

*Commission of Inquiry*  
*Into the Wrongful*  
*Conviction of David Milgaard*  
*before*  
**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE**  
**EDWARD P. MacCALLUM**

\*\*\*\*\*

Transcript of Proceedings  
and  
Testimony before the Commission  
sitting at the  
Sheraton Cavalier Hotel at  
Saskatoon, Saskatchewan

\*\*\*\*\*

On Tuesday, November 29th, 2005

Volume 100

Inquiry Proceedings



**Commission Staff:**

<i>Mr. Douglas C. Hodson,</i>	Commission Counsel
<i>Ms. Candace D. Congram,</i>	Executive Director
<i>Ms. Sandra Boswell,</i>	Document Manager
<i>Ms. Cheryl Ellerman,</i>	Document Assistant

**Support Staff:**

<i>Ms. Irene Beitel,</i>	Clerk to the Commission
<i>Ms. Karen Hinz, CSR, and</i>	Official Q.B. Court Reporters
<i>Mr. Don Meyer, RPR, CSR,</i>	
<i>Mr. Hugh Esson,</i>	Security Officer
<i>Mr. Tony Fitzgerald,</i>	Inland Audio Technician



**Appearances:**

*Mr. Hersh Wolch, Q.C.,*            **for** Mr. David Milgaard

*Ms. Joanne McLean,*            **for** Ms. Joyce Milgaard

*Ms. Lana Krogan,*            **for** Government of Saskatchewan

*Ms. Catherine Knox,*            **for** Mr. T.D.R. (Bobs) Caldwell

*Mr. Garrett Wilson, Q.C.,* **for** Mr. Serge Kujawa

*Mr. Rick Elson, Esq., and Pat Loran, Esq.,* **for** the  
Saskatoon Police Service

*Mr. Aaron Fox, Q.C.,*            **for** Mr. Eddie Karst

*Mr. Bruce Gibson, Esq., and Ms. Rochelle Wempe,* **for** the  
RCMP

*Mr. Eamon O'Keefe, Esq.,* **for** Mr. Larry Fisher

*Mr. David Frayer, Q.C.,*            **for** Minister of Justice  
(Canada), The Hon. Irwin Cotler

*Mr. Alexander Pringle, Q.C.,* **for** Justice Calvin Tallis  
(Retired)



INDEX OF PROCEEDINGSDESCRIPTION:PAGE:RICHARD ALLAN PEARSON, CONTINUED

- BY MR. WOLCH	20212
- TRANSCRIPT OF NARRATION OF VIDEOTAPE REENACTMENT	20292
- VIDEOTAPE ENDS	20306
- BY MS. MCLEAN	20318
- BY MR. FOX	20388
- BY MR. FRAYER	20406
- BY MR. GIBSON	20434
- BY MR. HODSON	20457



**Transcript of Proceedings**

(Reconvened at 9:04 a.m.)

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Good morning.

ALL COUNSEL: Good morning.

**RICHARD ALLAN PEARSON, continued:**

**BY MR. WOLCH:**

Q Mr. Pearson, I wonder if I can start by drawing on your many years as a police officer and I want to talk to you briefly about the taking of statements, and I noted during your direct examination a number of times there was a feeling conveyed that there was concern perhaps that Paul Henderson or Mrs. Milgaard were taking statements and possibly slanting or affecting the person who was being interviewed. Do you recall that at all?

A Specifically?

Q In a general sense. I'm not going to dwell on it.

A In a general sense I suppose, yes.

Q And there might be, I take it, a reverse perception that perhaps police or investigators are slanting what witnesses are saying, that could be?

A That could be, yeah.

Q And from your experience, do you find that the questioner can very much influence the tone and



1 the content of what the witness is saying in the  
2 statement?

3 A I believe that's fair, yes.

4 Q That is, if you come in as an investigator with a  
5 preconceived notion of what you want, you can  
6 certainly head the witness that way? You may not  
7 be able to get them all the way, but you can head  
8 the witness in that direction?

9 A I think some people are really more vulnerable to  
10 that than others, but some people you don't put  
11 words in their mouth or thoughts in their minds,  
12 they are very specific as to what they know or  
13 don't know, but if -- others may be more  
14 vulnerable to that if you lead them on, so to  
15 speak.

16 Q Is it normally your practice, and I don't think it  
17 was in this case, is it normally your practice to  
18 record the questions that are being asked of the  
19 witness?

20 A Well, there are different types of statements  
21 taken, some are questions, some are more of a  
22 narrative version, some of my statements were  
23 narrative, and that is really a summation of a  
24 general conversation that you do have specifically  
25 to the overall topic, but I do believe probably



1           the most effective, cleanest statement is one that  
2           is, you know, taped and audioed so you have a  
3           complete picture of what's going on and a  
4           word-for-word transcript of what's transpired, but  
5           in the real world that's not always possible.

6        Q       That's because questions can be very leading and  
7           really it's a matter of the witness adopting the  
8           idea put in their head?

9        A       That does exist, yes, that's a possibility.

10       Q       Perhaps a greater degree of reliability if the  
11           witness volunteered the information without being  
12           prompted or led?

13       A       Well, you have to introduce some topic or some  
14           thought to stimulate a conversation for people to  
15           be able to answer some of the thoughts or  
16           questions that you do have.

17       Q       For example, you indicated that after your role in  
18           this investigation was curtailed or over, you got  
19           involved in the Martensville case?

20       A       That's correct, yes.

21       Q       And by coincidence I have some involvement, or had  
22           some involvement in that having appeared before  
23           Justice Tallis in that case some time ago, so I  
24           have some knowledge of that case. Were you  
25           involved in the taking of statements in that case?



1 A No, I wasn't.

2 Q Or investigating that part of it?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Now, those were children who were questioned and  
5 came up with rather wild accusations against a  
6 number of people?

7 A That was before my time. When we became involved  
8 the charges had already been laid and the  
9 statements had been taken that --

10 Q I'm not blaming you at all for what happened  
11 there.

12 A No, no.

13 Q I'm just trying to get a concept, in that case it  
14 was children being questioned and ideas planted in  
15 their minds which they later began to believe were  
16 true.

17 A That in --

18 Q In a very big nutshell.

19 A That generally was one of the main problems, yes.

20 Q In your experience, and looking at your experience  
21 in Martensville, did you find -- we'll start with  
22 young children -- that if you ask them the same  
23 thing over and over again they may be eventually  
24 adopting what they think you want them to say?

25 A Well, I think child psychology, and of course I'm





1 not an expert in this field, but we did access  
2 experts during that investigation because children  
3 do disclose differently than adults just because  
4 they aren't able to sometime challenge maybe what  
5 is being presented to them, they have a tendency  
6 to want to follow what is acknowledged and being  
7 accepted, so if there's some affirmation by the  
8 person asking the questions and it sounds like  
9 that's what you want to hear, they may build on  
10 that through an acceptance, whereas you get an  
11 adult, they can discern between what they think  
12 they know or don't know, so they're much more  
13 difficult I think to lead if you are suggesting  
14 that maybe the interviewer had a bias and wants to  
15 take an adult down that same path that they might  
16 try and take a child down, so the two are very  
17 different, but I think there's some vulnerability  
18 on both sides.

19 Q Well, most people, if they are confined and are  
20 being questioned, want to get out of there. Has  
21 that been your experience, they want it over, they  
22 want to leave, they don't want to be questioned  
23 and confined?

24 A That's not really my experience, you know, people  
25 don't behave that way entirely. There are some



1 people I suppose if you are a suspect, you  
2 certainly want to get out of there, you don't want  
3 to reveal anything more than you have to, and if  
4 you are being pressed and interrogated there  
5 probably is that perception, but I think if you  
6 are a witness and you have something to say and  
7 you feel it's going to be beneficial to your  
8 cause, I don't really think you want to exit there  
9 too quickly.

10 Q What if you are being pressed and you have nothing  
11 to say?

12 A Well, that probably does become a bit of a  
13 dilemma, if you have nothing to say and you are  
14 being pressed to have answers.

15 Q So you might perhaps want to give the investigator  
16 a little bit that will satisfy the investigator  
17 and get you out of your circumstance?

18 A That is one scenario, yes.

19 Q Right. But as you get older, you become a little  
20 more discerning as to your position and what you  
21 should or should not be saying?

22 A I mean, you are talking about the difference  
23 between a child and an adult?

24 Q Yeah, the maturing aspect.

25 A I think the maturing aspect has everything to do



1 with it, you are able to know what you are  
2 prepared to say and what you believe and you are  
3 not as easily led. I think you can -- it all  
4 depends on the individual. Some people are very  
5 strong and others aren't. There's a lot of  
6 variables.

7 Q Right. But you might expect, and now I appreciate  
8 that a 16 year old is not a child, but you might  
9 expect a mature adult to be stronger in resisting  
10 suggestion than perhaps a 16 year old?

11 A And again I think there's a lot of variables here  
12 between somebody who is 16 and 26. I mean, the  
13 personalities, people are so diverse, I'm not sure  
14 we can categorize it that specifically.

15 Q But you do agree that one would have to look at  
16 the power of suggestion and consider the power of  
17 suggestion quite seriously in assessing an  
18 interview? Let me give you an example. Let's  
19 look at Linda Fisher for a moment. Now, you found  
20 her to be, in general sense, credible, and I think  
21 history is bearing that out, but you found her to  
22 be generally credible; correct?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Now, as an interviewer you could look at her, talk  
25 to her, get her statement and leave it at that



1           time or you can focus on the description of a  
2           knife to discredit her, you could do whatever --  
3           it's really "you" who is controlling how the  
4           statement gets conveyed to the reader?

5       A       I think there's also what you do with the  
6           information. I mean, if the information is on  
7           paper that you have taken from an individual, it  
8           all depends on what you do with it. You may in  
9           your mind feel that this is worthy of pursuing  
10          because I don't believe her because she had liquor  
11          on her breath or because she had a rough life or  
12          whatever, you can put everything together in a  
13          package and say, well, I don't believe this, I'm  
14          not going to take it seriously, but -- or you can  
15          analyse it out and say I don't believe it because  
16          a knife description is different, there's so many  
17          factors that come into play, and it, you know,  
18          it's the individual that is assessing this.

19       Q       What would you consider -- or might you consider  
20           the following scenario: Let us say you have the  
21           initial Linda Fisher statement and then you  
22           develop your Fisher car theory, okay, you've got  
23           the Fisher car theory?

24       A       Okay.

25       Q       Okay. Now, if you went back to Linda Fisher and



1           questioned her for a while and then produced a  
2           statement that says "and now I remember that Larry  
3           borrowed the car from Cliff that morning and now I  
4           remember he told me he killed a nurse," and  
5           provided that statement, would you expect that  
6           statement to have a great deal of credibility?

7       A       Oh, I'm not -- I don't think so really. Something  
8           that is that significant and that important to be  
9           introduced at such a late time, you would have to  
10          start to question why that would come up now.

11       Q       And the fact that she would be matching your  
12          theory?

13       A       Yes.

14       Q       That would cause great concern?

15       A       Yes.

16       Q       Now I'd like to draw your attention to a report,  
17          250597, I hope I have it correct. We have seen it  
18          many times, if you can go to 250603. Now this is  
19          the RCMP report that was prepared way back at the  
20          time of the offence, and if you look at paragraph  
21          19 -- and I hope I don't have to go through the  
22          whole thing with you, I'll try not to -- paragraph  
23          19 at the bottom. Now are you currently familiar  
24          with this report?

25       A       Umm, well, I'm, I'm not sure which report this is



1 but I --

2 Q We --

3 A Which one is it?

4 Q The RCMP report done back right at the beginning?

5 A Yeah, I've probably seen it, yes. Just, if you  
6 went to page 1, I could probably confirm if I have  
7 seen it, --

8 Q Can we go to the first page of that report?

9 A -- but I probably have seen this.

10 Q Yeah, just go to the next page, it's dated May --

11 A Is this the one by Rasmussen?

12 Q Yes?

13 A Yes, I believe I have seen it.

14 Q If we can go back to the page we were at, 250603.  
15 Now what I am primarily concerned about, and I'm  
16 going to go through it a little bit, is when you  
17 became aware of this, was it at what point in  
18 time, and was it when you got here for this  
19 Inquiry or was it back when you were looking into  
20 it, or --

21 A You know, I have no recollection of having this  
22 report at any time during the time that I was  
23 involved in looking into the Larry Fisher aspect,  
24 I really don't.

25 Q Well that is the concern that I am going to be



1           raising, is that it wasn't available in the  
2           Supreme Court, even, as to this report. Now I  
3           want to show it to you a little bit, looking at  
4           page -- paragraph 19, and I will speed read, sort  
5           of, if you don't mind.

6           A        Sure.

7           Q        You've got fall of '68, local police, two rapes,  
8                    one attempted, negative results -- if you can just  
9                    turn the page -- and it talks about the three  
10                   victims of Larry Fisher and:

11                    "In these three instances the M.O. was  
12                    similar in that the male approached his  
13                    victim from the rear, covered their  
14                    mouth with his hand and pointed a knife  
15                    into their back, forcing them down the  
16                    lane."

17           Now I pause right there. That could very well be  
18           very consistent with Gail Miller; could it not?

19           A        Yes.

20           Q        Especially in view of the fact that her mouth had  
21                    injury to it as if there was some force applied to  
22                    her mouth?

23           A        Yes.

24           Q        Okay. The description of the assailant very  
25                    similar, same person:



1                    "... forced his victim to undress at  
2                    knife point and always managed to stay  
3                    in the shadows ... He would ... have the  
4                    victim lie on her coat ...",  
5                    and I pause there. That might very well be  
6                    consistent with Gail Miller's coat?

7            A            Yes.

8            Q            I mean there is a lot in this report that leads to  
9                    the conclusion that the same person who had  
10                    attacked these three women attacked Gail Miller?

11           A            Yes.

12           Q            And this was available way back in '69?

13           A            I don't know where it was, I don't have --

14           Q            Well it was written in '69 anyway?

15           A            Yes, yes, oh yes.

16           Q            Yeah. Now I'm kind of at a loss as to understand  
17                    why something this important wasn't available to  
18                    you during your investigation, and how it could,  
19                    it could not be when it is so crucial. Can you  
20                    help us on that? And believe me, I'm not  
21                    assessing you or assigning any ill motive to you,  
22                    I'm just trying to understand why something so  
23                    basic would not be in your hands?

24           A            Well I'm sure it wasn't on the City Police file  
25                    that I had reviewed, and it didn't come through





1           our headquarters queries and it wasn't in our RCMP  
2           archives -- and I'm not sure exactly what's in our  
3           archives -- but I don't know, I really don't know.  
4           It was something that I hadn't seen, and where it  
5           was at, I'm sure it's -- I'm sure we know where it  
6           surfaced from, but I didn't, I didn't access it or  
7           I didn't see it.

8           Q       So what I am getting at is that we talk about  
9                   whether the police should have been alerted to  
10                  Larry Fisher, and that's not the point that I  
11                  think is to be made, the point is that there was a  
12                  person out there, who happened to be Larry Fisher,  
13                  who was operating with the same M.O. as the person  
14                  who killed Gail Miller?

15          A       Yes.

16          Q       Would you agree with me that, had you seen this  
17                   report when you were assisting Mr. Williams, that  
18                   it would have had a profound effect on you?

19          A       I believe it would have, yes.

20          Q       And it would have made you much more enthusiastic  
21                   for the position of David Milgaard when you  
22                   realized that, unknown to him, that very same  
23                   proposition was given a great deal of credibility  
24                   by the RCMP back in the days when it happened?

25          A       Yes, yes, I think that's fair.



1 Q And if I understand it correctly you, until you  
2 got to this Inquiry, didn't know of its existence?

3 A I don't, I don't recall seeing this report. I  
4 did -- you know, I have seen this leading up to  
5 the Inquiry, but as far as going back to the time  
6 of this investigation, I have no recollection of  
7 having this report.

8 Q All right. So any comment about the adequacy of  
9 disclosure at any point in time would apparently  
10 have been made without knowledge that this report  
11 even existed?

12 A Repeat the disclosure point? I'm just --

13 Q What I am getting at is if anybody was to look at  
14 it at the Supreme Court time, or at any time, and  
15 said "disclosure is fine", there would have been  
16 no knowledge that this report even existed?

17 A I'm assuming so, yes.

18 Q Yeah. Now just very briefly, I know I touched on  
19 it yesterday, if we can go back to 010033. This  
20 is the letter to Eugene Williams, and I just want  
21 to continue on the same topic that I started with  
22 yesterday. Sorry, just go down to that part, just  
23 that little portion there that:

24 "... we are interested in looking at  
25 patterns and similar acts, etcetera."



1           So I -- was it not clear to you back then,  
2           through Mr. Williams, that the interest was in  
3           similar act evidence?

4       A       I have no recollection of ever being requested to  
5           do a similar fact analysis or things like that,  
6           but as a -- we did have discussions about  
7           similarities but, as I mentioned to you, it wasn't  
8           put into an analytical package, so to speak, for  
9           comparison purposes. But we had, we had looked at  
10          statistically and also the analysis of the file,  
11          some of the file material that we had available,  
12          that -- others we never had.

13       Q       I don't want to get sidetracked on Mrs. (V14)-  
14           again, but had she been able to identify Milgaard  
15           or Fisher, what would have been the significance  
16           of that?

17       A       Well I -- if she would have been -- had a proper  
18           identification?

19       Q       Yeah, leaving aside all the frailties that she  
20           never even saw the assailant, but assuming she did  
21           pick out one or the other, what would have been  
22           the significance to your investigation?

23       A       Well it would have been, you know, an unsolved  
24           crime that had the potential to now be solved.

25       Q       But would it have been any, of any advantage into



1 the Milgaard application under 690?

2 A Well, I don't know. It came through, through the  
3 690 application, and the relevance that was put on  
4 it, that was put on it by the people applying, --

5 Q I --

6 A -- I don't know what impact it would have had.

7 Q No. You gave a lot of time to Mrs. (V14)-, you  
8 gave a lot of time to Mrs. (V14)-, --

9 A Yes.

10 Q -- and I got the impression a lot of it was given  
11 because you were kind-hearted and a caring police  
12 officer, and I commend you for that, but I'm  
13 interested in whether you felt that it would  
14 assist you in furthering anything regarding the  
15 690 application from your perspective?

16 A It may. It may confirm suspicion that, you know,  
17 if Larry Fisher was involved in this offence or if  
18 David Milgaard was involved in this offence, would  
19 that give some credibility that they are capable  
20 of committing an offence like the Gail Miller one.  
21 Again, it's just a circumstance that had no direct  
22 link to the homicide but it, again, is part of the  
23 circumstance that exists out there, possibly in  
24 the similarity of the activity.

25 Q It just strikes me that when you have a number of



1           offences right in the same neighbourhood, that if  
2           (V14)- could have some effect, those other ones  
3           would have a major effect?

4           A       Well, as I indicated, the file material was  
5           limited, and my suspicion certainly was based in  
6           part on his previous criminal records that are  
7           associated to this particular report. Going back  
8           to 1969, the investigator believed that the person  
9           responsible for these offences could have been  
10          responsible for Gail Miller's murder. The  
11          difference between 1969 and now is that we know  
12          who that person is, it's Larry Fisher, and that  
13          was in part some of the bases for my suspicion.  
14          And where the loop wasn't complete was taking the  
15          details of those previous convictions and  
16          converting those, that suspicion, into evidence  
17          for the purpose of 690. I mean that's where the  
18          failure was in my opinion. So the difference, I  
19          think, between today and '69 when the police  
20          didn't know who that person was, today we know who  
21          that person was, but analysing that material to  
22          say "that is the link to Gail Miller", that's the  
23          part that wasn't developed.

24          Q       You were aware that in October of 1970 we knew who  
25          that person was, Fisher was arrested for those



1 crimes?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Can you, can you tell me in a general sense -- and  
4 I don't want to dwell a long time on this -- but  
5 between the letter I've just shown you of July 5th  
6 and February the 27th of '91, when Kim Campbell  
7 turned down the application, what were you doing  
8 in the general sense; where were you focusing?

9 A Between?

10 Q July 5th and a turn-down in February the following  
11 year do you recall; where were you focusing your  
12 attention, --

13 A Geez, I, I really don't know.

14 Q -- do you know?

15 A There was -- I had lots of stuff on the go, I  
16 really -- it's a difficult one to tell you.

17 Q Well then if I could just briefly look at 044769.  
18 Now, sir, this is a report from Centurion  
19 Ministries, and you will note on the cover -- and  
20 it's seven pages and I won't go through it -- but  
21 it deals with a general synopsis of the Milgaard  
22 position and the attention of Larry Fisher. But  
23 this appears, and I believe this to be correct,  
24 that it was distributed to every Member of  
25 Parliament in December of 1990. And I would



1 expect that Kim Campbell was a Member of  
2 Parliament, but if she wasn't I would be shocked  
3 if it didn't get to her attention somehow, but in  
4 any event did you have any knowledge of this  
5 report?

6 A I never saw this report, it was never distributed  
7 to me, I -- as far as I know.

8 Q Okay. But Mr. Williams didn't call you up and say  
9 "Officer Pearson, here's a report, maybe you want  
10 to look at it and see what they are saying"?

11 A No, I never received a report from Centurion  
12 Ministries like this.

13 Q When Kim Campbell made her decision of February  
14 27th of '91 were you aware it was coming?

15 A You know, I don't recall if I was aware or not, I  
16 just don't recall.

17 Q So that is, in terms of whatever you were doing,  
18 there was no time limit or "I need your material  
19 by X day because the Minister is making a  
20 decision" or anything like that at all?

21 A Not that I recall, no.

22 Q Or Mr. Williams didn't phone you up and say "the  
23 Minister is going to be making a decision, is  
24 there anything you want to add, can I get, you  
25 know, your gut feelings on this thing, how do you



1           feel, what are you thinking, are you finished"?

2       A       No, I don't recall being solicited for my opinion.  
3           I had little input into the decision-making  
4           process that was going on in Ottawa regarding this  
5           whole matter. There is a reference in my notes  
6           somewhere, and I don't recall an exact date, where  
7           Mr. Williams did indicate he was having a meeting  
8           I believe with the Deputy Minister, or his people  
9           were meeting with the Deputy Minister, and he  
10          asked me if I had anything more for him. That  
11          reference is in my notes, I recall, but the time  
12          frame of that I'm not positive.

13       Q       And obviously Kim Campbell didn't phone you up and  
14           ask you for your input into her decision?

15       A       No, she didn't.

16       Q       Perhaps we might turn to 001784, and while we're  
17           turning to that I take it it's fair to say that  
18           when this decision was made, your job was far from  
19           done as far as you understood it, in terms of  
20           assessing the similar acts, the M.O., and things  
21           like that, you just hadn't gotten into it?

22       A       Yes, I think there was a lot of, a lot of  
23           questions that were out there yet. Yes, that's  
24           fair.

25       Q       Now here's her letter where she turns us down, and





1           if we can just turn to page 85, I just want to  
2           highlight this paragraph here:

3                   "During the investigation of this  
4                   matter, a number of comments were made  
5                   publicly which tended to suggest that  
6                   officials within the Department were not  
7                   impartial in their approach to the  
8                   application. That was simply not the  
9                   case. At all times I have had and  
10                  continue to have full confidence that  
11                  officials within this Department have  
12                  handled the inquiry fairly, objectively  
13                  and competently, and that their approach  
14                  to the examination of the case was at  
15                  all times wholly consistent with the  
16                  best traditions of the criminal justice  
17                  system in Canada."

18           Now, as she's writing this you've never seen the  
19           first RCMP report, haven't done a similar act  
20           analysis, and are you aware that -- were you  
21           aware of one of the officials comparing the  
22           Milgaard application to the belief that people  
23           have, I think it was 17 percent of the population  
24           believes that Elvis is still alive; was that  
25           brought to your attention?



1 A No.

2 Q She goes on to say:

3 "In view of the allegations that were  
4 made, senior officials concluded that,  
5 in the particular circumstances of this  
6 case, especially in view of the public  
7 perceptions that could flow from these  
8 unwarranted allegations, it would be  
9 appropriate to seek the advice of  
10 eminent counsel with considerable  
11 experience in matters of criminal  
12 litigation."

13 So that, because the allegations are unwarranted,  
14 they are seeking advice, not to consider the  
15 allegations, but presuming them to be  
16 unwarranted:

17 "... eminent counsel with considerable  
18 experience in matters of criminal  
19 litigation. The Honourable William R.  
20 McIntyre, Q.C., ... in Vancouver, was  
21 retained for that purpose. Mr. McIntyre  
22 has considerable experience in criminal  
23 litigation, ... a former member of the  
24 ..."

25 British Columbia Supreme Court:



1 "... the Court of Appeal for British  
2 Columbia and the Supreme Court of  
3 Canada."

4 He has reviewed the case in detail, and provided  
5 me with advice; do you see that?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Did you, as the investigator, even know that  
8 Mr. McIntyre was looking into the case?

9 A No. The decisions were being made in Ottawa, I  
10 really wasn't privy to -- I wasn't involved in  
11 this.

12 Q Well it's not a criticism of you, sir.

13 A Well no, I know, I'm not making it sound like it  
14 is. I'm just saying that I really don't have any  
15 knowledge of this.

16 Q So you didn't know it was even going to  
17 Mr. McIntyre?

18 A It -- there may have been a discussion with Mr.  
19 Williams, but again, I just don't recall.

20 Q Okay. Do you have any idea what was given to him?

21 A No.

22 Q Okay. You are in the same position as us. Do you  
23 have any idea what his opinion was?

24 A Not that I can recall, no.

25 Q Well I don't think you would know it because



1           nobody -- none have us have ever seen it.

2           A        Okay.

3           Q        I don't know if you noticed, but yesterday -- and  
4                    it had coverage in today's media -- the Department  
5                    of Justice released the report of Justice Kaufman  
6                    in the Truscott matter, that is he was retained by  
7                    Justice to look into that and they released that  
8                    today; do you have any idea why they are not  
9                    releasing the one here?

10          A        I have no idea. I have no idea at all.

11          Q        If you go down to the bottom of the page Kim  
12                    Campbell says:

13                    "I have reviewed the information and  
14                    submissions you have provided, which  
15                    included your initial application dated  
16                    December 28, 1988, the subsequent  
17                    information and materials you furnished  
18                    between ...",  
19                    '89 and '90, and the submissions you presented in  
20                    person in October of '90:

21                    "In addition the entire record of the  
22                    trial and appellate proceedings have  
23                    been reviewed. Over three dozen  
24                    individuals (some of whom testified at  
25                    trial, while others did not) were



1 interviewed ...".

2 The three dozen strikes me as a bit much. Now  
3 that was none of the Fisher victims; do you know  
4 who those three dozen people are?

5 A I don't know who they --

6 Q If you go to the next page:

7 "... or re-interviewed by the RCMP and  
8 departmental counsel ..."

9 I'm trying to think who three dozen people are if  
10 you don't put in the victims?

11 A Yes. Like I don't know, I don't know what was  
12 submitted out of Ottawa.

13 Q Okay.

14 A I mean I think it's on record here, what I did, so  
15 --

16 Q Oh, I appreciate that, and I trust that  
17 Ms. Campbell, when she testifies, will clarify  
18 these issues. Now she goes on to say:

19 "The issues raised ...",  
20 and if we can look at them, I just want to  
21 highlight number (4) is the only one that really  
22 concerns yourself:

23 "(4) the allegation that ... Larry Fisher  
24 may have committed the ...",  
25 crimes and the:



1 "... unsolved rapes in Saskatoon could  
2 have had on the jury's deliberations;  
3 ..."

4 Now if you look at this letter, and if we can  
5 just turn to the last page of it for a second  
6 just to see how many pages it is -- I think it's  
7 12, I think, I'm not sure, I believe it is 12  
8 pages -- of those 12 pages, when I read through  
9 it, there is only one paragraph that appears to  
10 deal with Larry Fisher. If we can turn to 793.  
11 Now, out of all those 12 pages, this appears to  
12 be the only portion that deals with Larry Fisher,  
13 who would obviously be quite crucial to the  
14 application, and here's how it's dealt with. If  
15 we could just highlight that paragraph:

16 "Inquiries were also made concerning the  
17 submission that one Larry Earl Fisher  
18 was Gail Miller's assailant. The  
19 observation of Linda Fisher, his former  
20 wife, that her paring knife was missing  
21 at the time of the murder was fully  
22 investigated, in addition to other  
23 assertions. Neither Ms. Fisher's  
24 suspicions, which were conveyed to the  
25 police in 1980, nor other well



1                   publicized assertions by her, provide  
2                   any evidence to link Larry Fisher to  
3                   Gail Miller's death."

4                   I take it you would disagree with that from what  
5                   you saw?

6           A           Uh-huh.

7           Q           Correct?

8           A           Yes.

9           Q           "Ms. Fisher noted that the photo of a  
10                   knife similar to the murder weapon  
11                   indicated a different handle type,  
12                   colour and blade from her missing  
13                   knife."

14                   Now that's focusing, again, on her recall of the  
15                   knife, not the fact that a paring knife was  
16                   missing and all the other things that we know  
17                   occurred that morning, including him not going to  
18                   work, etcetera, etcetera. Then she goes on:

19                   "However serious Mr. Fisher's criminal  
20                   record may be, the entire record at  
21                   trial and in this application reveals no  
22                   evidence to connect him with the killing  
23                   of Gail Miller. Although it was, as you  
24                   have conceded, quite coincidental that  
25                   Mr. Fisher resided at the Cadrain



1                    residence during Mr. Milgaard's visit,  
2                    no guilt or suspicion of guilt can be  
3                    attributed to Fisher in the absence of  
4                    some form of evidence linking him to the  
5                    crime."

6                    Well, pausing there, we have Mrs. Fisher, I think  
7                    you may have had a jailhouse informant, I'm not  
8                    sure, but you also have similar acts that haven't  
9                    been looked at; correct?

10            A            Yes.

11            Q            I mean --

12            A            I think when you also look here you have to look  
13                    at the suspicion, you know. Like I said, anyone  
14                    can have suspicion depending on the individual  
15                    that's examining this and I certainly had  
16                    suspicion.

17            Q            But you hadn't done the similar act analysis?

18            A            No.

19            Q            And Ms. Campbell is definitely totally wrong in  
20                    this paragraph, is she not, to suggest that there  
21                    is no evidence linking it to him when it hasn't  
22                    even been looked at?

23            A            Well, I suppose at that point with the information  
24                    she had, maybe she's not wrong, but because that  
25                    part of it hadn't been looked at as far as the





1 similarity, if you wanted to convert that  
2 similarity to evidence, and then maybe again  
3 that's the key as far as what is considered  
4 evidence, suspicion converts to evidence, and  
5 maybe this is, when they start talking about not  
6 having a link, and again I'm not positive what,  
7 you know, under 690 and the law, if there is a  
8 requirement to have some threshold of, to accept  
9 certain things as being persuasive, I just don't  
10 know what Mr. Williams and Justice Hughes, to  
11 consider this -- to consider what is evidence.  
12 Was similarity something that would persuade them?  
13 I don't know. Mr. Williams has to I think explain  
14 that.

15 Q Or Ms. Campbell has to explain it since she wrote  
16 the letter?

17 A Yeah, okay.

18 Q But having said that, they didn't have and you  
19 didn't have the '69 RCMP report and you hadn't  
20 done your job, and it's not a criticism, in terms  
21 of a similar act analysis?

22 A That's fair.

23 Q Now, if this opinion and this decision of  
24 Ms. Campbell had been accepted, then obviously Mr.  
25 Milgaard would have remained convicted of the



1 crime and Mr. Fisher would have gone free;  
2 correct?

3 A It's certainly possible, yes.

4 Q Now, there's been considerable discussion about a  
5 second application, or a fresh application that  
6 was accepted by Ms. Campbell. From your  
7 perspective, was there any difference between the  
8 first application and the second?

9 A I don't think so, you know. I think if we go back  
10 and we talk about this similarities and the  
11 analysis that was done on the similarities, we  
12 talk about, you know, my role of being responsible  
13 or reporting to Mr. Williams. You know, I do  
14 think that again going back to my very initial  
15 thoughts on this, is that if we all were around  
16 the table, this matter wouldn't have probably gone  
17 to a second application. I'm sure if you and the  
18 people that were representing Mrs. Milgaard were  
19 of the view that, look, I think we can make a case  
20 by putting the similarities together, and  
21 that would be very compelling and convincing and  
22 it would be evidence, that is something that, you  
23 know, I certainly could have pursued, that's not  
24 something difficult to do, but -- so I think it,  
25 if the request would have been made, it certainly



1           could have been done, and I don't know what more I  
2           can say on that.

3       Q       No, I think your suggestion about being around the  
4           table is a very good one and what you are  
5           basically saying is I understand that all parties  
6           have input, can dialogue and help each other  
7           understand better the whole case, isn't that what  
8           you are saying?

9       A       That's a given really. I think that's very  
10          important, yes.

11      Q       You are not saying necessarily everybody sit down  
12          at one meeting and discuss it?

13      A       No.

14      Q       You mean that everybody has to have input so  
15          everybody knows what the other is doing and can go  
16          forward?

17      A       Well, I think when you have a situation where  
18          there's a potential for a wrongful conviction, I  
19          think, you know, you have to draw up all the  
20          history to this and put your heads together.

21      Q       Thank you. Now, you would appreciate that once  
22          Ms. Campbell turns us down, it's pretty hard to  
23          write her back and say you are not very smart,  
24          please reconsider, that's not going to get you  
25          very far is it?



1       A       Like I say, I don't know the process that was in  
2               play here.

3       Q       She has to be given a reason to think it's a  
4               second application, but really, simply a request  
5               to look at the same thing and perhaps be a little  
6               smarter, isn't that what it really is, or let  
7               Officer Pearson do his job? I'm going to direct  
8               you to a response to that letter, 004416, and this  
9               seems to be exactly what you are saying. If you  
10              can go to -- this is a letter dated August 25th to  
11              Ms. Campbell in response to her -- I'm sorry,  
12              April 25th, in response to her turning down  
13              David's application. If you go to 004420, I think  
14              this is exactly what you were telling us,  
15              highlight that paragraph there:

16                     "It is, of course, very difficult to ask  
17                     you to reconsider your decision. We are  
18                     still proceeding to garner evidence to  
19                     exonerate David Milgaard. While clearly  
20                     I would welcome an opportunity to meet  
21                     with you in person and in particular,  
22                     with your advisors so that the matter  
23                     can be debated in your presence, and  
24                     where I am satisfied I can convince you  
25                     of the validity of our position, I have



1 little doubt that your officials who are  
2 most conversant with the case would not  
3 accept that challenge.

4 What I do feel can and must be  
5 done is the following:

- 6 1. Provide us with access to the  
7 material that formed the basis of your  
8 decision. That is, the entire  
9 investigation including police reports,  
10 witness statements and opinion evidence.  
11 2. Provide us with the information that  
12 went to Justice McIntyre and his  
13 response."

14 Now, two we are still waiting for.

15 "It is my respectful suggestion that the  
16 entire system of review pursuant to  
17 Section 690 ... is seriously flawed.  
18 The procedure is totally unclear and  
19 without any procedural safeguards. The  
20 result is totally unsatisfactory."

21 And skipping a little bit:

22 "The end result is that your officials  
23 are not only the investigators, but they  
24 determine credibility and then  
25 ultimately through yourself make the



1                   decision. We do not know the basis and  
2                   have no opportunity to correct in front  
3                   of an impartial tribunal factual error  
4                   and illogical conclusion."

5                   And I won't go further into that, but isn't that  
6                   what you are saying, that --

7           A        Yes, I believe today that's exactly what I'm  
8                   saying. I just don't believe that there should be  
9                   a process where we have to take positions,  
10                  particularly when you have a wrongful conviction,  
11                  I really believe in my heart that this should be  
12                  an open process and everyone should be able to,  
13                  again I'm saying lay your cards on the table, but  
14                  have all the information out there so that the  
15                  matter can be examined, and what form that takes  
16                  as far as legal, you talk about tribunals or  
17                  whatever, but at the end of the day there  
18                  shouldn't be obstacles in the way, particularly at  
19                  the end of the process where there is a potential  
20                  for a wrongful conviction.

21          Q        Yeah, because the point is that wrongful  
22                   convictions are devastating and they are a  
23                   terrible, terrible thing; correct?

24          A        I agree.

25          Q        They are a policeman and a Crown attorney's worst



1 nightmare really?

2 A Well, I think they are everybody's worst nightmare  
3 because I don't think I know anybody that would be  
4 content with letting someone be wrongfully  
5 convicted and not have some feeling for an  
6 injustice.

7 Q And you do agree that in order for those advocates  
8 for the wrongfully convicted, or the potentially  
9 wrongfully convicted, you have to have access to  
10 the materials that were in the hands of the  
11 investigators and full, complete disclosure, it's  
12 a must?

13 A With -- I think with safeguards. I think, you  
14 know, there are -- you have to be careful how far  
15 you open the door as well because, you know, there  
16 are many, many people with very vicious pasts and  
17 have nothing to lose by taking advantage of  
18 whatever opportunity they have, so while we can  
19 say that the system should be more open, there has  
20 to be some safeguards because you could have total  
21 chaos if you don't.

22 Q Well, for example, the Truscott report was  
23 released today, but there were some privacy  
24 concerns that were honoured?

25 A Oh, yes, I'm sure.



1 Q That can be done?

2 A Oh, yes.

3 Q And furthermore, in order to get to this process,  
4 there has to be an air of reality to the  
5 application?

6 A Yes.

7 Q It can't just be some Larry Fisher type saying  
8 hey, look at my case, there has to be some reality  
9 to it?

10 A There has to be a process I think.

11 Q Yeah. So you can see at this particular point in  
12 time, on David Milgaard's behalf there's no  
13 information as to what went to Justice McIntyre,  
14 whether it was slanted, biased or fair, there's no  
15 indication as to what his response was, and there  
16 was no chance to even address him as to the  
17 position of David Milgaard. Furthermore, whether  
18 he knew or didn't know that you hadn't even looked  
19 into the similar act analysis we still don't know.  
20 Are those all fair comment?

21 A I believe so, yes.

22 Q And in the interests of time, I'm not going to  
23 take you to it, but in the same letter, if you go  
24 to 418, just for the record sake there is the  
25 response to Larry Fisher, and I'll paraphrase it





1 by saying it takes serious, or serious concern  
2 with the suggestion that it was ever conceded that  
3 he was coincidental or it was a big coincidence,  
4 the paragraph speaks for itself, but it does deal  
5 with the response to that and it talks about the  
6 newspaper warnings to women in the area. Were you  
7 aware of that during your investigation?

8 A Of newspaper articles?

9 Q Yes, the warnings to women in the area to be weary  
10 of the rapist?

11 A Yes, I believe there were some old newspaper  
12 clippings on the Saskatoon police file.

13 Q "The fact that there was a serial rapist  
14 in the area whose method of operation  
15 was identical to the Gail Miller  
16 incident; that this brutal rapist was  
17 Larry Fisher who resided in the Cadrain  
18 home and carried on with additional  
19 attacks on women; has confessed in the  
20 jail to another inmate of having stabbed  
21 and dumped a body in a snow bank; would  
22 have been evidence admissible in David  
23 Milgaard's trial. It should also be  
24 noted that a number of articles taken  
25 from the deceased were found partially



1 hidden near the Fisher residence. Larry  
2 Fisher, not David, of course, would have  
3 reason to have hidden those articles.

4 In your letter you indicated  
5 that I have conceded as quite  
6 coincidental that Larry Fisher was  
7 residing in the Cadrain residence of the  
8 murder."

9 You'll note as I go on the letter wasn't that  
10 well written.

11 "I have also conceded that it is quite  
12 coincidental that the Gail Miller  
13 killing is similar right down to the  
14 choice of weapon to some of the other  
15 offences that Larry Fisher has  
16 committed. I have conceded that the  
17 victims are very similar to Gail Miller,  
18 even down to the general nature of their  
19 occupations and to their area of  
20 residence. I have also conceded as  
21 coincidental that Larry Fisher was not  
22 home at the relevant time of the killing  
23 and that in an interview with the Fifth  
24 Estate he cannot recall how many times  
25 he used paring knives on women. I have



1                   conceded that a number of articles of  
2                   Gail Miller were found within one very  
3                   short block of Fisher's residence. If  
4                   given a chance I believe I could  
5                   probably obtain a conviction against  
6                   Fisher in front of a reasonable jury,  
7                   but that however, is not the issue. The  
8                   evidence pointing at Fisher is evidence  
9                   admissible in the Milgaard case. The  
10                  jury never heard it."

11               And I go on from there, but in any event, taking  
12               serious umbrage with the idea it's all a big  
13               coincidence, sarcasm is sarcasm. And just for  
14               the record sake, to refer to something I  
15               mentioned earlier, 004 -- sorry, I think it's  
16               681. My copy is kind of blurry.

17               MR. HODSON: What's the number?

18               MR. WOLCH: I think it's 0046 -- and I  
19               think it's 01.

20               COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: 004416?

21               MR. WOLCH: I'm sorry, 46, and then it's a  
22               blank number 1. It's the article in *The Globe*  
23               and *Mail*.

24               COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Oh.

25               MR. WOLCH: I want to refer to that next.



1           If it's not 01, it could be 91. That's it. Just  
2           that reference I made earlier, I want to be sure  
3           we have it right.

4                   COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: What is the  
5           number?

6                   MR. WOLCH: It's 601 I think.

7                   MR. HODSON: 004601.

8                   COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay.

9           BY MR. WOLCH:

10       Q           It says here:

11                   "'I don't want to talk about the  
12                   Milgaard investigation to you or anyone  
13                   else, good-bye,' William Corbett told  
14                   The Globe and Mail yesterday and banged  
15                   down the telephone. Mr. Corbett is the  
16                   senior Crown counsel who oversaw the  
17                   review.

18                               Several months before  
19                   Ms. Campbell's decision, Mr. Corbett  
20                   already appeared to have made up his  
21                   mind that David Milgaard was guilty.  
22                   'Seventeen percent of people still  
23                   believe Elvis Presley is alive,' he  
24                   remarked in an interview with the Globe  
25                   last August."



1           So I've drawn to your attention, as you will  
2           recall, Ms. Campbell in her report indicates that  
3           her people were all unbiased and fair and  
4           impartial. That seems to contradict that; does  
5           it not?

6       A       I never saw this article before, but yeah.

7       Q       If we can then go to 010002. Now, this is August  
8           the 20th of '91, and for the record's sake and for  
9           your information, Mr. Pearson, August 14th of '91  
10          would have been the date of the so-called second  
11          application.

12      A       Okay.

13      Q       And this is a memo from Mr. Williams to Mr.  
14          Corbett and you'll see here --

15               MR. FRAYER: Mr. Commissioner, excuse me,  
16               if I might direct a couple of comments with  
17               respect to this document.

18               COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Go ahead.

19               MR. FRAYER: This particular document was  
20               the subject matter of some discussion as between  
21               Mr. Hodson and myself in an earlier stage of this  
22               proceeding and at that time I indicated that we  
23               took the position that this was a privileged  
24               communication, that while it has been provided to  
25               the Inquiry, that at this stage it's not a public



1 document, and with that discussion in mind,  
2 subject to the idea that at some later stage  
3 someone will testify from the federal government  
4 with respect to this document, at this time I'm  
5 objecting to it being referred to and put into  
6 the record of the Inquiry.

7 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay. Do you have  
8 anything?

9 MR. WOLCH: Sorry, I believe the document  
10 is important, without going into it in detail, it  
11 does refer to a direction given to Sergeant  
12 Pearson which I think is important to know about.  
13 I don't know why --

14 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Well, it might be  
15 important, but that doesn't mean that it's not  
16 privileged of course.

17 MR. FRAYER: I think that's the same area  
18 to which Commission Counsel was going to go when  
19 he and I had the discussion with respect to  
20 whether this document should be referred to at  
21 all at this stage.

22 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yes.

23 MR. FRAYER: And once again, referring to  
24 one portion of it will simply mean that  
25 ultimately that document will become part of the



1 public record, so at this stage I'm arguing that  
2 it is a privileged document and that it can't be  
3 referred to for the purpose of the examination of  
4 this witness.

5 MR. WOLCH: Well, I take the position it's  
6 an important document. I'm not sure how it got  
7 into my possession to be candid.

8 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Is it on the  
9 database?

10 MR. HODSON: Sorry, if I might just  
11 comment. The document I believe was received  
12 from the Government of Saskatchewan and may have  
13 been provided to them by Federal Justice. I  
14 stand to be corrected. I had intended to ask  
15 some questions of Mr. Pearson with respect to  
16 information in this document. Mr. Frayer advised  
17 me in advance, because the document was in the  
18 folder, that they were maintaining privilege and  
19 that at some later point this issue will have to  
20 be dealt with and certainly, (a), what the  
21 privilege is, and (b), whether it has been waived  
22 given that it was -- given that we have it, so  
23 again, that's an issue, and I think what Mr.  
24 Frayer advised me, that he wished that no  
25 questions be asked with respect to the document



1           until that issue has been concluded and so I  
2           determined I didn't need to ask Mr. Pearson about  
3           it, but at some point we'll have to resolve  
4           whether we're entitled to have it or not, so I  
5           don't know what that does for Mr. Wolch, but --  
6           and I think there may be one other document that  
7           we've had the same issue.

8           MR. FRAYER: There was at least the other  
9           document, the Rutherford document that we earlier  
10          spoke to early on in this proceeding at which  
11          time, Mr. Commissioner, you made a ruling with  
12          respect to that, that at that stage the privilege  
13          obtained and that it could not be introduced into  
14          the public record.

15          COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Well, I think all  
16          that Federal counsel needs surely is to establish  
17          that it is in fact a document, a communication  
18          between client and the principal and if he  
19          asserts privilege, then that's it.

20          MR. WOLCH: It's not between a client,  
21          it's between --

22          MR. FRAYER: It's an internal document.

23          MR. WOLCH: It's between Mr. Corbett, who  
24          is defined as senior general counsel and E.F.  
25          Williams is counsel, it's not a client, but I





1 would say that the releasing it to Saskatchewan  
2 is a complete waiver of privilege.

3 MR. FRAYER: And that's something that can  
4 be argued at a later stage, Mr. Commissioner, but  
5 for present purposes I take the position that  
6 it's a privileged communication and at least at  
7 this stage should not be examined on.

8 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Well, yes, it  
9 could be argued later, Mr. Frayer, but of course  
10 later will probably be too late for the purposes  
11 of Mr. Wolch's examination of this particular  
12 witness, so maybe we should deal with it now.

13 MR. FRAYER: I mean, if we can redact all  
14 portions of the document save and except the  
15 paragraph that deals with the discussion between  
16 Mr. Williams and Sergeant Pearson, then that  
17 might answer the issue.

18 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yes, okay.

19 MR. FRAYER: If Mr. Wolch would be  
20 satisfied with that, then I think from my  
21 position I likewise would be satisfied.

22 MR. WOLCH: If I may, if I'm going too far  
23 I apologize, but what I was attempting to show  
24 through this document is that the Department of  
25 Justice realized that it was not a fresh



1 application, it was the same application, which I  
2 think is important to know, that they realized  
3 it. The idea that it was a brand new application  
4 was a fiction and that's why I would like to try  
5 and establish, and I don't know why this would be  
6 privileged given that it was released, and  
7 secondly, I'm a little concerned about the  
8 position of privilege generally. I mean, from  
9 Mr. Milgaard's point of view, the Department of  
10 Justice asked him to waive privilege for every  
11 lawyer he has ever seen and he has done that,  
12 that any lawyer he has talked to can say whatever  
13 they want about what David told them, but on the  
14 other hand, Justice, who in the case of Truscott  
15 says here we don't care about privilege, we're  
16 going to release the material, now in this case  
17 says they don't want to release it, it seems  
18 somewhat inconsistent and ties the hands of this  
19 Inquiry in terms of what's really important, and  
20 that is trying to improve the system and not make  
21 the same mistakes twice; that is, we know  
22 mistakes were made, and we would like to know why  
23 they were made, and so, Mr. Commissioner, you can  
24 give the recommendations that will prevent this  
25 from happening in the future. That's what we're



1 really here for, beyond anything else, is to not  
2 let it happen again, and we are here this far  
3 into the Inquiry, we don't know what's in these  
4 documents, we don't know what Mr. McIntyre was  
5 given to consider, we don't know what his opinion  
6 was even and how it was followed. Those are all  
7 going to the heart of why we're here and maybe we  
8 should address it at some point in time, that  
9 that's what we're doing here, is trying to find  
10 that out, and to be hampered by not having full  
11 disclosure now on a decision-making process and  
12 what was happening is disconcerting and it's not  
13 so much a focus on blame, but more a focus on not  
14 letting it happen again and that's all we're here  
15 for, and, you know, if My Friend wants to say  
16 Justice is asserting privilege, I don't know what  
17 they want to assert privilege on or how far it's  
18 going to go, but it is an issue that will have a  
19 life of its own when we come to future witnesses  
20 like Mr. Williams, Ms. Campbell, Mr. Corbett,  
21 whoever comes to explain and help us for the  
22 future, so whether we do it now or later I don't  
23 know, but I welcome some direction as to when  
24 we're going to do it.

25 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Anything to add,



1 sir?

2 MR. FRAYER: I have nothing to add, Mr.  
3 Commissioner, thank you. I now have the document  
4 in my hand, I can see the portion to which Mr.  
5 Wolch wishes to refer.

6 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Is your offer  
7 still open then to refer to that particular part  
8 only?

9 MR. FRAYER: I think I would like to  
10 consider that. Perhaps if Mr. Wolch carries on  
11 with his cross-examination and --

12 MR. WOLCH: If I may, for Mr. Frayer's  
13 benefit, if you look at the document on page 2  
14 under observations, I would like to go into the  
15 first paragraph and the last paragraph of that,  
16 and under action taken, I would like to go  
17 through the middle paragraph, and the rest I'm  
18 not too concerned about.

19 MR. FRAYER: Perhaps Mr. Wolch and I can  
20 address that issue at the break, Mr.  
21 Commissioner.

22 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yes.

23 MR. FRAYER: If he can carry on with the  
24 balance of his examination and we can discuss --

25 MR. WOLCH: I can carry on.



1 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thank you.

2 BY MR. WOLCH:

3 Q Now, somewhat after this point in time you became  
4 aware of the fact that the matter was going to the  
5 Supreme Court?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And I take it that between the "first application"  
8 and the "second application" the analysis of  
9 similar act was perhaps carried on a little bit or  
10 furthered or developed; would that be fair, that  
11 it was now better understood, or do you know?

12 A I just don't recall. I'm sorry, I don't.

13 Q Okay. Let me ask you this, do you have any view  
14 of the media's involvement as to how it affected  
15 you or the investigation or the case?

16 A I think initially, as far as trying to work with  
17 Larry Fisher, it probably had some effect based on  
18 the fact that it was the subject of one of his  
19 concerns, is that his safety was at issue, so that  
20 part of it there certainly seemed to have some  
21 influence.

22 Q Would it be fair to say that given Larry Fisher's  
23 background, his -- the type of person he is and  
24 the number of years he's been in jail, you weren't  
25 all that optimistic that you were going to have a



1 person cleansing his soul?

2 A You don't know. I mean, that's an unknown, you  
3 just don't know. In hindsight I could certainly  
4 say that, but at the time I don't think I could  
5 have.

6 Q Okay. Did you find that the media pressure was  
7 always a factor you were considering and Mr.  
8 Williams was considering?

9 A I think for the Larry Fisher part of it there was  
10 a media influence there, but overall, I mean, the  
11 media play, you know, an important role in keeping  
12 people advised, there are stories out there all  
13 the time, so that was, it was a high-profile case,  
14 so it had a lot of media coverage, but to say that  
15 affected me, I don't --

16 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: What did you --  
17 don't what?

18 MR. WOLCH: Say it affected me were his  
19 words.

20 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Say that it  
21 affected you. I didn't get the rest of it  
22 though.

23 A To say that the media overall affected me, I don't  
24 believe so, but when you say how the media  
25 affected the investigation, I think it was more to



1 do with the initial stages of Larry Fisher  
2 reacting to it.

3 BY MR. WOLCH:

4 Q In fairness, it was the media that uncovered  
5 information as well?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And I'm not sure you would be, but are you able to  
8 offer an opinion as to whether anything would have  
9 happened in terms of reopening this case without  
10 the media pressure?

11 A Gee, I don't know. I don't know if I could answer  
12 that really.

13 Q I didn't think you would, but I thought I would  
14 try.

15 A Yeah, okay.

16 Q But in terms of the media pressure, so to speak,  
17 or the media coverage, it certainly brought  
18 forward witnesses and people who read about it,  
19 heard about it and suddenly had something to  
20 offer?

21 A During the Supreme Court review?

22 Q At any time.

23 A Oh, yeah.

24 Q A case in the media brings forward people who may  
25 or may not have, you know, something of value to



1 contribute?

2 A Yes, I agree.

3 Q Now if I can go back briefly to 250597 and go to  
4 603, this is the same report that we looked at  
5 earlier, and if I can bring out that paragraph  
6 I've highlighted, this is back in that RCMP report  
7 from '69.

8 A Yes.

9 Q It is mentioned that at approximately 8:25 a  
10 complaint was received from (V4)---- (V4)--- to an  
11 attempted indecent assault at 7:07 a.m. The  
12 complainant was on her way to catch a bus, 22nd  
13 and H, male 30, 5'5", etcetera, describes him,  
14 person grabbed her, ran his hands up and down her  
15 legs, she screamed, did not look back, etcetera,  
16 etcetera. We've heard this many times, that's why  
17 I go through it pretty quickly, and you know who  
18 I'm talking about?

19 A Yes.

20 Q When did you first hear about her?

21 A I believe, if I recall, there was some information  
22 on the Saskatoon Police file, and there may have  
23 been some notation on the file that she was  
24 eliminated as a suspect, I'm just not sure, but  
25 I'm sure there was some police material that re --





1 involving her on the Miller police file, yes.

2 Q Yeah. Now this report we've talked about you  
3 didn't have any knowledge of, but would it be fair  
4 to say that the Minister had no knowledge of  
5 (V4)---- (V4)---, or do you know that? Because,  
6 when she made her decision, was (V4)---- (V4)---  
7 brought to her attention from any source?

8 A I think -- I think, again, we have to go back in  
9 my report --

10 Q Well I'll try to help you?

11 A -- to see if (V4)---- (V4)---'s name was mentioned  
12 before that time. I think it probably was.

13 Q Okay. I'll try to help you, because the first I  
14 know of (V4)---- (V4)--- surfacing is when she, as  
15 she testified here, she read about it in the paper  
16 and then called Mr. Asper, and this would have  
17 been around the time of the Supreme Court  
18 reference.

19 A Yes.

20 Q That's what I think to be the case. So I draw to  
21 your attention 056409. Thanks. Now this document  
22 will not be perfectly helpful on dates, but it  
23 might draw you in the right direction, 056409.  
24 You see, this is a note from yourself --

25 A Yes.



1 Q -- to (V4----'s lawyer, and it's dated '91,  
2 December 30th?

3 A Yes.

4 Q So it was pretty late in the game --

5 A Yes.

6 Q -- that, you know, we're getting involved with  
7 (V4)---- (V4)---. So what I am getting at is, as  
8 an investigator, might you agree with me that her  
9 evidence is quite important? You know, I'll put  
10 it in this term; she is attacked within a few  
11 blocks of the Miller incident within a few minutes  
12 of the incident?

13 A Yes.

14 Q It's all in the same area?

15 A Yes, yeah.

16 Q And that would be important from day one; would it  
17 not?

18 A I would think it would.

19 Q Leaving aside your role in this case, putting  
20 yourself back as an investigator, if you were  
21 presented with the fact that Gail Miller's attack  
22 occurred between 7:45 (sic) and 7:00 a.m., and  
23 whether it's 7:07, 7:05, or 7:10, whatever it  
24 might be, this young woman is attacked seven or  
25 800 yards away would have been quite relevant from



1 day one?

2 A Yes. And, if I remember correctly, I think there  
3 was some reference made to this by the initial  
4 investigators that it was not involved in this or  
5 something --

6 Q You --

7 A -- and I -- the complete report, I don't believe,  
8 was there. I'm not even sure if there was a  
9 separate report made on (V4)---, I'm just not  
10 certain.

11 Q Leaving that aside, I'm just talking about you as  
12 an investigator, --

13 A Yes.

14 Q -- putting on your hat that -- not what somebody  
15 else did, but you as an investigator, --

16 A Yes, yes, it would be, yes.

17 Q -- it's important, obviously?

18 A Yes.

19 Q This woman was attacked in the same approximate  
20 area, same approximate time, that's a relevant  
21 piece of information?

22 A Yes, I agree.

23 Q And this came forward through her, so would you  
24 agree with me that we should be concerned that  
25 this was really never disclosed by the authorities



1 at any time to anyone acting for David Milgaard,  
2 just in a general sense would that cause you  
3 concern?

4 A Yeah, I guess in the general sense, if you thought  
5 there was something it was associated to, yes.

6 Q Well let's look at it this way; --

7 A Yes.

8 Q -- we have somebody attack a woman, Gail Miller,  
9 in 40 below?

10 A Now I'm sorry, Mr. Wolch, are you talking about  
11 back at this time or --

12 Q At all times it should have been disclosed.

13 A Okay.

14 Q It's just something so basically relevant.

15 A Yes, yes.

16 Q We're talking about at 6:45 or thereabouts, in 40  
17 below in the morning, a man is out there attacking  
18 women. Now, I take it -- and the incidents of men  
19 attacking women on the streets in 40 below is  
20 pretty rare, it's not something you as an  
21 investigator see very often, ever at all in your  
22 life; right?

23 A No, it's rare.

24 Q It may be in the history of Saskatoon it only  
25 happened twice, and both times in the same morning



1           within 10 or 15 minutes, so the likelihood is it's  
2           the same person who did them both; isn't it?

3       A       Well that has been debated as well, but like you  
4           say, a very good possibility that it was the same  
5           person.

6       Q       I'm talking to you common sense, forget everything  
7           else, --

8       A       Yeah, I agree.

9       Q       -- and a very good investigator, which you are?

10      A       I agree, coincidentally, you would certainly have  
11           to try and make an association between the two.

12      Q       I mean the idea that there would be two, two men  
13           out within a couple blocks of each other, it's  
14           early morning hours and 40 below, attacking women,  
15           I mean you have got a better chance to win the  
16           lottery than have that, would you not agree, just  
17           common sense?

18      A       Yeah, I think that's logic, yes.

19      Q       Mr. Commissioner, I am going into a new area, I  
20           don't know if you want me to break now or if you  
21           want me to go a little longer, it's --

22                   COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: No, that's fine.

23                   MR. WOLCH: Okay.

24                   COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: We'll break now.

25                   (Adjourned at 10:17 a.m.)



(Reconvened at 10:36 a.m.)

MR. WOLCH: Mr. Commissioner, before I commence, I had some discussions with Mr. Frayer during the break and I guess the bad news is that we haven't arrived at any consensus, but the good news is that perhaps we will leave it for another time when we can argue with a broader picture of the issue.

I can indicate to you that part of my concern is that we're not being consistent in this matter on what is privileged and what isn't, and with other cases as to what is privileged and what isn't. For example, Mr. Commissioner, if I can draw your attention to 010019, we saw this memo several times before I believe, and this is from Mr. Williams to file. And clearly the same privilege argument could have been made on this document earlier, that it was -- that it was privileged, it's not much different except in one case it goes to file and in one case it goes to co-counsel, so there isn't very much difference. So that document was gone through previously by Commission Counsel, I forget when exactly but I know he went through it, so I'm not sure how we pick and choose what



1           may and may not be privileged in this particular  
2           case, particularly when it's released to  
3           Saskatchewan Government, so we'll have to look  
4           into that. And I'm also very concerned with the  
5           accessibility to the reports that went to  
6           Mr. McIntyre, went from him, and there I'm  
7           talking about broader consistency. Because in  
8           the *Truscott* case the very same type of report  
9           has been released, and I'm not certain how we can  
10          talk about transparency in decision-making and  
11          there should be openness, and then find out that  
12          government wants to be selective in what it  
13          releases and to whom it releases it, that it's  
14          okay for Saskatchewan to see it but not for  
15          anybody else.

16                        So those are concerns, but I --  
17          it's an issue that I can overlook at this point  
18          in time. I know I can question, whether it's Mr.  
19          Williams, Ms. Campbell or Mr. Corbett at a later  
20          time, and -- but -- and I think Mr. Frayer would  
21          like to get some firm instructions as to what his  
22          position should be, and maybe they will  
23          reconsider, or at least determine what their  
24          position is, and it will have to be argued at a  
25          different time, but I think I can move on and not



1 tie you up at this particular point in time with  
2 that issue, if that's permissible.

3 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yes, that's fine,  
4 Mr. Wolch. I just want to state the obvious, of  
5 course. There are two questions here, one is a  
6 policy question and the next one is a legal one,  
7 and if a document is in fact privileged I don't  
8 think I have any discretion to order that it be  
9 considered, and if somebody wants to assert the  
10 privilege, that's their right.

11 The other one is a question of  
12 policy. The policy followed thus far has been  
13 quite open insofar as giving up privilege is  
14 concerned, so that's something you are going to  
15 have to settle with your fellow counsel, I guess.

16 MR. WOLCH: And come back before you.

17 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: And the Federal  
18 Government, of course, is in a special position  
19 here constitutionally, and that's another thing  
20 that's behind all of this as well, I would ask  
21 you to keep that in mind too.

22 MR. WOLCH: Yes.

23 BY MR. WOLCH:

24 Q Mr. Pearson, if we can carry on, I'll tell you  
25 that I am optimistic that we'll finish this





1 morning so we'll move ahead.

2 Now you, from time to time, have  
3 heard some degrees of frustration during the  
4 entire process from people advocating for David  
5 Milgaard. You recall there's considerable  
6 frustration through the media, and directly, with  
7 the -- how the process was proceeding, and you  
8 will recall from Ms. Campbell's letter that there  
9 was an accusation, perhaps, that there was more  
10 interest in discrediting David's position than in  
11 terms of trying to get to the truth of the matter,  
12 but I've clumsily paraphrased it?

13 A Okay.

14 Q Okay. Now it's fair to say that when the  
15 applications were coming forward, on the positive  
16 side for David there was a recantation by a major  
17 witness and a very viable suspect, that turned out  
18 to be the real killer?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And I wonder if you can perhaps comment on a few  
21 aspects that were investigated which I can suggest  
22 would have caused tremendous frustration on behalf  
23 of the applicant and created a sense that, a  
24 belief that the investigators or at least the  
25 Justice Department was only interested in



1 maintaining the status quo. And one I  
2 specifically want to draw your attention to is  
3 three pieces of evidence, and I would like your  
4 comments on them. The first is Ken Cadrain; how  
5 did he come forward, do you recall how he came to  
6 your attention?

7 A It was through the Saskatoon Police Service.

8 Q Do you recall who specifically?

9 A I think, I think I received a call -- it's in my  
10 notes -- I think I received a call from  
11 Mr. Simpson, inspector at the time I believe, and  
12 it -- I recalled that Ken Cadrain was doing some  
13 work at the mother or mother-in-law of one of the  
14 constables at the Saskatoon Police Service, and  
15 this was I believe shortly after there was some  
16 publicity about Albert Cadrain and what he is  
17 alleged to have said or seen, and I think Ken  
18 Cadrain, if I'm not mistaken, didn't like what he  
19 heard or saw and made certain statements, and that  
20 came through the system eventually to me. I think  
21 that's how it was pieced together.

22 Q As an investigator you try to determine, to a  
23 degree, credibility and what reliance can be put  
24 on evidence; do you not?

25 A Umm, yes, I think so.



1 Q Now let's look at Ken Cadrain's evidence in the  
2 broader sense.

3 A Yes.

4 Q He would have been five years old at the time,  
5 almost six?

6 A Six, yeah, okay. He was five, yeah, he could have  
7 been five.

8 Q Yeah. And on the morning in question the most  
9 that can be said is that David and/or his friends  
10 turned up at the house, picked up Shorty, and  
11 left, nothing was important about that morning  
12 until much later; would that be fair?

13 A That's fair.

14 Q I mean the first time the five-year-old might have  
15 understood it to be an important morning would  
16 have been maybe six weeks or more later?

17 A That's fair, yes.

18 Q Would you normally expect a five-year-old, six  
19 weeks later, to remember a non-event?

20 A Well yeah, I don't know what I would expect a  
21 young child to remember, everyone is quite  
22 different. But, you know, it is a young, tender  
23 age, there is no doubt about it. There seemed to  
24 be some things that he said stood out in his mind  
25 when he was talking about, you know, a virgin and



1           such that he said was foreign to him, this may be  
2           a statement that stuck in his mind. But, you  
3           know, I think it's -- it's like everyone 21 years  
4           later, you know, what did they really know at the  
5           time versus what they picked up along the way, so  
6           it's difficult to --

7       Q       Would you expect that --

8       A       -- view it with finality in assessment.

9       Q       Would you have expected the police to have talked  
10       to him then?

11       A       Possibly, if it was known that he had something to  
12       say.

13       Q       But, if they thought he had something to say, they  
14       would have likely talked to him?

15       A       I believe so, yes, if they thought he had  
16       something to say or if they knew that he had some  
17       information.

18       Q       Okay. Now here he is -- and I'll turn to 001477  
19       -- now here he is, in 1990, talking about his  
20       memory of when he was not even six years of age;  
21       you see? And now he can remember staying home  
22       from school that morning and:

23                        "When I heard a knock on the door,  
24                        Albert answered ... opened the door ...  
25                        and something like "Hoppy, how are you



1                   doing."

2                   Now this is 20 years later, he remembered that 20  
3                   years later. Now:

4                   "They seemed excited ... immediately  
5                   Milgaard either asked for a pair of  
6                   pants or he had his own, I'm not  
7                   positive ... but I faintly recall him  
8                   asking Albert for pants."

9                   And it goes further:

10                  "... 'what happened to your pants' ...",  
11                  "... 'I screwed a virgin' or 'I was with  
12                  a virgin' ...",

13                  we're back on the preoccupation with virgins,  
14                  but:

15                  "... I didn't know what a virgin meant  
16                  ..."

17                  So he would have remembered something he didn't  
18                  even know what it meant, do you see that, a  
19                  five-year-old remembering a word he doesn't even  
20                  know what it means?

21                  COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Whose report was  
22                  that, Mr. Wolch?

23                  MR. WOLCH: Pardon me?

24                  COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Whose report was  
25                  that?



1 BY MR. WOLCH:

2 Q That's your report, I'm sorry, is it not?

3 A That's his statement.

4 Q Yes. Just go to the last page of this. I'm sorry  
5 Mr. Commissioner, go to the last page, it's  
6 001480; this is witnessed by yourself?

7 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Ken Cadrain's  
8 statement?

9 MR. WOLCH: Yes.

10 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thanks.

11 MR. WOLCH: July the 18th of 1990.

12 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay.

13 BY MR. WOLCH:

14 Q So you've got a man now saying he can remember  
15 back then, when he was five years old, something  
16 that he didn't understand, that would have meant  
17 nothing to him; would you not have looked at this  
18 and say "this is not worth anything"?

19 A I don't think I looked at it at the time as being  
20 not worth anything, I think I looked at it at the  
21 time as being worth something, you know. Even  
22 though you are, in hindsight now you can go back  
23 and say, "well at five years old what would he  
24 know", you know, he was an adult giving a  
25 statement and, you know, you assess whether or not



1           it's got value or not, you know. It is a -- was a  
2           tough call, but I mean I took at face value, what  
3           he was saying.

4       Q       If you turn to 001478 he says:

5                       "I was never interviewed by the  
6                       police.";

7           do you see that?

8       A       Yes.

9       Q       And so would you expect that, if he had something  
10           to offer back then, the police likely would have  
11           talked to him?

12      A       If they would have known that he had something, or  
13           if he ever indicated through his family or as a  
14           child or as a kid that was present when this was  
15           all happening, that's a possibility. But, you  
16           know, maybe he never had anything to offer then, I  
17           don't know.

18      Q       Okay. The second issue that may have caused  
19           concern to the Milgaard side was the Ben, Ben  
20           Dozenko; do you recall him?

21      A       Yes.

22      Q       Put into a nutshell, this is a prison guard who  
23           claimed he heard a confession from David?

24      A       Yes.

25      Q       Correct?



1 A Yes.

2 Q This was a man who had, I believe, alcoholic  
3 problems and mental problems?

4 A Yes.

5 Q You recall that?

6 A Yes.

7 Q He is also the man who David escaped from; were  
8 you aware of that?

9 A I don't recall that, but it's possible, yes.

10 Q All right, yes, and you -- and there was  
11 absolutely no record of him ever reporting David  
12 for it?

13 A I -- well, that was followed up, --

14 Q Yeah.

15 A -- I think that came through his book if I'm not  
16 mistaken?

17 Q Yeah, not a documented portion anywhere.

18 A There was no documents that were ever located that  
19 I know of.

20 Q I mean when David wouldn't turn the radio off, he  
21 reported that, he reported everything but this?

22 A Right.

23 Q And he had a grudge to bear. So that's the second  
24 element.

25 And then we turn to Mrs. (V14)-,





1 is the third element that would have caused  
2 concern to the Milgaard side, and we dealt with it  
3 a bit, a bit yesterday, and if we can turn to  
4 009098. Now I know I asked you this yesterday,  
5 but I just want to point it out, if we can get to  
6 the -- that little portion there.

