

*Commission of Inquiry*  
*Into the Wrongful*  
*Conviction of David Milgaard*  
*before*  
**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE**  
**EDWARD P. MacCALLUM**

\*\*\*\*\*

Transcript of Proceedings  
and  
Testimony before the Commission  
sitting at the  
Bessborough Hotel at  
Saskatoon, Saskatchewan

\*\*\*\*\*

On Tuesday, May 16th, 2006

Volume 150

Inquiry Proceedings



**Commission Staff:**

Mr. Douglas C. Hodson,	Commission Counsel
Ms. Candace D. Congram,	Executive Director
Ms. Sandra Boswell,	Document Manager
Ms. Cheryl Ellerman,	Assistant Document Manager

**Support Staff:**

Ms. Irene Beitel,	Clerk to the Commission
Ms. Karen Hinz, CSR, and	Official Q.B. Court Reporters
Mr. Don Meyer, RPR, CSR,	
Mr. Jerry Wilde,	Security Officer
Mr. Jay Boechler,	Inland Audio Technician



**Appearances:**

Mr. Hersh Wolch, Q.C.,           **for** Mr. David Milgaard  
Ms. Joanne McLean,               **for** Ms. Joyce Milgaard  
Ms. Lana Krogan-Stevely,       **for** Government of Saskatchewan  
Ms. Catherine Knox,              **for** Mr. T.D.R. (Bobs) Caldwell  
Mr. Garrett Wilson, Q.C.,       **for** Mr. Serge Kujawa  
Mr. Pat Loran, Esq.,             **for** the Saskatoon Police Service  
Mr. Aaron Fox, Q.C.,             **for** Mr. Eddie Karst  
Mr. Bruce Gibson, Esq.,         **for** the RCMP  
Mr. David Frayer, Q.C.,         **for** Minister of Justice  
  (Canada), The Hon. Vic Toews  
Mr. Marshall Hopkins, Esq.,     **for** Justice Calvin Tallis  
  (Retired)



INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS

DESCRIPTION:

PAGE:

JOYCE IOLA MILGAARD, CONTINUED

- BY MR. HODSON

30593



Transcript of Proceedings

(Reconvened at 9:00 a.m.)

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Good morning.

ALL COUNSEL: Good morning.

JOYCE IOLA MILGAARD, continued:

BY MR. HODSON:

Q Yesterday, Mrs. Milgaard, I had told you I would check and get you a copy of the police report. Remember, you testified that when Mr. Wolch phoned you around February 26 or February 28th, 1990 advising about the phone call about Larry Fisher, and you remembered his name from the police -- from a police report; do you remember giving that evidence?

A Yes, I do.

Q And I think you said you pictured in your mind the actual document?

A That I saw.

Q And if we could call up 183170 and go to the next page, this is the police report of February 5, if we could go to the next page, please. Now it's cut off a bit on the right-hand side on this copy, and you will see there is the -- this is the actual police report that talks about it; is that the document you recalled in 1990?



1 A The wording is identical. I remember that, the  
2 wearing the hard -- yellow hard hat.

3 Q Okay.

4 A Umm --

09:06 5 Q Let me show you, if you want to take a look at  
6 that, I'll also show you Mr. Carlyle-Gordge's  
7 notes and see if that -- or his dictated notes, --  
8 A Yeah.

9 Q -- that's 174037, and go to the next page please.  
09:06 10 And you'll see right here, this is Mr.  
11 Carlyle-Gordge's --

12 A No.

13 Q No?

14 A I'm sure it was the other. If you just go back to  
09:06 15 the other for a minute?

16 Q Sure, yes.

17 A Umm --

18 Q 183170, and it was on page 2, but this would be  
19 the front page. And you'll see it's a Saskatoon  
09:07 20 Police Department investigation report, and then a  
21 bunch of times, and there is the 6:49; is that --  
22 that page 183171, is that what you think you had  
23 looked at prior, at some time prior to your call  
24 to Mr. Wolch?

09:07 25 A Yes, I believe that was the one, because I



1 remember the time slots, the 6:49, the 6:52, the  
2 7:13. I remember, in my mind, going over the  
3 times, that I was looking at that report, and  
4 because we were sort of -- time was of the essence  
09:07 5 there for us, and so I'm sure this is the one that  
6 I saw, or --

7 Q Right?

8 A -- something very -- more similar than what that  
9 other report showed.

09:07 10 Q Right. So that your recollection -- and again,  
11 just so that we have the time frame, around  
12 February 26th or 28th, 1990 when Mr. Wolch  
13 telephoned you and said "Sidney Wilson called,  
14 said Larry Fisher is the real killer", you at that  
09:08 15 time recalled, earlier, looking at a police report  
16 similar to 183171; --

17 A That's correct.

18 Q -- is that right? And that was it at some time  
19 years prior, months prior to 1990 that you  
09:08 20 remembered seeing that back in your, if I can call  
21 it, the earlier days with Mr. Carlyle-Gordge, or  
22 was it a more recent review; do you remember?

23 A I think it was more recent.

24 Q So some --

09:08 25 A Because we were going through everything.



1 Q So some --

2 A Every piece of paper.

3 Q Oh, I'm sorry. So perhaps sometime in -- let's  
4 put it this way; would it have been something that  
09:08 5 you reviewed while Mr. Asper and Mr. Wolch were  
6 your lawyers, or would it have been prior to that  
7 that you think you would have looked at this  
8 report, or are you able to say?

9 A I'm not able to say.

09:08 10 Q Okay. If we could go back to 043662, do you know  
11 where that police report would have come from,  
12 where it would have been obtained from?

13 A Would that not have come from one of the files,  
14 like --

09:09 15 Q It may have. I'm not sure. When you say "one of  
16 the files" are you talking from Mr. Tallis or Mr.  
17 Caldwell?

18 A Yeah, Mr. Caldwell, maybe Mr. Tallis, I don't know  
19 where it came from. But, you know, I had this  
09:09 20 recollection of seeing it and --

21 Q Sure. It --

22 A -- it may have been, it may have been when we were  
23 starting to check out things that I was going  
24 through reports. Now --

09:09 25 Q Is it possible that Mr. Carlyle-Gordge made





1 photocopies of some of the police reports he  
2 looked at in 1983; is that a possibility?

3 A That is definitely a possibility, and that --  
4 because this is just so familiar to me. And so,  
09:09 5 don't forget, we pored over documents and  
6 everything --

7 Q Yes?

8 A -- to get -- and this is probably one of the ones  
9 that I'd gone over it so many times to see so many  
09:10 10 people and to check it out that I'm sure that is  
11 the document.

12 Q If we could just go back to the full page.

13 A I'm sorry I can't give you a --

14 Q No, that's fine.

09:10 15 A -- date.

16 Q So do you think -- and let's just go look at some  
17 of these other names and see whether -- John  
18 Husulak, Tony Humen, the bus driver, Beck, Holder,  
19 some --

09:10 20 A Uh-huh.

21 Q You did a fair bit of checking, I think you told  
22 us before?

23 A Oh yes.

24 Q And is it possible this would have been --

09:10 25 A And we were trying to find all these people too,



1           you know, to go and talk to them to get more  
2           information because, we thought, in case the  
3           police missed something with these people.

4           Q       Right. So I think you told us last week that in  
09:10 5           the early '80s, '81-'82, '80-'81-'82-'83 you and  
6           your family went out and blitzed the  
7           neighbourhood, --

8           A       Yes.

9           Q       -- knocked on doors, talked to people, and  
09:11 10           certainly I think Mr. Carlyle-Gordge assisted you  
11           in that; correct?

12          A       Yes, he did.

13          Q       And so this may have been the source document that  
14           helped you with that?

09:11 15          A       I would think so.

16          Q       And then I think you said later on in the, if I  
17           can call it the Wolch-Asper time frame later in  
18           the '80s, you did that as well; is that correct?

19          A       We did.

09:11 20          Q       All right. If we could go back to 043683, we were  
21           talking yesterday when we broke about Cliff  
22           Pambrun -- sorry, 043662 -- and we have been  
23           through this transcript with Mr. Pambrun and Mr.  
24           Henderson so I don't propose to go through it. I  
09:11 25           think you told us yesterday that, despite your



1           efforts and Mr. Henderson's efforts to try and get  
2           information from Mr. Pambrun that would confirm  
3           that Larry Fisher either did have or could have  
4           had his vehicle on the morning of Gail Miller's  
09:11 5           murder, he wouldn't go that far; is that right?

6           A           That's right.

7           Q           And then as far as the statement, if we could call  
8           --

9           A           But then eventually he did.

09:12 10          Q           When was that?

11          A           I believe he did.

12          Q           Okay. At a later stage?

13                    COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Did what?

14           BY MR. HODSON:

09:12 15          Q           Did confirm that --

16          A           That Larry had his car on occasion.

17          Q           I'm sorry, that Larry had --

18          A           That he made a statement to that effect, that  
19          Larry had his car on occasion?

09:12 20          Q           Oh, yes. If we could -- 043291. I think the  
21          statement he gave after you and Mr. Henderson met  
22          with him, was that, yes, I let him use my car  
23          sometimes, --

24          A           Yes.

09:12 25          Q           -- and sometimes during the day, sometimes at



1 night?

2 A Yes.

3 Q What Mr. Pambrun has told the Inquiry is that,  
4 although he let Larry he use his car, he would not  
09:12 5 have -- he would not have lent him his car in the  
6 early morning hours because he used it to go to  
7 work every day.

8 A Uh-huh.

9 Q And so I think Mr. Pambrun's evidence here is that  
09:12 10 he doesn't recall lending him his car, nor does he  
11 think he ever would have, nor does he think Mr.  
12 Fisher would have had his car the morning of the  
13 murder. Now what was said between 1990 and now, I  
14 think we've -- I think the only other statement he  
09:13 15 gave was to Mr. Pearson?

16 A Right. Well, no, that's what I recall. I wasn't  
17 thinking of his testimony here, because even when  
18 he testified here I was going back in my mind to  
19 the time when we talked to him, and I had felt  
09:13 20 sure, at that time, that because of the situation  
21 that he had been in with drinking, and everything  
22 like that, that he was remembering this in a way  
23 that, I don't know, was protective of Larry or  
24 because he was his friend, but that somehow he'd  
09:13 25 wiped that out. I really firmly believe that he



1           had his car that morning and that was the car that  
2           was there. I've often wanted to ask Larry Fisher  
3           "did you have the car that morning".

4           Q       And so your belief today, based on what  
09:14 5           Mr. Pambrun had told you, or -- and other sources,  
6           is that Larry Fisher had Cliff Pambrun's  
7           vehicle --

8           A       Yes.

9           Q       -- on the morning of the murder?

09:14 10          A       Yes. And the theory that we had at the time, and  
11           still seemed to be very firm and made sense, was  
12           that after what had happened he'd -- he had taken  
13           the car back there and he was walk -- and the  
14           tracks, if you see the map, the tracks from  
09:14 15           Pambrun's house down to Larry Fisher's house, he  
16           would -- a shortcut would have been to walk right  
17           down the tracks, and that going down the tracks  
18           would put him right in the position that he was in  
19           with (V4)---- (V4)---.

09:14 20          Q       Okay. Now this is 1990, March, (V4)---- (V4)---  
21           doesn't come to light until August of '91. Is it  
22           fair to say this, Mrs. Milgaard -- and please  
23           correct me if I'm wrong -- that at the time you  
24           talked to Mr. Pambrun you needed to find a vehicle  
09:15 25           that Larry Fisher had access to on the morning of



1 the murder to fit the theory that he had committed  
2 the crime; is that right?

3 A Yes, because it seemed perfectly logical that that  
4 type of thing could not just be done outside in  
09:15 5 the weather that was there.

6 Q Right. And Larry himself, Larry Fisher himself,  
7 didn't have a car; correct?

8 A Correct, so we had to provide him with one.

9 Q Right. And then, and so the theory after you  
09:15 10 talked to Mr. Pambrun would be "okay, there is the  
11 car, if he has the car", then in August of 1991  
12 (V4)---- (V4)--- came forward -- and we'll deal  
13 with her a bit later -- saying she'd been  
14 assaulted that morning -- well she had earlier  
09:15 15 stated that to the police, but she then identified  
16 Mr. Fisher --

17 A Correct.

18 Q -- as the assailant, and then that fit the theory  
19 that Cliff Pambrun's car was used and he was  
09:15 20 walking down the tracks. So is it fair to say  
21 that, when (V4)---- (V4)--- came to light, that  
22 with that information, having Cliff Pambrun's car  
23 being available to Mr. Fisher, being used that  
24 morning, fit that theory as well; is that correct?

09:16 25 A It just fit perfectly.



1 Q Okay. And, again, was it -- I don't want to jump  
2 ahead, but is it fair to say that when (V4)----  
3 (V4)--- came along, that it was also part of,  
4 again, your theory that it was important to have  
09:16 5 Mr. Fisher as the perpetrator of the (V4)---  
6 assault as well as the Gail Miller assault? In  
7 other -- we've heard the saying "there couldn't be  
8 two serial rapists out on the loose that morning",  
9 that's been repeated many times during this  
09:16 10 Inquiry by some counsel?

11 A That's true.

12 Q Is that something that, again with (V4)--- when  
13 she came along, that, as well, your theory was  
14 that Fisher also had to have committed that  
09:16 15 assault?

16 A After talking to (V4)---- (V4)--- and meeting with  
17 her when she -- that first day that she identified  
18 when she was looking at the newspaper and she saw  
19 David's picture on the one side and Larry's on the  
09:17 20 other side, and she really thought that Larry  
21 Fisher was David and vice versa, and she -- she  
22 said "I'll never forget it", and when she told me  
23 about it my hair was just standing on end.

24 Q But just on the issue of the identity of that, is  
09:16 25 it fair to say that when you were -- we started



1 down this path talking about how Cliff Pambrun's  
2 car fit into the picture and is it fair to say  
3 that once (V4)---- (V4)--- came along, you learned  
4 about that assault and her identification of  
09:16 5 Fisher, that it was important to your theory  
6 that --

7 A Because we got out the maps and we started looking  
8 and we saw the tracks and where it intersected  
9 there at (V4)----'s street and yes, it made perfect  
09:16 10 sense.

11 Q And if (V4)---- (V4)---, if it had not been Larry  
12 Fisher that assaulted (V4)---- (V4)---, then in  
13 addition to you are saying Larry Fisher killed  
14 Gail Miller --

09:17 15 A Yes.

16 Q -- at the same time someone else was out there  
17 committing an assault on (V4)---- (V4)---?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And that was contrary to a theory or position that  
09:17 20 your group took saying, well, there can't have  
21 been two people out doing it?

22 A That was our theory.

23 Q And so it was important for your theory to have  
24 Larry Fisher as the perpetrator of (V4)----  
09:17 25 (V4)---'s assault; is that correct?





1 A Yes, correct.

2 Q If we can just go back to Cliff Pambrun here, just  
3 so I'm clear on this, if we can go to page 043686  
4 of this interview, and just down at the bottom,  
09:17 5 and I went through this with both Mr. Pambrun and  
6 Mr. Henderson, so I don't want to go through all  
7 of it, but here's where Mr. Henderson asks:

8 "Could you ever imagine a situation  
9 uh... where it was a working day and you  
09:17 10 were planning on going to work and Larry  
11 had your car."

12 And Cliff says:

13 "Oh I'd remember something like that."  
14 And so this would be an example where, I mean,  
09:17 15 this was specifically put to him, lookit, did  
16 Larry have your car a morning when you went to  
17 work, and he said I'd remember that and I don't  
18 remember that happening.

19 A Oh, no. We went up one side and down the other  
09:18 20 side of this man and he was very firm in that.

21 Q And then if we can go back to the statement  
22 then -- what about, what was your assessment of  
23 Cliff Pambrun? I think you said you thought he  
24 was trying to protect Larry, is that right, or not  
09:18 25 protect Larry, but didn't want to give information



1           that might be harmful to his position, is that  
2           your sense?

3           A       Well, the first time around it seemed to me that  
4           he was trying to -- how do I express this. He  
09:18 5           didn't want to see himself the way we were  
6           presenting him in the sense that he could have  
7           been out and drinking and forgot because of the  
8           drinking and not really been in control or know  
9           what was going on, I don't think he wanted to see  
09:19 10          himself that way.

11          Q       If we could go to 012090, and I asked a similar --  
12          now, Mr. Henderson, would he have been the person  
13          in charge of deciding what goes in the statement  
14          and what doesn't go in the statement, was that  
09:19 15          something you left up to him?

16          A       Yes, because he had experience in those areas.

17          Q       And is it fair to say, and I think -- did you  
18          observe whether or not Mr. Pambrun, did he write  
19          this out or did you observe any issues he had with  
09:19 20          reading or writing at the time, did you make any  
21          observations of any difficulty?

22          A       No, I didn't. I think we read it out to him.

23          Q       Okay. In the statement, I guess what the  
24          statement doesn't say is it doesn't say lookit, I  
09:20 25          don't think Larry had my car that morning, what it



1 does say is:

2 "Larry Fisher did not own a car at this  
3 time and sometimes asked to borrow mine.  
4 I recall that I let him use my car a  
09:20 5 number of times. I have no recollection  
6 of letting him borrow my car on any  
7 specific date. But it is possible that  
8 he could have been driving the car with  
9 my permission either during the daytime  
09:20 10 or at night."

11 And would it be correct to say that this  
12 statement from Mr. Pambrun allowed you,  
13 notwithstanding what he told you and Mr.  
14 Henderson during the interview that he didn't  
09:20 15 think Larry had used his car the morning of the  
16 murder, didn't recall it nor did he think it  
17 would happen, but this statement sort of kept the  
18 window open a bit?

19 A It did.

09:20 20 Q Kept the possibility open and allowed you to  
21 continue with the theory that Cliff Pambrun's car  
22 might have been used?

23 A That's a fair comment. We were grasping at  
24 straws.

09:21 25 Q And if Mr. Pambrun's car had not been available,



1           would you have gone out to try and find another  
2           car then; is that --

3       A       Probably would have.

4       Q       If we can go to 062143 --

09:21 5       A       But in a way, you have to remember, we got Roy  
6           Pambrum through Linda Fisher, we didn't go out and  
7           search for him, we got him through her.

8       Q       Sorry, Cliff Pambrun or Roy?

9       A       For both of them.

09:21 10      Q       I think both, right.

11      A       We got them both through Linda Fisher, so it  
12           wasn't like we were going out searching for a car  
13           at that point, this happened to us because we got  
14           Linda Fisher.

09:21 15      Q       Right. So Linda Fisher informed you, I think,  
16           correct me if I'm wrong, that you were searching  
17           for what other information you would have about  
18           Larry and she said at that time my Uncle Clifford  
19           and Larry were friends?

09:22 20      A       Uh-huh, that's right.

21      Q       And that's how you -- Linda led you to Cliff and  
22           Roy Pambrum as people who may have information  
23           that might assist you?

24      A       That's right. It wasn't that we were going out  
09:22 25           and looking for someone with a car.



1 Q Okay. But is it fair to say that when you first  
2 talked to Linda Fisher and said okay, after  
3 talking to her I think you said I think Larry is  
4 the culprit, you had to -- I think your view was  
09:22 5 okay, in order to get some evidence or information  
6 to support my thinking that he's the culprit, he  
7 needs to have a vehicle that morning?

8 A Yes.

9 Q So that was something that you were searching for  
09:22 10 because if you went to the authorities, let's say,  
11 and said here's the real killer and the evidence  
12 was that he didn't have a vehicle, that might be a  
13 problem?

14 A That's possible.

09:22 15 Q This is the statement I think the next day that  
16 you took from Linda Fisher and again this touches  
17 on the police report that I showed you when we  
18 started this morning, and she says:

19 "I was contacted again on this date --"

09:23 20 Now, this is March 11th and I think March 9th was  
21 a Friday, you interviewed Linda I think March  
22 10th, you took a statement from her, went and saw  
23 Cliff and Roy Pambrun and then I think on the  
24 11th, the next day, you went back to her and it  
09:23 25 says:



1 "According to Mrs. Milgaard, she was  
2 reviewing information in her files this  
3 morning and found a report documenting  
4 an interview that police had with Larry  
09:23 5 Fisher on February 5, 1969.

6 According to this report,  
7 police checked in the 300 block of  
8 Avenue O South at 6:49 a.m. and  
9 encountered Larry. He told them he  
09:23 10 worked at Masonry contractors --"

11 Etcetera, and then:

12 "According to the report, which was read  
13 to me by Mrs. Milgaard, Larry further  
14 claimed that on the morning of January  
09:23 15 31, he had caught the bus at 6:30 a.m.  
16 at Avenue O and 20th Street."

17 And then let me just pause there. Would that be  
18 the police report I showed you earlier this  
19 morning, that it looks as though you went back to  
09:24 20 your records, found the police report about Larry  
21 Fisher, then went back to her and read this to  
22 her; is that correct?

23 A Yes, because I was just amazed when I had talked  
24 to her that day with the information that she said  
09:24 25 about him being home and yet I remembered that



1 report and so that's why we wanted to let her see  
2 that report to see that they had actually  
3 questioned him, and she said if she had known that  
4 they questioned him, she would have done something  
09:24 5 then.

6 Q And so I think she says that yes, because she  
7 thought -- let me just scroll down, it says:

8 "Until talking with Mrs.

9 Milgaard on this date, I was not aware  
09:24 10 that Larry had been questioned by  
11 police, nor was I aware that he had  
12 claimed to anyone that he went to work  
13 on the morning of January 31.

14 If I had been aware that Larry  
09:24 15 was claiming to police or anyone to have  
16 gone to work on the morning of January  
17 31, I would have been quite suspicious  
18 of his motive for lying. In view of the  
19 murder that occurred on that date, it is  
09:24 20 likely that I would have felt compelled  
21 to contact police."

22 And again, is that -- is it correct that you went  
23 back and said lookit, Larry talked to the police  
24 the Monday after the murder, said he was at work  
09:25 25 on the morning of the murder, did you know that?



1 A Yes.

2 Q Now, do you recall, and I don't think this is in  
3 any of the transcripts of your interviews with  
4 Linda Fisher, what she told the Inquiry is I think  
09:25 5 within days or a week after the murder the police  
6 came to her door and asked her if she had noticed  
7 anything unusual or suspicious and she said no and  
8 at that time I think she said she did not inform  
9 the police about the argument she had with Larry  
09:25 10 Fisher the morning of the murder. Do you recall  
11 discussing that at all with Linda Fisher?

12 A No, I don't, and I think I would have remembered  
13 that if she told us. It would have been noted  
14 somewhere.

09:25 15 Q If we could then go to, call up document 336785,  
16 and can you tell us, it looks as though from the  
17 tapes that you provided to us in around this time,  
18 I think around March -- March to June, 1990, it  
19 appears that you started to tape some of your  
09:26 20 phone calls with David Asper, is that right, as  
21 opposed to prior? Let me ask you this, when did  
22 you start taping your phone calls with Mr. Asper  
23 and why?

24 A I think it was probably after my contact with Jim  
09:27 25 McCloskey, maybe seeing what they did. I don't





1 know.

2 Q And so would that have been your contact in  
3 February of 1990? Maybe let's just go back. Do  
4 you remember why you started to -- what prompted  
09:27 5 you to start taping -- it appears that -- at least  
6 we don't have tapes of discussions you had with  
7 David Asper, Mr. Wolch from 1986, '87, '88, '89,  
8 it looks as though they start in the spring of  
9 1990, and so --

09:27 10 A And I would be working with Centurion at that  
11 time.

12 Q And so again --

13 A It's possible that because of my work there and  
14 seeing how they recorded, that -- I know that part  
09:27 15 of my reasoning was we started to get involved  
16 with the media too and it was very important  
17 because of the work, the spiritual work that I was  
18 doing that everything that I said be the truth and  
19 I wanted to be sure that whenever I quoted  
09:28 20 somebody as saying something or doing something,  
21 that I had something to back it up and that it  
22 would be the truth that was getting out there, if  
23 you will.

24 Q Right. Now, I think we saw in the early '80s when  
09:28 25 you interviewed witnesses, you taped the witness



1 interviews on a number of occasions as did Mr.  
2 Carlyle-Gordge and I think when we get into the  
3 1990 time period, let's leave witnesses aside for  
4 a moment, but it appears that you taped calls with  
09:28 5 David Asper and Hersh Wolch and as well the media  
6 people, Dan Lett, Paul Henderson and some other  
7 reporters; is that right?

8 A Yes. When I first started out with Peter, of  
9 course because of the, his relationship with the  
09:28 10 media and everything, he recorded everything and I  
11 think I started doing it at that time, but later,  
12 and it may have been -- it's very hard for me to  
13 pinpoint why I did it, it may have been as a  
14 result of my work with Centurion, seeing how  
09:29 15 professionally they did things and they recorded  
16 everything that I felt that I should, but I think  
17 it was often the media would ask me something and  
18 I would say I would get back to you on that and  
19 then I would go and I would listen to one of the  
09:29 20 tapes to make sure that I was saying the absolute  
21 truth.

22 Q Okay. And so again do you recall, did you inform  
23 the people that you were taping that you were  
24 taping or was this something you did sort of on  
09:29 25 your own?



1 A I don't know when David Asper and Hersh found out  
2 I was taping them, but I know that they were aware  
3 of it because I can remember at one point when I  
4 was writing my book and I was going to quote what  
09:30 5 David had said about Kim Campbell, he said  
6 "Joyce," and I said to him, "David, I have you on  
7 tape, remember," and he said, "Yes, I remember,"  
8 so that he couldn't dispute what I was saying that  
9 he said about Kim Campbell.

09:30 10 Q And so again when you were recording your  
11 conversations with Mr. Asper then, is it your view  
12 or belief that he was aware that you were taping  
13 your calls with him?

14 A I believe he was aware. When he became aware I  
09:30 15 cannot tell you, but I know that he certainly was  
16 aware.

17 Q And was it sometime after you had taped the calls  
18 or in the midst of this time period?

19 A Probably in the midst of it.

09:30 20 Q Did he express any concern to you about you  
21 recording, tape recording your calls with him?

22 A No. I don't think anyone ever told me I couldn't.  
23 I mean -- and I didn't, I wasn't doing it with a  
24 bad motive, I was doing it for personal use for  
09:31 25 remembering, you know, what was going on and



1           documenting the truth more than anything.

2           Q       And is it fair to say you wanted a record of what  
3                    had been discussed from time to time and the tapes  
4                    were a resource that you could go back to to see  
09:31 5                    what people said?

6           A       And refresh my memory.

7           Q       And again, just so we're -- I think you are saying  
8                    it wasn't for any lack of trust or anything like  
9                    that?

09:31 10          A       Oh, no, I didn't distrust David or Hersh or  
11                    anything like that, I distrusted Joyce, I mean, it  
12                    was my memory that I wanted to be sure of, I  
13                    wanted to be sure that I had the facts right.

14          Q       And I think is it correct to say that you would go  
09:31 15                    back to not only the tapes that you had collected  
16                    in this time frame, the 1990s, but as well in the  
17                    early '80s, that your library of tapes, if I can  
18                    call it that, and transcripts, that you went back  
19                    to those frequently to refresh your memory about  
09:32 20                    what people said at what time?

21          A       Yes, I did.

22          Q       And so, for example, we are, I guess, 25 years  
23                    later from your first interviews with Ron Wilson  
24                    in January and April of 1981, so 25 years later  
09:32 25                    you have the tape of your phone call with him as



1           opposed to relying on your memory and his memory  
2           as to what was discussed between you?

3           A       Correct, and just even hearing those tapes takes  
4           me right back it those days.

09:32 5           Q       So this is a tape that I think involves David  
6           Asper, if we can go to page 336802, and this is  
7           where I think you are talking with David Asper, I  
8           can't recall who else, it might have been -- it  
9           says unidentified female, and there's just -- you  
09:33 10          are talking about -- and I think the date is May  
11          or June of 1990 and this relates to your meeting  
12          with Linda, and I think this is an unidentified  
13          female speaker, I think someone is asking you  
14          about how you got ahold of Linda.

09:33 15          A       Okay.

16          Q       And, "Because Bryan went with her." That was  
17          Bryan Wright. You say:

18                   "No, she never contacted me. Yeah.

19                   Like, you see, I went up there and I  
09:33 20          finally, through the school system found  
21          out where Tammy lived, and she'd been  
22          transferred to Calgary, but by a fluke I  
23          managed to find some old neighbours of  
24          this Linda Fisher's and then that led me  
09:33 25          out to where she lives in Cando,



1                   Saskatchewan, and then I went out to  
2                   Cando and took the statement from her  
3                   and that's when she told me about the  
4                   1980 business."

09:34 5           A           Uh-huh.

6           Q           And that would be the statement to the city police  
7                   in 1980; correct?

8           A           Yes.

9           Q           So it appears from this that Tammy was your  
09:34 10           initial source, that's who you tried to find first  
11                   to find Linda, was Tammy?

12          A           Yes.

13          Q           Then if we can go back to the full page, please.

14          A           Well, that's not entirely true. If you go back to  
09:34 15           that, I'm actually condensing that because I  
16                   didn't just go up to find Tammy, like, I went up  
17                   through the neighbourhood and did all sorts of  
18                   things, but I was being concise with this.

19          Q           Sure. Is it fair to say that finding Tammy or  
09:34 20           getting information about Tammy would help you  
21                   find Linda; is that fair?

22          A           Yes, absolutely.

23          Q           And so you were looking for Linda, but it appears  
24                   that Tammy was maybe your initial step or one of  
09:34 25           the early steps that you thought would help find



1 Linda, if you could find Tammy?

2 A Yeah, but I did go through a lot of steps previous  
3 in that area with the neighbourhood.

4 Q And then if we go back to the bottom of this page,  
09:35 5 sorry, and you start off:

6 "Well, yes. And, you see, even when I  
7 talked to Linda, okay, I couldn't  
8 remember about the report, and I went  
9 back through my tapes after I talked to  
09:35 10 her, so the first statement that I have  
11 from her, umm, we didn't get into that  
12 part. Well, because that was a name  
13 that the anonymous phone caller gave us.  
14 David Asper's office here got a phone  
09:35 15 and, an anonymous phone call, okay, from  
16 a caller who simply said "this is the  
17 name of the real killer", and gave the  
18 name, and said, "and this person knows  
19 about it", and so when I heard that name  
09:35 20 I knew that I heard it somewhere before,  
21 and I realized that this person lived  
22 near where Gail Miller -- well, or this  
23 person had access to Gail Miller, and  
24 I'd remembered the report."

09:36 25 "David Asper says you know all this.



1 Oh, I see. Okay. All right. So,  
2 really, it was on that basis I went out  
3 and I searched around until I found  
4 Tammy, and Tammy's history, and then  
09:36 5 went and found Linda. And when I talked  
6 to her she told me, umm, that they'd  
7 been to the police, and then after that  
8 I went back and I dug out the tapes that  
9 I had and that's when I discovered that  
09:36 10 Larry Fisher indeed had been interviewed  
11 by the police, so I went back and got a  
12 second statement from her telling her --  
13 and I told her, I said "you know, he was  
14 questioned by the police on the 5th of  
09:36 15 February", and I gave her that  
16 information, and she said "well, if I  
17 had known in February that he had been  
18 questioned by the police then, I would  
19 definitely have gone to the police at  
09:36 20 that time, because why would he be lying  
21 to say he'd gone to work when he  
22 didn't."

23 And again, you talk here about:

24 "... they took a police report from him  
09:36 25 and said he was on his way to work ..."





1 A Uh-huh.

2 Q You say:

3 "Now if they had followed up on that  
4 then, Dan ..."

09:36 5 So presumably this is Dan Lett that's part of  
6 this call as well?

7 A Yes, I would think so.

8 Q And then it goes on. I think -- so again, this  
9 would have been a discussion with Dan Lett and  
09:37 10 David Asper within a few months after your first  
11 encounter with Linda Fisher; correct?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And it would be accurate, what you state here  
14 would be accurate as to what happened?

09:37 15 A Yes.

16 Q 010050, this is a memorandum of Mr. Williams to  
17 his file March 12th, 1990, and so again March 9,  
18 10, 11 are the dates that you interview Linda  
19 Fisher, Cliff and Roy Pambrun, presumably you  
09:37 20 would have talked to Mr. Asper and related the  
21 results of your interviews to him; is that right?

22 A Yes, I would have.

23 Q And here is a report, what Mr. Williams is writing  
24 on his file, he's writing what David Asper told  
09:38 25 him about information about the Pambruns that I



1 think Mr. Asper got from you, and:

2 "Linda Fisher had two uncles Roy and  
3 Clifford. Larry Fisher habitually  
4 borrowed Clifford's car. That car  
09:38 5 apparently matched the description of a  
6 car that Miller's date observed outside  
7 Miller's residence when he took her home  
8 the night before she was killed. Roy is  
9 reported to have seen Larry burn a pair  
09:38 10 of new or nearly new workboots."

11 And again, would that be accurate as far as the  
12 information that you would have given to Mr.  
13 Asper as a result of your interview with Cliff  
14 and Roy Pambrun?

09:38 15 A Yes, it would.

16 Q And we didn't talk about the description of Cliff  
17 Pambrun's vehicle, but when we go through that  
18 interview on the make of the car and the colour,  
19 was it your --

09:38 20 A We were trying to tie it down with what one of the  
21 witnesses that was either a resident of the  
22 house or -- no, the one that brought Gail  
23 Miller --

24 Q Dennis Elliott?

09:39 25 A Dennis Elliott, that brought Gail Miller home and



1 saw that vehicle parked there with a man in it and  
2 he described him with the hair and all the rest of  
3 it.

4 Q And described the vehicle?

09:39 5 A Yes.

6 Q And again would it be fair to say in your  
7 discussions with Cliff Pambrun on March 10th,  
8 1990, you would be seeking to try and match his  
9 car or the car he had at the time with the  
09:39 10 description of the vehicle outside of Gail  
11 Miller's house?

12 A Absolutely.

13 Q And after the discussion with Mr. Pambrun, was  
14 that your view, that it was a similar car?

09:39 15 A I thought it was similar, yes.

16 Q And on the next page -- actually, no, go to  
17 045168, please. Did you at some point around this  
18 time become aware from Mr. Asper that both  
19 Federal Justice -- did you become aware that the  
09:40 20 RCMP were involved, became involved in the  
21 investigation of Larry Fisher and, in particular,  
22 a Rick Pearson?

23 A I don't recall that, but I must have. I believe  
24 that -- I don't think David Asper would have been  
09:40 25 talking to Pearson without talking to me and I can



1 vaguely remember him telling me what they were  
2 doing.

3 Q And --

4 A And I was telling them they are not doing it fast  
09:40 5 enough.

6 Q So again, if we talk, let's talk March to June,  
7 1990, that's the time frame we're dealing with  
8 now, at least in the initial investigation of  
9 Larry Fisher is it correct that you would have  
09:40 10 been aware that Federal Justice, Eugene Williams  
11 engaged the RCMP to do some investigative work  
12 with respect to the lead that Larry Fisher may be  
13 the perpetrator?

14 A Yes.

09:40 15 Q And did you ever talk to Rick Pearson of the RCMP  
16 during that time, do you have any recollection of  
17 any direct dealings?

18 A I believe I did talk to him at one point, but I  
19 can't remember about what.

09:41 20 Q Okay.

21 A I think it was something to do with my interview  
22 with Larry or about going up to interview Larry  
23 Fisher which I was thinking of doing, and I think  
24 that it was at that point that -- maybe that's  
09:41 25 where David Asper told me he doesn't want you



1 going up there.

2 Q So let's just take this in stages. You would have  
3 been aware around this time that the RCMP had an  
4 officer assigned to investigate Larry Fisher?

09:41 5 A Yes.

6 Q And that would include interviews of Larry Fisher,  
7 Linda Fisher and anybody else who would have  
8 relevant information, you would have been aware  
9 that they were out doing that investigation?

09:41 10 A Yes.

11 Q And did you become aware from Mr. Asper as well  
12 that the RCMP and Federal Justice did not want you  
13 to do anything that might impede that  
14 investigation and that they viewed your contacting  
09:42 15 witnesses, Linda Fisher and Larry Fisher, might  
16 impede their investigation?

17 A I believe I was aware of that, but, I mean, look  
18 at the time frame, look when we put the  
19 application in, look how we heard nothing back. I  
09:42 20 mean, when you get something like this you want to  
21 get out there, you want to get something done. My  
22 son is day after day in prison, I couldn't wait  
23 for these people.

24 Q And so again -- so you would have been aware of  
09:42 25 the desire of the authorities that you not go out



1 and do anything that might interfere with their  
2 investigation; is that correct?

3 A I was aware of that, but I discounted it because I  
4 figured it would take them another hundred years  
09:42 5 before they would get to it.

6 Q So you were aware of it, but said I'm not prepared  
7 to --

8 A To wait.

9 Q To wait. You'll go out and do whatever you --  
09:43 10 what you did, you would continue your  
11 investigation --

12 A Absolutely.

13 Q -- notwithstanding their request; is that right?

14 A That's correct.

09:43 15 Q And just back on the time frame, and we talked  
16 about this yesterday, is it fair to say, we're  
17 talking March of 1990, it had been 10 years since  
18 you had started your efforts; correct?

19 A Correct.

09:43 20 Q And when you talk about impatience, would that  
21 factor into it as well, the fact that it had been  
22 10 years since you started your quest?

23 A That's right, and it was, it had become my life,  
24 it was taking over every aspect of my life and I  
09:43 25 wanted it to end, I wanted to get my son out of



1 prison.

2 Q And again, you mentioned yesterday about the delay  
3 by the authorities and I think you said, if we  
4 just look at the time frames, end of December, '88  
09:43 5 is when the application was filed?

6 A That's right.

7 Q And you said okay, it had been 14 months and --

8 A And nothing.

9 Q And you were impatient?

09:44 10 A That's right.

11 Q But is it fair to say as well that your  
12 impatience, you were including the previous eight  
13 years as well?

14 A Oh, I'm sure I was.

09:44 15 Q And in fact probably the previous 20 years?

16 A Probably.

17 Q And so when we stop in March of 1990, if we go  
18 through the time factors, it would be 21 years,  
19 close to 21 years that David had been in jail?

09:44 20 A And I could see what -- it was destroying him.  
21 Every day, you know, as he would get excited about  
22 things and then we would have a down and then he  
23 went down and just seeing what, how it was tearing  
24 him up. It was tearing me up as his mom.

09:45 25 Q If -- and let's just go back. December, again,



1 December 28th, 1988 was the date you filed the  
2 application with the minister?

3 A Yes.

4 Q If in February of 1989, two months after the  
09:46 5 application had been filed, the same information  
6 came to your attention about Larry Fisher, would  
7 it be correct to say that you would have done the  
8 exact same thing?

9 A I honestly don't know. If we'd just put it in, I  
09:46 10 had so much hope with that application when it  
11 went in, I probably would not have. I don't know.

12 Q Okay.

13 A I can't honestly answer that. I think that I  
14 would want to know, but I think at that point I  
09:46 15 might have been prepared to let them do it, you  
16 know. That was early enough that I still had some  
17 faith in Canada's Justice system.

18 Q And so then a year later --

19 A Yeah.

09:46 20 Q -- what --

21 A It was gone.

22 Q -- what changed was that the delay, I think you  
23 told us yesterday the delay --

24 A Not getting any answers, I mean we never knew what  
09:46 25 they were doing, they weren't telling us.





1 Q Okay. And so if they had come back let's say in  
2 the latter part of let's say mid-1989, or the  
3 latter part of 1989, let's say November, and said  
4 "the minister hasn't made a decision yet but  
09:47 5 here's what we think about Ferris, we think it's  
6 wrong for the following reasons and it doesn't say  
7 what you think it says, and (b), here's what  
8 Deborah Hall says, and so both of those grounds we  
9 don't think have much, but the minister has got to  
09:47 10 make the decision, but just so you know, there are  
11 problems with the two grounds you put forward";  
12 would that have made a difference in your faith in  
13 the system, in other words --

14 A Oh, I think it would, but what it also would have  
09:47 15 done, it would have said to us "okay, they aren't  
16 seeing the big picture, they aren't going back to  
17 seeing the other evidence", and then we'd have  
18 gone back to all the various things that I've  
19 already gone over with you that were wrong with  
09:48 20 the picture.

21 Q So if they would have come back and said "Ferris  
22 is a problem, Deborah Hall is a problem", you  
23 would have then said "okay, well here's some  
24 better stuff"?

09:48 25 A Yes.



1 Q And what would the 'better stuff' be?

2 A Well I'd go back over all of the time frames, and  
3 everything like that, that we knew about. I would  
4 have really gone back over the impossibility of it  
09:48 5 happening the way Nichol John had testified that  
6 it had happened, and I probably would have said to  
7 them "go back and see what the jury saw that day,  
8 because what they saw influenced them, Nichol John  
9 really influenced them". And then, then I would  
09:48 10 have gone on to say, "you know, it doesn't fit,  
11 the time frame, where David was at the time", you  
12 know, we would have gone through all of those.

13 Q If we could just go back, and again just on the  
14 time frame, but let's say in December of  
09:49 15 '89/January of 1990, that time frame, the minister  
16 had replied to your application and rejected it  
17 saying "the two grounds you put forward in your  
18 materials, being Dr. Ferris' report and the  
19 Deborah Hall affidavit, we've investigated both  
09:49 20 and here's why Dr. Ferris' report doesn't assist  
21 you, and here's what Deborah Hall told our  
22 investigator, in fact that it in some respects  
23 corroborates Melnyk and Lapchuk, and therefore the  
24 two grounds you've put forward don't get us  
09:49 25 anywhere, therefore your application is rejected,



1 and if you've got other information you can bring  
2 another application if and when you choose to do  
3 so"; if they would have done that and the Fisher  
4 information came to light in February of 1980,  
09:49 5 what would you have done, would you have gone back  
6 to -- would you have done anything different,  
7 would you have gone back to Federal Justice and  
8 said "okay", --

9 A No, no, I would not have gone back.

09:50 10 Q And why not?

11 A When you put that it way, I can see that I  
12 wouldn't have gone back, because I didn't -- I  
13 wouldn't have trusted them, if they had come  
14 forward and said they discounted this, because of  
09:50 15 our strong belief that the Ferris report did say  
16 that David could not have been the person that did  
17 this.

18 Q Okay.

19 A And Ferris' report, don't forget, Ferris' report  
09:50 20 didn't just say 'because of the antigens' or  
21 'based on the blood' or any of those things, it  
22 also went into quite detailed report about how  
23 long it would have taken for that activity to have  
24 taken place, and with -- and dealt with the time  
09:51 25 frame, and where David was seen, and all the rest



1 of it, and that he couldn't have had time to do  
2 that.

3 Q Okay. And again, just so that I'm clear on this  
4 point, the -- is it fair to say that in February  
09:51 5 of 1990, when Mr. Wolch became aware of this Larry  
6 Fisher information, that at that time, unless  
7 Federal Justice had previously replied to you  
8 favourably, in other words said, "okay, we are, we  
9 agree with you on Ferris or Deborah Hall", you  
09:51 10 wouldn't -- if they'd -- if they'd come back  
11 negative on those points, would that -- is it fair  
12 to say you would have said "okay, I don't trust  
13 them", and you would have gone and done the Fisher  
14 investigation much as you did; is that fair?

09:51 15 A That's probably fair.

16 Q All right. And again, just back on the Ferris and  
17 Deborah Hall information, when -- if the  
18 application came back saying -- if the response  
19 had been to the effect not that, or that the  
09:52 20 response had been "lookit, the information that  
21 you provided as part of your application we've  
22 checked, we've checked into Hall, we've checked  
23 into Ferris, and neither of those cause us to  
24 think that a miscarriage of justice has occurred,  
09:52 25 there may be other information out there but we've



1           only looked at what you have given to us, in other  
2           words we've looked at the grounds of your  
3           application", would that have changed matters?

4           A        I don't know. You see, you have to be back there,  
09:52 5           you have to be there at the time, you have to be  
6           there when you hear how they treated the  
7           witnesses, like, you have to be there sitting with  
8           the information that I was getting back about  
9           Eugene Williams and how he had treated Debbie  
09:52 10          Hall, how he had treated Linda Fisher, and that  
11          they had been treated as adverse witnesses. I  
12          didn't feel they were giving us a fair shake.

13          Q        And so that, Deborah Hall would be November of  
14          '89, I think Linda Fisher was in March of '90; so,  
09:53 15          again, that would have been a factor as well in  
16          your mind about --

17          A        Absolutely.

18          Q        -- what you would have done? Okay. And do you  
19          remember when, would you have talked to Deborah  
09:53 20          Hall -- and I'm sorry, I may have covered this  
21          yesterday -- you had talked to Deborah Hall, you  
22          told us, at some time around her interview; is  
23          that right?

24          A        Yes, I'm sure I did.

09:53 25          Q        And do you have any recollection of what she told



1           you about her interview with Eugene Williams and  
2           what concerns she had? I know that David Asper, I  
3           think, talked to her and had some information, but  
4           do you remember her --

09:53 5       A       Oh, she --

6       Q       -- relating to you?

7       A       Oh, I do, I do.

8       Q       And what do you remember?

9       A       And she was so upset with the way they -- and she  
09:53 10       said that "the way he talked to me" and she said  
11       she felt she was being grilled, it -- she was  
12       really unhappy with the way she was being treated.

13       Q       And, again, this would have been around, shortly  
14       after the time of her interview?

09:53 15       A       Yeah, and he was making her feel like a criminal,  
16       and that this is why it upset me, if he was out  
17       making them feel that they were doing something  
18       wrong when they were coming forward.

19       Q       Oh, I understand your microphone is not working  
09:54 20       and we need to take a short break to fix it, is  
21       that right? Or you'll have to yell.

22       A       I'll bring it over closer, if you will.

23       Q       I think if we could just take a break, is that --

24                   COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yeah.

09:54 25                   MR. HODSON: Yeah, if we could just take a



1           few minutes.

2                   (Adjourned at 9:54 a.m.)

3                   (Reconvened at 10:15 a.m.)

4       BY MR. HODSON:

10:15 5       Q       045168, please. And this is a memo again, just  
6           March 13th, 1990 -- and I appreciate that this  
7           isn't your memo, this is Mr. Williams -- but it  
8           details what -- his discussions with Mr. Asper.  
9           And so this would be, again, a couple of days  
10:15 10          after your first interviews with Linda Fisher and  
11          the Pambruns. And Asper, the memo says Asper led  
12          him to believe that he had just left with you, led  
13          me:

14                   "... to believe that as a result of his  
10:16 15          conversation with Mrs. Milgaard, she  
16          would refrain from attempting to  
17          interview the individuals who are  
18          connected with the Larry Fisher  
19          allegations."

10:16 20       Now what Mr. Asper told us is that that was the  
21       case, but -- and I think, and I'm paraphrasing --  
22       but he knew that you were investigating anyway;  
23       is that correct? Can you tell us what, tell us  
24       what your recollection is of your discussions  
10:16 25       with Mr. Asper, what he told you about whether



1           you should or shouldn't be investigating on your  
2           own and what -- what you told him and what you  
3           did?

4           A       Well he told, he told me that they didn't, that  
10:16 5           Justice Department didn't feel that I should be  
6           involved in it and I should not be in the  
7           interviews, and that he had told him that he would  
8           ask me to refrain, and I said "well, you have  
9           asked me". But I do remember telling him, in no  
10:17 10          uncertain terms, that I'd waited too long to have  
11          something like this just sit for another year,  
12          because I couldn't see them getting on it or doing  
13          anything fast, because they had never done  
14          anything fast.

10:17 15          Q       Okay.

16          A       And I was prepared to continue my work.

17          Q       And is it correct to say that your efforts to  
18          investigate Larry Fisher didn't change after this  
19          discussion with Mr. Asper and after learning that  
10:17 20          Federal Justice and the RCMP didn't want you to do  
21          that; is that correct?

22          A       No, because I didn't think they were going to do  
23          anything anyhow.

24          Q       Right, yeah. But just the question is that you  
10:17 25          didn't, you didn't slow down or change, you did





1           what you were doing regardless of what they said;  
2           is that correct?

3       A       I continued.

4       Q       Yeah. And did you tell Mr. Asper that you would  
10:18 5           continue, or that -- what did you tell him about  
6           what you were gonna do?

7       A       I told him "you can tell them that you've told me  
8           to refrain".

9       Q       Okay.

10:18 10      A       I remember being explicit about that.

11      Q       And did you then, thereafter, continue to  
12           investigate --

13      A       I did.

14      Q       -- the matter? And did you involve Mr. Asper and  
10:18 15           Mr. Wolch, then, in what you were doing at that  
16           stage?

17      A       Yes, I did.

18      Q       Kept them up to date on the --

19      A       Yes, I did.

10:18 20      Q       Now there's some reference here about the radio  
21           station and Bud, and there's some later documents  
22           that imply or suggest that there were further  
23           discussions with this Bud or Sidney Wilson, and my  
24           understanding at least of the evidence we've heard  
10:18 25           in the Commission and from Mr. LaFreniere himself,



1           that other than the one call to Mr. Wolch there  
2           was no other communications with him and anybody  
3           by you or Mr. Asper or anybody else; is that  
4           correct?

10:18 5       A       But I remember calling the, I remember calling the  
6           radio station. I know that we put a message out  
7           there for Bud, I can remember following up on that  
8           part, asking him to call in and trying to -- I can  
9           even remember thinking that maybe he worked at the  
10:19 10       radio station, or something, and checking that out  
11           to see if they had any Buds there. So there was  
12           some follow-up work done on it, but I can't tell  
13           you specifically, because it didn't result in  
14           anything so I have nothing, sort of, on record  
10:19 15       except a memory of making those inquiries.

16       Q       And so you never talked to him further, you tried  
17           to found out who he was and --

18       A       But couldn't.

19       Q       -- tried to reach him, but couldn't. If we can go  
10:19 20           to 056743, which is Rick Pearson's lengthy  
21           continuation report, and go to page 056750.  
22           Again, just on Pearson, what is your recollection,  
23           what did you know about his activity and what he  
24           was doing, Rick Pearson?

10:20 25       A       I vaguely remember David talking about him and



1 feeling that he was doing a good job and that they  
2 had established some kind of a rapport.

3 Q This is David Asper now?

4 A Yeah. But I, I don't know that I would have been  
10:20 5 filled in with all of, all that I have seen here  
6 of Pearson's reports.

7 Q When you say "all that I have seen here" you're  
8 talking about what you have heard in the Inquiry  
9 --

10:20 10 A Yes, --

11 Q -- and --

12 A -- that he's done, yes.

13 Q And so at this time, I think other than one call  
14 with Sergeant Pearson or one contact with Sergeant  
10:20 15 Pearson you think you may have had, would it be  
16 correct to say that your information as to what  
17 Sergeant Pearson was doing at this time would have  
18 come through Mr. Asper?

19 A Yes.

10:21 20 Q And would you and Mr. Asper have frequent contact,  
21 then, about what he had learned, what you had  
22 learned, for example?

23 A I think we -- I honestly believe that David and  
24 Hersh shared every bit of information with me, I  
10:21 25 could be wrong, --



1 Q No --

2 A -- but I think that he probably would have shared  
3 whatever he was getting.

4 Q No, I'm sorry, I didn't ask the question very  
10:21 5 well. If it correct to say that if Mr. Asper  
6 learned something from Sergeant Pearson on a given  
7 day, or they had -- would it be the normal course  
8 that he would talk to you, you'd be in frequent  
9 contact?

10:21 10 A Oh, absolutely.

11 Q And so that there would be no reason during this  
12 time frame, certainly with Mr. Fisher, for the two  
13 of you not to be exchanging information back and  
14 forth about what each were learning, you and Mr.  
10:21 15 Asper?

16 A Yes, we were.

17 Q And did the fact that Rick Pearson and the RCMP  
18 was involved in the investigation and the fact  
19 that, I think according to David Asper, that he  
10:22 20 had a good rapport with him or he was doing a good  
21 job, I think was your words; is that right?

22 A I think he had that feeling.

23 Q Yeah. Did that, in any way, change your view  
24 about doing your own investigation of Larry  
10:22 25 Fisher?



1 A No. I kept on going.

2 Q And, here, this is a note of a -- I think this is  
3 the first discussion, and it's sometime in March  
4 of 1990, between David Asper and Rick Pearson, and  
10:22 5 it's around, I think, the time that Mr. Asper may  
6 have talked to you about Federal Justice's  
7 concerns about you doing your own investigation,  
8 and what Mr. Pearson notes is that:

9 "Mr. Asper explained that he had been to  
10:22 10 Saskatoon within the past couple of days  
11 to see Joyce Milgaard, to tell her to  
12 let authorities investigate the new  
13 evidence, and to share her information  
14 with everyone. Asper was expressing  
10:22 15 concern that Mrs. Milgaard has become  
16 very mistrusting of everyone and has  
17 become somewhat of an independent  
18 investigator, and is reluctant to turn  
19 information over to even her lawyers.  
10:23 20 She is particularly mistrusting of the  
21 Saskatoon City Police, and in fact  
22 believes there is a cover-up conspiracy  
23 by them regarding her son's murder  
24 charge."

10:23 25 Now this is Mr. Pearson's note of what Mr. Asper



1           was telling him about what Mr. Asper got from  
2           you, and would this be accurate -- considering  
3           you're the initial source -- but does this  
4           accurately capture what you thought and what you  
10:23 5           would have told and discussed with Mr. Asper at  
6           the time?

7       A       I think that's probably very accurate.

8       Q       And so Mr. Asper did tell you, "lookit, let the  
9           authorities investigate"; correct?

10:23 10      A       Yes.

11      Q       And what about sharing information, did you --  
12           what discussion, if any, did you have with Mr.  
13           Asper about you were gonna go do your own  
14           investigation, about sharing the information you  
10:23 15          uncovered with both Mr. Asper and with the  
16           authorities; what was your view, at the time, as  
17           to whether --

18      A       I wasn't -- I really didn't want to share with the  
19           authorities at all. I figured that, you know, I'd  
10:24 20          share it with Hersh and David but that I -- I  
21           honestly felt that we were gonna have to do this  
22           ourselves.

23      Q       And so, again, if you found out information that  
24           was helpful you did not want to give it to Federal  
10:24 25          Justice or the RCMP; is that correct?



1 A Well, I wouldn't say that. I mean if I found  
2 something that was helpful and felt that they  
3 would then run with it I would probably know, by  
4 giving it to David and Hersh, that it would get to  
10:24 5 them.

6 Q Let me --

7 A I mean I wouldn't be saying "don't you tell", I  
8 wouldn't be saying to David Asper, "don't you tell  
9 these guys this".

10:24 10 Q But let me put it a different way. Would you have  
11 concerns with sharing the fruits of your  
12 investigation with the authorities at this time,  
13 in other words every piece of information you had,  
14 saying "here you go RCMP, Federal Justice, the  
10:25 15 minute I get it I give it to you", would you --  
16 what were your concerns about sharing the  
17 information in that way?

18 A If they were doing a coverup job -- think of my  
19 position -- if they were doing a coverup job,  
10:25 20 which I thought they were doing, they were gonna  
21 try to cover this up, then any information that I  
22 would give, they would jump on and try to change  
23 it.

24 Q Okay. Let me just pause there.

10:25 25 A That's probably where I -- my thinking was at that



1 point. I'm trying to be very honest.

2 Q Sure, no, I appreciate that. So who would be the  
3 "they", when you say that they would be covering  
4 up, is that everybody?

10:25 5 A Well, I think it was mostly, my concern was  
6 Saskatoon Police. It possibly extended to the  
7 RCMP, I don't know, but certainly definitely the  
8 Saskatoon Police, Caldwell, everybody involved.

9 Q And what about Federal Justice; did you think at  
10:26 10 this time that they were part of an effort to  
11 cover up information that may assist?

12 A I don't -- I don't think I did. I think that I  
13 felt it was they weren't doing anything, and in  
14 the meantime all the others would be covering this  
10:26 15 up, so that if they ever finally did something the  
16 coverup would be in place and they wouldn't find  
17 out what had gone on.

18 Q So is it correct -- let me go down this path a bit  
19 further -- was it a concern of yours that lookit,  
10:26 20 if I find a piece of evidence that might be  
21 helpful to establish Larry Fisher's guilt and  
22 therefore David's innocence, that if I give that  
23 to the police, that somehow they're gonna go out  
24 and destroy that evidence, or somehow hinder my  
10:26 25 ability to use it later; is that what you are





1 saying?

2 A Yes, that's what I am saying.

3 Q And, for example, if you had gone out and found  
4 Larry Fisher's work records -- and I know that  
10:27 5 that wasn't the case -- was it -- would it be a  
6 concern that, lookit, I'm concerned that someone  
7 is going to go out and destroy them or hide them  
8 or do something sinister; is that -- was that your  
9 thinking at the time?

10:27 10 A My thinking was right there.

11 Q And so, therefore, that information, if you went  
12 out, you'd get it, you'd keep it, and you wouldn't  
13 share what you were doing with the authorities for  
14 fear that they would interfere with your  
10:27 15 investigation?

16 A Right. Because, don't forget, I had the example  
17 of the Saskatoon Police going down to Regina,  
18 where we trying to get information, and telling  
19 the witnesses "don't talk to Mrs. Milgaard". So  
10:27 20 obviously, in my mind, if they don't want -- there  
21 is something wrong, if they don't want me to talk  
22 to witnesses, they're trying to cover up  
23 something.

24 Q Is it correct to say that, at this time, you  
10:27 25 didn't trust anybody but yourself and your



1 counsel?

2 A Yeah, --

3 Q But as far as --

4 A -- probably.

10:28 5 Q As far as following up with Larry Fisher, at this  
6 stage you did not trust anybody to follow this up  
7 other than yourself, is that correct?

8 A And McCloskey.

9 Q And your counsel?

10:28 10 A Yeah, and McCloskey.

11 Q And I'm sorry, maybe let me rephrase that; other  
12 than your counsel and the people who were  
13 assisting you, --

14 A Yes, our team.

10:28 15 Q -- your team, you were not prepared to trust  
16 anybody but your team to do this work?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q And you felt that anybody outside of your team was  
19 involved in some way, or may be involved in some  
10:28 20 way, in a coverup of information, or may have some  
21 sinister motive not to get to the truth; is that  
22 correct?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q And, therefore, you would have gone down this  
10:28 25 path -- and just again, as far as sharing



1 information, and maybe I can read this part here  
2 again, it says that she is reluctant to share her  
3 information, to even turn it over to her lawyers.  
4 Again, can I read into that -- and you've said  
10:28 5 this is accurate -- that number one, you may not  
6 give everything to Mr. Wolch and Mr. Asper  
7 because, if you did, they may have to give it to  
8 the authorities; would that be a -- was that your  
9 thinking at the time?

10:29 10 A It could have been.

11 Q And --

12 A I can't think of any instant where that happened,  
13 but --

14 Q Or that at least --

10:29 15 A -- it could have.

16 Q And that, secondly, you would have to think  
17 carefully as to what information you would provide  
18 to the authorities, and if you had this fear that  
19 it might somehow be used to cover up or to impede  
10:29 20 your investigation, you wouldn't give it to them?

21 A That's right.

22 Q And did you have any concerns that if we have  
23 these two parallel investigations going, yours and  
24 the RCMP, that if they don't know what you uncover  
10:29 25 that might hinder their investigation, or was that



1 a concern of yours?

2 A It wasn't a concern of mine.

3 Q Or that what you might do in your investigation  
4 might somehow impede what they're trying to do,  
10:29 5 for example, was that a concern?

6 A I think I felt that I was there for the purpose of  
7 finding out the truth, and that I wouldn't be  
8 doing anything to circumvent the truth coming out,  
9 so I felt I had right on my side, if you will.

10:30 10 Q Let me put it this way; were you concerned at all  
11 that, in your seeking of the truth, that you might  
12 take a step that might preclude the authorities  
13 from getting the truth?

14 A No, I don't think that I had that in mind, I  
10:30 15 thought that they'd be able to follow up.

16 Q And for example we've heard from Sergeant Pearson,  
17 and we'll see it a bit later in the documents,  
18 that one of the concerns was that the publicizing  
19 of Larry Fisher's involvement may have impeded  
10:30 20 their ability to get some better evidence from  
21 him. And his evidence, I think, was quite candid,  
22 saying that "I can't say that I would have got  
23 anything", but one of the concerns that was  
24 expressed around this time or shortly after was  
10:31 25 that "having Mr. Fisher being aware that he is the



1 subject of an investigation and having it  
2 publicized might have a negative impact on our  
3 ability to get a confession from him or to get  
4 other evidence, things of that nature"?

10:31 5 A And I think we did hold back for some time on  
6 that, Mr. Hodson, as far as Larry Fisher was  
7 concerned.

8 Q What --

9 A We never gave his name out.

10:31 10 Q Right. And we'll go through the "publicize", and  
11 maybe that was a bad example, but just back on the  
12 -- is it correct, at this time, your concern was  
13 with your investigation and you were seeking the  
14 truth, and whatever steps you took, right was on  
10:31 15 your side and therefore --

16 A I couldn't do anything wrong.

17 Q -- couldn't do anything wrong? Okay. What about  
18 information the other way; did you expect that the  
19 RCMP, in their investigation, would be sort of  
10:32 20 sharing with you, through your counsel, what they  
21 were coming up with?

22 A I expected that they would.

23 Q And that that might be information that might  
24 assist you in what you were doing?

10:32 25 A Yes.



1 Q Now at this time you talk about your mistrust, I  
2 think, of the city police, the Saskatoon City  
3 Police, and a coverup. To your knowledge, at this  
4 time, were the Saskatoon City Police involved at  
10:32 5 all in investigating the Larry Fisher matter, or  
6 can you -- where -- why were you concerned that  
7 the city police, the Saskatoon City Police, might  
8 somehow become more involved at this point?

9 A Well, because they had been down, already had been  
10:32 10 down trying to keep me from -- people from talking  
11 to me.

12 Q Was it a case of police are police and whoever is  
13 out there, whether it be the RCMP or whoever, you  
14 had a certain mistrust of any police officer, or  
10:33 15 was it the specific --

16 A Well, no, it was the fact that they were actually  
17 out there saying don't talk to me, that that's  
18 what made me suspicious of the police officers.

19 Q So it stems back to what we've talked about in  
10:33 20 1981, that that was one of the factors that caused  
21 you to think "the city police may get involved at  
22 this stage and tell witnesses related to Larry  
23 Fisher not to talk to us"?

24 A Do exactly what they did before.

10:33 25 Q And when it talks here about 'cover-up



1 conspiracy', and we see this later in the  
2 documents, did you at this point think there was a  
3 coverup, or at what point did you think that, and  
4 what did you mean by a 'coverup' and a  
10:33 5 'conspiracy'?

6 A Well I think that because of my involvement with  
7 what, with the police, and the fact that we were  
8 -- had all this information that was surfacing to  
9 indicate that they really hadn't done their job, I  
10:34 10 thought there must be some reason that they were  
11 trying to cover it up. I remember the conspiracy  
12 theory went even farther, because when (V4)----  
13 (V4)--- came forward and I found out that she was  
14 related to the Attorney General, I immediately  
10:34 15 thought that -- got them involved in my mind.

16 Q That would be Mr. Romanow?

17 A Yes, that, eh, he must have known, because look, I  
18 mean that was in his family and that had happened.  
19 It --

10:35 20 Q I --

21 A I know when you look back at it now, it doesn't  
22 sound very logical, but you had to be there, you  
23 had to be out there beating the bushes and trying  
24 to find the information, and finding things and  
10:35 25 not having them all come together, and you think



1 "well, someone is trying to do something here,  
2 someone is covering up".

3 Q And is it fair to say at some point, and it looks  
4 certainly at this point, that in your mind,  
10:35 5 because of the frustration you had in getting a  
6 remedy for your son and some of the frustrations  
7 you've talked about about getting authorities to  
8 do anything, is it fair to say in your mind you  
9 concluded that, lookit, someone in the  
10:35 10 authorities, or some people, must have some --  
11 must have done deliberate wrong, otherwise I would  
12 be further ahead by now; is that --

13 A Yes, I think that was it.

14 Q And that because you had not -- I shouldn't say it  
10:36 15 that way -- because David had not been out of jail  
16 and the wrong been remedied, and here we are 1990,  
17 20 years later, and the frustrations you felt with  
18 authorities and what we've covered and what's  
19 happened and what didn't happen, that you  
10:36 20 started -- or that you did conclude that someone  
21 has done deliberate wrong here?

22 A Yes, I did.

23 Q And is it correct to say that pretty much  
24 everything you looked at as far as when new  
10:35 25 information came in, that that's one of the things





1           that you thought about saying, okay, here's some  
2           new information, the (V4)---- (V4)--- one might be  
3           a good example, that would it be fair to say one  
4           of your first thoughts is okay, well -- and I  
10:35 5           think it was her uncle was Roy Romanow?

6           A           Yes.

7           Q           And therefore something is nefarious here?

8           A           Uh-huh.

9           Q           Something is sinister because she's related to the  
10:35 10           Attorney General; therefore, maybe that's where  
11           there's some deliberate wrong?

12          A           Uh-huh.

13          Q           And is that correct?

14          A           That's correct.

10:35 15          Q           And instead of, or I shouldn't say -- and so at  
16           this time frame then, 1990, would it be fair to  
17           say that that would be your mindset, that lookit,  
18           everything that happens, I'm looking at it with an  
19           eye that says someone is out to deliberately do  
10:36 20           wrong and deliberately cover up a wrong?

21          A           That's right, and I wanted to find out who those  
22           people were.

23          Q           And again just so that we're clear, what got you  
24           to that point -- I think you told us in 1980 you  
10:36 25           maybe didn't think that; is that correct?



1 A That's correct.

2 Q By 1990 10 years had passed and you had been up  
3 and down so many, I think you called them peaks  
4 and valleys, and at that point your thinking had  
10:36 5 changed and said okay, because I have not got a  
6 remedy yet, someone must have done something  
7 wrong, so that what happened in that 10 year  
8 period caused you to change your thinking; is that  
9 correct?

10:36 10 A I would say so, yes.

11 Q And that in fact would it be fair to say that even  
12 over time, even after 1990, as authority figures  
13 would deal unfavourable with a position or an  
14 issue, you would ascribe a sinister motive to  
10:37 15 that; is that correct?

16 A Yes, I would.

17 Q And so, for example, let's talk about Dr. Ferris,  
18 and we'll deal with him a bit later, and we've  
19 heard all kinds of evidence on that, but is it  
10:37 20 correct to say that when justice comes back and  
21 says we don't agree with your assessment of the  
22 value of Dr. Ferris' opinion, your reaction would  
23 be to say, okay, but you are biased, you are  
24 covering up, you are doing something wrong, you  
10:37 25 are a prosecutor and the various things, that



1           would be your thinking that says okay, I discount  
2           what you are telling me, the merits of what you  
3           are telling me because I don't trust you; is that  
4           correct?

10:37 5       A       That's right, and I felt that we had merits and  
6           that the case should be re-opened. The thing was  
7           we never asked, I never asked anyone to believe or  
8           to say that David was innocent, all I asked them  
9           was to give him a new trial, to open up the case  
10:38 10       to give him a chance --

11       Q       Right.

12       A       -- to prove his innocence, I never asked people to  
13           say he was innocent, so the people came to help  
14           us, that was one of the things I said, I'm not  
10:38 15       asking you to believe that he's innocent, I'm  
16           asking you to look at all these facts and -- we  
17           had a fact sheet and I haven't seen it I don't  
18           think.

19       Q       I'm getting there.

10:38 20       A       And that pointed that out in particular, and then  
21           I would list all the facts of the case to them.

22       Q       Just back on this point about when, and I plan on  
23           covering this again when I deal with Kim  
24           Campbell's February 27th, 1991 letter, but is it  
10:38 25       correct to say that by this stage, 1990 and



1           onward, that when authorities would come back with  
2           substantive responses; in other words, saying  
3           okay, you know, the motel room thing, we disagree  
4           with your position and here's why, that is it  
10:39 5           correct to say --

6           A       They didn't come back to us with that I don't  
7           think until the decision.

8           Q       Right, the decision, but when they would come back  
9           with a substantive position saying we disagree  
10:39 10           with this piece of evidence or this theory and  
11           here's why, would it be correct to say that you  
12           didn't -- umm, that your view of their position  
13           was coloured so that maybe you didn't look at the  
14           merits of what they were saying, you were saying,  
10:39 15           well, because it comes from you, authority, and  
16           because I don't trust you it's got to be wrong, as  
17           opposed to looking at what they are saying and  
18           saying, okay, well, they might be right on this  
19           point -- they are wrong on the overall picture,  
10:39 20           but they might be right on this point, and what  
21           I'm trying to understand is did you simply say  
22           lookit, because I don't trust you, everything you  
23           say and come back to me I'm not prepared to  
24           accept?

10:39 25           A       I would say I was partway in between.



1 Q And so a very skeptical eye as to what -- you  
2 might look at it --

3 A Yes.

4 Q -- but the fact of who was saying it, you would  
10:40 5 have a skeptical eye?

6 A Absolutely.

7 Q Go to 178850, please, and this is a memo March  
8 15th of '90 from David Asper to Hersh Wolch and  
9 this has the, I think the statements of Linda  
10:40 10 Fisher and the Pambruns, and David Asper says to  
11 Hersh:

12 "She insists that the statement not be  
13 forwarded to Fisher until she gets  
14 answers to the follow questions:"

10:40 15 And I think that probably should be Williams. I  
16 appreciate this isn't your memo, but maybe we'll  
17 just go through, and what it looks like is you've  
18 given your lawyers the Linda Fisher statements,  
19 but saying don't give them to authorities until  
10:40 20 you find out:

21 "1) Whether Fisher is right-handed.  
22 Evidence at the trial indicated that the  
23 assailant was probably right-handed."

24 And:

10:41 25 "2) Whether Fisher owned any knives."



1 And do you know if this would have been, are you  
2 able to help us out, whether that memo, and if  
3 it's not to be forwarded to Fisher, it would be  
4 either Linda or Larry, would there be any  
10:41 5 reason your lawyers would be sending to -- well,  
6 maybe it is to Linda. Are you able to help us  
7 out on this memo at all?

8 A No. I insisted on retaining the originals.

9 Q Would you have said --

10:41 10 A I think that that's incorrect, that it was  
11 forwarded -- it would have been forwarded to  
12 justice rather than to Fisher I think.

