

Commission of Inquiry
Into the Wrongful
Conviction of David Milgaard
before
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE
EDWARD P. MacCALLUM

Transcript of Proceedings
and
Testimony before the Commission
sitting at the
Sheraton Cavalier Hotel at
Saskatoon, Saskatchewan

On Tuesday, November 22nd, 2005

Volume 96

Inquiry Proceedings



Commission Staff:

<i>Mr. Douglas C. Hodson,</i>	Commission Counsel
<i>Ms. Candace D. Congram,</i>	Executive Director
<i>Ms. Sandra Boswell,</i>	Document Manager
<i>Ms. Kara Isabelle,</i>	Document Assistant
<i>Ms. Cheryl Ellerman</i>	Document Assistant

Support Staff:

<i>Ms. Irene Beitel,</i>	Clerk to the Commission
<i>Ms. Karen Hinz, CSR,</i>	Official Q.B. Court Reporters
<i>and Mr. Don Meyer, RPR, CSR,</i>	
<i>Mr. Hugh Esson,</i>	Security Officer
<i>Mr. Aaron Ladd,</i>	Inland Audio Technician



Appearances:

Mr. Hersh Wolch, Q.C., **for** Mr. David Milgaard
Ms. Joanne McLean, **for** Ms. Joyce Milgaard
Ms. Lana Krogan, **for** Government of Saskatchewan
Mr. Robert Kennedy, Esq., **for** Mr. T.D.R. (Bobs) Caldwell
Mr. Garrett Wilson, Q.C., **for** Mr. Serge Kujawa
Mr. Pat Loran, Esq., **for** the Saskatoon Police Service
Mr. Chris Boychuk, Esq., **for** Mr. Eddie Karst
Mr. Bruce Gibson and Ms. Rochelle Wempe, **for** the RCMP
Mr. Eamon O'Keefe, Esq., **for** Mr. Larry Fisher
Mr. David Frayer, Q.C., **for** Minister of Justice
 (Canada), The Hon. Irwin Cotler



INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS

DESCRIPTION:

PAGE:

RICHARD ALLAN PEARSON, CONTINUED

- BY MR. HODSON

19392



Transcript of Proceedings

(Reconvened at 9:46 a.m.)

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Good afternoon

(sic).

RICHARD ALLAN PEARSON, continued:

BY MR. HODSON:

Q Mr. Pearson, when we finished yesterday we had just concluded the interview of Larry Fisher and I had gone through that transcript. I now want to go back and just go through some of your follow-up on that. If we can go to document 056787 which is part of your chronology, and again if we just -- maybe just go back to the previous page so that we have a date for this. And so we'll see this is July the 12th, 1990 which was the date of the interview, and then if we can go to the next page to paragraph 199, it looks as though Mr. Pick indicated that the polygraph operator may conduct a second test once Fisher has stabilized and talks about his concerns of safety and stomach problems, etcetera. Did you ever talk to Mike Robinson after the polygraph to find out whether he thought Mr. Fisher could do another polygraph?

A I do recall having a conversation with him, I think on two occasions after the test, and he was



1 expressing to me the fact that there was a
2 physical condition and a safety issue that was
3 getting in the way of a successful test when he
4 had attempted it on Mr. Fisher.

5 Q Are you able to tell us, Mr. Pearson, whether at
6 the time, whether you were able to determine
7 either on your own or discussions with Mr.
8 Robinson whether the concerns that appeared to
9 pre-empt the polygraph test were genuine or bona
10 fide problems or an attempt to try and thwart the
11 test?

12 A I really don't know if I could confirm that, you
13 know. He expressed some physical and some safety
14 issues. Whether they were real or perceived, it
15 would be hard to really know.

16 Q And then again we touched on this yesterday, I
17 think you said your preference was to have the
18 polygraph and then follow up with an
19 interrogation; is that correct?

20 A That was my initial feeling as to how we could
21 approach this, yes.

22 Q And again I think we heard a bit about this from
23 Mike Robinson, but that you would set the
24 questions in the test in such a way that if you
25 found that he was being deceptive, you could use



1 those answers to further probe with Mr. Fisher and
2 try and get some further information?

3 A That would be the expectation that would come from
4 the polygraph operator who would follow up on the
5 deception portions of his contact with Mr. Fisher.

6 Q Then if we can carry on, and I just want to point
7 out, I believe this is a typographical error, this
8 should be July the 13th, 1990, and I've checked
9 that with your notes, Mr. Pearson.

10 A Okay.

11 Q And this follows, this 199 is July the 12th and so
12 following here it says:

13 "Mr. Williams and myself attended the
14 Saskatoon City Police and met with
15 S/Sgt. Ron Bertrand and obtained a copy
16 of the 1968 offence file involving
17 Fisher. We were trying to determine if
18 his M.O. (weapon) is consistent with any
19 other crimes. No weapon was used in the
20 very limited material that we received.
21 Also met with Insp. Simpson for a few
22 minutes and updated him in a very
23 general way as to what was happening."

24 And then they talk about trying to get a locate
25 on Melnyk and Lapchuk. And just to refresh what



1 we covered yesterday in the time frame, it was
2 July the 4th, 1990 that I think you and
3 Mr. Williams became aware of the names of the
4 four Saskatoon assault victims and you recall we
5 went through that yesterday?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And I think you have told us that at that time you
8 had not, I think you said you were sure that you
9 had asked the city police for records of Fisher
10 and you didn't get any, you had asked the Regina
11 police for records, they didn't have any, and so
12 now it appears on July 13th you obtained one file
13 from the Saskatoon police; is that right?

14 A I believe it was a partial file, yes.

15 Q A partial file. And I think -- it doesn't have
16 the name here, but I think this is the (V1)- file;
17 is that right?

18 A I believe that's correct, yes.

19 Q And we've already seen in evidence, there's I
20 think 11 pages of (V1)--- (V1)- and we have her
21 original statement, the occurrence report and the
22 report I think of Vern Passetts who was the dog
23 officer and I think that there may have been a lab
24 report on that as well and we've gone through
25 that, so that's what you would have -- that would



1 have been the first file you received from the
2 city police relating to the Fisher assaults?

3 A As I recall, yes.

4 Q And at that time were you made aware that, I mean,
5 as to where the other files were? Let me rephrase
6 that. Did you ask the police for copies of all
7 four files?

8 A I have no specific recollection of it, I can only
9 make an assumption here that we certainly would
10 have, that would have been the logical thing to
11 do, but if you are asking me if I remember
12 specifically, I can't.

13 Q And fair enough. I think when we saw Mr.
14 Williams' memorandum after talking to Mr. Wolch,
15 one of the things that I think he put in his memo,
16 or maybe even asked you, was to get a copy of the
17 occurrence report and the police files?

18 A Yes.

19 Q So it's your evidence that although you don't
20 recall, you are sure that that's something you
21 followed up on?

22 A I think it's just the logical next step, yes.

23 Q And do you have a recollection, Mr. Pearson, of
24 being advised by Saskatoon City Police officers
25 that the files, not all the files or some of the



1 files couldn't be located, becoming aware of that?

2 A Yes, at some point. It might have been during
3 this meeting that they hadn't located the other
4 files and this was all they really had available
5 at that time.

6 Q And again we've heard some evidence and we'll hear
7 some more about searches and efforts that were
8 made, and in fact a review by the Police
9 Commission to try and locate the assault files.
10 You would have been generally aware of that as and
11 when it happened; is that fair?

12 A Yes, yes.

13 Q Just generally on that point, again in trying to
14 locate the files in 1990 and the fact that they
15 were not, at least initially not readily available
16 and then later on found not to exist, did that
17 cause you any concern or raise any suspicion in
18 your mind?

19 A No, it really didn't. Based on the fact that this
20 was 20 years later and knowing how the systems
21 function, the fact that there were no files
22 available didn't cause me to be suspicious that
23 they were being purposely hidden or destroyed,
24 it's just the normal course of business after 20
25 years and the files not being there didn't seem to



1 be that unusual to me at the time.

2 Q I wonder if we can go down to paragraph 201 and:

3 "Attempts are also being made to
4 recontact Linda Fisher to determine if
5 Larry ever wore a toque as there is a
6 blue toque held by Q.B. Court which, to
7 my knowledge, had never been identified
8 as belonging to anyone we know of. The
9 question is, was it Fisher's?"

10 And again, that's a toque that was put in as an
11 exhibit at the original trial and I don't think
12 it was ever, as you point out, identified as
13 belonging to anybody. Do you recall
14 investigating this toque issue and trying to link
15 it to Larry Fisher?

16 A In general terms, yes. I just can't remember
17 specifics, but I know I asked Linda Fisher about
18 this and it was an issue that we had asked Larry
19 about and I just don't have too much recall of all
20 the details.

21 Q So again just back to the initial review with
22 Bertrand and the sexual assault files, and I think
23 you talk about trying to determine if his M.O.
24 (weapon) is consistent with any other crimes. Can
25 you just tell us generally, Mr. Pearson, what --



1 again, at this time you told us, or by this time
2 Mr. Fisher is a good suspect and you are trying to
3 find a way to link him to the Gail Miller murder.
4 What would you -- what would you be looking for in
5 the police files of the -- let's talk about the
6 four Saskatoon assault files, the ones that
7 occurred in Saskatoon, three of them in and
8 around, or right prior to Gail Miller's murder,
9 the fourth one would be a year later. Can you
10 tell us what types of things would be important to
11 you and what you would be looking for?

12 A Well, as I mentioned here, one of the things that
13 I know Mr. Williams was interested in, and I guess
14 myself as well, was the fact that there was a
15 weapon used and was that consistent with a lot of
16 other crimes that may have been committed in the
17 city at that time with a weapon or was it unique
18 to the ones that Fisher was involved in, but
19 again, going through the file material at that
20 time, I suppose we were just looking at the kinds
21 of activities that involved Fisher in these other
22 crimes to determine how they may relate to the
23 Miller one.

24 Q And so use of a weapon, would that be an important
25 thing to look at then?



1 A It certainly is a factor, yes.

2 Q And secondly, what about the manner in which the
3 assault occurs, would that be something you might
4 look at as well?

5 A Sure, yes.

6 Q And how would that be important?

7 A Well, again, when we start talking about
8 eventually what the similar fact analysis was able
9 to do, solidify suspicion I suppose, all of the
10 activities that have some similarity can be
11 assessed and determined just what value they have
12 to the overall picture of what you are trying to
13 look at here.

14 Q And what about the location of the assault, would
15 that be a factor that might be significant?

16 A It would certainly have some influence, but as I
17 mentioned before, you know, a lot of these
18 offences were, that involved Fisher were from
19 wherever he may be at the time, so -- but I
20 suppose they all have a factor in your overall
21 assessment of things.

22 Q And what about the use of violence then, is that
23 something that you would be looking for?

24 A Yeah, I believe so, sure.

25 Q Go to the next page, please, and then 203, this is



1 July 17th:

2 "A call was received from Ken Cadrain
3 and we arranged to have an interview
4 tomorrow at 8 pm at his residence."

5 And then attended on the 18th, it says Dan
6 Cadrain, I believe that should be Ken, and
7 obtained a written statement from him, and then:

8 "Briefed Cpl. Conlon on the status of
9 the investigation so that he can provide
10 assistance to Mr. Williams and Federal
11 Justice in the event there are
12 developments in my absence."

13 So I take it that you would have then interviewed
14 Ken Cadrain and then passed matters on to
15 Corporal Conlon to deal with in your absence?

16 A That's fair, yes.

17 Q If we could then go to 016122, and again this date
18 is -- just pause here for a moment, I think the
19 call is July 17th with Cadrain and the interview
20 is on the 18th, so if we can just go to 016122,
21 and this is a July 16th, 1990 memo from you to
22 Eugene Williams; is that correct?

23 A It appears that, yes. It's my writing.

24 Q And the first couple of paragraphs deal with the
25 tapes of the meeting, if we can just scroll down



1 to the, I guess fourth paragraph, you say:

2 "I've also arranged to interview Ken
3 Cadrain, who was 6 yrs old at time & who
4 apparently recalls seeing blood on
5 Milgaard's clothes etc. I don't know
6 exactly what he has to say, however,
7 will let you know later.

8 To date I have not made contact
9 with Linda Fisher. She is probably on
10 vacation."

11 And so I take it at this time, before you went to
12 interview Ken Cadrain, and I think we touched on
13 this yesterday, you had information I think from
14 a city police officer that Ken Cadrain had seen
15 blood on David Milgaard's clothes on the morning
16 of the murder; is that correct?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And then if we can go to 001477, and this is the
19 statement of Ken Cadrain that you took on July
20 18th, 1990; is that correct?

21 A Yes, uh-huh.

22 Q And I wish to go through parts of this. We've
23 already gone through this with Ken Cadrain, but
24 down at the bottom of the first page he says:

25 "I cannot recall if I saw blood on



1 Milgaard's clothes or if his clothes
2 were ripped. After Milgaard had
3 changed, he almost immediately went out
4 the back door."

5 And if I can just go back, do you have a
6 recollection of the interview with Ken Cadrain?

7 A I remember sitting at his kitchen table in his
8 house, but I don't think I would know Ken Cadrain
9 if I saw him.

10 Q When Ken Cadrain testified before this Commission
11 he was asked -- let me back up. After he gave
12 this statement he later gave statements and I
13 believe evidence in relation to the Fisher
14 proceedings, or it may have just been a statement,
15 where he said that he recalled seeing blood on
16 David Milgaard's clothing the morning of the
17 murder and indeed before this Commission of
18 Inquiry he testified that he recalled seeing blood
19 on David's clothing, and this statement was put to
20 him, the statement that he gave you, and I believe
21 his evidence was to the effect that he told you he
22 saw blood and that either the statement was
23 misrecorded or that you misunderstood what he had
24 to say, and if I can just go back to, just call up
25 056783, and this is the original contact that you



1 had on June 26th from -- if you can call that out,
2 please -- from Inspector Simpson, and what it
3 talks about here is you have information that Ken
4 Cadrain recalls seeing blood on Milgaard's pants,
5 and I take it before you went to interview Ken
6 Cadrain, would it be important to find out whether
7 or not Ken Cadrain recalled seeing blood on David
8 Milgaard's pants?

9 A If I went out to --

10 Q When you went out to interview Ken Cadrain, would
11 one -- would that be an important fact that you
12 wanted to determine from him?

13 A Oh, absolutely, yes.

14 Q So if we can go back to the statement, 001477, and
15 again I want your response, Mr. Pearson, to Mr.
16 Cadrain's, Ken Cadrain's suggestion that I believe
17 he would have told you he saw blood on the pants
18 but that it somehow got misrecorded or
19 misunderstood. If Ken Cadrain would have told you
20 that he saw blood on David Milgaard's pants on the
21 morning of January 31, 1969, would you have
22 included that in his statement?

23 A Well I think it's a pretty important point, and
24 that was one of the reasons why I actually went to
25 see him, and I knew he had things to say, and it



1 was recorded as it is shown here, and he indicates
2 that he cannot recall if he saw blood on
3 Milgaard's clothes. It's a pretty important
4 point, and if he would have said that he did see
5 blood, that certainly would have been something
6 that would have been developed and pursued.

7 But -- and the only other observation I can make
8 about this, I guess in hindsight when you go back,
9 I do realize that he had given statements after
10 this saying that he did see blood and this is in
11 conflict with that, however in hindsight, when we
12 look back at the reality of what did happen now
13 that David Milgaard is known not to have committed
14 this offence, one would have to assume that there
15 was no blood and this may well be the accurate
16 recollection he had.

17 Q Okay. And, again, if we could just -- a couple of
18 parts of this I just want to touch on. This
19 information here in the paragraph:

20 "When Milgaard first came into the house
21 and he was talking to Albert, Albert
22 said something like "what happened to
23 your pants" and Milgaard replied
24 something like "I screwed a virgin" or
25 "I was with a virgin." At the time, I



1 didn't know what virgin meant, and asked
2 Albert what it meant, I don't know if he
3 told me."

4 Again, this was not part of Albert's evidence at
5 trial, I think it came in later years, many years
6 after the conviction Albert Cadrain had
7 information consistent with what Ken Cadrain
8 says; do you recall putting any significance on
9 this information at the time, Mr. Pearson?

10 A Umm, yes I did, I think I developed this based on
11 what he is alleged to have been said at that time
12 and the fact that I believed David Milgaard had
13 mentioned something on a trip that he had someone
14 in Saskatoon or had a girl in Saskatoon, and I
15 recall reading through the police file on the, I
16 think on the autopsy report that there was
17 indication that the victim was in a pre-menstrual
18 state, and I was maybe stretching the circumstance
19 but I thought, you know, is there a possibility
20 that there is some kind of a circumstance here
21 that would have some value, I developed that
22 somewhat, and I forwarded it up on up the chain
23 for whatever value that it may have.

24 Q And so that when Ken Cadrain talks about the
25 comment, "I was with a virgin", are you telling us



1 that you had pursued the possibility that that may
2 have been a reference to Gail Miller?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Did you -- do you recall ever considering whether
5 that was a reference to Nichol John, whether --

6 A No, I didn't.

7 Q And, again, the -- I take it, did you have any
8 dealings with Albert Cadrain at all in your
9 investigation, any direct dealings?

10 A I don't believe I did. I don't believe I did.

11 Q And what -- how did you deal with the fact that
12 Kenny Cadrain would have been five or six years
13 old, close to six years old at the time that Mr.
14 Milgaard was at the house that morning, did
15 that -- in your experience as a police officer,
16 what, what effect or what weight did you put to
17 this recollection?

18 A Well if I would have been interviewing Kenny
19 Cadrain when he was six years old there were
20 certainly some issues that you would have to
21 consider as to whether or not he had been led on
22 with information or that he had been provided
23 information, at that time, which would make him
24 feel that there was an acknowledgement of what he
25 was saying. I think with children there certainly



1 can be leading, but we're talking now an adult who
2 has a recollection of what went on when he was six
3 years old, I think it certainly does have more
4 credibility.

5 Q And if we could go to 001480, and Cadrain, Kenny
6 Cadrain says:

7 "The statement above has just been read
8 to me by Sgt. Pearson and I wish to make
9 a point clear. When Milgaard went out
10 the back door of our house on the
11 morning he was there, I saw him carrying
12 something out and when he returned a
13 minute or so later he was not carrying
14 anything. I remember Milgaard asking
15 Albert something about placing something
16 in the garbage, that's when he went out
17 the back door. At that time I presumed
18 he threw his pants away, as he had
19 changed in the hallway, asked about
20 garbage, then went out and came in the
21 back door."

22 And I think parts of this might be new evidence
23 in the sense that I'm not sure that this was
24 exactly the evidence of Albert Cadrain back in
25 1969-1970; do you recall putting any emphasis on



1 this information or making inquiries about this?

2 A No, I don't have any recollection of it.

3 Q If, at the time Kenny Cadrain, Kenneth Cadrain
4 testified before the Commission we only had the
5 typed statement, and I think there was also some
6 suggestion or -- by Mr. Cadrain that perhaps the
7 reference to blood may have been in the
8 handwritten statement. We now have the
9 handwritten statement, I'm sorry, I don't have the
10 doc. ID, but if we could maybe have that put up?
11 And is this your handwriting, sir?

12 A Yes.

13 Q It's 332560, and that's your signature at the
14 bottom?

15 A Yes it is.

16 Q And that appears to be Ken Cadrain's signature?

17 A That's right.

18 Q What would be your practice, sir, in writing out
19 this statement? Either tell us by your memory of
20 the dealing with Ken Cadrain or, if you don't have
21 a memory, what your practice was in filling out
22 these statements?

23 A Well there was a, there was a discussion about the
24 issues, and it's written down in narrative form to
25 stay on the topic, it's written down in my



1 handwriting, and the -- in this case Ken Cadrain
2 is given the opportunity, first of all I would
3 read it back to him and I would give him the
4 opportunity to review it and read it, and then I
5 would ask him to acknowledge that this is what he
6 has told me, and then he would affix his
7 signature.

8 Q If you can just go down to the --

9 A And I would assume the signature is on each page,
10 I --

11 Q Yes, and we'll go to the next page. And again the
12 signature at the bottom and here at the top, and I
13 haven't checked word for word, but I believe the
14 handwritten and the typed statement are identical,
15 and here is the relevant part, it says:

16 "I cannot recall if I saw blood on
17 Milgaard's clothes or if his clothes
18 were ripped."

19 And, again, that's what's in the typed statement;
20 correct?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Yeah. And then if we could just go to the last
23 page of the document, go down, full page please.
24 And down at the bottom, that would be the
25 date, time, --



1 A Yes.

2 Q -- and his signature?

3 A Yes.

4 Q If we could then go to 016125, and this is a July
5 20th, 1990 note and I think this is to Mr.

6 Williams, and it says:

7 "Sergeant Pearson of Saskatoon
8 telephoned you this afternoon to say he
9 would be leaving on vacation until
10 August 13th but he wanted you to be
11 aware of the following:",

12 and then it says:

13 "A witness has been located who provided
14 him with a statement about the morning
15 Milgaard came to the Cadrain house.
16 What this witness has to say has given
17 them a new theory on the case (what it
18 is I don't know). Sgt. Pearson does not
19 want you to be totally influenced by
20 what you have so far.

21 The witness is one of the
22 Cadrain boys the morning is January 31,
23 1969.",

24 and then gave you his, Mr. Williams' number at
25 the hotels, etcetera. Can you tell us, do you



1 have a recollection of this call to Mr. Williams,
2 or can you shed some light on what you are
3 getting at here?

4 A Yeah, I don't think I would get quite as excited
5 as what that secretary may have suggested there,
6 but I was about to leave on holidays and I think I
7 just wanted Mr. Williams to have this information
8 in his hands. And as far as new theory, that's
9 really something that I have no recollection of,
10 but I would have phoned down to probably advise
11 him of this circumstance that I had thought maybe
12 would be relevant to anything that he was
13 assessing.

14 Q And, again, can you shed some light on what -- and
15 I appreciate this isn't your note -- but what the
16 new theory, and maybe 'theory' is the wrong word,
17 but would that relate to the information about
18 screwing a virgin that you just talked about?

19 A I think that's probably what it had to refer to,
20 because that was something that was new, a comment
21 that was associated to David Milgaard.

22 Q Now I note, and we'll see this in some of the
23 following notes, that you did actually follow up
24 on the autopsy and pursue whether or not Gail
25 Miller, and I think as you said, was in -- was



1 menstruating, and whether that might have been,
2 might have been an explanation for the comment
3 that Kenny Cadrain attributed to David Milgaard;
4 is that --

5 A Yeah, I think, yes.

6 Q Is that fair?

7 A That's fair.

8 Q And so was there anything else in your interview
9 with Kenny Cadrain that you thought would be new,
10 or a new theory on the case?

11 A I don't believe so.

12 Q Then if we can go to 067323, and this is August
13 16th, 1990, you will be happy to know I'm not
14 gonna ask about your vacation.

15 A Oh.

16 Q But I presume you were gone and this would be your
17 return, then, in mid-August?

18 A It would appear so, yes.

19 Q And I just want to identify, it looks as though
20 you received some information from Stony Mountain
21 institution that you sent off to Mr. Williams?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And if I could call up 000488. And I just want to
24 identify, and there are I think 12 pages here, and
25 this is a letter from Stony Mountain institution



1 to you July 17th, 1990, the following reports on
2 David Milgaard, and various dates. And I don't
3 propose to go through them other than to identify
4 them for the record, but I take it you would have
5 received this information from Stony Mountain and
6 sent it off to Mr. Williams?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And, again, what would be the use that you would
9 have for this information; do you recall what --

10 A If I recall correctly there was some consideration
11 of doing a polygraph, --

12 Q Yes?

13 A -- and I believe this was some background
14 information that was going to be used to maybe
15 make a determination of his suitability.

