 30893:7, 30893:11, 30893:12, 30995:10, 31051:15, 31132:15, 31132:16  <b>Officer</b> [1] - 30861:12  <b>officer</b> [2] - 31084:1, 31090:22  <b>official</b> [2] - 30894:9, 30988:19  <b>Official</b> [5] - 30861:10, 31139:1, 31139:3, 31139:14, 31139:20  <b>officially</b> [1] - 31075:24  <b>officials</b> [19] - 30884:10, 30922:12, 30923:8, 30979:2, 31000:9, 31000:16, 31001:6, 31002:17, 31018:23, 31050:17, 31051:4, 31053:3, 31054:17, 31054:19, 31055:10, 31058:18, 31059:2, 31063:8, 31066:8  <b>often</b> [2] - 30915:1, 30922:16  <b>Oh...definitely</b> [1] - 31049:2  <b>old</b> [1] - 31098:25  <b>omission</b> [1] - 30963:6  <b>once</b> [16] - 30885:19, 30887:14, 30887:25, 30905:16, 30908:21, 30921:10, 30956:21, 31013:18, 31014:5, 31014:13, 31026:7, 31070:1, 31080:12, 31113:18, 31113:19, 31123:11  <b>One</b> [5] - 30874:25, 30875:2, 30967:8, 31056:23, 31065:12  <b>one</b> [114] - 30866:12, 30875:1, 30875:7, 30883:13, 30883:15, 30888:18, 30890:3, 30892:9, 30896:18, 30910:25, 30912:2, 30914:8, 30923:19, 30927:5, 30927:10, 30928:23, 30929:1, 30932:4, 30933:23, 30935:20, 30942:8, 30942:24, 30947:22, 30949:4, 30949:20, 30950:8, 30952:4,</p>
--	--	---	--	---



<p>30952:7, 30952:14, 30952:15, 30954:19, 30958:11, 30959:10, 30960:7, 30986:7, 30989:3, 30989:24, 30990:22, 30992:17, 30996:13, 31003:3, 31003:12, 31006:9, 31006:11, 31006:23, 31007:20, 31007:22, 31009:16, 31009:17, 31025:14, 31029:11, 31030:8, 31030:9, 31030:17, 31030:18, 31033:22, 31038:20, 31049:12, 31054:1, 31054:2, 31054:4, 31057:20, 31061:7, 31061:21, 31065:2, 31065:4, 31065:11, 31069:19, 31070:9, 31070:16, 31071:17, 31071:19, 31074:24, 31075:4, 31075:5, 31077:7, 31078:12, 31078:18, 31084:19, 31088:7, 31092:9, 31093:4, 31093:19, 31093:23, 31094:4, 31096:5, 31096:10, 31096:13, 31097:2, 31097:4, 31099:22, 31101:22, 31101:23, 31111:2, 31111:18, 31113:24, 31114:5, 31116:21, 31117:8, 31120:25, 31121:2, 31121:3, 31124:22, 31125:21, 31125:23, 31127:19, 31129:16, 31131:24, 31135:2, 31138:4, 31138:6</p> <p><b>ones</b> [6] - 30924:14, 30926:3, 31019:19, 31023:13, 31066:1, 31079:19</p> <p><b>ongoing</b> [2] - 30893:5, 30923:3</p> <p><b>open</b> [2] - 30983:3, 31072:7</p> <p><b>opened</b> [3] - 30865:2, 30886:18, 30932:19</p> <p><b>opening</b> [5] - 30976:10, 30991:2, 31013:7, 31032:5, 31040:10</p> <p><b>operates</b> [1] - 30879:25</p> <p><b>operating</b> [2] - 30932:12, 30944:23</p> <p><b>Operation</b>[1] - 31095:19</p>	<p><b>operator</b> [1] - 30921:6</p> <p><b>opinion</b> [15] - 30875:15, 30876:3, 30890:5, 30900:2, 30901:21, 30902:15, 30903:5, 30940:3, 30986:22, 31019:6, 31052:6, 31066:23, 31070:15, 31071:6, 31072:10</p> <p><b>opportunity</b> [2] - 30868:16, 31021:12</p> <p><b>opposed</b> [18] - 30879:19, 30886:6, 30886:8, 30892:19, 30900:4, 30971:16, 30972:2, 30998:11, 30999:2, 31002:18, 31010:11, 31010:18, 31038:13, 31061:23, 31064:6, 31073:25, 31096:6, 31119:9</p> <p><b>optimism</b> [1] - 31056:16</p> <p><b>optimistic</b> [2] - 31029:15, 31029:17</p> <p><b>ordeal</b> [1] - 31104:2</p> <p><b>order</b> [5] - 30870:2, 30870:9, 30912:9, 31013:19, 31132:17</p> <p><b>organization</b> [1] - 31085:8</p> <p><b>original</b> [12] - 30892:8, 30892:10, 30918:5, 30932:24, 30953:4, 30959:25, 30960:17, 30965:1, 30990:25, 31000:21, 31025:25, 31095:10</p> <p><b>originally</b> [1] - 31089:11</p> <p><b>Ottawa</b>[11] - 30867:8, 30920:8, 30923:8, 30924:19, 31008:20, 31008:24, 31051:5, 31062:21, 31075:8, 31084:5, 31086:3</p> <p><b>ourselves</b> [1] - 31009:13</p> <p><b>outcome</b> [1] - 30942:13</p> <p><b>outlets</b> [1] - 30904:3</p> <p><b>outline</b> [1] - 31099:20</p> <p><b>outlined</b> [1] - 31014:2</p> <p><b>outlines</b> [1] - 31065:15</p> <p><b>outrage</b> [1] - 31076:15</p> <p><b>outraged</b> [1] - 31077:24</p> <p><b>outrageously</b> [1] - 30883:21</p> <p><b>outset</b> [1] - 30878:18</p> <p><b>overall</b> [3] - 30894:3, 30948:25, 31071:24</p>	<p><b>overstating</b> [1] - 31079:8</p> <p><b>overtaken</b> [1] - 31036:22</p> <p><b>overtook</b> [1] - 31033:24</p> <p><b>overturned</b> [1] - 30880:1</p> <p><b>overwhelming</b> [1] - 31007:11</p> <p><b>own</b> [10] - 30909:6, 30914:23, 30915:1, 30941:19, 30941:20, 31014:24, 31098:8, 31098:11, 31104:18</p>	<p>31129:20, 31129:21, 31129:23, 31130:1, 31130:2, 31131:1, 31131:5, 31133:10, 31133:11, 31133:14, 31134:14, 31135:16, 31135:18, 31135:25, 31137:18</p> <p><b>pages</b> [13] - 31031:23, 31032:4, 31034:21, 31100:7, 31127:4, 31127:6, 31129:22, 31130:16, 31131:11, 31131:18, 31139:4</p> <p><b>panel</b> [1] - 30963:25</p> <p><b>paper</b> [6] - 30919:22, 30950:16, 30961:19, 30963:2, 30996:21, 31132:13</p> <p><b>paragraph</b> [5] - 30900:6, 31017:9, 31018:21, 31058:15, 31095:24</p> <p><b>paragraphs</b> [1] - 31003:9</p> <p><b>parameters</b> [1] - 30880:3</p> <p><b>paraphrasing</b> [2] - 30879:20, 31070:22</p> <p><b>pardon</b> [1] - 30987:21</p> <p><b>paring</b> [3] - 30936:16, 30973:21, 30974:25</p> <p><b>parliament</b> [2] - 31063:1, 31064:7</p> <p><b>part</b> [29] - 30868:6, 30915:12, 30952:14, 30970:1, 30972:12, 30972:13, 31023:7, 31029:18, 31036:16, 31036:21, 31056:11, 31058:3, 31061:17, 31075:13, 31088:4, 31094:20, 31098:13, 31115:10, 31117:18, 31117:25, 31120:22, 31123:5, 31126:24, 31127:14, 31127:21, 31127:23, 31136:3, 31136:4, 31136:6</p> <p><b>partial</b> [3] - 31096:12, 31096:24, 31100:16</p> <p><b>particular</b> [8] - 30906:12, 31004:1, 31004:6, 31036:9, 31038:23, 31050:9, 31066:9, 31131:18</p> <p><b>partly</b> [1] - 30890:20</p> <p><b>parts</b> [4] - 30898:23, 30943:2, 31015:15, 31103:13</p>	<p><b>pass</b> [1] - 30889:6</p> <p><b>past</b> [2] - 31013:14, 31103:14</p> <p><b>Pat</b> [1] - 30862:7</p> <p><b>path</b> [1] - 30887:15</p> <p><b>pathologist</b> [2] - 31035:9, 31035:24</p> <p><b>patient</b> [2] - 30896:1, 30896:2</p> <p><b>Patricia</b> [1] - 30877:25</p> <p><b>Paul</b> [21] - 30909:22, 30934:1, 30961:4, 30961:5, 30962:10, 30962:14, 30973:13, 30977:9, 31079:22, 31086:24, 31087:6, 31087:12, 31091:3, 31091:14, 31092:4, 31092:7, 31092:20, 31093:13, 31098:8, 31131:1</p> <p><b>pause</b> [10] - 30881:5, 30943:12, 30948:15, 31000:23, 31015:24, 31031:12, 31084:7, 31098:5, 31107:19, 31114:23</p> <p><b>pay</b> [1] - 30970:1</p> <p><b>Pearson</b> [10] - 30908:14, 30925:16, 30926:16, 30926:20, 30929:12, 30935:17, 31117:15, 31117:24, 31118:7, 31121:15</p> <p><b>Penitentiary</b> [1] - 31075:12</p> <p><b>Penkala</b> [12] - 30881:2, 30881:21, 30882:4, 30882:24, 30883:5, 30883:13, 30883:17, 30884:7, 31046:3, 31046:9, 31095:15, 31095:19</p> <p><b>Penkala's</b> [1] - 30884:5</p> <p><b>people</b> [43] - 30869:22, 30878:18, 30881:10, 30882:3, 30883:13, 30887:3, 30887:4, 30889:18, 30889:21, 30890:3, 30890:12, 30890:24, 30891:2, 30892:19, 30906:16, 30907:9, 30909:3, 30914:1, 30914:20, 30925:15, 30946:23, 30947:20, 30947:24, 30949:2, 30949:5, 30968:23, 30983:23, 30984:14, 30984:19, 30989:5, 30990:25,</p>
<b>P</b>				
<p><b>package</b> [1] - 30932:19</p> <p><b>Page</b> [3] - 30863:2, 30874:25, 30875:2</p> <p><b>page</b> [99] - 30864:17, 30871:16, 30874:7, 30880:14, 30881:23, 30882:5, 30883:18, 30900:18, 30901:9, 30903:8, 30917:16, 30917:18, 30917:19, 30932:3, 30934:22, 30938:19, 30950:14, 30951:9, 30952:20, 30954:11, 30961:1, 30961:23, 30963:14, 30966:24, 30969:3, 30974:18, 30974:24, 30976:18, 30980:25, 30981:2, 30982:10, 30984:25, 30987:24, 30993:11, 30993:13, 30995:4, 30999:6, 30999:7, 30999:17, 31007:3, 31007:19, 31019:21, 31029:8, 31030:19, 31037:1, 31039:1, 31041:2, 31044:13, 31045:3, 31045:13, 31046:23, 31051:12, 31054:15, 31055:17, 31063:2, 31065:21, 31069:16, 31069:18, 31070:9, 31073:8, 31073:11, 31076:11, 31081:13, 31081:17, 31081:18, 31089:25, 31094:23, 31099:13, 31099:17, 31109:16, 31117:14, 31118:23, 31119:22, 31127:5, 31127:22, 31127:25, 31129:13,</p>				



<p>30991:1, 31045:7, 31053:22, 31059:19, 31059:24, 31075:6, 31075:7, 31077:23, 31078:4, 31137:4, 31137:13, 31137:15 <b>people's</b> [4] - 30881:8, 30890:4, 30916:3, 30947:23 <b>perception</b> [2] - 30870:8, 31107:16 <b>perceptions</b> [1] - 31066:11 <b>perform</b> [1] - 31040:17 <b>performance</b> [1] - 31042:18 <b>performed</b> [1] - 30901:5 <b>perhaps</b> [33] - 30866:15, 30870:2, 30871:25, 30877:1, 30882:7, 30884:10, 30886:7, 30889:22, 30895:13, 30902:13, 30915:25, 30919:16, 30924:10, 30927:19, 30949:18, 30950:3, 30966:11, 30971:18, 30983:22, 30984:12, 30998:8, 31002:25, 31011:4, 31027:21, 31029:23, 31036:22, 31061:8, 31078:20, 31086:17, 31111:15, 31116:19, 31122:18, 31131:24 <b>period</b> [2] - 30943:14, 31078:18 <b>periodically</b> [1] - 30894:18 <b>periods</b> [1] - 31009:20 <b>perpetrator</b> [14] - 30876:5, 30876:8, 30876:13, 30888:21, 30906:2, 31082:23, 31102:5, 31104:19, 31111:24, 31114:10, 31115:3, 31116:7, 31117:2, 31126:13 <b>person</b> [13] - 30884:1, 30896:25, 30933:22, 31035:25, 31065:11, 31065:12, 31087:22, 31102:11, 31104:13, 31109:18, 31111:11, 31115:9, 31124:1 <b>personal</b> [2] - 31019:5, 31058:16 <b>personalized</b> [1] - 30883:6 <b>personally</b> [6] -</p>	<p>30909:2, 30935:14, 31007:9, 31013:21, 31050:23, 31052:8 <b>perspective</b> [6] - 30980:1, 30980:15, 31013:20, 31055:16, 31055:19, 31104:11 <b>persuaded</b> [2] - 31061:11, 31061:19 <b>pertinent</b> [1] - 30943:2 <b>Peter</b> [2] - 30910:19, 30910:20 <b>Petitions</b> [1] - 30867:2 <b>petitions</b> [1] - 31137:11 <b>ph</b> [1] - 30934:18 <b>phone</b> [8] - 30925:10, 30952:23, 31047:3, 31047:9, 31116:2, 31116:5, 31123:12, 31123:18 <b>phone-in</b> [2] - 31047:3, 31047:9 <b>phoned</b> [8] - 30903:24, 30906:9, 30950:16, 30952:23, 30962:18, 30973:9, 31102:21, 31125:12 <b>phoning</b> [2] - 30887:3, 30895:9 <b>photo</b> [7] - 31106:14, 31109:19, 31114:24, 31115:4, 31115:5, 31115:15, 31118:24 <b>photo-booth</b> [1] - 31109:19 <b>photocopy</b> [2] - 31094:25, 31096:2 <b>photograph</b> [7] - 31107:9, 31107:10, 31117:6, 31120:7, 31125:3, 31125:5, 31126:18 <b>photos</b> [1] - 31109:21 <b>phrase</b> [1] - 30905:7 <b>physical</b> [1] - 31017:25 <b>physically</b> [1] - 