13 Q Yeah, okay. And so you think at this time you  
14 would have said lookit, don't give the Linda  
10:41 15 Fisher statements until you find out whether  
16 Fisher is right-handed?

17 A Right.

18 Q And the knives; is that correct?

19 A Yeah.

10:41 20 Q Now, here we see the bone-handled hunting knife  
21 come up and we talked about this a bit last week  
22 and --

23 A That was very prominent in our thinking at that  
24 time because it was, there was evidence given at  
10:42 25 trial that the wounds could have been inflicted by



1 two different knives, one of them being a -- they  
2 were consistent with that of a double-edged blade  
3 and that knife never played any role in the  
4 proceeding or, you know, in hindsight I'm going  
10:42 5 back to the trial and I'm remembering that that  
6 knife was found on a stringer and that --

7 Q Would -- is it correct that after learning from  
8 Linda Fisher what you told us yesterday, that the  
9 missing paring knife that she said went missing  
10:42 10 around the morning of Gail Miller's murder, that  
11 it did not match the maroon-handled paring knife,  
12 that having another knife or a second knife  
13 involved in the murder of Gail Miller and having  
14 that knife identified as belonging to Larry Fisher  
10:43 15 would assist you in establishing Larry Fisher as  
16 the perpetrator?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And did that motivate you on the second hunting  
19 knife to say okay, well, if the maroon-handled  
10:43 20 paring knife isn't his, you recalled that there  
21 was another knife found?

22 A And I thought maybe it was.

23 Q And so did the significance of this hunting knife  
24 increase after Linda Fisher told you that the  
10:43 25 missing paring knife was not the maroon-handled



1           paring knife?

2           A       That's right.

3           Q       And the dates, I mean, this would be shortly after  
4                   your interview with her. Now, this talks about  
10:43 5                   the:

6                   "... double-edged at the scene on the  
7                   morning that the body was discovered."

8           It says:

9                   "Mysteriously, this knife went missing  
10:43 10                  from a policeman's locker, and never  
11                  played any role in the proceedings."

12          A       Somewhere I understood that, that when we went  
13                   back to look for it or something, they said it had  
14                   no connection with it, and then they were going to  
10:44 15                  find it and it was missing from the policeman's  
16                  locker and that's all I remember, and it never  
17                  came up at trial.

18          Q       And where did you get the information about the  
19                   policeman's locker and it going missing from that  
10:44 20                  locker?

21          A       I have no idea, but that was my recollection of  
22                   it.

23          Q       And was it from a transcript or was it from  
24                   another source, and the reason I ask is we went  
10:44 25                  through this at the Inquiry with Mr. Oliver who





1 was the officer with Mr. Caldwell and Mr. Tallis.

2 A Uh-huh.

3 Q And the evidence to date seems to be that this  
4 hunting knife was found, it was kept, it was not  
10:44 5 tendered at the preliminary hearing, it was taken  
6 to the Queen's Bench trial, Mr. Caldwell didn't  
7 have any evidence to link it to the crime,  
8 Mr. Tallis did not want the knife to go in as  
9 evidence, and towards the conclusion of the trial  
10:44 10 Mr. Caldwell released it from the trial process  
11 because it wasn't needed as evidence and it was  
12 returned to Mr. Oliver and returned to the police  
13 station and became part of their stored exhibits  
14 and I think his evidence was at some point it  
10:45 15 either would have been destroyed or made part of  
16 their, I think he said --

17 A Well, it wasn't part of the exhibits.

18 Q It was not part of the exhibits at trial.

19 A No.

10:45 20 Q Right.

21 A So maybe the fact that we didn't find it in the  
22 exhibits, maybe that's what made me think it went  
23 missing, but somehow -- no, I had that thought  
24 that it -- and I mentioned it to David and I think  
10:45 25 that he also had some memory of that.



1 Q And so it's clear at this point that your thinking  
2 at the time is that there's something sinister  
3 about this second hunting knife?

4 A Uh-huh.

10:45 5 Q That it disappeared; is that correct?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And I think later on we'll see that your thinking  
8 is that they deliberately hid it?

9 A Yes.

10:45 10 Q And can you explain -- how did you come, arrive at  
11 that and how did that fit into what you were  
12 thinking about other matters?

13 A Well, I think because we got Linda, we've got  
14 someone that had a number of rapes, all of them  
10:46 15 were involved with knives and paring knives, and  
16 also the fact that Linda was saying this wasn't  
17 her knife, but remembering that it could, wounds  
18 could have been inflicted by a double-edged blade,  
19 I remember talking to her about him having other  
10:46 20 knives like hunting knives or things like that and  
21 that took me back to this and the fact that we  
22 never had it at the trial. I don't know where I  
23 got the idea that it was missing from a  
24 policeman's locker, whether I was told that or  
10:46 25 where that came up, but the fact that it wasn't in



1 the proceedings was very suspicious to me.

2 Q And on that point about suspicious, whether it was  
3 at this point or at a later point, did you come to  
4 the conclusion or did you have a theory that this  
10:47 5 missing hunting knife was the murder weapon that  
6 belonged to Larry Fisher and the police, the  
7 Crown, defence or whoever, some people  
8 deliberately got rid of --

9 A Got rid of it.

10:47 10 Q -- the knife to cover up the fact that Larry  
11 Fisher committed the crime and not David, was that  
12 your thinking?

13 A Yes, it was.

14 Q And that would have started around this time?

10:47 15 A Probably.

16 Q 004815, again there's just another --

17 A A look at the past.

18 Q Yeah, and again this is an article March 15th,  
19 1990, so around the same time as the memo, and  
10:48 20 this is where you and Mr. Asper are at the  
21 courthouse looking at exhibits, that's in the  
22 early part of the article. Scroll up, please, and  
23 they say here:

24 "There's also the question of a missing  
10:48 25 knife. A double-edged, bone-handled



1 knife with a 7.6 centimetre blade was  
2 found near Miller's body on the day of  
3 the murder. But it disappeared before  
4 the preliminary hearing. A second knife  
10:48 5 was found in the spring when the snow  
6 melted. It was single-edged."

7 A Now, it could be that I assumed since it wasn't at  
8 the preliminary hearing -- you know, I don't know  
9 much about criminal proceedings, it could be that  
10:48 10 I assumed that it must have disappeared or they  
11 would have had it there.

12 Q But again, this day it looks like you and your  
13 lawyer are at the courthouse looking at exhibits?

14 A Uh-huh.

10:48 15 Q Would that have been what you were looking for, is  
16 this knife?

17 A Yes, it would have been helpful if we could have  
18 found that knife and shown, presented it.

19 Q But the transcripts show the knife wasn't put in  
10:49 20 as an exhibit?

21 A That's right.

22 Q And so when it wasn't at the courthouse, I'm just  
23 trying to figure out where, whether this is where  
24 you concluded that okay, somehow it went missing,  
10:49 25 or what prompted the suspicions about the knife?



1 A I guess we thought that if it had been found,  
2 okay, that it would have been in with the  
3 exhibits.

4 Q And just again at this point, is it correct that  
10:49 5 over the following months and even years this  
6 double-edged knife became a prominent part of some  
7 of the allegations that you and your group were  
8 making about wrongdoing; is that correct?

9 A Absolutely.

10:49 10 Q And I think, and I've tried to go back and trace  
11 where this issue is first mentioned, it appears to  
12 be around here, there might have been one earlier  
13 mention about the knife, but would it be correct  
14 to say that amongst your group of people, the view  
10:50 15 of your advisors, your lawyers, Mr. Bruce,  
16 Henderson, McCloskey, etcetera, the thinking was,  
17 okay, the bone-handled, double-edged hunting knife  
18 mysteriously went missing during the course of the  
19 trial and we think it was used in the murder and  
10:50 20 there's something sinister about how this knife  
21 went missing, that was the thinking of your group?

22 A Yeah, and once we found Larry, we thought we had a  
23 connection with him and the knife that could be  
24 used.

10:50 25 Q 050467, this is a letter from Mr. Asper to Mr.



1 Williams, we've reviewed this before, and I take  
2 it this would be the source of your information at  
3 the time? Mr. Asper gave you the details of Larry  
4 Fisher's record when you went out to visit Linda  
10:51 5 Fisher?

6 A Correct.

7 Q And we see here he has them listed as Regina and  
8 Prince Albert and so that would have been your  
9 knowledge at the time from Mr. Asper, was that  
10:51 10 these rapes that Larry Fisher had committed had  
11 been committed in Regina; correct?

12 A Yes, that was my understanding.

13 Q And then scroll down, Mr. Asper would have also  
14 told you that the dates of those offences were as  
10:51 15 listed?

16 A That's correct.

17 Q '68 to 1970, those four dates?

18 A And the fact that they all surrounded Gail Miller  
19 was very significant to us.

10:51 20 Q But at this time you thought they were in Regina;  
21 correct?

22 A Yes, but the fact that --

23 Q Oh, I'm sorry.

24 A -- that she was in the middle of it was very  
10:52 25 interesting to us.



1 Q And at the time you learned that, was that of some  
2 significance in your thinking?

3 A Oh, yes.

4 Q And tell us how?

10:52 5 A Well, because he had done this before and he did  
6 it again.

7 Q Go to the next page.

8 A You know, that report that we got, I'm trying to  
9 think when we even got it, the report that we got  
10:52 10 from the RCMP where they -- and I think, I don't  
11 even think we got it at the Supreme Court, I think  
12 we got it when we came here, if we had had that  
13 information that the RCMP were talking about, do  
14 you remember the one where they went out and  
10:53 15 they --

16 Q You are thinking of the Rasmussen report or the --  
17 you are talking about the 1969 RCMP reports?

18 A Yeah, in May, I believe it was in May of 1969,  
19 those officers, they went out and they tied this  
10:53 20 whole thing together at that time and I can  
21 remember reading that report, and I don't think we  
22 got it until we got here because we didn't have it  
23 at the Supreme Court. If we had had it at the  
24 Supreme Court, I think that would have maybe  
10:53 25 changed the Supreme Court Justices' decision at



1           that time.

2           **Q**       And why do you say that?

3           **A**       Well, I would have to go back and look at the  
4           report, but it seemed to me that they were  
10:53 5           certainly pointing out the strong possibility that  
6           it was someone else that had committed the crime,  
7           it was the one that was attacking people in the  
8           area, and if we had had, if Tallis had had that  
9           information, if we had had that information, if  
10:54 10           they had had that information at the Supreme Court  
11           of Canada, so even the fact that some RCMP  
12           officers knew that was happening through all of  
13           this, it would have been nice if some of them had  
14           come forward and told us that they had all  
10:54 15           believed that way at one time.

16           **Q**       And we'll come back to this issue when we deal  
17           with the reference case about that point, but you  
18           are talking about the three RCMP reports, I think  
19           it was Rasmussens, the reports that were  
10:54 20           discovered I think in 1993 or that were part of  
21           the Flicker investigation, those are the reports  
22           you are talking about?

23           **A**       I think they are, and if we're going to go into  
24           them at another time --

10:54 25           **Q**       Sure.





1 A -- I would be more aware of what it says.

2 Q Sure. Here we're talking about, in the letter  
3 from Mr. Asper, again this is around the time of  
4 your visit to the courthouse:

10:55 5 "Secondly, we reviewed the transcript of  
6 the preliminary enquiry, and can confirm  
7 that a double-edged bone-handled  
8 hunting-type knife had been found at the  
9 scene of the crime shortly after police  
10:55 10 attended the scene. The police clearly  
11 believed that this knife might have had  
12 something to do with the crime, and the  
13 pathologist agreed that some of the  
14 wounds could have been inflicted with a  
10:55 15 double-edged blade. Oddly enough, this  
16 weapon was lost after being taken into  
17 police custody, and never played any  
18 part in the trial proceedings."

19 And again, this is Mr. Asper's letter, but this  
10:55 20 is consistent with what you thought at the time;  
21 is that right?

22 A Probably. It was just maybe we came to that  
23 conclusion.

24 Q So if you are at the courthouse, the knife is not  
10:55 25 there, because it's not in at trial; correct?



1 A Uh-huh.

2 Q Correct?

3 A Yes, correct.

4 Q And I think the evidence that we've -- if you look

10:55 5 at the preliminary hearing transcript there is

6 reference to the bone-handled, double-edged knife

7 and I think Mr. Tallis' evidence was to the

8 effect, and I stand to be corrected, but I think

9 his evidence was to the effect that at the

10:56 10 preliminary hearing he would be not as concerned

11 about having a second knife being brought out in

12 evidence because at the preliminary hearing

13 there's no jury there, so in other words, he might

14 use that to probe and find out what other knives,

10:56 15 but at the trial in front of the jury he did not

16 want the mention of another knife, the hunting

17 knife being mentioned, particularly when the

18 evidence of, I think, Nichol John, or one of the

19 witnesses was that they had observed that David

10:56 20 had a hunting knife or some type of knife on the

21 trip up, I think that was the evidence, so

22 Mr. Tallis said he did not want the knife even

23 brought up at trial?

24 A Yes.

10:56 25 Q And Mr. Caldwell didn't put it in because it



1           wasn't part of the Crown's case as being linked,  
2           so that was the evidence that we heard. Is it  
3           possible that when you and Mr. Asper looked at the  
4           preliminary hearing transcripts, saw the knife  
10:57 5           mentioned, went to the courthouse, looked,  
6           couldn't find the knife and then realized that it  
7           wasn't mentioned at the trial, that you concluded  
8           that it must have disappeared?

9           A       Yes, and don't forget we thought at that point in  
10:57 10          time Tallis was involved as well.

11          Q       Involved in what?

12          A       Setting David up.

13          Q       Okay. So at this time you would have thought,  
14                  okay, Tallis would have played some role in not  
10:57 15          having the knife, or somehow having the knife  
16                  disappear?

17          A       Yeah, yeah.

18          Q       If we can go to 155610, this is a letter from Mr.  
19                  Wolch to Mr. Williams, he sends in the statements  
10:58 20          of Linda Fisher. Now, you talk -- if we can just  
21                  scroll up. You mentioned earlier about not asking  
22                  anybody to conclude that David is innocent; is  
23                  that right?

24          A       Yes.

10:58 25          Q       Was that, though -- it appears, let me just read



1           you this:

2                   "As you can appreciate, being totally  
3                   satisfied as to David's innocence, the  
4                   matter becomes more frustrating as days  
10:58 5                   go on. We are confident that any  
6                   tribunal looking at this matter in its  
7                   totality will without question come to  
8                   the conclusion that David is innocent.  
9                   Whether they can conclude that Fisher is  
10:58 10                  guilty, only time will tell."

11                This issue of innocence, and I think you told us  
12                it was very important to you and to David that he  
13                be exonerated, but as far as what you were, what  
14                you thought you had to establish with justice or  
10:58 15                what you thought you had to establish to get the  
16                case re-opened, was there one constant test that  
17                you thought you had to meet or did it change, and  
18                we see different things in the documents,  
19                everything from lookit, we think we could raise a  
10:59 20                reasonable doubt today if we had another trial,  
21                to we think David is innocent and we've proven  
22                it, three, we've proven Larry Fisher is the  
23                killer, therefore David isn't, and I'm just  
24                wondering if you can comment on what your  
10:59 25                thinking was, you've talked about earlier, that



1           lookit, I'm not asking anybody to find him not  
2           innocent, I just want my day in court.

3           A       I think that that was something that I used with  
4           the volunteers or people that we talked with and  
10:59 5           everything like that, but I think that as he's  
6           pointing out in this letter, I was totally  
7           satisfied with, as to David's innocence and I was  
8           satisfied that if we could get any court looking  
9           at all the information that we found, that they  
11:00 10          would come to the conclusion that David was  
11          innocent as well, so we just wanted to be able to  
12          present our evidence to the court.

13          Q       Okay. And here Mr. Wolch says:

14                   "I have conveyed to Mrs. Milgaard our  
11:00 15                  need to put our trust in you and allow  
16                  you to carry out your duties. I am  
17                  confident you can appreciate, however,  
18                  that as the mother of a young man who  
19                  has been wrongfully incarcerated for 21  
11:00 20                  years and who has met numerous  
21                  obstacles, it is very difficult to  
22                  maintain faith in a justice system that  
23                  has let her family down so drastically.  
24                  I look forward to hearing from you and  
11:00 25                  we will continue to be of assistance



1                   wherever possible. Should your  
2                   investigator require any type of  
3                   information or assistance or whatever,  
4                   we will cooperate fully."

11:00 5                   And would that have been your position at the  
6                   time, this last part, about if they want  
7                   anything, would you have co-operated fully with  
8                   Sergeant Pearson and their investigator?

9           A           I think if they had asked us for information about  
11:01 10           something, yes.

11          Q           If they would have asked you to co-operate by not  
12                   taking any further steps on your own, would you  
13                   have done that?

14          A           No, I would not.

11:01 15          Q           And then as far as the comment about "difficult to  
16                   maintain faith in a justice system that has let  
17                   her family down," I think you told us earlier that  
18                   by this time you had lost faith in the --

19          A           I absolutely had.

11:01 20          Q           And 009476, this is your letter to Ms. Campbell of  
21                   March 20th, 1990, and you say:

22                               "I have spent the past 21 years enduring  
23                               the grief of my wrongly convicted son,  
24                               David Milgaard. I have tried for 21  
11:02 25                               years to be David's voice on the



1 "outside" and to get someone in a  
2 position of authority to lend a  
3 sympathetic ear. It seems that our  
4 system of justice is so cynical that no  
11:02 5 one is prepared to accept that mistakes  
6 can be and are made. The bias against a  
7 convicted person is most frustrating.

8 A well respected forensic  
9 pathologist has interpreted the evidence  
11:02 10 in my son's case and concluded that the  
11 same evidence used to convict him, in  
12 fact, disproves his guilt.

13 The facts of the case --"

14 Or let me just pause there. So at this point,  
11:02 15 would it be correct to say that the Dr. Ferris  
16 opinion is figuring significantly in your mind,  
17 that you are writing to the minister saying this  
18 evidence, amongst other things, concludes that  
19 he's not -- concludes that he's innocent?

11:03 20 A Yes.

21 Q And yet the system has doing nothing with it?

22 A That's correct.

23 Q And then you say:

24 "The facts of the case itself as  
11:03 25 presented to the jury provided my son



1 with an alibi, yet the Crown pressed on  
2 with evidence that police must have  
3 tailored to fit their theory of the  
4 murder."

11:03 5 And are you able to elaborate on what that would  
6 be referring to?

7 A "The facts of the case itself ..." oh, sure, the  
8 facts of the case itself as presented to the jury,  
9 I mean, they were presented with the Danchuks,  
11:03 10 they were presented with the hotel owner, they  
11 were presented with the fact that they saw car  
12 headlights, the caretaker from the church saw car  
13 headlights in the lane at the time when, and  
14 someone walking across in front of those  
11:04 15 headlights at the time when my son was, had an  
16 alibi, that he was out getting a map, so the facts  
17 of the case itself as presented to the jury  
18 provided my son with an alibi, yet the Crown  
19 pressed on with evidence that police must have  
11:04 20 tailored to fit their theory of the murder.

21 Q Again, and just on the alibi, that I guess --

22 A And I think that I meant "must have tailored" was  
23 how they changed the statement of the witnesses,  
24 that's where my thinking was there. But,  
11:06 25 definitely, the way we presented the case it did





1 provide my son with an alibi.

2 Q But would --

3 A He couldn't have been there.

4 Q -- would you agree that the jury heard that and  
11:06 5 thought otherwise, though, for whatever reasons?

6 A Well, after they heard Nichol John --

7 Q Right. And so --

8 A -- and the motel witnesses, it was pretty hard to  
9 believe.

11:06 10 Q And then down at the bottom, if you can scroll  
11 down, you say:

12 "The officials in your department who  
13 are handling this case have not given me  
14 the slightest glimmer of hope. It has  
11:06 15 been over a year now since my son's  
16 application was filed and there has been  
17 no indication or communication as to  
18 what has been done."

19 A Well, that's the thing. The Justice Department,  
11:06 20 if anything comes out of this Inquiry, if nothing  
21 else comes out of it, that they have some  
22 communication with the family and the person  
23 that's been convicted so that they know what's  
24 going on. There's got to be a sitting down, there  
11:06 25 has to be a talking to one another, because this



1 is it. I mean you go on over a year, and we filed  
2 the application and we're getting nothing back  
3 from them and it's so frustrating, and I don't  
4 want to see another family go through what we went  
11:07 5 through.

6 Q And again, we touched on this yesterday, I think  
7 despite the fact that your son David was writing,  
8 I think, directly to the minister on a few  
9 occasions --

11:07 10 A Yes.

11 Q -- the communication channel between the Milgaard  
12 family and the Department of Justice was through  
13 your lawyers, Mr. Asper and Mr. Wolch, and the  
14 Justice lawyers; --

11:07 15 A Right.

16 Q -- is that correct?

17 A But they weren't getting anything either.

18 Q And your expectation, then, was that there should  
19 have been communication --

11:07 20 A Absolutely.

21 Q -- to your lawyers as opposed to, for example, Mr.  
22 Williams calling you up directly, or the minister  
23 calling you or David directly. My understanding  
24 is you take issue -- what you are saying is,  
11:07 25 lookit, there was no communication, --



1 A Period.

2 Q -- period, you're not taking issue with the fact  
3 that the communication would be through your  
4 lawyers and not --

11:08 5 A Oh, no.

6 Q Right, okay.

7 A If there had been communication of any kind, that  
8 would have been great.

9 Q And then the next page you say:

11:08 10 "I cannot stand by in the hope that the  
11 system which condemned my son will  
12 secretly help to free him. I'm afraid I  
13 have lost faith in the Justice system."  
14 I want to talk about a couple things here. When  
11:08 15 you talk about:

16 "... the system which condemned my son  
17 will secretly help to free him."

18 is it correct to say that in your mind --

19 A They're not telling us anything --

20 Q Okay.

21 A -- so they're secretly --

22 Q No, I'll get to the "secretly" in a minute, but in  
23 your mind the federal Justice Department -- let me  
24 just back up. The Attorney General of  
11:08 25 Saskatchewan is the entity that prosecuted David



1 originally?

2 A Right.

3 Q And the application for a remedy under Section 690  
4 was made to the Federal Justice Minister?

11:08 5 A Correct.

6 Q So it would be federal and provincial government,  
7 and from a legal perspective I think the  
8 Provincial Attorney General prosecuted, the  
9 federal Justice Department is looking at the  
11:08 10 application for a remedy. Is it correct to say  
11 that, in your mind, that you didn't draw much of a  
12 distinction between whether it was federal or  
13 provincial, it was government and it was Justice,  
14 is that correct?

11:09 15 A That's correct.

16 Q And so the fact that it was a different level of  
17 government Justice lawyers that were looking at  
18 the application for mercy than the level of  
19 government of Justice lawyers that prosecuted your  
11:09 20 son, did that have any -- make any difference to  
21 you?

22 A No, they were all of the same ilk, they weren't  
23 doing anything.

24 Q And, but putting aside their conduct, was it your  
11:09 25 view that, lookit, to me they're one and the same;



1 was that your thinking, that --

2 A I think it probably was.

3 Q And then about the:

4 "... secretly help to free him ...";

11:09 5 what were you meaning by that?

6 A Because they hadn't been telling us anything, so  
7 that everything they were doing was done in  
8 secret, we didn't know what -- 'that I can't stand  
9 by in the hope that they're going to secretly try  
11:09 10 to help to free him', I think that I was maybe  
11 being pretty cynical.

12 Q And we had talked earlier, and Mr. Asper talked  
13 about this as well, that at some point in the  
14 course of your efforts to re-open there was a  
11:10 15 significant change in your thinking; correct? And  
16 I think "change", that's when Mr. Asper described  
17 it as a war and the gloves came off, and how you  
18 and your family and your lawyers started to deal  
19 differently with the media and --

11:10 20 A Absolutely.

21 Q -- things. Would it be correct to say that,  
22 looking at this letter, that by this date this  
23 would be a pivotal change, that -- and maybe it  
24 had been a bit prior to this -- but by this time  
11:10 25 you are telling Kim Campbell "I've lost faith in



1 the system"?

2 A Yes.

3 Q So can we conclude from this that at least by  
4 March 20th, 1990, and maybe sooner, Joyce Milgaard  
11:10 5 had said "I'm not prepared to rely on the justice  
6 system to correct the wrong, I'm gonna do it my  
7 own way"; is that --

8 A Yes, I think that's probably it.

9 Q And it may have been, it may have been -- I don't  
11:11 10 want to pin you down on dates -- it may have been  
11 something that grew to this point, but certainly  
12 by this point your thinking had changed and you, I  
13 think, have told us that you were not prepared to  
14 trust anybody --

11:11 15 A That's right.

16 Q -- in the system?

17 A And this, I did mention:

18 "I am not asking you to declare my son  
19 innocent ..."

11:11 20 Q Right. I think -- is that -- yes:

21 "I know that you have the power to help.  
22 I am not asking you to declare my son  
23 innocent, although he is, at this  
24 point."

11:11 25 And I think you're saying -- and you go on to say



1           you want him out on -- sorry:

2                   "... for my son to be released from  
3                   prison and for my lawyers to have the  
4                   opportunity to show just how imperfect  
11:11 5                   the system of justice operated with all  
6                   its human frailties."

7           And that would have been a concern at this time,  
8           you wanted David out of jail --

9           A       Right, --

11:11 10          Q       -- immediately?

11          A       -- absolutely, because he was in terrible shape.

12          Q       And then, just down at the bottom, you say:

13                   "My trust in the system has been so  
14                   shaken that I am forwarding the original  
11:12 15                   statements from my investigative work to  
16                   you directly with this letter."

17           And then, down at the bottom, the original  
18           statements of Linda Fisher and Cliff Pambrun are  
19           enclosed, and so those would be the, that's the  
11:12 20           investigative work that you are talking about  
21           there, --

22          A       Yes.

23          Q       -- the recent --

24          A       Yes.

11:12 25          Q       And did you have a concern that -- you knew that



1           your lawyers had sent them to Eugene Williams;  
2           correct?

3       A       Yes.

4       Q       And were you concerned that Kim Campbell might not  
5           get them?

6       A       I didn't know. At this point I was wondering, you  
7           know, who was holding what back and where.

8       Q       If we could go to 158345, please.

9       A       I think I also felt, if anyone read Linda Fisher's  
10           statement, that they would see, you know, how  
11           powerful it was, and that if she'd read that, that  
12           she might dig, herself, a little bit into it.

13       Q       This is a March 26th, 1990 letter from Mr. Asper  
14           to Mr. Henderson, and I think this is where Mr.  
15           Asper sends him a draft of his 1986 brief. When,  
16           when you and Mr. Henderson were finished with  
17           Linda Fisher and Cliff Pambrun on March 9th, 10th,  
18           11th, how was it left with Mr. Henderson as far as  
19           his further involvement, were you, -- did -- were  
20           you hoping that he would come back and help  
21           further with investigative work, or what was  
22           discussed or contemplated?

23       A       I don't remember. I think that we felt that we  
24           were there, in a sense. I don't know. I think we  
25           felt that we'd got all this information and that,





1 with that information, I don't think that we felt  
2 he'd ever have to come back.

3 Q What, after getting the Fisher information?

4 A Yes.

11:14 5 Q And so in May -- and we'll go through this in more  
6 detail -- but in May of 1990, a couple months  
7 later, he comes back to help with interviews of  
8 Cadrain, Wilson, and John; correct?

9 A Yes, correct.

11:14 10 Q And what prompted that?

11 A Because, still, nothing was being done. We felt  
12 that we had to have that information and we had to  
13 have it documented.

14 Q And so is it correct that in March, after you were  
11:14 15 done with Linda Fisher, at that time there wasn't  
16 a thought that, well, while we have Henderson here  
17 we should go out and interview Wilson, John and  
18 Cadrain, or did that come up later as a result of  
19 some later events?

11:14 20 A That came up later.

21 Q If we can go to 162388.

22 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: What number is  
23 that document, please?

24 MR. HODSON: Sorry, it's 158345.

11:14 25 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay.



1 BY MR. HODSON:

2 Q Now I had covered this letter, I think, with Mr.  
3 Asper. This is his letter of March 27th, 1990,  
4 and I'm not even sure of who Alan Aitken is, but  
11:15 5 it's a letter that expressed Mr. Asper's view at  
6 the time and I just want to get your comment on  
7 what your -- whether this will assist you in what  
8 your thinking was at the time. And he sends this  
9 fellow, and whether it was a reporter or somebody,  
11:15 10 but it's information about the case, and then Mr.  
11 Asper says:

12 "In brief, the Crown's case rested on  
13 three major foundations:",

14 and number:

11:15 15 "2) The evidence of experts who claimed  
16 that semen samples found at the  
17 scene of the crime belonged to David  
18 Milgaard;"

19 Was that your understanding, Mrs. Milgaard, of  
11:15 20 what you thought happened at trial or what your  
21 take was at trial, that there was evidence of  
22 experts who claimed that semen samples found at  
23 the scene of the crime belonged to David  
24 Milgaard?

11:15 25 A Yes.



1 Q And that was your -- based on your observations of  
2 at the trial --

3 A Yes.

4 Q -- and what you heard about the semens -- the  
11:15 5 semen samples?

6 A And I thought the jury believed that too.

7 Q And the next page, please. He then goes on to  
8 say:

9 "This has been a very long haul ...",

11:16 10 and:

11 "We believe that this case should be  
12 re-opened solely on the strength of the  
13 Ferris report.",

14 and then goes on to talk about some other

11:16 15 information that supports it. But is it correct,  
16 would this be your position at the time as well,  
17 that, number one, at trial the semen was linked  
18 to David Milgaard by evidence of experts; two,  
19 Dr. Ferris says it proves his innocence, as  
11:16 20 simple as that? And I appreciate, you've told us  
21 there's other facts and other information, --

22 A Uh-huh.

23 Q -- but the Ferris report you told us, in and of  
24 itself, you felt was sufficient to re-open the  
11:16 25 case?



1 A And it was the new, that they kept saying that we  
2 had to have something new, and this was new.

3 Q 157802. This is a letter from the minister's  
4 office to you, Mrs. Milgaard, March 30, 1990 just  
11:17 5 acknowledging receipt of an earlier letter, that  
6 was your March 3rd letter, the first one you wrote  
7 to the minister, --

8 A Yes.

9 Q -- and sort of indicating that it's been received.

11:17 10 And there is also examples of this that are on the  
11 record before the Commission where communications  
12 have been sent by David, by you, and by your  
13 lawyers to Federal Justice, either to the Minister  
14 or Justice lawyers where there would be a letter  
11:17 15 back acknowledging receipt, and I take it that  
16 your concern about getting feedback was beyond  
17 just "we've got it, we'll consider it, and you'll  
18 hear our result in due course". And I use this  
19 only as an example, I think --

11:18 20 A You're using this because you can't find anything  
21 else from them.

22 Q Well there is a few others between and I haven't  
23 brought them up, I did with Mr. Asper, but where  
24 Mr. Asper would correspond with Mr. Williams there  
11:18 25 was letters back acknowledging receipt of the



1 letters, so that --

2 A But that's about all they did.

3 Q Yeah. And so my only question is that, that when  
4 you say they were not responding, is it correct to  
11:18 5 say, "well, that they were not responding beyond  
6 acknowledging that they got our letters"?

7 A That's right.

8 Q Right. So that -- and, again, your concern would  
9 be beyond just saying "thanks for your letter",  
11:18 10 you wanted some more substantive feedback; is that  
11 correct?

12 A Absolutely.

13 Q So you were getting -- when I say "you", you and  
14 your lawyers and David -- were getting an  
11:18 15 acknowledgment that what you were sending them was  
16 received, but you weren't getting anything beyond  
17 that, and that was your concern?

18 A We weren't getting any acknowledgment that they  
19 were doing anything --

11:19 20 Q Okay.

21 A -- with the stuff that they were receiving.

22 Q Now 010045, and this happens to be the next  
23 document I was going to show you, but if you can  
24 go to the next page -- this isn't why I was  
11:19 25 bringing it up -- but on the next page you will



1 see this is Mr. Asper's letter and it appears that  
2 Mr. Williams has written back:

3 "I acknowledge and thank you for your  
4 letter ... which was transmitted ..."

11:19 5 So, again, this type of feedback, in your view,  
6 wasn't the feedback you were hoping to get, you  
7 wanted --

8 A That's correct.

9 Q Right, okay. And if we could go back to the  
11:19 10 previous page, so this is April 2, 1990, and at  
11 this point I think Mr. Asper is saying:

12 "We have no further submissions to make  
13 at this time on behalf of Mr. Milgaard."

14 And I think, earlier on in his letter, he says  
11:20 15 we're satisfied that Larry Fisher is the culprit.  
16 So would it be correct that at this time, April  
17 1990, once you get the Linda Fisher's statement,  
18 that at that point, from your group's  
19 perspective, you --

11:20 20 A We've done it.

21 Q -- you've done it, you've had enough information,  
22 you've presented it, and you had no further  
23 information to pursue?

24 A Correct.

11:20 25 Q And, as far as your information of Larry Fisher,



1           were you -- do you recall what further work, if  
2           any, you were doing at that time, or was the Linda  
3           Fisher statement, in your view, enough, enough  
4           supporting evidence?

11:20 5       A       Oh I think, with all that we had, we felt we had  
6           given them everything they needed.

7       Q       1 --

8       A       And that they would follow up on it.

9       Q       162387. This is a memo April 6th, 1990 where Mr.  
11:21 10       Asper talks to Jim McCloskey where he brought him  
11       up to date. Would it be correct that after Mr.  
12       Henderson -- and maybe even before -- became  
13       involved, that you and Mr. Asper would consult  
14       with Mr. McCloskey from time to time for advice,  
11:21 15       feedback on various matters by phone?

16       A       Oh, yes, I was constantly in touch with him on  
17       whatever I was doing.

18       Q       And Mr. Henderson told us that they did not take  
19       your son's case as a normal case sort of in their  
11:21 20       normal stream of cases; is that correct?

21       A       Yes, because Jim was strapped very thin and he  
22       didn't have a lot of resources or things like  
23       that, and there was so many cases in the States  
24       that he felt he just couldn't go out of the States  
11:21 25       and come to Canada.



1 Q And so would it be correct that it was a bit of an  
2 ad hoc relationship, that when you needed help you  
3 would go to him and he would try and give you some  
4 assistance from time to time?

11:22 5 A Yes, yes.

6 Q But it wasn't a situation where you gave him sort  
7 of "here's everything, here is all the  
8 transcripts, here's everything we've done, you go  
9 to work and do the type of investigation you would  
11:22 10 normally do if it was a case that Centurion  
11 handled from the outset"?

12 A No, they, they couldn't handle, they felt that  
13 they couldn't handle it in that manner, but he  
14 certainly stepped in and got up to the bat when it  
11:22 15 counted.

16 Q And so, here, he appears to tell Mr. Asper that:  
17 "He urged that we ...",  
18 being your group:  
19 "... not go public and start attacking  
11:22 20 the Department of Justice until giving  
21 them a fair opportunity to investigate.  
22 However, he said that if we could wait  
23 no longer, he would be prepared to come  
24 to Canada with Henderson and get  
11:22 25 involved."





1 And I think this related to the Fisher  
2 information. Do you recall these discussions,  
3 and what was your view at the time, and what was  
4 being discussed about 'going public and attacking  
11:22 5 the Department of Justice'?

6 A Well I felt that, I mean, here we had a situation  
7 where they have done their work for them and found  
8 the serial rapist that had committed the crime,  
9 and that they weren't doing anything with it  
11:23 10 either.

11 Q Let me just pause there. When you say "did their  
12 work" did you expect, when you filed the 690  
13 application, the first application, that they  
14 would go out and investigate other perpetrators,  
11:23 15 or go out and find information that might suggest  
16 someone else was the perpetrator?

17 A Yes, we did, I did.

18 Q And you thought, once you filed it, that they  
19 would then say "okay, we better --"

11:23 20 A I thought they would meet with us, I thought that  
21 they would hear everything we had, and that we'd  
22 have discussion back and forth. We thought that,  
23 you know, we'd sit down and talk with them,  
24 because there was just so much stuff to go over,  
11:23 25 to expect them to sit and read and read and read



1           this stuff would be very hard for anyone, but if  
2           you could sit down with them and say "there's this  
3           point, this point, this point and this point", and  
4           they -- that would bring them up to speed and then  
11:24 5           they could work from that area, but that never  
6           happened.

7           Q       But did you expect, though, that Federal Justice  
8           would send out investigators with the instructions  
9           "lookit, go out and see if someone else killed  
11:24 10           Gail Miller" or "go and start up another  
11           investigation about who might have been involved  
12           in the crime"?

13           A       I think that if they'd got to the point where they  
14           had come out and looked at what we had and knew  
11:24 15           that it couldn't have been him, they had access,  
16           didn't they, to that report we talked about, they  
17           had access to all of that information, so -- that  
18           we didn't have access to -- so if they had gone  
19           out and looked at that, those kind of things, and  
11:24 20           what the police were thinking at that time, that  
21           it was a serial rape -- you know, that it could be  
22           the same one that had raped other people in the  
23           area, if they'd gone back and looked at all of  
24           those things they would certainly have come up  
11:25 25           knowing there was enough to open up the case.



1 Q Okay. But, again, just -- I think I understand,  
2 you are saying that you expected them to go out  
3 and investigate absolutely everything, including  
4 trying to identify if someone else may have  
11:25 5 committed the crime?

6 A Yeah. I think we expected, once they saw the  
7 stuff that we had, that they would work with us,  
8 with my lawyers, in going through why they thought  
9 these different things were true, but there was no  
11:25 10 working together.

11 Q And so, again on this issue of going public, was  
12 there -- was there one school of thought in your  
13 group, if I can call it that, that said "lookit,  
14 let's let them investigate Larry Fisher and not go  
11:25 15 public and chastise them, let them do their work",  
16 and that appeared to be Mr. McCloskey's view, and  
17 saying "but, if you can't wait any longer, I'll  
18 come"?

19 A Yeah.

11:26 20 Q That --

21 A Well I had reached the stage where I wasn't  
22 prepared to wait any longer, it just seemed to me  
23 that I was being shattered by the experience of  
24 seeing my son being whittled away at this time,  
11:26 25 and just getting more down and more down and more



1 down, and I wanted to just get out there and fight  
2 back, and I thought that if we could somehow get  
3 all this stuff out to the public, that it would  
4 force the minister to act and do something about  
11:26 5 it.

6 Q And was there some thought in your group that  
7 said, "okay, one option is to let it run its  
8 course through the Justice Department  
9 investigation, and that that might result in a  
11:27 10 favourable reply", and the second group, being  
11 your group, being, "no, I think the best way" --  
12 and let me back up. Your remedy was to get David  
13 out of jail; correct?

14 A That's right.

11:27 15 Q And your thinking was the best and the quickest  
16 way to do that would be to go public, --

17 A Go public.

18 Q -- and when you 'go public' would be to criticize  
19 Justice, get the public on side, and throw  
11:27 20 everything out there --

21 A For people to see what the truth was and what we  
22 had.

23 Q And so your thinking was that that was the  
24 quickest and best way to get your son out of jail,  
11:27 25 would be to go the public route, as opposed to



1 staying --

2 A Behind closed doors.

3 Q -- staying, staying the course with the  
4 application that had been filed, and simply  
11:27 5 carrying on with -- and letting Justice do what  
6 they were doing or not doing, and what you were  
7 doing or not doing, but the legal path, if I can  
8 call it that; is that correct?

9 A Yes, that's correct.

11:28 10 Q And so it was your decision, or certainly your  
11 thinking at the time, "I'm not prepared to  
12 continue down the legal path, I don't think it's  
13 working"?

14 A Because it's not going anywhere.

11:28 15 Q Right, "so let's change course and let's go  
16 public"?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And let's talk about that. When you say "go  
19 public" what were you trying to do?

11:28 20 A I felt, at that point in time, we had to get not  
21 just the local papers interested, like it couldn't  
22 be the *StarPhoenix*, it couldn't be the *Winnipeg*  
23 *Free Press*, it had to be *The Globe and Mail*, it  
24 had to be the *Toronto Star*, it had to be eastern  
11:28 25 newspapers that were getting involved, it had to



1 go right across the country.

2 Q And were you looking at -- what were you hoping to  
3 achieve, what was the end gain here?

4 A Well, to show the information that we had and to  
11:29 5 get the public realizing that nothing was being  
6 done, and an innocent man is still sitting in  
7 prison.

8 Q And would that be so that the authorities, or the  
9 people who could change that, who could allow  
11:29 10 David to get out of jail, being the Justice  
11 Minister under 690, was the purpose then to  
12 influence her to respond favourably?

13 A Well, yes.

14 Q And so, again, going public would be --

11:29 15 A It would get us some answers, at least. We were  
16 getting nothing.

17 Q And so again, by going public, getting the media  
18 exposure, getting the public on side, you felt  
19 that that that would give you a more favourable  
11:29 20 and a more expeditious response from the minister  
21 to your Section 690 application; is that correct?

22 A Yes, that's correct.

23 Q And so that was your thinking around this time,  
24 March-April of 1990, that "let's change our

11:30 25 course, let's not rely upon the system", if I can



1 call it that, --

2 A Correct.

3 Q -- "to have this go through the minister, we're  
4 going to go public, we're going to get the media  
11:30 5 on side, we're going to try to get national media,  
6 and we're going to put everything out there"?

7 A Yes. And that's when I started going to all the  
8 politicians as well.

9 Q And when we talk about what was being put out  
11:30 10 there, I guess number one would be all the  
11 information you had gathered about the case;  
12 correct?

13 A Correct.

14 Q And that would be everything from theories,  
11:30 15 thoughts, suggestions, facts, evidence, every --  
16 you were prepared to put everything out there; is  
17 that correct?

18 A Yes, we were.

19 Q And --

11:30 20 A We held nothing back.

21 Q And so where something might be a theory, for  
22 example the bone-handled, the second hunting  
23 knife, I think you told us "yeah, that's what we  
24 thought, we were suspicious that there was  
11:30 25 something there", I take it you would have had no



1 concerns with going to the media saying, "lookit,  
2 here's what we think, we think that there is a  
3 second knife and we think it went mysteriously  
4 missing, we think something sinister has  
11:31 5 happened"?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And did you have any concerns about going public  
8 with what were theories, at the time, as opposed  
9 -- and --

11:31 10 A No, I think we did, we certainly put our theories  
11 forward --

12 Q Umm --

13 A -- to them.

14 Q And did you have any concerns that some of them  
11:31 15 were just theories as opposed to --

16 A We wanted them to test the theories, we wanted to  
17 get the interest alive enough that people would  
18 start going out. One thing, I didn't have the  
19 money to get out and research and do the things,  
11:31 20 once CBC got involved, once CTV got involved, once  
21 the newspapers got involved and I was able to get  
22 reporters and talk with them and go with them, I  
23 mean I went out to Saskatchewan with the  
24 reporters, I showed them what we got, I introduced  
11:32 25 them to people.





1 Q And so, again, am I correct that -- let's take the  
2 second hunting knife because we just talked about  
3 that as an example -- in going public with that to  
4 the media would one hope be that "here you go,  
11:32 5 maybe someone from the media can follow up" --

6 A Yes.

7 Q -- "and find out about this"?

8 A That's right, I had hoped to get them all digging,  
9 and that's really what happened because it was as  
11:32 10 a result of the CBC that we came up with the  
11 Larry, you know, all the information on Larry  
12 Fisher.

13 Q And, again, so one of the objectives was saying  
14 "here you go, media, here's a theory, here's some  
11:32 15 suspicion we have about this second hunting knife,  
16 we've already seen that it's been reported", --

17 A Go find it.

18 Q -- "there is a suspicion, go find it." Now, in  
19 addition to information, you would have also made  
11:32 20 public or made through the media -- you and Mr.  
21 Asper, Mr. Wolch and others -- allegations of  
22 wrongdoing against people; is that correct?

23 A Yes. And we have had our fact sheet --

24 Q Right.

11:33 25 A -- that you haven't got to yet.



1 Q And so, again, let's look at the hunting knife.  
2 The allegation, either express or implied, would  
3 be that the police committed some misconduct there  
4 by hiding the knife?

11:33 5 A Yes.

6 Q Mr. Caldwell committed some misconduct by hiding  
7 the knife or doing something; --

8 A Yes.

9 Q -- correct? And that Mr. Tallis may have had, may  
11:33 10 have had something to do with that as well?

11 A I don't know if that was on our fact sheet, I'd  
12 have to --

13 Q Okay. But in any event -- and, again, this is  
14 just an example -- you'd agree that a number of  
11:33 15 the theories or positions that you would have put  
16 out in the media would have involved a -- some  
17 notion of misconduct on the parts of various  
18 people?

19 A Yes.

11:33 20 Q Right? But primarily witnesses, police, Crown,  
21 government, that that's sort of the stuff that you  
22 put out there in the public domain would include  
23 allegations of misconduct?

24 A Yes.

11:33 25 Q And did you have any concerns about that, the fact



1           that these might be out in the public domain and  
2           may, may not be correct?

3           A       I think my concern was with my son, I think seeing  
4           what this was doing to him, what it was doing to  
11:34 5           my family. We were all just going -- I can't tell  
6           you how -- the pain and the frustration and the  
7           anger and -- and one of the things that I have  
8           tried so hard in my life as a Christian Scientist  
9           is to not let anger consume me and tear me up, but  
11:34 10          it was tearing me up at that point. I could see  
11          the demoralizing effect it was having on all of my  
12          family, it -- it was just something that we were  
13          all breaking down a little bit more and more at a  
14          time, and I just -- I'd reached the end of my  
11:35 15          rope.

16          Q       And, again, I think what Mr. Asper said -- and you  
17          heard his evidence, I think, on this point -- to  
18          the effect that at that point he, I think, chose  
19          David's liberty interest over anybody else's  
11:35 20          interest in being -- in having an allegation made  
21          against them in the public domain that they had  
22          done wrong, that they had done --

23          A       I think that I was right with him on that, believe  
24          me.

11:35 25          Q       And so if it was a case of -- let's take the knife



1 example. If it turned out, for example, that  
2 there wasn't anything nefarious or sinister about  
3 the second knife --

4 A Then I could apologize.

11:35 5 Q No, no, but in that sense, that if it turned out  
6 that that got in the public domain, and whether it  
7 was the police, the Crown, or whoever ended up  
8 being maligned in the media over that issue or  
9 felt maligned in the media over that issue, would  
11:35 10 your response be "well, that was the price that  
11 had to be paid for me to get my son out of jail";  
12 is that --

13 A Well, if we hadn't have got it out in the media,  
14 there is no way my son would have been out of  
11:36 15 jail.

16 Q And so, when you get it out into the media, would  
17 --

18 A It was getting all of those extra investigators,  
19 if you will, out there.

11:36 20 Q So the plus side would be you get some extra  
21 investigators, you pursue some of your theories a  
22 bit further, and perhaps you get some more  
23 information; would be one plus side?

24 A Yes.

11:36 25 Q Another plus would be that you might influence the



1 politicians?

2 A Absolutely.

3 Q There might be more plus sides. On the downside,  
4 one downside might be that what's put out in the  
11:36 5 media -- and I think Mr. Asper said once you go to  
6 the media you don't could control what they write  
7 -- but one downside would be that some information  
8 might get out there that might be unfavourable,  
9 may be unkind to people in the justice system or  
11:36 10 the justice system itself that may or may not be  
11 correct, but it would get out there and that might  
12 cause some harm; that would be a downside, is that  
13 right?

14 A I don't know that I deliberately went out with the  
11:35 15 thought of hurting anyone or doing damage to  
16 anyone, I think that I wouldn't do that, but I  
17 believed in what I found and the information that  
18 we were putting out there; otherwise, I wouldn't  
19 have put it forward.

11:36 20 Q No, and I appreciate -- I think what you are  
21 saying is everything you put out there you  
22 honestly believed --

23 A That's right.

24 Q -- either had a factual basis or was a theory that  
11:36 25 you believed in; is that right?



1 A That's correct.

2 Q And the media then would sometimes take these and  
3 perhaps pursue them further or put their own --

4 A -- spin on it.

11:36 5 Q Spin on them. And that sometimes by the time it  
6 ended up, and I think we've seen in some cases  
7 what gets reported once and by the third time  
8 sometimes has a little bit different connotation?

9 A Absolutely, one picks it up and one changes it and  
11:36 10 it goes on and on.

11 Q And I think, is it fair to say on the dog urine, I  
12 think it went from probable -- possible, probable,  
13 likely to it was dog urine in various media  
14 reports and that might be an example where a  
11:37 15 theory becomes a fact in the media?

16 A I think that's -- that's put it very well because  
17 I think that's what happened in many of the cases,  
18 and as David Asper pointed out, you have no way of  
19 controlling the media, they read all the different  
11:37 20 stories and then they add their new headlines and  
21 it just goes.

22 Q And again, did you have any concern that one  
23 downside in going this way might have a negative  
24 impact on the minister; in other words, that  
11:37 25 might -- did you have any concerns or discussion



1           that lookit, going this route might actually hurt  
2           our chances on the legal remedy?

3           A       No. I think that it was quite clear that we  
4           weren't going anywhere with the normal route and  
11:37 5           that something had to be done and this seemed to  
6           me to be the only way to do it.

7           Q       And so again I think what you are telling us is  
8           that at the time you didn't -- is it fair to say  
9           you didn't see any downsides to this approach or,  
11:38 10           if you did, they weren't at the top of your list  
11           of concerns that there might be some problems that  
12           come out of going this route?

13          A       I think that I probably didn't have the  
14           understanding that David Asper had about what  
11:38 15           would happen when you got involved in the media.  
16           I had no, absolutely no idea that it would  
17           escalate the way it did and the -- my phone just  
18           lit up, I mean, it was, I was working from five in  
19           the morning until one or two in the morning on  
11:38 20           dealing with media and people, it was incredible  
21           what happened at that time, and so -- and it was  
22           incredible to see the jumps and the leaps that  
23           they made.

24          Q       And what do you mean by that, incredible in the  
11:38 25           sense that --



1 A Well, just that what you were just saying about  
2 how their stories sort of changed from one to the  
3 other and they got more and more and more.

4 Q Did you have concerns that some of the information  
11:39 5 that had been regurgitating through the media that  
6 was becoming, that there may be a concern that  
7 some of that information may not be reliable and  
8 that if it's being relied upon, I mean, your  
9 purpose was to say lookit, minister, we're going  
11:39 10 to get everything out there, we want to put  
11 pressure on you to re-open this case, we've given  
12 you information on the legal route, we're now  
13 putting it in the public domain, and did you have  
14 any concerns that once it got out in the media,  
11:39 15 that by the time -- and obviously you wanted the  
16 politicians and the decision-makers and the  
17 Justice Department lawyers to read this  
18 information.

19 A Yes, we did.

11:39 20 Q And did you have any concerns that, again, I think  
21 you said lookit, I don't know, I didn't know, like  
22 Mr. Asper knew, about what would happen once we  
23 got into the media. Once you got into it, did you  
24 have concerns that lookit, this information in  
11:40 25 this type of forum we've lost a bit of control





1 over.

2 A Yes, I did in a sense feel that we lost a bit of  
3 control, but the upside of it was the story was  
4 getting out there and there was going to be  
11:40 5 pressure on the minister and that something would  
6 have to be done, they couldn't shove us away in  
7 the corner if all of Canada was seeing that  
8 something wrong had been done to David, they were  
9 going to have to deal with it, and the only way  
11:40 10 that I could see that they could deal with it  
11 would be to have them re-open the case.

12 Q And I think what Mr. Asper said, and I'm  
13 paraphrasing a bit, but what I took from what he  
14 said was that once we got this information out  
11:40 15 there, at some point it didn't matter so much  
16 whether or not it was accurate, I don't know if he  
17 said those words, but if it was sensational and  
18 got headlines, the dog urine was one that said  
19 lookit, not saying it's wrong, but it's out there,  
11:41 20 it grabs headlines, it grabs attention and that's  
21 a good thing?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And so that did there come a point where, when you  
24 are dealing with the media as far as what  
11:41 25 information to put out, when to put it out, that



1 the sensational stories went further fastest?

2 A They did, and they took on a life of their own.

3 Q And again that would have been part of the plan or  
4 the strategy though, to get, to get this out there  
11:41 5 as quickly and as loudly and as across the country  
6 as you could?

7 A Yes.

8 Q If we can go to 159873, please, and just sort of  
9 back on our chronology here, this is April the  
11:41 10 10th, 1990, and again I think around this time,  
11 certainly this is the report that talks about the  
12 fact that Dr. Ferris' report had not been provided  
13 to Kim Campbell. Do you remember learning about  
14 that at some point, that she didn't have Dr.  
11:42 15 Ferris' report?

16 A Right, and that's the one I was trying to give to  
17 her.

18 Q Right. And that's -- and was that a concern when  
19 you found out that she didn't have the report yet?

11:42 20 A Yes, it was a grave concern to me. I had thought  
21 that she would be updated with all of the stuff  
22 that we were sending in.

23 Q And did you have any discussion with Mr. Asper or  
24 Mr. Wolch about their understanding of how and  
11:42 25 when the minister would get a report from the



1 department lawyers and at what point she would get  
2 the Dr. Ferris report?

3 A I think we all believed that she would have got it  
4 when it went in, that she would have seen those  
11:42 5 things.

6 Q So then when it was filed in December of '88 --

7 A Yes.

8 Q -- your understanding was that she would have got  
9 a copy of it at that time?

11:43 10 A Yes.

11 Q Her personally as opposed to her staff or her  
12 Department of Justice lawyers?

13 A Right.

14 Q And so did the fact that when you did learn that  
11:43 15 she did not yet have a copy of the Ferris report,  
16 and we'll see in May, the following month where  
17 you try and give it to her, was that something  
18 that caused you to again, and we've covered this  
19 already, but was that another factor that caused  
11:43 20 you to think that there was something wrong with  
21 the Department of Justice or that something was  
22 wrong with the process?

23 A Well, I just really felt upset by it because I  
24 thought something -- nothing was being done and  
11:43 25 that we were being thwarted.



1 Q And I probably should have shown you this article  
2 before I showed you your letter to Kim Campbell,  
3 which I think was -- actually, that was March  
4 20th. Is it fair to say that at the time when you  
11:43 5 wrote to her and said lookit, I've lost faith in  
6 the justice system and I'm going the media route  
7 or the public route, that one of the factors would  
8 have been this information that, when you learned  
9 that she did not yet have personally a copy of the  
11:44 10 report?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Did you at some later point come to understand how  
13 the justice lawyers dealt with the application and  
14 at what point she would get the report?

11:44 15 A I found that out at the Inquiry.

16 Q And again, if you would have been made aware back  
17 at this time, let's say in April of 1990, or even  
18 at some prior date, of the information about how  
19 the Department of Justice dealt with these  
11:44 20 applications; namely, that justice lawyers do an  
21 investigation and prepare a report and the  
22 information goes up to the minister and the  
23 minister gets the information, your application  
24 with the Justice Department report, and it's at  
11:44 25 that point that the minister considers the matter



1 after it has been reviewed by her staff lawyers,  
2 would that have changed your thinking at all? If  
3 you would have been aware that the reason she  
4 doesn't have it is because her lawyers are doing a  
11:45 5 review and once they are done the review and once  
6 everything is in and analysed, they will send it  
7 up to her with the report, would that have --

8 A I think that would have been very helpful, if they  
9 had sent a letter to the family or to the lawyers  
11:45 10 stating that this is the way the procedure  
11 functions, but not knowing it, you know, you are  
12 in the dark.

13 Q And I guess in the dark, did you then assume --

14 A -- the worst.

11:45 15 Q Assumed the worst?

16 A I did.

17 Q And 327678, I think your son David also felt the  
18 same way and assumed the worst --

19 A Yes, he did.

11:45 20 Q -- with respect to the Ferris report. And again,  
21 this is April 17th, and this is where:

22 "I can't understand why they're working  
23 that way. My lawyers tell me to take it  
24 easy and relax, but it's difficult."

11:46 25 And then:



1 "... Asper, said his client "believes  
2 the system is a sham and I can see his  
3 point of view."

4 Asper said Ferris's report has  
11:46 5 been on file for more than a year  
6 without any indication that it has been  
7 considered sufficient to grant a new  
8 trial."

9 And again it would appear that your David, David  
11:46 10 Milgaard, was of the same view as you about being  
11 in the dark and not knowing how justice --

12 A -- was functioning.

13 Q What about David Asper, do you recall what his,  
14 whether he -- did you ask him about this, did he  
11:46 15 tell you --

16 A I think he was just as frustrated as we were.

17 Q And what about Mr. Wolch, do you recall -- would  
18 you have had those discussions with him or would  
19 you have been dealing with Mr. Asper, about this  
11:46 20 issue about what, how does the Justice Department  
21 deal with this and what are they doing?

22 A I don't remember having that discussion with  
23 Hersh.

24 Q 333384, this is April the 20th, and again this is  
11:47 25 Mr. Williams recording what Mr. Asper told him in



1 a call and advised:

2 "... that Mrs. Joyce Milgaard was  
3 returning from her European trip sooner  
4 than anticipated. He also said that he  
11:47 5 had learned that Joyce Milgaard had told  
6 members of the press (in Saskatchewan or  
7 Manitoba I assume) details of the  
8 alleged involvement of Larry Fisher in  
9 the death of Gail Miller."

11:47 10 And we heard some evidence from Mr. Asper that  
11 you had given information about Larry Fisher's  
12 involvement to the media, some media outlets on  
13 some type of, I think embargo or some type of  
14 arrangement. Do you recall him giving that  
11:48 15 evidence, Mr. Asper?

16 A Yes, I believe I do.

17 Q And can you tell us maybe just your recollection  
18 about who in the media did you tell about Larry  
19 Fisher and when did you tell them?

11:48 20 A That's a hard question. I can't remember exactly  
21 who I -- I know that of course Dan knew everything  
22 that was going on, David Roberts of *The Globe and*  
23 *Mail*, they were involved in it, CBC, Carl Karp,  
24 Cecil Rosner, they were the ones that dug up a lot  
11:48 25 of the information, Peter Edwards from the *Toronto*



1 Star when I was able to get him out and working on  
2 the case.

3 Q So now we know that nothing was published, I think  
4 we'll see in May, May 10th John Harvard raised it  
11:49 5 in the House of Commons committee when the fact  
6 that there was another suspect being investigated  
7 came up and it wasn't until June 22nd of 1990 that  
8 the CBC reported the name?

9 A That's right.

11:49 10 Q I guess what I'm trying to find out, is it correct  
11 that weeks or months prior to that time you would  
12 have shared this information with the media, but  
13 on some basis where you asked them not to publish  
14 it; is that right?

11:49 15 A Yes. We felt that it was important that it not be  
16 published because we didn't want to, we wanted to  
17 give them a chance to get out and interview Larry  
18 Fisher.

19 Q And when you say we, who are you talking about?

11:49 20 A The team.

21 Q So was Mr. Asper involved in these discussions or  
22 these arrangements?

23 A Oh, I think we were all involved in that, and  
24 Hersh would have been involved in that as well.

11:49 25 Q And so let me understand this. The plan would be





1           again, would it be sometime in March or April of  
2           1990, around -- after you talked to Linda Fisher?

3       A       Yes, that we were giving them the information,  
4           preparing them for it, but that we couldn't give  
11:50 5           them the actual.

6       Q       Did you give them the -- did you give them  
7           Fisher's name, the media?

8       A       No, I don't believe we did, because actually  
9           Fisher's name in a sense came through that report  
11:50 10          from CBC. I mean, we had the report, we had the  
11          anonymous phone call, but the one piece of paper  
12          that I recall as the most relevant was that  
13          statement that we got from the CBC listing all the  
14          dates of those rapes and everything and seeing  
11:50 15          where they were and all the information  
16          surrounding them. When we got that, that was such  
17          an important document.

18      Q       And I think that was July 2nd or July 4th of 1990  
19          that Carl Karp -- I think what you are talking  
11:51 20          about is David Asper sent a memo to Hersh saying  
21          Carl Karp phoned me and gave me the information  
22          and I think that's when you learned that the rapes  
23          had been committed in Saskatoon. Is that the  
24          document?

11:51 25      A       Yes, that's the one I'm thinking about.



1 Q Right.

2 A But prior to that we also had information, we had  
3 the dates, we had the dates, the dates prior to  
4 Gail Miller and the dates after Gail Miller.

11:51 5 Q Right.

6 A The fact that we thought they were in Regina was  
7 incidental, I mean, the fact is that this was the  
8 serial rapist that was in the area at the time  
9 that she was killed.

11:51 10 Q Now, I had understood Mr. Asper's evidence, and I  
11 could be wrong on this, that you would have given  
12 the media Larry Fisher's name, but with  
13 instructions that you can't publish his name  
14 because we do not want to interfere with the  
11:52 15 investigation that's being conducted?

16 A I believe that's correct.

17 Q Okay. And earlier you had said you don't think  
18 you gave them the name, and I'm just --

19 A Well, I could have, I can't say that I didn't, but  
11:52 20 don't forget, David dealt with the media.

21 Q David Asper?

22 A Yes, a lot more than I did in the sense of any  
23 releases or any information that was going out.  
24 He had daily contact with Dan Lett.

11:52 25 Q Would it be fair to say that you would have given



1 Dan Lett the name of Larry Fisher and Linda  
2 Fisher's statement and all of that information?

3 A I believe that Dan received that from --

4 Q From either you and/or Mr. Asper?

11:52 5 A That he did receive it from David Asper, but I  
6 would have had no qualms about giving it to him,  
7 not at all.

8 Q And I guess I'm trying to understand the reason  
9 that Dan Lett wouldn't have run a story right  
11:52 10 after getting it saying here's all the  
11 information, and I'm assuming that --

12 A We would have told him you can't.

13 Q Okay, that's the point I'm trying to get at.

14 A Oh, yes, definitely, we would have said you can  
11:53 15 know this, we'll tell you who it is, but, you  
16 know, you can't print it.

17 Q And I think that's what Mr. Asper said, and I  
18 think he attributed it more to you than to him,  
19 that the plan was to get these reporters out there  
11:53 20 digging on Larry Fisher?

21 A Yes.

22 Q So here's the information we have, here's the  
23 name --

24 A You get out and get some stuff.

11:53 25 Q And so that would have been the plan?



1 A Yes.

2 Q To release the media to go gather the information,  
3 but with instructions that don't publicize the  
4 name and don't publicize the fact that Federal  
11:53 5 Justice is investigating --

6 A That's right.

7 Q -- this fellow. And then I think we see that  
8 Mr. Harvard I think --

9 A Then blew it.

11:53 10 Q I was going to use kinder words, but Mr. Harvard  
11 disclosed this --

12 A -- in the house.

13 Q In the house, and so that became public?

14 A Yes.

11:53 15 Q And then I think in June, or June 22nd the CBC  
16 published a story with Larry Fisher's name?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And Mr. Asper, I think his evidence was, somehow  
19 it got to the point where either the media weren't  
11:54 20 prepared to continue not reporting it or they  
21 found information on their own. Do you remember  
22 how that came about?

23 A They found information on their own.

24 Q And were able to run the story without relying on  
11:54 25 the information you gave them?



1 A That's right.

2 Q When you gave the media this information in  
3 March -- would it be March or April, '90; correct?

4 A Probably.

11:54 5 Q Is it fair to say that you knew at some point they  
6 would have a story about it, I mean, that was the  
7 objective?

8 A Oh, yes.

9 Q And is it fair to say that if Joyce Milgaard had  
11:54 10 her way, you would have had them publish the story  
11 right away?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And that Mr. Asper, Mr. Wolch or others said  
14 lookit, hold off publicizing it to give Mr.  
11:54 15 Pearson an opportunity to do some of his own  
16 investigation?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And you agreed for a while; is that correct?

19 A For a while I agreed.

11:55 20 Q And here is, just if we can scroll down, so again  
21 here's April 20th where Mr. Asper is telling Mr.  
22 Williams that you had told members of the alleged  
23 involvement, so we know at some time prior to  
24 April 20th, 1990 -- if we can just scroll up,  
11:55 25 please -- that at least prior to April 20, 1990



1           you had told the media, or some media about Larry  
2           Fisher's involvement?

3           A       Yes.

4           Q       And then scroll down, Mr. Asper says:

11:55 5                    "He expressed some concerns about the  
6                    impact, if any, that those revelations  
7                    may have on the outcome of our  
8                    investigation and upon Mr. Milgaard's  
9                    application. He indicated also that his  
11:55 10                  firm preferred that those allegations be  
11                    kept confidential until they could be  
12                    thoroughly investigated. He expressed  
13                    some concerns about the risk of  
14                    defamatory libel that the public airing  
11:56 15                  of these concerns could attract."

16           And would Mr. Asper have concerns about you  
17           giving this information to the media and it  
18           becoming in the public domain for the reasons  
19           stated here?

11:56 20          A       I had no awareness of this.

21          Q       Of his concerns?

22          A       Of his concerns, no.

23          Q       What is your recollection of Mr. Asper's --

24          A       I don't think he ever told me I could get, be  
11:56 25                  libeled for doing what I was doing.



1 Q What's your recollection of Mr. Asper's advice or  
2 position to you about you or he or your group  
3 giving the Larry Fisher information to the media  
4 at this time, prior to Mr. Pearson completing his  
11:56 5 investigation? Do you remember what position Mr.  
6 Asper took on that?

7 A Well, I think that he definitely felt it should be  
8 kept confidential and that the information  
9 shouldn't go out there and I felt that I trusted  
11:56 10 the reporters that I was dealing with enough that  
11 I could give them the information and know that I  
12 could trust them not to print it. We built up a  
13 relationship over the years, these reporters, you  
14 know, so that I would often give them information  
11:57 15 and say, well, hold off on that until we see how  
16 it goes, you know. We were working together.

17 Q It appears from this memo that Mr. Asper may have  
18 had some concerns that justice and the RCMP might  
19 have been taking a negative view of your group  
11:57 20 giving this information to the media and that in  
21 disclosing this to Mr. Williams, Mr. Asper was,  
22 may have been concerned about that, saying that it  
23 might somehow be viewed negatively by the  
24 authorities, and is it correct to say that that  
11:57 25 would not have been a concern of yours, you felt



1 it more important to get this information --

2 A I did.

3 Q -- to the media and if it ruffled feathers or  
4 upset Mr. Williams or Mr. Pearson, that was not as  
11:57 5 much -- of much concern to you; is that correct?

6 A No. If it was just feelings, then I would  
7 probably have thought I was --

8 Q Well, maybe I asked the question poorly, not just  
9 feelings, but again it talks about impact on the  
11:58 10 outcome of the investigation and upon David's  
11 application to the minister. In other words, I  
12 think what is being stated here is that the  
13 concern that your steps, being your group's steps,  
14 in disclosing this information to the media, the  
11:58 15 concern would be will that have a negative impact  
16 on the authorities' investigation of Larry Fisher  
17 and your son's application under Section 690, and  
18 so that, I guess that's the competing interest  
19 here, if we give this to the media, we get a  
11:58 20 benefit because they go out, but are we going to  
21 negatively impact the legal route we're going;  
22 namely, the fact that Rick Pearson is  
23 investigating Fisher and the fact that the  
24 Department of Justice is assessing our  
11:58 25 application. Was that something that was on your





1 mind at all?

2 A I don't think so. I think my position was pretty  
3 clear, I had been investigating for a long time by  
4 myself, I felt that I needed more help, the other  
11:59 5 route didn't seem to be going anywhere, and by  
6 giving this information to the media with an  
7 embargo on it with the idea that they could start  
8 getting into it and find out the truth and that I  
9 would get that feedback from them. Most of them  
11:59 10 didn't publish anything without talking to me  
11 about it, I knew a lot of what their stories were  
12 going to say before they were published.

13 Q Did you have more confidence in your reporter, not  
14 allies, but your reporter -- I don't know, you  
11:59 15 said you trusted these people?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Whatever group of reporters, you had developed a  
18 relationship with them?

19 A Yes.

11:59 20 Q Did you trust them more than you trusted Mr.  
21 Pearson?

22 A Absolutely.

23 Q In investigating Larry Fisher?

24 A Yes.

11:59 25 MR. HODSON: This is probably an



1 appropriate spot to break for lunch.

2 (Adjourned at 12:00 p.m.)

3 (Reconvened at 1:32 p.m.)

4 BY MR. HODSON:

01:32 5 Q Mrs. Milgaard, before noon we had talked about you  
6 had went through your desire to go to the media or  
7 the public to get your remedy, and we talked a bit  
8 about the advantages and the disadvantages of  
9 going to the media with all of the information and  
01:32 10 getting them to run with it. Was there also a  
11 benefit, from your perspective, in that the media  
12 listened to what you had to say?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And was there a sense of frustration, on your  
01:32 15 part, that others hadn't to that point?

16 A I think that was a big part of it, and not only  
17 did they listen, but they listened  
18 sympathetically.

19 Q And so, in some sense, you going and telling your  
01:33 20 story or David's story to the media, for you  
21 personally, had some benefits; is that correct?

22 A Very cathartic, I think.

23 Q If we could go to 056764, which is part of the  
24 Pearson 056743. And, again, this is an April  
01:33 25 discussion between Mr. Pearson and Mr. Asper again



1 with this issue of the Fisher investigation, and  
2 here Mr. Pearson tells David Asper that he:

3 "... had contacted Fisher and that  
4 ongoing inquiries were being made."

01:33 5 Were you aware around this time, then, that  
6 Sergeant Pearson had, in fact, made contact with  
7 Larry Fisher and had interviewed him on a couple  
8 of occasions?

9 A I don't believe I was. I think, at that time I  
01:34 10 was in England, and so I wasn't in daily contact  
11 with David at that point.

12 Q And that's David Asper; correct?

13 A Yes, correct.

14 Q And that when you got back from England, I think  
01:34 15 this talks about that you were coming home from,  
16 early from England:

17 "... and it is suspected that she is  
18 going to press ahead about the inaction  
19 of the Federal Justice Department on  
01:34 20 their Section 690 ... application and  
21 Asper stated he felt better knowing that  
22 Fisher may cooperate with us."

23 A That's very interesting, when I read that, because  
24 I got a phone call from David Asper in England,  
01:34 25 and about a month prior to that the thought had



1                   come to me to resign my position.