16 Q Yeah. Next, if we could go to 016130. And this
17 is an August 15th, 1990 memo of Mr. Williams to
18 his file, and it looks as though there was a
19 discussion on August 13th about a timetable and a
20 report, and then:

21 "In the ensuing days he will speak with
22 Mrs. Cadrain, who had earlier indicated
23 her desire to speak with him. Further,
24 he agreed to contact Mike Robinson, a
25 former polygraph instructor with the



1 R.C.M.P. to make inquiries concerning
2 John Weller, the polygraphist suggested
3 by Kenneth Watson to conduct a polygraph
4 test of Dale Wilson.

5 In that regard, I asked Sgt.
6 Pearson to prepare a list of suitable
7 polygraph operators, and to canvass with
8 Robinson the latter's comments upon the
9 individuals identified on that list."

10 And would that be -- was Mr. Williams getting
11 some information from you on a polygraph
12 specialist to conduct a polygraph on Ron Wilson?

13 A Umm, yes, yeah, he was asking for some
14 recommendations.

15 Q Yeah. And what about this desire of Mrs. Cadrain;
16 do you have any recollection of that,
17 Mrs. Cadrain?

18 A It was information received that I think she
19 wanted to talk to me, or she had some, something
20 to pass on, I just don't recall but --

21 Q And I think; do you have a recollection of talking
22 to her then?

23 A Yes, I recall going to their home and having a
24 conversation, and actually taking a statement.

25 Q And we'll get to that in a bit. Then, on the last



1 point, it says:

2 "Further, I briefed Sgt. Pearson on the
3 latest developments in the *Milgaard*
4 case. In closing we agreed to speak on
5 or before August 16, 1990."

6 And, again, are you able to tell us what -- when
7 Mr. Williams says here that he briefed you on
8 latest developments, can you tell us what level
9 of detail you were getting about what else was
10 going on?

11 A I really don't have any recollection of that at
12 all.

13 Q Well, just generally, was he, for example with
14 Nichol John, Ron Wilson, Melnyk and Lapchuk, was
15 he -- was Mr. Williams telling you what he was
16 learning from those people, or what conclusions he
17 might have reached, or anything of that nature?

18 A I don't have a recollection of being told of
19 conclusions. I was aware, as this went on, that
20 he had been, you know, talking to people. I know
21 he had passed on information that just talked
22 about Wilson and what he had recanted when he was
23 starting to change some of what he had said
24 previously. But whether, whether that was all the
25 detail that he had I don't know, but there was



1 certainly information coming back to me, yes.

2 **Q** And where did that fit in as far as the work that
3 you were doing then?

4 **A** I think it was more for information purposes for
5 me as opposed to me pursuing anything in that
6 regard, because -- because I didn't.

7 **Q** Yeah. If you could go to 056789, please. And
8 then again, we're back to August 13th, 1990, and
9 we see a mention here about the call from Mr.
10 Williams:

11 "... that an inmate had suggested that
12 he was told by Fisher in the involvement
13 in the murder which he believed may have
14 been the nurse in Saskatoon. Williams
15 advised this turned out to be nothing
16 that was directly related."

17 And:

18 "No names were provided to me."

19 And we'll see later on, Mr. Pearson, and
20 certainly before the Supreme Court of Canada at
21 the reference, a number of jailhouse informants
22 came forward, I think mostly suggesting that Mr.
23 Fisher had made admissions to them about the
24 murder; do you recall dealing with some of those
25 people at the time, just generally?



1 A Yes, there was quite a lot of activity, actually,
2 at that time, during the reference.

3 Q And did you have any direct dealings in any --
4 with any of the jailhouse informants?

5 A I coordinated quite a lot of the locating, or the
6 interviewing of them and getting statements and
7 getting them sent, either through me or directly
8 from the people that took the statements from
9 these various people in institutions around the
10 country, and getting them to Mr. Williams.

11 Q Had you had previous experience in other
12 investigations in dealing with jailhouse
13 informants?

14 A Oh yes.

15 Q And at the time, let's go back to 1990, what --
16 tell us what your thoughts were about the
17 reliability of this type of information?

18 A Well I think, you know, there was a lot of risk,
19 and again you have to assess each one
20 individually, but I do think there is a certain
21 amount of risk when you are taking solely the word
22 of an inmate. It's very, very difficult to
23 verify, I just think you have to be very cautious.

24 Q Then we go on to August 15th, 1990, it says:

25 "I attended Saskatoon City Police and



1 reviewed file material. I was
2 attempting to recover medical reports on
3 the aspect on the deceased's possibly
4 menstruating. Did not locate reports on
5 this occasion. Also ran across Chief
6 Penkala and, in a very general way,
7 updated him on what I was doing and
8 asked him if he could recall any
9 specific details or evidence which would
10 suggest that Miller may have been
11 menstruating at the time she was
12 murdered. Chief Penkala could not make
13 this recollection. This point is being
14 pursued in view of what is included in
15 Ken Cadrain's statement."

16 And I think that's, in part, what you told us a
17 bit earlier; is that correct?

18 A Yes, yes.

19 Q If we can scroll down to 208, and I won't go
20 through that, I think you then called the crime
21 lab about a blood examination for menstrual blood,
22 whether that could be performed in 1969, and I
23 take it would that be to follow up the same line
24 of thinking --

25 A Sure.



1 Q -- that -- arising from Ken Cadrain's statement?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Scroll down to 209:

4 "Placed a telephone call to Mike
5 Robinson, the polygraph operator who
6 tested Fisher. We discussed the test
7 performed; Robinson advised that Fisher
8 was nervous as he feared for his safety
9 because of the publicity over him being
10 a suspect. Robinson suggested also that
11 Fisher has a nervous condition, as
12 Fisher continually scratches the palm of
13 his hand. Fisher is also physically ill
14 and has been in the hospital seven times
15 since Christmas, reasons are unknown by
16 me at this time. Robinson stated that
17 during the interview with Fisher, Fisher
18 continually held his stomach, burped and
19 complained of pain. Robinson stated the
20 test was taken, however, because of the
21 physical condition, the test was
22 inconclusive."

23 And, again, this is Mr. Robinson saying that
24 the -- Mr. Fisher was nervous as he feared for
25 his safety because of the publicity over him



1 being a suspect; did you understand that to be
2 one of the factors, then, that may have precluded
3 a reliable polygraph?

4 A I believe so, just based on the information I was
5 receiving, plus of course Mike Robinson is the
6 qualified technician here so I'm just relying on
7 what he is saying.

8 Q And down at the bottom it says:

9 "This morning I also called Insp. Quinn
10 at the City Police and asked him if
11 there was any possibility that tapes
12 existed on statements taken on 23 and 24
13 May 1969 from Ronald Wilson by the City
14 Police detectives. Quinn advised there
15 were no records or tapes available."

16 Do you know what prompted that inquiry?

17 A That was Mr. Williams, I believe, making that
18 request.

19 Q And I think we saw one police report at the time
20 that suggested, at least in the interview in
21 Regina on May the 22nd, 1969, there was a
22 reference to I think Mr. Mackie having a tape of
23 an interview of Mr. Wilson while in Regina; do you
24 have a recollection of that or coming across that?

25 A I don't.



1 Q And so would it be a case of Mr. Williams asking
2 you to follow up and try and get that?

3 A I believe that's what this is about.

4 Q Next page. And this talks about information about
5 some polygraph operators, I think that should be
6 Ray Renaud; is that correct?

7 A I don't recall.

8 Q I think that's --

9 A It could be.

10 Q Or Ray Renaud, pardon me, I think there's an 'N'
11 missing, Mike Robinson and John Weller. And if we
12 could just call up 016131, please, and this is Mr.
13 Williams' August 22nd, 1990 memo to file, and it
14 refers to a conversation with you about potential
15 polygraph operators for Ron Wilson, and I take it
16 that's what you were doing for Mr. Williams,
17 trying to assist him on some polygraph operators?

18 A Yes, that's what it appears.

19 Q And then down at the bottom he says:

20 "He also noted that a further search of
21 the Saskatoon police files failed to
22 turn up any tapes or transcripts or
23 records of the polygraph sessions
24 conducted on Ronald Dale Wilson or
25 Nichol John in May 23, 1969."



1 And:

2 "He noted that in 1969 tests did not
3 exist to identify menstrual blood."

4 And then:

5 "Further, in relation to Larry Fisher's
6 polygraph test attempt he had learned
7 that Larry Fisher's physical condition,
8 gall stones, and the pain and discomfort
9 caused by that ailment, coupled with Mr.
10 Fisher's nervousness, made Fisher an
11 unsuitable candidate for a polygraph
12 ...",

13 and then again indicating that you:

14 "... would contact Mrs. Cadrain, review
15 the transcript of the Fisher interview
16 and advise me of developments when they
17 occurred."

18 I take it, any reason to dispute what Mr.
19 Williams has reported went on between the two of
20 you?

21 A No.

22 Q Go back to 056790.

23 A Just --

24 Q Yes?

25 A -- stop there. Mr. Morrisson, what, who was



1 Morrisson?

2 Q I don't know. That maybe should have been
3 Robinson?

4 A Yeah, I think that is correct.

5 Q Yeah, that's -- so here:

6 "Mr. Morrisson told Sgt. Pearson that he
7 was prepared to try again when
8 possible.",

9 presumably that would be Mike Robinson?

10 A Yes, I think that's correct.

11 Q Okay. If you could go back to 056790. And again,
12 this is a reference to an interview with Peggy
13 Miller, and if we can go -- do you recall having
14 dealings with Peggy Miller, that would be Gail
15 Miller's sister, regarding a statement?

16 A I -- I -- I don't recall the details, but I do
17 know I had some contact with her.

18 Q Yeah. Peggy Miller had given a statement to the
19 city police in March of 1969, I think mid-March,
20 where she made reference to the fact that Gail had
21 dated or known a fellow in Swift Current named
22 David Milgaard, and I don't know that, apart from
23 that statement, at the trial of David Milgaard she
24 was not called and none of that became a part of
25 the trial record; do you recall following up with



1 her in 1990 trying to get an explanation for that
2 comment? And I can take you to the notes later.

3 A Yeah, I just, I just -- I recall Peggy Miller, and
4 I'm sure I had some contact, I just don't recall
5 the details of what that may have been about.

6 Q Sure. And would that have been something Mr.
7 Williams asked you to do then?

8 A I don't, I don't recall Mr. Williams asking me
9 that, that may have been something that I saw on
10 the file when I was reviewing it, that may have
11 been where that came from.

12 Q Okay.

13 A But I don't think Mr. Williams asked me that.

14 Q That may have been something you initiated on your
15 own?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And again just on that generally, and we've seen
18 that on a couple of occasions I take it you went
19 through the police file, is that the Gail Miller
20 murder investigation file, --

21 A Yeah.

22 Q -- on a couple of occasions?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And would it have been a fairly thorough review,
25 reading the police reports and statements, or can



1 you give us some idea of the extent of your
2 review?

3 A I think it was a review to familiarize myself with
4 what the investigation was about. You know, there
5 was quite a lot of paper and an awful lot of names
6 in there that is a blur at this point, but --

7 Q Right. But just generally, and I don't want to
8 get into details, I'll take you to the details in
9 your notes as we go through them, but what would
10 you be looking for then when you -- and what was
11 the purpose of reviewing the file, apart from
12 familiarizing yourself with it?

13 A Well one was the looking for anything that might
14 associate to Larry Fisher, that was the main
15 thrust.

16 Q And what about matters that might either tend to
17 incriminate or exculpate David Milgaard; did you
18 look at those things as well?

19 A I -- I -- I believe so, I mean, information that
20 may say he did not do it?

21 Q Yes.

22 A Yeah. I certainly, if it was something that I
23 saw, I think I certainly would have --

24 Q Let --

25 A -- dealt with it, I --



1 Q Yeah. Let me rephrase it a bit, but something on
2 the file that maybe looked unusual or something
3 that jumped out at you that maybe didn't fit with
4 Mr. Milgaard's conviction, would that be something
5 that might --

6 A Oh, absolutely, yes.

7 Q If we can go to 002369, please, and this is your
8 August 28th, 1990 report to your superiors, and
9 again I don't propose to go through it in detail
10 because I think it, as you've told us, it does
11 cover much of what's in your chronology; is that
12 correct?

13 A Yes, uh-huh, a summary.

14 Q Yes. If we can just go to the next page just in
15 paragraph (c):

16 "In our efforts to gain the cooperation
17 of Mr. Fisher, we had no alternative but
18 to agree to the conditions laid out."

19 And that refers to the polygraph, and was that in
20 fact the case?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And then the next page, please, paragraph (f), you
23 say:

24 "In summary, Mr. Fisher denied --"

25 And this is talking about his interview or



1 deposition,

2 "... Mr. Fisher denied having any
3 knowledge of the circumstances
4 surrounding the death of Gail Miller.
5 The investigators are at a disadvantage
6 when interviewing Fisher, as we are not
7 in possession of information regarding
8 his whereabouts during the time of Miss
9 Miller's death. We must rely on his
10 answers as being the truth, and there is
11 no information available to dispute what
12 he recalls."

13 I'm wondering if you can just elaborate on that a
14 bit, and in particular that we must rely on his
15 answers as being the truth.

16 A Well, I guess the thought process at the time is
17 that, you know, we're looking at what he's telling
18 us and we're saying, I guess what I'm saying there
19 is that he's relying on it as being the truth.
20 Whether or not we believe it, if you can say
21 that's a conflict, but the statement indicates
22 that at the time I'm thinking that we have to rely
23 on him as being truthful because we have no way to
24 challenge his answers.

25 Q And --



1 A Even though we certainly suspect him.

2 Q And so when you say you suspect him, then
3 obviously if he had committed the murder, then
4 what he was telling you would not be the truth?

5 A Yes.

6 Q At least on the relevant parts relating to his
7 whereabouts that morning?

8 A Right, right.

9 Q And so I'm just trying to understand here, when
10 you say we must rely on his answers as being the
11 truth, is that from an evidentiary point of view?

12 A I think it's just, you know, you have to rely on
13 it being the truth because you can't dispute them,
14 we have no way to challenge what he is saying, so,
15 you know, it's maybe an odd statement to be in
16 there, but --

17 Q But what about Linda Fisher's statement, would
18 that be a way to counter and say, well, he's not
19 telling the truth?

20 A Yes, yes, I think so, but again, I just can't
21 recall the thought process here --

22 Q Sure.

23 A -- on this particular sentence.

24 Q If we can go to the next page, please, just a
25 comment here, we touched on this yesterday about



1 David Milgaard and a polygraph, you write:

2 "Several months ago during the initial
3 stages of our involvement in this
4 investigation, Mr. David Asper of
5 Winnipeg, Manitoba, David Milgaard's
6 lawyer, indicated that Mr. Milgaard
7 would take a polygraph examination if
8 requested. It is highly unlikely that
9 Milgaard would be a potential candidate
10 for such a test, however, it is a
11 possibility which should not be
12 overlooked."

13 And then you go on about getting the records from
14 the penitentiary, you attach them to your report,
15 and I think those are the same records that we
16 looked at a bit earlier which you had sent off to
17 Mr. Williams; is that correct?

18 A I believe that's correct.

19 Q And again I think you've told us in (k):

20 "The main reason for accessing
21 Milgaard's Penitentiary file, is to
22 determine if he can be considered a
23 suitable candidate for a polygraph
24 examination."

25 A Yes.



1 Q If we can scroll down to the bottom paragraph,
2 (1), you say the most significant development in
3 this investigation since last reporting regards
4 our locating one Kenneth Cadrain and then you go
5 on to recount what he gave in his statement, and
6 at the bottom, the last four lines you say:

7 "Ken Cadrain cannot recall if Milgaard
8 had blood on his clothes or if
9 Milgaard's clothes had been ripped. He
10 does recall seeing Milgaard change pants
11 in the hallway of the Cadrain home."

12 And so again I think that's consistent, sir, with
13 what you recorded in his statement; is that
14 right?

15 A Yes, I believe so.

16 Q And if Ken Cadrain had told you that he had seen
17 blood on David Milgaard's clothes when he met with
18 you on July 18th, 1990, is that something you
19 would have included in this report?

20 A Oh, certainly.

21 Q Go to the next page -- or sorry, just scroll down
22 a bit, and then I think you -- no, sorry, go back
23 to the top, and then you have this comment:

24 "To give credit to Ken Cadrain's
25 observation of Milgaard going out the



1 back door, the following question/answer
2 excerpt from Milgaard's statement given
3 to investigators in 1969 is quoted:

4 Q What did you do with the clothes you got
5 out of?

6 A I am not sure I think I left them at
7 Shorty's house."

8 And again, can you elaborate on what or how that
9 fit into Mr. Cadrain's statement?

10 A You know, I just -- I just don't recall there on
11 what the thought process was.

12 Q Were you trying to compare Ken Cadrain's
13 recollection of the morning versus David
14 Milgaard's statement?

15 A Yeah, yeah, it would appear that that's what's
16 taking place here.

17 Q If we can scroll down, you make the comment here:

18 "Ken Cadrain provides detail regarding
19 Albert's past and paints a picture of an
20 individual who was stable until recent
21 years, when he (Albert Cadrain) began to
22 have marital, financial and psychiatric
23 problems. According to Ken Cadrain,
24 Albert is currently undergoing severe
25 stress and is not thinking rationally.



1 Ken Cadrain's statement attached as
2 Appendix FF."

3 So again would that be something you learned from
4 Ken Cadrain then --

5 A Yes.

6 Q -- in your interview?

7 A That would have been something that I had during
8 our discussion at his home.

9 Q And then you say in paragraph (o):

10 "A very significant aspect of the
11 Milgaard re-investigation is contained
12 in the statement of Ken Cadrain, who
13 recalls quite vividly the morning in
14 question, and in particular Milgaard's
15 verbal statement that he "screwed a
16 virgin" or "was with a virgin".
17 Milgaard's comments become rather
18 important when you associate them to
19 other facts of the case, and which had
20 not been available as circumstantial
21 evidence during the original trial."

22 And again, can you elaborate on that?

23 A Well, I think just the, this comment that Ken had
24 made and the stretch that I put to it as far as
25 associating it to Gail Miller and the premenstrual



1 state that she may have been in, I just thought
2 that that was something that maybe had some merit
3 and it may have, at this point, heightened some
4 suspicion I may have had that David Milgaard could
5 have been involved.

6 Q And would it be fair to say, Mr. Pearson, that
7 putting aside at this time Ken Cadrain does not
8 recall seeing blood on Milgaard, but the comment
9 about hearing Mr. Milgaard say that he was with a
10 virgin or screwed a virgin, would that corroborate
11 Albert Cadrain's story about seeing blood on David
12 Milgaard?

13 A I believe it all associated around the issue of
14 blood being seen, not necessarily by Ken because
15 he told me that he didn't recall seeing blood.

16 Q But I guess the fact that according to Ken there
17 was a discussion between Albert and David about
18 screwing a virgin, etcetera, was that something
19 that in your mind supported Albert Cadrain's
20 version of events?

21 A I think at the time that must have been the
22 rationale that I applied and -- yeah.

23 Q And then the next page, down to paragraph (r), it
24 just looks like you are reporting on an interview
25 with the pathologist Dr. Emson and as far as



1 whether or not the reddish fluid could very well
2 have been blood and about the deceased not being
3 virginal prior to the sexual assault, and I take
4 it that would have related to this theory you were
5 pursuing arising out of Ken Cadrain's statement;
6 is that fair?

7 A I think so.

8 Q And again, I think if we look at the next
9 paragraph:

10 "The deceased, at time of death, was in
11 the very early stages of menstruation.
12 This fact, when associated with the
13 statement made by Milgaard shortly after
14 the death of Gail Miller, suggesting
15 that he had "screwed a virgin", could
16 become an important piece of
17 circumstantial evidence. There is a
18 possibility that Milgaard, after having
19 sex with Miller, may have observed blood
20 on his penis, thus believing that he had
21 sex with a virgin, when in fact he had
22 sex with one who was menstruating."

23 Again, would that capture the theory or the
24 thought that you were pursuing arising out of Ken
25 Cadrain's statement?



1 A I believe so, yes.

2 Q The next page, and here's where we talk about
3 Peggy Miller, it says:

4 "The second area of development in the
5 investigation, which has not yet been
6 checked out, is the suggestion that
7 David Milgaard may have known the
8 deceased prior to her death. Attached
9 please find a statement (Appendix EE),
10 from Peggy Miller, sister of the
11 deceased, which was taken on 15 APR 69,
12 in which she states that David Milgaard
13 was mentioned as being a boyfriend of
14 Gail Miller. During the initial
15 investigation in 1969, Peggy Miller was
16 to obtain letters for the police
17 investigator, which had been received
18 from her sister Gail, in which David
19 Milgaard was mentioned. There was no
20 reference in the Saskatoon City Police
21 file which indicates that this aspect of
22 the investigation was ever followed up.
23 During the Milgaard trial there was no
24 suggestion that the deceased and
25 Milgaard were acquainted. If it is



1 determined that Milgaard knew the
2 deceased prior to her death, a possible
3 motive, other than robbery, may be
4 developed."

5 And again, does that assist your recollection
6 about where Peggy Miller fit in on the work you
7 were doing at the time?

8 A Uh-huh, yes. Yes, it does.

9 Q And again down to paragraph (u):

10 "A second reference suggesting that
11 Milgaard may have known the deceased
12 prior to her death is contained in a
13 statement taken from a girlfriend of
14 Milgaard's at the time, Sharon Ann
15 Williams."

16 And again, we've seen this before, and it talks
17 about a picture that Sharon Williams saw in a
18 dresser at David's home in Langenburg with
19 someone named Gail, and then you say:

20 "At this time it is unknown if the Gail
21 mentioned above is in fact Gail Miller.
22 This aspect of the investigation will
23 continue."

24 So do I take it from this, Mr. Pearson, that you
25 were following up on other issues that came to



1 your attention that --

2 A This would have been -- this would have been
3 something that came from the review of the
4 Saskatoon police file.

5 Q And so you would have read both the Peggy Miller
6 statement and the Sharon Williams' statement you
7 think that prompted this?

8 A Yes, I believe so.

9 Q And then again just as far as the work that you
10 were doing for Mr. Williams then, is it fair to
11 say that in addition to investigating Larry Fisher
12 and in addition to following up on matters that
13 Eugene Williams wanted you to pursue, that as well
14 you were, with your police officer's hat, looking
15 at things that in your mind required a further
16 check or an investigation; is that correct?

17 A I think things that came to me and I thought they
18 were worthy of a further consideration was
19 pursued, sure. I felt free to do that.

20 Q Yeah. And did it matter to you whether they were
21 items that pointed to the guilt or innocence of
22 David Milgaard?

23 A No.

24 Q Did it matter to you if they pointed to the guilt
25 or innocence of Larry Fisher?



1 A No.

2 Q And so, and I think we've seen in your notes so
3 far that you were investigating Larry Fisher in
4 trying to either eliminate him as a suspect or
5 find evidence that would support the contention
6 that he may have committed the crime?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And similarly, in the matters that you look at
9 with respect to David Milgaard, were you again
10 looking at both possibilities; in other words,
11 evidence that might confirm the conviction and
12 evidence that might exculpate him?

13 A That's true. David Milgaard was, you know, still
14 a suspect in my mind, and so was Larry Fisher.

15 Q As far as further investigation, you indicate, and
16 again the date of this report is August 28th,
17 1990:

18 "Ongoing efforts will be made to pursue
19 suspect Larry Fisher. In the event his
20 physical condition stabilizes, attempts
21 will be made for a second polygraph
22 examination."