31055:25 <b>picked</b> [6] - 30909:12, 30915:2, 30916:19, 30952:22, 31136:1, 31136:3 <b>picking</b> [3] - 30915:6, 30916:23, 30917:8 <b>picks</b> [1] - 31129:20 <b>pickup</b> [2] - 31134:21, 31135:5 <b>picture</b> [25] - 30907:17, 30993:14, 30993:25, 31104:17, 31105:18, 31105:22, 31106:4,</p>	<p>31106:11, 31106:15, 31106:17, 31106:19, 31106:20, 31106:23, 31110:15, 31112:19, 31114:15, 31114:21, 31115:7, 31115:13, 31118:25, 31119:3, 31119:13, 31119:16, 31126:17 <b>pictures</b> [1] - 31109:20 <b>piece</b> [10] - 30883:25, 30884:4, 30888:2, 30888:3, 30994:4, 31025:14, 31033:22, 31034:3, 31064:3, 31071:2 <b>pieces</b> [1] - 30947:14 <b>pinpoint</b> [2] - 30907:2, 30907:3 <b>Pinx</b> [1] - 31073:14 <b>piss</b> [1] - 30874:22 <b>placard</b> [1] - 30866:23 <b>place</b> [15] - 30866:21, 30898:17, 30898:18, 30903:24, 30925:5, 30926:4, 30926:7, 30927:12, 30929:17, 30931:19, 30935:8, 30935:24, 30972:20, 31011:12, 31053:15 <b>places</b> [1] - 30968:23 <b>plan</b> [16] - 30868:25, 30948:25, 31060:2, 31060:10, 31060:19, 31082:25, 31083:12, 31084:11, 31084:21, 31084:25, 31085:12, 31085:20, 31086:9, 31086:16, 31110:6, 31112:6 <b>planned</b> [7] - 30868:25, 30908:23, 30923:7, 30924:9, 30924:19, 30969:21, 31104:4 <b>plans</b> [1] - 30865:11 <b>played</b> [4] - 30921:5, 31025:25, 31026:19, 31049:13 <b>player</b> [1] - 30918:4 <b>playing</b> [1] - 31043:18 <b>plenty</b> [1] - 30985:12 <b>ploy</b> [1] - 31064:15 <b>plural</b> [1] - 31096:4 <b>Pm</b> [5] - 31004:22, 31004:23, 31067:8, 31067:9, 31138:12 <b>Podolak</b> [1] - 30864:23 <b>point</b> [65] - 30869:24, 30870:17, 30871:10, 30879:12, 30879:14,</p>	<p>30882:18, 30889:13, 30890:2, 30906:17, 30907:1, 30907:15, 30909:9, 30917:6, 30917:14, 30921:9, 30925:14, 30926:15, 30948:8, 30958:22, 30960:22, 30969:23, 30970:20, 30973:23, 30975:4, 30976:18, 30976:20, 30977:1, 30977:22, 30977:24, 30978:12, 30979:13, 30979:19, 30980:9, 30982:20, 30987:9, 31002:22, 31009:4, 31014:13, 31018:2, 31020:20, 31021:21, 31022:3, 31022:9, 31022:19, 31026:9, 31028:6, 31036:7, 31043:14, 31046:12, 31052:11, 31056:15, 31059:21, 31060:9, 31060:18, 31060:19, 31061:7, 31074:2, 31095:16, 31097:4, 31111:9, 31113:6, 31125:12, 31125:14 <b>pointed</b> [4] - 30913:10, 30940:19, 30948:12, 31072:4 <b>pointless</b> [1] - 30982:17 <b>points</b> [4] - 30864:9, 31018:23, 31072:21, 31074:3 <b>poke</b> [1] - 30882:10 <b>Police</b> [7] - 30862:7, 30916:5, 30960:2, 30965:8, 31016:13, 31049:6, 31049:20 <b>police</b> [52] - 30884:8, 30897:5, 30911:7, 30911:14, 30911:21, 30913:5, 30914:11, 30914:13, 30915:14, 30915:19, 30916:2, 30916:4, 30917:5, 30917:10, 30918:12, 30918:20, 30919:2, 30919:13, 30965:18, 30967:4, 30983:12, 30990:16, 31003:20, 31004:12, 31016:7, 31022:22, 31023:4, 31023:10, 31024:3, 31047:17, 31049:23, 31084:1, 31090:21, 31091:24, 31094:24, 31095:2, 31095:14,</p>	<p>31096:1, 31097:10, 31097:20, 31099:18, 31099:21, 31100:16, 31103:19, 31109:8, 31111:9, 31111:10, 31113:15, 31117:21, 31118:7, 31124:24 <b>political</b> [3] - 31064:14, 31064:15, 31077:20 <b>politicians</b> [1] - 30920:13 <b>popular</b> [2] - 30989:9, 31061:12 <b>Portrait</b> [1] - 31135:14 <b>position</b> [20] - 30876:17, 30877:17, 30879:16, 30879:23, 30891:21, 30905:16, 30907:19, 30911:24, 30911:25, 30914:5, 30929:19, 30952:17, 30986:18, 31011:8, 31020:13, 31034:7, 31069:8, 31076:7, 31111:23 <b>positions</b> [3] - 30908:8, 30942:6, 31040:9 <b>positive</b> [2] - 31015:10, 31024:14 <b>possibilities</b> [1] - 30956:23 <b>possibility</b> [4] - 30949:19, 30957:6, 31120:23, 31132:6 <b>possible</b> [24] - 30906:2, 30924:17, 30931:20, 30940:7, 30945:17, 30955:25, 30957:12, 30957:16, 30957:21, 30958:5, 30958:10, 31020:21, 31021:2, 31021:17, 31038:3, 31050:7, 31053:8, 31083:25, 31095:14, 31105:11, 31117:7, 31122:3, 31125:16, 31131:25 <b>possibly</b> [1] - 31010:19 <b>Possibly</b> [1] - 30958:2 <b>posted</b> [1] - 30921:22 <b>postponed</b> [1] - 30923:16 <b>potential</b> [2] - 30874:17, 30949:4 <b>potentially</b> [1] - 30998:1 <b>practically</b> [1] - 31062:3 <b>precedes</b> [1] - 30988:5 <b>preceding</b> [2] - 31003:22, 31004:15</p>
--	---	--	--	--



<p><b>precise</b> [1] - 31119:9</p> <p><b>precisely</b> [3] - 30864:18, 30865:11, 31060:2</p> <p><b>prejudiced</b> [2] - 30924:1, 31005:20</p> <p><b>prejudicial</b> [1] - 31028:4</p> <p><b>prejudicing</b> [1] - 30886:11</p> <p><b>prelim</b> [4] - 30953:9, 30954:1, 30956:13, 30956:14</p> <p><b>preliminary</b> [1] - 30956:12</p> <p><b>premise</b> [1] - 30876:3</p> <p><b>prepare</b> [4] - 31014:10, 31083:11, 31098:8, 31132:10</p> <p><b>prepared</b> [18] - 30875:16, 30923:23, 30923:24, 31013:25, 31051:14, 31063:3, 31063:6, 31063:12, 31063:14, 31063:16, 31101:8, 31113:8, 31132:13, 31133:17, 31133:18, 31136:16, 31137:5, 31137:16</p> <p><b>preparing</b> [1] - 31032:2</p> <p><b>prepped</b> [1] - 31037:23</p> <p><b>present</b> [2] - 30939:1, 31000:21</p> <p><b>presentation</b> [1] - 31110:21</p> <p><b>presented</b> [2] - 30888:11, 31019:20</p> <p><b>presenting</b> [2] - 30956:2, 30957:6</p> <p><b>preserve</b> [1] - 31095:4</p> <p><b>president</b> [1] - 30865:2</p> <p><b>press</b> [6] - 31052:17, 31075:17, 31084:4, 31084:12, 31085:2, 31086:2</p> <p><b>Press</b> [3] - 30874:20, 30991:21, 31084:3</p> <p><b>pressure</b> [12] - 30870:11, 30919:13, 30980:14, 30980:20, 31060:5, 31060:11, 31061:1, 31061:14, 31084:5, 31086:3, 31086:9, 31086:12</p> <p><b>presumably</b> [6] - 30927:13, 30927:14, 30994:16, 30999:14, 31022:10, 31134:11</p> <p><b>Presumably</b> [1] - 31026:17</p>	<p><b>presume</b> [3] - 30983:2, 30985:9, 31065:17</p> <p><b>presuming</b> [1] - 31085:24</p> <p><b>pretty</b> [4] - 30869:5, 30957:16, 31077:15, 31091:13</p> <p><b>prevent</b> [1] - 30968:24</p> <p><b>previous</b> [3] - 30966:24, 30982:10, 30999:7</p> <p><b>previously</b> [1] - 31007:8</p> <p><b>primarily</b> [3] - 30879:18, 30968:17, 31028:13</p> <p><b>primary</b> [1] - 31034:1</p> <p><b>prime</b> [1] - 31034:3</p> <p><b>Prince</b> [1] - 30966:15</p> <p><b>Princeton</b> [1] - 31083:21</p> <p><b>principal</b> [1] - 31079:7</p> <p><b>principally</b> [2] - 30921:20, 31073:18</p> <p><b>prison</b> [5] - 30967:18, 31011:8, 31101:12, 31104:5, 31110:13</p> <p><b>prisoner</b> [3] - 31011:6, 31077:20, 31077:22</p> <p><b>Prisoner</b> [1] - 31076:13</p> <p><b>private</b> [1] - 30937:13</p> <p><b>privately</b> [1] - 31114:3</p> <p><b>privy</b> [1] - 30907:12</p> <p><b>probable</b> [3] - 31019:13, 31110:10, 31131:9</p> <p><b>probe</b> [2] - 31027:19, 31036:7</p> <p><b>problem</b> [3] - 30907:16, 30951:1, 31039:24</p> <p><b>problems</b> [4] - 30912:7, 30989:2, 31028:25, 31029:20</p> <p><b>procedure</b> [2] - 31088:11, 31089:1</p> <p><b>Proceedings</b> [4] - 30860:12, 30860:23, 30863:1, 30864:1</p> <p><b>proceeds</b> [1] - 31069:19</p> <p><b>process</b> [8] - 30895:6, 30895:16, 31028:20, 31078:5, 31087:17, 31088:17, 31088:23, 31110:19</p> <p><b>product</b> [1] - 30913:15</p> <p><b>production</b> [1] - 30866:9</p> <p><b>profile</b> [5] - 31073:3, 31080:6, 31080:7,</p>	<p>31133:4, 31134:12</p> <p><b>program</b> [10] - 31030:7, 31030:15, 31030:21, 31031:13, 31031:17, 31034:5, 31037:4, 31038:15, 31038:16, 31038:19</p> <p><b>programs</b> [1] - 31078:12</p> <p><b>progress</b> [2] - 30895:16, 30921:21</p> <p><b>progressing</b> [1] - 30868:24</p> <p><b>prolong</b> [1] - 30892:16</p> <p><b>prompt</b> [1] - 31025:16</p> <p><b>prompted</b> [2] - 30910:5, 31005:8</p> <p><b>proof</b> [5] - 30872:2, 30878:15, 30930:4, 30994:12, 31019:17</p> <p><b>proper</b> [1] - 30889:5</p> <p><b>properly</b> [3] - 30905:8, 31017:15, 31017:21</p> <p><b>propose</b> [1] - 31098:2</p> <p><b>prosecute</b> [1] - 31044:8</p> <p><b>prosecution</b> [6] - 30990:17, 30991:1, 31000:14, 31042:23, 31043:8, 31095:10</p> <p><b>prosecutor</b> [1] - 31000:21</p> <p><b>prosecutor's</b> [1] - 30899:23</p> <p><b>prosecutorial</b> [7] - 30995:16, 30997:17, 30997:19, 31000:18, 31001:1, 31008:12, 31088:8</p> <p><b>protect</b> [2] - 31095:5, 31113:25</p> <p><b>prove</b> [4] - 31033:13, 31033:19, 31035:20, 31052:4</p> <p><b>proved</b> [3] - 30903:5, 31025:15, 31095:10</p> <p><b>proven</b> [1] - 31052:11</p> <p><b>proves</b> [7] - 30876:9, 30888:20, 30902:17, 31053:12, 31070:21, 31070:22, 31071:6</p> <p><b>provide</b> [4] - 30878:14, 31013:20, 31090:11, 31114:20</p> <p><b>provided</b> [13] - 30878:11, 30897:7, 30902:12, 30910:18, 30910:19, 30910:20, 30913:2, 30942:7, 31003:14, 31015:19, 31043:11, 31066:19,</p>	<p>31118:2</p> <p><b>provides</b> [1] - 31082:21</p> <p><b>providing</b> [1] - 30893:12</p> <p><b>Province</b> [1] - 31139:3</p> <p><b>provincial</b> [1] - 30989:5</p> <p><b>psychiatric</b> [3] - 31057:5, 31057:13, 31058:1</p> <p><b>psychological</b> [1] - 30978:21</p> <p><b>public</b> [3] - 30870:21, 30871:6, 30871:11</p> <p><b>public</b> [39] - 30864:14, 30868:2, 30868:9, 30868:17, 30882:2, 30884:11, 30884:16, 30886:3, 30886:6, 30886:7, 30886:10, 30886:19, 30886:22, 30887:16, 30888:4, 30903:20, 30908:16, 30913:17, 30914:5, 30915:13, 30915:18, 30916:9, 30948:20, 30980:19, 30991:12, 31011:15, 31012:4, 31012:7, 31042:6, 31066:10, 31076:24, 31085:14, 31085:17, 31086:15, 31110:21, 31112:11, 31125:19, 31137:7</p> <p><b>public's</b> [1] - 30992:14</p> <p><b>publicity</b> [10] - 30865:14, 30865:15, 30909:13, 30913:22, 30928:1, 31061:1, 31102:12, 31103:25, 31105:17, 31108:23</p> <p><b>publicized</b> [1] - 30990:15</p> <p><b>publicly</b> [3] - 30906:3, 30976:6, 31114:3</p> <p><b>publish</b> [5] - 30904:4, 30904:6, 30904:12, 30905:11, 30905:12</p> <p><b>published</b> [2] - 30907:21, 30908:2</p> <p><b>publishing</b> [1] - 30907:15</p> <p><b>pulling</b> [1] - 31032:3</p> <p><b>punch</b> [2] - 31031:5, 31112:1</p> <p><b>punched</b> [1] - 31031:6</p> <p><b>purchased</b> [2] - 31134:22, 31135:5</p> <p><b>purged</b> [1] - 30960:5</p> <p><b>purpose</b> [19] - 30889:23, 30889:25,</p>	<p>30890:11, 30914:18, 30938:13, 30980:19, 31014:1, 31014:20, 31017:9, 31052:14, 31063:5, 31063:6, 31066:17, 31080:4, 31090:9, 31103:6, 31104:6, 31115:12, 31131:13</p> <p><b>purse</b> [2] - 31037:12, 31040:10</p> <p><b>pushed</b> [1] - 30969:2</p> <p><b>pushing</b> [1] - 31061:23</p> <p><b>put</b> [94] - 30864:19, 30869:8, 30870:11, 30875:16, 30877:17, 30878:25, 30880:7, 30888:4, 30891:20, 30897:2, 30900:2, 30902:14, 30909:15, 30911:14, 30913:5, 30916:17, 30918:2, 30923:23, 30923:25, 30926:3, 30933:8, 30939:5, 30940:4, 30940:13, 30941:3, 30941:18, 30941:25, 30942:6, 30947:19, 30948:20, 30948:22, 30949:1, 30949:14, 30952:12, 30966:19, 30968:12, 30980:14, 30980:20, 30986:14, 30998:1, 30999:2, 31001:3, 31001:7, 31001:11, 31011:7, 31012:21, 31017:20, 31018:17, 31024:3, 31027:23, 31028:10, 31028:15, 31028:25, 31033:4, 31042:5, 31053:4, 31057:14, 31060:5, 31061:14, 31069:9, 31069:11, 31070:14, 31071:19, 31071:21, 31072:1, 31073:21, 31080:22, 31086:12, 31096:9, 31097:12, 31101:14, 31108:10, 31118:15, 31119:11, 31119:20, 31120:19, 31121:10, 31121:13, 31121:23, 31123:2, 31123:15, 31124:14, 31124:19, 31125:22, 31126:11, 31128:4, 31129:18, 31132:7, 31132:14, 31132:18, 31133:19, 31134:11</p>