2           Q           With whom?

3           A           With the nursing service that I was working for.

4           Q           In England?

01:35 5           A           In England.

6           Q           Okay.

7           A           And it came very strongly that I should do this,  
8                   and I walked in and resigned, and she said "well,  
9                   if you could stay to the end of the month, that

01:35 10           A           would be very helpful". The end of the month  
11                   came, and I'd heard nothing, and I was about to go  
12                   and tell them that I would continue working when I  
13                   got a phone call from David Asper.

14           Q           And what did he tell you?

01:35 15           A           He said "Joyce, you have got to come home right  
16                   away", he said, "they're doing absolutely nothing,  
17                   we're getting no information back from the Justice  
18                   Department, you are going to have to come back".  
19                   And, because I'd had that insight to put in my  
01:35 20           A           notice, I was available to leave right away and  
21                   come back, and I did come back.

22           Q           And --

23           A           So when he is saying, there, that he suspected I'm  
24                   going to press ahead about the inaction, he knew I  
01:36 25           A           was going to press ahead, because he had told me



1 to come home to do just that.

2 Q I see. And I had maybe understood from the  
3 documents or Mr. Asper's evidence that it may have  
4 been the other way around, that you were coming  
01:36 5 home, but you are telling us he asked you to come  
6 back?

7 A He phoned me and very specifically told me nothing  
8 was happening with the Justice Department, and we  
9 were going nowhere, and he wanted me to come back.

01:36 10 Q And would it likely be -- this is a discussion  
11 around April 23rd or 22nd of 1990; would that be  
12 about the time frame of the call then? Maybe in  
13 relation, Linda Fisher you interviewed March 9th,  
14 10th, 11th; did you then go to England after that  
01:36 15 at some point?

16 A I don't know the dates.

17 Q All right.

18 A But I know at the time that he called me back,  
19 that I know that he called me in England and said  
01:37 20 I had to come back, and I remember because I'd  
21 already asked to have the time off and figured I  
22 was going to have to turn around and go back on  
23 it.

24 Q I see. So you had planned on staying in England,  
01:37 25 Mr. Asper phoned and said he needed you back?



1 A Yes.

2 Q And what, what did he, what did he need you back  
3 for?

4 A Well, I presume to go out with the media again, to  
01:37 5 go out and try to stir things up.

6 Q And is that what you would have discussed with Mr.  
7 Asper or was that your understanding of --

8 A That was my understanding of the call, that we  
9 weren't going anywhere, and we'd needed to get  
01:37 10 busy again.

11 Q And then, here, Pearson says:

12 "I advised Mr. Asper that unnecessary  
13 publicity would possibly hamper the  
14 police investigation and that there is a  
01:37 15 certain danger in having Fisher's name  
16 become subject of a press release, and  
17 this will have no positive effect on our  
18 inquiries. I explained to Mr. Asper  
19 that my role at this point is to find  
01:37 20 the truth and to gather evidence, not  
21 become embroiled in a press release,  
22 which in my opinion would serve no one's  
23 interest at this time."

24 Did Mr. Asper provide you with this information  
01:38 25 that he received from Sergeant Pearson?



1 A No, I think maybe the information when he called  
2 me about England was before this.

3 Q What about when you arrived back, did you ever --  
4 do you recall Mr. Asper saying to you that there  
01:38 5 was a danger of having Fisher's name becoming  
6 subject of a press release and it will have no  
7 positive effect?

8 A Yes, I remember us discussing that, and I don't  
9 think we released his name at that point in time.  
01:38 10 His name, if -- and you can correct me if I'm  
11 wrong from the record -- but I believe his name  
12 was released by John Harvard.

13 Q I think John Harvard brought up the fact that  
14 there was a suspect, I don't think he named Larry  
01:38 15 Fisher, I think that was named June 22nd by the  
16 CBC.

17 A Oh, okay.

18 Q I think is what the record shows.

19 A Okay. I thought that it was him, then, because --  
01:39 20 well, maybe it was because I was thinking that  
21 when he mentioned it, the person that did it, like  
22 Larry Fisher that did it, when he rec -- said that  
23 there was a serial rapist in the area at that  
24 time, Fisher would have known who he was talking  
01:39 25 about; wouldn't he have?



1 Q I think that was the evidence that we heard from  
2 Sergeant Pearson, that Larry Fisher was aware that  
3 --

4 A That --

01:39 5 Q -- your group was investigating him and the RCMP  
6 were investigating him.

7 A Right.

8 Q Yes.

9 A I think that that's probably where I got that  
01:39 10 idea.

11 Q Go to 001818. And this is a memo April 26th, 1990  
12 from Mr. Asper to Mr. Wolch, and he says:

13 "As you know, the Milgaards

14 are becoming more and more anxious about

01:39 15 David's fate. To that end,

16 Mrs. Milgaard has now returned from

17 England, and is once again focusing her

18 energy on David's case. The original

19 reason for her return was that they were

01:40 20 prepared to 'go public' with the

21 entirety of the case in an effort to

22 publicize their plight.

23 Based on my most recent

24 conversation with Sergeant Pearson,

01:40 25 however, I have been able to dissuade





1                   them from doing anything at this point."

2                   Do you recall that happening when you returned  
3                   from England?

4           A        Yes, I do recall that. What's the date of this?

01:40 5           Q        April 26th of 1990. So if I can just run through  
6                   this and tell me if this is right; you went to  
7                   England after you got the Linda Fisher  
8                   information, you went there to work?

9           A        Yes.

01:40 10          Q        At some point during that time Mr. Asper called  
11                   you, in probably early April 1990, and said "we  
12                   need you back here, nothing is happening", you  
13                   came back at his request, and once you got here he  
14                   said "well hold on a minute here", --

01:40 15          A        "Pearson is doing something, we want you to hold  
16                   back".

17          Q        Does that sound right as far as --

18          A        That sounds right, uh-huh.

19          Q        And then it talks -- scroll down, please -- it  
01:40 20                says:

21                   "Joyce Milgaard contacted me on  
22                   Thursday, April 26th, to advise that she  
23                   had called Mr. O'Sullivan, who is the  
24                   Warden at Prince Albert Penitentiary.

01:41 25                According to Joyce, O'Sullivan concurs



1                   that she could very well be an Ace in  
2                   the hole for the investigator in terms  
3                   of obtaining a confession from Larry  
4                   Fisher. The Warden also indicated that  
01:41 5                   he would be prepared to make any  
6                   arrangements required to have Joyce  
7                   attend at the prison."

8                   And is that accurate?

9           A        Yes, that's accurate. And I must say, I talked  
01:41 10                  about a warden at one time in my testimony, it  
11                  certainly wasn't this man.

12                               Mr. O'Sullivan was such a good  
13                   warden. When David went to Prince Albert there,  
14                   and we'd had a lot of difficulties with him, he  
01:41 15                  had a meeting with me and with David, and I was  
16                  trying to get him transferred to Winnipeg where we  
17                  would be -- or to Stony Mountain where we would be  
18                  close by, and he sort of laid the ground rules  
19                  out. "Give me a year", he said, "no misbehaving,  
01:42 20                  keep your nose clean, get your studies on board,  
21                  and if you do that, within a year I'll see that  
22                  you're transferred to be near your family".

23                               Now he had a reputation for  
24                   being fair and honest with the prisoners, so David  
01:42 25                  believed him and he just settled right down, and



1                   within a year Mr. O'Sullivan got him transferred.

2                               So I had a good rapport with  
3                   him, and so with this Fisher information I called  
4                   him and talked to him about -- and told him what  
01:42 5                   was happening and updated him and everything, and  
6                   he thought it might be very powerful, that I could  
7                   be the ace in the hole, and we talked about that.  
8                   And, again, he strongly cautioned me that it would  
9                   be contrary to what they were saying, but I felt  
01:43 10                  that he should pass that information -- I was  
11                  trying to get them to move and to move faster.

12       Q           So let me just back up. David was at Stony  
13                  Mountain at this time; correct?

14       A           No.

01:43 15       Q           What --

16       A           Not at this time when -- oh yes, oh yes, he would  
17                  be in Stony Mountain at this time.

18       Q           And so --

19       A           But I was talking about the warden having a good  
01:43 20                  relationship --

21       Q           -- that was from before?

22       A           -- from before, yes.

23       Q           And so am I right that you contacted the warden  
24                  with a view to you going up to the Prince Albert  
01:43 25                  Penitentiary and interviewing Larry Fisher



1           yourself?

2           A       Yeah. And I had told him what, you know, what had  
3           been going on, and how we had found this  
4           information, and all the details on it.

01:43 5           Q       Now, and I think you said you were trying to get  
6           people moving, was it -- was the contact made  
7           knowing that that information would get to the  
8           authorities, or did you genuinely plan on going to  
9           interview Larry Fisher yourself?

01:44 10          A       Well it wasn't so much as maybe by myself, but I  
11          thought maybe I might even go with the police  
12          officer, but that because I was the mum of  
13          someone, that maybe Larry Fisher, having me there  
14          as the mum, that he might -- might confess more  
01:44 15          easily.

16          Q       Which police officer were you thinking of going  
17          with?

18          A       Well, the one that David was telling me was  
19          working on it.

01:44 20          Q       Okay. So you contacted the warden saying maybe  
21          you should go in, or to see if the warden would  
22          let you go and interview?

23          A       Yes, to see if he could set it up. Because, you  
24          see, you are not on a visiting list, you are no  
01:44 25          relation or anything like that, whether it was



1 something we could do together or whether I could  
2 get in there on my own and actually interview him  
3 and sort of play the card that we knew about all  
4 these other things and that if he would come  
01:44 5 forward, you know, that it might go much better  
6 with him and --

7 Q So was your intent to go in there, we saw in your  
8 interview with Linda Fisher yesterday the tape  
9 where you and Mr. Henderson were brainstorming  
01:45 10 about ideas of --

11 A Yes.

12 Q -- what cards you might play with Mr. Fisher to  
13 try and get him to confess, was that what you were  
14 thinking here, that --

01:45 15 A Possibly.

16 Q And then you go on to talk about asking David to  
17 communicate this to Sergeant Pearson and advise  
18 that you are available and --

19 A That I was prepared to go with him and do anything  
01:45 20 I could to help.

21 Q Now was this a bit of a departure from what you  
22 had thought -- earlier you said that, earlier this  
23 morning you said that in March or in April you  
24 were not prepared to rely upon the police to do  
01:45 25 the investigation, you were going to do your own



1 investigation?

2 A Right.

3 Q Was this a departure, or was this the only way you  
4 could get in, is if you had a police officer with  
01:45 5 you?

6 A No, I think that in a sense, from what David had  
7 been telling me about what he had been doing with  
8 Pearson, that he felt that he was a very  
9 principled man and that we'd be all right working  
01:46 10 with him, I think I had that sort of assurance  
11 from him.

12 Q And so your view maybe changed a bit, then, at  
13 this time, that maybe you would work with --

14 A That I would work with Pearson, but not Eugene  
01:46 15 Williams, I would have nothing to do with him.

16 Q And then, if we can scroll down, it says:

17 "Finally, both Joyce and David ...",  
18 and I'm presuming that's David Milgaard --

19 A Yes.

01:46 20 Q "... have imposed a deadline of May  
21 7, 1990. They are prepared at that time  
22 to go public, regardless of the stage of  
23 the investigation, as they feel they can  
24 no longer wait for what to them seems to  
01:46 25 be an interminable process. They are



1                   very adamant about this, and are  
2                   prepared to go forward over our advice  
3                   to the contrary?"

4                   And is that accurate?

01:46 5           A           That is correct.

6           Q           And did -- was Mr. Asper's advice to you "don't go  
7                   public", or was he, where was he on this, as far  
8                   as your discussion?

9           A           Well, truthfully, I think he really wanted to use  
01:47 10           me to pressure the department into doing  
11                   something.

12          Q           And when you say "use you" to go to the department  
13                   and say "lookit, I'm trying to hold her back, but  
14                   she is the one" --

01:47 15          A           Yeah.

16          Q           -- "and I can't control her any more", and use you  
17                   in that way to put pressure on Sergeant Pearson  
18                   and Mr. Williams?

19          A           I believe that.

01:47 20          Q           And why do you say that, what causes you to -- and  
21                   was that discussed with him?

22          A           He never out and out said that, but that was the  
23                   feeling that I got, that he was sort of using the  
24                   fact that I was back as a ploy to get them to act  
01:47 25                   right away rather than taking weeks and weeks and



1 weeks the way other things had done.

2 Q And so he could, in dealing with Pearson and  
3 Williams, be cooperative but saying "lookit, my  
4 client who" --

01:48 5 A Isn't.

6 Q -- "I can't control isn't", --

7 A That's right.

8 Q -- and use that to get the best of both; to, one,  
9 be cooperative; but two, being uncooperative; is  
01:48 10 that fair?

11 A Correct.

12 Q If we can go to 155505. This, Dr. Peter  
13 Markesteyn, this is a May 1 letter we have been  
14 through. We haven't heard from Dr. Markesteyn yet  
01:48 15 but I think we will. Can you tell us your  
16 recollection, here, about how and why he became  
17 involved in the matter? This would be May 1, and  
18 he gave his report about a month later, he was the  
19 forensic --

01:48 20 A Wasn't it him that came forward that knew  
21 something about the other report and was very  
22 interested in it?

23 Q Yeah, I'm not sure.

24 A I believe so. I think that somehow we got a  
01:49 25 connection with him that way, and it seems to me





1           that he was following the story, if you will, and  
2           I think that, that he came forward and offered his  
3           help.

4           Q       And so then did you ever have any dealings with  
01:49 5           him or did David Asper?

6           A       No, David Asper had dealings with him, so I'm --  
7           I'm not as clear on that as I should be, probably.

8           Q       And I think we see from the record that he was  
9           asked to review the Ferris report, is that right,  
01:49 10          and give another report?

11          A       Yes.

12          Q       And do you recall what was the reason for getting  
13          another report, was there any strategy behind  
14          that, or was it simply to get more information?

01:49 15          A       I think it was to make sure that the Ferris report  
16          was validated.

17          Q       Did you have concerns about the validity of Dr.  
18          Ferris' report, or did Mr. Asper have those  
19          concerns?

01:50 20          A       I don't think for one minute that my David and I  
21          had any concerns about the validity, but because  
22          it was taking so long, I thought we should really  
23          check it out.

24          Q       Do you recall any discussions with Mr. Asper or  
01:50 25          Mr. Wolch where they expressed to you any concerns



1           that either they had, as your lawyers, or they had  
2           heard directly or indirectly from any source about  
3           concerns about the validity of the Dr. Ferris  
4           opinions?

01:50 5           A       There is something in the back of my mind that  
6           tells me they had suspicions that the report was  
7           not being looked at favourably. Where they got it  
8           from, I have no idea, but I think that that's why  
9           -- umm, could it -- well, when you hear from  
01:50 10          Mr. Mark -- Dr. Markesteyn, maybe he had come in  
11          with something about it, I don't know, I honestly  
12          do not know.

13          Q       And so, again, you and David Milgaard didn't --  
14          let me back up. You have some memory of being  
01:51 15          aware of some concerns being expressed about the  
16          validity of Ferris' report --

17          A       At that time.

18          Q       -- at some point before Markesteyn is engaged; is  
19          that right?

01:51 20          A       That's right.

21          Q       And you think that came, or you heard that via  
22          Mr. Wolch or Mr. Asper, and they got it from  
23          somewhere?

24          A       I must have.

01:51 25          Q       Now we saw the other day that the CBC *Fifth*



1           Estate, we saw that one article where your David  
2           was told by someone at the *Fifth Estate* that they  
3           had someone in Toronto, I think a forensic  
4           pathologist, look at it, and dismissed or disputed  
01:51 5           Dr. Ferris' opinion; you will recall we had looked  
6           at that earlier?

7           A        I recall that.

8           Q        Right.

9           A        And it may have been, because of that, that we  
01:51 10           were looking elsewhere.

11          Q        And do you recall whether or not Mr. Wolch or Mr.  
12           Asper heard anything directly or indirectly from  
13           the Department of Justice that they had concerns  
14           about Dr. Ferris' report?

01:52 15          A        No, I don't believe that they'd heard it directly  
16           from Justice, it -- I think, now that you are  
17           refreshing my memory, that it was probably as a  
18           result of that other information.

19          Q        Do you recall any discussions or brainstorming  
01:52 20           trying to figure out if the reason for the  
21           15-month time period or the 12-month time period  
22           you referred to earlier was that maybe the Dr.  
23           Ferris report was not what you thought it was; do  
24           you remember any discussions of that?

01:52 25          A        Well, I think we were concerned because no one had



1           been out to see Dr. Ferris.

2           Q       And --

3           A       No one had even been out to talk to him, so it was  
4           like you send this information in and they don't  
01:52 5           even bother to contact him.

6           Q       And, again, I'm trying to identify if you'd made  
7           inquiries -- when I say "you" or your counsel did  
8           -- to determine whether there was something wrong  
9           or suspect or disputed in the Ferris report that  
01:53 10          might have informed you more as to why they had  
11          not yet been to talk to him or why you hadn't  
12          heard anything back directly?

13          A       I honestly don't recall that, it -- it's possible  
14          that it was part of it but I think that, at the  
01:53 15          time when that information came up that the *Fifth*  
16          *Estate* couldn't document that, I think that made  
17          us think "what's going on here, we need to" -- but  
18          I also, in the back of my mind, somehow think that  
19          Markesteyn came to us, I don't know that we went  
01:53 20          to him. There is something there telling me that  
21          and I'm not sure of it.

22          Q       And we certainly see, and have seen in the record,  
23          the letters and the comments in the press by Mr.  
24          Asper for many months subsequent about his belief  
01:53 25          of what the Ferris report states and proving



1 innocence?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And do you recall Mr. Asper ever expressing to you  
4 any doubts about the validity of the Ferris  
01:54 5 opinion, namely that the Ferris opinion proved  
6 David's innocence?

7 A No, I think we were all very strong in believing  
8 it proved his innocence.

9 Q And 213579. And this is a letter from David May  
01:54 10 9th, 1990 to the minister, and would it be fair to  
11 say that as far as David was concerned -- your  
12 David -- that the information he received about  
13 what was happening with his application, that it  
14 would come from you and Mr. Asper and Mr. Wolch;  
15 is that right?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And so when David Milgaard said "tell me what's  
18 happening" he would hear that either from you or  
19 Mr. Asper or Mr. Wolch?

01:54 20 A Correct.

21 Q And would it be correct that, from what he heard  
22 from you, you would be telling him, "lookit,  
23 nothing has happened, we haven't heard anything,  
24 we're waiting" and expressing concern; is that  
01:55 25 correct?



1 A Yes. We tried to not get him upset, but I was  
2 also talking to politicians, and Felix Holtmann  
3 was in our area and he went out to see David and  
4 to meet with him, and this is where he has given  
01:55 5 Felix this letter to pass -- I guess he thought  
6 that, if Felix Holtmann gave the letter to Kim  
7 Campbell, that it would be better than a letter  
8 just coming out of the blue to her.

9 Q And do you recall what Mr. Asper was telling David  
01:55 10 Milgaard about how Federal Justice was dealing  
11 with Dr. Ferris' report?

12 A We were trying to downplay delays to a degree to  
13 give him, keep his hopes up that something was  
14 going to happen and not sort of have him become  
01:56 15 really frustrated and whatever, but I don't know  
16 that they had discussed any action or anything.

17 Q But when the Ferris report was obtained, I think  
18 you've told us that you and Mr. Asper would have  
19 told David that "lookit, this report proves your  
01:56 20 innocence, we're going to send it in"?

21 A Oh, yeah, we were all really excited about that.

22 Q And so your David, then, would, I take it, would  
23 have an expectation that once the authorities had  
24 it --

01:56 25 A That something would happen right away.



1 Q And so here is his letter, and so here May 9th,  
2 1990, what he has heard about what they have done  
3 or not done with that report would come from you,  
4 Mr. Asper and Mr. Wolch?

01:56 5 A Yes.

6 Q And he says:

7 "Your department will not disclose what  
8 action it has taken in regard to the  
9 conclusion of forensic expert ...",  
10 next page, please:

11 "... Dr. James Ferris. Why have I been  
12 held hostage to this for 15 months? It  
13 has been a nightmare for me."

14 "In all ...",

01:57 15 Scroll down, please:

16 "In all likelihood, this report once  
17 validated will see me released. This  
18 means if the report is correct, then  
19 someone made a big mistake in ignoring  
01:57 20 this request in our completed  
21 application which was with the  
22 department of justice in December of  
23 1988."

24 So would this fairly capture what David Milgaard  
01:57 25 was --



1 A Feeling.

2 Q -- thinking at the time?

3 A Yes, his frustration.

4 Q 159870, please. And this is a May 11th, 1990

01:58 5 article, *RCMP reopen man's murder case*, and this  
6 is where Mr. Harvard brought it up, I think in a  
7 Justice Committee meeting in Ottawa?

8 A Uh-huh.

9 Q If you could scroll down to the bottom, please, it  
01:58 10 says:

11 "Harvard ... detailed the  
12 investigation while grilling Justice  
13 Minister Kim Campbell on the delays  
14 during a justice committee meeting in  
01:58 15 Ottawa yesterday.

16 'The real killer is serving  
17 time in Saskatchewan and (I've been  
18 told) that the RCMP have interviewed  
19 this man twice,' Harvard said. 'What we  
01:58 20 have here is an investigation on two  
21 fronts, but no concrete action has been  
22 taken and meanwhile Milgaard remains in  
23 jail.'"

24 And then it goes on to quote you about having  
01:59 25 tracked this evidence down, etcetera. So, at





1           this time, I take it that John Harvard became  
2           aware of the investigation from you; correct?

3       A       Oh yes, I had filled him in on everything we had  
4           been doing and what we had found out.

01:59 5       Q       And is it correct that you told him not to  
6           disclose this investigation publicly?

7       A       That's correct.

8       Q       And why was that?

9       A       Because of what we'd been told.

01:59 10      Q       By -- by whom and what?

11      A       By what -- the information that David got back  
12           from Pearson.

13      Q       Oh, I see, so it was the -- at this time you were  
14           prepared to let Sergeant Pearson do some  
01:59 15           investigation work --

16      A       Yes.

17      Q       -- and not publicly disclose either the  
18           investigation or Larry Fisher's name?

19      A       Yeah, give him the chance to have the time with  
01:59 20           Fisher.

21      Q       And would you have been aware that he had actually  
22           met with and interviewed Fisher and was seeking to  
23           obtain information from him that might assist in  
24           establishing that he was the culprit?

02:00 25      A       I may have known that at the time I talked to John



1 Harvard.

2 Q And would that have been one of your concerns,  
3 that --

4 A Yes.

02:00 5 Q -- publicizing this might adversely affect  
6 Sergeant Pearson's ability -- or his relationship  
7 with Mr. Fisher?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And were you aware of a concern about, that Mr.  
02:00 10 Fisher might have if he heard publicly that either  
11 he was being investigated by name or that someone  
12 in the Prince Albert Penitentiary, etcetera,  
13 etcetera, had been investigated, so that the  
14 inmates and the prison staff would know who it  
02:00 15 was; was that --

16 A Right, that was a concern, I didn't want Larry  
17 Fisher to be killed before we could bring him to  
18 Justice.

19 Q And was that a concern that was expressed to you,  
02:00 20 that if information became public that he was  
21 being investigated --

22 A That could happen.

23 Q -- that could happen? Yes?

24 A Yes, that that could happen.

02:00 25 Q And so that was one of the reasons that you did



1 not want it publicized?

2 A That's correct.

3 Q Now, notwithstanding the fact that you were  
4 co-operating with Federal Justice and Sergeant  
01:59 5 Pearson in not publicizing the investigation of  
6 Fisher or his name, is it correct, though, that  
7 you continued your own investigation?

8 A I did.

9 Q If we can call up 336810, or 336804 is the  
01:59 10 transcript, and go to page 810, and this is I  
11 think a phone call with Mr. Harvard shortly after  
12 when he asks you:

13 "Did I do all right?"

14 And you say:

02:00 15 "You did very well. The only thing that  
16 I'm concerned with was the question you  
17 asked in The House. We didn't want the  
18 RCMP investigation brought out."

19 And Harvard says:

02:00 20 "Well, David thinks it's now the best  
21 thing now, so --"

22 "Yes, I guess in hindsight it probably  
23 is, but I was just terrified when I  
24 heard it because I thought well, what if  
02:00 25 this guy does something crazy, like



1                   commit suicide or something, you know?"

2           And Harvard says:

3                   "Well, so what."

4                   "Well, I don't know. I would have loved  
5                   to have had a confession and have it  
6                   wrapped up, you know."

7                   "Well, to me, I don't think that -- you  
8                   know, they are parallel investigations,  
9                   but I don't think they should be drawn  
02:00 10                  together."

11           Would this David that he was talking about, would  
12           that be David Asper or your son David?

13       A           That would have been David Asper.

14       Q           And so this would have been a conversation shortly  
02:00 15           after Mr. Harvard brought this up in the house?

16       A           Correct.

17       Q           Or in the committee. And am I correct that he  
18           would have talked to David Asper and maybe got  
19           different instructions about releasing this or do  
02:01 20           you know what he's referring to there?

21       A           No, I do not. I don't think that David Asper gave  
22           him instructions to release information though, I  
23           think that just sort of came out.

24       Q           From Mr. Harvard?

02:01 25       A           Yes. That was the feeling that I got about this.



1 Q Okay. If we can now go to 336804. Sorry, go to  
2 159867, please, and this is an article by Dan Lett  
3 May 12, 1990 that just talks about Markesteyn, Dr.  
4 Markesteyn doing his review, and when you decided  
02:02 5 to go ahead with the report, I think at this point  
6 you were giving everything you had to the media;  
7 is that right?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And so here this is the type of story that you  
02:02 10 would want out there, that you've now got someone  
11 else looking at the case?

12 A Absolutely.

13 Q Go back to 336804 and this is a transcript of, I  
14 think it's in early May, 1990 with Mr. Asper, if  
02:02 15 you could go to page 825, and I think this is a  
16 discussion around the time Kim Campbell came to  
17 Winnipeg, and I think what the record reflects is  
18 that, and the evidence we've heard is that you  
19 became aware that Kim Campbell was coming to  
02:03 20 Winnipeg, I think for something related to Meech  
21 Lake or some other subject?

22 A Yes, it was for Meech Lake.

23 Q And it's my understanding, and please correct me  
24 if I'm wrong, that you, being you, and Mr. Asper  
02:03 25 and perhaps others viewed this as an opportunity



1 to do a couple of things, one, for you to provide  
2 a copy of the Ferris report to Kim Campbell, but  
3 secondly, to get some media coverage of you  
4 confronting the minister; is that correct?

02:03 5 A That's correct. We had -- we contacted, I  
6 contacted, or David contacted, between us, every  
7 media person that was already involved in the  
8 case, as to where I was going to be with my  
9 daughter at that time so that they would cover it.

02:04 10 Q And again, so this would be in advance knowing she  
11 was coming and that you were going to -- and I  
12 think confront was the word used in the media or  
13 elsewhere, and is that what it was?

14 A No. From my point of view I was going to hand her  
02:04 15 this report. I was going to -- they kept saying  
16 that she had never seen it and I can't tell you  
17 how excited I was that day that she was going to  
18 be there, and I know it's totally unrealistic now  
19 when I look back at it, but I thought I would hand  
02:04 20 her the report and she would accept it graciously  
21 and say she would look at it and she would look at  
22 it and that would be it, and when she pushed me  
23 aside and said, "Don't talk to me, Mrs. Milgaard."  
24 It was, well, one of the most devastating moments  
02:05 25 of my life. I know my daughter was there and she



1           tried to comfort me, but it was very, very  
2           difficult.

3           Q       And was there any reason that you gave her a copy  
4           of the Ferris report as opposed to Deborah Hall's  
02:05 5           affidavit?

6           A       Well --

7           Q       Or some other --

8           A       Well, the Ferris report was the new information  
9           that we felt was, had proved his innocence.

02:05 10          Q       And again, as far as the -- and I take it from  
11          your answer about contacting the media, that that  
12          was a desired effect. Was there any reason you  
13          didn't arrange to privately meet with her and  
14          provide her the report or was having the media  
02:05 15          there to cover it part of the strategy?

16          A       Oh, I think it was definitely part of the  
17          strategy, but we had no idea that she would react  
18          the way she did.

19          Q       And so again part of the strategy in what respect,  
02:06 20          what were you hoping to gain about having the  
21          media there to capture this exchange between you  
22          and Kim Campbell?

23          A       I think it was for them to witness the fact that  
24          I, as a mother, was approaching this, approaching  
02:06 25          her.



1 Q And so -- but again, for what purpose, so that --

2 A That the public could see that we -- what we were  
3 trying to do.

4 Q And if we could just go through, this is the  
02:06 5 transcript of your discussion with David Asper,  
6 and I think you ask about the Ferris report and:

7 "I'll have to dig it out."

8 And he says:

9 "I wouldn't even worry about that. What  
02:06 10 I would suggest you do, if you go and  
11 confront her --"

12 And you say:

13 "I want to have an envelope from you to  
14 give her."

02:06 15 And Asper says:

16 "You just give her the Ferris Report.  
17 Don't even put it in an envelope. Let  
18 the media see that it's the Ferris  
19 Report, and hand it to her and say, "if  
02:06 20 your officials won't give this to you, I  
21 am. I will."

22 And you say:

23 "Okay. If I've got a Ferris report  
24 left?"

02:07 25 And get on with it. So that would be the plan,





1 to just sort of publicly in front of the media  
2 say "your officials won't give you this, so I  
3 am"?

4 A Right.

02:07 5 Q Did you have a concern at the time that she might  
6 react unfavourably to that type of approach, was  
7 that --

8 A It was totally unexpected to me. David may have  
9 had thoughts that way, like, he may have had a  
02:07 10 better understanding, but I thought it was woman  
11 to woman, I thought it would work, and it was a  
12 disaster.

13 Q Now, David Asper described it differently and he  
14 said that it was a significant turning point in  
02:07 15 the campaign because it gave your media campaign  
16 something that would play well with -- I can't  
17 recall his exact words, but play well with the  
18 public because it pitted the mother against the  
19 minister and he felt that from a public relations  
02:08 20 perspective it was a great piece of information.  
21 I'm paraphrasing, but you recall him giving that  
22 evidence?

23 A Oh, yes, and I'm not saying that I didn't think of  
24 it that way because people responded to it  
02:08 25 effectively and came, we got all kinds of



1 volunteers and all kinds of helpers as a result of  
2 this, but in my mind I'll always remember her  
3 brushing me aside and in my mind it was a  
4 disaster. It wasn't until I saw the media reports  
02:08 5 and every time anything came up about our case,  
6 whatever it was, the first thing that they would  
7 show would be Kim Campbell brushing me aside and  
8 that was good.

9 Q And was part of your strategy then, is to portray  
02:08 10 to the public that Kim Campbell was someone who  
11 wasn't trustworthy and someone who wasn't dealing  
12 with this appropriately, or what were you trying  
13 to portray with Kim Campbell?

14 A We were trying to portray what was happening, that  
02:09 15 the Justice Department wasn't listening and wasn't  
16 doing anything and was not acting appropriately we  
17 felt, and of course we had no control over the  
18 fact that it preceded every news interview or  
19 anything that we did, but that was just -- it sort  
02:09 20 of took over the campaign, if you will.

21 Q And again, I think you said you contacted  
22 everybody, you and Mr. Asper, and we have seen  
23 some transcripts where the calls were made  
24 basically saying lookit, do not tip off the  
02:09 25 minister's office that we're going to be there,



1 just show up and be there; is that right?

2 A Basically that was it, it was we're doing this and  
3 we want to let you know, and most of them were  
4 very supportive, so I honestly, Mr. Hodson, cannot  
02:10 5 recall even suggesting to them don't tip off.

6 Q Yeah, maybe that was Mr. Asper. I recall, and I  
7 can't put my finger on it, but I recall, and it  
8 may have been a taped discussion with you and a  
9 media person, in fact, I think that's what it was,  
02:10 10 that said lookit, it's going to be tomorrow, but  
11 if she finds out I'm going to be there with this,  
12 I don't want her to sort of go to another location  
13 or change her plans.

14 A Right.

02:10 15 Q Do you remember something like that?

16 A Something like that, but I would never say it in  
17 the way you just said it.

18 Q I'll maybe see if I can put -- I think the sense  
19 was that in alerting the media you did not want --

02:10 20 A -- to alert her.

21 Q Pardon me?

22 A I didn't want them to alert her.

23 Q Right. Is that fair, that's correct?

24 A That's fair.

02:10 25 Q And so that she would avoid her meeting with you?



1 A Correct.

2 Q You didn't want that to happen; is that --

3 A That's fair.

4 Q Okay. If we can go to 220898, please, and this is

02:11 5 one of many articles that came out after, this is

6 Dan Lett's, and "minister shuns Milgaard pleas",

7 and then there's a photograph here of you and Kim

8 Campbell, and we've got in the database many of

9 the news clips and I think you'll agree that it

02:11 10 was played in various media outlets on and after

11 this date; is that correct?

12 A Every time something came up pretty well.

13 Q And what this article indicates, it says:

14 "Campbell, in Winnipeg to address a

02:11 15 luncheon on Meech Lake, bolted as Joyce

16 Milgaard and her daughter Maureen

17 introduced themselves, saying she could

18 not personally accept any information

19 about the case."

02:11 20 And it quotes her, and I think this is accurate

21 from the news reports as well where she says:

22 "I'm sorry, but if you want your son to

23 have a fair hearing, don't approach me

24 personally," Campbell said, leaving the

02:12 25 Milgaards behind in stunned silence.



1 "I'm sorry, but I want her son to have a  
2 hearing that will withstand scrutiny.

3 I'm sorry."

4 And do you recall talking to Mr. Asper or Mr.  
02:12 5 Wolch to get them to explain what she may have  
6 been talking about here or did you ever  
7 understand why she was saying she couldn't deal  
8 with you directly?

9 A Well, yes, I believe Hersh said something about  
02:12 10 the fact that she had mistakenly put herself in  
11 the position of a judge and that a judge shouldn't  
12 be approached with evidence or something to that  
13 effect and that really wasn't her role.

14 Q And so again from your lawyers, did they tell you  
02:12 15 that it was okay for you to be contacting her  
16 directly and giving her information directly, or  
17 did they express any concerns to you about that?

18 A No, they didn't. I was encouraged to do it.

19 Q And again, as far as her response back, I think  
02:13 20 you say Mr. Wolch explained to you that he thought  
21 that Ms. Campbell mistakenly thought she was in a  
22 position of a judge and mistakenly thought that  
23 she couldn't deal with you directly?

24 A That's right.

02:13 25 Q And then if we can go back to the main page, it



1           says:

2                   "Milgaard, who was attempting to give  
3                   Campbell a copy of a forensic report by  
4                   a pathologist who cleared her son of  
02:13 5                   involvement in the crime, said she fears  
6                   the federal government is not giving the  
7                   case enough attention.

8                   The so-called Ferris report ...  
9                   states forensic evidence presented by  
02:13 10                  Crown prosecutors at the 1969 trial in  
11                  fact clears David of the crime.

12                  Campbell has repeatedly stated  
13                  she has not seen the Milgaard file and  
14                  will not until all the evidence has been  
02:14 15                  thoroughly researched and checked."

16           And then a quote:

17                   "The public has a right to know what's  
18                   going on," Milgaard said moments after  
19                   Campbell had departed. "My son should  
02:14 20                  be free."

21                   "This is being covered up.  
22                   There are people in high places who  
23                   don't want this, but we're not going  
24                   away."

02:14 25                  What did you mean by, "This is being covered up,"



1 and who are the people in high places who don't  
2 want this out? Can you elaborate on that?

3 A Well, I just felt at that time that I was being  
4 pushed back by everybody and that every time we  
02:14 5 seemed to get something we were being pushed back  
6 and I felt that things were being covered up, and  
7 the only people that could cover it up would be  
8 people in high places, so that was my assertion  
9 there.

02:14 10 Q But who, like, which department?

11 A I had no idea. All I knew, that we were being  
12 stopped at the pass, literally, every time in all  
13 the investigations and everything we were doing,  
14 and it goes back to again don't talk to Mrs.  
02:15 15 Milgaard, but when you have enough of those  
16 situations happen, you feel that they are  
17 dominating the whole situation and they couldn't,  
18 the policemen couldn't be doing it if they weren't  
19 sent out to do it, so somebody in high places  
02:15 20 would be sending them out, so that was my feeling,  
21 that they were trying to cover up and it had to be  
22 people in high places.

23 Q And would you be referring to Kim Campbell and the  
24 Department of Justice?

02:15 25 A No, I think at that time I was referring to



1 Saskatchewan officials.

2 Q And being who, the attorney --

3 A The Attorney General, all of those.

4 Q So --

02:15 5 A The Saskatchewan government, the police, the  
6 investigators, you know, officials.

7 Q And so the people, the police who investigated and  
8 the people who prosecuted David; is that --

9 A Yes, yes.

02:16 10 Q And so you felt that they were -- now, when you  
11 say, "There are people in high places who don't  
12 want this out," am I right that when you say "who  
13 don't want this out", are you talking about the  
14 Ferris report?

02:16 15 A No, not the Ferris report in particular, but  
16 really didn't want David out of prison.

17 Q Well, and I guess I'm trying to understand, and I  
18 appreciate that this is a news article that Mr.  
19 Lett wrote touching on your exchange with Kim  
02:16 20 Campbell, and in reading this --

21 A I could see you could read it that way, but I  
22 don't think that was meant that way.

23 Q Okay.

24 A I think that if there were -- what I was saying is  
02:16 25 that every time I would find something, it just





1           seemed like I was being pushed back all the time  
2           and trying to get my son free, I felt that the  
3           whole affair was being covered up, they were  
4           saying there's nothing there, there's nothing  
02:17 5           there and we're getting no response, and I really  
6           felt it was people in high places that were  
7           causing this block, if you will.

8           Q       If we can go to 159861, and this is May 15th,  
9                    1990, and I think the headline, "Saskatoon police  
02:17 10           ignoring evidence that sports Milgaard, mother  
11           says," and again maybe the headline, the headline  
12           seems to suggest that the Saskatoon police were  
13           involved in investigating the matter at this time?

14          A       It does look like that, but, you know --

02:18 15          Q       In reading the article, I think what you refer to  
16                    is -- actually, perhaps over here, that:

17                    "The police were told in 1980 by a  
18                    person close to the Prince Albert inmate  
19                    of his possible involvement in the case.  
02:18 20                    The city police did nothing."

21          A       Yeah, and that was when Linda Fisher went in in  
22                    1980.

23          Q       So that -- would that be, and again I know you  
24                    didn't write the headlines, and I understand that  
02:18 25                    maybe Mr. Lett didn't either, but would that be --



1           you would have given that information to Mr. Lett  
2           at this time, you would have given him everything  
3           on Linda Fisher I take it?

4           A       Oh, yes, he would have had all of that  
02:18 5           information.

6           Q       And then if we can scroll down, the headline,  
7           "Mother fears coverup by Saskatoon police," and  
8           you say:

9                    "However, Milgaard said she fears the  
02:19 10           police department may be trying to hide  
11           important information from federal  
12           investigators."

13           What were you referring to there?

14           A       Well, if you go down a little further, "That's the  
02:19 15           worst part of this --"

16           Q       I'm sorry, yeah.

17           A       "That's the worst part of this --" can you blow  
18           that up? I can't quite read it.

19           Q       Sure. I can read that:

02:19 20                    "That's the worst part of this, is that  
21           the person that I ended up investigating  
22           in Saskatoon just this year, had already  
23           been to the police and told them they  
24           knew who the real killer was, she said.

02:19 25                    "And they hadn't done anything



1 with it. They're hiding something.

2 Why?"

3 A Yeah. Well, this is why I felt that Linda had  
4 gone in there in 1980, she told them information  
02:19 5 that if they had followed up on then, something  
6 could have been done at that time, and so that's  
7 why I thought they were covering up because they  
8 did nothing.

9 Q And when you say cover-up, when you say cover-up,  
02:20 10 what is your meaning of that?

11 A Sweep it under the table, they swept it under the  
12 table, literally.

13 Q And so ignore it or --

14 A Ignore it, do nothing.

02:20 15 Q Or to take deliberate steps from preventing other  
16 people from seeing it?

17 A I think that I felt that there was a definite  
18 cover-up. Let's say -- I don't know, I've used  
19 that word a lot, I know, cover-up, but their  
02:20 20 covering up of the true facts. The facts that we  
21 were trying to bring out with Linda Fisher was  
22 that there was someone in the area that was  
23 committing rapes before and after Gail Miller and  
24 instead of them acting on that information and  
02:21 25 following it up, they did nothing about it. To me



1           that was, they were covering up their bad, shoddy  
2           work from before.

3           Q       And when you use the word cover-up, do you, is  
4           your meaning that there's been some wrong, known  
02:21 5           wrongdoing in the first place; in other words --  
6           let me give you an example. You talk about the  
7           Linda Fisher statement in 1980, going into the  
8           police, and you talk about them ignoring it or  
9           sweeping it away, if the police got the  
02:21 10          information and did not look at it, and maybe  
11          should have looked at it but didn't look at it,  
12          just put it away and did nothing.

13          A       Well, that wasn't good police work.

14          Q       Yeah. And would you say that's a cover-up when  
02:21 15          you use --

16          A       No, that would not be good police work.

17          Q       And the second scenario would be where if in 1980  
18          the police saw this information and said, well, we  
19          know that David Milgaard is innocent and that  
02:22 20          Larry Fisher is the guilty person but we don't  
21          want anybody to find that out because then they  
22          will find out that we convicted the wrong person  
23          and therefore will hide it to make sure that no  
24          one else sees it, is that -- when you use -- is  
02:22 25          that the type of cover-up, that deliberate steps



1 to cover up known misconduct, or somewhere in the  
2 middle?

3 A Somewhere in the middle I think, I don't think I  
4 was way up there, but I knew that something was  
02:22 5 happening and I didn't know what.

6 Q And would it be correct that people were not  
7 seeing -- the police were not seeing the case the  
8 same way you saw it; correct?

9 A That's correct.

02:22 10 Q And so --

11 A And I couldn't understand why they couldn't see  
12 it. I couldn't understand why Mr. Karst, who was  
13 the one that interviewed everyone and went down  
14 and he was involved in Gail Miller's murder  
02:22 15 investigation all the way through and then he went  
16 down and he got this information with Fisher, now,  
17 it just was totally unreasonable to me that that  
18 information didn't, holy, just be high, high up on  
19 the radar saying we have made a terrible mistake  
02:23 20 here, what are we going to do about it, and going  
21 back and doing nothing about it, so to me that's  
22 when the cover-up started.

23 Q When the Fisher information --

24 A Yes.

02:23 25 Q And when you say that's when the cover-up started,



1 and I think you have expressed this later on in  
2 some of the media reports, would that be a case of  
3 saying you believed that the police knew they had  
4 convicted an innocent person and took steps to  
02:23 5 cover that up?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And so that -- and that, you started thinking that  
8 when, when you became aware of what?

9 A When I became aware of Larry Fisher and the fact  
02:24 10 that he was interviewed by the Saskatoon police.

11 Q In Winnipeg?

12 A In Winnipeg, and that's when everything really  
13 boiled up.

14 Q And so at that point your views changed a bit to  
02:24 15 the point that said okay, I think people did  
16 deliberate wrong here?

17 A Yes, and then when I found out that, through the  
18 source that now has proved unreliable, that they  
19 actually had the Fisher file and the Milgaard file  
02:24 20 together with Kujawa and Romanow and they had all  
21 of this information together and then it was  
22 buried.

23 Q And you are talking about Mr. Breckenridge?

24 A Yes.

02:24 25 Q So again, did that -- that -- that --



1           A           Those suspicions, if you will, all the time, they  
2                       kept getting bigger and bigger and bigger until  
3                       right here we're now in 1990 and sure I'm saying I  
4                       think there was a cover-up, because I did.

02:25 5           Q           If we can go into mid May, 1990, I want to talk  
6                       now about the interviews of Cadrain, Wilson and  
7                       John and Paul Henderson, and we've talked about  
8                       this a bit, we've gone through these interviews in  
9                       detail with Mr. Henderson and a number of other  
02:25 10           witnesses, but can you tell us what, why May 25,  
11                      1990 did you, your group, send out Paul Henderson  
12                      as opposed to May 25, 1986, and forget the May  
13                      date, but why in May of 1990, what caused your  
14                      group to say, well, now let's go interview Wilson,  
02:25 15           John and Cadrain as opposed to 1986, '87, '88,  
16                      '89?

17           A           I don't know. I honestly can't tell you.

18           Q           And would you have been involved in the  
19                       decision-making about doing the interviews or was  
02:26 20           that Mr. Asper, Mr. Wolch, Mr. Henderson or how  
21                       did that come about?

22           A           No, I would have been involved in that. Can you  
23                       take me to some documentation?

24           Q           I'll go through some documents, yeah. I mean, the  
02:26 25           documents, I can tell you what we'll go through,



1           you and Mr. Asper discussing who to get to do the  
2           interviews, the discussions with Mr. Henderson  
3           about the interviews, but I haven't been able to  
4           find any document that says, well, here's why  
02:26 5           we're going to do this now. That doesn't mean  
6           there's not one out there, but -- so again, can  
7           you --

8           A        I think we were -- I think you just have to go  
9           back and realize what we were doing, we were going  
02:27 10           through it step by step, year by year doing  
11           whatever seemed the right thing to do at the right  
12           time.

13          Q        And we have already gone through the early '80  
14           interviews of, your interviews of Nichol John, Ron  
02:27 15           Wilson and Peter Carlyle-Gordge's of Albert  
16           Cadrain. Do you recall whether you would have  
17           made those transcripts and tapes available to Paul  
18           Henderson?

19                   COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: To who?

02:27 20                   MR. HODSON: Paul Henderson.

21          A        I think he would have, he would not have had them  
22           available when we went up to interview Linda  
23           Fisher, okay, because we didn't have time for  
24           anything, he knew absolutely nothing, and from the  
02:27 25           time that I picked him up in Saskatoon until the





1           time we got to Cando, he was busy writing notes  
2           about, which he subsequently lost, of everything  
3           that we were talking about.

4           BY MR. HODSON:

02:28 5           Q           And I think Mr. Henderson's evidence, and I stand  
6           to be corrected on this, but I think Mr.  
7           Henderson's evidence is that he was not aware, nor  
8           did he see the early interviews of Cadrain, Wilson  
9           and John, the '81 to '83 interviews.

02:28 10          A           I'm sure that's quite possible.

11          Q           And again, do you know, was there a reason that he  
12          was not provided with that information?

13          A           Well, it all happened so quickly.

14          Q           Okay.

02:28 15          A           He was just coming and we were going.

16          Q           And so --

17          A           And don't forget, Centurion Ministries had not  
18          taken up the case, so he wasn't prepped, he wasn't  
19          prepared, he didn't have the normal things that  
02:28 20          would have happened if they had the case.

21          Q           And do you recall at this time, again May of 1990,  
22          would you have gone back and listened to those  
23          tapes or reviewed the transcripts of the Cadrain,  
24          Wilson and John interviews, or is that something  
02:29 25          that had been --



1 A That was the past. We were on a fresh  
2 investigation. I don't think I would have.

3 Q If you can call up 336785, and this is a  
4 conversation between you and Mr. Asper, go to page  
02:29 5 793, and I've gone through most of this with Mr.  
6 Asper, this is a discussion about who you are  
7 going to bring in, someone from Saskatoon into the  
8 picture, you say:

9 "Well the only thing I was thinking is  
02:30 10 that a local person would find them much  
11 faster than anybody else."

12 And earlier on I think it's talking about Wilson,  
13 John and Cadrain.

14 A Uh-huh.

02:31 15 Q And then get someone else to do the actual visit,  
16 so is it correct that you and Mr. Asper would have  
17 discussed this first, about going out and  
18 interviewing --

19 A Yes.

02:32 20 Q -- these people? And then on the right-hand side,  
21 bottom right, and we go on, it goes on to talk  
22 about two people in Winnipeg, two police officers.  
23 And if we can go to the next page, and he says:

24 "... and if you tell them what you want,  
02:32 25 they'll get it."



1 And he says:

2 "Even if what they're getting is a lie,  
3 they'll get it.

4 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Oh, well, we don't  
5 want that.

6 MR. DAVID ASPER: Well listen, umm, we  
7 don't know that it's a lie, first of  
8 all.

9 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: No, but I mean --

10 MR. DAVID ASPER: But, I mean, if you get  
11 Cadrain saying "umm, of course I didn't  
12 say anything, I was afraid that Fisher  
13 was gonna kill me", --

14 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Uh-huh.

15 MR. DAVID ASPER: -- we take that down and  
16 we run with it, whether it's true or  
17 not, because, you know, how are we to  
18 know whether it's true or not.

19 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Hmm, well I think the  
20 only way, we've just got to be very  
21 truthful in everything."

22 And scroll down, please.

23 A And, of course, that was my feeling at that time.

24 I was working with a statement from the *Bible*, "Ye  
02:33 25 shall know the truth and the truth shall make you



1 free", and I -- it was important that the truth  
2 was right there and that we were being truthful in  
3 what we were doing.

4 Q And so again, as far as getting information from  
02:33 5 Albert Cadrain, you wanted to get the truth from  
6 him?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Whatever it might be?

9 A Whatever it was, but I didn't want to be telling  
02:33 10 lies about anything.

11 Q And you say:

12 "... make any mileage for ourself by  
13 lying.

14 MR. DAVID ASPER: No, no, I'm saying --

15 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Or taking lies.

16 MR. DAVID ASPER: No, no, I'm saying if we  
17 get a statement from these people --

18 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Uh-huh.

19 MR. DAVID ASPER: -- we don't know whether  
20 they're telling the truth or not.

21 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: I realize that. But,  
22 you know, anyhow --

23 MR. DAVID ASPER: I mean if they say, if  
24 they give us a statement denying that  
25 there was any impropriety, how do we



1 know that that's the truth?

2 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: I see what you are  
3 saying. Well --

4 MR. DAVID ASPER: You know, so you know,  
5 we're not sitting as judge on these  
6 statements. So that, you know, whoever  
7 we send there, we say -- we tell them up  
8 front --

9 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Uh-huh.

10 MR. DAVID ASPER: -- what our theory is, I  
11 mean we have to because they don't know  
12 anything about the case.

13 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Well to me, if you're  
14 gonna have someone up there, you could  
15 -- you know, like I don't know what  
16 these guys get in Canada ...",

17 and you start talking about expenses. Now do you  
18 recall, and I went through this with Mr. Asper,  
19 do you have a recollection of what -- what was  
02:34 20 your take on this conversation or your  
21 understanding about this discussion about  
22 getting, even if what they're getting is a lie,  
23 they'll get it?

24 A Well, it's obvious from my discussion with it that  
02:34 25 I -- I think, knowing David Asper, that he was



1 prepared to put whatever we got from the witness  
2 out there. I don't know that he would knowingly  
3 have done it if it was a lie, but I think that he  
4 felt it was important to get the evidence out  
02:35 5 there, and that if they came up with some story  
6 that we didn't know for sure would support but  
7 that would get the case re-opened, that the end  
8 justified the means type of thing, that if he was  
9 getting the case opened, even if the evidence  
02:35 10 subsequently proved not to be true, that if it  
11 opened the door to prove what we knew was true  
12 that it would be all right, and I guess my feeling  
13 was wanting to go with McCloskey.

14 Q Okay. If we could just go back to the left-hand  
02:35 15 side, please. And so again on that point, that if  
16 you got a statement from Albert Cadrain saying "I  
17 didn't say anything, I was afraid that Fisher was  
18 going to kill me", and as Asper stays:

19 "... we take that down and we run with  
02:36 20 it, whether it's true or not ...",  
21 that a statement like that, if Albert Cadrain  
22 said "lookit, I lied because I was afraid of  
23 Fisher" --

24 A Well, I had thought that would be a terrific idea,  
02:36 25 when he said that.



1 Q And that that would get some attention, --

2 A Uh-huh.

3 Q -- it might get the case re-opened, --

4 A Right.

02:36 5 Q -- but if it wasn't true --

6 A I guess I was very strong in wanting to just go  
7 with the truth on everything, but I could see his  
8 point.

9 Q And did you understand his point to be that even  
02:36 10 if it wasn't true, if it was a statement that  
11 would open the eyes of the authorities or get some  
12 attention, that it was all right to do?

13 A Yes. But I wouldn't do it.

14 Q And then we go on a discussion about, I think you  
02:36 15 ended up deciding to go with Mr. McCloskey and Mr.  
16 Henderson --

17 A That's right.

18 Q -- as opposed to someone local?

19 A That's correct.

02:37 20 Q If we can then go to 337359. And this is a  
21 follow-up discussion with, I think this is again  
22 May of '90, and this is a discussion of Mr.  
23 Henderson. Go to page 360. And I think this is a  
24 subsequent conversation from the one I just showed  
02:37 25 you, and he says:



1 "... in my view it would be great, and  
2 I don't know how open he is to this,  
3 ...",

4 and, again, he is talking about McCloskey:

02:38 5 "... but it would be a very positive  
6 starting point for them to have a chat  
7 with Cadrain and get a statement from  
8 Cadrain to the ex -- sort of saying, I  
9 mean I was talking to Hersh about this,  
10 and, you know, I mean it would be just  
11 wonderful if we could get a statement  
12 from Cadrain saying "yeah, I knew it was  
13 Fisher, lived in the basement, umm, you  
14 know, I didn't want to say anything  
15 about it because I figured he'd kill  
16 me", you know, something like that, and  
17 then "I saw Fisher come home with blood  
18 on him".

19 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Oh yeah.

20 MR. DAVID ASPER: You know, something like  
21 that.

22 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Well that would be  
23 nice.

24 MR. DAVID ASPER: And then "and that, you  
25 know, when the cops came and asked me





1                   about Milgaard, you know, it was easy  
2                   for me to get off the hook with Fisher  
3                   by just pointing it at Milgaard".

4                   MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD:   Wouldn't that be  
02:38 5                   great?",

6                   and --

7           A        These were, you know, off-the-wall conversations  
8                   that we had a lot of the time.  Like I look at  
9                   these and I think they were our dream  
02:38 10                  conversations, these are what we would see coming  
11                  in our dreams, like that we would do this and we'd  
12                  do that.  And David and I would talk on the phone,  
13                  it quite often could be 2:00 or 3:00 in the  
14                  morning when we had these phone calls, and after a  
02:39 15                  long day, and we're talking about all the things,  
16                  and then when -- you know, David would throw in  
17                  these comments:

18                         "And then ... when the cops came and  
19                         asked me about Milgaard ... it was easy  
20                         for me to get off the hook.",  
21                   and "oh, wouldn't that be great", but you had to  
22                   actually hear the tape, I think, to get that  
23                   context there.  A lot of the comments that come  
24                   out, Mr. Hodson, are joking comments too, and I  
02:39 25                  think you're losing them.



1 Q Okay. Well no, and if you can tell me your  
2 understanding and the context, please do?

3 A Yeah.

4 Q And so on this call, tell me, what would be the  
02:39 5 nature of the discussion then?

6 A It's sort of an idea-storming, a --

7 Q And again --

8 A Kooky ideas that might work, and stuff like that,  
9 trying to build up, I don't know, maybe build up  
02:40 10 hope.

11 Q And was this a theory that you had at the time,  
12 that maybe that's what Albert Cadrain saw or maybe  
13 that's what happened?

14 A Could have been. We didn't know, and we were  
02:40 15 guessing, we were just going through our minds  
16 with every possibility that there could be.

17 Q And I think what Mr. Asper said when I asked him  
18 about this area was that if a witness were to say  
19 that, even if it weren't true, that it might have  
02:40 20 some benefit as well, saying as long as it's not  
21 put forward as the truth of the statement, in  
22 other words "here is what Albert Cadrain is now  
23 saying, he's now saying that it was really Larry  
24 Fisher and he was afraid of Larry Fisher", and  
02:40 25 that that statement, even if it's not true, might



1 have some benefit to the authorities?

2 A It would have benefit because it would show how he  
3 shifted, and even his latest statements at the  
4 Supreme Court, it was obvious that he was really  
02:41 5 out of it with all the exaggerations and  
6 everything he put in, but they used them anyhow.

7 Q This continues on, where Mr. Asper says:

8 "... I mean you can even lead him to  
9 believe that, ...",

02:41 10 and again we're talking about Albert Cadrain and  
11 the Fisher story:

12 "... lead him to believe that, even if  
13 he's lying, you know, you can, you can  
14 have a chat with him to plant the story  
15 in his mind, you know, to give him an  
16 easy out from the questioning with  
17 McCloskey and, you know, I'm gonna say  
18 that to Jim."

19 And, again, would -- are you able to shed any  
02:41 20 light on the context of that discussion? Again,  
21 that's -- would that be brainstorming?

22 A I think so, I think we're just shooting ideas back  
23 and forth, and I think this is where we came up  
24 with the idea of seeing Nichol and Wilson again.  
02:42 25 And that's, you know, just because we chat at



1 night about all these things, and I think that's  
2 where a lot of our ideas came from, when we would  
3 talk back and forth.

4 Q If we can go to 337359. And this is a discussion,  
02:42 5 now a bit later in May of 1990 involving Mr.  
6 Henderson, and if we can go to page 378, and this  
7 is a discussion involving you and Mr. Asper and  
8 Mr. Henderson about who's going to do the  
9 interviews. And do you recall there being some  
02:42 10 discussion about you being present at the  
11 interviews with Paul Henderson as both a factual  
12 resource and, I think, playing the mother card?

13 A Correct.

14 Q And that it was decided that that would not be the  
02:43 15 best route to go; is that correct?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And it looks like, at this time, that -- and you'd  
18 mentioned this earlier -- that Mr. Henderson,  
19 after his initial involvement with Linda Fisher,  
02:43 20 am I right that he went back to Centurion and that  
21 --

22 A Back to his own business.

23 Q Right. And, at that time, it wasn't clear that he  
24 was going to get called back in?

02:43 25 A That's correct.



1 Q And so here he's, it looks like this is the call  
2 where you are setting up him coming in to do the  
3 interviews, and there is a discussion here -- if  
4 we can just scroll down -- about sending out by  
02:43 5 Fed Ex the transcripts from the preliminary  
6 hearing and the trial, and I think Mr. Henderson  
7 told us that he would not have had that  
8 information at that time; correct?

9 A Yes.

02:44 10 Q And so when Paul Henderson went out to see the  
11 Cadrains and Ron Wilson, Nichol John, I think the  
12 evidence is he would have got the transcripts from  
13 the trial and the prelim of those witnesses; is  
14 that right?

02:44 15 A I think he would have at that time.

16 Q If we can go to the next page. And this is a  
17 discussion, and I went through this with both Mr.  
18 Henderson and Mr. Asper about the comment, Mr.  
19 Asper says:

20 "I think, you know, and -- and, Paul, I  
21 think that the first person that we  
22 should go to is Cadrain. I think that  
23 he's probably the most likely to  
24 respond, umm, to the new scenario, that  
25 being, umm, "we understand that you were



1                   scared of Fisher, we know that Fisher  
2                   did it, and you can be a hero or a bum"  
3                   --"

02:44 4                   Let me just pause there. And I -- do you  
5                   remember that being that Mr. Henderson and Mr.  
6                   Asper both talked about the need to have these  
7                   witnesses have an out, sort of a reason?  
8           A        Yes. I think that the fact that, if they would  
9                   know that we've now discovered Fisher and all the  
02:45 10                  information about it, that it was important for  
11                  them to come forward now in light of this new  
12                  information, and so they could explain what  
13                  happened with their stories and why they said what  
14                  they said at the time.

02:45 15           Q       And, again, this -- this word "new scenario", was  
16                   that sort of an idea at the time that you maybe  
17                   thought that Albert Cadrain was frightened of  
18                   Fisher and that that's why he lied?

19           A        We had no idea, but that could have been one of  
02:45 20                  the new scenarios, that -- that could have been  
21                  some of the things that actually happened.

22           Q        And then at the bottom there is a comment about,  
23                   Asper says:

24                               "... because he's not, umm, all there I  
25                               don't think.



1 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: He's not real bright.

2 MR. PAUL HENDERSON: Uh-huh.

3 MR. DAVID ASPER: You know, I think he can  
4 be shaped reasonably easily throughout  
5 the course of an interview with him, and  
6 once we get that, I mean, that's our ace  
7 in the hole. Then we go to the other  
8 two, who are tougher to crack a little  
9 bit I think, and say "not only do we  
10 know who did it but Cadrain now agrees."

11 And was that one of the strategies, to try and  
12 get one of those three, Cadrain, Wilson and John,  
13 to change their statement, and then use that to  
14 get the other two, in other words get one and  
02:46 15 then go to the other two and say "lookit, Albert  
16 now has recanted, he has changed his story, and  
17 therefore you better as well or you should as  
18 well"?

19 A Yes, because we believed that -- I mean we're  
02:46 20 starting from the premise -- the way you've put  
21 it, it sounds horrible -- but we're starting from  
22 the premise that these people lied, because we are  
23 starting from the premise that they all told the  
24 truth when they were first interviewed, --

02:47 25 Q Right?



1 A -- Nichol John and Wilson, and the truth was that  
2 they saw nothing. Now if we're going back to them  
3 and saying, "look, we know now who the real killer  
4 is, and it wasn't David, so here's your chance of  
02:47 5 coming forward and telling the truth of what  
6 really happened and how you felt pressured into  
7 saying what you said", or giving them a way out  
8 that they aren't going to look bad.

9 Q Okay. And so, again, on the -- but, again, back  
02:47 10 to starting with one and getting that statement, I  
11 --

12 A I think that would have been the easiest thing,  
13 was we felt that --

14 Q Yeah.

02:47 15 A -- it might be easiest to start with Albert.

16 Q And I wasn't suggesting anything nefarious, but  
17 getting one person to say "okay, I lied, and  
18 here's the truth"?

19 A Yes.

02:47 20 Q Would it be fair to say that that would assist you  
21 in approaching the other two, to say "we now know  
22 that one of your friends has now recanted", and  
23 that that might influence the others to also  
24 recant?

02:48 25 A And tell --





1 Q And tell the truth?

2 A And tell the truth, yeah.

3 Q Okay.

4 A We were after the truth.

02:48 5 Q If we could go to 156873. And this would be the  
6 May 22, 1990 letter to Mike Brecht at Robinson  
7 Investigations to find these people, so this would  
8 be the time frame, and then I think around this  
9 time you are having the calls with Mr. Henderson.  
02:48 10 So he is called out on the, I think on the 24th,  
11 and on the 22nd you were asking Mr. Brecht to find  
12 these people; correct?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And so did this happen fairly quickly, this  
02:49 15 decision to go out and find these people, it looks  
16 like it --

17 A It did, I think.

18 Q -- came up? If we can go to 016475. And I think  
19 the evidence we've heard is that Paul Henderson  
02:49 20 phoned Dennis Cadrain from Saskatoon, interviewed  
21 him on the phone, and then went out to Coquitlam,  
22 B.C. on May 26th, interviewed Dennis and Albert  
23 Cadrain, at that time got a statement from Dennis  
24 Cadrain, and then I think met separately or took  
02:49 25 Albert out for a steak dinner and then moved on;



1 is that correct?

2 A That's correct.

3 Q And he would have phoned you from B.C. with the  
4 results of this statement?

02:49 5 A Yes, he did.

6 Q And we have been through this statement on a  
7 number of occasions but I just want to touch on a  
8 couple of parts. If you go to the next page, and  
9 Dennis says:

02:50 10 "At some point it became  
11 apparent to me that Albert had given  
12 police information that they planned to  
13 use against David Milgaard. At the time  
14 I had no reason to believe that what  
02:50 15 Albert told police was not true. Later  
16 I came to have serious concerns about my  
17 brother's credibility.

18 Knowing my brother as I do, I  
19 am certain that he would not  
02:50 20 intentionally lie about anything. But I  
21 also know that he is prone to  
22 exaggeration and suggestion, and that he  
23 could easily be coerced and manipulated  
24 by police. If ideas were planted in  
02:50 25 Albert's mind it is quite possible that



1 he would come to accept them as the  
2 truth.

3 Frankly, I would not consider  
4 my brother to be a reliable witness ...

02:50 5 And for this reason, I have had concerns  
6 that David Milgaard may not have  
7 received a fair trial."

8 And then on the next page -- actually, sorry, the  
9 previous page at the bottom he says:

02:51 10 "I have additional insights  
11 into my brother Albert, that are  
12 pertinent ...",

13 next page:

14 "... to the Milgaard case. I prefer  
02:51 15 not to detail them in writing at this  
16 time but am willing to disclose them to  
17 the Justice Department or their  
18 representatives."

19 And I think what he was referring to there is  
02:51 20 what he told Paul Henderson in the interview,  
21 that Albert was suffering from mental illness, --

22 A Yes.

23 Q -- and was committed to the hospital I think in  
24 the early -- 1973, and that Dennis said that  
02:51 25 Albert's credibility was suspect, is that right,



1 because of his mental illness?

2 A That's correct.

3 Q And would that be the same type of information,  
4 then, that you would have got, or Mr.

02:51 5 Carlyle-Gordge would have got, in 1983? We went  
6 through that last week, you will recall his  
7 interview with Dennis Cadrain where Dennis Cadrain  
8 talked about Albert's mental illness, and --

9 A I don't think it was as strong.

02:52 10 Q And do you have a recollection of connecting the  
11 two, and when Mr. Henderson said "lookit, here's  
12 what Dennis Cadrain told us", and in thinking back  
13 and in any way connecting it to what Peter  
14 Carlyle-Gordge had got from Dennis Cadrain, or had  
02:52 15 that been something that was parked away?

16 A I think it was sort of parked away, but like it  
17 rang bells, because I remember some of the  
18 similarities to it.

19 Q And --

02:52 20 A But this was new, this was a statement that we  
21 had, this was one we were going to run with. We  
22 certainly weren't going to run with what Peter had  
23 done.

24 Q And why not?

02:52 25 A Because this was current, this was now.



1 Q Oh. And so again, that's what I am trying to find  
2 out, whether there was any -- was there a reason  
3 to say "lookit" -- other than obviously it would  
4 be about eight, seven years later --

02:53 5 A Yes, yeah.

6 Q -- so it's updated, but was there anything -- I'm  
7 trying to understand whether Peter's, Peter  
8 Carlyle-Gordge's interview of Dennis Cadrain  
9 played any part in the 1990 interview of Dennis  
02:53 10 Cadrain as sort of the basis of the interview, or  
11 was in any way used to corroborate or support the  
12 information obtained from Dennis Cadrain, or had  
13 it been sort of part of a previous investigation  
14 and not really looked at?

02:53 15 A I don't think it was looked at, but I mean that's  
16 a question that you would have to sort of put to  
17 Paul, if he had that other information. I don't  
18 believe that we did give it to him.

19 Q I think his evidence was that he didn't have it.

02:53 20 A No? Well then I would think it would be  
21 predicated on the fact that this was new, this was  
22 current, and this is what we were doing.

23 Q Is it fair to say that both Mr. Henderson and Mr.  
24 Asper were excited about -- I think "excited" is  
02:54 25 the right word -- about this information from



1 Dennis Cadrain, that -- and that I think what they  
2 both said is that they went out there hoping that  
3 Albert would recant, say "I didn't see any blood",  
4 he didn't do that, but what Mr. Henderson got was  
02:54 5 equally as good --

6 A Or better.

7 Q -- or better because it meant that his evidence  
8 was not reliable; is that fair?

9 A That's fair.

02:54 10 Q And, again, was that something that both Mr.  
11 Henderson and Mr. Asper thought was helpful?

12 A Yes, and I did too.

13 Q This is probably an appropriate spot to break.

14 (Adjourned at 2:54 p.m.)

02:54 15 (Reconvened at 3:14 p.m.)

16 BY MR. HODSON:

17 Q 050412. And this is, I think, a May 24, 1990  
18 conversation between Paul Henderson and Dennis  
19 Cadrain. If we can go to page 419, and this is  
03:14 20 the initial call with Mr. Henderson and Cadrain  
21 where he's trying to set up the interview, I  
22 think, and there's a remark here where Mr.  
23 Henderson says about Larry Fisher:

24 "The R.C.M.P. is talking to him ... they  
03:15 25 didn't want him talking seriously ...



1 Now, we've heard today that he's  
2 confessed. That may be true or may not  
3 be true, it may be a rumour, may be a  
4 fact ..."

03:15 5 And I think what Mr. Henderson said is he --  
6 someone would have told him that, he's not sure  
7 who, but I think he said either you or Mr. Asper,  
8 and I think Mr. Asper said he doesn't recall  
9 that; do you have any memory or recollection of  
03:15 10 thinking or hearing that Larry Fisher had  
11 confessed or was going to confess around that  
12 time?

13 A I don't believe that I had heard that he had  
14 confessed, because I would have been pretty  
03:15 15 excited about it if I'd heard it, and I would have  
16 remembered it. This may have been a tool he was  
17 using to -- that Larry, you know, "that Larry  
18 Fisher may be talking and that the real truth is  
19 going to come out", it may be a tool he is using,  
03:16 20 I don't know.

21 Q And go to 30184 -- or, I'm sorry, go to 301838.  
22 And I think this is a phone call between you and  
23 Mr. Henderson where he plays the tape, but if we  
24 go to 301844 and Mr. Henderson is talking to you,  
03:16 25 and he says:



1 "Shorty is just like ah' Jackie said,  
2 he's ah' you talk to him and he sounds  
3 okay until he starts getting on these  
4 wild impossible things. And that of  
03:17 5 course is what tipped off his brother a  
6 long time ago."

7 Now would the Jackie be, Jackie Groat; was that  
8 --

9 A Yes, and Jackie had experience with a child that,  
03:17 10 that had a mental impairment and had more or less  
11 said -- you know, we'd been talking about it and  
12 the challenges that he had faced and my perception  
13 of him, and she had mentioned the fact that they  
14 can get into a state of really wild accusations.

03:17 15 Q And so --

16 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: What was the last  
17 name of that person?