23 So I take it at this time you were still keen on
24 getting a polygraph done?

25 A We had very few tools to work with and that was



1 one of them that was still hopefully available to
2 us.

3 Q Okay. Then the next page, (b), you say:

4 "Contact will be made with Mrs. Estelle
5 Cadrain, 114 Confederation Drive,
6 Saskatoon, mother of key Crown witness
7 Albert Cadrain, in an effort to
8 establish Albert's mental stability at
9 the time of the original trial, and to
10 obtain a history on Albert. This would
11 either confirm or refute Milgaard's
12 present allegation that Albert Cadrain
13 was an unreliable Crown witness at the
14 original trial."

15 And would this be something that Mr. Williams
16 asked you to pursue or do you know where that
17 originated from?

18 A I think maybe this is information again that
19 Mrs. Cadrain wanted to talk to me and I, in all
20 likelihood, did have some conversation with
21 Mr. Williams and, you know, we agreed to
22 follow-up, although I don't have a recollection of
23 the call or anything, but I think Mrs. Cadrain
24 came into this sort of on her own.

25 Q Would it have been prompted by your interview of



1 Ken Cadrain do you think?

2 A Very possible.

3 Q And is it your recollection that she either
4 contacted you or let it be known to you that she
5 wished to talk to you?

6 A I think it was let -- it came to me some way. I'm
7 not just too sure how I got the information that
8 she wanted to talk, but somebody passed it on. It
9 may have been Ken, Ken Cadrain.

10 Q And then (c):

11 "Efforts will be made to locate Peggy
12 Miller and determine if she is able to
13 provide information which would confirm
14 the deceased knew Milgaard prior to her
15 death. This could possibly lead to the
16 development of a motive behind the
17 crime."

18 And again I think we touched on that a bit
19 earlier, that you were pursuing that based on
20 your review of the police file; is that correct?

21 A Yes, yes.

22 Q Then down to summary, and again this is August
23 28th of 1990, and you say:

24 "To date we have been successful in
25 gaining the cooperation of the suspect



1 Larry Fisher. There is nothing
2 determined which would suggest that he
3 was involved in the death of Gail
4 Miller. Mr. Fisher has not been
5 eliminated as a suspect, and as
6 mentioned previously, efforts will
7 continue to have a polygraph re-test."

8 I just want to go back to this comment that
9 there's nothing determined which would suggest
10 that he was involved in the death of Gail Miller
11 and then yet you go on to say that he's not
12 eliminated as a suspect. Can you elaborate on
13 what you meant when you said that there's nothing
14 which would suggest that he was involved in the
15 death of Gail Miller?

16 A Well, we were unable to develop an association
17 between Larry Fisher and the death of Gail Miller,
18 so there was nothing that at that time I viewed as
19 being a link between the two; however, the
20 suspicion of his involvement was certainly
21 obviously still there.

22 Q What about the previous sexual assaults committed
23 by him and the *modus operandi* that we talked about
24 to the extent you knew it at the time, I think
25 you've told us that you thought he was a good



1 suspect because of the types of crimes he had
2 committed, and would that -- let's just focus on
3 Larry Fisher's criminal past and the types of
4 crimes he committed. Would that suggest that he
5 was involved in the death of Gail Miller?

6 A Well, it certainly addressed suspicion in my mind,
7 it was certainly one of the factors that caused
8 suspicion, but to convert that to evidence at that
9 point, I don't think that was there.

10 Q And secondly, I think you had Linda Fisher's
11 statement at the time that talked about him being
12 not at work on the morning of the murder, the
13 missing knife and his reaction to her accusation,
14 again, would that be something that would suggest
15 that he was involved in the death of Gail Miller?

16 A It was all part of the suspicion. As I mentioned
17 before, when you talked of the evidence that Linda
18 Fisher, or the information Linda Fisher had and
19 the fact that David Milgaard and Larry Fisher were
20 in the same location on the morning of the murder,
21 and when you take a look at the past criminal
22 activity of Larry Fisher, it certainly addressed
23 the issue of suspicion.

24 Q And then paragraph (b) in your summary, you talk
25 about:



1 "The fact that Milgaard made a statement
2 that he had "screwed a virgin" or was
3 "with a virgin", within a matter of
4 hours after the death of Gail Miller,
5 and the fact that she was commencing
6 menstruation, is a new circumstance
7 which was not available at the time of
8 the murder trial."

9 And I think we've talked about that and your
10 thoughts, that's just capturing it again; is that
11 right?

12 A I believe, yes.

13 Q And:

14 "The fact that Milgaard may have known
15 Miller prior to her death could lead to
16 a theory on the motive for this crime."

17 And then scroll down under investigator's
18 comments, you say:

19 "At the time of this report Larry Fisher
20 has not been eliminated as a suspect,
21 even though he has agreed to answer all
22 questions that we have for him. The
23 investigators are at a disadvantage when
24 dealing with Mr. Fisher, as we have no
25 information on his movements at the time



1 of Gail Miller's murder. Being unable
2 to challenge Fisher's honesty, a valid
3 polygraph is absolutely essential if he
4 is to be cleared as a suspect."

5 And that would have been your thoughts at the
6 time?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And we touched on this a bit earlier, but if he
9 had a valid polygraph conducted and he passed, in
10 the sense that his denial of the murder of Gail
11 Miller was determined by the polygraph operator to
12 be not deceptive, would that be something that
13 might cause you to eliminate him as a suspect?

14 A It would go -- it would have gone a long ways I
15 believe, having something that could have put some
16 truth to what he was saying, even though we all
17 know that the polygraph is not a fine science, but
18 it certainly is something that would give us
19 something else to weigh.

20 Q And conversely, if there was a valid test in the
21 sense that the polygraph operator said that Mr.
22 Fisher was a suitable candidate and he failed the
23 test and so that when he denied killing Gail
24 Miller the polygraph operator concluded that that
25 was deceptive, now -- and I appreciate under



1 Mr. Harold Pick's conditions you would never get
2 that test, but putting that aside, would that
3 test -- tell us how that type of information,
4 where that would lead you in your investigation of
5 Larry Fisher as a suspect?

6 A Well, it would certainly I think help confirm the
7 suspicion that was in play and even though it's,
8 you know, the polygraph is not admissible in
9 Court, I think it certainly strengthens the
10 suspicion and that may weigh against what was
11 happening on the David Milgaard side.

12 Q And then the next page you say, and again this is
13 August 28th, 1990:

14 "The Milgaard case continues to have
15 extensive media coverage, the latest a
16 taping in Saskatoon by the American TV
17 program "Current Affair" which has wide
18 USA and Canada coverage. Media interest
19 by radio, television and newspaper, has
20 been constant, and without exception,
21 has had the encouragement of the
22 Milgaard family and their counsel
23 Mr. David Asper."

24 And I'm wondering if you could elaborate on that
25 statement?



1 A I think just the general feeling at the time was
2 that the news media, and the experience that I've
3 had during the investigation was that the media
4 use was an important part of getting the public
5 aware of all the issues as they saw them and the
6 publicity was being encouraged and pursued I would
7 suspect, that's my thoughts at the time, by the
8 Milgaard family and Mr. Asper.

9 Q And did you -- this comment at this time then,
10 were you of the view that the media was, that the
11 media coverage was initiated by the Milgaard
12 family or David Asper?

13 A Well, I'm saying it certainly had the
14 encouragement of them. Whether it was initiated
15 by them, I don't know where the seed was started
16 and, you know, this was a story that had a lot of
17 history to it and there was a lot of media out
18 there over the years, so I'm not sure I can really
19 go much beyond that.

20 Q And then I see at the bottom you would have sent
21 this off to, or asked that a copy be sent off to
22 Mr. Williams; is that right?

23 A Yes.

24 MR. HODSON: Mr. Commissioner, I'm not sure
25 what plans are for, whether we take a 10 minute



1 break maybe this morning or --

2 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Sure.

3 MR. HODSON: Now might be an appropriate
4 spot for that.

5 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: All right.

6 *(Adjourned at 10:53 a.m.)*

7 *(Reconvened at 11:07 a.m.)*

8 BY MR. HODSON:

9 Q Just go back and call up 002369 which was your
10 August 28th report, and we just finished going
11 through this, this is August 28th, and I just want
12 to go to page 002376 and we just covered that
13 where your comments in two locations in this
14 report you say:

15 "At the time of this report Larry Fisher
16 has not been eliminated as a
17 suspect ..."

18 And I want to go back to 056790 and we're now to
19 September the 6th, 1990 and I just want to read
20 this note and ask you some questions, and so this
21 would be a week after your August 30th report:

22 "While at a psychological profiling
23 seminar being hosted by the Prince
24 Albert City Police, I received a
25 telephone call from Mr. Williams at my



1 hotel room in P.A. at the Marlborough
2 Inn. Mr. Williams stated he had
3 received my last report and seemed
4 somewhat concerned that I still
5 considered Fisher to be a suspect and
6 was asking why, in view of the denials
7 Fisher made during his interview with
8 us. I explained to Mr. Williams that,
9 in my mind, Fisher remains a suspect as
10 he has not yet provided answers to
11 questions which would properly explain
12 his activities as stated by his ex-wife
13 Linda Fisher. I still believe a
14 properly conducted polygraph examination
15 is essential, as we know very little of
16 Fisher's activities on the date of Miss
17 Miller's murder and a polygraph is only
18 one method to determine the truthfulness
19 of his answers. I explained to Mr.
20 Williams that I am not prepared to take
21 at face value the answers provided by
22 Fisher to date. It was also explained
23 that Fisher's reluctance to cooperate
24 causes me some concern."

25 And I'm wondering if you can elaborate further on



1 this discussion, Mr. Pearson, and tell us what
2 you remember and what further might have been
3 discussed?

4 A You know, I really don't have much more
5 recollection than what is documented here. I do
6 remember the incident of being at the Marlborough
7 Hotel and getting a call to return a call to
8 Mr. Williams and the telephone call that we had,
9 but as far as what more I can elaborate from
10 memory based on what I remember, I really don't
11 believe I can. I wouldn't want to start
12 speculating. It was what I've written here, and
13 even when, you know, I put in there that he seemed
14 somewhat concerned and, you know, if you were to
15 ask me, you know, in what terms is that concern
16 expressed, I honestly couldn't relay that on, but
17 it would seem that at the time when I wrote this
18 that that was the view that I had then. He
19 possibly didn't believe that Larry Fisher was a
20 suspect is one possibility I suppose at this point
21 with the information that he had.

22 Q Let me just pause there and focus on this comment
23 where you say that Mr. Williams seems somewhat
24 concerned that I still considered Fisher to be a
25 suspect. Did you take it from your conversation



1 with Mr. Williams that he did not believe that Mr.
2 Fisher was a suspect at that time?

3 A I couldn't -- I don't think I could say that in
4 all honesty, I just don't recall. I'm really
5 relying on what I have here as opposed to what I
6 specifically recall in 1990.

7 Q And again it appears here that you explained to
8 Mr. Williams why you still thought he was a
9 suspect and why you had not eliminated him?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And I take it that the fact that Fisher answered
12 your questions and denied involvement was not
13 enough in your mind to eliminate him as a suspect?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Did Mr. Williams tell you to stop pursuing your
16 investigation of Mr. Fisher as a suspect during
17 this call or at this time?

18 A No, he did not.

19 Q And again are you able to tell us whether he
20 accepted your explanation or disputed your
21 explanation or position that Larry Fisher ought to
22 remain a suspect?

23 A My relationship with Mr. Williams was always
24 cordial, that conversations were always up front,
25 you know. I don't think there was anything in



1 here that we didn't leave the conversation in a
2 negative way, you know, I think he had called me
3 to ask me about I guess the last report that he
4 had seen and I expressed that he seemed somewhat
5 concerned that I still considered Fisher to be a
6 suspect and he was asking me why, and I explained
7 to him why I still suspected Larry Fisher.

8 Q And do you recall whether he expressed any views
9 to you as to why, or whether Larry Fisher should
10 be eliminated as a suspect?

11 A No, I don't think he ever did express views to the
12 contrary.

13 Q If we can go to the next page, please, 056791. So
14 after this call with Mr. Williams, did you
15 continue as you had before in investigating Larry
16 Fisher as a suspect?

17 A I, I believe so, you know. I -- he was still a
18 suspect, but I think that under the 690, you know,
19 there was a switch that, you know, eventually went
20 back into the Federal Justice side. The 690
21 process was a process that was separate from me.

22 Q Just go on, we're now at September 10th, 1990, and
23 you are making efforts to find Peggy Miller, and
24 in paragraph 215 it says:

25 "Later this same date I contacted Peggy



1 Miller at her home and explained to her
2 and read to her the statement and she
3 denies telling police that Gail knew
4 Milgaard prior to her death. Peggy does
5 not recall giving this particular
6 statement to police, and seems quite
7 sincere and certain she did not tell
8 police about the name David Milgaard.
9 Peggy was asked to provide me samples of
10 her signature to be compared to her
11 statement signatures. If they are the
12 same, it is possible Peggy's memory,
13 after some twenty years, has failed her.
14 Peggy also wished to know the name of
15 the police officer at the bottom of the
16 statement, however I could not make it
17 out for her. Peggy did recall two City
18 Police detectives coming to Delisle to
19 interview her but she denies ever giving
20 a statement to police in Saskatoon."

21 So at this time, I think we had earlier looked at
22 a report where you indicated you were going to
23 pursue Peggy Miller in the event that -- to
24 determine whether Gail Miller knew David Milgaard
25 and this might be a motive; do you recall that?



1 A Yes.

2 Q And so, in light of what Peggy Miller now told
3 you, what if anything did that do to your theory
4 or thought process that David may have known Gail
5 Miller prior to the murder?

6 A You know, I -- I think as this unfolded I didn't
7 pursue that based on the fact that, you know, I
8 was in a position to start pressing one of the
9 family members over a tragedy in their own family
10 over something that may very well -- she had,
11 maybe had legitimately forgotten about, and I
12 think at the time I was assessing, like, what
13 value would this be at this point to try and
14 challenge the sister of the deceased to say that,
15 you know, "you said something here and it may not
16 have been accurate". I just felt sensitivity to
17 Peggy Miller and I didn't, as I recall, press her
18 on this. But later on in the investigation, when
19 there was talk of coverup in the city police and
20 all this was out there, I -- it did cross my mind
21 and say "well could this have been part of
22 something that maybe was a coverup activity", and
23 that was just maybe curiosity on my part as
24 opposed to a serious consideration, but that's as
25 close as I got. And I don't think I ever



1 exhausted this part of Peggy Miller's story, based
2 on the fact that she was part of the family, and I
3 just thought what is there going to be
4 accomplished -- is going to be accomplished by
5 trying to put her on the spot by saying "you said
6 something and it's not right" or "you don't
7 remember" and "what's happening here".

8 Q Can you explain, Mr. Pearson, how this might have
9 fit into the coverup theory or --

10 A Well, I really don't know, it's just that the
11 thought crossed my mind, when the coverup was
12 coming in, saying that, you know, she may have
13 known Milgaard, and it's just something that maybe
14 would have had some value to something and, you
15 know, was there a statement created, you know, was
16 there a -- I don't know. It was just a thought
17 that came through my mind, but I haven't given it
18 much thought since that time, so --

19 Q And --

20 A -- that I'm at a bit of a loss, here, to give you
21 a --

22 Q Sure. And just so that I'm clear, you had raised
23 this fact that "later on when talk of a coverup by
24 the police"; would you be referring to allegations
25 by David Milgaard that the police, Saskatoon City



1 Police, were involved in some coverup activity or
2 something improper?

3 A Yes, yes, yes.

4 Q And the thought crossed your mind, are you telling
5 us, that this statement may be -- that there might
6 be something nefarious about this statement?

7 A Well only the fact that she was pretty adamant
8 that she hadn't said this, and I had to make a
9 judgement call, is it because she didn't say it
10 and it was a document that really she had nothing
11 to do with, or was it the fact that her memory had
12 failed her over the years, and I just felt, you
13 know, I had to weigh the pros and cons of pressing
14 her on the issue, to gain what.

15 Q And so I take it at this time I think what you are
16 telling us -- please correct me if I'm wrong --
17 that, after your discussion with her, that you did
18 not pursue this line of investigation any further?

19 A I don't believe I did.

20 Q And if we can go down, again the same dates:

21 "Mr. Pick called, advising that Fisher
22 had given an interview to Julian Findlay
23 of the program "Fifth Estate" and that
24 Fisher had answered questions consistent
25 with what was provided in his statement



1 to Williams and myself. At this time I
2 questioned Pick further if he would
3 still encourage his client to provide a
4 second polygraph examination when
5 conditions were more appropriate; i.e.,
6 Fisher's medical problems."

7 So I take it, at this time, that you were still
8 pursuing Mr. Fisher in your investigation?

9 A I was looking at the possibility of going at him
10 again through the polygraph, yes.

11 Q And if we can go down to 219, this is September
12 12th, 1990:

13 "Attended at ...",
14 the home of:

15 "... Len and Estelle Cadrain, parents of
16 Albert Cadrain. These are very nice
17 people, whose honesty and sincerity in
18 my view are above question. The only
19 information obtained which is of
20 interest is the fact that apparently
21 Albert Cadrain, shortly after the
22 arrival of Milgaard at the Cadrain
23 house, went with Nicole John to pick up
24 money from the St. Mary's Credit Union,
25 and when Cadrain and Nicole got in the



1 vicinity of the murder scene, Nicole,
2 for some unknown reason, began to
3 scream. The reasons remain unknown, if
4 in fact this did occur.

5 220. Mrs. Cadrain also indicated that she
6 found a brown pair of corduroy pants in
7 the hallway of her house on 31 Jan 69.
8 She washed them and upon removing them
9 from the drier, noticed a hole in the
10 pocket and leg. She asked her husband
11 what it was from and he told her it was
12 an acid burn. Later at the preliminary
13 hearing, Estelle indicated she heard
14 testimony from Ronald Wilson stating he
15 changed pants. Mrs. Cadrain then
16 delivered the pants to the Court House
17 and gave them to a person she believes
18 to be Detective Mackie."

19 And so, again, does that fairly summarize your
20 dealings with Leonard and Estelle Cadrain on
21 September 12th, 1990?

22 A Yeah, I believe it does, yes.

23 Q And if we can just go back, your initial comment,
24 you said that:

25 "These are very nice people, whose



1 honesty and sincerity in my view are
2 above question."

3 What caused you to reach that conclusion?

4 A Oh, it's just an assessment you make, maybe it's
5 a, just a feeling you get from people when you sit
6 down and have a conversation and a cup of coffee
7 with them at their kitchen table and talk to them,
8 and you kind of size them up and get a feeling of
9 what kind of people they are and you make a
10 judgement call.

11 Q And then I believe you took a statement from
12 Estelle Cadrain. If I could call up 002619, and I
13 think this is a typed copy of the statement you
14 took from Mrs. Cadrain on September 12th, 1990?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And I won't go through, that paragraph talks about
17 the pants, but the second-last paragraph she
18 states:

19 "After lunch on Jan/31, I went to work
20 and did not see Albert for approx. one
21 month. That night I heard the news
22 about a girl being murdered near our
23 place. I was concerned about the safety
24 of my children and kept them close to
25 home. At the time I wondered if the



1 three people who came to my house were
2 involved, but had nothing to prove
3 anything, so didn't tell anyone."

4 And, again, did that piece of information, Mr.
5 Pearson, cause you -- or what, what value did you
6 place on that statement, if any?

7 A I don't think I really placed anything on that.

8 Q And then she goes on to describe Albert coming
9 back from Regina, and about hearing about the
10 murder nearby, that he was shocked and couldn't
11 believe it, and then she goes on to recount --
12 maybe go to the next page -- Albert's trip to the
13 police station. And do you recall her going
14 through that where -- the discussions that
15 Mrs. Cadrain had with Albert and Dennis the
16 morning before they went in to the police station?

17 A Yeah, okay.

18 Q And, again, what would be the purpose of getting
19 this information?

20 A Well it was just information that she possessed,
21 so I was gathering, gathering information that she
22 was wanting to talk about.

23 Q And I think you told us a bit earlier that -- did
24 she initiate this contact with you?

25 A I believe she did.



1 Q And then --

2 A I'm not positive on that.

3 Q -- she says:

4 "Albert later told me the police did not
5 believe him at first and was only trying
6 to get the reward. Albert told the
7 police what he knew. In my opinion, the
8 Saskatoon City Police treated Albert
9 well."

10 And at this time, by the time of this statement,
11 Mr. Pearson, we had earlier referred to I think
12 some newscasts where Albert Cadrain had been
13 complaining about mistreatment by the police; do
14 you recall -- I think there was a discussion that
15 you and Mr. Williams had about that television
16 show, do you remember that?

17 A Okay.

18 Q And I'm just wondering; were you talking to
19 Mrs. Cadrain to check out Albert's version of
20 events?

21 A I just believe this is part of our overall
22 discussion about everything that went on and, you
23 know, obviously it would have come up that, you
24 know, that -- the relationship with the city
25 police and how the treatment was, and so that was



1 elaborated on a bit.

2 Q And if we can go to the next page, I take it you
3 would have questioned her about Larry Fisher, it
4 looks here? If we can just --

5 A Okay.

6 Q It says:

7 "I don't know anything about Larry
8 Fisher, but he and Linda didn't get
9 along well as they had some fights.
10 Linda stayed in the suite alot and Larry
11 worked construction. Larry wore a hard
12 hat and work boots alot.

13 There is no doubt in my mind
14 Albert was a sane person during the
15 trial and I am upset with the news media
16 trying to make him now say what they
17 wanted to hear. At the present time
18 Albert may be having personal problems
19 that were not present twenty years ago."

20 And I'm just wondering, again, would this be
21 information, then, that you would pass on to Mr.
22 Williams?

23 A Yes, I believe this statement went to Mr.
24 Williams, yes.

25 Q If we can go back to 056792. And it's talking



1 about, paragraph 221:

2 "It is my intention to contact ... the
3 Parole Board ... and attempt to access
4 Fisher's parole files to determine if
5 there are reports of previous crimes he
6 was involved in, to determine
7 similarities of the M.O., in particular
8 if a knife was used."

9 And, again, would this be because you couldn't
10 get the files from the city police?

11 A Yes, I -- in part I believe that's probably what
12 -- and it's another source of information.

13 Q And then September 19th, 1990:

14 "Mr. Williams phoned, asking if I had
15 any further information for him as was
16 having a meeting with his Deputy. At
17 this time I discussed the possibility of
18 using hypnosis on Nicole John who
19 apparently was having problems recalling
20 events, or does not wish to recall the
21 events. This suggestion will be
22 considered by Mr. Williams in the event
23 the Justice Department wish to have the
24 subject hypnotized to further the
25 investigation."



1 And, again, do you recall how this subject matter
2 came up, Mr. Pearson, the hypnosis of Nichol
3 John?

4 A I, I really don't know how this came up. It's
5 just that, you know, Nichol John was, was such a
6 problem witness from day one on this whole
7 Milgaard matter, and I just think it was just
8 another way of trying to make some sense of all
9 the things that had been said. It was just
10 another tool, I suppose, what you could really get
11 from it of value was really maybe not up to me to
12 decide, but it was a discussion we had to try and
13 sense what you do with Nichol John.

14 Q And at this time, sir, what would your knowledge
15 have been or what information would you have had
16 about Nichol John's recollections of events in
17 September of 1990?

18 A Well I, I believe at that time I knew that she
19 was, you know, had been a hostile witness and that
20 she hadn't -- she had said things and didn't say
21 things, and that she was just an overall problem,
22 problem witness. I don't believe I ever went down
23 and did an analysis of all the information and
24 statements that she did provide, but it was just a
25 general information that she was, she was a



1 problem.