---	---	---	---	--



<p><b>putting</b> [8] - 30875:22, 30882:8, 30883:24, 30886:7, 31109:4, 31121:11, 31128:16, 31136:19</p>	<p>31067:18, 31090:13, 31104:22, 31105:1  <b>raises</b> [1] - 30873:7  <b>raising</b> [2] - 30955:20, 30963:15  <b>raked</b> [1] - 30897:8  <b>ran</b> [3] - 30907:5, 31030:7, 31030:11  <b>rape</b> [10] - 30927:11, 30928:24, 31084:1, 31104:2, 31113:15, 31115:3, 31116:7, 31117:10, 31122:16, 31124:7  <b>raped</b> [19] - 31101:18, 31101:22, 31101:23, 31102:1, 31104:14, 31108:1, 31108:21, 31111:5, 31111:11, 31111:21, 31112:16, 31112:18, 31113:7, 31115:9, 31124:1, 31124:14, 31124:22, 31125:8, 31125:17  <b>rapes</b> [18] - 30926:1, 30926:18, 30926:23, 30927:16, 30928:17, 30928:22, 30929:3, 30929:13, 30929:17, 30930:1, 30931:3, 30931:5, 30931:11, 30931:19, 30931:22, 30978:8, 30996:2, 31003:24  <b>rapist</b> [12] - 30928:10, 30928:11, 30928:13, 30929:5, 30929:9, 30929:15, 30929:16, 30930:9, 31080:7, 31103:17, 31104:24, 31105:5  <b>rat</b> [1] - 30989:6  <b>rather</b> [16] - 30875:4, 30886:4, 30897:9, 30918:19, 30919:2, 30970:20, 30972:4, 30995:2, 31011:9, 31011:10, 31027:22, 31029:19, 31050:1, 31060:16, 31073:16, 31101:7  <b>rational</b> [1] - 31012:2  <b>rationale</b> [5] - 30917:1, 31009:19, 31010:15, 31085:4, 31085:6  <b>rationalized</b> [1] - 31009:14  <b>Rcmp</b>[5] - 30862:9, 30878:1, 30905:14, 31016:18, 31121:19</p>	<p><b>re</b> [9] - 30889:9, 30976:10, 30983:3, 30987:1, 30991:2, 31020:6, 31037:25, 31038:6, 31040:10  <b>re-enact</b> [1] - 31037:25  <b>re-enacting</b> [1] - 31038:6  <b>re-enactment</b> [1] - 31020:6  <b>re-interview</b> [1] - 30987:1  <b>re-investigated</b> [1] - 30889:9  <b>re-open</b> [1] - 30983:3  <b>re-opening</b> [3] - 30976:10, 30991:2, 31040:10  <b>react</b> [2] - 30887:7, 30892:13  <b>reacted</b> [1] - 31059:10  <b>reacting</b> [2] - 30968:20, 31060:12  <b>reaction</b> [11] - 30870:4, 30871:13, 30882:12, 30883:11, 30886:22, 30950:22, 31038:2, 31044:1, 31044:7, 31068:18, 31088:5  <b>read</b> [32] - 30872:11, 30879:6, 30883:21, 30888:8, 30899:1, 30899:22, 30925:18, 30943:2, 30945:5, 30950:18, 30953:1, 30955:2, 30955:4, 30955:6, 30957:23, 30963:2, 30966:25, 30967:12, 30967:23, 30977:15, 30983:9, 30983:19, 31006:11, 31006:20, 31007:13, 31007:22, 31015:12, 31055:21, 31061:16, 31071:7, 31081:9, 31081:23  <b>reader</b> [1] - 30946:11  <b>readily</b> [1] - 30900:25  <b>reading</b> [11] - 30879:15, 30890:18, 30944:7, 30946:10, 30951:5, 31001:2, 31001:12, 31001:13, 31020:3, 31044:24, 31080:21  <b>reads</b> [1] - 30883:18  <b>ready</b> [2] - 31055:25, 31110:19  <b>real</b> [4] - 30917:13, 30928:5, 30932:16, 30966:2</p>	<p><b>real...i</b> [1] - 31048:19  <b>realistically</b> [1] - 31051:7  <b>realize</b> [3] - 30871:1, 31010:3, 31062:3  <b>realized</b> [1] - 31048:11  <b>realizing</b> [1] - 30948:8  <b>really</b> [62] - 30873:20, 30887:21, 30895:8, 30895:25, 30901:25, 30902:20, 30906:11, 30909:10, 30909:15, 30916:17, 30917:8, 30934:10, 30934:13, 30936:6, 30937:8, 30938:23, 30942:23, 30944:16, 30948:4, 30954:19, 30954:21, 30958:18, 30980:10, 30981:13, 30981:25, 30982:2, 30982:25, 30984:14, 30984:17, 30991:7, 31027:8, 31029:15, 31031:6, 31036:18, 31044:6, 31045:2, 31045:5, 31045:7, 31048:22, 31056:2, 31062:14, 31067:6, 31069:2, 31071:7, 31071:10, 31087:3, 31092:22, 31093:17, 31097:23, 31102:10, 31108:15, 31110:14, 31112:15, 31114:19, 31121:24, 31122:25, 31136:4  <b>Really</b>[1] - 30996:5  <b>reason</b> [19] - 30880:5, 30932:3, 30963:8, 30970:4, 30970:5, 30971:15, 30972:1, 30998:23, 30998:25, 30999:3, 31009:3, 31036:1, 31041:11, 31064:8, 31104:11, 31105:15, 31121:11, 31121:23, 31124:19  <b>reasonable</b> [1] - 30900:16  <b>reasoning</b> [1] - 30971:18  <b>reasons</b> [6] - 30923:13, 30949:20, 30950:8, 30951:14, 31049:12, 31055:13  <b>recalled</b> [1] - 31134:17  <b>recant</b> [2] - 30911:22, 30963:5  <b>recantation</b> [3] - 30912:18, 30972:25,</p>	<p>30976:8  <b>recanted</b> [1] - 30892:10  <b>recanting</b> [1] - 30921:20  <b>received</b> [9] - 30961:21, 30961:22, 31016:22, 31035:7, 31044:2, 31046:7, 31051:20, 31056:17, 31103:15  <b>Recent</b>[1] - 31103:25  <b>recent</b> [7] - 30963:5, 31020:21, 31021:2, 31021:17, 31021:23, 31021:25, 31022:9  <b>recently</b> [1] - 31081:23  <b>recipient</b> [1] - 31065:7  <b>recognized</b> [1] - 31104:5  <b>recollection</b> [37] - 30908:10, 30910:6, 30924:18, 30958:2, 30970:3, 30971:1, 30972:2, 30996:15, 30997:24, 30998:4, 30999:8, 31003:25, 31004:17, 31015:4, 31018:11, 31019:8, 31024:7, 31025:19, 31026:4, 31026:15, 31037:15, 31057:24, 31058:13, 31071:15, 31090:24, 31092:1, 31093:14, 31094:16, 31096:7, 31099:5, 31100:17, 31100:22, 31101:5, 31106:9, 31107:9, 31119:8, 31127:10  <b>Recollection</b>[1] - 31100:21  <b>recollections</b> [1] - 31091:1  <b>Reconvened</b>[4] - 30864:2, 30942:16, 31004:23, 31067:9  <b>record</b> [10] - 30903:7, 30922:3, 30924:8, 30927:5, 30958:22, 30989:13, 30989:14, 31002:4, 31002:9, 31023:1  <b>recorded</b> [1] - 30865:4  <b>records</b> [5] - 31057:5, 31057:13, 31094:25, 31096:2, 31097:11  <b>recourse</b> [1] - 30965:15  <b>red</b> [7] - 31115:25, 31116:22, 31134:22, 31134:25, 31135:2, 31135:6, 31135:8</p>
<b>Q</b>				
<p><b>Qb</b>[1] - 30861:10  <b>Qc</b>[5] - 30862:2, 30862:6, 30862:8, 30862:10, 30862:11  <b>quash</b> [1] - 31078:19  <b>Queen's</b> [4] - 31139:1, 31139:3, 31139:14, 31139:20  <b>questioned</b> [5] - 30916:5, 30963:10, 30997:1, 30999:12, 31073:12  <b>questioning</b> [5] - 30911:7, 30911:8, 30916:6, 30951:17, 30956:18  <b>questions</b> [8] - 30895:10, 30898:5, 30942:23, 31037:23, 31038:9, 31040:8, 31051:23, 31067:16  <b>quicker</b> [2] - 30869:20, 30980:21  <b>quickly</b> [5] - 30868:24, 30885:9, 30927:25, 30963:11, 31062:16  <b>quite</b> [14] - 30906:9, 30922:16, 30940:23, 30947:4, 30961:18, 30979:18, 30989:7, 31008:23, 31013:25, 31018:2, 31037:9, 31046:11, 31057:16, 31100:3  <b>quote</b> [2] - 30948:16, 31135:17  <b>quoted</b> [1] - 30947:17  <b>quotes</b> [2] - 30880:20, 30890:15</p>				
<b>R</b>				
<p><b>radio</b> [2] - 31047:3, 31047:9  <b>raise</b> [3] - 30880:8, 30958:4, 31074:1  <b>raised</b> [11] - 30872:20, 30879:18, 30914:9, 30957:9, 30977:11, 31027:25, 31067:1,</p>				



<p><b>redoing</b> [1] - 30940:3  <b>reduce</b> [1] - 30912:9  <b>refer</b> [1] - 31002:18  <b>reference</b> [9] - 30932:19, 31002:16, 31008:6, 31022:25, 31024:2, 31057:12, 31057:15, 31065:22, 31097:13  <b>referenced</b> [3] - 30873:6, 30956:18, 30956:20  <b>referred</b> [6] - 31000:6, 31019:4, 31054:9, 31058:17, 31087:21, 31105:24  <b>referring</b> [15] - 30933:5, 30981:22, 31002:20, 31004:3, 31004:18, 31007:23, 31023:9, 31032:21, 31045:10, 31047:12, 31081:4, 31081:6, 31095:22, 31097:21, 31129:4  <b>refers</b> [1] - 30951:15  <b>reflect</b> [1] - 31088:16  <b>reflects</b> [1] - 30958:23  <b>refrain</b> [1] - 30908:15  <b>refresh</b> [1] - 30973:11  <b>refreshing</b> [1] - 31033:7  <b>refused</b> [1] - 30923:14  <b>refusing</b> [1] - 30960:10  <b>regarding</b> [6] - 31018:9, 31020:23, 31021:4, 31021:18, 31022:11, 31022:23  <b>regardless</b> [1] - 30992:21  <b>Regina</b> [20] - 30867:11, 30867:12, 30914:12, 30925:19, 30926:5, 30926:23, 30927:7, 30927:9, 30927:14, 30928:4, 30929:13, 30929:18, 30931:3, 30931:15, 30973:14, 30978:9, 31047:20, 31048:9, 31049:20, 31110:7  <b>regroup</b> [2] - 31072:20, 31072:25  <b>regular</b> [2] - 30922:13, 31064:9  <b>reinvestigation</b> [1] - 30879:20  <b>relate</b> [1] - 30966:7  <b>related</b> [3] - 30958:2, 30991:23, 31095:15  <b>relates</b> [5] - 30942:21, 30967:17, 30975:16,</p>	<p>30991:25, 31021:1  <b>relating</b> [3] - 30907:8, 30997:18, 31016:8  <b>relay</b> [1] - 30889:5  <b>release</b> [7] - 30866:18, 30866:19, 31050:14, 31053:18, 31074:19, 31084:13, 31085:2  <b>Release</b> [1] - 31084:3  <b>reliability</b> [2] - 30887:25, 30949:13  <b>reliable</b> [3] - 30883:19, 30884:19, 30912:13  <b>relied</b> [2] - 30931:6, 30936:7  <b>relief</b> [1] - 31090:7  <b>relieved</b> [1] - 30909:8  <b>reluctant</b> [1] - 30972:18  <b>rely</b> [2] - 30872:6, 30873:16  <b>relying</b> [4] - 31008:3, 31052:21, 31125:10, 31126:18  <b>remaining</b> [1] - 30976:22  <b>remarks</b> [1] - 31005:20  <b>remedy</b> [10] - 30886:8, 30888:23, 30890:6, 30941:8, 30968:5, 30968:25, 31019:18, 31029:2, 31029:4, 31110:25  <b>Remember</b> [1] - 30864:14  <b>remember</b> [83] - 30871:12, 30871:14, 30872:13, 30880:12, 30884:2, 30886:15, 30890:7, 30893:17, 30899:6, 30902:4, 30902:5, 30903:16, 30903:18, 30903:23, 30904:7, 30909:22, 30923:9, 30925:21, 30938:10, 30944:8, 30945:22, 30952:21, 30955:19, 30956:11, 30958:20, 30972:15, 30973:15, 30973:16, 30974:16, 30977:13, 30987:18, 30992:2, 30998:16, 31001:19, 31009:2, 31009:10, 31015:11, 31016:4, 31024:9, 31024:11, 31025:21, 31029:9, 31030:14, 31032:23, 31033:5, 31033:12, 31037:21, 31038:20, 31039:11, 31039:20,</p>	