7 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: This is what?

8 MR. WOLCH: This is 009098, sir. This is  
9 the statement of (V14)-- (V14) on May the 14th  
10 1968 in (V14)---- district of Saskatchewan, or  
11 near (V14)-- I guess, (V14)----.

12 BY MR. WOLCH:

13 Q Now at the time of this incident David would have  
14 been 15 years of age; do you accept that?

15 A Yes.

16 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Which incident do  
17 you mean?

18 MR. WOLCH: The (V14)-, the one I'm looking  
19 at now.

20 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Oh.

21 BY MR. WOLCH:

22 Q Now she says in her statement:

23 "I never once saw the culprit's face  
24 ...";

25 wouldn't that end the matter in terms of trying



1 to get an identification?

2 A You mean back when the offence took place?

3 Q Yeah. There is no reason for her to lie back then  
4 or make things up, I mean --

5 A No, no, I --

6 Q -- in terms of she says, "I never saw it, I never  
7 once saw his face".

8 A Yeah.

9 Q What possible benefit is any kind of  
10 identification 20 years later?

11 A Umm, only she can possibly explain that. Like I  
12 say, through her difficulties, she was having  
13 maybe she felt that she had to see him. I mean  
14 she was telling me that, you know, the voice  
15 sounded similar, there was some general  
16 descriptors she was giving, but when I became  
17 involved in this I think it's well-documented that  
18 I believed that there were identity issues as  
19 well. However, the only piece of evidence that  
20 would have put any concluding marks on this would  
21 have been through the blood analysis, and that was  
22 the only real lead there was that might have put  
23 some real firmness to what was going on here.

24 Q Well you knew that David was always willing to  
25 give blood for anything?



1 A Yes.

2 Q He gave samples whenever asked, a sample could go  
3 anywhere, --

4 A Yes, yes.

5 Q -- there was no problem there?

6 A Yes.

7 Q But what I am getting at is how could there be  
8 voice identification, like --

9 A I am not saying that there would ever be  
10 identification. I think I pointed out to  
11 Mrs. (V14)- that there would be identity problem  
12 if she -- because she was talking about having a  
13 private prosecution, she was consulting lawyers,  
14 she was adamant that in her mind David Milgaard  
15 was responsible, and as we talked before I think  
16 that came -- that was implanted in her mind by  
17 possibly the police that returned her exhibits  
18 from this particular offence. And then because  
19 her name came through the 690, and one thing led  
20 to another, and she had told me that she had a  
21 child born nine months later thinking it may be a  
22 relationship to the assault, really the only link  
23 that was available in my opinion was who fathered  
24 the child, and that way that would maybe be  
25 evidence that this same person committed this



1 crime. That was really the only avenue that we  
2 could pursue.

3 Q Well --

4 A As far as identity, there was identity issue, I  
5 think it's well documented in my notes as well.

6 Q Well, might I raise this question with you.  
7 David's face and body was on television and in the  
8 papers on a regular basis at that point in time,  
9 that is there were photographs of him as a  
10 16-year-old in the paper on a fairly regular basis  
11 and there were television documentaries that --  
12 where he walks around and says things, I mean  
13 surely she would have had access to all that to  
14 see him?

15 A And that was the issue, that was part of the  
16 identity issue, in that she was saying that it was  
17 David Milgaard, and she had seen his picture in  
18 the paper, and so all of those things became a  
19 factor in saying, well, identity is an issue as  
20 far as prosecution.

21 Q Well --

22 A And her counsel had contacted me I think on a  
23 couple of occasions, because they were interested  
24 in pursuing this, and I said -- I did indicate  
25 that, you know, "there are some real problems



1           here".

2           **Q**       As I understand it, though, she wanted to get from  
3           Mrs. Milgaard a chance to view a picture of David?

4           **A**       I believe that's what she wanted.

5           **Q**       But those pictures were in the media on a regular  
6           basis?

7           **A**       Yeah, and I don't --

8           **Q**       That's what I am trying to understand?

9           **A**       I don't know at what point she, you know, saw  
10          photographs of David Milgaard. I mean, there was  
11          lots going on that I, in her life that I certainly  
12          wasn't up to speed on.

13          **Q**       Uh-huh. Yet we're talking about a person who,  
14          supposedly, she never even saw. It's just quite  
15          bewildering to me. But if we can look at  
16          009581 -- I hope I have the right number, no, I  
17          don't think I do, could you try 009587, yeah,  
18          okay. This is an affidavit of Mrs. Milgaard which  
19          was filed in the Supreme Court pursuant to the  
20          reference and I'm interested, and I think it's  
21          paragraph 16, here you have Mrs. Milgaard's  
22          affidavit:

23                    "Oh May 5, 1991 in the company of Paul  
24                    Henderson I interviewed (V14)-- (V14)-  
25                    ... in the bus depot in Regina. She or



1                   someone on her behalf had contacted  
2                   David Asper and myself claiming that  
3                   Ms. Bear had information relevant to the  
4                   case. When I met with Ms. Bear she  
5                   asked to see a photograph of David. I  
6                   presented her with a photograph and when  
7                   she saw it she said "it could be him but  
8                   his hair was longer and dirtier". The  
9                   picture I showed was that of Larry  
10                  Fisher. When I told her this she was  
11                  quite taken aback. The reason I showed  
12                  her Larry Fisher's picture was because I  
13                  did not trust her. She had asked to see  
14                  David in prison but claimed that she  
15                  could not bear to watch him on  
16                  television. This made me suspicious to  
17                  say the least."

18                Do you see, now, why there was some concern about  
19                'what's this all about, it doesn't make any  
20                sense'?

21            A       Well, you know, I guess there's two sides to what  
22                went on here and only Mrs. Milgaard and Mrs.  
23                (V14)- can probably, you know, enlighten anyone as  
24                to what was going on. I think there are some  
25                differences in how they said their contact was



1 and, you know, you could -- I'm reading what I say  
2 here and I take that as fact, but it also has some  
3 differences as to what Mrs. (V14)- says in her  
4 statement to me, so, you know, there are some  
5 differences.

6 Q Keeping in mind Mrs. (V14)- is a lady who says she  
7 never saw the person to begin him, she's had  
8 alcoholic problems, she's had mental problems.

9 A She has difficulties, yeah.

10 Q And I appreciate how sensitive you are to them,  
11 and you should be commended as I say, but there is  
12 a degree of unreliability to her obviously?

13 A Potential, yes.

14 Q So my point is this, that with the application  
15 going forward, I want to ask you if you can sense  
16 the frustration that from the applicant side you  
17 have highlighting the matter the recantation of  
18 Wilson, you have the identification of Fisher, you  
19 have what appears to be not much of an  
20 investigation of Fisher by Justice in terms of  
21 going through his victims, similar act analysis,  
22 doing some real good police work and some good  
23 work, and what you have really is a focus on a six  
24 year old, or a five year old evidence, of a child,  
25 a prison guard who has no credibility and no



1 record of whatever he says, and a woman who never  
2 even saw her assailant, so can you sense the  
3 frustration from the other side, that here's real  
4 evidence we're giving to you and you are off on  
5 this tangent of trying to find anything you can to  
6 maintain the status quo?

7 A I don't think that's accurate at all really, I  
8 don't think there was any tangent that was trying  
9 to close the door on David Milgaard, I really  
10 don't believe that.

11 Q Not on your part.

12 A I think, you know, when Larry Fisher came into  
13 this, and I said many times and it's well  
14 documented, my reports say the same thing, that he  
15 was a good suspect, and that's kind of the  
16 position I was taking because I honestly believed  
17 it, and I really had nothing in my mind to try and  
18 shut the door on David Milgaard. Information came  
19 through from all sorts of different sources and  
20 you assessed it and you reported it and you could  
21 look back in hindsight and say, well, you should  
22 have spent more time on this and less on that, but  
23 that's just the way investigations are, and to say  
24 that there was any effort on my part, I'll take  
25 exception to that because I think I was





1 open-minded and I was quite prepared to take this  
2 wherever it may go and I will definitely never say  
3 that David Milgaard was someone that we tried to  
4 close the door on.

5 Q I didn't say we. What I'm saying to you is this,  
6 it would have been so much better if you were  
7 heading an investigation and you could focus on  
8 priorities such as Fisher's M.O. and could have  
9 said to a junior constable, look, go check out the  
10 five year old, go check out this, go check out  
11 that, and I'll prioritise what I think has a  
12 greater semblance of credibility to it, that's all  
13 I'm suggesting.

14 A Okay. I agree with that.

15 Q You buy that?

16 A I buy that.

17 Q The very last matter I want to talk to you about  
18 are the theories you developed, and when you  
19 developed these theories, what was your purpose at  
20 that time?

21 A I think that came to a conclusion at the end of my  
22 involvement and it was something to be documented  
23 to maybe assist in further consideration as part  
24 of the investigation. It was information that had  
25 floated around out there as far as how could this



1 possibly have been committed and looking at how  
2 could you possibly develop some evidence and how  
3 could you link Larry Fisher to Gail Miller and the  
4 purpose was to potentially look at this in a  
5 different light, and, you know, we talk about the  
6 similarities, how Fisher operated, and much of the  
7 crime scene would fall into the similarities of  
8 how Larry Fisher operated, but at the same time,  
9 just to try and, again, going back to that time,  
10 not having clarity as to how he could be involved,  
11 it was potentially a way to look at it  
12 differently, and again, not just Larry Fisher, but  
13 looking at it based on the fact that David  
14 Milgaard was also in the system.

15 Q No, and I commend you for doing it, I'm sure it  
16 was quite a bit of work. You did it obviously  
17 after the Supreme Court?

18 A It was typed up, so to speak, or formalized. I  
19 think it was unfolding as time went on.

20 Q Did you attend the Supreme Court at all?

21 A No, I didn't.

22 Q Was there any reason for that?

23 A I was -- why I didn't as a witness or just to --

24 Q No, no, I mean to watch. You put considerable  
25 effort into it. I would have hoped you would be



1           there to watch and give guidance.

2           A       Yeah, I suppose if I would have been asked or  
3                   invited, but again, I was involved with lots of  
4                   things and it's not something that I can recall  
5                   wanting to attend. It's not that I didn't want  
6                   to, it's just something that life was moving on  
7                   and I was working and I never considered going as  
8                   a participant, or as a spectator.

9           Q       But having -- well, more than a spectator, I'm  
10                   thinking why you wouldn't be there to be a  
11                   resource person. In an ideal world surely having  
12                   an RCMP officer as a resource person who knows the  
13                   case can see that something is being missed or  
14                   something has been misunderstood or for that  
15                   purpose it would surely have been useful?

16          A       Well, as close as I got was submitting questions  
17                   that could pose, potentially be asked of Larry  
18                   Fisher to try and -- like I say, yourself and all  
19                   the other counsel, you had a chance to go at him  
20                   at the Supreme Court and you got closer to him  
21                   than I as a policeman would probably ever get  
22                   based on the fact that there would be legal  
23                   counsel between me and him, so that was the  
24                   opportunity. If he was ever going to provide  
25                   anything that would be incriminating or whatever,



1           enlighten us as to how he may have been involved,  
2           I think this was the opportunity, so to speak, but  
3           at the end of the day, you know, I think we all  
4           know he wasn't going to say anything.

5       Q       No, but I think, you know, with the benefit of  
6           hindsight, it would be a good idea if you were  
7           there to watch it as the investigator and be able  
8           to forward the investigation, but I want to get  
9           into your theories, and I thought about a method  
10          of doing it, and rather than go through an awful  
11          long background, I think I can -- I would like you  
12          to look at a video that will speed things up  
13          considerably. Have you ever seen the video from  
14          the Supreme Court?

15      A       I never have, no.

16      Q       I would like you to see this and then I'll go into  
17          your theories, and this will compress an awful lot  
18          of material into one thing if I may.

19      A       But if I just, if I may, I mentioned this before,  
20          in the theories, I mean, it's just a theory, they  
21          could both be wrong, and if one happens to be  
22          right, the other one is obviously wrong, so it  
23          really --

24      Q       Oh, no, I agree with you on that, but the point  
25          I'm going to get to at the end is how much more



1           valuable it would be if you had input, you know,  
2           back to your around-the-table position, that if we  
3           didn't look at this adversarially perhaps, but  
4           looked at it as people working together to figure  
5           something out, and if you can watch this for the  
6           first time, I will then go to your theories and it  
7           will save me a lot of time.

8       A       Okay, sure.

9                   COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: You haven't seen  
10           it before?

11      A       I haven't seen it before.

12                   MR. WOLCH: It's not too complicated, but  
13           if we can just put that on.

14       TRANSCRIPT OF NARRATION OF VIDEOTAPE REENACTMENT

15                   "A single portrayal of the evidence  
16           given at the trial of David Milgaard in  
17           January of 1970 is virtually impossible  
18           because of the many conflicting versions  
19           given by the witnesses Nichol John and  
20           Ron Wilson. This videotape attempts to  
21           provide the viewer with a sense of the  
22           area in which the crime occurred, and to  
23           portray the alleged encounter with Gail  
24           Miller.

25                   It was unclear as to whether



1                   this alleged encounter occurred on  
2                   Avenue O or Avenue N between 21st and  
3                   20th Streets in Saskatoon, so both  
4                   versions are shown.

5                   In the case of the encounter on  
6                   Avenue N, reliance was placed on the  
7                   statement given by Nichol John on May  
8                   24th, 1969, although her evidence was  
9                   considerably different at the trial.

10                  In his closing address to the  
11                  jury, the Crown Attorney said the  
12                  following:

13                  "Now, I'd like first to outline the  
14                  Crown's theory of the offence. The  
15                  evidence is that the girl, Miss Miller,  
16                  was standing at her residence home, 130  
17                  O South between, as I get it, 6:35 and  
18                  6:45 the morning of the murder. It must  
19                  be inferred that she set off on foot for  
20                  the bus line on 20th down either Avenue  
21                  P - excuse me, Avenue O or Avenue N, one  
22                  or the other. She had to go south from  
23                  her residence, and the Crown suggests on  
24                  the evidence that it was down Avenue N  
25                  proceeding southward on the west side of



1                   that avenue, proceeding towards 20th  
2                   Street where the bus line is."

3                   Although the Crown committed  
4                   itself to the theory that Milgaard's  
5                   encounter with Gail Miller occurred on  
6                   Avenue N, this passage from the jury  
7                   address shows that the Crown also had to  
8                   allow for the possibility of an attack  
9                   on Avenue O.

10                  Let us begin with an  
11                  examination of the Avenue O theory.

12                  Gail Miller lived at 130 Avenue  
13                  O South which was slightly over one  
14                  block in a straight line from a bus stop  
15                  located on the southwest corner of  
16                  Avenue O and 20th Street. There was  
17                  also a bus stop located at the corner of  
18                  Avenue N and 20th Street. If Gail  
19                  Miller were to take the bus to work  
20                  along 20th Street, common sense suggests  
21                  that she would take the most direct  
22                  route to the bus stop, straight along  
23                  Avenue O to 20th Street.

24                  As we see in this portrayal,  
25                  Gail Miller would leave her home from



1 the front door and would have the option  
2 of crossing over to the west side of the  
3 street, either at 21st or when she  
4 reached 20th Street. Here we see her  
5 crossing over to the west side of the  
6 sidewalk at 21st Street and then  
7 proceeding south.

8 At this point, according to the  
9 Crown theory, she would be stopped by  
10 the Wilson vehicle and Milgaard would  
11 ask her for directions.

12 "Hi. Do you know how to get to  
13 the Peace Hill area?"

14 "No, I'm sorry."

15 "Stupid bitch."

16 Ms. Miller would then continue in a  
17 southward direction towards 20th Street  
18 and, according to Wilson and John, they  
19 also proceeded in a southward direction,  
20 arriving at an intersection on a street  
21 with a center boulevard. Although 20th  
22 Street has never had a center boulevard  
23 or any sort of median, the Crown  
24 nevertheless insisted that the street on  
25 which the U-turn was made was 20th





1 Street. Here we see the point at which  
2 the car has reached the intersection and  
3 begun its U-turn as well as the location  
4 of Gail Miller, given her forward  
5 progress, after the encounter with the  
6 vehicle.

7 The evidence at trial disclosed  
8 that once the U-turn was three-quarters  
9 completed, the car became stuck and  
10 Milgaard and Wilson got out of the car  
11 and spent a considerable period of time  
12 trying to get it unstuck. By the time  
13 the decision was made for Milgaard and  
14 Wilson to get out of the car and try to  
15 push it, Gail Miller would have already  
16 arrived at the bus stop on Avenue 0 and  
17 20th. The evidence that Milgaard left  
18 on foot to get help toward where the  
19 girl had been walking in this version  
20 removes the possibility of an encounter  
21 with Gail Miller on foot. The alleged  
22 encounter with Gail Miller on Avenue 0  
23 is simply not possible.

24 Moreover, David Milgaard was  
25 looking for St. Mary's Church as a



1 landmark to guide him to Cadrain's home.  
2 Albert Cadrain lived one block south of  
3 St. Mary's Church and, if this alleged  
4 encounter had occurred on Avenue O, then  
5 the Wilson vehicle would have been stuck  
6 not more than 50 feet from this rather  
7 imposing landmark, a landmark which, at  
8 approximately 9:00 a.m. on the morning  
9 of January 31st, 1969, in fact did guide  
10 Milgaard to the Cadrain home.

11 The Avenue N theory evolved as  
12 a result of the statement given by  
13 Mr. Henry Diewold who was the caretaker  
14 of St. Mary's Church. Diewold testified  
15 that he walked from the rectory of the  
16 church to the church itself at  
17 approximately 7:00 a.m. and as he walked  
18 he had a clear view into the east-west  
19 portion of the T-shaped alley. He said  
20 that he saw lights of a car positioned  
21 at approximately the stem of the T in  
22 the alley which would be approximately  
23 at the point where the police vehicle is  
24 positioned in this photograph. As he  
25 returned from the church to the rectory



1 at about 7:10 a.m. he saw the lights  
2 again and saw a figure pass back and  
3 forth in front of the lights.

4 The evidence of Marie Indyk  
5 suggests that either she or Mr. Diewolf  
6 is mistaken about the time. In order to  
7 connect this car with the murder and  
8 with Wilson, John and Milgaard, the  
9 Crown had to explain how it would have  
10 been in the alley facing in a westerly  
11 direction. The way that this was done  
12 was to place Gail Miller walking in a  
13 southward direction on Avenue N headed  
14 towards the bus stop at 20th Street.

15 Here we see a portrayal of the  
16 route that Gail Miller would take to  
17 come directly out of her front door,  
18 head south on Avenue O to 21st, then  
19 east on 21st to Avenue N, then south on  
20 Avenue N toward 20th. With temperatures  
21 hovering about minus 40 Fahrenheit, it  
22 is difficult to conceive of why anyone  
23 would take the longest route possible to  
24 a bus stop. In any event, as Gail  
25 Miller proceeds south on Avenue N, we



1                   see and hear the alleged encounter with  
2                   her.

3                   "Hi. Can you tell me how to  
4                   get to Pleasant Hill or downtown?"

5                   "No, I'm sorry."

6                   "Can we give you a ride  
7                   somewhere?"

8                   "No, thank you."

9                   "Stupid bitch."

10                  Her response to the trio is inconsistent  
11                  with someone who had lived in the area  
12                  for several months, although at the  
13                  trial Nichol John testified that after  
14                  the encounter the vehicle went to the  
15                  intersection, made a U-turn, got stuck,  
16                  then got unstuck, then completed the  
17                  U-turn and pulled over toward the curb  
18                  before entering the alley behind the  
19                  funeral home. In her statement of May  
20                  24th, 1969 she told the police that  
21                  after the alleged encounter the vehicle  
22                  turned directly into the alley where it  
23                  became stuck. She described a period of  
24                  time spent trying to get the vehicle  
25                  unstuck with Milgaard and Wilson in the



1 vehicle. She then described both of the  
2 boys getting out of the car, trying to  
3 push and then ultimately going to seek  
4 help.

5 As we see in this portrayal of  
6 that statement, Gail Miller is long past  
7 the car and the entrance to the alley  
8 before either Wilson or Milgaard leave  
9 the vehicle. The Crown's theory of how  
10 this crime occurred did not account for  
11 the forward progress of Gail Miller  
12 because in this, the most damaging  
13 scenario for Milgaard, Gail Miller is at  
14 20th Street and, according to Nichol  
15 John's trial evidence, a considerably  
16 longer period of time was spent at the  
17 intersection and at the curb before  
18 actually heading into the alley. An  
19 encounter with Gail Miller by Milgaard  
20 after leaving the vehicle to seek help  
21 was simply not possible.

22 In spite of this, Nichol John  
23 then stated that she saw Milgaard  
24 encounter the woman that they had asked  
25 for directions, grab for her purse,



1 struggle and then draw with his right  
2 hand a knife and begin several stabbing  
3 motions at this woman. He then  
4 supposedly dragged or moved this victim  
5 into the east-west portion of the alley,  
6 ultimately disappearing, as we see, to  
7 the right into the north-south portion  
8 of the stem of the T toward where the  
9 body of Gail Miller was ultimately  
10 found.

11 Nichol John's recollection is  
12 fuzzy after this point. She claims that  
13 she then ran from the car, came back to  
14 the car and saw Milgaard at the T  
15 portion of the alley depositing a purse  
16 in a garbage can. Somehow both he and  
17 Wilson were then back in the car and  
18 inexplicably it became unstuck and they  
19 drove away enroute to the motel, the  
20 Danchuks and then Cadraains.

21 Aside from the fact that Gail  
22 Miller's forward progress would have  
23 precluded an encounter with Milgaard and  
24 assuming that the car was stuck in this  
25 area, there are several other factors



1 which defeat this theory.

2 First, Gail Miller was stabbed  
3 through her coat and not her dress.  
4 This means that Nichol John would also  
5 have needed to see David Milgaard remove  
6 Gail Miller's coat, take her dress down  
7 around her waist, replace the coat and  
8 then stab her.

9 Also, the police identification  
10 officers testified that there was no  
11 sign of a struggle beginning at one  
12 point and leading to where the body was  
13 found and, in particular, there was no  
14 blood in the snow.

15 In addition, identification  
16 officers testified that there were no  
17 signs of a car having been stuck  
18 anywhere in the east-west portion of the  
19 alley.

20 The Avenue N theory, according  
21 to the most damning version, Nichol  
22 John's May 24th, 1969 statement, simply  
23 does not work, and works even less so on  
24 the basis of Nichol John's evidence at  
25 the trial.



1                   The stunning aspect of this  
2                   case is that the Crown had substantial  
3                   information in its possession not  
4                   apparently disclosed to the defence  
5                   which conclusively establishes the  
6                   fiction of the Avenue N theory.

7                   1. Adeline Nyczai provided a  
8                   statement on January 31st, 1969 stating  
9                   that she saw Gail Miller alive between  
10                  6:35 a.m. and 6:45 a.m. dressed for work  
11                  but without her coat on. She stated  
12                  that Gail Miller usually left for work  
13                  before 7:00 a.m. This witness testified  
14                  at the trial.

15                  2. Ann Friesen, another of  
16                  Gail Miller's roommates whose statement  
17                  apparently was not disclosed, said on  
18                  January 31st, 1969 that Gail Miller left  
19                  every morning between 6:40 a.m. and 6:45  
20                  a.m., walked straight south on Avenue O  
21                  to 20th Street and left by the front  
22                  door.

23                  3. Betty Hundt, another  
24                  roommate, provided a statement on  
25                  January 31st, 1969 stating that Gail





1 Miller left every morning at 6:45 a.m.,  
2 going out the front door, and Miss Hundt  
3 believed that Gail Miller walked south  
4 on Avenue O to 20th Street.

5 4. According to a witness by  
6 the name of Mary Gallucci on the day  
7 before the murder, a pretty nurse  
8 travelled south on Avenue O to the bus  
9 stop at 20th. This is consistent with  
10 the statements of Nyczai, Friesen and  
11 Hundt. Mrs. Gallucci also observed a  
12 construction worker wearing a yellow  
13 hard hat would come from south of 20th  
14 Street to the bus stop on Avenue O at  
15 approximately 6:45 a.m.

16 5. At 226 Avenue N South Mr.  
17 and Mrs. Arthur Merriman were waiting  
18 for a taxi that they had ordered for  
19 6:55 a.m. looking out their front window  
20 directly at the spot where Nichol John  
21 claimed that the car became stuck. They  
22 saw nothing.

23 6. Through the disclosure  
24 process, the reference case contained  
25 statements of many people who were out



1 on the streets in the vicinity of the  
2 crime. No one saw a vehicle stuck and,  
3 if Wilson and John are to be believed  
4 that the car became stuck at the  
5 intersection of Avenue N and 20th, a  
6 considerable amount of traffic,  
7 including city busses, would have had to  
8 circumvent the car. The notion that  
9 neither Milgaard nor Wilson was able to  
10 find any assistance to get the car  
11 unstuck is simply untenable.

12 7. George Jones, a student who  
13 lived on the southeast corner of Avenue  
14 N and 20th Street, left his home a few  
15 minutes after 7:00 a.m. and walked north  
16 along Avenue N to 22nd Street past the  
17 funeral home and the back lane. He saw  
18 nothing.

19 8. Another witness interviewed  
20 by police who lived at the southwest  
21 corner of Avenue N and 20th Street drove  
22 his truck north on Avenue N from 20th to  
23 22nd Street past the funeral home and  
24 the alley at approximately 7:00 a.m. He  
25 saw nothing.



1                   Several independent witnesses  
2                   failed to corroborate the Avenue N  
3                   theory. In fact, they contradicted it.  
4                   The conclusion is that it, like the  
5                   Avenue O theory, must fail.

6                   Consequently, there is no  
7                   credible evidence placing Milgaard at or  
8                   near the scene of the crime when Gail  
9                   Miller was killed."

10       VIDEOTAPE ENDS

11       BY MR. WOLCH:

12       Q       Mr. Pearson, when you did your theories, you  
13               hadn't even known of the existence of this tape I  
14               take it?

15       A       I don't -- I don't -- I've never seen the tape  
16               before, this is the first time I've ever seen it,  
17               and there was some indication out there that a  
18               tape had been made or was being made. When I  
19               first became aware of it, it may be a reference in  
20               my notes. I just don't recall offhand.

21       Q       That tape might have been useful to you in  
22               preparing your theories?

23       A       Certainly. I think all the information that was  
24               analysed and dealt with certainly would have  
25               been --



1 Q I think we're getting back to the point of lack of  
2 communication.

3 A Yes.

4 Q This is what you've been talking about from the  
5 beginning, is there doesn't seem to be or wasn't  
6 any flow of information among the parties. For  
7 example, you wouldn't have known about the tape in  
8 the Supreme Court, the Milgaard people wouldn't  
9 have even know you were doing theories?

10 A That's right. That's correct.

11 Q And everybody is in the dark of what everybody  
12 else is doing, and much of what's on that tape,  
13 for example, the people in the neighbourhood,  
14 would you have been aware of that, the Merrimans  
15 and the Joneses that you saw on the tape?

16 A There was a lot of information on there, other  
17 information I suppose you could apply that maybe  
18 would add to the theory or detract from the  
19 theory, you know, there was quite a lot of  
20 information from people who had been in the  
21 general area and had seen circumstantial things  
22 going on, so again, this was a theory. Again,  
23 what's the real, the real answer here, you know,  
24 this theory doesn't add, doesn't answer that, it's  
25 a theory, and Mr. -- it looks like the conclusion



1 of the Crown's case, again they even use the word  
2 theory, so everybody is theorizing here trying to  
3 apply all the circumstances and facts to see whose  
4 got the strongest theory, but in actuality, you  
5 know, there was no stamp of finality to this.

6 Q But the better -- the better the known facts, the  
7 better the theory?

8 A That's fair, yes.

9 Q Okay. Let's look briefly at 008894. Now, your  
10 theory, if I can get to that part of it, is  
11 predicated on the youngsters driving south on  
12 Avenue N and Miller going south on Avenue N?

13 A That's the -- that's right.

14 Q Why would you put her on Avenue N?

15 A And again we're getting into what fits in here for  
16 discussion purposes, for investigative purposes,  
17 to -- I think there was, there still is some  
18 confusion as to where they were stuck. I'm not  
19 sure that's really clear where the car was stuck,  
20 just my recollection of it, so for Gail Miller,  
21 the possibility at the time that I was doing this  
22 was that she could have possibly went out the back  
23 door and was walking out the alley entrance and  
24 could have been intercepted, and for that to  
25 happen and the lights being seen in the alley by



1 Mr. -- I think Mr. Diewold, there had to be an  
2 approach from that street, and again that's a  
3 theory.

4 Q But your theory is based on the car never being  
5 stuck?

6 A Pardon me?

7 Q Your theory is based on the vehicle never being  
8 stuck?

9 A I guess so, yes, because I don't think we knew,  
10 correct me if I'm wrong, where this vehicle really  
11 was stuck.

12 Q Or if it was at all?

13 A If it was at all.

14 Q Yeah. If you go to 008898, you are going on the  
15 premise that the vehicle was never stuck at the  
16 location and then you explain why you've come to  
17 that conclusion, but you are basing it on the idea  
18 that the vehicle was never stuck, and I suggest  
19 that the problem you had was getting the vehicle  
20 to the T part of the alley.

21 A Yes, that's possible.

22 Q That threw it out if you are stuck at -- if you  
23 are stuck in the entrance, then Diewold is not  
24 seeing the vehicle at the T of the alley and  
25 becomes very improbable.



1       A       Yes, I think that's accurate.

2       Q       Now, believe me, I'm not being critical --

3       A       No, no.

4       Q       -- you are doing your best effort, but I guess the  
5               point I'm making is a lot of material you wouldn't  
6               have had that would have caused you difficulty as  
7               you see the film, you have the roommate saying she  
8               walks down Avenue O to the bus stop, logic  
9               dictates that, you have the Merrimans at the  
10              corner waiting for a cab, you have all that.

11      A       And that's true. I mean, if I had the benefit of  
12               all the hindsight and all the information --

13      Q       Oh, sorry --

14      A       -- and all the thought processes there and I guess  
15               part of it is time as well to put all this  
16               together, you certainly would have developed this  
17               maybe differently or in more detail or maybe you  
18               would have concluded the fact that this just  
19               absolutely doesn't work and it couldn't be a  
20               theory that had any merit, so a lot of factors  
21               come into play.

22      Q       I only take exception to your use of the word  
23               hindsight. This was all available, it simply  
24               wasn't brought to your attention, that is the  
25               problem.



1 A All right.

2 Q And that's I think one of the main flaws of what  
3 occurred here, is that good investigators like  
4 yourself weren't given the tools to work with, you  
5 didn't have RCMP reports, you didn't have witness  
6 reports, it's like you are creating something here  
7 to the best of your ability, but you haven't got  
8 the tools or the information. That is the real  
9 flaw here isn't it?

10 A Well, as I mentioned many times, I think in all of  
11 this the, putting all the people together and  
12 pooling your information for the common purpose  
13 here is something that was necessary and is  
14 necessary, and whether the process has changed now  
15 today, I'm not sure, you know, for these 690  
16 reviews.

17 Q You sure hope it has don't you?

18 A Well, I haven't been following it, but I would be  
19 very disappointed if there hasn't been changes.

20 Q Then if I can go to the other theory then, 008902,  
21 this would be the other theory --

22 A Yeah.

23 Q -- and if you can go to the next page -- bear with  
24 me for a second here. If you look at the top  
25 here:





1 "Upon departing from her residence, Gail  
2 Miller was approached by Fisher and,  
3 under threat of bodily harm, forced into  
4 a car which was parked nearby."

5 And you give your basis for that and you point  
6 out that Larry had access to Cliff Pambrun's car.  
7 If we can just go down to (c):

8 "Under threats of bodily harm ... Fisher  
9 forced Miller into a car and was driven  
10 south on Avenue N, then turned right  
11 into the alley behind the funeral home."

12 My question to you is why would it be Avenue N,  
13 like, why in the Fisher theory do you put the car  
14 driving down Avenue N?

15 A I think to tie in the lights being seen in the  
16 alley.

17 Q Well, couldn't the car go down Avenue O into the  
18 alley?

19 A I suppose it could go a number of places, but my  
20 theory is, you know, it went down N.

21 Q And your theory has Gail Miller forced into the  
22 car on Avenue N; would that be right?

23 A Well, she could have been forced into the car any  
24 time she came onto the street, wherever the car  
25 was parked, he could have cut her off at the alley



1 or cut her off when she came out the front door.

2 Q Okay. Had you considered the following  
3 possibility, I'll give you two, that Fisher waited  
4 in front of the Miller home, he knows she goes to  
5 the bus?

6 A In a car?

7 Q In a car.

8 A Yeah.

9 Q Saw her leave, drove down to the alley, parked in  
10 the alley and then approached her in the lane when  
11 she passed the lane to get to the bus the usual  
12 way she walked and dragged her into the alley  
13 towards his car, the approach being much the same  
14 as with most of his victims? Do you follow that?

15 A Yeah.

16 Q That would be a simple way?

17 A I think even in your video there, you indicated  
18 there was no sign of a struggle.

19 Q There doesn't have to be a struggle with a knife  
20 to her throat.

21 A Well, dragging her in the alley or whatever.

22 Q Directing her.

23 A I suppose the other theory, if we want to keep  
24 talking about theories and what potentially could  
25 have been, I suppose she could have been walking



1 down the alley, you know, maybe she always was in  
2 the alley, but, I mean, where do we go with the  
3 theories.

4 Q Well, we have roommates who say she walked down  
5 Avenue O and that's logic?

6 A Yes, yes.

7 Q But -- or the other scenario is that Fisher just  
8 parked his car in the alley and waited for her to  
9 pass the alley on her way to the bus, which is  
10 quite simple and easy to do.

11 A Yes, that is another possibility, yes.

12 Q Quite a realistic possibility isn't it, quite  
13 simple and uncomplicated and --

14 A And what is the real answer here, I don't know,  
15 but it's a possibility, because these are  
16 theories, Mr. Wolch.

17 Q We know he did it, so --

18 A Yeah.

19 Q We know she walks down Avenue O and we know his  
20 M.O. is to drag women into alleys or direct them  
21 into alleys at knife point.

22 A That's right.

23 Q You know, it does make a bit of sense.

24 A And of course we're using a theory here that a car  
25 was involved, but again, when we start talking



1           about the real application of his similarities,  
2           you would think maybe there was no car involved.

3       Q       Except in 40 below there might be a change of  
4           circumstance?

5       A       Possibly, but of course these weren't very normal  
6           behaviours to begin with and then you throw into  
7           the mix here (V4)---, you know, I mean, there were  
8           so many variables here that we're all scratching  
9           our head aren't we.

10      Q       No.

11      A       Well, not now because we know the answer, but at  
12           the time.

13      Q       What I'm suggesting is after the incident he drove  
14           the car back to Pambrun's, the direct route from  
15           Pambrun's to his home would have passed (V4)---  
16           and he grabbed at her. That's a very  
17           logical conclusion isn't it?

18      A       That is a possibility.

19      Q       A very real possibility; would you give me that?

20      A       Well, there's a pretty tight time frame between  
21           (V4)--- and Miller and driving the car home and  
22           walking back, I'm not sure how much variance there  
23           is, but in my recollection it's a pretty tight  
24           time frame, but it's possible.

25      Q       In terms of tight time frame, we've heard from a



1           number of witnesses that, you know, you have to  
2           give latitude on times, people have different  
3           watches, different recollections of time?

4           A        Sure.

5           Q        There's some variables, and in fact in the David  
6           Milgaard theory, he was able to be across the  
7           city, a much further distance in the same time  
8           frame roughly?

9           A        Yeah, I agree.

10          Q        And the final small point I want to bring to your  
11          attention or have you comment on is document  
12          062884. Now, this is the superintendent's  
13          comments on your two theories and he -- if we can  
14          go to the top perhaps for a second if you don't  
15          mind. This is a report prepared -- who would this  
16          be?

17          A        That's a report that's going to Regina  
18          headquarters to the officer in charge of criminal  
19          operations and it's being authored by  
20          Superintendent Goodman down on the right-hand  
21          bottom.

22          Q        And he says the Milgaard/Wilson/John car theory,  
23          and that's your theory of them all being involved,  
24          etcetera, etcetera, would seem more plausible.

25                    "I doubt Fisher would seek out another



1                   victim having just completed a sexual  
2                   attack which culminated in murder."

3           Had you seen that comment before, or have you  
4           seen it before?

5       A       Well I don't recall, you know, I -- that was  
6           something that was put on there after it left my  
7           office, but --

8       Q       Yeah. Have you ever done a study of the -- of how  
9           a punishment rapist thinks, or carries on, or --

10      A       No, I haven't.

11      Q       Okay. Do you accept the suggestion that it's  
12           unlikely that Fisher, having just been sexually  
13           satisfied, I suppose, would still bear some  
14           aggression to women, and might attack another one  
15           would be remote, or do you ascribe to that?

16      A       I think, you know, I think there's more than, more  
17           than sex involved here. When you take a look --

18      Q       Of course.

19      A       -- at the way he strikes fear and threats and  
20           aggression, and the undressing and the  
21           humiliation, and, you know, there is a lot more  
22           than, I think, --

23      Q       Yes, of course.

24      A       -- more than sex.

25      Q       And do you think that, once he is finished



1 murdering, he is suddenly Mr. nice guy and back to  
2 normal?

3 A It may change him, I really don't know, like I --

4 Q There could be a period where he is still in that  
5 state where he could attack another woman very  
6 easily?

7 A That's, you know, I'm sure there are experts that  
8 could probably enlighten us on that, but that is a  
9 possibility, Mr. Wolch.

10 Q Sure. Mr. Pearson, those are my questions for  
11 you, and I, once again I want to thank you for the  
12 efforts you put in in this matter and I, I  
13 certainly heartily endorse your suggestions about  
14 what could be done to improve in the future.  
15 Thank you very much.

16 A Thank you, Mr. Wolch.

17 **BY MS. McLEAN:**

18 Q Unless somebody else wants to fill in for 20  
19 minutes? No?

20 Good morning, sir. My name is  
21 Joanne McLean, I represent Joyce Milgaard.  
22 Primarily what I want to talk to you about is  
23 systemic issues.

24 Now you've told us very  
25 candidly, and you've said it several times, that



1           you had, throughout your investigation, a real  
2           faith in the system, and you were influenced to  
3           some extent, or consoled perhaps to some extent,  
4           by the fact that David Milgaard had been convicted  
5           by the Canadian justice system?

6       A       I think that's fair, yes.

7       Q       And I guess you were probably even more consoled  
8           or believed more in it because it had been through  
9           the appellate process?

10      A       I'm not sure I broke it into stages. I just felt  
11           that it had been through the justice system and  
12           had been reviewed by, you know, a lot of people  
13           with knowledge and expertise, and made a decision,  
14           and I had some confidence in that.

15      Q       And your faith in the system is predicated on such  
16           basic things like getting a fair trial; right?

17      A       Yes.

18      Q       And it's predicated, also, on a jury having heard  
19           all the relevant evidence and decided beyond a  
20           reasonable doubt that a person is guilty?

21      A       That's fair, yeah.

22      Q       And they'd reached their conclusion beyond a  
23           reasonable doubt, and beyond that the system will  
24           catch mistakes on appeal either to the Court of  
25           Appeal of the province or to the Supreme Court of





1 Canada, yes?

2 A Yes, that's part of our system.

3 Q Now you didn't know -- but, it's not only a part  
4 of our system, but it's a part of why you had  
5 faith in the system?

6 A Absolutely, yes.

7 Q Now when you started this you didn't have much  
8 faith -- or much information about the Section 690  
9 process; did you?

10 A No, I didn't.

11 Q And you'd never been involved in one?

12 A Before this, no.

13 Q Have you since?

14 A No.

15 Q Okay. Had you -- have you known anything about  
16 Section 690; did you know it existed?

17 A Umm, I think I knew it existed, I think I knew  
18 there was a process for dealing with this  
19 situation, but really having put much thought into  
20 it or know much about it, I really can't say I  
21 did.

22 Q Okay. Did you have a basic understanding that it  
23 would have existed as a means to address potential  
24 miscarriages of justice?

25 A Yes, I think so.



1 Q And those would be miscarriages which occurred  
2 despite findings of juries and despite appeals,  
3 there could still be someone in jail that didn't  
4 belong there?

5 A Oh yes, I think it was recognized that, you know,  
6 it was a system run by people, and we all make  
7 judgement calls, and sometimes they are wrong.

8 Q Okay. Back in 1990, when you started on this,  
9 there really wasn't a lot of knowledge about  
10 wrongful convictions in Canada; was there?

11 A I don't believe there was. I mean there were a  
12 few cases out there, I could talk to you about the  
13 *Truscott* case had been there for many many years,  
14 but no, --

15 Q Uh-huh?

16 A -- you are right, there wasn't a lot of things  
17 happening at that time.

18 Q And the *Truscott* case is still out there 15 years  
19 later and still not acknowledged to be a wrongful  
20 conviction, correct, by the system?

21 A Uh-huh.

22 Q Back in 1990 we had the *Marshall* case, which had  
23 been acknowledged as a wrongful conviction, and  
24 you are aware of that in Nova Scotia?

25 A Yes.



1 Q And the next case that came out that caused a  
2 great deal of publicity was the *Morin* case, but  
3 back in 1990 he had not yet been wrongly  
4 convicted, he was rightfully acquitted in 1990 but  
5 he was still waiting for his trial which he was  
6 convicted in '92.

7 A Okay.

8 Q Now in the 15 years since 1990 there have been a  
9 lot of cases, and we know a lot more now about the  
10 process of wrongful conviction, and the fact that  
11 they really do happen and they are more common  
12 than everybody thought; would you agree with that?

13 A Umm, I think there are quite a few cases out  
14 there, yes, I do agree. There have been a number  
15 of them exposed that are going through the system,  
16 and I'm going now from through media, now whether  
17 that's accurate or not, but that's what my source  
18 is, reading like the general public do.

19 Q Okay. I mean you'd agree that sometimes a  
20 wrongful conviction could be caused by something  
21 that is not disclosed or not known at the time of  
22 the trial?

23 A Yes, I think, I think disclosure is an issue, like  
24 I say, putting all the information out there. And  
25 I think the Supreme Court has recognized that



1 through *Stinchcombe*, when they made their ruling,

2 --

3 Q Uh-huh?

4 A -- and that has certainly changed tremendously the  
5 way information is shared for the benefit of  
6 everyone. So that was, I think, a step forward,  
7 and that was a case that came into play after  
8 1990. And again, when you start talking about the  
9 value of forensics, I think the most wonderful  
10 development that we have had is DNA. That's, you  
11 know, answered so many questions over issues that  
12 we, as human beings, have in trying to interpret  
13 the circumstances, and we need help, and DNA has  
14 been a great help there.

15 Q So we need something that's akin to a 690 process,  
16 we need something that, that's like a Court of  
17 last resort for people that have not succeeded in  
18 having their appeals heard but who have some  
19 problem with their conviction; agreed?

20 A Well I think, you know, there's probably two  
21 issues here; one is why are people wrongfully  
22 convicted in the first place.

23 Q Yes.

24 A You know, is there something wrong with that  
25 process.



1 Q Yes.

2 A You know, we have this inherent right to remain  
3 silent, and this is built into the way we do  
4 business, and there's some fundamental processes  
5 that we go through that maybe causes some harm  
6 sometime. And I think, when you look at the  
7 fundamental way that we administer justice, there  
8 is some potential, maybe, that could ensure that  
9 wrongful convictions don't happen as often as  
10 maybe they are occurring, that ...

11 And the other part, of course,  
12 is that, once there is a wrongful conviction or a  
13 suspicion of wrongful conviction or new evidence  
14 that may come to light, which is where we are at  
15 with the 690, then there has to be a process which  
16 is open and which has to, if we're to talk about  
17 any adversarial parts of the system have to be  
18 discarded, and we really have to, you know, get  
19 around the table and make sure that this is  
20 addressed, and that's the process, I think, that  
21 has to be transparent and open and all those other  
22 things that we talked about.

23 Q Thank you. So, whatever process you use to  
24 examine a complaint or a suspicion of wrongful  
25 conviction, it might very well have to do with



1           whether or not the jury should or could have had a  
2           reasonable doubt if they'd heard all of the  
3           evidence available; fair?

4       A       That's fair, but of course we have no idea what  
5           juries, I mean juries think and how they come to  
6           their conclusions and what they look at as  
7           valuable, I mean it's -- we don't know that.

8       Q       Right.

9       A       But it is a factor, yes.

10      Q       And some things are simply common sense too?

11      A       Yes.

12      Q       The Department of Justice, in their 690 process,  
13           requires that there be something new in a case.  
14           And it kind of makes sense that people not be able  
15           to keep going and dipping into the well saying "I  
16           have been wrongly accused and I don't have  
17           anything to substantiate it", but the emergence of  
18           Larry Fisher in 1990 is certainly something new,  
19           isn't it?

20      A       Yes.

21      Q       And ultimately, knowing what you knew, you had  
22           doubts, really -- and I'm talking about 1990, '91,  
23           '92 -- you had doubts, really, about whether was  
24           it Fisher, was it Milgaard?

25      A       That's fair.



1 Q And the Supreme Court, in 1992, ultimately said  
2 that the jury might have found differently if  
3 they'd known about Larry Fisher, and you testified  
4 the other day that that is something with which  
5 you absolutely agree; correct?

6 A I do, yes.

7 Q And that goes directly to reasonable doubt, to a  
8 basis for belief in the system, and a belief in  
9 the convictions; doesn't it?

10 A I believe that's, that's fair, yes.

11 Q In August of 1991, we've heard this the other day,  
12 that the Miller family had issued a statement in  
13 August 1991 saying that they had a doubt about the  
14 case; do you remember that?

15 A I re -- I -- I believe that's true. I just don't  
16 recall when they released that, but that was in  
17 the mix there someplace, yes.

18 Q Yeah, we just -- it was discussed the other  
19 day, --

20 A Yeah.

21 Q -- that's really what I am asking about, you  
22 remember that that happened, --

23 A Oh yes, okay.

24 Q -- and it became part of what has been referred to  
25 as the second application for relief. So even the



1 family of the victim, in August of 1991, had some  
2 doubts about the validity of Mr. Milgaard's  
3 conviction and thought it should be  
4 re-investigated; and doesn't that tell you that  
5 even the victim's family doesn't want the wrong  
6 person in jail?

7 A Oh, I -- I don't think I know of anybody who wants  
8 the wrong person in jail. I really don't believe  
9 that we will put anybody in jail and be happy with  
10 that, that's really not in anyone's mind, I just  
11 don't believe that.

12 And as far as the Miller family,  
13 you know, their belief that a wrongful conviction,  
14 I'm not -- you know, if that's something they got  
15 through media and through the overall publicity  
16 that was going on, maybe, you know, they were of  
17 the view that there possibly could be a wrongful  
18 conviction, but I don't know what their source of  
19 information was.

20 But, at the end of the day, I  
21 don't know of anybody who wanted to maintain a  
22 wrongful conviction status.

23 Q And the media that was going on at that time was  
24 media about Larry Fisher, right, whether he was  
25 named or unnamed; that's what you remember from





1 the media?

2 A Yeah, there was lots of media going on, yes.

3 Q But it's about the vicious sex offender that's in  
4 the penitentiary that has a link to the case, who  
5 is a potential other suspect, may have done it,  
6 raped a number of women, etcetera?

7 A Yeah, that's fair.

8 Q But somehow the fact that Mr. Fisher was a vicious  
9 sex offender and he had a record for similar  
10 rapes, he lived within blocks of the offence, he  
11 lived at the Cadrain house, his wife had provided  
12 the police with a statement that believed she --  
13 that he had done it, he can't be excluded from it  
14 as a suspect, and that's somehow not enough for  
15 David Milgaard, in 1991, to get a hearing into  
16 whether or not he was potentially wrongly  
17 convicted; do you see something wrong with that?

18 A Well I, again I, I'm -- I think the process really  
19 has to be open. And I can't explain the  
20 decision-making process that went on outside of my  
21 area of knowledge as I walk -- worked through  
22 this, so I don't know what the thinking was, the  
23 rationale that was applied. But, you know, I  
24 certainly can say that, again, that Larry Fisher  
25 at the time was, was a suspect, and why more



1           emphasis wasn't placed on it as far as weighing it  
2           in with all the other things that were going on, I  
3           don't know, I wasn't involved in that particular  
4           process.

5       Q       You took your, your 690 explanations or  
6           instructions from Mr. Williams; correct?

7       A       I, I was engaged by Mr. Williams to carry out,  
8           carry out tasks under 690 --

9       Q       Uh-huh?

10      A       -- in the field, yes.

11      Q       And you've told us --

12      A       And --

13      Q       -- the other -- sorry?

14      A       I'm sorry. And Mr. Williams was doing a lot of  
15           tasks as well, it wasn't like he was sitting in  
16           his office handing out the tasks to me, I mean he  
17           was pursuing a lot of other aspects of his  
18           inquiry.

19      Q       No, that, that's not really what I meant. I  
20           wasn't suggesting he wasn't doing anything to be  
21           helpful.

22      A       No, I'm just saying it was -- he was doing things  
23           as well as I.

24      Q       Yeah. What I meant was that you, not having any  
25           explanation or any experience in 690 applications,



1           you took your direction from him as to what it  
2           meant, what you had to get, what the process was,  
3           what your task would be; correct?

4       A       That's fair, yes.

5       Q       And you understood that your task was to establish  
6           a link or an association between Fisher and  
7           Ms. Miller?

8       A       Yes.

9       Q       And you've told us that a link essentially means  
10          hard evidence as opposed to suspicion?

11      A       Yes, I mean, something to convert the suspicion to  
12          evidence.

13      Q       Yeah.

14      A       Now that came through with a similar fact  
15          comparison and analysis, but putting, putting a  
16          link between Larry Fisher and the Gail Miller  
17          murder required something that was so-called  
18          evidence.

19      Q       And you believed then, back in 1990, that your job  
20          was to determine the facts, and within the  
21          umbrella of needing to find a link between Fisher  
22          and Miller, that's how you saw your job?

23      A       I believe in general terms, yes.

24      Q       Do you think now -- and this I'm asking you to  
25          give us hindsight and retrospect -- do you think,



1           now, that your job really should have been to see  
2           if Mr. Fisher was a viable suspect?

3       A       Do you think I should have considered whether he  
4           was a viable suspect?

5       Q       No, whether your job, your task, should have been,  
6           rather than 'can you give us evidence that Fisher  
7           is linked to this crime', I'm suggesting do you  
8           think your task should have been 'determine  
9           whether or not Mr. Fisher is a viable alternate  
10          suspect for this crime'?

11      A       Oh, I agree with the latter. You know, this,  
12          under 690 this was not a re-opened homicide  
13          investigation as a policeman knows it, --

14      Q       Yes?

15      A       -- it was an assistance role under that 690  
16          process, and if you -- if you have someone who has  
17          the potential to have been involved in this  
18          homicide and he is a suspect, what do you do with  
19          that.

20      Q       Right. And Mr. Fisher is good as a suspect --

21      A       Yes.

22      Q       -- given his antecedents, given his residence, his  
23          proclivities, he is good if he cannot be  
24          eliminated; right?

25      A       Yes.



1 Q And it's for a Court to determine if he's, in law,  
2 an admissible other suspect at a trial; are you  
3 with me?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And that should be a determination made at the  
6 level of Court where it's in public, where all  
7 parties have standing, representations, and  
8 everything is out in the open; would you agree  
9 with that?

10 A I tendency -- I tend to agree with that, without  
11 knowing all the specifics of how this would be set  
12 up. But I do think that when you have a wrongful  
13 conviction and you have an alternate suspect,  
14 someone is going to be making key decisions here,  
15 and it should have some formality and some  
16 controls to it. The other part is to ensure that  
17 it doesn't become a wide open process so everybody  
18 is jumping into it because it's another crack open  
19 in the door. But what you are saying, I generally  
20 agree with.

21 Q Would you generally agree that it seems that your  
22 task through, certainly through 1990, was to  
23 essentially try and prove that Mr. Fisher did it  
24 as opposed to simply whether or not he could be  
25 eliminated?



1       A       In general terms, yes. I think, you know, there  
2               was suspicion. Now whether other people suspected  
3               or not, I had the suspicion, --

4       Q       Yes?

5       A       -- and moving it from suspicion to evidence to say  
6               "he did it", meaning David Milgaard didn't do it,  
7               that's -- that was the issue.

8       Q       That was the issue, as you saw it, was proving  
9               that there was evidence --

10      A       That --

11      Q       -- that Mr. Fisher did it?

12      A       There had to be, you know, a link between Larry  
13              Fisher and Gail Miller.

14      Q       And by "evidence" you mean -- or sorry -- by  
15              "link" you mean evidence?

16      A       Well the suspicion had to be converted to  
17              evidence. Okay? I mean anybody can suspect  
18              anything, it depends on who you are, you know, but  
19              there has to be something that will move this to  
20              the next step.

21      Q       And you, you told us the other day in testifying  
22              that in doing this, this process -- and I'm not  
23              being critical of you -- you said quite candidly  
24              that, during this process, you weren't thinking  
25              about the possibility of -- or the effect on



1 David's case as to whether or not David should get  
2 a new trial or not, you weren't evaluating the  
3 evidence with that in mind, you were simply trying  
4 to see if there was a link between Fisher and  
5 Miller; do I have that correct?

6 A Yes. I don't think my position was elevating this  
7 to making the decision, I was gathering  
8 information and I was providing information to Mr.  
9 Williams and, you know, that was really my role.

10 Q Now, in retrospect, do you think that this case  
11 would better have been investigated or headed, at  
12 least, by someone who would be thinking about the  
13 impact of this evidence on a retrial for David?

14 A Possibly. You know I think, going back, there  
15 should be a team approach used, there should be a,  
16 you know, a -- more than individuals scattered  
17 across the land. I think, again, we're going back  
18 to some very, very basic issues here, and that is  
19 putting your heads together and collectively  
20 sharing and coming to a consensus and doing, you  
21 know, the common good, and that's what, that's  
22 what it's all about. So who that should be, I  
23 mean that's open to debate, but it should be.

24 Q And getting rid of the adversarial process as far  
25 as that aspect is concerned?



1           A           Well when we start talking about the adversarial  
2                       process I think our justice system, you know, we  
3                       do have, you know, sides so to speak. I mean we  
4                       can pretend it doesn't exist, but it does, you  
5                       know. You have prosecutors and defenders, and you  
6                       can call them winners or losers if you want to use  
7                       that term, but at the end of the day I think  
8                       positions maybe harden and the system goes on.  
9                       But I really think it's important that, at some  
10                      point, we drop this.

11                               And I think one of the obstacles  
12                      that gets in the way here is, you know, we --  
13                      civil litigation becomes an issue, and people run  
14                      for cover, and that's just the reality of it.

15           Q           Yeah.

16           A           And nobody wants to talk, nobody wants to be open,  
17                       nobody wants to discuss what they are really  
18                       thinking, they are all playing a game, and I think  
19                       at the end of the day we have to have a process to  
20                       say "look, you know, we're taking that risk out of  
21                       the mix, we have to find out did we make a mistake  
22                       because we have an innocent person in jail", and  
23                       if we can find a process where you can take that  
24                       out of the mix as far as the litigation and all of  
25                       the repercussions that are going to have --





1           happen, I think then you will start getting some  
2           real communication and some real process being  
3           made.

4           Q       Thank you. That may be an appropriate point, Mr.  
5           Commissioner.

6                    (*Adjourned at 11:59 a.m.*)

7                    (*Reconvened at 1:32 p.m.*)

8           BY MS. McLEAN:

9           Q       Sir, back in 1988, '89, '90, '91 and '92 and  
10           following years when Mr. Milgaard was dealing with  
11           the Department of Justice, the equivalent to what  
12           we've been calling 690 of the *Criminal Code* set up  
13           an application for mercy. Did you understand that  
14           that's what was being done here?

15          A       I think maybe in general terms, yes.

16          Q       You heard it called an application for mercy?

17          A       I think so, yes.

18          Q       And I'm just going to advise you that there was  
19           then no codified procedure whatsoever for how to  
20           apply, how applications would be dealt with, what  
21           sort of tests would be applied, so in this respect  
22           the only test that you know about is what  
23           Mr. Williams has conveyed to you, which -- that  
24           there has to be a link between Mr. Fisher and  
25           Ms. Miller; right?



1 A Yes.

2 Q Doesn't that sort of set up a situation that David  
3 Milgaard can't get out of jail until his  
4 replacement is found?

5 A I really don't know if that's the criteria, that  
6 there has to be a replacement, I really don't know  
7 about that. All I can say is that the, the fact  
8 that there had to be some link between Larry  
9 Fisher and Gail Miller. That was -- the logical  
10 conclusion I suppose you could draw is that  
11 without any evidence as such, then nothing can  
12 change, I suppose you could infer that, yes. Now,  
13 I don't know if that's the only criteria. I mean,  
14 like, I don't know.

15 Q Okay. I'm not speaking of the rest of the  
16 application, any other grounds there might have  
17 been, but at least with respect to the Fisher  
18 issue, that was your understanding, there had to  
19 be evidence?

20 A My understanding is there had to be something to  
21 link Larry Fisher to the homicide of Gail Miller,  
22 yes.

23 Q And by link, you mean evidence as opposed to the  
24 suspicions that you had and that you reviewed with  
25 Commission Counsel?



1 A Yes.

2 Q And in the circumstances of this case, that's  
3 really an impossible hurdle to meet, isn't it,  
4 with respect to Mr. Fisher?

5 A Well, I don't know if that's the only factor that  
6 was considered, you know, that was my task when I  
7 was talking about Larry Fisher, but in the  
8 totality of everything that's considered, which I  
9 don't know of everything that was considered, if  
10 that was the finality of it, you know, I really  
11 don't know the assessment or the analysis of  
12 everything that was applied by Mr. Williams and  
13 the people he was with. I'm only speaking of the  
14 Larry Fisher component of it and what was relayed  
15 to me and what we were attempting to do.

16 Q Now, I can't -- I can't really think of anything  
17 else that you personally could have done given  
18 your mandate. You set out to check into his work  
19 records; yes?

20 A Yes. There is background information on him, yes.

21 Q And you were unable to prove that Mr. Fisher was  
22 not at work on the morning of the murder?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And in 1990 and 1991 when you were looking into  
25 it, the records for Mr. Fisher's employment no



1 longer existed. Do you remember that?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Do you remember learning that the records for his  
4 former employer had been destroyed in 1988?

5 A Yes.

6 Q So if Linda Fisher's statement in 1980 had been  
7 followed up, it looks like the records for his  
8 work may well have been available then?

9 A I would suspect so, if they were destroyed in '88,  
10 yes.

11 Q So if you can't show that Mr. Fisher was not at  
12 work, you can't provide evidence that he had the  
13 opportunity to kill Ms. Miller because he might  
14 have been at work?

15 A Unless he confesses or something like that, but to  
16 go through 20 years later looking for records to  
17 show if he was at work or not, yes, that was not  
18 possible.

19 Q And even if you could have been able to show that  
20 he had the opportunity, he might have been  
21 assaulting Ms. (V4)--- then, you told us that the  
22 other day?

23 A That is a possibility. That was an activity that  
24 took place in the neighbourhood during the time  
25 frame, yes.



1 Q So (V4)--- is potentially an alibi? I mean, he  
2 could have done them both, but (V4)--- is  
3 potentially an alibi you told us?

4 A I don't think I told you that, but I'm just  
5 saying -- I don't think I used that word alibi,  
6 but the potential was there that he could have  
7 been involved in both of them, that is a  
8 possibility.

9 Q And you can't prove, because there's no work  
10 records, you can't prove at all that there was a  
11 false alibi given to the police on the morning of  
12 February the 3rd when he claimed that he had been  
13 on an early bus and at work at the time of the  
14 murder, you can't prove he lied to the police?

15 A No, I think other than what Linda was saying.

16 Q Right. Her word against his; yes?

17 A Yeah, I guess that's basically what it boiled down  
18 to.

19 Q Even if you could prove that he gave a false alibi  
20 to the police about his whereabouts on January the  
21 31st, it could have been a false alibi to divert  
22 attention for the (V4)--- assault?

23 A That's a possibility.

24 Q Now, as for a confession, you didn't have one in  
25 1990 and there was never likely to be a confession



1 from Larry Fisher was there?

2 A There was no confession in 1990 and none that I  
3 know of. Whether there ever will be I don't know.

4 Q And when he actually agreed to talk to you, it was  
5 only with an undertaking that anything he said  
6 would not be used against him; correct?

7 A Yes, in general terms as I recall.

8 Q Eye witnesses after 21 years, you weren't likely  
9 to find any?

10 A It was very difficult on every phase after 20  
11 years based on memories and records and that sort  
12 of thing.

13 Q Even so, there was no question, not that it would  
14 necessarily have done any good, but there was no  
15 questioning in this respect of the caretaker of  
16 the church, passengers on the bus, roommates of  
17 Gail Miller with respect to anything they could  
18 have said about Mr. Fisher?

19 A Not that I recall, no.

20 Q And similarly, you are not aware of any  
21 questioning back in 1990, 1991 of any member of  
22 the Cadrain family with respect to Mr. Fisher?

23 A There was discussion -- there was discussion with  
24 Cadraings as far as Fisher living in the basement  
25 and things like that. They didn't really know



1 Larry Fisher.

2 Q I thought you had no dealings with Albert Cadrain.  
3 Who was it that told you about the --

4 A Well, there was Celine, Celine Cadrain and there  
5 was Kenny Cadrain, but Albert Cadrain I did not  
6 have direct contact with, no.

7 Q As far as I can recall, Mr. Williams questioned  
8 him in June of 1990, but didn't ask him about Mr.  
9 Fisher. Now, the other thing that you could find  
10 potentially would be forensics and you start  
11 trying to get blood immediately, a blood type from  
12 Mr. Fisher?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Mr. Fisher refuses it, unlike David Milgaard who  
15 is giving blood and saliva and samples and  
16 statements and all the rest of it, you found it a  
17 little unusual that Mr. Fisher, if he was  
18 innocent, would not want to cooperate and give  
19 samples?

20 A That's right, yes.

21 Q But that also is only suspicion?

22 A That is suspicion, yes.

23 Q But it doesn't go beyond suspicion, it doesn't  
24 elevate it to evidence?

25 A In my opinion, no.



1 Q On September the 12th of 1991, you've told us that  
2 you finally obtained then Mr. Fisher's blood type,  
3 he had consented to give it and you were advised  
4 that it was type A, which is in fact the type, the  
5 blood type of the assailant of Gail Miller; yes?

6 A Yes.

7 Q That's something that was actually already known  
8 because the (V1)- assault to which he pled guilty  
9 was a type A assailant, that's something that's in  
10 the Milgaard file, and you may well not have been  
11 able to put that together in the absence of the  
12 names of his victims, so I'm not criticizing you  
13 for it.

14 A No, no, and I have no recollection of that.

15 Q But the effect of that type A blood grouping is  
16 simply that it doesn't exclude Mr. Fisher?

17 A That's right.

18 Q Can you think of anything else that would have, or  
19 could potentially, in the real world in 1990,  
20 amount to evidence that would link Mr. Fisher to  
21 Ms. Miller?

22 A You know, again, other than try and maintain some  
23 contact with him, you know, we were -- you know,  
24 the polygraph is a police tool and, as flimsy as  
25 that may be, it was one potential option that





1           existed, but there wasn't much, I have to admit.

2           Q       Well, as an option, I mean, you are talking about  
3           a police-conducted polygraph where you could  
4           interrogate him afterwards when you tell him he  
5           failed it; am I right?

6           A       Yeah, you are basically looking at trying to get  
7           him to tell you something that would incriminate  
8           himself.

9           Q       The mere fact of failing it, the mere fact of  
10          passing it, would have absolutely no evidentiary  
11          value?

12          A       That's right.

13          Q       So would you agree with me, sir, that this really  
14          was an exercise that was doomed to failure insofar  
15          as getting evidence to put before a Minister of  
16          Justice or to put before an official?

17          A       It was very difficult, it really was, and you are  
18          talking 20 years later and, you know, at the time  
19          frame may have been less, we possibly could have  
20          got some evidence. Like you say, you've mentioned  
21          the employment records, if you would have had  
22          something where you could have cornered Larry  
23          Fisher maybe through an interrogation, interview,  
24          something to present to him that he was lying to  
25          you, it may have developed into something, but



1           those are unknowns, you just don't know what could  
2           potentially evolve, but after this length of time,  
3           yes, it is difficult, and in the absence of  
4           forensics, i.e., DNA and such, there was some real  
5           problems.

6       Q       So this task has set up a huge, and I would  
7           suggest to you an insurmountable hurdle to  
8           somebody in David Milgaard's situation where he's  
9           sitting in jail maintaining he's innocent?

10      A       It is difficult if you are looking at the  
11           development of evidence, but on the other hand,  
12           you know, the similarity aspect, which really was  
13           a basis of the 690 and we did carry this through,  
14           was the other potential that was there.

15      Q       And that's the one that was a difference in the  
16           approach by the federal authorities in 1991;  
17           right?

18      A       Well, it was used in the second application, yes.

19      Q       Yeah. But that's something, as you are aware,  
20           that Mr. Wolch and Mr. Asper had been asking for  
21           the circumstances and the details of Mr. Fisher's  
22           offences throughout 1990?

23      A       I don't know what they had been asking for, and I  
24           don't know if they asked for a similar act  
25           comparison or not.



1 Q No, the basis for one, the evidentiary record.

2 A Oh, okay.

3 Q They were looking for statements, they were  
4 looking for --

5 A Okay, yes, yes.

6 Q Yeah. Would you agree that the lawyers and  
7 officials within the Department of Justice may  
8 share the same kind of bias that you had in favour  
9 of the system, and I'm not being critical there,  
10 but you told us that you had a kind of belief or  
11 some sort of satisfaction from the knowledge that  
12 Mr. Milgaard had been through the system and had  
13 been convicted after in fair procedures and all  
14 the rest of it?

15 A Yeah, yeah.

16 Q And that gave you some measure of comfort?

17 A And, you know, I wouldn't use the term bias  
18 because bias sounds like it's something that's  
19 purposely done and you are carrying it because you  
20 are not prepared to open your mind to other  
21 things, so bias really isn't a word that I would  
22 use here, but I do think that when you take a look  
23 at the system that we all are a part of, you have  
24 confidence in it, and I would say that confidence  
25 certainly carries some weight and that weight has



1 influence on everyone, and it had influence on me.

2 Q And it could influence people to want to maintain  
3 or to see that what had happened was maintained?

4 A Oh, I think it affects everyone differently, yes.

5 Q For instance, when you were, when you spoke to  
6 Kenny Cadrain, that's the six year old, in July --  
7 July 18th of 1990 is when you talked to him and he  
8 told you that he had no recall of seeing blood and  
9 you reported that in addition to taking the  
10 statement and turning over the statement of Kenny  
11 Cadrain, you also reported it in your August 28th,  
12 1990 report that he had said that he did not  
13 recall seeing any blood.

14 A Yes.

15 Q It would have been inaccurate, completely contrary  
16 to the evidence that you had obtained if Mr.  
17 Williams was to report to other people that  
18 Mr. Cadrain had given a statement that he had seen  
19 blood?

20 A Yes, yes. I mean, he indicated to me he didn't  
21 recall seeing blood.

22 BY MS. McLEAN: Mr. Commissioner, just for  
23 the record, this is the document, one of the  
24 documents that was referred to this morning that  
25 Mr. Frayer takes exception to and asserts



1 privilege and by agreement with him I've referred  
2 to it this way. The document is actually 004374  
3 and that's just to obviate having the argument  
4 now as to the privilege.

5 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay.

6 BY MS. McLEAN:

7 Q And you were, sir, out of the loop, really, on the  
8 larger investigation. Did you have any idea how  
9 important it was to corroborate the evidence of  
10 Albert Cadrain in 1990 that he had seen blood?  
11 I'm talking about Albert, the brother.

12 A Yeah. You know, I just, I don't recall, I don't  
13 recall the specifics of that. I'm going based on  
14 the documentation that's in place now at this  
15 date, but --

16 Q Yes?

17 A But to go back and say how do I recall everything  
18 at the time, --

19 Q No, I don't think you would.

20 A -- I just don't have it there, I'm sorry.

21 Q Do you have some sense that his evidence was  
22 coming into question in the sense that he was  
23 having some psychiatric problems, possibly  
24 hallucinations?

25 A Yes, I was aware that there were some mental



1 issues that he had had, and that had apparently  
2 come on a little later in life, you know, after,  
3 after this, after the Milgaard investigation.

4 Q And you understood from Kenny that it actually had  
5 only been in the last couple of years?

6 A That was according to Kenny, yes.

7 Q Yeah. Were you ever aware that it had been much,  
8 much, much earlier than that?

9 A If I had knowledge, I don't recall it now, but --

10 Q Okay. Just on the Kenny six-year-old issue, your  
11 evidence -- could we have 19407, please? I hope  
12 it's right.

13 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Not enough digits.  
14 Is it a transcript?

15 MS. McLEAN: It's a transcript. I hope  
16 it's enough digits because, if it's not, I'm in  
17 big trouble.

18 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: And if there are  
19 any more we're all in big trouble.