18 MR. HODSON: Groat, G-R-O-A-T, I think?

19 A Uh-huh.

20 MR. HODSON: Is that right?

21 BY MR. HODSON:

22 Q And so I take it that you had mentioned earlier  
23 about as being a friend that had helped you out.  
24 Did she have any dealings with any of the  
03:17 25 witnesses or was she involved in that way, or was





1 she simply --

2 A The only witness she had any involvement with was  
3 the other nurse murderer, she's the one that went  
4 up with me and witnessed my conversation with him.

03:18 5 Q Oh, Mahar?

6 A No, --

7 Q Lalonde?

8 A -- Lalonde, yes.

9 Q Okay. But as far as the witness --

03:18 10 A But we stayed with her, --

11 Q Right?

12 A -- with her and her husband, because our  
13 budget and my -- I didn't have the money to put us  
14 up anywhere.

03:18 15 Q No, no, I just was making sure that she had not,  
16 when I saw the name here I just wondered whether  
17 she had been --

18 A No.

19 Q -- helping you. Other than providing support  
03:18 20 while you were there she was not out directly  
21 involved in the investigation; is that right?

22 A That's correct, although her son Rick was, he --  
23 he was the one that went out and followed up on  
24 the church bells that were ringing.

03:18 25 Q At St. Mary's Church?



1           A           Yeah, when Nichol said that they were ringing, and  
2                       we found out that the bells had been removed prior  
3                       to that time.

4           Q           If we can go to 301846, please. And I think this  
03:19 5                       is where there is a discussion about Albert's  
6                       recollection of seeing blood, and Paul says:

7                       "And they're cemented in his mind.",  
8                       and you say:

9                       "So there was no way you could swing him  
03:19 10                      around?"

11           Henderson:

12                      "Well no, I wouldn't try to. That would  
13                      be manipulation and he's going to swing  
14                      right back."

03:19 15                      "No, no what you, what we have to do is  
16                      paint the picture of a guy who was not  
17                      in his right mind.",

18                      and then about the hospital records. So is it  
19                      correct that at this point, I think the strategy

03:19 20                      going in was Albert lied when he saw blood, that

21                      he was influenced by the police, remember us

22                      going through that last week, that the police

23                      somehow influenced him before he went in on March

24                      2nd, that the police influenced him to say he saw

03:19 25                      blood on David; correct?



1 A Yes, and also the dream sequence, where he would  
2 say that he was afraid of Fisher and --

3 Q Pardon me?

4 A And I say also our dream sequence where he said he  
03:20 5 was afraid of Fisher and had seen him do it, that  
6 type of thing.

7 Q And so now is it correct that, at this stage, it's  
8 saying, okay, well the way to deal with his  
9 evidence is to say that it's not credible because  
03:20 10 Mr. Cadrain is suffering from a mental illness,  
11 and had been for some time, and that would have  
12 influenced his evidence in some way?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And so that, rather than getting him to -- or  
03:20 15 trying to get him to recant and say "no, I didn't  
16 see blood", you would chalk it up to saying, okay,  
17 he may have saw -- may have seen what he saw was  
18 blood, or may have thought it, but he shouldn't be  
19 relied upon based on what his brother said and  
03:20 20 what he said?

21 A Yes, and based on what he said at the trial when  
22 he got into that Mafia bit and everything else,  
23 you know, Albert -- and the bathtubs and the  
24 virgins and all the things that he started  
03:21 25 throwing in there -- it was obvious that he was



1 just not credible.

2 Q And again, I'd asked you this question earlier,  
3 but is that -- was that your sense in 1983, from  
4 when Peter Carlyle-Gordge had interviewed him and  
03:21 5 talked to Dennis, that he was not credible?

6 A Absolutely.

7 Q And would this, then, be confirmation in 1990,  
8 then, similar information to what Mr.  
9 Carlyle-Gordge had obtained in '83 of a similar  
03:21 10 nature, in other words saying that he had mental  
11 illness problems --

12 A Yes.

13 Q -- and shouldn't have been relied on?

14 A And I think what Peter found out when he was doing  
03:21 15 the interview was just how mental it was, because  
16 he went off the wall on many of the comments to  
17 him.

18 Q Right, and we went through the aerial, broken  
19 aerial that he talked about in 1983?

03:21 20 A Yeah. And, I mean, he was telling his mum things  
21 like that and, I mean, there had never been an  
22 aerial on the car to break off, the car -- the  
23 radio hadn't been working, so he was obviously  
24 making things up.

03:20 25 Q So if we go to 048385, please, and this is a



1 further conversation, I think this is where you  
2 play the tape of your call with Mr. Henderson and  
3 you play it for Mr. Asper and record not only the  
4 tape, but your discussion with Mr. Asper, and if  
03:20 5 we can go to page 048396, and this is some  
6 discussion here, and again you and Mr. Asper, I  
7 think you and Mr. Asper go through not only, you  
8 go through the tape of Mr. Henderson where he  
9 describes not only Dennis Cadrain's statement, but  
03:21 10 some of the other information he obtained about  
11 Albert and his mental problems, and I think here's  
12 where you discuss, you know, that he:

13 "... was committed for shock treatment  
14 after the trial."

03:21 15 And then you say:

16 "I mean if we go public with that. What  
17 would the Justice Department do at that  
18 point?"

19 Asper:

03:21 20 "Let me sleep on this because it may be  
21 the type of thing where we send what we  
22 have to Justice with a letter."

23 "Saying um this is getting deeper and  
24 deeper and deeper and you people aren't  
03:21 25 doing anything about it."



1 And then down here:

2 "And we've had to. And and you've got  
3 seven days before we start trying this  
4 thing in the media. And we start making  
03:21 5 these, I mean what ever the words are,  
6 whatever the exact words."

7 "The message then is, here's here's what  
8 we found out while you guys have been  
9 loggy-gagging around. Here's your  
03:21 10 Makesteyn report. Here's the Cadrain  
11 stuff. Now get off your butt."

12 And you had talked earlier about the desire when  
13 you decided to say let's go to the media with  
14 public -- that going with information that would  
03:22 15 draw attention to your case?

16 A Correct.

17 Q And was this the type of information that Albert  
18 Cadrain, I think he was referred to as the star  
19 witness suffering from mental problems, and  
03:22 20 putting that out in the media, that would draw  
21 attention to your case and assist on the  
22 re-opening?

23 A Absolutely.

24 Q And here it looks like it's a discussion, should  
03:22 25 we send it to the media first or should we give it



1 justice; is that right?

2 A That's right.

3 Q And what was your thinking about how to proceed,  
4 whether it should go to the media first or --

03:22 5 A I think I would have to go to the end of this  
6 conversation to think, but I think I would have  
7 probably -- I was so fed up with Saskatchewan  
8 Justice and the justice of Canada, that at this  
9 point I probably wanted to just go to the media  
03:23 10 and get it out in the open and let everybody see  
11 what was going on.

12 Q And so again as far as your end goal, which was to  
13 get a remedy from the Federal minister getting  
14 your son out of jail, you would have felt that at  
03:23 15 this time going to the media, going public with  
16 the information on Albert Cadrain would get you  
17 the remedy quicker?

18 A Faster.

19 Q Faster than if you would have given it to Mr.  
03:23 20 Williams?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Or to the minister. Now, again the information  
23 about Albert Cadrain's mental condition you would  
24 have had in 1983; is that fair, at least some  
03:23 25 information about that?



1 A Some.

2 Q And again, are you able to tell us any reason why  
3 that information had not been provided to justice  
4 in December of 1988, or prior to this point,  
03:24 5 whether it was a deliberate reason or whether it  
6 just didn't happen, as to why, for example, the  
7 tape of Peter Carlyle-Gordge's interview of Albert  
8 Cadrain, the lengthy one in '83 and his  
9 conversation with Dennis where Dennis described  
03:24 10 similar things to what he described to Paul  
11 Henderson about Albert's reliability, and again as  
12 to why that information had not been included with  
13 the materials given to justice in December of  
14 1988?

03:24 15 A I think it would have been very clear to anyone  
16 going through the trial transcript what the mental  
17 condition of Albert Cadrain was, the way the  
18 exaggerations grew and everything like that, and  
19 so I guess my expectation would be that the  
03:25 20 investigators would have all the reports about how  
21 often Albert was picked up and over what a long  
22 period of time and how his testimony had just  
23 skyrocketed and how they got the Mafia in there  
24 and all kinds of things, so to me justice would  
03:25 25 have had all that, or should have had all that.





1 Q And what about this information of Mr. Henderson,  
2 would it not apply to that as well?

3 A Well, yes, but this is something that we have  
4 recently got, it's an update, and so we're putting  
03:25 5 it in at this time to show them, look, we've  
6 got -- the Markesteyn report was going to  
7 substantiate the Ferris report, now we've got this  
8 Cadrain stuff and we've got his brother saying  
9 very strongly that there was a mental problem  
03:26 10 here.

11 Q Do you think it would have helped your application  
12 if in December of 1988 the application would have  
13 included the interviews conducted of Albert  
14 Cadrain and Dennis Cadrain in 1983?

03:26 15 A I think it's possible, but from the way they  
16 looked at things, I think it's highly unlikely.

17 Q And again, are you able to shed any light as to --  
18 I think we talked about this earlier and I think  
19 what you said was that your lawyers decided what  
03:26 20 materials went in at the outset and there was  
21 various reasons as to what was included, what was  
22 not. Was there any, ever any step taken on your  
23 part to say lookit, don't put that information in,  
24 don't give that to the authorities?

03:27 25 A No.



1 Q Or was it a case you relied on your counsel and,  
2 for legal reasons, they decided what to put in and  
3 what not to put in?

4 A I don't believe I ever said to them what to put in  
03:27 5 and what not to put in, I believe that I relied on  
6 my counsel to do that.

7 Q If we can get, go to page 398, and this is a  
8 discussion and we see this in another, this is in  
9 the same discussion with Mr. Asper, and just to  
03:27 10 give you some help on the time frame, I think this  
11 is -- the call would be around May 26th, so you've  
12 got the information from Dennis Cadrain and I  
13 think the plan is that Paul Henderson is going to  
14 go see Ron Wilson is his next stop and there's a  
03:27 15 discussion here about Donna Friesen, a CBC  
16 reporter, and some information that she may have  
17 obtained that suggested Ron Wilson had admitted  
18 lying, and I'll maybe just go through parts of  
19 that and see if this, if you can shed some light  
03:28 20 on that, and so the discussion here you have with  
21 Mr. Asper is okay, you've got the Cadrain  
22 information, now how do we, what is our approach  
23 to Wilson in light of that, and you say:

24 "Don't forget we we hopefully will have  
03:28 25 the statement saying Ron Wilson lied."



1 "From the CBC reporter."

2 Asper:

3 "Is she gonna do it?"

4 You say:

03:28 5 "Uh she's quite willing to do it  
6 providing CBC has no objections. And  
7 she doesn't think they will. But her  
8 boss said it, she'd have to run it by  
9 the."

03:28 10 "Lawyers."

11 "Lawyers. Can you see them saying no?"

12 And then the next page, and I think this relates  
13 to a phone call that was traced back to  
14 Mrs. Cadrain eventually?

03:28 15 A Yes.

16 Q It says:

17 "Well I can see them not objecting but  
18 the statement will come out looking very  
19 equivocal. I got a phone call. I can't  
03:28 20 identify the voice. The voice, the  
21 caller never identified herself, all I  
22 can tell you is that it came from this  
23 number."

24 And you say:

03:29 25 "Yeah but she's willing to go a little



1                   farther. She's willing to phone this  
2                   woman again and talk to her."

3                   Etcetera, and then Mr. Asper says:

4                   "She knows that ah Shorty is the subject  
03:29 5                   of ah some inquiries."

6                   And it carries on. And I think what he's  
7                   referring to, if you can scroll down, he says:

8                   "That's a possibility. I mean she's no  
9                   dummy."

03:29 10                  And I think what he's referring to there is that  
11                  if this reporter goes back to Mrs. Cadrain, she's  
12                  going to figure out that it might be something  
13                  related to her son. Do you have a recollection  
14                  about what this relates to?

03:29 15                  A                  I hadn't remembered this part about, "One of the  
16                  easiest ways to protect him is to deflect  
17                  attention," and so Mrs. Cadrain was lying about  
18                  saying that Ronald Wilson said he lied so that it  
19                  would focus attention on him rather than on her  
03:29 20                  son.

21                  Q                  But if we can just take a step back, and can you  
22                  tell us what you recall about what Donna Friesen  
23                  told you?

24                  A                  Donna Friesen received a phone call from a woman  
03:30 25                  who said that Ron Wilson had told her that he had



1           lied in court and that Donna Friesen had checked  
2           the number and phoned back and found out that it  
3           was Mrs. Cadrain that was calling.

4           Q       Okay. Was it Mrs. Cadrain's number or house or  
03:30 5           was it -- did she confirm that it was Mrs. Cadrain  
6           herself? Do you remember?

7           A       She confirmed that it was Mrs. Cadrain herself.

8           Q       And so this would have been around the time that  
9           Paul Henderson had been out to see Dennis Cadrain?

03:31 10          A       That's my understanding, yes.

11          Q       And so is it fair to say you and Mr. Asper were  
12          trying to figure out how to get this into some  
13          form of evidence that could be used?

14          A       Yes, we were, but I completely forgot what David  
03:31 15          Asper had said about "One of the easiest ways to  
16          protect him is to deflect attention," so that it's  
17          quite possible that Ron Wilson never told her that  
18          and that she was just trying to get us off her  
19          son's back.

03:31 20          Q       And would this have been around the time then that  
21          you were making inquiries? I think Mike Brecht  
22          went out on May 22nd looking for Albert Cadrain.

23          A       Yes.

24          Q       So it would have been in that time frame?

03:31 25          A       It would have been.



1       Q       Then to page 402, please, and this is where I  
2       think there's a further discussion about the issue  
3       of do you give the Cadrain information to the  
4       media or do you give it to justice, and Mr. Asper  
03:32 5       says:

6                "No I think this is the kind of thing  
7               Joyce that um you have a news conference  
8               and you just ... we just blast Justice.  
9               And I think that we send this and I  
03:32 10              think that we send the material. Even  
11              if not verbatim but in capsulized form  
12              to them. Saying and criticizing the  
13              hell out of them saying you know your  
14              investigation has not included any of  
03:32 15              the principal players."

16              "And for your information the guy who  
17              Caldwell calls his star witness, and we  
18              can quote."

19       And then carries on. And then scroll down, and  
03:32 20       then he says:

21              "We've got that, Dan's got that  
22              transcript where Caldwell talks about  
23              Cadrain the whole case starting with  
24              Cadrain."

03:32 25       And in fact I think what he's referring to is Dan



1 Lett and the transcript that you gave to Dan Lett  
2 and to David Asper, being the interview with  
3 Caldwell by Carlyle-Gordge?

4 A Yes.

03:33 5 Q And then set up the news conference and then  
6 produce the statements, so -- and then at the  
7 bottom:

8 "So that the Crown's star witness is a  
9 schizophrenic who goes for shock  
03:33 10 treatment right after the trial. I mean  
11 it makes it, it ridicules it."

12 "That's amazing. And then if you get  
13 Celine to say she told the cops there  
14 was no blood."

03:33 15 And then:

16 "That's what we need right now."

17 "Even if she says I was there an' I, and  
18 I didn't see any blood and the police  
19 didn't ask me. Because it's in the  
03:33 20 transcript that she was there."

21 "And defence Counsel starts to look bad  
22 there too."

23 And scroll down:

24 "Yeah."

03:33 25 "They the Defence never went into it."



1 And let me just pause there and talk about the  
2 Celine Cadrain information, and I think that came  
3 out of the information with Dennis, and we've  
4 been through this subject before, so I think if I  
03:33 5 can just summarize what the record reflects and  
6 see if you can agree with this.

7 I think Dennis Cadrain told  
8 Paul Henderson that Celine his sister would have  
9 been home that morning as well and that his  
03:34 10 sister Celine told Dennis that she did not  
11 observe any blood on David's clothing that  
12 morning; correct?

13 A Correct.

14 Q And I think Mr. Henderson became quite excited  
03:34 15 that that might be evidence contradictory to  
16 Albert's evidence, that if Albert saw blood and  
17 she didn't, that that would be significant  
18 evidence; correct?

19 A Yes.

03:34 20 Q And that thirdly --

21 A We hadn't seen it as important, but Paul saw it as  
22 very important because if the police had  
23 interviewed her and she said she saw no blood and  
24 he said he had, that we should have known about  
03:34 25 it.





1 Q Right. And so the next step then is that I think  
2 Mr. Henderson then said okay, well, the police  
3 should have interviewed her and if they did and  
4 got her to say I didn't see blood, then the police  
03:34 5 are at fault if they didn't give it to Caldwell.  
6 If they did give it to Caldwell and Caldwell  
7 didn't give it to Tallis, then Caldwell is in  
8 trouble, and if Caldwell did give it to Tallis and  
9 Tallis didn't use, then Tallis was in trouble.  
03:35 10 Was that the --

11 A That was the scenario.

12 Q The scenario, so that's the Celine information,  
13 and I think the record reflects, and certainly her  
14 evidence and the evidence from others is that at  
03:35 15 trial the evidence was that when David, Nichol and  
16 Ron arrived at Cadrain's house, Albert was up, his  
17 younger brother was up, Celine his sister was in  
18 bed and that she came down and observed David  
19 after David would have changed out of the clothes  
03:35 20 that Albert had observed the blood on; correct?

21 A That's correct.

22 Q And again, that would have been something that  
23 perhaps Mr. Henderson didn't know at the time he  
24 interviewed Dennis Cadrain?

03:35 25 A Probably.



1 Q And so the Celine angle, if I can call it that,  
2 was pursued for a while that she might have  
3 evidence, and the police, the Crown and Mr. Tallis  
4 may somehow be at fault for their failure to  
03:35 5 properly deal with the information and it ended up  
6 turning out that it wasn't significant; is that  
7 fair?

8 A That's fair.

9 Q And it looks as though Mr. Asper also was with  
03:36 10 perhaps Mr. Henderson and you going down this path  
11 for a while as well trying to sort out whether  
12 this information about Celine might be --

13 A -- valuable. We thought it might be valuable at  
14 first.

03:36 15 Q And at this time was the mindset of you and Mr.  
16 Asper and Mr. Henderson suspicious of everything  
17 that came -- so that when this information came,  
18 that the suspicion would be, okay, well, someone  
19 must have covered it up, someone must have hidden  
03:36 20 it, something nefarious must have happened with  
21 this Celine information?

22 A Yes, I'm afraid that's about where we were.

23 Q And then if we can go to 335929, please, and this  
24 is just another transcript on the Donna Friesen  
03:37 25 that might assist you, go to page 946, again this



1 is a call between you and David Asper around May  
2 of 1990 -- actually, 947 -- and where you say:

3 "Well, I'm not going to worry about  
4 Nichol, but I really think um, this CBC  
03:37 5 reporter."

6 And David says:

7 "Who Donna?"

8 "Yeah, Donna, was talking to, hold onto  
9 your hat, a woman that phoned in that  
03:37 10 had left her phone number and wanted to  
11 remain anonymous ..."

12 "... and it was Mrs. Cadrain."

13 "Yes."

14 "Ah, the mother, on Confederation."

03:37 15 "Yeah."

16 And again, the mother on Confederation, is that,  
17 I think that was the street that she lived on?

18 A Yes, that's right.

19 Q And I think we recalled last week the interview  
03:38 20 you had with Mrs. Cadrain in 1983 or '81, I think  
21 that came up as to where they had moved, to  
22 Confederation Drive; is that right?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q And then:

03:38 25 "And in her talk with her, she said that



1 Ron Wilson had told her that he had lied  
2 at the trial."

3 "Get outta here."

4 "I kid you not."

03:38 5 "Get outta here."

6 "That's, that's right."

7 "Get that, state, get that in, get that  
8 in writing."

9 So that would be the information from Donna  
03:38 10 Friesen?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Did anything ever come of that, did she ever  
13 follow up and talk to Mrs. Cadrain or anybody else  
14 about that?

03:38 15 A I don't think so. I think what happened was the  
16 CBC said that we couldn't do anything with it,  
17 that she wouldn't be allowed to talk about it. I  
18 think that they lawyered her up.

19 Q Then if we can go to 048405, this is a tape  
03:39 20 between you and Mr. Asper, this relates to the  
21 Celine issue, if we can go to page 048407, here's  
22 where Mr. Asper says, and they're talking about  
23 Celine, this is right around the time of the  
24 Dennis Cadrain interview with Henderson:

03:39 25 "I mean, if the Police got a statement



1 from her for example, either that  
2 they ..."

3 And then you say:

4 "Oh, speaking of which I talked to Gary  
03:39 5 Young, when I was in Saskatoon, and he  
6 at one time got Tallis's file."

7 Asper:

8 "Oh, yeah?"

9 "So he's going to look through and find  
03:39 10 the stuff for us, and get it to you."

11 And then the next page:

12 "Okay. Ah', if ah', Selene talked to  
13 the Police, at some point ah' either the  
14 Police wrote it down and she signed it,  
03:39 15 or they Police just wrote it down in  
16 their notebooks."

17 "And ah', at some point that  
18 information. If it didn't go to the  
19 Crown, is a just a severe condemnation  
03:40 20 of the Police."

21 "And if it did go to the Crown..."

22 You say:

23 "Then Caldwell's in trouble."

24 Asper says:

03:40 25 "Then the Crown's in deep, deep trouble."



1 Deep trouble. So I mean that could be a  
2 real dynamite thing. You know it's  
3 dynamite enough that Cadrain, Cadrain's  
4 evidence is a product of a visions  
03:40 5 looking into the clouds."

6 "Ah' and that he was immediately after  
7 the trial, I mean it was right after the  
8 trial."

9 "That he was committed."

03:40 10 And then down at the bottom, and you say:

11 "Well of course she was only very young.  
12 And I think maybe her mom didn't..."

13 And Asper:

14 "She's a year older than Albert."

03:40 15 You say:

16 "That's right but it seemed to me that  
17 the testimony indicated she'd gone,  
18 already gone when they came."

19 "No, no, no, no."

03:40 20 "Let me go back over the transcripts."

21 Asper:

22 "No, Cadrain himself puts her there."

23 "Not in the transcripts."

24 And then a further debate. So that would be  
03:40 25 where the idea that somehow the Celine Cadrain



1 information may have been part of some cover-up  
2 or withholding?

3 A Yes.

4 Q 169911, this is a May 25, 1990 memo from Mr. Asper  
03:41 5 to Mr. Wolch, and this gets back to the Markesteyn  
6 report that's coming out and the blood typing  
7 issue at trial, and Mr. Asper says to Mr. Wolch:

8 "I think you should be aware of the  
9 relevance..."

03:41 10 And talks about how many pages in the transcript  
11 the prosecutor used, how many pages for Paynter's  
12 evidence, recites the Court of Appeal decision,  
13 and then says:

14 "Clearly, the Court of Appeal was  
03:41 15 drawing an adverse inference here.

16 Moreover, they did not consider that the  
17 sample was found four days after the  
18 murder..."

19 Etcetera, and then he says:

03:41 20 "The bottom line is that the suggestion  
21 that this evidence did not play any kind  
22 of a meaningful role in the trial does  
23 not make sense. The Crown obviously  
24 thought it did, and so did the Court of  
03:42 25 Appeal. The Crown successfully fit a



1 square peg into a round hole."

2 And when I look at that May 25, 1990, I wonder  
3 whether Mr. Asper is getting back to Mr. Wolch  
4 responding to a suggestion that came from Federal  
03:42 5 Justice or from someone else that the evidence  
6 did not play any kind of a meaningful role in the  
7 trial, and I'm wondering if you have any  
8 recollection of that being the case, of hearing  
9 anything about that at this time, that someone  
03:42 10 had told either Mr. Wolch or some information  
11 came to your group that, suggesting that the  
12 evidence, being the semen, did not play any kind  
13 of a meaningful role in the trial, either that or  
14 Mr. Wolch himself came up with that and asked Mr.  
03:43 15 Asper to respond. I mean, I'm just trying to  
16 find out whether any of that might have happened  
17 or whether you recall any of that coming up?

18 A I don't recall any of this coming up, but I don't  
19 think -- are you intimating that this bottom  
03:43 20 section is talking about the report that we've  
21 just sent in?

22 Q No, this is before -- let me rephrase it. This is  
23 before Dr. Markesteyn's report.

24 A Right.

03:43 25 Q And this is a memo from Mr. Asper to Mr. Wolch, so





1           you may have never seen it before.

2       A       I don't think I did.

3       Q       And so what I'm trying to find out, you had said  
4           earlier you had some memory of something coming up  
03:43 5           about someone perhaps taking issue with the  
6           validity of the Ferris report. Do you remember  
7           telling me that?

8       A       Yes, I do.

9       Q       And so here's a memo, May 25, 1990, it's before  
03:43 10           the Markesteyn report is done, shortly before, and  
11           it's a report from Mr. Asper to Mr. Wolch going  
12           through the relevance of the blood typing issue,  
13           and then he goes on to say lookit, here's how much  
14           time the prosecutor spent on it in his opening  
03:44 15           address, here's how many pages in the transcript  
16           Paynter's evidence takes, here's what the Court of  
17           Appeal said, and then goes on to comment about  
18           that, and then says:

19                       "The bottom line is that the suggestion  
03:44 20                       that this evidence did not play any kind  
21                       of a meaningful role in the trial does  
22                       not make sense."

23           And I'm trying to find out who it was that made  
24           the suggestion.

03:44 25       A       I have no idea.



1 Q Okay. And you don't recall discussing this issue  
2 with Mr. Asper, Mr. Wolch at this time?

3 A No, I have no recollection of that at all.

4 Q 154605, and this is Mr. Henderson's memo to David  
03:45 5 Asper reporting about his interview with Albert,  
6 and this has some of the information he obtained  
7 from Albert, and at the bottom he says Albert:

8 "... described how David broke off the  
9 aerial from the car (to prevent him from  
03:45 10 hearing about the murder on the news)  
11 and how David made a solo trip to the  
12 library in Calgary to read about the  
13 murder."

14 And again, both of those pieces of information,  
03:45 15 that's what he said to Peter Carlyle-Gordge in  
16 1983 didn't he?

17 A Right.

18 Q And that's what caused you to suspect in 1983 that  
19 he was maybe not credible?

03:45 20 A Correct.

21 Q 154611, and this is a reference here where Dennis  
22 I think expressed concern to Mr. Henderson about  
23 the suggestion by you that Albert testified  
24 against David Milgaard for the reward money and he  
03:46 25 went on to say:



1 "Money means nothing to my brother, it  
2 means absolutely nothing at all."

3 And what Albert wanted to do with it. Did this  
4 information cause you to change your view in any  
03:46 5 way that the reward money played a role in  
6 Albert's evidence?

7 A I think I -- I think when I heard that it caused  
8 me to rethink what Albert was like and as I  
9 recalled him and I think I really believed at that  
03:46 10 point that maybe that was true.

11 Q If we can go to 337359, and this is a  
12 conversation, if we could go to page -- actually,  
13 let me just skip that for a moment. This relates  
14 to the Markesteyn report. Let's finish up with  
03:47 15 Ron Wilson and come back to that.

16 We know that, from the evidence  
17 of Mr. Henderson, that on June 4th, 1990 he  
18 visited Ron Wilson in Nakusp and interviewed him  
19 and got a statement from Ron Wilson recanting some  
03:47 20 of the evidence he gave at trial; correct?

21 A Correct.

22 Q And would Paul have contacted, Mr. Henderson have  
23 contacted you that evening?

24 A Yes.

03:47 25 Q And do you recall what the nature of your



1 discussion was with him, what he told you  
2 happened?

3 A Well, he told me everything that had happened and  
4 read some of it to me.

03:48 5 Q And did it surprise you that Mr. Wilson had  
6 recanted?

7 A I think the way Paul put it to me was almost like  
8 you should have seen this guy, he really wanted to  
9 tell the truth, he really wanted to get it off his  
03:48 10 chest, this has been haunting him all the time,  
11 and so I guess to me I saw it as a real different  
12 Ron Wilson to the one that I had interviewed, as  
13 when he told me about it, because when I met him  
14 and talked to him he was into drugs, he was into  
03:49 15 drinking and all the rest of it and I didn't think  
16 very highly of him, but now Paul is telling me  
17 about someone that has been working and raising a  
18 -- you know, married, all the good things that  
19 were going on in his life, and yet he was prepared  
03:49 20 to come forward even though it would be  
21 detrimental to him and tell this, so I really was  
22 putting a lot of credibility into what he had told  
23 Paul.

24 Q And would you agree that, and we've been through  
03:49 25 both his 1990 statement many times and the '81



1 interviews you had with him on a number of  
2 occasions, and looking at the two, would you agree  
3 that in 1990 on certainly some of the areas where  
4 he recanted his incriminating evidence, that it  
03:49 5 was similar information to what he had provided to  
6 you in 1981?

7 A Very similar.

8 Q Right. And so, I mean different language used,  
9 but would you agree that basically most of what  
03:51 10 was in the 1990 statement, at least on the areas  
11 where he backed off or recanted, that it was  
12 similar in nature to what he told you in 1981?

13 A Similar?

14 Q Similar. In other words he said, for example,  
03:52 15 that --

16 A Yes.

17 Q In '81 he said "I don't think I saw blood, I  
18 didn't see blood", in 1990 he said the same thing,  
19 so that apart from Mr. Wilson's circumstances in  
03:52 20 life at the time and the wording that was in  
21 Mr. -- the statement that Mr. Henderson took from  
22 him, that he was saying similar things to you in  
23 1981 that he was to Mr. Henderson in 1990; is that  
24 -- would you agree with that?

03:52 25 A Yes, I would say that they were similar, but the



1 second one was believable, the first one wasn't.

2 Q And that was because of his situation at the time?

3 A Correct.

4 Q Now do you remember being told by Mr. Henderson  
03:52 5 that he'd taped his interview with Ron Wilson?

6 A Yes, I do.

7 Q Did you ever have a chance to listen to the tape  
8 of his interview with Ron Wilson?

9 A I believe he played it for us and we listened.

03:53 10 That wasn't -- we --

11 Q My next question is going to be "did you record  
12 it" but --

13 A I believe that he played it for us at one point.  
14 I know it was -- but it may just be that he told  
03:53 15 me what he said, and then of course we got the  
16 statement right away.

17 Q Yeah. Do you recall ever getting a copy of the  
18 tape of his interview with Ron Wilson?

19 A No, because I'm sure that if I had it, Mr. Hodson,  
03:53 20 you would have it.

21 Q And so, again, do you have any recollection of  
22 ever listening to that, of having the tape and  
23 listening to it?

24 A No, I really do not.

03:53 25 Q And do you know what -- again, and we've asked Mr.



1 Henderson to go search again -- but do you have  
2 any information or can you be of any assistance to  
3 us in determining where that tape may have gone or  
4 where it might be, of that interview?

03:53 5 A Well because most of the tapes I got were because  
6 I was working with him, okay, that particular trip  
7 I was not, he was out there on his own, so I'm  
8 assuming that he took it back to Seattle. And you  
9 only have to know Paul and work with Paul for a  
03:54 10 little while to know that things are not always  
11 readily available to find after he's had them, he  
12 tends to lose things.

13 Q So, again, you're -- you're suspecting that he may  
14 have lost it because of your observations of his  
03:54 15 habits, but you have no information about --

16 A I --

17 Q -- where it may have gone or where it might be?

18 A I have no information on it.

19 Q If we could go to 336852. And this is -- go to  
03:54 20 336830. And this is a discussion, I think in June  
21 of 1990, shortly after -- go to page 880 -- after  
22 the Wilson statement would have been obtained, and  
23 I think around this -- 336880. This is a  
24 discussion between you and David Asper, and I  
03:55 25 think around this time -- I could be mistaken on



1 the date -- but I think around this time David,  
2 your son David, had fired David Asper and Hersh  
3 Wolch, and he didn't want them representing them;  
4 do you recall that happening from time to time?

03:55 5 A Yes, I certainly do.

6 Q And I think the discussion here is -- well, let me  
7 do -- I think that's the backdrop here. And what  
8 Mr. Asper says here, he says:

9 "... you know the incredible thing is  
10 ...",

11 and I think the backdrop is as to whether David  
12 needed a lawyer back in '82 or whether he now  
13 needs one, and Asper says:

14 "... you know, the incredible thing is  
03:56 15 ... that it's all in reverse of the way  
16 he sees it, you know, I mean I suppose  
17 that up to this point ... and I mean we  
18 nearly had it in the bag in about '82 on  
19 your own."

03:56 20 "... he was so close, you know with what  
21 we know now."

22 "He was so close in '82 ..."

23 "... or '81 or '80 whatever it was."

24 And you say:

03:56 25 "'81."





1 And David says:

2 "... that it's ... it's just, ah I wanna  
3 scream."

4 And you say:

03:56 5 "Oh yeah, it's all that wasted time."

6 David:

7 "Well yeah, and and you know where  
8 Wilson is teetering on the verge of  
9 ...",

03:56 10 You say:

11 "Talking."

12 David:

13 "... coming clean and then you know,  
14 shuts his mouth again that, you could,  
03:56 15 you could have ...",

16 And you say:

17 "If we'd have had an investigator at  
18 that point ..."

19 "It would ..."

03:56 20 "... go and see Wilson."

21 "It would have been done."

22 And, again, it would appear that you and Mr.

23 Asper are looking back, saying that Mr. Wilson  
24 was --

03:56 25 A Teetering.



1 Q -- teetering?

2 A Yup.

3 Q And, again, can you shed any light on this  
4 discussion, or what Mr. -- you and Asper were  
03:56 5 talking about here?

6 A Well I think that just that it's hindsight again,  
7 you know, we're going back and looking. We now  
8 have the new documentation and we're looking at  
9 the old and saying "you know, he was teetering  
03:57 10 there, we really had that information back then if  
11 we'd done -- if we could have done something with  
12 it back then".

13 Q But was it hindsight in saying that -- and I think  
14 where Mr. Asper says he would scream or "I wanna  
03:57 15 scream", that with what you now know about Mr.  
16 Wilson, either he wished or the group wished that  
17 someone would have gone or you would have gone  
18 back or an investigator would have gone back to  
19 him sooner; --

03:57 20 A Yes, --

21 Q -- is that a fair reading of it?

22 A -- that's correct, that's a fair reading.

23 Q And then, as well, 334970. After the interview of  
24 Ron Wilson, I think the evidence we've heard is  
03:58 25 that he was interviewed on June 4th, 1990 and gave



1 a statement, it was faxed to you, and that before  
2 it was sent to Justice it was given to Dan Lett  
3 and Dan Lett was allowed to do an exclusive  
4 interview with Ron Wilson that ended up getting  
03:58 5 published the same day or morning that Federal  
6 Justice received the statement; is that correct,  
7 that's what happened?

8 A That's correct.

9 Q And I think Mr. Asper said that it was a -- I  
03:58 10 better be careful with the word he used -- but it  
11 was to show appreciation to Mr. Lett for the work  
12 he had done, is that correct, is that your  
13 recollection?

14 A That is definitely my recollection. Dan had done  
03:58 15 so much for us that we just felt he needed to have  
16 this.

17 Q And so would it be correct that the decision, when  
18 you had the Wilson recantation, was that you would  
19 want to put it in the media first, or at least  
03:58 20 simultaneously, with providing it to Justice?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And you did not want to give it to Justice to let  
23 them review and do any work before it appeared in  
24 the public domain?

03:58 25 A Absolutely not.



1 Q And why not?

2 A Because of all our bad experiences already.

3 Q Okay. And would this have been part of the  
4 strategy that we talked about earlier, that  
03:59 5 everything gets out in the public domain?

6 A That's correct.

7 Q And so Dan Lett, when he interviewed Ron Wilson  
8 in -- I think June 5 he wrote a story, I think the  
9 day after, maybe even that night, but within a day  
03:59 10 of Wilson's statement to Henderson Dan Lett did an  
11 interview and ran a story, and you'll recall I  
12 think I went through that with Mr. Asper; do you  
13 remember that, the story that Dan ran?

14 A I don't remember the story, but I'm --

03:59 15 Q And --

16 A -- sure that he did run one right about that time.

17 Q And in that story that we also had a tape where I  
18 think Mr. Lett said he had got some more  
19 information out of Wilson about various matters  
03:59 20 that Mr. Henderson didn't get, that was one of the  
21 discussions, do you recall us hearing that?

22 A Yes, I do.

23 Q Yeah. And so here, if we could go to page 987,  
24 and, again, this is Mr. Lett talking to you after  
04:00 25 he has done his interview of Ron Wilson in early



1 June of 1990, and he says:

2 "Oh, okay, this is something he said to  
3 me, it wasn't in the statement he gave  
4 to Paul, but I said, well, because he  
04:00 5 said, you know, for the longest time  
6 afterwards ...",

7 he is talking about Ron Wilson:

8 "... he just believed that what he said  
9 was the truth and I said well when did,  
04:00 10 when did it come to you that it wasn't,  
11 and I said well, you know, I think it  
12 was really after I talked to  
13 Mrs. Milgaard in 1981."

14 And so this is Dan Lett relating what Ron Wilson  
04:00 15 told him in the post-Henderson interview. You  
16 say:

17 "You're joking."

18 "No."

19 "Oh, that makes me feel better."

04:00 20 And Dan Lett says:

21 "Yeah, and, and I'll, I'll tell you  
22 something. I went over the photocopies  
23 I have of that interview ..."

24 "Right."

04:00 25 "... and, you know, Joyce, there's some



1 really awesome foreshadows in there, you  
2 know, like there are things in there  
3 that literally, you know, I guess it's  
4 easy to see in retrospect now but, but  
04:01 5 they, if somebody like Paul Henderson  
6 had seen that re..., that transcript in  
7 1981, he may, I'm sure he would have  
8 been able to say right away, 'He's  
9 lying'."

04:01 10 "And he's admitting that he's lying,  
11 cause he said things then that he said  
12 to me ten years later ...",

13 And I take it from this -- well I, not take it --  
14 I think what Dan Lett is referring to is he would  
04:01 15 have had the 1981 transcript of your two  
16 interviews of Ron Wilson?

17 A Right.

18 Q Correct? And so, before he interviewed Ron Wilson  
19 on June 4, June 5, Dan Lett would have had the  
04:01 20 benefit of your 1981 interviews?

21 A And compare, and the comparison that he was  
22 taking.

23 Q Okay. In we can now turn to Dr. Markesteyn,  
24 337377. I'm sorry, I'm not -- just give me a  
04:02 25 moment. This, yeah, this relates to a discussion



1 around the time of the Henderson interviews of  
2 Cadrain, and it's a discussion between you and Mr.  
3 Asper and Mr. Henderson --

4 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: I'm sorry, Mr.  
04:02 5 Hodson, was that part of 359, 337359?

6 MR. HODSON: Yes, 337359.

7 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thank you.

8 BY MR. HODSON:

9 Q And I think this is talking about the interviews,  
04:02 10 and I think this is maybe right after the Cadrain  
11 interview, you say:

12 "I told Paul what you mentioned about  
13 Ottawa may be coming out with some --

14 MR. DAVID ASPER: Oh.

15 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: -- negative  
16 information.

17 MR. DAVID ASPER: Yeah. I'm just, I'm just  
18 concerned that, you know, we've got all  
19 the publicity going our way at this  
20 point --

21 MR. PAUL HENDERSON: Right.

22 MR. DAVID ASPER: -- and, you know, at some  
23 point, for political reasons, Ottawa may  
24 just decide that they're gonna start  
25 shooting back with whatever they have



1 got that we don't know about.

2 MR. PAUL HENDERSON: What have they got do  
3 you think?

4 MR. DAVID ASPER: Well, I'm not sure, but  
5 I've heard sort of from third, fourth,  
6 eighth-hand sources that they're  
7 consulting, independently, different  
8 serologists, you know, to -- to examine  
9 that Farris forensic report. Now I  
10 don't know, I don't know if they're  
11 doing it for sure, number one, and I  
12 don't know that their conclusions could  
13 be any different, but it's just that we  
14 run the risk, umm, that Ottawa is gonna  
15 start setting up a rejection of the  
16 application --

17 MR. PAUL HENDERSON: Uh-huh.

18 MR. DAVID ASPER: -- by leaking negative  
19 information, and that, you know, that  
20 may get, psychologically may get these  
21 people, particularly Cadrain, off the  
22 hook if they think that the heat is not  
23 coming down on them.

24 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Uh-huh.

25 MR. DAVID ASPER: So that, I mean, I





1                   suppose that's my only real concern,  
2                   umm, you know, in terms of the timing."  
3           And I think this relates to right around the time  
4           of the interviews of Cadrain, and it's the same  
04:04 5           time or within a few days of that memorandum that  
6           I showed you earlier of Mr. Wolch that Mr. Asper  
7           wrote to Mr. Wolch about this suggestion, and I  
8           -- it appears from this discussion that Mr. Asper  
9           may have had some information, although he said  
04:04 10           it's, it's third, fourth, or eighth hand, that  
11           Ottawa might be leaking some information that's  
12           negative to your case that takes issue with the  
13           Ferris report; do you remember that coming up?

14           A           Just very vaguely. I can remember this  
04:04 15           conversation, but I really didn't know what he  
16           meant by it, you know, and I don't think we knew  
17           what information was going to be coming out, but  
18           he suspected something was coming.

19           Q           Now --

04:05 20                   COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Have you got a  
21           date on that?

22                   MR. HODSON: Yes, I can provide you with a  
23           closer -- it's late May of 1990.

24                   COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay.

04:05 25                   MR. HODSON: And I'll go back and, tomorrow



1 morning, advise of something else that can place  
2 it, but it relates to -- yeah, I'll go back and  
3 I'll find -- it's the end of May, 1990, I can be  
4 a bit more precise.

04:05 5 BY MR. HODSON:

6 Q And is one read of this would be that what Mr.  
7 Asper is saying is that, lookit, it's going to be  
8 false information out there that they're gonna  
9 leak to try and throw us off the trail; is that a  
04:05 10 fair reading of that, that --

11 A Yes, I would think that was a fair reading of  
12 that.

13 Q That, be careful, they might leak some false  
14 information about the Ferris report; was that your  
04:05 15 take of it?

16 A Well, that they were going to leak negative  
17 information, it would have to be about the report,  
18 so I think he's saying that if that came out and  
19 if we're trying to get Cadrain to say things, that  
04:06 20 that's sort of gonna let him off the hook when  
21 we're sort of telling him about the possibility  
22 that he needs to come forward now.

23 Q And I think there's some talk about the timing,  
24 and would that be -- he says:

25 "... my only real concern, umm, you



1 know, in terms of the timing ...",  
2 and would that be to look at -- to get Cadrain's  
3 information or statement before --

4 A Before this Ottawa, something, material came out,  
04:06 5 so I think it was to get Paul out there as soon as  
6 possible.

7 Q Okay. If we could go to 169913. This is a May  
8 30th, 1990 memo to the file from David Asper, and  
9 it talks about a call with Dr. Merry, and I think  
04:07 10 this is where he's first advised of Dr. Merry's  
11 view that the human semen, or that the frozen  
12 semen found in the snow may, was, is, could be,  
13 probably is dog urine; do you remember this --

14 A Yes, I do.

04:07 15 Q -- coming up?

16 A And I, to this day, can't figure out why anyone  
17 didn't figure that out way ahead of time because  
18 it seems so normal to know.

19 Q That it was --

04:07 20 A That dog semen would be yellow, human semen  
21 wouldn't be, but this came as a tremendous  
22 breakthrough to us.

23 Q And so what is your recollection, then, of the  
24 significance of this?

04:07 25 A Well the significance, to me, was the fact that he



1 was probably part-way convicted on the fact that  
2 it was his semen that was there, because of the  
3 way the jury heard the information come out, and  
4 the fact that it's dog urine and couldn't have  
04:08 5 been David's, I thought that was very positive.

6 Q Did you not -- was there not a concern -- and I'll  
7 show you a transcript in a moment where I think  
8 you expressed this concern -- but if we go back to  
9 what Dr. Ferris said in the proof of innocence his  
04:08 10 opinion, I think what you have described to us, is  
11 that the frozen semen is from the person who  
12 killed Gail Miller, the frozen semen can't come  
13 from David Milgaard, therefore it proves his  
14 innocence?

04:08 15 A Right.

16 Q You now have another doctor coming along and  
17 saying oh, by the way, the very piece of physical  
18 evidence that you rely upon in your application to  
19 the minister to prove David's innocence isn't  
04:08 20 semen from the perpetrator, but it's dog urine;  
21 would that not cut the legs out from under Dr.  
22 Ferris' opinion with respect to the frozen semen  
23 and proving David's innocence?

24 A Yes, it is, and I think we had some concerns about  
04:09 25 that.



1 Q And so that I think that --

2 A But the initial reaction was it was something that  
3 we all should have seen before, that it was so  
4 logical.

04:09 5 Q Logical that -- I'm sorry, I'm not following?

6 A Well, it was logical to me that everyone should  
7 have seen before that human semen was white, the  
8 other being yellow couldn't have been human, it  
9 must have been dog urine. So, I mean, that is  
04:09 10 something that, if you were thinking about it,  
11 especially if you had a dog and took them out  
12 walking, you -- it was something that people know.

13 Q And so if we can go to 106948. And, again, is it  
14 fair to say, though, that in the Markesteyn -- the  
04:10 15 dog urine became sensational news, didn't it?

16 A It did.

17 Q It became information that, and it was portrayed  
18 as 'dog urine used to convict David Milgaard', and  
19 things of that nature?

04:10 20 A Absolutely, just -- the media had a heyday with  
21 it.

22 Q And the fact that it was dog urine made it a bit  
23 more newsworthy, is -- I think that was Mr.  
24 Asper's words?

04:10 25 A Right, and we were trying to figure out what the



1 headline was going to be. I remember, with Dan  
2 Lett, talking about a few possible headlines.

3 Q Did any reporter, do you recall, ever ask you  
4 "Mrs. Milgaard, if it's dog urine, what does this  
04:10 5 do to Dr. Ferris' opinion that it proves his  
6 innocence"?

7 A No.

8 Q Do you recall that ever coming up with any --

9 A No, I do not.

04:10 10 Q Was it a concern that it might come up and someone  
11 might say "if it's dog urine, it doesn't prove  
12 David's innocence, Ferris report no longer valid",  
13 or words to that effect; was that something that  
14 you were concerned with?

04:11 15 A I can't recall that coming up.

16 Q And so this would be Dr. Merry's June 1, 1990  
17 letter to Mr. Asper, and I think if we follow this  
18 through, I think he starts off saying:

19 "... I do not believe that the  
04:11 20 possibility can be excluded that the  
21 frozen yellowish substance found near  
22 the body of the deceased was dog urine,  
23 ..."

24 And that's how it started out, didn't it, that  
04:11 25 "it might be, we can't say that it's not dog



1 urine, it might be"?

2 A Yes.

3 Q That was the scientific opinion?

4 A Yes.

04:11 5 Q And would you agree that, after it got into the  
6 media, that it evolved to becoming -- in fact I  
7 think it was in the *Toronto Star* where they said,  
8 actually, "it is dog urine"?

9 A That's correct.

04:11 10 Q And how did, how did that happen, was that  
11 something that -- that happened in the media  
12 world, or did that happen in your group; was that  
13 something that --

14 A I believe it happened in the media world.

04:11 15 Q And so there was information that put out that got  
16 evolved -- there's probably a better word out  
17 there that someone will come up with -- that  
18 became something more than what the scientists  
19 said that it was?

04:12 20 A Absolutely.

21 Q I want to go to the next page. And I take it Mr.  
22 Asper would have shared these reports with you  
23 from Dr. Merry and Dr. Markesteyn?

24 A I believe I would have seen them, yes.

04:12 25 Q And, here, Dr. Merry says -- talks -- it says:



1 "From the manner in which the test for  
2 secretor status was performed it is not  
3 possible to be certain if David Milgaard  
4 is a secretor or non-secretor of blood  
04:12 5 group A antigen."

6 A I remember that.

7 Q And why do you remember that?

8 A Well, because I said, "well, we need to know".

9 Q Okay. So here we have Dr. Ferris, I think as  
04:12 10 you've told us, his opinion proves David's  
11 innocence --

12 A If he's a non-secretor.

13 Q Right, I'm going to get to that, but your -- the  
14 opinion -- and I appreciate you've told us that,  
04:12 15 in addition to the secretor issue, Dr. Ferris'  
16 report gave other favourable information?

17 A Absolutely.

18 Q But if we just focus on the Ferris report, which I  
19 think you said was the underpinning or the main  
04:13 20 part of your initial application, --

21 A Correct.

22 Q -- and your belief and your son's belief was that,  
23 based on Ferris' opinion the frozen semen, the  
24 physical constituents of that proved that David  
04:13 25 could not have been the killer?





1 A That's correct.

2 Q Dr. Merry's report comes along and it does two  
3 things, number one it says "I don't think, that  
4 may not be semen, it may be dog urine, in which  
04:13 5 case it couldn't be from the perpetrator,  
6 therefore it has no value in saying whether or not  
7 David Milgaard was involved in the crime", number  
8 one; correct?

9 A Correct.

04:13 10 Q And number two, if he's wrong on the dog urine,  
11 it's challenging the premise that Dr. Ferris  
12 relied upon, a significant premise was he's  
13 basically saying "lookit, we're not so sure that  
14 David is a non-secretor"; is that fair?

04:13 15 A That's fair. And yet, don't forget, the Ferris  
16 report went much farther than that, it had all  
17 kinds of other reasons for David not being  
18 guilty, --

19 Q But I think --

04:14 20 A -- the timing, everything.

21 Q Sure. But I think -- and correct me if I'm  
22 wrong -- I think the part of the report, though,  
23 that certainly you and Mr. Asper relied upon, at  
24 least in as far as the application to the minister  
04:14 25 and the reports in the newspaper were, was the



1           secretor issue?

2       A       That's correct.

3       Q       And so that if the, if David was a secretor, I  
4           think you told us, then, that Ferris' opinion was  
04:14 5           of no value?

6       A       And this is why, when I read that, I can remember  
7           the discussions that we had on it.

8       Q       And I'll tell you, I mean I can ask you to tell me  
9           your recollection, I do have a couple -- one  
04:14 10          transcript, if you would rather --

11      A       That would be good.

12      Q       Sure, why don't we go to that, and we can -- if we  
13           can go to 336785. And if we can go to page 797,  
14           and this is a discussion, I think this is before  
04:15 15          Markesteyn and Merry's report are out, but that  
16          Mr. Asper knows what they're gonna say, and he  
17          says:

18                    "But it's possibly not even human, and  
19                    it's certainly not scientific evidence.

20                   MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Well would Farris not  
21                   have checked to see if it was human.

22                   MR. DAVID ASPER: Umm --

23                   MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: I think you've got to  
24                   ask him that question."

04:15 25          And would that have been sort of your first



1 reaction, "why didn't Ferris check that?"

2 A Yes.

3 Q Because if it was dog urine then Ferris shouldn't  
4 have been giving an opinion that exonerated  
04:16 5 David; --

6 A Yes, correct.

7 Q -- correct? And I'm not sure who the Unidentified  
8 Female Speaker was, it might have been your  
9 daughter Maureen, is a possibility. And then  
04:16 10 David says:

11 "I think Farris operated on the  
12 assumption that it was.

13 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Right.

14 MR. DAVID ASPER: I mean that's what  
15 Markesteyn is doin'.

16 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: And I think  
17 we should wait and get the report.

18 MR. DAVID ASPER: Markesteyn, you see  
19 Markesteyn is a more of a Quincy type,  
20 you know.

21 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Yeah.

22 MR. DAVID ASPER: Markesteyn wants to  
23 investigate, Markesteyn is like a  
24 real -- he's like a cop, almost."

04:16 25 And then down at the bottom, I think this is your



1 daughter:

2 "So you might as well wait and get this  
3 report from Markesteyn before even  
4 putting to Farris about the other --

5 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: (Sighs).

6 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: -- see what  
7 it says first until you talk to Farris?

8 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Okay.

9 MR. DAVID ASPER: And --

10 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: It would have been  
11 nicer --

12 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: But if that  
13 happens --

14 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: -- if it had been the  
15 other way.

16 MR. DAVID ASPER: What?

17 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: If that  
18 happens and they say "this evidence is  
19 going to be -- okay ...",

04:16 20 and it would appear from this that you were  
21 disappointed in the, this information from  
22 Markesteyn and Merry that it might be dog urine  
23 because of the effect it had on the Ferris  
24 opinion; is that correct?

04:17 25 A Yeah.



1 Q And then on the secretor issue, if we could go to  
2 337073, and this is around mid-June of 1990. If  
3 we can go to page 094, and I'll take you through  
4 some other documents after this, but let me just  
04:17 5 give you a bit of background. After the  
6 Markesteyn report comes out and the Merry report  
7 comes out, they go to Federal Justice, Mr.  
8 Williams goes out and talks to Dr. Merry, Dr.  
9 Ferris, and Dr. Markesteyn, and the memos which I  
04:17 10 will show you basically say that I think all three  
11 of these people have indicated that the secretor  
12 status of David Milgaard is not something that --  
13 in different ways, but basically they said you  
14 can't rely on the '69 secretor test. And so that  
04:18 15 information, at least, had been communicated to  
16 Eugene Williams, and it appears that Markesteyn,  
17 Ferris and Merry may also have conveyed that to  
18 Mr. Asper around this time, so I think that's --  
19 and I'll take you through those documents probably  
04:18 20 tomorrow morning. But so here's the discussion,  
21 and then I want to ask you about your  
22 recollection, Asper says:

23 "By the way, as I'm going through this  
24 thing, Ferris has a blood sample from  
25 David.",



1 top of the next page, and David says:

2 "MR. DAVID ASPER: We've got to get  
3 Ferris to confirm that he's a secretor,  
4 a non-secretor. On January 18<sup>th</sup>, '88, a  
5 blood sample was taken from him and sent  
6 to Ferris. What do you think? Well, of  
7 course, Ferris is out of town now.

8 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: He's on holidays  
9 for a few days, isn't he.

10 MR. DAVID ASPER: Yeah, or longer."

11 "MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Well, how come  
12 Justice has just interviewed him then?

13 MR. DAVID ASPER: They saw him  
14 yesterday."

04:18 15 Which I think was June 11th:

16 "MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Oh, and he's  
17 gone?

18 MR. DAVID ASPER: Yeah, he was leaving  
19 today.

20 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Oh, that's too  
21 bad. Okay.

22 MR. DAVID ASPER: But, I don't know,  
23 it's totally up to you. I leave the  
24 issue of confrontation to you and to  
25 David because it gets him into ..."



1 trouble, I think that relates to another matter.

2 If you can just scroll down, and you say:

3 "MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Right. What  
4 about this blood test though? Ferris  
5 must have -- that's the reason he got  
6 it, surely.

7 MR. DAVID ASPER: No, it was for the DNA  
8 testing. He got it so that he could  
9 extract genetic material.

10 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Well, I'm sure he  
11 must have tested the other, too.  
12 Wouldn't you think so?

13 MR. DAVID ASPER: I don't know that that  
14 necessarily follows.

15 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Well, it would  
16 follow to me if I -- if I had David  
17 Milgaard's blood sample there, and I was  
18 going by the fact that he was a  
19 non-secretor and I was reading it in  
20 evidence I'd be checking it to make  
21 sure.

22 MR. DAVID ASPER: One would think so.

23 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Well, then, I  
24 think the proper procedure would be to  
25 ask him --



1 MR. DAVID ASPER: Yeah.

2 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: -- did you?

3 MR. DAVID ASPER: Yeah, okay.

4 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: And I mean, if  
5 they conclusively approve the other way  
6 -- the point is, another question I've  
7 often had is, if you're -- once a  
8 secretor --

9 MR. DAVID ASPER: Are you always a  
10 secretor?

11 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: -- always a  
12 non-secretor? Do you ever change?

13 MR. DAVID ASPER: Yeah -- no, you don't.  
14 Although, what they're saying now is  
15 that --

16 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: With the new  
17 technology.

18 MR. DAVID ASPER: Yeah.

19 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Yeah.

20 MR. DAVID ASPER: But -- well, okay --

21 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: I'm not so  
22 concerned. I mean, the way the  
23 Markesteyn Report reads on that sample  
24 --

25 MR. DAVID ASPER: It doesn't matter.





1 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: -- in any event.

2 MR. DAVID ASPER: It doesn't matter.

3 MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: But it would be a  
4 question you should ask him, I would  
5 agree. Okay?

6 MR. DAVID ASPER: All right."

7 Now the next discussion with Mr. Asper about the  
8 secretor is about a year and a half later, right  
9 around the time of the Supreme Court, when Murray  
04:20 10 Brown from the Government of Saskatchewan asks  
11 Mr. Asper if David will agree to be tested for a  
12 secretor status. So I'm presuming, from that,  
13 two things; one, Dr. Ferris never tested David  
14 for secretor status, which he confirmed for the  
04:20 15 Inquiry; and two, it was not done around this  
16 time that you had this discussion with Mr. Asper?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q Can you tell us, again, what is your recollection  
19 about, in addition to what's in these transcripts,  
04:20 20 about what happened about the secretor issue?

21 A I honestly don't know why we didn't continue down  
22 the path and do it, but it must have been  
23 something else that came up right afterwards.

24 Q It would appear, from this discussion, that you  
04:21 25 presumed that Dr. Ferris would have done the test,



1 if he was relying on the fact that David was a  
2 non-secretor and he had his blood --

3 A They --

4 Q -- you assumed that he would have tested it?

04:21 5 A Yes.

6 Q And you are asking Mr. Asper, and he was saying  
7 "well" -- I'm not sure what he is saying, maybe,  
8 maybe not, and that you asked Mr. Asper to follow  
9 up with Dr. Ferris and --

04:21 10 A And check it.

11 Q -- check to see if he had done it or to do the  
12 test; is that correct?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q And do you know what happened, or why that didn't  
04:21 15 take place?

16 A I have no idea. Obviously, though, in -- in there  
17 somewhere it's -- it did say that he was going to  
18 be away, so maybe how long he was away was the  
19 difference.

04:21 20 Q Okay. We'll come back to this issue in December  
21 of '91, or January of '92 it comes up.

22 A Okay.

23 Q But again, at this point did you start to have  
24 concerns about the Ferris opinion about David's  
04:22 25 secretor status, did you have some doubts about



1           that?

2           A       No, I think I really felt that he was very  
3                   reliable, and I felt that if David had been there  
4                   and he had that sample and he was testing it and  
04:22 5                   reading that information, he would have done it.

6           Q       And so you assumed that Ferris would have done the  
7                   secretor test?

8           A       Absolutely. He struck me as that kind of a man.

9           Q       If we can go to 155517, and this is the Markesteyn  
04:21 10                  report which we've been through with a few  
11                  witnesses, and I think he touched on, if we can  
12                  maybe go to page 522 of that, and again I don't  
13                  propose to go through it, but this is where he  
14                  touches on, spends a bit of time on the yellowish  
04:21 15                  stains and I think what he says is lookit, it's  
16                  possible that it's dog urine and then goes on to  
17                  say that that was not excluded by the RCMP back in  
18                  1969 when they did the test, he says that based on  
19                  a discussion with Staff Sergeant Paynter; correct?

04:21 20          A       Correct.

21          Q       And what was your understanding of the Markesteyn  
22                  and Merry report as far as whether it was or  
23                  wasn't dog urine and how might this have been  
24                  missed before, what was your understanding?

04:22 25          A       Well, it was so startling and it was so newsworthy



1           that it seemed to be a wonderful opportunity to  
2           use it to show the type of evidence that had been  
3           used against David and to me it was just one more  
4           show of how wrong things had gone.

04:22 5           **Q**       Now, what if it wasn't dog urine, though, and in  
6           fact it was human semen, which I think Staff  
7           Sergeant Paynter testified at this Inquiry, saying  
8           yes, he did do the test in '69 that confirmed it  
9           was of human nature, and so to go back in 1990 you  
04:22 10          are saying if, if -- I think what the experts are  
11          saying, lookit, we can't exclude it as being dog  
12          urine, we think it might be because of the  
13          yellowish colour and we have tried to identify  
14          whether this specific test was done and the guy  
04:23 15          can't find his notes or can't recall, so it can't  
16          be excluded so it might be, and so it gets out in  
17          the public domain that it may have been dog urine  
18          that was used to convict David Milgaard at trial  
19          when in fact, if Staff Sergeant Paynter's evidence  
04:23 20          is correct before the Inquiry, that it wasn't, it  
21          was human semen.

22          **A**       And the question is?

23          **Q**       I'm saying is that something, were you concerned  
24          about that at the time? You said it was  
04:23 25          sensational, it was out there and it brought



1 attention to it, but what if it was wrong, did  
2 that matter?

3 A Well, I don't think we thought it was wrong at the  
4 time and we only ran with things that we thought  
04:23 5 were important and we ran with that because we  
6 thought it just showed how inept the investigation  
7 had been.

8 Q It --

9 A Maybe we didn't look at the downside of it at the  
04:24 10 time that we did it, I think we were quite excited  
11 about it and got it out there.

12 Q And that would be inept if it was dog urine; is  
13 that correct?

14 A Yes.

04:24 15 Q And if it was in fact human semen, then -- that's  
16 what I'm trying -- was that an issue on your mind,  
17 to say okay, well, did that matter?

18 A It didn't really matter. We needed to get it out  
19 there.

04:24 20 Q And also the fact that it would undermine to some  
21 degree the Dr. Ferris opinion; agreed?

22 A Yes, I believe we looked at that.

23 Q And in the grand scheme of things, you decided to  
24 go with it?

04:24 25 A Yes, we did.



1 MR. HODSON: This is probably an  
2 appropriate spot to break for the day.

3 (Adjourned at 4:24 p.m.)  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25



**OFFICIAL QUEEN'S BENCH COURT REPORTERS' CERTIFICATE:**

We, Karen Hinz, CSR, and Donald G. Meyer, RPR, CSR,  
Official Queen's Bench Court Reporters for the Province of  
Saskatchewan, hereby certify that the foregoing pages  
contain a true and correct transcription of our shorthand  
notes taken herein to the best of my knowledge, skill, and  
ability.