<p>31039:21, 31040:3, 31040:4, 31047:4, 31048:21, 31050:10, 31054:10, 31055:21, 31056:12, 31058:23, 31061:17, 31066:21, 31068:4, 31073:1, 31078:9, 31090:21, 31097:2, 31099:7, 31102:25, 31109:24, 31116:22, 31116:24, 31118:18, 31118:19, 31119:14, 31119:15, 31119:18, 31121:7, 31121:10, 31134:2, 31134:5  <b>remembered</b> [4] - 30957:18, 31119:13, 31119:14  <b>remembering</b> [1] - 30957:17  <b>rememberers</b> [1] - 31119:2  <b>remind</b> [1] - 30884:22  <b>removed</b> [2] - 31005:14, 31127:4  <b>renewed</b> [1] - 31086:17  <b>rephrase</b> [1] - 31079:9  <b>replaced</b> [1] - 31131:20  <b>replied</b> [1] - 30874:23  <b>reply</b> [1] - 31089:23  <b>Report</b> [3] - 30985:13, 30993:11, 30993:15  <b>report</b> [83] - 30868:16, 30870:15, 30871:15, 30872:4, 30872:20, 30873:4, 30875:21, 30875:23, 30877:4, 30877:12, 30877:18, 30877:19, 30880:15, 30880:21, 30881:1, 30888:18, 30888:19, 30890:15, 30890:18, 30900:8, 30921:13, 30940:2, 30940:4, 30940:6, 30978:6, 30978:7, 30993:22, 31014:10, 31014:14, 31014:16, 31015:8, 31015:12, 31015:17, 31016:18, 31022:3, 31023:4, 31024:16, 31025:11, 31025:13, 31025:20, 31025:22, 31025:24, 31026:6, 31027:5, 31028:8, 31028:16, 31031:14, 31031:15, 31033:5, 31033:8, 31033:16, 31033:24, 31034:10,</p>	<p>31034:11, 31035:7, 31036:9, 31052:1, 31052:21, 31052:22, 31053:4, 31053:11, 31054:23, 31058:21, 31062:22, 31063:2, 31063:6, 31063:12, 31063:24, 31064:13, 31070:8, 31080:21, 31081:8, 31081:20, 31083:11, 31099:21, 31100:16, 31117:21, 31122:4, 31127:17, 31128:13, 31137:22, 31138:1  <b>reported</b> [8] - 30950:4, 30994:1, 31003:21, 31004:13, 31015:5, 31024:13, 31035:8, 31059:15  <b>reporter</b> [2] - 30938:21, 31047:1  <b>Reporter</b> [2] - 31139:14, 31139:20  <b>reporters</b> [5] - 30869:3, 30948:5, 30950:8, 30990:10, 30990:23  <b>Reporters</b> [2] - 30861:10, 31139:3  <b>Reporters</b> [1] - 31139:1  <b>reporting</b> [5] - 31008:7, 31008:17, 31015:3, 31022:8, 31024:8  <b>reports</b> [17] - 30888:5, 31015:20, 31016:7, 31016:13, 31017:3, 31017:5, 31017:9, 31022:22, 31023:10, 31023:13, 31024:3, 31057:2, 31057:5, 31058:2, 31079:11, 31099:18, 31128:21  <b>repository</b> [1] - 30979:23  <b>represented</b> [2] - 31074:14, 31076:19  <b>representing</b> [3] - 31007:7, 31009:13, 31103:16  <b>reproduced</b> [1] - 31128:14  <b>reputation</b> [1] - 30947:23  <b>request</b> [2] - 30959:2, 31123:24  <b>requested</b> [1] - 31090:7  <b>requests</b> [1] - 31120:7  <b>required</b> [2] - 31088:13, 31089:4  <b>research</b> [4] -</p>	<p>30969:12, 30971:23, 31000:4, 31000:5  <b>resolve</b> [2] - 31104:3, 31104:18  <b>respect</b> [19] - 30873:17, 30877:6, 30896:5, 30907:22, 31002:5, 31002:15, 31005:22, 31027:21, 31027:23, 31051:10, 31054:10, 31071:25, 31080:18, 31126:23, 31127:3, 31129:12, 31130:5, 31130:14, 31131:15  <b>respond</b> [4] - 30889:3, 30908:6, 30995:23, 31125:21  <b>responded</b> [1] - 30913:14  <b>responding</b> [3] - 30884:23, 30978:25, 31040:7  <b>response</b> [19] - 30879:17, 30882:8, 30951:10, 31059:15, 31065:15, 31067:17, 31067:21, 31068:16, 31070:23, 31071:17, 31071:25, 31072:19, 31074:16, 31075:11, 31080:17, 31082:3, 31082:9, 31088:3, 31090:1  <b>responsible</b> [5] - 31044:23, 31051:19, 31062:18, 31065:1, 31128:16  <b>rest</b> [3] - 30883:23, 30949:17, 31071:10  <b>restaurant</b> [1] - 31103:5  <b>restrained</b> [1] - 30875:4  <b>result</b> [3] - 30904:22, 30923:14, 30985:3  <b>resurrected</b> [1] - 31104:1  <b>retained</b> [2] - 31036:4, 31066:17  <b>retire</b> [1] - 30884:9  <b>Retired</b> [1] - 30862:14  <b>retracted</b> [1] - 30902:13  <b>retreated</b> [1] - 30876:18  <b>return</b> [1] - 31114:18  <b>returned</b> [2] - 30986:17, 31027:20  <b>revealing</b> [1] - 31017:2  <b>reversals</b> [1] - 30989:1  <b>review</b> [8] - 30877:11, 30878:25, 30985:5, 31013:19, 31013:22, 31014:6, 31042:17,</p>
--	--	---	---	--



<p>31055:4  <b>reviewed</b> [5] - 30878:3,  31009:1, 31018:5,  31065:18, 31066:18  <b>reviewers</b> [1] -  31017:13  <b>reviewing</b> [1] - 30873:4  <b>revised</b> [1] - 31073:16  <b>reward</b> [2] - 31024:5,  31024:7  <b>rewrite</b> [4] - 30938:24,  30940:13, 31054:23,  31058:21  <b>Rick</b>[1] - 30935:16  <b>Riddell</b>[4] - 30943:24,  30951:16, 30955:8,  30956:19  <b>ridiculous</b> [1] -  30985:17  <b>right-hand</b> [2] -  31128:4, 31128:6  <b>Rights</b>[1] - 31078:6  <b>ring</b> [1] - 30927:21  <b>risk</b> [1] - 30884:11  <b>risks</b> [2] - 30885:18,  30885:20  <b>Roberts</b>[1] - 31094:1  <b>role</b> [2] - 30986:22,  31043:17  <b>roll</b> [1] - 30867:7  <b>rolling</b> [1] - 30887:5  <b>Ron</b>[36] - 30880:6,  30891:6, 30891:10,  30891:12, 30923:10,  30923:11, 30925:4,  30925:6, 30932:24,  30938:2, 30942:21,  30943:15, 30943:20,  30944:25, 30945:7,  30946:6, 30951:15,  30953:16, 30953:19,  30953:22, 30953:24,  30954:8, 30955:23,  30957:5, 30959:21,  30962:23, 30967:3,  30972:16, 30973:6,  30973:12, 30973:13,  30976:7, 30977:1,  30978:5, 31003:11,  31016:9  <b>Ronald</b>[2] - 30878:12,  30920:22  <b>room</b> [7] - 30999:11,  31037:17, 31039:7,  31039:12, 31039:21,  31040:11, 31040:17  <b>Rosner</b>[7] - 30927:6,  30932:2, 30933:16,  30959:6, 30959:23,  30960:4, 30985:9</p>	<p><b>rough</b> [1] - 31032:13  <b>route</b> [6] - 30864:14,  30885:17, 30885:22,  30885:24, 31084:18  <b>Roy</b>[3] - 31047:4,  31047:5, 31049:22  <b>Rpr</b>[4] - 30861:11,  31139:2, 31139:18,  31139:19  <b>ruled</b> [1] - 31008:8  <b>rules</b> [2] - 30880:3,  30907:6  <b>rumoured</b> [4] -  30964:10, 30966:16,  30967:9  <b>rumours</b> [2] - 30894:16,  30895:11  <b>run</b> [4] - 30891:10,  30904:6, 30906:1,  31036:1  <b>running</b> [4] - 30903:17,  30964:17, 30967:21,  31035:19</p>	<p>31021:25, 31023:2,  31047:7, 31047:8,  31047:19, 31048:9,  31048:24, 31049:6,  31049:20, 31087:12,  31087:15, 31090:21,  31103:22, 31107:23,  31107:24, 31108:2  <b>satisfactorily</b> [1] -  30900:25  <b>satisfy</b> [1] - 30951:18  <b>saw</b> [17] - 30868:13,  30873:23, 30910:24,  30914:14, 30914:17,  30924:25, 30930:13,  30931:1, 31023:16,  31072:9, 31076:23,  31078:16, 31094:4,  31094:11, 31097:16,  31103:2  <b>scenarios</b> [1] - 30952:5  <b>scene</b> [4] - 30870:20,  30880:17, 30975:2,  30975:23  <b>scepticism</b> [2] -  31088:12, 31089:2  <b>scheduled</b> [1] - 30923:7  <b>Science</b> [1] - 30874:10  <b>scientist</b> [2] - 30878:2,  31035:24  <b>score</b> [1] - 30999:20  <b>screw</b> [1] - 30989:15  <b>screw-up</b> [1] - 30989:15  <b>scroll</b> [13] - 30867:10,  30959:7, 30962:17,  30963:21, 30965:10,  31003:9, 31017:8,  31017:23, 31047:11,  31098:23, 31103:23,  31105:7, 31110:4  <b>Scroll</b>[2] - 30939:14,  30975:6  <b>scuttlebutt</b> [1] -  31095:13  <b>second</b> [21] - 30937:24,  30949:7, 30987:25,  31007:6, 31030:8,  31052:6, 31061:9,  31070:13, 31072:10,  31078:25, 31079:6,  31081:12, 31083:3,  31084:8, 31084:17,  31085:20, 31086:13,  31086:17, 31110:20,  31127:21, 31129:12  <b>secondly</b> [1] - 30945:6  <b>secret</b> [1] - 31059:4  <b>secretor</b> [15] -  30870:14, 30871:17,  30871:20, 30871:24,</p>	<p>30872:2, 30872:7,  30872:21, 30873:1,  30873:18, 30899:5,  30901:7, 30903:10,  31069:21, 31070:16,  31070:17  <b>section</b> [2] - 31042:8,  31042:16  <b>Section</b> [2] - 30879:17,  31061:11  <b>secure</b> [1] - 31034:3  <b>Security</b> [1] - 30861:12  <b>see</b> [89] - 30865:6,  30867:17, 30869:25,  30871:2, 30874:16,  30875:25, 30897:10,  30902:6, 30902:9,  30905:22, 30911:23,  30914:5, 30924:5,  30930:13, 30934:12,  30934:21, 30935:3,  30935:4, 30935:13,  30939:18, 30951:20,  30955:2, 30960:9,  30963:7, 30964:3,  30966:2, 30969:10,  30969:13, 30974:14,  30975:8, 30989:4,  30990:2, 30993:13,  31002:22, 31004:9,  31010:16, 31014:18,  31022:13, 31022:21,  31023:5, 31043:7,  31046:11, 31048:15,  31052:7, 31062:17,  31066:25, 31067:19,  31071:3, 31079:23,  31079:24, 31084:11,  31092:19, 31092:20,  31094:24, 31096:1,  31097:3, 31101:12,  31101:15, 31102:4,  31102:11, 31104:5,  31104:17, 31105:21,  31106:15, 31106:19,  31106:23, 31109:1,  31110:17, 31114:21,  31115:8, 31116:5,  31117:21, 31119:23,  31119:24, 31120:3,  31120:7, 31123:17,  31123:21, 31123:24,  31125:1, 31125:6,  31128:5, 31129:20,  31132:25, 31133:23,  31134:4, 31137:21  <b>See</b> [1] - 30974:20  <b>seed</b> [1] - 30950:3  <b>seeing</b> [6] - 30911:20,  30927:18, 30958:3,</p>	<p>31010:24, 31026:24,  31097:2  <b>seek</b> [1] - 31066:13  <b>seeking</b> [1] - 30941:4  <b>seem</b> [4] - 30876:24,  30907:6, 31048:21,  31109:15  <b>segment</b> [1] - 31030:11  <b>semen</b> [13] - 30870:20,  30871:7, 30871:11,  30876:5, 30876:8,  30876:12, 30876:16,  30880:16, 30881:24,  30888:20, 30888:25,  30901:1, 30901:11  <b>Send</b>[1] - 31084:1  <b>send</b> [7] - 30975:13,  30980:7, 31014:16,  31014:18, 31077:11,  31079:21, 31137:7  <b>sending</b> [4] - 30877:4,  30919:12, 31008:18,  31109:9  <b>senior</b> [6] - 30989:4,  31054:17, 31054:19,  31059:2, 31065:25,  31066:8  <b>Senior</b>[1] - 31058:18  <b>sensational</b> [3] -  30881:8, 30912:22,  30920:21  <b>sensationalism</b> [1] -  30913:1  <b>sense</b> [19] - 30865:10,  30867:6, 30876:14,  30879:8, 30905:24,  30909:7, 30912:21,  30916:12, 30986:9,  30990:22, 31009:16,  31015:10, 31024:16,  31025:2, 31027:3,  31027:18, 31027:20,  31094:17, 31135:22  <b>sent</b> [14] - 30920:24,  30961:24, 30995:12,  30997:16, 31013:10,  31022:4, 31035:16,  31035:17, 31053:6,  31053:19, 31063:3,  31064:12, 31064:24,  31065:2  <b>separated</b> [4] -  30974:6, 30976:21,  30977:15, 30977:16  <b>September</b> [7] -  31008:18, 31030:5,  31030:21, 31041:3,  31046:22, 31046:24,  31134:18  <b>sequence</b> [1] - 31036:4</p>
	<b>S</b>			