20 BY MS. McLEAN:

21 Q Okay. This is the question and answer, here,  
22 of -- Commission Counsel asked you, and it was  
23 essentially whether or not the fact that Kenny  
24 Cadrain was telling you about something that  
25 happened when he was six-year old, --



1 A Uh-huh.

2 Q -- if that had had any impact on you or --

3 A Uh-huh.

4 Q -- weight you put on it. And your answer, sir,  
5 seems to suggest that, because he was telling it  
6 to you as an adult, that it would be more  
7 reliable; is that -- is that the tenor of your  
8 answer there?

9 A Well, I think so, I guess that's really how I -- I  
10 feel about that, you know. It's something he, as  
11 an adult, is telling me that he recalled when he  
12 was a child, and now you can debate how much he  
13 really knew or how much he could really recall at  
14 this late stage of his life, but that's how I  
15 recall it.

16 Q And that whatever it was that he recalled would be  
17 after some 20 years exposure to his brother  
18 talking about the case?

19 A Well that, that's, you know, an assessment to be  
20 made by someone, you know, it's what he was saying  
21 and it's what I recorded.

22 Q Kenny Cadrain's evidence -- for the record, don't  
23 need to go to the, is at 2241 of the transcript --  
24 is that he would have had more faith in his own  
25 memories if they'd happened when he was an adult;



1 in other words he seemed to be questioning his own  
2 memories because they were memories from when he  
3 was only six years old?

4 A No, and I think that's normal, I probably --  
5 probably everyone involved in this 20 years later  
6 is questioning some of the memories that they  
7 have, I mean that's just a given.

8 Q And that much of the evidence he came to give some  
9 20 years later might be the product of many, many  
10 conversations he had with his family?

11 A Yes, and I think that's probably universal.

12 Q That can go down now, thank you. And I want to  
13 explore what you had, briefly, in the way of  
14 knowledge of the Fisher offences back in 1990.  
15 Now in March of 1990, almost immediately on your  
16 taking the case, you got some information about  
17 the Fort Garry/Winnipeg offences; do you remember  
18 that?

19 A Yes, I think that was through the penitentiary  
20 files, if I'm not mistaken.

21 Q And one of the victims in Fort Garry/Winnipeg was  
22 a nurse; do you remember that?

23 A I --

24 Q She was on her way to work?

25 A Yes, I believe I recall that.





1 Q Excuse me, she was on her way back from work, not  
2 on her way to work.

3 A Yeah.

4 Q Yeah?

5 A I remember a nurse in there, yes.

6 Q Do you remember one of those two victims having  
7 been followed by Mr. Fisher off a bus?

8 A I don't recall that, I -- if it's in the file I'm  
9 sure that's what it said, but I just don't have a  
10 recollection of it, I'm sorry.

11 Q Okay. And do you recall that the weapon that he  
12 had used with one of those victims was in fact a  
13 paring knife?

14 A Yes, I probably knew that.

15 Q You remember that one, because you were wanting to  
16 know whether or not that was the same one that  
17 Larry -- that Linda Fisher was missing?

18 A Yes, yeah.

19 Q And you knew, I'd suggest, very little about the  
20 file -- not that that's your fault -- but you knew  
21 enough about the file to know that Gail Miller was  
22 a nurse on her way to a bus when she was killed by  
23 somebody with a paring knife?

24 A Yes, I knew that.

25 Q Okay. And you discussed, with Mr. Williams, the



1 Winnipeg offences; correct?

2 A Yes.

3 Q 056743, and it's at item number 33, I believe.

4 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: 064?

5 MS. McLEAN: 056743, it's the typed notes,  
6 item number 33. That's page 33, they are  
7 numbered here as paragraphs, yeah, there it is.  
8 Okay.

9 BY MS. McLEAN:

10 Q You discussed with Mr. Williams the circumstances  
11 surrounding the two offences in Fort Garry, you  
12 had the police reports which detailed them, --

13 A Yes.

14 Q -- and the two of you discussed the M.O.'s, noted  
15 the knife he used in one offence, both were  
16 violent crimes; and you also discussed the North  
17 Battleford, and that's the (V10) (V10)- attempt  
18 murder, the knife used there, the M.O., and the  
19 viciousness of this attack; yes?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Okay. And, even then, your view was that it was a  
22 pretty persuasive case that Mr. Fisher could have  
23 been involved in the murder of Gail Miller?

24 A He was certainly a suspect, yes.

25 Q You found it pretty persuasive?



1 A Well, I don't know how you would describe  
2 'persuasive', but he was certainly a good suspect,  
3 unless I --

4 Q Page 19033 of the transcript, please.

5 A I used the word "persuasive", I can see, there in  
6 line 13 if that's what you --

7 Q Thank you. You stand by that answer, sir?

8 A Oh yeah, oh yeah, that's what I said.

9 Q In July of 1990 you learned the names of the  
10 Saskatoon victims of Larry Fisher; remember that?

11 A Yes.

12 Q You interviewed Mr. Fisher and he essentially had  
13 no memory of the Saskatoon offences?

14 A I -- I just don't recall what, what happened  
15 there, I just don't recall what his --

16 Q Okay.

17 A -- reaction was to that at that time.

18 Q And do you remember, in mid-July, you got the  
19 partial (V1)- file?

20 A That was the first file? Yes.

21 Q Yes.

22 A Yes.

23 Q So as of July you had a fair amount of detail  
24 about the Fort Garry/Winnipeg offences and had  
25 discussed those quite thoroughly with Mr.



1 Williams; yes?

2 A I had those, there were two partial files, they  
3 were incomplete files, and I had the complete  
4 (V10) (V10)- file from the North Battleford one.

5 Q Yeah, but you had quite a lot of information --

6 A Yeah.

7 Q -- about the allegations to which Mr. Fisher pled  
8 guilty in Fort Garry, you had --

9 A Yes, I had possession of details, yes.

10 Q Yeah. But you didn't have details of any of the  
11 Saskatoon ones?

12 A No.

13 Q So would it be reasonable for Mr. Williams to take  
14 the position in August of that year that the  
15 offences of Larry Fisher were not similar to the  
16 murder of Gail Miller, in your opinion?

17 A Well, you know, this was all part of the basis of  
18 the way I formed the suspicion was the fact that,  
19 you know, Larry Fisher had this history and, you  
20 know, again what that meant to Mr. Williams and  
21 others, you know, I don't know.

22 Q When you were discussing it with Mr. Williams in  
23 March was he telling you that he didn't think they  
24 were similar?

25 A I don't recall the conversation, the details of



1 the conversation, you know, I really don't.

2 Q Your notes are fairly detailed; do you think  
3 that's something you would have put in your notes,  
4 that he was disagreeing with you that they were  
5 similar?

6 A Yeah, my notes are fairly detailed but, you know,  
7 you have to appreciate the notes don't contain  
8 everything you have in a discussion. I'm sure,  
9 like some of his notes may be different than mine,  
10 I mean --

11 Q Uh-huh.

12 A -- he may pick up part of the conversation that's  
13 more important to him that's different than mine,  
14 it's not a transcript of everything. But for me  
15 to recall him ever saying that he doesn't believe  
16 any of this, I don't have any recollection of that  
17 kind of an approach.

18 Q And again for the record, Mr. Commissioner, the  
19 document reference there is 004374, and it's a  
20 document to which Mr. Frayer takes -- or claims  
21 privilege, and to be argued later.

22 I hope I need document 056790.  
23 This is a discussion that you had on September the  
24 16th with Mr. Williams, and this is the one where  
25 Mr. Williams stated he had received your last



1 report, and that would be your August of 1990  
2 report; yes?

3 A Yes, okay.

4 Q And that's the report where you concluded that Mr.  
5 Fisher remained a live suspect in the case; yes?

6 A Okay, yeah.

7 Q And:

8 "Mr. Williams stated he had received  
9 ..."

10 your:

11 "... last report and seemed somewhat  
12 concerned that ..."

13 you:

14 "... still considered Fisher to be a  
15 suspect and was asking why, in view of  
16 the denials that Fisher made during his  
17 interview with us."

18 And then you gave an explanation of what you said  
19 to Mr. Williams explaining why you considered  
20 Fisher a suspect and that you aren't prepared to  
21 take his answers -- 'his' being Fisher's --  
22 answers at face value. Did it surprise you that  
23 Mr. Williams was surprised that you considered  
24 Mr. Fisher a suspect?

25 A Umm, I don't know. I indicate here that I am



1           somewhat concerned and it -- I don't know what  
2           that concern was, but obviously at the time I had  
3           some concern, and the concern may possibly have  
4           been that maybe Mr. Williams didn't consider Mr.  
5           Fisher a suspect. You know, that may have been  
6           what it is, but I don't have a specific  
7           recollection of what my concern was at the time.

8           Q       Because it would be quite alarming, wouldn't it,  
9                   for somebody who's reviewing this case to be  
10                  willing to dismiss, as a suspect, a serial rapist  
11                  who lived in the area, who had an M.O. that was  
12                  the same, who used a knife, a paring knife, he  
13                  stalked his victims on the bus, he used the Gail  
14                  Miller bus stop, and couldn't be excluded by any  
15                  other means; it would be really troubling that  
16                  that's just dismissed, wouldn't it?

17          A       Yes. But on the other hand, I'm not saying here  
18                  that Mr. Williams, that was his position.

19          Q       Uh-huh.

20          A       I can't speak for Mr. Williams, you know, he -- he  
21                  may very well have still considered him a suspect,  
22                  I really don't know.

23          Q       Uh-huh.

24          A       I'm just going by what I have got written here --

25          Q       Uh-huh.



1       A       -- and I have no recollection of what his concern  
2               was, but I'm really kind of going with what's  
3               documented.

4       Q       Uh-huh. And this may be something that you  
5               discussed the other day. I want 056802, I  
6               believe, and it's paragraph 267. I think you  
7               discussed this with Commission Counsel the other  
8               day, and this is the one about the downside risk  
9               of a polygraph with Mr. -- with Mr. Fisher, it's  
10              267. I'm sorry, Mr. Williams told you that:

11                     "... a decision has been made not to  
12                     have Fisher take the polygraph ...",  
13              and the history to this is that there had been a  
14              few times there where he had said he had to  
15              consult with superiors or colleagues; remember  
16              that?

17      A       Yes.

18      Q       And:

19                     "... a decision has been made not to  
20                     have Fisher take the polygraph ... His  
21                     superiors have met and decided, "the  
22                     down side risks outweigh the benefits".  
23              Do you have anything you can tell us to help us  
24              with what was meant by "downside risks"?

25      A       Well I wrote it in there, I think, as a quote from





1 him.

2 Q Yeah.

3 A But Mr. Williams, of course, is going to have to  
4 try and explain --

5 Q Uh-huh.

6 A -- what he meant by that because my recollection  
7 is really what's written down there, and to  
8 elaborate on that I'd just be making some  
9 assumptions here, I really would. And, you know,  
10 the polygraph is controversial --

11 Q Uh-huh.

12 A -- in that it's not an accepted -- you know, as  
13 evidence in Court, --

14 Q Uh-huh.

15 A -- and there are some issues that come with it,  
16 but I suppose -- as to what does it really tell  
17 you at the end of the day. It's a police tool  
18 that I do think causes some difficulty sometimes  
19 when it's used outside of that realm, and maybe  
20 that's what they were assessing when they were  
21 looking at this, like what will it really do for  
22 them.

23 Q Uh-huh.

24 A But all I can say is that that's the end result of  
25 the interest that I had of pursuing a second



1 polygraph.

2 Q Okay. If we can just back it up to the preceding  
3 page and get paragraph 260, please. This is a  
4 conversation that you had a few days earlier,  
5 prior to the decision being made, --

6 A Yes.

7 Q -- and in your discussion with Mr. Williams there:  
8 "Williams seems to have concerns that  
9 the polygraph results are not admissible  
10 ...",

11 you've just talk talked about that:

12 "... and could be persuasive in terms of  
13 disposition of the case."

14 Do you have any recollection of what was  
15 discussed there?

16 A No, I --

17 Q How could they have an effect on the disposition  
18 of the case?

19 A No, I'm just going by what I read here as well. I  
20 don't have details of the conversation, I have got  
21 it documented like I believe that it evolved, but  
22 to elaborate on it I'd be speculating.

23 Q I suppose the results could be persuasive in terms  
24 of the disposition of the case if the serial  
25 rapist operating in the neighbourhood would take a



1 polygraph and fail it would be pretty hard to turn  
2 down the application then; wouldn't it?

3 A Well I would think that's one option, yes.

4 Q 001783, please, it's the Campbell letter. Umm,  
5 the third page, which is 786, Ms. Campbell in her  
6 letter here says:

7 "Respecting some of the points raised  
8 ...",

9 now she's speaking of the entirety of the  
10 application:

11 "Respecting some of the points raised,  
12 there are no reasonable grounds to  
13 believe that the evidence or information  
14 made available would have affected the  
15 verdict of the jury at trial. With  
16 other points, the information provided  
17 was simply unreliable."

18 Now you had provided essentially the bulk of the  
19 information about Larry Fisher, and that  
20 consisted of the statement of his ex-wife and  
21 your efforts to secure evidence about his prior  
22 criminal conduct, that would not be something  
23 that you'd expect to be described as  
24 'unreliable'; would you?

25 A I don't, no. I don't believe it should be, no.



1 Q Neither is it something that you would think would  
2 not have affected the verdict of the jury?

3 A No, I -- I'm sorry, I'm missing where you are  
4 reading the 'unreliable'?

5 Q At the very bottom here:

6 "Respecting some of the points raised  
7 ...",  
8 and she's talking about the entirety of the  
9 application

10 A Yes, yeah.

11 Q And I'm suggesting to you that in her, in her  
12 letter you would have to assume that she's putting  
13 the Larry Fisher evidence into the category of 'no  
14 reasonable grounds to believe that it would have  
15 affected the verdict of the jury at trial'?

16 A Uh-huh.

17 Q And that's completely contrary to your position;  
18 isn't it?

19 A As far as him being eliminated as a suspect, yes.

20 Q Well it's something that certainly affected your  
21 view of the guilt of David Milgaard?

22 A Yes.

23 Q It brought it into doubt for you; yes?

24 A Yes. If -- if, you know, if Larry is a suspect,  
25 then someone else wouldn't be.



1 Q Or may well not be guilty?

2 A Possible.

3 Q Remember, that's the only threshold we need on  
4 miscarriage of justice. And going also to page,  
5 it's page 10 of the letter, and it appears at  
6 1793, you've told us this one is something that  
7 you disagree with.

8 A Where are you at?

9 Q I'm circling it here, we'll bring it up,  
10 "although":

11 "Although it was, as you have conceded,  
12 quite coincidental ...",

13 Mr. Wolch has already dealt with that this  
14 morning:

15 "... Mr. Fisher resided at the Cadrain  
16 residence during Mr. Milgaard's visit,  
17 no guilt or suspicion of guilt can be  
18 attributed to Fisher in the absence of  
19 some form of evidence linking him to the  
20 crime."

21 And you've told us already, I believe, that you  
22 do not agree with that statement?

23 A Well I think you certainly can form suspicion  
24 however you wish, and some people may not have  
25 suspicion under these circumstances, I did. Umm,



1           now evidence is quite something else, but  
2           suspicion is --

3       Q       Uh-huh. Do you think any reasonable person would  
4           have suspicions based on what you knew and had  
5           turned over?

6       A       Well all I can say is that, you know, I had  
7           suspicion; is that your question?

8       Q       And Mr. Williams apparently finalized his report  
9           to the Minister, or to his superiors or colleagues  
10          in December of 1990, we've never seen that report;  
11          did you know it was happening?

12      A       I have no recollection of it, and I don't believe  
13          there's any reference to that in my notes, so I  
14          really -- I just have no recollection.

15      Q       And you were never consulted about the evidence or  
16          the advice that was given to the Minister?

17      A       No.

18      Q       You were still investigating the crime in February  
19          of 1991, and still investigating Larry Fisher  
20          specifically?

21      A       Well, it was still an open file --

22      Q       Uh-huh.

23      A       -- and, you know, there was -- but as far as when  
24          I may have found out about, about that, I just  
25          don't recall, I --



1 Q Does it trouble you, now, that a letter such as  
2 this had gone out, dismissing Mr. Milgaard's  
3 application, when you were still investigating Mr.  
4 Fisher?

5 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Are you  
6 referencing the Minister's letter?

7 BY MS. McLEAN:

8 Q Yes.

9 A Well it's, you know, it's hard to reflect back 15  
10 years to say what I felt at the time. It was, you  
11 know, a process that, you know, I never felt I was  
12 part of the decision-making process.

13 Q Uh-huh.

14 A I didn't feel that it was really, rightfully, my  
15 position to be in. I was, you know, providing  
16 assistance to Mr. Williams, and he and others were  
17 assessing the totality of everything and making  
18 inquiries on their own on other aspects of it, --

19 Q Uh-huh.

20 A -- and they came to conclusions based on that.

21 Q Well that's why I'm confining my questions of you  
22 just to the Fisher aspects of it?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Because you really can't speak to the  
25 reasonableness of conclusions on other matters.



1       A       Right.

2       Q       But, in respect of Fisher, there is a claim made  
3               on behalf of David Milgaard that a likely suspect  
4               is one Larry Fisher as a result of an anonymous  
5               tip?

6       A       Yes.

7       Q       That claim is investigated, because it's  
8               immediately conveyed to the proper authorities at  
9               the Minister of Justice and it's appended to the  
10              already-existent Section 690 application; right?

11      A       Okay.

12      Q       You are the investigator, you haven't reached any  
13              conclusion on the Fisher issue yet; right?

14      A       Other -- there is no conclusions, no, it's not  
15              concluded.

16      Q       And the only conclusion that you have reached,  
17              i.e. Fisher is still a suspect, --

18      A       Yes.

19      Q       -- is contrary to the assertions in this letter  
20              dismissing the application; yes?

21      A       Yeah, that's -- that's a reasonable conclusion,  
22              yes.

23      Q       Now the second application, as it's called, goes  
24              in on August the 14th of 1991. Mr. Wolch's  
25              letter, 008427, he sets out that he'd -- he'd





1 written to the Minister without response in April,  
2 and that this is a second letter now being  
3 written. He is saying that the Milgaards had gone  
4 ahead with efforts to try and get further evidence  
5 that would establish David's innocence, and he  
6 says:

7 "When we first made our application the  
8 suggestion that Larry Fisher was the  
9 perpetrator was not the main thrust  
10 ...",

11 and it wasn't, it was something that was appended  
12 when the information came out:

13 "... and we were at that time advised by  
14 your Department that there were no  
15 police reports available on past  
16 offences of Mr. Fisher. Whereas we  
17 suggested there was a distinct pattern  
18 and although the similarities were never  
19 placed before you, we accepted that we  
20 were at that time at a dead end."

21 And then it goes on to advise the Minister of the  
22 efforts that have been made by Centurion  
23 Ministries to go and interview the victims.  
24 Going over to the next page, speaking of the  
25 Miller family:



1 "The fact is that the Miller family is  
2 clearly in support of an open  
3 investigation and feel that this new  
4 evidence, and by that I refer to the  
5 Larry Fisher evidence in particular, has  
6 caused them to have a very reasonable  
7 doubt as to David Milgaard's guilt.  
8 Their statement is enclosed."

9 And that, sir, is a reference to the statements  
10 that had been obtained by Mrs. Milgaard and by  
11 Mr. Henderson as they approached the victims of  
12 Larry Fisher and told them that he had done it;  
13 yes?

14 A Yes, okay.

15 Q And by -- I should clarify -- by "telling them  
16 that he had done it", by telling them that Larry  
17 Fisher is the name of the individual who had been  
18 convicted --

19 A Yes.

20 Q -- some 20 years earlier --

21 A That's right.

22 Q -- of their rapes, informing them for the first  
23 time that the culprit had been caught. Continuing  
24 on that page is the same issue there. The  
25 startling development was the -- was learning that



1 four of the victims of Larry Fisher had never been  
2 told that anyone had been charged or convicted,  
3 and then that they had lived in fear and it's  
4 absolutely inexcusable, and I don't think there's  
5 any further comment we can make to that. And then  
6 he asks, Mr. Wolch asks the Minister to:

7 "... treat this letter as a fresh  
8 application to re-open the ... case."

9 And says that:

10 "It is our view that had you been aware  
11 of these additional developments your  
12 decision would not have been the same.  
13 We are not asking you to declare David  
14 Milgaard innocent, as obvious as it may  
15 be. We are simply asking that you have  
16 an impartial tribunal adjudicate this  
17 matter with full disclosure and with Mr.  
18 Milgaard being properly represented."

19 And you understand, sir, that that was a request  
20 that it be referred to a court for some type of a  
21 hearing?

22 A Yeah, okay, yeah.

23 Q Now you didn't speak to the victims of Larry  
24 Fisher until sometime in 1991, not because you  
25 didn't want to, but because you were, shall we



1 say, discouraged from doing so?

2 A I -- I interviewed the two that there was no, no  
3 police records on, there was no history --

4 Q Yeah?

5 A -- other than what Centurion Ministries had  
6 produced.

7 Q Yeah, and your interviews took place after  
8 Mrs. Milgaard and the investigator from Centurion  
9 Ministries?

10 A That was after the second 690, yes.

11 Q Yeah. So in respect of the 690, you had nothing  
12 to do with interviewing witnesses, and everything  
13 that there was coming from the victims came to  
14 Mrs. Milgaard, Mr. Henderson, and through the  
15 Milgaard lawyers it came to the Department of  
16 Justice and then to you; yes?

17 A As I recall, that's how it worked, yes.

18 Q Okay. And we reviewed earlier the materials that  
19 you had in 1990 with respect to Mr. Fisher's  
20 victims at Winnipeg, you had the North Battleford  
21 (V10) (V10)-, you had a little bit of (V1)-,  
22 that's all you had?

23 A As I recall, yes.

24 Q And then in 1991, September, as a result of the  
25 searches of Saskatoon files, eventually part of



1 the (V3)----- file appears, part of the (V4)---  
2 file appears and what looks to be the entirety of  
3 the (V5)-- (V5)--- one and part of the (V2)-----  
4 one roughly?

5 A My recollection is that through the search by the  
6 Sask Police Commission, the (V5)--- file surfaced.

7 Q Yes.

8 A Where the rest of them surfaced, I just don't  
9 recall if they surfaced at that time, I thought  
10 there were a couple of them that never did  
11 surface, but that's just my recollection.

12 Q Well, they never surfaced in their entirety,  
13 there's just some references that are scattered in  
14 the --

15 A References or file material?

16 Q File material.

17 A Okay. I don't recall that. I recall the (V5)--  
18 (V5)--- file as one that surfaced with some  
19 completeness through the search by the microfiche  
20 tapes and I believe over at the city police.  
21 There was no hard copy on their file and it wasn't  
22 found I believe until they did a microfiche search  
23 and located it.

24 Q Yeah.

25 A That's my recollection.



1 Q I don't want to mislead you, sir, because you are  
2 quite correct.

3 A Okay.

4 Q The files themselves did not surface.

5 A Yes, okay.

6 Q There was some material relating to those victims  
7 that was part of the Milgaard file.

8 A Okay.

9 Q But nobody gets that or understands any of that  
10 until after September of 1991.

11 A Okay.

12 Q So the point is, by the time the application is  
13 decided by the Minister of Justice Campbell,  
14 there's no information that is available to put  
15 before her in any form apart from the information  
16 about the Winnipeg Fort Garry offences, (V10)  
17 (V10)- and a little bit of (V1)-; you agree?

18 A I think that's as I recall it, yes.

19 Q And Mr. Wolch is pointing out to the minister in  
20 August of 1991 that there is information that he's  
21 got now that wasn't and couldn't have been in  
22 front of her when she made her original decision;  
23 you agree?

24 A Well, you are telling me that. I mean, I don't  
25 recall it, but --



1 Q Okay. If those circumstances, as I've outlined  
2 them, are true, okay, it would be absolutely wrong  
3 for Mr. Williams to take the position that Mr.  
4 Wolch was wrong in stating or assuming that the  
5 similarities of the offences were not brought to  
6 the minister's attention and that he had a  
7 sufficient idea, or sufficient information from  
8 the documents to be able to assess the (V2)-----,  
9 the (V1)-, the (V5)--- and the (V3)-----  
10 offences, that would be quite wrong because that  
11 didn't exist until the summer of 1991?

12 A I don't know what information Mr. Williams  
13 possessed that he received through Mr. Wolch, I  
14 don't know all the correspondence that may have  
15 gone back and forth --

16 Q Okay.

17 A -- I can only really relate to the information  
18 that I discussed with Mr. Williams based on the  
19 knowledge we had of the files that existed.

20 Q Okay.

21 A And so that was really the information we've  
22 already talked about.

23 Q Again for the record, that's the third and final  
24 one that Mr. Frayer takes exception to, it's a  
25 different document, it's 010002, he is asserting



1 privilege and that will be argued at a later time.

2 By agreement that's the way I can put the  
3 question, although he doesn't appear to be here.

4 MR. FRAYER: I was out of the room. I  
5 apologize.

6 MS. McLEAN: I was just saying you agree  
7 with me.

8 MR. FRAYER: Yes.

9 BY MS. McLEAN:

10 Q And I think given what you've already told us  
11 about your views and your position on openness and  
12 everybody being at the table and trying to work  
13 together to right injustices, everybody in your  
14 view that's a party or is an interested party  
15 should know what kind of submissions are being  
16 made to a minister when somebody's freedom is at  
17 stake?

18 A With my knowledge of how the system works, and  
19 again, I'm not real familiar with the legalities  
20 of privilege and such, but, you know, just as a  
21 logical, common sense, grass roots, every day  
22 approach to this, you would say that, you know,  
23 let's all discuss the issue with the information  
24 we have. There should be no hiding behind it.

25 Q Okay. Would you think that the system into making





1 inquiries or redressing claims of wrongful  
2 conviction ought to be something that's proactive,  
3 where the system is open to examining cases,  
4 rather than reactive and making the applicant go  
5 through what are sometimes insurmountable hurdles  
6 to get justice?

7 A Well, you know, in the -- academically I suppose  
8 you could make an argument that it should be wide  
9 open and proactive, but I do know that in reality  
10 there are a lot of dangerous situations I think  
11 that could open up with people that would take  
12 advantage of any crack in the door and that's the  
13 challenge here, to be open, but not to the point  
14 where your system is being abused by those people  
15 who are waiting in the wings to abuse it, and  
16 there are a lot of them, let me assure you, so  
17 that's the dilemma.

18 Q Well, as long as you have some kind of a threshold  
19 screening, which is what they've done in the UK  
20 where they've got the Criminal Cases Review  
21 Commission, it's an independent body that examines  
22 claims of wrongful conviction, it's proactive, it  
23 has an independent board, has independent  
24 investigators, they were not allied to anybody,  
25 and when that was first being set up one of the



1 red flags or one the concerns, one of the cautions  
2 was that they would be troubled by all kinds of  
3 spurious claims, and that's essentially what you  
4 are saying now isn't it?

5 A It has the potential.

6 Q You will be happy to know that's not what  
7 happened.

8 A But it still has the potential.

9 Q Uh-huh. Now, as far as this case, if not for the  
10 efforts of the Milgaard family, and specifically  
11 David's mother, do you agree he might very, very  
12 well still be in jail?

13 A Well, I have to say, I have to, you know,  
14 acknowledge Mrs. Milgaard, I have great admiration  
15 for her as an individual and as a mother who is  
16 believing strongly in the cause of the situation  
17 her son was in and I think David should be very,  
18 very proud of his mother, so from a very personal  
19 point of view, I have to admire what she has done.  
20 Now, to say that if she hadn't done this would he  
21 still be in prison? I don't know if Larry Fisher  
22 or how Larry Fisher would have ever surfaced,  
23 possibly he could have, I mean, there are unknowns  
24 there, but I think she was very instrumental in  
25 getting this whole process to where it is today.



1 Q I wasn't really looking for applause for her,  
2 although she probably enjoyed it --

3 A Well, I believe it.

4 Q -- but she knew stuff in the very early days of  
5 your investigation about Larry Fisher. For  
6 example, she knew that Larry Fisher had been  
7 questioned by the police February the 3rd of 1969,  
8 she knew it before you knew it -- I'm not  
9 suggesting it's your fault because you were not  
10 given the materials, but she is focused, motivated  
11 and very knowledgeable about the case, and it  
12 requires somebody like that to properly  
13 investigate a case; does it not?

14 A Yes, I do, but, you know, and the other side of  
15 that, having said these nice things about Mrs.  
16 Milgaard because I really believe them, there's  
17 also the fact that the world that she was  
18 operating in, she developed I believe a mistrust  
19 for the justice system and as a result I think she  
20 did go along on her own maybe through mistrust or  
21 frustration or hitting her head against the wall  
22 or whatever may have been the cause of this and,  
23 you know, she went parallel to the justice system  
24 or on her own, and again when I talk about the  
25 importance of everybody having a chance to put all



1 the information together, she may have had  
2 information that could have been valuable many  
3 years ago that may have spurred this on as well.  
4 I mean, these are all speculation.

5 Q Uh-huh.

6 A But there is a downside when you have a system you  
7 don't trust and I guess that's hopefully what will  
8 come out of all of this, is that we will have a  
9 better system, because if Mr. Milgaard is a  
10 reflection of other people out there doing the  
11 same thing and not getting the response, then I  
12 think we have to do some self examination, and I  
13 believe sincerely with that, but on the other  
14 hand, if people don't trust the system and they  
15 harbour their own information and, you know, I  
16 believe there's some indication very early in my  
17 dealings with Mr. Asper that they were having some  
18 of the same concerns with Mrs. Milgaard over this  
19 issue, and I just think that this is a symptom of  
20 maybe a system that should be more open so that  
21 these things don't happen so that people don't  
22 have to be frustrated for years to have the matter  
23 dealt with.

24 Q It was the Milgaards in July -- sorry, Milgaard  
25 counsel, I'm going to collectively call it the



1 Milgaards as we're known -- July 4th of 1990,  
2 document 010019 it has been referred to, it's the  
3 memo that indicates that Mr. Wolch has now  
4 provided the names and addresses of the Saskatoon  
5 victims and that that had been obtained by the  
6 media. Remember?

7 A I remember that, yes. I remember him saying that,  
8 yes.

9 Q The disclosure that was inherent in the 690  
10 process gave access to counsel for Mr. Milgaard,  
11 gave him access to the police files such that they  
12 were able to extract information about the  
13 Saskatoon victims from it, that's what we talked  
14 about earlier today. As a result -- and that's in  
15 January of 1992, and as a result you were asking  
16 for anything that they found, again this sharing  
17 of information which is important; right?

18 A Okay.

19 Q And on July the 5th of 1990, document 010033, Mr.  
20 Wolch is looking for the first time, in writing,  
21 details of Mr. Fisher's offences and the purpose  
22 is for similar act. Okay, July 5th of 1990.

23 A Uh-huh.

24 Q I'm not -- again, I want to make it clear, I'm not  
25 directing this at you, I'm not faulting your



1 investigation, you did what you were instructed to  
2 do and what you did, but the fact of the matter  
3 is, is between July 5th of 1990, when that request  
4 was made, and February 27th of 1991, so about  
5 seven or eight months later, nothing came to Mr.  
6 Wolch answering those requests, there's no  
7 details, no information, February 27th, 1991 the  
8 application is dismissed, so after February 27th  
9 the counsel for Milgaards, Mrs. Milgaard had to go  
10 with the assistance of Centurion and get their own  
11 details directly from the victims.

12 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: After what date?

13 BY MS. McLEAN:

14 Q After February the 27th of 1991 Centurion came on  
15 board to do that specific investigation. Now,  
16 that certainly was not a desirable state of  
17 affairs was it?

18 A No, it wasn't, no.

19 Q Do you agree that the system as it has been  
20 reflected so far in this exchange is a little too  
21 reactive and needs to be more proactive? I think  
22 you would agree with that?

23 A Reactive?

24 Q Reactive to complaints is when something is  
25 brought forward, there is a reaction to it, okay,



1 we'll investigate it now, are you going to tell us  
2 specifically that Larry Fisher actually abducted  
3 -- or sorry, sexually assaulted women on the same  
4 block within the same radius of the Miller? Okay,  
5 we'll look into that, reactive, as opposed to  
6 proactive where we're trying to make sure we've  
7 only got people in prison who actually did the  
8 murder.

9 A Yes.

10 Q Would you support the creation of an independent  
11 body --

12 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: I think he  
13 indicated his understanding of the question, but  
14 I don't think he answered it.

15 BY MS. McLEAN:

16 Q Oh, he didn't answer? Should be more proactive  
17 rather than just reactive?

18 A Yes, I think there's a responsibility to pursue  
19 these things.

20 Q Do you think there's an advantage in having an  
21 independent body consisting of several bodies,  
22 physical bodies who have some training and some  
23 expertise in wrongful convictions in exploring  
24 what tends to go wrong with them, there's some  
25 value in that?



1 A I haven't spent a great deal of thought looking at  
2 all the options that would work --

3 Q Uh-huh.

4 A -- but I do think I'm open to change, I think  
5 change is always something that should be embraced  
6 and examined and looked at, and if through the  
7 wisdom of the people that know a lot more of the  
8 law and the issues than I, believe it's worthy of  
9 doing, then give it a try.

10 Q A good idea to have people involved in it that  
11 have no vested interest in maintaining the  
12 conviction?

13 A Well, I don't know. Now I think you are  
14 suggesting that if you were maybe in the justice  
15 department you believe that or if you are a  
16 policeman you believe that --

17 Q Uh-huh.

18 A -- and I can only speak for myself, you know, I  
19 have no personal interest to make sure that a  
20 person that's convicted stays convicted, I really  
21 in my heart don't believe that.

22 Q I'm not for a moment suggesting you were.

23 A And I also say that -- so does that mean that  
24 because I'm a policeman I couldn't be objective in  
25 pursuing this or does that mean a prosecutor





1           couldn't be or a defence lawyer would have a  
2           different view, because I don't think there's  
3           anyone who endorses a wrongful conviction, so who  
4           is the right people to really do this? There's a  
5           question mark as to who that may be. That's open  
6           to debate I guess.

7           Q       Okay. I'm not, again, I'm not for a moment  
8           suggesting that you were an inappropriate person  
9           to investigate or to do anything, I think your  
10          investigation within the limitations you were  
11          given was absolutely commendable, and in a  
12          thoroughly professional and unbiased way, I'm  
13          talking about decision-making people, that perhaps  
14          we ought to not have police who were involved in  
15          the case. It's as simple as when you first got  
16          the assignment, the police force that was involved  
17          in the case is not really a good one to look into  
18          whether anything was done wrong. Yes?

19          A       But you know, having said that, as a police  
20          organization of a professional, accredited police  
21          department, I think the only way you get better is  
22          to self examine the mistakes you may have made and  
23          if you are going to take an entire organization  
24          and isolate them and leave the impression they are  
25          not capable of participating in a review of a



1 mistake that may have been made I think is denying  
2 them the opportunity to look at themselves and  
3 grow and change and become professional in what  
4 they do, so there's a downside. I mean, the  
5 public perception could be that, well, you know,  
6 if they initially investigated this, then they  
7 shouldn't be involved in this follow-up  
8 investigation.

9 Q Yeah.

10 A The other side of that is that, you know, if the  
11 police department is going to grow and be more  
12 professional, you have to give some confidence and  
13 credit that they are going to be no different than  
14 you or I, that they don't want a wrongful  
15 conviction either, and so in there there's a place  
16 for them to participate.

17 Q Uh-huh. And perhaps that's a matter of education  
18 where you can do something to impact on the  
19 culture that's giving the belief in the conviction  
20 because it's happened in the courtroom or --

21 A I think it's much simpler than that, I think it's  
22 just taking the specific particular case.

23 Q Yeah.

24 A You don't have to re-invent the police department,  
25 you know. I mean, sure, there might be cultural



1 issues, there might be management issues, every  
2 department has that, but I do think when you look  
3 at a wrongful conviction case and if there is a  
4 mistake that was made in the investigation, this  
5 is a great opportunity for the police department  
6 themselves to change the way they do business and  
7 to look at what went wrong and to learn by it and  
8 it's a great opportunity to learn. I mean, we all  
9 make mistakes.

10 Q Uh-huh.

11 A To not let them participate I think is an  
12 injustice to them as a police organization.

13 Q Okay. The fact of the matter here is that if not  
14 for the efforts made by the Milgaards' counsel and  
15 the efforts of Mrs. Milgaard, everything would  
16 have ended with the dismissal on February 27th of  
17 1991 with Ms. Campbell's letter, and the same  
18 thing, you don't have a lot of detail about this,  
19 but the same thing was happening after 1992 with  
20 respect to the DNA. You remember when you were  
21 still involved there were efforts to do DNA  
22 testing while David Milgaard was still in jail?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And that, from the prosecution point of view,  
25 would be to see if he could be incriminated by the



1 DNA testing; right?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And from 1992 there was an effort which started in  
4 1995 when it was discovered with the *Morin* case,  
5 that the DNA problems could actually be overcome  
6 after many years, at that point there's an  
7 intention by David Milgaard to seek DNA testing to  
8 clear his name, notwithstanding that he's been out  
9 for three years and walking around as a guilty man  
10 in everybody's eyes, and it took some two years to  
11 get agreement to have DNA testing done and we all  
12 know what the results of that was in July of 1997.  
13 Would you support, as part of the, I guess in our  
14 better world, that there be a ready access to DNA  
15 testing in cases such as this where it could  
16 conclusively demonstrate somebody's innocence?

17 A Absolutely.

18 Q And I'm speaking financially as well, not simply  
19 releasing exhibits. Yes?

20 A I'm not going to discuss the finances and who  
21 should pay for it, I'm just talking about the  
22 value of DNA testing and some finality it may  
23 bring to an investigation.

24 Q Thank you. Are there any other recommendations or  
25 advice that you would like to give that you



1           haven't been asked about?

2       A       No, I really can't think of any. I'm sure if a  
3           person sat down and put their head to this, you  
4           could come up with some great ideas and some  
5           suggestions, but right off the top of my head, no,  
6           I don't.

7       Q       Thank you very much, sir.

8       A       Thank you.

9       BY MR. FOX:

10      Q       Mr. Pearson, I'm Aaron Fox, I'm the lawyer for  
11           Eddie Karst. I'm near the end of the batting  
12           order, so the game is just about over. I just  
13           wanted to ask you a few questions about some of  
14           the things that you've raised, and Mr. Boychuk of  
15           our office was here for a good part of your  
16           testimony, so I'm hoping I've got most of this  
17           correct.

18                   There was just a couple of  
19           references you made at various times to practices  
20           of taking statements and interviewing witnesses  
21           and so on and would it be fair to say that in  
22           general terms police today would be far better  
23           educated and probably taught an awful lot more  
24           about practices in taking statements and so on  
25           than what would have existed in 1969?



1       A       Yes, I believe there have been a lot of in-service  
2               training courses put together for those things,  
3               yes.

4       Q       There was some discussions, for example, by My  
5               Learned Friend Mr. Wolch about speaking to, say, a  
6               witness like Kenny Cadrain when he would have been  
7               five or six years old. I take it an officer today  
8               would have, would probably have an awful lot of  
9               training about interviewing a young person and how  
10              they might be handled and how they might be  
11              influenced or not influenced, that sort of thing,  
12              formal training versus what would have existed in  
13              1969?

14      A       Well, I think even today that aspect of  
15               interviewing children and such is somewhat  
16               specialized as well. I mean, most people get some  
17               basic exposure to it, but training for dealing  
18               with young children and such is a bit of a  
19               specialty just because of the child psychology  
20               involved.

21      Q       Okay. Now, you were asked some questions about  
22               driving around a witness or taking a witness to a  
23               location to see if they can identify the location,  
24               that sort of thing. Do you recall that being  
25               discussed?



1 A Gee, faintly.

2 Q It was pretty early in the procedure.

3 A Yeah.

4 Q I think Mr. Hodson probably asked you some  
5 questions about that, but if we've got, for  
6 example, and in this case we're speaking  
7 specifically of Nichol John and Ron Wilson, trying  
8 to establish with them, the police in 1969  
9 received some information from Albert Cadrain, Ron  
10 Wilson, Nichol John are identified as being with  
11 David Milgaard, they were at certain places in  
12 Saskatoon, so you want to find out from these  
13 people if they can identify where they were. The  
14 first step I guess would be to see if just on a  
15 free basis, narrative basis, without having to go  
16 anywhere, can they tell you exactly where they  
17 were and what locations they were at, what streets  
18 they were on and that sort of thing, that's where  
19 you would start; correct?

20 A That's logical, yes, sure.

21 Q And you would hope, you know, and in a perfect  
22 world they would be able to say yeah, I drove down  
23 22nd Street and I turned right at Avenue H and  
24 went one block north and pulled down a back alley  
25 and that's where I parked, now you've got the



1 information and that's great; correct?

2 A Yes.

3 Q But not surprising that if you had, especially  
4 when you are dealing with a couple of witnesses  
5 who were not familiar with the city, they probably  
6 wouldn't be able to give you that kind of  
7 description as to where they went?

8 A That's right.

9 Q Now, would I be correct as an investigator,  
10 though, you are probably not going to just leave  
11 it at that, they don't know the city, they can't  
12 give you the exact route they took, as an  
13 investigator certainly investigating, I might  
14 suggest any offence, but certainly a murder  
15 offence, you are going to see if you can follow up  
16 on that, maybe they can give you some further  
17 information; would that be fair?

18 A That's fair.

19 Q And I don't think there would be much question  
20 that it probably wouldn't be very good police  
21 tactics to drive a witness to a specific location  
22 and say this happened at this exact spot, do you  
23 recognize it, is this where it took place sort of  
24 thing, that probably wouldn't be where you would  
25 want to start?





1       A       That's right.

2       Q       But driving through the general area, patrolling  
3               through the area, seeing if they can pick out any  
4               landmarks or identifications or anything that  
5               might come back to them about the morning that you  
6               are questioning them about, that would be fairly  
7               standard procedure; would it not?

8       A       Yes, I think that would be something that you  
9               would certainly consider doing, as long as you  
10              weren't leading them on with pointing out certain  
11              highlights, but try and help them recollect the  
12              scene or landmarks or streets or information that  
13              may assist yourself.

14      Q       And you hope that maybe they will be able to say,  
15               yeah, that building looks familiar, I remember  
16               being parked there, or this alley looks familiar,  
17               I remember driving down it, that sort of thing?

18      A       Yes, that's quite acceptable as far as I'm  
19               concerned.

20      Q       Sort of almost, sort of a similar procedure that  
21               you, and little different tact, but the same sort  
22               of thing, for example, when you interviewed Sharon  
23               Williams, when you interviewed Ms. Williams you  
24               asked her before showing her any statement or  
25               anything basically to tell you what she remembered



1           about David Milgaard in 1969; would that be  
2           correct?

3           A       Yes.

4           Q       And when she had some difficulty remembering,  
5           providing the statement in hopes that that might  
6           assist her in maybe recalling something or  
7           bringing things back to her?

8           A       Yeah, that's right. We're 20 years later, so you  
9           have to --

10          Q       I understand from your evidence, Mr. Pearson,  
11          there was no direct investigation by you of the  
12          conduct of Eddie Karst in relation to the  
13          investigation of the Gail Miller death. Would I  
14          be correct in that?

15          A       Yeah, I didn't participate in any aspect of that,  
16          and I never knew Mr. Karst either.

17          Q       Okay. Peripherally you would have seen the  
18          reports that he had prepared and that sort of  
19          thing?

20          A       Yes.

21          Q       Okay.

22          A       Anything that was documented on the file I  
23          probably would have seen.

24          Q       Anything that was documented on the file. We saw  
25          some of his reports, I won't bring them up, but



1           you may recall that, for example, he noted some of  
2           the inconsistencies between what Nichol John said  
3           at one point in time, what she said at a later  
4           point in time; similarly, what Ron Wilson said at  
5           one point and what he said at a later point in  
6           time?

7           A       I have no recollection of that.

8           Q       You were asked a number of questions on this, the  
9           subject matter of David Milgaard having gone  
10          through the judicial system and having had a  
11          preliminary hearing, having had a trial, having  
12          been found guilty, having exhausted his rights of  
13          appeal, and I don't think you were suggesting in  
14          any way that just because that happened that's the  
15          end of the story, automatically we close the book  
16          on it, but it certainly is of some significance in  
17          looking at, well, has there been an injustice  
18          here, it's a factor that you would look at and  
19          consider?

20          A       I believe so, yes.

21          Q       As a police officer investigating a case, would it  
22          be fair to say -- I'll ask you personally, and I'm  
23          sure you've been involved in many criminal  
24          investigations that led to charges and ultimately  
25          convictions. Would you consider that case closed



1 in terms of no possibility of a mistake having  
2 occurred, no possibility that this individual  
3 might be innocent, in fact, of the charge that  
4 he's faced?

5 A Yes, I think in general terms, you know, you  
6 investigate a case and you develop the evidence  
7 and you review it with the prosecutor and in  
8 Saskatchewan, I don't know what it's like today,  
9 but the police had the authority to lay the  
10 charge, you went through the system. If there was  
11 a conviction, that's where the policeman left it  
12 for the most part, unless you came across  
13 something that was significant as a new bit of  
14 evidence or something new to consider, but for the  
15 most part, the mind-set was that after the charge  
16 was laid and the matter was dealt with in Court  
17 through a conviction, that was pretty well the end  
18 of it.

19 Q And to some extent, even I suppose after your  
20 investigation is finished, for you as an officer  
21 that might be the end of it in the sense that you  
22 gathered the evidence, somebody is going to look  
23 at it, a decision will be made on a charge, and  
24 ultimately somebody else is going to decide  
25 whether or not that evidence is sufficient to



1 register a conviction or not?

2 A Yes, it does elevate or remove itself out of the  
3 police environment into a prosecutorial area of  
4 the justice system, but yeah, it does move along  
5 the structure.

6 Q Would it be fair to say, since the advent of the  
7 discovery and use of DNA, and specifically how  
8 it's been able to establish that some innocent  
9 people have been convicted of offences, that there  
10 might be more of an open atmosphere today to sort  
11 of questioning, or at least looking more closely  
12 at convictions if somebody comes along afterwards  
13 and says "you know, I didn't actually commit that  
14 offence"?

15 A I believe so, if you have got the availability of  
16 DNA that's going to provide an answer for you,  
17 absolutely.

18 Q Well, even in more general terms, would it be fair  
19 to say that simply because we know now that here's  
20 someone who's been convicted -- and I'm not  
21 talking about the *Milgaard* case specifically --

22 A Okay.

23 Q -- but other case where we know someone has been  
24 convicted, for all intents and purposes it looks  
25 to be a good conviction, the person proclaims his



1           innocence and lo and behold DNA establishes that  
2           the person is innocent, it's caused us, I would  
3           say generally, to perhaps take a second look when  
4           people step up and say "I actually didn't commit  
5           that offence"?

6           A       Oh, yeah, absolutely. I mean the world has  
7                   changed significantly since 1969, and to a degree  
8                   since 1990, but it is changing all the time. But  
9                   I do believe there is, the openness is coming into  
10                  the system, and I think we recognize that there  
11                  are some wrongful convictions that are -- that  
12                  have come into the system, I mean Milgaard is one  
13                  but there are others as well, so I think we're all  
14                  more sensitive to that.

15          Q       You were asked a lot of questions throughout the  
16                   course of the last few days, Mr. Pearson, about  
17                   sort of the couple competing theories you had  
18                   which, in very simple forms, had either David  
19                   Milgaard committing the murder of Gail Miller or  
20                   Larry Fisher committing the murder of Gail Miller,  
21                   and obviously if Mr. Fisher did it then Mr.  
22                   Milgaard didn't, or vice versa, at least based on  
23                   the theories that you had; correct?

24          A       Or -- or neither one of them.

25          Q       Or either one of them?



1       A       Neither one of them.

2       Q       One of the questions, though, that I suppose would  
3               exist when you are looking at -- and again going  
4               back to 1969 or '70, if we're looking at Larry  
5               Fisher as being a possibility of having committed  
6               the murder of Gail Miller, you still are looking  
7               for, first of all, some direct link, some direct  
8               evidence directly connecting him to that offence;  
9               would that be fair to say?

10      A       I think that's fair to say, yes.

11      Q       The mere fact that he has been involved in other  
12               serious rapes, none of them involving a death but  
13               other serious rapes, could make him a suspect,  
14               possibly, but you still have to be able to --  
15               that's not enough to just sort of say "I'm going  
16               to charge this person with something"; fair to  
17               say?

18      A       That's fair to say. Going back to that time  
19               period, if you --

20      Q       And I'm talking about that time period?

21      A       And I know in that time period Larry Fisher, I  
22               don't think, had a criminal record at that point  
23               so that wasn't a known, but I think if you would  
24               have had Larry Fisher, known that he was involved  
25               in the criminal activity at the time, it certainly



1           would have created some suspicion, there is no  
2           doubt about that. And I think at that point, if  
3           he was pursued as a suspect, you may have been  
4           able to verify some of these things that we  
5           haven't been able to do 20 years later, like was  
6           he at work that morning and, you know, and --  
7           yeah, the memory is fresh in everyone's mind,  
8           including Linda's, --

9           Q       Yeah.

10          A       -- and it may have put some more finality or  
11          firmness to it.

12          Q       I guess what I was looking for was any direct  
13          evidence directly linking Larry Fisher to the  
14          death of Gail Miller, and I must confess I don't  
15          think I see that beyond the DNA, which was only  
16          discovered in 1997; would that be correct?

17          A       Oh, when we start talking about direct evidence, I  
18          think you are right.

19          Q       And then I suppose the second problem that arises  
20          then is that, okay, even if we thought of Mr.  
21          Fisher as a suspect, what do we do with these five  
22          people who have given evidence at the trial of  
23          David Milgaard, which have given some fairly  
24          incriminating evidence against him, would that be  
25          correct, still have to make some assessment "well,





1                   what's goin' on there"?

2           A           Yes.

3           Q           "Why did Albert Cadrain voluntarily walk into a  
4                   police station and say 'David Milgaard had blood  
5                   on his pants that morning and I think he killed  
6                   that nurse'?"

7           A           Yeah. Oh, I have to agree, there had to be some  
8                   basis for this, I mean it went through a lot of  
9                   hands to get to the conviction stage so I imagine  
10                  there was some basis to believe that certain  
11                  things took place.

12          Q           Sure. And when you are looking at that evidence,  
13                   I know Mr. Wolch this morning, he stated that you  
14                   would give a greater degree of reliability if  
15                   witnesses -- if a witness volunteers the  
16                   information versus being prompted or led, and you  
17                   agreed with that statement? Do you want me to  
18                   repeat that?

19          A           You mean if a person voluntarily came in and  
20                   wanted something --

21          Q           Yeah?

22          A           -- as opposed to you going out there and trying to  
23                   get it out of him?

24          Q           Yeah?

25          A           I agree that it gives you a certain degree of



1 confidence that -- but, on the other hand, I mean  
2 you have to take a look at what is motivating this  
3 as well.

4 Q Sure.

5 A I mean there is motivations behind all of these  
6 things.

7 Q How did they end up coming to see you in the first  
8 place?

9 A That's right, yes.

10 Q But having said that, I mean I suppose if you are  
11 looking at the conduct of the police, we look at  
12 the conduct of Albert Cadrain before he ever had  
13 any contact with the police, walks into the police  
14 station voluntarily and says "David Milgaard had  
15 blood on his clothes that day and I believe he is  
16 responsible for the murder of Gail Miller for  
17 these reasons", again fairly significant in the  
18 sense that it's volunteered, it's not prompted,  
19 it's not extracted by the police, it's brought in  
20 and laid in their doorstep, so to speak?

21 A Well, it obviously had an impact on them, and it  
22 was something that came out of the blue to them.

23 Q I'm going to talk just a little bit about the  
24 paring knife, Mr. Pearson. I think you were asked  
25 if you could identify the paring knife in your



1 home, were you, sir?

2 A What's that?

3 Q Your -- a paring knife?

4 A Yes?

5 Q I think you were asked earlier if you could  
6 identify the paring knife in your home?

7 A Yes, I was asked that.

8 Q Okay. And do you know when the last time was that  
9 you peeled potatoes?

10 A Last night. No, quite -- no, a long time ago.

11 Q No. And the point I was making, and should  
12 Mr. Wolch testify at some point in time I'll ask  
13 him that question as well, but the point I'm  
14 making is while you might not be very familiar  
15 with what the paring knife looks in your home, if  
16 there is somebody in your house who uses it on a  
17 daily basis, if there was someone in your house  
18 who was a housewife home with a child and peeled  
19 potatoes on a regular basis, they might be able to  
20 tell you pretty accurately what that paring knife  
21 looked like; you would agree with that?

22 A That's a possibility, yes.

23 Q Sure. I just wanted to clarify one thing.

24 Mr. Wolch, this morning, asked you about the RCMP  
25 report of Officer Rasmussen which made reference



1 to the possibility of the similarities or the  
2 possibility that the person who had committed  
3 sexual offences in Saskatoon also had been  
4 responsible for the death of Gail Miller. Would I  
5 be correct that when you reviewed the Saskatoon  
6 Police Service file, when you got that and  
7 reviewed it, there was reference there to the  
8 possibility -- to that possibility?

9 A In that report?

10 Q No, no, in the Saskatoon Police Service file that  
11 you saw?

12 A No, I don't recall, I just don't recall.

13 Q And I'm asking you if you -- if there was an  
14 indication that -- for example you said that you  
15 saw that there was warnings put out in the  
16 newspaper, you remember seeing something about  
17 newspaper articles being published which warned  
18 people in Saskatoon to be careful because there  
19 was somebody out there committing sexual assaults,  
20 or rapes at that time as they were described; you  
21 had some recollection of seeing that?

22 A Yes, I do believe that I saw some of those  
23 articles.

24 Q Okay. And do you know if that was in reference,  
25 and is it possible that that was in reference to



1 the possibility that someone who had committed the  
2 rape of Gail Miller and murder of Gail Miller may  
3 have been the same perpetrator?

4 A I -- that's logical, but I just don't have a  
5 recollection.

6 Q You were asked some questions about the, about  
7 (V4)---- (V4)---, and specifically the suggestion  
8 was put to you that there was a likelihood -- at  
9 least that's how I understood it -- that if Larry  
10 Fisher sexually assaulted and murdered Gail  
11 Miller, he then probably went on and sexually  
12 assaulted (V4)---- (V4)---; have you examined the  
13 statement of (V4)---- (V4)--- in terms of what she  
14 said happened?

15 A Well yes I did, but to recall today exactly what  
16 it said I really couldn't discuss it with you,  
17 because I just don't recall.

18 Q I'm not going to bring it up, Mr. Commissioner, --

19 A Okay.

20 Q -- but just for the record the statement number is  
21 006404. I don't need it. But if we understand  
22 that Gail Miller left her, her apartment someplace  
23 6:45 or thereabouts at -- to walk to the bus stop,  
24 and then we know the sort of brutal attack that  
25 she was subjected to, you've obviously reviewed



1 the file and saw particulars of that, a number of  
2 stab wounds, a fair bit of blood, clothes removed,  
3 clothes put back on, a sexual assault in the  
4 middle of it all, that sort of thing, and that  
5 obviously would have all had to have occurred  
6 sometime after 6:45 a.m.; if then -- do you see  
7 where somebody might then, in looking at the  
8 (V4)--- complaint, her complaint being that at  
9 7:07 a.m. -- and she's quite precise with her  
10 time -- some seven or eight blocks away an  
11 individual approached her from the front, no  
12 effort to hide their face and no effort to hide  
13 who they were, and basically ran his hands on her  
14 thighs, in which case she threw up his books, the  
15 person immediately backed off and left, no effort  
16 to drag her anyplace, no effort to come up from  
17 behind, no weapon or anything like that, and what  
18 would have obviously had to have been almost  
19 immediately after this brutal murder and rape of  
20 Gail Miller, and no blood, no indication of  
21 anything about it; do you see where somebody might  
22 look at that and say "well that's just not  
23 feasible that we're talking about one and the same  
24 person"?

25 A Oh, sure, I -- this could be interpreted



1           differently by any different individual that looks  
2           at this. I'm sure some people look at it and say,  
3           you know, "the two are linked because of the  
4           closeness in time and the neighbourhood, and so  
5           therefore it had to be the same person", and  
6           someone else might look at it and say "the crimes  
7           are so different that it couldn't be the same  
8           person", I -- you know, we'd be speculating,  
9           really.

10        Q       Sure, sure. But it would appear that the  
11               closeness of the time, in fact the closeness in  
12               time may make it impossible that they could have  
13               both occurred at the same time, but the closeness  
14               in time is about the only link that there's there,  
15               that certainly the modus operandi of those two  
16               assaults are dramatically different; you would  
17               agree?

18        A       Yes, they are different.

19        Q       Thank you, Mr. Pearson, those are all the  
20               questions I have.

21        A       Thank you.

22               COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: We'll break.

23               *(Adjourned at 3:03 p.m.)*

24               *(Reconvened at 3:20 p.m.)*

25        BY MR. FRAYER:



1 Q Mr. Pearson, you and I have been introduced to  
2 each other earlier on in this proceeding, and just  
3 to confirm for the record my name is David Frayer  
4 and I am representing the Federal Minister of  
5 Justice.

6 And just to confirm further  
7 that, apart from some casual conversations that  
8 you and I have had, that you and I have not  
9 formally ever discussed the background of your  
10 role in this 690 review; is that correct?

11 A That's correct, yes.

12 Q And if we can go back to, just to the day that you  
13 were first contacted by Eugene Williams of the  
14 Federal Justice Department in Ottawa, Mr. Wolch  
15 went over some of that background with you and I  
16 don't think you could ever identify how it was  
17 that you were selected on that day for the role  
18 that you were eventually going to play in this  
19 review?

20 A Umm, no, I had no idea.

21 Q And your evidence essentially is that you think it  
22 may be related to the fact that you were the NCO  
23 in charge of the general investigation section in  
24 Saskatoon?

25 A Umm, yes.





1 Q A person of some considerable experience, in fact  
2 by the time you commenced your investigation of  
3 this matter you had 25 years on the force?

4 A That's correct, yes.

5 Q And how long had you been on the general  
6 investigation section?

7 A I was only a couple of years. Before that I spent  
8 23 years on uniform managing detachments and  
9 supervising and developing through that side.

10 Q Right. And it's safe to say that, or fair to say  
11 that shortly after being contacted by Mr. Williams  
12 your activities started in earnest in this matter,  
13 is that correct?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Yeah. And during the course of the time that you  
16 were involved in this 690 review did you ever feel  
17 overwhelmed by the responsibilities that you had  
18 that were being placed upon you by the Federal  
19 Justice Department and the responsibilities that  
20 you still retained for managing and controlling  
21 the general investigation section here in  
22 Saskatoon?

23 A I -- I don't -- I can't recall. I know we were  
24 very busy, but all the policemen were busy,  
25 everyone had a lot of work to do. But I just -- I



1 fit it into the work that I was doing, and I -- I  
2 don't recall feeling that I was overwhelmed and  
3 not able to do the job, but --

4 Q Okay.

5 A -- that's my recollection.

6 Q And while you didn't have anybody within the force  
7 assisting you it's clear that, if you required  
8 some assistance, that from time to time you could  
9 go to other police officers to assist you, not  
10 only here in Saskatoon or in the Province of  
11 Saskatchewan, but in other provinces; is that  
12 correct?

13 A Yes, the resources were there, yes.

14 Q Yeah. And from time to time you would do it, am I  
15 accurate in that too, that --

16 A Yes, that's fair.

17 Q So you would use those, rather than travel out to  
18 some location you might ask for assistance, for  
19 example in the Dozenko matter you contacted Staff  
20 Sergeant Denny Stewart of the general  
21 investigation section in Winnipeg?

22 A Yes, sure.

23 Q And asked him to help you?

24 A Yes.

25 Q And he subsequently went out and did some



1 interviews, I believe, --

2 A Yes.

3 Q -- and returned information to you?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And you've also given considerable evidence with  
6 respect to your observations as to the systemic  
7 problems that existed in the way that this  
8 particular 690 review was being conducted at that  
9 time, and we've heard from you some considerable  
10 comment in that respect; --

11 A Yes.

12 Q -- is that correct?

13 A That's fair, yes.

14 Q I'm going to first of all take you to a  
15 *StarPhoenix* article that has just been entered,  
16 and it's doc. ID 332943, and if I can have that on  
17 the screen please. This is a *StarPhoenix* item  
18 that was published in *The StarPhoenix* on Friday,  
19 November the 11th of 2005 which relates to the  
20 testimony that you gave here on November the 10th,  
21 the responses that were given to you -- or  
22 responses that you gave as a result of questions  
23 that were asked by Mr. Hodson. And I'm going to  
24 direct your attention to the centre part of this,  
25 under the picture of David Milgaard, and it says:



1 "Williams contacted Pearson,  
2 who worked out of the Saskatoon RCMP  
3 detachment, and asked him to look into  
4 the Fisher allegation.

5 Pearson said Thursday "...",  
6 that's November the 10th:

7 "... he felt Williams did not tell him  
8 everything about the Justice Department  
9 investigation into Milgaard's claim of  
10 wrongful conviction. He thought that  
11 might have had something to do with the  
12 restrictions inherent in the review  
13 process, but didn't know for sure."

14 It went on, quoting you as saying:

15 "Pearson asked Williams for  
16 background on the matter he was  
17 investigating, but Williams apparently  
18 did not tell him Fisher had been  
19 questioned at a bus stop."

20 And then it goes on:

21 "As a result, Pearson learned  
22 of it in bits and pieces ..."

23 And you will notice the headline of this article  
24 is *Secrecy hampered conviction review: witness*,  
25 and that's attributed to you.



1                   And I would ask you to comment,  
2           first of all, on whether this is your observation  
3           and whether this is reported accurately as being  
4           the case, that secrecy hampered this conviction  
5           review; would you say that that was the case?

6       A       I don't believe I said that --

7       Q       Right.

8       A       -- in my testimony, I -- if that's the inference  
9           that's being left here. And I have to also say  
10          that I have never seen this article before.

11      Q       Yeah.

12      A       Coming to Saskatoon, I have purposely not read the  
13          newspaper or listened to the local media, because  
14          I did not want it to play any part in what I was  
15          saying. So when we start talking about secrecy, I  
16          do believe that when we talk about Mr. Williams  
17          being in Ottawa and me being here and we talk  
18          about the exchange of information, and such was an  
19          issue.

20      Q       Right?

21      A       Using the, a term of "secrecy", I really don't  
22          think that's what I said, you know, I'm not sure I  
23          said that in my testimony.

24      Q       Yeah.

25      A       But, at the same time, it was a matter of was



1 information being exchanged between us.

2 Q That's more the point, I believe, than any  
3 suggestion that there was any secrecy. And I'm  
4 not certain because I didn't review your evidence  
5 of that date to see whether --

6 A Yeah, yeah.

7 Q -- those are your words or the words of the  
8 *StarPhoenix*.

9 A Yeah.

10 Q But in any event, if those weren't your words but  
11 that interpretation is put on how this was  
12 conducted, you would take issue with the idea  
13 that or the suggestion that secrecy hampered this  
14 conviction review?

15 A Yes, yes, I would.

16 Q Okay. Thank you. Now with respect to the centre  
17 portion of that:

18 "Pearson asked Williams for  
19 background on the matter he was  
20 investigating, but Williams apparently  
21 did not tell him Fisher had been  
22 questioned at a bus stop."

23 And sort of to go through the history of this,  
24 and some of the documents that I am going to put  
25 up on the -- put up on the screen are documents



1           that aren't attributed to you so they are  
2           documents that you may not have seen, and I'm  
3           just going to go through it to show -- to reflect  
4           just how this is inaccurate in terms of your role  
5           in this, and when you came into possession of the  
6           information that there indeed had been an  
7           interview of Fisher as a bus stop some few days  
8           after the murder of Gail Miller.

9                       And the first of those  
10          documents I would like to take you to is 010052.  
11          If we could just have that brought up a little?  
12          Thank you. This is a letter dated February 28th  
13          of 1990, it's directed to Eugene Williams, and if  
14          we scroll over to page 2, 010053, we'll see it's  
15          authored by David Asper; and I believe that this  
16          is a letter that you have seen, Mr. Pearson, is  
17          that accurate?

18        A        Just go back to the first part, I just have to  
19                take a little read of it here.

20        Q        Just take a look at it. I'm going to suggest to  
21                you that, some days after your first conversation  
22                with Eugene Williams, this particular letter came  
23                into your possession --

24        A        Yes.

25        Q        -- from Mr. Williams?



1       A       Yes, it probably did. I mean I just don't  
2               recall, --

3       Q       Sure?

4       A       -- but yeah, it probably did.

5       Q       Okay. And that letter -- and we'll get to the  
6               correspondence from Mr. Williams in a minute -- is  
7               the first letter that is sent to the Justice  
8               Department that suggests that a person by the name  
9               of Larry Fisher may have been involved in the  
10              murder of Gail Miller.

11                      And if I can then move on to  
12              332053, and we'll just identify this document,  
13              this is a file -- memo to file by Mr. Williams  
14              dated the 15th of March of 1990. And in this  
15              particular document it relates the results of a  
16              telephone communication with Mr. Wolch on March  
17              the 14th, 1990, it's dated the 15th of March of  
18              1990, this memorandum, the fact that you had  
19              conducted an interview of Linda Fisher on March  
20              14th. And then on page -- the next page, 332054,  
21              there is reference to T.D.R. Caldwell, who was the  
22              prosecutor in the *Milgaard* case, and Mr. Williams  
23              says:

24                      "I spoke with Bob Caldwell and after our  
25                      discussion he agreed to provide:





1 (1) investigation report concerning Larry  
2 Fisher that was made during the Gail  
3 Miller investigation;"

4 And the next of the documents -- and that's  
5 likely a document that you haven't seen?

6 A No, I haven't seen it.

7 Q And the next document is 050467, and this is a  
8 letter directed again to Mr. Williams, it's dated  
9 March the 15th of 1990. And if we can go over to  
10 050468 and look at the top paragraph in this  
11 document, it says, and I read from there:

12 "As you are probably aware, Mr. Fisher was  
13 interviewed by the police in Saskatoon on  
14 February 5, 1969. Evidently, Mr. Fisher  
15 took the bus to work from the same bus stop  
16 used by Gail Miller. He was apparently  
17 waiting at that bus stop while the police  
18 were asking individuals if they had seen or  
19 heard anything on the morning of the murder.  
20 Mr. Fisher apparently told the police that  
21 on the morning of the murder, he had caught  
22 the bus at 6:30 a.m. or 7:00 a.m. and had  
23 gone to work. You will see from  
24 Mrs. Fisher's statement that her husband did  
25 not go to work on the date of the murder."



1           Now this particular document is dated, as I said,  
2           the 15th of March of 1990, and that's one day  
3           after you had conducted an interview of  
4           Mrs. Fisher?

5           A        Okay.

6           Q        And then if we can just go to your notes, it's my  
7           understanding, if I can just take you to -- I'll  
8           show you this on 056753. If we can go just to the  
9           bottom, scroll down to the bottom, and -- sorry.  
10          This is a conversation that took place, a  
11          telephone conversation that took place on March  
12          16th of 1990, some two days after you had  
13          conducted your interview of Linda Fisher; is that  
14          correct?

15          A        Yes.

16          Q        And there you record that:

17                   "Linda indicates that Mrs. Milgaard had  
18                   Larry's statement which was given to the  
19                   police, indicating he left for work at  
20                   6:30 a.m. and checked at the bus stop,  
21                   but had seen nothing. Linda states  
22                   Larry did not work that morning, but may  
23                   have taken the 6:30 a.m. bus and  
24                   returned by the time Linda got up in the  
25                   morning."



1           So it's safe to say, Mr. Pearson, that when you  
2           conducted your interview of Linda Fisher on the  
3           14th of March you were unaware of the existence  
4           of this particular interview by the police; is  
5           that correct?

6           A       According to this, yes.

7           Q       Yes. And that would be the first time that this  
8           was communicated to you.

9                       And so you can -- and if there's  
10           one further document that I will take you to, and  
11           that's 332387, and if we can just highlight the  
12           bottom portion of this, please. These are notes,  
13           and we've already heard from Bobs Caldwell, the  
14           prosecutor, these are his notes of a meeting that  
15           he had on the 22nd of March of 1990 where he  
16           attended with Eugene Williams to the provincial  
17           prosecutors office and they went through a series  
18           of files. If we can just scroll down to the  
19           bottom, just raise it up a bit please, and we'll  
20           see Larry -- I think it's at this time that Mr.  
21           Williams comes into possession of the McCorriston  
22           report. And that's, if I can just take you to  
23           that, 'EW', meaning Eugene Williams, 'Took copies  
24           of G. McCorriston's report'. So it appears here  
25           that, for the first time, Mr. Williams is given a



1 copy of Mr. McCorriston's -- or Officer  
2 McCorriston's report of his interview of Larry  
3 Fisher?

4 A Right.

5 Q So it's very clear that, at the time when you  
6 conducted the interview, that nothing was being  
7 kept from you because it wasn't within the  
8 knowledge of anybody in Justice, more specifically  
9 Mr. Williams; would I be accurate in that  
10 statement?