\_\_\_\_\_, CSR

Karen Hinz, CSR

Official Queen's Bench Court Reporter

\_\_\_\_\_, RPR, CSR

Donald G. Meyer, RPR, CSR

Official Queen's Bench Court Reporter



	<div>'<b>68</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30666:17</div> <div>'<b>69</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 30849:14, 30856:8</div> <div>'<b>80</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 30772:13, 30828:23</div> <div>'<b>80</b>-<b>'81</b>-<b>'82</b>-<b>'83</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30598:5</div> <div>'<b>80s</b><sup>[4]</sup> - 30598:5, 30598:18, 30613:24, 30616:17</div> <div>'<b>81</b><sup>[6]</sup> - 30773:9, 30815:20, 30824:25, 30825:17, 30828:23, 30828:25</div> <div>'<b>81</b>-<b>'82</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30598:5</div> <div>'<b>82</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 30828:12, 30828:18, 30828:22</div> <div>'<b>83</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 30773:9, 30800:9, 30804:8</div> <div>'<b>87</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 30613:7, 30771:15</div> <div>'<b>88</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 30613:7, 30627:4, 30711:6, 30771:15, 30850:4</div> <div>'<b>89</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 30613:7, 30633:14, 30771:16</div> <div>'<b>89</b>/january<sup>[1]</sup> - 30630:15</div> <div>'<b>90</b><sup>[4]</sup> - 30633:14, 30657:8, 30721:3, 30779:22</div> <div>'<b>91</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 30601:21, 30854:21</div> <div>'<b>92</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30854:21</div> <div>'based<sup>[1]</sup> - 30631:21</div> <div>'because<sup>[1]</sup> - 30631:20</div> <div>'better<sup>[1]</sup> - 30630:1</div> <div>'conspiracy'<sup>[1]</sup> - 30651:5</div> <div>'cover<sup>[1]</sup> - 30650:25</div> <div>'cover-up<sup>[1]</sup> - 30650:25</div> <div>'coverup'<sup>[1]</sup> - 30651:4</div> <div>'dog<sup>[1]</sup> - 30841:18</div> <div>'go<sup>[2]</sup> - 30696:18, 30732:20</div> <div>'going<sup>[1]</sup> - 30693:4</div> <div>'s<sup>[1]</sup> - 30604:25</div> <div>'that<sup>[1]</sup> - 30681:8</div> <div>'the<sup>[1]</sup> - 30748:16</div> <div>'what<sup>[1]</sup> - 30748:19</div>	<div>009476<sup>[1]</sup> - 30674:20</div> <div>010045<sup>[1]</sup> - 30689:22</div> <div>010050<sup>[1]</sup> - 30621:16</div> <div>012090<sup>[1]</sup> - 30606:11</div> <div>016475<sup>[1]</sup> - 30789:18</div> <div>043291<sup>[1]</sup> - 30599:20</div> <div>043662<sup>[2]</sup> - 30596:10, 30598:22</div> <div>043683<sup>[1]</sup> - 30598:20</div> <div>043686<sup>[1]</sup> - 30605:3</div> <div>045168<sup>[2]</sup> - 30623:17, 30635:5</div> <div>048385<sup>[1]</sup> - 30800:25</div> <div>048396<sup>[1]</sup> - 30801:5</div> <div>048405<sup>[1]</sup> - 30816:19</div> <div>048407<sup>[1]</sup> - 30816:21</div> <div>050412<sup>[1]</sup> - 30794:17</div> <div>050467<sup>[1]</sup> - 30665:25</div> <div>056743<sup>[2]</sup> - 30638:20, 30726:24</div> <div>056750<sup>[1]</sup> - 30638:21</div> <div>056764<sup>[1]</sup> - 30726:23</div> <div>062143<sup>[1]</sup> - 30608:4</div> <div>094<sup>[1]</sup> - 30849:3</div>	<div>1</div>	<div>1<sup>[5]</sup> - 30657:21, 30691:7, 30740:13, 30740:17, 30842:16</div> <div>10<sup>[5]</sup> - 30621:18, 30626:17, 30626:22, 30654:2, 30654:7</div> <div>106948<sup>[1]</sup> - 30841:13</div> <div>10:15<sup>[1]</sup> - 30635:3</div> <div>10th<sup>[6]</sup> - 30609:22, 30623:7, 30684:17, 30710:10, 30716:4, 30729:14</div> <div>11<sup>[1]</sup> - 30621:18</div> <div>11th<sup>[6]</sup> - 30609:20, 30609:24, 30684:18, 30729:14, 30748:4, 30850:15</div> <div>12<sup>[1]</sup> - 30753:3</div> <div>12-month<sup>[1]</sup> - 30743:21</div> <div>12:00<sup>[1]</sup> - 30726:2</div> <div>12th<sup>[1]</sup> - 30621:17</div> <div>13th<sup>[1]</sup> - 30635:6</div> <div>14<sup>[1]</sup> - 30627:7</div> <div>15<sup>[1]</sup> - 30747:12</div> <div>15-month<sup>[1]</sup> - 30743:21</div> <div>150<sup>[1]</sup> - 30589:22</div> <div>154605<sup>[1]</sup> - 30822:4</div> <div>154611<sup>[1]</sup> - 30822:21</div> <div>155505<sup>[1]</sup> - 30740:12</div> <div>155517<sup>[1]</sup> - 30855:9</div>	<div>155610<sup>[1]</sup> - 30671:18</div> <div>156873<sup>[1]</sup> - 30789:5</div> <div>157802<sup>[1]</sup> - 30688:3</div> <div>158345<sup>[2]</sup> - 30684:8, 30685:24</div> <div>159861<sup>[1]</sup> - 30765:8</div> <div>159867<sup>[1]</sup> - 30753:2</div> <div>159870<sup>[1]</sup> - 30748:4</div> <div>159873<sup>[1]</sup> - 30710:8</div> <div>15th<sup>[3]</sup> - 30657:8, 30663:18, 30765:8</div> <div>162387<sup>[1]</sup> - 30691:9</div> <div>162388<sup>[1]</sup> - 30685:21</div> <div>169911<sup>[1]</sup> - 30819:4</div> <div>169913<sup>[1]</sup> - 30839:7</div> <div>16th<sup>[1]</sup> - 30589:21</div> <div>174037<sup>[1]</sup> - 30594:9</div> <div>178850<sup>[1]</sup> - 30657:7</div> <div>17th<sup>[1]</sup> - 30713:21</div> <div>183170<sup>[2]</sup> - 30593:19, 30594:18</div> <div>183171<sup>[2]</sup> - 30594:22, 30595:16</div> <div>18th<sup>[1]</sup> - 30850:4</div> <div>1969<sup>[5]</sup> - 30610:5, 30667:17, 30667:18, 30762:10, 30855:18</div> <div>1970<sup>[1]</sup> - 30666:17</div> <div>1973<sup>[1]</sup> - 30791:24</div> <div>1980<sup>[9]</sup> - 30618:4, 30618:7, 30631:4, 30653:24, 30765:17, 30765:22, 30767:4, 30768:7, 30768:17</div> <div>1981<sup>[9]</sup> - 30616:24, 30650:20, 30825:6, 30825:12, 30825:23, 30833:13, 30834:7, 30834:15, 30834:20</div> <div>1983<sup>[9]</sup> - 30597:2, 30792:5, 30800:3, 30800:19, 30803:24, 30805:14, 30815:20, 30822:16, 30822:18</div> <div>1986<sup>[4]</sup> - 30613:7, 30684:15, 30771:12, 30771:15</div> <div>1988<sup>[5]</sup> - 30628:1, 30747:23, 30804:4, 30804:14, 30805:12</div> <div>1989<sup>[2]</sup> - 30628:4, 30629:3</div> <div>1990<sup>[83]</sup> - 30593:10, 30593:25, 30595:12, 30595:19, 30600:13, 30601:20, 30612:18, 30613:3, 30613:9, 30614:3, 30617:11, 30621:17, 30623:8, 30624:7, 30626:17, 30627:17, 30630:15, 30632:5, 30635:6, 30641:4, 30652:16, 30653:16, 30654:2, 30654:12, 30655:25, 30663:19, 30674:21, 30682:4, 30684:13, 30685:6, 30686:3, 30688:4, 30690:10, 30690:17, 30691:9, 30698:24, 30710:10, 30712:17, 30716:7, 30717:2, 30717:18, 30721:24, 30721:25, 30729:11, 30732:11, 30733:5, 30733:11, 30738:21, 30745:10, 30747:2, 30748:4, 30753:3, 30753:14, 30765:9, 30771:3, 30771:5, 30771:11, 30771:13, 30773:21, 30784:5, 30789:6, 30793:9, 30794:17, 30800:7, 30815:2, 30819:4, 30820:2, 30821:9, 30823:17, 30824:25, 30825:3, 30825:10, 30825:18, 30825:23, 30827:21, 30830:25, 30833:1, 30837:23, 30838:3, 30839:8, 30842:16, 30849:2, 30856:9</div> <div>1990s<sup>[1]</sup> - 30616:16</div> <div>1991<sup>[2]</sup> - 30602:11, 30655:24</div> <div>1993<sup>[1]</sup> - 30668:20</div> <div>1:32<sup>[1]</sup> - 30726:3</div>	<div>2</div>	<div>2<sup>[4]</sup> - 30594:18, 30657:25, 30686:15, 30690:10</div> <div>20<sup>[3]</sup> - 30627:15, 30652:17, 30721:25</div> <div>2006<sup>[1]</sup> - 30589:21</div> <div>20th<sup>[7]</sup> - 30610:16, 30674:21, 30682:4, 30712:4, 30714:24, 30721:21, 30721:24</div> <div>21<sup>[5]</sup> - 30627:18, 30627:19, 30673:19, 30674:22, 30674:24</div> <div>213579<sup>[1]</sup> - 30745:9</div> <div>22<sup>[1]</sup> - 30789:6</div> <div>220898<sup>[1]</sup> - 30760:4</div> <div>22nd<sup>[6]</sup> - 30716:7, 30720:15, 30729:11, 30731:15, 30789:11, 30809:22</div>	<div>23rd<sup>[1]</sup> - 30729:11</div> <div>24<sup>[1]</sup> - 30794:17</div> <div>24th<sup>[1]</sup> - 30789:10</div> <div>25<sup>[7]</sup> - 30616:22, 30616:24, 30771:10, 30771:12, 30819:4, 30820:2, 30821:9</div> <div>26<sup>[1]</sup> - 30593:10</div> <div>26th<sup>[7]</sup> - 30595:12, 30684:13, 30732:11, 30733:5, 30733:22, 30789:22, 30806:11</div> <div>27th<sup>[2]</sup> - 30655:24, 30686:3</div> <div>28th<sup>[3]</sup> - 30593:10, 30595:12, 30628:1</div> <div>2:00<sup>[1]</sup> - 30781:13</div> <div>2:54<sup>[1]</sup> - 30794:14</div> <div>2nd<sup>[2]</sup> - 30717:18, 30798:24</div>
		<div>1</div>		<div>3</div>				
				<div>30<sup>[1]</sup> - 30688:4</div> <div>300<sup>[1]</sup> - 30610:7</div> <div>301838<sup>[1]</sup> - 30795:21</div> <div>30184<sup>[1]</sup> - 30795:21</div> <div>301844<sup>[1]</sup> - 30795:24</div> <div>301846<sup>[1]</sup> - 30798:4</div> <div>30593<sup>[1]</sup> - 30592:4</div> <div>30th<sup>[1]</sup> - 30839:8</div> <div>31<sup>[3]</sup> - 30610:15, 30611:13, 30611:17</div> <div>327678<sup>[1]</sup> - 30713:17</div> <div>333384<sup>[1]</sup> - 30714:24</div> <div>334970<sup>[1]</sup> - 30830:23</div> <div>335929<sup>[1]</sup> - 30814:23</div> <div>336785<sup>[3]</sup> - 30612:15, 30774:3, 30846:13</div> <div>336802<sup>[1]</sup> - 30617:6</div> <div>336804<sup>[3]</sup> - 30751:9, 30753:1, 30753:13</div> <div>336810<sup>[1]</sup> - 30751:9</div> <div>336830<sup>[1]</sup> - 30827:20</div> <div>336852<sup>[1]</sup> - 30827:19</div> <div>336880<sup>[1]</sup> - 30827:23</div> <div>337073<sup>[1]</sup> - 30849:2</div> <div>337359<sup>[5]</sup> - 30779:20, 30784:4, 30823:11, 30835:5, 30835:6</div> <div>337377<sup>[1]</sup> - 30834:24</div> <div>359<sup>[1]</sup> - 30835:5</div> <div>360<sup>[1]</sup> - 30779:23</div> <div>378<sup>[1]</sup> - 30784:6</div> <div>398<sup>[1]</sup> - 30806:7</div> <div>3:00<sup>[1]</sup> - 30781:13</div>				
<div>0</div>								
<div>001818<sup>[1]</sup> - 30732:11</div> <div>004815<sup>[1]</sup> - 30663:16</div>								





<b>3:14</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30794:15 <b>3rd</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30688:6	<b>9th</b> <sup>[5]</sup> - 30609:20, 30684:17, 30729:13, 30745:10, 30747:1	<b>ace</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30735:7, 30787:6 <b>achieve</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30698:3 <b>acknowledge</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30690:3 <b>acknowledging</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 30688:5, 30688:15, 30688:25, 30689:6 <b>acknowledgment</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30689:15, 30689:18 <b>act</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30696:4, 30739:24 <b>acting</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30758:16, 30767:24 <b>action</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 30746:16, 30747:8, 30748:21 <b>activity</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30631:23, 30638:23 <b>actual</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 30593:17, 30593:24, 30717:5, 30774:15 <b>ad</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30692:2 <b>adamant</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30739:1 <b>add</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30706:20 <b>addition</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 30604:13, 30701:19, 30844:15, 30853:19 <b>additional</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30791:10 <b>address</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30760:14, 30821:15 <b>Adjourned</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 30635:2, 30726:2, 30794:14, 30858:3 <b>admitted</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30806:17 <b>admitting</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30834:10 <b>advance</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30754:10 <b>advantages</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30726:8 <b>adverse</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30633:11, 30819:15 <b>adversely</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30750:5 <b>advice</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 30691:14, 30723:1, 30739:2, 30739:6 <b>advise</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 30733:22, 30737:17, 30838:1 <b>advised</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 30715:1, 30730:12, 30839:10 <b>advising</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30593:11 <b>advisors</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30665:15 <b>aerial</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 30800:18, 30800:19, 30800:22, 30822:9 <b>affair</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30765:3 <b>affect</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30750:5 <b>affidavit</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30630:19, 30755:5 <b>afraid</b> <sup>[8]</sup> - 30679:12,	30775:12, 30778:17, 30778:22, 30782:24, 30799:2, 30799:5, 30814:22 <b>afterwards</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30833:6, 30853:23 <b>ago</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30796:6 <b>agree</b> <sup>[13]</sup> - 30632:9, 30654:21, 30677:4, 30702:14, 30760:9, 30812:6, 30824:24, 30825:2, 30825:9, 30825:24, 30843:5, 30853:5, 30853:11 <b>agreed</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 30669:13, 30721:18, 30721:19, 30857:21 <b>agrees</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30787:10 <b>ah</b> <sup>[5]</sup> - 30796:1, 30796:2, 30817:12, 30817:13, 30817:17 <b>Ah</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30817:12, 30818:6 <b>ahead</b> <sup>[7]</sup> - 30603:2, 30652:12, 30727:18, 30728:24, 30728:25, 30753:5, 30839:17 <b>ahold</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30617:14 <b>airing</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30722:14 <b>Aitken</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30686:4 <b>Alan</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30686:4 <b>Albert</b> <sup>[44]</sup> - 30666:8, 30733:24, 30734:13, 30735:24, 30750:12, 30765:18, 30772:15, 30776:5, 30778:16, 30778:21, 30782:12, 30782:22, 30783:10, 30786:17, 30787:15, 30788:15, 30789:22, 30789:25, 30790:11, 30790:15, 30791:11, 30791:21, 30794:3, 30798:20, 30799:23, 30801:11, 30802:17, 30803:16, 30803:23, 30804:7, 30804:17, 30804:21, 30805:13, 30809:22, 30812:16, 30813:16, 30813:20, 30818:14, 30822:5, 30822:7, 30822:23, 30823:3, 30823:8 <b>Albert's</b> <sup>[7]</sup> - 30790:25, 30791:25, 30792:8, 30798:5, 30804:11, 30812:16, 30823:6 <b>alert</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30759:20, 30759:22 <b>alerting</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30759:19	<b>alibi</b> <sup>[5]</sup> - 30676:1, 30676:16, 30676:18, 30676:21, 30677:1 <b>alive</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30700:17 <b>allegation</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30702:2, 30703:20 <b>allegations</b> <sup>[5]</sup> - 30635:19, 30665:7, 30701:21, 30702:23, 30722:10 <b>alleged</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30715:8, 30721:22 <b>allies</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30725:14 <b>allow</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30673:15, 30698:9 <b>allowed</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 30607:12, 30607:20, 30816:17, 30831:3 <b>almost</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30824:7, 30847:24 <b>amazed</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30610:23 <b>amazing</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30811:12 <b>an</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30811:17 <b>analysed</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30713:6 <b>anger</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30703:7, 30703:9 <b>angle</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30814:1 <b>anonymous</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 30619:13, 30619:15, 30717:11, 30815:11 <b>answer</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30628:13, 30755:11 <b>answers</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 30628:24, 30657:14, 30698:15 <b>anticipated</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30715:4 <b>antigen</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30844:5 <b>antigens</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30631:20 <b>anxious</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30732:14 <b>anyhow</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 30636:23, 30776:22, 30783:6 <b>anyway</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30635:22 <b>apart</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30825:19 <b>apologize</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30704:4 <b>apparent</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30790:11 <b>Appeal</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 30819:12, 30819:14, 30819:25, 30821:17 <b>appear</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 30714:9, 30829:22, 30848:20, 30853:24 <b>Appearances</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30591:1 <b>appeared</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30695:16, 30831:23 <b>application</b> <sup>[35]</sup> - 30625:19, 30627:5, 30628:2, 30628:5, 30628:10, 30630:16, 30630:25, 30631:2,
<b>4</b>	<b>A</b>			
<b>4</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30834:19 <b>402</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30810:1 <b>419</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30794:19 <b>4:24</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30858:3 <b>4th</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 30717:18, 30823:17, 30830:25	<b>Aaron</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30591:8 <b>ability</b> <sup>[5]</sup> - 30644:25, 30648:20, 30649:3, 30750:6, 30859:7 <b>able</b> <sup>[16]</sup> - 30596:8, 30596:9, 30648:15, 30658:2, 30658:6, 30673:11, 30676:5, 30700:21, 30716:1, 30720:24, 30732:25, 30772:3, 30783:19, 30804:2, 30805:17, 30834:8 <b>absolute</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30614:20 <b>Absolutely</b> <sup>[19]</sup> - 30623:12, 30626:12, 30633:17, 30657:6, 30665:9, 30678:20, 30681:20, 30689:12, 30705:2, 30706:9, 30725:22, 30753:12, 30800:6, 30802:23, 30831:25, 30841:20, 30843:20, 30844:17, 30855:8 <b>absolutely</b> <sup>[9]</sup> - 30618:22, 30640:10, 30674:19, 30683:11, 30695:3, 30707:16, 30728:16, 30772:24, 30823:2 <b>accept</b> <sup>[5]</sup> - 30656:24, 30675:5, 30754:20, 30760:18, 30791:1 <b>access</b> <sup>[5]</sup> - 30601:25, 30619:23, 30694:15, 30694:17, 30694:18 <b>according</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30640:19 <b>According</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 30610:1, 30610:6, 30610:12, 30733:25 <b>accurate</b> <sup>[11]</sup> - 30621:13, 30621:14, 30622:11, 30642:2, 30642:7, 30647:5, 30709:16, 30734:8, 30734:9, 30739:4, 30760:20 <b>accurately</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30642:4 <b>accusations</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30796:14 <b>Ace</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30734:1			
<b>5</b>				
<b>5</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 30593:20, 30610:5, 30832:8, 30834:19 <b>522</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30855:12 <b>5th</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30620:14				
<b>6</b>				
<b>690</b> <sup>[6]</sup> - 30680:3, 30693:12, 30698:11, 30698:21, 30724:17, 30727:20 <b>6:30</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30610:15 <b>6:49</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 30594:21, 30595:1, 30610:8 <b>6:52</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30595:1 <b>6th</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30691:9				
<b>7</b>				
<b>7</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30738:21 <b>7.6</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30664:1 <b>793</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30774:5 <b>797</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30846:13 <b>7:13</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30595:2				
<b>8</b>				
<b>810</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30751:10 <b>825</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30753:15 <b>880</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30827:21				
<b>9</b>				
<b>9</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30621:17 <b>946</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30814:25 <b>947</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30815:2 <b>987</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30832:23 <b>9:00</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30593:2 <b>9:54</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30635:2				



<p>30632:18, 30632:21, 30633:3, 30677:16, 30678:2, 30680:3, 30680:10, 30680:18, 30693:13, 30697:4, 30698:21, 30712:13, 30712:23, 30722:9, 30724:11, 30724:17, 30724:25, 30727:20, 30745:13, 30747:21, 30805:11, 30805:12, 30836:16, 30840:18, 30844:20, 30845:24</p> <p><b>applications</b> [1] - 30712:20</p> <p><b>apply</b> [1] - 30805:2</p> <p><b>appreciate</b> [9] - 30635:6, 30644:2, 30657:16, 30672:2, 30673:17, 30687:20, 30705:20, 30764:18, 30844:14</p> <p><b>appreciation</b> [1] - 30831:11</p> <p><b>approach</b> [4] - 30707:9, 30757:6, 30760:23, 30806:22</p> <p><b>approached</b> [1] - 30761:12</p> <p><b>approaching</b> [3] - 30755:24, 30788:21</p> <p><b>appropriate</b> [3] - 30726:1, 30794:13, 30858:2</p> <p><b>appropriately</b> [2] - 30758:12, 30758:16</p> <p><b>approve</b> [1] - 30852:5</p> <p><b>April</b> [20] - 30616:24, 30690:10, 30690:16, 30691:9, 30710:9, 30712:17, 30713:21, 30714:24, 30717:1, 30721:3, 30721:21, 30721:24, 30721:25, 30726:24, 30729:11, 30732:11, 30733:5, 30733:11, 30733:22, 30737:23</p> <p><b>april</b> [1] - 30698:24</p> <p><b>area</b> [9] - 30619:3, 30668:8, 30694:5, 30694:23, 30718:8, 30731:23, 30746:3, 30767:22, 30782:18</p> <p><b>areas</b> [3] - 30606:16, 30825:3, 30825:10</p> <p><b>argument</b> [1] - 30612:9</p> <p><b>arrange</b> [1] - 30755:13</p> <p><b>arrangement</b> [1] - 30715:14</p>	<p><b>arrangements</b> [2] - 30716:22, 30734:6</p> <p><b>arrive</b> [1] - 30662:10</p> <p><b>arrived</b> [2] - 30731:3, 30813:16</p> <p><b>article</b> [9] - 30663:18, 30663:22, 30712:1, 30743:1, 30748:5, 30753:2, 30760:13, 30764:18, 30765:15</p> <p><b>articles</b> [1] - 30760:5</p> <p><b>ascribe</b> [1] - 30654:14</p> <p><b>aside</b> [5] - 30614:3, 30680:24, 30754:23, 30758:3, 30758:7</p> <p><b>aspect</b> [1] - 30626:24</p> <p><b>asper</b> [1] - 30598:17</p> <p><b>Asper</b> [252] - 30596:5, 30612:20, 30612:22, 30613:7, 30614:5, 30615:1, 30615:11, 30617:6, 30617:7, 30619:25, 30621:10, 30621:20, 30621:24, 30622:1, 30622:13, 30623:18, 30623:24, 30624:25, 30625:11, 30634:2, 30635:8, 30635:11, 30635:20, 30635:25, 30636:19, 30637:4, 30637:14, 30638:3, 30639:3, 30639:18, 30639:20, 30640:5, 30640:15, 30640:19, 30641:4, 30641:5, 30641:9, 30641:14, 30641:25, 30642:1, 30642:5, 30642:8, 30642:13, 30642:15, 30643:8, 30647:6, 30657:8, 30657:10, 30663:20, 30665:25, 30666:3, 30666:9, 30666:13, 30669:3, 30671:3, 30678:13, 30681:12, 30681:16, 30684:13, 30684:15, 30686:3, 30686:11, 30688:23, 30688:24, 30690:11, 30691:10, 30691:13, 30692:16, 30701:21, 30703:16, 30705:5, 30706:18, 30707:14, 30708:22, 30709:12, 30710:23, 30714:1, 30714:4, 30714:13, 30714:19, 30714:25, 30715:10, 30715:15, 30716:21, 30717:20,</p>	<p>30718:21, 30719:4, 30719:5, 30719:17, 30720:18, 30721:13, 30721:21, 30722:4, 30722:16, 30723:6, 30723:17, 30723:21, 30726:25, 30727:2, 30727:12, 30727:21, 30727:24, 30728:13, 30729:25, 30730:7, 30730:12, 30730:18, 30730:24, 30731:4, 30732:12, 30733:10, 30741:5, 30741:6, 30741:18, 30741:24, 30742:22, 30743:12, 30744:24, 30745:3, 30745:14, 30745:19, 30746:9, 30746:18, 30747:4, 30752:12, 30752:13, 30752:18, 30752:21, 30753:14, 30753:24, 30756:5, 30756:15, 30757:13, 30758:22, 30759:6, 30761:4, 30771:20, 30772:1, 30774:4, 30774:6, 30774:16, 30775:6, 30775:10, 30775:15, 30776:14, 30776:16, 30776:19, 30776:23, 30777:4, 30777:10, 30777:18, 30777:25, 30778:18, 30780:20, 30780:24, 30782:17, 30783:7, 30784:7, 30785:18, 30785:19, 30786:6, 30786:23, 30787:3, 30793:24, 30794:11, 30795:7, 30795:8, 30801:3, 30801:4, 30801:6, 30801:7, 30801:19, 30806:9, 30806:21, 30807:2, 30808:3, 30809:11, 30809:15, 30810:4, 30811:2, 30814:9, 30814:16, 30815:1, 30816:20, 30816:22, 30817:7, 30817:24, 30818:13, 30818:21, 30819:4, 30819:7, 30820:3, 30820:15, 30820:25, 30821:11, 30822:2, 30822:5, 30827:24, 30828:2, 30828:8, 30828:13, 30829:23, 30830:4, 30830:14, 30831:9, 30832:12, 30835:3,</p>	<p>30835:14, 30835:17, 30835:22, 30836:4, 30836:18, 30836:25, 30837:6, 30837:8, 30838:7, 30839:8, 30842:17, 30843:22, 30845:23, 30846:16, 30846:22, 30847:14, 30847:18, 30847:22, 30848:9, 30848:16, 30849:18, 30849:22, 30850:2, 30850:10, 30850:13, 30850:18, 30850:22, 30851:7, 30851:13, 30851:22, 30852:1, 30852:3, 30852:9, 30852:13, 30852:18, 30852:20, 30852:25, 30853:2, 30853:6, 30853:7, 30853:11, 30853:16, 30854:6, 30854:8</p> <p><b>Asper's</b> [10] - 30619:14, 30669:19, 30686:5, 30690:1, 30718:10, 30722:23, 30723:1, 30729:3, 30739:6, 30841:24</p> <p><b>assailant</b> [2] - 30602:18, 30657:23</p> <p><b>assault</b> [6] - 30603:6, 30603:15, 30604:4, 30604:17, 30604:25</p> <p><b>assaulted</b> [2] - 30602:14, 30604:12</p> <p><b>assertion</b> [1] - 30763:8</p> <p><b>assessing</b> [1] - 30724:24</p> <p><b>assessment</b> [2] - 30605:22, 30654:21</p> <p><b>assigned</b> [1] - 30625:4</p> <p><b>assist</b> [10] - 30608:23, 30630:20, 30644:11, 30649:24, 30659:15, 30686:7, 30749:23, 30788:20, 30802:21, 30814:25</p> <p><b>assistance</b> [4] - 30673:25, 30674:3, 30692:4, 30827:2</p> <p><b>Assistant</b> [1] - 30590:5</p> <p><b>assisted</b> [1] - 30598:10</p> <p><b>assisting</b> [1] - 30646:13</p> <p><b>assume</b> [2] - 30713:13, 30715:7</p> <p><b>assumed</b> [5] - 30664:7, 30664:10, 30713:18, 30854:4, 30855:6</p> <p><b>Assumed</b> [1] - 30713:15</p> <p><b>assuming</b> [2] -</p>	<p>30719:11, 30827:8</p> <p><b>assumption</b> [1] - 30847:12</p> <p><b>assurance</b> [1] - 30738:10</p> <p><b>attacking</b> [3] - 30668:7, 30692:19, 30693:4</p> <p><b>attempting</b> [2] - 30635:16, 30762:2</p> <p><b>attend</b> [1] - 30734:7</p> <p><b>attended</b> [1] - 30669:10</p> <p><b>attention</b> [11] - 30628:6, 30709:20, 30762:7, 30779:1, 30779:12, 30802:15, 30802:21, 30808:17, 30808:19, 30809:16, 30857:1</p> <p><b>attorney</b> [1] - 30764:2</p> <p><b>Attorney</b> [5] - 30651:14, 30653:10, 30679:24, 30680:8, 30764:3</p> <p><b>attract</b> [1] - 30722:15</p> <p><b>attributed</b> [1] - 30719:18</p> <p><b>Audio</b> [1] - 30590:11</p> <p><b>August</b> [2] - 30601:21, 30602:11</p> <p><b>authorities</b> [24] - 30609:10, 30625:25, 30627:3, 30641:12, 30642:9, 30642:16, 30642:19, 30643:12, 30645:13, 30647:8, 30647:18, 30648:12, 30652:7, 30652:10, 30652:18, 30656:1, 30657:19, 30698:8, 30723:24, 30736:8, 30746:23, 30779:11, 30783:1, 30805:24</p> <p><b>authorities'</b> [1] - 30724:16</p> <p><b>authority</b> [3] - 30654:12, 30656:15, 30675:2</p> <p><b>available</b> [7] - 30602:23, 30607:25, 30728:20, 30737:18, 30772:17, 30772:22, 30827:11</p> <p><b>Avenue</b> [2] - 30610:8, 30610:16</p> <p><b>avoid</b> [1] - 30759:25</p> <p><b>aware</b> [34] - 30611:9, 30611:11, 30611:14, 30615:2, 30615:12, 30615:14, 30615:16, 30623:18, 30623:19, 30624:10, 30625:3, 30625:8, 30625:11,</p>
--	--	--	--	---



30625:17, 30625:24, 30626:3, 30626:6, 30632:5, 30648:25, 30669:1, 30712:16, 30713:3, 30727:5, 30732:2, 30742:15, 30749:2, 30749:21, 30750:9, 30753:19, 30770:8, 30770:9, 30773:7, 30819:8 <b>awareness</b> [1] - 30722:20 <b>awesome</b> [1] - 30834:1	30706:15 <b>becoming</b> [5] - 30708:6, 30722:18, 30731:5, 30732:14, 30843:6 <b>bed</b> [1] - 30813:18 <b>behalf</b> [1] - 30690:13 <b>behind</b> [2] - 30741:13, 30760:25 <b>Behind</b> [1] - 30697:2 <b>Beitel</b> [1] - 30590:7 <b>belief</b> [6] - 30601:4, 30615:12, 30631:15, 30744:24, 30844:22 <b>believable</b> [1] - 30826:1 <b>believes</b> [2] - 30641:22, 30714:1 <b>bells</b> [3] - 30792:17, 30797:24, 30798:2 <b>belonged</b> [3] - 30663:6, 30686:17, 30686:23 <b>belonging</b> [1] - 30659:14 <b>Bench</b> [5] - 30661:6, 30859:1, 30859:3, 30859:14, 30859:18 <b>benefit</b> [6] - 30724:20, 30726:11, 30782:20, 30783:1, 30783:2, 30834:20 <b>benefits</b> [1] - 30726:21 <b>Bessborough</b> [1] - 30589:16 <b>best</b> [7] - 30696:11, 30696:15, 30696:24, 30740:8, 30751:20, 30784:15, 30859:6 <b>better</b> [13] - 30629:24, 30648:20, 30693:19, 30727:21, 30737:5, 30746:7, 30757:10, 30787:17, 30794:6, 30794:7, 30831:10, 30833:19, 30843:16 <b>between</b> [17] - 30600:13, 30617:2, 30641:4, 30656:25, 30678:11, 30680:12, 30688:22, 30726:25, 30754:6, 30755:21, 30774:4, 30794:18, 30795:22, 30815:1, 30816:20, 30827:24, 30835:2 <b>beyond</b> [4] - 30688:16, 30689:5, 30689:9, 30689:16 <b>bias</b> [1] - 30675:6 <b>biased</b> [1] - 30654:23 <b>Bible</b> [1] - 30775:24	<b>big</b> [3] - 30629:16, 30726:16, 30747:19 <b>bigger</b> [3] - 30771:2 <b>bit</b> [30] - 30593:22, 30597:21, 30602:13, 30607:18, 30639:24, 30644:18, 30648:17, 30654:18, 30658:21, 30681:24, 30684:12, 30692:1, 30703:13, 30704:22, 30706:8, 30708:25, 30709:2, 30709:13, 30726:7, 30737:21, 30738:12, 30770:14, 30771:8, 30784:5, 30787:9, 30799:22, 30838:4, 30841:22, 30849:5, 30855:14 <b>blade</b> [4] - 30659:2, 30662:18, 30664:1, 30669:15 <b>blast</b> [1] - 30810:8 <b>blew</b> [1] - 30720:9 <b>blitzed</b> [1] - 30598:6 <b>block</b> [2] - 30610:7, 30765:7 <b>blood</b> [24] - 30780:17, 30794:3, 30798:6, 30798:20, 30798:25, 30799:16, 30799:18, 30811:14, 30811:18, 30812:11, 30812:16, 30812:23, 30813:4, 30813:20, 30819:6, 30821:12, 30825:17, 30825:18, 30844:4, 30849:24, 30850:5, 30851:4, 30851:17, 30854:2 <b>blood'</b> [1] - 30631:21 <b>blow</b> [1] - 30766:17 <b>blue</b> [1] - 30746:8 <b>board</b> [1] - 30734:20 <b>Bobs</b> [1] - 30591:5 <b>body</b> [3] - 30660:7, 30664:2, 30842:22 <b>Boechler</b> [1] - 30590:11 <b>boiled</b> [1] - 30770:13 <b>bolted</b> [1] - 30760:15 <b>bone</b> [6] - 30658:20, 30663:25, 30665:17, 30669:7, 30670:6, 30699:22 <b>bone-handled</b> [6] - 30658:20, 30663:25, 30665:17, 30669:7, 30670:6, 30699:22 <b>book</b> [1] - 30615:4 <b>borrow</b> [2] - 30607:3,	30607:6 <b>borrowed</b> [1] - 30622:4 <b>boss</b> [1] - 30807:8 <b>Boswell</b> [1] - 30590:4 <b>bother</b> [1] - 30744:5 <b>bottom</b> [16] - 30605:4, 30619:4, 30677:10, 30683:12, 30683:17, 30748:9, 30774:21, 30786:22, 30791:9, 30811:7, 30818:10, 30819:20, 30820:19, 30821:19, 30822:7, 30847:25 <b>brainstorming</b> [3] - 30737:9, 30743:19, 30783:21 <b>break</b> [6] - 30634:20, 30634:23, 30726:1, 30794:13, 30800:22, 30858:2 <b>breaking</b> [1] - 30703:13 <b>breakthrough</b> [1] - 30839:22 <b>Brecht</b> [3] - 30789:6, 30789:11, 30809:21 <b>Breckenridge</b> [1] - 30770:23 <b>brief</b> [2] - 30684:15, 30686:12 <b>bright</b> [1] - 30787:1 <b>bring</b> [6] - 30631:1, 30634:22, 30694:4, 30750:17, 30767:21, 30774:7 <b>bringing</b> [1] - 30689:25 <b>broke</b> [2] - 30598:21, 30822:8 <b>broken</b> [1] - 30800:18 <b>brother</b> [8] - 30790:18, 30791:4, 30791:11, 30796:5, 30799:19, 30805:8, 30813:17, 30823:1 <b>brother's</b> [1] - 30790:17 <b>brought</b> [11] - 30622:22, 30622:25, 30670:11, 30670:23, 30688:23, 30691:10, 30731:13, 30748:6, 30751:18, 30752:15, 30856:25 <b>Brown</b> [1] - 30853:10 <b>Bruce</b> [2] - 30591:9, 30665:15 <b>brushing</b> [2] - 30758:3, 30758:7 <b>Bryan</b> [2] - 30617:16, 30617:17 <b>Bud</b> [3] - 30637:21,	30637:23, 30638:7 <b>budget</b> [1] - 30797:13 <b>Buds</b> [1] - 30638:11 <b>build</b> [2] - 30782:9 <b>built</b> [1] - 30723:12 <b>bum</b> [1] - 30786:2 <b>bunch</b> [1] - 30594:21 <b>buried</b> [1] - 30770:22 <b>burn</b> [1] - 30622:9 <b>bus</b> [2] - 30597:18, 30610:15 <b>bushes</b> [1] - 30651:23 <b>business</b> [2] - 30618:4, 30784:22 <b>busy</b> [2] - 30730:10, 30773:1 <b>butt</b> [1] - 30802:11
<b>B</b>				
<b>backdrop</b> [2] - 30828:7, 30828:11 <b>backed</b> [1] - 30825:11 <b>background</b> [1] - 30849:5 <b>bad</b> [7] - 30615:24, 30649:11, 30768:1, 30788:8, 30811:21, 30832:2, 30850:21 <b>bag</b> [1] - 30828:18 <b>Based</b> [1] - 30732:23 <b>based</b> [6] - 30601:4, 30687:1, 30799:19, 30799:21, 30844:23, 30855:18 <b>basement</b> [1] - 30780:13 <b>basis</b> [4] - 30620:2, 30705:24, 30716:13, 30793:10 <b>bat</b> [1] - 30692:14 <b>bathtubs</b> [1] - 30799:23 <b>Bc</b> [2] - 30789:22, 30790:3 <b>beating</b> [1] - 30651:23 <b>became</b> [18] - 30615:14, 30623:20, 30632:5, 30661:13, 30665:6, 30691:12, 30720:13, 30740:16, 30749:1, 30750:20, 30753:19, 30770:8, 30770:9, 30790:10, 30812:14, 30841:15, 30841:17, 30843:18 <b>Beck</b> [1] - 30597:18 <b>become</b> [10] - 30623:18, 30623:19, 30625:11, 30626:23, 30641:15, 30641:17, 30650:8, 30730:16, 30730:21, 30746:14 <b>becomes</b> [2] - 30672:4,				
<b>C</b>				
<b>Cadrain</b> [72] - 30685:8, 30685:18, 30771:6, 30771:15, 30772:16, 30773:8, 30773:23, 30774:13, 30775:11, 30776:5, 30778:16, 30778:21, 30780:7, 30780:8, 30780:12, 30782:12, 30782:22, 30783:10, 30785:22, 30786:17, 30787:10, 30787:12, 30789:20, 30789:23, 30789:24, 30792:7, 30792:12, 30792:14, 30793:8, 30793:10, 30793:12, 30794:1, 30794:19, 30794:20, 30799:10, 30802:10, 30802:18, 30803:16, 30804:8, 30804:17, 30805:8, 30805:14, 30806:12, 30806:21, 30807:14, 30808:11, 30808:17, 30809:3, 30809:5, 30809:7, 30809:9, 30809:22, 30810:3, 30810:23, 30810:24, 30812:2, 30812:7, 30813:24, 30815:12, 30815:20, 30816:13, 30816:24, 30818:3, 30818:22, 30818:25, 30835:2, 30835:10, 30836:21, 30837:4, 30838:19 <b>Cadrain's</b> [6] - 30801:9, 30803:23, 30809:4, 30813:16, 30818:3,				



<p>30839:2  <b>Cadrains</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30785:11  <b>Caldwell</b><sup>[17]</sup> - 30591:5, 30596:17, 30596:18, 30644:8, 30661:1, 30661:6, 30661:10, 30670:25, 30702:6, 30810:17, 30810:22, 30811:3, 30813:5, 30813:6, 30813:7, 30813:8  <b>Caldwells</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30817:23  <b>Calgary</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 30617:22, 30822:12  <b>caller</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 30619:13, 30619:16, 30807:21  <b>Calvin</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30591:12  <b>campaign</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 30757:15, 30758:20  <b>Campbell</b><sup>[25]</sup> - 30615:5, 30615:9, 30674:20, 30681:25, 30684:4, 30710:13, 30712:2, 30746:7, 30748:13, 30753:16, 30753:19, 30754:2, 30755:22, 30758:7, 30758:10, 30758:13, 30760:8, 30760:14, 30760:24, 30761:21, 30762:3, 30762:12, 30762:19, 30763:23, 30764:20  <b>Campbells</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30655:24  <b>Canada</b><sup>[7]</sup> - 30591:11, 30668:11, 30691:25, 30692:24, 30709:7, 30777:16, 30803:8  <b>Canada's</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30628:17  <b>Candace</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30590:3  <b>candid</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30648:21  <b>Cando</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 30617:25, 30618:2, 30773:1  <b>cannot</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 30615:15, 30679:10, 30759:4  <b>capsulized</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30810:11  <b>capture</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 30642:4, 30747:24, 30755:21  <b>car</b><sup>[42]</sup> - 30599:16, 30599:19, 30599:22, 30600:4, 30600:5, 30600:10, 30600:12, 30601:1, 30601:3, 30601:13, 30602:7, 30602:11, 30602:19, 30602:22, 30604:2, 30605:11, 30605:16,</p>	<p>30606:25, 30607:2, 30607:4, 30607:6, 30607:8, 30607:15, 30607:21, 30607:25, 30608:2, 30608:12, 30608:25, 30622:4, 30622:6, 30622:18, 30623:9, 30623:14, 30676:11, 30676:12, 30800:22, 30822:9  <b>card</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 30737:3, 30784:12  <b>cards</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30737:12  <b>careful</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 30831:10, 30838:13  <b>carefully</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30647:17  <b>caretaker</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30676:12  <b>Carl</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 30715:23, 30717:19, 30717:21  <b>Carlyle</b><sup>[15]</sup> - 30594:6, 30594:11, 30595:21, 30596:25, 30598:10, 30614:2, 30772:15, 30792:5, 30792:14, 30793:8, 30800:4, 30800:9, 30804:7, 30811:3, 30822:15  <b>Carlyle-gordge</b><sup>[10]</sup> - 30595:21, 30596:25, 30598:10, 30614:2, 30792:5, 30792:14, 30800:4, 30800:9, 30811:3, 30822:15  <b>Carlyle-gordge's</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 30594:6, 30594:11, 30772:15, 30793:8, 30804:7  <b>carries</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 30808:6, 30810:19  <b>carry</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30673:16  <b>carrying</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30697:5  <b>case</b><sup>[56]</sup> - 30598:2, 30635:21, 30645:5, 30650:12, 30655:6, 30655:9, 30655:21, 30668:17, 30671:1, 30672:16, 30675:10, 30675:13, 30675:24, 30676:7, 30676:8, 30676:17, 30676:25, 30677:13, 30686:10, 30686:12, 30687:11, 30687:25, 30691:19, 30692:10, 30694:25, 30699:11, 30703:25, 30708:11, 30709:11, 30716:2, 30732:18, 30732:21, 30748:5, 30753:11, 30754:8,</p>	<p>30758:5, 30760:19, 30762:7, 30765:19, 30769:7, 30770:2, 30773:18, 30773:20, 30777:12, 30778:7, 30778:9, 30779:3, 30791:14, 30802:15, 30802:21, 30806:1, 30810:23, 30820:8, 30837:12, 30845:5  <b>cases</b><sup>[4]</sup> - 30691:20, 30691:23, 30706:6, 30706:17  <b>cathartic</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30726:22  <b>Catherine</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30591:5  <b>caught</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30610:15  <b>caused</b><sup>[7]</sup> - 30650:20, 30654:8, 30711:18, 30711:19, 30771:13, 30822:18, 30823:7  <b>causes</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30739:20  <b>causing</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30765:7  <b>cautioned</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30735:8  <b>Cbc</b><sup>[14]</sup> - 30700:20, 30701:10, 30715:23, 30716:8, 30717:10, 30717:13, 30720:15, 30731:16, 30742:25, 30806:15, 30807:1, 30807:6, 30815:4, 30816:16  <b>Cecil</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30715:24  <b>Celine</b><sup>[12]</sup> - 30811:13, 30812:2, 30812:8, 30812:10, 30813:12, 30813:17, 30814:1, 30814:12, 30814:21, 30816:21, 30816:23, 30818:25  <b>cemented</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30798:7  <b>centimetre</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30664:1  <b>Centurion</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 30613:10, 30614:14, 30692:10, 30773:17, 30784:20  <b>certain</b><sup>[4]</sup> - 30650:14, 30730:15, 30790:19, 30844:3  <b>certainly</b><sup>[20]</sup> - 30598:10, 30615:15, 30640:12, 30644:7, 30652:4, 30668:5, 30682:11, 30692:14, 30694:24, 30697:10, 30700:10, 30710:11, 30734:11, 30744:22, 30792:22, 30813:13, 30825:3, 30828:5, 30845:23, 30846:19</p>	<p><b>Certificate</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30859:1  <b>certify</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30859:4  <b>chalk</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30799:16  <b>challenges</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30796:12  <b>challenging</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30845:11  <b>chance</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 30655:10, 30716:17, 30749:19, 30788:4, 30826:7  <b>chances</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30707:2  <b>change</b><sup>[16]</sup> - 30636:18, 30636:25, 30640:23, 30643:22, 30654:8, 30672:17, 30681:15, 30681:16, 30681:23, 30697:15, 30698:9, 30698:24, 30759:13, 30787:13, 30823:4, 30852:12  <b>changed</b><sup>[12]</sup> - 30628:22, 30633:3, 30654:5, 30667:25, 30676:23, 30682:12, 30708:2, 30713:2, 30738:12, 30770:14, 30787:16, 30813:19  <b>changes</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30706:9  <b>channel</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30678:11  <b>charge</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 30606:13, 30641:24  <b>chastise</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30695:15  <b>chat</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 30780:6, 30783:14, 30783:25  <b>check</b><sup>[7]</sup> - 30593:8, 30596:23, 30597:10, 30741:23, 30847:1, 30854:10, 30854:11  <b>checked</b><sup>[7]</sup> - 30610:7, 30632:22, 30762:15, 30809:1, 30846:21  <b>checking</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 30597:21, 30638:10, 30851:20  <b>Cheryl</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30590:5  <b>chest</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30824:10  <b>child</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30796:9  <b>choose</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30631:2  <b>chose</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30703:18  <b>Christian</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30703:8  <b>chronology</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30710:9  <b>Church</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30797:25  <b>church</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 30676:12, 30797:24  <b>circumstances</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30825:19  <b>circumvent</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30648:8  <b>City</b><sup>[4]</sup> - 30641:21,</p>	<p>30650:2, 30650:4, 30650:7  <b>city</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 30618:6, 30650:2, 30650:7, 30650:21, 30765:20  <b>claimed</b><sup>[4]</sup> - 30610:14, 30611:12, 30686:15, 30686:22  <b>claiming</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30611:15  <b>clean</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 30734:20, 30829:13  <b>clear</b><sup>[9]</sup> - 30605:3, 30632:3, 30653:23, 30662:1, 30707:3, 30725:3, 30741:7, 30784:23, 30804:15  <b>cleared</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30762:4  <b>clearly</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30669:10  <b>Clearly</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30819:14  <b>clears</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30762:11  <b>Clerk</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30590:7  <b>client</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 30714:1, 30740:4  <b>Cliff</b><sup>[18]</sup> - 30598:21, 30601:6, 30602:19, 30602:22, 30604:1, 30605:2, 30605:12, 30605:23, 30607:21, 30608:8, 30608:21, 30609:23, 30621:19, 30622:13, 30622:16, 30623:7, 30683:18, 30684:17  <b>Clifford</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 30608:18, 30622:3  <b>Clifford's</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30622:4  <b>clips</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30760:9  <b>close</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 30627:19, 30734:18, 30765:18, 30828:20, 30828:22  <b>closed</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30697:2  <b>closer</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 30634:22, 30837:23  <b>clothes</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30813:19  <b>clothing</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30812:11  <b>clouds</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30818:5  <b>co</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 30674:7, 30674:11, 30751:4  <b>co-operate</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30674:11  <b>co-operated</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30674:7  <b>co-operating</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30751:4  <b>coerced</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30790:23  <b>collected</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30616:15  <b>colour</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 30622:18, 30856:13  <b>coloured</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30656:13  <b>comfort</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 30755:1</p>
---	--	---	---	--



<p><b>coming</b> [26] - 30634:18, 30648:8, 30649:21, 30727:15, 30729:4, 30746:8, 30753:19, 30754:11, 30773:15, 30781:10, 30785:2, 30788:5, 30819:6, 30820:17, 30820:18, 30821:4, 30829:13, 30835:13, 30836:23, 30837:13, 30837:17, 30837:18, 30839:15, 30840:16, 30842:8, 30842:15</p> <p><b>comment</b> [7] - 30607:23, 30672:24, 30674:15, 30686:6, 30785:18, 30786:22, 30821:17</p> <p><b>comments</b> [5] - 30744:23, 30781:17, 30781:23, 30781:24, 30800:16</p> <p><b>Commission</b> [7] - 30589:2, 30589:14, 30590:1, 30590:2, 30590:7, 30637:25, 30688:11</p> <p><b>Commissioner</b> [11] - 30593:3, 30599:13, 30634:24, 30685:22, 30685:25, 30772:19, 30796:16, 30835:4, 30835:7, 30837:20, 30837:24</p> <p><b>commit</b> [1] - 30752:1</p> <p><b>committed</b> [14] - 30602:1, 30603:14, 30663:11, 30666:10, 30666:11, 30668:6, 30693:8, 30695:5, 30702:3, 30702:6, 30717:23, 30791:23, 30801:13, 30818:9</p> <p><b>Committee</b> [1] - 30748:7</p> <p><b>committee</b> [3] - 30716:5, 30748:14, 30752:17</p> <p><b>committing</b> [2] - 30604:17, 30767:23</p> <p><b>Commons</b> [1] - 30716:5</p> <p><b>communicate</b> [1] - 30737:17</p> <p><b>communicated</b> [1] - 30849:15</p> <p><b>communication</b> [7] - 30677:17, 30677:22, 30678:11, 30678:19, 30678:25, 30679:3,</p>	<p>30679:7</p> <p><b>communications</b> [2] - 30638:2, 30688:11</p> <p><b>compare</b> [1] - 30834:21</p> <p><b>comparison</b> [1] - 30834:21</p> <p><b>compelled</b> [1] - 30611:20</p> <p><b>competing</b> [1] - 30724:18</p> <p><b>completed</b> [1] - 30747:20</p> <p><b>completely</b> [1] - 30809:14</p> <p><b>completing</b> [1] - 30723:4</p> <p><b>concern</b> [34] - 30615:20, 30641:15, 30644:5, 30644:19, 30645:6, 30648:1, 30648:2, 30648:5, 30649:12, 30683:7, 30683:25, 30688:16, 30689:8, 30689:17, 30703:3, 30706:22, 30708:6, 30710:18, 30710:20, 30723:25, 30724:5, 30724:13, 30724:15, 30745:24, 30750:9, 30750:16, 30750:19, 30757:5, 30822:22, 30837:1, 30838:25, 30840:6, 30840:8, 30842:10</p> <p><b>concerned</b> [14] - 30645:6, 30648:10, 30649:7, 30650:6, 30670:10, 30684:4, 30723:22, 30743:25, 30745:11, 30751:16, 30835:18, 30842:14, 30852:22, 30856:23</p> <p><b>concerns</b> [37] - 30634:2, 30641:7, 30643:11, 30643:16, 30647:22, 30648:18, 30648:23, 30700:1, 30700:7, 30700:14, 30702:25, 30706:25, 30707:11, 30708:4, 30708:14, 30708:20, 30708:24, 30722:5, 30722:13, 30722:15, 30722:16, 30722:21, 30722:22, 30723:18, 30741:17, 30741:19, 30741:21, 30741:25, 30742:3, 30742:15, 30743:13, 30750:2, 30761:17, 30790:16,</p>	<p>30791:5, 30840:24, 30854:24</p> <p><b>concise</b> [1] - 30618:18</p> <p><b>conclude</b> [4] - 30652:20, 30671:22, 30672:9, 30682:3</p> <p><b>concluded</b> [4] - 30652:9, 30664:24, 30671:7, 30675:10</p> <p><b>concludes</b> [2] - 30675:18, 30675:19</p> <p><b>conclusion</b> [6] - 30661:9, 30663:4, 30669:23, 30672:8, 30673:10, 30747:9</p> <p><b>conclusions</b> [1] - 30836:12</p> <p><b>conclusively</b> [1] - 30852:5</p> <p><b>concrete</b> [1] - 30748:21</p> <p><b>concurs</b> [1] - 30733:25</p> <p><b>condemnation</b> [1] - 30817:19</p> <p><b>condemned</b> [2] - 30679:11, 30679:16</p> <p><b>condensing</b> [1] - 30618:15</p> <p><b>condition</b> [2] - 30803:23, 30804:17</p> <p><b>conduct</b> [1] - 30680:24</p> <p><b>conducted</b> [2] - 30718:15, 30805:13</p> <p><b>Confederation</b> [3] - 30815:14, 30815:16, 30815:22</p> <p><b>conference</b> [2] - 30810:7, 30811:5</p> <p><b>confess</b> [3] - 30736:14, 30737:13, 30795:11</p> <p><b>confessed</b> [3] - 30795:2, 30795:11, 30795:14</p> <p><b>confession</b> [3] - 30649:3, 30734:3, 30752:5</p> <p><b>confidence</b> [1] - 30725:13</p> <p><b>confident</b> [2] - 30672:5, 30673:17</p> <p><b>confidential</b> [2] - 30722:11, 30723:8</p> <p><b>confirm</b> [5] - 30599:2, 30599:15, 30669:6, 30809:5, 30850:3</p> <p><b>confirmation</b> [1] - 30800:7</p> <p><b>confirmed</b> [3] - 30809:7, 30853:14, 30856:8</p> <p><b>confront</b> [2] - 30754:12,</p>	<p>30756:11</p> <p><b>confrontation</b> [1] - 30850:24</p> <p><b>confronting</b> [1] - 30754:4</p> <p><b>Congram</b> [1] - 30590:3</p> <p><b>connected</b> [1] - 30635:18</p> <p><b>connecting</b> [2] - 30792:10, 30792:13</p> <p><b>connection</b> [3] - 30660:14, 30665:23, 30740:25</p> <p><b>connotation</b> [1] - 30706:8</p> <p><b>consider</b> [3] - 30688:17, 30791:3, 30819:16</p> <p><b>considered</b> [1] - 30714:7</p> <p><b>considering</b> [1] - 30642:2</p> <p><b>considers</b> [1] - 30712:25</p> <p><b>consistent</b> [2] - 30659:2, 30669:20</p> <p><b>conspiracy</b> [2] - 30641:22, 30651:11</p> <p><b>conspiracy'</b> [1] - 30651:1</p> <p><b>constant</b> [1] - 30672:16</p> <p><b>constantly</b> [1] - 30691:16</p> <p><b>constituents</b> [1] - 30844:24</p> <p><b>consult</b> [1] - 30691:13</p> <p><b>consulting</b> [1] - 30836:7</p> <p><b>consume</b> [1] - 30703:9</p> <p><b>contact</b> [11] - 30611:21, 30612:24, 30613:2, 30639:14, 30639:20, 30640:9, 30718:24, 30727:6, 30727:10, 30736:6, 30744:5</p> <p><b>contacted</b> [12] - 30609:19, 30617:18, 30727:3, 30733:21, 30735:23, 30736:20, 30754:5, 30754:6, 30758:21, 30823:22, 30823:23</p> <p><b>contacting</b> [3] - 30625:14, 30755:11, 30761:15</p> <p><b>contain</b> [1] - 30859:5</p> <p><b>contemplated</b> [1] - 30684:22</p> <p><b>context</b> [3] - 30781:23, 30782:2, 30783:20</p>	<p><b>continuation</b> [1] - 30638:21</p> <p><b>continue</b> [10] - 30607:21, 30626:10, 30636:16, 30637:5, 30637:11, 30673:25, 30697:12, 30720:20, 30728:12, 30853:21</p> <p><b>Continued</b> [1] - 30592:3</p> <p><b>continued</b> [3] - 30593:5, 30637:3, 30751:7</p> <p><b>continues</b> [1] - 30783:7</p> <p><b>contractors</b> [1] - 30610:10</p> <p><b>contradictory</b> [1] - 30812:15</p> <p><b>contrary</b> [3] - 30604:19, 30735:9, 30739:3</p> <p><b>control</b> [7] - 30606:8, 30705:6, 30708:25, 30709:3, 30739:16, 30740:6, 30758:17</p> <p><b>controlling</b> [1] - 30706:19</p> <p><b>conversation</b> [13] - 30635:15, 30732:24, 30752:14, 30774:4, 30777:20, 30779:24, 30794:18, 30797:4, 30801:1, 30803:6, 30804:9, 30823:12, 30837:15</p> <p><b>conversations</b> [3] - 30615:11, 30781:7, 30781:10</p> <p><b>conveyed</b> [2] - 30673:14, 30849:17</p> <p><b>convict</b> [3] - 30675:11, 30841:18, 30856:18</p> <p><b>convicted</b> [6] - 30674:23, 30675:7, 30677:23, 30768:22, 30770:4, 30840:1</p> <p><b>Conviction</b> [1] - 30589:4</p> <p><b>cooperate</b> [2] - 30674:4, 30727:22</p> <p><b>cooperative</b> [2] - 30740:3, 30740:9</p> <p><b>cop</b> [1] - 30847:24</p> <p><b>cops</b> [3] - 30780:25, 30781:18, 30811:13</p> <p><b>copy</b> [9] - 30593:8, 30593:22, 30711:9, 30711:15, 30712:9, 30754:2, 30755:3, 30762:3, 30826:17</p> <p><b>Coquitlam</b> [1] - 30789:21</p>
--	---	--	---	--



<p><b>corner</b> [1] - 30709:7  <b>correct</b> [163] -  30595:17, 30598:11,  30598:18, 30601:23,  30602:7, 30602:24,  30604:25, 30605:1,  30607:11, 30608:16,  30610:22, 30611:22,  30616:14, 30618:7,  30621:11, 30624:9,  30626:2, 30626:14,  30626:18, 30628:7,  30635:23, 30636:17,  30636:21, 30637:2,  30638:4, 30639:16,  30640:5, 30642:9,  30642:25, 30644:18,  30645:24, 30646:7,  30646:17, 30646:22,  30646:23, 30649:12,  30652:23, 30653:13,  30653:14, 30653:25,  30654:1, 30654:9,  30654:15, 30654:20,  30655:4, 30655:25,  30656:5, 30656:11,  30658:18, 30659:7,  30662:5, 30665:4,  30665:8, 30665:13,  30666:11, 30666:16,  30666:21, 30669:25,  30670:3, 30675:15,  30675:22, 30678:16,  30679:18, 30680:10,  30680:14, 30680:15,  30681:15, 30681:21,  30682:6, 30684:2,  30685:8, 30685:9,  30685:14, 30687:15,  30689:4, 30689:11,  30690:8, 30690:16,  30691:11, 30691:20,  30692:1, 30696:13,  30697:8, 30697:9,  30698:21, 30698:22,  30699:12, 30699:17,  30701:1, 30701:22,  30702:9, 30703:2,  30705:11, 30706:1,  30716:10, 30718:16,  30721:3, 30721:18,  30723:24, 30724:5,  30726:21, 30727:12,  30727:13, 30731:10,  30735:13, 30739:5,  30745:21, 30745:25,  30747:18, 30749:2,  30749:5, 30749:7,  30751:2, 30751:6,  30752:17, 30753:23,  30754:4, 30754:5,</p>	<p>30759:23, 30760:11,  30769:6, 30769:8,  30769:9, 30774:16,  30779:19, 30784:15,  30784:25, 30785:8,  30789:12, 30790:1,  30790:2, 30792:2,  30797:22, 30798:19,  30798:25, 30799:7,  30812:12, 30812:18,  30813:20, 30813:21,  30815:23, 30823:20,  30830:22, 30831:6,  30831:8, 30831:12,  30831:17, 30832:6,  30843:9, 30845:1,  30845:8, 30845:21,  30846:2, 30847:6,  30847:7, 30848:24,  30853:17, 30854:12,  30854:13, 30855:19,  30856:20, 30857:13,  30859:5  <b>Correct</b> [24] - 30602:8,  30602:17, 30617:3,  30626:19, 30666:6,  30670:2, 30680:5,  30690:24, 30699:2,  30699:13, 30740:11,  30745:20, 30752:16,  30760:1, 30784:13,  30802:16, 30812:13,  30822:20, 30823:21,  30826:3, 30834:18,  30844:21, 30845:9,  30855:20  <b>corrected</b> [2] - 30670:8,  30773:6  <b>correspond</b> [1] -  30688:24  <b>corroborate</b> [1] -  30793:11  <b>corroborates</b> [1] -  30630:23  <b>Counsel</b> [3] - 30590:2,  30593:4, 30811:21  <b>counsel</b> [8] - 30603:10,  30646:1, 30646:9,  30646:12, 30649:20,  30744:7, 30806:1,  30806:6  <b>counted</b> [1] - 30692:15  <b>country</b> [2] - 30698:1,  30710:5  <b>couple</b> [8] - 30635:9,  30641:10, 30679:14,  30685:6, 30727:7,  30754:1, 30790:8,  30846:9  <b>course</b> [18] - 30614:9,</p>	<p>30640:7, 30665:18,  30681:14, 30688:18,  30696:8, 30697:3,  30697:15, 30698:25,  30715:21, 30758:17,  30775:11, 30775:23,  30787:5, 30796:5,  30818:11, 30826:15,  30850:7  <b>court</b> [4] - 30673:2,  30673:8, 30673:12,  30809:1  <b>Court</b> [16] - 30590:8,  30667:11, 30667:23,  30667:24, 30667:25,  30668:10, 30783:4,  30819:12, 30819:14,  30819:24, 30821:16,  30853:9, 30859:1,  30859:3, 30859:14,  30859:18  <b>courthouse</b> [6] -  30663:21, 30664:13,  30664:22, 30669:4,  30669:24, 30671:5  <b>cover</b> [25] - 30641:22,  30643:21, 30644:11,  30645:22, 30647:19,  30651:11, 30653:20,  30663:10, 30754:9,  30755:15, 30763:7,  30763:21, 30767:9,  30767:18, 30767:19,  30768:3, 30768:14,  30768:25, 30769:1,  30769:22, 30769:25,  30770:5, 30771:4,  30819:1  <b>cover-up</b> [12] -  30641:22, 30767:9,  30767:18, 30767:19,  30768:3, 30768:14,  30768:25, 30769:22,  30769:25, 30771:4,  30819:1  <b>coverage</b> [1] - 30754:3  <b>covered</b> [9] - 30633:20,  30652:18, 30686:2,  30711:18, 30762:21,  30762:25, 30763:6,  30765:3, 30814:19  <b>covering</b> [8] - 30644:3,  30644:14, 30652:2,  30654:24, 30655:23,  30767:7, 30767:20,  30768:1  <b>coverup</b> [7] - 30643:18,  30643:19, 30644:16,  30646:20, 30650:3,  30651:3, 30766:7</p>	<p><b>crack</b> [1] - 30787:8  <b>crazy</b> [1] - 30751:25  <b>credibility</b> [3] -  30790:17, 30791:25,  30824:22  <b>credible</b> [4] - 30799:9,  30800:1, 30800:5,  30822:19  <b>crime</b> [14] - 30602:2,  30661:7, 30663:11,  30668:6, 30669:9,  30669:12, 30686:17,  30686:23, 30693:8,  30694:12, 30695:5,  30762:5, 30762:11,  30845:7  <b>criminal</b> [2] - 30634:15,  30664:9  <b>criticize</b> [1] - 30696:18  <b>criticizing</b> [1] -  30810:12  <b>Crown</b> [11] - 30663:7,  30676:1, 30676:18,  30702:20, 30704:7,  30762:10, 30814:3,  30817:19, 30817:21,  30819:23, 30819:25  <b>Crown's</b> [4] - 30671:1,  30686:12, 30811:8,  30817:25  <b>Csr</b> [8] - 30590:8,  30590:9, 30859:2,  30859:12, 30859:13,  30859:16, 30859:17  <b>Ctv</b> [1] - 30700:20  <b>culprit</b> [4] - 30609:4,  30609:6, 30690:15,  30749:24  <b>current</b> [2] - 30792:25,  30793:22  <b>custody</b> [1] - 30669:17  <b>cut</b> [2] - 30593:22,  30840:21  <b>cynical</b> [2] - 30675:4,  30681:11</p>	<p>30831:14, 30832:7,  30832:10, 30832:13,  30833:14, 30833:20,  30834:14, 30834:19,  30842:1  <b>Dan's</b> [1] - 30810:21  <b>Danchuks</b> [1] - 30676:9  <b>danger</b> [2] - 30730:15,  30731:5  <b>dark</b> [3] - 30713:12,  30713:13, 30714:11  <b>database</b> [1] - 30760:8  <b>date</b> [18] - 30597:15,  30607:7, 30609:19,  30611:9, 30611:19,  30617:10, 30622:6,  30628:1, 30637:18,  30661:3, 30681:22,  30691:11, 30712:18,  30733:4, 30760:11,  30771:13, 30828:1,  30837:21  <b>dates</b> [11] - 30621:18,  30660:3, 30666:14,  30666:17, 30682:10,  30717:14, 30718:3,  30718:4, 30729:16  <b>daughter</b> [5] - 30754:9,  30754:25, 30760:16,  30847:9, 30848:1  <b>David</b> [203] - 30589:4,  30591:2, 30591:10,  30603:21, 30612:20,  30613:7, 30614:5,  30615:1, 30615:5,  30615:6, 30616:10,  30617:5, 30617:7,  30619:14, 30619:25,  30621:10, 30621:24,  30623:24, 30624:25,  30627:19, 30630:11,  30631:16, 30631:25,  30634:2, 30638:25,  30639:3, 30639:23,  30640:19, 30641:4,  30642:20, 30643:4,  30643:8, 30652:15,  30655:8, 30657:8,  30657:10, 30661:24,  30663:11, 30670:19,  30671:12, 30671:22,  30672:8, 30672:12,  30672:21, 30672:23,  30673:10, 30674:24,  30678:7, 30678:23,  30679:25, 30683:8,  30686:17, 30686:23,  30687:18, 30688:12,  30689:14, 30696:12,  30698:10, 30706:18,</p>
<b>D</b>				
<p><b>daily</b> [2] - 30718:24,  30727:10  <b>damage</b> [1] - 30705:15  <b>Dan</b> [24] - 30614:6,  30621:4, 30621:5,  30621:9, 30715:21,  30718:24, 30719:1,  30719:3, 30719:9,  30753:2, 30760:6,  30810:25, 30811:1,  30831:2, 30831:3,</p>				



30707:14, 30709:8, 30713:17, 30714:9, 30714:13, 30715:22, 30717:20, 30718:20, 30718:21, 30719:5, 30727:2, 30727:11, 30727:12, 30727:24, 30728:13, 30734:13, 30734:15, 30734:24, 30735:12, 30736:18, 30737:16, 30738:6, 30738:17, 30738:18, 30741:5, 30741:6, 30741:20, 30742:13, 30743:1, 30745:9, 30745:11, 30745:12, 30745:17, 30746:3, 30746:9, 30746:19, 30746:22, 30747:24, 30749:11, 30751:20, 30752:11, 30752:12, 30752:13, 30752:18, 30752:21, 30754:6, 30756:5, 30757:8, 30757:13, 30762:11, 30764:8, 30764:16, 30768:19, 30775:6, 30775:10, 30775:15, 30776:14, 30776:16, 30776:19, 30776:23, 30777:4, 30777:10, 30777:25, 30780:20, 30780:24, 30781:12, 30781:16, 30787:3, 30788:4, 30790:13, 30791:6, 30798:25, 30809:14, 30811:2, 30813:15, 30813:18, 30813:19, 30815:1, 30815:6, 30822:4, 30822:8, 30822:11, 30822:24, 30827:24, 30828:1, 30828:2, 30828:11, 30829:1, 30829:6, 30829:12, 30835:14, 30835:17, 30835:22, 30836:4, 30836:18, 30836:25, 30839:8, 30840:13, 30841:18, 30844:3, 30844:24, 30845:7, 30845:14, 30845:17, 30846:3, 30846:22, 30847:5, 30847:10, 30847:14, 30847:18, 30847:22, 30848:9, 30848:16, 30849:12, 30849:25, 30850:1, 30850:2, 30850:10, 30850:13, 30850:18, 30850:22, 30850:25,	30851:7, 30851:13, 30851:16, 30851:22, 30852:1, 30852:3, 30852:9, 30852:13, 30852:18, 30852:20, 30852:25, 30853:2, 30853:6, 30853:11, 30853:13, 30854:1, 30855:3, 30856:3, 30856:18 <b>David's</b> [18] - 30603:19, 30644:22, 30672:3, 30673:7, 30674:25, 30703:19, 30724:10, 30726:20, 30732:15, 30732:18, 30745:6, 30812:11, 30840:5, 30840:19, 30840:23, 30842:12, 30844:10, 30854:24 <b>days</b> [10] - 30595:21, 30612:5, 30617:4, 30635:9, 30641:10, 30672:4, 30802:3, 30819:17, 30837:5, 30850:9 <b>daytime</b> [1] - 30607:9 <b>deadline</b> [1] - 30738:20 <b>deal</b> [13] - 30602:12, 30654:13, 30654:18, 30655:23, 30668:16, 30681:18, 30709:9, 30709:10, 30714:21, 30761:7, 30761:23, 30799:8, 30814:5 <b>dealing</b> [8] - 30624:7, 30707:20, 30709:24, 30714:19, 30723:10, 30740:2, 30746:10, 30758:11 <b>dealings</b> [4] - 30624:17, 30741:4, 30741:6, 30796:24 <b>dealt</b> [4] - 30631:24, 30712:13, 30712:19, 30718:20 <b>death</b> [1] - 30715:9 <b>debate</b> [1] - 30818:24 <b>Debbie</b> [1] - 30633:9 <b>Deborah</b> [10] - 30629:8, 30629:22, 30630:19, 30630:21, 30632:9, 30632:17, 30633:13, 30633:19, 30633:21, 30755:4 <b>deceased</b> [1] - 30842:22 <b>December</b> [10] - 30627:4, 30627:25, 30628:1, 30630:14,	30711:6, 30747:22, 30804:4, 30804:13, 30805:12, 30854:20 <b>decide</b> [1] - 30835:24 <b>decided</b> [6] - 30753:4, 30784:14, 30802:13, 30805:19, 30806:2, 30857:23 <b>deciding</b> [2] - 30606:13, 30779:15 <b>decision</b> [11] - 30629:4, 30629:10, 30656:7, 30656:8, 30667:25, 30697:10, 30708:16, 30771:19, 30789:15, 30819:12, 30831:17 <b>decision-makers</b> [1] - 30708:16 <b>decision-making</b> [1] - 30771:19 <b>declare</b> [2] - 30682:18, 30682:22 <b>deep</b> [2] - 30817:25 <b>Deep</b> [1] - 30818:1 <b>deeper</b> [3] - 30801:23, 30801:24 <b>defamatory</b> [1] - 30722:14 <b>Defence</b> [1] - 30811:25 <b>defence</b> [2] - 30663:7, 30811:21 <b>definite</b> [1] - 30767:17 <b>definitely</b> [8] - 30597:3, 30620:19, 30644:7, 30676:25, 30719:14, 30723:7, 30755:16, 30831:14 <b>deflect</b> [2] - 30808:16, 30809:16 <b>degree</b> [2] - 30746:12, 30857:21 <b>delay</b> [3] - 30627:2, 30628:22, 30628:23 <b>delays</b> [2] - 30746:12, 30748:13 <b>deliberate</b> [7] - 30652:11, 30652:21, 30653:11, 30767:15, 30768:25, 30770:16, 30804:5 <b>deliberately</b> [5] - 30653:19, 30653:20, 30662:8, 30663:8, 30705:14 <b>demoralizing</b> [1] - 30703:11 <b>Dennis</b> [29] - 30622:24, 30622:25, 30789:20, 30789:22, 30789:23, 30790:9, 30791:24,	30792:7, 30792:12, 30792:14, 30793:8, 30793:9, 30793:12, 30794:1, 30794:18, 30800:5, 30801:9, 30804:9, 30805:14, 30806:12, 30809:9, 30812:3, 30812:7, 30812:10, 30813:24, 30816:24, 30822:21 <b>denying</b> [1] - 30776:24 <b>departed</b> [1] - 30762:19 <b>department</b> [8] - 30677:12, 30711:1, 30739:10, 30739:12, 30747:7, 30747:22, 30763:10, 30766:10 <b>Department</b> [24] - 30594:20, 30636:5, 30677:19, 30678:12, 30679:23, 30680:9, 30692:20, 30693:5, 30696:8, 30708:17, 30711:12, 30711:21, 30712:19, 30712:24, 30714:20, 30724:24, 30727:19, 30728:18, 30729:8, 30743:13, 30758:15, 30763:24, 30791:17, 30801:17 <b>departure</b> [2] - 30737:21, 30738:3 <b>described</b> [8] - 30623:2, 30623:4, 30681:16, 30757:13, 30804:9, 30804:10, 30822:8, 30840:10 <b>describes</b> [1] - 30801:9 <b>description</b> [3] - 30622:5, 30622:16, 30623:10 <b>Description</b> [1] - 30592:2 <b>desire</b> [3] - 30625:25, 30726:6, 30802:12 <b>desired</b> [1] - 30755:12 <b>despite</b> [2] - 30598:25, 30678:7 <b>destroy</b> [2] - 30644:24, 30645:7 <b>destroyed</b> [1] - 30661:15 <b>destroying</b> [1] - 30627:20 <b>detail</b> [3] - 30685:6, 30771:9, 30791:15 <b>detailed</b> [2] - 30631:22, 30748:11 <b>details</b> [4] - 30635:8, 30666:3, 30715:7,	30736:4 <b>determine</b> [1] - 30744:8 <b>determining</b> [1] - 30827:3 <b>detrimental</b> [1] - 30824:21 <b>devastating</b> [1] - 30754:24 <b>developed</b> [1] - 30725:17 <b>dictated</b> [1] - 30594:7 <b>difference</b> [3] - 30629:12, 30680:20, 30854:19 <b>different</b> [14] - 30631:6, 30643:10, 30659:1, 30672:18, 30680:16, 30695:9, 30706:8, 30706:19, 30752:19, 30824:11, 30825:8, 30836:7, 30836:13, 30849:13 <b>differently</b> [2] - 30681:19, 30757:13 <b>difficult</b> [4] - 30673:21, 30674:15, 30713:24, 30755:2 <b>difficulties</b> [1] - 30734:14 <b>difficulty</b> [1] - 30606:21 <b>dig</b> [2] - 30684:12, 30756:7 <b>digging</b> [2] - 30701:8, 30719:20 <b>dinner</b> [1] - 30789:25 <b>direct</b> [1] - 30624:17 <b>directly</b> [13] - 30678:8, 30678:22, 30678:23, 30683:16, 30742:2, 30743:12, 30743:15, 30744:12, 30761:8, 30761:16, 30761:23, 30797:20 <b>Director</b> [1] - 30590:3 <b>disadvantages</b> [1] - 30726:8 <b>disagree</b> [2] - 30656:3, 30656:9 <b>disappear</b> [1] - 30671:16 <b>disappeared</b> [4] - 30662:5, 30664:3, 30664:10, 30671:8 <b>disappointed</b> [1] - 30848:21 <b>disaster</b> [2] - 30757:12, 30758:4 <b>disclose</b> [4] - 30747:7, 30749:6, 30749:17, 30791:16
---	---	--	---	---