2 Q Would you have been aware, at this time, that she
3 had given a statement to the police under oath
4 stating that she had witnessed the murder and saw
5 David Milgaard stab a girl?

6 A I have no recollection of that, but I could have,
7 I could have been in possession of --

8 Q Now that would have been on the police file?

9 A Yes. I'm assuming I would have known that.

10 Q And would you have also known at the time that, at
11 trial, she did not repeat certain parts of the
12 sworn statement and, in particular, the --

13 A Yes.

14 Q -- parts where she witnessed the murder?

15 A Yes, I believe that I would have known that.

16 Q And, just going back to the question of linking
17 someone to a murder, something to link a suspect
18 to a murder, and putting aside the fact that she
19 did not repeat the evidence at trial, the fact
20 that someone witnessed someone grabbing a girl,
21 would that be a link between a suspect and the
22 murder?

23 A If, if you say that you saw something?

24 Q Yes?

25 A Oh, absolutely.



1 Q And so, in looking at Fisher as a suspect then, if
2 Nichol John saw David Milgaard kill Gail Miller,
3 grab and stab her, then obviously that would have
4 an effect on what you are investigating with Larry
5 Fisher, correct, and vice versa?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And so if Larry Fisher committed the murder, then
8 Nichol John didn't see David grab Gail Miller and
9 stab her, is that fair?

10 A That's fair.

11 Q And so I'm trying to understand, Mr. Pearson, how
12 you -- to what extent, in your investigation of
13 Larry Fisher, were you trying to look at the other
14 side and saying "okay, well let's look at what,
15 what there is against David Milgaard"?

16 A Okay. Well there were certainly discussions I had
17 with Mr. Williams on both sides, it wasn't just to
18 do with Mr. Fisher, you know. There were,
19 obviously, even in this case we're talking about
20 Nichol John on the phone and, you know, he was
21 pursuing this, and I made a suggestion that, you
22 know, "is hypnosis something that could possibly
23 assist you".

24 Q If we can go to the next page, please. September
25 26th, another call:



1 "... with Mr. Williams, who indicated
2 that he would like to have Linda Fisher
3 contacted to ensure that she provides
4 permission to reveal the contents of her
5 statement to the various interested
6 parties. We also discussed the fact
7 that Fisher was to be moved to Mountain
8 Prison. This causes me some concern as
9 it will mean access to him will be more
10 difficult. It is obvious others in the
11 system must believe there is a safety
12 concern for this inmate, however, my
13 contact with him will now be extremely
14 difficult unfortunately, as this man is
15 still to be considered a suspect. There
16 is little doubt at this time that any
17 access to Fisher will be through his
18 lawyer."

19 And that would be an accurate statement of the
20 situation at the time?

21 A I believe it is, yes.

22 Q And then the next paragraph, October 4th, 1990:

23 "I drove police car D-8 from the
24 Travaleer Motel east on 22nd, turned
25 south on Avenue N to 20th St. The



1 distance is 2.7 kms, time taken in
2 average traffic at normal driving
3 speeds, was four minutes. This was
4 completed at the request of Mr.
5 Williams, and the results passed to him
6 this date."

7 So I take it Mr. Williams asked you to do the
8 road test; is that correct?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And that would be, I take it, to test the evidence
11 that was at Mr. Milgaard's trial as far as the
12 timing of various events; is that fair?

13 A I'm assuming he was doing some timeline analysis,
14 yes.

15 Q And then 227:

16 "Had a telephone conversation with
17 Mr. Pick and asked him if he still
18 agrees to have a second polygraph
19 examination conducted on his client.
20 Mr. Pick advises this option is still
21 open to us."

22 And then the next paragraph, I think the next
23 day:

24 "Had a telephone call with Mr. Williams,
25 we discussed a follow-up polygraph for



1 Fisher and the fact he was having
2 trouble inside the institution and is to
3 be moved, meaning we will have limited
4 access once he leaves Prince Albert."

5 So is it fair to say at this time you are still
6 pursuing the polygraph but it is not, Mr. Fisher
7 is not agreeing to do it, but his lawyer is
8 saying it's still a possibility?

9 A It was, it was still, still an option that was
10 available to us according to Mr. Pick.

11 Q Did Mr. Williams, at this time or at any other
12 time, say "don't go ahead with that" or "stop your
13 efforts to get a polygraph"?

14 A Umm, there was discussion about pursuing the
15 polygraph, and I was advised by Mr. Williams that
16 there was no purpose in pursuing it.

17 Q And when did that happen?

18 A I just don't recall. There was a -- if I could
19 refer to some notes of --

20 Q Yeah. We'll actually, maybe I'll make a note
21 here, --

22 A Okay.

23 Q -- and I think we'll see it when we go through the
24 notes, --

25 A Okay.



1 Q -- but if not I'll come back to that. But do you
2 have a recollection, at some point, of Mr.

3 Williams saying not to proceed with the polygraph?

4 A We had, yes, we had some discussions on the merits
5 of moving forward with the polygraph.

6 Q And there are some notes that I'll get to in the
7 chronology that I think will assist you.

8 A Okay.

9 Q At this time, though, I take it you were still,
10 still pursuing that angle?

11 A It was, it was an angle, yes.

12 Q If we can then go to 011907, and this is your
13 October 18th report, scroll down to the bottom,
14 please. And, again, just confirming that:

15 "... Mr. ... Pick, has confirmed that if
16 conditions are right, he will permit his
17 client to be tested on the polygraph a
18 second time."

19 I think that's consistent with what you have told
20 us?

21 A Yes, I believe so.

22 Q And then the next page, scroll down, and you talk
23 about the information you received from
24 Mrs. Cadrain about Albert, telling her of Nichol
25 John's reaction the morning of the murder walking



1 down 20th Street, and the fact that Nichol began
2 to scream for no reason. And you write:

3 "This reaction is significant as it
4 could mean John, at the time, recalled
5 witnessing the murder as she recalled in
6 her statement to police, however, most
7 of which she later forgot because of
8 trauma. I will leave it with the
9 Justice Department Officials to
10 determine the importance of this point
11 when coming to a decision on the 690
12 *Criminal Code* application."

13 And you attach Mrs. Cadrain's statement. And so
14 is that a possibility or a thought that you had
15 at the time that you were passing on to your
16 superiors and through to Mr. Williams?

17 A Yes, it appears to be.

18 Q Next page, and your comments here about Peggy
19 Miller, you say in her statement:

20 "Peggy is quite adamant that she never
21 provided the information that I have
22 referred to. This seems rather odd,
23 however, time may have faded the memory
24 of Peggy or she may in fact be afraid to
25 confirm this knowledge for fear of



1 becoming involved in a highly publicized
2 criminal case."

3 And I'm wondering; at the time, Mr. Pearson, did
4 you ever consider whether perhaps Peggy Miller
5 had been mistaken when -- if she gave that
6 information in her statement to the police, I
7 mean it's written in the statement, did you ever
8 consider that she may have been mistaken in
9 putting the name in there?

10 A Of David Milgaard?

11 Q Yes?

12 A Yes, I'm sure I considered that, but at the end of
13 the day I was being very sensitive to how I was
14 going to deal with the Miller family over these
15 issues if it didn't really accomplish anything in
16 the big picture --

17 Q Yeah.

18 A -- and I just think I, I struggled with -- or not
19 struggled -- but I made a decision that I didn't
20 pursue this.

21 Q And again on the flip side, and I think you talked
22 about this a bit earlier, I guess if she didn't
23 give the name to the police and it ended up in her
24 statement one other possibility would be that the
25 police put it in her statement?



1 A Yes.

2 Q And, again, did you consider that as a
3 possibility?

4 A Well the only time that flashed by me was when
5 they were talking of coverup, and I was saying
6 "well what is at odds here with what I have seen",
7 and then the fact that Peggy Miller says she never
8 ever said that and yet it was in a -- in her
9 statement --

10 Q Yeah?

11 A -- and -- but, again, I was giving more weight to
12 the fact that, over time, she probably forgot some
13 of the things. This was 20 years later.

14 Q And if we can just scroll down to the bottom:

15 "Summary",

16 "There is no new information that has
17 come to light in my enquiries which
18 would shed any more light into this
19 murder than what has already been
20 reported."

21 And, again, this report is dated October 18th,
22 1990. Did you become aware, at some point, that
23 Mr. Williams was concluding his review of matters
24 with a view to preparing a formal response to the
25 Milgaard application?



1 A I have no recollection -- I have no recollection
2 of that at all.

3 Q If we can call up 067315, please, and this is
4 December 17th, 1990. This says "Further" -- just
5 referring to a fax message and enclosing some
6 *StarPhoenix* articles shortly after the death of
7 Gail Miller, and we've looked at those on a number
8 of occasions, and an article from the *StarPhoenix*,
9 and then you say:

10 "If I can be of further assistance,
11 please call me ...".

12 And if we can go to 056794. Actually, just go
13 back to the previous page. So here we have
14 October 10th, 1990, then your report of October 8
15 -- if we can go back to the full page, please --
16 your report of October 18th, and then the next
17 entry November 29th, 1990. And this simply
18 relates to Chief Penkala who got a call from Jack
19 Miller, Peggy's sister, wondering why police were
20 calling her. And then to the next page, we have
21 a note of December 13th, 1990 about body
22 temperature with Coroner Emson. And then I think
23 item number 231, Mr. Pearson, and you and I went
24 through this previously in going through your
25 notebooks, and it appears that this entry is



1 incorrectly dated in your chronology. It talks
2 about -- it's post-decision by the Justice
3 Minister where it talks about "recently ruled
4 against them", and I think from your notebooks
5 you identify that May the 2nd, 1991 is this
6 entry; is that --

7 A Yes, that could be.

8 Q And, again, I can perhaps, when we reconvene this
9 afternoon, give the doc. ID for that page number.
10 But in going through your notebooks it looks as
11 though some entries were, were not recorded on
12 this chronology, or this one was taken out of
13 sequence; is that correct?

14 A Yes, there was, there was several -- or a couple
15 entries at this point that didn't fit, and it was
16 pointed out to me, and I have been trying to
17 determine just how this may have occurred. And
18 one, I had thought possibly the dates had been
19 wrong, there was a lot of paper being moved around
20 and I had a couple sets of notebooks that were in
21 play. The other possibility, if you go down to
22 even the next paragraph, and this is just before
23 Mr. Williams called about the second application,
24 he --

25 Q Yeah, and I'll go through this entry with you, --



1 A Yeah.

2 Q -- but I think for this point -- and maybe I'll
3 come back, but I think this comment with Carl Karp
4 in your notebooks, or it's noted as May the 2nd,
5 1991, and perhaps I'll just call that up. If we
6 can call up 058343, and here's the entry on the
7 right-hand side "91/5/2", which would be May the
8 2nd, '91, "Karp", and I don't think we need to go
9 through it, it's consistent with the note that we
10 had on that last page.

11 A Yes.

12 Q And so does that assist you in telling us when you
13 had the discussion with Carl Karp?

14 A Well, the date there is 91 May 02, would appear
15 that's when that took place.

16 Q If we could maybe go back to 056794. And if we
17 can go back, this is listed as December 14th,
18 1990, and we know that the Minister of Justice
19 replied at the end of February, 1991, and your
20 chronology states:

21 "To date there has been continuous
22 activity beyond my level so far as the
23 Application to Federal Justice is
24 concerned. Much of what is transpiring
25 I have not been privy to, however news



1 media is actively pursuing this story on
2 several fronts. At this point there is
3 nothing that is new which would assist
4 in my efforts to gather evidence
5 regarding Fisher. I am still interested
6 in Fisher and his activities at the time
7 of Gail Miller's death. We are at a
8 distinct disadvantage when dealing with
9 this man as we have no information on
10 his movements at the time of the murder.
11 While it may be coincidence that
12 Milgaard and Fisher were both in close
13 proximity of the murder scene, I am not
14 prepared to eliminate Fisher as a
15 suspect based solely on what he is
16 telling us today. Attempts will
17 continue in my efforts to secure police
18 interrogation and a polygraph
19 examination. Ongoing efforts are also
20 attempting to find some way to determine
21 if in fact Fisher was at work during the
22 morning of the murder, which may give
23 credibility to the two sides of this
24 issue."

25 Next page.



1 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Mr. Hodson, when
2 did you say the Minister had replied?

3 MR. HODSON: February 27th, '91.

4 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay.

5 BY MR. HODSON:

6 Q And then paragraph 233:

7 "The upside of the public pressure on
8 Fisher is that he may wish to fully
9 cooperate in order to eliminate himself
10 as a suspect. I will be monitoring the
11 various opportunities to interview
12 Fisher and hopefully get him at a time
13 when he is most motivated or vulnerable,
14 however, to date this has been difficult
15 as he is using the protection of his
16 lawyer to shield himself from direct
17 contact with authorities."

18 Let me pause there. I take it, from that, that
19 you saw that there was an upside to the media
20 attention on Larry Fisher?

21 A It would appear that way, yes.

22 Q And that that might cause him to want to cooperate
23 so that he could eliminate himself as a suspect?

24 A Well, if he at all believed himself to be
25 innocent, you would think he would be motivated at



1 some point.

2 **Q** If he were guilty would he have the same
3 motivation?

4 **A** I wouldn't think so.

5 **Q** Yeah. And then paragraph 234:

6 "I discussed this case further with Mr.
7 Williams. We discussed what points we
8 can further pursue to clear the various
9 questions raised. The instability of
10 Nicole John throughout this entire
11 police investigation and subsequent
12 Court appearance creates the question of
13 credibility. We discussed the potential
14 of hypnosis, however, after 20 years, it
15 is highly unlikely such a move will
16 bring her subconscious to the fore
17 concerning her activities at the time.
18 This aspect will require the opinion of
19 more knowledgeable people than I."

20 And then scroll down:

21 "Based on Peggy Miller's statement, I
22 ran the scenario past Williams of the
23 fact Milgaard may have known the
24 deceased and picked her up prior to her
25 murder, and that John and Wilson may



1 have been a party to this offence and
2 thus conspired to set up Milgaard in
3 some way. While this is for discussion
4 purposes only, Williams responded by
5 stating he did not believe the evidence
6 presented supports such a theory and it
7 has never been established that Milgaard
8 knew the victim, which at this time, is
9 true."

10 So can you tell us what -- were these notes of a
11 discussion, or were they summary notes, or can
12 you tell us how these came about?

13 A Well, you know, the date doesn't jibe and, like I
14 say, either the date is wrong or this was material
15 that was put into a file and resurrected and put
16 into my notes. It might have been archived during
17 the time this -- this file was in a time of
18 inactivity during this period, there wasn't a
19 whole lot of activity. This may have been
20 something that was put onto a file, like, a note
21 to file, which is not uncommon, but -- and the
22 Milgaard file was made up of about 20 subfiles, 15
23 to 20 subfiles, and that's about the only
24 explanation I can provide you because I just have
25 no recollection of how this got there.



1 Q If we can just go back to the previous page, this
2 chronology suggests these notes that I just read
3 you are December 14th, 1990?

4 A Uh-huh.

5 Q And do you think that that's the wrong date then
6 of these notes?

7 A Well, I don't know, that's one explanation. The
8 other explanation is that it's the right date and
9 that this material was put into a file and that it
10 was actually recovered and entered into my notes
11 at the time that I had a discussion with Mr.
12 Williams. That's about the only -- and this is
13 not from memory, this is only trying to explain
14 how that got there because --

15 Q Sorry, what is in the notes and what I've read to
16 you, is it fair to say that they would have
17 represented your thoughts at the time you recorded
18 them, whenever that might be?

19 A I believe so, yes.

20 Q And so that it might have been the end of 1990 or
21 it might have been at some earlier time or perhaps
22 even a later time?

23 A I really can't say. I'm just trying to go by what
24 is written and what the date says. I think it
25 accurately reflects my views there and I don't



1 know what I can add to that. It doesn't fit
2 obviously.

3 Q And then if we can go to 008400, and I think this
4 is a note November 29th, 1990, and nothing new to
5 report, please extend DD, which I assume is diary
6 date, to January 15, '91?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And from that can we conclude that there would
9 have been little activity during this time then?

10 A Yes, there was -- it would appear there was no
11 activity.

12 Q And then again 008401, and is this a similar
13 extension of the diary date? I'm not sure if
14 there's a date on there.

15 A Yes, it --

16 Q It actually extends --

17 A It appears to be the 15th of March diary date
18 extension, yes.

19 Q And then 008402, I think we have another extension
20 to April 12th; is that right?

21 A Yes. This is the officer commanding upstairs
22 asking me for a diary date, or an update on the
23 file, that there was inactivity in the file during
24 this period of time.

25 Q Then if we can go to 008403, please, and this is



1 your April 22nd, 1991 report. Now, we know on
2 February 27th, 1991 Minister Kim Campbell had
3 replied to David Milgaard's application under
4 Section 690, and I'll be going to that letter in a
5 moment, and in effect dismissed the application,
6 or indicated that no steps would be taken by the
7 Justice Minister, so this would be your report a
8 couple of months later and it talks about on
9 January 17th, 1990 Larry Fisher was transferred
10 and then you say:

11 "The only outstanding matter so far as
12 Fisher and this investigation is
13 concerned, is his taking a second
14 polygraph examination. This aspect of
15 the investigation has not been pursued
16 in recent months because of Fisher's
17 medical condition and subsequent
18 transfer out of this area. To date
19 Fisher has denied any involvement in the
20 Miller homicide. We are not hopeful
21 Fisher will ever provide a polygraph
22 examination to a police polygraphist,
23 however, he may consider providing such
24 a test to a private polygraph operator.
25 The worth and value of this exercise is



1 questionable under the present
2 circumstances."

3 Then again if you can scroll down, you talk about
4 attempts to contact Peggy Miller. Next page.
5 And it appears that at this time a follow-up with
6 Peggy Miller, she has not seen fit to meet with
7 you, claiming the new publicity surrounding this
8 crime is bothering her severely. And then under
9 investigator's comments you say:

10 "During Feb 91 Justice Minister Kim
11 Campbell announced her Ministry was not
12 prepared to intervene in the murder
13 conviction of David Milgaard.
14 Milgaard's lawyer Mr. David Asper, is
15 now suggesting he will be pursuing this
16 case further to the Federal Court of
17 Canada, as well as the Saskatchewan
18 Court of Appeal. At the time of writing
19 it remains unclear the exact nature of
20 his appeal challenge. In summary, the
21 investigation being conducted by Mr.
22 Williams of the Federal Justice
23 Department under Section 690 of the
24 Criminal Code, is in its final stages,
25 barring further evidence that may come



1 forward."

2 And if we could go to 008405, this is an April
3 26th letter from Mr. Egan who received your
4 earlier report and it looks like he sent this,
5 your April 22nd report on to Mr. Williams; is
6 that fair? Is that correct?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And then 008406, this is Mr. Williams' letter to
9 Mr. Egan acknowledging your report, and then if we
10 can go to 008407, and this is Mr. Williams' letter
11 to you of May 3rd, 1991, it says:

12 "Further to our most recent
13 conversations, please find enclosed the
14 report from Centurion Ministries and the
15 Minister's letter to Mr. Hersh Wolch."

16 And with that is the February 27th, 1991 report,
17 or the February 27th, 1991 letter from the
18 Justice Minister, and are you able to tell us,
19 Mr. Pearson, from December, 1990 up until the
20 time the Minister of Justice sent out the formal
21 letter, do you recall whether you were consulted
22 by Mr. Williams or had discussions with him?

23 A I may have. I just don't recall.

24 Q There doesn't appear to be anything in your
25 chronology or your notes detailing discussions



1 with him and I read to you your April, 1991 report
2 that we just went through and there doesn't appear
3 to be anything in there that suggests contact.

4 A Yeah. I just don't recall. I --

5 Q If --

6 A I could have, but I just don't recall.

7 Q If you would have had discussions with Mr.
8 Williams, do you think you would have recorded it
9 in either your notebooks or your office chronology
10 file?

11 A I would think so, but keep in mind, you know,
12 there was a lot of contacts that went on during
13 these investigations that don't end up in your
14 notes, the notes aren't a transcript of your life
15 on these investigations, but I would try and
16 document, you know, certainly what I thought was
17 appropriate and what I could, but I'm sure there
18 were conversations that I had with Mr. Williams
19 that are not in the notebooks, but for the most
20 part they are.

21 Q Do you remember how you found out that the
22 Minister of Justice had rejected the application
23 of Mr. Milgaard under Section 690?

24 A I don't recall how I heard that.

25 Q Do you recall when you heard that?



1 A No, I don't. I would think, you know, it would be
2 all around the same time that it became a public
3 issue, but I just don't remember.

4 Q And are you able to tell us whether you learned
5 through publicity, media, as to what happened, or
6 whether Mr. Williams or someone else informed you?

7 A I just don't recall.

8 Q Go back to the full page of this letter, this is
9 May 3rd, 1991 where Mr. Williams is sending you a
10 copy of the minister's letter to Hersh Wolch which
11 is the February 27th letter. Are you able to tell
12 us whether this would be the first occasion when
13 you received the letter?

14 A I don't know. I would think so, but I'm just
15 going by what's on the screen here.

16 MR. HODSON: Mr. Commissioner, my next -- I
17 want to get into the minister's letter, but I
18 won't finish it before the lunch break. Maybe we
19 can adjourn now and we'll start at 1:30 with
20 that.

21 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yes, thank you.

22 *(Adjourned at 11:55 a.m.)*

23 *(Reconvened at 1:32 p.m.)*

24 BY MR. HODSON:

25 Q Mr. Pearson, before the break we were talking



1 about the time frame December, 1990 through until
2 May, 1991 and in particular what contact you may
3 have had with Mr. Williams around the time before
4 and after Kim Campbell's letter of February 27th,
5 1991 and I just want to go through your notebooks,
6 and I appreciate your evidence, sir, I think it
7 was that you may have had discussions with
8 Mr. Williams and that not everything went into
9 your notebook or your chronology; is that correct?

10 A I think so, yes.

11 Q And if we could go to 058342, which is part of the
12 notebooks, part of 058291, and this is an entry --
13 no, 058342 -- and this is an entry, the previous
14 entry here relates to your discussion in
15 December -- if we can go back to the full page,
16 please. This entry here relates to your
17 discussion in December of '90 with Dr. Emson that
18 I touched on this morning and then it looks like
19 your next entry is March 11th, 1991, if we can
20 call that out, please, it says:

21 "Called Williams - he wishes to know the
22 time frame of news article --"

23 I think that says,

24 "-- indicating conflict of interest
25 exists when Justice contacted Bobs



1 Caldwell. I checked file and found --"

2 Tell me what that is?

3 A Article.

4 Q "-- article from StarPhoenix dated
5 90/Aug/30."

6 So I take it on March 11th you would have had a
7 discussion with Mr. Williams?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And to follow up and find out a newspaper article
10 about a conflict of interest?

11 A It appears that, yes.

12 Q And it appears again from your notes, and I
13 appreciate your evidence that not everything may
14 have gotten into your notes, but it looks like
15 this is the first entry in 1991 in your notebook;
16 is that fair?

17 A I think that's fair, yes.

18 Q And then if we go ahead to the next entry I think
19 is 058343, we then go to this discussion of May
20 the 2nd, 1991 with Mr. Karp, and this is the one
21 that talks about, that I referred to in your notes
22 earlier this morning, remember we just found that
23 one entry that was a bit out of place?