11 A I think you are accurate in that statement, yes.

12 Q And it seems too that at some later stage, and I  
13 won't go to them, but some considerable time  
14 later, as part of your investigation you were  
15 looking for the McCorriston notes, and I believe  
16 that at some stage or other you were advised that  
17 McCorriston's notes had been taken home, and  
18 that's subsequent to that. Do you recall if you  
19 ever came into possession of his notes, I'm not  
20 talking about the report, but his notes of this  
21 part of the investigation?

22 A Yes, I did come into possession of his notes.  
23 They were at his home and they were retrieved from  
24 him from his residence.

25 Q Thank you. Now, what I would like to do too,



1 bearing in mind that Mr. Hodson covered this in  
2 some considerable detail, is just take you to one  
3 phase of the investigation, and that's at the  
4 start of this investigation, the fateful day that  
5 you were contacted by Mr. Williams and left a  
6 voice mail message to contact him in Ottawa which  
7 started you out on a fairly extensive and fairly  
8 complicated investigation that resulted in a  
9 report of, by my calculation, some 782 paragraphs  
10 and 177 pages, so this was a work effort by you  
11 that already other counsel have commended you for,  
12 and I think it's commendable as you read through  
13 it that you have such an excellent record of the  
14 background of this investigation and what you did  
15 from time to time throughout it, but what I want  
16 to go to is just to demonstrate that while there  
17 were difficulties in the relationship between you  
18 and Mr. Williams in terms of the geographical  
19 aspect of how this review was being done, that  
20 over the course of the years in which you were  
21 involved, there were a number of face-to-face  
22 meetings with Mr. Williams, there were exchanges  
23 of letters, there were faxed communications sent  
24 back and forth and there were a substantial number  
25 of telephone conversations with, between yourself



1 and Mr. Williams, sometimes initiated by you,  
2 sometimes by him, and those telephone calls would  
3 be placed at all time of day and night. Am I  
4 accurate in that?

5 A Yes, in general terms that's true. I think --  
6 when you start talking about telephone calls, as I  
7 indicated that was our primary way of indicating,  
8 and I think during my tenure on this, I think  
9 there was close to 150 telephone calls that were  
10 placed between Mr. Williams and myself.

11 Q And some of those would be done after hours from  
12 residence to residence, things of that nature?

13 A From time to time, yes.

14 Q Just because of time, distance and some of the  
15 things that you've already --

16 A Yes, yes.

17 Q -- referred to. Now, as I said, I would like to  
18 take you to sort of where this, where this all  
19 initiated and just go through the notes, not in  
20 any great detail, leading up to the interview of  
21 Linda Fisher that was done by Mr. Williams on  
22 March the 24th of 1990, and in doing that I would  
23 like to refer to page 056744 of your occurrence  
24 report, paragraph 4. If we look at that:

25 "Correspondence received from Mr.



1 Williams, outlining the requests that he  
2 wished fulfilled, as well as a copy of a  
3 letter he received from Milgaard's  
4 lawyer --"

5 Which I believe is the February 28th letter from  
6 Mr. Asper,

7 "-- outlining the new source of  
8 information. Our investigation will now  
9 begin. Copy of information received  
10 from Williams has been faxed ..."

11 And so on. So it was fairly shortly after your  
12 first conversations with Mr. Williams, one of  
13 which was that you had asked specifically for  
14 instructions to come from him in writing?

15 A Just to give me some background as to where to  
16 start, yes.

17 Q And so what resulted some very few days later was  
18 document 001810, and if we could just have that  
19 up, please, and this particular document is just  
20 dated no specific date in March, but it's March of  
21 1990 and it's directed to your attention, Mr.  
22 Pearson, and I believe if we go to the last page,  
23 and I believe it's a three page document -- sorry,  
24 four, please, it's a four page document  
25 authorized, or authored, I should say, by Eugene



1 Williams, and if we can go back to page 1, please,  
2 or the first of the pages, that's 1810. So  
3 essentially what is being done here, as I  
4 understand, is this is the written confirmation of  
5 the request for your services that comes from Mr.  
6 Williams very early in March, or in March of 1990,  
7 and what he says is:

8 "I am writing to confirm the substance  
9 of our telephone conversation of  
10 February 28, 1990. I sought to identify  
11 the person, whose assistance I should  
12 obtain, to investigate certain facts  
13 concerning David Milgaard's application  
14 ..."

15 And so on. So what he does is he proceeds to  
16 give you some background in paragraph 2:

17 "To obtain a better understanding why  
18 the requests which follow are being  
19 made, some background information is  
20 needed. David Milgaard applied to the  
21 Minister of Justice seeking among other  
22 things, a new trial. He contends that  
23 he was wrongfully convicted in January  
24 1970 ..."

25 And so on.





1 "This application spurred an  
2 investigation into the reasons which  
3 were asserted in the application."

4 And then there's information from an informant by  
5 the name of Sidney Wilson that, address unknown,  
6 who told Milgaard's counsel that Larry Fisher was  
7 Gail Miller's killer. So you said a number of  
8 times that your main focus through the 690 review  
9 was the investigation relating to Larry Fisher,  
10 so this really initiated and confirmed what your  
11 role and responsibility was going to be?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Albeit that it didn't explain to you necessarily  
14 what the 690 process was about, that's something  
15 that you may or may not have understood at the  
16 time, but in any event, this was the letter that  
17 sort of initiated your commencing this  
18 investigation. I don't intend to go through it,  
19 it has been presented to you by Commission  
20 Counsel.

21 If I can then go on to 746 of  
22 your occurrence report, 056746, please, and if I  
23 can just go to paragraph 14 and just have that  
24 brought up, and here you called Eugene Williams  
25 and advised that you located Linda Fisher. Now,



1 missing from that of course is the various  
2 attempts you made to locate, identify and locate  
3 Linda Fisher, and you then said:

4 "... and requested he send a synopsis of  
5 the file so I can better brief myself  
6 prior to an interview with her. This  
7 will be sent via courier service."

8 Now, I'm not certain whether I've ever seen what  
9 was sent to you. Do you recall whether you  
10 received anything from Mr. Williams?

11 A I believe he did send me a synopsis of, kind of an  
12 overview of the crime and the investigation and  
13 some of the facts surrounding it.

14 Q Okay. You don't remember the details of what that  
15 consisted of, but you have a general recollection  
16 of what he sent you?

17 A I can't tell you now what -- but there was -- and  
18 maybe it was something that I've seen since  
19 preparing for the Inquiry, but there was a  
20 synopsis I believe forwarded to me as a result of  
21 paragraph 14 here.

22 Q Okay. And if we can go to 056747, which is the  
23 next page, this is a conversation right at the  
24 top, if we can bring that up, please, and you've  
25 testified as to this earlier, but on the 12th of



1 March of 1990 at 10:30 you had a telephone  
2 conversation with Eugene Williams and he goes into  
3 some of the background relating to Bryan Wright  
4 and to information obtained from Joyce Milgaard  
5 and some other issues relating to the fact that  
6 the Milgaards were in contact with --

7 A Yes.

8 Q -- the Fisher family?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And then if we can move on to 748, the next page,  
11 and at the top, paragraph 23, I'm only pointing to  
12 this to say that:

13 "Williams and myself discussed the  
14 possibility of taking a legal deposition  
15 and arrangements will be made to have  
16 this done."

17 And when you say arrangements will be made to  
18 have this done, that was one of the functions  
19 that you were going to perform and that you did  
20 perform; is that correct?

21 A Yes, I was going to facilitate it and set it up.

22 Q And you in fact made those arrangements?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And then on 056749, and I won't go through this  
25 with you other than to just confirm that it was on



1 the 14th of March of 1990 that you attended in  
2 North Battleford, or actually the day before you  
3 located and interviewed Linda Fisher and took a  
4 statement from her?

5 A Yes.

6 Q All right. And if we can move on to 750,  
7 paragraph 33, and just bring that up, please:

8 "Received a call from Mr. Williams in  
9 Ottawa, advising he had been talking to  
10 David Asper from Winnipeg.  
11 Mrs. Milgaard has apparently obtained a  
12 statement from Linda, which I was aware  
13 of."

14 And you had been made aware of that fact by Linda  
15 Fisher?

16 A Possibly. Possibly.

17 Q Yeah. I'm sorry, you couldn't have been because  
18 your conversation was the 16th.

19 A I think it's better explained in my handwritten  
20 notes and I'm not -- I'm not sure.

21 Q Do you wish to refer to your notes?

22 A I'm just wondering if Mr. Williams had told me  
23 this before this call. I don't know, let me just  
24 check, maybe check my notes.

25 Q Yes, please.



1 MR. HODSON: What's the date?

2 BY MR. FRAYER:

3 Q It's the 14th of March of 1990. 1630 hours he  
4 received a call. 058227, please. It's on the  
5 left-hand side I believe. Can we have that  
6 brought up, please?

7 A That's on the 14th?

8 Q That's the 14th, okay.

9 A So that's --

10 Q Can you just read that out for us because there  
11 appears to be -- I thought it was on the 16th of  
12 March that you came into possession of that  
13 information from Linda Fisher as a result of a  
14 telephone call.

15 A Call from Williams, he has been talking to  
16 Winnipeg lawyer. Mrs. Milgaard obtained a  
17 statement from Linda. We discussed circumstances  
18 surrounding the two offences. Larry involved in  
19 Winnipeg on Saturday, 19th of September, 1970, and  
20 Sunday, August the 2nd, 1970. We discussed  
21 M.O.'s, noted knife used in the one offence. Both  
22 were violent. Also discussed North Battleford  
23 offence, knife used and pretty well the same M.O.,  
24 i.e., knife, dark, jumped from bush. Will check  
25 with Fort Garry investigator to determine if



1 Fisher blood type ever used and if photo available  
2 of knife. Also, arrange to have Linda  
3 re-interviewed for recording by stenographer,  
4 etcetera. That was to do with the legal  
5 deposition.

6 Q The legal deposition. I don't see any reference  
7 in those notes in what is found in 056750 under  
8 the entry at paragraph 33 which is the one to  
9 which I just referred you:

10 "Mrs. Milgaard has apparently obtained a  
11 statement from Linda which I was aware  
12 of."

13 Is that in there?

14 A What date are you talking about now?

15 Q I'm talking about March the 14th.

16 A Okay. All I have in my notes here is that  
17 Mrs. Milgaard obtained a statement from Linda.

18 Q Oh, I see. I'm sorry. Okay.

19 A Yeah.

20 Q I've confused the issue.

21 A Okay.

22 Q I'm sorry, I apologize.

23 A That's all right.

24 Q So what we're talking about here is the actual  
25 statement that she had taken and you were aware of



1           its existence as a result of either having it  
2           given to you or as a result of your conversation  
3           with Linda Fisher?

4       A       I don't think I had it, but I was aware it was out  
5           there because of the call I had with Mr. Williams  
6           here on this date I guess, that's how it would  
7           come to me.

8       Q       I'm sorry for confusing that issue, Mr. Pearson.  
9           If we can just go to 056751, this again is -- at  
10          paragraph 36, is another entry, just the bottom  
11          part of that, this is March the 14th and it is  
12          after the Linda Fisher interview, and the last  
13          sentence in that paragraph 36 is:

14                        "Attempt will be made to determine if  
15                        Fisher was ever interviewed by City  
16                        Police."

17          So that was an observation that you made, and I  
18          think you've already testified as to that being  
19          an important piece of evidence, if you had known  
20          about it in advance of your interview with Linda  
21          Fisher it would have been helpful?

22       A       I don't recall that, but yes, possibly.

23       Q       Okay. And if we just go to 056752, paragraph 46,  
24          an entry on the 16th of March, and all I'm  
25          pointing that out to say, right at the bottom,



1           please:

2                       "I placed a telephone call to Federal  
3                       Justice Mr. Williams, advising that I  
4                       will be arranging an interview with  
5                       Linda Fisher."

6           Now if we move over to 056753, and here's the  
7           reference to the telephone conversation with  
8           Linda Fisher, the very last paragraph on that  
9           page, this is a conversation that took place on  
10          March the 16th of 1990 and there's reference to  
11          the fact that you are hearing from Linda Fisher  
12          that Mrs. Milgaard was in possession of Larry's  
13          statement which was given to the police  
14          indicating he left for work and so on.

15       A       Uh-huh.

16       Q       So this is the first time that this information  
17               comes to you. And I won't --

18       A       Just stop for a second?

19       Q       Yeah.

20       A       What date is this entry here?

21       Q       Well, the date that I have it, and it is March the  
22               16th of 1990. If you go back -- I'll have to go  
23               back a few pages, go back to 056752, the page  
24               preceding this, you'll see that on the 16th of  
25               March --





1           A           But I thought we just looked at the 14th of March  
2                       indicating that I talked to Mr. Williams and he  
3                       had information that Mrs. Milgaard had taken a  
4                       statement from Linda Fisher, so I would have known  
5                       that, I would assume, at the time I went to talk  
6                       to her. Now, I don't know if that helps us,  
7                       but --

8           Q           Well, my concern was when you actually came into  
9                       possession of the information or when you were  
10                      informed that Larry Fisher had made a statement to  
11                      the police shortly following the Gail Miller  
12                      murder.

13          A           Okay, yeah.

14          Q           In any event, if we go back to the page we were  
15                      looking at, Linda Fisher is advising you by  
16                      telephone that she's aware of the fact that Mrs.  
17                      Milgaard had in her possession a statement  
18                      relating to an interview by the police?

19          A           Yes.

20          Q           And I'm not going to go through it other than, in  
21                      any more detail other than to say that you and Mr.  
22                      Williams finally got together face to face on the  
23                      23rd of March of 1990 here in Saskatoon, and  
24                      that's reflected at page 056759, you've already  
25                      given evidence with respect to this, and that was



1 the, where you had a meeting with Mr. Williams, we  
2 discussed several aspects of this file and to a  
3 limited degree compared facts of the case so far  
4 as evidence of the trial was concerned. So this  
5 is your actual first face-to-face meeting with Mr.  
6 Williams and there are conversations relating to  
7 the background of this case?

8 A Yeah.

9 Q You don't have any specific recollection of the  
10 types of things that were discussed?

11 A No, I don't.

12 Q And so what you are doing there, as I understand,  
13 is just sort of making general observations as  
14 to --

15 A Well, this is kind of getting acquainted and just  
16 covering some of these issues, yeah.

17 Q And then that resulted in a meeting with members  
18 of the Saskatoon Police Service and then  
19 subsequently resulted in your attending up to  
20 North Battleford with Mr. Williams to take a  
21 deposition from Linda Fisher on March the 24th?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And I've gone through that just to sort of point  
24 out and observe that while there's some suggestion  
25 that there's delays inherent in this whole



1 process, safe to say on the basis of what you and  
2 Mr. Williams did within one month was a fair  
3 accomplishment to the extent that after your  
4 initial introduction and locating Linda Fisher and  
5 attending to North Battleford, that within a month  
6 you had interviewed and taken, you had taken the  
7 statement on March the 14th and a formal  
8 deposition had been taken on March the 24th?

9 A That's right, yes.

10 Q So you in fact had acted very expeditiously with  
11 Mr. Williams in concluding that first portion of  
12 the investigation?

13 A Yes, I believe so.

14 MR. FRAYER: Okay, thank you. No further  
15 questions, Mr. Pearson. Mr. Gibson will now ask  
16 his questions.

17 BY MR. GIBSON:

18 Q Mr. Pearson, for the record, as you know, I am  
19 counsel for the RCMP. I don't believe that I'll  
20 be very long with you and a couple of housekeeping  
21 matters, so I'll be touching various points. I'm  
22 not sure there's a lot of logic to the order I'm  
23 doing this, but I'll touch on a couple of matters  
24 with you.

25 You'll recall during your days



1 of testimony I believe a number of times it was  
2 raised with you the fact that initially in the  
3 first few months of the investigation you were  
4 under the impression that Larry Fisher's sexual  
5 assaults had occurred in Regina. Do you recall  
6 that?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And I believe that your evidence was that you had  
9 run a criminal record check on Larry Fisher in  
10 March of 1990 and it came back showing convictions  
11 for the sexual assaults, I believe four of them,  
12 and that those convictions were entered in Regina;  
13 correct?

14 A Yes, the record showed Regina as the location  
15 where this took place.

16 Q Eventually that was remedied I believe in July of  
17 1990 where it became clear that Mr. Fisher had  
18 been convicted in Regina, but the assaults had  
19 occurred in Saskatoon prior to the Gail Miller  
20 murder; correct, in and around that time I  
21 believe?

22 A That's correct, yes.

23 Q And from your investigation, from the time you  
24 commenced in March of 1990 through to July of  
25 1990, the fact that you were operating under the



1           notion that Mr. Fisher's sexual assaults had  
2           occurred in and around the time of the Miller  
3           murder in Regina, did that impact as to how you  
4           looked at Mr. Fisher as a suspect and investigated  
5           him?

6           A       No. I think I looked at the previous criminal  
7           record as really the basis of forming suspicion  
8           and, you know, it's not a normal police practice  
9           to go and track down all of the previous police  
10          files that a person may have to back up his  
11          criminal conviction, unless of course it's going  
12          to be part of something like a similar fact  
13          analysis to get that kind of data out, so I was  
14          really relying on the criminal record synopsis.

15          Q       To put it in a little more straightforward way,  
16          was Mr. Fisher a good suspect regardless of where  
17          those offences occurred?

18          A       Yes.

19          Q       And during the time between March and July, to  
20          your knowledge were there any police records or  
21          anything that would have been destroyed during  
22          that time that would have somehow detrimentally  
23          impacted upon the investigation, the fact that you  
24          were operating on that wrong notion of him  
25          having --



1 A No, I know --

2 Q -- committed those crimes?

3 A I know of no -- I have no knowledge of any files  
4 being disposed of or destroyed or not being made  
5 available during that time frame that may have  
6 changed the outcome.

7 Q Was there any problem in that period of time then  
8 with your investigation, any downside that  
9 occurred during those three to four months then as  
10 to how you looked at Fisher, was there any other  
11 avenue you could have explored differently had you  
12 known they were in Saskatoon?

13 A I don't believe so from an analytical point of  
14 view for the similar fact portion, no.

15 Q You made a comment in your evidence that you had  
16 been tasked to investigate to see if there was any  
17 possibility to charge Mr. Fisher, whether there  
18 was reasonable probable grounds, and that was your  
19 responsibility, to make the call on reasonable and  
20 probable grounds. Is that fair to say?

21 A No, I'm not sure if that was really where my head  
22 was at that time as far as feeding the information  
23 to Mr. Williams, but if there was something that  
24 was considered evidence, it would certainly be  
25 collectively considered, but I was in no position



1           where I was going to go out and lay a charge, so  
2           to speak.

3       Q       You had made that statement and I was just wanting  
4           to clarify that point with you.

5       A       Sure.

6       Q       Is it fair to say that with a conviction out there  
7           already resting with Mr. Milgaard, that you would  
8           have consulted with Mr. Williams and perhaps  
9           others before you would take any step towards  
10          laying any charges in the set of circumstances?

11      A       Absolutely, yes.

12      Q       You had been asked what other types of  
13          investigative steps you could have taken with  
14          respect to investigating Mr. Fisher and his  
15          possible connection to Gail Miller and you had  
16          mentioned that there was a possibility you could  
17          do an undercover operation or a part 6  
18          communication intercept. Do you recall giving  
19          that evidence?

20      A       Yes.

21      Q       I'm wondering if you can comment on whether there  
22          would be any complications on doing a part 6  
23          communication intercept in a situation where Mr.  
24          Fisher's name, or at least an allegation that an  
25          inmate serving time at Sask Penitentiary was



1 responsible for the Miller murder, whether the  
2 fact that that name is out there or that  
3 implication back to Mr. Fisher is out there, what  
4 impact that might have on trying to do a part 6  
5 communication intercept? Is it your experience  
6 that people may be less likely to have those kind  
7 of communications where they might admit to  
8 something if they know that they are being looked  
9 at?

10 A Oh, it's hard to really say what impact the press  
11 publicity may have had, whether or not if you were  
12 intercepting private communication he maybe would  
13 have talked more, maybe would have talked less,  
14 it's just a hard call to make, but -- so I don't  
15 know what more I can add to that really.

16 Q Were there difficulties in trying to do a  
17 public -- sorry, a part 6 interception where Mr.  
18 Fisher was in an institution?

19 A Absolutely, you know, there are complications in  
20 attempting to have any covert operation that would  
21 involve bugging the areas that they resided in.  
22 I'm not saying it has never been done, I have no  
23 experience in doing this, and I have talked to  
24 some people that indicate that there are a lot of  
25 difficulties in trying to accomplish this, but it





1 would be very difficult.

2 Q And obviously that is because it's a phone that  
3 would be within the institution that would be  
4 utilized by more than one person?

5 A It's not just a phone, it's putting a so-called  
6 bug in a system to pick up conversation and then  
7 to develop a scenario to stimulate conversation  
8 and to get people to talk, and in incriminating  
9 ways, and looking at the legality of using that as  
10 evidence, but -- so it's not just telephone, it's  
11 just conversation.

12 Q And is that something that you seriously  
13 considered at the time or didn't consider at the  
14 time or can you comment on that, whether that  
15 crossed your mind at the time?

16 A No. To be honest with you, it wasn't something  
17 that was seriously considered at that time. The  
18 reporting relationship was, you know, pursuing  
19 Larry Fisher for the interview and the polygraph  
20 and it never got to the stage of opening it up to  
21 a full police investigation.

22 Q And the same with the reference about using a  
23 police informant, or operator, rather, in a cell,  
24 is that something that would have posed  
25 difficulties because of it being in a federal



1 institution?

2 A Well, there's -- that would be more difficult in  
3 that there's officer safety issues in providing  
4 protection to the police officer that's involved  
5 in that, so that would almost be, I wouldn't say  
6 an impossibility, but it would be one that would  
7 have to be very creative to carry out.

8 Q You were asked a number of times about similar  
9 fact evidence and analysis of that and what stage  
10 that analysis took place throughout the 690  
11 process and whether you were tasked with that, and  
12 I don't want to delve on that a great deal, but  
13 how easy is it to do a similar fact analysis, or  
14 even put your mind to the possibility of doing a  
15 similar fact analysis when there are a number of  
16 files missing or the files are incomplete, did  
17 that impact on doing that analysis at the outset?

18 A That would have a negative effect on the analysis  
19 if the files were missing and you had to then, you  
20 know, gather information some 20 years later that  
21 may be somewhat different from what was really on  
22 record at the time. So there -- that created some  
23 difficulties, to do an analysis with information  
24 that was obtained today as opposed to 1969-'70.

25 Q But at the outset, and I believe your evidence has



1           been eventually you managed to get more  
2           information on more of the files, is that fair to  
3           say?

4           A       Information did surface from various sources but,  
5           you know, some through Centurion, on that side,  
6           and there was also through the investigation of  
7           the so-called missing Fisher files at the city  
8           police, and then the Sask. Police Commission went  
9           and did their review of all of that and were able  
10          to surface some material.

11          Q       And is it fair to say that, as more information  
12          came to light, that possibility loomed larger in  
13          your mind as to whether that would be an  
14          appropriate thing to do?

15          A       Yeah, it certainly improved the potential or gave  
16          you more potential to do that, yes.

17          Q       And would improve the possible accuracy of doing  
18          that type of an analysis?

19          A       Yes, I believe so, without having to go to the  
20          so-called victims and find that out again.

21          Q       And as far as making the determination on whether  
22          to do a similar fact evidence analysis, were you  
23          familiar with the law on similar fact evidence and  
24          how that could be utilized in a trial process, or  
25          did you have to rely on Mr. Williams or others on



1           that subject?

2           A       Well I'm -- I'm not sure what knowledge I had at  
3                   that time. I certainly believe I would have had  
4                   some knowledge on similar fact evidence, but just  
5                   how it applied under, maybe under 690, I don't  
6                   know. This, 690 was a different process for me,  
7                   and I'm not -- I'm not sure if I maybe thought the  
8                   evidence might be applied differently. I really  
9                   can't make that recollection right now. But  
10                  similar fact evidence, I'm sure I had some  
11                  knowledge of it at that time, you know, I mean a  
12                  similar fact evidence knowledge of the law, and --  
13                  but it does have a process that you can apply it  
14                  as evidence.

15          Q       Okay. You had mentioned that you had never spoken  
16                   to Centurion Ministries, or I guess the staff that  
17                   worked at Centurion Ministries, at any time during  
18                   your part of the 690 process; is that fair to say?

19          A       Yeah. I don't know who Centurion Ministries were,  
20                   I understand they are, you know, they are American  
21                   based, and what their operating arrangements were  
22                   in Canada I don't --

23          Q       Okay. But I believe your evidence was that you  
24                   had spoken to Mr. Asper a number of times?

25          A       Yes. I spoke to Mr. Asper probably, I think I've



1 got it documented, probably 15 times on the  
2 telephone, never met him personally.

3 Q And to your knowledge do you know if he was  
4 communicating with the individuals that were  
5 working with Centurion Ministries?

6 A I was led to the assumption that he was.

7 Q And in your discussions with Mr. Asper how would  
8 you describe that dialogue between Mr. Asper and  
9 yourself, did -- did he provide you with his views  
10 on the case, or was he fairly guarded about what  
11 he told you?

12 A Oh, I felt fairly, fairly good about the  
13 communication we had. It seemed to be fairly  
14 open, he was free, seemed to be free  
15 communication, he provided me with some of his  
16 insight and some of the details of the Miller  
17 homicide, and at one point he asked me if I would  
18 intervene when David Milgaard was having some  
19 difficulty in the institution to calm him down  
20 over some of this stuff. I -- it was, I thought,  
21 a pretty, pretty open dialogue that we did have.

22 Q I note that Mr. Frayer just touched on your  
23 dealings with Mr. Williams and the communication  
24 that you had going back and forth between, between  
25 him and yourself. I believe you gave evidence



1           that you never gave your entire file over to Mr.  
2           Williams, never disclosed every piece of paper  
3           that was on your file, I believe you gave that  
4           testimony the other day; is that --

5           A       That's right, yes.

6           Q       -- is that true? And you've gone through all of  
7           your investigation reports that commenced 90/04/17  
8           and the last report concluded 92/04/29, and I  
9           believe there were nine in total with a number of  
10          appendices, and I don't propose to go through  
11          those again, and you have had a chance to review  
12          those prior to giving your testimony and a chance  
13          to review those, I believe the Mr. Hodson walked  
14          you through those. Are you in a position to say  
15          whether there was anything relevant that you  
16          neglected to include in your reports? I know  
17          that's a pretty open question but I'm assuming you  
18          included in those reports what you thought was the  
19          most important pieces of information to give to  
20          Mr. Williams. After having gone through all the  
21          preparation to testify here, and days on the  
22          stand, is there anything that you felt that you  
23          didn't communicate to Mr. Williams that was  
24          relevant to the considerations that were taking  
25          place under the 690 process?



1       A       I -- I don't think so. When you start talking  
2               about all the car, car stuff, the theory car  
3               stuff, I don't believe Mr. Williams was advised of  
4               everything I was doing regarding the vehicles  
5               because it was a theory, and his view -- I mean I  
6               recall having a discussion with him on that and  
7               his view was that a vehicle was probably, a  
8               vehicle was probably not involved because there  
9               was never any evidence led at trial and such, and  
10              there was certainly some basis for that position.  
11              And so all of the inquiries I made about the car,  
12              I probably didn't phone, tell him every time I  
13              was, you know, making an inquiry about the car.

14       Q       Speaking of the car theory, in a similar fact  
15               evidence where Mr. Fisher's car theory was that he  
16               obviously killed Ms. Miller and that a vehicle was  
17               involved -- correct, --

18       A       That's --

19       Q       -- I mean that's the gist of that theory?

20       A       Yes.

21       Q       Now as far as doing a similar fact evidence  
22               analysis, the fact that there is no car in any of  
23               the other offences that we're aware of, how does  
24               that play in to doing the similar fact evidence  
25               analysis on Miller where there may well be a car



1 in play? Just on, if you were to look at what you  
2 can do with that information where there is a car  
3 in the Miller scenario in your theory, and there  
4 is no vehicle in the other scenarios; how does  
5 that tie in, then, in your view on similar fact  
6 evidence?

7 A Well there probably is no tie-in. I mean you can  
8 have a suspicion based on some of the things that  
9 people observe and see and the circumstances, and  
10 you can put together a theory, but when you do the  
11 similar fact analysis and it comes out that of all  
12 the crimes committed by Larry Fisher he never did  
13 use a car, you could then come to the conclusion  
14 that, if we're going to apply similar fact  
15 analysis that he was involved in this way with all  
16 of his other victims, chances are that he never  
17 used a car involved in the Gail Miller murder. So  
18 they contradict each other, one is a theory and  
19 the other one is a similar fact analysis, and the  
20 similar fact analysis would indicate that Larry  
21 Fisher didn't -- did not use a car.

22 Q Mr. Frayer just went through with you whether you  
23 felt overworked, you never had a chance to  
24 undertake all of the tasks that you would have  
25 wanted to do in assisting Mr. Williams in the 690





1 process. In hindsight, and all the investigative  
2 steps that you took during the 690 process, is  
3 there any concrete step that you can look back now  
4 and say "well I should have done something more  
5 that would have tied it sooner to Mr. Fisher"?  
6 Other than the DNA, we know that the DNA linked  
7 Mr. Fisher to the crime, is there anything else  
8 that you can think of that could have been done --  
9 and I know you've talked about using an operator  
10 in the prison system or using a part 6  
11 communication -- can you think of anything else  
12 that could have been done that would have somehow  
13 tied Mr. Fisher to that crime sooner other than  
14 through the DNA?

15 A I, I can't think of anything, other than what I  
16 have already articulated.

17 Q Mr. Asper (sic) asked you a few questions about  
18 your contact with Ms. (V14)- and raised with you  
19 whether you considered it rather bizarre that she  
20 would call the Milgaard group, if I can call them  
21 that, rather than police to raise her concern  
22 about the sexual assault that was visited upon  
23 her; do you recall --

24 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Mr. Gibson, I  
25 think Mr. Wolch is taking umbrage there.



1 MR. GIBSON: Oh, I'm sorry?

2 MR. WOLCH: I'm not Mr. Asper. I wish I  
3 was.

4 MR. GIBSON: My apologies.

5 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Maybe you better  
6 start over again after that one.

7 BY MR. GIBSON:

8 Q That's quite all right. Mr. Wolch raised some  
9 questions with you about Ms. (V14)-, and in  
10 particular whether you thought it was a little  
11 strange that she would call the Milgaard group  
12 with respect to her concern about being sexually  
13 assaulted back in 1968, rather than to come  
14 forward to the police; --

15 A Yes.

16 Q -- do you remember him discussing that with you?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And again, he raised as to why you might not  
19 discount her evidence right away, and he went  
20 through some reasons that you might consider doing  
21 that; do you recall that again?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Umm, do you recall why the name (V14)- first came  
24 to light for you as far as your assistance on the  
25 690 process; do you recall if that was part of the



1 application by the Milgaard group or not?

2 A The first -- the first I ever heard of the name  
3 (V14)-- (V14)- was through the material that was  
4 forwarded as part of the second 690 application.

5 Q Okay.

6 A That was the similarity of the offences.

7 Q And if we could just call up document 008400 at  
8 008429, and I believe this is the second part --  
9 or part of the second application on the 690  
10 process, and if we can just go to the next page on  
11 that, 430. And if we can just call that number  
12 up, I guess it's a letter A up, and it references  
13 Victim Reports, you can see at the top of that,  
14 and (V14)-- (V14)-' name is referenced in that; do  
15 you recall seeing this document before or not?

16 A I can't recall, I -- I may very well have, I'm not  
17 saying I didn't.

18 Q Yes.

19 A I just, maybe if I see some follow-up pages?

20 Q I'll move ahead.

21 A Is this part of the application?

22 Q Part of the second application.

23 A Oh, I'm sure I must have seen it.

24 Q Okay. And if we can just go ahead to 443 in this  
25 document, please, and maybe that might be



1 information that you will recognize. If we can  
2 just call the top of it out, and just scroll  
3 through it so Mr. Pearson can see whether he has  
4 seen that before. And it looks like a summary,  
5 then, which I believe was prepared by Centurion  
6 Ministries with respect to (V14)-- (V14)- and the  
7 assault upon her?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And is this --

10 A Yes, I believe I would have seen this.

11 Q Okay. And, just, if we can go to that part there  
12 where:

13 "She has panic attacks that someone  
14 might hurt her, and has been worse since  
15 she read about the *David Milgaard* case  
16 and the possibility that he was  
17 innocent. She looked at Larry Fisher's  
18 picture and said it could be him ..."

19 Now I take it that that would be information that  
20 you would have had during the course of your  
21 assistance on the 690 application; correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And were those concerns raised there part of the  
24 questioning that you addressed in dealing with  
25 Ms. (V14)-?



1       A       Yes, I think, I think this is the additional  
2               information that I would have had in following up  
3               with (V14)-, and she had, you know, given a little  
4               bit of a different picture as to how this  
5               unfolded, and her information was at odds a bit  
6               with what was in this report.

7       Q       Okay. And is it fair to say that, regardless of  
8               the emotional issues that Ms. (V14)- was facing,  
9               those were questions that you had to address?

10      A       Yes.

11      Q       Okay. And Mr. Wolch raised the fact that, in her  
12               first contact with the RCMP back in 1968, she was  
13               not able to provide a description of her  
14               assailant; do you remember that?

15      A       Yes.

16      Q       And you said that throughout your dealings with  
17               Ms. (V14)-, and this particular issue, identity  
18               was at issue?

19      A       Yes.

20      Q       Have you ever dealt with sexual assault victims  
21               where they are a little bit reticent to come forth  
22               with information as a result of the trauma that  
23               they've been through, has that been your  
24               experience, that they may not come forward with  
25               all the information at one time?



1           A           Yes, that's not uncommon, actually. You know,  
2                       people are -- it's a very personal, humiliating,  
3                       embarrassing, traumatic crime, you know, depending  
4                       on which degree, and some people can tell you in  
5                       great detail every, every second of it, and others  
6                       won't go there and disclose over time, so that's  
7                       not unusual.

8           Q           And again, in your dealings with Ms. (V14)- over  
9                       that period of time she was able to give you more  
10                      information through your various contacts with  
11                      her, but again is it fair to say you remained  
12                      somewhat suspect about what she was able to tell  
13                      you?

14          A           Well she was having a lot of personal problems,  
15                       you know, and I didn't want to discount her based  
16                       on that, but she had a lot of difficulties. And  
17                       as I mentioned before, she wanted to initiate a  
18                       prosecution, and I pointed out to her that she  
19                       would have some credibility problems based on not  
20                       just the difficulty she was having, but the fact  
21                       that she never reported the sexual offence part of  
22                       this during her initial contact with the police  
23                       back at the time when it happened, so -- and the  
24                       identity issue was always at play, and the only  
25                       option that really would advance this



1 investigation was following up on her belief that  
2 she possibly conceived a child during the sexual  
3 assault, that was really the only evidence that a  
4 person could possibly evolve out of here that  
5 would stand.

6 Q You were asked questions about a report that was  
7 done back in 1969 by Corporal Rasmussen with the  
8 RCMP -- the document number is 250957, it's not  
9 necessary to bring that document up -- but in  
10 paragraph 19 of that document there is a  
11 connection made, a possible link between the  
12 previous rapes in the Saskatoon area of where Mrs.  
13 Miller was eventually killed; do you remember that  
14 reference?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And if we could call up document 039068, and if we  
17 could just -- it's a report of February 14, 1969  
18 in the Regina *Leader-Post*, and it's got a  
19 Saskatoon byline there, if we could just call up  
20 that first paragraph there it says:

21 "Police are investigating the  
22 possibility that the person who slashed  
23 a 20-year-old nurses' aid to death  
24 Friday may be the same person who  
25 attacked three women here last fall."



1           Were you aware that the Saskatoon Police Service  
2           had made that connection between Ms. Miller's  
3           death and the previous sexual assaults?

4       A       I -- I don't recall. You know, I may have, I just  
5       don't recall.

6       Q       Okay. Would you agree with me that you were aware  
7       that the RCMP were assisting the Saskatoon Police  
8       Service in the Miller murder investigation but  
9       they weren't the lead investigators on that?

10      A       No, the jurisdiction of the crime, so to speak, is  
11      within the City of Saskatoon, and that's a  
12      Saskatoon Police Service file.

13      Q       And --

14      A       And assisting was very common practice.

15      Q       Okay. And, to your knowledge, would jurisdiction  
16      for three sexual assaults in the City of Saskatoon  
17      also rest with the Saskatoon Police Service?

18      A       Yes, it would, yeah.

19      Q       And so the files that would relate to those  
20      assaults would be held by the Saskatoon Police  
21      Service; correct?

22      A       Yes, they would.

23      Q       And if a person was to do an analysis as to a  
24      possible connection one would have to access  
25      Saskatoon Police Service files; correct?





1 A Yes.

2 Q Now the document 250597, you indicated that you  
3 had not seen that report during your assistance in  
4 1990, is that correct?

5 A That's the Rasmussen report?

6 Q Yes it is.

7 A Yes.

8 Q And are you aware of the retention practices for  
9 files within the RCMP on where they assist other  
10 police agencies; how long those files are  
11 retained?

12 A Oh, there's very specific policies in place, and I  
13 don't know what they are, but it's quite a long  
14 time, but I really -- they are quite complex,  
15 actually, and there is a lot to it, but I don't  
16 remember the details for the destruction  
17 practices.

18 Q And would that be a possible explanation as to why  
19 you never ended up finding those on any of the  
20 files that you tried to access within the RCMP  
21 would -- could possibly have to do with the  
22 retention periods for those types of documents?

23 A In all likelihood that's what it was.

24 Q Thank you, Mr. Pearson.

25 A Thank you.



1 BY MR. HODSON:

2 Q Just, I've got a couple of matters on re-exam,  
3 they are actually not re-exams but just matters I  
4 should probably put on the record.

5 Mr. Wolch, if we could call up  
6 044769, and he showed you this report which was  
7 presented to the Members of Parliament and I think  
8 he asked you, Mr. Pearson, if you'd ever seen that  
9 before, and I think your evidence was that you had  
10 not. And if I could call up 008 -- actually, just  
11 go to the second page.

12 A You know, there is a lot of pages to this, I mean  
13 --

14 Q Yeah. And if I call up 008400, and in your  
15 evidence-in-chief I went through and there was a  
16 letter from Mr. Williams to you May of -- 008400.  
17 Actually, go to the next page -- no, that's the  
18 wrong document, just one second. 008407. 008407.  
19 I had put this to you, it's May 3, 1991, this was  
20 after Minister Campbell's decision, and it's  
21 sending you the Minister's letter, the report from  
22 Centurion Ministries, and I don't think it had the  
23 cover page on it. You want to just go ahead to  
24 the next page, please, and if you can go ahead ten  
25 pages, next page, next page, next page, one more,



1           there. So I think that's the same first page.  
2           And I'm just wondering, Mr. Pearson, when I had  
3           asked you that question I think you had indicated  
4           you had received it in May of 1991, and I think  
5           they are the same documents that -- or the report  
6           in December of '90 that Mr. Wolch showed you I  
7           think was -- is the same report except for the  
8           cover page that you received in May of 1991; is  
9           that correct? I just point that out.

10       A       I have no recollection of it, but --

11       Q       Do you have any reason to dispute Mr. Williams'  
12           letter to you in May of '91?

13       A       No, no, I don't think so.

14       Q       Okay. That's the only follow-up that I had, Mr.  
15           Commissioner. Just one moment.

16                       *(Discussion off the record)*

17       BY MR. HODSON:

18       Q       Yeah, and I may have -- Mr. Wolch said his  
19           question to you was whether you saw that report  
20           before Kim Campbell's letter, I had not written it  
21           down that way, but in any event my only point was  
22           I think in evidence to my questions you said in  
23           May of 1991 you received it, and I think in  
24           fairness in my questions you -- I don't think you  
25           said you saw it before Kim Campbell's letter.



1 But, apart from what's in the  
2 documents, are you able to, from your own  
3 recollection, say one way or the other what you  
4 did or didn't get?

5 A No, I can't, I just have no recollection, I really  
6 can't.

7 Q Yeah. Those are the only questions I had.

8 MR. HODSON: Thank you very much, Mr.  
9 Pearson, for attending.

10 A Thank you.

11 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Mr. Pearson, you  
12 are excused, thank you very much.

13 A Thank you very much.

14 (Adjourned at 4:29 p.m.)  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25



**OFFICIAL QUEEN'S BENCH COURT REPORTERS' CERTIFICATES:**

We, Karen Hinz, CSR, and Donald G. Meyer, RPR, CSR,  
Official Queen's Bench Court Reporters for the Province of  
Saskatchewan, hereby certify that the foregoing pages  
contain a true and correct transcription of our shorthand  
notes taken herein to the best of our knowledge, skill,  
and ability.

\_\_\_\_\_, CSR

Karen Hinz, CSR

Official Queen's Bench Court Reporter

\_\_\_\_\_, RPR, CSR

Donald G. Meyer, RPR, CSR

Official Queen's Bench Court Reporter



				<b>2</b>
<b>'68</b> [1] - 20222:7 <b>'69</b> [5] - 20223:12, 20223:14, 20228:19, 20240:19, 20263:7 <b>'70</b> [1] - 20398:4 <b>'88</b> [1] - 20339:9 <b>'89</b> [2] - 20235:19, 20336:9 <b>'90</b> [4] - 20235:19, 20235:20, 20336:9, 20458:6 <b>'91</b> [8] - 20229:6, 20230:14, 20252:8, 20252:9, 20265:1, 20325:22, 20336:9, 20458:12 <b>'92</b> [3] - 20322:6, 20325:23, 20336:9 <b>'can</b> [1] - 20331:6 <b>'david</b> [1] - 20400:4 <b>'determine</b> [1] - 20331:8 <b>'ew</b> [1] - 20418:23 <b>'his</b> [1] - 20357:21 <b>'i</b> [1] - 20251:11 <b>'no</b> [1] - 20363:13 <b>'persuasive</b> [1] - 20354:2 <b>'s</b> [1] - 20264:11 <b>'seventeen</b> [1] - 20251:22 <b>'took</b> [1] - 20418:23 <b>'unreliable</b> [2] - 20362:24, 20363:4 <b>'what</b> [1] - 20276:10	<b>008407</b> [2] - 20457:18 <b>008427</b> [1] - 20367:25 <b>008429</b> [1] - 20450:8 <b>008894</b> [1] - 20308:9 <b>008898</b> [1] - 20309:14 <b>008902</b> [1] - 20311:20 <b>009098</b> [2] - 20280:4, 20280:8 <b>009581</b> [1] - 20284:16 <b>009587</b> [1] - 20284:17 <b>01</b> [2] - 20250:19, 20251:1 <b>010002</b> [2] - 20252:7, 20374:25 <b>010019</b> [2] - 20269:15, 20380:2 <b>010033</b> [2] - 20225:19, 20380:19 <b>010052</b> [1] - 20414:10 <b>010053</b> [1] - 20414:14 <b>039068</b> [1] - 20454:16 <b>044769</b> [2] - 20229:17, 20457:6 <b>050467</b> [1] - 20416:7 <b>050468</b> [1] - 20416:10 <b>056409</b> [2] - 20264:21, 20264:23 <b>056743</b> [2] - 20353:3, 20353:5 <b>056744</b> [1] - 20421:23 <b>056746</b> [1] - 20424:22 <b>056747</b> [1] - 20425:22 <b>056749</b> [1] - 20426:24 <b>056750</b> [1] - 20429:7 <b>056751</b> [1] - 20430:9 <b>056752</b> [2] - 20430:23, 20431:23 <b>056753</b> [2] - 20417:8, 20431:6 <b>056759</b> [1] - 20432:24 <b>056790</b> [1] - 20356:22 <b>056802</b> [1] - 20359:5 <b>058227</b> [1] - 20428:4 <b>062884</b> [1] - 20316:12 <b>064</b> [1] - 20353:4	20411:6 <b>11:59</b> [1] - 20336:6 <b>11th</b> [1] - 20410:19 <b>12</b> [4] - 20237:7, 20237:8, 20237:11 <b>12th</b> [2] - 20343:1, 20425:25 <b>13</b> [1] - 20354:6 <b>130</b> [2] - 20293:16, 20294:12 <b>14</b> [3] - 20424:23, 20425:21, 20454:17 <b>14th</b> [14] - 20252:9, 20280:9, 20367:24, 20415:17, 20415:20, 20418:3, 20427:1, 20428:3, 20428:7, 20428:8, 20429:15, 20430:11, 20432:1, 20434:7 <b>15</b> [6] - 20268:1, 20280:14, 20321:18, 20322:8, 20366:9, 20444:1 <b>150</b> [1] - 20421:9 <b>15th</b> [4] - 20415:14, 20415:17, 20416:9, 20417:2 <b>16</b> [4] - 20218:8, 20218:10, 20218:12, 20284:21 <b>16-year-old</b> [1] - 20283:10 <b>1630</b> [1] - 20428:3 <b>16th</b> [8] - 20356:24, 20417:12, 20427:18, 20428:11, 20430:24, 20431:10, 20431:22, 20431:24 <b>17</b> [1] - 20232:23 <b>177</b> [1] - 20420:10 <b>1793</b> [1] - 20364:6 <b>1810</b> [1] - 20423:2 <b>18th</b> [2] - 20277:11, 20347:7 <b>19</b> [4] - 20220:21, 20220:23, 20222:4, 20454:10 <b>19033</b> [1] - 20354:4 <b>19407</b> [1] - 20349:11 <b>1968</b> [3] - 20280:10, 20449:13, 20452:12 <b>1969</b> [19] - 20228:8, 20228:11, 20293:8, 20297:9, 20299:20, 20302:22, 20303:8, 20303:18, 20303:25, 20378:7, 20388:25, 20389:13, 20390:8, 20393:1, 20397:7,	20398:4, 20416:14, 20454:7, 20454:17 <b>1969-'70</b> [1] - 20441:24 <b>1970</b> [5] - 20228:24, 20292:17, 20423:24, 20428:19, 20428:20 <b>1980</b> [2] - 20237:25, 20339:6 <b>1988</b> [3] - 20235:16, 20336:9, 20339:4 <b>1990</b> [57] - 20229:25, 20275:19, 20277:11, 20321:8, 20321:22, 20322:3, 20322:4, 20322:8, 20323:8, 20325:18, 20325:22, 20330:19, 20332:22, 20338:24, 20340:25, 20341:2, 20341:21, 20342:8, 20343:19, 20345:22, 20347:7, 20347:12, 20348:10, 20351:14, 20351:15, 20354:9, 20357:1, 20365:10, 20371:19, 20380:1, 20380:19, 20380:22, 20381:3, 20397:8, 20414:13, 20415:14, 20415:17, 20415:18, 20416:9, 20417:2, 20417:12, 20418:15, 20421:22, 20422:21, 20423:6, 20423:10, 20426:1, 20427:1, 20428:3, 20431:10, 20431:22, 20432:23, 20435:10, 20435:17, 20435:24, 20435:25, 20456:4 <b>1991</b> [24] - 20284:23, 20326:11, 20326:13, 20327:1, 20328:15, 20338:24, 20341:21, 20343:1, 20345:16, 20365:19, 20367:24, 20370:24, 20371:24, 20373:10, 20373:20, 20374:11, 20381:4, 20381:7, 20381:14, 20386:17, 20457:19, 20458:4, 20458:8, 20458:23 <b>1992</b> [4] - 20326:1, 20380:15, 20386:19, 20387:3 <b>1995</b> [1] - 20387:4 <b>1997</b> [2] - 20387:12, 20399:16 <b>19th</b> [1] - 20428:19 <b>1:32</b> [1] - 20336:7	<b>2</b> [5] - 20244:11, 20259:13, 20303:15, 20414:14, 20423:16 <b>20</b> [14] - 20276:2, 20281:10, 20318:18, 20339:16, 20341:10, 20344:18, 20350:17, 20351:5, 20351:9, 20369:20, 20393:8, 20399:5, 20441:20 <b>20-year-old</b> [1] - 20454:23 <b>2005</b> [2] - 20208:21, 20410:19 <b>20212</b> [1] - 20211:4 <b>20292</b> [1] - 20211:5 <b>20306</b> [1] - 20211:7 <b>20318</b> [1] - 20211:8 <b>20388</b> [1] - 20211:9 <b>20406</b> [1] - 20211:10 <b>20434</b> [1] - 20211:11 <b>20457</b> [1] - 20211:12 <b>20th</b> [24] - 20252:8, 20293:3, 20293:20, 20294:1, 20294:16, 20294:18, 20294:20, 20294:23, 20295:4, 20295:17, 20295:21, 20295:25, 20296:17, 20298:14, 20298:20, 20300:14, 20303:21, 20304:4, 20304:9, 20304:13, 20305:5, 20305:14, 20305:21, 20305:22 <b>21</b> [2] - 20275:3, 20341:8 <b>21st</b> [5] - 20293:2, 20295:3, 20295:6, 20298:18, 20298:19 <b>2241</b> [1] - 20350:23 <b>226</b> [1] - 20304:16 <b>22nd</b> [5] - 20263:12, 20305:16, 20305:23, 20390:23, 20418:15 <b>23</b> [2] - 20408:8, 20426:11 <b>23rd</b> [1] - 20432:23 <b>24th</b> [6] - 20293:8, 20299:20, 20302:22, 20421:22, 20433:21, 20434:8 <b>25</b> [1] - 20408:3 <b>250597</b> [3] - 20220:17, 20263:3, 20456:2 <b>250603</b> [2] - 20220:18, 20221:14
<b>0</b>				
<b>001477</b> [1] - 20275:18 <b>001478</b> [1] - 20278:4 <b>001480</b> [1] - 20277:6 <b>001783</b> [1] - 20362:4 <b>001784</b> [1] - 20231:16 <b>001810</b> [1] - 20422:18 <b>004</b> [1] - 20250:15 <b>004374</b> [2] - 20348:2, 20356:19 <b>004416</b> [2] - 20243:8, 20250:20 <b>004420</b> [1] - 20243:13 <b>0046</b> [1] - 20250:18 <b>004601</b> [1] - 20251:7 <b>006404</b> [1] - 20404:21 <b>008</b> [1] - 20457:10 <b>008400</b> [3] - 20450:7, 20457:14, 20457:16				
	<b>1</b>			
	<b>1</b> [6] - 20221:6, 20244:6, 20250:22, 20303:7, 20416:1, 20423:1 <b>10</b> [2] - 20268:1, 20364:5 <b>100</b> [1] - 20208:22 <b>10:17</b> [1] - 20268:25 <b>10:30</b> [1] - 20426:1 <b>10:36</b> [1] - 20269:1 <b>10th</b> [2] - 20410:20,			



<b>250957</b> [1] - 20454:8 <b>25th</b> [2] - 20243:10, 20243:12 <b>26</b> [1] - 20218:12 <b>260</b> [1] - 20361:3 <b>267</b> [2] - 20359:6, 20359:10 <b>27th</b> [7] - 20229:6, 20230:14, 20381:4, 20381:7, 20381:8, 20381:14, 20386:16 <b>28</b> [2] - 20235:16, 20423:10 <b>28th</b> [3] - 20347:11, 20414:12, 20422:5 <b>29th</b> [1] - 20208:21 <b>2nd</b> [1] - 20428:20	<b>5</b>  <b>5</b> [3] - 20284:23, 20304:16, 20416:14 <b>5'5</b> [1] - 20263:13 <b>50</b> [1] - 20297:6 <b>5th</b> [5] - 20229:5, 20229:10, 20380:19, 20380:22, 20381:3	<b>750</b> [1] - 20427:6 <b>782</b> [1] - 20420:9 <b>786</b> [1] - 20362:5 <b>793</b> [1] - 20237:10 <b>7:00</b> [6] - 20265:22, 20297:17, 20303:13, 20305:15, 20305:24, 20416:22 <b>7:05</b> [1] - 20265:23 <b>7:07</b> [3] - 20263:11, 20265:23, 20405:9 <b>7:10</b> [2] - 20265:23, 20298:1 <b>7:45</b> [1] - 20265:22	20279:11, 20310:19, 20326:5, 20344:10, 20370:4, 20374:2, 20384:11, 20396:17, 20397:6 <b>Absolutely</b> [4] - 20320:6, 20387:17, 20438:11, 20439:19 <b>abuse</b> [1] - 20376:15 <b>abused</b> [1] - 20376:14 <b>academically</b> [1] - 20376:7 <b>accept</b> [4] - 20240:8, 20244:3, 20280:14, 20317:11 <b>acceptable</b> [1] - 20392:18 <b>acceptance</b> [1] - 20216:10 <b>accepted</b> [5] - 20216:7, 20240:24, 20241:6, 20360:12, 20368:19 <b>access</b> [11] - 20216:1, 20224:6, 20244:6, 20246:9, 20283:13, 20312:6, 20380:10, 20380:11, 20387:14, 20455:24, 20456:20 <b>accessibility</b> [1] - 20270:5 <b>accomplish</b> [1] - 20439:25 <b>accomplishment</b> [1] - 20434:3 <b>According</b> [2] - 20304:5, 20418:6 <b>according</b> [5] - 20295:8, 20295:18, 20300:14, 20302:20, 20349:6 <b>account</b> [1] - 20300:10 <b>accredited</b> [1] - 20384:20 <b>accuracy</b> [1] - 20442:17 <b>accurate</b> [8] - 20287:7, 20310:1, 20322:17, 20409:15, 20414:17, 20419:9, 20419:11, 20421:4 <b>accurately</b> [2] - 20402:20, 20412:3 <b>accusation</b> [1] - 20272:9 <b>accusations</b> [1] - 20215:5 <b>accused</b> [1] - 20325:16 <b>acknowledge</b> [1] - 20377:14 <b>acknowledged</b> [3] - 20216:6, 20321:19,	20321:23 <b>acquainted</b> [1] - 20433:15 <b>acquitted</b> [1] - 20322:4 <b>act</b> [9] - 20226:3, 20232:19, 20239:17, 20240:21, 20247:19, 20260:9, 20286:21, 20345:24, 20380:22 <b>acted</b> [1] - 20434:10 <b>acting</b> [1] - 20267:1 <b>action</b> [1] - 20259:16 <b>activities</b> [1] - 20408:12 <b>activity</b> [3] - 20227:24, 20339:23, 20398:25 <b>acts</b> [3] - 20225:25, 20231:20, 20239:8 <b>actual</b> [2] - 20429:24, 20433:5 <b>actuality</b> [1] - 20308:4 <b>adamant</b> [1] - 20282:14 <b>add</b> [6] - 20230:24, 20258:25, 20259:2, 20307:18, 20307:24, 20439:15 <b>addition</b> [4] - 20235:21, 20237:22, 20302:15, 20347:9 <b>additional</b> [3] - 20248:18, 20370:11, 20452:1 <b>address</b> [8] - 20247:16, 20258:8, 20259:20, 20293:10, 20294:7, 20320:23, 20424:5, 20452:9 <b>addressed</b> [2] - 20324:20, 20451:24 <b>addresses</b> [1] - 20380:4 <b>Adeline</b> [1] - 20303:7 <b>adequacy</b> [1] - 20225:8 <b>Adjourned</b> [4] - 20268:25, 20336:6, 20406:23, 20459:14 <b>adjudicate</b> [1] - 20370:16 <b>administer</b> [1] - 20324:7 <b>admiration</b> [1] - 20377:14 <b>admire</b> [1] - 20377:19 <b>admissible</b> [4] - 20248:22, 20250:9, 20332:2, 20361:9 <b>admit</b> [2] - 20344:1, 20439:7 <b>adopting</b> [2] - 20214:7, 20215:24 <b>adult</b> [8] - 20216:11, 20216:15, 20217:23,
<b>3</b>  <b>3</b> [2] - 20303:23, 20457:19 <b>30</b> [1] - 20263:13 <b>30th</b> [1] - 20265:2 <b>31st</b> [5] - 20297:9, 20303:8, 20303:18, 20303:25, 20340:21 <b>33</b> [5] - 20353:3, 20353:6, 20427:7, 20429:8 <b>332053</b> [1] - 20415:12 <b>332054</b> [1] - 20415:20 <b>332387</b> [1] - 20418:11 <b>332943</b> [1] - 20410:16 <b>36</b> [2] - 20430:10, 20430:13 <b>3:03</b> [1] - 20406:23 <b>3:20</b> [1] - 20406:24 <b>3rd</b> [2] - 20340:12, 20378:7	<b>601</b> [1] - 20251:6 <b>603</b> [1] - 20263:4 <b>681</b> [1] - 20250:16 <b>690</b> [40] - 20227:1, 20227:3, 20227:15, 20228:17, 20240:7, 20244:17, 20282:19, 20311:15, 20320:8, 20320:16, 20323:15, 20324:15, 20325:12, 20329:5, 20329:8, 20329:25, 20331:12, 20331:15, 20336:12, 20345:13, 20367:10, 20371:10, 20371:11, 20380:9, 20407:10, 20408:16, 20410:8, 20424:8, 20424:14, 20441:10, 20443:5, 20443:6, 20443:18, 20445:25, 20447:25, 20448:2, 20449:25, 20450:4, 20450:9, 20451:21 <b>6:30</b> [3] - 20416:22, 20417:20, 20417:23 <b>6:35</b> [2] - 20293:17, 20303:10 <b>6:40</b> [1] - 20303:19 <b>6:45</b> [8] - 20267:16, 20293:18, 20303:10, 20303:19, 20304:1, 20304:15, 20404:23, 20405:6 <b>6:55</b> [1] - 20304:19	<b>8</b>  <b>8</b> [1] - 20305:19 <b>800</b> [1] - 20265:25 <b>85</b> [1] - 20232:1 <b>8:25</b> [1] - 20263:9	20279:11, 20310:19, 20326:5, 20344:10, 20370:4, 20374:2, 20384:11, 20396:17, 20397:6 <b>Absolutely</b> [4] - 20320:6, 20387:17, 20438:11, 20439:19 <b>abuse</b> [1] - 20376:15 <b>abused</b> [1] - 20376:14 <b>academically</b> [1] - 20376:7 <b>accept</b> [4] - 20240:8, 20244:3, 20280:14, 20317:11 <b>acceptable</b> [1] - 20392:18 <b>acceptance</b> [1] - 20216:10 <b>accepted</b> [5] - 20216:7, 20240:24, 20241:6, 20360:12, 20368:19 <b>access</b> [11] - 20216:1, 20224:6, 20244:6, 20246:9, 20283:13, 20312:6, 20380:10, 20380:11, 20387:14, 20455:24, 20456:20 <b>accessibility</b> [1] - 20270:5 <b>accomplish</b> [1] - 20439:25 <b>accomplishment</b> [1] - 20434:3 <b>According</b> [2] - 20304:5, 20418:6 <b>according</b> [5] - 20295:8, 20295:18, 20300:14, 20302:20, 20349:6 <b>account</b> [1] - 20300:10 <b>accredited</b> [1] - 20384:20 <b>accuracy</b> [1] - 20442:17 <b>accurate</b> [8] - 20287:7, 20310:1, 20322:17, 20409:15, 20414:17, 20419:9, 20419:11, 20421:4 <b>accurately</b> [2] - 20402:20, 20412:3 <b>accusation</b> [1] - 20272:9 <b>accusations</b> [1] - 20215:5 <b>accused</b> [1] - 20325:16 <b>acknowledge</b> [1] - 20377:14 <b>acknowledged</b> [3] - 20216:6, 20321:19,	20321:23 <b>acquainted</b> [1] - 20433:15 <b>acquitted</b> [1] - 20322:4 <b>act</b> [9] - 20226:3, 20232:19, 20239:17, 20240:21, 20247:19, 20260:9, 20286:21, 20345:24, 20380:22 <b>acted</b> [1] - 20434:10 <b>acting</b> [1] - 20267:1 <b>action</b> [1] - 20259:16 <b>activities</b> [1] - 20408:12 <b>activity</b> [3] - 20227:24, 20339:23, 20398:25 <b>acts</b> [3] - 20225:25, 20231:20, 20239:8 <b>actual</b> [2] - 20429:24, 20433:5 <b>actuality</b> [1] - 20308:4 <b>adamant</b> [1] - 20282:14 <b>add</b> [6] - 20230:24, 20258:25, 20259:2, 20307:18, 20307:24, 20439:15 <b>addition</b> [4] - 20235:21, 20237:22, 20302:15, 20347:9 <b>additional</b> [3] - 20248:18, 20370:11, 20452:1 <b>address</b> [8] - 20247:16, 20258:8, 20259:20, 20293:10, 20294:7, 20320:23, 20424:5, 20452:9 <b>addressed</b> [2] - 20324:20, 20451:24 <b>addresses</b> [1] - 20380:4 <b>Adeline</b> [1] - 20303:7 <b>adequacy</b> [1] - 20225:8 <b>Adjourned</b> [4] - 20268:25, 20336:6, 20406:23, 20459:14 <b>adjudicate</b> [1] - 20370:16 <b>administer</b> [1] - 20324:7 <b>admiration</b> [1] - 20377:14 <b>admire</b> [1] - 20377:19 <b>admissible</b> [4] - 20248:22, 20250:9, 20332:2, 20361:9 <b>admit</b> [2] - 20344:1, 20439:7 <b>adopting</b> [2] - 20214:7, 20215:24 <b>adult</b> [8] - 20216:11, 20216:15, 20217:23,
<b>4</b>  <b>4</b> [4] - 20236:21, 20236:23, 20304:5, 20421:24 <b>40</b> [6] - 20267:9, 20267:16, 20267:19, 20268:14, 20298:21, 20315:3 <b>418</b> [1] - 20247:24 <b>430</b> [1] - 20450:11 <b>443</b> [1] - 20450:24 <b>46</b> [2] - 20250:21, 20430:23 <b>4:29</b> [1] - 20459:14 <b>4th</b> [1] - 20380:1	<b>6</b>  <b>6</b> [6] - 20304:23, 20438:17, 20438:22, 20439:4, 20439:17, 20448:10 <b>601</b> [1] - 20251:6 <b>603</b> [1] - 20263:4 <b>681</b> [1] - 20250:16 <b>690</b> [40] - 20227:1, 20227:3, 20227:15, 20228:17, 20240:7, 20244:17, 20282:19, 20311:15, 20320:8, 20320:16, 20323:15, 20324:15, 20325:12, 20329:5, 20329:8, 20329:25, 20331:12, 20331:15, 20336:12, 20345:13, 20367:10, 20371:10, 20371:11, 20380:9, 20407:10, 20408:16, 20410:8, 20424:8, 20424:14, 20441:10, 20443:5, 20443:6, 20443:18, 20445:25, 20447:25, 20448:2, 20449:25, 20450:4, 20450:9, 20451:21 <b>6:30</b> [3] - 20416:22, 20417:20, 20417:23 <b>6:35</b> [2] - 20293:17, 20303:10 <b>6:40</b> [1] - 20303:19 <b>6:45</b> [8] - 20267:16, 20293:18, 20303:10, 20303:19, 20304:1, 20304:15, 20404:23, 20405:6 <b>6:55</b> [1] - 20304:19	<b>9</b>  <b>90/04/17</b> [1] - 20445:7 <b>91</b> [1] - 20251:1 <b>92/04/29</b> [1] - 20445:8 <b>9:00</b> [1] - 20297:8 <b>9:04</b> [1] - 20212:2	20279:11, 20310:19, 20326:5, 20344:10, 20370:4, 20374:2, 20384:11, 20396:17, 20397:6 <b>Absolutely</b> [4] - 20320:6, 20387:17, 20438:11, 20439:19 <b>abuse</b> [1] - 20376:15 <b>abused</b> [1] - 20376:14 <b>academically</b> [1] - 20376:7 <b>accept</b> [4] - 20240:8, 20244:3, 20280:14, 20317:11 <b>acceptable</b> [1] - 20392:18 <b>acceptance</b> [1] - 20216:10 <b>accepted</b> [5] - 20216:7, 20240:24, 20241:6, 20360:12, 20368:19 <b>access</b> [11] - 20216:1, 20224:6, 20244:6, 20246:9, 20283:13, 20312:6, 20380:10, 20380:11, 20387:14, 20455:24, 20456:20 <b>accessibility</b> [1] - 20270:5 <b>accomplish</b> [1] - 20439:25 <b>accomplishment</b> [1] - 20434:3 <b>According</b> [2] - 20304:5, 20418:6 <b>according</b> [5] - 20295:8, 20295:18, 20300:14, 20302:20, 20349:6 <b>account</b> [1] - 20300:10 <b>accredited</b> [1] - 20384:20 <b>accuracy</b> [1] - 20442:17 <b>accurate</b> [8] - 20287:7, 20310:1, 20322:17, 20409:15, 20414:17, 20419:9, 20419:11, 20421:4 <b>accurately</b> [2] - 20402:20, 20412:3 <b>accusation</b> [1] - 20272:9 <b>accusations</b> [1] - 20215:5 <b>accused</b> [1] - 20325:16 <b>acknowledge</b> [1] - 20377:14 <b>acknowledged</b> [3] - 20216:6, 20321:19,	20321:23 <b>acquainted</b> [1] - 20433:15 <b>acquitted</b> [1] - 20322:4 <b>act</b> [9] - 20226:3, 20232:19, 20239:17, 20240:21, 20247:19, 20260:9, 20286:21, 20345:24, 20380:22 <b>acted</b> [1] - 20434:10 <b>acting</b> [1] - 20267:1 <b>action</b> [1] - 20259:16 <b>activities</b> [1] - 20408:12 <b>activity</b> [3] - 20227:24, 20339:23, 20398:25 <b>acts</b> [3] - 20225:25, 20231:20, 20239:8 <b>actual</b> [2] - 20429:24, 20433:5 <b>actuality</b> [1] - 20308:4 <b>adamant</b> [1] - 20282:14 <b>add</b> [6] - 20230:24, 20258:25, 20259:2, 20307:18, 20307:24, 20439:15 <b>addition</b> [4] - 20235:21, 20237:22, 20302:15, 20347:9 <b>additional</b> [3] - 20248:18, 20370:11, 20452:1 <b>address</b> [8] - 20247:16, 20258:8, 20259:20, 20293:10, 20294:7, 20320:23, 20424:5, 20452:9 <b>addressed</b> [2] - 20324:20, 20451:24 <b>addresses</b> [1] - 20380:4 <b>Adeline</b> [1] - 20303:7 <b>adequacy</b> [1] - 20225:8 <b>Adjourned</b> [4] - 20268:25, 20336:6, 20406:23, 20459:14 <b>adjudicate</b> [1] - 20370:16 <b>administer</b> [1] - 20324:7 <b>admiration</b> [1] - 20377:14 <b>admire</b> [1] - 20377:19 <b>admissible</b> [4] - 20248:22, 20250:9, 20332:2, 20361:9 <b>admit</b> [2] - 20344:1, 20439:7 <b>adopting</b> [2] - 20214:7, 20215:24 <b>adult</b> [8] - 20216:11, 20216:15, 20217:23,
<b>4</b>  <b>4</b> [4] - 20236:21, 20236:23, 20304:5, 20421:24 <b>40</b> [6] - 20267:9, 20267:16, 20267:19, 20268:14, 20298:21, 20315:3 <b>418</b> [1] - 20247:24 <b>430</b> [1] - 20450:11 <b>443</b> [1] - 20450:24 <b>46</b> [2] - 20250:21, 20430:23 <b>4:29</b> [1] - 20459:14 <b>4th</b> [1] - 20380:1	<b>7</b>  <b>7</b> [1] - 20305:12 <b>746</b> [1] - 20424:21 <b>748</b> [1] - 20426:10	<b>A</b>  <b>Aaron</b> [2] - 20210:9, 20388:10 <b>aback</b> [1] - 20285:11 <b>abducted</b> [1] - 20382:2 <b>ability</b> [2] - 20311:7, 20460:7 <b>able</b> [29] - 20213:7, 20214:15, 20216:4, 20218:1, 20226:14, 20245:12, 20262:7, 20291:7, 20305:9, 20316:6, 20325:14, 20339:19, 20343:11, 20374:8, 20380:12, 20390:22, 20391:6, 20392:14, 20396:8, 20398:14, 20399:4, 20399:5, 20402:19, 20409:3, 20442:9, 20452:13, 20453:9, 20453:12, 20459:2 <b>absence</b> [4] - 20239:3, 20343:11, 20345:3, 20364:18 <b>absolutely</b> [9] -	20279:11, 20310:19, 20326:5, 20344:10, 20370:4, 20374:2, 20384:11, 20396:17, 20397:6 <b>Absolutely</b> [4] - 20320:6, 20387:17, 20438:11, 20439:19 <b>abuse</b> [1] - 20376:15 <b>abused</b> [1] - 20376:14 <b>academically</b> [1] - 20376:7 <b>accept</b> [4] - 20240:8, 20244:3, 20280:14, 20317:11 <b>acceptable</b> [1] - 20392:18 <b>acceptance</b> [1] - 20216:10 <b>accepted</b> [5] - 20216:7, 20240:24, 20241:6, 20360:12, 20368:19 <b>access</b> [11] - 20216:1, 20224:6, 20244:6, 20246:9, 20283:13, 20312:6, 20380:10, 20380:11, 20387:14, 20455:24, 20456:20 <b>accessibility</b> [1] - 20270:5 <b>accomplish</b> [1] - 20439:25 <b>accomplishment</b> [1] - 20434:3 <b>According</b> [2] - 20304:5, 20418:6 <b>according</b> [5] - 20295:8, 20295:18, 20300:14, 20302:20, 20349:6 <b>account</b> [1] - 20300:10 <b>accredited</b> [1] - 20384:20 <b>accuracy</b> [1] - 20442:17 <b>accurate</b> [8] - 20287:7, 20310:1, 20322:17, 20409:15, 20414:17, 20419:9, 20419:11, 20421:4 <b>accurately</b> [2] - 20402:20, 20412:3 <b>accusation</b> [1] - 20272:9 <b>accusations</b> [1] - 20215:5 <b>accused</b> [1] - 20325:16 <b>acknowledge</b> [1] - 20377:14 <b>acknowledged</b> [3] - 20216:6, 20321:19,	20321:23 <b>acquainted</b> [1] - 20433:15 <b>acquitted</b> [1] - 20322:4 <b>act</b> [9] - 20226:3, 20232:19, 20239:17, 20240:21, 20247:19, 20260:9, 20286:21, 20345:24, 20380:22 <b>acted</b> [1] - 20434:10 <b>acting</b> [1] - 20267:1 <b>action</b> [1] - 20259:16 <b>activities</b> [1] - 20408:12 <b>activity</b> [3] - 20227:24, 20339:23, 20398:25 <b>acts</b> [3] - 20225:25, 20231:20, 20239:8 <b>actual</b> [2] - 20429:24, 20433:5 <b>actuality</b> [1] - 20308:4 <b>adamant</b> [1] - 20282:14 <b>add</b> [6] - 20230:24, 20258:25, 20259:2, 20307:18, 20307:24, 20439:15 <b>addition</b> [4] - 20235:21, 20237:22, 20302:15, 20347:9 <b>additional</b> [3] - 20248:18, 20370:11, 20452:1 <b>address</b> [8] - 20247:16, 20258:8, 20259:20, 20293:10, 20294:7, 20320:23, 20424:5, 20452:9 <b>addressed</b> [2] - 20324:20, 20