<p><b>disclosed</b> [1] - 30720:11</p> <p><b>disclosing</b> [2] - 30723:21, 30724:14</p> <p><b>discount</b> [1] - 30655:1</p> <p><b>discounted</b> [2] - 30626:3, 30631:14</p> <p><b>discovered</b> [4] - 30620:9, 30660:7, 30668:20, 30786:9</p> <p><b>discuss</b> [1] - 30801:12</p> <p><b>discussed</b> [9] - 30616:3, 30617:2, 30642:5, 30684:22, 30693:4, 30730:6, 30739:21, 30746:16, 30774:17</p> <p><b>discussing</b> [4] - 30612:11, 30731:8, 30772:1, 30822:1</p> <p><b>discussion</b> [51] - 30621:9, 30623:13, 30636:19, 30641:3, 30642:12, 30693:22, 30706:25, 30710:23, 30714:22, 30726:25, 30729:10, 30739:8, 30753:16, 30756:5, 30759:8, 30774:6, 30777:21, 30777:24, 30779:14, 30779:21, 30779:22, 30782:5, 30783:20, 30784:4, 30784:7, 30784:10, 30785:3, 30785:17, 30798:5, 30801:4, 30801:6, 30802:24, 30806:8, 30806:9, 30806:15, 30806:20, 30810:2, 30824:1, 30827:20, 30827:24, 30828:6, 30830:4, 30834:25, 30835:2, 30837:8, 30846:14, 30849:20, 30853:7, 30853:16, 30853:24, 30855:19</p> <p><b>discussions</b> [14] - 30613:6, 30623:7, 30635:8, 30635:24, 30637:23, 30693:2, 30714:18, 30716:21, 30741:24, 30743:19, 30743:24, 30772:2, 30832:21, 30846:7</p> <p><b>dismissed</b> [1] - 30743:4</p> <p><b>disproves</b> [1] - 30675:12</p> <p><b>dispute</b> [1] - 30615:8</p> <p><b>disputed</b> [2] - 30743:4,</p>	<p>30744:9</p> <p><b>dissuade</b> [1] - 30732:25</p> <p><b>distinction</b> [1] - 30680:12</p> <p><b>distrust</b> [1] - 30616:10</p> <p><b>distrusted</b> [1] - 30616:11</p> <p><b>Dna</b> [1] - 30851:7</p> <p><b>doctor</b> [1] - 30840:16</p> <p><b>document</b> [11] - 30593:17, 30593:25, 30597:11, 30598:13, 30612:15, 30685:23, 30689:23, 30717:17, 30717:24, 30744:16, 30772:4</p> <p><b>Document</b> [2] - 30590:4, 30590:5</p> <p><b>documentation</b> [2] - 30771:23, 30830:8</p> <p><b>documented</b> [1] - 30685:13</p> <p><b>documenting</b> [2] - 30610:3, 30616:1</p> <p><b>documents</b> [10] - 30597:5, 30637:21, 30648:17, 30651:2, 30672:18, 30729:3, 30771:24, 30771:25, 30849:4, 30849:19</p> <p><b>dog</b> [26] - 30706:11, 30706:13, 30709:18, 30839:13, 30839:20, 30840:4, 30840:20, 30841:9, 30841:11, 30841:15, 30841:22, 30842:4, 30842:11, 30842:22, 30842:25, 30843:8, 30845:4, 30845:10, 30847:3, 30848:22, 30855:16, 30855:23, 30856:5, 30856:11, 30856:17, 30857:12</p> <p><b>doin'</b> [1] - 30847:15</p> <p><b>domain</b> [9] - 30702:22, 30703:1, 30703:21, 30704:6, 30708:13, 30722:18, 30831:24, 30832:5, 30856:17</p> <p><b>dominating</b> [1] - 30763:17</p> <p><b>Don</b> [1] - 30590:9</p> <p><b>Donald</b> [2] - 30859:2, 30859:17</p> <p><b>done</b> [55] - 30602:4, 30611:4, 30625:21, 30628:7, 30629:15, 30631:3, 30631:5, 30631:6, 30632:13,</p>	<p>30633:18, 30636:13, 30638:12, 30639:12, 30651:9, 30652:11, 30652:21, 30654:6, 30667:5, 30674:13, 30677:18, 30681:7, 30685:11, 30685:15, 30690:20, 30690:21, 30692:8, 30693:7, 30698:6, 30703:22, 30707:5, 30709:6, 30709:8, 30711:24, 30713:5, 30740:1, 30747:2, 30747:3, 30766:25, 30767:6, 30778:3, 30792:23, 30821:10, 30829:21, 30830:11, 30831:12, 30831:14, 30832:25, 30853:15, 30853:25, 30854:11, 30855:5, 30855:6, 30856:14</p> <p><b>Donna</b> [8] - 30806:15, 30808:22, 30808:24, 30809:1, 30814:24, 30815:7, 30815:8, 30816:9</p> <p><b>door</b> [2] - 30612:6, 30778:11</p> <p><b>doors</b> [2] - 30598:9, 30697:2</p> <p><b>double</b> [9] - 30659:2, 30660:6, 30662:18, 30663:25, 30665:6, 30665:17, 30669:7, 30669:15, 30670:6</p> <p><b>double-edged</b> [9] - 30659:2, 30660:6, 30662:18, 30663:25, 30665:6, 30665:17, 30669:7, 30669:15, 30670:6</p> <p><b>doubt</b> [1] - 30672:20</p> <p><b>doubts</b> [2] - 30745:4, 30854:25</p> <p><b>Douglas</b> [1] - 30590:2</p> <p><b>down</b> [63] - 30601:15, 30601:17, 30602:20, 30604:1, 30605:4, 30605:19, 30611:7, 30622:20, 30627:22, 30627:23, 30636:25, 30644:18, 30645:17, 30646:24, 30650:9, 30650:10, 30654:3, 30666:13, 30673:23, 30674:17, 30677:10, 30677:11, 30677:24, 30682:10, 30683:12, 30683:17, 30693:23,</p>	<p>30694:2, 30695:25, 30696:1, 30697:12, 30703:13, 30721:20, 30722:4, 30733:19, 30734:25, 30738:16, 30747:15, 30748:9, 30748:25, 30766:6, 30766:14, 30769:13, 30769:16, 30775:15, 30775:22, 30778:19, 30785:4, 30802:1, 30808:7, 30810:19, 30811:23, 30813:18, 30814:10, 30817:14, 30817:15, 30818:10, 30836:23, 30847:25, 30851:2, 30853:21</p> <p><b>downplay</b> [1] - 30746:12</p> <p><b>downside</b> [6] - 30705:3, 30705:4, 30705:7, 30705:12, 30706:23, 30857:9</p> <p><b>downsides</b> [1] - 30707:9</p> <p><b>Dr</b> [43] - 30630:18, 30630:20, 30654:17, 30654:22, 30675:15, 30687:19, 30710:12, 30710:14, 30711:2, 30740:12, 30740:14, 30741:17, 30742:3, 30742:10, 30743:5, 30743:14, 30743:22, 30744:1, 30746:11, 30747:11, 30753:3, 30820:23, 30834:23, 30839:9, 30839:10, 30840:9, 30840:21, 30842:5, 30842:16, 30843:23, 30843:25, 30844:9, 30844:15, 30845:2, 30845:11, 30849:8, 30849:9, 30853:13, 30853:25, 30854:9, 30857:21</p> <p><b>draft</b> [1] - 30684:15</p> <p><b>drastically</b> [1] - 30673:23</p> <p><b>draw</b> [3] - 30680:11, 30802:15, 30802:20</p> <p><b>drawing</b> [1] - 30819:15</p> <p><b>drawn</b> [1] - 30752:9</p> <p><b>dream</b> [3] - 30781:9, 30799:1, 30799:4</p> <p><b>dreams</b> [1] - 30781:11</p> <p><b>drinking</b> [4] - 30600:21, 30606:7, 30606:8, 30824:15</p> <p><b>Drive</b> [1] - 30815:22</p>	<p><b>driver</b> [1] - 30597:18</p> <p><b>driving</b> [1] - 30607:8</p> <p><b>drugs</b> [1] - 30824:14</p> <p><b>due</b> [1] - 30688:18</p> <p><b>dug</b> [2] - 30620:8, 30715:24</p> <p><b>dummy</b> [1] - 30808:9</p> <p><b>during</b> [9] - 30599:25, 30603:9, 30607:9, 30607:14, 30624:16, 30640:11, 30665:18, 30733:10, 30748:14</p> <p><b>duties</b> [1] - 30673:16</p> <p><b>dynamite</b> [2] - 30818:2, 30818:3</p>
				<p><b>E</b></p>
				<p><b>ear</b> [1] - 30675:3</p> <p><b>early</b> [14] - 30598:5, 30600:6, 30613:24, 30616:17, 30618:25, 30628:16, 30663:22, 30727:16, 30733:11, 30753:14, 30772:13, 30773:8, 30791:24, 30832:25</p> <p><b>easiest</b> [4] - 30788:12, 30788:15, 30808:16, 30809:15</p> <p><b>easily</b> [3] - 30736:15, 30787:4, 30790:23</p> <p><b>eastern</b> [1] - 30697:24</p> <p><b>easy</b> [5] - 30713:24, 30781:1, 30781:19, 30783:16, 30834:4</p> <p><b>Eddie</b> [1] - 30591:8</p> <p><b>edged</b> [10] - 30659:2, 30660:6, 30662:18, 30663:25, 30664:6, 30665:6, 30665:17, 30669:7, 30669:15, 30670:6</p> <p><b>Edward</b> [1] - 30589:7</p> <p><b>Edwards</b> [1] - 30715:25</p> <p><b>effect</b> [12] - 30599:18, 30632:19, 30670:8, 30670:9, 30703:11, 30703:18, 30730:17, 30731:7, 30755:12, 30761:13, 30842:13, 30848:23</p> <p><b>effectively</b> [1] - 30757:25</p> <p><b>effort</b> [2] - 30644:10, 30732:21</p> <p><b>efforts</b> [5] - 30599:1, 30626:18, 30636:17, 30681:14</p>





<p><b>eight</b> [2] - 30627:12, 30793:4</p> <p><b>eighth</b> [2] - 30836:6, 30837:10</p> <p><b>eighth-hand</b> [1] - 30836:6</p> <p><b>either</b> [23] - 30599:3, 30607:9, 30622:21, 30658:4, 30661:15, 30678:17, 30688:13, 30693:10, 30702:2, 30705:24, 30719:4, 30720:19, 30742:1, 30745:18, 30749:17, 30750:10, 30765:25, 30795:7, 30817:1, 30817:13, 30820:10, 30820:13, 30830:16</p> <p><b>elaborate</b> [2] - 30676:5, 30763:2</p> <p><b>Ellerman</b> [1] - 30590:5</p> <p><b>Elliott</b> [2] - 30622:24, 30622:25</p> <p><b>elsewhere</b> [2] - 30743:10, 30754:13</p> <p><b>embargo</b> [2] - 30715:13, 30725:7</p> <p><b>embroiled</b> [1] - 30730:21</p> <p><b>enclosed</b> [1] - 30683:19</p> <p><b>encounter</b> [1] - 30621:11</p> <p><b>encountered</b> [1] - 30610:9</p> <p><b>encouraged</b> [1] - 30761:18</p> <p><b>end</b> [12] - 30603:23, 30626:25, 30627:4, 30698:3, 30703:14, 30728:9, 30728:10, 30732:15, 30778:7, 30803:5, 30803:12, 30838:3</p> <p><b>ended</b> [6] - 30704:7, 30706:6, 30766:21, 30779:15, 30814:5, 30831:4</p> <p><b>enduring</b> [1] - 30674:22</p> <p><b>energy</b> [1] - 30732:18</p> <p><b>engaged</b> [2] - 30624:11, 30742:18</p> <p><b>England</b> [13] - 30727:10, 30727:14, 30727:16, 30727:24, 30728:4, 30728:5, 30729:14, 30729:19, 30729:24, 30731:2, 30732:17, 30733:3, 30733:7</p> <p><b>enquiry</b> [1] - 30669:6</p>	<p><b>entirely</b> [1] - 30618:14</p> <p><b>entirety</b> [1] - 30732:21</p> <p><b>entity</b> [1] - 30679:25</p> <p><b>envelope</b> [2] - 30756:13, 30756:17</p> <p><b>equally</b> [1] - 30794:5</p> <p><b>equivocal</b> [1] - 30807:19</p> <p><b>escalate</b> [1] - 30707:17</p> <p><b>especially</b> [1] - 30841:11</p> <p><b>Esq</b> [3] - 30591:7, 30591:9, 30591:12</p> <p><b>essence</b> [1] - 30595:4</p> <p><b>establish</b> [3] - 30644:21, 30672:14, 30672:15</p> <p><b>established</b> [1] - 30639:2</p> <p><b>establishing</b> [2] - 30659:15, 30749:24</p> <p><b>Estate</b> [3] - 30743:1, 30743:2, 30744:16</p> <p><b>Etcetera</b> [3] - 30610:11, 30808:3, 30819:19</p> <p><b>etcetera</b> [4] - 30665:16, 30748:25, 30750:12, 30750:13</p> <p><b>Eugene</b> [6] - 30624:10, 30633:9, 30634:1, 30684:1, 30738:14, 30849:16</p> <p><b>European</b> [1] - 30715:3</p> <p><b>evening</b> [1] - 30823:23</p> <p><b>event</b> [2] - 30702:13, 30853:1</p> <p><b>events</b> [1] - 30685:19</p> <p><b>eventually</b> [2] - 30599:9, 30807:14</p> <p><b>Evidence</b> [1] - 30657:22</p> <p><b>evidence</b> [89] - 30593:14, 30600:9, 30609:5, 30609:11, 30629:17, 30637:24, 30641:13, 30644:20, 30644:24, 30648:20, 30648:21, 30649:4, 30654:19, 30656:10, 30658:24, 30661:3, 30661:7, 30661:9, 30661:11, 30661:14, 30670:4, 30670:7, 30670:9, 30670:12, 30670:18, 30670:21, 30671:2, 30673:12, 30675:9, 30675:11, 30675:18, 30676:2, 30676:19, 30686:15, 30686:21, 30687:18, 30691:4, 30699:15,</p>	<p>30703:17, 30715:10, 30715:15, 30718:10, 30720:18, 30729:3, 30730:20, 30732:1, 30748:25, 30753:18, 30757:22, 30761:12, 30762:9, 30762:14, 30765:10, 30773:5, 30773:7, 30778:4, 30778:9, 30785:12, 30789:19, 30793:19, 30794:7, 30799:9, 30799:12, 30809:13, 30812:15, 30812:16, 30812:18, 30813:14, 30813:15, 30814:3, 30818:4, 30819:12, 30819:21, 30820:5, 30820:12, 30821:16, 30821:20, 30823:6, 30823:16, 30823:20, 30825:4, 30830:24, 30840:18, 30846:19, 30848:18, 30851:20, 30856:2, 30856:19</p> <p><b>evolved</b> [2] - 30843:6, 30843:16</p> <p><b>Ex</b> [1] - 30785:5</p> <p><b>ex</b> [1] - 30780:8</p> <p><b>exact</b> [3] - 30628:8, 30757:17, 30802:6</p> <p><b>exactly</b> [2] - 30650:24, 30715:20</p> <p><b>exaggeration</b> [1] - 30790:22</p> <p><b>exaggerations</b> [2] - 30783:5, 30804:18</p> <p><b>examine</b> [1] - 30836:8</p> <p><b>example</b> [22] - 30605:14, 30616:22, 30639:22, 30645:3, 30645:16, 30648:5, 30648:16, 30649:11, 30653:3, 30654:17, 30678:21, 30688:19, 30699:22, 30701:3, 30702:14, 30704:1, 30706:14, 30768:6, 30804:6, 30817:1, 30825:14</p> <p><b>examples</b> [1] - 30688:10</p> <p><b>except</b> [1] - 30638:15</p> <p><b>exchange</b> [2] - 30755:21, 30764:19</p> <p><b>exchanging</b> [1] - 30640:13</p> <p><b>excited</b> [8] - 30627:21, 30746:21, 30754:17, 30793:24, 30795:15,</p>	<p>30812:14, 30857:10</p> <p><b>exclude</b> [1] - 30856:11</p> <p><b>excluded</b> [3] - 30842:20, 30855:17, 30856:16</p> <p><b>exclusive</b> [1] - 30831:3</p> <p><b>Executive</b> [1] - 30590:3</p> <p><b>exhibit</b> [1] - 30664:20</p> <p><b>exhibits</b> [7] - 30661:13, 30661:17, 30661:18, 30661:22, 30663:21, 30664:13, 30665:3</p> <p><b>exonerated</b> [2] - 30672:13, 30847:4</p> <p><b>expect</b> [4] - 30649:18, 30693:12, 30693:25, 30694:7</p> <p><b>expectation</b> [3] - 30678:18, 30746:23, 30804:19</p> <p><b>expected</b> [3] - 30649:22, 30695:2, 30695:6</p> <p><b>expeditious</b> [1] - 30698:20</p> <p><b>expenses</b> [1] - 30777:17</p> <p><b>experience</b> [3] - 30606:16, 30695:23, 30796:9</p> <p><b>experiences</b> [1] - 30832:2</p> <p><b>expert</b> [1] - 30747:9</p> <p><b>experts</b> [4] - 30686:15, 30686:22, 30687:18, 30856:10</p> <p><b>explain</b> [3] - 30662:10, 30761:5, 30786:12</p> <p><b>explained</b> [3] - 30641:9, 30730:18, 30761:20</p> <p><b>explicit</b> [1] - 30637:10</p> <p><b>exposure</b> [1] - 30698:18</p> <p><b>express</b> [4] - 30606:4, 30615:20, 30702:2, 30761:17</p> <p><b>expressed</b> [10] - 30648:24, 30686:5, 30722:5, 30722:12, 30741:25, 30742:15, 30750:19, 30770:1, 30822:22, 30840:8</p> <p><b>expressing</b> [3] - 30641:14, 30745:3, 30745:24</p> <p><b>extended</b> [1] - 30644:6</p> <p><b>extra</b> [2] - 30704:18, 30704:20</p> <p><b>extract</b> [1] - 30851:9</p> <p><b>eye</b> [3] - 30653:19,</p>	<p>30657:1, 30657:5</p> <p><b>eyes</b> [1] - 30779:11</p>
<b>F</b>				
<p><b>faced</b> [1] - 30796:12</p> <p><b>fact</b> [62] - 30626:21, 30627:15, 30630:22, 30640:17, 30640:18, 30641:21, 30650:16, 30651:7, 30654:11, 30655:17, 30657:4, 30661:21, 30662:16, 30662:21, 30662:25, 30663:10, 30666:18, 30666:22, 30668:11, 30675:12, 30676:11, 30678:7, 30679:2, 30680:16, 30701:23, 30702:11, 30702:25, 30706:15, 30710:12, 30711:14, 30716:5, 30718:6, 30718:7, 30720:4, 30724:22, 30724:23, 30727:6, 30731:13, 30739:24, 30751:3, 30755:23, 30758:18, 30759:9, 30761:10, 30762:11, 30770:9, 30786:8, 30793:21, 30795:4, 30796:13, 30810:25, 30839:25, 30840:1, 30840:4, 30841:22, 30843:6, 30851:18, 30854:1, 30856:6, 30856:19, 30857:15, 30857:20</p> <p><b>factor</b> [3] - 30626:21, 30633:15, 30711:19</p> <p><b>factors</b> [3] - 30627:18, 30650:20, 30712:7</p> <p><b>facts</b> [12] - 30616:13, 30655:16, 30655:21, 30675:13, 30675:24, 30676:7, 30676:8, 30676:16, 30687:21, 30699:15, 30767:20</p> <p><b>factual</b> [2] - 30705:24, 30784:11</p> <p><b>failure</b> [1] - 30814:4</p> <p><b>fair</b> [55] - 30597:21, 30601:22, 30602:20, 30603:2, 30603:25, 30604:2, 30606:17, 30607:23, 30609:1, 30616:2, 30618:19, 30618:21, 30623:6, 30626:16, 30627:11,</p>				



30632:4, 30632:11, 30632:14, 30632:15, 30633:12, 30652:3, 30652:8, 30653:3, 30653:16, 30654:11, 30692:21, 30706:11, 30707:8, 30712:4, 30718:25, 30721:5, 30721:9, 30734:24, 30740:10, 30745:10, 30759:23, 30759:24, 30760:3, 30760:23, 30788:20, 30791:7, 30793:23, 30794:8, 30794:9, 30803:24, 30809:11, 30814:7, 30814:8, 30830:21, 30830:22, 30838:10, 30838:11, 30841:14, 30845:14, 30845:15 <b>fairly</b> [2] - 30747:24, 30789:14 <b>faith</b> [8] - 30628:17, 30629:12, 30673:22, 30674:16, 30674:18, 30679:13, 30681:25, 30712:5 <b>false</b> [2] - 30838:8, 30838:13 <b>familiar</b> [1] - 30597:4 <b>family</b> [12] - 30598:6, 30651:18, 30673:23, 30674:17, 30677:22, 30678:4, 30678:12, 30681:18, 30703:5, 30703:12, 30713:9, 30734:22 <b>far</b> [23] - 30599:5, 30599:7, 30622:11, 30646:3, 30646:5, 30646:25, 30649:6, 30652:24, 30672:13, 30674:15, 30684:18, 30690:25, 30709:24, 30733:17, 30739:7, 30745:11, 30755:10, 30761:19, 30776:4, 30797:9, 30803:12, 30845:24, 30855:22 <b>Farris</b> [5] - 30836:9, 30846:20, 30847:11, 30848:4, 30848:7 <b>fast</b> [3] - 30624:4, 30636:13, 30636:14 <b>faster</b> [2] - 30735:11, 30774:11 <b>Faster</b> [2] - 30803:18, 30803:19 <b>fastest</b> [1] - 30710:1 <b>fate</b> [1] - 30732:15	<b>fault</b> [2] - 30813:5, 30814:4 <b>favourable</b> [3] - 30696:10, 30698:19, 30844:16 <b>favourably</b> [3] - 30632:8, 30698:12, 30742:7 <b>faxed</b> [1] - 30831:1 <b>fear</b> [2] - 30645:14, 30647:18 <b>fears</b> [3] - 30762:5, 30766:7, 30766:9 <b>feathers</b> [1] - 30724:3 <b>February</b> [12] - 30593:10, 30593:20, 30595:12, 30610:5, 30613:3, 30620:15, 30620:17, 30628:4, 30631:4, 30632:4, 30655:24 <b>fed</b> [1] - 30803:7 <b>Fed</b> [1] - 30785:5 <b>Federal</b> [21] - 30623:19, 30624:10, 30625:12, 30631:7, 30632:7, 30636:20, 30641:6, 30642:24, 30643:14, 30644:9, 30680:4, 30688:13, 30694:7, 30720:4, 30727:19, 30746:10, 30751:4, 30803:13, 30820:4, 30831:5, 30849:7 <b>federal</b> [6] - 30679:23, 30680:6, 30680:9, 30680:12, 30762:6, 30766:11 <b>feedback</b> [6] - 30688:16, 30689:10, 30690:5, 30690:6, 30691:15, 30725:9 <b>feelings</b> [2] - 30724:6, 30724:9 <b>Felk</b> [3] - 30746:2, 30746:5, 30746:6 <b>fellow</b> [2] - 30686:9, 30720:7 <b>felt</b> [52] - 30600:19, 30611:20, 30614:16, 30634:11, 30642:21, 30643:2, 30644:13, 30646:18, 30648:6, 30648:9, 30652:17, 30655:5, 30684:9, 30684:23, 30684:25, 30685:1, 30685:11, 30687:24, 30691:5, 30691:24, 30692:12, 30693:6, 30697:20,	30698:18, 30704:9, 30711:23, 30713:17, 30716:15, 30723:7, 30723:9, 30723:25, 30725:4, 30727:21, 30735:9, 30738:8, 30755:9, 30757:19, 30758:17, 30763:3, 30763:6, 30764:10, 30765:2, 30765:6, 30767:3, 30767:17, 30778:4, 30788:6, 30788:13, 30803:14, 30831:15, 30855:2, 30855:3 <b>female</b> [2] - 30617:9, 30617:13 <b>Female</b> [6] - 30847:8, 30847:13, 30847:16, 30848:6, 30848:12, 30848:17 <b>Ferris</b> [61] - 30629:5, 30629:21, 30631:15, 30632:9, 30632:16, 30632:23, 30654:17, 30675:15, 30687:13, 30687:19, 30687:23, 30711:2, 30711:15, 30713:20, 30741:9, 30741:15, 30742:3, 30743:23, 30744:1, 30744:9, 30744:25, 30745:4, 30745:5, 30746:17, 30747:11, 30754:2, 30755:4, 30755:8, 30756:6, 30756:16, 30756:18, 30756:23, 30762:8, 30764:14, 30764:15, 30805:7, 30821:6, 30837:13, 30838:14, 30840:9, 30842:12, 30844:9, 30844:18, 30845:11, 30845:15, 30847:1, 30847:3, 30848:23, 30849:9, 30849:17, 30849:24, 30850:3, 30850:6, 30850:7, 30851:4, 30853:13, 30853:25, 30854:9, 30854:24, 30855:6, 30857:21 <b>Ferris</b> [17] - 30630:18, 30630:20, 30631:19, 30654:22, 30710:12, 30710:15, 30741:18, 30742:16, 30743:5, 30743:14, 30746:11, 30840:22, 30842:5, 30844:15, 30844:23, 30846:4	<b>Ferriss</b> [1] - 30714:4 <b>few</b> [8] - 30621:10, 30635:1, 30678:8, 30688:22, 30837:5, 30842:2, 30850:9, 30855:10 <b>Fifth</b> [3] - 30742:25, 30743:2, 30744:15 <b>fight</b> [1] - 30696:1 <b>figure</b> [7] - 30664:23, 30743:20, 30808:12, 30809:12, 30839:16, 30839:17, 30841:25 <b>figured</b> [4] - 30626:4, 30642:19, 30729:21, 30780:15 <b>figures</b> [1] - 30654:12 <b>figuring</b> [1] - 30675:16 <b>file</b> [8] - 30621:17, 30621:24, 30714:5, 30762:13, 30770:19, 30817:6, 30839:8 <b>filed</b> [9] - 30627:5, 30628:1, 30628:5, 30677:16, 30678:1, 30693:12, 30693:18, 30697:4, 30711:6 <b>files</b> [3] - 30596:13, 30596:16, 30610:2 <b>filled</b> [2] - 30639:5, 30749:3 <b>Finally</b> [1] - 30738:17 <b>finally</b> [2] - 30617:20, 30644:15 <b>fine</b> [1] - 30597:14 <b>finger</b> [1] - 30759:7 <b>finish</b> [1] - 30823:14 <b>finished</b> [1] - 30684:16 <b>fired</b> [1] - 30828:2 <b>firm</b> [3] - 30601:11, 30605:20, 30722:10 <b>firmly</b> [1] - 30600:25 <b>first</b> [28] - 30603:17, 30606:3, 30609:1, 30614:8, 30616:23, 30618:10, 30619:10, 30621:10, 30635:10, 30641:3, 30653:4, 30665:11, 30688:6, 30693:13, 30758:6, 30768:5, 30774:17, 30775:7, 30785:21, 30787:24, 30802:25, 30803:4, 30814:14, 30826:1, 30831:19, 30839:10, 30846:25, 30848:7 <b>Fisher</b> [151] - 30593:11, 30595:14, 30599:3, 30600:12, 30601:2,	30601:6, 30601:25, 30602:6, 30602:16, 30602:23, 30603:5, 30603:14, 30603:21, 30604:5, 30604:12, 30604:13, 30604:24, 30607:2, 30608:6, 30608:11, 30608:14, 30608:15, 30609:2, 30609:16, 30610:5, 30610:21, 30612:4, 30612:10, 30612:11, 30620:10, 30621:11, 30621:19, 30622:2, 30622:3, 30623:21, 30624:9, 30624:12, 30624:23, 30625:4, 30625:6, 30625:7, 30625:15, 30628:6, 30631:3, 30632:6, 30632:13, 30633:10, 30633:14, 30635:10, 30635:18, 30636:18, 30640:12, 30640:25, 30646:5, 30648:25, 30649:6, 30650:5, 30650:23, 30657:10, 30657:13, 30657:18, 30657:21, 30657:25, 30658:3, 30658:12, 30658:15, 30658:16, 30659:8, 30659:14, 30659:15, 30659:24, 30663:6, 30663:11, 30666:5, 30666:10, 30671:20, 30672:9, 30672:22, 30683:18, 30684:17, 30685:3, 30685:15, 30690:15, 30690:25, 30691:3, 30693:1, 30695:14, 30701:12, 30715:8, 30715:19, 30716:18, 30717:2, 30719:1, 30719:20, 30723:3, 30724:16, 30724:23, 30725:23, 30727:1, 30727:3, 30727:7, 30727:22, 30729:13, 30731:15, 30731:22, 30731:24, 30732:2, 30733:7, 30734:4, 30735:3, 30735:25, 30736:9, 30736:13, 30737:8, 30737:12, 30749:20, 30749:22, 30750:7, 30750:10, 30750:17, 30751:6, 30765:21, 30766:3, 30767:21, 30768:7, 30768:20, 30769:16,
---	--	---	--	---



30769:23, 30770:9, 30770:19, 30772:23, 30775:12, 30778:17, 30778:23, 30780:13, 30780:17, 30781:2, 30782:24, 30783:11, 30784:19, 30786:1, 30786:9, 30786:18, 30794:23, 30795:10, 30795:18, 30799:2, 30799:5 <b>Fishers</b> [18] - 30601:15, 30617:24, 30644:21, 30645:4, 30648:19, 30666:4, 30684:9, 30690:17, 30715:11, 30717:7, 30717:9, 30718:12, 30719:2, 30720:16, 30722:2, 30730:15, 30731:5, 30749:18 <b>fit</b> [10] - 30602:1, 30602:18, 30602:24, 30602:25, 30604:2, 30630:10, 30662:11, 30676:3, 30676:20, 30819:25 <b>five</b> [1] - 30707:18 <b>fix</b> [1] - 30634:20 <b>Flicker</b> [1] - 30668:21 <b>fluke</b> [1] - 30617:22 <b>focus</b> [2] - 30808:19, 30844:18 <b>focusing</b> [1] - 30732:17 <b>follow</b> [11] - 30638:12, 30646:6, 30648:15, 30657:14, 30691:8, 30701:5, 30779:21, 30816:13, 30842:17, 30851:16, 30854:8 <b>follow-up</b> [2] - 30638:12, 30779:21 <b>followed</b> [3] - 30621:3, 30767:5, 30797:23 <b>following</b> [8] - 30629:6, 30638:7, 30646:5, 30665:5, 30711:16, 30741:1, 30767:25, 30841:5 <b>follows</b> [1] - 30851:14 <b>force</b> [1] - 30696:4 <b>foregoing</b> [1] - 30859:4 <b>forensic</b> [7] - 30675:8, 30740:19, 30743:3, 30747:9, 30762:3, 30762:9, 30836:9 <b>foreshadows</b> [1] - 30834:1 <b>forget</b> [10] - 30597:5, 30603:22, 30631:19, 30645:16, 30671:9, 30718:20, 30771:12, 30773:17, 30806:24, 30845:15 <b>forgot</b> [2] - 30606:7, 30809:14 <b>form</b> [2] - 30809:13, 30810:11 <b>forth</b> [4] - 30640:14, 30693:22, 30783:23, 30784:3 <b>forum</b> [1] - 30708:25 <b>forward</b> [20] - 30602:12, 30629:11, 30630:17, 30630:24, 30631:14, 30634:18, 30651:13, 30668:14, 30673:24, 30700:11, 30705:19, 30737:5, 30739:2, 30740:20, 30741:2, 30782:21, 30786:11, 30788:5, 30824:20, 30838:22 <b>forwarded</b> [4] - 30657:13, 30658:3, 30658:11 <b>forwarding</b> [1] - 30683:14 <b>foundations</b> [1] - 30686:13 <b>four</b> [2] - 30666:17, 30819:17 <b>fourth</b> [2] - 30836:5, 30837:10 <b>Fox</b> [1] - 30591:8 <b>frailities</b> [1] - 30683:6 <b>frame</b> [16] - 30595:11, 30598:17, 30616:16, 30624:7, 30625:18, 30626:15, 30630:11, 30630:14, 30630:15, 30631:25, 30640:12, 30653:16, 30729:12, 30789:8, 30806:10, 30809:24 <b>frames</b> [2] - 30627:4, 30630:2 <b>Frankly</b> [1] - 30791:3 <b>Frayer</b> [1] - 30591:10 <b>Free</b> [1] - 30697:23 <b>free</b> [7] - 30679:12, 30679:17, 30681:4, 30681:10, 30762:20, 30765:2, 30776:1 <b>frequent</b> [2] - 30639:20, 30640:8 <b>frequently</b> [1] - 30616:19 <b>fresh</b> [1] - 30774:1 <b>Friday</b> [1] - 30609:21	30645:16, 30671:9, 30718:20, 30771:12, 30773:17, 30806:24, 30845:15 <b>forgot</b> [2] - 30606:7, 30809:14 <b>form</b> [2] - 30809:13, 30810:11 <b>forth</b> [4] - 30640:14, 30693:22, 30783:23, 30784:3 <b>forum</b> [1] - 30708:25 <b>forward</b> [20] - 30602:12, 30629:11, 30630:17, 30630:24, 30631:14, 30634:18, 30651:13, 30668:14, 30673:24, 30700:11, 30705:19, 30737:5, 30739:2, 30740:20, 30741:2, 30782:21, 30786:11, 30788:5, 30824:20, 30838:22 <b>forwarded</b> [4] - 30657:13, 30658:3, 30658:11 <b>forwarding</b> [1] - 30683:14 <b>foundations</b> [1] - 30686:13 <b>four</b> [2] - 30666:17, 30819:17 <b>fourth</b> [2] - 30836:5, 30837:10 <b>Fox</b> [1] - 30591:8 <b>frailities</b> [1] - 30683:6 <b>frame</b> [16] - 30595:11, 30598:17, 30616:16, 30624:7, 30625:18, 30626:15, 30630:11, 30630:14, 30630:15, 30631:25, 30640:12, 30653:16, 30729:12, 30789:8, 30806:10, 30809:24 <b>frames</b> [2] - 30627:4, 30630:2 <b>Frankly</b> [1] - 30791:3 <b>Frayer</b> [1] - 30591:10 <b>Free</b> [1] - 30697:23 <b>free</b> [7] - 30679:12, 30679:17, 30681:4, 30681:10, 30762:20, 30765:2, 30776:1 <b>frequent</b> [2] - 30639:20, 30640:8 <b>frequently</b> [1] - 30616:19 <b>fresh</b> [1] - 30774:1 <b>Friday</b> [1] - 30609:21	<b>friend</b> [2] - 30600:24, 30796:23 <b>friends</b> [2] - 30608:19, 30788:22 <b>Friesen</b> [6] - 30806:15, 30808:22, 30808:24, 30809:1, 30814:24, 30816:10 <b>frightened</b> [1] - 30786:17 <b>front</b> [5] - 30594:19, 30670:15, 30676:14, 30757:1, 30777:8 <b>fronts</b> [1] - 30748:21 <b>frozen</b> [6] - 30839:11, 30840:11, 30840:12, 30840:22, 30842:21, 30844:23 <b>fruits</b> [1] - 30643:11 <b>frustrated</b> [2] - 30714:16, 30746:15 <b>frustrating</b> [3] - 30672:4, 30675:7, 30678:3 <b>frustration</b> [4] - 30652:5, 30703:6, 30726:14, 30748:3 <b>frustrations</b> [2] - 30652:6, 30652:17 <b>full</b> [2] - 30597:12, 30618:13 <b>fully</b> [2] - 30674:4, 30674:7 <b>functioning</b> [1] - 30714:12 <b>functions</b> [1] - 30713:11	30680:8, 30764:3 <b>genetic</b> [1] - 30851:9 <b>genuinely</b> [1] - 30736:8 <b>Gibson</b> [1] - 30591:9 <b>given</b> [18] - 30622:12, 30633:1, 30640:6, 30657:18, 30658:24, 30677:13, 30691:6, 30708:11, 30715:11, 30718:11, 30718:25, 30746:4, 30766:1, 30766:2, 30790:11, 30803:19, 30804:13, 30831:2 <b>glimmer</b> [1] - 30677:14 <b>Globe</b> [2] - 30697:23, 30715:22 <b>gloves</b> [1] - 30681:17 <b>goal</b> [1] - 30803:12 <b>gonna</b> [15] - 30637:6, 30642:13, 30642:21, 30643:20, 30644:23, 30682:6, 30775:13, 30777:14, 30783:17, 30807:3, 30835:24, 30836:14, 30838:8, 30838:20, 30846:16 <b>gordge</b> [10] - 30595:21, 30596:25, 30598:10, 30614:2, 30792:5, 30792:14, 30800:4, 30800:9, 30811:3, 30822:15 <b>gordge's</b> [5] - 30594:6, 30594:11, 30772:15, 30793:8, 30804:7 <b>Government</b> [2] - 30591:4, 30853:10 <b>government</b> [7] - 30680:6, 30680:13, 30680:17, 30680:19, 30702:21, 30762:6, 30764:5 <b>grabs</b> [2] - 30709:20 <b>graciously</b> [1] - 30754:20 <b>grand</b> [1] - 30857:23 <b>grant</b> [1] - 30714:7 <b>grasping</b> [1] - 30607:23 <b>grave</b> [1] - 30710:20 <b>great</b> [5] - 30679:8, 30757:20, 30780:1, 30781:5, 30781:21 <b>grew</b> [2] - 30682:11, 30804:18 <b>grief</b> [1] - 30674:23 <b>grilled</b> [1] - 30634:11 <b>grilling</b> [1] - 30748:12 <b>Groat</b> [3] - 30796:7, 30796:18	<b>ground</b> [1] - 30734:18 <b>grounds</b> [5] - 30629:8, 30629:11, 30630:17, 30630:24, 30633:2 <b>group</b> [19] - 30604:20, 30665:7, 30665:14, 30665:21, 30692:18, 30695:13, 30696:6, 30696:10, 30696:11, 30723:2, 30723:19, 30725:17, 30732:5, 30771:11, 30771:14, 30820:11, 30830:16, 30843:12, 30844:5 <b>group's</b> [2] - 30690:18, 30724:13 <b>guess</b> [17] - 30606:23, 30616:22, 30665:1, 30676:21, 30699:10, 30713:13, 30716:10, 30719:8, 30724:18, 30746:5, 30751:22, 30764:17, 30778:12, 30779:6, 30804:19, 30824:11, 30834:3 <b>guessing</b> [1] - 30782:15 <b>guilt</b> [2] - 30644:21, 30675:12 <b>guilty</b> [3] - 30672:10, 30768:20, 30845:18 <b>guy</b> [5] - 30751:25, 30798:16, 30810:16, 30824:8, 30856:14 <b>guys</b> [3] - 30643:9, 30777:16, 30802:8
<b>G</b>				
<b>gagging</b> [1] - 30802:9 <b>Gail</b> [18] - 30599:4, 30603:6, 30604:14, 30619:22, 30619:23, 30622:22, 30622:25, 30623:10, 30659:10, 30659:13, 30666:18, 30694:10, 30715:9, 30718:4, 30767:23, 30769:14, 30840:12 <b>gain</b> [2] - 30698:3, 30755:20 <b>Garrett</b> [1] - 30591:6 <b>Gary</b> [1] - 30817:4 <b>gather</b> [2] - 30720:2, 30730:20 <b>gathered</b> [1] - 30699:11 <b>General</b> [5] - 30651:14, 30653:10, 30679:24, 30680:8, 30764:3 <b>genetic</b> [1] - 30851:9 <b>genuinely</b> [1] - 30736:8 <b>Gibson</b> [1] - 30591:9 <b>given</b> [18] - 30622:12, 30633:1, 30640:6, 30657:18, 30658:24, 30677:13, 30691:6, 30708:11, 30715:11, 30718:11, 30718:25, 30746:4, 30766:1, 30766:2, 30790:11, 30803:19, 30804:13, 30831:2 <b>glimmer</b> [1] - 30677:14 <b>Globe</b> [2] - 30697:23, 30715:22 <b>gloves</b> [1] - 30681:17 <b>goal</b> [1] - 30803:12 <b>gonna</b> [15] - 30637:6, 30642:13, 30642:21, 30643:20, 30644:23, 30682:6, 30775:13, 30777:14, 30783:17, 30807:3, 30835:24, 30836:14, 30838:8, 30838:20, 30846:16 <b>gordge</b> [10] - 30595:21, 30596:25, 30598:10, 30614:2, 30792:5, 30792:14, 30800:4, 30800:9, 30811:3, 30822:15 <b>gordge's</b> [5] - 30594:6, 30594:11, 30772:15, 30793:8, 30804:7 <b>Government</b> [2] - 30591:4, 30853:10 <b>government</b> [7] - 30680:6, 30680:13, 30680:17, 30680:19, 30702:21, 30762:6, 30764:5 <b>grabs</b> [2] - 30709:20 <b>graciously</b> [1] - 30754:20 <b>grand</b> [1] - 30857:23 <b>grant</b> [1] - 30714:7 <b>grasping</b> [1] - 30607:23 <b>grave</b> [1] - 30710:20 <b>great</b> [5] - 30679:8, 30757:20, 30780:1, 30781:5, 30781:21 <b>grew</b> [2] - 30682:11, 30804:18 <b>grief</b> [1] - 30674:23 <b>grilled</b> [1] - 30634:11 <b>grilling</b> [1] - 30748:12 <b>Groat</b> [3] - 30796:7, 30796:18	<b>H</b>			
<b>gagging</b> [1] - 30802:9 <b>Gail</b> [18] - 30599:4, 30603:6, 30604:14, 30619:22, 30619:23, 30622:22, 30622:25, 30623:10, 30659:10, 30659:13, 30666:18, 30694:10, 30715:9, 30718:4, 30767:23, 30769:14, 30840:12 <b>gain</b> [2] - 30698:3, 30755:20 <b>Garrett</b> [1] - 30591:6 <b>Gary</b> [1] - 30817:4 <b>gather</b> [2] - 30720:2, 30730:20 <b>gathered</b> [1] - 30699:11 <b>General</b> [5] - 30651:14, 30653:10, 30679:24, 30680:8, 30764:3 <b>genetic</b> [1] - 30851:9 <b>genuinely</b> [1] - 30736:8 <b>Gibson</b> [1] - 30591:9 <b>given</b> [18] - 30622:12, 30633:1, 30640:6, 30657:18, 30658:24, 30677:13, 30691:6, 30708:11, 30715:11, 30718:11, 30718:25, 30746:4, 30766:1, 30766:2, 30790:11, 30803:19, 30804:13, 30831:2 <b>glimmer</b> [1] - 30677:14 <b>Globe</b> [2] - 30697:23, 30715:22 <b>gloves</b> [1] - 30681:17 <b>goal</b> [1] - 30803:12 <b>gonna</b> [15] - 30637:6, 30642:13, 30642:21, 30643:20, 30644:23, 30682:6, 30775:13, 30777:14, 30783:17, 30807:3, 30835:24, 30836:14, 30838:8, 30838:20, 30846:16 <b>gordge</b> [10] - 30595:21, 30596:25, 30598:10, 30614:2, 30792:5, 30792:14, 30800:4, 30800:9, 30811:3, 30822:15 <b>gordge's</b> [5] - 30594:6, 30594:11, 30772:15, 30793:8, 30804:7 <b>Government</b> [2] - 30591:4, 30853:10 <b>government</b> [7] - 30680:6, 30680:13, 30680:17, 30680:19, 30702:21, 30762:6, 30764:5 <b>grabs</b> [2] - 30709:20 <b>graciously</b> [1] - 30754:20 <b>grand</b> [1] - 30857:23 <b>grant</b> [1] - 30714:7 <b>grasping</b> [1] - 30607:23 <b>grave</b> [1] - 30710:20 <b>great</b> [5] - 30679:8, 30757:20, 30780:1, 30781:5, 30781:21 <b>grew</b> [2] - 30682:11, 30804:18 <b>grief</b> [1] - 30674:23 <b>grilled</b> [1] - 30634:11 <b>grilling</b> [1] - 30748:12 <b>Groat</b> [3] - 30796:7, 30796:18				
<b>habits</b> [1] - 30827:15 <b>habitually</b> [1] - 30622:3 <b>hair</b> [2] - 30603:23, 30623:2 <b>half</b> [1] - 30853:8 <b>Hall</b> [11] - 30629:8, 30629:22, 30630:19, 30630:21, 30632:9, 30632:17, 30632:22, 30633:10, 30633:13, 30633:20, 30633:21 <b>Hall's</b> [1] - 30755:4 <b>hamper</b> [1] - 30730:13 <b>hand</b> [8] - 30593:22, 30754:14, 30754:19, 30756:19, 30774:20, 30778:14, 30836:6, 30837:10 <b>handed</b> [3] - 30657:21, 30657:23, 30658:16 <b>handle</b> [2] - 30692:12, 30692:18				



<p>30692:13  <b>handled</b> [10] -  30658:20, 30659:11,  30659:19, 30659:25,  30663:25, 30665:17,  30669:7, 30670:6,  30692:11, 30699:22  <b>handling</b> [1] - 30677:13  <b>hard</b> [7] - 30594:2,  30614:12, 30677:8,  30694:1, 30703:8,  30715:20  <b>harm</b> [1] - 30705:12  <b>harmful</b> [1] - 30606:1  <b>Harvard</b> [15] - 30716:4,  30720:8, 30720:10,  30731:12, 30731:13,  30748:6, 30748:11,  30748:19, 30749:1,  30750:1, 30751:11,  30751:19, 30752:2,  30752:15, 30752:24  <b>hat</b> [2] - 30594:2,  30815:9  <b>haul</b> [1] - 30687:9  <b>haunting</b> [1] - 30824:10  <b>headlights</b> [3] -  30676:12, 30676:13,  30676:15  <b>headline</b> [5] - 30765:9,  30765:11, 30766:6,  30842:1  <b>headlines</b> [5] -  30706:20, 30709:18,  30709:20, 30765:24,  30842:2  <b>hear</b> [6] - 30633:6,  30688:18, 30693:21,  30742:9, 30745:18,  30781:22  <b>heard</b> [36] - 30603:7,  30619:19, 30619:20,  30625:19, 30637:24,  30639:8, 30648:16,  30654:19, 30671:2,  30677:4, 30677:6,  30687:4, 30703:17,  30715:10, 30728:11,  30732:1, 30740:14,  30742:2, 30742:21,  30743:12, 30743:15,  30744:12, 30745:21,  30745:23, 30747:2,  30750:10, 30751:24,  30753:18, 30789:19,  30795:1, 30795:13,  30795:15, 30823:7,  30830:24, 30836:5,  30840:3  <b>hearing</b> [16] - 30617:3,</p>	<p>30661:5, 30664:4,  30664:8, 30670:5,  30670:10, 30670:12,  30671:4, 30673:24,  30760:23, 30761:2,  30785:6, 30795:10,  30820:8, 30822:10,  30832:21  <b>heat</b> [1] - 30836:22  <b>held</b> [2] - 30699:20,  30747:12  <b>hell</b> [1] - 30810:13  <b>help</b> [17] - 30618:20,  30618:25, 30655:13,  30658:2, 30658:6,  30679:12, 30679:17,  30681:4, 30681:10,  30682:21, 30684:20,  30685:7, 30692:2,  30725:4, 30737:20,  30741:3, 30806:10  <b>helped</b> [3] - 30598:14,  30796:23, 30805:11  <b>helpers</b> [1] - 30758:1  <b>helpful</b> [7] - 30642:24,  30643:2, 30644:21,  30664:17, 30713:8,  30728:10, 30794:11  <b>helping</b> [1] - 30797:19  <b>Henderson</b> [76] -  30598:24, 30599:21,  30605:6, 30605:7,  30606:12, 30607:14,  30614:6, 30665:16,  30684:14, 30684:16,  30684:18, 30685:16,  30691:12, 30691:18,  30692:24, 30737:9,  30771:7, 30771:9,  30771:11, 30771:20,  30772:2, 30772:18,  30772:20, 30779:16,  30779:23, 30784:6,  30784:8, 30784:11,  30784:18, 30785:6,  30785:10, 30785:18,  30786:5, 30787:2,  30789:9, 30789:19,  30791:20, 30792:11,  30793:23, 30794:4,  30794:11, 30794:18,  30794:20, 30794:23,  30795:5, 30795:23,  30795:24, 30798:11,  30801:2, 30801:8,  30804:11, 30805:1,  30806:13, 30809:9,  30812:8, 30812:14,  30813:2, 30813:23,  30814:10, 30814:16,</p>	<p>30816:24, 30822:22,  30823:17, 30823:22,  30825:21, 30825:23,  30826:4, 30827:1,  30832:10, 30832:20,  30834:5, 30835:1,  30835:3, 30835:21,  30836:2, 30836:17  <b>henderson</b> [1] -  30833:15  <b>Henderson's</b> [4] -  30599:1, 30773:5,  30773:7, 30822:4  <b>hereby</b> [1] - 30859:4  <b>herein</b> [1] - 30859:6  <b>hero</b> [1] - 30786:2  <b>herself</b> [5] - 30684:12,  30761:10, 30807:21,  30809:6, 30809:7  <b>Hersh</b> [15] - 30591:2,  30614:5, 30615:1,  30616:10, 30639:24,  30642:20, 30643:4,  30657:8, 30657:11,  30714:23, 30716:24,  30717:20, 30761:9,  30780:9, 30828:2  <b>heyday</b> [1] - 30841:20  <b>he's</b> [2] - 30850:3,  30850:16  <b>He's</b> [1] - 30850:8  <b>hid</b> [1] - 30662:8  <b>hidden</b> [1] - 30814:19  <b>hide</b> [3] - 30645:7,  30766:10, 30768:23  <b>hiding</b> [3] - 30702:4,  30702:6, 30767:1  <b>high</b> [9] - 30762:22,  30763:1, 30763:8,  30763:19, 30763:22,  30764:11, 30765:6,  30769:18  <b>highly</b> [2] - 30805:16,  30824:16  <b>him'</b> [1] - 30681:10  <b>himself</b> [7] - 30602:6,  30606:5, 30606:10,  30637:25, 30818:22,  30820:14  <b>hinder</b> [2] - 30644:24,  30647:25  <b>hindsight</b> [4] - 30659:4,  30751:22, 30830:6,  30830:13  <b>Hinz</b> [3] - 30590:8,  30859:2, 30859:13  <b>history</b> [1] - 30620:4  <b>Hmm</b> [1] - 30775:19  <b>hoc</b> [1] - 30692:2  <b>Hodson</b> [27] - 30590:2,</p>	<p>30592:4, 30593:6,  30599:14, 30634:25,  30635:4, 30649:6,  30685:24, 30686:1,  30725:25, 30726:4,  30759:4, 30772:20,  30773:4, 30781:24,  30794:16, 30796:18,  30796:20, 30796:21,  30826:19, 30835:5,  30835:6, 30835:8,  30837:22, 30837:25,  30838:5, 30858:1  <b>hold</b> [7] - 30649:5,  30721:14, 30723:15,  30733:14, 30733:15,  30739:13, 30815:8  <b>Holder</b> [1] - 30597:18  <b>holding</b> [1] - 30684:7  <b>hole</b> [4] - 30734:2,  30735:7, 30787:7,  30820:1  <b>holidays</b> [1] - 30850:8  <b>Holtmann</b> [2] - 30746:2,  30746:6  <b>holy</b> [1] - 30769:18  <b>home</b> [9] - 30610:25,  30622:7, 30622:25,  30727:15, 30728:15,  30729:1, 30729:5,  30780:17, 30812:9  <b>Hon</b> [1] - 30591:11  <b>honest</b> [2] - 30644:1,  30734:24  <b>honestly</b> [10] - 30628:9,  30628:13, 30639:23,  30642:21, 30705:22,  30742:11, 30744:13,  30759:4, 30771:17,  30853:21  <b>Honourable</b> [1] -  30589:6  <b>hook</b> [4] - 30781:2,  30781:20, 30836:22,  30838:20  <b>hope</b> [6] - 30628:10,  30677:14, 30679:10,  30681:9, 30701:4,  30782:10  <b>hoped</b> [1] - 30701:8  <b>hopefully</b> [1] -  30806:24  <b>hopes</b> [1] - 30746:13  <b>hoping</b> [5] - 30684:20,  30690:6, 30698:2,  30755:20, 30794:2  <b>Hopkins</b> [1] - 30591:12  <b>horrible</b> [1] - 30787:21  <b>hospital</b> [2] - 30791:23,  30798:18</p>	<p><b>hostage</b> [1] - 30747:12  <b>Hotel</b> [1] - 30589:16  <b>hotel</b> [1] - 30676:10  <b>hours</b> [1] - 30600:6  <b>House</b> [2] - 30716:5,  30751:17  <b>house</b> [9] - 30601:15,  30622:22, 30623:11,  30720:12, 30720:13,  30752:15, 30809:4,  30813:16  <b>human</b> [11] - 30683:6,  30839:11, 30839:20,  30841:7, 30841:8,  30846:18, 30846:21,  30856:6, 30856:9,  30856:21, 30857:15  <b>Humen</b> [1] - 30597:18  <b>hundred</b> [1] - 30626:4  <b>hunting</b> [15] - 30658:20,  30659:18, 30659:23,  30661:4, 30662:3,  30662:20, 30663:5,  30665:17, 30669:8,  30670:16, 30670:20,  30699:22, 30701:2,  30701:15, 30702:1  <b>hunting-type</b> [1] -  30669:8  <b>hurt</b> [1] - 30707:1  <b>hurting</b> [1] - 30705:15  <b>husband</b> [1] - 30797:12  <b>Husulak</b> [1] - 30597:18</p>
<b>I</b>				
<p><b>idea</b> [16] - 30660:21,  30662:23, 30707:16,  30725:7, 30732:10,  30742:8, 30755:17,  30763:11, 30778:24,  30782:6, 30783:24,  30786:16, 30786:19,  30818:25, 30821:25,  30854:16  <b>idea-storming</b> [1] -  30782:6  <b>ideas</b> [5] - 30737:10,  30782:8, 30783:22,  30784:2, 30790:24  <b>identical</b> [1] - 30594:1  <b>identification</b> [1] -  30604:4  <b>identified</b> [4] -  30602:15, 30603:17,  30659:14, 30807:21  <b>identify</b> [4] - 30695:4,  30744:6, 30807:20,  30856:13</p>				



<b>identity</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30603:24 <b>ignore</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30767:13 <b>Ignore</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30767:14 <b>ignoring</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 30747:19, 30765:10, 30768:8 <b>ilk</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30680:22 <b>illness</b> <sup>[5]</sup> - 30791:21, 30792:1, 30792:8, 30799:10, 30800:11 <b>imagine</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30605:8 <b>immediately</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 30651:14, 30683:10, 30818:6 <b>impact</b> <sup>[6]</sup> - 30649:2, 30706:24, 30722:6, 30724:9, 30724:15, 30724:21 <b>impairment</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30796:10 <b>impatience</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30626:20, 30627:12 <b>impatient</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30627:9 <b>impede</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 30625:13, 30625:16, 30647:19, 30648:4 <b>impeded</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30648:19 <b>imperfect</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30683:4 <b>implied</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30702:2 <b>imply</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30637:22 <b>important</b> <sup>[15]</sup> - 30603:4, 30604:5, 30604:23, 30613:16, 30672:12, 30716:15, 30717:17, 30724:1, 30766:11, 30776:1, 30778:4, 30786:10, 30812:21, 30812:22, 30857:5 <b>imposed</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30738:20 <b>impossibility</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30630:4 <b>impossible</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30796:4 <b>impropriety</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30776:25 <b>inaction</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30727:18, 30728:24 <b>incarcerated</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30673:19 <b>incidental</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30718:7 <b>include</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30625:6, 30702:22 <b>included</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 30804:12, 30805:13, 30805:21, 30810:14 <b>including</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30627:12, 30695:3 <b>incorrect</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30658:10 <b>increase</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30659:24 <b>incredible</b> <sup>[5]</sup> -	30707:20, 30707:22, 30707:24, 30828:9, 30828:14 <b>incriminating</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30825:4 <b>indeed</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30620:10 <b>independent</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30641:17 <b>independently</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30836:7 <b>Index</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30592:1 <b>indicate</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30651:9 <b>indicated</b> <sup>[5]</sup> - 30657:22, 30722:9, 30734:4, 30818:17, 30849:11 <b>indicates</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30760:13 <b>indicating</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30688:9 <b>indication</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30677:17, 30714:6 <b>indirectly</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30742:2, 30743:12 <b>individuals</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30635:17 <b>inept</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30857:6, 30857:12 <b>inference</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30819:15 <b>inflicted</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 30658:25, 30662:18, 30669:14 <b>influence</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 30698:12, 30704:25, 30788:23 <b>influenced</b> <sup>[6]</sup> - 30630:8, 30630:9, 30798:21, 30798:23, 30798:24, 30799:12 <b>inform</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30612:8, 30614:22 <b>information</b> <sup>[207]</sup> - 30598:2, 30599:2, 30602:22, 30605:25, 30608:17, 30608:22, 30609:5, 30610:2, 30610:24, 30618:20, 30620:16, 30621:25, 30622:12, 30625:8, 30628:5, 30631:1, 30631:4, 30632:6, 30632:17, 30632:20, 30632:25, 30633:8, 30634:3, 30639:16, 30639:24, 30640:13, 30641:13, 30641:19, 30642:11, 30642:14, 30642:23, 30643:13, 30643:17, 30643:21, 30644:11, 30645:11, 30645:18, 30646:20, 30647:1, 30647:3,	30647:17, 30649:18, 30649:23, 30651:8, 30651:24, 30652:25, 30653:2, 30660:18, 30666:2, 30667:13, 30668:9, 30668:10, 30673:9, 30674:3, 30674:9, 30684:25, 30685:1, 30685:3, 30685:12, 30686:10, 30687:15, 30687:21, 30690:21, 30690:23, 30690:25, 30693:2, 30693:15, 30694:17, 30698:4, 30699:11, 30701:11, 30701:19, 30704:23, 30705:7, 30705:17, 30708:4, 30708:7, 30708:12, 30708:18, 30708:24, 30709:14, 30709:25, 30712:8, 30712:18, 30712:22, 30712:23, 30715:11, 30715:25, 30716:12, 30717:3, 30717:15, 30717:21, 30718:2, 30718:23, 30719:2, 30719:11, 30719:22, 30720:2, 30720:21, 30720:23, 30720:25, 30721:2, 30722:17, 30723:3, 30723:8, 30723:11, 30723:14, 30723:20, 30724:1, 30724:14, 30725:6, 30726:9, 30728:17, 30730:24, 30731:1, 30733:8, 30735:3, 30735:10, 30736:4, 30736:7, 30741:14, 30743:18, 30744:4, 30744:15, 30745:12, 30749:11, 30749:23, 30750:20, 30752:22, 30755:8, 30757:20, 30760:18, 30761:16, 30766:1, 30766:5, 30766:11, 30767:4, 30767:24, 30768:10, 30768:18, 30769:16, 30769:18, 30769:23, 30770:21, 30773:12, 30776:4, 30785:8, 30786:10, 30786:12, 30790:12, 30792:3, 30793:12, 30793:17, 30793:25, 30800:8, 30801:10, 30802:14, 30802:17, 30803:16, 30803:22, 30803:25, 30804:3,	30804:12, 30805:1, 30805:23, 30806:12, 30806:16, 30806:22, 30810:3, 30810:16, 30812:2, 30812:3, 30813:12, 30814:5, 30814:12, 30814:17, 30814:21, 30816:9, 30817:18, 30819:1, 30820:10, 30822:6, 30822:14, 30823:4, 30825:5, 30827:2, 30827:15, 30827:18, 30830:10, 30832:19, 30835:16, 30836:19, 30837:9, 30837:11, 30837:17, 30838:8, 30838:14, 30838:17, 30839:3, 30840:3, 30841:17, 30843:15, 30844:16, 30848:21, 30849:15, 30855:5 <b>informed</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30608:15, 30744:10 <b>initial</b> <sup>[8]</sup> - 30618:10, 30618:24, 30624:8, 30642:3, 30784:19, 30794:20, 30841:2, 30844:20 <b>Inland</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30590:11 <b>inmate</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30765:18 <b>inmates</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30750:14 <b>innocence</b> <sup>[18]</sup> - 30644:22, 30655:12, 30672:3, 30672:11, 30673:7, 30687:19, 30745:1, 30745:6, 30745:8, 30746:20, 30755:9, 30840:9, 30840:14, 30840:19, 30840:23, 30842:6, 30842:12, 30844:11 <b>innocent</b> <sup>[14]</sup> - 30655:8, 30655:13, 30655:15, 30671:22, 30672:8, 30672:21, 30673:2, 30673:11, 30675:19, 30682:19, 30682:23, 30698:6, 30768:19, 30770:4 <b>inquiries</b> <sup>[6]</sup> - 30638:15, 30727:4, 30730:18, 30744:7, 30808:5, 30809:21 <b>Inquiry</b> <sup>[12]</sup> - 30589:2, 30589:23, 30600:3, 30603:10, 30612:4, 30639:8, 30660:25, 30677:20, 30712:15, 30853:15, 30856:7,	30856:20 <b>insight</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30728:19 <b>insights</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30791:10 <b>insisted</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30658:8 <b>insists</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30657:12 <b>instant</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30647:12 <b>instead</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30653:15, 30767:24 <b>instructions</b> <sup>[5]</sup> - 30694:8, 30718:13, 30720:3, 30752:19, 30752:22 <b>intent</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30737:7 <b>intentionally</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30790:20 <b>interest</b> <sup>[5]</sup> - 30700:17, 30703:19, 30703:20, 30724:18, 30730:23 <b>interested</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30697:21, 30740:22 <b>interesting</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 30666:25, 30727:23 <b>interfere</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 30626:1, 30645:14, 30718:14 <b>interminable</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30738:25 <b>interpreted</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30675:9 <b>intersected</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 30604:8 <b>interview</b> <sup>[46]</sup> - 30605:4, 30607:14, 30610:4, 30621:18, 30622:13, 30622:18, 30624:21, 30624:22, 30633:22, 30634:1, 30634:14, 30635:17, 30660:4, 30685:17, 30716:17, 30736:9, 30736:22, 30737:2, 30737:8, 30758:18, 30771:14, 30772:22, 30787:5, 30791:20, 30792:7, 30793:8, 30793:9, 30793:10, 30794:21, 30800:15, 30804:7, 30811:2, 30815:19, 30816:24, 30822:5, 30826:5, 30826:8, 30826:18, 30827:4, 30830:23, 30831:4, 30832:11, 30832:25, 30833:15, 30833:23, 30835:11 <b>interviewed</b> <sup>[22]</sup> - 30609:21, 30613:25, 30620:10, 30727:7, 30729:13, 30748:18, 30749:22, 30769:13, 30770:10, 30787:24,
---	---	---	--	---



<p>30789:20, 30789:22, 30800:4, 30812:23, 30813:3, 30813:24, 30823:18, 30824:12, 30830:25, 30832:7, 30834:18, 30850:12</p> <p><b>interviewing</b> [2] - 30735:25, 30774:18</p> <p><b>interviews</b> [28] - 30612:3, 30614:1, 30616:23, 30621:21, 30625:6, 30635:10, 30636:7, 30685:7, 30771:6, 30771:8, 30771:19, 30772:2, 30772:3, 30772:14, 30773:8, 30773:9, 30773:24, 30784:9, 30784:11, 30785:3, 30805:13, 30825:1, 30834:16, 30834:20, 30835:1, 30835:9, 30837:4</p> <p><b>intimating</b> [1] - 30820:19</p> <p><b>introduced</b> [2] - 30700:24, 30760:17</p> <p><b>investigate</b> [10] - 30625:4, 30636:18, 30637:12, 30641:12, 30642:9, 30692:21, 30693:14, 30695:3, 30695:14, 30847:23</p> <p><b>investigated</b> [7] - 30630:19, 30716:6, 30722:12, 30750:11, 30750:13, 30750:21, 30764:7</p> <p><b>investigating</b> [11] - 30635:22, 30636:1, 30650:5, 30720:5, 30724:23, 30725:3, 30725:23, 30732:5, 30732:6, 30765:13, 30766:21</p> <p><b>investigation</b> [52] - 30594:20, 30623:21, 30624:8, 30625:9, 30625:14, 30625:16, 30626:2, 30626:11, 30632:14, 30640:18, 30640:24, 30641:7, 30642:14, 30643:12, 30645:15, 30647:20, 30647:25, 30648:3, 30649:1, 30649:13, 30649:19, 30668:21, 30692:9, 30694:11, 30696:9, 30712:21, 30718:15, 30721:16,</p>	<p>30722:8, 30723:5, 30724:10, 30724:16, 30727:1, 30730:14, 30737:25, 30738:1, 30738:23, 30748:12, 30748:20, 30749:2, 30749:6, 30749:15, 30749:18, 30751:5, 30751:7, 30751:18, 30769:15, 30774:2, 30793:13, 30797:21, 30810:14, 30857:6</p> <p><b>Investigations</b> [1] - 30789:7</p> <p><b>investigations</b> [3] - 30647:23, 30752:8, 30763:13</p> <p><b>investigative</b> [4] - 30624:11, 30683:15, 30683:20, 30684:21</p> <p><b>investigator</b> [7] - 30630:22, 30641:18, 30674:2, 30674:8, 30734:2, 30829:17, 30830:18</p> <p><b>investigators</b> [6] - 30694:8, 30704:18, 30704:21, 30764:6, 30766:12, 30804:20</p> <p><b>involve</b> [1] - 30637:14</p> <p><b>involved</b> [37] - 30613:15, 30623:20, 30636:6, 30640:18, 30644:8, 30646:19, 30650:4, 30650:8, 30650:21, 30651:15, 30659:13, 30662:15, 30671:10, 30691:13, 30692:25, 30694:11, 30697:25, 30700:20, 30700:21, 30702:16, 30707:15, 30715:23, 30716:21, 30716:23, 30716:24, 30740:17, 30754:7, 30765:13, 30769:14, 30771:18, 30771:22, 30796:25, 30797:21, 30845:7</p> <p><b>Involved</b> [1] - 30671:11</p> <p><b>involvement</b> [11] - 30648:19, 30651:6, 30684:19, 30715:8, 30715:12, 30721:23, 30722:2, 30762:5, 30765:19, 30784:19, 30797:2</p> <p><b>involves</b> [1] - 30617:5</p> <p><b>involving</b> [2] - 30784:5, 30784:7</p> <p><b>lola</b> [2] - 30592:3,</p>	<p>30593:5</p> <p><b>Irene</b> [1] - 30590:7</p> <p><b>issue</b> [26] - 30603:24, 30654:14, 30665:11, 30668:16, 30672:11, 30678:24, 30679:2, 30695:11, 30704:8, 30704:9, 30714:20, 30727:1, 30810:2, 30816:21, 30819:7, 30821:5, 30821:12, 30822:1, 30837:12, 30844:15, 30846:1, 30849:1, 30850:24, 30853:20, 30854:20, 30857:16</p> <p><b>issues</b> [1] - 30606:19</p> <p><b>itself</b> [6] - 30675:24, 30676:7, 30676:8, 30676:17, 30687:24, 30705:10</p> <p><b>it's</b> [1] - 30850:23</p>	<p>30833:17</p> <p><b>Joyce</b> [57] - 30591:3, 30592:3, 30593:5, 30615:6, 30616:11, 30641:11, 30682:4, 30715:2, 30715:5, 30721:9, 30728:15, 30733:21, 30733:25, 30734:6, 30738:17, 30760:15, 30775:4, 30775:9, 30775:14, 30775:19, 30776:15, 30776:18, 30776:21, 30777:2, 30777:9, 30777:13, 30780:19, 30780:22, 30781:4, 30787:1, 30810:7, 30833:25, 30835:15, 30836:24, 30846:20, 30846:23, 30847:21, 30848:5, 30848:8, 30848:10, 30848:14, 30850:8, 30850:11, 30850:16, 30850:20, 30851:3, 30851:10, 30851:15, 30851:23, 30852:2, 30852:4, 30852:11, 30852:16, 30852:19, 30852:21, 30853:1, 30853:3</p> <p><b>judge</b> [4] - 30761:11, 30761:22, 30777:5</p> <p><b>July</b> [2] - 30717:18</p> <p><b>jump</b> [2] - 30603:1, 30643:22</p> <p><b>jumps</b> [1] - 30707:22</p> <p><b>june</b> [1] - 30849:2</p> <p><b>June</b> [16] - 30612:18, 30617:11, 30624:6, 30716:7, 30720:15, 30731:15, 30823:17, 30827:20, 30830:25, 30832:8, 30833:1, 30834:19, 30842:16, 30850:15</p> <p><b>jury</b> [9] - 30630:7, 30670:13, 30670:15, 30675:25, 30676:8, 30676:17, 30677:4, 30687:6, 30840:3</p> <p><b>justice</b> [24] - 30632:24, 30654:20, 30658:12, 30672:14, 30673:22, 30674:16, 30675:4, 30682:5, 30683:5, 30705:9, 30705:10, 30712:6, 30712:13, 30712:20, 30714:11, 30723:18, 30747:22, 30748:14, 30803:1,</p>	<p>30803:8, 30804:3, 30804:13, 30804:24, 30810:4</p> <p><b>Justice</b> [64] - 30589:6, 30591:10, 30591:12, 30623:19, 30624:10, 30625:12, 30628:17, 30631:7, 30632:7, 30636:5, 30636:20, 30642:25, 30643:14, 30644:9, 30677:19, 30678:12, 30678:14, 30679:13, 30679:23, 30680:4, 30680:9, 30680:13, 30680:17, 30680:19, 30688:13, 30688:14, 30692:20, 30694:7, 30696:8, 30696:19, 30697:5, 30698:10, 30708:17, 30711:12, 30711:21, 30712:19, 30712:24, 30714:20, 30720:5, 30724:24, 30727:19, 30728:17, 30729:8, 30743:13, 30743:16, 30746:10, 30748:7, 30748:12, 30750:18, 30751:4, 30758:15, 30763:24, 30791:17, 30801:17, 30801:22, 30803:8, 30810:8, 30820:5, 30831:2, 30831:6, 30831:20, 30831:22, 30849:7, 30850:12</p> <p><b>Justice</b> [1] - 30693:5</p> <p><b>Justices</b> [1] - 30641:6</p> <p><b>Justices</b> [1] - 30667:25</p> <p><b>justified</b> [1] - 30778:8</p>
<b>J</b>				
<p><b>Jackie</b> [4] - 30796:1, 30796:7, 30796:9</p> <p><b>jail</b> [10] - 30627:19, 30652:15, 30683:8, 30696:13, 30696:24, 30698:10, 30704:11, 30704:15, 30748:23, 30803:14</p> <p><b>James</b> [1] - 30747:11</p> <p><b>January</b> [6] - 30610:14, 30611:13, 30611:16, 30616:24, 30850:4, 30854:21</p> <p><b>Jay</b> [1] - 30590:11</p> <p><b>Jerry</b> [1] - 30590:10</p> <p><b>Jim</b> [4] - 30612:24, 30691:10, 30691:21, 30783:18</p> <p><b>Joanne</b> [1] - 30591:3</p> <p><b>job</b> [5] - 30639:1, 30640:21, 30643:18, 30643:19, 30651:9</p> <p><b>John</b> [21] - 30597:17, 30630:5, 30630:8, 30670:18, 30677:6, 30685:8, 30685:17, 30716:4, 30731:12, 30731:13, 30749:1, 30749:25, 30771:7, 30771:15, 30772:14, 30773:9, 30773:24, 30774:13, 30785:11, 30787:12, 30788:1</p> <p><b>joking</b> [2] - 30781:24,</p>				
<b>K</b>				
<p><b>Karen</b> [3] - 30590:8, 30859:2, 30859:13</p> <p><b>Karp</b> [3] - 30715:23, 30717:19, 30717:21</p> <p><b>Karst</b> [2] - 30591:8, 30769:12</p> <p><b>keep</b> [4] - 30645:12, 30650:10, 30734:20, 30746:13</p> <p><b>kept</b> [8] - 30607:17, 30641:1, 30661:4, 30688:1, 30722:11, 30723:8, 30754:15, 30771:2</p> <p><b>Kept</b> [2] - 30607:20, 30637:18</p>				