	<p><b>sacred</b> [2] - 31033:9,  31034:15  <b>safety</b> [1] - 30909:6  <b>sake</b> [2] - 30964:14,  30967:11  <b>saliva</b> [1] - 30901:5  <b>sample</b> [3] - 30900:24,  31070:2, 31070:18  <b>samples</b> [1] - 30993:16  <b>Sand</b>[1] - 30994:19  <b>Sandra</b>[2] - 30861:4,  31035:16  <b>sarcastic</b> [1] - 31020:7  <b>Saskatchewan</b> [7] -  30860:17, 30862:4,  30988:15, 30988:24,  31000:12, 31047:6,  31139:4  <b>Saskatoon</b> [44] -  30860:17, 30862:7,  30865:17, 30866:3,  30868:20, 30916:5,  30919:12, 30925:19,  30926:8, 30927:12,  30927:13, 30927:18,  30929:13, 30929:17,  30931:4, 30931:10,  30934:9, 30935:2,  30960:2, 30965:8,  30969:9, 30969:12,  30973:15, 30974:16,  30978:8, 31000:12,  31016:13, 31021:24,</p>			



<p><b>sequentially</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30903:11</p> <p><b>Serge</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30862:6</p> <p><b>Sergeant</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 30925:16, 30929:12, 31121:15</p> <p><b>serial</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 31080:7</p> <p><b>serious</b> <sup>[6]</sup> - 30952:9, 30963:6, 30970:22, 30984:6, 31023:1, 31068:12</p> <p><b>serological</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30900:22, 30901:15</p> <p><b>serve</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30872:1</p> <p><b>Service</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30862:7</p> <p><b>services</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 31000:10</p> <p><b>serving</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 31107:23</p> <p><b>sessions</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 31065:5</p> <p><b>set</b> <sup>[6]</sup> - 30866:4, 31109:13, 31113:3, 31114:18, 31114:19, 31131:11</p> <p><b>sets</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 31077:14</p> <p><b>setting</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30869:5</p> <p><b>setup</b> <sup>[7]</sup> - 31105:11, 31109:7, 31115:7, 31115:10, 31117:7, 31131:12</p> <p><b>seven</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30870:21, 31129:19</p> <p><b>several</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 30979:3, 30979:7, 31013:14</p> <p><b>Several</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30922:16</p> <p><b>shall</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30939:18</p> <p><b>shape</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30868:10, 31038:19</p> <p><b>share</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30924:10, 31053:8</p> <p><b>shared</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 30895:19, 30902:1, 30944:14, 30944:16</p> <p><b>sharing</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30940:17</p> <p><b>shed</b> <sup>[7]</sup> - 30895:6, 30924:5, 30955:12, 31011:23, 31021:15, 31023:8, 31089:9</p> <p><b>sheds</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30982:8</p> <p><b>sheet</b> <sup>[7]</sup> - 31074:22, 31135:24, 31136:2, 31136:10, 31136:11, 31137:1, 31137:12</p> <p><b>sheets</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 31135:10, 31137:20, 31138:6</p> <p><b>shift</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30912:15, 31077:7</p> <p><b>shit</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30975:5</p> <p><b>shocked</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30892:6</p> <p><b>shocking</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 31000:13</p> <p><b>short</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 30977:5, 31078:18, 31091:11,</p>	<p>31091:12</p> <p><b>shorthand</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 31139:5</p> <p><b>shortly</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30872:13, 30972:8</p> <p><b>Shorty</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30917:23, 30918:4</p> <p><b>shot</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30874:14</p> <p><b>show</b> <sup>[31]</sup> - 30866:5, 30866:6, 30866:12, 30906:12, 30945:13, 30991:24, 30995:8, 30995:11, 31030:4, 31035:19, 31036:2, 31047:3, 31047:9, 31049:14, 31049:17, 31049:23, 31082:11, 31082:12, 31082:21, 31083:2, 31102:25, 31106:16, 31115:4, 31115:5, 31127:13, 31127:19, 31128:8, 31129:4, 31129:9, 31129:11, 31131:3</p> <p><b>showed</b> <sup>[17]</sup> - 30902:7, 30969:4, 31000:24, 31023:7, 31033:16, 31035:4, 31089:21, 31091:25, 31105:21, 31106:10, 31106:11, 31109:19, 31114:15, 31125:3, 31126:17, 31129:16, 31135:25</p> <p><b>showing</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 31106:3, 31115:13, 31117:6, 31133:4</p> <p><b>shown</b> <sup>[6]</sup> - 30993:25, 31023:21, 31091:21, 31092:8, 31096:6, 31126:22</p> <p><b>shows</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 30947:7, 31130:4, 31137:23</p> <p><b>side</b> <sup>[8]</sup> - 30881:22, 30947:12, 31011:20, 31068:9, 31126:1, 31128:4, 31128:5, 31128:6</p> <p><b>Sighs</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30981:11</p> <p><b>signed</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 30867:3, 31073:8, 31137:11</p> <p><b>significance</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 30941:21, 30945:15, 31025:24, 31026:19</p> <p><b>Significant</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30984:5</p> <p><b>significant</b> <sup>[6]</sup> - 30868:6, 30875:9, 30881:18, 30929:5, 30931:23</p> <p><b>significantly</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30919:25</p>	<p><b>Similar</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 31082:16</p> <p><b>similar</b> <sup>[18]</sup> - 30910:18, 30937:9, 30953:12, 30959:17, 30959:24, 30969:14, 30970:16, 30979:13, 31053:23, 31073:13, 31082:19, 31099:3, 31099:11, 31099:12, 31117:17, 31119:4, 31121:1, 31137:19</p> <p><b>similarities</b> <sup>[6]</sup> - 30937:15, 30937:16, 30938:16, 31080:12, 31082:8, 31082:18</p> <p><b>similarity</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 31081:1, 31133:5</p> <p><b>simple</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 30915:2, 30915:4, 30916:20</p> <p><b>simply</b> <sup>[14]</sup> - 30879:20, 30915:11, 30916:7, 30941:11, 30995:23, 30996:16, 31023:2, 31052:10, 31068:24, 31088:13, 31088:25, 31089:3, 31115:10, 31129:22</p> <p><b>sit</b> <sup>[14]</sup> - 30881:12, 30889:18, 30889:21, 30890:24, 30946:23, 30947:20, 30949:3, 30973:24, 30991:12, 30994:10, 31041:14, 31041:18, 31052:16, 31053:22</p> <p><b>Sit</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30881:13</p> <p><b>sitting</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 30860:15, 30885:6, 31073:25</p> <p><b>situation</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 30908:4, 31018:9, 31050:12, 31120:12</p> <p><b>six</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 31032:4</p> <p><b>skeptical</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 31089:14</p> <p><b>skill</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 31139:6</p> <p><b>skinny</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 31099:2, 31099:8</p> <p><b>skip</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30934:19</p> <p><b>slot</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 31056:11</p> <p><b>small</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30961:19</p> <p><b>smell</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 31105:10</p> <p><b>smelled</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 31109:14</p> <p><b>snatching</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 31037:12, 31040:10</p> <p><b>snow</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 30880:16, 30881:3, 30890:21, 30900:10</p> <p><b>snubbing</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30874:12</p> <p><b>Society</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 31074:21</p> <p><b>solved</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 31103:20</p>	<p><b>someone</b> <sup>[18]</sup> - 30864:21, 30877:20, 30879:25, 30884:7, 30893:10, 30893:20, 30895:12, 30895:13, 30906:21, 30924:1, 30932:4, 30936:23, 30938:14, 30993:2, 30998:2, 31035:13, 31048:25, 31052:2</p> <p><b>sometime</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30991:20</p> <p><b>sometimes</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 31038:18</p> <p><b>somewhat</b> <sup>[5]</sup> - 30871:3, 30907:7, 30918:6, 31095:1, 31113:4</p> <p><b>somewhere</b> <sup>[10]</sup> - 30878:22, 30879:6, 30895:2, 30927:19, 30953:3, 30960:9, 30967:8, 31009:23, 31042:9, 31131:2</p> <p><b>Somewhere</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30960:2</p> <p><b>son</b> <sup>[37]</sup> - 30939:21, 30967:18, 30979:16, 31002:19, 31009:7, 31010:9, 31011:19, 31012:12, 31025:18, 31029:25, 31033:13, 31034:2, 31035:5, 31035:8, 31035:20, 31038:14, 31040:6, 31041:5, 31042:21, 31042:22, 31043:12, 31043:17, 31075:13, 31102:2, 31105:5, 31106:4, 31107:21, 31108:4, 31108:7, 31111:5, 31114:1, 31120:3, 31123:21, 31124:14, 31124:17, 31125:6, 31125:17</p> <p><b>son's</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 31065:4</p> <p><b>song</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30865:4</p> <p><b>Sorry</b> <sup>[7]</sup> - 30884:21, 30894:15, 30905:7, 30908:19, 31098:23, 31101:16, 31113:6</p> <p><b>sorry</b> <sup>[37]</sup> - 30871:16, 30904:21, 30907:23, 30945:18, 30951:24, 30954:2, 30956:16, 30959:13, 30960:25, 30961:8, 30963:23, 30968:14, 30980:25, 30981:2, 30982:3, 30982:5, 30987:24,</p>	<p>30992:19, 30999:7, 31002:2, 31006:10, 31006:25, 31007:16, 31021:14, 31023:13, 31038:25, 31045:18, 31081:6, 31086:21, 31093:12, 31097:7, 31098:23, 31106:3, 31106:8, 31118:19, 31120:15, 31133:11</p> <p><b>sort</b> <sup>[35]</sup> - 30867:17, 30884:20, 30887:14, 30887:25, 30889:20, 30893:24, 30894:20, 30900:15, 30929:19, 30936:24, 30937:5, 30941:19, 30945:21, 30946:21, 30982:8, 30987:7, 30995:19, 31019:16, 31025:14, 31026:21, 31031:18, 31047:16, 31048:2, 31048:12, 31060:15, 31069:8, 31070:13, 31076:6, 31076:19, 31079:20, 31108:17, 31109:1, 31114:10, 31120:17, 31136:2</p> <p><b>sought</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 31072:10</p> <p><b>sound</b> <sup>[6]</sup> - 30865:24, 30898:7, 30915:3, 30923:17, 31036:5, 31128:14</p> <p><b>sounds</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 31036:6, 31119:11</p> <p><b>soup</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30999:23</p> <p><b>source</b> <sup>[10]</sup> - 30878:22, 31007:22, 31008:2, 31012:16, 31093:5, 31094:6, 31094:17, 31094:24, 31096:1, 31131:25</p> <p><b>sources</b> <sup>[10]</sup> - 30892:25, 30893:6, 30894:16, 31054:24, 31059:4, 31093:4, 31095:5, 31097:10, 31097:19, 31097:24</p> <p><b>space</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 31114:17</p> <p><b>Speaker</b> <sup>[18]</sup> - 30932:13, 30932:17, 30933:23, 30934:1, 30934:3, 30934:10, 30934:13, 30934:15, 30934:21, 30935:7, 30935:12, 30935:16, 30936:1, 30936:5, 30939:4, 30939:7, 30939:10, 30939:17</p> <p><b>specialists</b> <sup>[1]</sup> -</p>