<p>20218:9, 20277:24, 20350:6, 20350:11, 20350:25 <b>adults</b> [1] - 20216:3 <b>advance</b> [3] - 20254:17, 20430:20, 20453:25 <b>advantage</b> [4] - 20226:25, 20246:17, 20376:12, 20382:20 <b>advent</b> [1] - 20396:6 <b>adversarial</b> [3] - 20324:17, 20334:24, 20335:1 <b>adversarially</b> [1] - 20292:3 <b>advice</b> [5] - 20233:9, 20233:14, 20234:5, 20365:16, 20387:25 <b>advise</b> [2] - 20336:18, 20368:21 <b>advised</b> [8] - 20254:16, 20254:24, 20261:12, 20343:3, 20368:13, 20419:16, 20424:25, 20446:3 <b>advising</b> [3] - 20427:9, 20431:3, 20432:15 <b>advisors</b> [1] - 20243:22 <b>advocates</b> [1] - 20246:7 <b>advocating</b> [1] - 20272:4 <b>affairs</b> [1] - 20381:17 <b>affected</b> [10] - 20260:14, 20261:15, 20261:18, 20261:21, 20261:23, 20261:25, 20362:14, 20363:2, 20363:15, 20363:20 <b>affecting</b> [1] - 20212:14 <b>affects</b> [1] - 20347:4 <b>affidavit</b> [2] - 20284:18, 20284:22 <b>affirmation</b> [1] - 20216:7 <b>afterwards</b> [2] - 20344:4, 20396:12 <b>age</b> [3] - 20274:23, 20275:20, 20280:14 <b>agencies</b> [1] - 20456:10 <b>aggression</b> [2] - 20317:14, 20317:20 <b>ago</b> [3] - 20214:23, 20379:3, 20402:10 <b>agree</b> [37] - 20218:15, 20224:16, 20245:24, 20246:7, 20263:2, 20265:8, 20266:22, 20266:24, 20268:8, 20268:10, 20268:16, 20288:14, 20291:24,</p>	<p>20316:9, 20322:12, 20322:14, 20322:19, 20326:5, 20331:11, 20332:8, 20332:10, 20332:20, 20332:21, 20344:13, 20346:6, 20364:22, 20373:17, 20373:23, 20375:6, 20377:11, 20381:19, 20381:22, 20400:7, 20400:25, 20402:21, 20406:17, 20455:6 <b>agreed</b> [4] - 20323:19, 20341:4, 20400:17, 20415:25 <b>agreement</b> [3] - 20348:1, 20375:2, 20387:11 <b>ahead</b> [7] - 20252:18, 20272:1, 20368:4, 20450:20, 20450:24, 20457:23, 20457:24 <b>aid</b> [1] - 20454:23 <b>air</b> [1] - 20247:4 <b>akin</b> [1] - 20323:15 <b>alarming</b> [1] - 20358:8 <b>Albeit</b> [1] - 20424:13 <b>Albert</b> [11] - 20273:16, 20275:24, 20276:8, 20297:2, 20342:2, 20342:5, 20348:10, 20348:11, 20390:9, 20400:3, 20401:12 <b>alcoholic</b> [2] - 20279:2, 20286:8 <b>alerted</b> [1] - 20224:9 <b>Alexander</b> [1] - 20210:15 <b>alibi</b> [6] - 20340:1, 20340:3, 20340:5, 20340:11, 20340:19, 20340:21 <b>alive</b> [3] - 20232:24, 20251:23, 20303:9 <b>Allan</b> [2] - 20211:3, 20212:5 <b>allegation</b> [3] - 20236:23, 20411:4, 20438:24 <b>allegations</b> [5] - 20233:3, 20233:8, 20233:13, 20233:15, 20355:7 <b>alleged</b> [7] - 20273:17, 20292:23, 20293:1, 20296:21, 20297:3, 20299:1, 20299:21 <b>alley</b> [29] - 20297:19, 20297:22, 20298:10, 20299:18, 20299:22,</p>	<p>20300:7, 20300:18, 20301:5, 20301:15, 20302:19, 20305:24, 20308:23, 20308:25, 20309:20, 20309:24, 20312:11, 20312:16, 20312:18, 20312:25, 20313:9, 20313:10, 20313:12, 20313:21, 20314:1, 20314:2, 20314:8, 20314:9, 20390:24, 20392:16 <b>alleys</b> [2] - 20314:20, 20314:21 <b>allied</b> [1] - 20376:24 <b>allow</b> [1] - 20294:8 <b>almost</b> [5] - 20274:5, 20351:15, 20392:20, 20405:18, 20441:5 <b>already-existent</b> [1] - 20367:10 <b>alternate</b> [2] - 20331:9, 20332:13 <b>American</b> [1] - 20443:20 <b>amount</b> [3] - 20305:6, 20343:20, 20354:23 <b>analyse</b> [1] - 20219:15 <b>analysed</b> [1] - 20306:24 <b>analysing</b> [1] - 20228:21 <b>analysis</b> [29] - 20226:5, 20226:10, 20232:20, 20239:17, 20240:21, 20241:11, 20247:19, 20260:8, 20281:21, 20286:21, 20330:15, 20338:11, 20436:13, 20441:9, 20441:10, 20441:13, 20441:15, 20441:17, 20441:18, 20441:23, 20442:18, 20442:22, 20446:22, 20446:25, 20447:11, 20447:15, 20447:19, 20447:20, 20455:23 <b>analytical</b> [2] - 20226:8, 20437:13 <b>Ann</b> [1] - 20303:15 <b>anonymous</b> [1] - 20367:4 <b>answer</b> [13] - 20214:15, 20256:17, 20262:11, 20307:23, 20307:24, 20314:14, 20315:11, 20349:21, 20350:4, 20350:8, 20354:7, 20382:16, 20396:16 <b>answered</b> [3] - 20275:24, 20323:11, 20382:14</p>	<p><b>answering</b> [1] - 20381:6 <b>answers</b> [3] - 20217:14, 20357:21, 20357:22 <b>antecedents</b> [1] - 20331:22 <b>anyplace</b> [1] - 20405:16 <b>anyway</b> [1] - 20223:14 <b>apart</b> [3] - 20373:15, 20407:7, 20459:1 <b>apartment</b> [1] - 20404:22 <b>apologies</b> [1] - 20449:4 <b>apologize</b> [3] - 20256:23, 20375:5, 20429:22 <b>Appeal</b> [2] - 20234:1, 20319:25 <b>appeal</b> [2] - 20319:24, 20394:13 <b>appeals</b> [2] - 20321:2, 20323:18 <b>appear</b> [2] - 20375:3, 20406:10 <b>Appearances</b> [1] - 20210:1 <b>appeared</b> [2] - 20214:22, 20251:20 <b>appellate</b> [2] - 20235:22, 20319:9 <b>appended</b> [2] - 20367:9, 20368:11 <b>appendices</b> [1] - 20445:10 <b>applause</b> [1] - 20378:1 <b>applicant</b> [3] - 20272:23, 20286:16, 20376:4 <b>application</b> [49] - 20227:1, 20227:3, 20227:15, 20229:7, 20232:8, 20232:22, 20235:15, 20237:14, 20238:21, 20241:5, 20241:8, 20241:17, 20243:4, 20243:13, 20247:5, 20252:11, 20257:1, 20257:3, 20260:7, 20260:8, 20286:14, 20315:1, 20326:25, 20336:13, 20336:16, 20337:16, 20345:18, 20362:2, 20362:10, 20363:9, 20366:3, 20367:10, 20367:20, 20367:23, 20368:7, 20370:8, 20373:12, 20381:8, 20423:13, 20424:1, 20424:3, 20450:1, 20450:4, 20450:9,</p>	<p>20450:21, 20450:22, 20451:21 <b>applications</b> [3] - 20272:15, 20329:25, 20336:20 <b>applied</b> [7] - 20222:21, 20328:23, 20336:21, 20338:12, 20423:20, 20443:5, 20443:8 <b>apply</b> [5] - 20307:17, 20308:3, 20336:20, 20443:13, 20447:14 <b>applying</b> [1] - 20227:4 <b>appreciate</b> [5] - 20218:7, 20236:16, 20242:21, 20286:10, 20356:7 <b>approach</b> [8] - 20232:7, 20232:13, 20309:2, 20313:13, 20334:15, 20345:16, 20356:17, 20375:22 <b>approached</b> [5] - 20222:12, 20312:2, 20313:10, 20369:11, 20405:11 <b>appropriate</b> [3] - 20233:9, 20336:4, 20442:14 <b>approximate</b> [2] - 20266:19, 20266:20 <b>April</b> [2] - 20243:12, 20368:1 <b>archives</b> [2] - 20224:2, 20224:3 <b>area</b> [19] - 20248:6, 20248:9, 20248:14, 20249:19, 20253:17, 20265:14, 20266:20, 20268:19, 20292:22, 20295:13, 20299:11, 20301:25, 20307:21, 20328:21, 20358:11, 20392:2, 20392:3, 20396:3, 20454:12 <b>areas</b> [1] - 20439:21 <b>argue</b> [1] - 20269:7 <b>argued</b> [5] - 20256:4, 20256:9, 20270:24, 20356:21, 20375:1 <b>arguing</b> [1] - 20254:1 <b>argument</b> [3] - 20269:17, 20348:3, 20376:8 <b>arises</b> [1] - 20399:19 <b>around-the-table</b> [1] - 20292:2 <b>arrange</b> [1] - 20429:2 <b>arrangements</b> [4] - 20426:15, 20426:17,</p>
--	--	--	--	---





<p>20426:22, 20443:21  <b>arranging</b> [1] - 20431:4  <b>arrested</b> [1] - 20228:25  <b>arrived</b> [2] - 20269:5,  20296:16  <b>arriving</b> [1] - 20295:20  <b>Arthur</b> [1] - 20304:17  <b>article</b> [5] - 20250:22,  20252:6, 20410:15,  20411:23, 20412:10  <b>articles</b> [6] - 20248:8,  20248:24, 20249:3,  20250:1, 20403:17,  20403:23  <b>articulated</b> [1] -  20448:16  <b>ascribe</b> [1] - 20317:15  <b>aside</b> [3] - 20226:19,  20265:19, 20266:11  <b>Aside</b> [1] - 20301:21  <b>aspect</b> [9] - 20217:24,  20217:25, 20221:23,  20303:1, 20334:25,  20345:12, 20389:14,  20393:15, 20420:19  <b>aspects</b> [5] - 20272:21,  20329:17, 20366:18,  20366:22, 20433:2  <b>Asper</b> [13] - 20264:16,  20285:2, 20345:20,  20379:17, 20414:15,  20422:6, 20427:10,  20443:24, 20443:25,  20444:7, 20444:8,  20448:17, 20449:2  <b>assailant</b> [7] -  20222:24, 20226:20,  20237:18, 20287:2,  20343:5, 20343:9,  20452:14  <b>assault</b> [9] - 20263:11,  20282:22, 20340:22,  20343:8, 20405:3,  20448:22, 20451:7,  20452:20, 20454:3  <b>assaulted</b> [4] - 20382:3,  20404:10, 20404:12,  20449:13  <b>assaulting</b> [1] -  20339:21  <b>assaults</b> [9] - 20403:19,  20406:16, 20435:5,  20435:11, 20435:18,  20436:1, 20455:3,  20455:16, 20455:20  <b>assert</b> [2] - 20258:17,  20271:9  <b>asserted</b> [1] - 20424:3  <b>asserting</b> [2] -  20258:16, 20374:25</p>	<p><b>assertions</b> [3] -  20237:23, 20238:1,  20367:19  <b>asserts</b> [2] - 20255:19,  20347:25  <b>assess</b> [2] - 20277:25,  20374:8  <b>assessed</b> [1] -  20287:20  <b>assessing</b> [6] -  20218:17, 20219:18,  20223:21, 20231:20,  20360:20, 20366:17  <b>assessment</b> [4] -  20275:8, 20338:11,  20350:19, 20399:25  <b>assigning</b> [1] -  20223:21  <b>assignment</b> [1] -  20384:16  <b>assist</b> [6] - 20227:14,  20288:23, 20392:13,  20393:6, 20409:9,  20456:9  <b>assistance</b> [10] -  20305:10, 20331:15,  20366:16, 20381:10,  20409:8, 20409:18,  20423:11, 20449:24,  20451:21, 20456:3  <b>Assistant</b> [1] - 20209:5  <b>assisting</b> [5] -  20224:17, 20409:7,  20447:25, 20455:7,  20455:14  <b>associated</b> [2] -  20228:7, 20267:5  <b>association</b> [2] -  20268:11, 20330:6  <b>assume</b> [2] - 20363:12,  20432:5  <b>assuming</b> [5] -  20225:17, 20226:20,  20301:24, 20374:4,  20445:17  <b>assumption</b> [1] -  20444:6  <b>assumptions</b> [1] -  20360:9  <b>assure</b> [1] - 20376:16  <b>atmosphere</b> [1] -  20396:10  <b>attack</b> [8] - 20265:21,  20267:8, 20294:8,  20317:2, 20317:14,  20318:5, 20353:19,  20404:24  <b>attacked</b> [6] - 20223:10,  20265:10, 20265:24,  20266:19, 20454:25</p>	<p><b>attacking</b> [3] -  20267:17, 20267:19,  20268:14  <b>attacks</b> [2] - 20248:19,  20451:13  <b>attempt</b> [1] - 20353:17  <b>Attempt</b> [1] - 20430:14  <b>attempted</b> [2] -  20222:8, 20263:11  <b>attempting</b> [3] -  20256:23, 20338:15,  20439:20  <b>attempts</b> [2] -  20292:20, 20425:2  <b>attend</b> [2] - 20289:20,  20290:5  <b>attended</b> [2] -  20418:16, 20427:1  <b>attending</b> [3] -  20433:19, 20434:5,  20459:9  <b>attention</b> [17] -  20220:16, 20229:12,  20229:22, 20230:3,  20232:25, 20252:1,  20264:7, 20264:21,  20269:14, 20273:2,  20273:6, 20310:24,  20316:11, 20340:22,  20374:6, 20410:24,  20422:21  <b>Attorney</b> [1] - 20293:11  <b>attorney's</b> [1] -  20245:25  <b>attributed</b> [4] - 20239:3,  20364:18, 20411:25,  20414:1  <b>Audio</b> [1] - 20209:13  <b>audioed</b> [1] - 20214:2  <b>August</b> [13] - 20243:10,  20251:25, 20252:7,  20252:9, 20326:11,  20326:13, 20327:1,  20347:11, 20355:14,  20357:1, 20367:24,  20373:20, 20428:20  <b>authored</b> [3] -  20316:19, 20414:15,  20422:25  <b>authorities</b> [3] -  20266:25, 20345:16,  20367:8  <b>authority</b> [1] - 20395:9  <b>authorized</b> [1] -  20422:25  <b>automatically</b> [1] -  20394:15  <b>availability</b> [1] -  20396:15  <b>available</b> [13] - 20222:1,</p>	<p>20223:12, 20223:17,  20226:11, 20282:23,  20310:23, 20325:3,  20339:8, 20362:14,  20368:15, 20373:14,  20429:1, 20437:5  <b>avenue</b> [3] - 20283:1,  20294:1, 20437:11  <b>Avenue</b> [49] - 20293:2,  20293:6, 20293:20,  20293:21, 20293:24,  20294:6, 20294:9,  20294:11, 20294:12,  20294:16, 20294:18,  20294:23, 20296:16,  20296:22, 20297:4,  20297:11, 20298:13,  20298:18, 20298:19,  20298:20, 20298:25,  20302:20, 20303:6,  20303:20, 20304:4,  20304:8, 20304:14,  20304:16, 20305:5,  20305:13, 20305:16,  20305:21, 20305:22,  20306:2, 20306:5,  20308:12, 20308:14,  20310:8, 20312:10,  20312:12, 20312:14,  20312:17, 20312:22,  20314:5, 20314:19,  20390:23  <b>aware</b> [28] - 20221:17,  20228:24, 20230:14,  20230:15, 20232:20,  20232:21, 20248:7,  20260:4, 20279:8,  20306:19, 20307:14,  20321:24, 20341:20,  20345:19, 20348:25,  20349:7, 20370:10,  20416:12, 20427:12,  20427:14, 20429:11,  20429:25, 20430:4,  20432:16, 20446:23,  20455:1, 20455:6,  20456:8  <b>awful</b> [4] - 20291:10,  20291:17, 20388:23,  20389:8</p>	<p>20423:19, 20426:3,  20433:7  <b>bad</b> [1] - 20269:4  <b>balance</b> [1] - 20259:24  <b>banged</b> [1] - 20251:14  <b>bank</b> [1] - 20248:21  <b>based</b> [16] - 20228:5,  20260:17, 20289:13,  20290:22, 20309:4,  20309:7, 20341:11,  20348:13, 20365:4,  20366:20, 20374:18,  20397:22, 20443:21,  20447:8, 20453:15,  20453:19  <b>basement</b> [1] -  20341:24  <b>bases</b> [1] - 20228:13  <b>basic</b> [5] - 20223:23,  20319:16, 20320:22,  20334:18, 20389:17  <b>basing</b> [1] - 20309:17  <b>basis</b> [20] - 20244:7,  20245:1, 20283:8,  20283:10, 20284:6,  20302:24, 20312:5,  20326:8, 20345:13,  20346:1, 20355:17,  20390:15, 20400:8,  20400:10, 20402:17,  20402:19, 20434:1,  20436:7, 20446:10  <b>batting</b> [1] - 20388:11  <b>Battleford</b> [7] -  20353:17, 20355:4,  20371:20, 20427:2,  20428:22, 20433:20,  20434:5  <b>bear</b> [4] - 20279:23,  20285:15, 20311:23,  20317:13  <b>Bear</b> [2] - 20285:3,  20285:4  <b>bearing</b> [2] - 20218:21,  20420:1  <b>became</b> [13] - 20215:7,  20221:17, 20260:3,  20281:16, 20283:18,  20296:9, 20299:23,  20301:18, 20304:21,  20305:4, 20306:19,  20326:24, 20435:17  <b>become</b> [5] - 20217:12,  20217:19, 20253:25,  20332:17, 20385:3  <b>becomes</b> [2] -  20309:25, 20335:13  <b>began</b> [1] - 20215:15  <b>begin</b> [5] - 20286:7,  20294:10, 20301:2,</p>
				<p><b>B</b></p>
				<p><b>backed</b> [1] - 20405:15  <b>background</b> [13] -  20260:23, 20291:11,  20338:20, 20407:9,  20407:15, 20411:16,  20413:19, 20420:14,  20422:15, 20423:16,</p>



<p>20315:6, 20422:9  <b>beginning</b> [3] -  20221:4, 20302:11,  20307:5  <b>begun</b> [1] - 20296:3  <b>behalf</b> [4] - 20247:12,  20272:22, 20285:1,  20367:3  <b>behave</b> [1] - 20216:25  <b>behaviours</b> [1] -  20315:6  <b>behind</b> [6] - 20271:20,  20299:18, 20312:11,  20375:24, 20401:5,  20405:17  <b>behold</b> [1] - 20397:1  <b>beings</b> [1] - 20323:12  <b>Beitel</b> [1] - 20209:9  <b>belief</b> [8] - 20232:22,  20272:24, 20326:8,  20327:13, 20346:10,  20385:19, 20454:1  <b>believes</b> [1] - 20232:24  <b>belong</b> [1] - 20321:4  <b>below</b> [5] - 20267:9,  20267:17, 20267:19,  20268:14, 20315:3  <b>Ben</b> [2] - 20278:19  <b>Bench</b> [4] - 20460:1,  20460:3, 20460:14,  20460:20  <b>beneficial</b> [1] - 20217:7  <b>benefit</b> [5] - 20259:13,  20281:9, 20291:5,  20310:11, 20323:5  <b>benefits</b> [1] - 20359:22  <b>best</b> [4] - 20232:16,  20310:4, 20311:7,  20460:6  <b>better</b> [16] - 20242:7,  20260:11, 20268:15,  20288:6, 20308:6,  20308:7, 20334:11,  20379:9, 20384:21,  20387:14, 20388:22,  20423:17, 20425:5,  20427:19, 20449:5  <b>Betty</b> [1] - 20303:23  <b>Between</b> [1] - 20229:9  <b>between</b> [42] -  20216:11, 20217:23,  20218:12, 20228:11,  20228:19, 20229:5,  20235:18, 20241:7,  20252:20, 20255:18,  20255:20, 20255:21,  20255:23, 20256:15,  20260:7, 20265:22,  20268:11, 20290:23,  20293:2, 20293:17,</p>	<p>20303:9, 20303:19,  20315:20, 20330:6,  20330:16, 20330:21,  20333:12, 20334:4,  20336:24, 20337:8,  20381:3, 20394:2,  20413:1, 20420:17,  20420:25, 20421:10,  20436:19, 20444:8,  20444:24, 20454:11,  20455:2  <b>bewildering</b> [1] -  20284:15  <b>beyond</b> [6] - 20258:1,  20319:19, 20319:22,  20319:23, 20342:23,  20399:15  <b>bias</b> [5] - 20216:14,  20346:8, 20346:17,  20346:18, 20346:21  <b>biased</b> [1] - 20247:14  <b>big</b> [5] - 20215:18,  20248:3, 20250:12,  20349:17, 20349:19  <b>bit</b> [21] - 20217:12,  20217:16, 20221:16,  20222:3, 20236:2,  20244:21, 20260:9,  20280:3, 20289:16,  20314:23, 20371:21,  20373:17, 20389:18,  20395:13, 20401:23,  20405:2, 20418:19,  20452:4, 20452:5,  20452:21  <b>bitch</b> [2] - 20295:15,  20299:9  <b>bits</b> [1] - 20411:22  <b>bizarre</b> [1] - 20448:19  <b>blade</b> [1] - 20238:12  <b>blame</b> [1] - 20258:13  <b>blaming</b> [1] - 20215:10  <b>blank</b> [1] - 20250:22  <b>block</b> [5] - 20250:3,  20294:14, 20297:2,  20382:4, 20390:24  <b>blocks</b> [4] - 20265:11,  20268:13, 20328:10,  20405:10  <b>blood</b> [19] - 20281:21,  20281:25, 20302:14,  20342:11, 20342:15,  20343:2, 20343:5,  20343:15, 20347:8,  20347:13, 20347:19,  20347:21, 20348:10,  20400:4, 20401:15,  20405:2, 20405:20,  20429:1  <b>blue</b> [1] - 20401:22</p>	<p><b>blurry</b> [1] - 20250:16  <b>board</b> [2] - 20376:23,  20381:15  <b>Bob</b> [1] - 20415:24  <b>Bobs</b> [2] - 20210:5,  20418:13  <b>bodies</b> [2] - 20382:21,  20382:22  <b>bodily</b> [2] - 20312:3,  20312:8  <b>body</b> [7] - 20248:21,  20283:7, 20301:9,  20302:12, 20376:21,  20382:11, 20382:21  <b>boiled</b> [1] - 20340:17  <b>book</b> [2] - 20279:15,  20394:15  <b>books</b> [1] - 20405:14  <b>born</b> [1] - 20282:21  <b>borrowed</b> [1] - 20220:3  <b>Boswell</b> [1] - 20209:4  <b>bottom</b> [10] - 20220:23,  20235:11, 20316:21,  20363:5, 20417:9,  20418:12, 20418:19,  20430:10, 20430:25  <b>boulevard</b> [2] -  20295:21, 20295:22  <b>Boychuk</b> [1] - 20388:14  <b>boys</b> [1] - 20300:2  <b>brand</b> [1] - 20257:3  <b>break</b> [5] - 20259:20,  20268:20, 20268:24,  20269:4, 20406:22  <b>breath</b> [1] - 20219:11  <b>brief</b> [1] - 20425:5  <b>briefly</b> [6] - 20212:9,  20225:18, 20229:17,  20263:3, 20308:9,  20351:13  <b>bring</b> [9] - 20263:5,  20316:10, 20364:9,  20387:23, 20393:25,  20404:18, 20425:24,  20427:7, 20454:9  <b>bringing</b> [1] - 20393:7  <b>brings</b> [1] - 20262:24  <b>British</b> [2] - 20233:25,  20234:1  <b>broader</b> [3] - 20269:7,  20270:7, 20274:2  <b>broke</b> [1] - 20319:10  <b>brother</b> [2] - 20348:11,  20350:17  <b>brought</b> [11] -  20232:25, 20262:17,  20264:7, 20310:24,  20363:23, 20374:5,  20381:25, 20401:19,  20414:11, 20424:24,</p>	<p>20428:6  <b>Bruce</b> [1] - 20210:10  <b>brutal</b> [3] - 20248:16,  20404:24, 20405:19  <b>Bryan</b> [1] - 20426:3  <b>bug</b> [1] - 20440:6  <b>bugging</b> [1] - 20439:21  <b>build</b> [1] - 20216:9  <b>building</b> [1] - 20392:15  <b>built</b> [1] - 20324:3  <b>bulk</b> [1] - 20362:18  <b>bus</b> [33] - 20263:12,  20284:25, 20293:20,  20294:2, 20294:14,  20294:17, 20294:19,  20294:22, 20296:16,  20298:14, 20298:24,  20304:8, 20304:14,  20310:8, 20313:5,  20313:11, 20314:9,  20340:13, 20341:16,  20352:7, 20352:22,  20358:13, 20358:14,  20404:23, 20411:19,  20413:22, 20414:7,  20416:15, 20416:17,  20416:22, 20417:20,  20417:23  <b>bush</b> [1] - 20428:24  <b>business</b> [2] - 20324:4,  20386:6  <b>busses</b> [1] - 20305:7  <b>busy</b> [2] - 20408:24  <b>buy</b> [2] - 20288:15,  20288:16  <b>bye</b> [1] - 20251:13  <b>byline</b> [1] - 20454:19</p>	<p><b>calculation</b> [1] -  20420:9  <b>Caldwell</b> [4] - 20210:5,  20415:21, 20415:24,  20418:13  <b>calm</b> [1] - 20444:19  <b>Calvin</b> [1] - 20210:15  <b>Campbell</b> [18] -  20229:6, 20230:1,  20230:13, 20231:13,  20235:12, 20236:17,  20239:19, 20240:15,  20240:24, 20241:6,  20242:22, 20243:11,  20252:2, 20258:20,  20270:19, 20362:4,  20362:5, 20373:13  <b>Campbell's</b> [6] -  20251:19, 20272:8,  20386:17, 20457:20,  20458:20, 20458:25  <b>Canada</b> [6] - 20210:14,  20232:17, 20234:3,  20320:1, 20321:10,  20443:22  <b>Canadian</b> [1] - 20319:5  <b>Candace</b> [1] - 20209:3  <b>candid</b> [1] - 20254:7  <b>candidly</b> [2] - 20318:25,  20333:23  <b>cannot</b> [2] - 20249:24,  20331:23  <b>capable</b> [2] - 20227:19,  20384:25  <b>car</b> [52] - 20219:22,  20219:23, 20220:3,  20296:2, 20296:9,  20296:10, 20296:14,  20297:20, 20298:7,  20300:2, 20300:7,  20301:13, 20301:14,  20301:17, 20301:24,  20302:17, 20304:21,  20305:4, 20305:8,  20305:10, 20308:19,  20309:4, 20312:4,  20312:6, 20312:9,  20312:13, 20312:17,  20312:22, 20312:23,  20312:24, 20313:6,  20313:7, 20313:13,  20314:8, 20314:24,  20315:2, 20315:14,  20315:21, 20316:22,  20446:2, 20446:11,  20446:13, 20446:14,  20446:15, 20446:22,  20446:25, 20447:2,  20447:13, 20447:17,  20447:21</p>
<b>C</b>				
<p><b>cab</b> [1] - 20310:10  <b>Cadrain</b> [25] - 20238:25,  20248:17, 20249:7,  20273:4, 20273:12,  20273:16, 20273:18,  20297:2, 20297:10,  20328:11, 20341:22,  20342:2, 20342:4,  20342:5, 20347:6,  20347:11, 20347:18,  20348:10, 20349:24,  20364:15, 20389:6,  20390:9, 20400:3,  20401:12  <b>Cadrain's</b> [4] - 20274:1,  20277:7, 20297:1,  20350:22  <b>Cadrains</b> [2] - 20301:20,  20341:24</p>				



<b>cards</b> [1] - 20245:13 <b>care</b> [1] - 20257:15 <b>careful</b> [2] - 20246:14, 20403:18 <b>caretaker</b> [2] - 20297:13, 20341:15 <b>caring</b> [1] - 20227:11 <b>carried</b> [2] - 20248:18, 20260:9 <b>carries</b> [3] - 20259:10, 20317:9, 20346:25 <b>carry</b> [7] - 20259:23, 20259:25, 20271:24, 20329:7, 20329:8, 20345:13, 20441:7 <b>carrying</b> [1] - 20346:19 <b>case</b> [77] - 20213:17, 20214:19, 20214:23, 20214:24, 20214:25, 20215:13, 20232:9, 20232:14, 20233:6, 20234:4, 20234:8, 20241:19, 20242:7, 20244:2, 20247:8, 20250:9, 20257:14, 20257:16, 20260:15, 20261:13, 20262:9, 20262:24, 20264:20, 20265:19, 20269:20, 20269:21, 20270:2, 20270:8, 20285:4, 20290:13, 20293:5, 20303:2, 20304:24, 20308:1, 20321:13, 20321:18, 20321:22, 20322:1, 20322:2, 20323:7, 20325:13, 20326:14, 20328:4, 20334:1, 20334:10, 20338:2, 20350:18, 20351:16, 20353:22, 20357:5, 20358:9, 20361:13, 20361:18, 20361:24, 20370:8, 20377:9, 20378:11, 20378:13, 20384:15, 20384:17, 20385:22, 20386:3, 20387:4, 20390:6, 20394:21, 20394:25, 20395:6, 20396:21, 20396:23, 20405:14, 20412:4, 20412:5, 20415:22, 20433:3, 20433:7, 20444:10, 20451:15 <b>Cases</b> [1] - 20376:20 <b>cases</b> [6] - 20269:12, 20321:12, 20322:9, 20322:13, 20376:3, 20387:15	<b>casual</b> [1] - 20407:7 <b>catch</b> [2] - 20263:12, 20319:24 <b>categorize</b> [1] - 20218:14 <b>category</b> [1] - 20363:13 <b>Catherine</b> [1] - 20210:5 <b>caught</b> [2] - 20369:23, 20416:21 <b>caused</b> [8] - 20272:22, 20278:18, 20280:1, 20310:6, 20322:1, 20322:20, 20369:6, 20397:2 <b>causes</b> [2] - 20324:5, 20360:18 <b>cautions</b> [1] - 20377:1 <b>Cavalier</b> [1] - 20208:16 <b>Celine</b> [2] - 20342:4 <b>cell</b> [1] - 20440:23 <b>center</b> [2] - 20295:21, 20295:22 <b>centre</b> [2] - 20410:24, 20413:16 <b>Centurion</b> [14] - 20229:18, 20230:11, 20368:22, 20371:5, 20371:8, 20381:10, 20381:14, 20442:5, 20443:16, 20443:17, 20443:19, 20444:5, 20451:5, 20457:22 <b>certain</b> [11] - 20240:9, 20266:10, 20270:9, 20273:19, 20390:11, 20392:10, 20400:10, 20400:25, 20413:4, 20423:12, 20425:8 <b>certainly</b> [36] - 20213:6, 20217:2, 20228:5, 20239:15, 20241:3, 20241:23, 20241:25, 20254:20, 20260:20, 20261:3, 20262:17, 20268:10, 20284:11, 20306:24, 20310:16, 20318:13, 20323:4, 20325:18, 20328:24, 20332:22, 20346:25, 20353:24, 20354:2, 20363:20, 20364:23, 20381:16, 20391:13, 20391:14, 20392:9, 20394:16, 20398:25, 20406:15, 20437:24, 20442:15, 20443:3, 20446:10 <b>Certainly</b> [1] - 20306:23 <b>Certificates</b> [1] - 20460:1	<b>certify</b> [1] - 20460:4 <b>challenge</b> [3] - 20216:4, 20244:3, 20376:13 <b>chance</b> [9] - 20247:16, 20250:4, 20268:15, 20284:3, 20290:19, 20378:25, 20445:11, 20445:12, 20447:23 <b>chances</b> [1] - 20447:16 <b>change</b> [7] - 20315:3, 20318:3, 20337:12, 20383:4, 20383:5, 20385:3, 20386:6 <b>changed</b> [4] - 20311:14, 20323:4, 20397:7, 20437:6 <b>changes</b> [1] - 20311:19 <b>changing</b> [1] - 20397:8 <b>chaos</b> [1] - 20246:21 <b>charge</b> [9] - 20316:18, 20395:3, 20395:10, 20395:15, 20395:23, 20398:16, 20407:23, 20437:17, 20438:1 <b>charged</b> [1] - 20370:2 <b>charges</b> [3] - 20215:8, 20394:24, 20438:10 <b>check</b> [8] - 20288:9, 20288:10, 20338:18, 20427:24, 20428:24, 20435:9 <b>checked</b> [1] - 20417:20 <b>Cheryl</b> [1] - 20209:5 <b>chief</b> [1] - 20457:15 <b>child</b> [13] - 20215:25, 20216:16, 20217:23, 20218:8, 20274:21, 20278:14, 20282:21, 20282:24, 20286:24, 20350:12, 20389:19, 20402:18, 20454:2 <b>children</b> [6] - 20215:4, 20215:14, 20215:22, 20216:2, 20389:15, 20389:18 <b>choice</b> [1] - 20249:14 <b>choose</b> [1] - 20269:25 <b>Church</b> [3] - 20296:25, 20297:3, 20297:14 <b>church</b> [4] - 20297:16, 20297:25, 20341:16 <b>circling</b> [1] - 20364:9 <b>circumstance</b> [4] - 20217:17, 20227:21, 20227:23, 20315:4 <b>circumstances</b> [11] - 20233:5, 20308:3, 20323:13, 20338:2, 20345:21, 20353:10, 20364:25, 20374:1,	20428:17, 20438:10, 20447:9 <b>circumstantial</b> [1] - 20307:21 <b>circumvent</b> [1] - 20305:8 <b>City</b> [4] - 20223:24, 20430:15, 20455:11, 20455:16 <b>city</b> [6] - 20305:7, 20316:7, 20372:20, 20391:5, 20391:11, 20442:7 <b>civil</b> [1] - 20335:13 <b>claim</b> [3] - 20367:2, 20367:7, 20411:9 <b>claimed</b> [4] - 20278:23, 20285:14, 20304:21, 20340:12 <b>claiming</b> [1] - 20285:2 <b>claims</b> [5] - 20301:12, 20356:20, 20376:1, 20376:22, 20377:3 <b>clarify</b> [4] - 20236:17, 20369:15, 20402:23, 20438:4 <b>clarity</b> [1] - 20289:10 <b>cleanest</b> [1] - 20214:1 <b>cleansing</b> [1] - 20261:1 <b>clear</b> [8] - 20226:1, 20297:18, 20308:19, 20380:24, 20387:8, 20409:7, 20419:5, 20435:17 <b>clearly</b> [3] - 20243:19, 20269:17, 20369:2 <b>Clerk</b> [1] - 20209:9 <b>client</b> [3] - 20255:18, 20255:20, 20255:25 <b>Cliff</b> [2] - 20220:3, 20312:6 <b>clippings</b> [1] - 20248:12 <b>close</b> [5] - 20287:9, 20288:4, 20290:16, 20394:15, 20421:9 <b>closed</b> [1] - 20394:25 <b>closely</b> [1] - 20396:11 <b>closeness</b> [4] - 20406:4, 20406:11, 20406:13 <b>closer</b> [1] - 20290:20 <b>closing</b> [1] - 20293:10 <b>clothes</b> [3] - 20401:15, 20405:2, 20405:3 <b>clumsily</b> [1] - 20272:12 <b>co</b> [1] - 20269:21 <b>co-counsel</b> [1] - 20269:21 <b>coat</b> [6] - 20223:4,	20223:6, 20302:3, 20302:6, 20302:7, 20303:11 <b>Code</b> [1] - 20336:12 <b>codified</b> [1] - 20336:19 <b>coincidence</b> [3] - 20214:21, 20248:3, 20250:13 <b>coincidental</b> [6] - 20238:24, 20248:3, 20249:6, 20249:12, 20249:21, 20364:12 <b>coincidentally</b> [1] - 20268:10 <b>colleagues</b> [2] - 20359:15, 20365:9 <b>collectively</b> [3] - 20334:19, 20379:25, 20437:25 <b>colour</b> [1] - 20238:12 <b>Columbia</b> [2] - 20233:25, 20234:2 <b>comfort</b> [1] - 20346:16 <b>Coming</b> [1] - 20412:12 <b>coming</b> [7] - 20230:14, 20272:15, 20334:20, 20348:22, 20371:13, 20397:9, 20401:7 <b>commence</b> [1] - 20269:3 <b>commenced</b> [3] - 20408:2, 20435:24, 20445:7 <b>commencing</b> [1] - 20424:17 <b>commend</b> [2] - 20227:12, 20289:15 <b>commendable</b> [2] - 20384:11, 20420:12 <b>commended</b> [2] - 20286:11, 20420:11 <b>comment</b> [12] - 20225:8, 20247:20, 20254:11, 20272:20, 20316:11, 20317:3, 20370:5, 20410:10, 20412:1, 20437:15, 20438:21, 20440:14 <b>comments</b> [4] - 20232:4, 20252:16, 20273:4, 20316:13 <b>Commission</b> [14] - 20208:2, 20208:14, 20209:1, 20209:2, 20209:9, 20253:18, 20269:23, 20337:25, 20349:22, 20359:7, 20372:6, 20376:21, 20424:19, 20442:8 <b>Commissioner</b> [58] -
---	--	---	---	--



20212:3, 20250:20, 20250:24, 20251:4, 20251:8, 20252:15, 20252:18, 20253:7, 20253:14, 20253:22, 20254:8, 20255:11, 20255:15, 20256:4, 20256:8, 20256:18, 20257:23, 20258:25, 20259:3, 20259:6, 20259:21, 20259:22, 20260:1, 20261:16, 20261:20, 20268:19, 20268:22, 20268:24, 20269:2, 20269:14, 20271:3, 20271:17, 20276:21, 20276:24, 20277:5, 20277:7, 20277:10, 20277:12, 20280:7, 20280:16, 20280:20, 20292:9, 20336:5, 20347:22, 20348:5, 20349:13, 20349:18, 20353:4, 20356:18, 20366:5, 20381:12, 20382:12, 20404:18, 20406:22, 20448:24, 20449:5, 20458:15, 20459:11 <b>commit</b> [2] - 20396:13, 20397:4 <b>committed</b> [10] - 20236:24, 20249:16, 20282:25, 20289:1, 20294:3, 20398:5, 20403:2, 20404:1, 20437:2, 20447:12 <b>committing</b> [4] - 20227:20, 20397:19, 20397:20, 20403:19 <b>common</b> [9] - 20268:6, 20268:17, 20294:20, 20311:12, 20322:11, 20325:10, 20334:21, 20375:21, 20455:14 <b>communicate</b> [1] - 20445:23 <b>communicated</b> [1] - 20418:8 <b>communicating</b> [1] - 20444:4 <b>communication</b> [14] - 20252:24, 20255:17, 20256:6, 20307:2, 20336:2, 20415:16, 20438:18, 20438:23, 20439:5, 20439:12, 20444:13, 20444:15, 20444:23, 20448:11 <b>communications</b> [2] -	20420:23, 20439:7 <b>company</b> [1] - 20284:23 <b>compared</b> [1] - 20433:3 <b>comparing</b> [1] - 20232:21 <b>comparison</b> [3] - 20226:9, 20330:15, 20345:25 <b>compelling</b> [1] - 20241:21 <b>competently</b> [1] - 20232:13 <b>competing</b> [1] - 20397:17 <b>complainant</b> [1] - 20263:12 <b>complaint</b> [4] - 20263:10, 20324:24, 20405:8 <b>complaints</b> [1] - 20381:24 <b>complete</b> [6] - 20214:3, 20228:14, 20246:11, 20256:2, 20266:7, 20355:3 <b>completed</b> [3] - 20296:9, 20299:16, 20317:1 <b>completely</b> [2] - 20347:15, 20363:17 <b>completeness</b> [1] - 20372:19 <b>complex</b> [1] - 20456:14 <b>complicated</b> [2] - 20292:12, 20420:8 <b>complications</b> [2] - 20438:22, 20439:19 <b>component</b> [1] - 20338:14 <b>compress</b> [1] - 20291:17 <b>conceded</b> [8] - 20238:24, 20248:2, 20249:5, 20249:11, 20249:16, 20249:20, 20250:1, 20364:11 <b>conceive</b> [1] - 20298:22 <b>conceived</b> [1] - 20454:2 <b>concept</b> [1] - 20215:13 <b>concern</b> [17] - 20212:12, 20220:14, 20221:25, 20248:1, 20267:3, 20269:10, 20278:19, 20280:2, 20285:18, 20358:2, 20358:3, 20358:7, 20359:1, 20432:8, 20448:21, 20449:12 <b>concerned</b> [11] - 20221:15, 20257:7,	20259:18, 20266:24, 20270:4, 20271:14, 20334:25, 20357:12, 20358:1, 20392:19, 20433:4 <b>concerning</b> [3] - 20237:16, 20416:1, 20423:13 <b>concerns</b> [8] - 20236:22, 20246:24, 20260:19, 20270:16, 20361:8, 20377:1, 20379:18, 20451:23 <b>concluded</b> [6] - 20233:4, 20255:1, 20310:18, 20357:4, 20367:15, 20445:8 <b>concluding</b> [2] - 20281:20, 20434:11 <b>conclusion</b> [13] - 20223:9, 20245:4, 20288:21, 20306:4, 20307:25, 20309:17, 20315:17, 20319:22, 20337:10, 20367:13, 20367:16, 20367:21, 20447:13 <b>conclusions</b> [4] - 20325:6, 20366:20, 20366:25, 20367:14 <b>conclusively</b> [2] - 20303:5, 20387:16 <b>concrete</b> [1] - 20448:3 <b>conduct</b> [4] - 20362:22, 20393:12, 20401:11, 20401:12 <b>conducted</b> [8] - 20344:3, 20410:8, 20413:12, 20415:19, 20417:3, 20417:13, 20418:2, 20419:6 <b>confess</b> [1] - 20399:14 <b>confessed</b> [1] - 20248:19 <b>confesses</b> [1] - 20339:15 <b>confession</b> [4] - 20278:23, 20340:24, 20340:25, 20341:2 <b>confidence</b> [6] - 20232:10, 20319:14, 20346:24, 20385:12, 20401:1 <b>confined</b> [2] - 20216:19, 20216:23 <b>confining</b> [1] - 20366:21 <b>confirm</b> [6] - 20221:6, 20227:16, 20407:3, 20407:6, 20423:8,	20426:25 <b>confirmation</b> [1] - 20423:4 <b>confirmed</b> [1] - 20424:10 <b>conflicting</b> [1] - 20292:18 <b>confused</b> [1] - 20429:20 <b>confusing</b> [1] - 20430:8 <b>confusion</b> [1] - 20308:18 <b>Congram</b> [1] - 20209:3 <b>connect</b> [2] - 20238:22, 20298:7 <b>connecting</b> [1] - 20398:8 <b>connection</b> [4] - 20438:15, 20454:11, 20455:2, 20455:24 <b>consensus</b> [2] - 20269:5, 20334:20 <b>consented</b> [1] - 20343:3 <b>Consequently</b> [1] - 20306:6 <b>consider</b> [15] - 20218:16, 20219:19, 20233:14, 20240:11, 20258:5, 20259:10, 20358:4, 20392:9, 20394:19, 20394:25, 20395:14, 20440:13, 20449:20 <b>considerable</b> [13] - 20233:10, 20233:17, 20233:22, 20241:4, 20272:5, 20289:24, 20296:11, 20305:6, 20408:1, 20410:5, 20410:9, 20419:13, 20420:2 <b>considerably</b> [3] - 20291:13, 20293:9, 20300:15 <b>consideration</b> [1] - 20288:23 <b>considerations</b> [1] - 20445:24 <b>considered</b> [17] - 20240:3, 20271:9, 20290:7, 20313:2, 20331:3, 20338:6, 20338:8, 20338:9, 20357:14, 20357:19, 20357:23, 20358:21, 20437:24, 20437:25, 20440:13, 20440:17, 20448:19 <b>considering</b> [2] -	20261:7, 20261:8 <b>consisted</b> [2] - 20362:20, 20425:15 <b>consistency</b> [1] - 20270:7 <b>consistent</b> [5] - 20222:18, 20223:6, 20232:15, 20269:10, 20304:9 <b>consisting</b> [1] - 20382:21 <b>consoled</b> [2] - 20319:3, 20319:7 <b>constable</b> [1] - 20288:9 <b>constables</b> [1] - 20273:14 <b>constitutionally</b> [1] - 20271:19 <b>construction</b> [1] - 20304:12 <b>consult</b> [1] - 20359:15 <b>consulted</b> [2] - 20365:15, 20438:8 <b>consulting</b> [1] - 20282:13 <b>contact</b> [9] - 20285:25, 20342:6, 20343:23, 20401:13, 20420:6, 20426:6, 20448:18, 20452:12, 20453:22 <b>contacted</b> [7] - 20283:22, 20285:1, 20407:13, 20408:11, 20409:19, 20411:1, 20420:5 <b>contacts</b> [1] - 20453:10 <b>contain</b> [2] - 20356:7, 20460:5 <b>contained</b> [1] - 20304:24 <b>contends</b> [1] - 20423:22 <b>content</b> [2] - 20213:1, 20246:4 <b>continue</b> [3] - 20225:21, 20232:10, 20295:16 <b>continued</b> [1] - 20212:5 <b>Continued</b> [1] - 20211:3 <b>Continuing</b> [1] - 20369:23 <b>contradict</b> [2] - 20252:4, 20447:18 <b>contradicted</b> [1] - 20306:3 <b>contrary</b> [3] - 20347:15, 20363:17, 20367:19 <b>contribute</b> [1] - 20263:1 <b>controlling</b> [2] - 20219:3, 20408:20
--	--	---	---	---



<b>controls</b> [1] - 20332:16 <b>controversial</b> [1] - 20360:10 <b>conversant</b> [1] - 20244:2 <b>conversation</b> [20] - 20213:24, 20214:14, 20355:25, 20356:1, 20356:12, 20361:4, 20361:20, 20414:21, 20417:10, 20417:11, 20423:9, 20425:23, 20426:2, 20427:18, 20430:2, 20431:7, 20431:9, 20440:6, 20440:7, 20440:11 <b>conversations</b> [5] - 20351:10, 20407:7, 20420:25, 20422:12, 20433:6 <b>convert</b> [2] - 20240:1, 20330:11 <b>converted</b> [1] - 20333:16 <b>converting</b> [1] - 20228:16 <b>converts</b> [1] - 20240:4 <b>conveyed</b> [5] - 20212:12, 20219:4, 20237:24, 20336:23, 20367:8 <b>convicted</b> [19] - 20240:25, 20246:5, 20246:8, 20246:9, 20319:4, 20322:4, 20322:6, 20323:22, 20328:17, 20346:13, 20369:18, 20370:2, 20383:20, 20396:9, 20396:20, 20396:24, 20423:23, 20435:18 <b>Conviction</b> [1] - 20208:4 <b>conviction</b> [35] - 20242:18, 20245:10, 20245:20, 20250:5, 20321:20, 20321:23, 20322:10, 20322:20, 20323:19, 20324:12, 20324:13, 20324:25, 20327:3, 20327:13, 20327:18, 20327:22, 20332:13, 20376:2, 20376:22, 20383:12, 20384:3, 20385:15, 20385:19, 20386:3, 20395:11, 20395:17, 20396:1, 20396:25, 20400:9, 20411:10, 20411:24, 20412:4,	20413:14, 20436:11, 20438:6 <b>convictions</b> [11] - 20228:15, 20245:22, 20321:10, 20324:9, 20326:9, 20382:23, 20394:25, 20396:12, 20397:11, 20435:10, 20435:12 <b>convince</b> [1] - 20243:24 <b>convincing</b> [1] - 20241:21 <b>cooperate</b> [1] - 20342:18 <b>copies</b> [1] - 20418:23 <b>copy</b> [4] - 20250:16, 20372:21, 20419:1, 20422:2 <b>Copy</b> [1] - 20422:9 <b>Corbett</b> [7] - 20251:13, 20251:15, 20251:19, 20252:14, 20255:23, 20258:20, 20270:19 <b>corner</b> [5] - 20294:15, 20294:17, 20305:13, 20305:21, 20310:10 <b>cornered</b> [1] - 20344:22 <b>Corporal</b> [1] - 20454:7 <b>Correct</b> [2] - 20238:7, 20278:25 <b>correct</b> [47] - 20214:20, 20218:22, 20220:17, 20229:23, 20239:9, 20241:2, 20245:2, 20245:23, 20307:10, 20309:10, 20321:20, 20326:5, 20329:6, 20330:3, 20334:5, 20341:6, 20353:1, 20373:2, 20388:17, 20390:19, 20391:1, 20391:9, 20393:2, 20393:14, 20397:23, 20399:16, 20399:25, 20403:5, 20407:10, 20407:11, 20408:4, 20408:13, 20409:12, 20410:12, 20417:14, 20418:5, 20426:20, 20435:13, 20435:20, 20435:22, 20446:17, 20451:21, 20455:21, 20455:25, 20456:4, 20458:9, 20460:5 <b>corrected</b> [1] - 20254:14 <b>correctly</b> [2] - 20225:1, 20266:2 <b>correspondence</b> [2] - 20374:14, 20415:6	<b>Correspondence</b> [1] - 20421:25 <b>corroborate</b> [2] - 20306:2, 20348:9 <b>Cotler</b> [1] - 20210:14 <b>counsel</b> [19] - 20233:10, 20233:17, 20236:8, 20251:16, 20255:16, 20255:24, 20255:25, 20269:21, 20271:15, 20283:22, 20290:19, 20290:23, 20379:25, 20380:10, 20381:9, 20386:14, 20420:11, 20424:6, 20434:19 <b>Counsel</b> [8] - 20209:2, 20212:4, 20253:18, 20269:23, 20337:25, 20349:22, 20359:7, 20424:20 <b>couple</b> [12] - 20252:16, 20268:13, 20283:23, 20349:5, 20372:10, 20388:18, 20391:4, 20397:17, 20408:7, 20434:20, 20434:23, 20457:2 <b>courier</b> [1] - 20425:7 <b>course</b> [20] - 20215:25, 20243:16, 20249:2, 20253:16, 20256:9, 20271:5, 20271:18, 20314:24, 20315:5, 20317:18, 20317:23, 20324:11, 20325:4, 20360:3, 20397:16, 20408:15, 20420:20, 20425:1, 20436:11, 20451:20 <b>courses</b> [1] - 20389:2 <b>Court</b> [28] - 20209:10, 20222:2, 20225:14, 20233:25, 20234:1, 20234:2, 20260:5, 20262:21, 20264:17, 20284:19, 20289:17, 20289:20, 20290:20, 20291:14, 20307:8, 20319:24, 20319:25, 20322:25, 20323:16, 20326:1, 20332:1, 20332:6, 20360:13, 20395:16, 20460:1, 20460:3, 20460:14, 20460:20 <b>court</b> [1] - 20370:20 <b>courtroom</b> [1] - 20385:20 <b>cover</b> [4] - 20229:19,	20335:14, 20457:23, 20458:8 <b>coverage</b> [3] - 20235:4, 20261:14, 20262:17 <b>covered</b> [2] - 20222:13, 20420:1 <b>covering</b> [1] - 20433:16 <b>covert</b> [1] - 20439:20 <b>crack</b> [2] - 20332:18, 20376:12 <b>created</b> [3] - 20272:23, 20399:1, 20441:22 <b>creating</b> [1] - 20311:6 <b>creation</b> [1] - 20382:10 <b>creative</b> [1] - 20441:7 <b>credibility</b> [8] - 20220:6, 20224:23, 20227:19, 20244:24, 20273:23, 20286:25, 20288:12, 20453:19 <b>credible</b> [3] - 20218:20, 20218:22, 20306:7 <b>credit</b> [1] - 20385:13 <b>crime</b> [16] - 20226:24, 20239:5, 20241:1, 20283:1, 20289:7, 20292:22, 20300:10, 20305:2, 20306:8, 20364:20, 20365:18, 20425:12, 20448:7, 20448:13, 20453:3, 20455:10 <b>crime'</b> [2] - 20331:7, 20331:10 <b>crimes</b> [6] - 20229:1, 20236:25, 20353:16, 20406:6, 20437:2, 20447:12 <b>Criminal</b> [2] - 20336:12, 20376:20 <b>criminal</b> [15] - 20228:6, 20232:16, 20233:11, 20233:18, 20233:22, 20238:19, 20316:18, 20362:22, 20394:23, 20398:22, 20398:25, 20435:9, 20436:6, 20436:11, 20436:14 <b>criteria</b> [2] - 20337:5, 20337:13 <b>critical</b> [3] - 20310:2, 20333:23, 20346:9 <b>criticism</b> [2] - 20234:12, 20240:20 <b>criticizing</b> [1] - 20343:12 <b>cross</b> [1] - 20259:11 <b>cross-examination</b> [1] - 20259:11 <b>crossed</b> [1] - 20440:15	<b>crossing</b> [2] - 20295:2, 20295:5 <b>Crown</b> [10] - 20245:25, 20251:16, 20293:11, 20293:23, 20294:3, 20294:7, 20295:9, 20295:23, 20298:9, 20303:2 <b>Crown's</b> [3] - 20293:14, 20300:9, 20308:1 <b>crucial</b> [2] - 20223:19, 20237:13 <b>Csr</b> [8] - 20209:10, 20209:11, 20460:2, 20460:12, 20460:13, 20460:18, 20460:19 <b>culminated</b> [1] - 20317:2 <b>culprit</b> [1] - 20369:23 <b>culprit's</b> [1] - 20280:23 <b>cultural</b> [1] - 20385:25 <b>culture</b> [1] - 20385:19 <b>curb</b> [2] - 20299:17, 20300:17 <b>curtailed</b> [1] - 20214:18 <b>cut</b> [2] - 20312:25, 20313:1
<b>D</b>				
<b>daily</b> [1] - 20402:17 <b>damaging</b> [1] - 20300:12 <b>damming</b> [1] - 20302:21 <b>Danchuks</b> [1] - 20301:20 <b>dangerous</b> [1] - 20376:10 <b>dark</b> [2] - 20307:11, 20428:24 <b>data</b> [1] - 20436:13 <b>database</b> [1] - 20254:9 <b>date</b> [12] - 20231:6, 20252:10, 20348:15, 20381:12, 20413:5, 20416:25, 20422:20, 20428:1, 20429:14, 20430:6, 20431:20, 20431:21 <b>dated</b> [10] - 20221:10, 20235:15, 20243:10, 20265:1, 20414:12, 20415:14, 20415:17, 20416:8, 20417:1, 20422:20 <b>dates</b> [1] - 20264:22 <b>David</b> [66] - 20208:4, 20210:2, 20210:13,				



<p>20224:21, 20227:18, 20243:19, 20247:12, 20247:17, 20248:22, 20249:2, 20251:21, 20257:13, 20267:1, 20272:4, 20272:16, 20274:9, 20278:23, 20279:7, 20279:11, 20279:20, 20280:13, 20281:24, 20282:14, 20283:17, 20284:3, 20284:10, 20285:2, 20285:5, 20285:14, 20287:9, 20287:18, 20288:3, 20289:13, 20292:16, 20296:24, 20302:5, 20316:5, 20319:4, 20328:15, 20333:6, 20334:1, 20334:13, 20337:2, 20342:14, 20345:8, 20363:21, 20367:3, 20369:7, 20370:13, 20377:17, 20386:22, 20387:7, 20390:11, 20393:1, 20394:9, 20397:18, 20399:23, 20401:14, 20407:3, 20410:25, 20414:15, 20423:13, 20423:20, 20427:10, 20444:18, 20451:15</p> <p><b>David's</b> [6] - 20243:13, 20272:10, 20283:7, 20334:1, 20368:5, 20377:11</p> <p><b>days</b> [10] - 20224:24, 20361:4, 20378:4, 20397:16, 20414:7, 20414:21, 20417:12, 20422:17, 20434:25, 20445:21</p> <p><b>dead</b> [1] - 20368:20</p> <p><b>deal</b> [8] - 20220:6, 20224:23, 20237:10, 20248:4, 20256:12, 20322:2, 20383:1, 20441:12</p> <p><b>dealing</b> [5] - 20320:18, 20336:10, 20389:17, 20391:4, 20451:24</p> <p><b>dealings</b> [5] - 20342:2, 20379:17, 20444:23, 20452:16, 20453:8</p> <p><b>deals</b> [3] - 20229:21, 20237:12, 20256:15</p> <p><b>dealt</b> [9] - 20237:14, 20254:20, 20280:2, 20306:24, 20336:20, 20364:13, 20379:23,</p>	<p>20395:16, 20452:20</p> <p><b>death</b> [7] - 20238:3, 20393:13, 20398:12, 20399:14, 20403:4, 20454:23, 20455:3</p> <p><b>debate</b> [3] - 20334:23, 20350:12, 20384:6</p> <p><b>debated</b> [2] - 20243:23, 20268:3</p> <p><b>deceased</b> [1] - 20248:25</p> <p><b>December</b> [5] - 20229:25, 20235:16, 20265:2, 20365:10, 20458:6</p> <p><b>decide</b> [1] - 20395:24</p> <p><b>decided</b> [3] - 20319:19, 20359:21, 20373:13</p> <p><b>decision</b> [27] - 20230:13, 20230:20, 20230:23, 20231:3, 20231:14, 20231:18, 20240:23, 20243:17, 20244:8, 20245:1, 20251:19, 20258:11, 20264:6, 20270:10, 20296:13, 20319:13, 20328:20, 20334:7, 20359:11, 20359:19, 20361:5, 20366:12, 20370:12, 20373:22, 20384:13, 20395:23, 20457:20</p> <p><b>decision-making</b> [6] - 20231:3, 20258:11, 20270:10, 20328:20, 20366:12, 20384:13</p> <p><b>decisions</b> [2] - 20234:9, 20332:14</p> <p><b>declare</b> [1] - 20370:13</p> <p><b>defeat</b> [1] - 20302:1</p> <p><b>defence</b> [2] - 20303:4, 20384:1</p> <p><b>defenders</b> [1] - 20335:5</p> <p><b>defined</b> [1] - 20255:24</p> <p><b>definitely</b> [2] - 20239:19, 20288:2</p> <p><b>degree</b> [8] - 20214:10, 20273:23, 20286:12, 20397:7, 20400:14, 20400:25, 20433:3, 20453:4</p> <p><b>degrees</b> [1] - 20272:3</p> <p><b>delays</b> [1] - 20433:25</p> <p><b>deliberations</b> [1] - 20237:2</p> <p><b>delve</b> [1] - 20441:12</p> <p><b>demonstrate</b> [2] - 20387:16, 20420:16</p> <p><b>denials</b> [1] - 20357:16</p>	<p><b>Denny</b> [1] - 20409:20</p> <p><b>denying</b> [1] - 20385:1</p> <p><b>departing</b> [1] - 20312:1</p> <p><b>Department</b> [15] - 20232:6, 20232:11, 20235:4, 20256:24, 20257:9, 20272:25, 20325:12, 20336:11, 20346:7, 20368:14, 20371:15, 20407:14, 20408:19, 20411:8, 20415:8</p> <p><b>department</b> [6] - 20383:15, 20384:21, 20385:11, 20385:24, 20386:2, 20386:5</p> <p><b>departmental</b> [1] - 20236:8</p> <p><b>depositing</b> [1] - 20301:15</p> <p><b>deposition</b> [5] - 20426:14, 20429:5, 20429:6, 20433:21, 20434:8</p> <p><b>depot</b> [1] - 20284:25</p> <p><b>Deputy</b> [2] - 20231:8, 20231:9</p> <p><b>describe</b> [2] - 20354:1, 20444:8</p> <p><b>described</b> [4] - 20299:23, 20300:1, 20362:23, 20403:20</p> <p><b>describes</b> [1] - 20263:13</p> <p><b>description</b> [5] - 20219:1, 20219:16, 20222:24, 20391:7, 20452:13</p> <p><b>Description</b> [1] - 20211:2</p> <p><b>descriptors</b> [1] - 20281:16</p> <p><b>desirable</b> [1] - 20381:16</p> <p><b>despite</b> [2] - 20321:2</p> <p><b>destroyed</b> [4] - 20339:4, 20339:9, 20436:21, 20437:4</p> <p><b>destruction</b> [1] - 20456:16</p> <p><b>detachment</b> [1] - 20411:3</p> <p><b>detachments</b> [1] - 20408:8</p> <p><b>detail</b> [9] - 20234:4, 20253:10, 20310:17, 20354:23, 20386:18, 20420:2, 20421:20, 20432:21, 20453:5</p> <p><b>detailed</b> [3] - 20353:12, 20356:2, 20356:6</p>	<p><b>details</b> [12] - 20228:15, 20345:21, 20355:9, 20355:10, 20355:25, 20361:20, 20380:21, 20381:7, 20381:11, 20425:14, 20444:16, 20456:16</p> <p><b>determination</b> [2] - 20332:5, 20442:21</p> <p><b>determine</b> [7] - 20244:24, 20270:23, 20273:22, 20330:20, 20332:1, 20428:25, 20430:14</p> <p><b>determined</b> [1] - 20255:2</p> <p><b>detract</b> [1] - 20307:18</p> <p><b>detrimentally</b> [1] - 20436:22</p> <p><b>devastating</b> [1] - 20245:22</p> <p><b>develop</b> [4] - 20219:22, 20289:2, 20395:6, 20440:7</p> <p><b>developed</b> [7] - 20228:23, 20260:10, 20288:18, 20288:19, 20310:16, 20344:25, 20378:18</p> <p><b>developing</b> [1] - 20408:9</p> <p><b>development</b> [3] - 20323:10, 20345:11, 20369:25</p> <p><b>developments</b> [1] - 20370:11</p> <p><b>dialogue</b> [3] - 20242:6, 20444:8, 20444:21</p> <p><b>dictates</b> [1] - 20310:9</p> <p><b>Diebold</b> [5] - 20297:13, 20297:14, 20298:5, 20309:1, 20309:23</p> <p><b>difference</b> [6] - 20217:22, 20228:11, 20228:18, 20241:7, 20269:22, 20345:15</p> <p><b>differences</b> [3] - 20285:25, 20286:3, 20286:5</p> <p><b>different</b> [25] - 20213:20, 20216:17, 20219:16, 20238:11, 20269:20, 20270:25, 20274:22, 20287:19, 20289:5, 20293:9, 20316:2, 20316:3, 20356:9, 20356:13, 20374:25, 20384:2, 20385:13, 20392:21, 20406:1, 20406:7,</p>	<p>20406:16, 20406:18, 20441:21, 20443:6, 20452:4</p> <p><b>differently</b> [8] - 20216:3, 20289:12, 20310:17, 20326:2, 20347:4, 20406:1, 20437:11, 20443:8</p> <p><b>difficult</b> [12] - 20216:13, 20229:16, 20241:24, 20243:16, 20275:6, 20298:22, 20341:10, 20344:17, 20345:3, 20345:10, 20440:1, 20441:2</p> <p><b>difficulties</b> [8] - 20281:12, 20286:9, 20420:17, 20439:16, 20439:25, 20440:25, 20441:23, 20453:16</p> <p><b>difficulty</b> [5] - 20310:6, 20360:18, 20393:4, 20444:19, 20453:20</p> <p><b>digits</b> [2] - 20349:13, 20349:16</p> <p><b>dilemma</b> [2] - 20217:13, 20376:17</p> <p><b>dipping</b> [1] - 20325:15</p> <p><b>direct</b> [14] - 20212:10, 20227:21, 20243:7, 20252:16, 20294:21, 20314:20, 20315:14, 20342:6, 20393:11, 20398:7, 20399:12, 20399:17, 20410:24</p> <p><b>directed</b> [3] - 20414:13, 20416:8, 20422:21</p> <p><b>directing</b> [1] - 20380:25</p> <p><b>Directing</b> [1] - 20313:22</p> <p><b>direction</b> [9] - 20213:8, 20253:11, 20258:23, 20264:23, 20295:17, 20295:19, 20298:11, 20298:13, 20330:1</p> <p><b>directions</b> [2] - 20295:11, 20300:25</p> <p><b>directly</b> [8] - 20272:6, 20298:17, 20299:22, 20304:20, 20326:7, 20381:11, 20398:8, 20399:13</p> <p><b>Director</b> [1] - 20209:3</p> <p><b>dirty</b> [1] - 20285:8</p> <p><b>disagree</b> [2] - 20238:4, 20364:7</p> <p><b>disagreeing</b> [1] - 20356:4</p> <p><b>disappearing</b> [1] - 20301:6</p> <p><b>disappointed</b> [1] -</p>
--	---	---	---	--