<b>kid</b> [1] - 30816:4 <b>kill</b> [3] - 30775:13, 30778:18, 30780:15 <b>killed</b> [6] - 30604:13, 30622:8, 30694:9, 30718:9, 30750:17, 30840:12 <b>killer</b> [8] - 30595:14, 30609:11, 30619:17, 30672:23, 30748:16, 30766:24, 30788:3, 30844:25 <b>Kim</b> [19] - 30615:5, 30615:9, 30655:23, 30681:25, 30684:4, 30710:13, 30712:2, 30746:6, 30748:13, 30753:16, 30753:19, 30754:2, 30755:22, 30758:7, 30758:10, 30758:13, 30760:7, 30763:23, 30764:19 <b>kind</b> [9] - 30639:2, 30679:7, 30694:19, 30810:6, 30819:21, 30820:6, 30820:12, 30821:20, 30855:8 <b>kinder</b> [1] - 30720:10 <b>kinds</b> [5] - 30654:19, 30757:25, 30758:1, 30804:24, 30845:17 <b>knife</b> [56] - 30658:20, 30659:3, 30659:6, 30659:9, 30659:11, 30659:12, 30659:14, 30659:19, 30659:20, 30659:21, 30659:23, 30659:25, 30660:1, 30660:9, 30661:4, 30661:8, 30662:3, 30662:17, 30663:5, 30663:10, 30663:25, 30664:1, 30664:4, 30664:16, 30664:18, 30664:19, 30664:25, 30665:6, 30665:13, 30665:17, 30665:20, 30665:23, 30669:8, 30669:11, 30669:24, 30670:6, 30670:11, 30670:16, 30670:17, 30670:20, 30670:22, 30671:4, 30671:6, 30671:15, 30699:23, 30700:3, 30701:2, 30701:15, 30702:1, 30702:4, 30702:7, 30703:25, 30704:3 <b>knifes</b> [1] - 30662:15 <b>knives</b> [7] - 30657:25,	30658:18, 30659:1, 30662:15, 30662:20, 30670:14 <b>knocked</b> [1] - 30598:9 <b>Knowing</b> [1] - 30790:18 <b>knowing</b> [7] - 30694:25, 30713:11, 30714:11, 30727:21, 30736:7, 30754:10, 30777:25 <b>knowingly</b> [1] - 30778:2 <b>knowledge</b> [3] - 30650:3, 30666:9, 30859:6 <b>known</b> [8] - 30611:3, 30620:17, 30651:17, 30731:24, 30749:25, 30768:4, 30769:1, 30812:24 <b>knows</b> [3] - 30619:18, 30808:4, 30846:16 <b>Knox</b> [1] - 30591:5 <b>Kooky</b> [1] - 30782:8 <b>Krogan</b> [1] - 30591:4 <b>Krogan-stevely</b> [1] - 30591:4 <b>Kujawa</b> [2] - 30591:6, 30770:20	30611:23, 30612:9, 30620:10, 30622:3, 30622:9, 30623:21, 30624:9, 30624:12, 30624:22, 30625:4, 30625:6, 30625:15, 30628:6, 30632:5, 30635:18, 30636:18, 30640:24, 30644:21, 30645:4, 30646:5, 30648:19, 30649:6, 30650:5, 30650:22, 30658:4, 30659:14, 30659:15, 30663:6, 30663:10, 30665:22, 30666:3, 30666:10, 30672:22, 30690:15, 30690:25, 30695:14, 30701:11, 30715:8, 30715:11, 30715:18, 30716:17, 30718:12, 30719:1, 30719:20, 30720:16, 30722:1, 30723:3, 30724:16, 30725:23, 30727:7, 30731:14, 30731:22, 30732:2, 30734:3, 30735:25, 30736:9, 30736:13, 30749:18, 30750:16, 30768:20, 30770:9, 30782:23, 30782:24, 30794:23, 30795:10, 30795:17 <b>Larrys</b> [1] - 30603:19 <b>last</b> [7] - 30598:4, 30658:21, 30674:6, 30792:6, 30796:16, 30798:22, 30815:19 <b>late</b> [1] - 30837:23 <b>latest</b> [1] - 30783:3 <b>latter</b> [2] - 30629:2, 30629:3 <b>lawyer</b> [2] - 30664:13, 30828:12 <b>lawyered</b> [1] - 30816:18 <b>lawyers</b> [31] - 30596:6, 30641:19, 30647:3, 30657:18, 30658:5, 30665:15, 30678:13, 30678:14, 30678:21, 30679:4, 30680:17, 30680:19, 30681:18, 30683:3, 30684:1, 30688:13, 30688:14, 30689:14, 30695:8, 30708:17, 30711:1, 30711:12, 30712:13, 30712:20, 30713:1, 30713:4, 30713:9, 30713:23, 30742:1,	30761:14, 30805:19 <b>Lawyers</b> [2] - 30807:10, 30807:11 <b>lead</b> [3] - 30624:12, 30783:8, 30783:12 <b>leak</b> [3] - 30838:9, 30838:13, 30838:16 <b>leaking</b> [2] - 30836:18, 30837:11 <b>leaps</b> [1] - 30707:22 <b>learn</b> [1] - 30711:14 <b>learned</b> [8] - 30604:3, 30639:21, 30639:22, 30640:6, 30667:1, 30712:8, 30715:5, 30717:22 <b>learning</b> [4] - 30636:19, 30640:14, 30659:7, 30710:13 <b>least</b> [12] - 30613:5, 30624:8, 30637:24, 30647:14, 30682:3, 30698:15, 30721:25, 30803:24, 30825:10, 30831:19, 30845:24, 30849:15 <b>leave</b> [3] - 30614:3, 30728:20, 30850:23 <b>leaving</b> [2] - 30760:24, 30850:18 <b>led</b> [4] - 30608:21, 30617:24, 30635:11, 30635:12 <b>left</b> [6] - 30606:15, 30635:12, 30684:18, 30756:24, 30778:14, 30815:10 <b>left-hand</b> [1] - 30778:14 <b>legal</b> [7] - 30680:7, 30697:7, 30697:12, 30707:2, 30708:12, 30724:21, 30806:2 <b>legs</b> [1] - 30840:21 <b>lend</b> [1] - 30675:2 <b>lending</b> [1] - 30600:10 <b>lengthy</b> [2] - 30638:20, 30804:8 <b>lent</b> [1] - 30600:5 <b>less</b> [1] - 30796:10 <b>Lett</b> [24] - 30614:6, 30621:5, 30621:9, 30718:24, 30719:1, 30719:9, 30753:2, 30764:19, 30765:25, 30766:1, 30811:1, 30831:2, 30831:3, 30831:11, 30832:7, 30832:10, 30832:18, 30832:24, 30833:14, 30833:20, 30834:14,	30834:19, 30842:2 <b>Letts</b> [1] - 30760:6 <b>letter</b> [32] - 30655:24, 30665:25, 30669:2, 30669:19, 30671:18, 30673:6, 30674:20, 30681:22, 30683:16, 30684:13, 30686:2, 30686:3, 30686:5, 30688:3, 30688:5, 30688:6, 30688:14, 30689:9, 30690:1, 30690:4, 30690:14, 30712:2, 30713:9, 30740:13, 30745:9, 30746:5, 30746:6, 30746:7, 30747:1, 30789:6, 30801:22, 30842:17 <b>letters</b> [4] - 30688:25, 30689:1, 30689:6, 30744:23 <b>letting</b> [2] - 30607:6, 30697:5 <b>level</b> [2] - 30680:16, 30680:18 <b>libel</b> [1] - 30722:14 <b>libeled</b> [1] - 30722:25 <b>liberty</b> [1] - 30703:19 <b>library</b> [2] - 30616:17, 30822:12 <b>lie</b> [5] - 30775:2, 30775:7, 30777:22, 30778:3, 30790:20 <b>lied</b> [9] - 30778:22, 30786:18, 30787:22, 30788:17, 30798:20, 30806:25, 30808:18, 30809:1, 30816:1 <b>lies</b> [2] - 30776:10, 30776:15 <b>life</b> [7] - 30626:23, 30626:24, 30703:8, 30710:2, 30754:25, 30824:19, 30825:20 <b>light</b> [9] - 30601:21, 30602:21, 30631:4, 30783:20, 30786:11, 30805:17, 30806:19, 30806:23, 30830:3 <b>likelihood</b> [1] - 30747:16 <b>likely</b> [4] - 30611:20, 30706:13, 30729:10, 30785:23 <b>Linda</b> [56] - 30608:6, 30608:11, 30608:14, 30608:15, 30608:21, 30609:2, 30609:16, 30609:21, 30612:4,
<b>L</b>				
<b>lack</b> [1] - 30616:8 <b>Lafreniere</b> [1] - 30637:25 <b>laid</b> [1] - 30734:18 <b>Lake</b> [3] - 30753:21, 30753:22, 30760:15 <b>Lalonde</b> [2] - 30797:7, 30797:8 <b>Lana</b> [1] - 30591:4 <b>lane</b> [1] - 30676:13 <b>language</b> [1] - 30825:8 <b>Lapchuk</b> [1] - 30630:23 <b>Larry</b> [103] - 30593:11, 30595:14, 30599:3, 30599:16, 30599:17, 30599:19, 30600:4, 30600:23, 30601:2, 30601:6, 30601:15, 30601:25, 30602:6, 30603:20, 30604:11, 30604:13, 30604:24, 30605:10, 30605:16, 30605:24, 30605:25, 30606:25, 30607:2, 30607:15, 30608:18, 30608:19, 30609:3, 30610:4, 30610:9, 30610:13, 30610:20, 30611:10, 30611:14,				



<p>30612:11, 30617:12, 30617:14, 30617:24, 30618:11, 30618:21, 30618:23, 30619:1, 30619:7, 30620:5, 30621:11, 30621:18, 30622:2, 30625:7, 30625:15, 30633:10, 30633:14, 30635:10, 30657:9, 30657:18, 30658:4, 30658:6, 30658:14, 30659:8, 30659:24, 30662:13, 30662:16, 30666:4, 30671:20, 30683:18, 30684:9, 30684:17, 30685:15, 30690:17, 30691:2, 30717:2, 30719:1, 30729:13, 30733:7, 30737:8, 30765:21, 30766:3, 30767:3, 30767:21, 30768:7, 30772:22, 30784:19</p> <p><b>line</b> [2] - 30819:20, 30821:19</p> <p><b>link</b> [1] - 30661:7</p> <p><b>linked</b> [2] - 30671:1, 30687:17</p> <p><b>list</b> [3] - 30655:21, 30707:10, 30736:24</p> <p><b>listed</b> [2] - 30666:7, 30666:15</p> <p><b>listen</b> [4] - 30614:19, 30726:17, 30775:6, 30826:7</p> <p><b>listened</b> [4] - 30726:12, 30726:17, 30773:22, 30826:9</p> <p><b>listening</b> [3] - 30758:15, 30826:22, 30826:23</p> <p><b>listing</b> [1] - 30717:13</p> <p><b>lit</b> [1] - 30707:18</p> <p><b>literally</b> [3] - 30763:12, 30767:12, 30834:3</p> <p><b>lived</b> [4] - 30617:21, 30619:21, 30780:13, 30815:17</p> <p><b>lives</b> [1] - 30617:25</p> <p><b>local</b> [3] - 30697:21, 30774:10, 30779:18</p> <p><b>location</b> [1] - 30759:12</p> <p><b>locker</b> [5] - 30660:10, 30660:16, 30660:19, 30660:20, 30662:24</p> <p><b>loggy</b> [1] - 30802:9</p> <p><b>loggy-gagging</b> [1] - 30802:9</p> <p><b>logical</b> [4] - 30602:3,</p>	<p>30651:22, 30841:4, 30841:6</p> <p><b>Logical</b> [1] - 30841:5</p> <p><b>longest</b> [1] - 30833:5</p> <p><b>look</b> [33] - 30594:5, 30597:16, 30625:17, 30625:18, 30625:19, 30627:4, 30651:17, 30651:21, 30655:16, 30656:13, 30657:2, 30660:13, 30663:17, 30668:3, 30670:4, 30673:24, 30702:1, 30743:4, 30754:19, 30754:21, 30765:14, 30768:10, 30768:11, 30781:8, 30788:3, 30788:8, 30805:5, 30811:21, 30817:9, 30820:2, 30839:2, 30857:9</p> <p><b>looked</b> [18] - 30594:23, 30596:7, 30597:2, 30633:1, 30633:2, 30652:24, 30671:3, 30671:5, 30694:14, 30694:19, 30694:23, 30742:7, 30743:5, 30768:11, 30793:14, 30793:15, 30805:16, 30857:22</p> <p><b>looking</b> [26] - 30595:3, 30595:15, 30603:18, 30604:7, 30608:25, 30618:23, 30653:18, 30656:17, 30663:21, 30664:13, 30664:15, 30672:6, 30673:8, 30680:9, 30680:17, 30681:22, 30698:2, 30743:10, 30753:11, 30807:18, 30809:22, 30818:5, 30825:2, 30829:23, 30830:7, 30830:8</p> <p><b>lookit</b> [41] - 30605:15, 30606:24, 30611:23, 30632:20, 30642:8, 30644:19, 30645:6, 30652:9, 30653:17, 30656:22, 30658:14, 30672:19, 30673:1, 30678:25, 30680:25, 30694:9, 30695:13, 30700:1, 30707:1, 30708:9, 30708:21, 30708:24, 30709:19, 30712:5, 30721:14, 30739:13, 30740:3, 30745:22, 30746:19,</p>	<p>30758:24, 30759:10, 30778:22, 30787:15, 30792:11, 30793:3, 30805:23, 30821:13, 30838:7, 30845:13, 30855:15, 30856:11</p> <p><b>looks</b> [11] - 30610:19, 30612:16, 30613:8, 30652:3, 30657:17, 30664:12, 30784:17, 30785:1, 30789:15, 30802:24, 30814:9</p> <p><b>loose</b> [1] - 30603:8</p> <p><b>Loran</b> [1] - 30591:7</p> <p><b>lose</b> [1] - 30827:12</p> <p><b>losing</b> [1] - 30781:25</p> <p><b>lost</b> [9] - 30669:16, 30674:18, 30679:13, 30681:25, 30708:25, 30709:2, 30712:5, 30773:2, 30827:14</p> <p><b>loudly</b> [1] - 30710:5</p> <p><b>loved</b> [1] - 30752:4</p> <p><b>lunch</b> [1] - 30726:1</p> <p><b>luncheon</b> [1] - 30760:15</p> <p><b>lying</b> [7] - 30611:18, 30620:20, 30776:13, 30783:13, 30806:18, 30808:17, 30834:10</p> <p><b>lying'</b> [1] - 30834:9</p>	<p>30738:9, 30748:19, 30855:8</p> <p><b>man's</b> [1] - 30748:5</p> <p><b>managed</b> [1] - 30617:23</p> <p><b>Manager</b> [2] - 30590:4, 30590:5</p> <p><b>manipulated</b> [1] - 30790:23</p> <p><b>manipulation</b> [1] - 30798:13</p> <p><b>Manitoba</b> [1] - 30715:7</p> <p><b>manner</b> [2] - 30692:13, 30844:1</p> <p><b>map</b> [2] - 30601:14, 30676:16</p> <p><b>maps</b> [1] - 30604:7</p> <p><b>March</b> [33] - 30601:20, 30609:20, 30609:21, 30612:18, 30621:17, 30623:7, 30624:6, 30626:17, 30627:17, 30633:14, 30635:6, 30641:3, 30657:7, 30663:18, 30674:21, 30682:4, 30684:13, 30684:17, 30685:14, 30686:3, 30688:4, 30688:6, 30698:24, 30712:3, 30717:1, 30721:3, 30729:13, 30737:23, 30798:23</p> <p><b>March-april</b> [1] - 30698:24</p> <p><b>Mark</b> [1] - 30742:10</p> <p><b>Markesteyn</b> [28] - 30740:13, 30740:14, 30742:10, 30742:18, 30744:19, 30753:3, 30753:4, 30805:6, 30819:5, 30821:10, 30823:14, 30834:23, 30841:14, 30843:23, 30846:15, 30847:15, 30847:18, 30847:19, 30847:22, 30847:23, 30848:3, 30848:22, 30849:6, 30849:9, 30849:16, 30852:23, 30855:9, 30855:21</p> <p><b>Markesteyn's</b> [1] - 30820:23</p> <p><b>maroon</b> [3] - 30659:11, 30659:19, 30659:25</p> <p><b>maroon-handled</b> [3] - 30659:11, 30659:19, 30659:25</p> <p><b>married</b> [1] - 30824:18</p> <p><b>Marshall</b> [1] - 30591:12</p> <p><b>Mary's</b> [1] - 30797:25</p> <p><b>Masonry</b> [1] - 30610:10</p>	<p><b>match</b> [2] - 30623:8, 30659:11</p> <p><b>matched</b> [1] - 30622:5</p> <p><b>material</b> [3] - 30810:10, 30839:4, 30851:9</p> <p><b>materials</b> [3] - 30630:18, 30804:13, 30805:20</p> <p><b>matter</b> [14] - 30637:14, 30650:5, 30672:4, 30672:6, 30709:15, 30712:25, 30740:17, 30765:13, 30851:1, 30852:25, 30853:2, 30857:2, 30857:17, 30857:18</p> <p><b>matters</b> [4] - 30633:3, 30662:12, 30691:15, 30832:19</p> <p><b>Maureen</b> [2] - 30760:16, 30847:9</p> <p><b>Mccloskey</b> [10] - 30612:25, 30646:8, 30646:10, 30665:16, 30691:10, 30691:14, 30778:13, 30779:15, 30780:4, 30783:17</p> <p><b>Mccloskey's</b> [1] - 30695:16</p> <p><b>Mclean</b> [1] - 30591:3</p> <p><b>mean</b> [53] - 30605:14, 30615:23, 30616:11, 30625:17, 30625:20, 30628:24, 30643:1, 30643:7, 30651:4, 30651:18, 30660:3, 30676:9, 30678:1, 30693:6, 30700:23, 30707:18, 30707:24, 30708:8, 30717:10, 30718:7, 30721:6, 30762:25, 30771:24, 30772:5, 30775:9, 30775:10, 30776:23, 30777:11, 30780:9, 30780:10, 30783:8, 30787:6, 30787:19, 30793:15, 30800:20, 30800:21, 30801:16, 30802:5, 30808:8, 30811:10, 30816:25, 30818:1, 30818:7, 30820:15, 30825:8, 30828:16, 30828:17, 30836:25, 30841:9, 30846:8, 30847:14, 30852:4, 30852:22</p> <p><b>meaning</b> [3] - 30681:5, 30767:10, 30768:4</p> <p><b>meaningful</b> [4] -</p>
---	--	---	--	---

## M

**Maccallum** [12] - 30589:7, 30593:3, 30599:13, 30634:24, 30685:22, 30685:25, 30772:19, 30796:16, 30835:4, 30835:7, 30837:20, 30837:24

**Mafia** [2] - 30799:22, 30804:23

**Mahar** [1] - 30797:5

**Mail** [2] - 30697:23, 30715:23

**main** [2] - 30761:25, 30844:19

**maintain** [2] - 30673:22, 30674:16

**major** [1] - 30686:13

**makers** [1] - 30708:16

**Makesteyn** [1] - 30802:10

**maligned** [2] - 30704:8, 30704:9

**man** [8] - 30605:20, 30623:1, 30673:18, 30698:6, 30734:11,





<p>30819:22, 30820:6, 30820:13, 30821:21 <b>means</b> [4] - 30747:18, 30778:8, 30823:1, 30823:2 <b>meant</b> [4] - 30676:22, 30764:22, 30794:7, 30837:16 <b>meantime</b> [1] - 30644:14 <b>meanwhile</b> [1] - 30748:22 <b>media</b> [83] - 30613:16, 30614:5, 30614:10, 30614:17, 30681:19, 30698:17, 30699:4, 30699:5, 30700:1, 30701:4, 30701:5, 30701:14, 30701:20, 30702:16, 30704:8, 30704:9, 30704:13, 30704:16, 30705:5, 30705:6, 30706:2, 30706:13, 30706:15, 30706:19, 30707:15, 30707:20, 30708:5, 30708:14, 30708:23, 30709:24, 30712:6, 30715:12, 30715:18, 30716:12, 30717:7, 30718:12, 30718:20, 30720:2, 30720:19, 30721:2, 30722:1, 30722:17, 30723:3, 30723:20, 30724:3, 30724:14, 30724:19, 30725:6, 30726:6, 30726:9, 30726:11, 30726:20, 30730:4, 30753:6, 30754:3, 30754:7, 30754:12, 30755:11, 30755:14, 30755:21, 30756:18, 30757:1, 30757:15, 30758:4, 30759:9, 30759:19, 30760:10, 30770:2, 30802:4, 30802:13, 30802:20, 30802:25, 30803:4, 30803:9, 30803:15, 30810:4, 30831:19, 30841:20, 30843:6, 30843:11, 30843:14 <b>Meech</b> [3] - 30753:20, 30753:22, 30760:15 <b>meet</b> [4] - 30672:17, 30693:20, 30746:4, 30755:13 <b>meeting</b> [6] - 30603:16, 30617:11, 30734:15,</p>	<p>30748:7, 30748:14, 30759:25 <b>Melnyk</b> [1] - 30630:23 <b>melted</b> [1] - 30664:6 <b>members</b> [2] - 30715:6, 30721:22 <b>memo</b> [17] - 30635:5, 30635:7, 30635:11, 30657:7, 30657:16, 30658:2, 30658:7, 30663:19, 30691:9, 30717:20, 30723:17, 30732:11, 30819:4, 30820:25, 30821:9, 30822:4, 30839:8 <b>memorandum</b> [2] - 30621:16, 30837:5 <b>memory</b> [11] - 30616:6, 30616:12, 30616:19, 30617:1, 30638:15, 30661:25, 30742:14, 30743:17, 30795:9, 30821:4 <b>memos</b> [1] - 30849:9 <b>mental</b> [12] - 30791:21, 30792:1, 30792:8, 30796:10, 30799:10, 30800:10, 30800:15, 30801:11, 30802:19, 30803:23, 30804:16, 30805:9 <b>mention</b> [3] - 30665:13, 30670:16, 30682:17 <b>mentioned</b> [12] - 30627:2, 30661:24, 30665:11, 30670:17, 30671:5, 30671:7, 30671:21, 30731:21, 30784:18, 30796:13, 30796:22, 30835:12 <b>mercy</b> [1] - 30680:18 <b>merits</b> [3] - 30655:2, 30655:5, 30656:14 <b>Merry</b> [8] - 30839:9, 30843:23, 30843:25, 30848:22, 30849:6, 30849:8, 30849:17, 30855:22 <b>Merry's</b> [4] - 30839:10, 30842:16, 30845:2, 30846:15 <b>message</b> [2] - 30638:6, 30802:7 <b>met</b> [5] - 30599:21, 30673:20, 30749:22, 30789:24, 30824:13 <b>Meyer</b> [3] - 30590:9, 30859:2, 30859:17 <b>microphone</b> [1] - 30634:19</p>	<p><b>mid</b> [2] - 30771:5, 30849:2 <b>mid-1989</b> [1] - 30629:2 <b>mid-june</b> [1] - 30849:2 <b>middle</b> [3] - 30666:24, 30769:2, 30769:3 <b>midst</b> [2] - 30615:18, 30615:19 <b>might</b> [86] - 30606:1, 30607:22, 30608:23, 30609:12, 30617:8, 30625:13, 30625:15, 30626:1, 30628:15, 30644:20, 30647:19, 30647:25, 30648:3, 30648:4, 30648:11, 30648:12, 30649:2, 30649:23, 30650:7, 30653:2, 30656:18, 30656:20, 30657:2, 30665:12, 30669:11, 30670:13, 30684:4, 30684:12, 30693:15, 30694:11, 30696:9, 30699:21, 30703:1, 30704:25, 30705:3, 30705:4, 30705:8, 30705:11, 30706:14, 30706:23, 30706:25, 30707:1, 30707:11, 30723:18, 30723:23, 30735:6, 30736:11, 30736:14, 30737:5, 30737:12, 30744:10, 30749:23, 30750:5, 30750:10, 30757:5, 30776:8, 30779:3, 30782:8, 30782:19, 30782:25, 30788:15, 30788:23, 30808:12, 30812:15, 30814:2, 30814:12, 30814:13, 30814:25, 30820:16, 30827:4, 30827:17, 30837:11, 30838:13, 30842:10, 30842:11, 30842:25, 30843:1, 30847:8, 30848:2, 30848:22, 30855:23, 30856:12, 30856:16 <b>Mike</b> [2] - 30789:6, 30809:21 <b>mileage</b> [1] - 30776:12 <b>Milgaard</b> [101] - 30589:4, 30591:2, 30591:3, 30592:3, 30593:5, 30593:7, 30601:22, 30610:1, 30610:13, 30611:9, 30635:15, 30641:11,</p>	<p>30641:15, 30645:19, 30673:14, 30674:24, 30678:11, 30682:4, 30686:18, 30686:19, 30686:24, 30687:18, 30688:4, 30690:13, 30714:10, 30715:2, 30715:5, 30721:9, 30726:5, 30732:16, 30733:21, 30738:18, 30742:13, 30745:17, 30746:10, 30747:24, 30748:22, 30754:23, 30760:6, 30760:16, 30762:2, 30762:13, 30762:18, 30763:15, 30765:10, 30766:9, 30768:19, 30770:19, 30775:4, 30775:9, 30775:14, 30775:19, 30776:15, 30776:18, 30776:21, 30777:2, 30777:9, 30777:13, 30780:19, 30780:22, 30781:1, 30781:3, 30781:4, 30781:19, 30787:1, 30790:13, 30791:6, 30791:14, 30822:24, 30833:13, 30835:15, 30836:24, 30840:13, 30842:4, 30844:3, 30845:7, 30846:20, 30846:23, 30847:21, 30848:5, 30848:8, 30848:10, 30848:14, 30849:12, 30850:8, 30850:11, 30850:16, 30850:20, 30851:3, 30851:10, 30851:15, 30851:23, 30852:2, 30852:4, 30852:11, 30852:16, 30852:19, 30852:21, 30853:1, 30853:3, 30856:18 <b>Milgaard</b> [1] - 30841:18 <b>Milgaard's</b> [1] - 30722:8 <b>Milgaards</b> [2] - 30732:13, 30760:25 <b>Milgaard's</b> [1] - 30851:17 <b>Miller</b> [14] - 30603:6, 30604:14, 30619:22, 30619:23, 30622:23, 30622:25, 30659:13, 30666:18, 30694:10, 30715:9, 30718:4, 30767:23, 30840:12 <b>Miller's</b> [7] - 30599:4, 30622:6, 30622:7,</p>	<p>30623:11, 30659:10, 30664:2, 30769:14 <b>mind</b> [23] - 30593:16, 30595:2, 30600:18, 30633:16, 30645:20, 30648:14, 30651:15, 30652:4, 30652:8, 30675:16, 30679:18, 30679:23, 30680:11, 30725:1, 30742:5, 30744:18, 30758:2, 30758:3, 30783:15, 30790:25, 30798:7, 30798:17, 30857:16 <b>minds</b> [1] - 30782:15 <b>mindset</b> [2] - 30653:17, 30814:15 <b>mine</b> [2] - 30607:3, 30648:2 <b>minister</b> [27] - 30628:2, 30629:4, 30629:9, 30630:15, 30675:17, 30678:8, 30678:22, 30688:7, 30696:4, 30698:20, 30699:3, 30706:24, 30708:9, 30709:5, 30710:25, 30712:22, 30712:23, 30712:25, 30724:11, 30745:10, 30754:4, 30757:19, 30760:6, 30803:13, 30803:22, 30840:19, 30845:24 <b>Minister</b> [5] - 30591:10, 30680:4, 30688:13, 30698:11, 30748:13 <b>minister's</b> [2] - 30688:3, 30758:25 <b>Ministries</b> [1] - 30773:17 <b>minute</b> [5] - 30594:15, 30643:15, 30679:22, 30733:14, 30741:20 <b>minutes</b> [1] - 30635:1 <b>misbehaving</b> [1] - 30734:19 <b>miscarriage</b> [1] - 30632:24 <b>misconduct</b> [5] - 30702:3, 30702:6, 30702:17, 30702:23, 30769:1 <b>missed</b> [2] - 30598:3, 30855:24 <b>missing</b> [14] - 30659:9, 30659:25, 30660:9, 30660:15, 30660:19, 30661:23, 30662:23, 30663:5, 30663:24, 30664:24, 30665:18,</p>
--	--	--	--	--



<p>30665:21, 30700:4  <b>mistake</b> [2] - 30747:19, 30769:19  <b>mistaken</b> [1] - 30827:25  <b>mistakenly</b> [3] - 30761:10, 30761:21, 30761:22  <b>mistakes</b> [1] - 30675:5  <b>mistrust</b> [2] - 30650:1, 30650:14  <b>mistrusting</b> [2] - 30641:16, 30641:20  <b>mom</b> [2] - 30627:24, 30818:12  <b>moment</b> [4] - 30614:4, 30823:13, 30834:25, 30840:7  <b>moments</b> [2] - 30754:24, 30762:18  <b>Monday</b> [1] - 30611:24  <b>Money</b> [1] - 30823:1  <b>money</b> [4] - 30700:19, 30797:13, 30822:24, 30823:5  <b>month</b> [5] - 30711:16, 30727:25, 30728:9, 30728:10, 30740:18  <b>months</b> [9] - 30595:19, 30621:10, 30627:7, 30628:4, 30665:5, 30685:6, 30716:11, 30744:24, 30747:12  <b>Moreover</b> [1] - 30819:16  <b>morning</b> [35] - 30593:3, 30593:4, 30599:4, 30600:6, 30600:12, 30601:1, 30601:3, 30601:9, 30601:25, 30602:14, 30602:24, 30603:8, 30605:16, 30606:25, 30607:15, 30609:7, 30609:18, 30610:3, 30610:14, 30610:19, 30611:13, 30611:16, 30611:25, 30612:10, 30659:10, 30660:7, 30707:19, 30737:23, 30781:14, 30812:9, 30812:12, 30831:5, 30838:1, 30849:20  <b>most</b> [9] - 30675:7, 30717:12, 30732:23, 30754:24, 30759:3, 30774:5, 30785:23, 30825:9, 30827:5  <b>Most</b> [1] - 30725:9  <b>mostly</b> [1] - 30644:5  <b>motel</b> [2] - 30656:3,</p>	<p>30677:8  <b>mother</b> [7] - 30673:18, 30755:24, 30757:18, 30765:10, 30784:12, 30815:14, 30815:16  <b>Mother</b> [1] - 30766:7  <b>motivate</b> [1] - 30659:18  <b>motive</b> [4] - 30611:18, 30615:24, 30646:21, 30654:14  <b>Mountain</b> [3] - 30734:17, 30735:13, 30735:17  <b>mouth</b> [1] - 30829:14  <b>move</b> [2] - 30735:11  <b>moved</b> [2] - 30789:25, 30815:21  <b>moving</b> [1] - 30736:6  <b>mum</b> [3] - 30736:12, 30736:14, 30800:20  <b>murder</b> [23] - 30599:5, 30600:13, 30601:9, 30602:1, 30607:16, 30611:19, 30611:24, 30611:25, 30612:5, 30612:10, 30641:23, 30659:10, 30659:13, 30663:5, 30664:3, 30665:19, 30676:4, 30676:20, 30748:5, 30769:14, 30819:18, 30822:10, 30822:13  <b>murderer</b> [1] - 30797:3  <b>Murray</b> [1] - 30853:9  <b>must</b> [20] - 30623:23, 30651:10, 30651:17, 30652:10, 30652:11, 30654:6, 30664:10, 30671:8, 30676:2, 30676:19, 30676:22, 30734:9, 30742:24, 30814:19, 30814:20, 30841:9, 30851:5, 30851:11, 30853:22  <b>mysteriously</b> [2] - 30665:18, 30700:3  <b>Mysteriously</b> [1] - 30660:9</p>	<p>30719:23, 30720:4, 30720:16, 30730:15, 30731:5, 30731:9, 30731:10, 30731:11, 30749:18, 30750:11, 30751:6, 30796:17, 30797:16  <b>named</b> [2] - 30731:14, 30731:15  <b>namely</b> [3] - 30712:20, 30724:22, 30745:5  <b>names</b> [1] - 30597:17  <b>national</b> [1] - 30699:5  <b>nature</b> [7] - 30649:4, 30782:5, 30800:10, 30823:25, 30825:12, 30841:19, 30856:9  <b>near</b> [4] - 30619:22, 30664:2, 30734:22, 30842:21  <b>nearly</b> [2] - 30622:10, 30828:18  <b>necessarily</b> [1] - 30851:14  <b>need</b> [8] - 30634:20, 30673:15, 30730:2, 30733:12, 30744:17, 30786:6, 30811:16, 30844:8  <b>needed</b> [10] - 30601:24, 30661:11, 30691:6, 30692:2, 30725:4, 30729:25, 30730:9, 30828:12, 30831:15, 30857:18  <b>needs</b> [3] - 30609:7, 30828:13, 30838:22  <b>nefarious</b> [4] - 30653:7, 30704:2, 30788:16, 30814:20  <b>negative</b> [9] - 30632:11, 30649:2, 30706:23, 30723:19, 30724:15, 30835:15, 30836:18, 30837:12, 30838:16  <b>negatively</b> [2] - 30723:23, 30724:21  <b>neighbourhood</b> [3] - 30598:7, 30618:17, 30619:3  <b>neighbours</b> [1] - 30617:23  <b>never</b> [24] - 30603:22, 30617:18, 30628:24, 30636:13, 30638:16, 30649:9, 30655:7, 30655:12, 30659:3, 30660:10, 30660:16, 30662:22, 30669:17, 30694:5, 30739:22,</p>	<p>30754:16, 30759:16, 30800:21, 30807:21, 30809:17, 30811:25, 30821:1, 30853:13  <b>new</b> [20] - 30622:10, 30641:12, 30652:24, 30653:2, 30655:9, 30688:1, 30688:2, 30706:20, 30714:7, 30755:8, 30785:24, 30786:11, 30786:15, 30786:20, 30792:20, 30793:21, 30830:8, 30852:16  <b>news</b> [8] - 30758:18, 30760:9, 30760:21, 30764:18, 30810:7, 30811:5, 30822:10, 30841:15  <b>newspaper</b> [2] - 30603:18, 30845:25  <b>newspapers</b> [2] - 30697:25, 30700:21  <b>newsworthy</b> [2] - 30841:23, 30855:25  <b>next</b> [26] - 30593:19, 30593:21, 30594:9, 30609:15, 30609:24, 30623:16, 30667:7, 30679:9, 30687:7, 30689:22, 30689:24, 30689:25, 30747:10, 30774:23, 30785:16, 30790:8, 30791:8, 30791:13, 30806:14, 30807:12, 30813:1, 30817:11, 30826:11, 30843:21, 30850:1, 30853:7  <b>nice</b> [2] - 30668:13, 30780:23  <b>nicer</b> [1] - 30848:11  <b>Nichol</b> [11] - 30630:5, 30630:8, 30670:18, 30677:6, 30772:14, 30783:24, 30785:11, 30788:1, 30798:1, 30813:15, 30815:4  <b>night</b> [5] - 30600:1, 30607:10, 30622:8, 30784:1, 30832:9  <b>nightmare</b> [1] - 30747:13  <b>non</b> [7] - 30844:4, 30844:12, 30845:14, 30850:4, 30851:19, 30852:12, 30854:2  <b>non-secretor</b> [7] - 30844:4, 30844:12, 30845:14, 30850:4,</p>	<p>30851:19, 30852:12, 30854:2  <b>noon</b> [1] - 30726:5  <b>normal</b> [6] - 30640:7, 30691:19, 30691:20, 30707:4, 30773:19, 30839:18  <b>normally</b> [1] - 30692:10  <b>nose</b> [1] - 30734:20  <b>note</b> [2] - 30641:2, 30641:25  <b>notebooks</b> [1] - 30817:16  <b>noted</b> [1] - 30612:13  <b>notes</b> [6] - 30594:7, 30641:8, 30773:1, 30856:15, 30859:6  <b>nothing</b> [30] - 30625:19, 30627:8, 30638:14, 30675:21, 30677:20, 30678:2, 30685:11, 30698:5, 30698:16, 30699:20, 30711:24, 30716:3, 30728:11, 30728:16, 30729:7, 30733:12, 30738:15, 30745:23, 30765:4, 30765:20, 30767:8, 30767:14, 30767:25, 30768:12, 30769:21, 30772:24, 30788:2, 30823:1, 30823:2  <b>notice</b> [1] - 30728:20  <b>noticed</b> [1] - 30612:6  <b>notion</b> [1] - 30702:17  <b>notwithstanding</b> [3] - 30607:13, 30626:13, 30751:3  <b>November</b> [2] - 30629:3, 30633:13  <b>nowhere</b> [1] - 30729:9  <b>number</b> [20] - 30607:5, 30614:1, 30647:5, 30662:14, 30685:22, 30686:14, 30687:17, 30699:10, 30702:14, 30771:9, 30790:7, 30807:23, 30809:2, 30809:4, 30815:10, 30825:1, 30836:11, 30845:3, 30845:7, 30845:10  <b>numerous</b> [1] - 30673:20  <b>nurse</b> [1] - 30797:3  <b>nursing</b> [1] - 30728:3</p>
--	---	--	--	---



<p><b>O</b></p> <p><b>O'sullivan</b> [4] - 30733:23, 30733:25, 30734:12, 30735:1</p> <p><b>objecting</b> [1] - 30807:17</p> <p><b>objections</b> [1] - 30807:6</p> <p><b>objective</b> [1] - 30721:7</p> <p><b>objectives</b> [1] - 30701:13</p> <p><b>observations</b> [3] - 30606:21, 30687:1, 30827:14</p> <p><b>observe</b> [3] - 30606:18, 30606:19, 30812:11</p> <p><b>observed</b> [4] - 30622:6, 30670:19, 30813:18, 30813:20</p> <p><b>obstacles</b> [1] - 30673:21</p> <p><b>obtain</b> [1] - 30749:23</p> <p><b>obtained</b> [8] - 30596:12, 30746:17, 30793:12, 30800:9, 30801:10, 30806:17, 30822:6, 30827:22</p> <p><b>obtaining</b> [1] - 30734:3</p> <p><b>obvious</b> [3] - 30777:24, 30783:4, 30799:25</p> <p><b>Obviously</b> [1] - 30854:16</p> <p><b>obviously</b> [5] - 30645:20, 30708:15, 30793:3, 30800:23, 30819:23</p> <p><b>occasion</b> [2] - 30599:16, 30599:19</p> <p><b>occasions</b> [5] - 30614:1, 30678:9, 30727:8, 30790:7, 30825:2</p> <p><b>occurred</b> [2] - 30611:19, 30632:24</p> <p><b>Oddly</b> [1] - 30669:15</p> <p><b>off-the-wall</b> [1] - 30781:7</p> <p><b>offences</b> [1] - 30666:14</p> <p><b>offered</b> [1] - 30741:2</p> <p><b>office</b> [3] - 30619:14, 30688:4, 30758:25</p> <p><b>Officer</b> [1] - 30590:10</p> <p><b>officer</b> [6] - 30625:4, 30650:14, 30661:1, 30736:12, 30736:16, 30738:4</p> <p><b>officers</b> [4] - 30650:18, 30667:19, 30668:12,</p>	<p>30774:22</p> <p><b>Official</b> [5] - 30590:8, 30859:1, 30859:3, 30859:14, 30859:18</p> <p><b>officials</b> [5] - 30677:12, 30756:20, 30757:2, 30764:1, 30764:6</p> <p><b>often</b> [6] - 30601:2, 30614:17, 30723:14, 30781:13, 30804:21, 30852:7</p> <p><b>old</b> [2] - 30617:23, 30830:9</p> <p><b>older</b> [1] - 30818:14</p> <p><b>Oliver</b> [2] - 30660:25, 30661:12</p> <p><b>Once</b> [1] - 30708:23</p> <p><b>once</b> [21] - 30604:3, 30665:22, 30690:17, 30693:18, 30695:6, 30700:20, 30705:5, 30706:7, 30708:14, 30708:22, 30709:14, 30713:5, 30732:17, 30733:13, 30746:23, 30747:16, 30787:6, 30852:7</p> <p><b>One</b> [4] - 30700:18, 30808:15, 30809:15, 30851:22</p> <p><b>one</b> [100] - 30594:25, 30595:5, 30596:13, 30596:15, 30597:8, 30602:8, 30603:19, 30605:19, 30614:19, 30615:3, 30618:24, 30622:20, 30622:22, 30624:18, 30638:1, 30639:13, 30639:14, 30647:5, 30648:18, 30648:23, 30650:20, 30652:25, 30653:2, 30653:3, 30655:14, 30659:1, 30665:12, 30667:14, 30668:7, 30668:15, 30670:18, 30672:16, 30675:5, 30677:25, 30680:25, 30687:17, 30688:6, 30694:22, 30695:12, 30696:7, 30699:10, 30701:4, 30701:13, 30703:7, 30704:23, 30705:4, 30705:7, 30706:9, 30706:22, 30707:19, 30708:2, 30709:18, 30710:16, 30712:7, 30717:11, 30717:25, 30734:10, 30736:18, 30739:14,</p>	<p>30740:8, 30741:20, 30743:1, 30743:25, 30744:3, 30750:2, 30750:25, 30754:1, 30754:24, 30760:5, 30768:24, 30769:13, 30772:6, 30779:24, 30786:19, 30787:11, 30787:12, 30787:14, 30788:10, 30788:17, 30788:22, 30792:21, 30797:3, 30797:23, 30804:8, 30817:6, 30824:12, 30826:1, 30826:13, 30828:13, 30832:16, 30832:20, 30836:11, 30838:6, 30845:3, 30845:8, 30846:9, 30853:13, 30856:3</p> <p><b>one's</b> [1] - 30730:22</p> <p><b>ones</b> [2] - 30597:8, 30715:24</p> <p><b>ongoing</b> [1] - 30727:4</p> <p><b>onward</b> [1] - 30656:1</p> <p><b>open</b> [11] - 30607:18, 30607:20, 30655:9, 30681:14, 30687:24, 30694:25, 30708:11, 30709:11, 30779:11, 30780:2, 30803:10</p> <p><b>opened</b> [7] - 30655:6, 30672:16, 30687:12, 30778:7, 30778:9, 30778:11, 30779:3</p> <p><b>opening</b> [2] - 30802:22, 30821:14</p> <p><b>operate</b> [1] - 30674:11</p> <p><b>operated</b> [3] - 30674:7, 30683:5, 30847:11</p> <p><b>operating</b> [1] - 30751:4</p> <p><b>opinion</b> [18] - 30654:22, 30675:16, 30730:22, 30743:5, 30745:5, 30840:10, 30840:22, 30842:5, 30843:3, 30844:10, 30844:14, 30844:23, 30846:4, 30847:4, 30848:24, 30854:24, 30857:21</p> <p><b>opinions</b> [1] - 30742:4</p> <p><b>opportunity</b> [5] - 30683:4, 30692:21, 30721:15, 30753:25, 30856:1</p> <p><b>opposed</b> [12] - 30612:21, 30617:1, 30656:17, 30678:21, 30696:25, 30700:8, 30700:15, 30711:11,</p>	<p>30755:4, 30771:12, 30771:15, 30779:18</p> <p><b>option</b> [1] - 30696:7</p> <p><b>order</b> [1] - 30609:5</p> <p><b>original</b> [3] - 30683:14, 30683:17, 30732:18</p> <p><b>originally</b> [1] - 30680:1</p> <p><b>originals</b> [1] - 30658:8</p> <p><b>otherwise</b> [3] - 30652:11, 30677:5, 30705:18</p> <p><b>Ottawa</b> [7] - 30748:7, 30748:15, 30835:13, 30835:23, 30836:14, 30837:11, 30839:4</p> <p><b>ourselves</b> [1] - 30642:22</p> <p><b>outcome</b> [2] - 30722:7, 30724:10</p> <p><b>outlets</b> [2] - 30715:12, 30760:10</p> <p><b>outset</b> [2] - 30692:11, 30805:20</p> <p><b>outside</b> [5] - 30602:4, 30622:6, 30623:10, 30646:18, 30675:1</p> <p><b>outta</b> [2] - 30816:3, 30816:5</p> <p><b>overall</b> [1] - 30656:19</p> <p><b>own</b> [19] - 30607:2, 30614:25, 30636:2, 30640:24, 30641:7, 30642:13, 30674:12, 30682:7, 30706:3, 30710:2, 30720:21, 30720:23, 30721:15, 30737:2, 30737:25, 30751:7, 30784:22, 30827:7, 30828:19</p> <p><b>owned</b> [1] - 30657:25</p> <p><b>owner</b> [1] - 30676:10</p> <p><b>P</b></p> <p><b>Page</b> [1] - 30592:2</p> <p><b>page</b> [48] - 30593:20, 30593:21, 30594:9, 30594:18, 30594:19, 30594:22, 30597:12, 30605:3, 30617:6, 30618:13, 30619:4, 30623:16, 30638:21, 30667:7, 30679:9, 30687:7, 30689:24, 30689:25, 30690:10, 30747:10, 30751:10, 30753:15, 30761:25, 30774:4, 30774:23,</p>	<p>30779:23, 30784:6, 30785:16, 30790:8, 30791:8, 30791:9, 30791:13, 30794:19, 30801:5, 30806:7, 30807:12, 30810:1, 30814:25, 30816:21, 30817:11, 30823:12, 30827:21, 30832:23, 30843:21, 30846:13, 30849:3, 30850:1, 30855:12</p> <p><b>pages</b> [4] - 30819:10, 30819:11, 30821:15, 30859:4</p> <p><b>paid</b> [1] - 30704:11</p> <p><b>pain</b> [1] - 30703:6</p> <p><b>paint</b> [1] - 30798:16</p> <p><b>pair</b> [1] - 30622:9</p> <p><b>Pambrum</b> [2] - 30608:6, 30608:22</p> <p><b>Pambrun</b> [20] - 30598:22, 30598:23, 30599:2, 30600:3, 30601:5, 30601:24, 30602:10, 30605:2, 30605:5, 30605:23, 30606:18, 30607:12, 30608:8, 30609:23, 30621:19, 30622:14, 30623:7, 30623:13, 30683:18, 30684:17</p> <p><b>Pambrun's</b> [9] - 30600:9, 30601:6, 30601:15, 30602:19, 30602:22, 30604:1, 30607:21, 30607:25, 30622:17</p> <p><b>Pambruns</b> [3] - 30621:25, 30635:11, 30657:10</p> <p><b>paper</b> [2] - 30596:2, 30717:11</p> <p><b>papers</b> [1] - 30697:21</p> <p><b>parallel</b> [2] - 30647:23, 30752:8</p> <p><b>paraphrasing</b> [3] - 30635:21, 30709:13, 30757:21</p> <p><b>Pardon</b> [2] - 30759:21, 30799:3</p> <p><b>paring</b> [6] - 30659:9, 30659:11, 30659:20, 30659:25, 30660:1, 30662:15</p> <p><b>parked</b> [3] - 30623:1, 30792:15, 30792:16</p> <p><b>part</b> [42] - 30603:3, 30613:14, 30619:12, 30621:5, 30629:2,</p>
--	---	--	--	--



<p>30629:3, 30632:21, 30638:8, 30644:10, 30647:1, 30661:13, 30661:15, 30661:17, 30661:18, 30663:22, 30665:6, 30668:20, 30669:18, 30671:1, 30674:6, 30710:3, 30726:15, 30726:16, 30726:23, 30744:14, 30755:15, 30755:16, 30755:19, 30758:9, 30766:15, 30766:17, 30766:20, 30793:9, 30793:13, 30805:23, 30808:15, 30819:1, 30832:3, 30835:5, 30840:1, 30844:20, 30845:22</p> <p><b>part-way</b> [1] - 30840:1 <b>particular</b> [4] - 30623:21, 30655:20, 30764:15, 30827:6 <b>particularly</b> [3] - 30641:20, 30670:17, 30836:21 <b>parts</b> [3] - 30702:17, 30790:8, 30806:18 <b>partway</b> [1] - 30656:25 <b>pass</b> [3] - 30735:10, 30746:5, 30763:12 <b>passed</b> [1] - 30654:2 <b>past</b> [4] - 30641:10, 30663:17, 30674:22, 30774:1 <b>Pat</b> [1] - 30591:7 <b>path</b> [7] - 30604:1, 30644:18, 30646:25, 30697:7, 30697:12, 30814:10, 30853:22 <b>pathologist</b> [4] - 30669:13, 30675:9, 30743:4, 30762:4 <b>Paul</b> [32] - 30614:6, 30771:7, 30771:11, 30772:17, 30772:20, 30784:11, 30785:10, 30785:20, 30787:2, 30789:19, 30791:20, 30793:17, 30794:18, 30798:6, 30804:10, 30806:13, 30809:9, 30812:8, 30812:21, 30823:22, 30824:7, 30824:16, 30824:23, 30827:9, 30833:4, 30834:5, 30835:12, 30835:21, 30836:2, 30836:17, 30839:5 <b>pause</b> [6] - 30610:17,</p>	<p>30643:24, 30675:14, 30693:11, 30786:4, 30812:1 <b>Paynter</b> [2] - 30855:19, 30856:7 <b>Paynter's</b> [3] - 30819:11, 30821:16, 30856:19 <b>peaks</b> [1] - 30654:3 <b>Pearson</b> [37] - 30600:15, 30623:22, 30623:25, 30624:15, 30638:22, 30638:24, 30639:14, 30639:15, 30639:17, 30640:6, 30640:17, 30641:4, 30641:8, 30648:16, 30674:8, 30721:15, 30723:4, 30724:4, 30724:22, 30725:21, 30726:24, 30726:25, 30727:2, 30727:6, 30730:11, 30730:25, 30732:2, 30732:24, 30733:15, 30737:17, 30738:8, 30738:14, 30739:17, 30740:2, 30749:12, 30749:14, 30751:5 <b>Pearson's</b> [4] - 30638:20, 30639:6, 30641:25, 30750:6 <b>peg</b> [1] - 30820:1 <b>Penitentiary</b> [3] - 30733:24, 30735:25, 30750:12 <b>people</b> [56] - 30597:10, 30597:25, 30598:3, 30598:9, 30604:21, 30608:22, 30614:6, 30614:23, 30616:5, 30616:20, 30625:23, 30646:12, 30650:10, 30652:10, 30653:22, 30655:12, 30655:13, 30663:7, 30665:14, 30668:7, 30673:4, 30694:22, 30696:21, 30698:9, 30700:17, 30700:25, 30701:22, 30702:18, 30705:9, 30707:20, 30725:15, 30736:6, 30757:24, 30762:22, 30763:1, 30763:7, 30763:8, 30763:22, 30764:7, 30764:8, 30764:11, 30765:6, 30767:16, 30769:6, 30770:15, 30774:20, 30774:22,</p>	<p>30776:17, 30787:22, 30789:7, 30789:12, 30789:15, 30801:24, 30836:21, 30841:12, 30849:11 <b>perception</b> [1] - 30796:12 <b>perfect</b> [1] - 30604:9 <b>perfectly</b> [2] - 30602:3, 30602:25 <b>performed</b> [1] - 30844:2 <b>perhaps</b> [8] - 30596:3, 30704:22, 30706:3, 30753:25, 30765:16, 30813:23, 30814:10, 30821:5 <b>period</b> [7] - 30614:3, 30615:18, 30654:8, 30679:2, 30743:21, 30804:22 <b>Period</b> [1] - 30679:1 <b>permission</b> [1] - 30607:9 <b>perpetrator</b> [7] - 30603:5, 30604:24, 30624:13, 30659:16, 30693:16, 30840:20, 30845:5 <b>perpetrators</b> [1] - 30693:14 <b>person</b> [20] - 30606:12, 30619:18, 30619:21, 30619:23, 30631:16, 30675:7, 30677:22, 30731:21, 30754:7, 30759:9, 30765:18, 30766:21, 30768:20, 30768:22, 30770:4, 30774:10, 30785:21, 30788:17, 30796:17, 30840:11 <b>personal</b> [1] - 30615:24 <b>personally</b> [5] - 30711:11, 30712:9, 30726:21, 30760:18, 30760:24 <b>perspective</b> [4] - 30680:7, 30690:19, 30726:11, 30757:20 <b>pertinent</b> [1] - 30791:12 <b>Peter</b> [11] - 30614:8, 30715:25, 30740:12, 30772:15, 30792:13, 30792:22, 30793:7, 30800:4, 30800:14, 30804:7, 30822:15 <b>Peter's</b> [1] - 30793:7 <b>phone</b> [22] - 30593:11, 30612:20, 30612:22, 30616:25, 30619:13,</p>	<p>30619:14, 30619:15, 30691:15, 30707:17, 30717:11, 30727:24, 30728:13, 30751:11, 30781:12, 30781:14, 30789:21, 30795:22, 30807:13, 30807:19, 30808:1, 30808:24, 30815:10 <b>phoned</b> [8] - 30593:9, 30717:21, 30729:7, 30729:25, 30789:20, 30790:3, 30809:2, 30815:9 <b>photocopies</b> [2] - 30597:1, 30833:22 <b>photograph</b> [1] - 30760:7 <b>physical</b> [2] - 30840:17, 30844:24 <b>picked</b> [2] - 30772:25, 30804:21 <b>picks</b> [1] - 30706:9 <b>picture</b> [7] - 30603:19, 30604:2, 30629:16, 30629:20, 30656:19, 30774:8, 30798:16 <b>pictured</b> [1] - 30593:16 <b>piece</b> [7] - 30596:2, 30643:13, 30644:20, 30656:10, 30717:11, 30757:20, 30840:17 <b>pieces</b> [1] - 30822:14 <b>pin</b> [1] - 30682:10 <b>pinpoint</b> [1] - 30614:13 <b>pitted</b> [1] - 30757:18 <b>pivotal</b> [1] - 30681:23 <b>place</b> [5] - 30631:24, 30644:16, 30768:5, 30838:1, 30854:15 <b>places</b> [7] - 30762:22, 30763:1, 30763:8, 30763:19, 30763:22, 30764:11, 30765:6 <b>plan</b> [8] - 30655:22, 30710:3, 30716:25, 30719:19, 30719:25, 30736:8, 30756:25, 30806:13 <b>planned</b> [2] - 30729:24, 30790:12 <b>planning</b> [1] - 30605:10 <b>plans</b> [1] - 30759:13 <b>plant</b> [1] - 30783:14 <b>planted</b> [1] - 30790:24 <b>play</b> [10] - 30737:3, 30737:12, 30757:16, 30757:17, 30801:2, 30801:3, 30819:21, 30820:6, 30820:12,</p>	<p>30821:20 <b>played</b> [9] - 30659:3, 30660:11, 30669:17, 30671:14, 30760:10, 30793:9, 30823:5, 30826:9, 30826:13 <b>players</b> [1] - 30810:15 <b>playing</b> [1] - 30784:12 <b>plays</b> [1] - 30795:23 <b>pleas</b> [1] - 30760:6 <b>plight</b> [1] - 30732:22 <b>play</b> [1] - 30739:24 <b>plus</b> [4] - 30704:20, 30704:23, 30704:25, 30705:3 <b>Pm</b> [5] - 30726:2, 30726:3, 30794:14, 30794:15, 30858:3 <b>point</b> [86] - 30608:13, 30615:3, 30623:17, 30624:18, 30624:24, 30628:14, 30632:4, 30644:1, 30650:8, 30651:2, 30651:3, 30652:3, 30652:4, 30653:24, 30654:4, 30655:22, 30656:19, 30656:20, 30661:14, 30662:1, 30663:2, 30663:3, 30665:4, 30668:17, 30671:9, 30675:14, 30681:13, 30682:11, 30682:12, 30682:24, 30684:6, 30690:11, 30690:18, 30694:3, 30694:13, 30697:20, 30703:10, 30703:17, 30703:18, 30709:15, 30709:23, 30710:14, 30711:1, 30712:12, 30712:14, 30712:25, 30714:3, 30719:13, 30720:19, 30721:5, 30726:15, 30727:11, 30729:15, 30730:19, 30731:9, 30733:1, 30733:10, 30742:18, 30753:5, 30754:14, 30757:14, 30770:14, 30770:15, 30778:15, 30779:8, 30779:9, 30780:6, 30790:10, 30798:19, 30801:18, 30803:9, 30804:4, 30817:13, 30817:17, 30823:10, 30826:13, 30828:17, 30829:18, 30835:20, 30835:23, 30852:6, 30854:23</p>
---	--	--	--	--



<p><b>pointed</b> [2] - 30655:20, 30706:18</p> <p><b>pointing</b> [3] - 30668:5, 30673:6, 30781:3</p> <p><b>points</b> [1] - 30632:11</p> <p><b>Police</b> [14] - 30591:7, 30594:20, 30641:21, 30644:6, 30644:8, 30645:17, 30650:3, 30650:4, 30650:7, 30816:25, 30817:13, 30817:14, 30817:15, 30817:20</p> <p><b>police</b> [82] - 30593:8, 30593:12, 30593:13, 30593:20, 30593:24, 30595:15, 30596:11, 30597:1, 30598:3, 30602:15, 30609:17, 30610:4, 30610:7, 30610:18, 30610:20, 30611:11, 30611:15, 30611:21, 30611:23, 30612:5, 30612:9, 30618:6, 30620:7, 30620:11, 30620:14, 30620:18, 30620:19, 30620:24, 30644:23, 30650:2, 30650:7, 30650:12, 30650:14, 30650:18, 30650:21, 30651:7, 30661:12, 30663:6, 30669:9, 30669:10, 30669:17, 30676:2, 30676:19, 30694:20, 30702:3, 30702:20, 30704:7, 30730:14, 30736:11, 30736:16, 30737:24, 30738:4, 30764:5, 30764:7, 30765:9, 30765:12, 30765:17, 30765:20, 30766:7, 30766:10, 30766:23, 30768:8, 30768:9, 30768:13, 30768:16, 30768:18, 30769:7, 30770:3, 30770:10, 30774:22, 30790:12, 30790:15, 30790:24, 30798:21, 30798:22, 30798:24, 30811:18, 30812:22, 30813:2, 30813:4, 30814:3</p> <p><b>policeman's</b> [4] - 30660:10, 30660:15, 30660:19, 30662:24</p> <p><b>policemen</b> [1] - 30763:18</p> <p><b>political</b> [1] - 30835:23</p>	<p><b>politicians</b> [4] - 30699:8, 30705:1, 30708:16, 30746:2</p> <p><b>poorly</b> [1] - 30724:8</p> <p><b>pored</b> [1] - 30597:5</p> <p><b>portray</b> [3] - 30758:9, 30758:13, 30758:14</p> <p><b>portrayed</b> [1] - 30841:17</p> <p><b>position</b> [17] - 30601:18, 30604:19, 30606:1, 30643:19, 30654:13, 30656:4, 30656:9, 30656:12, 30674:5, 30675:2, 30687:16, 30723:2, 30723:5, 30725:2, 30728:1, 30761:11, 30761:22</p> <p><b>positions</b> [1] - 30702:15</p> <p><b>positive</b> [4] - 30730:17, 30731:7, 30780:5, 30840:5</p> <p><b>possibility</b> [9] - 30597:2, 30597:3, 30607:20, 30668:5, 30782:16, 30808:8, 30838:21, 30842:20, 30847:9</p> <p><b>possible</b> [18] - 30596:25, 30597:24, 30607:7, 30609:14, 30613:13, 30671:3, 30674:1, 30706:12, 30744:13, 30765:19, 30773:10, 30790:25, 30805:15, 30809:17, 30839:6, 30842:2, 30844:3, 30855:16</p> <p><b>possibly</b> [3] - 30644:6, 30730:13, 30846:18</p> <p><b>Possibly</b> [1] - 30737:15</p> <p><b>post</b> [1] - 30833:15</p> <p><b>post-henderson</b> [1] - 30833:15</p> <p><b>power</b> [1] - 30682:21</p> <p><b>powerful</b> [2] - 30684:11, 30735:6</p> <p><b>preceded</b> [1] - 30758:18</p> <p><b>precise</b> [1] - 30838:4</p> <p><b>preclude</b> [1] - 30648:12</p> <p><b>predicated</b> [1] - 30793:21</p> <p><b>prefer</b> [1] - 30791:14</p> <p><b>preferred</b> [1] - 30722:10</p> <p><b>prelim</b> [1] - 30785:13</p> <p><b>preliminary</b> [9] - 30661:5, 30664:4,</p>	<p>30664:8, 30669:6, 30670:5, 30670:10, 30670:12, 30671:4, 30785:5</p> <p><b>premise</b> [5] - 30787:20, 30787:22, 30787:23, 30845:11, 30845:12</p> <p><b>prepare</b> [1] - 30712:21</p> <p><b>prepared</b> [23] - 30626:6, 30628:15, 30636:16, 30646:15, 30656:23, 30675:5, 30682:5, 30682:13, 30692:23, 30695:22, 30697:11, 30699:16, 30720:20, 30732:20, 30734:5, 30737:19, 30737:24, 30738:21, 30739:2, 30749:14, 30773:19, 30778:1, 30824:19</p> <p><b>preparing</b> [1] - 30717:4</p> <p><b>prepped</b> [1] - 30773:18</p> <p><b>present</b> [2] - 30673:12, 30784:10</p> <p><b>presented</b> [10] - 30664:18, 30675:25, 30676:8, 30676:9, 30676:10, 30676:11, 30676:17, 30676:25, 30690:22, 30762:9</p> <p><b>presenting</b> [1] - 30606:6</p> <p><b>press</b> [8] - 30715:6, 30727:18, 30728:24, 30728:25, 30730:16, 30730:21, 30731:6, 30744:23</p> <p><b>Press</b> [1] - 30697:23</p> <p><b>pressed</b> [2] - 30676:1, 30676:19</p> <p><b>pressure</b> [4] - 30708:11, 30709:5, 30739:10, 30739:17</p> <p><b>pressured</b> [1] - 30788:6</p> <p><b>presumably</b> [2] - 30621:5, 30621:19</p> <p><b>presume</b> [1] - 30730:4</p> <p><b>presumed</b> [1] - 30853:25</p> <p><b>presuming</b> [2] - 30738:18, 30853:12</p> <p><b>pretty</b> [6] - 30652:23, 30677:8, 30681:11, 30725:2, 30760:12, 30795:14</p> <p><b>prevent</b> [1] - 30822:9</p> <p><b>preventing</b> [1] - 30767:15</p> <p><b>previous</b> [6] - 30619:2,</p>	<p>30627:12, 30627:15, 30690:10, 30791:9, 30793:13</p> <p><b>previously</b> [1] - 30632:7</p> <p><b>price</b> [1] - 30704:10</p> <p><b>primarily</b> [1] - 30702:20</p> <p><b>Prince</b> [6] - 30666:8, 30733:24, 30734:13, 30735:24, 30750:12, 30765:18</p> <p><b>principal</b> [1] - 30810:15</p> <p><b>principled</b> [1] - 30738:9</p> <p><b>print</b> [2] - 30719:16, 30723:12</p> <p><b>prison</b> [7] - 30625:22, 30627:1, 30683:3, 30698:7, 30734:7, 30750:14, 30764:16</p> <p><b>prisoners</b> [1] - 30734:24</p> <p><b>privately</b> [1] - 30755:13</p> <p><b>probable</b> [2] - 30706:12</p> <p><b>probe</b> [1] - 30670:14</p> <p><b>problem</b> [4] - 30609:13, 30629:22, 30805:9</p> <p><b>problems</b> [5] - 30629:11, 30707:11, 30800:11, 30801:11, 30802:19</p> <p><b>procedure</b> [2] - 30713:10, 30851:24</p> <p><b>proceed</b> [1] - 30803:3</p> <p><b>proceeding</b> [1] - 30659:4</p> <p><b>Proceedings</b> [4] - 30589:12, 30589:23, 30592:1, 30593:1</p> <p><b>proceedings</b> [4] - 30660:11, 30663:1, 30664:9, 30669:18</p> <p><b>process</b> [3] - 30661:10, 30711:22, 30738:25</p> <p><b>produce</b> [1] - 30811:6</p> <p><b>product</b> [1] - 30818:4</p> <p><b>professionally</b> [1] - 30614:15</p> <p><b>prominent</b> [2] - 30658:23, 30665:6</p> <p><b>prompted</b> [3] - 30613:4, 30664:25, 30685:10</p> <p><b>prone</b> [1] - 30790:21</p> <p><b>proof</b> [1] - 30840:9</p> <p><b>proper</b> [1] - 30851:24</p> <p><b>properly</b> [1] - 30814:5</p> <p><b>propose</b> [2] - 30598:24, 30855:13</p> <p><b>prosecuted</b> [4] - 30679:25, 30680:8, 30680:19, 30764:8</p>	<p><b>prosecutor</b> [3] - 30654:25, 30819:11, 30821:14</p> <p><b>prosecutors</b> [1] - 30762:10</p> <p><b>protect</b> [4] - 30605:24, 30605:25, 30808:16, 30809:16</p> <p><b>protective</b> [1] - 30600:23</p> <p><b>prove</b> [4] - 30655:12, 30778:11, 30840:19, 30842:11</p> <p><b>proved</b> [6] - 30745:5, 30745:8, 30755:9, 30770:18, 30778:10, 30844:24</p> <p><b>proven</b> [2] - 30672:21, 30672:22</p> <p><b>proves</b> [5] - 30687:19, 30746:19, 30840:13, 30842:5, 30844:10</p> <p><b>provide</b> [7] - 30602:8, 30647:17, 30677:1, 30730:24, 30754:1, 30755:14, 30837:22</p> <p><b>provided</b> [8] - 30612:17, 30632:21, 30675:25, 30676:18, 30710:12, 30773:12, 30804:3, 30825:5</p> <p><b>providing</b> [3] - 30797:19, 30807:6, 30831:20</p> <p><b>Province</b> [1] - 30859:3</p> <p><b>provincial</b> [2] - 30680:6, 30680:13</p> <p><b>Provincial</b> [1] - 30680:8</p> <p><b>proving</b> [2] - 30744:25, 30840:23</p> <p><b>psychologically</b> [1] - 30836:20</p> <p><b>public</b> [43] - 30692:19, 30693:4, 30695:11, 30695:15, 30696:3, 30696:16, 30696:17, 30696:19, 30696:25, 30697:16, 30697:19, 30698:5, 30698:14, 30698:17, 30698:18, 30699:4, 30700:7, 30701:3, 30701:20, 30702:22, 30703:1, 30703:21, 30704:6, 30708:13, 30712:7, 30720:13, 30722:14, 30722:18, 30726:7, 30738:22, 30739:7, 30750:20, 30756:2, 30757:18, 30757:19,</p>
---	--	--	---	---