24 A Yes.

25 Q And I'll touch on this a bit later. And then if



1 we can go to -- maybe just carry on in your
2 notebook and go to the next page, please, so this
3 is the Karp entry then. Actually, if we could go
4 ahead to 058345, the next page, this talks about a
5 call on May the 3rd, '91 with Mr. Williams, and
6 then to the next page, please, and again I think
7 this is the continuation of that discussion, and
8 then to the next page, and here's where we see the
9 entry that starts here December 14th and I think
10 this is what we had identified earlier, Mr.
11 Pearson, you and I, when we were trying to compare
12 your notebook with your chronology, and so this is
13 the entry that I read this morning, December 14th,
14 1990, and it's similar:

15 "To date there has been continuous
16 activity beyond my level so far as the
17 application to Federal Justice is
18 concerned."

19 And I think this tracks what was in the office
20 chronology. And so again this morning when you
21 were telling us about how, or why this note
22 appeared where it did in your chronology, does
23 this -- can you maybe just give us what you
24 thought may have happened as to how the December,
25 1990 entry came after the May, 1991 entry?



1 A You know what, I thought about this, I'm really at
2 a loss to try and enlighten you. It's there and
3 that's what it says and I just don't have a
4 recollection of it to be honest with you.

5 Q And I appreciate that apart from a recollection
6 just from your note taking in your files, is
7 there -- can you give us what might be an
8 explanation as to why a December, '90 note would
9 be after a May, 1991 note, not by memory, but just
10 by possible explanations?

11 A Well, the only explanation I can possibly give is
12 the fact that there may have been entries like a
13 note to a file that would have went into a file
14 that was part of the Milgaard file that I was
15 carrying and possibly when the file was accessed
16 this was pulled out and entered into my notebooks.
17 That's the only explanation I can give you.

18 Q And the fact that there's a date here of December
19 14th, 1990, is it possible that that's an error
20 or --

21 A It is possible, and like I say, I just don't
22 recall this.

23 Q If we can then go back --

24 A That is a possibility, but I'm stuck.

25 Q It certainly looks -- the note that I read this



1 morning of December, 1990, at least the subject
2 matter appears to predate the decision by the
3 Justice Minister?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And does that -- again, I appreciate your saying
6 you don't have an explanation as to how or why it
7 ended up where it did in your notebook?

8 A No, other than what I indicated, that it could
9 have been pulled off the file and put into my
10 notes.

11 Q If we go back to 008407 then, please, and again
12 I've identified this this morning as being the May
13 3rd letter from Mr. Williams to you, and if we can
14 actually go back, go back to 058345 for just a
15 moment, and this is again May the 3rd, 1991, your
16 notes, which is the same date as Mr. Williams'
17 letter to you, and it says, does that say calls --
18 oh:

19 "10:00, calls Williams Ottawa, who
20 confirmed he had a discussion with Carp
21 and provided certain general bits of
22 info. Williams did not elaborate on
23 what has been provided.

24 Williams explained to me that
25 Rossner/Carp produced the item



1 identifying a --

2 A Convict I think.

3 Q "-- convict who claimed he had been told
4 by Fisher --"

5 A That he, Fisher.

6 Q "-- that he (Fisher) committed the
7 Miller offence. As a result, Williams
8 interviewed the convict and found the
9 facts to be much different than those
10 provided by the CBC production. In a
11 nutshell, the credibility of
12 Rossner/Carp has become suspicious."

13 And again, would that be a note of your
14 discussion with Mr. Williams on that date?

15 A I believe so, yes.

16 Q And then it says:

17 "It was also suggested that the book
18 being written is actually financed by
19 the book company Milgaard worked for at
20 the time of the Miller murder. Williams
21 will also be sending me a copy of
22 the --"

23 Is that report?

24 A Report.

25 Q "-- on the 690 Application which was



1 issued by Kim Campbell - which is a
2 public document. He will also send me a
3 copy of the report submitted by the
4 private investigating firm hired by
5 Milgaard, which is also a widely
6 circulated report."

7 And again then we get into the December, 1990
8 entry. So from this note, Mr. Pearson, does it
9 appear that at this time, May 3rd, 1991, that you
10 had not yet received a copy of the Minister of
11 Justice's letter?

12 A It would seem that.

13 Q And are you able to -- does the note assist you in
14 telling us whether you did or didn't?

15 A No. Like I say, I have no recollection of this.
16 I'm just going by what's written there and I know
17 it's out of whack here, but I can't really explain
18 it to you.

19 Q Put aside this subsequent note, I'm just simply
20 talking about the May 3rd, 1991 entry where Eugene
21 Williams tells you that the minister's decision is
22 a public document widely circulated and that he's
23 going to send it to you, and my question is to try
24 and determine whether you had seen the letter
25 prior to that date, the minister's decision.



1 A Gee, you know what, I'm not sure. I just have no
2 recollection of this. I'm going by what I'm
3 reading here.

4 Q I appreciate that, and does the note, your note
5 assist you in telling us whether or not you would
6 have had Kim Campbell's letter prior to May 3rd?

7 A It would seem that I'm waiting for something in
8 regard to that by reading this upper portion of
9 this, what's on the screen here, and the previous
10 page.

11 Q Do you have any recollection of being consulted by
12 Eugene Williams or anybody else from Federal
13 Justice in connection with the reply that the
14 Minister of Justice would be preparing and sending
15 to Mr. Milgaard's counsel in response to his
16 application?

17 A I have no recollection of that, and I don't think
18 there's anything on the file that indicates that I
19 ever received anything like that.

20 Q No, and I went through your notes with you from
21 this time period, December, 1990 to May, 1991 and
22 there's no note either in your notebooks or in
23 your chronology or in your report to your
24 superiors that suggests that you were either
25 consulted by or gave advice to Mr. Williams in



1 connection with the writing of the response, and I
2 want to draw a distinction between the
3 investigation work and the information you gave to
4 Mr. Williams on the one hand and, secondly,
5 whether or not you had any input to your knowledge
6 in the response that the minister drafted?

7 A Oh, I had no input into what he was writing up in
8 Ottawa. I had no idea what he was considering and
9 what the reports were and I never received reports
10 from them or I was never part of any meeting or
11 strategy session or any kind of conference call or
12 anything like that as far as what was going to go
13 into the final report, and I have no recollection
14 of even knowing when this report was going to be
15 submitted. That's from my recollection.

16 Q And, for example, before the minister's letter was
17 finalized and sent out, did you have any idea what
18 she was going to do with the application?

19 A Not to my knowledge.

20 Q If we can then go to 008407, please, and again
21 this is the letter that Mr. Williams wrote to you,
22 and then the next page is the February 27th letter
23 from Kim Campbell, the Minister of Justice, to Mr.
24 Wolch, and you are familiar, sir, generally with
25 this document? This is -- I'll go through parts



1 of it, but this is an 11 page -- I stand to be
2 corrected -- 12 page letter from the Minister of
3 Justice to Mr. Wolch formally responding to Mr.
4 Milgaard's application under Section 690?

5 A Yes.

6 Q You understand that?

7 A Yeah, uh-huh.

8 Q And I just want to go through -- and this was sent
9 to you by Mr. Williams and I presume, sir, that
10 you would have read it at some point or reviewed
11 it?

12 A I believe so, sure.

13 Q I want to ask you some questions that arise from
14 this letter that relate to the work that you did
15 on behalf of the Federal Minister of Justice and
16 Mr. Williams, and again the letter states that:

17 "The purpose of this procedure --"

18 And she's talking about Section 690,

19 "-- is to permit a review of cases where
20 new evidence or information raising
21 doubts concerning the correctness of a
22 conviction has arisen after the full
23 judicial process, including appeals, has
24 been exhausted. I wish to emphasize
25 that it is not the function of the



1 Minister of Justice to retry the case."

2 And again just down a bit further:

3 "Ministers of Justice traditionally have
4 declined to act where the basis upon
5 which the application has been brought
6 relates to matters or issues which were
7 considered by the jury at trial. For
8 instance, relief is commonly declined
9 where the applicant points to the
10 unsavoury character of a witness when
11 that issue was placed squarely before
12 the jury."

13 And I'm just wondering, we talked I think on your
14 first day in testimony, Mr. Pearson, about what
15 your understanding was of the process, and I
16 appreciate, sir, that, and you've also testified
17 that you, I think your words were only part the
18 of the, one piece of the pie as far as the work
19 you were doing, but what is set out in this
20 letter, is this consistent with what you
21 understood was being reviewed?

22 A At the time?

23 Q Yes?

24 A I believe, I believe in general terms, I didn't
25 know the specifics, but I believed that I was of



1 the view that this new information was going to be
2 reported in some way to the Minister of Justice as
3 part of a recommendation.

4 Q Did you understand that an important part of the
5 Section 690 process was that information to be
6 considered by the Minister had to be new
7 information that wasn't before the jury; was that
8 something that was on your mind at the time?

9 A I really can't say, I couldn't be that specific.

10 Q Again in the next page, if we could call out this
11 paragraph please, and the Minister goes on to talk
12 about Section 690 and talks about:

13 "When conducting an investigation into
14 the matter, and later advising the
15 Minister of Justice, the Department of
16 Justice has as its duty an objective
17 discovery of the facts, including an
18 impartial examination of any new
19 evidence that may become available. The
20 approach taken during the investigation
21 is not adversarial in nature; rather, it
22 takes the form of an impartial inquiry
23 into the full circumstances of the case.
24 Upon conclusion of the investigation,
25 the Department of Justice equally has a



1 duty to consider fairly the arguments
2 put forward by counsel for the
3 applicant, and to measure the facts of
4 the case and counsel's submissions
5 against the provisions of Section 690 of
6 the *Criminal Code*."

7 And, again, I just want to talk about your
8 involvement, Mr. Pearson, and I think you've told
9 us that you -- you reported to Eugene Williams;
10 is that correct?

11 A Yes, and also through my chain of command.

12 Q And through your chain of command, and that Mr.
13 Williams was a lawyer with the Department of
14 Justice, and he was doing sort of other work in
15 addition to what you and he were dealing with; is
16 that fair?

17 A In regards to this investigation?

18 Q Yes?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And as far as your involvement in the
21 investigation -- and I guess I'm asking for your,
22 for your own assessment of how you conducted your
23 investigation -- did you consider that you had
24 done an impartial examination of any new evidence?

25 A I believed I was open-minded and impartial and



1 proceeded where I thought it could, could go on my
2 own if there were some issues that came to me
3 through file review, and I was following the tasks
4 that Mr. Williams had for me as far as in
5 particular Larry Fisher, but there were some other
6 duties that he had me performing as well. But I
7 believe I was open-minded, I didn't discount Larry
8 Fisher and I didn't discount David Milgaard, under
9 the circumstances.

10 Q And again, as far as the adversarial statement,
11 the statement says:

12 "The approach taken during the
13 investigation is not adversarial in
14 nature ...",

15 and maybe it's not fair to ask you to do a
16 self-assessment, others may do that, but just
17 from your perspective, Mr. Pearson, were you
18 approaching this in an adversarial way, the work
19 that you were doing?

20 A I don't believe I did. I felt that I was
21 approaching it, again, with an open mind, I don't
22 believe I went one way or another.

23 Q Do you recall any witness or anybody saying to you
24 that you were not impartial or that you were
25 acting in an adversarial way?



1 A Of all the people that I dealt with in this
2 investigation?

3 Q Yes?

4 A No, never.

5 Q If we can then go to the next page, and the
6 Minister sets out the five bases, and this is the
7 first application, and I just want to go through
8 these quickly and get an understanding of the
9 extent that you may have been involved. The first
10 issue dealt with by the Minister was:

11 "The submission that new evidence from
12 Deborah Hall and Ute Frank, who were not
13 called at trial, contradicts the trial
14 evidence of Crown witnesses Melnyk and
15 Lapchuk;"

16 again did you have any involvement sir, in your
17 investigation, in dealing with this submission?

18 A I -- the only involvement I had was trying to
19 track these people down on behalf of Mr. Williams,
20 but I had no contact or no interviews or no
21 statements with -- from these people.

22 Q Secondly:

23 "The submission that "advances in
24 scientific technology have allowed the
25 applicant to discredit the forensic



1 evidence called at his trial and to
2 provide evidence that exculpates him as
3 the perpetrator of the crime";",
4 and this was a submission put forth on behalf of
5 David Milgaard; did you have any involvement in
6 investigating that part of the application?

7 A No, I did not.

8 Q Number 3:

9 "The submission that there is new
10 evidence in the form of the statement
11 provided by Ronald Dale Wilson on June
12 4, 1990; and the request to re-examine
13 the evidence of Albert Cadrain and
14 Nichol John in light of the contents of
15 Mr. Wilson's June 1990 statement;",
16 again, did you have any involvement in the
17 investigation of any of that part of the
18 application?

19 A No, I did not, no.

20 Q Number 4:

21 "The allegation that one Larry Fisher
22 may have committed the crime and the
23 impact that unsolved rapes in Saskatoon
24 could have had on the jury's
25 deliberations; ...",



1 and did you have some involvement in
2 investigating that aspect of the application?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Again, that's what we have touched on in your
5 evidence to date, I take it?

6 A Yes, yes.

7 Q And then number 5:

8 "The submissions that David Milgaard
9 could not have killed Gail Miller
10 because she was killed at another
11 location and her body deposited in the
12 alley; or, if the offence had been
13 committed in the alley, David Milgaard
14 had insufficient time to commit it, or
15 was not near the scene of the crime at
16 the time it was committed.",

17 and again, did you have any involvement in
18 investigating this aspect of the application?

19 A Insofar as the timeline?

20 Q Yes, well yeah, and --

21 A In general terms, but specifically -- in general
22 terms I think I did, yes, that's fair.

23 Q And in what ways?

24 A Well, you know, we had talked about, you know, a
25 car theory as a potential involvement here. That



1 was kind of a long shot but that was something we
2 had, that I had initiated on my own actually, it
3 wasn't a request by Mr. Williams. Umm, and as far
4 as the insufficient time to commit this crime, I
5 did not do a timeline or any examination into that
6 aspect of it.

7 Q And did you, as an investigator, look at the
8 question and ask yourself exactly as has been put
9 here, "could David Milgaard have killed Gail
10 Miller given the known facts at the time", is that
11 something that you addressed your mind to on the
12 first application?

13 A That David Milgaard could have killed Gail Miller?

14 Q That could not have killed Gail Miller?

15 A Oh, could not have killed Gail Miller? I -- I
16 think he was always a suspect in my mind, whether
17 I had information that he did not --

18 Q I didn't phrase that very well. Let me try again.
19 What the Minister of Justice in this letter is
20 setting out, the grounds that were put forward to
21 her by counsel for David Milgaard as the basis
22 upon which they asked her to exercise her
23 discretion under Section 690, --

24 A Yes.

25 Q -- and the issues raised in the application, she's



1 restating them, and one of them is a submission by
2 David Milgaard, or on his behalf, that he:

3 "... could not have killed Gail Miller
4 because she was killed at another
5 location and her body deposited in the
6 alley; or, if ..."

7 it:

8 "... had been committed in the alley,
9 David Milgaard had insufficient time to
10 commit it, or was not near the scene of
11 the crime ...",

12 and I'm just wondering whether you, in the course
13 of your investigation, ever addressed your mind
14 specifically to this submission and tried to
15 reach a conclusion as to whether or not it had
16 merit?

17 A You know, I don't recall, I -- I just don't think
18 I did but --

19 Q No, you did --

20 A -- it's all kind of tied up in the Larry Fisher
21 side here --

22 Q Yeah?

23 A -- but, umm, specifically to say that I did an
24 analysis of whether or not he could not have
25 killed Gail Miller at that location, I didn't do



1 any specific investigation in that regard.

2 Q I think this morning we saw a note where you drove
3 from the scene of the murder to the Trav-a-leer
4 Motel and took the time and distance for Mr.
5 Williams?

6 A Yeah, that was a request of Mr. Williams, yeah.

7 Q And so presumably that may have been a piece of
8 evidence that was used by others to look at this
9 point; is that fair?

10 A I think that's fair, yes.

11 Q And I think you also have told us, or maybe this
12 is more on the second application, about the
13 prospects of a car being involved --

14 A Uh-huh, yes.

15 Q -- in the murder?

16 A Yes.

17 Q If we can then go to page 008417, and call out
18 that part of the letter, please. This is on page
19 10 of the Justice Minister's letter, and again
20 this is dealing with the fourth point, and I think
21 you told us this would be where you spent most of
22 your time in the investigation, looking at this
23 issue; is that fair?

24 A That's fair, yes.

25 Q And what the Minister says, number:



1 "4. The impact that Larry Fisher's criminal
2 behaviour could have had on the jury's
3 deliberations."

4 She states:

5 "Inquiries were also made concerning the
6 submission that one Larry Earl Fisher
7 was Gail Miller's assailant. The
8 observation of Linda Fisher, his former
9 wife, that her paring knife was missing
10 at the time of the murder was fully
11 investigated, in addition to other
12 assertions. Neither Ms. Fisher's
13 suspicions, which were conveyed to the
14 police in 1980, nor other well
15 publicized assertions by her, provide
16 any evidence to link Larry Fisher to
17 Gail Miller's death. Ms. Fisher noted
18 that the photo of a knife similar to the
19 murder weapon indicated a different
20 handle type, colour and blade from her
21 missing knife. However serious Mr.
22 Fisher's criminal record may be, the
23 entire record at trial and in this
24 application reveals no evidence to
25 connect him with the killing of Gail



1 Miller. Although it was, as you have
2 conceded, quite coincidental that Mr.
3 Fisher resided at the Cadrain residence
4 during Mr. Milgaard's visit, no guilt or
5 suspicion of guilt can be attributed to
6 Fisher in the absence of some form of
7 evidence linking him to the crime."

8 Now these are the words used by the Minister and
9 I just want to go through parts of this.

10 Generally, Mr. Pearson, do you
11 agree with what's put forward here based on the
12 work you had done at the time?

13 A Well I suppose when you get to the bottom, talking
14 about:

15 "... no guilt or suspicion of guilt can
16 be attributed to Fisher in the absence
17 of some form of evidence linking him to
18 the crime.",

19 I don't entirely think that that's where I was at
20 at the time, but -- because I always did have
21 suspicion of guilt.

22 Q But --

23 A But they are attributing it to the absence of some
24 form of evidence linking him to the crime, and
25 maybe that's the difference.



1 Q Maybe we'll just go through parts of this, and
2 I'll get you to comment, and then we'll come back
3 to this last point. And I think you are referring
4 to this comment in the second-last line?

5 A Yes, yup.

6 Q And I'll come to that in a moment. The comment
7 here that:

8 "The observation of Linda Fisher, his
9 former wife, that her paring knife was
10 missing at the time of the murder was
11 fully investigated, in addition to other
12 assertions.",

13 and again, I think from what we have seen, Mr.
14 Pearson, you likely would have been, as far as
15 the police were concerned, the main officer who
16 investigated Linda Fisher's assertions; is that
17 fair?

18 A That's fair, yes.

19 Q And I think you've told us Mr. Williams was also
20 involved in taking a statement or having a
21 deposition from her; is that correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And at the time, February 1991, were you satisfied
24 that you had investigated what you could with
25 Linda Fisher to gather relevant information



1 towards the question of Larry Fisher's guilt or
2 suspicion for the crime?

3 A I -- I believe so. I mean there is probably
4 always something more you could do, but I think in
5 general terms that's where we were at with Linda,
6 yes.

7 Q And were you satisfied as a police officer, then,
8 in your dealings with Linda Fisher, that you had
9 investigated her to the fullest extent you could
10 at the time?

11 A Well the suspicions she had of Larry Fisher were
12 suspicions I had of Larry Fisher as well, as a
13 result of dealing with Linda in part, and I never
14 did eliminate Larry Fisher as a suspect, so I'm
15 not sure I resolved that in here. It was --

16 Q Let me add to the question and not limit it to
17 Linda Fisher. As far as your investigation up
18 until this point, February 1991, your
19 investigation as to whether or not Larry Fisher
20 could have been the person who killed Gail
21 Miller, --

22 A Yes.

23 Q -- up until that point were you satisfied that you
24 had investigated it to the fullest extent you
25 could under the circumstances?



1 A I -- I believe so.

2 Q Was there anything more that you thought you could
3 have or should have done at the time to finish the
4 investigation?

5 A As far as the assertions that Linda Fisher made?

6 Q Yes, that -- yes.

7 A Not that I can recall offhand, no.

8 Q Now you told us about the polygraph, and I think
9 where we ended off you were still trying to get a
10 polygraph, was that something that --

11 A That's not Linda, that's not Linda Fisher's
12 assertions, that was something in addition to it.

13 Q Okay. Now let's move to Larry Fisher, let's just
14 globally -- your investigation of Larry Fisher as
15 a suspect. In February of 1991 you had been, I
16 think March of 1990 you started your investigation
17 of him, you had a couple of meetings with him, a
18 polygraph, a sworn deposition by Mr. Williams and
19 you had taken a number of other steps to
20 investigate your suspicion that he might be the
21 person that killed Gail Miller, and I want to get
22 an understanding, as at February 1991, whether you
23 felt that you had fully investigated Larry Fisher
24 as a suspect at that time or whether there was
25 still matters that you could or should have



1 pursued?

2 A Oh, I think, I think there was still more that we
3 could have pursued if it was a, you know, an open
4 police investigation. You know, as we talk, if he
5 is a suspect you take a look at the other
6 potential things you could do, and I had mentioned
7 previously that, you know, if -- under normal
8 circumstances you may have set up an undercover,
9 covert operation on him, possibly intercepted
10 private communications under part 6, so there
11 was -- there was other things that, if you had the
12 time and over the long haul, that could possibly
13 have been done from a police perspective, yes.

14 Q And going back to the mandate that Mr. Williams
15 gave you in March of 1990, to go out and
16 investigate whether Larry Fisher might have been
17 the person who killed Gail Miller, by February of
18 1991 were you satisfied that you had completed
19 that investigation?

20 A Well I was, I was pursuing and feeding the
21 information to Mr. Williams on --

22 Q Yes?

23 A -- what we had done with Larry Fisher, and the
24 fact that I still had him as a suspect, I believed
25 we could have probably continued having him a



1 suspect and found ways to deal with him, as a pure
2 police investigation.

3 Q And so, so that I understand it, at this time,
4 then, you are saying that he was still a suspect.
5 What more could you have done, then, to
6 investigate him? And I'm talking in the context
7 of the 690 application; what more was there to do
8 or that could have been done?

9 A Well I just mentioned, you know, the fact --
10 there's not very many options you have here, but I
11 mean if you are going out to the extreme you have
12 to take a look at, you know, could we have done
13 some kind of undercover operation that might have
14 gained some evidence from him if he was a suspect
15 of this investigation, and that's -- and of course
16 he was institutionalised at this time, which did
17 create some difficulty, but that really wasn't an
18 area that was pursued under 690.

19 Q And then the comment here that:

20 "Neither Ms. ...",

21 Linda:

22 "... Fisher's suspicions ... provide any
23 evidence to link Larry Fisher to Gail
24 Miller's death.";

25 would you agree with that statement, or did you



1 at the time?

2 A Do I agree that they don't provide evidence?

3 Q Yeah. What the Minister says in this letter is
4 that Linda:

5 "... Fisher's suspicions ... nor ...",
6 her:

7 "... other well publicized assertions by
8 her ...",
9 and I think, assume all the information that
10 Linda Fisher gave to you at the time the Minister
11 said, but it did not:

12 "... provide any evidence to link Larry
13 Fisher to Gail Miller's death.";

14 I'm just wondering if you would agree with that?

15 A Yeah, I, I have to agree with that, that there was
16 nothing to link Larry Fisher and Gail Miller, in
17 the death of.

18 Q And then it talks about Linda:

19 "... Fisher noted that the photo of a
20 knife similar to the murder weapon
21 indicated a different handle type,
22 colour and blade from her missing
23 knife."