<p>20311:19  <b>discarded</b> [1] - 20324:18  <b>discern</b> [1] - 20216:11  <b>discerning</b> [1] - 20217:20  <b>disclose</b> [2] - 20216:3, 20453:6  <b>disclosed</b> [7] - 20266:25, 20267:12, 20296:7, 20303:4, 20303:17, 20322:21, 20445:2  <b>disclosure</b> [9] - 20225:9, 20225:12, 20225:15, 20246:11, 20258:11, 20304:23, 20322:23, 20370:17, 20380:9  <b>disconcerting</b> [1] - 20258:12  <b>discount</b> [2] - 20449:19, 20453:15  <b>discouraged</b> [1] - 20371:1  <b>discovered</b> [2] - 20387:4, 20399:16  <b>discovery</b> [1] - 20396:7  <b>discredit</b> [1] - 20219:2  <b>discrediting</b> [1] - 20272:10  <b>discretion</b> [1] - 20271:8  <b>discuss</b> [6] - 20242:12, 20259:24, 20335:17, 20375:23, 20387:20, 20404:16  <b>discussed</b> [18] - 20326:18, 20352:25, 20353:10, 20353:14, 20353:16, 20354:25, 20359:5, 20359:7, 20361:15, 20374:18, 20389:25, 20407:9, 20426:13, 20428:17, 20428:20, 20428:22, 20433:2, 20433:10  <b>discussing</b> [2] - 20355:22, 20449:16  <b>Discussion</b> [1] - 20458:16  <b>discussion</b> [14] - 20234:18, 20241:4, 20252:20, 20253:1, 20253:19, 20256:15, 20308:16, 20341:23, 20356:8, 20356:23, 20361:7, 20415:25, 20446:6  <b>discussions</b> [4] - 20226:6, 20269:3,</p>	<p>20389:4, 20444:7  <b>dismiss</b> [1] - 20358:10  <b>dismissal</b> [1] - 20386:16  <b>dismissed</b> [2] - 20358:16, 20381:8  <b>dismissing</b> [2] - 20366:2, 20367:20  <b>disposed</b> [1] - 20437:4  <b>disposition</b> [3] - 20361:13, 20361:17, 20361:24  <b>dispute</b> [1] - 20458:11  <b>distance</b> [2] - 20316:7, 20421:14  <b>distinct</b> [1] - 20368:17  <b>distributed</b> [2] - 20229:24, 20230:6  <b>district</b> [1] - 20280:10  <b>diverse</b> [1] - 20218:13  <b>divert</b> [1] - 20340:21  <b>Dna</b> [18] - 20323:10, 20323:13, 20345:4, 20386:20, 20386:21, 20387:1, 20387:5, 20387:7, 20387:11, 20387:14, 20387:22, 20396:7, 20396:16, 20397:1, 20399:15, 20448:6, 20448:14  <b>doc</b> [1] - 20410:16  <b>document</b> [55] - 20252:17, 20252:19, 20253:1, 20253:4, 20253:9, 20253:20, 20253:25, 20254:2, 20254:6, 20254:11, 20254:16, 20254:17, 20254:25, 20255:6, 20255:9, 20255:17, 20255:22, 20256:14, 20256:24, 20259:3, 20259:13, 20264:21, 20269:18, 20269:22, 20271:7, 20316:11, 20347:23, 20348:2, 20356:19, 20356:20, 20356:22, 20374:25, 20380:2, 20380:19, 20415:12, 20415:15, 20416:5, 20416:7, 20416:11, 20417:1, 20418:10, 20422:18, 20422:19, 20422:23, 20422:24, 20450:7, 20450:15, 20450:25, 20454:8, 20454:9, 20454:10, 20454:16, 20456:2, 20457:18  <b>Document</b> [2] -</p>	<p>20209:4, 20209:5  <b>documentaries</b> [1] - 20283:11  <b>documentation</b> [1] - 20348:14  <b>documented</b> [10] - 20279:17, 20281:17, 20283:5, 20287:14, 20288:22, 20359:3, 20361:21, 20393:22, 20393:24, 20444:1  <b>documents</b> [12] - 20258:4, 20279:18, 20347:24, 20374:8, 20413:24, 20413:25, 20414:2, 20414:10, 20416:4, 20456:22, 20458:5, 20459:2  <b>Don</b> [1] - 20209:11  <b>Donald</b> [2] - 20460:2, 20460:19  <b>done</b> [38] - 20221:4, 20231:19, 20232:19, 20239:17, 20240:20, 20241:11, 20242:1, 20244:5, 20247:1, 20257:11, 20298:11, 20317:8, 20318:14, 20328:5, 20328:13, 20336:14, 20338:17, 20340:2, 20341:14, 20346:19, 20369:12, 20369:16, 20376:19, 20377:19, 20377:20, 20384:18, 20387:11, 20420:19, 20421:11, 20421:21, 20423:3, 20426:16, 20426:18, 20439:22, 20448:4, 20448:8, 20448:12, 20454:7  <b>doomed</b> [1] - 20344:14  <b>door</b> [14] - 20246:15, 20275:23, 20275:24, 20287:9, 20287:18, 20288:4, 20295:1, 20298:17, 20303:22, 20304:2, 20308:23, 20313:1, 20332:19, 20376:12  <b>doorstep</b> [1] - 20401:20  <b>doubt</b> [11] - 20244:1, 20274:23, 20316:25, 20319:20, 20319:23, 20325:2, 20326:7, 20326:13, 20363:23, 20369:7, 20399:2  <b>doubts</b> [3] - 20325:22, 20325:23, 20327:2  <b>Douglas</b> [1] - 20209:2</p>	<p><b>down</b> [42] - 20216:15, 20216:16, 20222:15, 20225:22, 20229:7, 20229:10, 20231:25, 20235:11, 20242:11, 20242:22, 20243:12, 20249:13, 20249:18, 20251:15, 20263:14, 20293:20, 20293:24, 20302:6, 20310:8, 20312:7, 20312:14, 20312:17, 20312:20, 20313:9, 20314:1, 20314:4, 20314:19, 20316:20, 20340:17, 20351:12, 20359:22, 20360:7, 20362:2, 20388:3, 20390:22, 20390:24, 20392:17, 20417:9, 20418:18, 20436:9, 20444:19, 20458:21  <b>downside</b> [5] - 20359:8, 20359:24, 20379:6, 20385:4, 20437:8  <b>downtown</b> [1] - 20299:4  <b>dozen</b> [4] - 20235:23, 20236:2, 20236:4, 20236:9  <b>Dozenko</b> [2] - 20278:20, 20409:19  <b>drag</b> [2] - 20314:20, 20405:16  <b>dragged</b> [2] - 20301:4, 20313:12  <b>dragging</b> [1] - 20313:21  <b>dramatically</b> [1] - 20406:16  <b>draw</b> [8] - 20220:16, 20242:19, 20264:20, 20264:23, 20269:14, 20273:2, 20301:1, 20337:10  <b>drawing</b> [1] - 20212:7  <b>drawn</b> [1] - 20252:1  <b>dress</b> [2] - 20302:3, 20302:6  <b>dressed</b> [1] - 20303:10  <b>drive</b> [1] - 20391:21  <b>driven</b> [1] - 20312:9  <b>driving</b> [6] - 20308:11, 20312:14, 20315:21, 20389:22, 20392:2, 20392:17  <b>drop</b> [1] - 20335:10  <b>drove</b> [5] - 20301:19, 20305:21, 20313:9, 20315:13, 20390:22  <b>dumped</b> [1] - 20248:21</p>	<p><b>During</b> [2] - 20232:3, 20262:21  <b>during</b> [26] - 20212:10, 20216:2, 20221:22, 20223:18, 20239:1, 20248:7, 20269:4, 20272:3, 20333:24, 20339:24, 20357:16, 20364:16, 20408:15, 20416:2, 20421:8, 20434:25, 20436:19, 20436:21, 20437:5, 20437:9, 20443:17, 20448:2, 20451:20, 20453:22, 20454:2, 20456:3  <b>dwelt</b> [2] - 20212:17, 20229:4</p>
<b>E</b>				
<p><b>Eamon</b> [1] - 20210:12  <b>Earl</b> [1] - 20237:17  <b>early</b> [7] - 20255:10, 20268:14, 20340:13, 20378:4, 20379:16, 20390:2, 20423:6  <b>earnest</b> [1] - 20408:12  <b>easily</b> [2] - 20218:3, 20318:6  <b>east</b> [4] - 20297:18, 20298:19, 20301:5, 20302:18  <b>east-west</b> [3] - 20297:18, 20301:5, 20302:18  <b>easy</b> [2] - 20314:10, 20441:13  <b>Eddie</b> [3] - 20210:9, 20388:11, 20393:12  <b>educated</b> [1] - 20388:23  <b>education</b> [1] - 20385:17  <b>Edward</b> [1] - 20208:7  <b>Ef</b> [1] - 20255:24  <b>effect</b> [8] - 20224:18, 20228:2, 20228:3, 20260:17, 20333:25, 20343:15, 20361:17, 20441:18  <b>effective</b> [1] - 20214:1  <b>effort</b> [9] - 20287:24, 20289:25, 20310:4, 20387:3, 20405:12, 20405:15, 20405:16, 20420:10  <b>efforts</b> [8] - 20318:12, 20362:21, 20368:4, 20368:22, 20377:10,</p>				



20386:14, 20386:15, 20386:21 <b>eight</b> [2] - 20381:5, 20405:10 <b>either</b> [11] - 20276:5, 20293:20, 20295:3, 20298:5, 20300:8, 20319:24, 20385:15, 20393:16, 20397:18, 20397:25, 20430:1 <b>elaborate</b> [2] - 20360:8, 20361:22 <b>element</b> [2] - 20279:24, 20280:1 <b>elevate</b> [2] - 20342:24, 20396:2 <b>elevating</b> [1] - 20334:6 <b>eliminated</b> [4] - 20263:24, 20331:24, 20332:25, 20363:19 <b>Ellerman</b> [1] - 20209:5 <b>Elson</b> [1] - 20210:7 <b>Elvis</b> [2] - 20232:24, 20251:23 <b>embarrassing</b> [1] - 20453:3 <b>embraced</b> [1] - 20383:5 <b>emergence</b> [1] - 20325:17 <b>eminent</b> [2] - 20233:10, 20233:17 <b>emotional</b> [1] - 20452:8 <b>emphasis</b> [1] - 20329:1 <b>employer</b> [1] - 20339:4 <b>employment</b> [2] - 20338:25, 20344:21 <b>enclosed</b> [1] - 20369:8 <b>encounter</b> [14] - 20292:23, 20293:1, 20293:5, 20294:5, 20296:5, 20296:20, 20296:22, 20297:4, 20299:1, 20299:14, 20299:21, 20300:19, 20300:24, 20301:23 <b>end</b> [18] - 20244:22, 20245:17, 20245:19, 20280:25, 20288:21, 20291:3, 20291:25, 20327:20, 20335:7, 20335:19, 20360:17, 20360:24, 20368:20, 20388:11, 20394:15, 20395:17, 20395:21, 20401:7 <b>ended</b> [2] - 20386:16, 20456:19 <b>endorse</b> [1] - 20318:13 <b>endorses</b> [1] - 20384:3 <b>Ends</b> [2] - 20211:7,	20306:10 <b>engaged</b> [1] - 20329:7 <b>enjoyed</b> [1] - 20378:2 <b>enlighten</b> [3] - 20285:23, 20291:1, 20318:8 <b>enroute</b> [1] - 20301:19 <b>ensure</b> [2] - 20324:8, 20332:16 <b>entered</b> [2] - 20410:15, 20435:12 <b>entering</b> [1] - 20299:18 <b>enthusiastic</b> [1] - 20224:20 <b>entire</b> [7] - 20235:21, 20238:20, 20244:8, 20244:16, 20272:4, 20384:23, 20445:1 <b>entirely</b> [1] - 20216:25 <b>entirety</b> [4] - 20362:9, 20363:8, 20372:2, 20372:12 <b>entitled</b> [1] - 20255:4 <b>entrance</b> [3] - 20300:7, 20308:23, 20309:23 <b>entry</b> [4] - 20429:8, 20430:10, 20430:24, 20431:20 <b>environment</b> [1] - 20396:3 <b>equivalent</b> [1] - 20336:11 <b>error</b> [1] - 20245:3 <b>escaped</b> [1] - 20279:7 <b>Especially</b> [1] - 20222:20 <b>especially</b> [2] - 20233:6, 20391:3 <b>Esq</b> [4] - 20210:7, 20210:10, 20210:12 <b>essentially</b> [8] - 20330:9, 20332:23, 20349:23, 20354:12, 20362:18, 20377:3, 20407:21, 20423:3 <b>Esson</b> [1] - 20209:12 <b>establish</b> [6] - 20255:16, 20257:5, 20330:5, 20368:5, 20390:8, 20396:8 <b>establishes</b> [2] - 20303:5, 20397:1 <b>Estate</b> [1] - 20249:24 <b>etcetera</b> [10] - 20225:25, 20238:18, 20263:13, 20263:15, 20263:16, 20316:24, 20328:6, 20429:4 <b>Eugene</b> [9] - 20225:20, 20407:13, 20414:13,	20414:22, 20418:16, 20418:23, 20422:25, 20424:24, 20426:2 <b>evaluating</b> [1] - 20334:2 <b>event</b> [8] - 20230:4, 20250:11, 20274:19, 20298:24, 20413:10, 20424:16, 20432:14, 20458:21 <b>Eventually</b> [1] - 20435:16 <b>eventually</b> [6] - 20215:23, 20273:20, 20371:25, 20407:18, 20442:1, 20454:13 <b>evidence</b> [117] - 20226:3, 20228:16, 20238:2, 20238:22, 20239:4, 20239:21, 20240:2, 20240:4, 20240:11, 20241:22, 20243:18, 20244:10, 20248:22, 20250:8, 20265:9, 20273:3, 20273:24, 20274:1, 20281:19, 20282:25, 20286:24, 20287:4, 20289:2, 20292:15, 20293:8, 20293:15, 20293:24, 20296:7, 20296:17, 20298:4, 20300:15, 20302:24, 20306:7, 20319:19, 20324:13, 20325:3, 20330:10, 20330:12, 20330:18, 20331:6, 20333:5, 20333:9, 20333:14, 20333:15, 20333:17, 20334:3, 20334:13, 20337:11, 20337:19, 20337:23, 20339:12, 20342:24, 20343:20, 20344:15, 20344:20, 20345:11, 20347:16, 20348:9, 20348:21, 20349:11, 20350:22, 20351:8, 20360:13, 20362:13, 20362:21, 20363:13, 20364:19, 20365:1, 20365:15, 20368:4, 20369:4, 20369:5, 20393:10, 20395:6, 20395:14, 20395:22, 20395:25, 20398:8, 20399:13, 20399:17, 20399:22, 20399:24, 20400:12, 20407:21, 20410:5, 20413:4, 20430:19, 20432:25,	20433:4, 20435:8, 20437:15, 20437:24, 20438:19, 20440:10, 20441:9, 20441:25, 20442:22, 20442:23, 20443:4, 20443:8, 20443:10, 20443:12, 20443:14, 20443:23, 20444:25, 20446:9, 20446:15, 20446:21, 20446:24, 20447:6, 20449:19, 20454:3, 20457:9, 20457:15, 20458:22 <b>evidence-in-chief</b> [1] - 20457:15 <b>evidentiary</b> [2] - 20344:10, 20346:1 <b>Evidently</b> [1] - 20416:14 <b>evolve</b> [2] - 20345:2, 20454:4 <b>evolved</b> [2] - 20297:11, 20361:21 <b>ex</b> [1] - 20362:20 <b>ex-wife</b> [1] - 20362:20 <b>exact</b> [3] - 20231:6, 20391:12, 20391:22 <b>exactly</b> [7] - 20224:2, 20243:9, 20243:14, 20245:7, 20269:24, 20390:16, 20404:15 <b>exam</b> [1] - 20457:2 <b>examination</b> [8] - 20212:11, 20232:14, 20254:3, 20256:11, 20259:11, 20259:24, 20294:11, 20379:12 <b>examine</b> [2] - 20324:24, 20384:22 <b>examined</b> [4] - 20245:15, 20256:7, 20383:6, 20404:12 <b>examines</b> [1] - 20376:21 <b>examining</b> [2] - 20239:15, 20376:3 <b>example</b> [13] - 20214:17, 20218:18, 20246:22, 20269:13, 20307:7, 20307:13, 20378:6, 20389:4, 20390:6, 20392:22, 20394:1, 20403:14, 20409:19 <b>exams</b> [1] - 20457:3 <b>excellent</b> [1] - 20420:13 <b>Except</b> [1] - 20315:3 <b>except</b> [3] - 20256:14, 20269:20, 20458:7 <b>exception</b> [4] -	20287:25, 20310:22, 20347:25, 20374:24 <b>exchange</b> [2] - 20381:20, 20412:18 <b>exchanged</b> [1] - 20413:1 <b>exchanges</b> [1] - 20420:22 <b>excited</b> [1] - 20276:4 <b>exclude</b> [1] - 20343:16 <b>excluded</b> [2] - 20328:13, 20358:14 <b>Excuse</b> [1] - 20352:1 <b>excuse</b> [2] - 20252:15, 20293:21 <b>excused</b> [1] - 20459:12 <b>Executive</b> [1] - 20209:3 <b>exercise</b> [1] - 20344:14 <b>exhausted</b> [1] - 20394:12 <b>exhibits</b> [2] - 20282:17, 20387:19 <b>exist</b> [4] - 20214:9, 20335:4, 20374:11, 20398:3 <b>existed</b> [11] - 20225:11, 20225:16, 20320:16, 20320:17, 20320:23, 20339:1, 20344:1, 20374:19, 20388:25, 20389:12, 20410:7 <b>existence</b> [4] - 20225:2, 20306:13, 20418:3, 20430:1 <b>existent</b> [1] - 20367:10 <b>exists</b> [1] - 20227:23 <b>exit</b> [1] - 20217:8 <b>exonerate</b> [1] - 20243:19 <b>expect</b> [9] - 20218:7, 20218:9, 20220:5, 20230:1, 20274:18, 20274:20, 20275:7, 20278:9, 20362:23 <b>expected</b> [1] - 20275:9 <b>expeditiously</b> [1] - 20434:10 <b>experience</b> [13] - 20212:24, 20215:20, 20216:21, 20216:24, 20233:11, 20233:18, 20233:22, 20329:25, 20408:1, 20439:5, 20439:23, 20452:24 <b>expert</b> [1] - 20216:1 <b>expertise</b> [2] - 20319:13, 20382:23 <b>experts</b> [2] - 20216:2, 20318:7 <b>explain</b> [9] - 20240:13,
---	---	--	---	---





20240:15, 20258:21, 20281:11, 20298:9, 20309:16, 20328:19, 20360:4, 20424:13 <b>explained</b> [1] - 20427:19 <b>explaining</b> [1] - 20357:19 <b>explanation</b> [3] - 20329:25, 20357:18, 20456:18 <b>explanations</b> [1] - 20329:5 <b>explore</b> [1] - 20351:13 <b>explored</b> [1] - 20437:11 <b>exploring</b> [1] - 20382:23 <b>exposed</b> [1] - 20322:15 <b>exposure</b> [2] - 20350:17, 20389:17 <b>extensive</b> [1] - 20420:7 <b>extent</b> [4] - 20319:3, 20395:19, 20434:3 <b>extract</b> [1] - 20380:12 <b>extracted</b> [1] - 20401:19 <b>Eye</b> [1] - 20341:8 <b>eyes</b> [1] - 20387:10	20398:11, 20406:11, 20407:22, 20408:1, 20415:18, 20426:5, 20426:22, 20427:14, 20431:11, 20432:16, 20434:10, 20435:2, 20435:25, 20436:12, 20436:23, 20437:14, 20439:2, 20441:9, 20441:13, 20441:15, 20442:22, 20442:23, 20443:4, 20443:10, 20443:12, 20446:14, 20446:21, 20446:22, 20446:24, 20447:5, 20447:11, 20447:14, 20447:19, 20447:20, 20452:11, 20453:20 <b>factor</b> [5] - 20261:7, 20283:19, 20325:9, 20338:5, 20394:18 <b>factors</b> [3] - 20219:17, 20301:25, 20310:20 <b>facts</b> [6] - 20308:3, 20308:6, 20330:20, 20423:12, 20425:13, 20433:3 <b>factual</b> [1] - 20245:3 <b>Fahrenheit</b> [1] - 20298:21 <b>fail</b> [2] - 20306:5, 20362:1 <b>failed</b> [2] - 20306:2, 20344:5 <b>failing</b> [1] - 20344:9 <b>failure</b> [2] - 20228:18, 20344:14 <b>faintly</b> [2] - 20276:7, 20390:1 <b>fair</b> [49] - 20213:3, 20224:25, 20231:17, 20231:24, 20240:22, 20247:14, 20247:20, 20252:3, 20260:10, 20260:22, 20264:3, 20272:14, 20274:12, 20274:13, 20274:17, 20308:8, 20319:6, 20319:16, 20319:21, 20325:3, 20325:4, 20325:25, 20326:10, 20328:7, 20330:4, 20346:13, 20354:23, 20388:21, 20391:17, 20391:18, 20394:22, 20396:6, 20396:18, 20398:9, 20398:10, 20398:16, 20398:18, 20405:2, 20408:10, 20409:16, 20410:13,	20434:2, 20437:20, 20438:6, 20442:2, 20442:11, 20443:18, 20452:7, 20453:11 <b>fairly</b> [14] - 20232:12, 20283:10, 20356:2, 20356:6, 20392:6, 20399:23, 20401:17, 20420:7, 20422:11, 20444:10, 20444:12, 20444:13 <b>fairness</b> [2] - 20262:4, 20458:24 <b>faith</b> [5] - 20319:2, 20319:15, 20320:5, 20320:8, 20350:24 <b>fall</b> [3] - 20222:7, 20289:7, 20454:25 <b>false</b> [3] - 20340:11, 20340:19, 20340:21 <b>familiar</b> [7] - 20220:23, 20375:19, 20391:5, 20392:15, 20392:16, 20402:14, 20442:23 <b>family</b> [11] - 20278:13, 20326:12, 20327:1, 20327:5, 20327:12, 20341:22, 20351:10, 20368:25, 20369:1, 20377:10, 20426:8 <b>far</b> [34] - 20225:5, 20230:7, 20231:18, 20231:19, 20239:25, 20240:3, 20242:25, 20245:16, 20246:14, 20256:22, 20258:2, 20258:17, 20260:16, 20271:12, 20283:4, 20283:20, 20288:25, 20327:12, 20329:1, 20334:24, 20335:24, 20341:24, 20342:7, 20363:19, 20365:23, 20377:9, 20381:20, 20388:22, 20392:18, 20433:3, 20437:22, 20442:21, 20446:21, 20449:24 <b>fateful</b> [1] - 20420:4 <b>fathered</b> [1] - 20282:23 <b>fault</b> [2] - 20352:20, 20378:9 <b>faulting</b> [1] - 20380:25 <b>favour</b> [1] - 20346:8 <b>faxed</b> [2] - 20420:23, 20422:10 <b>fear</b> [2] - 20317:19, 20370:3 <b>feasible</b> [1] - 20405:23 <b>February</b> [16] - 20229:6,	20229:10, 20230:13, 20340:12, 20365:18, 20378:7, 20381:4, 20381:7, 20381:8, 20381:14, 20386:16, 20414:12, 20416:14, 20422:5, 20423:10, 20454:17 <b>federal</b> [3] - 20253:3, 20345:16, 20440:25 <b>Federal</b> [7] - 20254:13, 20255:16, 20271:17, 20407:4, 20407:14, 20408:18, 20431:2 <b>feeding</b> [1] - 20437:22 <b>feelings</b> [1] - 20230:25 <b>feet</b> [1] - 20297:6 <b>fellow</b> [1] - 20271:15 <b>felt</b> [9] - 20227:13, 20281:13, 20319:10, 20366:10, 20366:11, 20411:7, 20444:12, 20445:22, 20447:23 <b>few</b> [15] - 20265:10, 20265:11, 20272:20, 20305:14, 20321:12, 20322:13, 20359:14, 20361:4, 20388:13, 20397:16, 20414:7, 20422:17, 20431:23, 20435:3, 20448:17 <b>fiction</b> [2] - 20257:4, 20303:6 <b>field</b> [2] - 20216:1, 20329:10 <b>Fifth</b> [1] - 20249:23 <b>figure</b> [2] - 20292:4, 20298:2 <b>file</b> [37] - 20223:24, 20226:10, 20226:11, 20228:4, 20248:12, 20263:22, 20263:23, 20264:1, 20269:16, 20269:20, 20343:10, 20352:8, 20352:20, 20352:21, 20354:19, 20354:20, 20355:4, 20365:21, 20372:1, 20372:2, 20372:6, 20372:15, 20372:18, 20372:21, 20373:7, 20393:22, 20393:24, 20403:6, 20403:10, 20405:1, 20415:13, 20425:5, 20433:2, 20445:1, 20445:3, 20455:12 <b>File</b> [1] - 20372:16 <b>filed</b> [1] - 20284:19 <b>files</b> [20] - 20351:20,	20355:2, 20355:3, 20371:25, 20373:4, 20374:19, 20380:11, 20418:18, 20436:10, 20437:3, 20441:16, 20441:19, 20442:2, 20442:7, 20455:19, 20455:25, 20456:9, 20456:10, 20456:20 <b>fill</b> [1] - 20318:18 <b>film</b> [1] - 20310:7 <b>final</b> [2] - 20316:10, 20374:23 <b>finality</b> [5] - 20275:8, 20308:5, 20338:10, 20387:22, 20399:10 <b>finalized</b> [1] - 20365:8 <b>finally</b> [2] - 20343:2, 20432:22 <b>finances</b> [1] - 20387:20 <b>financially</b> [1] - 20387:18 <b>findings</b> [1] - 20321:2 <b>fine</b> [3] - 20225:15, 20268:22, 20271:3 <b>finish</b> [1] - 20271:25 <b>finished</b> [3] - 20231:1, 20317:25, 20395:20 <b>firm</b> [1] - 20270:21 <b>firmness</b> [2] - 20281:23, 20399:11 <b>first</b> [44] - 20221:8, 20232:19, 20241:8, 20259:15, 20260:7, 20263:20, 20264:13, 20273:4, 20274:14, 20292:6, 20293:13, 20306:16, 20306:19, 20323:22, 20354:20, 20368:7, 20369:22, 20376:25, 20380:20, 20384:15, 20390:14, 20398:7, 20401:7, 20407:13, 20410:14, 20412:2, 20414:9, 20414:18, 20414:21, 20415:7, 20418:7, 20418:25, 20422:12, 20423:2, 20431:16, 20433:5, 20434:11, 20435:3, 20449:23, 20450:2, 20452:12, 20454:20, 20458:1 <b>First</b> [1] - 20302:2 <b>Fisher</b> [195] - 20210:12, 20218:19, 20219:21, 20219:22, 20219:23, 20219:25, 20221:23, 20222:10, 20224:10, 20224:12, 20226:15,
<b>F</b>				
<b>face</b> [12] - 20278:2, 20280:23, 20281:7, 20283:7, 20357:22, 20405:12, 20420:21, 20432:22, 20433:5 <b>face-to-face</b> [2] - 20420:21, 20433:5 <b>faced</b> [1] - 20395:4 <b>facilitate</b> [1] - 20426:21 <b>facing</b> [2] - 20298:10, 20452:8 <b>fact</b> [70] - 20220:11, 20222:20, 20226:5, 20238:15, 20248:13, 20255:17, 20260:4, 20260:18, 20265:21, 20271:7, 20286:2, 20289:13, 20290:22, 20297:9, 20301:21, 20306:3, 20310:18, 20316:5, 20319:4, 20322:10, 20328:8, 20330:14, 20337:7, 20343:4, 20344:9, 20349:23, 20352:12, 20355:18, 20369:1, 20378:17, 20381:2, 20386:13, 20395:3,				



20227:17, 20228:12, 20228:25, 20229:22, 20236:3, 20236:23, 20237:10, 20237:12, 20237:17, 20237:19, 20238:2, 20238:9, 20238:25, 20239:3, 20239:6, 20241:1, 20247:7, 20247:25, 20248:17, 20249:1, 20249:2, 20249:6, 20249:15, 20249:21, 20250:6, 20250:8, 20260:17, 20261:9, 20262:1, 20285:10, 20286:18, 20286:20, 20287:12, 20289:3, 20289:6, 20289:8, 20289:12, 20290:18, 20312:2, 20312:8, 20312:13, 20313:3, 20314:7, 20316:25, 20317:12, 20325:18, 20325:24, 20326:3, 20327:24, 20328:8, 20328:24, 20330:6, 20330:16, 20330:21, 20331:2, 20331:6, 20331:9, 20331:20, 20332:23, 20333:11, 20333:13, 20334:4, 20336:24, 20337:9, 20337:17, 20337:21, 20338:4, 20338:7, 20338:14, 20338:21, 20339:11, 20341:1, 20341:18, 20341:22, 20341:24, 20342:1, 20342:9, 20342:12, 20342:14, 20342:17, 20343:16, 20343:20, 20344:23, 20351:14, 20352:7, 20352:17, 20353:22, 20354:10, 20354:12, 20355:7, 20355:15, 20355:19, 20357:5, 20357:14, 20357:16, 20357:20, 20357:24, 20358:5, 20359:9, 20359:12, 20359:20, 20362:19, 20363:13, 20364:15, 20364:18, 20365:19, 20366:4, 20366:22, 20367:2, 20367:4, 20367:13, 20367:17, 20368:8, 20368:16, 20369:5, 20369:12, 20369:17, 20370:1, 20370:24, 20377:21, 20377:22, 20378:5,	20378:6, 20382:2, 20397:20, 20397:21, 20398:5, 20398:21, 20398:24, 20399:13, 20399:21, 20404:10, 20411:4, 20411:18, 20413:21, 20414:7, 20415:9, 20415:19, 20416:2, 20416:12, 20416:14, 20416:20, 20417:4, 20417:13, 20418:2, 20419:3, 20421:21, 20424:6, 20424:9, 20424:25, 20425:3, 20426:8, 20427:3, 20427:15, 20428:13, 20429:1, 20430:3, 20430:12, 20430:15, 20430:21, 20431:5, 20431:8, 20431:11, 20432:4, 20432:10, 20432:15, 20433:21, 20434:4, 20435:9, 20435:17, 20436:4, 20436:16, 20437:10, 20437:17, 20438:14, 20439:3, 20439:18, 20440:19, 20442:7, 20447:12, 20447:21, 20448:5, 20448:7, 20448:13 <b>Fishers</b> [19] - 20237:23, 20238:19, 20250:3, 20260:22, 20285:12, 20288:8, 20338:25, 20339:6, 20343:2, 20345:21, 20357:21, 20371:19, 20380:21, 20416:24, 20435:4, 20436:1, 20438:24, 20446:15, 20451:17 <b>fit</b> [1] - 20409:1 <b>fits</b> [1] - 20308:15 <b>Fitzgerald</b> [1] - 20209:13 <b>five</b> [12] - 20274:4, 20274:6, 20274:7, 20274:14, 20274:18, 20276:19, 20277:15, 20277:23, 20286:24, 20288:10, 20389:7, 20399:21 <b>five-year-old</b> [3] - 20274:14, 20274:18, 20276:19 <b>flags</b> [1] - 20377:1 <b>flaw</b> [1] - 20311:9 <b>flawed</b> [1] - 20244:17 <b>flaws</b> [1] - 20311:2 <b>flimsy</b> [1] - 20343:24	<b>floated</b> [1] - 20288:25 <b>flow</b> [2] - 20233:7, 20307:6 <b>focus</b> [6] - 20219:1, 20258:13, 20286:23, 20288:7, 20424:8 <b>focused</b> [1] - 20378:10 <b>focusing</b> [3] - 20229:8, 20229:11, 20238:14 <b>folder</b> [1] - 20254:18 <b>follow</b> [7] - 20216:6, 20313:14, 20385:7, 20391:15, 20423:18, 20450:19, 20458:14 <b>follow-up</b> [3] - 20385:7, 20450:19, 20458:14 <b>followed</b> [5] - 20258:6, 20271:12, 20279:13, 20339:7, 20352:7 <b>following</b> [10] - 20219:20, 20229:10, 20244:5, 20293:12, 20311:18, 20313:2, 20336:10, 20432:11, 20452:2, 20454:1 <b>foot</b> [3] - 20293:19, 20296:18, 20296:21 <b>force</b> [4] - 20222:21, 20384:16, 20408:3, 20409:6 <b>forced</b> [5] - 20223:1, 20312:3, 20312:9, 20312:21, 20312:23 <b>forcing</b> [1] - 20222:15 <b>foregoing</b> [1] - 20460:4 <b>foreign</b> [1] - 20275:1 <b>forensics</b> [3] - 20323:9, 20342:10, 20345:4 <b>forget</b> [2] - 20268:6, 20269:24 <b>form</b> [5] - 20239:4, 20245:15, 20364:19, 20364:23, 20373:15 <b>formal</b> [2] - 20389:12, 20434:7 <b>formality</b> [1] - 20332:15 <b>formalized</b> [1] - 20289:18 <b>formally</b> [1] - 20407:9 <b>formed</b> [2] - 20244:7, 20355:18 <b>former</b> [3] - 20233:23, 20237:19, 20339:4 <b>forming</b> [1] - 20436:7 <b>forms</b> [1] - 20397:18 <b>Fort</b> [7] - 20351:17, 20351:21, 20353:11, 20354:24, 20355:8, 20373:16, 20428:25 <b>forth</b> [5] - 20298:3,	20374:15, 20420:24, 20444:24, 20452:21 <b>forward</b> [15] - 20242:16, 20262:18, 20262:24, 20266:23, 20272:15, 20273:5, 20286:15, 20291:8, 20296:4, 20300:11, 20301:22, 20323:6, 20381:25, 20449:14, 20452:24 <b>forwarded</b> [2] - 20425:20, 20450:4 <b>four</b> [5] - 20370:1, 20422:24, 20435:11, 20437:9 <b>Fox</b> [4] - 20210:9, 20211:9, 20388:9, 20388:10 <b>frailties</b> [1] - 20226:19 <b>frame</b> [8] - 20231:12, 20315:20, 20315:24, 20315:25, 20316:8, 20339:25, 20344:19, 20437:5 <b>Fraye</b> [31] - 20210:13, 20211:10, 20252:15, 20252:19, 20253:17, 20253:23, 20254:16, 20254:24, 20255:8, 20255:22, 20256:3, 20256:9, 20256:13, 20256:19, 20259:2, 20259:9, 20259:19, 20259:23, 20269:3, 20270:20, 20347:25, 20356:20, 20374:24, 20375:4, 20375:8, 20406:25, 20407:3, 20428:2, 20434:14, 20444:22, 20447:22 <b>Frayers</b> [1] - 20259:12 <b>free</b> [4] - 20241:1, 20390:15, 20444:14 <b>freedom</b> [1] - 20375:16 <b>fresh</b> [4] - 20241:5, 20256:25, 20370:7, 20399:7 <b>Friday</b> [2] - 20410:18, 20454:24 <b>Friend</b> [2] - 20258:15, 20389:5 <b>friends</b> [1] - 20274:9 <b>Friesen</b> [2] - 20303:15, 20304:10 <b>front</b> [12] - 20245:2, 20250:6, 20295:1, 20298:3, 20298:17, 20303:21, 20304:2, 20304:19, 20313:1, 20313:4, 20373:22,	20405:11 <b>frustrated</b> [1] - 20379:22 <b>frustration</b> [6] - 20272:3, 20272:6, 20272:22, 20286:16, 20287:3, 20378:21 <b>fulfilled</b> [1] - 20422:2 <b>full</b> [5] - 20232:10, 20246:11, 20258:10, 20370:17, 20440:21 <b>fully</b> [1] - 20237:21 <b>functions</b> [1] - 20426:18 <b>fundamental</b> [2] - 20324:4, 20324:7 <b>funeral</b> [4] - 20299:19, 20305:17, 20305:23, 20312:11 <b>furnished</b> [1] - 20235:17 <b>furthered</b> [1] - 20260:10 <b>furthering</b> [1] - 20227:14 <b>furthermore</b> [1] - 20247:3 <b>Furthermore</b> [1] - 20247:17 <b>future</b> [4] - 20257:25, 20258:19, 20258:22, 20318:14 <b>fuzzy</b> [1] - 20301:12
<b>G</b>				
<b>Gail</b> [78] - 20222:18, 20223:6, 20223:10, 20224:14, 20227:20, 20228:10, 20228:22, 20237:18, 20238:3, 20238:23, 20248:15, 20249:12, 20249:17, 20250:2, 20265:21, 20267:8, 20289:3, 20292:23, 20294:5, 20294:12, 20294:18, 20294:25, 20296:4, 20296:15, 20296:21, 20296:22, 20298:12, 20298:16, 20298:24, 20300:6, 20300:11, 20300:13, 20300:19, 20301:9, 20301:21, 20302:2, 20302:6, 20303:9, 20303:12, 20303:16, 20303:18, 20303:25, 20304:3, 20306:8, 20308:20, 20312:1, 20312:21,				



20330:16, 20333:13, 20337:9, 20337:21, 20341:17, 20343:5, 20352:21, 20353:23, 20355:16, 20358:13, 20393:13, 20397:19, 20397:20, 20398:6, 20399:14, 20401:16, 20403:4, 20404:2, 20404:10, 20404:22, 20405:20, 20414:8, 20415:10, 20416:2, 20416:16, 20424:7, 20432:11, 20435:19, 20438:15, 20447:17 <b>Gallucci</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 20304:6, 20304:11 <b>game</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 20265:4, 20335:18, 20388:12 <b>garbage</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20301:16 <b>garner</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20243:18 <b>Garrett</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20210:6 <b>Garry</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 20353:11, 20355:8, 20373:16, 20428:25 <b>Garry/winnipeg</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 20351:17, 20351:21, 20354:24 <b>gather</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20441:20 <b>gathered</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20395:22 <b>gathering</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20334:7 <b>Gee</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 20262:11, 20390:1 <b>Geez</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20229:13 <b>general</b> <sup>[29]</sup> - 20212:17, 20212:18, 20213:24, 20218:20, 20229:3, 20229:8, 20229:21, 20249:18, 20255:24, 20267:2, 20267:4, 20281:15, 20307:21, 20322:18, 20330:23, 20333:1, 20336:15, 20341:7, 20388:22, 20392:2, 20395:5, 20396:18, 20407:23, 20408:5, 20408:21, 20409:20, 20421:5, 20425:15, 20433:13 <b>generally</b> <sup>[6]</sup> - 20215:19, 20218:22, 20257:8, 20332:19, 20332:21, 20397:3 <b>geographical</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20420:18 <b>George</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20305:12 <b>Gibson</b> <sup>[8]</sup> - 20210:10, 20211:11, 20434:15, 20434:17, 20448:24, 20449:1, 20449:4,	20449:7 <b>girl</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 20293:15, 20296:19 <b>gist</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20446:19 <b>given</b> <sup>[38]</sup> - 20224:23, 20227:10, 20234:20, 20242:9, 20243:3, 20250:4, 20253:11, 20254:22, 20257:6, 20258:5, 20260:22, 20292:16, 20292:19, 20293:7, 20296:4, 20297:12, 20311:4, 20331:22, 20338:17, 20340:11, 20347:18, 20351:7, 20365:16, 20375:10, 20378:10, 20384:11, 20399:22, 20399:23, 20410:5, 20410:21, 20417:18, 20418:25, 20430:2, 20431:13, 20432:25, 20452:3 <b>Globe</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 20250:22, 20251:14, 20251:24 <b>goin'</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20400:1 <b>good-bye</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20251:13 <b>Goodman</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20316:20 <b>government</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 20253:3, 20270:12 <b>Government</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 20210:4, 20254:12, 20270:3, 20271:18 <b>grab</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20300:25 <b>grabbed</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 20263:14, 20315:16 <b>grass</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20375:21 <b>great</b> <sup>[14]</sup> - 20220:6, 20220:14, 20224:23, 20322:2, 20323:14, 20377:14, 20383:1, 20386:5, 20386:8, 20388:4, 20391:1, 20421:20, 20441:12, 20453:5 <b>greater</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 20214:10, 20288:12, 20400:14 <b>grounds</b> <sup>[5]</sup> - 20337:16, 20362:12, 20363:14, 20437:18, 20437:20 <b>group</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 20448:20, 20449:11, 20450:1 <b>grouping</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20343:15 <b>grow</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 20385:3, 20385:11 <b>grudge</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20279:23 <b>guard</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 20278:22, 20286:25	<b>guarded</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20444:10 <b>guess</b> <sup>[19]</sup> - 20267:4, 20269:4, 20271:15, 20280:11, 20285:21, 20309:9, 20310:4, 20310:14, 20319:7, 20340:17, 20350:9, 20379:7, 20384:6, 20387:13, 20390:14, 20399:12, 20430:6, 20443:16, 20450:12 <b>guidance</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20290:1 <b>guide</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 20297:1, 20297:9 <b>guilt</b> <sup>[6]</sup> - 20239:2, 20363:21, 20364:17, 20369:7 <b>guilty</b> <sup>[7]</sup> - 20251:21, 20319:20, 20343:8, 20355:8, 20364:1, 20387:9, 20394:12 <b>gut</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20230:25 <b>guy</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20318:1	<b>hat</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 20266:14, 20304:13 <b>head</b> <sup>[9]</sup> - 20213:6, 20213:7, 20214:8, 20298:18, 20315:9, 20378:21, 20388:3, 20388:5, 20437:21 <b>headed</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 20298:13, 20334:11 <b>heading</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 20288:7, 20300:18 <b>headline</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20411:23 <b>headquarters</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 20224:1, 20316:18 <b>heads</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 20242:20, 20334:19 <b>hear</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 20216:9, 20263:20, 20299:1 <b>heard</b> <sup>[17]</sup> - 20250:10, 20262:19, 20263:16, 20272:3, 20273:19, 20275:23, 20278:23, 20315:25, 20319:18, 20323:18, 20325:2, 20326:11, 20336:16, 20410:9, 20416:19, 20418:13, 20450:2 <b>hearing</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 20328:15, 20370:21, 20394:11, 20431:11 <b>heart</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 20245:11, 20258:7, 20383:21 <b>hearted</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20227:11 <b>heartily</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20318:13 <b>held</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20455:20 <b>help</b> <sup>[13]</sup> - 20223:20, 20242:6, 20258:21, 20264:10, 20264:13, 20296:18, 20300:4, 20300:20, 20323:13, 20323:14, 20359:23, 20392:11, 20409:23 <b>helpful</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 20264:22, 20329:21, 20430:21 <b>helps</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20432:6 <b>Henderson</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 20212:13, 20284:24, 20369:11, 20371:14 <b>Henry</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20297:13 <b>hereby</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20460:4 <b>herein</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20460:6 <b>Hersh</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20210:2 <b>Hi</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 20295:12, 20299:3 <b>hidden</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 20249:1, 20249:3 <b>hide</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 20405:12 <b>hiding</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20375:24 <b>high</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20261:13 <b>high-profile</b> <sup>[1]</sup> -	20261:13 <b>highlight</b> <sup>[5]</sup> - 20232:2, 20236:21, 20237:15, 20243:15, 20418:11 <b>highlighted</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20263:6 <b>highlighting</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20286:17 <b>highlights</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20392:11 <b>Hill</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 20295:13, 20299:4 <b>himself</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20344:8 <b>hindsight</b> <sup>[8]</sup> - 20261:3, 20277:22, 20287:21, 20291:6, 20310:12, 20310:23, 20330:25, 20448:1 <b>Hinz</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 20209:10, 20460:2, 20460:13 <b>history</b> <sup>[7]</sup> - 20218:21, 20242:20, 20267:24, 20355:19, 20359:13, 20371:3, 20413:23 <b>hitting</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20378:21 <b>Hodson</b> <sup>[14]</sup> - 20209:2, 20211:12, 20250:17, 20251:7, 20252:21, 20254:10, 20390:4, 20410:23, 20420:1, 20428:1, 20445:13, 20457:1, 20458:17, 20459:8 <b>home</b> <sup>[21]</sup> - 20248:18, 20249:22, 20275:21, 20293:16, 20294:25, 20297:1, 20297:10, 20299:19, 20305:14, 20305:17, 20305:23, 20312:11, 20313:4, 20315:15, 20315:21, 20402:1, 20402:6, 20402:15, 20402:18, 20419:17, 20419:23 <b>homicide</b> <sup>[5]</sup> - 20227:22, 20331:12, 20331:18, 20337:21, 20444:17 <b>Hon</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20210:14 <b>honest</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20440:16 <b>honestly</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20287:16 <b>Honourable</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 20208:6, 20233:19 <b>honoured</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20246:24 <b>hope</b> <sup>[9]</sup> - 20220:17, 20220:21, 20284:16, 20311:17, 20349:11, 20349:15, 20356:22, 20390:21, 20392:14
<b>H</b>				
<b>hair</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20285:8 <b>hallucinations</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20348:24 <b>hampered</b> <sup>[4]</sup> - 20258:10, 20411:24, 20412:4, 20413:13 <b>hand</b> <sup>[10]</sup> - 20222:14, 20257:14, 20259:4, 20301:2, 20316:20, 20345:11, 20358:17, 20379:14, 20401:1, 20428:5 <b>handing</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20329:16 <b>handle</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20238:11 <b>handled</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 20232:12, 20389:10 <b>hands</b> <sup>[6]</sup> - 20223:23, 20246:10, 20257:18, 20263:14, 20400:9, 20405:13 <b>handwritten</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20427:19 <b>happy</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 20327:9, 20377:6 <b>harbour</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20379:15 <b>hard</b> <sup>[8]</sup> - 20242:22, 20304:13, 20330:10, 20362:1, 20366:9, 20372:21, 20439:10, 20439:14 <b>harden</b> <sup>[1]</sup> - 20335:8 <b>harm</b> <sup>[3]</sup> - 20312:3, 20312:8, 20324:5				



<b>hoped</b> [1] - 20289:25 <b>hopefully</b> [1] - 20379:7 <b>hopes</b> [1] - 20393:5 <b>hoping</b> [1] - 20388:16 <b>Hoppy</b> [1] - 20275:25 <b>Hotel</b> [1] - 20208:16 <b>hours</b> [3] - 20268:14, 20421:11, 20428:3 <b>house</b> [4] - 20274:10, 20328:11, 20402:16, 20402:17 <b>housekeeping</b> [1] - 20434:20 <b>housewife</b> [1] - 20402:18 <b>hovering</b> [1] - 20298:21 <b>huge</b> [1] - 20345:6 <b>Hugh</b> [1] - 20209:12 <b>Hughes</b> [1] - 20240:10 <b>human</b> [1] - 20323:12 <b>humiliating</b> [1] - 20453:2 <b>humiliation</b> [1] - 20317:21 <b>Hundt</b> [3] - 20303:23, 20304:2, 20304:11 <b>hurdle</b> [2] - 20338:3, 20345:7 <b>hurdles</b> [1] - 20376:5 <b>hurt</b> [1] - 20451:14 <b>husband</b> [1] - 20416:24	20401:25, 20402:6, 20407:16, 20415:12, 20423:10, 20425:2 <b>identity</b> [8] - 20281:18, 20282:11, 20283:4, 20283:16, 20283:19, 20452:17, 20453:24 <b>ill</b> [1] - 20223:21 <b>illogical</b> [1] - 20245:4 <b>imagine</b> [1] - 20400:9 <b>immediately</b> [6] - 20276:4, 20342:11, 20351:15, 20367:8, 20405:15, 20405:19 <b>impact</b> [9] - 20227:6, 20334:13, 20350:2, 20385:18, 20401:21, 20436:3, 20439:4, 20439:10, 20441:17 <b>impacted</b> [1] - 20436:23 <b>impartial</b> [4] - 20232:7, 20245:3, 20252:4, 20370:16 <b>implanted</b> [1] - 20282:16 <b>implication</b> [1] - 20439:3 <b>importance</b> [1] - 20378:25 <b>important</b> [21] - 20220:8, 20223:17, 20242:10, 20253:10, 20253:12, 20253:15, 20254:6, 20257:2, 20257:19, 20261:11, 20265:9, 20265:16, 20266:17, 20274:11, 20274:15, 20335:9, 20348:9, 20356:13, 20380:17, 20430:19, 20445:19 <b>imposing</b> [1] - 20297:7 <b>impossibility</b> [1] - 20441:6 <b>impossible</b> [3] - 20292:17, 20338:3, 20406:12 <b>impression</b> [3] - 20227:10, 20384:24, 20435:4 <b>improbable</b> [1] - 20309:25 <b>improve</b> [3] - 20257:20, 20318:14, 20442:17 <b>improved</b> [1] - 20442:15 <b>in-service</b> [1] - 20389:1 <b>inaccurate</b> [2] - 20347:15, 20414:4 <b>inappropriate</b> [1] -	20384:8 <b>incident</b> [6] - 20248:16, 20265:11, 20265:12, 20280:13, 20280:16, 20315:13 <b>incidents</b> [1] - 20267:18 <b>include</b> [1] - 20445:16 <b>included</b> [2] - 20235:15, 20445:18 <b>including</b> [4] - 20238:17, 20244:9, 20305:7, 20399:8 <b>incomplete</b> [2] - 20355:3, 20441:16 <b>inconsistencies</b> [1] - 20394:2 <b>inconsistent</b> [2] - 20257:18, 20299:10 <b>incriminate</b> [1] - 20344:7 <b>incriminated</b> [1] - 20386:25 <b>incriminating</b> [3] - 20290:25, 20399:24, 20440:8 <b>indecent</b> [1] - 20263:11 <b>indeed</b> [1] - 20414:6 <b>independent</b> [6] - 20306:1, 20376:21, 20376:23, 20382:10, 20382:21 <b>Index</b> [1] - 20211:1 <b>indicate</b> [6] - 20231:7, 20269:9, 20283:24, 20357:25, 20439:24, 20447:20 <b>indicated</b> [12] - 20214:17, 20228:4, 20238:11, 20249:4, 20252:22, 20278:13, 20313:17, 20347:20, 20382:13, 20421:7, 20456:2, 20458:3 <b>indicates</b> [3] - 20252:2, 20380:3, 20417:17 <b>indicating</b> [4] - 20417:19, 20421:7, 20431:14, 20432:2 <b>indication</b> [5] - 20247:15, 20306:17, 20379:16, 20403:14, 20405:20 <b>individual</b> [9] - 20218:4, 20219:7, 20219:18, 20239:14, 20369:17, 20377:15, 20395:2, 20405:11, 20406:1 <b>individuals</b> [4] - 20235:24, 20334:16,	20416:18, 20444:4 <b>Indyk</b> [1] - 20298:4 <b>inexcusable</b> [1] - 20370:4 <b>inexplicably</b> [1] - 20301:18 <b>infer</b> [1] - 20337:12 <b>inference</b> [1] - 20412:8 <b>inferred</b> [1] - 20293:19 <b>influence</b> [6] - 20212:25, 20260:21, 20261:10, 20347:1, 20347:2 <b>influenced</b> [3] - 20319:2, 20389:11 <b>informant</b> [3] - 20239:7, 20424:4, 20440:23 <b>Information</b> [2] - 20287:18, 20442:4 <b>information</b> [85] - 20214:11, 20219:6, 20235:13, 20235:17, 20239:23, 20244:11, 20245:14, 20247:13, 20252:9, 20254:16, 20262:5, 20263:21, 20266:21, 20275:17, 20285:3, 20288:24, 20303:3, 20306:23, 20307:6, 20307:16, 20307:17, 20307:20, 20310:12, 20311:8, 20311:12, 20320:8, 20322:24, 20323:5, 20327:19, 20334:8, 20338:20, 20351:16, 20355:5, 20362:13, 20362:16, 20362:19, 20368:12, 20373:14, 20373:15, 20373:20, 20374:7, 20374:12, 20374:17, 20374:21, 20375:23, 20379:1, 20379:2, 20379:15, 20380:12, 20380:17, 20381:7, 20390:9, 20391:1, 20391:17, 20392:12, 20400:16, 20410:3, 20412:18, 20413:1, 20414:6, 20422:8, 20422:9, 20423:19, 20424:4, 20426:4, 20428:13, 20431:16, 20432:3, 20432:9, 20437:22, 20441:20, 20441:23, 20442:2, 20442:11, 20445:19, 20447:2, 20451:1, 20451:19, 20452:2, 20452:5,	20452:22, 20452:25, 20453:10 <b>informed</b> [1] - 20432:10 <b>informing</b> [1] - 20369:22 <b>inherent</b> [4] - 20324:2, 20380:9, 20411:12, 20433:25 <b>initial</b> [7] - 20219:21, 20235:15, 20241:14, 20262:1, 20266:3, 20434:4, 20453:22 <b>initiate</b> [1] - 20453:17 <b>initiated</b> [4] - 20421:1, 20421:19, 20424:10, 20424:17 <b>injury</b> [1] - 20222:21 <b>injustice</b> [3] - 20246:6, 20386:12, 20394:17 <b>injustices</b> [1] - 20375:13 <b>Inland</b> [1] - 20209:13 <b>inmate</b> [2] - 20248:20, 20438:25 <b>innocence</b> [3] - 20368:5, 20387:16, 20397:1 <b>innocent</b> [8] - 20335:22, 20342:18, 20345:9, 20370:14, 20395:3, 20396:8, 20397:2, 20451:17 <b>input</b> [5] - 20231:3, 20231:14, 20242:6, 20242:14, 20292:1 <b>Inquiries</b> [1] - 20237:16 <b>inquiries</b> [3] - 20366:18, 20376:1, 20446:11 <b>inquiry</b> [3] - 20232:12, 20329:18, 20446:13 <b>Inquiry</b> [10] - 20208:2, 20208:23, 20221:19, 20225:2, 20225:5, 20252:25, 20253:6, 20257:19, 20258:3, 20425:19 <b>insight</b> [1] - 20444:16 <b>insisted</b> [1] - 20295:24 <b>insofar</b> [2] - 20271:13, 20344:14 <b>inspector</b> [1] - 20273:11 <b>instance</b> [1] - 20347:5 <b>instances</b> [1] - 20222:11 <b>institution</b> [4] - 20439:18, 20440:3, 20441:1, 20444:19 <b>instructed</b> [1] - 20381:1
<b>I</b>				
<b>Id</b> [1] - 20410:16 <b>idea</b> [18] - 20214:8, 20234:20, 20234:23, 20235:8, 20235:10, 20250:12, 20253:2, 20257:3, 20268:12, 20291:6, 20309:17, 20325:4, 20348:8, 20374:7, 20383:10, 20407:20, 20413:12 <b>ideal</b> [1] - 20290:11 <b>ideas</b> [2] - 20215:14, 20388:4 <b>identical</b> [1] - 20248:15 <b>identification</b> [8] - 20226:18, 20281:1, 20281:10, 20282:8, 20282:10, 20286:18, 20302:9, 20302:15 <b>identifications</b> [1] - 20392:4 <b>identified</b> [1] - 20390:10 <b>identify</b> [9] - 20226:14, 20389:23, 20390:13,				



<b>instructions</b> [3] - 20270:21, 20329:6, 20422:14 <b>instrumental</b> [1] - 20377:24 <b>insurmountable</b> [2] - 20345:7, 20376:5 <b>intend</b> [1] - 20424:18 <b>intended</b> [1] - 20254:14 <b>intention</b> [1] - 20387:7 <b>intents</b> [1] - 20396:24 <b>intercept</b> [3] - 20438:18, 20438:23, 20439:5 <b>intercepted</b> [1] - 20308:24 <b>intercepting</b> [1] - 20439:12 <b>interception</b> [1] - 20439:17 <b>interest</b> [5] - 20226:2, 20272:10, 20360:25, 20383:11, 20383:19 <b>interested</b> [6] - 20225:24, 20227:13, 20272:25, 20283:23, 20284:20, 20375:14 <b>interests</b> [1] - 20247:22 <b>internal</b> [1] - 20255:22 <b>interpret</b> [1] - 20323:12 <b>interpretation</b> [1] - 20413:11 <b>interpreted</b> [1] - 20405:25 <b>interrogate</b> [1] - 20344:4 <b>interrogated</b> [1] - 20217:4 <b>interrogation</b> [1] - 20344:23 <b>intersection</b> [5] - 20295:20, 20296:2, 20299:15, 20300:17, 20305:5 <b>intervene</b> [1] - 20444:18 <b>interview</b> [21] - 20218:18, 20249:23, 20251:24, 20344:23, 20357:17, 20368:23, 20414:7, 20415:19, 20417:3, 20417:13, 20418:2, 20418:4, 20419:2, 20419:6, 20421:20, 20425:6, 20430:12, 20430:20, 20431:4, 20432:18, 20440:19 <b>interviewed</b> [15] - 20212:15, 20236:1,	20236:7, 20278:5, 20284:24, 20305:19, 20354:12, 20371:2, 20392:22, 20392:23, 20416:13, 20427:3, 20429:3, 20430:15, 20434:6 <b>interviewer</b> [2] - 20216:14, 20218:24 <b>interviewing</b> [4] - 20371:12, 20388:20, 20389:9, 20389:15 <b>interviews</b> [2] - 20371:7, 20410:1 <b>introduce</b> [1] - 20214:13 <b>introduced</b> [3] - 20220:9, 20255:13, 20407:1 <b>introduction</b> [1] - 20434:4 <b>invent</b> [1] - 20385:24 <b>investigate</b> [6] - 20378:13, 20382:1, 20384:9, 20395:6, 20423:12, 20437:16 <b>investigated</b> [7] - 20237:22, 20272:21, 20327:4, 20334:11, 20367:7, 20385:6, 20436:4 <b>investigating</b> [10] - 20215:2, 20365:18, 20365:19, 20366:3, 20391:13, 20394:21, 20411:17, 20413:20, 20438:14, 20454:21 <b>investigation</b> [59] - 20214:18, 20216:2, 20223:18, 20225:6, 20226:22, 20232:3, 20244:9, 20248:7, 20251:12, 20260:15, 20261:25, 20286:20, 20288:7, 20288:24, 20291:8, 20319:1, 20331:13, 20348:8, 20349:3, 20369:3, 20378:5, 20381:1, 20381:15, 20384:10, 20385:8, 20386:4, 20387:23, 20393:11, 20393:13, 20395:20, 20407:23, 20408:2, 20408:6, 20408:21, 20409:21, 20411:9, 20416:1, 20416:3, 20419:14, 20419:21, 20420:3, 20420:4, 20420:8, 20420:14,	20422:8, 20424:2, 20424:9, 20424:18, 20425:12, 20434:12, 20435:3, 20435:23, 20436:23, 20437:8, 20440:21, 20442:6, 20445:7, 20454:1, 20455:8 <b>investigations</b> [2] - 20287:23, 20394:24 <b>investigative</b> [3] - 20308:16, 20438:13, 20448:1 <b>investigator</b> [18] - 20213:4, 20217:15, 20217:16, 20228:8, 20234:7, 20265:8, 20265:20, 20266:12, 20266:15, 20267:21, 20268:9, 20273:22, 20291:7, 20367:12, 20371:8, 20391:9, 20391:13, 20428:25 <b>investigators</b> [8] - 20212:20, 20244:23, 20246:11, 20266:4, 20272:24, 20311:3, 20376:24, 20455:9 <b>invited</b> [1] - 20290:3 <b>involve</b> [1] - 20439:21 <b>involved</b> [41] - 20214:19, 20214:25, 20215:7, 20221:23, 20227:17, 20227:18, 20234:10, 20265:6, 20266:4, 20281:17, 20289:10, 20290:3, 20291:1, 20314:25, 20315:2, 20316:23, 20317:17, 20320:11, 20329:3, 20331:17, 20340:7, 20351:5, 20353:23, 20383:10, 20384:14, 20384:16, 20385:7, 20386:21, 20389:20, 20394:23, 20398:11, 20398:24, 20408:16, 20415:9, 20420:21, 20428:18, 20441:4, 20446:8, 20446:17, 20447:15, 20447:17 <b>involvement</b> [4] - 20214:21, 20214:22, 20260:14, 20288:22 <b>involving</b> [2] - 20264:1, 20398:12 <b>Irene</b> [1] - 20209:9 <b>Irwin</b> [1] - 20210:14 <b>isolate</b> [1] - 20384:24	<b>issue</b> [34] - 20250:7, 20254:19, 20254:23, 20255:1, 20255:7, 20256:17, 20258:18, 20259:20, 20260:19, 20269:8, 20270:17, 20271:2, 20278:18, 20283:4, 20283:15, 20283:16, 20283:19, 20322:23, 20333:7, 20333:8, 20335:13, 20337:18, 20349:10, 20367:13, 20369:24, 20375:23, 20379:19, 20412:19, 20413:12, 20429:20, 20430:8, 20452:17, 20452:18, 20453:24 <b>issued</b> [1] - 20326:12 <b>issues</b> [16] - 20236:18, 20236:19, 20281:18, 20318:23, 20323:11, 20323:21, 20334:18, 20349:1, 20360:15, 20383:8, 20386:1, 20426:5, 20433:16, 20441:3, 20452:8 <b>item</b> [3] - 20353:3, 20353:6, 20410:17 <b>itself</b> [4] - 20248:4, 20294:4, 20297:16, 20396:2	20390:7, 20390:10, 20394:2 <b>Johrls</b> [4] - 20300:15, 20301:11, 20302:22, 20302:24 <b>Jones</b> [1] - 20305:12 <b>Joneses</b> [1] - 20307:15 <b>Joyce</b> [3] - 20210:3, 20318:21, 20426:4 <b>judgement</b> [1] - 20321:7 <b>judicial</b> [1] - 20394:10 <b>july</b> [1] - 20354:18 <b>July</b> [16] - 20229:5, 20229:10, 20277:11, 20347:6, 20347:7, 20354:9, 20354:23, 20379:24, 20380:1, 20380:19, 20380:22, 20381:3, 20387:12, 20435:16, 20435:24, 20436:19 <b>jumped</b> [1] - 20428:24 <b>jumping</b> [1] - 20332:18 <b>June</b> [1] - 20342:8 <b>junior</b> [1] - 20288:9 <b>juries</b> [3] - 20321:2, 20325:5 <b>jurisdiction</b> [2] - 20455:10, 20455:15 <b>jury</b> [10] - 20250:6, 20250:10, 20293:11, 20294:6, 20319:18, 20325:1, 20326:2, 20362:15, 20363:2, 20363:15 <b>jury's</b> [1] - 20237:2 <b>justice</b> [12] - 20232:16, 20319:5, 20319:11, 20320:24, 20324:7, 20335:2, 20364:4, 20376:6, 20378:19, 20378:23, 20383:14, 20396:4 <b>Justice</b> [32] - 20208:6, 20210:13, 20210:15, 20214:23, 20235:5, 20235:7, 20240:10, 20244:12, 20247:13, 20254:13, 20256:25, 20257:10, 20257:14, 20258:16, 20272:25, 20286:20, 20325:12, 20336:11, 20344:16, 20346:7, 20367:9, 20371:16, 20373:13, 20407:5, 20407:14, 20408:19, 20411:8, 20415:7, 20419:8, 20423:21, 20431:3
<b>J</b>				
<b>jail</b> [11] - 20248:20, 20260:24, 20321:3, 20327:6, 20327:8, 20327:9, 20335:22, 20337:3, 20345:9, 20377:12, 20386:22 <b>jailhouse</b> [1] - 20239:7 <b>January</b> [8] - 20292:17, 20297:9, 20303:8, 20303:18, 20303:25, 20340:20, 20380:15, 20423:23 <b>Joanne</b> [2] - 20210:3, 20318:21 <b>job</b> [8] - 20231:18, 20240:20, 20243:7, 20330:19, 20330:22, 20331:1, 20331:5, 20409:3 <b>John</b> [12] - 20292:19, 20293:7, 20295:18, 20298:8, 20299:13, 20300:22, 20302:4, 20304:20, 20305:3,				



<b>K</b>	20402:6, 20402:15, 20402:20, 20428:21, 20428:23, 20428:24, 20429:2 <b>knives</b> [1] - 20249:25 <b>knock</b> [1] - 20275:23 <b>knowing</b> [2] - 20325:21, 20332:11 <b>knowledge</b> [25] - 20214:24, 20225:10, 20225:16, 20230:4, 20234:15, 20264:3, 20264:4, 20319:13, 20321:9, 20328:21, 20346:11, 20349:9, 20351:14, 20374:19, 20375:18, 20419:8, 20436:20, 20437:3, 20443:2, 20443:4, 20443:11, 20443:12, 20444:3, 20455:15, 20460:6 <b>knowledgeable</b> [1] - 20378:11 <b>known</b> [15] - 20275:11, 20278:12, 20306:13, 20307:7, 20308:6, 20320:15, 20322:21, 20326:3, 20343:7, 20380:1, 20398:23, 20398:24, 20430:19, 20432:4, 20437:12 <b>knows</b> [4] - 20242:15, 20290:12, 20313:4, 20331:13 <b>Knox</b> [1] - 20210:5 <b>Krogan</b> [1] - 20210:4 <b>Kujawa</b> [1] - 20210:6	20224:12, 20227:17, 20228:12, 20229:22, 20236:23, 20237:10, 20237:12, 20237:17, 20238:2, 20247:7, 20247:25, 20248:17, 20249:1, 20249:6, 20249:15, 20249:21, 20260:17, 20260:22, 20261:9, 20262:1, 20285:9, 20285:12, 20287:12, 20289:3, 20289:8, 20289:12, 20290:17, 20312:6, 20325:18, 20326:3, 20327:24, 20328:24, 20330:16, 20333:12, 20337:8, 20337:21, 20338:7, 20338:14, 20341:1, 20342:1, 20344:22, 20352:17, 20354:10, 20355:15, 20355:19, 20362:19, 20363:13, 20363:24, 20365:19, 20367:4, 20368:8, 20369:5, 20369:12, 20369:16, 20370:1, 20370:23, 20377:21, 20377:22, 20378:5, 20378:6, 20382:2, 20397:20, 20398:4, 20398:21, 20398:24, 20399:13, 20404:9, 20415:9, 20416:1, 20417:22, 20418:20, 20419:2, 20424:6, 20424:9, 20428:18, 20432:10, 20435:4, 20435:9, 20440:19, 20447:12, 20447:20, 20451:17 <b>Larrys</b> [2] - 20417:18, 20431:12 <b>Last</b> [1] - 20402:10 <b>last</b> [17] - 20237:5, 20251:25, 20259:15, 20277:4, 20277:5, 20288:17, 20323:17, 20349:5, 20356:25, 20357:11, 20397:16, 20402:8, 20422:22, 20430:12, 20431:8, 20445:8, 20454:25 <b>late</b> [4] - 20220:9, 20256:10, 20265:4, 20350:14 <b>latitude</b> [1] - 20316:2 <b>latter</b> [1] - 20331:11 <b>law</b> [6] - 20240:7, 20273:13, 20332:1,	20383:8, 20442:23, 20443:12 <b>lawyer</b> [7] - 20257:11, 20257:12, 20265:1, 20384:1, 20388:10, 20422:4, 20428:16 <b>lawyers</b> [3] - 20282:13, 20346:6, 20371:15 <b>lay</b> [3] - 20245:13, 20395:9, 20438:1 <b>laying</b> [1] - 20438:10 <b>lead</b> [4] - 20213:14, 20216:13, 20281:22, 20455:9 <b>Leader</b> [1] - 20454:18 <b>Leaderpost</b> [1] - 20454:18 <b>leading</b> [5] - 20214:6, 20225:4, 20302:12, 20392:10, 20421:20 <b>leads</b> [1] - 20223:8 <b>learn</b> [2] - 20386:7, 20386:8 <b>learned</b> [2] - 20354:9, 20411:21 <b>Learned</b> [1] - 20389:5 <b>learning</b> [2] - 20339:3, 20369:25 <b>least</b> [11] - 20255:8, 20256:6, 20270:23, 20272:24, 20285:17, 20334:12, 20337:17, 20396:11, 20397:22, 20404:9, 20438:24 <b>leave</b> [8] - 20216:22, 20218:25, 20269:6, 20294:25, 20300:8, 20313:9, 20384:24, 20391:10 <b>Leaving</b> [2] - 20265:19, 20266:11 <b>leaving</b> [2] - 20226:19, 20300:20 <b>led</b> [7] - 20214:12, 20218:3, 20282:19, 20394:24, 20400:16, 20444:6, 20446:9 <b>left</b> [16] - 20274:11, 20296:17, 20303:12, 20303:18, 20303:21, 20304:1, 20305:14, 20317:6, 20395:11, 20404:22, 20405:15, 20412:9, 20417:19, 20420:5, 20428:5, 20431:14 <b>left-hand</b> [1] - 20428:5 <b>legal</b> [6] - 20245:16, 20271:6, 20290:22, 20426:14, 20429:4,	20429:6 <b>legalities</b> [1] - 20375:19 <b>legality</b> [1] - 20440:9 <b>legs</b> [1] - 20263:15 <b>length</b> [1] - 20345:2 <b>less</b> [5] - 20287:22, 20302:23, 20344:19, 20439:6, 20439:13 <b>letter</b> [37] - 20225:20, 20229:5, 20231:25, 20237:4, 20240:16, 20243:8, 20243:10, 20247:23, 20249:4, 20249:9, 20272:8, 20362:4, 20362:6, 20363:12, 20364:5, 20366:1, 20366:6, 20367:19, 20367:25, 20368:2, 20370:7, 20386:17, 20414:12, 20414:16, 20414:22, 20415:5, 20415:7, 20416:8, 20422:3, 20422:5, 20424:16, 20450:12, 20457:16, 20457:21, 20458:12, 20458:20, 20458:25 <b>letters</b> [1] - 20420:23 <b>letting</b> [2] - 20246:4, 20258:14 <b>level</b> [1] - 20332:6 <b>lie</b> [2] - 20223:4, 20281:3 <b>lied</b> [1] - 20340:14 <b>life</b> [7] - 20219:11, 20258:19, 20267:22, 20284:11, 20290:6, 20349:2, 20350:14 <b>light</b> [4] - 20289:5, 20324:14, 20442:12, 20449:24 <b>lights</b> [5] - 20297:20, 20298:1, 20298:3, 20308:25, 20312:15 <b>likelihood</b> [3] - 20268:1, 20404:8, 20456:23 <b>likely</b> [7] - 20275:14, 20278:10, 20340:25, 20341:8, 20367:3, 20416:5, 20439:6 <b>likewise</b> [1] - 20256:21 <b>limit</b> [1] - 20230:18 <b>limitations</b> [1] - 20384:10 <b>limited</b> [2] - 20228:5, 20433:3 <b>Linda</b> [34] - 20218:19, 20219:21, 20219:25, 20237:19, 20339:6,
<b>L</b>	<b>lack</b> [1] - 20307:1 <b>lady</b> [1] - 20286:6 <b>laid</b> [3] - 20215:8, 20395:16, 20401:20 <b>Lana</b> [1] - 20210:4 <b>land</b> [1] - 20334:17 <b>landmark</b> [3] - 20297:1, 20297:7 <b>landmarks</b> [2] - 20392:4, 20392:12 <b>lane</b> [4] - 20222:16, 20305:17, 20313:10, 20313:11 <b>larger</b> [2] - 20348:8, 20442:12 <b>Larry</b> [87] - 20210:12, 20220:2, 20221:23, 20222:10, 20224:10,			