30758:10, 30762:17, 30801:16, 30802:14, 30803:15, 30831:24, 30832:5, 30856:17 <b>public'</b> [2] - 30696:18, 30732:20 <b>publicity</b> [2] - 30730:13, 30835:19 <b>publicize</b> [4] - 30649:10, 30720:3, 30720:4, 30732:22 <b>publicized</b> [2] - 30649:2, 30751:1 <b>publicizing</b> [4] - 30648:18, 30721:14, 30750:5, 30751:5 <b>publicly</b> [4] - 30749:6, 30749:17, 30750:10, 30757:1 <b>publish</b> [4] - 30716:13, 30718:13, 30721:10, 30725:10 <b>published</b> [5] - 30716:3, 30716:16, 30720:16, 30725:12, 30831:5 <b>purpose</b> [4] - 30648:6, 30698:11, 30708:9, 30756:1 <b>pursue</b> [3] - 30690:23, 30704:21, 30706:3 <b>pursued</b> [1] - 30814:2 <b>pushed</b> [4] - 30754:22, 30763:4, 30763:5, 30765:1 <b>put</b> [50] - 30596:4, 30601:18, 30605:15, 30625:18, 30628:9, 30629:11, 30630:17, 30630:24, 30631:11, 30638:6, 30643:10, 30648:10, 30664:19, 30670:25, 30673:15, 30699:6, 30699:9, 30699:16, 30700:10, 30702:15, 30702:22, 30705:4, 30705:19, 30705:21, 30706:3, 30706:16, 30708:10, 30709:25, 30728:19, 30739:17, 30756:17, 30759:7, 30759:18, 30761:10, 30768:12, 30778:1, 30782:21, 30783:6, 30787:20, 30793:16, 30797:13, 30805:23, 30806:2, 30806:3, 30806:4, 30806:5, 30824:7, 30831:19, 30843:15	<b>puts</b> [1] - 30818:22 <b>putting</b> [7] - 30680:24, 30705:18, 30708:13, 30802:20, 30805:4, 30824:22, 30848:4  <b>Q</b>  <b>Qb</b> [1] - 30590:8 <b>Qc</b> [4] - 30591:2, 30591:6, 30591:8, 30591:10 <b>qualms</b> [1] - 30719:6 <b>Queen's</b> [5] - 30661:6, 30859:1, 30859:3, 30859:14, 30859:18 <b>quest</b> [1] - 30626:22 <b>questioned</b> [5] - 30611:3, 30611:4, 30611:10, 30620:14, 30620:18 <b>questioning</b> [1] - 30783:16 <b>questions</b> [1] - 30657:14 <b>quicker</b> [1] - 30803:17 <b>quickest</b> [2] - 30696:15, 30696:24 <b>quickly</b> [3] - 30710:5, 30773:13, 30789:14 <b>Quincy</b> [1] - 30847:19 <b>quite</b> [12] - 30611:17, 30631:22, 30648:21, 30707:3, 30766:18, 30773:10, 30781:13, 30790:25, 30807:5, 30809:17, 30812:14, 30857:10 <b>quote</b> [4] - 30615:4, 30748:24, 30762:16, 30810:18 <b>quoted</b> [1] - 30613:19 <b>quotes</b> [1] - 30760:20  <b>R</b>  <b>radar</b> [1] - 30769:19 <b>radio</b> [4] - 30637:20, 30638:6, 30638:10, 30800:23 <b>raise</b> [1] - 30672:19 <b>raised</b> [1] - 30716:4 <b>raising</b> [1] - 30824:17 <b>ran</b> [4] - 30832:11, 30832:13, 30857:4, 30857:5 <b>rang</b> [1] - 30792:17 <b>rape</b> [1] - 30694:21	<b>raped</b> [1] - 30694:22 <b>rapes</b> [5] - 30662:14, 30666:10, 30717:14, 30717:22, 30767:23 <b>rapist</b> [3] - 30693:8, 30718:8, 30731:23 <b>rapists</b> [1] - 30603:8 <b>rapport</b> [3] - 30639:2, 30640:20, 30735:2 <b>Rasmussen</b> [1] - 30667:16 <b>Rasmussens</b> [1] - 30668:19 <b>rather</b> [5] - 30658:12, 30739:25, 30799:14, 30808:19, 30846:10 <b>Rcmp</b> [26] - 30591:9, 30623:20, 30624:11, 30624:15, 30625:3, 30625:12, 30636:20, 30640:17, 30642:25, 30643:14, 30644:7, 30647:24, 30649:19, 30650:13, 30667:10, 30667:13, 30667:17, 30668:11, 30668:18, 30723:18, 30732:5, 30748:5, 30748:18, 30751:18, 30794:24, 30855:17 <b>re</b> [11] - 30655:6, 30672:16, 30681:14, 30687:12, 30687:24, 30708:11, 30709:11, 30778:7, 30779:3, 30802:22, 30834:6 <b>re-open</b> [4] - 30681:14, 30687:24, 30708:11, 30709:11 <b>re-opened</b> [5] - 30655:6, 30672:16, 30687:12, 30778:7, 30779:3 <b>re-opening</b> [1] - 30802:22 <b>reach</b> [1] - 30638:19 <b>reached</b> [2] - 30695:21, 30703:14 <b>react</b> [2] - 30755:17, 30757:6 <b>reaction</b> [3] - 30654:22, 30841:2, 30847:1 <b>read</b> [21] - 30606:22, 30610:12, 30610:21, 30647:1, 30647:4, 30671:25, 30684:9, 30684:11, 30693:25, 30706:19, 30708:17, 30727:23, 30764:21, 30766:18, 30766:19,	30822:12, 30824:4, 30838:6, 30846:6 <b>readily</b> [1] - 30827:11 <b>reading</b> [10] - 30606:20, 30667:21, 30764:20, 30765:15, 30830:21, 30830:22, 30838:10, 30838:11, 30851:19, 30855:5 <b>reads</b> [1] - 30852:23 <b>real</b> [13] - 30595:14, 30609:11, 30619:17, 30748:16, 30766:24, 30787:1, 30788:3, 30795:18, 30818:2, 30824:11, 30837:1, 30838:25, 30847:24 <b>realize</b> [2] - 30772:9, 30776:21 <b>realized</b> [2] - 30619:21, 30671:6 <b>realizing</b> [1] - 30698:5 <b>really</b> [36] - 30600:25, 30603:20, 30606:8, 30620:2, 30630:4, 30630:9, 30634:12, 30642:18, 30651:9, 30701:9, 30711:23, 30739:9, 30741:22, 30746:15, 30746:21, 30761:13, 30764:16, 30765:5, 30770:12, 30782:23, 30783:4, 30788:6, 30793:14, 30796:14, 30815:4, 30823:9, 30824:8, 30824:9, 30824:21, 30826:24, 30830:10, 30833:12, 30834:1, 30837:15, 30855:2, 30857:18 <b>reason</b> [19] - 30640:11, 30651:10, 30658:5, 30660:24, 30713:3, 30719:8, 30732:19, 30741:12, 30743:20, 30755:3, 30755:12, 30773:11, 30786:7, 30790:14, 30791:5, 30793:2, 30804:2, 30804:5, 30851:5 <b>reasonable</b> [1] - 30672:20 <b>reasonably</b> [1] - 30787:4 <b>reasoning</b> [1] - 30613:15 <b>reasons</b> [8] - 30629:6, 30677:5, 30722:18, 30750:25, 30805:21,	30806:2, 30835:23, 30845:17 <b>rec</b> [1] - 30731:22 <b>recalled</b> [5] - 30593:25, 30595:15, 30659:20, 30815:19, 30823:9 <b>recant</b> [3] - 30788:24, 30794:3, 30799:15 <b>recantation</b> [1] - 30831:18 <b>recanted</b> [5] - 30787:16, 30788:22, 30824:6, 30825:4, 30825:11 <b>recanting</b> [1] - 30823:19 <b>receipt</b> [3] - 30688:5, 30688:15, 30688:25 <b>receive</b> [1] - 30719:5 <b>received</b> [8] - 30688:9, 30689:16, 30719:3, 30730:25, 30745:12, 30791:7, 30808:24, 30831:6 <b>receiving</b> [1] - 30689:21 <b>recent</b> [4] - 30595:22, 30595:23, 30683:23, 30732:23 <b>recently</b> [1] - 30805:4 <b>recites</b> [1] - 30819:12 <b>recollection</b> [26] - 30595:10, 30596:20, 30607:5, 30624:16, 30633:25, 30635:24, 30638:22, 30660:21, 30715:17, 30722:23, 30723:1, 30740:16, 30777:19, 30792:10, 30795:9, 30798:6, 30808:13, 30820:8, 30822:3, 30826:21, 30831:13, 30831:14, 30839:23, 30846:9, 30849:22, 30853:18 <b>Reconvened</b> [4] - 30593:2, 30635:3, 30726:3, 30794:15 <b>record</b> [13] - 30616:2, 30638:14, 30666:4, 30688:11, 30731:11, 30731:18, 30741:8, 30744:22, 30753:17, 30801:3, 30812:5, 30813:13, 30826:11 <b>recorded</b> [3] - 30613:14, 30614:10, 30614:15 <b>recording</b> [4] - 30615:10, 30615:21, 30714:25
--	---	---	--	--



<p><b>records</b> [3] - 30610:20, 30645:4, 30798:18</p> <p><b>refer</b> [1] - 30765:15</p> <p><b>reference</b> [4] - 30637:20, 30668:17, 30670:6, 30822:21</p> <p><b>referred</b> [2] - 30743:22, 30802:18</p> <p><b>referring</b> [10] - 30676:6, 30752:20, 30763:23, 30763:25, 30766:13, 30791:19, 30808:7, 30808:10, 30810:25, 30834:14</p> <p><b>reflects</b> [3] - 30753:17, 30812:5, 30813:13</p> <p><b>refrain</b> [3] - 30635:16, 30636:8, 30637:8</p> <p><b>refresh</b> [2] - 30616:6, 30616:19</p> <p><b>refreshing</b> [1] - 30743:17</p> <p><b>regard</b> [1] - 30747:8</p> <p><b>regarding</b> [1] - 30641:23</p> <p><b>regardless</b> [2] - 30637:1, 30738:22</p> <p><b>Regina</b> [5] - 30645:17, 30666:7, 30666:11, 30666:20, 30718:6</p> <p><b>regurgitating</b> [1] - 30708:5</p> <p><b>rejected</b> [2] - 30630:16, 30630:25</p> <p><b>rejection</b> [1] - 30836:15</p> <p><b>related</b> [7] - 30621:20, 30650:22, 30651:14, 30653:9, 30693:1, 30753:20, 30808:13</p> <p><b>relates</b> [9] - 30617:11, 30807:12, 30808:14, 30816:20, 30823:13, 30834:25, 30837:3, 30838:2, 30851:1</p> <p><b>relating</b> [2] - 30634:6, 30833:14</p> <p><b>relation</b> [2] - 30729:13, 30736:25</p> <p><b>relations</b> [1] - 30757:19</p> <p><b>relationship</b> [6] - 30614:9, 30692:2, 30723:13, 30725:18, 30735:20, 30750:6</p> <p><b>relax</b> [1] - 30713:24</p> <p><b>release</b> [5] - 30720:2, 30730:16, 30730:21, 30731:6, 30752:22</p> <p><b>released</b> [5] - 30661:10, 30683:2, 30731:9, 30731:12, 30747:17</p>	<p><b>releases</b> [1] - 30718:23</p> <p><b>releasing</b> [1] - 30752:19</p> <p><b>relevance</b> [2] - 30819:9, 30821:12</p> <p><b>relevant</b> [2] - 30625:8, 30717:12</p> <p><b>reliability</b> [1] - 30804:11</p> <p><b>reliable</b> [4] - 30708:7, 30791:4, 30794:8, 30855:3</p> <p><b>relied</b> [7] - 30708:8, 30799:19, 30800:13, 30806:1, 30806:5, 30845:12, 30845:23</p> <p><b>reluctant</b> [2] - 30641:18, 30647:2</p> <p><b>rely</b> [5] - 30682:5, 30698:25, 30737:24, 30840:18, 30849:14</p> <p><b>relying</b> [3] - 30617:1, 30720:24, 30854:1</p> <p><b>remain</b> [1] - 30815:11</p> <p><b>remains</b> [1] - 30748:22</p> <p><b>remark</b> [1] - 30794:22</p> <p><b>remedied</b> [1] - 30652:16</p> <p><b>remedy</b> [9] - 30652:6, 30654:6, 30680:3, 30680:10, 30696:12, 30707:2, 30726:7, 30803:13, 30803:17</p> <p><b>remember</b> [57] - 30593:13, 30594:1, 30595:1, 30595:2, 30595:22, 30605:13, 30605:17, 30605:18, 30608:5, 30613:4, 30615:3, 30615:7, 30619:8, 30624:1, 30624:19, 30633:19, 30634:4, 30634:8, 30636:9, 30637:10, 30638:5, 30638:7, 30638:9, 30638:25, 30651:11, 30660:16, 30662:19, 30667:14, 30667:21, 30684:23, 30710:13, 30714:22, 30715:20, 30720:21, 30723:5, 30729:20, 30731:8, 30743:24, 30758:2, 30759:15, 30786:5, 30792:17, 30798:21, 30809:6, 30821:6, 30826:4, 30832:13, 30832:14, 30837:13, 30837:14, 30839:13, 30842:1, 30844:6, 30844:7, 30846:6</p>	<p><b>Remember</b> [1] - 30593:9</p> <p><b>remembered</b> [7] - 30593:12, 30595:20, 30610:25, 30612:12, 30619:24, 30795:16, 30808:15</p> <p><b>remembering</b> [4] - 30600:22, 30615:25, 30659:5, 30662:17</p> <p><b>removed</b> [1] - 30798:2</p> <p><b>reopen</b> [1] - 30748:5</p> <p><b>repeated</b> [1] - 30603:9</p> <p><b>repeatedly</b> [1] - 30762:12</p> <p><b>rephrase</b> [2] - 30646:11, 30820:22</p> <p><b>replied</b> [2] - 30630:16, 30632:7</p> <p><b>reply</b> [1] - 30696:10</p> <p><b>Report</b> [3] - 30756:16, 30756:19, 30852:23</p> <p><b>report</b> [112] - 30593:8, 30593:13, 30593:20, 30593:24, 30594:20, 30595:3, 30595:9, 30595:15, 30596:8, 30596:11, 30609:17, 30610:3, 30610:6, 30610:12, 30610:18, 30610:20, 30611:1, 30611:2, 30619:8, 30619:24, 30620:24, 30621:23, 30630:18, 30630:20, 30631:15, 30631:19, 30631:22, 30638:21, 30667:8, 30667:9, 30667:16, 30667:21, 30668:4, 30687:13, 30687:23, 30694:16, 30710:11, 30710:12, 30710:15, 30710:19, 30710:25, 30711:2, 30711:15, 30712:10, 30712:14, 30712:21, 30712:24, 30713:7, 30713:20, 30714:4, 30717:9, 30717:10, 30740:18, 30740:21, 30741:9, 30741:10, 30741:13, 30741:15, 30741:18, 30742:6, 30742:16, 30743:14, 30743:23, 30744:9, 30744:25, 30746:11, 30746:17, 30746:19, 30747:3, 30747:16, 30747:18, 30753:5, 30754:2, 30754:15, 30754:20, 30755:4, 30755:8,</p>	<p>30755:14, 30756:6, 30756:23, 30762:3, 30762:8, 30764:14, 30764:15, 30802:10, 30805:6, 30805:7, 30819:6, 30820:20, 30820:23, 30821:6, 30821:10, 30821:11, 30823:14, 30836:9, 30837:13, 30838:14, 30838:17, 30842:12, 30844:16, 30844:18, 30845:2, 30845:16, 30845:22, 30846:15, 30847:17, 30848:3, 30849:6, 30855:10, 30855:22</p> <p><b>reported</b> [4] - 30622:9, 30701:16, 30706:7, 30716:8</p> <p><b>reporter</b> [8] - 30686:9, 30725:13, 30725:14, 30806:16, 30807:1, 30808:11, 30815:5, 30842:3</p> <p><b>Reporter</b> [2] - 30859:14, 30859:18</p> <p><b>reporters</b> [7] - 30614:7, 30700:22, 30700:24, 30719:19, 30723:10, 30723:13, 30725:17</p> <p><b>Reporters</b> [2] - 30590:8, 30859:3</p> <p><b>Reporters</b> [1] - 30859:1</p> <p><b>reporting</b> [2] - 30720:20, 30822:5</p> <p><b>reports</b> [14] - 30596:24, 30597:1, 30639:6, 30667:17, 30668:18, 30668:19, 30668:21, 30706:14, 30758:4, 30760:21, 30770:2, 30804:20, 30843:22, 30845:25</p> <p><b>representatives</b> [1] - 30791:18</p> <p><b>representing</b> [1] - 30828:3</p> <p><b>reputation</b> [1] - 30734:23</p> <p><b>request</b> [3] - 30626:13, 30733:13, 30747:20</p> <p><b>require</b> [1] - 30674:2</p> <p><b>required</b> [1] - 30734:6</p> <p><b>research</b> [1] - 30700:19</p> <p><b>researched</b> [1] - 30762:15</p> <p><b>residence</b> [1] - 30622:7</p> <p><b>resident</b> [1] - 30622:21</p> <p><b>resign</b> [1] - 30728:1</p>	<p><b>resigned</b> [1] - 30728:8</p> <p><b>resource</b> [2] - 30616:4, 30784:12</p> <p><b>resources</b> [1] - 30691:22</p> <p><b>respect</b> [4] - 30624:12, 30713:20, 30755:19, 30840:22</p> <p><b>respected</b> [1] - 30675:8</p> <p><b>respects</b> [1] - 30630:22</p> <p><b>respond</b> [3] - 30698:12, 30785:24, 30820:15</p> <p><b>responded</b> [1] - 30757:24</p> <p><b>responding</b> [3] - 30689:4, 30689:5, 30820:4</p> <p><b>response</b> [6] - 30632:18, 30632:20, 30698:20, 30704:10, 30761:19, 30765:5</p> <p><b>responses</b> [1] - 30656:2</p> <p><b>rest</b> [3] - 30623:2, 30631:25, 30824:15</p> <p><b>rested</b> [1] - 30686:12</p> <p><b>result</b> [10] - 30614:14, 30622:13, 30635:14, 30638:13, 30685:18, 30688:18, 30696:9, 30701:10, 30743:18, 30758:1</p> <p><b>results</b> [2] - 30621:21, 30790:4</p> <p><b>retaining</b> [1] - 30658:8</p> <p><b>rethink</b> [1] - 30823:8</p> <p><b>Retired</b> [1] - 30591:13</p> <p><b>retrospect</b> [1] - 30834:4</p> <p><b>return</b> [1] - 30732:19</p> <p><b>returned</b> [4] - 30661:12, 30732:16, 30733:2</p> <p><b>returning</b> [1] - 30715:3</p> <p><b>revelations</b> [1] - 30722:6</p> <p><b>reverse</b> [1] - 30828:15</p> <p><b>review</b> [6] - 30595:22, 30713:5, 30741:9, 30753:4, 30831:23</p> <p><b>reviewed</b> [5] - 30596:5, 30666:1, 30669:5, 30713:1, 30773:23</p> <p><b>reviewing</b> [1] - 30610:2</p> <p><b>reward</b> [2] - 30822:24, 30823:5</p> <p><b>Rick</b> [8] - 30623:22, 30624:15, 30638:20, 30638:24, 30640:17, 30641:4, 30724:22, 30797:22</p> <p><b>rid</b> [2] - 30663:8,</p>
--	--	--	---	---



<p>30663:9  <b>ridicules</b> [1] - 30811:11  <b>right-hand</b> [2] -  30593:22, 30774:20  <b>right-handed</b> [3] -  30657:21, 30657:23,  30658:16  <b>ringing</b> [2] - 30797:24,  30798:1  <b>risk</b> [2] - 30722:13,  30836:14  <b>Roberts</b> [1] - 30715:22  <b>Robinson</b> [1] - 30789:6  <b>role</b> [10] - 30659:3,  30660:11, 30671:14,  30730:19, 30761:13,  30819:22, 30820:6,  30820:13, 30821:21,  30823:5  <b>Romanow</b> [3] -  30651:16, 30653:5,  30770:20  <b>Ron</b> [25] - 30616:23,  30772:14, 30785:11,  30806:14, 30806:17,  30806:25, 30808:25,  30809:17, 30813:16,  30816:1, 30823:15,  30823:18, 30823:19,  30824:12, 30826:5,  30826:8, 30826:18,  30830:24, 30831:4,  30832:7, 30832:25,  30833:7, 30833:14,  30834:16, 30834:18  <b>Ronald</b> [1] - 30808:18  <b>room</b> [1] - 30656:3  <b>rope</b> [1] - 30703:15  <b>Rosner</b> [1] - 30715:24  <b>round</b> [1] - 30820:1  <b>route</b> [10] - 30696:25,  30707:1, 30707:4,  30707:12, 30708:12,  30712:6, 30712:7,  30724:21, 30725:5,  30784:15  <b>Roy</b> [9] - 30608:5,  30608:8, 30608:22,  30609:23, 30621:19,  30622:2, 30622:8,  30622:14, 30653:5  <b>Rp</b> [4] - 30590:9,  30859:2, 30859:16,  30859:17  <b>ruffled</b> [1] - 30724:3  <b>rules</b> [1] - 30734:18  <b>rumour</b> [1] - 30795:3  <b>run</b> [13] - 30643:3,  30696:7, 30719:9,  30720:24, 30726:10,</p>	<p>30733:5, 30775:16,  30778:19, 30792:21,  30792:22, 30807:8,  30832:16, 30836:14</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>S</b></p> <p><b>sample</b> [6] - 30819:17,  30849:24, 30850:5,  30851:17, 30852:23,  30855:4  <b>samples</b> [3] - 30686:16,  30686:22, 30687:5  <b>Sandra</b> [1] - 30590:4  <b>Saskatchewan</b> [12] -  30589:17, 30591:4,  30618:1, 30679:25,  30700:23, 30715:6,  30748:17, 30764:1,  30764:5, 30803:7,  30853:10, 30859:4  <b>Saskatoon</b> [21] -  30589:17, 30591:7,  30594:19, 30641:10,  30641:21, 30644:6,  30644:8, 30645:17,  30650:2, 30650:4,  30650:7, 30717:23,  30765:9, 30765:12,  30766:7, 30766:22,  30770:10, 30772:25,  30774:7, 30789:20,  30817:5  <b>satisfied</b> [4] - 30672:3,  30673:7, 30673:8,  30690:15  <b>saw</b> [33] - 30593:18,  30595:6, 30603:18,  30604:8, 30609:22,  30613:24, 30623:1,  30630:7, 30630:8,  30671:4, 30676:11,  30676:12, 30695:6,  30737:7, 30742:25,  30743:1, 30758:4,  30768:18, 30769:8,  30780:17, 30782:12,  30788:2, 30797:16,  30798:20, 30798:24,  30799:17, 30812:16,  30812:21, 30812:23,  30824:11, 30825:17,  30850:13  <b>scared</b> [1] - 30786:1  <b>scenario</b> [5] - 30768:17,  30785:24, 30786:15,  30813:11, 30813:12  <b>scenarios</b> [1] -  30786:20</p>	<p><b>scene</b> [5] - 30660:6,  30669:9, 30669:10,  30686:17, 30686:23  <b>scheme</b> [1] - 30857:23  <b>schizophrenic</b> [1] -  30811:9  <b>school</b> [2] - 30617:20,  30695:12  <b>scientific</b> [2] - 30843:3,  30846:19  <b>Scientist</b> [1] - 30703:8  <b>scientists</b> [1] -  30843:18  <b>scream</b> [3] - 30829:3,  30830:14, 30830:15  <b>Scroll</b> [2] - 30663:22,  30747:15  <b>scroll</b> [17] - 30611:7,  30666:13, 30671:21,  30677:10, 30721:20,  30721:24, 30722:4,  30733:19, 30738:16,  30748:9, 30766:6,  30775:22, 30785:4,  30808:7, 30810:19,  30811:23, 30851:2  <b>scrutiny</b> [1] - 30761:2  <b>search</b> [2] - 30608:7,  30827:1  <b>searched</b> [1] - 30620:3  <b>searching</b> [3] -  30608:12, 30608:16,  30609:9  <b>Seattle</b> [1] - 30827:8  <b>second</b> [14] - 30620:12,  30659:12, 30659:18,  30662:3, 30664:4,  30670:11, 30696:10,  30699:22, 30700:3,  30701:2, 30701:15,  30704:3, 30768:17,  30826:1  <b>Secondly</b> [1] - 30669:5  <b>secondly</b> [2] -  30647:16, 30754:3  <b>secret</b> [1] - 30681:8  <b>secretly</b> [6] - 30679:12,  30679:17, 30679:21,  30679:22, 30681:4,  30681:9  <b>secretor</b> [24] - 30844:2,  30844:4, 30844:12,  30844:15, 30845:14,  30846:1, 30846:3,  30849:1, 30849:11,  30849:14, 30850:3,  30850:4, 30851:19,  30852:8, 30852:10,  30852:12, 30853:8,  30853:12, 30853:14,</p>	<p>30853:20, 30854:2,  30854:25, 30855:7  <b>section</b> [1] - 30820:20  <b>Section</b> [4] - 30680:3,  30698:21, 30724:17,  30727:20  <b>Security</b> [1] - 30590:10  <b>see</b> [83] - 30593:23,  30594:7, 30594:10,  30594:19, 30597:9,  30597:17, 30601:14,  30606:5, 30606:9,  30611:1, 30611:2,  30616:4, 30617:19,  30619:6, 30620:1,  30627:20, 30630:7,  30631:11, 30633:4,  30636:12, 30638:11,  30641:11, 30648:17,  30651:1, 30658:20,  30662:7, 30666:7,  30672:18, 30678:4,  30684:10, 30690:1,  30694:9, 30696:21,  30703:10, 30707:9,  30707:22, 30709:10,  30711:16, 30714:2,  30716:4, 30720:7,  30723:15, 30729:2,  30729:24, 30734:21,  30736:21, 30736:23,  30736:24, 30741:8,  30744:1, 30744:22,  30746:3, 30747:17,  30749:13, 30756:2,  30756:18, 30759:18,  30764:21, 30769:11,  30773:8, 30777:2,  30779:7, 30781:10,  30785:10, 30794:3,  30799:16, 30803:10,  30806:8, 30806:14,  30806:19, 30807:11,  30807:17, 30809:9,  30811:18, 30812:6,  30813:4, 30825:18,  30829:20, 30834:4,  30846:21, 30847:18,  30848:6, 30854:11  <b>seeing</b> [17] - 30595:20,  30596:20, 30612:25,  30613:14, 30614:14,  30627:23, 30629:16,  30629:17, 30695:24,  30703:3, 30709:7,  30717:14, 30767:16,  30769:7, 30783:24,  30798:6  <b>seeking</b> [4] - 30623:8,  30648:11, 30649:13,</p>	<p>30749:22  <b>seem</b> [1] - 30725:5  <b>sees</b> [2] - 30768:24,  30828:16  <b>Selene</b> [1] - 30817:12  <b>semen</b> [20] - 30686:16,  30686:22, 30687:5,  30687:17, 30820:12,  30839:11, 30839:12,  30839:20, 30840:2,  30840:11, 30840:12,  30840:20, 30840:22,  30841:7, 30844:23,  30845:4, 30856:6,  30856:21, 30857:15  <b>semens</b> [1] - 30687:4  <b>send</b> [10] - 30694:8,  30713:6, 30744:4,  30746:20, 30771:11,  30777:7, 30801:21,  30802:25, 30810:9,  30810:10  <b>sending</b> [5] - 30658:5,  30689:15, 30710:22,  30763:20, 30785:4  <b>sends</b> [3] - 30671:19,  30684:15, 30686:8  <b>sensational</b> [4] -  30709:17, 30710:1,  30841:15, 30856:25  <b>sense</b> [17] - 30601:11,  30604:10, 30606:2,  30606:6, 30684:24,  30704:5, 30707:25,  30709:2, 30717:9,  30718:22, 30726:14,  30726:19, 30738:6,  30759:18, 30800:3,  30819:23, 30821:22  <b>sent</b> [8] - 30684:1,  30688:12, 30713:9,  30717:20, 30763:19,  30820:21, 30831:2,  30850:5  <b>separately</b> [1] -  30789:24  <b>sequence</b> [2] - 30799:1,  30799:4  <b>Serge</b> [1] - 30591:6  <b>Sergeant</b> [18] -  30639:14, 30639:17,  30640:6, 30648:16,  30674:8, 30727:6,  30730:25, 30732:2,  30732:24, 30737:17,  30739:17, 30749:14,  30750:6, 30751:4,  30855:19, 30856:7,  30856:19  <b>serial</b> [5] - 30603:8,</p>
--	---	--	---	---





<p>30693:8, 30694:21, 30718:8, 30731:23 <b>serious</b> [1] - 30790:16 <b>seriously</b> [1] - 30794:25 <b>serologists</b> [1] - 30836:8 <b>serve</b> [1] - 30730:22 <b>Service</b> [1] - 30591:7 <b>service</b> [1] - 30728:3 <b>serving</b> [1] - 30748:16 <b>set</b> [3] - 30736:23, 30794:21, 30811:5 <b>setting</b> [2] - 30785:2, 30836:15 <b>Setting</b> [1] - 30671:12 <b>settled</b> [1] - 30734:25 <b>seven</b> [2] - 30793:4, 30802:3 <b>severe</b> [1] - 30817:19 <b>shake</b> [1] - 30633:12 <b>shaken</b> [1] - 30683:14 <b>shall</b> [2] - 30775:25 <b>sham</b> [1] - 30714:2 <b>shape</b> [1] - 30683:11 <b>shaped</b> [1] - 30787:4 <b>share</b> [5] - 30641:13, 30642:18, 30642:20, 30645:13, 30647:2 <b>shared</b> [4] - 30639:24, 30640:2, 30716:12, 30843:22 <b>sharing</b> [6] - 30642:11, 30642:14, 30643:11, 30643:16, 30646:25, 30649:20 <b>shattered</b> [1] - 30695:23 <b>shed</b> [4] - 30783:19, 30805:17, 30806:19, 30830:3 <b>sheet</b> [3] - 30655:17, 30701:23, 30702:11 <b>shifted</b> [1] - 30783:3 <b>shock</b> [2] - 30801:13, 30811:9 <b>shoddy</b> [1] - 30768:1 <b>shooting</b> [2] - 30783:22, 30835:25 <b>short</b> [1] - 30634:20 <b>shortcut</b> [1] - 30601:16 <b>shorthand</b> [1] - 30859:5 <b>shortly</b> [8] - 30634:13, 30648:24, 30660:3, 30669:9, 30751:11, 30752:14, 30821:10, 30827:21 <b>Shorty</b> [2] - 30796:1, 30808:4 <b>shove</b> [1] - 30709:6 <b>show</b> [15] - 30594:5,</p>	<p>30594:6, 30664:19, 30683:4, 30689:23, 30698:4, 30758:7, 30759:1, 30783:2, 30805:5, 30831:11, 30840:7, 30849:10, 30856:2, 30856:4 <b>showed</b> [8] - 30595:9, 30609:17, 30610:18, 30700:24, 30712:2, 30779:24, 30837:6, 30857:6 <b>shown</b> [2] - 30664:18, 30712:1 <b>shows</b> [1] - 30731:18 <b>shuns</b> [1] - 30760:6 <b>shuts</b> [1] - 30829:14 <b>side</b> [14] - 30593:22, 30603:19, 30603:20, 30605:19, 30605:20, 30648:9, 30649:15, 30696:19, 30698:18, 30699:5, 30704:20, 30704:23, 30774:20, 30778:15 <b>sides</b> [1] - 30705:3 <b>Sidney</b> [2] - 30595:13, 30637:23 <b>Sighs</b> [1] - 30848:5 <b>signed</b> [1] - 30817:14 <b>significance</b> [4] - 30659:23, 30667:2, 30839:24, 30839:25 <b>significant</b> [6] - 30666:19, 30681:15, 30757:14, 30812:17, 30814:6, 30845:12 <b>significantly</b> [1] - 30675:16 <b>silence</b> [1] - 30760:25 <b>similar</b> [13] - 30595:8, 30595:16, 30606:11, 30623:14, 30623:15, 30800:8, 30800:9, 30804:10, 30825:5, 30825:7, 30825:12, 30825:22, 30825:25 <b>Similar</b> [2] - 30825:13, 30825:14 <b>similarities</b> [1] - 30792:18 <b>simple</b> [1] - 30687:20 <b>simply</b> [5] - 30619:16, 30656:21, 30697:4, 30741:14, 30797:1 <b>simultaneously</b> [1] - 30831:20 <b>single</b> [1] - 30664:6 <b>single-edged</b> [1] - 30664:6</p>	<p><b>sinister</b> [8] - 30645:8, 30646:21, 30653:9, 30654:14, 30662:2, 30665:20, 30700:4, 30704:2 <b>sister</b> [3] - 30812:8, 30812:10, 30813:17 <b>sit</b> [4] - 30636:11, 30693:23, 30693:25, 30694:2 <b>sitting</b> [5] - 30589:15, 30633:7, 30677:24, 30698:6, 30777:5 <b>situation</b> [6] - 30600:20, 30605:8, 30692:6, 30693:6, 30763:17, 30826:2 <b>situations</b> [1] - 30763:16 <b>skeptical</b> [2] - 30657:1, 30657:5 <b>skill</b> [1] - 30859:6 <b>skip</b> [1] - 30823:13 <b>skyrocketed</b> [1] - 30804:23 <b>sleep</b> [1] - 30801:20 <b>slightest</b> [1] - 30677:14 <b>slots</b> [1] - 30595:1 <b>slow</b> [1] - 30636:25 <b>snow</b> [2] - 30664:5, 30839:12 <b>so-called</b> [1] - 30762:8 <b>solely</b> [1] - 30687:12 <b>solo</b> [1] - 30822:11 <b>someone</b> [41] - 30604:16, 30608:25, 30617:13, 30645:6, 30652:1, 30652:2, 30652:9, 30652:20, 30653:19, 30654:6, 30662:14, 30668:6, 30675:1, 30676:14, 30693:16, 30694:9, 30695:4, 30701:5, 30736:13, 30743:2, 30743:3, 30747:19, 30750:11, 30753:10, 30758:10, 30758:11, 30767:22, 30774:7, 30774:15, 30777:14, 30779:18, 30795:6, 30814:18, 30814:19, 30820:5, 30820:9, 30821:5, 30824:17, 30830:17, 30842:10, 30843:17 <b>sometime</b> [4] - 30596:3, 30615:17, 30641:3, 30717:1 <b>sometimes</b> [7] -</p>	<p>30599:23, 30599:25, 30607:3, 30706:2, 30706:5, 30706:8 <b>somewhat</b> [1] - 30641:17 <b>Somewhere</b> [2] - 30660:12, 30769:3 <b>somewhere</b> [5] - 30612:14, 30619:20, 30742:23, 30769:1, 30854:17 <b>son</b> [32] - 30625:22, 30626:25, 30652:6, 30674:23, 30675:25, 30676:15, 30676:18, 30677:1, 30678:7, 30679:11, 30679:16, 30680:20, 30682:18, 30682:22, 30683:2, 30695:24, 30696:24, 30703:3, 30704:11, 30704:14, 30713:17, 30752:12, 30760:22, 30761:1, 30762:4, 30762:19, 30765:2, 30797:22, 30803:14, 30808:13, 30808:20, 30828:2 <b>son's</b> [7] - 30641:23, 30675:10, 30677:15, 30691:19, 30724:17, 30809:19, 30844:22 <b>soon</b> [1] - 30839:5 <b>sooner</b> [3] - 30682:4, 30715:3, 30830:19 <b>Sorry</b> [3] - 30608:8, 30685:24, 30753:1 <b>sorry</b> [19] - 30596:3, 30597:13, 30598:22, 30599:17, 30619:5, 30633:20, 30640:4, 30646:11, 30666:23, 30683:1, 30760:22, 30761:1, 30761:3, 30766:16, 30791:8, 30795:21, 30834:24, 30835:4, 30841:5 <b>sort</b> [33] - 30595:4, 30607:17, 30614:24, 30638:14, 30649:19, 30688:9, 30691:19, 30692:6, 30702:21, 30708:2, 30710:8, 30734:18, 30737:3, 30738:10, 30739:23, 30746:14, 30752:23, 30757:1, 30758:19, 30759:12, 30780:8, 30782:6, 30786:7, 30786:16, 30792:16,</p>	<p>30793:10, 30793:13, 30793:16, 30814:11, 30836:5, 30838:20, 30838:21, 30846:25 <b>sorts</b> [1] - 30618:17 <b>sound</b> [2] - 30651:22, 30733:17 <b>sounds</b> [3] - 30733:18, 30787:21, 30796:2 <b>source</b> [7] - 30598:13, 30618:10, 30642:3, 30660:24, 30666:2, 30742:2, 30770:18 <b>sources</b> [2] - 30601:5, 30836:6 <b>South</b> [1] - 30610:8 <b>Speaker</b> [6] - 30847:8, 30847:13, 30847:16, 30848:6, 30848:12, 30848:17 <b>speaker</b> [1] - 30617:13 <b>speaking</b> [1] - 30817:4 <b>specific</b> [3] - 30607:7, 30650:15, 30856:14 <b>specifically</b> [3] - 30605:15, 30638:13, 30729:7 <b>speed</b> [1] - 30694:4 <b>spends</b> [1] - 30855:14 <b>spent</b> [2] - 30674:22, 30821:14 <b>spin</b> [1] - 30706:4 <b>Spin</b> [1] - 30706:5 <b>spiritual</b> [1] - 30613:17 <b>sports</b> [1] - 30765:10 <b>spot</b> [3] - 30726:1, 30794:13, 30858:2 <b>spring</b> [2] - 30613:8, 30664:5 <b>square</b> [1] - 30820:1 <b>St</b> [1] - 30797:25 <b>Staff</b> [5] - 30590:1, 30590:6, 30855:19, 30856:6, 30856:19 <b>staff</b> [3] - 30711:11, 30713:1, 30750:14 <b>stage</b> [8] - 30599:12, 30637:16, 30646:6, 30650:22, 30655:25, 30695:21, 30738:22, 30799:7 <b>stages</b> [1] - 30625:2 <b>stains</b> [1] - 30855:15 <b>stand</b> [4] - 30670:8, 30679:10, 30681:8, 30773:5 <b>standing</b> [1] - 30603:23 <b>Star</b> [3] - 30697:24, 30716:1, 30843:7 <b>star</b> [3] - 30802:18,</p>
--	---	---	--	---



<p>30810:17, 30811:8  <b>Starphoenix</b> [1] -  30697:22  <b>start</b> [15] - 30612:22,  30613:5, 30613:8,  30619:5, 30692:19,  30694:10, 30700:18,  30725:7, 30777:17,  30788:15, 30802:3,  30802:4, 30835:24,  30836:15, 30854:23  <b>started</b> [19] - 30603:25,  30604:7, 30609:18,  30612:19, 30613:4,  30613:15, 30614:8,  30614:11, 30626:18,  30626:22, 30652:20,  30663:14, 30681:18,  30699:7, 30769:22,  30769:25, 30770:7,  30799:24, 30842:24  <b>starting</b> [7] - 30596:23,  30780:6, 30787:20,  30787:21, 30787:23,  30788:10, 30810:23  <b>startling</b> [1] - 30855:25  <b>starts</b> [3] - 30796:3,  30811:21, 30842:18  <b>state</b> [3] - 30621:13,  30796:14, 30816:7  <b>statement</b> [56] -  30599:7, 30599:18,  30599:21, 30600:14,  30605:21, 30606:13,  30606:14, 30606:23,  30606:24, 30607:12,  30607:17, 30609:15,  30609:22, 30618:2,  30618:6, 30619:10,  30620:12, 30657:12,  30676:23, 30684:10,  30690:17, 30691:3,  30717:13, 30719:2,  30768:7, 30775:24,  30776:17, 30776:24,  30778:16, 30778:21,  30779:10, 30780:7,  30780:11, 30782:21,  30782:25, 30787:13,  30788:10, 30789:23,  30790:4, 30790:6,  30792:20, 30801:9,  30806:25, 30807:18,  30816:25, 30823:19,  30824:25, 30825:10,  30825:21, 30826:16,  30827:22, 30831:1,  30831:6, 30832:10,  30833:3, 30839:3  <b>statements</b> [9] -</p>	<p>30657:9, 30657:18,  30658:15, 30671:19,  30683:15, 30683:18,  30777:6, 30783:3,  30811:6  <b>States</b> [2] - 30691:23,  30691:24  <b>states</b> [2] - 30744:25,  30762:9  <b>stating</b> [1] - 30713:10  <b>station</b> [4] - 30637:21,  30638:6, 30638:10,  30661:13  <b>status</b> [5] - 30844:2,  30849:12, 30853:12,  30853:14, 30854:25  <b>stay</b> [1] - 30728:9  <b>stayed</b> [1] - 30797:10  <b>staying</b> [4] - 30697:1,  30697:3, 30729:24  <b>stays</b> [1] - 30778:18  <b>steak</b> [1] - 30789:25  <b>stems</b> [1] - 30650:19  <b>step</b> [7] - 30618:24,  30648:12, 30772:10,  30805:22, 30808:21,  30813:1  <b>stepped</b> [1] - 30692:14  <b>steps</b> [9] - 30618:25,  30619:2, 30649:14,  30674:12, 30724:13,  30767:15, 30768:25,  30770:4  <b>stevely</b> [1] - 30591:4  <b>still</b> [4] - 30601:11,  30628:16, 30685:11,  30698:6  <b>stir</b> [1] - 30730:5  <b>Stony</b> [3] - 30734:17,  30735:12, 30735:17  <b>stop</b> [2] - 30627:17,  30806:14  <b>stopped</b> [1] - 30763:12  <b>stored</b> [1] - 30661:13  <b>stories</b> [5] - 30706:20,  30708:2, 30710:1,  30725:11, 30786:13  <b>storming</b> [1] - 30782:6  <b>story</b> [19] - 30709:3,  30719:9, 30720:16,  30720:24, 30721:6,  30721:10, 30726:20,  30741:1, 30753:9,  30778:5, 30783:11,  30783:14, 30787:16,  30832:8, 30832:11,  30832:13, 30832:14,  30832:17  <b>strapped</b> [1] - 30691:21  <b>strategies</b> [1] -</p>	<p>30787:11  <b>strategy</b> [8] - 30710:4,  30741:13, 30755:15,  30755:17, 30755:19,  30758:9, 30798:19,  30832:4  <b>straws</b> [1] - 30607:24  <b>stream</b> [1] - 30691:20  <b>Street</b> [1] - 30610:16  <b>street</b> [2] - 30604:9,  30815:17  <b>strength</b> [1] - 30687:12  <b>stringer</b> [1] - 30659:6  <b>strong</b> [5] - 30631:15,  30668:5, 30745:7,  30779:6, 30792:9  <b>strongly</b> [3] - 30728:7,  30735:8, 30805:9  <b>struck</b> [1] - 30855:8  <b>studies</b> [1] - 30734:20  <b>stuff</b> [13] - 30629:24,  30689:21, 30693:24,  30694:1, 30695:7,  30696:3, 30702:21,  30710:21, 30719:24,  30782:8, 30802:11,  30805:8, 30817:10  <b>stuff</b> [1] - 30630:1  <b>stunned</b> [1] - 30760:25  <b>subject</b> [6] - 30649:1,  30730:16, 30731:6,  30753:21, 30808:4,  30812:4  <b>submissions</b> [1] -  30690:12  <b>subsequent</b> [2] -  30744:24, 30779:24  <b>subsequently</b> [2] -  30773:2, 30778:10  <b>substance</b> [1] -  30842:21  <b>substantiate</b> [1] -  30805:7  <b>substantive</b> [3] -  30656:2, 30656:9,  30689:10  <b>successfully</b> [1] -  30819:25  <b>suffering</b> [3] -  30791:21, 30799:10,  30802:19  <b>sufficient</b> [2] -  30687:24, 30714:7  <b>suggest</b> [4] - 30637:22,  30693:15, 30756:10,  30765:12  <b>suggested</b> [1] -  30806:17  <b>suggesting</b> [3] -  30759:5, 30788:16,</p>	<p>30820:11  <b>suggestion</b> [7] -  30790:22, 30819:20,  30820:4, 30821:19,  30821:24, 30822:23,  30837:7  <b>suggestions</b> [1] -  30699:15  <b>suicide</b> [1] - 30752:1  <b>summarize</b> [1] -  30812:5  <b>Support</b> [1] - 30590:6  <b>support</b> [4] - 30609:6,  30778:6, 30793:11,  30797:19  <b>supporting</b> [1] -  30691:4  <b>supportive</b> [1] -  30759:4  <b>supports</b> [1] - 30687:15  <b>suppose</b> [2] - 30828:16,  30837:1  <b>Supreme</b> [7] -  30667:11, 30667:23,  30667:24, 30667:25,  30668:10, 30783:4,  30853:9  <b>surely</b> [1] - 30851:6  <b>surfacing</b> [1] - 30651:8  <b>surprise</b> [1] - 30824:5  <b>surrounded</b> [1] -  30666:18  <b>surrounding</b> [1] -  30717:16  <b>suspect</b> [5] - 30716:6,  30731:14, 30744:9,  30791:25, 30822:18  <b>suspected</b> [3] -  30727:17, 30728:23,  30837:18  <b>suspecting</b> [1] -  30827:13  <b>suspicion</b> [3] -  30701:15, 30701:18,  30814:18  <b>suspensions</b> [3] -  30664:25, 30742:6,  30771:1  <b>suspicious</b> [7] -  30611:17, 30612:7,  30650:18, 30663:1,  30663:2, 30699:24,  30814:16  <b>Sweep</b> [1] - 30767:11  <b>sweeping</b> [1] - 30768:9  <b>swept</b> [1] - 30767:11  <b>swing</b> [2] - 30798:9,  30798:13  <b>sympathetic</b> [1] -  30675:3</p>	<p><b>sympathetically</b> [1] -  30726:18  <b>system</b> [20] - 30617:20,  30628:17, 30629:13,  30673:22, 30674:16,  30675:4, 30675:21,  30679:11, 30679:13,  30679:16, 30682:1,  30682:6, 30682:16,  30683:5, 30683:13,  30698:25, 30705:9,  30705:10, 30712:6,  30714:2</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>T</b></p> <p><b>table</b> [2] - 30767:11,  30767:12  <b>tailored</b> [3] - 30676:3,  30676:20, 30676:22  <b>talks</b> [14] - 30593:24,  30650:25, 30660:4,  30691:10, 30710:11,  30724:9, 30727:15,  30733:19, 30753:3,  30810:22, 30819:10,  30839:9, 30843:25,  30849:8  <b>Tallis</b> [15] - 30591:12,  30596:16, 30596:18,  30661:1, 30661:8,  30668:8, 30670:22,  30671:10, 30671:14,  30702:9, 30813:7,  30813:8, 30813:9,  30814:3  <b>Tallis</b> [1] - 30670:7  <b>Talliss</b> [1] - 30817:6  <b>Tammy</b> [9] - 30617:21,  30618:9, 30618:11,  30618:16, 30618:19,  30618:20, 30618:24,  30619:1, 30620:4  <b>Tammys</b> [1] - 30620:4  <b>tape</b> [18] - 30612:19,  30615:7, 30615:21,  30616:25, 30617:5,  30737:8, 30781:22,  30795:23, 30801:2,  30801:4, 30801:8,  30804:7, 30816:19,  30826:7, 30826:18,  30826:22, 30827:3,  30832:17  <b>taped</b> [5] - 30613:25,  30614:4, 30615:17,  30759:8, 30826:5  <b>tapes</b> [12] - 30612:17,  30613:6, 30614:20,</p>
--	--	---	---	---



<p>30616:3, 30616:15, 30616:17, 30617:3, 30619:9, 30620:8, 30772:17, 30773:23, 30827:5 <b>taping</b> [6] - 30612:22, 30613:5, 30614:23, 30614:24, 30615:2, 30615:12 <b>Tdr</b>[1] - 30591:5 <b>team</b> [5] - 30646:14, 30646:15, 30646:16, 30646:18, 30716:20 <b>tear</b> [1] - 30703:9 <b>tearing</b> [3] - 30627:23, 30627:24, 30703:10 <b>Technician</b>[1] - 30590:11 <b>technology</b> [1] - 30852:17 <b>teetering</b> [3] - 30829:8, 30830:1, 30830:9 <b>Teetering</b>[1] - 30829:25 <b>telephoned</b> [1] - 30595:13 <b>ten</b> [1] - 30834:12 <b>tendered</b> [1] - 30661:5 <b>tends</b> [1] - 30827:12 <b>terms</b> [4] - 30636:10, 30734:2, 30837:2, 30839:1 <b>terrible</b> [2] - 30683:11, 30769:19 <b>terrific</b> [1] - 30778:24 <b>terrified</b> [1] - 30751:23 <b>test</b> [11] - 30672:16, 30700:16, 30844:1, 30849:14, 30851:4, 30853:25, 30854:12, 30855:7, 30855:18, 30856:8, 30856:14 <b>tested</b> [4] - 30851:11, 30853:11, 30853:13, 30854:4 <b>testified</b> [5] - 30593:9, 30600:18, 30630:5, 30822:23, 30856:7 <b>testimony</b> [4] - 30600:17, 30734:10, 30804:22, 30818:17 <b>Testimony</b>[1] - 30589:14 <b>testing</b> [2] - 30851:8, 30855:4 <b>that's</b> [2] - 30850:20, 30851:5 <b>themselves</b> [1] - 30760:17 <b>theories</b> [7] - 30699:14, 30700:8, 30700:10,</p>	<p>30700:15, 30700:16, 30702:15, 30704:21 <b>theory</b> [23] - 30601:10, 30602:1, 30602:9, 30602:18, 30602:24, 30603:4, 30603:13, 30604:5, 30604:19, 30604:22, 30604:23, 30607:21, 30651:12, 30656:10, 30663:4, 30676:3, 30676:20, 30699:21, 30701:14, 30705:24, 30706:15, 30777:10, 30782:11 <b>thereafter</b> [1] - 30637:11 <b>therefore</b> [13] - 30630:23, 30630:25, 30644:22, 30645:11, 30646:24, 30649:15, 30653:7, 30653:10, 30672:23, 30768:23, 30787:17, 30840:13, 30845:6 <b>thin</b> [1] - 30691:21 <b>thinking</b> [43] - 30600:17, 30609:6, 30624:23, 30638:9, 30643:25, 30645:9, 30645:10, 30647:9, 30654:4, 30654:8, 30655:1, 30658:23, 30662:1, 30662:7, 30662:12, 30663:12, 30665:16, 30665:21, 30667:2, 30667:16, 30672:25, 30676:24, 30681:1, 30681:15, 30682:12, 30686:8, 30694:20, 30696:15, 30696:23, 30697:11, 30698:23, 30713:2, 30717:25, 30731:20, 30736:16, 30737:14, 30748:2, 30770:7, 30774:9, 30792:12, 30795:10, 30803:3, 30841:10 <b>thinks</b> [1] - 30751:20 <b>third</b> [3] - 30706:7, 30836:5, 30837:10 <b>thirdly</b> [1] - 30812:20 <b>thoroughly</b> [2] - 30722:12, 30762:15 <b>thoughts</b> [3] - 30653:4, 30699:15, 30757:9 <b>three</b> [5] - 30668:18, 30672:22, 30686:13, 30787:12, 30849:10 <b>throughout</b> [1] -</p>	<p>30787:4 <b>throw</b> [3] - 30696:19, 30781:16, 30838:9 <b>throwing</b> [1] - 30799:25 <b>Thursday</b>[1] - 30733:22 <b>thwarted</b> [1] - 30711:25 <b>tie</b> [1] - 30622:20 <b>tied</b> [1] - 30667:19 <b>timing</b> [4] - 30837:2, 30838:23, 30839:1, 30845:20 <b>tip</b> [2] - 30758:24, 30759:5 <b>tipped</b> [1] - 30796:5 <b>today</b> [4] - 30601:4, 30672:20, 30795:1, 30850:19 <b>Toews</b>[1] - 30591:11 <b>together</b> [8] - 30651:25, 30667:20, 30695:10, 30723:16, 30737:1, 30752:10, 30770:20, 30770:21 <b>tomorrow</b> [3] - 30759:10, 30837:25, 30849:20 <b>Tony</b>[1] - 30597:18 <b>took</b> [17] - 30604:20, 30609:16, 30609:22, 30618:2, 30620:24, 30622:7, 30649:14, 30662:21, 30709:13, 30710:2, 30723:6, 30758:20, 30770:4, 30789:24, 30825:21, 30827:8, 30841:11 <b>tool</b> [2] - 30795:16, 30795:19 <b>top</b> [2] - 30707:10, 30850:1 <b>Toronto</b>[4] - 30697:24, 30715:25, 30743:3, 30843:7 <b>totality</b> [1] - 30672:7 <b>totally</b> [6] - 30672:2, 30673:6, 30754:18, 30757:8, 30769:17, 30850:23 <b>touch</b> [2] - 30691:16, 30790:7 <b>touched</b> [2] - 30678:6, 30855:11 <b>touches</b> [2] - 30609:16, 30855:14 <b>touching</b> [1] - 30764:19 <b>tougher</b> [1] - 30787:8 <b>towards</b> [1] - 30661:9 <b>town</b> [1] - 30850:7 <b>trace</b> [1] - 30665:10 <b>traced</b> [1] - 30807:13</p>	<p><b>tracked</b> [1] - 30748:25 <b>tracks</b> [6] - 30601:14, 30601:17, 30602:20, 30604:8 <b>trail</b> [1] - 30838:9 <b>transcript</b> [18] - 30598:23, 30660:23, 30669:5, 30670:5, 30751:10, 30753:13, 30756:5, 30804:16, 30810:22, 30811:1, 30811:20, 30814:24, 30819:10, 30821:15, 30834:6, 30834:15, 30840:7, 30846:10 <b>Transcript</b>[2] - 30589:12, 30593:1 <b>transcription</b> [1] - 30859:5 <b>transcripts</b> [13] - 30612:3, 30616:18, 30664:19, 30671:4, 30692:8, 30758:23, 30772:17, 30773:23, 30785:5, 30785:12, 30818:20, 30818:23, 30853:19 <b>transferred</b> [4] - 30617:22, 30734:16, 30734:22, 30735:1 <b>transmitted</b> [1] - 30690:4 <b>treated</b> [5] - 30633:6, 30633:9, 30633:10, 30633:11, 30634:12 <b>treatment</b> [2] - 30801:13, 30811:10 <b>tremendous</b> [1] - 30839:21 <b>trial</b> [41] - 30655:9, 30657:22, 30658:25, 30659:5, 30660:17, 30661:6, 30661:9, 30661:10, 30661:18, 30662:22, 30665:19, 30669:18, 30669:25, 30670:15, 30670:23, 30671:7, 30672:20, 30686:20, 30686:21, 30687:2, 30687:17, 30714:8, 30762:10, 30785:6, 30785:13, 30791:7, 30799:21, 30801:14, 30804:16, 30811:10, 30813:15, 30816:2, 30818:7, 30818:8, 30819:7, 30819:22, 30820:7, 30820:13, 30821:21, 30823:20, 30856:18</p>	<p><b>tribunal</b> [1] - 30672:6 <b>tried</b> [9] - 30618:10, 30638:16, 30638:19, 30665:10, 30674:24, 30703:8, 30746:1, 30755:1, 30856:13 <b>trip</b> [4] - 30670:21, 30715:3, 30822:11, 30827:6 <b>trouble</b> [6] - 30813:8, 30813:9, 30817:23, 30817:25, 30818:1, 30851:1 <b>true</b> [18] - 30603:11, 30618:14, 30695:9, 30767:20, 30775:16, 30775:18, 30778:10, 30778:11, 30778:20, 30779:5, 30779:10, 30782:19, 30782:25, 30790:15, 30795:2, 30795:3, 30823:10, 30859:5 <b>trust</b> [13] - 30616:8, 30632:12, 30645:25, 30646:6, 30646:15, 30655:3, 30656:16, 30656:22, 30673:15, 30682:14, 30683:13, 30723:12, 30725:20 <b>trusted</b> [4] - 30631:13, 30723:9, 30725:15, 30725:20 <b>trustworthy</b> [1] - 30758:11 <b>truth</b> [32] - 30613:18, 30613:22, 30614:21, 30616:1, 30646:21, 30648:7, 30648:8, 30648:11, 30648:13, 30649:14, 30696:21, 30725:8, 30730:20, 30775:25, 30776:1, 30776:5, 30776:20, 30777:1, 30779:7, 30782:21, 30787:24, 30788:1, 30788:5, 30788:18, 30789:1, 30789:2, 30789:4, 30791:2, 30795:18, 30824:9, 30833:9 <b>truthful</b> [2] - 30775:21, 30776:2 <b>truthfully</b> [1] - 30739:9 <b>try</b> [14] - 30599:1, 30608:1, 30623:8, 30643:21, 30643:22, 30681:9, 30692:3, 30699:5, 30711:17, 30730:5, 30737:13,</p>
---	--	--	--	--



30787:11, 30798:12, 30838:9 <b>trying</b> [52] - 30597:25, 30605:24, 30606:4, 30622:20, 30638:8, 30644:1, 30645:18, 30645:22, 30648:4, 30650:10, 30651:11, 30651:23, 30652:1, 30656:21, 30664:23, 30667:8, 30695:4, 30697:19, 30710:16, 30716:10, 30719:8, 30719:13, 30734:16, 30735:11, 30736:5, 30739:13, 30743:20, 30744:6, 30746:12, 30756:3, 30758:12, 30758:14, 30763:21, 30764:17, 30765:2, 30766:10, 30767:21, 30782:9, 30793:1, 30793:7, 30794:21, 30799:15, 30802:3, 30809:12, 30809:18, 30814:11, 30820:15, 30821:3, 30821:23, 30838:19, 30841:25, 30857:16 <b>Tuesday</b> [1] - 30589:21 <b>turn</b> [4] - 30641:18, 30647:3, 30729:22, 30834:23 <b>turned</b> [2] - 30704:1, 30704:5 <b>turning</b> [2] - 30757:14, 30814:6 <b>twice</b> [1] - 30748:19 <b>two</b> [27] - 30603:8, 30604:21, 30622:2, 30628:4, 30629:11, 30630:17, 30630:24, 30640:12, 30647:23, 30659:1, 30687:18, 30707:19, 30740:9, 30748:20, 30774:22, 30787:8, 30787:14, 30787:15, 30788:21, 30792:11, 30825:2, 30834:15, 30845:2, 30845:10, 30853:13, 30853:15 <b>type</b> [19] - 30602:4, 30669:8, 30670:20, 30674:2, 30690:5, 30692:9, 30708:25, 30715:13, 30753:9, 30757:6, 30768:25, 30778:8, 30792:3, 30799:6, 30801:21,	30802:17, 30847:19, 30856:2 <b>typing</b> [2] - 30819:6, 30821:12  <b>U</b>  <b>Umm</b> [4] - 30594:4, 30594:17, 30700:12, 30846:22 <b>umm</b> [13] - 30619:11, 30620:6, 30656:12, 30742:9, 30775:6, 30775:11, 30780:13, 30785:24, 30785:25, 30786:24, 30836:14, 30837:2, 30838:25 <b>uncertain</b> [1] - 30636:10 <b>Uncle</b> [1] - 30608:18 <b>uncle</b> [1] - 30653:5 <b>uncles</b> [1] - 30622:2 <b>uncooperative</b> [1] - 30740:9 <b>uncover</b> [1] - 30647:24 <b>uncovered</b> [1] - 30642:15 <b>under</b> [6] - 30680:3, 30698:11, 30724:17, 30767:11, 30840:21 <b>undermine</b> [1] - 30857:20 <b>underpinning</b> [1] - 30844:19 <b>understood</b> [3] - 30660:12, 30718:10, 30729:2 <b>unexpected</b> [1] - 30757:8 <b>unfavourable</b> [2] - 30654:13, 30705:8 <b>unfavourably</b> [1] - 30757:6 <b>unhappy</b> [1] - 30634:12 <b>unidentified</b> [2] - 30617:9, 30617:12 <b>Unidentified</b> [6] - 30847:7, 30847:13, 30847:16, 30848:6, 30848:12, 30848:17 <b>unkind</b> [1] - 30705:9 <b>unless</b> [1] - 30632:6 <b>unlikely</b> [1] - 30805:16 <b>unnecessary</b> [1] - 30730:12 <b>unrealistic</b> [1] - 30754:18 <b>unreasonable</b> [1] - 30769:17	<b>unreliable</b> [1] - 30770:18 <b>unusual</b> [1] - 30612:7 <b>up</b> [163] - 30593:19, 30605:19, 30606:15, 30612:15, 30613:21, 30617:19, 30618:16, 30621:3, 30624:22, 30625:1, 30627:24, 30637:18, 30638:7, 30638:12, 30641:22, 30643:21, 30644:4, 30644:11, 30644:15, 30645:22, 30646:5, 30646:6, 30647:19, 30648:15, 30649:21, 30650:25, 30651:11, 30652:2, 30653:20, 30654:2, 30654:24, 30655:9, 30658:21, 30660:17, 30662:25, 30663:10, 30663:22, 30670:21, 30670:23, 30671:12, 30671:21, 30678:22, 30679:24, 30685:18, 30685:20, 30688:23, 30689:25, 30691:8, 30691:11, 30692:14, 30694:4, 30694:10, 30694:24, 30694:25, 30696:12, 30701:5, 30701:10, 30703:9, 30703:10, 30704:7, 30706:6, 30706:9, 30707:18, 30712:22, 30713:7, 30715:24, 30716:7, 30721:24, 30723:12, 30730:5, 30731:13, 30735:12, 30735:24, 30736:23, 30742:14, 30744:15, 30746:13, 30748:6, 30751:9, 30752:6, 30752:15, 30758:5, 30759:1, 30760:12, 30762:21, 30762:25, 30763:6, 30763:7, 30763:21, 30765:3, 30766:18, 30766:21, 30767:5, 30767:7, 30767:9, 30767:18, 30767:19, 30767:20, 30767:25, 30768:1, 30768:3, 30768:14, 30768:25, 30769:1, 30769:4, 30769:18, 30769:22, 30769:25, 30770:5, 30770:13, 30771:4, 30772:22, 30772:25, 30773:18, 30774:3,	30777:7, 30777:14, 30778:5, 30779:15, 30779:21, 30782:9, 30783:23, 30785:2, 30789:18, 30794:21, 30797:4, 30797:14, 30797:23, 30799:16, 30800:24, 30803:7, 30804:21, 30811:5, 30813:16, 30813:17, 30814:5, 30814:19, 30815:21, 30816:13, 30816:18, 30819:1, 30820:14, 30820:17, 30820:18, 30821:4, 30823:14, 30828:17, 30831:4, 30836:15, 30837:13, 30839:15, 30842:8, 30842:10, 30842:15, 30843:17, 30850:23, 30853:23, 30854:9, 30854:21 <b>update</b> [1] - 30805:4 <b>updated</b> [3] - 30710:21, 30735:5, 30793:6 <b>upset</b> [5] - 30634:9, 30634:16, 30711:23, 30724:4, 30746:1 <b>upside</b> [1] - 30709:3 <b>urged</b> [1] - 30692:17 <b>urine</b> [25] - 30706:11, 30706:13, 30709:18, 30839:13, 30840:4, 30840:20, 30841:9, 30841:15, 30841:18, 30841:22, 30842:4, 30842:11, 30842:22, 30843:1, 30843:8, 30845:4, 30845:10, 30847:3, 30848:22, 30855:16, 30855:23, 30856:5, 30856:12, 30856:17, 30857:12  <b>V</b>  <b>V4</b> [29] - 30601:19, 30601:20, 30602:12, 30602:21, 30603:2, 30603:3, 30603:5, 30603:12, 30603:16, 30604:3, 30604:9, 30604:11, 30604:12, 30604:17, 30604:24, 30604:25, 30651:12, 30651:13, 30653:2 <b>vaguely</b> [3] - 30624:1, 30638:25, 30837:14 <b>valid</b> [1] - 30842:12	<b>validated</b> [2] - 30741:16, 30747:17 <b>validity</b> [6] - 30741:17, 30741:21, 30742:3, 30742:16, 30745:4, 30821:6 <b>valleys</b> [1] - 30654:4 <b>valuable</b> [2] - 30814:13 <b>value</b> [3] - 30654:22, 30845:6, 30846:5 <b>various</b> [8] - 30629:18, 30654:25, 30691:15, 30702:17, 30706:13, 30760:10, 30805:21, 30832:19 <b>vehicle</b> [9] - 30599:4, 30601:7, 30601:24, 30609:7, 30609:12, 30622:17, 30623:1, 30623:4, 30623:10 <b>verbatim</b> [1] - 30810:11 <b>verge</b> [1] - 30829:8 <b>versa</b> [1] - 30603:21 <b>via</b> [1] - 30742:21 <b>Vic</b> [1] - 30591:11 <b>vice</b> [1] - 30603:21 <b>view</b> [23] - 30609:4, 30611:18, 30615:11, 30623:14, 30640:23, 30642:16, 30656:12, 30665:14, 30680:25, 30686:5, 30690:5, 30691:3, 30693:3, 30695:16, 30714:3, 30714:10, 30723:19, 30735:24, 30738:12, 30754:14, 30780:1, 30823:4, 30839:11 <b>viewed</b> [3] - 30625:14, 30723:23, 30753:25 <b>views</b> [1] - 30770:14 <b>virgins</b> [1] - 30799:24 <b>visions</b> [1] - 30818:4 <b>visit</b> [3] - 30666:4, 30669:4, 30774:15 <b>visited</b> [1] - 30823:18 <b>visiting</b> [1] - 30736:24 <b>voice</b> [3] - 30674:25, 30807:20 <b>Volume</b> [1] - 30589:22 <b>volunteers</b> [2] - 30673:4, 30758:1  <b>W</b>  <b>wait</b> [9] - 30625:22, 30626:8, 30626:9, 30692:22, 30695:17, 30695:22, 30738:24,
--	--	--	---	--



30847:17, 30848:2 <b>waited</b> [1] - 30636:10 <b>waiting</b> [1] - 30745:24 <b>walk</b> [2] - 30601:13, 30601:16 <b>walked</b> [1] - 30728:8 <b>walking</b> [3] - 30602:20, 30676:14, 30841:12 <b>wall</b> [2] - 30781:7, 30800:16 <b>wanna</b> [2] - 30829:2, 30830:14 <b>wants</b> [1] - 30847:22 <b>war</b> [1] - 30681:17 <b>Warden</b> [2] - 30733:24, 30734:4 <b>warden</b> [6] - 30734:10, 30734:13, 30735:19, 30735:23, 30736:20, 30736:21 <b>wasted</b> [1] - 30829:5 <b>ways</b> [3] - 30808:16, 30809:15, 30849:13 <b>weapon</b> [2] - 30663:5, 30669:16 <b>wearing</b> [1] - 30594:2 <b>weather</b> [1] - 30602:5 <b>week</b> [6] - 30598:4, 30612:5, 30658:21, 30792:6, 30798:22, 30815:19 <b>weeks</b> [4] - 30716:11, 30739:25, 30740:1 <b>white</b> [1] - 30841:7 <b>whittled</b> [1] - 30695:24 <b>whole</b> [4] - 30667:20, 30763:17, 30765:3, 30810:23 <b>wild</b> [2] - 30796:4, 30796:14 <b>Wilde</b> [1] - 30590:10 <b>Williams</b> [23] - 30621:16, 30621:23, 30624:10, 30633:9, 30634:1, 30635:7, 30657:15, 30666:1, 30671:19, 30678:22, 30684:1, 30688:24, 30690:2, 30714:25, 30721:22, 30723:21, 30724:4, 30738:15, 30739:18, 30740:3, 30803:20, 30849:8, 30849:16 <b>willing</b> [4] - 30791:16, 30807:5, 30807:25, 30808:1 <b>Wilson</b> [47] - 30591:6, 30595:13, 30616:23, 30637:23, 30685:8,	30685:17, 30771:6, 30771:14, 30772:15, 30773:8, 30773:24, 30774:12, 30783:24, 30785:11, 30787:12, 30788:1, 30806:14, 30806:17, 30806:23, 30806:25, 30808:18, 30808:25, 30809:17, 30816:1, 30823:15, 30823:18, 30823:19, 30824:5, 30824:12, 30826:5, 30826:8, 30826:18, 30827:22, 30829:8, 30829:20, 30829:23, 30830:16, 30830:24, 30831:4, 30831:18, 30832:7, 30832:19, 30832:25, 30833:7, 30833:14, 30834:16, 30834:18 <b>Wilson's</b> [2] - 30825:19, 30832:10 <b>window</b> [1] - 30607:18 <b>Winnipeg</b> [8] - 30697:22, 30734:16, 30753:17, 30753:20, 30760:14, 30770:11, 30770:12, 30774:22 <b>wiped</b> [1] - 30600:25 <b>wished</b> [2] - 30830:16 <b>withholding</b> [1] - 30819:2 <b>withstand</b> [1] - 30761:2 <b>witness</b> [10] - 30613:25, 30755:23, 30778:1, 30782:18, 30791:4, 30797:2, 30797:9, 30802:19, 30810:17, 30811:8 <b>witnessed</b> [1] - 30797:4 <b>witnesses</b> [18] - 30613:25, 30614:3, 30622:21, 30625:15, 30633:7, 30633:11, 30645:19, 30645:22, 30650:22, 30670:19, 30676:23, 30677:8, 30702:20, 30771:10, 30785:13, 30786:7, 30796:25, 30855:11 <b>Wolch</b> [41] - 30591:2, 30593:9, 30594:24, 30595:12, 30596:5, 30598:17, 30613:7, 30614:5, 30632:5, 30637:15, 30638:1, 30647:6, 30657:8, 30671:19, 30673:13, 30678:13, 30701:21,	30710:24, 30714:17, 30721:13, 30732:12, 30741:25, 30742:22, 30743:11, 30745:14, 30745:19, 30747:4, 30761:5, 30761:20, 30771:20, 30819:5, 30819:7, 30820:3, 30820:10, 30820:14, 30820:25, 30821:11, 30822:2, 30828:3, 30837:6, 30837:7 <b>Wolch-asper</b> [1] - 30598:17 <b>woman</b> [5] - 30757:10, 30757:11, 30808:2, 30808:24, 30815:9 <b>wonder</b> [1] - 30820:2 <b>wondered</b> [1] - 30797:16 <b>wonderful</b> [2] - 30780:11, 30856:1 <b>wondering</b> [3] - 30672:24, 30684:6, 30820:7 <b>word</b> [7] - 30754:12, 30767:19, 30768:3, 30786:15, 30793:25, 30831:10, 30843:16 <b>wording</b> [2] - 30594:1, 30825:20 <b>words</b> [21] - 30629:13, 30632:8, 30633:2, 30640:21, 30643:13, 30656:2, 30670:13, 30706:24, 30709:17, 30720:10, 30724:11, 30757:17, 30768:5, 30782:22, 30787:14, 30800:10, 30802:5, 30802:6, 30825:14, 30841:24, 30842:13 <b>workboots</b> [1] - 30622:10 <b>world</b> [2] - 30843:12, 30843:14 <b>worry</b> [2] - 30756:9, 30815:3 <b>worst</b> [6] - 30713:14, 30713:15, 30713:18, 30766:15, 30766:17, 30766:20 <b>wounds</b> [3] - 30658:25, 30662:17, 30669:14 <b>wrapped</b> [1] - 30752:6 <b>Wright</b> [1] - 30617:17 <b>write</b> [3] - 30606:18, 30705:6, 30765:24 <b>writing</b> [9] - 30606:20, 30615:4, 30621:23,	30621:24, 30675:17, 30678:7, 30773:1, 30791:15, 30816:8 <b>written</b> [1] - 30690:2 <b>wrongdoing</b> [3] - 30665:8, 30701:22, 30768:5 <b>Wrongful</b> [1] - 30589:3 <b>wrongfully</b> [1] - 30673:19 <b>wrongly</b> [1] - 30674:23 <b>wrote</b> [7] - 30688:6, 30712:5, 30764:19, 30817:14, 30817:15, 30832:8, 30837:7
<b>Y</b>			
<b>Ye</b> [1] - 30775:24 <b>year</b> [14] - 30628:18, 30636:11, 30654:7, 30677:15, 30678:1, 30714:5, 30734:19, 30734:21, 30735:1, 30766:22, 30772:10, 30818:14, 30853:8 <b>years</b> [19] - 30595:19, 30616:22, 30616:24, 30626:4, 30626:17, 30626:22, 30627:13, 30627:15, 30627:18, 30627:19, 30652:17, 30654:2, 30665:5, 30673:20, 30674:22, 30674:25, 30723:13, 30793:4, 30834:12 <b>yell</b> [1] - 30634:21 <b>yellow</b> [3] - 30594:2, 30839:20, 30841:8 <b>yellowish</b> [3] - 30842:21, 30855:14, 30856:13 <b>Yesterday</b> [1] - 30593:7 <b>yesterday</b> [11] - 30598:21, 30598:25, 30626:16, 30627:2, 30628:23, 30633:21, 30659:8, 30678:6, 30737:8, 30748:15, 30850:14 <b>young</b> [2] - 30673:18, 30818:11 <b>Young</b> [1] - 30817:5 <b>younger</b> [1] - 30813:17 <b>yourself</b> [4] - 30645:25, 30646:7, 30736:1, 30736:9 <b>Yup</b> [1] - 30830:2			