24 And again, does that accord with your
25 understanding of her statement and the comparison



1 with the murder weapon?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And then the Minister states:

4 "However serious Mr. Fisher's criminal
5 record may be, the entire record at
6 trial and in this application reveals no
7 evidence to connect him with the killing
8 of Gail Miller."

9 And, again, do you agree with that statement?

10 A Yes, I do, as far as talking of evidence that
11 would say that he was involved in the death of
12 Gail Miller.

13 Q And can you give us some examples, Mr. Pearson,
14 either in this case or generally, of what, what
15 types of evidence would you need to, and the words
16 here are "connect" or "link" a suspect to a crime,
17 can you give us an idea of what types of things
18 would do that?

19 A Well some physical evidence that would show
20 ownership from one to the other, such as DNA that
21 came into play, that's --

22 Q So let's just pause there for a moment. I think
23 in 1997 we learned that a test done on Gail
24 Miller's coat indicated that semen found on her
25 dress, coat and/or panties matched Larry Fisher's



1 DNA; correct?

2 A That would be evidence.

3 Q Yeah. And so that would show that Larry Fisher
4 had some connection to Gail Miller, likely on the
5 morning of the murder, is that --

6 A That's fair, yes.

7 Q And that would be a link between Larry Fisher and
8 Gail Miller?

9 A Yes.

10 Q What would be some other types of links or
11 connections between a suspect and a murder that
12 you, as an investigating officer, would need?

13 A Well I suppose if you had some direct evidence,
14 like an eyewitness or possibly a fingerprint, or
15 something like that.

16 Q So if someone had said "I saw Larry Fisher in the
17 alley that morning" that would be a link to the
18 Gail Miller murder; is that correct?

19 A That would be a strong circumstance I would
20 suggest, yes, but it would -- it would certainly
21 be something that I think would be converted to
22 evidence.

23 Q Or if a witness said they saw Larry Fisher
24 stabbing Gail Miller; --

25 A That's right.



1 Q -- would that be a link between Larry Fisher and
2 the crime?

3 A That would, absolutely, yes.

4 Q And what about an admission by Larry Fisher that
5 he committed the crime; would that be --

6 A That would be evidence, yes.

7 Q Would that be something that would link him to the
8 crime?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And can you think of anything else that might be
11 evidence that would link Mr. Fisher to the crime,
12 any types of evidence? I'm not saying that they
13 did or didn't exist, but just some examples of
14 what you as a police officer might try and get to
15 link a suspect to the crime?

16 A Well I suppose the very things that was eventually
17 used, is the similarities of all the activities,
18 is something that could be, you know, be called
19 similar fact evidence, there is a potential for
20 that, and I -- that existed here.

21 Q And would that be something that would link, as an
22 investigating officer, would that be something
23 that would link Larry Fisher to the killing of
24 Gail Miller?

25 A Possibly. You know, I think there has to be some



1 interpretation of it, how much of a link there is
2 and how much weight you put on it, but it
3 certainly had potential.

4 Q And then the Minister carries on to say that:

5 "... no guilt or suspicion of guilt can
6 be attributed to Fisher in the absence
7 of some form of evidence linking him to
8 the crime."

9 And I think you've told us that you agreed with
10 the statement that there was no evidence linking
11 him to the crime but are you telling us that,
12 notwithstanding that, you still had suspicions of
13 guilt?

14 A When we talk about evidence versus suspicion, yes,
15 I had suspicion.

16 Q And so the fact that there was no evidence linking
17 him to the crime at that time, or linking him to
18 the killing of Gail Miller, you still had -- you
19 still felt that he was a suspect or you had
20 suspicions that he may have committed the crime;
21 is that correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And then the next page, 008418 -- and, again, I
24 think this is the reference in the letter about
25 the distance between the scene of the body and the



1 Trav-a-leer Motel, and I think that was what you
2 had driven and told to Mr. Williams; is that
3 correct?

4 A I -- I don't know if I talked 40 to 50 kilometres,
5 I don't know if I went in the specifics, but in
6 general terms yes.

7 Q And if we can go to the next page, and in the
8 concluding remarks the Minister states:

9 "Further, there is no reliable basis to
10 believe that Larry Fisher was connected
11 in any manner with Gail Miller's
12 death.";

13 do you agree with that statement at the time?

14 A Well, I really don't agree, you know. I had
15 suspicion, other people didn't I suppose, that
16 were assessing everything that they had, but I've
17 always had this gut feeling that there was
18 something wrong with Larry Fisher's involvement
19 here, and converting that to hard evidence was the
20 challenge. And saying that he was connected in
21 any manner, again, if you are talking about
22 "connected", I don't know if that means evidence
23 or what that means. But there was certainly
24 suspicion out there that he had potentially been
25 involved, at least in my mind, maybe not in the



1 mind of others. I mean everyone had very diverse
2 views, at this time, of what was really going on
3 here, but --

4 Q And again, apart from getting Mr. Fisher's
5 co-operation in a further polygraph -- and I think
6 you've talked about that, that you were still
7 pursuing at this time -- and you talked about the
8 covert undercover operations, was there anything
9 else, sir, that you think you could have done at
10 or around this time to further your investigation
11 to try and make -- move Larry Fisher from a
12 suspect to linking him to the death of Gail
13 Miller?

14 A Not that I can recall.

15 Q If we can then go to 008421, which --

16 (Discussion off the record)

17 BY MR. HODSON:

18 Q This next document, this is referred to in Mr.
19 Williams' letter to you of May 3rd, and he
20 encloses the report from Centurion Ministries.
21 And this document, I'm advised by counsel for
22 Joyce and David Milgaard that this document would
23 have been sent to Members of Parliament in
24 December of 1990, and so I don't see any record on
25 the file, Mr. Pearson, other than this letter of



1 May 3rd, 1991 where you got a copy of it. Do you
2 remember getting it prior to Mr. Williams sending
3 it to you?

4 A This?

5 Q Yes?

6 A I don't remember this, but --

7 Q Yeah.

8 A I have a hard time reading it, but --

9 Q Yeah, we'll go to a couple of pages here, and
10 again I think we know that May of 1991 you got a
11 copy of it; right?

12 A I believe so, yeah.

13 Q Yeah. If we could go to page 008425, please,
14 and -- and, again, this is a report by the
15 Centurion Ministries, and I believe it's Paul
16 Henderson and James McCloskey, and you were aware
17 that Centurion Ministries were involved in
18 assisting Joyce Milgaard and David Milgaard during
19 this time frame; is that correct?

20 A I was aware that they were out there doing some
21 investigation, yes.

22 Q And it says:

23 "On top of all of this evidence ...",
24 and which I don't propose to go through:

25 "... investigators on behalf of the



1 Milgaard's have, with the help of the
2 CBC in Winnipeg, identified the person
3 who is most likely the true killer in
4 this case.",

5 and then it goes on to talk about Larry Fisher.
6 And, again, did you ever have any contact or
7 discussion with either the CBC Winnipeg or the
8 Centurion Ministries during 1990?

9 A No.

10 Q If we can go to the next page, it goes on to talk
11 about:

12 "In summary then, not only has the
13 Milgaard application eliminated all of
14 the incriminating evidence tendered by
15 the Crown at the trial, it has also
16 offered a very viable explanation as to
17 who actually committed this crime."

18 I want to ask you just about this latter part, I
19 don't want to ask you to comment on the first
20 part about the incriminating evidence against
21 David Milgaard, but as far as -- and let me put
22 it this way; if it wasn't, at this time if it
23 wasn't David Milgaard who killed Gail Miller,
24 would Larry Fisher at the time, February 1991
25 based on what you had investigated, was he a



1 viable explanation as to who might have actually
2 committed the crime?

3 A In 199 --

4 Q '91.

5 A Yes.

6 Q I now want to move into the time frame regarding
7 the second application, and if we could go to
8 056796, and this goes back to your chronology, and
9 I think the previous, just to give you an idea of
10 where we're at, if we can go to the previous page,
11 this is August, '91, this is the December, 1990
12 note that I read to you earlier.

13 A Okay.

14 Q And then if we can just go back one previous
15 page -- no, back to 056794 -- here is the
16 reference to the May 2nd, 1991 discussion with
17 Carl Karp and Cecil Rosner, both of CBC, and I
18 understand that CBC Winnipeg contacted you in, it
19 looks like May of 1991; is that correct?

20 A It would appear so, yes.

21 Q And we earlier looked at your notebook that had
22 the date May 2nd. Would that have been the first
23 time that these gentlemen would have talked to you
24 about the case?

25 A I'm just going by what I read. Yeah, I believe



1 so, yes.

2 Q And you state here, if we can just call out
3 paragraph 231, please, and it says:

4 "Carl Karp, co-author of a book on the
5 Milgaard case, apparently working with
6 Cecil Rosner, both of CBC, contacted me,
7 wishing the answers to certain answers
8 on the case. Karp stated Mr. Williams
9 had talked to him. I indicated to
10 Mr. Karp that in fairness to Milgaard it
11 would be inappropriate for me to comment
12 on this investigation as long as David
13 Milgaard and his counsel believe there
14 are legal channels still open to pursue
15 their case. Karp suggested to me that
16 as the Justice Minister had recently
17 ruled against the Milgaard application,
18 there should be no problem with me
19 commenting. I indicated to Karp that
20 shortly after the decision was made not
21 to reopen this case, Mr. Asper suggested
22 he would be further pursuing the matter
23 through the Sask Court of Appeal and
24 possibly the Federal Court of Canada. I
25 suggested to Karp that until I am



1 convinced that the Milgaard appeal
2 process is completely exhausted, I would
3 have no comment."

4 And would you have had a discussion with Mr.
5 Asper or did you read that in the media somewhere
6 about his intentions?

7 A It was probably a media thing I would assume.

8 Q So I take it --

9 A I'm just guessing here now.

10 Q And Karp and Rosner were looking to get you to
11 comment on the case; is that right?

12 A It appears to be that, yes.

13 Q If we could then skip ahead to the next page,
14 please, we then go to August -- the next page,
15 please -- we go to August 16th, 1991 and:

16 "Call received from Mr. Williams,
17 advising that a second Application under
18 Section 690 has been filed. This is
19 pursuing "similar fact" evidence
20 concerning Fisher's activities. In
21 addition to the victims we know of, the
22 similar fact package also includes an
23 offence which took place at Round Lake
24 on 08 May 68, the victim being (V14)--
25 (V14) - ."



1 And I want to pause here. Again,
2 Mr. Commissioner, last sittings I asked for a
3 publication ban on the name (V14)-- (V14)- and I
4 wish to reiterate that. We'll be dealing in a
5 second application with circumstances relating to
6 her and the publication ban I would like to be on
7 her name, her family member names and anything
8 that might tend to identify her or her family
9 members or her residence, anything of that
10 nature, and again we will ensure that in the
11 transcript and on the Commission web site that
12 her name and family member names and anything
13 that might identify her will be removed, but if I
14 could also ask for a media ban on publication,
15 and let me also add that as I've done in previous
16 publication bans, if any media outlet believes
17 that, or wishes to be heard on the ban or the
18 scope of the ban, they can simply advise me and I
19 will arrange for them to have an opportunity to
20 be heard on it.

21 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thanks. The ban
22 is continued on those terms.

23 BY MR. HODSON:

24 Q Thank you. And it goes on to -- and I take it up
25 until this point, this incident involving



1 Ms. (V14)- had not been part of anything you had
2 investigated; is that right?

3 A That's right.

4 Q And it says:

5 "The suspect in this case was a knife
6 wielding part Native individual wearing
7 work boots, work clothes and with long
8 hair. The inference is being made that
9 the suspect is Fisher, who may have been
10 employed on the construction job near
11 (V14)---- at the time. Based on the
12 information provided by Williams, I
13 placed telephone calls to S/Sgt. (V14)--
14 and Sgt. (V14), both of whom were RCMP
15 officers in (V14)---- in the 1960's,
16 1970's. They could not assist but
17 ex-S/Sgt. (V14), now of Meadow Lake,
18 Sask., was there at the time. I will
19 contact him to see what he can recall
20 about this particular investigation."

21 And a little bit later, Mr. Pearson, I'll be
22 taking you through some of the work you did on
23 that. So at this time it looks as though you
24 became aware, August 16th, that a second
25 application had been filed and dealing with



1 similar fact evidence; is that right?

2 A It seems to be, yes.

3 Q And can you tell us again, and I appreciate you
4 are not the one receiving the application and
5 dealing with it from the Federal Justice level,
6 but what -- did you understand that this was
7 something different than what you had looked at on
8 the first application?

9 A I think it was an extension of it, you know. The
10 similar fact development was not something that
11 had been done in the first application, it was not
12 something that was ever requested of me, it's not
13 something that I initiated, I think believing,
14 rightfully or wrongfully, that there was no link
15 between Larry Fisher and the Miller homicide,
16 but -- so the similar fact was I guess more of an
17 in-depth analysis of all of the crimes that Larry
18 Fisher had committed that would tend to point
19 towards his involvement in the Miller one.

20 Q Okay. And let me understand this, because I think
21 in the first application and what you did there,
22 you looked at the previous offences; is that
23 correct, committed by Larry Fisher?

24 A Through his criminal records, yes.

25 Q And putting aside the (V14)- incident for a



1 moment, this one is new, but the previous seven
2 assaults, or crimes, being the two in Winnipeg,
3 the four in Saskatoon and (V10) (V10)-, had you
4 not looked at all of those and the circumstances
5 in assessing whether Larry Fisher was a suspect in
6 the murder of Gail Miller?

7 A File material wasn't available on some of them.
8 File material from the Winnipeg offences was, you
9 know, it was destroyed with the exception of what
10 was on the penitentiary file, so there was some
11 information there, and the (V10) (V10)- file was
12 completely intact and there was a review of those
13 files.

14 Q And this morning, Mr. Pearson, I think we went
15 through a note where you and Mr. Williams had a
16 call where you were discussing the modus operandi
17 of these offences and the weapon and trying to
18 compare them to the Gail Miller murder; is that
19 correct?

20 A Yes, that's right, yes.

21 Q And so what would be different now in the second
22 application, what would you be doing on this in
23 pursuing similar fact evidence that you didn't
24 pursue on the first application?

25 A Well, I think it was doing probably an in-depth



1 analysis of all the details on the file by someone
2 who is trained in the business of doing this and
3 putting together a similar fact line between all
4 the crimes that were committed, so it was just
5 moving it to another level.

6 Q And the objective would be what?

7 A To determine if this could be converted to
8 evidence which would associate Larry Fisher to
9 Gail Miller's murder.

10 Q And why wouldn't you have done this then on the
11 first application?

12 A I guess possibly because I was under the
13 impression that we had to make a link and I just
14 didn't jump from using it as suspicion to
15 converting it to evidence.

16 Q And so did you, and again let me back up, in
17 general investigations as a police officer did you
18 rely in some cases on similar fact evidence to
19 build a case against a suspect?

20 A Well, I can't say I had a whole lot of experience
21 in it, but I knew circumstantial evidence and
22 similarities did exist as potential evidence, yes.

23 Q And I take it in some cases then where you could
24 establish that a suspect or an accused had
25 committed previous criminal acts, that in some



1 cases the Court might allow the circumstances of a
2 previous crime to be admitted to the Court or the
3 jury to try and establish that the accused had
4 committed another crime; is that -- was that your
5 understanding? Is that --

6 A I believe in general terms, but I was also, maybe
7 my mind was in the place of looking at trying to
8 make a link, maybe more of a direct link is maybe
9 where I was at at the time.

10 Q And when you say direct link, something in the
11 commission of the other offences or the manner or
12 the modus operandi that would cause you to do
13 what? I'm --

14 A No, I think I was thinking more of looking at
15 something that would put Larry Fisher in some way
16 in contact or being involved in the death of Gail
17 Miller and the similar fact analysis was something
18 that I didn't pursue.

19 Q And on the first application, and I think we went
20 through, would it be fair to say that you did look
21 at his other offences, though, and some of the --

22 A Oh, yes.

23 Q -- modus operandi?

24 A Yes, and I think again his criminal record, it was
25 the basis of the suspicion that wouldn't go away.



1 Q And scroll down to 238 which is August 19th:

2 "Mr. Williams called and discussed
3 whether the stats from the annual
4 statistical report of the City Police
5 concerning sex offences should be
6 gathered to determine if there were
7 other weapon offences during rapes and
8 sexual assaults of women during 1968,
9 1969 and 1970. Or was Fisher's M.O.
10 somewhat unique during those years in
11 Saskatoon?"

12 And can you explain the relevance of getting that
13 information?

14 A That was something Mr. Williams initiated and I
15 think he was doing a statistical review or
16 analysis to look at how unique this was compared
17 to others. As I recall, if I'm correct here, he
18 made a request through me, but I also think he
19 went directly to Chief Penkala of the city police
20 and initiated this on his own, but I think it kind
21 of went through both sides, mine as well as the
22 city police. That's my recollection.

23 Q And then again a couple of days later, August 21:

24 "Had a telephone discussion with
25 Williams and we again talked of how to



1 gain the support of Fisher to attempt a
2 proper polygraph examination. It was
3 agreed that the only approach we could
4 pursue with any success would be to
5 include retired counsel Harold Pick who
6 in the past has been very supportive.
7 Mr. Williams will be contacting Mr. Pick
8 direct in British Columbia."

9 And so I take it then that you were back on
10 trying to get Mr. Fisher to undergo another
11 polygraph; is that fair?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And then at paragraph 240:

14 "At this time Mr. Williams also revealed
15 that the report from Centurion
16 Ministries suggested that a source in
17 the Saskatoon Police Department provided
18 certain material which is not officially
19 released. This portion of the report
20 was vetted out and the identity is
21 unknown. Mr. Williams is also
22 interested in securing any old police
23 reports on the Saskatoon victims of
24 Larry Fisher. It should be noted this
25 had been pursued previously and I have



1 been told by the City Police officials
2 that these reports no longer exist."

3 So just back up, and again I'll be getting to the
4 application in a moment, would this have been
5 your first information about either the Centurion
6 Ministries or the Milgaards having a source in
7 the Saskatoon Police Department?

8 A Yes, I believe so.

9 Q And is that something, and again I'll take you
10 through the documents later, but that's something
11 you spent some time pursuing in the course of your
12 investigation?

13 A Yes, I did, yeah.

14 Q And then you talk here about Mr. Williams asking
15 you to secure any old police reports on the
16 Saskatoon victims of Larry Fisher.

17 "It should be noted this had been
18 pursued previously and I've been told by
19 the City Police officials that these
20 reports no longer exist."

21 So again, that was something that you had pursued
22 in your first, in the first application; is that
23 correct?

24 A Yes, yes.

25 Q Go to the next page, 797, please, and then August



1 21:

2 "Courier service delivered the
3 Application under Section 690."

4 So if we could then go to 008427 -- I think the
5 doc ID is 008400, but go to page 427 -- and I
6 believe this is what was forwarded to you by Mr.
7 Williams, this is the second application, and
8 would you have reviewed this at some point, Mr.
9 Pearson?

10 A I believe I would have, yes.

11 Q And it talks about the February 27th letter and
12 then the letter states:

13 "In the interim we have not held back in
14 our efforts to firmly establish David
15 Milgaard's innocence and there have been
16 some startling revelations. When we
17 first made our application the
18 suggestion that Larry Fisher was the
19 perpetrator was not the main thrust and
20 we were at that time advised by your
21 Department that there were no police
22 reports available on past offences of
23 Mr. Fisher. Whereas we suggested there
24 was a distinct pattern and although the
25 similarities were never placed before



1 you, we accepted that we were at that
2 time at a dead end."

3 Let me just pause there. As far as police
4 reports on past offences of Mr. Fisher, I think
5 we went through, Mr. Pearson, that you would have
6 had the Fort Garry police reports from Mr.
7 Fisher's penitentiary files; is that correct?

8 A Yes.

9 Q I think they were incomplete?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And as well you had testified that you had the
12 (V1)--- (V1)-, I think there was 11 pages from
13 (V1)--- (V1)-; is that correct, on the first
14 application?

15 A As I recall, yes.

16 Q But that was all, there was no records of the
17 other three?

18 A That's how I recall it.

19 Q And the (V10) (V10)- file, I think you told us
20 that you had the entire file?

21 A I had possession of that file, yes, for a while.
22 I returned it.

23 Q And what about the statement here that although
24 the similarities were never placed before you, is
25 that -- do you have any recollection during the



1 course of the first application this issue coming
2 up about putting forward the similarities between
3 these offences and doing an analysis of that?

4 A I was never asked to do an analysis. The
5 statistical information that was requested, again,
6 I don't know if the statistical information was on
7 the first or the second application, I'm not just
8 too clear, but as far as doing a similarity review
9 or putting together a similarity package as was
10 shown up on the second application, I don't
11 remember ever having a discussion with Mr.
12 Williams over that.

13 Q Okay. Maybe just scroll down. What about with
14 Mr. Asper, was that ever something you discussed
15 with him?

16 A I don't think I ever discussed it with Mr. Asper.

17 Q And did you have any discussions with Mr. Wolch on
18 the first application?

19 A No.

20 Q This paragraph, and the letter goes on to say:

21 "Once your decision was brought to our
22 attention we were determined to proceed
23 further in ascertaining Larry Fisher's
24 possible guilt and we were somewhat
25 surprised to learn that there was ample



1 material available, including at least
2 one police report concerning previous
3 victims of Fisher. Centurion
4 Ministries, Inc. is a non-profit
5 organization from Princeton, New Jersey,
6 whose purpose is to work on behalf of
7 those who it believes may have been
8 wrongly convicted. It has freed 8
9 wrongly convicted people in the United
10 States. The founder of Centurion, Jim
11 McCloskey, advised that we should
12 interview each of Fisher's victims. We
13 agreed and Centurion investigators
14 developed a startling profile showing
15 the similarity of all of Fisher's
16 attacks and of that committed against
17 Gail Miller. I am enclosing statements
18 of the victims and a summary of the
19 findings which contain the striking
20 similar acts that would be admissible in
21 a trial against Larry Fisher and would
22 have been admissible in David Milgaard's
23 trial if the information had been
24 known."

25 And so again, is this what you understood then at



1 the time, Mr. Pearson, as being the similar fact
2 evidence that the Federal Minister was being
3 asked to consider in the second application?

4 A Yes, I believe that's correct.

5 Q And again I don't want to dwell on this, but is
6 this something that you had not done at least in
7 detail in the first application?

8 A It was not done in detail to present in this form,
9 no, it wasn't.

10 Q And then the next page, the letter states, or the
11 application states:

12 "Another startling development has been
13 the fact that four women who were
14 brutalized by Larry Fisher did not know
15 that anyone had been charged, let alone
16 convicted, of the vicious attacks upon
17 them. It is our belief that had the
18 arrest of Larry Fisher been brought to
19 anyone's attention it would have cast
20 severe doubts on the Milgaard
21 conviction. To this date there does not
22 seem to be any reasonable explanation as
23 to why Fisher's Saskatoon charges were
24 concluded in Regina and why the victims
25 were never notified. They have lived in



1 fear and it is absolutely inexcusable."

2 In the first application, Mr. Pearson, I don't
3 believe you talked to any of the victims of Larry
4 Fisher's sexual assaults; is that correct?

5 A That's correct, yes.

6 Q And why didn't you?

7 A Because we weren't looking at it as a similar fact
8 investigation and I think again we're talking
9 about looking at making a link between Larry
10 Fisher and Gail Miller and I believe the mind-set
11 was that none of these offences were related to
12 the death of Gail Miller.

13 Q Related in what sense?

14 A They weren't related in that they didn't link
15 Larry to the crime.

16 Q Okay. So to make sure I understand this, you have
17 told us on a couple of occasions the fact that
18 Larry Fisher committed rapes and the nature of the
19 rapes caused you to view him as a suspect?