20340:15, 20352:17, 20415:19, 20417:13, 20417:17, 20417:21, 20417:24, 20418:2, 20421:21, 20424:25, 20425:3, 20427:3, 20427:12, 20427:14, 20428:13, 20428:17, 20429:2, 20429:11, 20429:17, 20430:3, 20430:12, 20430:20, 20431:5, 20431:8, 20431:11, 20432:4, 20432:15, 20433:21, 20434:4 <b>Lindás</b> [1] - 20399:8 <b>line</b> [4] - 20293:20, 20294:2, 20294:14, 20354:6 <b>link</b> [22] - 20227:22, 20228:22, 20238:2, 20240:6, 20282:22, 20289:3, 20328:4, 20330:6, 20330:9, 20330:16, 20330:21, 20333:12, 20333:15, 20334:4, 20336:24, 20337:8, 20337:21, 20337:23, 20343:20, 20398:7, 20406:14, 20454:11 <b>linked</b> [3] - 20331:7, 20406:3, 20448:6 <b>linking</b> [4] - 20239:4, 20239:21, 20364:19, 20399:13 <b>liquor</b> [1] - 20219:10 <b>listened</b> [1] - 20412:23 <b>litigation</b> [5] - 20233:12, 20233:19, 20233:23, 20335:13, 20335:24 <b>live</b> [1] - 20357:5 <b>lived</b> [9] - 20294:12, 20297:2, 20299:11, 20305:13, 20305:20, 20328:10, 20328:11, 20358:11, 20370:3 <b>living</b> [1] - 20341:24 <b>lo</b> [1] - 20397:1 <b>local</b> [2] - 20222:7, 20412:13 <b>locate</b> [2] - 20425:2 <b>located</b> [6] - 20279:18, 20294:15, 20294:17, 20372:23, 20424:25, 20427:3 <b>locating</b> [1] - 20434:4 <b>location</b> [7] - 20296:3, 20309:16, 20389:23,	20391:21, 20409:18, 20435:14 <b>locations</b> [1] - 20390:17 <b>logic</b> [4] - 20268:18, 20310:8, 20314:5, 20434:22 <b>logical</b> [5] - 20315:17, 20337:9, 20375:21, 20390:20, 20404:4 <b>longest</b> [1] - 20298:23 <b>look</b> [53] - 20218:15, 20218:19, 20218:24, 20220:20, 20225:13, 20229:17, 20230:10, 20235:7, 20236:20, 20237:4, 20239:12, 20241:19, 20243:5, 20247:8, 20259:13, 20263:15, 20267:6, 20270:3, 20274:1, 20284:15, 20287:21, 20288:9, 20289:4, 20289:11, 20291:12, 20292:3, 20308:9, 20311:24, 20317:17, 20324:6, 20325:6, 20335:20, 20346:22, 20382:5, 20384:17, 20385:2, 20386:2, 20386:7, 20394:18, 20395:22, 20397:3, 20401:2, 20401:11, 20405:22, 20406:2, 20406:6, 20411:3, 20414:20, 20416:10, 20421:24, 20447:1, 20448:3 <b>looked</b> [18] - 20226:9, 20239:9, 20239:22, 20239:25, 20247:18, 20263:4, 20277:17, 20277:19, 20277:20, 20292:4, 20383:6, 20402:21, 20432:1, 20436:4, 20436:6, 20437:10, 20439:8, 20451:17 <b>looking</b> [33] - 20215:20, 20221:19, 20221:23, 20222:3, 20225:24, 20234:8, 20280:18, 20289:1, 20289:13, 20296:25, 20304:19, 20338:24, 20339:16, 20344:6, 20345:10, 20346:3, 20346:4, 20360:21, 20378:1, 20380:20, 20383:1, 20394:17, 20396:11, 20398:3, 20398:4,	20398:6, 20399:12, 20400:12, 20401:11, 20405:7, 20419:15, 20432:15, 20440:9 <b>looks</b> [9] - 20307:25, 20339:7, 20372:2, 20392:15, 20392:16, 20396:24, 20402:15, 20406:1, 20451:4 <b>loomed</b> [1] - 20442:12 <b>loop</b> [2] - 20228:14, 20348:7 <b>Loran</b> [1] - 20210:7 <b>lose</b> [1] - 20246:17 <b>losers</b> [1] - 20335:6 <b>loss</b> [1] - 20223:16 <b>lottery</b> [1] - 20268:16 <b>lying</b> [1] - 20344:24	20345:9, 20383:11 <b>major</b> [2] - 20228:3, 20272:16 <b>male</b> [2] - 20222:12, 20263:13 <b>man</b> [5] - 20267:17, 20277:14, 20279:2, 20279:7, 20387:9 <b>managed</b> [2] - 20223:2, 20442:1 <b>management</b> [1] - 20386:1 <b>Manager</b> [1] - 20209:4 <b>managing</b> [2] - 20408:8, 20408:20 <b>mandate</b> [1] - 20238:18 <b>March</b> [34] - 20351:15, 20355:23, 20415:14, 20415:16, 20415:17, 20415:19, 20416:9, 20417:2, 20417:11, 20418:3, 20418:15, 20421:22, 20422:20, 20423:6, 20426:1, 20427:1, 20428:3, 20428:12, 20429:15, 20430:11, 20430:24, 20431:10, 20431:21, 20431:25, 20432:1, 20432:23, 20433:21, 20434:7, 20434:8, 20435:10, 20435:24, 20436:19 <b>Marie</b> [1] - 20298:4 <b>mark</b> [1] - 20384:5 <b>marks</b> [1] - 20281:20 <b>Marshall</b> [1] - 20321:22 <b>Martensville</b> [2] - 20214:19, 20215:21 <b>Mary</b> [1] - 20304:6 <b>Mary's</b> [3] - 20296:25, 20297:3, 20297:14 <b>matching</b> [1] - 20220:11 <b>material</b> [14] - 20226:11, 20228:4, 20228:21, 20230:18, 20244:7, 20257:16, 20263:25, 20291:18, 20310:5, 20372:15, 20372:16, 20373:6, 20442:10, 20450:3 <b>materials</b> [4] - 20235:17, 20246:10, 20371:18, 20378:10 <b>matter</b> [28] - 20214:7, 20231:5, 20232:4, 20235:6, 20241:16, 20243:22, 20245:15, 20252:20, 20260:4,	20269:11, 20272:11, 20280:25, 20286:17, 20288:17, 20318:12, 20370:17, 20379:22, 20381:2, 20385:17, 20386:13, 20394:9, 20395:16, 20408:3, 20408:12, 20409:19, 20411:16, 20412:25, 20413:19 <b>matters</b> [7] - 20233:11, 20233:18, 20366:25, 20434:21, 20434:23, 20457:2, 20457:3 <b>mature</b> [1] - 20218:9 <b>maturing</b> [2] - 20217:24, 20217:25 <b>Mccorriston</b> [2] - 20418:21, 20419:15 <b>Mccorriston's</b> [4] - 20418:24, 20419:1, 20419:2, 20419:17 <b>Mcintyre</b> [8] - 20233:20, 20233:21, 20234:8, 20234:17, 20244:12, 20247:13, 20258:4, 20270:6 <b>Mclean</b> [16] - 20210:3, 20211:8, 20318:17, 20318:21, 20336:8, 20347:22, 20348:6, 20349:15, 20349:20, 20353:5, 20353:9, 20366:7, 20375:6, 20375:9, 20381:13, 20382:15 <b>mean</b> [70] - 20217:22, 20218:12, 20219:6, 20223:8, 20228:17, 20236:14, 20239:11, 20242:14, 20253:15, 20253:24, 20256:13, 20257:8, 20261:2, 20261:10, 20268:12, 20268:15, 20274:14, 20278:2, 20279:20, 20280:17, 20281:2, 20281:4, 20281:13, 20283:12, 20284:10, 20289:24, 20291:20, 20310:11, 20314:2, 20315:7, 20321:11, 20322:19, 20325:5, 20325:7, 20329:16, 20330:11, 20333:14, 20333:15, 20333:17, 20334:23, 20335:3, 20337:13, 20337:23, 20340:1, 20344:2, 20347:20, 20351:7,
<b>M</b>				
<b>M.o.'s</b> [2] - 20353:14, 20428:21 <b>Maccallum</b> [44] - 20208:7, 20212:3, 20250:20, 20250:24, 20251:4, 20251:8, 20252:18, 20253:7, 20253:14, 20253:22, 20254:8, 20255:15, 20256:8, 20256:18, 20258:25, 20259:6, 20259:22, 20260:1, 20261:16, 20261:20, 20268:22, 20268:24, 20271:3, 20271:17, 20276:21, 20276:24, 20277:7, 20277:10, 20277:12, 20280:7, 20280:16, 20280:20, 20292:9, 20348:5, 20349:13, 20349:18, 20353:4, 20366:5, 20381:12, 20382:12, 20406:22, 20448:24, 20449:5, 20459:11 <b>Mail</b> [2] - 20250:23, 20251:14 <b>mail</b> [1] - 20420:6 <b>main</b> [4] - 20215:19, 20311:2, 20368:9, 20424:8 <b>maintain</b> [4] - 20287:6, 20327:21, 20343:22, 20347:2 <b>maintained</b> [1] - 20347:3 <b>maintaining</b> [4] - 20254:18, 20273:1,				



20356:10, 20373:24, 20377:23, 20379:4, 20383:23, 20383:25, 20385:4, 20385:25, 20386:8, 20389:16, 20397:6, 20397:12, 20400:8, 20400:19, 20401:1, 20401:5, 20401:10, 20415:1, 20443:11, 20446:5, 20446:19, 20447:7, 20457:12 <b>meaning</b> [2] - 20333:6, 20418:23 <b>means</b> [5] - 20276:20, 20302:4, 20320:23, 20330:9, 20358:15 <b>meant</b> [9] - 20276:15, 20276:18, 20277:16, 20329:19, 20329:24, 20330:2, 20355:20, 20359:24, 20360:6 <b>measure</b> [1] - 20346:16 <b>media</b> [22] - 20235:4, 20261:6, 20261:10, 20261:11, 20261:14, 20261:23, 20261:24, 20262:4, 20262:10, 20262:16, 20262:17, 20262:24, 20272:6, 20284:5, 20322:16, 20327:15, 20327:23, 20327:24, 20328:1, 20328:2, 20380:6, 20412:13 <b>media's</b> [1] - 20260:14 <b>median</b> [1] - 20295:23 <b>meet</b> [2] - 20243:20, 20338:3 <b>meeting</b> [7] - 20231:7, 20231:9, 20242:12, 20418:14, 20433:1, 20433:5, 20433:17 <b>meetings</b> [1] - 20420:22 <b>member</b> [2] - 20233:23, 20341:21 <b>Member</b> [2] - 20229:24, 20230:1 <b>members</b> [1] - 20433:17 <b>Members</b> [1] - 20457:7 <b>memo</b> [4] - 20252:13, 20269:15, 20380:3, 20415:13 <b>memorandum</b> [1] - 20415:18 <b>memories</b> [5] - 20341:11, 20350:25, 20351:2, 20351:6 <b>memory</b> [3] - 20275:20,	20354:13, 20399:7 <b>men</b> [2] - 20267:18, 20268:12 <b>mental</b> [3] - 20279:3, 20286:8, 20348:25 <b>mentioned</b> [10] - 20226:7, 20250:15, 20263:9, 20264:11, 20291:19, 20311:10, 20344:20, 20438:16, 20443:15, 20453:17 <b>mercy</b> [2] - 20336:13, 20336:16 <b>mere</b> [3] - 20344:9, 20398:11 <b>merit</b> [1] - 20310:20 <b>Merriman</b> [1] - 20304:17 <b>Merrimans</b> [2] - 20307:14, 20310:9 <b>message</b> [1] - 20420:6 <b>met</b> [3] - 20285:4, 20359:21, 20444:2 <b>method</b> [2] - 20248:14, 20291:9 <b>Meyer</b> [3] - 20209:11, 20460:2, 20460:19 <b>microfiche</b> [2] - 20372:19, 20372:22 <b>mid</b> [1] - 20354:18 <b>mid-july</b> [1] - 20354:18 <b>middle</b> [2] - 20259:17, 20405:4 <b>might</b> [52] - 20212:19, 20216:15, 20217:15, 20218:7, 20218:8, 20219:19, 20223:5, 20231:16, 20252:16, 20253:14, 20254:10, 20256:17, 20264:23, 20265:8, 20265:24, 20274:14, 20281:22, 20283:6, 20306:21, 20315:3, 20317:14, 20324:25, 20326:2, 20337:16, 20339:13, 20339:20, 20351:9, 20377:11, 20385:25, 20386:1, 20389:10, 20391:13, 20392:5, 20393:5, 20395:3, 20395:21, 20396:10, 20402:14, 20402:19, 20405:7, 20405:21, 20406:6, 20409:18, 20411:11, 20439:4, 20439:7, 20443:8, 20449:18, 20449:20, 20450:25, 20451:14 <b>Milgaard</b> [111] -	20208:4, 20210:2, 20210:3, 20212:13, 20224:21, 20226:14, 20227:1, 20227:18, 20229:21, 20232:22, 20240:25, 20241:18, 20243:19, 20247:17, 20250:9, 20251:12, 20251:21, 20267:1, 20272:5, 20276:5, 20278:19, 20280:2, 20282:14, 20283:17, 20284:3, 20284:10, 20284:18, 20285:22, 20287:9, 20287:18, 20288:3, 20289:14, 20292:16, 20295:10, 20296:10, 20296:13, 20296:17, 20296:24, 20297:10, 20298:8, 20299:25, 20300:8, 20300:13, 20300:19, 20300:23, 20301:14, 20301:23, 20302:5, 20305:9, 20306:7, 20307:8, 20316:6, 20318:21, 20319:4, 20325:24, 20328:15, 20333:6, 20336:10, 20337:3, 20342:14, 20343:10, 20346:12, 20349:3, 20363:21, 20367:3, 20369:10, 20370:14, 20370:18, 20371:8, 20371:14, 20371:15, 20373:7, 20377:10, 20377:14, 20378:16, 20379:9, 20379:18, 20379:24, 20380:10, 20381:9, 20386:15, 20386:22, 20387:7, 20390:11, 20393:1, 20394:9, 20396:21, 20397:12, 20397:19, 20397:22, 20399:23, 20400:4, 20401:14, 20410:25, 20415:22, 20417:17, 20423:20, 20426:4, 20427:11, 20428:16, 20429:10, 20429:17, 20431:12, 20432:3, 20432:17, 20438:7, 20444:18, 20448:20, 20449:11, 20450:1, 20451:15 <b>Milgaard's</b> [15] - 20239:1, 20247:12, 20248:23, 20257:9, 20284:21, 20294:4, 20327:2, 20345:8,	20364:16, 20366:2, 20369:7, 20411:9, 20422:3, 20423:13, 20424:6 <b>Milgaard/wilson/john</b> [1] - 20316:22 <b>Milgaards</b> [5] - 20368:3, 20379:24, 20380:1, 20381:9, 20426:6 <b>Milgaards'</b> [1] - 20386:14 <b>Miller</b> [96] - 20222:18, 20223:10, 20224:14, 20227:20, 20228:22, 20238:23, 20248:15, 20249:12, 20249:17, 20250:2, 20264:1, 20265:11, 20267:8, 20289:3, 20292:24, 20293:15, 20294:5, 20294:12, 20294:19, 20294:25, 20295:16, 20296:4, 20296:15, 20296:21, 20296:22, 20298:12, 20298:16, 20298:25, 20300:6, 20300:11, 20300:13, 20300:19, 20301:9, 20302:2, 20303:9, 20303:12, 20303:18, 20304:1, 20304:3, 20306:9, 20308:12, 20308:20, 20312:2, 20312:9, 20312:21, 20313:4, 20315:21, 20326:12, 20327:12, 20330:7, 20330:16, 20330:22, 20333:13, 20334:5, 20336:25, 20337:9, 20337:21, 20339:13, 20341:17, 20343:5, 20343:21, 20352:21, 20353:23, 20355:16, 20358:14, 20368:25, 20369:1, 20382:4, 20393:13, 20397:19, 20397:20, 20398:6, 20399:14, 20401:16, 20403:4, 20404:2, 20404:11, 20404:22, 20405:20, 20414:8, 20415:10, 20416:3, 20416:16, 20432:11, 20435:19, 20436:2, 20438:15, 20439:1, 20444:16, 20446:16, 20446:25, 20447:3, 20447:17, 20454:13, 20455:8	<b>Miller's</b> [10] - 20223:6, 20228:10, 20237:18, 20238:3, 20265:21, 20301:22, 20302:6, 20303:16, 20424:7, 20455:2 <b>mind</b> [21] - 20219:9, 20222:5, 20251:21, 20253:1, 20271:21, 20274:24, 20275:2, 20282:14, 20282:16, 20286:6, 20287:17, 20316:15, 20327:10, 20334:3, 20346:20, 20395:15, 20399:7, 20420:1, 20440:15, 20441:14, 20442:13 <b>mind-set</b> [1] - 20395:15 <b>minded</b> [1] - 20288:1 <b>minds</b> [2] - 20213:11, 20215:15 <b>mine</b> [2] - 20356:9, 20356:13 <b>Minister</b> [17] - 20210:13, 20230:19, 20230:23, 20231:8, 20231:9, 20264:4, 20344:15, 20365:9, 20365:16, 20367:9, 20368:1, 20368:21, 20370:6, 20373:13, 20407:4, 20423:21, 20457:20 <b>minister</b> [2] - 20373:19, 20375:16 <b>Minister's</b> [2] - 20366:6, 20457:21 <b>minister's</b> [1] - 20374:6 <b>Ministries</b> [11] - 20229:19, 20230:12, 20368:23, 20371:5, 20371:9, 20443:16, 20443:17, 20443:19, 20444:5, 20451:6, 20457:22 <b>minus</b> [1] - 20298:21 <b>minute</b> [1] - 20415:6 <b>minutes</b> [4] - 20265:11, 20268:1, 20305:15, 20318:19 <b>miscarriage</b> [1] - 20364:4 <b>miscarriages</b> [2] - 20320:24, 20321:1 <b>mislead</b> [1] - 20373:1 <b>Miss</b> [2] - 20293:15, 20304:2 <b>missed</b> [1] - 20290:13 <b>missing</b> [9] - 20237:20, 20238:12, 20238:16,
---	--	--	---	--





20352:17, 20363:3, 20425:1, 20441:16, 20441:19, 20442:7 <b>mistake</b> [4] - 20335:21, 20385:1, 20386:4, 20395:1 <b>mistaken</b> [4] - 20273:18, 20279:16, 20298:6, 20351:20 <b>mistakes</b> [5] - 20257:21, 20257:22, 20319:24, 20384:22, 20386:9 <b>mistrust</b> [2] - 20378:18, 20378:20 <b>misunderstood</b> [1] - 20290:14 <b>mix</b> [4] - 20315:7, 20326:17, 20335:21, 20335:24 <b>Mo</b> [8] - 20222:11, 20224:13, 20231:20, 20288:8, 20314:20, 20353:18, 20358:11, 20428:23 <b>modus</b> [1] - 20406:15 <b>moment</b> [4] - 20218:19, 20383:22, 20384:7, 20458:15 <b>month</b> [2] - 20434:2, 20434:5 <b>months</b> [6] - 20251:18, 20282:21, 20299:12, 20381:5, 20435:3, 20437:9 <b>Moreover</b> [1] - 20296:24 <b>Morin</b> [2] - 20322:2, 20387:4 <b>morning</b> [30] - 20212:3, 20212:4, 20220:3, 20238:17, 20267:17, 20267:25, 20268:14, 20272:1, 20274:8, 20274:11, 20274:15, 20275:22, 20293:18, 20297:8, 20303:19, 20304:1, 20318:20, 20338:22, 20340:11, 20347:24, 20364:14, 20392:5, 20399:6, 20400:5, 20400:13, 20402:24, 20416:19, 20416:21, 20417:22, 20417:25 <b>most</b> [14] - 20214:1, 20216:19, 20244:2, 20274:8, 20294:21, 20300:12, 20302:21, 20313:14, 20323:9,	20388:16, 20389:16, 20395:12, 20395:15, 20445:19 <b>motel</b> [1] - 20301:19 <b>mother</b> [5] - 20273:13, 20377:11, 20377:15, 20377:18 <b>mother-in-law</b> [1] - 20273:13 <b>motions</b> [1] - 20301:3 <b>motivated</b> [1] - 20378:10 <b>motivating</b> [1] - 20401:2 <b>motivations</b> [1] - 20401:5 <b>motive</b> [1] - 20223:21 <b>mouth</b> [4] - 20213:11, 20222:14, 20222:20, 20222:22 <b>move</b> [9] - 20270:25, 20272:1, 20333:19, 20396:4, 20415:11, 20426:10, 20427:6, 20431:6, 20450:20 <b>moved</b> [1] - 20301:4 <b>moving</b> [2] - 20290:6, 20333:5 <b>murder</b> [33] - 20228:10, 20237:21, 20238:10, 20249:8, 20293:18, 20298:7, 20304:7, 20317:2, 20330:17, 20338:22, 20340:14, 20353:18, 20353:23, 20355:16, 20382:8, 20391:14, 20397:19, 20397:20, 20398:6, 20401:16, 20404:2, 20405:19, 20414:8, 20415:10, 20416:19, 20416:21, 20416:25, 20432:12, 20435:20, 20436:3, 20439:1, 20447:17, 20455:8 <b>murdered</b> [1] - 20404:10 <b>murdering</b> [1] - 20318:1 <b>must</b> [6] - 20244:4, 20246:12, 20293:18, 20306:5, 20399:14, 20450:23	20415:8, 20424:5, 20438:24, 20439:2, 20449:23, 20450:2, 20450:14 <b>named</b> [1] - 20327:25 <b>names</b> [3] - 20343:12, 20354:9, 20380:4 <b>Narration</b> [2] - 20211:5, 20292:14 <b>narrative</b> [3] - 20213:22, 20213:23, 20390:15 <b>nature</b> [2] - 20249:18, 20421:12 <b>Nco</b> [1] - 20407:22 <b>near</b> [4] - 20249:1, 20280:11, 20306:8, 20388:11 <b>nearby</b> [1] - 20312:4 <b>necessarily</b> [3] - 20242:11, 20341:14, 20424:13 <b>necessary</b> [3] - 20311:13, 20311:14, 20454:9 <b>need</b> [9] - 20230:18, 20255:2, 20323:13, 20323:15, 20323:16, 20350:23, 20356:22, 20364:3, 20404:21 <b>needed</b> [2] - 20302:5, 20423:20 <b>needing</b> [1] - 20330:21 <b>needs</b> [2] - 20255:16, 20381:21 <b>negative</b> [2] - 20222:8, 20441:18 <b>neglected</b> [1] - 20445:16 <b>neighbourhood</b> [5] - 20228:1, 20307:13, 20339:24, 20361:25, 20406:4 <b>never</b> [49] - 20226:12, 20226:20, 20230:6, 20230:11, 20232:18, 20250:10, 20252:6, 20266:25, 20278:5, 20278:16, 20280:23, 20281:6, 20284:14, 20286:7, 20287:1, 20288:2, 20290:7, 20291:15, 20295:22, 20306:15, 20309:4, 20309:7, 20309:15, 20309:18, 20320:11, 20340:25, 20365:10, 20365:15, 20366:11, 20368:18, 20370:1, 20372:10, 20372:12,	20393:16, 20412:10, 20439:22, 20440:20, 20443:15, 20444:2, 20445:1, 20445:2, 20446:9, 20447:12, 20447:16, 20447:23, 20453:21, 20456:19 <b>nevertheless</b> [1] - 20295:24 <b>new</b> [11] - 20257:3, 20268:19, 20324:13, 20325:13, 20325:18, 20334:2, 20369:3, 20395:13, 20395:14, 20422:7, 20423:22 <b>news</b> [2] - 20269:4, 20269:6 <b>newspaper</b> [6] - 20248:6, 20248:8, 20248:11, 20403:16, 20403:17, 20412:13 <b>next</b> [19] - 20221:10, 20236:6, 20250:25, 20271:6, 20311:23, 20322:1, 20333:20, 20368:24, 20415:20, 20416:4, 20416:7, 20425:23, 20426:10, 20450:10, 20457:17, 20457:24, 20457:25 <b>nice</b> [2] - 20318:1, 20378:15 <b>Nichol</b> [13] - 20292:19, 20293:7, 20299:13, 20300:14, 20300:22, 20301:11, 20302:4, 20302:21, 20302:24, 20304:20, 20390:7, 20390:10, 20394:2 <b>night</b> [2] - 20402:10, 20421:3 <b>nightmare</b> [2] - 20246:1, 20246:2 <b>nine</b> [2] - 20282:21, 20445:9 <b>nobody</b> [5] - 20235:1, 20335:16, 20335:17, 20373:9 <b>non</b> [1] - 20274:19 <b>non-event</b> [1] - 20274:19 <b>none</b> [4] - 20235:1, 20236:3, 20341:2, 20398:12 <b>normal</b> [4] - 20315:5, 20318:2, 20351:4, 20436:8 <b>normally</b> [3] - 20213:16, 20213:17, 20274:18	<b>North</b> [7] - 20353:16, 20355:4, 20371:20, 20427:2, 20428:22, 20433:20, 20434:5 <b>north</b> [4] - 20301:7, 20305:15, 20305:22, 20390:24 <b>north-south</b> [1] - 20301:7 <b>notation</b> [1] - 20263:23 <b>note</b> [4] - 20229:19, 20249:9, 20264:24, 20444:22 <b>noted</b> [6] - 20212:10, 20238:9, 20248:24, 20353:14, 20394:1, 20428:21 <b>notes</b> [27] - 20231:5, 20231:11, 20273:10, 20283:5, 20306:20, 20353:5, 20356:2, 20356:3, 20356:6, 20356:7, 20356:9, 20365:13, 20417:6, 20418:12, 20418:14, 20419:15, 20419:17, 20419:19, 20419:20, 20419:22, 20421:19, 20427:20, 20427:21, 20427:24, 20429:7, 20429:16, 20460:6 <b>nothing</b> [15] - 20217:10, 20217:13, 20246:17, 20259:2, 20274:11, 20277:17, 20287:17, 20304:22, 20305:18, 20305:25, 20337:11, 20371:11, 20381:5, 20417:21, 20419:6 <b>notice</b> [1] - 20411:23 <b>noticed</b> [1] - 20235:3 <b>notation</b> [4] - 20213:5, 20305:8, 20436:1, 20436:24 <b>notwithstanding</b> [1] - 20387:8 <b>Nova</b> [1] - 20321:24 <b>November</b> [4] - 20208:21, 20410:19, 20410:20, 20411:6 <b>number</b> [31] - 20212:11, 20215:6, 20227:25, 20232:4, 20236:21, 20248:24, 20250:1, 20250:17, 20250:22, 20251:5, 20260:24, 20284:16, 20312:19, 20316:1, 20322:14, 20328:6, 20353:3, 20353:6, 20394:8,
	<b>N</b>			
	<b>name</b> [14] - 20264:11, 20282:19, 20304:6, 20318:20, 20369:17, 20387:8, 20407:3,			



20404:20, 20405:1, 20420:21, 20420:24, 20424:7, 20435:1, 20441:8, 20441:15, 20443:24, 20445:9, 20450:11, 20454:8 <b>numbered</b> [1] - 20353:7 <b>nurse</b> [5] - 20220:4, 20304:7, 20351:22, 20352:5, 20352:22 <b>nurse</b> [1] - 20400:6 <b>nurses</b> [1] - 20454:23 <b>nutshell</b> [2] - 20215:18, 20278:22 <b>Nyczai</b> [2] - 20303:7, 20304:10	<b>occupations</b> [1] - 20249:19 <b>occurred</b> [17] - 20238:17, 20265:22, 20292:22, 20293:1, 20294:5, 20297:4, 20300:10, 20311:3, 20321:1, 20395:2, 20405:5, 20406:13, 20435:5, 20435:19, 20436:2, 20436:17, 20437:9 <b>occurrence</b> [2] - 20421:23, 20424:22 <b>occurring</b> [1] - 20324:10 <b>October</b> [2] - 20228:24, 20235:20 <b>odds</b> [1] - 20452:5 <b>offence</b> [17] - 20220:20, 20227:17, 20227:18, 20227:20, 20281:2, 20282:18, 20293:14, 20328:10, 20353:15, 20391:14, 20391:15, 20396:14, 20397:5, 20398:8, 20428:21, 20428:23, 20453:21 <b>offences</b> [22] - 20228:1, 20228:9, 20249:15, 20345:22, 20351:14, 20351:17, 20353:1, 20353:11, 20354:13, 20354:24, 20355:15, 20368:16, 20373:16, 20374:5, 20374:10, 20380:21, 20396:9, 20403:3, 20428:18, 20436:17, 20446:23, 20450:6 <b>offender</b> [2] - 20328:3, 20328:9 <b>offer</b> [5] - 20259:6, 20262:8, 20262:20, 20278:10, 20278:16 <b>offhand</b> [1] - 20306:20 <b>office</b> [4] - 20317:7, 20329:16, 20388:15, 20418:17 <b>officer</b> [9] - 20212:8, 20227:12, 20290:12, 20316:18, 20389:7, 20394:21, 20395:20, 20441:3, 20441:4 <b>Officer</b> [5] - 20209:12, 20230:9, 20243:7, 20402:25, 20419:1 <b>officers</b> [3] - 20302:10, 20302:16, 20409:9 <b>Official</b> [5] - 20209:10,	20460:1, 20460:3, 20460:14, 20460:20 <b>official</b> [1] - 20344:16 <b>officials</b> [7] - 20232:6, 20232:11, 20232:21, 20233:4, 20244:1, 20244:22, 20346:7 <b>often</b> [2] - 20267:21, 20324:9 <b>old</b> [17] - 20218:8, 20218:10, 20248:11, 20274:4, 20274:14, 20274:18, 20276:19, 20277:15, 20277:23, 20286:24, 20288:10, 20347:6, 20349:10, 20349:25, 20351:3, 20389:7 <b>older</b> [1] - 20217:19 <b>once</b> [8] - 20242:21, 20253:23, 20280:23, 20281:7, 20296:8, 20317:25, 20318:11, 20324:12 <b>One</b> [1] - 20398:2 <b>one</b> [100] - 20214:1, 20215:19, 20217:18, 20218:15, 20221:3, 20221:11, 20222:8, 20226:21, 20227:20, 20229:16, 20232:21, 20235:9, 20236:21, 20237:9, 20237:17, 20242:4, 20242:12, 20250:2, 20253:24, 20255:6, 20260:18, 20265:16, 20266:1, 20269:20, 20269:21, 20271:5, 20271:6, 20271:11, 20273:1, 20273:13, 20280:18, 20282:19, 20291:18, 20291:21, 20291:22, 20293:21, 20294:13, 20297:2, 20302:11, 20305:2, 20311:2, 20317:14, 20320:11, 20323:21, 20335:11, 20340:24, 20343:25, 20345:15, 20346:1, 20347:23, 20351:21, 20352:6, 20352:12, 20352:15, 20352:16, 20353:15, 20355:4, 20356:24, 20359:8, 20362:3, 20364:6, 20367:4, 20372:3, 20372:4, 20372:18, 20374:24, 20376:25, 20377:1, 20384:17,	20390:24, 20394:3, 20394:5, 20397:12, 20397:24, 20397:25, 20398:1, 20402:23, 20405:23, 20417:2, 20418:10, 20420:2, 20422:12, 20426:18, 20428:21, 20429:8, 20434:2, 20440:4, 20441:6, 20444:17, 20447:18, 20447:19, 20449:6, 20452:25, 20455:24, 20457:18, 20457:25, 20458:15, 20459:3 <b>ones</b> [2] - 20228:2, 20355:11 <b>open</b> [29] - 20245:12, 20246:15, 20246:19, 20259:7, 20271:13, 20288:1, 20324:16, 20324:21, 20328:19, 20332:8, 20332:17, 20332:18, 20334:23, 20335:16, 20346:20, 20365:21, 20369:2, 20370:8, 20376:3, 20376:9, 20376:11, 20376:13, 20379:20, 20383:4, 20384:5, 20396:10, 20444:14, 20444:21, 20445:17 <b>open-minded</b> [1] - 20288:1 <b>opened</b> [2] - 20275:24, 20331:12 <b>opening</b> [1] - 20440:20 <b>openness</b> [3] - 20270:11, 20375:11, 20397:9 <b>operandi</b> [1] - 20406:15 <b>operated</b> [2] - 20289:6, 20289:8 <b>operating</b> [6] - 20224:13, 20361:25, 20378:18, 20435:25, 20436:24, 20443:21 <b>operation</b> [3] - 20248:14, 20438:17, 20439:20 <b>operations</b> [1] - 20316:19 <b>operator</b> [2] - 20440:23, 20448:9 <b>opinion</b> [10] - 20228:18, 20231:2, 20234:23, 20240:23, 20244:10, 20258:5, 20262:8, 20282:23, 20342:25, 20355:16	<b>opportunity</b> [10] - 20243:20, 20245:2, 20246:18, 20290:24, 20291:2, 20339:13, 20339:20, 20385:2, 20386:5, 20386:8 <b>opposed</b> [6] - 20330:10, 20332:24, 20337:23, 20382:5, 20400:22, 20441:24 <b>optimistic</b> [2] - 20260:25, 20271:25 <b>option</b> [5] - 20295:1, 20343:25, 20344:2, 20362:3, 20453:25 <b>options</b> [1] - 20383:2 <b>order</b> [6] - 20246:7, 20247:3, 20271:8, 20298:6, 20388:12, 20434:22 <b>ordered</b> [1] - 20304:18 <b>organization</b> [3] - 20384:20, 20384:23, 20386:12 <b>original</b> [1] - 20373:22 <b>Ottawa</b> [7] - 20231:4, 20234:9, 20236:12, 20407:14, 20412:17, 20420:6, 20427:9 <b>ought</b> [2] - 20376:2, 20384:14 <b>outcome</b> [1] - 20437:6 <b>outline</b> [1] - 20293:13 <b>outlined</b> [1] - 20374:1 <b>outlining</b> [2] - 20422:1, 20422:7 <b>outset</b> [2] - 20441:17, 20441:25 <b>outside</b> [2] - 20328:20, 20360:19 <b>outweigh</b> [1] - 20359:22 <b>overall</b> [4] - 20213:25, 20261:10, 20261:23, 20327:15 <b>overcome</b> [1] - 20387:5 <b>overlook</b> [1] - 20270:17 <b>oversaw</b> [1] - 20251:16 <b>overview</b> [1] - 20425:12 <b>overwhelmed</b> [2] - 20408:17, 20409:2 <b>overworked</b> [1] - 20447:23 <b>own</b> [10] - 20258:19, 20276:6, 20350:24, 20351:1, 20366:18, 20378:20, 20378:24, 20379:15, 20381:10, 20459:2
<b>O</b>				
<b>O'keefe</b> [1] - 20210:12 <b>objecting</b> [1] - 20253:5 <b>objective</b> [1] - 20383:24 <b>objectively</b> [1] - 20232:12 <b>observation</b> [3] - 20237:19, 20412:2, 20430:17 <b>observations</b> [3] - 20259:14, 20410:6, 20433:13 <b>observe</b> [2] - 20433:24, 20447:9 <b>observed</b> [1] - 20304:11 <b>obstacles</b> [2] - 20245:18, 20335:11 <b>obtain</b> [3] - 20250:5, 20423:12, 20423:17 <b>obtained</b> [11] - 20255:13, 20343:2, 20347:16, 20369:10, 20380:5, 20426:4, 20427:11, 20428:16, 20429:10, 20429:17, 20441:24 <b>obviate</b> [1] - 20348:3 <b>obvious</b> [2] - 20271:4, 20370:14 <b>obviously</b> [15] - 20231:13, 20237:13, 20240:24, 20266:17, 20286:12, 20289:16, 20291:22, 20358:2, 20397:21, 20401:21, 20404:25, 20405:5, 20405:18, 20440:2, 20446:16 <b>occasions</b> [1] - 20283:23				



<p><b>P</b></p> <p><b>package</b> [2] - 20219:13, 20226:8</p> <p><b>Page</b> [2] - 20211:2, 20354:4</p> <p><b>page</b> [45] - 20221:6, 20221:8, 20221:10, 20221:14, 20222:4, 20222:9, 20232:1, 20235:11, 20236:6, 20237:5, 20259:13, 20277:4, 20277:5, 20311:23, 20353:6, 20361:3, 20362:5, 20364:4, 20364:5, 20368:24, 20369:24, 20414:14, 20415:20, 20421:23, 20422:22, 20422:23, 20422:24, 20423:1, 20425:23, 20426:10, 20431:9, 20431:23, 20432:14, 20432:24, 20450:10, 20457:11, 20457:17, 20457:23, 20457:24, 20457:25, 20458:1, 20458:8</p> <p><b>pages</b> [12] - 20229:20, 20237:6, 20237:8, 20237:11, 20420:10, 20423:2, 20431:23, 20450:19, 20457:12, 20457:25, 20460:4</p> <p><b>pair</b> [1] - 20276:5</p> <p><b>Pambrun's</b> [3] - 20312:6, 20315:14, 20315:15</p> <p><b>panic</b> [1] - 20451:13</p> <p><b>pants</b> [3] - 20276:6, 20276:8, 20400:5</p> <p><b>pants'</b> [1] - 20276:10</p> <p><b>paper</b> [5] - 20219:7, 20264:15, 20283:10, 20283:18, 20445:2</p> <p><b>papers</b> [1] - 20283:8</p> <p><b>paragraph</b> [31] - 20220:20, 20220:22, 20222:4, 20232:2, 20237:9, 20237:15, 20239:20, 20243:15, 20248:4, 20256:15, 20259:15, 20259:17, 20263:5, 20284:21, 20359:6, 20361:3, 20416:10, 20421:24, 20423:16, 20424:23, 20425:21, 20426:11, 20427:7, 20429:8,</p>	<p>20430:10, 20430:13, 20430:23, 20431:8, 20454:10, 20454:20</p> <p><b>paragraphs</b> [2] - 20353:7, 20420:9</p> <p><b>parallel</b> [1] - 20378:23</p> <p><b>paraphrase</b> [1] - 20247:25</p> <p><b>paraphrased</b> [1] - 20272:12</p> <p><b>Pardon</b> [2] - 20276:23, 20309:6</p> <p><b>paring</b> [12] - 20237:20, 20238:15, 20249:25, 20352:13, 20352:23, 20358:12, 20401:24, 20401:25, 20402:3, 20402:6, 20402:15, 20402:20</p> <p><b>parked</b> [6] - 20312:4, 20312:25, 20313:9, 20314:8, 20390:25, 20392:16</p> <p><b>Parliament</b> [3] - 20229:25, 20230:2, 20457:7</p> <p><b>Part</b> [1] - 20450:22</p> <p><b>part</b> [58] - 20215:2, 20225:22, 20227:22, 20228:6, 20228:13, 20228:23, 20239:25, 20253:25, 20259:7, 20260:20, 20261:9, 20269:9, 20283:15, 20287:11, 20287:24, 20288:23, 20308:10, 20309:20, 20310:15, 20320:2, 20320:3, 20320:4, 20324:11, 20326:24, 20332:16, 20346:23, 20355:17, 20356:12, 20366:12, 20371:25, 20372:1, 20372:3, 20373:7, 20387:13, 20388:15, 20395:12, 20395:15, 20410:24, 20412:14, 20414:18, 20419:14, 20419:21, 20430:11, 20436:12, 20438:17, 20438:22, 20439:4, 20439:17, 20443:18, 20448:10, 20449:25, 20450:4, 20450:8, 20450:9, 20450:21, 20451:11, 20451:23, 20453:21</p> <p><b>partial</b> [2] - 20354:19, 20355:2</p> <p><b>partially</b> [1] - 20248:25</p>	<p><b>participant</b> [1] - 20290:8</p> <p><b>participate</b> [3] - 20385:16, 20386:11, 20393:15</p> <p><b>participating</b> [1] - 20384:25</p> <p><b>particular</b> [22] - 20228:7, 20233:5, 20243:21, 20247:11, 20252:19, 20256:11, 20259:7, 20270:1, 20271:1, 20282:18, 20302:13, 20329:3, 20369:5, 20385:22, 20410:8, 20414:22, 20415:15, 20417:1, 20418:4, 20422:19, 20449:10, 20452:17</p> <p><b>particularly</b> [3] - 20245:10, 20245:18, 20270:2</p> <p><b>particulars</b> [1] - 20405:1</p> <p><b>parties</b> [3] - 20242:5, 20307:6, 20332:7</p> <p><b>parts</b> [1] - 20324:17</p> <p><b>party</b> [2] - 20375:14</p> <p><b>pass</b> [2] - 20298:2, 20314:9</p> <p><b>passage</b> [1] - 20294:6</p> <p><b>passed</b> [2] - 20313:11, 20315:15</p> <p><b>passengers</b> [1] - 20341:16</p> <p><b>passing</b> [1] - 20344:10</p> <p><b>past</b> [4] - 20300:6, 20305:16, 20305:23, 20368:15</p> <p><b>pasts</b> [1] - 20246:16</p> <p><b>Pat</b> [1] - 20210:7</p> <p><b>path</b> [1] - 20216:15</p> <p><b>patrolling</b> [1] - 20392:2</p> <p><b>pattern</b> [1] - 20368:17</p> <p><b>patterns</b> [1] - 20225:25</p> <p><b>Paul</b> [2] - 20212:12, 20284:23</p> <p><b>pause</b> [2] - 20222:17, 20223:5</p> <p><b>pausing</b> [1] - 20239:6</p> <p><b>pay</b> [1] - 20387:21</p> <p><b>Peace</b> [1] - 20295:13</p> <p><b>Pearson</b> [36] - 20211:3, 20212:5, 20212:7, 20230:9, 20243:7, 20252:9, 20253:12, 20254:15, 20255:2, 20256:16, 20271:24, 20306:12, 20318:10, 20388:10, 20393:10,</p>	<p>20397:16, 20401:24, 20406:19, 20407:1, 20411:1, 20411:5, 20411:15, 20411:21, 20413:18, 20414:16, 20418:1, 20422:22, 20430:8, 20434:15, 20434:18, 20451:3, 20456:24, 20457:8, 20458:2, 20459:9, 20459:11</p> <p><b>peeled</b> [2] - 20402:9, 20402:18</p> <p><b>penitentiary</b> [2] - 20328:4, 20351:19</p> <p><b>Penitentiary</b> [1] - 20438:25</p> <p><b>people</b> [63] - 20213:9, 20213:10, 20214:14, 20215:6, 20216:19, 20216:24, 20217:1, 20218:4, 20218:13, 20227:4, 20231:8, 20232:22, 20236:4, 20236:9, 20241:18, 20246:16, 20251:22, 20252:3, 20261:12, 20262:18, 20262:24, 20272:4, 20292:4, 20304:25, 20307:8, 20307:13, 20307:20, 20311:11, 20316:2, 20319:12, 20321:6, 20323:17, 20323:21, 20325:14, 20333:2, 20335:13, 20338:13, 20347:2, 20347:17, 20364:24, 20376:11, 20376:14, 20379:10, 20379:14, 20379:21, 20382:7, 20383:7, 20383:10, 20384:4, 20384:13, 20389:16, 20390:13, 20396:9, 20397:4, 20399:22, 20403:18, 20406:2, 20439:6, 20439:24, 20440:8, 20447:9, 20453:2, 20453:4</p> <p><b>percent</b> [2] - 20232:23, 20251:22</p> <p><b>perception</b> [3] - 20212:20, 20217:5, 20385:5</p> <p><b>perceptions</b> [1] - 20233:7</p> <p><b>perfect</b> [1] - 20390:21</p> <p><b>perfectly</b> [1] - 20264:22</p> <p><b>perform</b> [2] - 20426:19, 20426:20</p>	<p><b>perhaps</b> [16] - 20212:12, 20212:20, 20217:15, 20218:10, 20243:5, 20260:9, 20269:6, 20272:9, 20272:20, 20292:3, 20316:14, 20319:3, 20384:13, 20385:17, 20397:3, 20438:8</p> <p><b>Perhaps</b> [4] - 20214:10, 20231:16, 20259:10, 20259:19</p> <p><b>period</b> [9] - 20296:11, 20299:23, 20300:16, 20318:4, 20398:19, 20398:20, 20398:21, 20437:7, 20453:9</p> <p><b>periods</b> [1] - 20456:22</p> <p><b>Peripherally</b> [1] - 20393:17</p> <p><b>permissible</b> [1] - 20271:2</p> <p><b>perpetrator</b> [2] - 20368:9, 20404:3</p> <p><b>person</b> [50] - 20212:14, 20216:8, 20222:25, 20223:9, 20224:12, 20224:13, 20228:8, 20228:12, 20228:20, 20228:21, 20228:25, 20235:20, 20243:21, 20260:23, 20261:1, 20263:14, 20268:2, 20268:5, 20282:25, 20284:13, 20286:7, 20290:11, 20290:12, 20319:20, 20327:6, 20327:8, 20335:22, 20365:3, 20383:20, 20384:8, 20388:3, 20389:9, 20396:25, 20397:2, 20398:16, 20400:19, 20403:2, 20405:15, 20405:24, 20406:5, 20406:8, 20408:1, 20415:8, 20423:11, 20436:10, 20440:4, 20454:4, 20454:22, 20454:24, 20455:23</p> <p><b>personal</b> [4] - 20377:18, 20383:19, 20453:2, 20453:14</p> <p><b>personalities</b> [1] - 20218:13</p> <p><b>personally</b> [3] - 20338:17, 20394:22, 20444:2</p> <p><b>perspective</b> [2] - 20227:15, 20241:7</p>
--	---	--	--	--



<p><b>persuade</b> [1] - 20240:12</p> <p><b>persuasive</b> [6] - 20240:9, 20353:22, 20353:25, 20354:5, 20361:12, 20361:23</p> <p><b>phase</b> [2] - 20341:10, 20420:3</p> <p><b>phone</b> [5] - 20230:22, 20231:13, 20440:2, 20440:5, 20446:12</p> <p><b>photo</b> [2] - 20238:9, 20429:1</p> <p><b>photograph</b> [3] - 20285:5, 20285:6, 20297:24</p> <p><b>photographs</b> [2] - 20283:9, 20284:10</p> <p><b>physical</b> [1] - 20382:22</p> <p><b>pick</b> [5] - 20226:21, 20269:25, 20356:12, 20392:3, 20440:6</p> <p><b>picked</b> [2] - 20274:10, 20275:5</p> <p><b>picture</b> [9] - 20214:3, 20269:7, 20283:17, 20284:3, 20285:9, 20285:12, 20410:25, 20451:18, 20452:4</p> <p><b>pictures</b> [1] - 20284:5</p> <p><b>piece</b> [4] - 20266:21, 20281:19, 20430:19, 20445:2</p> <p><b>pieced</b> [1] - 20273:21</p> <p><b>pieces</b> [3] - 20273:3, 20411:22, 20445:19</p> <p><b>place</b> [17] - 20281:2, 20298:12, 20323:22, 20339:24, 20348:14, 20371:7, 20385:15, 20391:23, 20400:11, 20401:8, 20417:10, 20417:11, 20431:9, 20435:15, 20441:10, 20445:25, 20456:12</p> <p><b>placed</b> [7] - 20293:6, 20329:1, 20368:19, 20408:18, 20421:3, 20421:10, 20431:2</p> <p><b>places</b> [2] - 20312:19, 20390:11</p> <p><b>placing</b> [1] - 20306:7</p> <p><b>planted</b> [1] - 20215:14</p> <p><b>plausible</b> [1] - 20316:24</p> <p><b>play</b> [10] - 20219:17, 20243:2, 20261:11, 20310:21, 20323:7, 20407:18, 20412:14, 20446:24, 20447:1, 20453:24</p>	<p><b>playing</b> [1] - 20335:18</p> <p><b>Pleasant</b> [1] - 20299:4</p> <p><b>pled</b> [2] - 20343:8, 20355:7</p> <p><b>Pm</b> [4] - 20336:7, 20406:23, 20406:24, 20459:14</p> <p><b>point</b> [54] - 20221:17, 20223:2, 20224:10, 20224:11, 20225:9, 20225:12, 20239:23, 20245:21, 20247:11, 20254:19, 20255:3, 20257:9, 20258:8, 20260:3, 20270:17, 20271:1, 20280:5, 20283:8, 20284:9, 20286:14, 20291:24, 20295:8, 20296:1, 20297:23, 20301:12, 20302:12, 20307:1, 20310:5, 20312:5, 20314:21, 20316:10, 20335:10, 20336:4, 20373:12, 20376:13, 20377:19, 20386:24, 20387:6, 20394:3, 20394:4, 20394:5, 20398:22, 20399:2, 20402:11, 20402:12, 20402:13, 20413:2, 20433:23, 20437:13, 20438:4, 20444:17, 20458:9, 20458:21</p> <p><b>pointed</b> [3] - 20222:14, 20282:10, 20453:18</p> <p><b>pointing</b> [5] - 20250:8, 20373:19, 20392:10, 20426:11, 20430:25</p> <p><b>points</b> [5] - 20362:7, 20362:11, 20362:16, 20363:6, 20434:21</p> <p><b>police</b> [72] - 20212:8, 20212:20, 20222:7, 20224:9, 20227:11, 20228:19, 20237:25, 20244:9, 20248:12, 20263:25, 20264:1, 20275:9, 20278:6, 20278:10, 20282:17, 20286:22, 20297:23, 20299:20, 20302:9, 20305:20, 20328:12, 20340:11, 20340:14, 20340:20, 20343:24, 20344:3, 20353:12, 20360:17, 20368:15, 20371:3, 20372:20, 20378:7, 20380:11, 20384:14, 20384:16,</p>	<p>20384:19, 20384:20, 20385:11, 20385:24, 20386:5, 20386:12, 20388:22, 20390:8, 20391:20, 20394:21, 20395:9, 20396:3, 20400:4, 20401:11, 20401:13, 20401:19, 20409:9, 20416:13, 20416:17, 20416:20, 20417:19, 20418:4, 20431:13, 20432:11, 20432:18, 20436:8, 20436:9, 20436:20, 20440:21, 20440:23, 20441:4, 20442:8, 20448:21, 20449:14, 20453:22, 20456:10</p> <p><b>Police</b> [18] - 20210:8, 20223:24, 20263:22, 20273:7, 20273:14, 20372:6, 20403:6, 20403:10, 20430:16, 20433:18, 20442:8, 20454:21, 20455:1, 20455:7, 20455:12, 20455:17, 20455:20, 20455:25</p> <p><b>police-conducted</b> [1] - 20344:3</p> <p><b>policeman</b> [6] - 20245:25, 20290:21, 20331:13, 20383:16, 20383:24, 20395:11</p> <p><b>policemen</b> [1] - 20408:24</p> <p><b>policies</b> [1] - 20456:12</p> <p><b>policy</b> [3] - 20271:6, 20271:12</p> <p><b>polygraph</b> [10] - 20343:24, 20344:3, 20359:9, 20359:12, 20359:20, 20360:10, 20361:1, 20361:9, 20362:1, 20440:19</p> <p><b>pooling</b> [1] - 20311:12</p> <p><b>population</b> [1] - 20232:23</p> <p><b>portion</b> [15] - 20225:23, 20237:12, 20253:24, 20259:4, 20279:17, 20280:6, 20297:19, 20301:5, 20301:7, 20301:15, 20302:18, 20413:17, 20418:12, 20434:11, 20437:14</p> <p><b>portions</b> [1] - 20256:14</p> <p><b>portray</b> [1] - 20292:23</p> <p><b>portrayal</b> [4] - 20292:15, 20294:24,</p>	<p>20298:15, 20300:5</p> <p><b>pose</b> [1] - 20290:17</p> <p><b>posed</b> [1] - 20440:24</p> <p><b>position</b> [27] - 20217:20, 20224:21, 20229:22, 20234:22, 20243:25, 20247:17, 20252:23, 20254:5, 20256:5, 20256:21, 20257:8, 20270:22, 20270:24, 20271:18, 20272:10, 20287:16, 20292:2, 20334:6, 20355:14, 20358:18, 20363:17, 20366:15, 20374:3, 20375:11, 20437:25, 20445:14, 20446:10</p> <p><b>positioned</b> [2] - 20297:20, 20297:24</p> <p><b>positions</b> [2] - 20245:9, 20335:8</p> <p><b>positive</b> [4] - 20231:12, 20240:6, 20272:15, 20276:7</p> <p><b>possessed</b> [1] - 20374:13</p> <p><b>possession</b> [12] - 20254:7, 20303:3, 20355:9, 20414:5, 20414:23, 20418:21, 20419:19, 20419:22, 20428:12, 20431:12, 20432:9, 20432:17</p> <p><b>possibility</b> [33] - 20214:9, 20268:4, 20278:15, 20294:8, 20296:20, 20308:21, 20313:3, 20314:11, 20314:12, 20314:15, 20315:18, 20315:19, 20318:9, 20333:25, 20339:23, 20340:8, 20340:23, 20395:1, 20395:2, 20398:5, 20402:22, 20403:1, 20403:2, 20403:8, 20404:1, 20426:14, 20437:17, 20438:16, 20441:14, 20442:12, 20451:16, 20454:22</p> <p><b>Possible</b> [1] - 20364:2</p> <p><b>possible</b> [16] - 20214:5, 20241:3, 20279:9, 20281:9, 20296:23, 20298:23, 20300:21, 20309:21, 20315:24, 20339:18, 20403:25, 20438:15, 20442:17, 20454:11, 20455:24,</p>	<p>20456:18</p> <p><b>Possibly</b> [5] - 20275:11, 20315:5, 20334:14, 20427:16</p> <p><b>possibly</b> [17] - 20212:14, 20227:23, 20281:11, 20282:17, 20289:1, 20289:2, 20308:22, 20327:17, 20344:19, 20348:23, 20358:3, 20377:23, 20398:14, 20430:22, 20454:2, 20454:4, 20456:21</p> <p><b>post</b> [1] - 20454:18</p> <p><b>potatoes</b> [2] - 20402:9, 20402:19</p> <p><b>Potential</b> [1] - 20286:13</p> <p><b>potential</b> [14] - 20226:24, 20242:18, 20245:19, 20320:23, 20324:8, 20328:5, 20331:17, 20340:6, 20343:25, 20345:14, 20377:5, 20377:8, 20442:15, 20442:16</p> <p><b>potentially</b> [11] - 20246:8, 20289:4, 20289:11, 20290:17, 20313:24, 20328:16, 20340:1, 20340:3, 20342:10, 20343:19, 20345:2</p> <p><b>power</b> [2] - 20218:16</p> <p><b>practice</b> [4] - 20213:16, 20213:17, 20436:8, 20455:14</p> <p><b>practices</b> [4] - 20388:19, 20388:24, 20456:8, 20456:17</p> <p><b>preceding</b> [2] - 20361:2, 20431:24</p> <p><b>precise</b> [1] - 20405:9</p> <p><b>precluded</b> [1] - 20301:23</p> <p><b>preconceived</b> [1] - 20213:5</p> <p><b>predicated</b> [3] - 20308:11, 20319:15, 20319:18</p> <p><b>preliminary</b> [1] - 20394:11</p> <p><b>premise</b> [1] - 20309:15</p> <p><b>preoccupation</b> [1] - 20276:13</p> <p><b>preparation</b> [1] - 20445:21</p> <p><b>prepared</b> [8] - 20218:2, 20220:19, 20288:1, 20316:15, 20346:20,</p>
--	---	---	--	---



<p>20357:20, 20393:18, 20451:5</p> <p><b>preparing</b> [2] - 20306:22, 20425:19</p> <p><b>presence</b> [1] - 20243:23</p> <p><b>present</b> [3] - 20256:5, 20278:14, 20344:24</p> <p><b>presented</b> [6] - 20216:5, 20235:19, 20265:21, 20285:6, 20424:19, 20457:7</p> <p><b>Presley</b> [1] - 20251:23</p> <p><b>press</b> [1] - 20439:10</p> <p><b>pressed</b> [3] - 20217:4, 20217:10, 20217:14</p> <p><b>pressure</b> [3] - 20261:6, 20262:10, 20262:16</p> <p><b>presuming</b> [1] - 20233:15</p> <p><b>pretend</b> [1] - 20335:4</p> <p><b>pretty</b> [17] - 20242:22, 20263:17, 20265:4, 20267:20, 20304:7, 20315:20, 20315:23, 20353:22, 20353:25, 20362:1, 20390:2, 20395:17, 20402:20, 20428:23, 20444:21, 20445:17</p> <p><b>prevent</b> [1] - 20257:24</p> <p><b>previous</b> [6] - 20228:6, 20228:15, 20436:6, 20436:9, 20454:12, 20455:3</p> <p><b>previously</b> [1] - 20269:23</p> <p><b>primarily</b> [1] - 20221:15</p> <p><b>Primarily</b> [1] - 20318:22</p> <p><b>primary</b> [1] - 20421:7</p> <p><b>principal</b> [1] - 20255:18</p> <p><b>Pringle</b> [1] - 20210:15</p> <p><b>priorities</b> [1] - 20288:8</p> <p><b>prioritise</b> [1] - 20288:11</p> <p><b>prison</b> [6] - 20278:22, 20285:14, 20286:25, 20377:21, 20382:7, 20448:10</p> <p><b>privacy</b> [1] - 20246:23</p> <p><b>private</b> [2] - 20282:13, 20439:12</p> <p><b>privilege</b> [18] - 20254:18, 20254:21, 20255:12, 20255:19, 20256:2, 20257:8, 20257:10, 20257:15, 20258:16, 20258:17, 20269:17, 20271:10, 20271:13, 20348:1, 20348:4, 20356:21, 20375:1, 20375:20</p>	<p><b>privileged</b> [10] - 20252:23, 20253:16, 20254:2, 20256:6, 20257:6, 20269:11, 20269:13, 20269:19, 20270:1, 20271:7</p> <p><b>privy</b> [1] - 20234:10</p> <p><b>proactive</b> [6] - 20376:2, 20376:9, 20376:22, 20381:21, 20382:6, 20382:16</p> <p><b>probable</b> [2] - 20437:18, 20437:20</p> <p><b>problem</b> [7] - 20282:5, 20282:11, 20309:19, 20310:25, 20323:19, 20399:19, 20437:7</p> <p><b>problems</b> [12] - 20215:19, 20279:3, 20283:25, 20286:8, 20345:5, 20348:23, 20387:5, 20410:7, 20453:14, 20453:19</p> <p><b>procedural</b> [1] - 20244:19</p> <p><b>procedure</b> [5] - 20244:18, 20336:19, 20390:2, 20392:7, 20392:20</p> <p><b>procedures</b> [1] - 20346:13</p> <p><b>proceeded</b> [1] - 20295:19</p> <p><b>proceeding</b> [8] - 20243:18, 20252:22, 20255:10, 20272:7, 20293:25, 20294:1, 20295:7, 20407:2</p> <p><b>proceedings</b> [1] - 20235:22</p> <p><b>Proceedings</b> [4] - 20208:12, 20208:23, 20211:1, 20212:1</p> <p><b>proceeds</b> [2] - 20298:25, 20423:15</p> <p><b>process</b> [52] - 20231:4, 20243:1, 20245:9, 20245:12, 20245:19, 20247:3, 20247:10, 20258:11, 20272:4, 20272:7, 20304:24, 20311:14, 20319:9, 20320:9, 20320:18, 20322:10, 20323:15, 20323:25, 20324:15, 20324:20, 20324:23, 20325:12, 20328:18, 20328:20, 20329:4, 20330:2, 20331:16, 20332:17, 20333:22,</p>	<p>20333:24, 20334:24, 20335:2, 20335:19, 20335:23, 20336:2, 20366:11, 20366:12, 20377:25, 20380:10, 20411:13, 20424:14, 20434:1, 20441:11, 20442:24, 20443:6, 20443:13, 20443:18, 20445:25, 20448:1, 20448:2, 20449:25, 20450:10</p> <p><b>processes</b> [2] - 20310:14, 20324:4</p> <p><b>proclaims</b> [1] - 20396:25</p> <p><b>proclivities</b> [1] - 20331:23</p> <p><b>produced</b> [2] - 20220:1, 20371:6</p> <p><b>product</b> [1] - 20351:9</p> <p><b>professional</b> [4] - 20384:12, 20384:20, 20385:3, 20385:12</p> <p><b>profile</b> [1] - 20261:13</p> <p><b>profound</b> [1] - 20224:18</p> <p><b>progress</b> [3] - 20296:5, 20300:11, 20301:22</p> <p><b>prompted</b> [3] - 20214:12, 20400:16, 20401:18</p> <p><b>proper</b> [2] - 20226:17, 20367:8</p> <p><b>properly</b> [2] - 20370:18, 20378:12</p> <p><b>propose</b> [1] - 20445:10</p> <p><b>proposition</b> [1] - 20224:23</p> <p><b>prosecution</b> [4] - 20282:13, 20283:20, 20386:24, 20453:18</p> <p><b>prosecutor</b> [4] - 20383:25, 20395:7, 20415:22, 20418:14</p> <p><b>prosecutorial</b> [1] - 20396:3</p> <p><b>prosecutors</b> [2] - 20335:5, 20418:17</p> <p><b>protection</b> [1] - 20441:4</p> <p><b>proud</b> [1] - 20377:18</p> <p><b>prove</b> [6] - 20332:23, 20338:21, 20340:9, 20340:10, 20340:14, 20340:19</p> <p><b>Provide</b> [2] - 20244:6, 20244:11</p> <p><b>provide</b> [8] - 20238:1, 20290:24, 20292:21, 20339:12, 20396:16, 20415:25, 20444:9,</p>	<p>20452:13</p> <p><b>provided</b> [12] - 20220:5, 20234:4, 20235:14, 20252:24, 20254:13, 20303:7, 20303:24, 20328:11, 20362:16, 20362:18, 20380:4, 20444:15</p> <p><b>providing</b> [4] - 20334:8, 20366:15, 20393:5, 20441:3</p> <p><b>province</b> [1] - 20319:25</p> <p><b>Province</b> [2] - 20409:10, 20460:3</p> <p><b>provinces</b> [1] - 20409:11</p> <p><b>provincial</b> [1] - 20418:16</p> <p><b>proving</b> [1] - 20333:8</p> <p><b>psychiatric</b> [1] - 20348:23</p> <p><b>psychology</b> [2] - 20215:25, 20389:19</p> <p><b>public</b> [8] - 20233:6, 20252:25, 20254:1, 20255:14, 20322:18, 20332:6, 20385:5, 20439:17</p> <p><b>publicity</b> [4] - 20273:16, 20322:2, 20327:15, 20439:11</p> <p><b>publicized</b> [1] - 20238:1</p> <p><b>publicly</b> [1] - 20232:5</p> <p><b>published</b> [2] - 20403:17, 20410:18</p> <p><b>pulled</b> [2] - 20299:17, 20390:24</p> <p><b>punishment</b> [1] - 20317:9</p> <p><b>purpose</b> [8] - 20228:17, 20233:21, 20254:3, 20288:19, 20289:4, 20290:15, 20311:12, 20380:21</p> <p><b>purposely</b> [2] - 20346:19, 20412:12</p> <p><b>purposes</b> [6] - 20226:9, 20256:5, 20256:10, 20308:16, 20396:24</p> <p><b>purse</b> [2] - 20300:25, 20301:15</p> <p><b>pursuant</b> [2] - 20244:16, 20284:19</p> <p><b>pursue</b> [2] - 20283:2, 20382:18</p> <p><b>pursued</b> [2] - 20241:23, 20399:3</p> <p><b>pursuing</b> [6] - 20219:9, 20283:24, 20329:17, 20360:25, 20383:25,</p>	<p>20440:18</p> <p><b>push</b> [2] - 20296:15, 20300:3</p> <p><b>Put</b> [1] - 20278:22</p> <p><b>put</b> [44] - 20213:10, 20214:8, 20219:12, 20226:8, 20227:3, 20227:4, 20236:10, 20242:20, 20253:5, 20265:9, 20273:23, 20281:20, 20281:22, 20289:24, 20292:13, 20308:14, 20310:15, 20312:13, 20317:6, 20318:12, 20320:19, 20327:9, 20343:11, 20344:15, 20344:16, 20350:4, 20356:3, 20373:14, 20375:2, 20378:25, 20388:3, 20389:2, 20399:10, 20403:15, 20404:8, 20405:3, 20413:11, 20413:24, 20413:25, 20436:15, 20441:14, 20447:10, 20457:4, 20457:19</p> <p><b>putting</b> [10] - 20241:20, 20265:19, 20266:14, 20311:11, 20322:24, 20330:15, 20334:19, 20363:12, 20440:5</p>
				<b>Q</b>
				<p><b>Qb</b>[1] - 20209:10</p> <p><b>Qc</b>[6] - 20210:2, 20210:6, 20210:9, 20210:13, 20210:15, 20233:20</p> <p><b>quarters</b> [1] - 20296:8</p> <p><b>Queen's</b> [4] - 20460:1, 20460:3, 20460:14, 20460:20</p> <p><b>queries</b> [1] - 20224:1</p> <p><b>questioned</b> [9] - 20215:4, 20215:14, 20216:20, 20216:22, 20220:1, 20342:7, 20378:7, 20411:19, 20413:22</p> <p><b>questioner</b> [1] - 20212:25</p> <p><b>questioning</b> [7] - 20341:15, 20341:21, 20351:1, 20351:6, 20392:6, 20396:11, 20451:24</p> <p><b>questions</b> [31] -</p>