--	--	---	---	--



<p>31052:4  <b>specific</b> [6] - 30879:18, 31008:11, 31011:2, 31038:25, 31054:3, 31071:2  <b>specifically</b> [5] - 30880:12, 30922:19, 30958:4, 30977:12, 31000:18  <b>specifics</b> [3] - 31015:7, 31071:8, 31079:13  <b>specks</b> [2] - 30981:20, 30983:18  <b>speculate</b> [1] - 30908:1  <b>spell</b> [4] - 30941:6, 30941:7, 30942:4, 30942:9  <b>spend</b> [2] - 30970:20, 31110:7  <b>spent</b> [7] - 30969:24, 31008:24, 31032:1, 31091:2, 31108:13, 31113:21, 31121:19  <b>sperm</b> [1] - 30993:16  <b>spoken</b> [1] - 31106:9  <b>spot</b> [3] - 30942:14, 31004:20, 31138:10  <b>spring</b> [4] - 30868:5, 31011:15, 31060:3, 31090:17  <b>Staff</b> [2] - 30861:1, 30861:8  <b>stage</b> [3] - 30868:7, 30889:23, 30921:19  <b>stages</b> [1] - 30907:11  <b>stalling</b> [1] - 31010:5  <b>stand</b> [7] - 30917:3, 30977:10, 30998:4, 30999:2, 31017:1, 31019:10, 31060:16  <b>standing</b> [1] - 31085:9  <b>stands</b> [1] - 31019:11  <b>star</b> [2] - 30943:4, 30946:1  <b>Star</b> [3] - 30919:11, 30920:20, 30921:12  <b>Starphoenix</b> [2] - 30880:14, 30919:25  <b>start</b> [6] - 30884:25, 30916:25, 30987:24, 31078:11, 31084:8, 31087:16  <b>started</b> [17] - 30895:5, 30895:8, 30895:9, 30906:16, 30906:24, 30909:12, 30921:13, 30929:21, 30929:23, 30944:17, 31078:8, 31089:13, 31089:16, 31109:1, 31111:15,</p>	<p>31112:25, 31113:3  <b>starting</b> [5] - 30868:4, 30921:17, 30922:24, 30944:18, 31105:10  <b>startling</b> [1] - 31133:4  <b>state</b> [2] - 31012:20, 31053:9  <b>statement</b> [77] - 30891:7, 30891:9, 30891:13, 30891:16, 30891:18, 30909:22, 30910:4, 30910:5, 30910:12, 30910:14, 30910:16, 30911:12, 30913:1, 30914:22, 30915:5, 30916:22, 30919:15, 30921:2, 30921:3, 30921:20, 30932:24, 30942:22, 30943:4, 30943:15, 30943:16, 30943:21, 30945:7, 30945:19, 30945:24, 30946:1, 30946:8, 30946:13, 30947:7, 30951:7, 30951:12, 30951:16, 30951:22, 30952:1, 30952:8, 30953:4, 30953:20, 30953:23, 30953:25, 30954:15, 30955:7, 30955:24, 30956:8, 30956:19, 30956:20, 30957:5, 30957:11, 30957:14, 30957:25, 30961:22, 30962:4, 30962:12, 30962:14, 30963:8, 30966:9, 30967:3, 30973:12, 30974:13, 30977:10, 30977:12, 30978:5, 30978:6, 30991:3, 30997:3, 30997:12, 30998:7, 30999:1, 31003:12, 31018:1, 31018:3  <b>Statement</b> [9] - 30960:12, 30961:21, 30961:25, 30962:8, 30962:9, 30962:19, 30963:4, 30965:1  <b>Statements</b> [6] - 30932:10, 30933:4, 30959:17, 30959:25, 30964:23, 30965:19  <b>statements</b> [17] - 30878:11, 30878:14, 30916:14, 30933:20, 30950:23, 30950:24, 30951:3, 30959:23, 30960:18, 30961:7,</p>	<p>30966:20, 30996:14, 30997:11, 30997:20, 31016:8, 31132:4, 31133:7  <b>states</b> [2] - 31050:25, 31070:11  <b>station</b> [2] - 30917:10, 31091:24  <b>status</b> [7] - 30871:24, 30872:2, 30872:21, 30873:18, 30899:5, 30901:7, 30903:10  <b>stay</b> [1] - 30961:13  <b>step</b> [6] - 30882:25, 30886:16, 30886:18, 31026:21  <b>steps</b> [2] - 31044:21, 31079:18  <b>stevely</b> [1] - 30862:4  <b>stick</b> [3] - 30882:10, 30949:21, 31017:2  <b>still</b> [21] - 30876:24, 30877:15, 30930:11, 30934:4, 30951:17, 30954:23, 31021:22, 31033:18, 31034:2, 31036:12, 31036:21, 31046:17, 31048:25, 31049:19, 31050:9, 31052:16, 31071:6, 31099:1, 31110:12, 31120:2, 31124:25  <b>Still</b> [1] - 30874:15  <b>stir</b> [2] - 30865:18, 30868:20  <b>stock</b> [1] - 31053:4  <b>stoned</b> [1] - 31040:2  <b>Stony</b> [1] - 31075:12  <b>stooges</b> [2] - 30980:2, 30987:17  <b>stop</b> [1] - 31077:18  <b>stopped</b> [1] - 31048:1  <b>stories</b> [5] - 30874:10, 30907:21, 30917:6, 30919:13, 30920:22  <b>story</b> [24] - 30869:3, 30875:2, 30880:14, 30891:10, 30891:16, 30891:19, 30897:10, 30903:17, 30907:5, 30907:8, 30910:23, 30912:4, 30917:22, 30920:16, 30920:22, 30920:23, 30928:1, 30953:2, 30980:17, 30982:15, 30982:21, 31102:8, 31112:21, 31112:25  <b>Strangely</b> [1] - 31110:11</p>	<p><b>strategy</b> [5] - 30908:11, 30918:24, 31011:20, 31011:23, 31060:19  <b>Street</b> [1] - 31091:10  <b>stress</b> [1] - 30871:19  <b>stretching</b> [1] - 31093:18  <b>strident</b> [1] - 30882:7  <b>strike</b> [1] - 30882:19  <b>strip</b> [1] - 31109:19  <b>strong</b> [4] - 30876:25, 30986:4, 31111:25, 31126:2  <b>stronger</b> [1] - 31121:2  <b>strongly</b> [1] - 31045:6  <b>stuck</b> [1] - 30910:23  <b>student</b> [1] - 30998:10  <b>studied</b> [1] - 31109:21  <b>stuff</b> [20] - 30918:1, 30932:8, 30937:3, 30940:15, 30940:16, 30940:17, 30940:20, 30964:17, 30965:9, 30967:22, 30983:14, 30990:24, 30991:5, 30991:10, 31024:23, 31031:11, 31034:25, 31035:1, 31036:17, 31137:21  <b>stunt</b> [1] - 31102:13  <b>stupid</b> [3] - 30986:14, 30987:4, 30987:5  <b>subject</b> [5] - 30871:23, 30955:19, 30969:5, 30993:9, 31025:15  <b>submissions</b> [5] - 31017:11, 31018:17, 31019:23, 31063:24, 31068:3  <b>submitted</b> [3] - 30871:21, 30877:9, 31133:12  <b>subsequent</b> [4] - 31066:25, 31067:19, 31123:18, 31137:22  <b>subsequently</b> [1] - 30977:3  <b>substance</b> [1] - 31068:23  <b>substantive</b> [1] - 31133:19  <b>substitute</b> [1] - 31114:10  <b>substituted</b> [1] - 31127:5  <b>successfully</b> [1] - 30907:14  <b>sudden</b> [1] - 31048:1  <b>suddenly</b> [2] - 30887:3, 30920:12</p>	<p><b>sue</b> [1] - 31046:18  <b>suffered</b> [1] - 30913:4  <b>suffering</b> [1] - 30911:2  <b>sufficient</b> [3] - 31018:24, 31029:1, 31029:3  <b>suggest</b> [5] - 30875:24, 30938:3, 30938:4, 30947:3, 31057:24  <b>suggested</b> [5] - 30869:14, 30873:8, 30985:21, 31082:7, 31130:19  <b>suggesting</b> [4] - 30948:16, 30948:18, 30948:23, 30991:25  <b>suggestion</b> [8] - 30922:3, 30923:6, 31020:22, 31021:3, 31021:17, 31022:10, 31044:18, 31070:10  <b>suggests</b> [3] - 30927:7, 30963:6, 31096:21  <b>summarize</b> [2] - 30873:24, 30976:3  <b>summary</b> [7] - 30874:4, 30874:5, 30875:19, 30920:14, 30920:15, 31112:6, 31133:8  <b>summer</b> [2] - 30877:23, 30985:15  <b>superiors</b> [1] - 30891:23  <b>supplemented</b> [2] - 31063:22, 31131:20  <b>Support</b> [3] - 30861:8, 31074:20, 31075:23  <b>support</b> [7] - 30865:4, 31074:24, 31074:25, 31075:2, 31075:8, 31075:9, 31078:13  <b>supported</b> [1] - 31122:19  <b>supporting</b> [1] - 31034:12  <b>supportive</b> [1] - 31135:24  <b>supports</b> [1] - 31031:18  <b>suppose</b> [2] - 30954:18, 31132:6  <b>supposed</b> [2] - 30979:22, 30993:2  <b>Supreme</b> [12] - 30964:12, 30967:10, 30968:4, 31019:7, 31057:11, 31057:14, 31057:24, 31058:2, 31097:6, 31097:8, 31097:13, 31097:16  <b>surface</b> [1] - 30995:3</p>
--	---	---	---	---



<p><b>surfaced</b> [1] - 31123:7  <b>surprising</b> [1] - 30954:24  <b>surrounded</b> [1] - 30929:22  <b>surrounding</b> [2] - 31103:25, 31108:24  <b>Susan</b> [1] - 30866:3  <b>suspect</b> [9] - 30882:13, 31105:4, 31110:11, 31112:4, 31114:13, 31120:11, 31121:19, 31125:25, 31131:10  <b>suspected</b> [2] - 31112:18, 31121:18  <b>suspicion</b> [5] - 31080:19, 31082:4, 31090:4, 31104:23, 31115:2  <b>suspicious</b> [1] - 31117:1  <b>suspicious</b> [7] - 30884:4, 30966:20, 30968:9, 30975:25, 31049:19, 31102:15, 31108:12  <b>sweet</b> [1] - 30896:25  <b>switcharoo</b> [1] - 31105:24  <b>switchboard</b> [1] - 30921:6  <b>swoon</b> [1] - 30939:21  <b>sympathy</b> [1] - 31113:1  <b>system</b> [13] - 30869:23, 30879:25, 30968:1, 30968:11, 30971:21, 30983:24, 30984:15, 31051:9, 31076:25, 31077:22, 31078:3, 31088:22</p>	<p><b>taller</b> [1] - 31117:22  <b>Tallis</b>[46] - 30862:13, 30942:24, 30943:1, 30944:7, 30946:7, 30947:8, 30950:23, 30951:2, 30951:14, 30951:21, 30952:8, 30952:11, 30953:3, 30954:20, 30955:10, 30955:23, 30958:6, 30958:24, 30959:3, 30963:7, 30964:1, 30966:9, 30966:11, 30966:16, 30966:17, 30966:19, 30966:21, 30967:9, 30968:2, 30995:21, 30995:22, 30996:10, 30996:15, 30997:10, 30998:12, 30998:14, 30998:18, 30998:24, 30999:3, 30999:25, 31000:3, 31003:8, 31003:10  <b>Tallis</b> [9] - 30943:16, 30943:23, 30945:8, 30952:14, 30953:20, 30955:12, 30957:11, 30958:3, 30960:19  <b>tangent</b> [1] - 30975:14  <b>tape</b> [3] - 30998:8, 31000:6, 31000:24  <b>taped</b> [4] - 30896:17, 30950:9, 31010:24  <b>tapes</b> [3] - 30957:12, 31010:8, 31049:13  <b>target</b> [1] - 31076:13  <b>task</b> [1] - 30986:13  <b>Tdr</b>[2] - 30862:5, 31000:10  <b>Technician</b>[1] - 30861:13  <b>telephone</b> [3] - 30927:6, 31013:13, 31013:24  <b>television</b> [6] - 30874:14, 31039:11, 31039:20, 31040:19, 31078:12, 31101:14  <b>ten</b> [1] - 30985:22  <b>term</b> [1] - 31082:13  <b>terms</b> [1] - 30940:14  <b>terrible</b> [2] - 30968:24, 30987:15  <b>Terry</b>[1] - 31095:18  <b>test</b> [4] - 30872:7, 30872:15, 31019:2, 31019:9  <b>tested</b> [1] - 30872:22  <b>testified</b> [2] - 31032:15, 31091:22</p>	<p><b>testimony</b> [7] - 30892:10, 30943:7, 30946:4, 30951:2, 30963:5, 31091:3, 31091:4  <b>Testimony</b>[1] - 30860:14  <b>testing</b> [1] - 30901:4  <b>tests</b> [2] - 30873:21, 30883:20  <b>that...up</b> [1] - 31039:9  <b>that's</b> [2] - 30932:7, 30936:12  <b>the...the</b> [1] - 31039:25  <b>theory</b> [3] - 30871:5, 30929:19, 30974:25  <b>thereabouts</b> [3] - 30923:12, 30933:9, 31100:7  <b>therefore</b> [12] - 30876:9, 30876:13, 30883:21, 30912:9, 30929:7, 30941:12, 30949:12, 30952:13, 30968:4, 31001:9, 31068:8, 31068:25  <b>Therefore</b>[1] - 30901:6  <b>they've</b> [4] - 30970:21, 30971:22, 31039:4, 31041:12  <b>thick</b> [2] - 31015:21, 31100:3  <b>thinking</b> [23] - 30908:11, 30916:19, 30916:25, 30917:5, 30926:9, 30938:8, 30958:10, 30967:12, 30968:8, 30968:21, 30968:25, 30969:1, 30970:12, 30971:25, 31026:12, 31072:2, 31085:5, 31112:23, 31115:6, 31121:13, 31125:12, 31125:16, 31126:11  <b>thinks</b> [2] - 31073:25, 31124:17  <b>thoroughly</b> [1] - 31020:3  <b>thoughts</b> [1] - 31017:14  <b>Thrasher</b>[1] - 31095:18  <b>threatens</b> [1] - 31078:2  <b>three</b> [4] - 30928:23, 30929:1, 30980:2, 30987:17  <b>threshold</b> [1] - 30889:20  <b>throat</b> [3] - 30936:19, 30936:21, 30936:24  <b>throughout</b> [2] -</p>	<p>30895:5, 30918:10  <b>throw</b> [3] - 31115:14, 31115:17, 31115:18  <b>tie</b> [1] - 30969:15  <b>timing</b> [1] - 31035:15  <b>tired</b> [1] - 30869:12  <b>to-day</b> [1] - 31075:23  <b>Today</b>[1] - 31062:6  <b>today</b> [9] - 30961:20, 30986:19, 30988:18, 31013:25, 31032:1, 31050:19, 31062:7, 31062:9, 31100:22  <b>Toews</b>[1] - 30862:12  <b>together</b> [14] - 30897:22, 30900:2, 30939:6, 30941:18, 30941:19, 30966:20, 31087:16, 31128:17, 31132:8, 31132:14, 31132:18, 31133:19, 31134:12, 31136:20  <b>Tom</b>[4] - 31090:19, 31091:16, 31091:23, 31099:19  <b>took</b> [15] - 30903:9, 30915:4, 30926:4, 30926:7, 30929:17, 30931:19, 30945:21, 30972:20, 31009:19, 31018:25, 31048:12, 31053:14, 31070:25, 31098:11, 31109:25  <b>top</b> [10] - 30963:21, 30963:22, 30963:24, 30999:6, 30999:17, 31016:5, 31019:21, 31022:19, 31047:11, 31083:20  <b>Toronto</b>[8] - 30919:11, 30920:8, 30920:20, 30921:7, 30921:12, 31035:9, 31035:24  <b>torture</b> [8] - 30911:13, 30912:23, 30913:6, 30914:16, 30914:18, 30914:21, 30919:14, 30920:23  <b>tortured</b> [4] - 30915:14, 30915:19, 30915:23, 30916:2  <b>totally</b> [3] - 30985:17, 30992:5, 31039:17  <b>touch</b> [4] - 30864:9, 30922:14, 30961:13, 31003:4  <b>touched</b> [1] - 30878:20  <b>tough</b> [2] - 30898:4, 30930:23  <b>towards</b> [1] - 31112:15</p>	<p><b>town</b> [1] - 30999:23  <b>tracing</b> [1] - 30934:19  <b>track</b> [2] - 30936:9, 30999:20  <b>tracks</b> [1] - 31048:2  <b>trail</b> [1] - 30996:21  <b>Transcript</b> [19] - 30864:17, 30872:11, 30873:23, 30897:16, 30899:1, 30899:6, 30902:7, 30924:25, 30938:4, 30938:20, 30944:7, 30945:1, 30945:13, 30951:4, 30951:5, 30951:11, 30953:16, 30960:13, 31044:14  <b>Transcript</b>[2] - 30860:12, 30864:1  <b>transcription</b> [1] - 31139:5  <b>transcripts</b> [5] - 30869:25, 30944:5, 30954:5, 30957:9, 30977:21  <b>travelling</b> [1] - 31087:14  <b>treated</b> [1] - 30918:9  <b>treatment</b> [1] - 30911:7  <b>trek</b> [1] - 31062:20  <b>tremendous</b> [1] - 30971:7  <b>tremendously</b> [1] - 30930:4  <b>trial</b> [22] - 30870:18, 30870:23, 30871:22, 30876:22, 30878:24, 30908:1, 30912:12, 30913:16, 30914:17, 30942:25, 30943:9, 30954:3, 30956:12, 30956:18, 30957:4, 30958:16, 30960:13, 30964:6, 30978:23, 30979:4, 30998:11, 31068:7  <b>tried</b> [6] - 30915:3, 30948:5, 30948:8, 30949:20, 30972:22, 31099:9  <b>trip</b> [1] - 30974:15  <b>truck</b> [9] - 31102:18, 31102:19, 31108:19, 31115:25, 31116:17, 31116:21, 31134:17, 31134:25, 31135:2  <b>true</b> [20] - 30881:15, 30881:23, 30883:17, 30885:25, 30915:17, 30917:12, 30947:3,</p>
<b>T</b>				
<p><b>table</b> [4] - 30890:9, 30913:24, 30946:22, 31133:15  <b>tail</b> [1] - 30993:12  <b>talks</b> [18] - 30871:17, 30899:18, 30927:11, 30927:13, 30932:23, 30974:24, 30994:8, 30999:8, 31013:10, 31044:14, 31054:2, 31055:11, 31062:20, 31088:5, 31105:8, 31123:13, 31131:6, 31133:1  <b>tall</b> [2] - 31099:2, 31099:8</p>				



<p>30947:6, 30947:22, 30949:1, 30949:9, 30949:11, 30949:12, 30949:15, 30949:16, 30949:22, 30949:25, 31023:3, 31139:5</p> <p><b>truly</b> [1] - 30948:4</p> <p><b>trust</b> [2] - 30971:11, 30971:13</p> <p><b>truth</b> [19] - 30911:23, 30946:18, 30975:10, 30976:13, 30981:16, 30981:17, 30981:18, 30981:19, 30982:2, 30983:6, 30983:11, 30983:17, 30983:20, 30983:23, 30991:7, 31037:7, 31049:17, 31051:1, 31052:12</p> <p><b>truthful</b> [2] - 30948:6, 30948:10</p> <p><b>try</b> [14] - 30910:11, 30920:16, 30925:6, 30936:9, 31002:11, 31002:12, 31015:2, 31064:19, 31082:17, 31090:11, 31104:18, 31112:3, 31120:6, 31129:11</p> <p><b>trying</b> [45] - 30882:15, 30916:7, 30918:2, 30919:17, 30922:23, 30922:25, 30937:5, 30948:18, 30951:6, 30983:7, 30988:12, 30989:18, 30994:14, 30994:22, 30994:24, 30995:1, 30998:20, 31006:15, 31022:13, 31024:17, 31027:9, 31027:18, 31029:9, 31034:7, 31036:7, 31054:22, 31056:13, 31058:21, 31071:1, 31072:14, 31072:18, 31077:11, 31077:12, 31088:24, 31089:17, 31096:19, 31109:13, 31113:3, 31113:25, 31114:8, 31114:18, 31121:22, 31124:12, 31124:18</p> <p><b>turn</b> [2] - 31061:3, 31100:23</p> <p><b>turned</b> [6] - 30955:9, 31026:13, 31048:3, 31068:24, 31071:9, 31071:22</p> <p><b>turning</b> [3] - 30887:17, 30928:7, 30984:5</p>	<p><b>turns</b> [5] - 30947:21, 30948:25, 30949:1, 30949:16, 30954:12</p> <p><b>Tv</b> [6] - 30939:23, 31037:16, 31101:15, 31102:9, 31110:15, 31110:17</p> <p><b>twice</b> [2] - 30881:11, 30961:9</p> <p><b>twigged</b> [1] - 31049:16</p> <p><b>Two</b> [2] - 30946:16, 31096:18</p> <p><b>two</b> [38] - 30869:11, 30883:13, 30896:18, 30902:8, 30911:2, 30932:4, 30932:10, 30933:2, 30933:4, 30942:23, 30942:25, 30943:9, 30944:4, 30945:22, 30952:4, 30952:15, 30955:4, 30958:25, 30966:19, 30971:5, 31003:9, 31035:6, 31035:18, 31055:4, 31057:7, 31057:18, 31070:13, 31070:17, 31096:19, 31108:7, 31117:7, 31117:9, 31127:4, 31127:6, 31129:22, 31130:16, 31131:11, 31131:18</p> <p><b>two-year</b> [1] - 31055:4</p> <p><b>type</b> [20] - 30867:24, 30881:6, 30882:2, 30890:23, 30893:18, 30901:19, 30904:3, 30913:6, 30913:22, 30913:25, 30930:17, 30936:8, 30946:22, 30980:16, 31011:3, 31019:17, 31042:17, 31043:4, 31111:19, 31128:12</p> <p><b>typed</b> [5] - 31098:19, 31118:15, 31128:11, 31138:4, 31138:5</p> <p><b>types</b> [1] - 31009:24</p> <p><b>typewritten</b> [1] - 31127:15</p>	<p><b>umm</b> [1] - 30951:20</p> <p><b>unaware</b> [1] - 31053:5</p> <p><b>unbalanced</b> [1] - 30918:7</p> <p><b>unbelievable</b> [2] - 30898:8, 30992:5</p> <p><b>unchallenged</b> [1] - 31078:7</p> <p><b>uncomfortable</b> [1] - 31123:5</p> <p><b>under</b> [11] - 30870:6, 30870:10, 30875:3, 30879:17, 30885:13, 30897:3, 30904:3, 30919:13, 30975:25, 31042:8, 31061:10</p> <p><b>understate</b> [1] - 31067:20</p> <p><b>understood</b> [1] - 31006:6</p> <p><b>unequivocally</b> [1] - 30902:17</p> <p><b>unfair</b> [3] - 31022:22, 31024:4, 31025:4</p> <p><b>unfairly</b> [1] - 30949:5</p> <p><b>unfavourable</b> [1] - 31024:4</p> <p><b>unfolding</b> [1] - 31060:20</p> <p><b>unfortunate</b> [1] - 30878:17</p> <p><b>Unfortunately</b> [1] - 30907:6</p> <p><b>unfortunately</b> [1] - 30908:3</p> <p><b>unfounded</b> [3] - 31111:20, 31114:2, 31126:4</p> <p><b>Unidentified</b> [18] - 30932:13, 30932:17, 30933:23, 30934:1, 30934:3, 30934:10, 30934:13, 30934:15, 30934:21, 30935:7, 30935:12, 30935:16, 30936:1, 30936:5, 30939:4, 30939:7, 30939:10, 30939:17</p> <p><b>unless</b> [3] - 30996:20, 31000:17, 31022:15</p> <p><b>unofficial</b> [1] - 30895:13</p> <p><b>unprincipled</b> [1] - 30993:1</p> <p><b>unprofessional</b> [1] - 31059:18</p> <p><b>unrealistic</b> [1] - 31041:17</p> <p><b>unrelated</b> [1] - 31095:20</p>	<p><b>unreliable</b> [3] - 30884:13, 30889:17, 30911:4</p> <p><b>unstable</b> [1] - 31125:13</p> <p><b>untold</b> [1] - 30978:20</p> <p><b>untrue</b> [1] - 31022:22</p> <p><b>unusual</b> [2] - 31025:23, 31108:9</p> <p><b>unwarranted</b> [1] - 31066:12</p> <p><b>up</b> [148] - 30864:10, 30865:2, 30865:18, 30866:4, 30866:23, 30867:3, 30868:20, 30869:5, 30871:4, 30873:15, 30879:4, 30881:12, 30881:13, 30884:12, 30886:10, 30886:18, 30887:11, 30888:5, 30889:12, 30889:18, 30889:21, 30890:24, 30900:3, 30902:6, 30903:7, 30904:19, 30907:15, 30909:12, 30911:17, 30914:8, 30915:2, 30915:6, 30915:24, 30916:19, 30916:23, 30917:8, 30917:16, 30924:15, 30927:8, 30934:8, 30934:19, 30934:25, 30936:18, 30938:11, 30940:9, 30942:18, 30945:14, 30946:23, 30947:20, 30949:3, 30952:23, 30954:20, 30956:6, 30957:3, 30959:7, 30963:16, 30963:21, 30966:18, 30968:2, 30968:23, 30970:17, 30974:15, 30974:16, 30977:22, 30980:25, 30981:1, 30984:5, 30986:3, 30988:6, 30989:15, 30990:3, 30991:12, 30992:2, 30994:14, 30994:22, 30995:2, 30995:10, 31003:9, 31007:5, 31014:7, 31014:16, 31017:4, 31020:18, 31021:22, 31022:4, 31022:9, 31022:19, 31027:5, 31028:6, 31028:17, 31029:5, 31032:18, 31039:17, 31041:5, 31042:6, 31042:9, 31043:14, 31044:13, 31044:23,</p>	<p>31045:18, 31047:11, 31048:17, 31048:18, 31053:23, 31057:18, 31058:15, 31058:23, 31060:16, 31062:25, 31063:25, 31064:1, 31064:6, 31065:3, 31065:11, 31065:12, 31071:13, 31074:7, 31074:11, 31079:22, 31081:16, 31083:6, 31084:3, 31084:12, 31085:9, 31098:19, 31101:16, 31102:20, 31102:24, 31103:3, 31103:9, 31104:12, 31109:4, 31109:13, 31113:3, 31114:18, 31114:19, 31116:4, 31116:24, 31118:15, 31120:5, 31121:16, 31128:24, 31129:20, 31130:4, 31134:8, 31136:1, 31136:3, 31138:4</p> <p><b>updated</b> [2] - 30961:15, 31136:14</p> <p><b>updating</b> [3] - 30893:24, 30894:1, 30963:17</p> <p><b>ups</b> [1] - 31012:21</p> <p><b>upset</b> [14] - 30895:22, 30896:24, 30898:1, 30980:9, 30992:10, 31009:11, 31009:21, 31030:1, 31055:25, 31057:6, 31058:8, 31058:11, 31059:11, 31093:20</p> <p><b>upsetting</b> [3] - 31067:5, 31071:9, 31072:13</p> <p><b>urine</b> [31] - 30870:13, 30870:16, 30870:18, 30871:4, 30871:6, 30874:15, 30875:7, 30875:14, 30876:13, 30876:15, 30876:20, 30880:19, 30880:23, 30881:4, 30881:10, 30881:16, 30881:24, 30882:6, 30882:23, 30883:17, 30884:3, 30889:1, 30890:1, 30890:4, 30890:21, 30913:24, 30920:21, 30993:17, 30993:23, 30994:2</p> <p><b>Ute</b> [6] - 30996:25, 30997:3, 30997:12, 30998:7, 30999:1,</p>
	<b>U</b>			
<p><b>ultimately</b> [4] - 30972:20, 30995:21, 30996:20, 31078:23</p> <p><b>ultimatum</b> [1] - 31054:2</p> <p><b>Umm</b> [3] - 30988:4, 31016:5, 31089:15</p>				