20 A That's right.

21 Q And are you now saying that the details of the
22 rapes and the victims and that type of information
23 was never relevant to what you were looking at on
24 the first application?

25 A It wasn't pursued as making a similarity between



1 all of the offences based on the fact that I
2 suppose some of the information wasn't there and
3 the witnesses, or the victims were not pursued,
4 but that wasn't something that I recall ever being
5 a focus of a task that I was given or thought of
6 doing.

7 Q So on the first application do you recall whether
8 that was something you may have suggested to
9 Mr. Williams or considered doing, was talking to
10 the victims?

11 A I don't recall suggesting it to Mr. Williams and I
12 don't recall him ever tasking me with something
13 like that.

14 Q And again, in the first application when you are
15 looking at Larry Fisher as a suspect and trying to
16 find a link to the Gail Miller murder, did you at
17 that time consider that the victims of his
18 assaults may have had information that might have
19 assisted you?

20 A At this time?

21 Q In the first application.

22 A I don't believe -- I don't believe we went there,
23 not on purpose, it's just that I don't think it
24 was ever something that we considered as having
25 evidence of value.



1 Q So again back to the second application, the
2 letter states:

3 "In light of the above I would ask you
4 to treat this letter as a fresh
5 application to reopen the Milgaard case.
6 It is our view that had you been aware
7 of these additional developments your
8 decision would not have been the same.
9 We are not asking you to declare David
10 Milgaard innocent, as obvious as it may
11 be. We are simply asking that you have
12 an impartial tribunal adjudicate this
13 matter with full disclosure and with Mr.
14 Milgaard being properly represented."

15 So let me ask this, after -- this is the second
16 application. Did you think then, Mr. Pearson,
17 and I'll go through this, but you had some
18 further involvement in the second application
19 doing some further investigations; is that
20 correct?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And in your mind, sir, were you doing something
23 different on the second application than you were
24 doing on the first application?

25 A Well, it was now focusing on the similarities of



1 the crimes that were committed by Larry Fisher in
2 view of developing it into new evidence as opposed
3 to suspicion.

4 Q Go to the next page, please, and again this is
5 accompanying the letter, and this is the actual
6 formal application submitted by Mr. Wolch and Mr.
7 Asper. Go to the next page. And I'll go through
8 parts of this, the summary of the Centurion
9 Ministries investigation, and then the victim
10 reports, and so we will see, if we can just call
11 out the victim reports, the (V14)- one of May,
12 1968 is new, the next four are the Saskatoon
13 offences for which Mr. Fisher was convicted in
14 Regina in 1971, the two Fort Garry/Winnipeg
15 offences and the (V10) (V10)- 1980 matter. So
16 other than the (V14)- matter, the rest of these
17 assaults were known to you on the first
18 application; is that correct?

19 A They were known and some of the file material was
20 missing and some was complete and some were
21 partial, yes.

22 Q Next, go to the next page, and this is part of the
23 application, this is an August 6, 1991 memo from
24 the family of Gail Miller to David Asper where the
25 family says:



1 "We feel there is reasonable doubt as to
2 the guilt of David Milgaard."

3 Do you recall being involved at all in following
4 up with the Miller family or pursuing this issue
5 at all?

6 A This issue or --

7 Q Yes. Not the Peggy Miller, but just the fact that
8 the Miller family was saying that they felt that
9 there was doubt, reasonable doubt as to the guilt
10 of David Milgaard, did that factor at all in
11 anything you did?

12 A I never approached the Miller family. The one
13 time I thought we should have, when inquiries were
14 being made by one of the brothers, but I think Mr.
15 Williams thought it was something we shouldn't do,
16 I think that's documented, but as far as the
17 Miller family doubting the guilt, that's not
18 something I pursued, about what doubts they had.

19 Q Go to the next page, please.

20 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: What was the doc
21 ID, please?

22 BY MR. HODSON:

23 Q Sorry, the doc ID is page 008431 and the doc ID is
24 008400, and again this is all part of the second
25 application, Mr. Pearson, that I think Mr.



1 Williams forwarded to you in August of 1991, and
2 as part of that there was a newspaper article of
3 Monday, February 3, 1969, this is four days after
4 the murder, and in this article it says:

5 "One of the possibilities being
6 investigated by the police is that the
7 attacker was a rapist who was active in
8 this city last fall."

9 And if we can go to 008, the next page -- let me
10 just show you a couple of others and then I'll
11 ask you a question. Again, this is a Saskatoon
12 wire story about Gail Miller's murder, February
13 4th, 1969, and it also refers to last fall police
14 said they -- if we can call out this part here,
15 please, it says:

16 "Last fall police said they believed one
17 man was responsible for two rapes and
18 one attempted rape of women on city
19 streets."

20 And then if we can go up to the top column of
21 that, and it says:

22 "Police are investigating the
23 possibility that the person who slashed
24 a 20-year-old nurses' aide to death
25 Friday may be the same person who



1 attacked three women here last fall."

2 And again just in looking at this similar fact
3 analysis, did the fact that, at least according
4 to these media reports, that the city police back
5 in 1969, at least according to the reports, and
6 indeed in some police reports, felt that there
7 might be a link, in other words, the person who
8 committed the three previous rapes may have been
9 the person who killed Gail Miller, where did that
10 factor into your analysis of matters?

11 A I can't -- I can't say I recall seeing these
12 documents, but I'm sure I had information like
13 this at the time, but again, you know, this all
14 created the suspicion, and at what point should
15 this have been pulled together and pursued as
16 similar fact, well, I guess that's open to
17 question.

18 Q Let me state it a bit differently, and I'm not
19 asking you to comment, at the present time I'm not
20 asking you to comment on what the city police did
21 or didn't do in 1969, but given the fact that it's
22 the (V1)-, (V2)-----, (V3)----- rapes that are
23 being referred to and certainly in the police
24 files -- and we've heard from some city police
25 officers that back in 1969, at least for a time in



1 the investigation one of the theories being
2 pursued by the police was that the person who
3 committed the three previous rapes, who at that
4 time was unknown, may be the same person who
5 killed Gail Miller, --

6 A Uh-huh.

7 Q -- in other words that the police were pursuing
8 that as a theory, okay, if you take that as the
9 evidence that we've heard; in your job in 1991,
10 when you are investigating this similar fact
11 evidence, in other words looking at whether or not
12 the offences committed by Larry Fisher are
13 strikingly similar, etcetera, to provide a link to
14 Gail Miller, to the murder, would the fact that
15 the police who originally investigated Gail
16 Miller's murder, that at least some of them
17 appeared to reach that conclusion or had that
18 theory at the time, would that be a factor that
19 would be relevant to your thinking?

20 A I think so, yes.

21 Q And it may be obvious, but if you can just explain
22 how and why?

23 A Well, because they are crimes that have some
24 similarity.

25 Q And again -- but the fact that the police, when



1 they investigated Gail Miller's death, may have
2 had that as a theory; would that be important in
3 --

4 A I think so, yes.

5 Q And do you recall, Mr. Pearson, whether you would
6 have looked into that in any great detail, what
7 the -- and I'm not suggesting you should have, I'm
8 just trying to find out whether you did --

9 A Well --

10 Q -- inquire of --

11 A Well, with the file material we had available, we
12 did review the material. We never put it into a
13 package as such but we definitely looked into the
14 various offences that Larry Fisher was involved
15 in, the file material that we had, but we did not
16 take it the next step by going to pursue the
17 victims. And --

18 Q No, let's talk, I'm sorry, about the second
19 application then. Again, you've now got the
20 second application that says "look at the striking
21 similarities between these offences"; do you have
22 a recollection whether the police reports from
23 1969 on the Gail Miller file, and the fact that
24 the city police at that time may have connected
25 them, whether that was part of your analysis?



1 A You know, I -- I just don't recall that.

2 Q Okay. The next page, if we go to 008436. The
3 next page, to 008436, so this is *A Summary* -- this
4 is the, for the record, *The Centurion Ministries*
5 *Investigation Into the Crimes of Larry Fisher*, and
6 in Mr. Wolch's letter that I read I think the
7 language he used is that he says -- and I'm
8 reading from, you don't have to call it up, it's
9 008427, he says:

10 "We agreed and Centurion Investigators
11 developed a startling profile showing
12 the similarity of all of Fisher's
13 attacks and of that committed against
14 Gail Miller. I'm enclosing statements
15 of the victims and a summary of the
16 findings ..."

17 And so, again, this is the package put together
18 by the Centurion Ministries and, again, I think
19 I've asked you this on previous occasions at
20 various times and you indicated you had not
21 contacted or talked to Mr. Henderson or
22 Mr. McCloskey up until certain dates; did you
23 ever talk to Mr. Henderson or Mr. McCloskey at
24 any time about anything they were doing and
25 anything you were doing?



1 A No, I didn't.

2 Q And was there any reason you didn't initiate
3 contact with them to find out what it was that
4 they had come up with?

5 A Well they were -- no, there is no real reason that
6 I know of why we didn't, it's just that they were
7 -- I didn't really know who they were, I knew they
8 were investigating out there on behalf of Mr.
9 Milgaard and Mr. Asper and Wolch, and that they
10 were dealing with the Federal Justice Department
11 on their interest under 690.

12 Q And if we can go to the next page, and I'll just
13 try and identify these. The first one would be --
14 they have victim numbers, the first one is (V14)-,
15 if we can call that out; victim, age, place, date,
16 disposition, May 7, '68, 17-23 year old, the
17 police told victim Milgaard did it, 2 years later
18 unsolved. And then it goes on to talk about
19 weapon and its use, MO, and then other here, wore
20 work boots, about 5'5", long dirty hair, part
21 native, and again this would be a summary. Just
22 scroll down, I don't propose to go through all of
23 them. The dates -- the next one, October '68,
24 would be the (V1)- incident. And, again, this
25 would be a summary, I take it Mr. Pearson, of the



1 various dates and particulars that the Centurion
2 Ministries put together?

3 A Yes, it looks like they were putting together an
4 easy-to-follow grouping, similarity.

5 Q If we can go to -- we'll come back to this
6 document a bit later -- if we can go to 001002 --
7 actually, before I go to that, this might be an
8 appropriate spot to break, there is a document I
9 need to just check with Mr. Frayer on, this might
10 be --

11 *(Adjourned at 2:53 p.m.)*

12 *(Reconvened at 3:12 p.m.)*

13 BY MR. HODSON:

14 Q Mr. Pearson, the application was filed August
15 14th, 1991, that was the date of the second
16 application, and I'm going to show you a couple of
17 newspaper articles at around that time, won't go
18 through them all. But 226932, and this is a *Globe*
19 *and Mail* article August 17th, 1991, which would be
20 three days after, and it talks about *U.S. man*
21 *begins new bid to reopen murder case*, and I just
22 want to read you from this article and one other
23 article and then ask you a question. Call out
24 that part, please, it says:

25 "An American who works to free prisoners



1 he considers wrongly convicted has
2 petitioned Canada's Minister of Justice
3 to reopen the case of David Milgaard
4 ...",

5 and then talks about James McCloskey and
6 attributes a quote to him about which there was
7 evidence, quote:

8 "... "that so clearly demonstrates the
9 innocence of the convicted, and on the
10 other side of the same coin, that so
11 compellingly and clearly identifies and
12 proves who the real killer is."

13 And then next if I could call up 325149, if we
14 could just turn that sideways or get everybody to
15 turn their head, one or the other -- oh, there we
16 go. This is an article, again the same date in
17 the *StarPhoenix* by James Parker, and again it
18 attributes the quote:

19 ""It is overwhelmingly apparent that
20 Larry Fisher is the killer of Gail
21 Miller.""",

22 and that is attributed to Mr. McCloskey. And,
23 again, there are other articles that come at this
24 time. And again we spent, when I went through
25 the first application, Mr. Pearson, about media



1 reports, do you have a recollection -- again on
2 the second, when you were dealing with the second
3 application -- again, there being media interest
4 in what was happening?

5 A Yes.

6 Q And, again, I want your reaction to
7 Mr. McCloskey's statement that is attributed to
8 him in the paper that the evidence -- and I
9 believe that's the similar fact analysis:

10 "... that ... compellingly and clearly
11 identifies and proves who the real
12 killer is.",

13 were the words he used. And, again, can -- what
14 was your view on that assertion?

15 A I don't remember. I don't remember this article
16 in particular, but just in general, in general
17 terms I certainly never felt that there was
18 anything that proved anything at that point. And,
19 you know, to make statements that there is proof
20 out there that Larry Fisher was responsible, I
21 just think that -- I don't think he really
22 possessed the evidence to say that, but maybe in
23 his heart and his mind he believed that.

24 Q And again I draw a distinction between what
25 someone thinks, but to state that the -- that this



1 proves that Mr. Fisher committed the murder, did
2 you ever reach that conclusion --

3 A No.

4 Q -- in the work that you did?

5 A No, I don't think I proved anything as far as
6 guilt or innocence of anyone.

7 Q And as far as your work then on the second
8 application in pursuing this similar fact
9 analysis -- and again we'll see reference to
10 trying to get Mr. Fisher to conduct a second
11 polygraph -- but, again, are you able to tell us
12 the extent to which media coverage of this nature
13 either helped or hindered your work as an
14 investigator on the second application?

15 A You know, I just -- I just can't recall, you know,
16 I can't recall the various stories to kind of get
17 a sense of what was going on at the time, just --
18 you know, I think putting, putting the name Larry
19 Fisher out in the public without having him
20 charged didn't do anything for the investigation
21 into him as far as I was concerned. It may have
22 certainly brought it to the public's attention for
23 the influence that it obviously had but, you know,
24 I don't know what more I can say.

25 Q Did you feel some pressure that you had to charge



1 Mr. Fisher or arrest Mr. Fisher in light of what
2 was being stated publicly, that he was the killer?

3 A Well I was operating under 690. This, this was
4 information that was really passed to Justice, but
5 I never ever felt pressured to go and arrest him,
6 I never felt there was any grounds to go and
7 arrest him, I never felt that there was any
8 grounds to charge him, and that's not something
9 that I ever seriously considered.

10 Q Okay. If we could go back to 056797, again this
11 just carries on on the chronology, August 22nd,
12 1991 you:

13 "Called Insp. Quinn ... asking if he
14 could give the cases where weapons were
15 used in sex offences or if he could give
16 details of sex offences for the years
17 1968, 1969, and 1970.",

18 and Quinn said that he would search their system.
19 And we touched on this a bit earlier, was this
20 part of, or information that you would throw into
21 the mix in looking at the similar fact analysis?

22 A Umm, yes. This is more of, I think, a statistical
23 analysis but this was, I believe, the one
24 requested by Mr. Williams, and I think this may be
25 the one that he had contacted Chief Penkala on, I



1 believe.

2 Q Well we'll see the document later --

3 A Okay.

4 Q -- where the information comes back. I'm trying
5 to understand how this would fit into looking at
6 Larry Fisher's previous assaults and the Gail
7 Miller murder; where would it fit in on her
8 attack?

9 A This was statistical, this was something that I
10 believe was of interest to Mr. Williams, and I,
11 you know, I just don't have much recall of what
12 value this had to me. I really can't tell you
13 more.

14 Q And then it says, this is again your call with
15 Inspector Quinn:

16 "I also provided him with the names of
17 victims (V5)---, (V2)-----, (V1)-, and
18 (V3)-----, with supporting data,
19 asking him to again search for any
20 possible file material on these
21 investigations."

22 And I believe you have told us, sir, that that
23 was something you had asked for on the first
24 application; is that correct?

25 A Yes, I was back and forth with the city police on



1 a weekly basis, and I was in contact via phone
2 very often on a lot of different things so, you
3 know, there was just lots of discussion had taken
4 place.

5 Q If we go down to -- and now the date here I'm not
6 -- and just scroll up for a moment. I'm not sure
7 if anything turns on this, whether it's the 22nd
8 or the 19th or the 23rd, I don't think it matters,
9 but there was a call here:

10 "Mr. Williams and I had a discussion on
11 the phone. We had previously discussed
12 comments made in the Application that
13 Fisher had appeared in Court in Regina."
14 And let me just pause there, Mr. Pearson. I have
15 read you Mr. Wolch's letter, the application to
16 the Minister, where he talked about the fact that
17 Mr. Fisher was convicted in Regina for the
18 Saskatoon offences, and I think he said that was
19 unusual, and that the victims weren't notified;
20 do you remember seeing that in the letter?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And it says:

23 "The suggestion is that City Police
24 quietly had Fisher taken to Court,
25 knowing he may have been involved in the



1 Miller offence. Mr. Williams will be
2 pursuing this further and apparently has
3 since talked with Sask. AAG Murray Brown
4 and the record suggests this was a
5 preferred indictment brought in by the
6 Provincial A.G., as Fisher was
7 represented by lawyer Lawrence Greenberg
8 of Winnipeg and the Regina appearance
9 was apparently a convenience. I have no
10 explanation why the victims were never
11 advised of the fact Fisher had been
12 convicted for these attacks. This
13 remains a mystery at this time."

14 Just pause there. Can you tell us, Mr. Pearson,
15 what was your reaction when you heard about or
16 found out about how Mr. Fisher was dealt with for
17 the four Saskatoon offences, and in particular
18 his appearance in Regina by direct indictment;
19 did that cause you any concern?

20 A You know, it really didn't. I know there's been a
21 lot made of this, and why he would have gone to
22 Regina, but, you know, I do believe back in those
23 days there was a, you know, a movement between
24 provinces that probably went to a central location
25 to dispose of charges from outside the province,



1 and that, that part didn't really cause me any
2 alarm. And I know it did for a lot of people but,
3 you know, the suggestion being made here that
4 created some -- some, I suppose, suspicion. But
5 did I think it was really unusual that he had --
6 you know, he was a federal penitentiary -- in a
7 federal penitentiary, and he was going to dispose
8 of some charges, and he was taken to Regina I'm
9 assuming by plane from the institution, that
10 really didn't alarm me.

11 Q And in your dealings in the course of your
12 investigation on both the first and the second
13 application did you ever come across anything
14 relating to this matter that you felt was
15 suspicious or unusual in the way in which the
16 police or any other authorities dealt with Larry
17 Fisher in the 1971 Regina convictions?

18 A Well I suppose the only, the only issue is again
19 going back to why the victims were never advised,
20 and they seem -- it seemed to have all stopped and
21 dropped and in some cases there were no files. No
22 files in itself didn't really alarm me, based on
23 the fact that it was 20 years later, but later on
24 as this evolved I suppose you had to start asking
25 yourself, you know, was -- was there more that,



1 you know, that these people were never advised,
2 the victims were never advised.

3 Q And just focusing on that for a moment, did you
4 find that to be unusual?

5 A Well again, I don't know what the policy was of
6 the Saskatoon Police Service at that time, but I
7 know in my own history and in my organization,
8 that was one of the requirements before the file
9 was concluded, was that the complainant be
10 advised. And I believe it was actually a policy
11 way, way back in the '60s, and that was as a
12 supervisor one of the things that you always
13 ensured or you tried to monitor, was that the
14 complainant of any kind of complaint was advised
15 as to what the results were.

16 Q And are you able to --

17 A But, again, I was in a different organization so I
18 don't know what their policy was, if there was
19 one, but it was something that, in the RCMP, we
20 monitored.

21 Q And did you ever consider, I mean I appreciate
22 your comment about that's not how you had worked
23 on files, but apart from that did you have any
24 suspicions or concerns that the failure to notify
25 the victims may have been in some way connected



1 with some wrongdoing on the part of the police or
2 authorities related to David Milgaard?

3 A No. No.

4 Q Now were you ever asked, as part of your work on
5 the second application, were you -- were you asked
6 to investigate this issue of where Larry Fisher
7 was charged and where he appeared and the transfer
8 just to Regina, etcetera; was that part of what
9 you were looking at?

10 A No, not that I recall.

11 Q So, again, your comments and observations would be
12 based upon what you knew at the time?

13 A Repeat, I'm sorry?

14 Q So I'm just -- as far as your observations about
15 what, what transpired, would these just be what --
16 based on what you --

17 A Just my reaction to it, yes.

18 Q And what you learned at the time in discussions
19 with Mr. Williams and others?

20 A Yes, yes.

21 Q Scroll down to 245:

22 "Mr. Williams and I discussed the stats
23 re: rapes and other sex offences for the
24 years 1967, 68, 69 and 70, and that
25 attempts should be made to try and



1 determine what weapons were used. We
2 also discussed whether the Miller family
3 should be approached to determine if
4 there were any questions they had of the
5 Justice Department, in view of the
6 publicity surrounding this process.
7 Williams wishes to think about the
8 appropriateness of this."

9 And are you able to tell us what -- was that
10 something you raised with him, that the Miller
11 family should be contacted, or can you elaborate?

12 A It might be more detailed in my actual notes, but
13 I think this may have come through -- one of the
14 brothers, I think, had made some inquiries about
15 why I was inquiring about Peggy Miller.

16 Q Yes.

17 A And I'm just not sure about the time frame here.
18 And I just felt that, you know, it was appropriate
19 at some point that somebody should be talking to
20 this family or giving them some information as to
21 what was happening here.

22 Q And I think I can help you out, I think it was
23 Jack Miller who contacted Chief Penkala back in
24 late I think December of 1990, or perhaps a bit
25 later --



1 A Okay.

2 Q -- after you had contacted Peggy Miller. And so
3 was it a concern, just as a courtesy, or to let
4 them know what it was that you were doing?

5 A I -- I think for me it would have been more of a
6 courtesy, I mean they were the victim family and
7 there was a lot going on, and I just thought it
8 was something that --

9 Q And do you know if that was followed up on?

10 A Umm, no, I don't believe -- I never followed up on
11 it, and I don't know what the result was of Mr.
12 Williams' appropriateness of this, whether it's in
13 my notes, but I have no recollection of what
14 transpired after that.

15 Q If we could actually go down to the August 23rd:
16 "Mr. Williams called, stating some
17 development at his end preclude contact
18 with the Millers at this time, advising
19 to put a hold on this move for now."

20 A All right.

21 Q So would it be back into Mr. Williams' court for
22 further dealing; is that fair?

23 A Yes, it would, it would appear that he has
24 suggested that some developments preclude this
25 from happening. I guess that's the answer to the



1 previous question.

2 Q And then you state:

3 "I also suggested to Mr. Williams the
4 possibility of conducting a
5 psychological profile of both the
6 Milgaard/Miller crime, as well as Fisher
7 and his victims. While I am not sure or
8 firm at this point what value that may
9 have, it is again a possible approach to
10 investigation. I related my rather
11 limited experience in what profiling is
12 all about, however, referred Mr.
13 Williams to our Force expert, Insp.
14 McKay, HQ Ottawa, who recently completed
15 a psychological profile understudy with
16 the FBI. Mr. Williams indicates he will
17 be making that contact."

18 And let me pause there. Again, would that be
19 your thoughts about what tools might be used in
20 the --

21 A Yes, that was just another, another potential
22 approach. And, again, I really didn't know very
23 much about this profiling, and I did know that we
24 had an Inspector in Ottawa who had training in
25 that area, and I thought he could maybe enlighten



1 Mr. Williams more as to what value that may have
2 to the task.

3 Q And then 247, it says:

4 "Yesterday I called our document expert
5 at the Regina Forensic Lab to determine
6 if it is possible to identify the
7 blacked out portion of the material
8 submitted."

9 And if I could call up 008457, and that's part of
10 doc. ID 008400, and this is the application --
11 and this is the statement -- maybe just go back
12 to the previous page -- this is part of the
13 application that was filed by Mr. Wolch on behalf
14 of David Milgaard, and this is part of the
15 Centurion Ministries' summary. And this is the
16 document regarding (V5)-- (V5)---. And if we can
17 go to the second page, and I believe this is Mr.
18 Henderson's summary, if we could call out that
19 paragraph it says:

20 "(This was the one case in which I was
21 given access to police reports."