<p>20213:18, 20213:21, 20214:6, 20214:16, 20216:8, 20231:23, 20254:15, 20254:25, 20271:5, 20290:16, 20318:10, 20323:11, 20366:21, 20388:13, 20389:21, 20390:5, 20394:8, 20397:15, 20398:2, 20404:6, 20406:20, 20410:22, 20434:15, 20434:16, 20448:17, 20449:9, 20452:9, 20454:6, 20458:22, 20458:24, 20459:7</p> <p><b>quickly</b> [2] - 20217:9, 20263:17</p> <p><b>Quite</b> [1] - 20314:12</p> <p><b>quite</b> [31] - 20218:17, 20237:13, 20238:24, 20249:5, 20249:11, 20265:9, 20265:25, 20271:13, 20274:21, 20284:14, 20285:11, 20288:1, 20289:16, 20307:19, 20314:10, 20314:12, 20322:13, 20333:23, 20354:25, 20355:5, 20358:8, 20364:12, 20365:1, 20373:2, 20374:10, 20392:18, 20402:10, 20405:9, 20449:8, 20456:13, 20456:14</p> <p><b>quo</b> [2] - 20273:1, 20287:6</p> <p><b>quote</b> [1] - 20359:25</p> <p><b>quoting</b> [1] - 20411:14</p>	<p>20237:1, 20328:10, 20369:22, 20398:12, 20398:13, 20403:20, 20454:12</p> <p><b>rapist</b> [6] - 20248:10, 20248:13, 20248:16, 20317:9, 20358:10, 20361:25</p> <p><b>rare</b> [2] - 20267:20, 20267:23</p> <p><b>Rasmussen</b> [4] - 20221:11, 20402:25, 20454:7, 20456:5</p> <p><b>rather</b> [11] - 20215:5, 20291:10, 20297:6, 20331:6, 20376:4, 20382:17, 20409:17, 20440:23, 20448:19, 20448:21, 20449:13</p> <p><b>rationale</b> [1] - 20328:23</p> <p><b>Rcmp</b> [19] - 20210:11, 20220:19, 20221:4, 20224:1, 20224:24, 20232:19, 20236:7, 20240:19, 20263:6, 20290:12, 20311:5, 20402:24, 20411:2, 20434:19, 20452:12, 20454:8, 20455:7, 20456:9, 20456:20</p> <p><b>re</b> [10] - 20236:7, 20263:25, 20326:15, 20327:4, 20331:12, 20370:8, 20385:24, 20429:3, 20457:2, 20457:3</p> <p><b>re-exam</b> [1] - 20457:2</p> <p><b>re-exams</b> [1] - 20457:3</p> <p><b>re-interviewed</b> [2] - 20236:7, 20429:3</p> <p><b>re-invent</b> [1] - 20385:24</p> <p><b>re-investigated</b> [1] - 20327:4</p> <p><b>re-open</b> [1] - 20370:8</p> <p><b>re-opened</b> [1] - 20331:12</p> <p><b>reached</b> [5] - 20295:4, 20296:2, 20319:22, 20367:12, 20367:16</p> <p><b>reacting</b> [1] - 20262:2</p> <p><b>reaction</b> [2] - 20354:17, 20381:25</p> <p><b>Reactive</b> [2] - 20381:23, 20381:24</p> <p><b>reactive</b> [4] - 20376:4, 20381:21, 20382:5, 20382:17</p> <p><b>read</b> [11] - 20222:4, 20237:8, 20262:18, 20264:15, 20361:19,</p>	<p>20412:12, 20414:19, 20416:11, 20420:12, 20428:10, 20451:15</p> <p><b>reader</b> [1] - 20219:4</p> <p><b>reading</b> [3] - 20286:1, 20322:18, 20363:4</p> <p><b>ready</b> [1] - 20387:14</p> <p><b>real</b> [19] - 20214:5, 20272:18, 20281:22, 20281:23, 20283:25, 20286:22, 20287:3, 20307:23, 20311:8, 20314:14, 20315:1, 20315:19, 20319:1, 20336:2, 20343:19, 20345:4, 20375:19</p> <p><b>realistic</b> [1] - 20314:12</p> <p><b>reality</b> [4] - 20247:4, 20247:8, 20335:14, 20376:9</p> <p><b>realized</b> [3] - 20224:22, 20256:25, 20257:2</p> <p><b>really</b> [98] - 20213:9, 20213:23, 20214:7, 20216:24, 20217:8, 20219:3, 20220:7, 20221:24, 20224:3, 20229:13, 20229:16, 20234:10, 20234:14, 20236:21, 20242:9, 20243:4, 20243:6, 20245:11, 20246:1, 20257:19, 20258:1, 20262:12, 20266:25, 20275:4, 20282:22, 20283:1, 20286:23, 20287:7, 20287:9, 20287:17, 20291:23, 20308:19, 20309:10, 20318:3, 20320:19, 20320:20, 20321:9, 20322:11, 20324:18, 20325:22, 20325:23, 20326:21, 20327:8, 20327:10, 20328:18, 20329:19, 20331:1, 20334:9, 20335:9, 20335:17, 20337:5, 20337:6, 20338:3, 20338:10, 20338:16, 20341:25, 20344:13, 20344:17, 20345:12, 20346:21, 20348:7, 20350:9, 20350:13, 20356:1, 20358:15, 20358:22, 20359:2, 20360:7, 20360:9, 20360:16, 20360:21, 20365:14, 20366:14, 20366:24, 20374:17,</p>	<p>20374:21, 20378:1, 20378:16, 20383:20, 20384:4, 20384:17, 20388:2, 20404:16, 20406:9, 20412:21, 20424:10, 20436:7, 20436:14, 20437:21, 20439:10, 20439:15, 20441:21, 20443:8, 20453:25, 20454:3, 20456:14, 20459:5</p> <p><b>realm</b> [1] - 20360:19</p> <p><b>rear</b> [1] - 20222:13</p> <p><b>reason</b> [6] - 20243:3, 20249:3, 20281:3, 20285:11, 20289:22, 20458:11</p> <p><b>reasonable</b> [13] - 20250:6, 20319:20, 20319:23, 20325:2, 20326:7, 20355:13, 20362:12, 20363:14, 20365:3, 20367:21, 20369:6, 20437:18, 20437:19</p> <p><b>reasonableness</b> [1] - 20366:25</p> <p><b>reasons</b> [3] - 20401:17, 20424:2, 20449:20</p> <p><b>recalled</b> [3] - 20273:12, 20350:11, 20350:16</p> <p><b>recalling</b> [1] - 20393:6</p> <p><b>recantation</b> [2] - 20272:16, 20286:17</p> <p><b>Received</b> [1] - 20427:8</p> <p><b>received</b> [17] - 20230:11, 20254:11, 20263:10, 20273:9, 20273:10, 20356:25, 20357:8, 20374:13, 20390:9, 20421:25, 20422:3, 20422:9, 20425:10, 20428:4, 20458:4, 20458:8, 20458:23</p> <p><b>recognize</b> [3] - 20391:23, 20397:10, 20451:1</p> <p><b>recognized</b> [2] - 20321:5, 20322:25</p> <p><b>recollect</b> [1] - 20392:11</p> <p><b>recollection</b> [28] - 20221:21, 20225:6, 20226:4, 20301:11, 20308:20, 20315:23, 20343:14, 20352:10, 20356:16, 20358:7, 20359:1, 20360:6, 20361:14, 20365:12, 20365:14, 20372:5,</p>	<p>20372:11, 20372:25, 20394:7, 20403:21, 20404:5, 20409:5, 20425:15, 20433:9, 20443:9, 20458:10, 20459:3, 20459:5</p> <p><b>recollections</b> [1] - 20316:3</p> <p><b>recommendations</b> [2] - 20257:24, 20387:24</p> <p><b>reconsider</b> [3] - 20242:24, 20243:17, 20270:23</p> <p><b>Reconvened</b> [4] - 20212:2, 20269:1, 20336:7, 20406:24</p> <p><b>record</b> [31] - 20213:18, 20235:21, 20236:14, 20238:20, 20247:24, 20250:14, 20253:6, 20254:1, 20255:14, 20279:11, 20287:1, 20328:9, 20346:1, 20347:23, 20350:22, 20356:18, 20374:23, 20398:22, 20404:20, 20407:3, 20417:16, 20420:13, 20434:18, 20435:9, 20435:14, 20436:7, 20436:14, 20441:22, 20457:4, 20458:16</p> <p><b>record's</b> [1] - 20252:8</p> <p><b>recorded</b> [1] - 20350:21</p> <p><b>recording</b> [1] - 20429:3</p> <p><b>records</b> [11] - 20228:6, 20338:19, 20338:25, 20339:3, 20339:7, 20339:16, 20340:10, 20341:11, 20344:21, 20371:3, 20436:20</p> <p><b>rectory</b> [2] - 20297:15, 20297:25</p> <p><b>red</b> [1] - 20377:1</p> <p><b>redact</b> [1] - 20256:13</p> <p><b>redressing</b> [1] - 20376:1</p> <p><b>Reenactment</b> [2] - 20211:6, 20292:14</p> <p><b>refer</b> [8] - 20250:14, 20250:25, 20253:11, 20259:5, 20259:7, 20369:4, 20421:23, 20427:21</p> <p><b>reference</b> [21] - 20231:5, 20231:11, 20251:2, 20264:18, 20266:3, 20284:20, 20304:24, 20306:19, 20356:19, 20365:13,</p>
<b>R</b>				
<p><b>radio</b> [1] - 20279:20</p> <p><b>radius</b> [1] - 20382:4</p> <p><b>raise</b> [3] - 20283:6, 20418:19, 20448:21</p> <p><b>raised</b> [11] - 20236:19, 20362:7, 20362:11, 20363:6, 20388:14, 20435:2, 20448:18, 20449:8, 20449:18, 20451:23, 20452:11</p> <p><b>raising</b> [1] - 20222:1</p> <p><b>ran</b> [3] - 20263:14, 20301:13, 20405:13</p> <p><b>rape</b> [2] - 20404:2, 20405:19</p> <p><b>raped</b> [1] - 20328:6</p> <p><b>rapes</b> [8] - 20222:7,</p>				



<p>20369:9, 20402:25, 20403:7, 20403:24, 20403:25, 20415:21, 20429:6, 20431:7, 20431:10, 20440:22, 20454:14</p> <p><b>referenced</b> [1] - 20450:14</p> <p><b>references</b> [3] - 20372:13, 20388:19, 20450:12</p> <p><b>References</b>[1] - 20372:15</p> <p><b>referencing</b> [1] - 20366:6</p> <p><b>referred</b> [10] - 20253:5, 20253:20, 20254:3, 20326:24, 20347:24, 20348:1, 20370:20, 20380:2, 20421:17, 20429:9</p> <p><b>referring</b> [1] - 20253:23</p> <p><b>reflect</b> [2] - 20366:9, 20414:3</p> <p><b>reflected</b> [2] - 20381:20, 20432:24</p> <p><b>reflection</b> [1] - 20379:10</p> <p><b>refuses</b> [1] - 20342:14</p> <p><b>regarding</b> [3] - 20227:14, 20231:4, 20446:4</p> <p><b>regardless</b> [2] - 20436:16, 20452:7</p> <p><b>Regina</b>[8] - 20284:25, 20316:17, 20435:5, 20435:12, 20435:14, 20435:18, 20436:3, 20454:18</p> <p><b>register</b> [1] - 20396:1</p> <p><b>regular</b> [4] - 20283:8, 20283:10, 20284:5, 20402:19</p> <p><b>relate</b> [2] - 20374:17, 20455:19</p> <p><b>related</b> [1] - 20407:22</p> <p><b>relates</b> [2] - 20410:19, 20415:15</p> <p><b>relating</b> [6] - 20373:6, 20424:9, 20426:3, 20426:5, 20432:18, 20433:6</p> <p><b>relation</b> [1] - 20393:12</p> <p><b>relationship</b> [3] - 20282:22, 20420:17, 20440:18</p> <p><b>relayed</b> [1] - 20338:14</p> <p><b>release</b> [2] - 20257:16, 20257:17</p> <p><b>released</b> [7] - 20235:5,</p>	<p>20235:7, 20246:23, 20257:6, 20270:2, 20270:9, 20326:16</p> <p><b>releases</b> [2] - 20270:13</p> <p><b>releasing</b> [3] - 20235:9, 20256:1, 20387:19</p> <p><b>relevance</b> [1] - 20227:3</p> <p><b>relevant</b> [8] - 20249:22, 20265:25, 20266:20, 20267:14, 20285:3, 20319:19, 20445:15, 20445:24</p> <p><b>reliability</b> [2] - 20214:10, 20400:14</p> <p><b>reliable</b> [1] - 20350:7</p> <p><b>reliance</b> [2] - 20273:23, 20293:6</p> <p><b>relief</b> [1] - 20326:25</p> <p><b>rely</b> [1] - 20442:25</p> <p><b>relying</b> [1] - 20436:14</p> <p><b>remain</b> [1] - 20324:2</p> <p><b>remained</b> [3] - 20240:25, 20357:5, 20453:11</p> <p><b>remarked</b> [1] - 20251:24</p> <p><b>remedied</b> [1] - 20435:16</p> <p><b>Remember</b>[2] - 20364:3, 20380:6</p> <p><b>remember</b> [31] - 20220:2, 20220:4, 20266:2, 20274:19, 20274:21, 20275:21, 20277:14, 20326:14, 20326:22, 20327:25, 20339:1, 20339:3, 20351:17, 20351:22, 20352:5, 20352:6, 20352:15, 20354:10, 20354:18, 20359:15, 20380:7, 20386:20, 20392:15, 20392:17, 20403:16, 20425:14, 20449:16, 20452:14, 20454:13, 20456:16</p> <p><b>remembered</b> [3] - 20276:2, 20276:17, 20392:25</p> <p><b>remembering</b> [2] - 20276:19, 20393:4</p> <p><b>remote</b> [1] - 20317:15</p> <p><b>remove</b> [2] - 20302:5, 20396:2</p> <p><b>removed</b> [1] - 20405:2</p> <p><b>removes</b> [1] - 20296:20</p> <p><b>reopening</b> [1] - 20262:9</p> <p><b>Repeat</b>[1] - 20225:12</p> <p><b>repeat</b> [1] - 20400:18</p> <p><b>repercussions</b> [1] -</p>	<p>20335:25</p> <p><b>replace</b> [1] - 20302:7</p> <p><b>replacement</b> [2] - 20337:4, 20337:6</p> <p><b>report</b> [65] - 20220:16, 20220:19, 20220:24, 20220:25, 20221:4, 20221:8, 20221:22, 20222:2, 20223:8, 20224:17, 20225:3, 20225:7, 20225:10, 20225:16, 20228:7, 20229:18, 20230:5, 20230:6, 20230:9, 20230:11, 20232:19, 20235:5, 20240:19, 20246:22, 20252:2, 20263:4, 20263:6, 20264:2, 20264:9, 20266:7, 20266:9, 20270:8, 20276:21, 20276:24, 20277:2, 20316:15, 20316:17, 20347:12, 20347:17, 20357:1, 20357:2, 20357:4, 20357:11, 20365:8, 20365:10, 20402:25, 20403:9, 20416:1, 20418:22, 20419:2, 20419:20, 20420:9, 20421:24, 20424:22, 20445:8, 20452:6, 20454:6, 20454:17, 20456:3, 20456:5, 20457:6, 20457:21, 20458:5, 20458:7, 20458:19</p> <p><b>report'</b> [1] - 20418:24</p> <p><b>reported</b> [7] - 20279:21, 20287:20, 20347:9, 20347:11, 20412:3, 20453:21</p> <p><b>Reporter</b>[2] - 20460:14, 20460:20</p> <p><b>Reporters</b> [2] - 20209:10, 20460:3</p> <p><b>Reporters</b> [1] - 20460:1</p> <p><b>reporting</b> [3] - 20241:13, 20279:11, 20440:18</p> <p><b>Reports</b>[1] - 20450:13</p> <p><b>reports</b> [12] - 20244:9, 20270:5, 20287:14, 20311:5, 20311:6, 20353:12, 20368:15, 20393:18, 20393:25, 20445:7, 20445:16, 20445:18</p> <p><b>represent</b> [1] - 20318:21</p>	<p><b>representations</b> [1] - 20332:7</p> <p><b>represented</b> [1] - 20370:18</p> <p><b>representing</b> [2] - 20241:18, 20407:4</p> <p><b>request</b> [5] - 20241:25, 20243:4, 20370:19, 20381:3, 20423:5</p> <p><b>requested</b> [2] - 20226:4, 20425:4</p> <p><b>requests</b> [3] - 20381:6, 20422:1, 20423:18</p> <p><b>required</b> [2] - 20330:17, 20409:7</p> <p><b>requirement</b> [1] - 20240:8</p> <p><b>requires</b> [2] - 20325:13, 20378:12</p> <p><b>resided</b> [4] - 20238:25, 20248:17, 20364:15, 20439:21</p> <p><b>residence</b> [13] - 20239:1, 20249:1, 20249:7, 20249:20, 20250:3, 20293:16, 20293:23, 20312:1, 20331:22, 20364:16, 20419:24, 20421:12</p> <p><b>residing</b> [1] - 20249:7</p> <p><b>resisting</b> [1] - 20218:9</p> <p><b>resolve</b> [1] - 20255:3</p> <p><b>resort</b> [1] - 20323:17</p> <p><b>resource</b> [2] - 20290:11, 20290:12</p> <p><b>resources</b> [1] - 20409:13</p> <p><b>respect</b> [23] - 20252:17, 20253:4, 20253:19, 20254:15, 20254:25, 20255:12, 20336:21, 20337:17, 20338:4, 20341:15, 20341:17, 20341:22, 20367:2, 20371:11, 20371:19, 20386:20, 20410:6, 20410:10, 20413:16, 20432:25, 20438:14, 20449:12, 20451:6</p> <p><b>respectful</b> [1] - 20244:15</p> <p><b>Respecting</b>[3] - 20362:7, 20362:11, 20363:6</p> <p><b>response</b> [10] - 20243:8, 20243:11, 20243:12, 20244:13, 20247:15, 20247:25, 20248:5, 20299:10, 20368:1, 20379:11</p>	<p><b>responses</b> [2] - 20410:21, 20410:22</p> <p><b>responsibilities</b> [2] - 20408:17, 20408:19</p> <p><b>responsibility</b> [3] - 20382:18, 20424:11, 20437:19</p> <p><b>responsible</b> [7] - 20228:9, 20228:10, 20241:12, 20282:15, 20401:16, 20403:4, 20439:1</p> <p><b>rest</b> [7] - 20259:17, 20261:21, 20337:15, 20342:16, 20346:14, 20372:8, 20455:17</p> <p><b>resting</b> [1] - 20438:7</p> <p><b>restrictions</b> [1] - 20411:12</p> <p><b>result</b> [16] - 20244:20, 20244:22, 20297:12, 20360:24, 20367:4, 20371:24, 20378:19, 20380:14, 20380:15, 20410:22, 20411:21, 20425:20, 20428:13, 20430:1, 20430:2, 20452:22</p> <p><b>resulted</b> [4] - 20420:8, 20422:17, 20433:17, 20433:19</p> <p><b>results</b> [5] - 20222:8, 20361:9, 20361:23, 20387:12, 20415:15</p> <p><b>retained</b> [4] - 20233:21, 20235:6, 20408:20, 20456:11</p> <p><b>retention</b> [2] - 20456:8, 20456:22</p> <p><b>reticent</b> [1] - 20452:21</p> <p><b>Retired</b>[1] - 20210:16</p> <p><b>retrial</b> [1] - 20334:13</p> <p><b>retrieved</b> [1] - 20419:23</p> <p><b>retrospect</b> [2] - 20330:25, 20334:10</p> <p><b>returned</b> [4] - 20282:17, 20297:25, 20410:3, 20417:24</p> <p><b>reveal</b> [1] - 20217:3</p> <p><b>reveals</b> [1] - 20238:21</p> <p><b>reverse</b> [1] - 20212:19</p> <p><b>Review</b>[1] - 20376:20</p> <p><b>review</b> [19] - 20244:16, 20251:17, 20262:21, 20384:25, 20395:7, 20407:10, 20407:19, 20408:16, 20410:8, 20411:12, 20411:24, 20412:5, 20413:4, 20413:14, 20420:19,</p>
--	--	--	---	---



20424:8, 20442:9, 20445:11, 20445:13 <b>reviewed</b> [10] - 20223:25, 20234:4, 20235:13, 20235:23, 20319:12, 20337:24, 20371:18, 20403:5, 20403:7, 20404:25 <b>reviewing</b> [1] - 20358:9 <b>reviews</b> [1] - 20311:16 <b>Richard</b> [2] - 20211:3, 20212:5 <b>Rick</b> [1] - 20210:7 <b>rid</b> [1] - 20334:24 <b>ride</b> [1] - 20299:6 <b>right-hand</b> [1] - 20316:20 <b>rightfully</b> [2] - 20322:4, 20366:14 <b>rights</b> [1] - 20394:12 <b>risk</b> [2] - 20335:20, 20359:8 <b>risks</b> [2] - 20359:22, 20359:24 <b>Rochelle</b> [1] - 20210:10 <b>role</b> [10] - 20214:17, 20241:12, 20261:11, 20265:19, 20331:15, 20334:9, 20407:10, 20407:17, 20414:4, 20424:11 <b>Ron</b> [4] - 20292:20, 20390:7, 20390:9, 20394:4 <b>room</b> [1] - 20375:4 <b>roommate</b> [2] - 20303:24, 20310:7 <b>roommates</b> [3] - 20303:16, 20314:4, 20341:16 <b>roots</b> [1] - 20375:21 <b>rough</b> [1] - 20219:11 <b>roughly</b> [2] - 20316:8, 20372:4 <b>route</b> [5] - 20294:22, 20298:16, 20298:23, 20315:14, 20391:12 <b>Rp</b> [4] - 20209:11, 20460:2, 20460:18, 20460:19 <b>ruling</b> [2] - 20255:11, 20323:1 <b>run</b> [3] - 20321:6, 20335:13, 20435:9 <b>Rutherford</b> [1] - 20255:9	20418:1, 20434:1 <b>safeguards</b> [3] - 20244:19, 20246:13, 20246:20 <b>safety</b> [2] - 20260:19, 20441:3 <b>sake</b> [3] - 20247:24, 20250:14, 20252:8 <b>saliva</b> [1] - 20342:15 <b>sample</b> [1] - 20282:2 <b>samples</b> [3] - 20282:2, 20342:15, 20342:19 <b>Sandra</b> [1] - 20209:4 <b>sarcasm</b> [2] - 20250:13 <b>Sask</b> [3] - 20372:6, 20438:25, 20442:8 <b>Saskatchewan</b> [10] - 20208:17, 20210:4, 20254:12, 20256:1, 20270:3, 20270:14, 20280:10, 20395:8, 20409:11, 20460:4 <b>Saskatoon</b> [40] - 20208:17, 20210:8, 20237:1, 20248:12, 20263:22, 20267:24, 20273:7, 20273:14, 20293:3, 20354:10, 20354:13, 20355:11, 20371:25, 20380:4, 20380:13, 20390:12, 20403:3, 20403:5, 20403:10, 20403:18, 20407:24, 20408:22, 20409:10, 20411:2, 20412:12, 20416:13, 20432:23, 20433:18, 20435:19, 20437:12, 20454:12, 20454:19, 20455:1, 20455:7, 20455:11, 20455:12, 20455:16, 20455:17, 20455:20, 20455:25 <b>sat</b> [1] - 20388:3 <b>satisfaction</b> [1] - 20346:11 <b>satisfied</b> [4] - 20243:24, 20256:20, 20256:21, 20317:13 <b>satisfy</b> [1] - 20217:16 <b>Saturday</b> [1] - 20428:19 <b>save</b> [2] - 20256:14, 20292:7 <b>saw</b> [34] - 20226:20, 20230:6, 20238:5, 20252:6, 20269:15, 20273:19, 20280:23, 20281:6, 20281:7, 20284:9, 20284:14, 20285:7, 20286:7,	20287:2, 20297:20, 20298:1, 20298:2, 20300:23, 20301:14, 20303:9, 20304:22, 20305:2, 20305:17, 20305:25, 20307:15, 20330:22, 20333:8, 20393:24, 20403:11, 20403:15, 20403:22, 20405:1, 20458:19, 20458:25 <b>Saw</b> [1] - 20313:9 <b>scattered</b> [2] - 20334:16, 20372:13 <b>scenario</b> [6] - 20217:18, 20219:20, 20300:13, 20314:7, 20440:7, 20447:3 <b>scenarios</b> [1] - 20447:4 <b>scene</b> [3] - 20289:7, 20306:8, 20392:12 <b>school</b> [1] - 20275:22 <b>Scotia</b> [1] - 20321:24 <b>scratching</b> [1] - 20315:8 <b>screamed</b> [1] - 20263:15 <b>screen</b> [2] - 20410:17, 20413:25 <b>screening</b> [1] - 20376:19 <b>screwed</b> [1] - 20276:11 <b>scroll</b> [4] - 20414:14, 20417:9, 20418:18, 20451:2 <b>search</b> [3] - 20372:5, 20372:19, 20372:22 <b>searches</b> [1] - 20371:25 <b>second</b> [27] - 20237:5, 20241:5, 20241:8, 20241:17, 20243:4, 20252:10, 20260:8, 20278:18, 20279:23, 20311:24, 20316:14, 20326:25, 20345:18, 20360:25, 20367:23, 20368:2, 20371:10, 20397:3, 20399:19, 20431:18, 20450:4, 20450:8, 20450:9, 20450:22, 20453:5, 20457:11, 20457:18 <b>secondly</b> [1] - 20257:7 <b>Secrecy</b> [1] - 20411:24 <b>secrecy</b> [5] - 20412:4, 20412:15, 20412:21, 20413:3, 20413:13 <b>Section</b> [4] - 20244:17, 20320:8, 20320:16, 20367:10	<b>section</b> [4] - 20407:23, 20408:6, 20408:21, 20409:21 <b>secure</b> [1] - 20362:21 <b>Security</b> [1] - 20209:12 <b>see</b> [56] - 20224:7, 20230:10, 20234:5, 20237:6, 20247:11, 20252:14, 20259:4, 20264:11, 20264:24, 20267:21, 20270:14, 20275:21, 20276:18, 20278:7, 20281:13, 20283:14, 20285:5, 20285:13, 20285:18, 20290:13, 20291:16, 20294:24, 20295:4, 20296:1, 20298:15, 20299:1, 20300:5, 20301:6, 20302:5, 20308:3, 20310:7, 20328:17, 20331:1, 20334:4, 20347:3, 20354:5, 20386:25, 20389:23, 20390:14, 20391:15, 20399:15, 20401:7, 20405:6, 20405:21, 20413:5, 20414:14, 20416:23, 20418:20, 20429:6, 20429:18, 20431:24, 20437:16, 20447:9, 20450:13, 20450:19, 20451:3 <b>seeing</b> [9] - 20225:3, 20309:24, 20347:8, 20347:13, 20347:21, 20392:3, 20403:16, 20403:21, 20450:15 <b>seek</b> [5] - 20233:9, 20300:3, 20300:20, 20316:25, 20387:7 <b>seeking</b> [2] - 20233:14, 20423:21 <b>seem</b> [2] - 20307:5, 20316:24 <b>selected</b> [1] - 20407:17 <b>selective</b> [1] - 20270:12 <b>self</b> [2] - 20379:12, 20384:22 <b>semblance</b> [1] - 20288:12 <b>send</b> [2] - 20425:4, 20425:11 <b>sending</b> [1] - 20457:21 <b>senior</b> [3] - 20233:4, 20251:16, 20255:24 <b>sense</b> [23] - 20212:17, 20212:18, 20218:20, 20229:3, 20229:8,	20267:2, 20267:4, 20268:6, 20268:17, 20272:23, 20274:2, 20286:15, 20287:2, 20292:21, 20294:20, 20314:23, 20325:10, 20325:14, 20348:21, 20348:22, 20375:21, 20395:21, 20401:18 <b>sense</b> [1] - 20285:20 <b>sensitive</b> [2] - 20286:10, 20397:14 <b>sent</b> [5] - 20415:7, 20420:23, 20425:7, 20425:9, 20425:16 <b>sentence</b> [1] - 20430:13 <b>separate</b> [1] - 20266:9 <b>September</b> [5] - 20343:1, 20356:23, 20371:24, 20373:10, 20428:19 <b>Serge</b> [1] - 20210:6 <b>Sergeant</b> [3] - 20253:11, 20256:16, 20409:20 <b>serial</b> [3] - 20248:13, 20358:10, 20361:24 <b>series</b> [1] - 20418:17 <b>serious</b> [6] - 20238:19, 20248:1, 20250:12, 20398:12, 20398:13 <b>seriously</b> [5] - 20218:17, 20219:14, 20244:17, 20440:12, 20440:17 <b>service</b> [2] - 20389:1, 20425:7 <b>Service</b> [12] - 20210:8, 20273:7, 20273:14, 20403:6, 20403:10, 20433:18, 20455:1, 20455:8, 20455:12, 20455:17, 20455:21, 20455:25 <b>services</b> [1] - 20423:5 <b>serving</b> [1] - 20438:25 <b>set</b> [10] - 20293:19, 20332:11, 20336:12, 20337:2, 20338:18, 20345:6, 20376:25, 20395:15, 20426:21, 20438:10 <b>sets</b> [1] - 20367:25 <b>settle</b> [1] - 20271:15 <b>seven</b> [4] - 20229:20, 20265:24, 20381:5, 20405:10 <b>several</b> [7] - 20269:15, 20299:12, 20301:2, 20301:25, 20318:25,
<b>S</b>				
<b>safe</b> [3] - 20408:10,				





<p>20382:21, 20433:2  <b>Several</b> [2] - 20251:18, 20306:1  <b>sex</b> [4] - 20317:17, 20317:24, 20328:3, 20328:9  <b>sexual</b> [13] - 20317:1, 20403:3, 20403:19, 20405:3, 20435:4, 20435:11, 20436:1, 20448:22, 20452:20, 20453:21, 20454:2, 20455:3, 20455:16  <b>sexually</b> [5] - 20317:12, 20382:3, 20404:10, 20404:11, 20449:12  <b>shadows</b> [1] - 20223:3  <b>shall</b> [1] - 20370:25  <b>shaped</b> [1] - 20297:19  <b>share</b> [1] - 20346:8  <b>shared</b> [1] - 20323:5  <b>sharing</b> [2] - 20334:20, 20380:16  <b>Sharon</b> [1] - 20392:22  <b>Sheraton</b> [1] - 20208:16  <b>shocked</b> [1] - 20230:2  <b>short</b> [1] - 20250:3  <b>shorthand</b> [1] - 20460:5  <b>shortly</b> [4] - 20273:15, 20408:11, 20422:11, 20432:11  <b>Shorty</b> [1] - 20274:10  <b>show</b> [7] - 20222:3, 20256:23, 20339:11, 20339:17, 20339:19, 20414:3, 20417:8  <b>showed</b> [5] - 20285:9, 20285:11, 20435:14, 20457:6, 20458:6  <b>showing</b> [2] - 20392:24, 20435:10  <b>shown</b> [2] - 20229:5, 20293:4  <b>shows</b> [1] - 20294:7  <b>shut</b> [1] - 20287:18  <b>sic</b> [2] - 20265:22, 20448:17  <b>side</b> [14] - 20272:16, 20278:19, 20280:2, 20286:16, 20287:3, 20293:25, 20295:2, 20295:5, 20359:22, 20378:14, 20385:10, 20408:9, 20428:5, 20442:5  <b>sides</b> [3] - 20216:18, 20285:21, 20335:3  <b>sidetracked</b> [1] - 20226:13  <b>sidewalk</b> [1] - 20295:6</p>	<p><b>Sidney</b> [1] - 20424:5  <b>sign</b> [2] - 20302:11, 20313:18  <b>significance</b> [3] - 20226:15, 20226:22, 20394:16  <b>significant</b> [3] - 20220:8, 20395:13, 20401:17  <b>significantly</b> [1] - 20397:7  <b>signs</b> [1] - 20302:17  <b>silent</b> [1] - 20324:3  <b>similar</b> [43] - 20222:12, 20222:25, 20225:25, 20226:3, 20226:5, 20231:20, 20232:19, 20238:10, 20239:8, 20239:17, 20240:21, 20247:19, 20249:13, 20249:17, 20260:9, 20281:15, 20286:21, 20328:9, 20330:14, 20345:24, 20355:15, 20355:24, 20356:5, 20380:22, 20392:20, 20436:12, 20437:14, 20441:8, 20441:13, 20441:15, 20442:22, 20442:23, 20443:4, 20443:10, 20443:12, 20446:14, 20446:21, 20446:24, 20447:5, 20447:11, 20447:14, 20447:19, 20447:20  <b>similarities</b> [10] - 20226:7, 20241:10, 20241:11, 20241:20, 20289:6, 20289:7, 20315:1, 20368:18, 20374:5, 20403:1  <b>similarity</b> [6] - 20227:24, 20240:1, 20240:2, 20240:12, 20345:12, 20450:6  <b>similarly</b> [2] - 20341:20, 20394:4  <b>simple</b> [5] - 20313:16, 20314:10, 20314:13, 20384:15, 20397:18  <b>simpler</b> [1] - 20385:21  <b>simply</b> [16] - 20232:8, 20243:4, 20253:24, 20296:23, 20300:21, 20302:22, 20305:11, 20310:23, 20325:10, 20332:24, 20334:3, 20343:16, 20362:17, 20370:15, 20387:18, 20396:19</p>	<p><b>Simpson</b> [1] - 20273:11  <b>sincerely</b> [1] - 20379:13  <b>single</b> [1] - 20292:15  <b>sit</b> [1] - 20242:11  <b>sitting</b> [3] - 20208:15, 20329:15, 20345:9  <b>situation</b> [6] - 20242:17, 20320:19, 20337:2, 20345:8, 20377:16, 20438:23  <b>situations</b> [1] - 20376:10  <b>six</b> [10] - 20274:5, 20274:16, 20274:18, 20275:20, 20286:23, 20347:6, 20349:10, 20349:25, 20351:3, 20389:7  <b>Six</b> [1] - 20274:6  <b>six-year</b> [1] - 20349:25  <b>six-year-old</b> [1] - 20349:10  <b>skill</b> [1] - 20460:6  <b>skipping</b> [1] - 20244:21  <b>slanted</b> [1] - 20247:14  <b>slanting</b> [2] - 20212:14, 20212:21  <b>slashed</b> [1] - 20454:22  <b>slightly</b> [1] - 20294:13  <b>small</b> [1] - 20316:10  <b>smart</b> [1] - 20242:23  <b>smarter</b> [1] - 20243:6  <b>snow</b> [2] - 20248:21, 20302:14  <b>so-called</b> [5] - 20252:10, 20330:17, 20440:5, 20442:7, 20442:20  <b>solicited</b> [1] - 20231:2  <b>solved</b> [1] - 20226:24  <b>someone</b> [17] - 20246:4, 20253:3, 20285:1, 20288:3, 20299:11, 20321:3, 20331:16, 20332:14, 20334:12, 20350:20, 20363:25, 20396:20, 20396:23, 20402:17, 20404:1, 20406:6, 20451:13  <b>someplace</b> [2] - 20326:17, 20404:22  <b>sometime</b> [4] - 20216:4, 20324:6, 20370:24, 20405:6  <b>sometimes</b> [6] - 20321:7, 20322:19, 20360:18, 20376:5, 20421:1, 20421:2  <b>somewhat</b> [7] -</p>	<p>20257:18, 20260:3, 20357:11, 20358:1, 20389:15, 20441:21, 20453:12  <b>somewhere</b> [2] - 20231:6, 20299:7  <b>son</b> [1] - 20377:17  <b>sooner</b> [2] - 20448:5, 20448:13  <b>Sorry</b> [3] - 20225:22, 20253:9, 20254:10  <b>sorry</b> [27] - 20243:11, 20250:15, 20250:21, 20260:12, 20267:10, 20277:2, 20277:4, 20295:14, 20299:5, 20310:13, 20329:13, 20329:14, 20333:14, 20348:20, 20352:10, 20359:10, 20363:3, 20379:24, 20382:3, 20417:9, 20422:23, 20427:17, 20429:18, 20429:22, 20430:8, 20439:17, 20449:1  <b>Sort</b> [1] - 20392:20  <b>sort</b> [24] - 20222:4, 20295:23, 20336:21, 20337:2, 20341:11, 20346:11, 20389:11, 20389:24, 20390:18, 20391:23, 20392:17, 20392:20, 20392:21, 20393:18, 20396:10, 20397:17, 20398:15, 20404:24, 20405:4, 20413:23, 20421:18, 20424:17, 20433:13, 20433:23  <b>sorts</b> [1] - 20287:19  <b>sought</b> [1] - 20423:10  <b>soul</b> [1] - 20261:1  <b>sound</b> [1] - 20234:13  <b>sounded</b> [1] - 20281:15  <b>sounds</b> [2] - 20216:8, 20346:18  <b>source</b> [4] - 20264:7, 20322:17, 20327:18, 20422:7  <b>sources</b> [2] - 20287:19, 20442:4  <b>South</b> [3] - 20293:17, 20294:13, 20304:16  <b>south</b> [14] - 20293:22, 20295:7, 20297:2, 20298:18, 20298:19, 20298:25, 20301:7, 20303:20, 20304:3, 20304:8, 20304:13, 20308:11, 20308:12,</p>	<p>20312:10  <b>southeast</b> [1] - 20305:13  <b>southward</b> [4] - 20293:25, 20295:17, 20295:19, 20298:13  <b>southwest</b> [2] - 20294:15, 20305:20  <b>Speaking</b> [1] - 20446:14  <b>speaking</b> [7] - 20337:15, 20338:13, 20362:9, 20368:24, 20387:18, 20389:5, 20390:6  <b>speaks</b> [1] - 20248:4  <b>special</b> [1] - 20271:18  <b>specialized</b> [1] - 20389:16  <b>specialty</b> [1] - 20389:19  <b>specific</b> [8] - 20213:12, 20358:6, 20381:15, 20385:22, 20391:21, 20422:20, 20433:9, 20456:12  <b>Specifically</b> [1] - 20212:16  <b>specifically</b> [13] - 20213:24, 20218:14, 20273:2, 20273:8, 20365:20, 20377:10, 20382:2, 20390:7, 20396:7, 20396:21, 20404:7, 20419:8, 20422:13  <b>specifics</b> [2] - 20332:11, 20348:13  <b>spectator</b> [2] - 20290:8, 20290:9  <b>speculating</b> [2] - 20361:22, 20406:8  <b>speculation</b> [1] - 20379:4  <b>speed</b> [3] - 20222:4, 20284:12, 20291:12  <b>spent</b> [6] - 20287:22, 20296:11, 20299:24, 20300:16, 20383:1, 20408:7  <b>spite</b> [1] - 20300:22  <b>spoken</b> [2] - 20443:15, 20443:24  <b>spot</b> [2] - 20304:20, 20391:22  <b>spurious</b> [1] - 20377:3  <b>spurred</b> [2] - 20379:3, 20424:1  <b>St</b> [3] - 20296:25, 20297:3, 20297:14  <b>stab</b> [2] - 20302:8, 20405:2</p>
---	--	---	--	--



<p><b>stabbed</b> [2] - 20248:20, 20302:2</p> <p><b>stabbing</b> [1] - 20301:2</p> <p><b>Staff</b> [3] - 20209:1, 20209:8, 20409:19</p> <p><b>staff</b> [1] - 20443:16</p> <p><b>stage</b> [14] - 20252:21, 20252:25, 20253:2, 20253:21, 20254:1, 20255:12, 20256:4, 20256:7, 20350:14, 20400:9, 20419:12, 20419:16, 20440:20, 20441:9</p> <p><b>stages</b> [2] - 20262:1, 20319:10</p> <p><b>stake</b> [1] - 20375:17</p> <p><b>stalked</b> [1] - 20358:13</p> <p><b>stamp</b> [1] - 20308:5</p> <p><b>stand</b> [4] - 20254:14, 20354:7, 20445:22, 20454:5</p> <p><b>standard</b> [1] - 20392:7</p> <p><b>standing</b> [2] - 20293:16, 20332:7</p> <p><b>Starphoenix</b> [4] - 20410:15, 20410:17, 20410:18, 20413:8</p> <p><b>start</b> [18] - 20212:7, 20215:21, 20220:10, 20240:5, 20314:25, 20323:8, 20335:1, 20336:1, 20342:10, 20390:19, 20391:25, 20399:17, 20412:15, 20420:4, 20421:6, 20422:16, 20446:1, 20449:6</p> <p><b>started</b> [6] - 20225:21, 20320:7, 20321:8, 20387:3, 20408:12, 20420:7</p> <p><b>startling</b> [1] - 20369:25</p> <p><b>state</b> [3] - 20271:4, 20318:5, 20381:16</p> <p><b>statement</b> [53] - 20213:2, 20214:1, 20218:25, 20219:4, 20219:21, 20220:2, 20220:5, 20220:6, 20275:2, 20277:3, 20277:8, 20277:25, 20280:9, 20280:22, 20286:4, 20293:7, 20297:12, 20299:19, 20300:6, 20302:22, 20303:8, 20303:16, 20303:24, 20326:12, 20328:12, 20339:6, 20347:10, 20347:18,</p>	<p>20362:20, 20364:22, 20369:8, 20392:24, 20393:5, 20400:17, 20404:13, 20404:20, 20416:24, 20417:18, 20419:10, 20419:11, 20427:4, 20427:12, 20428:17, 20429:11, 20429:17, 20429:25, 20431:13, 20432:4, 20432:10, 20432:17, 20434:7, 20438:3</p> <p><b>statements</b> [15] - 20212:10, 20212:13, 20213:20, 20213:22, 20214:25, 20215:9, 20244:10, 20273:19, 20304:10, 20304:25, 20342:16, 20346:3, 20369:9, 20388:20, 20388:24</p> <p><b>states</b> [1] - 20417:21</p> <p><b>stating</b> [3] - 20303:8, 20303:25, 20374:4</p> <p><b>station</b> [2] - 20400:4, 20401:14</p> <p><b>statistically</b> [1] - 20226:10</p> <p><b>status</b> [3] - 20273:1, 20287:6, 20327:22</p> <p><b>stay</b> [1] - 20223:2</p> <p><b>staying</b> [1] - 20275:21</p> <p><b>stays</b> [1] - 20383:20</p> <p><b>stem</b> [2] - 20297:21, 20301:8</p> <p><b>stenographer</b> [1] - 20429:3</p> <p><b>step</b> [6] - 20323:6, 20333:20, 20390:14, 20397:4, 20438:9, 20448:3</p> <p><b>steps</b> [2] - 20438:13, 20448:2</p> <p><b>Stewart</b> [1] - 20409:20</p> <p><b>still</b> [29] - 20232:24, 20243:18, 20244:14, 20247:19, 20251:22, 20259:7, 20308:17, 20317:13, 20318:4, 20321:3, 20321:18, 20321:19, 20322:5, 20357:14, 20358:21, 20365:18, 20365:19, 20365:21, 20366:3, 20367:17, 20377:8, 20377:12, 20377:21, 20386:21, 20386:22, 20398:6, 20398:14, 20399:25, 20408:20</p> <p><b>stimulate</b> [2] -</p>	<p>20214:14, 20440:7</p> <p><b>Stinchcombe</b> [1] - 20232:1</p> <p><b>stood</b> [1] - 20274:24</p> <p><b>stop</b> [18] - 20294:14, 20294:17, 20294:22, 20296:16, 20298:14, 20298:24, 20304:9, 20304:14, 20310:8, 20358:14, 20404:23, 20411:19, 20413:22, 20414:7, 20416:15, 20416:17, 20417:20, 20431:18</p> <p><b>stopped</b> [1] - 20295:9</p> <p><b>stories</b> [1] - 20261:12</p> <p><b>story</b> [1] - 20394:15</p> <p><b>straight</b> [3] - 20294:14, 20294:22, 20303:20</p> <p><b>straightforward</b> [1] - 20436:15</p> <p><b>strange</b> [1] - 20449:11</p> <p><b>Street</b> [20] - 20294:2, 20294:16, 20294:18, 20294:20, 20294:23, 20295:4, 20295:6, 20295:17, 20295:22, 20296:1, 20298:14, 20300:14, 20303:21, 20304:4, 20304:14, 20305:14, 20305:16, 20305:21, 20305:23, 20390:23</p> <p><b>street</b> [5] - 20295:3, 20295:20, 20295:24, 20309:2, 20312:24</p> <p><b>streets</b> [4] - 20267:19, 20305:1, 20390:17, 20392:12</p> <p><b>Streets</b> [1] - 20293:3</p> <p><b>strikes</b> [3] - 20227:25, 20236:2, 20317:19</p> <p><b>strong</b> [1] - 20218:5</p> <p><b>stronger</b> [1] - 20218:9</p> <p><b>strongest</b> [1] - 20308:4</p> <p><b>strongly</b> [1] - 20377:16</p> <p><b>structure</b> [1] - 20396:5</p> <p><b>struggle</b> [4] - 20301:1, 20302:11, 20313:18, 20313:19</p> <p><b>stuck</b> [19] - 20275:2, 20296:9, 20297:5, 20299:15, 20299:23, 20301:24, 20302:17, 20304:21, 20305:2, 20305:4, 20308:18, 20308:19, 20309:5, 20309:8, 20309:11, 20309:15, 20309:18, 20309:22, 20309:23</p>	<p><b>student</b> [1] - 20305:12</p> <p><b>study</b> [1] - 20317:8</p> <p><b>stuff</b> [5] - 20229:15, 20378:4, 20444:20, 20446:2, 20446:3</p> <p><b>stunning</b> [1] - 20303:1</p> <p><b>Stupid</b> [2] - 20295:15, 20299:9</p> <p><b>subject</b> [5] - 20252:20, 20253:2, 20260:18, 20394:9, 20443:1</p> <p><b>subjected</b> [1] - 20404:25</p> <p><b>submission</b> [1] - 20237:17</p> <p><b>submissions</b> [3] - 20235:14, 20235:19, 20375:15</p> <p><b>submitted</b> [1] - 20236:12</p> <p><b>submitting</b> [1] - 20290:16</p> <p><b>subsequent</b> [2] - 20235:16, 20419:18</p> <p><b>subsequently</b> [2] - 20409:25, 20433:19</p> <p><b>substance</b> [1] - 20423:8</p> <p><b>substantial</b> [2] - 20303:2, 20420:24</p> <p><b>substantiate</b> [1] - 20325:17</p> <p><b>succeeded</b> [1] - 20323:17</p> <p><b>suddenly</b> [2] - 20262:19, 20318:1</p> <p><b>sufficient</b> [3] - 20374:7, 20395:25</p> <p><b>suggest</b> [9] - 20232:5, 20239:20, 20272:21, 20309:18, 20345:7, 20350:5, 20352:19, 20391:14, 20414:20</p> <p><b>suggested</b> [1] - 20368:17</p> <p><b>suggesting</b> [11] - 20216:13, 20288:13, 20315:13, 20329:20, 20331:7, 20363:11, 20378:9, 20383:14, 20383:22, 20384:8, 20394:13</p> <p><b>suggestion</b> [12] - 20218:10, 20218:16, 20218:17, 20242:3, 20244:15, 20248:2, 20317:11, 20368:8, 20404:7, 20413:3, 20413:13, 20433:24</p> <p><b>suggestions</b> [2] - 20318:13, 20388:5</p>	<p><b>suggests</b> [4] - 20293:23, 20294:20, 20298:5, 20415:8</p> <p><b>summary</b> [1] - 20451:4</p> <p><b>summation</b> [1] - 20213:23</p> <p><b>summer</b> [1] - 20374:11</p> <p><b>Sunday</b> [1] - 20428:20</p> <p><b>Superintendent</b> [1] - 20316:20</p> <p><b>superintendent's</b> [1] - 20316:12</p> <p><b>superiors</b> [3] - 20359:15, 20359:21, 20365:9</p> <p><b>supervising</b> [1] - 20408:9</p> <p><b>Support</b> [1] - 20209:8</p> <p><b>support</b> [3] - 20369:2, 20382:10, 20387:13</p> <p><b>suppose</b> [18] - 20212:18, 20217:1, 20239:23, 20290:2, 20307:17, 20312:19, 20313:23, 20313:25, 20317:13, 20337:10, 20337:12, 20360:16, 20361:23, 20376:7, 20395:19, 20398:2, 20399:19, 20401:10</p> <p><b>supposedly</b> [2] - 20284:14, 20301:4</p> <p><b>Supreme</b> [16] - 20222:2, 20225:14, 20233:25, 20234:2, 20260:5, 20262:21, 20264:17, 20284:19, 20289:17, 20289:20, 20290:20, 20291:14, 20307:8, 20319:25, 20322:25, 20326:1</p> <p><b>surely</b> [4] - 20255:16, 20283:13, 20290:11, 20290:15</p> <p><b>surface</b> [4] - 20372:11, 20373:4, 20442:4, 20442:10</p> <p><b>surfaced</b> [7] - 20224:6, 20372:6, 20372:8, 20372:9, 20372:12, 20372:18, 20377:22</p> <p><b>surfacing</b> [1] - 20264:14</p> <p><b>surprise</b> [1] - 20357:22</p> <p><b>surprised</b> [1] - 20357:23</p> <p><b>surprising</b> [1] - 20391:3</p> <p><b>surrounding</b> [3] - 20353:11, 20425:13, 20428:18</p>
--	--	---	---	---





20451:2 <b>topic</b> [3] - 20213:25, 20214:13, 20225:21 <b>total</b> [2] - 20246:20, 20445:9 <b>totality</b> [2] - 20338:8, 20366:17 <b>totally</b> [3] - 20239:19, 20244:18, 20244:20 <b>touch</b> [1] - 20434:23 <b>touched</b> [2] - 20225:18, 20444:22 <b>touching</b> [1] - 20434:21 <b>tough</b> [1] - 20278:2 <b>toward</b> [4] - 20296:18, 20298:20, 20299:17, 20301:8 <b>towards</b> [5] - 20294:1, 20295:17, 20298:14, 20313:13, 20438:9 <b>track</b> [1] - 20436:9 <b>traditions</b> [1] - 20232:16 <b>traffic</b> [1] - 20305:6 <b>training</b> [5] - 20382:22, 20389:2, 20389:9, 20389:12, 20389:17 <b>Transcript</b> [4] - 20208:12, 20211:5, 20212:1, 20292:14 <b>transcript</b> [6] - 20214:4, 20349:14, 20349:15, 20350:23, 20354:4, 20356:14 <b>transcription</b> [1] - 20460:5 <b>transparency</b> [1] - 20270:10 <b>transparent</b> [1] - 20324:21 <b>transpired</b> [1] - 20214:4 <b>trauma</b> [1] - 20452:22 <b>traumatic</b> [1] - 20453:3 <b>travel</b> [1] - 20409:17 <b>travelled</b> [1] - 20304:8 <b>treat</b> [1] - 20370:7 <b>tremendous</b> [1] - 20272:22 <b>tremendously</b> [1] - 20323:4 <b>trial</b> [23] - 20235:22, 20235:25, 20238:21, 20248:23, 20292:16, 20293:9, 20296:7, 20299:13, 20300:15, 20302:25, 20303:14, 20319:16, 20322:5, 20322:22, 20332:2, 20334:2, 20362:15, 20394:11, 20399:22,	20423:22, 20433:4, 20442:24, 20446:9 <b>trial'</b> [1] - 20363:15 <b>tribunal</b> [2] - 20245:3, 20370:16 <b>tribunals</b> [1] - 20245:16 <b>tried</b> [2] - 20288:3, 20456:20 <b>trio</b> [1] - 20299:10 <b>trouble</b> [3] - 20349:17, 20349:19, 20366:1 <b>troubled</b> [1] - 20377:2 <b>troubling</b> [1] - 20358:15 <b>truck</b> [1] - 20305:22 <b>true</b> [7] - 20215:16, 20310:11, 20326:15, 20374:2, 20421:5, 20445:6, 20460:5 <b>Truscott</b> [6] - 20235:6, 20246:22, 20257:14, 20270:8, 20321:13, 20321:18 <b>trust</b> [4] - 20236:16, 20285:13, 20379:7, 20379:14 <b>truth</b> [1] - 20272:11 <b>try</b> [19] - 20216:16, 20220:22, 20257:4, 20262:14, 20264:10, 20264:13, 20268:11, 20273:22, 20284:17, 20287:17, 20289:9, 20290:18, 20296:14, 20332:23, 20343:22, 20360:4, 20368:4, 20383:9, 20392:11 <b>trying</b> [26] - 20215:13, 20223:22, 20236:9, 20257:20, 20258:9, 20260:16, 20272:11, 20280:25, 20284:8, 20287:5, 20287:8, 20296:12, 20299:24, 20300:2, 20308:2, 20323:12, 20334:3, 20342:11, 20344:6, 20375:12, 20382:6, 20390:7, 20400:22, 20439:4, 20439:16, 20439:25 <b>Tuesday</b> [1] - 20208:21 <b>turn</b> [17] - 20222:9, 20229:10, 20231:16, 20232:1, 20237:5, 20237:10, 20275:18, 20278:4, 20279:20, 20279:25, 20280:3, 20295:25, 20296:3, 20296:8, 20299:15, 20299:17, 20362:1	<b>turn-down</b> [1] - 20229:10 <b>turned</b> [7] - 20229:7, 20272:17, 20274:10, 20299:22, 20312:10, 20365:5, 20390:23 <b>turning</b> [3] - 20231:17, 20243:12, 20347:10 <b>turns</b> [2] - 20231:25, 20242:22 <b>twice</b> [2] - 20257:21, 20267:25 <b>two</b> [21] - 20216:16, 20222:7, 20244:14, 20268:11, 20268:12, 20271:5, 20285:21, 20313:3, 20316:13, 20323:20, 20352:6, 20353:11, 20353:14, 20355:2, 20371:2, 20387:10, 20406:3, 20406:15, 20417:12, 20428:18 <b>type</b> [14] - 20238:11, 20247:7, 20260:23, 20270:8, 20342:11, 20343:2, 20343:4, 20343:5, 20343:9, 20343:15, 20370:20, 20429:1, 20442:18 <b>typed</b> [2] - 20289:18, 20353:5 <b>types</b> [4] - 20213:20, 20433:10, 20438:12, 20456:22	<b>unbiased</b> [2] - 20252:3, 20384:12 <b>unclear</b> [2] - 20244:18, 20292:25 <b>uncommon</b> [1] - 20453:1 <b>uncomplicated</b> [1] - 20314:13 <b>uncovered</b> [1] - 20262:4 <b>under</b> [16] - 20227:1, 20240:7, 20259:14, 20259:16, 20312:3, 20329:8, 20331:12, 20331:15, 20364:25, 20410:25, 20429:7, 20435:4, 20435:25, 20443:5, 20445:25 <b>Under</b> [1] - 20312:8 <b>undercover</b> [1] - 20438:17 <b>understood</b> [7] - 20231:19, 20260:11, 20274:15, 20330:5, 20349:4, 20404:9, 20424:15 <b>undertake</b> [1] - 20447:24 <b>undertaking</b> [1] - 20341:5 <b>undress</b> [1] - 20223:1 <b>undressing</b> [1] - 20317:20 <b>unfolded</b> [1] - 20452:5 <b>unfolding</b> [1] - 20289:19 <b>uniform</b> [1] - 20408:8 <b>universal</b> [1] - 20351:11 <b>unknown</b> [3] - 20224:22, 20261:2, 20424:5 <b>unknowns</b> [2] - 20345:1, 20377:23 <b>Unless</b> [2] - 20318:18, 20339:15 <b>unless</b> [3] - 20354:3, 20395:12, 20436:11 <b>unlike</b> [1] - 20342:14 <b>unlikely</b> [1] - 20317:12 <b>unnamed</b> [1] - 20327:25 <b>unreliability</b> [1] - 20286:12 <b>unreliable</b> [1] - 20362:17 <b>unsatisfactory</b> [1] - 20244:20 <b>unsolved</b> [2] - 20226:23, 20237:1 <b>unstuck</b> [5] - 20296:12,	20299:16, 20299:25, 20301:18, 20305:11 <b>untenable</b> [1] - 20305:11 <b>unusual</b> [2] - 20342:17, 20453:7 <b>unwarranted</b> [3] - 20233:8, 20233:13, 20233:16 <b>up</b> [68] - 20215:5, 20220:10, 20225:4, 20230:8, 20230:22, 20231:13, 20242:19, 20251:20, 20263:14, 20271:1, 20271:13, 20274:10, 20275:5, 20279:13, 20281:4, 20284:12, 20289:18, 20291:12, 20332:12, 20336:12, 20337:2, 20339:7, 20345:6, 20356:12, 20361:2, 20364:9, 20376:11, 20376:25, 20385:7, 20388:4, 20391:15, 20393:25, 20397:4, 20401:7, 20404:18, 20405:14, 20405:16, 20413:25, 20414:11, 20417:24, 20418:19, 20421:20, 20422:19, 20424:24, 20425:24, 20426:21, 20427:7, 20428:6, 20433:19, 20436:10, 20440:6, 20440:20, 20450:7, 20450:12, 20450:19, 20452:2, 20454:1, 20454:9, 20454:16, 20454:19, 20456:19, 20457:5, 20457:10, 20457:14, 20458:14 <b>useful</b> [2] - 20290:15, 20306:21 <b>uses</b> [1] - 20402:16 <b>usual</b> [1] - 20313:11 <b>utilized</b> [2] - 20440:4, 20442:24
<b>U</b>				
<b>U-turn</b> [5] - 20295:25, 20296:3, 20296:8, 20299:15, 20299:17 <b>Uk</b> [1] - 20376:19 <b>ultimately</b> [9] - 20244:25, 20253:25, 20300:3, 20301:6, 20301:9, 20325:21, 20326:1, 20394:24, 20395:24 <b>umbrage</b> [2] - 20250:12, 20448:25 <b>umbrella</b> [1] - 20330:21 <b>Umm</b> [11] - 20220:25, 20273:25, 20281:11, 20320:17, 20322:13, 20357:25, 20362:4, 20364:25, 20407:20, 20407:25, 20449:23 <b>unable</b> [1] - 20338:21 <b>unaware</b> [1] - 20418:3				
<b>V</b>				
<b>V1</b> [5] - 20343:8, 20354:19, 20371:21, 20373:17, 20374:9 <b>V10</b> [8] - 20353:17, 20355:4, 20371:21, 20373:16, 20373:17 <b>V14</b> [31] - 20226:13, 20227:7, 20227:8,				



20228:2, 20279:25, 20280:9, 20280:10, 20280:11, 20280:18, 20282:11, 20284:24, 20285:23, 20286:3, 20286:6, 20448:18, 20449:9, 20449:23, 20450:3, 20450:14, 20451:6, 20451:25, 20452:3, 20452:8, 20452:17, 20453:8 <b>V2</b> [2] - 20372:3, 20374:8 <b>V3</b> [2] - 20372:1, 20374:9 <b>V4</b> [29] - 20263:10, 20264:5, 20264:6, 20264:11, 20264:14, 20265:1, 20265:7, 20266:9, 20315:7, 20315:15, 20315:21, 20339:21, 20340:1, 20340:2, 20340:22, 20372:1, 20404:7, 20404:12, 20404:13, 20405:8 <b>V5</b> [6] - 20372:3, 20372:6, 20372:17, 20372:18, 20374:9 <b>validity</b> [2] - 20243:25, 20327:2 <b>valuable</b> [3] - 20292:1, 20325:7, 20379:2 <b>value</b> [8] - 20262:25, 20278:1, 20278:2, 20323:9, 20344:11, 20357:22, 20382:25, 20387:22 <b>Vancouver</b> [1] - 20233:20 <b>variables</b> [4] - 20218:6, 20218:11, 20315:8, 20316:5 <b>variance</b> [1] - 20315:22 <b>various</b> [5] - 20388:19, 20425:1, 20434:21, 20442:4, 20453:10 <b>vehicle</b> [21] - 20295:10, 20296:6, 20297:5, 20297:23, 20299:14, 20299:21, 20299:24, 20300:1, 20300:9, 20300:20, 20305:2, 20309:7, 20309:10, 20309:15, 20309:18, 20309:19, 20309:24, 20446:7, 20446:8, 20446:16, 20447:4 <b>vehicles</b> [1] - 20446:4 <b>verdict</b> [3] - 20362:15,	20363:2, 20363:15 <b>verify</b> [1] - 20399:4 <b>versa</b> [1] - 20397:22 <b>version</b> [3] - 20213:22, 20296:19, 20302:21 <b>versions</b> [2] - 20292:18, 20293:4 <b>versus</b> [3] - 20275:5, 20389:12, 20400:16 <b>vested</b> [1] - 20383:11 <b>via</b> [1] - 20425:7 <b>viable</b> [4] - 20272:17, 20331:2, 20331:4, 20331:9 <b>vice</b> [1] - 20397:22 <b>vicinity</b> [1] - 20305:1 <b>vicious</b> [3] - 20246:16, 20328:3, 20328:8 <b>viciousness</b> [1] - 20353:19 <b>Victim</b> [1] - 20450:13 <b>victim</b> [6] - 20222:13, 20223:1, 20223:4, 20301:4, 20317:1, 20327:1 <b>victim's</b> [1] - 20327:5 <b>victims</b> [25] - 20222:10, 20236:3, 20236:10, 20249:17, 20286:21, 20313:14, 20343:12, 20351:21, 20352:6, 20352:12, 20354:10, 20358:13, 20368:23, 20369:11, 20370:1, 20370:23, 20371:13, 20371:20, 20373:6, 20380:5, 20380:13, 20381:11, 20442:20, 20447:16, 20452:20 <b>video</b> [3] - 20291:12, 20291:13, 20313:17 <b>Videotape</b> [4] - 20211:5, 20211:7, 20292:14, 20306:10 <b>videotape</b> [1] - 20292:20 <b>view</b> [22] - 20222:20, 20233:3, 20233:6, 20241:19, 20257:9, 20260:13, 20275:8, 20284:3, 20297:18, 20327:17, 20353:21, 20357:15, 20363:21, 20370:10, 20375:14, 20377:19, 20384:2, 20386:24, 20437:14, 20446:5, 20446:7, 20447:5 <b>viewer</b> [1] - 20292:21 <b>views</b> [2] - 20375:11,	20444:9 <b>violent</b> [2] - 20353:16, 20428:22 <b>virgin</b> [2] - 20274:25, 20276:15 <b>virgin'</b> [2] - 20276:11, 20276:12 <b>virgins</b> [1] - 20276:13 <b>virtually</b> [1] - 20292:17 <b>visit</b> [2] - 20239:1, 20364:16 <b>visited</b> [1] - 20448:22 <b>voice</b> [3] - 20281:14, 20282:8, 20420:6 <b>Volume</b> [1] - 20208:22 <b>voluntarily</b> [3] - 20400:3, 20400:19, 20401:14 <b>volunteered</b> [2] - 20214:11, 20401:18 <b>volunteers</b> [1] - 20400:15 <b>vulnerability</b> [1] - 20216:17 <b>vulnerable</b> [2] - 20213:9, 20213:14	<b>warned</b> [1] - 20403:17 <b>warnings</b> [3] - 20248:6, 20248:9, 20403:15 <b>watch</b> [5] - 20285:15, 20289:24, 20290:1, 20291:7, 20292:5 <b>watches</b> [1] - 20316:3 <b>ways</b> [1] - 20440:9 <b>weapon</b> [4] - 20238:10, 20249:14, 20352:11, 20405:17 <b>wearing</b> [1] - 20304:12 <b>weary</b> [1] - 20248:9 <b>weeks</b> [2] - 20274:16, 20274:19 <b>weighing</b> [1] - 20329:1 <b>weight</b> [3] - 20346:25, 20350:4 <b>welcome</b> [2] - 20243:20, 20258:23 <b>well-documented</b> [1] - 20281:17 <b>Wempe</b> [1] - 20210:10 <b>west</b> [6] - 20293:25, 20295:2, 20295:5, 20297:18, 20301:5, 20302:18 <b>westerly</b> [1] - 20298:10 <b>whatsoever</b> [1] - 20336:19 <b>whereabouts</b> [1] - 20340:20 <b>Whereas</b> [1] - 20368:16 <b>whereas</b> [1] - 20216:10 <b>whole</b> [5] - 20220:22, 20231:5, 20242:7, 20377:25, 20433:25 <b>wholly</b> [1] - 20232:15 <b>wide</b> [2] - 20332:17, 20376:8 <b>wife</b> [3] - 20237:20, 20328:11, 20362:20 <b>wild</b> [1] - 20215:5 <b>William</b> [2] - 20233:19, 20251:13 <b>Williams</b> [108] - 20224:17, 20225:20, 20226:2, 20230:8, 20230:22, 20231:7, 20234:19, 20240:10, 20240:13, 20241:13, 20252:13, 20255:25, 20256:16, 20258:20, 20261:8, 20269:16, 20270:19, 20329:6, 20329:7, 20329:14, 20334:9, 20336:23, 20338:12, 20342:7, 20347:17, 20352:25, 20353:10, 20355:1,	20355:13, 20355:20, 20355:22, 20356:24, 20356:25, 20357:8, 20357:19, 20357:23, 20358:4, 20358:18, 20358:20, 20359:10, 20360:3, 20361:7, 20361:8, 20365:8, 20366:16, 20374:3, 20374:12, 20374:18, 20392:23, 20407:13, 20408:11, 20411:1, 20411:7, 20411:15, 20411:17, 20412:16, 20413:18, 20413:20, 20414:13, 20414:22, 20414:25, 20415:6, 20415:13, 20415:22, 20416:8, 20418:16, 20418:21, 20418:23, 20418:25, 20419:9, 20420:5, 20420:18, 20420:22, 20421:1, 20421:10, 20421:21, 20422:1, 20422:10, 20422:12, 20423:1, 20423:6, 20424:24, 20425:10, 20426:2, 20426:13, 20427:8, 20427:22, 20428:15, 20430:5, 20431:3, 20432:2, 20432:22, 20433:1, 20433:6, 20433:20, 20434:2, 20434:11, 20437:23, 20438:8, 20442:25, 20444:23, 20445:2, 20445:20, 20445:23, 20446:3, 20447:25, 20457:16 <b>Williams'</b> [1] - 20458:11 <b>willing</b> [2] - 20281:24, 20358:10 <b>Wilson</b> [18] - 20210:6, 20286:18, 20292:20, 20295:10, 20295:18, 20296:10, 20296:14, 20297:5, 20298:8, 20299:25, 20300:8, 20301:17, 20305:3, 20305:9, 20390:7, 20390:10, 20394:4, 20424:5 <b>win</b> [1] - 20268:15 <b>window</b> [1] - 20304:19 <b>wings</b> [1] - 20376:15 <b>winners</b> [1] - 20335:6 <b>Winnipeg</b> [7] - 20353:1, 20371:20, 20373:16, 20409:21, 20427:10,
<b>W</b>				
<b>waist</b> [1] - 20302:7 <b>waited</b> [2] - 20313:3, 20314:8 <b>waiting</b> [6] - 20244:14, 20304:17, 20310:10, 20322:5, 20376:15, 20416:17 <b>waive</b> [1] - 20257:10 <b>waived</b> [1] - 20254:21 <b>waiver</b> [1] - 20256:2 <b>walk</b> [3] - 20328:21, 20400:3, 20404:23 <b>walked</b> [8] - 20297:15, 20297:17, 20303:20, 20304:3, 20305:15, 20313:12, 20314:4, 20445:13 <b>walking</b> [6] - 20296:19, 20298:12, 20308:23, 20313:25, 20315:22, 20387:9 <b>walks</b> [4] - 20283:12, 20310:8, 20314:19, 20401:13 <b>wall</b> [1] - 20378:21 <b>wants</b> [9] - 20216:14, 20258:15, 20270:12, 20271:9, 20318:18, 20327:7, 20335:16, 20335:17				



<p>20428:16, 20428:19  <b>wisdom</b> [1] - 20383:7  <b>wish</b> [3] - 20364:24, 20427:21, 20449:2  <b>wished</b> [2] - 20254:24, 20422:2  <b>wishes</b> [1] - 20259:5  <b>witness</b> [22] - 20213:1, 20213:6, 20213:8, 20213:19, 20214:7, 20214:11, 20217:6, 20244:10, 20254:4, 20256:12, 20272:17, 20289:23, 20303:13, 20304:5, 20305:19, 20311:5, 20389:6, 20389:22, 20391:21, 20400:15, 20411:24  <b>witnessed</b> [1] - 20277:6  <b>witnesses</b> [11] - 20212:21, 20258:19, 20262:18, 20292:19, 20306:1, 20316:1, 20341:8, 20371:12, 20388:20, 20391:4, 20400:15  <b>Wolch</b> [67] - 20210:2, 20211:4, 20212:6, 20250:18, 20250:21, 20250:25, 20251:6, 20251:9, 20253:9, 20254:5, 20255:5, 20255:20, 20255:23, 20256:19, 20256:22, 20259:5, 20259:10, 20259:12, 20259:19, 20259:25, 20260:2, 20261:18, 20262:3, 20267:10, 20268:23, 20269:2, 20271:4, 20271:16, 20271:22, 20271:23, 20276:22, 20276:23, 20277:1, 20277:9, 20277:11, 20277:13, 20280:8, 20280:12, 20280:18, 20280:21, 20292:12, 20306:11, 20314:16, 20318:9, 20318:16, 20345:20, 20364:13, 20370:6, 20373:19, 20374:4, 20374:13, 20380:3, 20380:20, 20381:6, 20389:5, 20400:13, 20402:12, 20402:24, 20407:14, 20415:16, 20448:25, 20449:2, 20449:8, 20452:11, 20457:5, 20458:6, 20458:18</p>	<p><b>Wolch's</b> [2] - 20256:11, 20367:24  <b>woman</b> [7] - 20265:24, 20266:19, 20267:8, 20287:1, 20300:24, 20301:3, 20318:5  <b>women</b> [13] - 20223:10, 20248:6, 20248:9, 20248:19, 20249:25, 20267:18, 20267:19, 20268:14, 20314:20, 20317:14, 20328:6, 20382:3, 20454:25  <b>wonder</b> [2] - 20212:7, 20272:20  <b>wonderful</b> [1] - 20323:9  <b>wondering</b> [3] - 20427:22, 20438:21, 20458:2  <b>word</b> [9] - 20214:4, 20276:19, 20308:1, 20310:22, 20340:5, 20340:16, 20346:21, 20354:5  <b>word-for-word</b> [1] - 20214:4  <b>words</b> [6] - 20213:11, 20261:19, 20351:1, 20413:7, 20413:10  <b>worker</b> [1] - 20304:12  <b>works</b> [2] - 20302:23, 20375:18  <b>world</b> [7] - 20214:5, 20290:11, 20343:19, 20378:17, 20387:14, 20390:22, 20397:6  <b>worse</b> [1] - 20451:14  <b>worst</b> [2] - 20245:25, 20246:2  <b>worth</b> [3] - 20277:18, 20277:20, 20277:21  <b>worthy</b> [2] - 20219:9, 20383:8  <b>wounds</b> [1] - 20405:2  <b>Wright</b> [1] - 20426:3  <b>write</b> [1] - 20242:23  <b>writing</b> [4] - 20232:18, 20380:20, 20422:14, 20423:8  <b>written</b> [8] - 20223:14, 20249:10, 20358:24, 20360:7, 20368:1, 20368:3, 20423:4, 20458:20  <b>wrongful</b> [25] - 20242:18, 20245:10, 20245:20, 20245:21, 20321:10, 20321:19, 20321:23, 20322:10, 20322:20, 20324:9,</p>	<p>20324:12, 20324:13, 20324:24, 20327:13, 20327:17, 20327:22, 20332:12, 20376:1, 20376:22, 20382:23, 20384:3, 20385:14, 20386:3, 20397:11, 20411:10  <b>Wrongful</b> [1] - 20208:3  <b>wrongfully</b> [5] - 20246:4, 20246:8, 20246:9, 20323:21, 20423:23  <b>wrongly</b> [3] - 20322:3, 20325:16, 20328:16  <b>wrote</b> [2] - 20240:15, 20359:25</p>	<p><b>yourself</b> [11] - 20236:22, 20244:25, 20264:24, 20265:20, 20277:6, 20290:18, 20311:4, 20392:13, 20420:25, 20444:9, 20444:25</p>
<b>Y</b>			
<p><b>yards</b> [1] - 20265:25  <b>year</b> [13] - 20218:8, 20218:10, 20229:11, 20274:14, 20274:18, 20276:19, 20286:24, 20288:10, 20347:6, 20349:10, 20349:25, 20355:14  <b>years</b> [39] - 20212:8, 20260:24, 20274:4, 20275:3, 20275:20, 20276:2, 20276:3, 20277:15, 20277:23, 20280:14, 20281:10, 20321:13, 20321:18, 20322:8, 20336:10, 20339:16, 20341:8, 20341:11, 20344:18, 20349:5, 20350:17, 20351:3, 20351:5, 20351:9, 20366:10, 20369:20, 20379:3, 20379:22, 20387:6, 20387:9, 20387:10, 20389:7, 20393:8, 20399:5, 20408:3, 20408:7, 20408:8, 20420:20, 20441:20  <b>yellow</b> [1] - 20304:12  <b>yesterday</b> [6] - 20225:19, 20225:22, 20235:3, 20251:14, 20280:3, 20280:4  <b>young</b> [6] - 20215:22, 20265:24, 20274:21, 20274:22, 20389:9, 20389:18  <b>youngsters</b> [1] - 20308:11</p>			