30999:8 <b>utter</b> [1] - 31081:3	31098:13 <b>victimimized</b> [1] - 31088:22 <b>victims</b> [39] - 30925:13, 30931:12, 30931:22, 30934:12, 30936:2, 30936:25, 30937:14, 30937:24, 30938:5, 30938:15, 30969:10, 30969:11, 30970:14, 30971:9, 31079:13, 31079:23, 31080:1, 31080:11, 31083:10, 31083:25, 31086:25, 31087:7, 31087:12, 31090:11, 31090:18, 31093:19, 31098:2, 31098:7, 31126:24, 31127:1, 31129:6, 31129:19, 31130:5, 31131:17, 31132:5, 31133:8, 31133:16, 31134:3 <b>Victims</b> [1] - 31135:15 <b>victims'</b> [1] - 30926:17 <b>victims'</b> [1] - 30936:19 <b>Victor</b> [1] - 30870:22 <b>view</b> [45] - 30867:22, 30870:1, 30871:2, 30878:13, 30882:8, 30883:23, 30884:5, 30884:14, 30908:19, 30916:3, 30918:18, 30931:2, 30950:22, 30955:11, 30955:22, 30966:11, 30976:12, 30976:14, 30983:21, 30984:22, 30994:19, 31000:19, 31012:14, 31025:13, 31025:18, 31027:10, 31027:22, 31029:24, 31042:25, 31053:11, 31065:24, 31066:10, 31068:12, 31072:5, 31072:6, 31072:9, 31074:15, 31082:8, 31088:7, 31088:21, 31089:13, 31105:12, 31110:13 <b>viewed</b> [9] - 30884:12, 30884:19, 31034:2, 31034:11, 31088:11, 31089:1, 31111:20, 31114:1 <b>viewer</b> [1] - 31039:25 <b>viewing</b> [1] - 31030:14 <b>viewpoint</b> [1] - 30892:17 <b>views</b> [1] - 31076:20 <b>vigour</b> [1] - 31086:18	<b>visible</b> [1] - 30867:2 <b>visit</b> [5] - 30928:12, 31041:5, 31075:9, 31086:24, 31104:4 <b>Visit</b> [1] - 31083:25 <b>visited</b> [4] - 30896:16, 30899:3, 30929:6, 31062:21 <b>visiting</b> [1] - 30902:5 <b>Voice</b> [1] - 31135:15 <b>volcano</b> [1] - 30918:3 <b>Volume</b> [1] - 30860:22 <b>voluntarily</b> [4] - 30914:14, 30914:22, 30915:23, 30916:3	31050:15 <b>weekend</b> [2] - 30925:12, 30991:21 <b>weighed</b> [1] - 30885:21 <b>weight</b> [1] - 31033:4 <b>Weir</b> [11] - 31092:24, 31092:25, 31093:3, 31093:10, 31093:11, 31093:15, 31093:17, 31094:2, 31094:5, 31094:9, 31094:16 <b>weird</b> [2] - 31102:10, 31102:14 <b>welcome</b> [1] - 30875:11 <b>west</b> [3] - 30865:3, 30920:21, 30935:10 <b>west-end</b> [1] - 30865:3 <b>Western</b> [2] - 30993:11, 30993:14 <b>whatsoever</b> [3] - 30996:2, 31127:12, 31131:23 <b>white</b> [3] - 31116:22, 31117:22, 31134:19 <b>whole</b> [6] - 30882:9, 30892:16, 31074:9, 31088:23, 31113:9, 31120:11 <b>wholeheartedly</b> [1] - 31068:22 <b>wild</b> [3] - 30917:4, 30917:6, 30926:10 <b>Wilde</b> [1] - 30861:12 <b>William</b> [1] - 31066:16 <b>Williams</b> [89] - 30877:4, 30877:23, 30891:22, 30892:23, 30896:23, 30898:22, 30899:3, 30899:9, 30899:14, 30900:19, 30901:9, 30901:20, 30902:4, 30902:6, 30903:12, 30906:17, 30906:20, 30908:15, 30923:11, 30923:21, 30925:4, 30925:17, 30926:20, 30935:4, 30935:5, 30935:13, 30959:11, 30960:8, 30972:19, 30972:24, 30973:6, 30973:11, 30974:12, 30975:14, 30977:2, 30985:21, 30992:2, 30992:25, 30995:14, 30997:17, 31001:16, 31005:3, 31005:10, 31005:14, 31006:7, 31006:14, 31007:8, 31008:8, 31008:25, 31014:4, 31016:10,	31017:16, 31017:21, 31020:1, 31028:5, 31028:18, 31042:6, 31042:11, 31042:23, 31043:13, 31043:18, 31044:8, 31044:17, 31044:20, 31045:6, 31045:8, 31045:20, 31045:25, 31046:9, 31050:20, 31051:14, 31053:16, 31054:20, 31055:2, 31055:9, 31055:23, 31057:12, 31057:21, 31058:9, 31058:11, 31058:19, 31064:24, 31065:9, 31066:2, 31066:4, 31069:4, 31077:1, 31080:22 <b>Williams</b> [4] - 30906:23, 31055:7, 31081:8, 31081:19 <b>willing</b> [1] - 30882:19 <b>Wilson</b> [53] - 30862:6, 30878:12, 30880:6, 30891:10, 30919:13, 30920:22, 30921:21, 30923:10, 30923:11, 30923:13, 30923:16, 30923:23, 30925:5, 30925:7, 30932:24, 30938:2, 30943:20, 30943:21, 30944:25, 30945:7, 30946:6, 30951:15, 30953:19, 30954:8, 30956:17, 30959:9, 30959:14, 30959:21, 30960:11, 30961:6, 30961:20, 30962:18, 30962:23, 30967:3, 30972:17, 30972:25, 30973:8, 30973:10, 30973:12, 30973:13, 30973:25, 30974:3, 30974:11, 30976:7, 30977:2, 30977:12, 30977:23, 30978:6, 30994:8, 31003:11, 31016:9, 31017:24 <b>Wilson's</b> [17] - 30866:6, 30891:7, 30891:12, 30923:20, 30942:21, 30943:15, 30953:16, 30953:22, 30953:24, 30955:24, 30957:5, 30961:21, 30961:24, 30962:25, 30964:25, 30966:8, 30973:6 <b>Wilson's</b> [1] - 30932:18
<b>V</b>		<b>W</b>		
<b>V1</b> [5] - 30927:10, 30927:11, 31096:13, 31096:24 <b>V14</b> [20] - 31084:1, 31099:25, 31100:23, 31100:24, 31103:18, 31106:10, 31107:8, 31117:1, 31124:8, 31127:3, 31127:18, 31128:23, 31129:13, 31130:14, 31131:15, 31134:25, 31135:7 <b>V2</b> [3] - 31127:24, 31128:1, 31128:10 <b>V5</b> [13] - 31091:20, 31091:21, 31091:24, 31092:5, 31096:5, 31096:14, 31096:24, 31098:20, 31099:6, 31100:14 <b>valid</b> [3] - 30872:7, 30876:12, 31034:12 <b>valuable</b> [1] - 31036:13 <b>value</b> [3] - 31036:11, 31070:12, 31070:20 <b>Vancouver</b> [6] - 30929:13, 31084:5, 31086:2, 31086:6, 31086:7, 31086:8 <b>Vanin</b> [12] - 31090:20, 31090:21, 31090:25, 31091:6, 31091:8, 31091:14, 31091:20, 31091:23, 31091:25, 31092:10, 31099:19, 31100:18 <b>Vanin's</b> [2] - 31091:16, 31100:12 <b>variety</b> [1] - 30907:21 <b>various</b> [4] - 30888:5, 30907:11, 30990:10, 31132:5 <b>vehicle</b> [2] - 31116:1, 31135:8 <b>version</b> [5] - 31122:11, 31127:16, 31130:12, 31137:1, 31137:14 <b>versus</b> [2] - 30890:2, 30929:18 <b>vest</b> [1] - 31097:24 <b>via</b> [2] - 31006:5, 31009:18 <b>Vic</b> [1] - 30862:12 <b>victim</b> [2] - 30927:15,	<b>wait</b> [2] - 30994:11, 31041:15 <b>waiting</b> [3] - 30869:12, 30869:13 <b>walk</b> [3] - 31041:19, 31106:8 <b>walked</b> [1] - 30917:10 <b>wall</b> [1] - 30935:20 <b>wants</b> [1] - 31086:24 <b>war</b> [4] - 31075:24, 31076:9, 31077:8, 31077:11 <b>War</b> [1] - 31076:13 <b>watch</b> [2] - 30903:25, 30906:10 <b>watched</b> [2] - 30906:12, 31033:21 <b>Watson</b> [25] - 30923:24, 30943:11, 30960:10, 30961:22, 30962:21, 30962:25, 30963:3, 30963:9, 30966:3, 30972:23, 30973:9, 30973:18, 30974:11, 30974:20, 30994:8, 31005:20, 31006:2, 31006:4, 31006:6, 31006:15, 31006:17, 31006:18, 31006:19, 31007:23 <b>wavering</b> [1] - 31020:4 <b>ways</b> [3] - 30955:4, 31020:1, 31040:4 <b>weapon</b> [4] - 30973:19, 30974:22, 30975:22, 30976:7 <b>wearing</b> [1] - 31118:2 <b>Wednesday</b> [1] - 30860:21 <b>week</b> [4] - 30888:14, 30943:13, 30985:22,			



<p><b>winded</b> [1] - 30884:22  <b>Winnipeg</b> [11] -  30867:12, 30867:13,  30874:20, 30892:5,  30919:12, 30919:22,  30926:3, 30929:3,  30929:13, 31075:4,  31087:13  <b>wisdom</b> [2] - 30886:4,  30886:19  <b>wisest</b> [1] - 30885:14  <b>wish</b> [1] - 31090:3  <b>wished</b> [1] - 31012:22  <b>withheld</b> [6] - 30943:7,  30945:20, 30945:25,  30946:4, 30963:9,  30965:9  <b>witness</b> [12] - 30913:4,  30913:21, 30943:5,  30946:2, 30946:13,  30946:16, 30952:12,  30965:19, 31008:9,  31017:4, 31130:7,  31130:10  <b>Witness</b> [2] - 30945:19,  30945:24  <b>witnesses</b> [21] -  30878:24, 30889:8,  30892:8, 30896:5,  30896:7, 30897:5,  30899:23, 30923:23,  30942:20, 30959:18,  31007:7, 31016:9,  31047:15, 31047:18,  31047:21, 31049:6,  31049:21, 31049:24,  31049:25, 31073:5,  31098:4  <b>Wolch</b> [58] - 30862:2,  30879:15, 30880:5,  30893:10, 30894:21,  30895:14, 30895:20,  30900:3, 30906:14,  30906:25, 30922:11,  30963:23, 30963:25,  30991:17, 31003:8,  31003:10, 31004:3,  31005:9, 31008:17,  31009:24, 31011:4,  31012:18, 31013:15,  31014:5, 31014:12,  31015:3, 31015:5,  31017:9, 31017:17,  31018:1, 31018:12,  31018:16, 31021:15,  31022:8, 31023:8,  31023:14, 31023:20,  31024:7, 31024:12,  31026:5, 31026:15,  31044:3, 31044:12,</p>	<p>31044:19, 31044:22,  31045:10, 31046:7,  31050:16, 31051:3,  31055:9, 31065:14,  31071:16, 31073:14,  31088:2, 31091:18,  31128:25, 31133:1,  31133:13  <b>Wolch's</b> [2] - 30893:6,  31015:8  <b>woman</b> [17] - 31103:3,  31103:16, 31103:20,  31105:8, 31107:25,  31108:3, 31108:13,  31109:9, 31110:23,  31112:15, 31113:1,  31120:17, 31121:25,  31122:17, 31122:20,  31123:4, 31124:13  <b>woman's</b> [2] - 31111:3,  31125:17  <b>women</b> [5] - 30927:21,  30937:16, 31079:24,  31087:18, 31087:25  <b>wonder</b> [1] - 31003:24  <b>Wondered</b> [1] -  31003:14  <b>wondering</b> [17] -  30873:7, 30901:22,  30908:19, 30924:7,  30945:14, 30998:12,  31021:14, 31021:19,  31025:23, 31049:3,  31064:4, 31065:6,  31068:17, 31089:6,  31101:4, 31118:4,  31135:12  <b>word</b> [4] - 30868:8,  30869:1, 30984:8  <b>wording</b> [1] - 31076:3  <b>words</b> [39] - 30875:13,  30881:23, 30883:1,  30883:25, 30885:18,  30885:23, 30887:20,  30889:15, 30902:16,  30906:3, 30906:18,  30911:12, 30912:6,  30918:22, 30941:3,  30943:23, 30945:23,  30949:14, 30968:5,  30975:4, 30980:13,  30984:3, 30990:1,  30990:2, 30990:7,  30994:21, 31028:14,  31029:9, 31074:8,  31082:11, 31089:8,  31089:9, 31106:24,  31111:20, 31111:24,  31112:8, 31119:7,  31119:9, 31126:2</p>	<p><b>world</b> [1] - 31051:9  <b>worse</b> [2] - 30979:13,  30979:14  <b>wow</b> [1] - 30902:8  <b>Wpg</b> [2] - 31084:1,  31119:23  <b>write</b> [6] - 30874:24,  30915:15, 30917:21,  30982:6, 30982:21,  31094:23  <b>writes</b> [2] - 30896:3,  31099:18  <b>writing</b> [3] - 30942:12,  30982:12, 30982:19  <b>written</b> [7] - 30942:4,  30955:19, 31019:23,  31029:24, 31051:22,  31063:20, 31063:21  <b>wrongdoing</b> [1] -  30952:14  <b>wrongdoings</b> [1] -  30952:5  <b>Wrongful</b> [1] - 30860:3  <b>wrongful</b> [2] -  30878:15, 31088:9  <b>wrongly</b> [2] - 30883:23,  31029:14  <b>wrote</b> [6] - 30942:19,  30982:12, 30995:24,  31035:22, 31089:21,  31090:1</p>	<p><b>yourself</b> [2] - 30944:11,  30971:14  <b>Yup</b> [2] - 30984:16,  31134:23</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Z</b></p> <p><b>zero</b> [1] - 31083:24</p>
<b>Y</b>			<p><b>Yanko</b> [1] - 30919:24  <b>year</b> [7] - 30937:23,  31035:14, 31036:3,  31055:4, 31062:13,  31103:14  <b>years</b> [14] - 30869:11,  30902:8, 30951:23,  30951:24, 30962:5,  30965:17, 30967:18,  31039:18, 31047:17,  31062:5, 31065:19,  31072:11, 31098:25,  31121:20  <b>yellow</b> [2] - 30900:9,  30900:14  <b>yesterday</b> [17] -  30864:10, 30864:11,  30870:13, 30872:10,  30872:11, 30873:23,  30874:6, 30875:6,  30885:16, 30886:20,  30899:1, 30902:7,  30903:9, 30912:16,  30974:21, 30978:24,  30985:20</p>