22 And then a blacked out portion, and then another
23 blacked out portion, and then says:

24 "... and source for us on internal
25 police problems, somehow managed to get



1 into the department computer and obtain
2 the file. I reviewed it at his home but
3 was not allowed to keep the reports or
4 make copies of them."

5 And blacked out:

6 "... claimed that people in the
7 department are aware that he pulled up
8 the reports and said he'd be fired if
9 the brass found out he'd given them to
10 anyone. The file is quite thick and
11 documents an extensive investigative
12 effort by police ...",
13 etcetera. And so, again, would this be the
14 document, Mr. Pearson, that -- and I think we see
15 on August 23rd you start the process, and for
16 some time after, trying to find out who the
17 person is identified in Mr. Henderson's summary?

18 A Yes. Yes.

19 Q And, at that time, did you understand that that
20 was an individual who was a Saskatoon City Police
21 officer?

22 A I assumed that.

23 Q And what was your understanding of what this
24 person had access to or was doing?

25 A Well it would appear that they were, in this case,



1 a file that had gone out the back door, so to
2 speak, and was done without the knowledge of
3 anyone, and it's quite obvious here that the
4 person knew it was not the proper thing to do.

5 Q And what concerns would you have, again just in
6 your role as the person investigating this
7 application, with the issue that a Saskatoon City
8 Police officer is providing information to Mr.
9 Milgaard's investigator?

10 A Well there was a, you know, a couple of issues;
11 number one, of course the problem that the city
12 police were having with this leak, and I think
13 that should have been, you know, something they
14 were to be made aware of; and as well it started
15 to develop that, you know, there were files that
16 were missing, and I guess I started to think that,
17 you know, were these files missing part of what
18 this source was participating in, taking the files
19 from their system out into the public, I guess --
20 not the public, but to the interested party.

21 Q And we'll go back and deal with this a bit
22 further. If we can go back to 056798, and again
23 August 29th, '91. Now, Superintendent Goodman,
24 that was who you reported to in the RCMP?

25 A Yes. He was my boss in the office I was in.



1 Q So it says:

2 "Discussed with Supt. Goodman the pros
3 and cons of our advising City Police of
4 the reference in the Centurion
5 Ministries report of the potential leak
6 within their Department. I do not wish
7 to compromise the independent position
8 of my role in this inquiry and am
9 seeking further direction in this
10 regard. We agree that the applicable
11 portion of the report material should be
12 provided to the City Police for the
13 purpose of their internal examination of
14 potential leaks of file material by
15 their personnel."

16 And can you elaborate on what your concerns were
17 that you were discussing with Superintendent
18 Goodman?

19 A Well, I didn't want anything to interfere with the
20 relationship that I had with the police as far as
21 my examination of the Fisher/Miller/Milgaard
22 inquiries and I just felt that they should also be
23 aware that there was obviously a leak in their
24 department and that file material was being
25 provided to private investigators, so I just



1 thought that, you know, this should be dealt with
2 one way or another and I was just seeking the
3 advice of my superior to bounce it off him and
4 discuss it with him and decide how it should be
5 handled.

6 Q And in your view was there something wrong with a
7 Saskatoon city police officer providing this
8 information to non-police personnel?

9 A Well, yes, obviously.

10 Q And why was that?

11 A Well, it's private, secure, confidential
12 information that's not readily available to
13 private investigators and the general public and
14 there is a privacy issue.

15 Q And when you say, "I do not wish to compromise the
16 independent position of my role in this inquiry,"
17 what did you mean there?

18 A I just basically didn't want to get embroiled in
19 an internal investigation over this particular
20 issue when I already had the task of pursuing
21 other aspects on behalf of 690.

22 Q And then at paragraph 249 it looks as though you
23 then met with Inspector Quinn and Inspector Doell,
24 who is their internal investigator:

25 "I advised them of the material that I



1 had come into possession of. I did not
2 provide a report copy, however did
3 provide a word for word portion of what
4 was provided by Centurion investigators
5 so far as a source within the Department
6 leaking information. Insp. Doell
7 advised he would be opening a file and
8 pursuing this matter further."

9 And again, apart from -- it appears here that you
10 informed the city police about the leak. Let's
11 go back to the work that you were doing on the
12 second 690 application. Was it important to you
13 to find out who this person was and find out what
14 this person had, this source person?

15 A Yes, I think there was probably more than one
16 reason to determine who the source was, you know,
17 for the obvious internal leak, and also was there,
18 you know, other files that maybe had gone out the
19 door, you know, was this the only person doing it,
20 were some files associated to the victims that
21 were not available when we requested them, so
22 yeah, there were some issues I think.

23 Q Can we go down to paragraph 250, and it looks as
24 though there was a discussion about -- well, it
25 says:



1 "Williams and I discussed what may have
2 taken place between Fisher, Sask AG's
3 Department and the City Police. It
4 seems there was a statement obtained
5 from Fisher by Detective Karst dated 22
6 Oct 70 for the (V3)----- and (V5)---
7 offences. Later an Information was
8 laid, charging Fisher with four sex
9 related offences, the Information being
10 laid by Elmer Goa on 30 Dec 70, however
11 there is no record of Informations being
12 processed through Court. Between the
13 time Fisher was convicted for the
14 Winnipeg offences and having the
15 Saskatoon charges dealt with, there were
16 several pieces of correspondence between
17 what I believe Williams said was the
18 Sask AG's Department and Fisher's
19 lawyer, Mr. Greenberg of Winnipeg.
20 Williams and I discussed whether
21 Greenberg's firm would have any records
22 of value, however, it was agreed that
23 client/counsel privilege would not
24 permit access without permission, and
25 Williams advises Greenberg is apparently



1 disbarred. Williams further advises to
2 do nothing re: Greenberg, as it seems
3 there is direction coming to him from
4 his superiors which may prevent this
5 from being pursued; i.e., a more senior
6 person becoming involved at the Federal
7 Justice level. Williams is suggesting
8 there is some internal discussion in his
9 Department concerning who should be
10 initiating the investigation under the
11 second Application, Williams stating
12 that he may be relieved or assisting
13 another individual. I wait for
14 developments in this regard. My
15 position remains the same."

16 What did you mean by, "My position remains the
17 same"?

18 A Well, I just, it was -- nothing had changed for
19 me.

20 Q So regardless of who was working for Federal
21 Justice, you were going to continue to do the same
22 thing?

23 A I was prepared to work with anybody. It really
24 didn't change my position.

25 Q And I had asked you earlier about your



1 observations regarding how Mr. Fisher was dealt
2 with in Regina and it appears from the note I read
3 that this is where you had a discussion with Mr.
4 Williams and that he told you not to pursue it, or
5 at least not to pursue Mr. Greenberg further; is
6 that fair? Is that correct?

7 A Repeat the question, please?

8 Q Yes. I had asked you earlier about your knowledge
9 of and your observations as to whether you saw
10 anything unusual about the way in which Larry
11 Fisher pled guilty to the Saskatoon offences in
12 Regina and you provided us with your observations.
13 I then asked you what information you had and you
14 said, I think, words to the effect that it would
15 have been based on what you discussed with Mr.
16 Williams or observed, and thirdly you said that
17 you were not specifically tasked with the
18 responsibility of investigating that. Is that
19 correct?

20 A That's correct, yes.

21 Q And when I read this note from Williams just to
22 confirm that this isn't anything different than
23 what you've already told us; in fact, that's
24 consistent with what you told us?

25 A Yes.



1 Q Then if we can go back just, 001773, go to the
2 full page, this is a fax of August 30th, 1991 from
3 Mr. Williams to you, and if we can just go through
4 the next couple of pages, please, and these are
5 the informations from Mr. Goa, and then actually
6 go ahead to 001780 and this is the March 17th,
7 1971 letter, and I think the next page is the last
8 page, if I'm not mistaken, so it appears that
9 after your discussion with Mr. Williams about Mr.
10 Fisher's appearance in Regina, that Mr. Williams
11 sent you copies of some of the documents relating
12 to the informations and some of the correspondence
13 between Mr. Greenberg and the AG; is that correct?

14 A That's what it appears, yes.

15 Q Go to 056799, again this is the same discussion I
16 think with Mr. Williams, you say:

17 "I suggested to Mr. Williams our efforts
18 should focus mainly on Fisher. In view
19 of the publicity being directed at him
20 he may be motivated to prove his
21 innocence and be more aggressive in his
22 cooperation with us. If Fisher should
23 refuse to cooperate, then he should be
24 subjected to a no-nonsense interrogation
25 which may be our last opportunity to



1 discuss with him the criminal activity
2 he was involved in during the time of
3 the Miller murder. Contact will be made
4 with Mr. Pick to see if we can solicit
5 cooperation from him to hopefully gain
6 access to Fisher for another interview.
7 We have had difficulty in accessing
8 Fisher because of his incarceration."

9 So the first part of this, are you saying that
10 the publicity being directed at him, I take it
11 that would be in the media where people are
12 suggesting that Larry Fisher is the real killer
13 of Gail Miller; is that what you are referring
14 to?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And are you saying here that that may motivate him
17 to become more cooperative?

18 A Well, if he's maintaining his innocence with all
19 the pressure building on him, if he really is
20 innocent you would certainly think that he would
21 at some point want to start cooperating and
22 proving that.

23 Q Yeah. And if he's really guilty, what then was
24 your assessment of what impact the publicity would
25 have?



1 A Well, I really don't know, other than the fact
2 that, you know, he wasn't going to make some
3 confession to us I don't believe.

4 Q And then you talk about a no-nonsense
5 interrogation. What were you referring to there?

6 A Oh, I think I was overly optimistic that we were
7 somehow going to be able to really get him in a
8 position where we could give him an interrogation,
9 but really he was institutionalised and he had his
10 lawyer and I think maybe that was wishful
11 thinking.

12 Q Now, at this time had Mr. Pick retired do you
13 know?

14 A I believe so.

15 Q And so you were still contacting him because you
16 had a relationship with him?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And was he still willing to try and assist?

19 A As I recall, yes.

20 Q And then it looks like there were further efforts
21 to try and get a meeting with Mr. Fisher and as
22 well employment records, so picking up on some of
23 the things you were pursuing on the first
24 application?

25 A Well, it was just -- a lot of this stuff was,



1 like, going over it, you know, a number of times,
2 but that's really what seems to be happening here.

3 Q Then if we can scroll down, 253:

4 "Talked with Insp. Quinn of City Police,
5 who advised the (V4)---- (V4)--- file
6 has been found, as well the (V1)- file
7 has been found. Investigative reports
8 and original statements are available
9 for me to pick up."

10 So would this be when you first got the (V1)-
11 file or do you think --

12 A I don't know if that was a complete file or if
13 they had some more information on it, I really
14 don't know, and I'm not sure at this time frame
15 was the Police Commission looking into these
16 missing files at that time?

17 Q Yes, they were.

18 A Yeah, and maybe that was something that had
19 surfaced through their search over there that had
20 brought this into play. I'm speculating here.

21 Q Then again you talk about getting the Division
22 Criminal Analyst's office to discuss what
23 assistance they could provide in our efforts to
24 complete a "similar fact" analysis. Is that
25 correct?



1 A Yes.

2 Q If we could then go to 011903, please, and this is
3 your September 12th, '91 fax to officer in charge,
4 federal policing "F" division; is that right?

5 A Yes.

6 Q And you talk about, if we could just scroll down,
7 about a second application outlining similar fact
8 evidence, it suggests Larry Earl Fisher is the
9 person responsible for the murder of Gail Miller,
10 and then outlines:

11 "Larry Fisher was actively involved in a
12 series of "rape" offences in Saskatoon,
13 prior to and subsequent to the Miller
14 murder. There is a total of seven sex
15 related offences which Fisher is known
16 to have been involved in ..."

17 And then outlines them. And then on the next
18 page it talks about Fisher being interviewed:

19 "As the focus is now on Fisher and his
20 "similar M.O.", it is considered
21 necessary to analyze the records
22 available for similarities and
23 differences in his M.O. A comparison
24 will then be made of the similarities
25 and differences when Fisher's activities



1 are compared to the details of the Gail
2 Miller murder. It should be noted that
3 very few records exist for some of the
4 past offences, while others are pretty
5 well complete. The Milgaard file is
6 completely intact."

7 And then you conclude:

8 "The request is being made to have
9 Division Criminal Information Analytical
10 Services provide their expertise in this
11 analysis. This request is being made
12 after full consultation with the Federal
13 Justice Department investigating
14 counsel."

15 I take it that would be Eugene Williams?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And then down at the bottom, scroll down,
18 "Forwarded with my support," by your superior, and
19 then what does CIAS stand for, is that Criminal
20 Information Analytical Services?

21 A Yes, I believe so. I don't recall the exact --

22 Q So this would be your document within the RCMP
23 asking for one of the units to get involved in
24 doing this similar fact analysis?

25 A Yes.



1 Q And Mr. Williams had agreed with that request?

2 A Yes.

3 Q If we can go to 056800, here we are at the top,
4 September 12th, '91, and it looks as though
5 Mr. Pick had arranged to have Larry Fisher get his
6 blood type and the results were that he was blood
7 type A, and this appears, at least from the
8 records, Mr. Pearson, to be the first reference,
9 at least in the documents, to Mr. Fisher's blood
10 type. Does that accord with your recollection?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And again at that time you would have known that
13 blood type A was the blood type found in the
14 frozen semen that was collected near the murder
15 scene?

16 A Yes, yes.

17 Q Now, would that -- would that information, his
18 blood type, would that link Larry Fisher to the
19 Gail Miller murder?

20 A No, but it certainly didn't eliminate him from
21 being a suspect.

22 Q And 257:

23 "Williams and I discussed the (V4)---
24 case and in particular the news article
25 in the Globe and Mail dated August 11th



1 in which (V4)--- thought the photo of
2 Fisher looked like the person who
3 assaulted her. (V4)--- reported she had
4 been felt under her dress and the
5 assailant then departed. This incident
6 took place on the day of Miller's murder
7 at 7:07 a.m. on Avenue "H". (V4)---,
8 upon reading the newspaper article,
9 called her lawyer who in turn was able
10 to contact Mr. Asper and has since
11 provided a statement to them. We
12 discussed what further efforts could be
13 made to pursue Fisher. We talked of a
14 further polygraph examination and also
15 further interviews with (V4)--- and
16 (V14)-."

17 And again just on the -- you are familiar, sir,
18 with the (V4)---- (V4)--- incident?

19 A In --

20 Q In a general way?

21 A In a general way, yes.

22 Q And can you tell us what, at the time when you
23 were looking at Larry Fisher as a suspect, where
24 did the (V4)--- assault fit in, and in particular
25 (V4)---- (V4)---'s statements in 1991 that she



1 believed it was Larry Fisher who assaulted her at
2 7:07 a.m. on Avenue H on the morning of January
3 31?

4 A Well, when this surfaced, as I recall we had,
5 through her lawyer in Toronto, had sent statements
6 down to have them reviewed and to get some
7 information from her and to determine if she
8 wanted to reopen the investigation as I recall
9 based on her identity of Fisher, thinking he was
10 the person, keeping in mind I suppose that if
11 (V4)--- would have been in favour of pursuing
12 this, the possibility existed that we could have
13 developed the investigation into some kind of a
14 charge and the possibility existed that if there
15 was a Court case and (V4)--- did actually, or the
16 Court did conclude that Fisher was involved, then
17 it would put Larry Fisher in the neighbourhood and
18 not at work and would also further support what
19 Linda Fisher had to say. That's one scenario that
20 you could possibly develop out of this. The other
21 issue is the (V4)--- complaint I believe took
22 place at, it says here 7:07 and, you know, I think
23 a lot of people have scratched their head over
24 this issue of it happening at 7:07 and the time
25 frame of Gail Miller's murder and, you know, was



1 it committed by the same person and could he have
2 been at the, in the vicinity at two places during
3 the same time frame and so there was a lot of
4 different discussion about this particular (V4)---
5 file.

6 Q And did you ever, based on the information you
7 have, conclude that it assisted or detracted from
8 pointing the finger at Larry Fisher as the killer
9 of Gail Miller?

10 A You know, I don't know. I think you could
11 speculate there was nothing that ever, you know,
12 as I recall, that gave it a real definition as to
13 how this may have occurred. It was, you know, an
14 incident that took place in the general area in
15 the general time and, according to (V4)---, she
16 believed that, from the photo she saw, that it
17 looked like Fisher and, you know, could that have
18 been developed further if she would have been in
19 favour of doing that? Possibly.

20 Q And as far as why a charge was not pursued against
21 Mr. Fisher on this charge, do you recall
22 Ms. (V4)--- --

23 A She was -- Ms. (V4)--- was interested in assisting
24 on the review, assisting in the process of what
25 David Milgaard was going through, but she wasn't



1 interested in having a charge laid.

2 Q And were you aware, did you become aware that Mr.
3 Fisher had denied the (V4)--- assault?

4 A I don't recall.

5 Q And again, just on the -- I think you went through
6 when I asked you about the significance of this
7 information and your investigation of Larry Fisher
8 as a suspect. Am I right that on the one hand it
9 supported it as him being a suspect in that it put
10 him in the neighbourhood of the murder the morning
11 of the murder and not at work as he claimed; is
12 that right?

13 A Yes, if Mrs. (V4)--- is right.

14 Q Yeah. And secondly, if Mrs. (V4)--- is right, or
15 Ms. (V4)--- is right, you also mentioned that
16 there were some who said that that maybe meant
17 that he could not have committed -- or I think you
18 said the time frame -- maybe you can just expand
19 on that. Was there a theory or a thought by you
20 or by others that if Mr. Fisher had committed the
21 (V4)--- assault, that he maybe couldn't have
22 committed the Gail Miller murder?

23 A Well, I'm sure some people thought that and -- but
24 my view was, you know, it was, I suppose it could
25 go either way, he was certainly a person with a



1 violent history that was in the neighbourhood and
2 if he was assaulting (V4)--- at 7:07, you know,
3 could he have been involved in the Gail Miller
4 murder. You know, I put my money on Fisher being
5 capable of being in two places because there is a
6 time separation here and I'm not sure how definite
7 we can be on time on both sides of the issue,
8 Miller and -- because there is some time variances
9 there as I understand, and on this one, so, you
10 know, there's a lot of speculation and theorizing.

11 Q If Ms. (V4)--- was correct in that it was Larry
12 Fisher who assaulted her on the morning of January
13 31, '69, was it your view that this strengthened
14 the case against Larry Fisher as the killer of
15 Gail Miller?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And would it have assisted in your investigation
18 of Larry Fisher as a suspect in the death of Gail
19 Miller if Mr. Fisher had been charged with the
20 assault and convicted of the assault on
21 Ms. (V4)---?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And in what way?

24 A Well, it would have confirmed that he was not at
25 work, that he was in the neighbourhood of the



1 homicide and it would have certainly, if there was
2 any doubters about Linda Fisher, it would have, I
3 think, convinced them otherwise.

4 Q And we'll carry on, there's some further
5 discussions I think you have with her and her
6 counsel that may shed some light on the decision
7 to charge. If we can go back to -- scroll down,
8 please, again September 16th, it appears you had a
9 meeting with this -- now, this is Sergeant
10 Williams, this is someone other than -- this is
11 not Eugene Williams?

12 A This is an RCMP polygraph operator at the time
13 stationed in Prince Albert, yes.

14 Q And so you talked about whether Fisher was a
15 testable subject and called Joe Shalk, Vancouver,
16 who is an experienced police polygraphist.

17 "We discussed the matter in general
18 terms, my position being that Fisher
19 should be pursued, using all
20 investigative aids at our disposal in an
21 effort to gather the facts and the
22 truth, and to fully investigate this
23 Section 690 Application. In conclusion,
24 Shalk states the issue is certainly
25 testable and a further assessment would



1 be necessary to determine if the subject
2 Fisher was testable. Shalk questioned
3 me to determine if the results of such a
4 test would be used for anything of value
5 in this investigation. My response was
6 that it may assist the Minister of
7 Justice Kim Campbell in making a
8 decision on what to do with this
9 Application. Shalk asked questions of
10 me such as, what if the tests were
11 inconclusive? What if he passed? What
12 if he failed? etc. My position is that
13 every investigative process should be
14 exhausted and decisions on what the
15 final results are can be made at that
16 time. I suggested to Shalk that he may
17 receive a call from Mr. Williams to
18 further discuss this aspect of the
19 investigation, however I believe
20 strongly in pursuing the polygraph test
21 as we would normally do in any other
22 police investigation."

23 Is that an accurate summary of what you felt at
24 the time, sir?

25 A I believe it is, yeah.



1 Q And when you talk about him getting a call from
2 Mr. Williams, was that Eugene Williams I presume?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Were there discussions or issues being discussed
5 between you and Eugene Williams about whether or
6 not Mr. Fisher could or should undergo another
7 polygraph?

8 A Yes, there was, yes.

9 Q And tell us about those?

10 A Well, as we got into the pursuing the polygraph
11 the second time, there was some view by
12 Mr. Williams that this may not be appropriate to
13 pursue.

14 Q And what were the reasons that he gave?

15 A Well, I think if you go down to the next
16 paragraph --

17 Q Sure, if we can -- I'm sorry, 260, it says:

18 "I contacted Mr. Williams and discussed
19 the polygraph testing. I indicated this
20 should still be pursued. Mr. Williams
21 expressed concern, stating, "Is there
22 basis to doubt the conviction? Would
23 jury convict if known about Fisher at
24 the time?" Williams seems to have
25 concerns that the polygraph results are



1 not admissible and could be persuasive
2 in terms of disposition of the case. My
3 position is this - we asked Fisher once
4 to take the polygraph test, which came
5 back inconclusive. Why would we not
6 continue in our attempts again?

7 Williams's advice is that he wishes to
8 talk to his superiors on this point."

9 And again, does that outline the positions that
10 you and he had at the time?

11 A I believe they do, yes.

12 Q And what -- can you tell us a bit more, what was
13 your understanding then again of why -- was Mr.
14 Williams saying he did not want further polygraph
15 of Larry Fisher?

16 A Well, that seemed to be what was happening, that
17 there was some hesitation to go ahead based on the
18 fact of, and I think these are actually his quotes
19 that were put in there, you know, is there a basis
20 to doubt the conviction, would a jury convict if
21 known about Fisher at the time, and I was
22 interested in pursuing this.

23 Q If we just pause there, when he was saying is
24 there a basis to doubt the conviction, was he
25 talking about David Milgaard's conviction?



1 A That's what I take it to be, yes.

2 Q At this time, September, 1991, based upon the
3 information you had about Larry Fisher and your
4 suspicions, did you doubt the conviction of David
5 Milgaard?

6 A That's a tough question, as to what I thought at
7 the time. I -- all I can really say is that I had
8 this gut feeling and based on the information that
9 had been developed, both by myself and through the
10 application process, that he was an excellent
11 suspect.

12 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Fisher was?

13 A Fisher was. Who did I say?

14 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: You didn't say.

15 A Fisher was, I'm sorry.

16 BY MR. HODSON:

17 Q And again, if Fisher had committed the crime, then
18 you would doubt David Milgaard's conviction; is
19 that fair?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Now, the next question posed by Mr. Williams, and
22 I'm not sure if it's fair to pose this question to
23 you, but it looks as though he asked you of it,
24 would a jury convict if known about Fisher at the
25 time. Is that something that you and he would



1 have discussed, as far as the use of Larry Fisher,
2 of the Larry Fisher investigative information?

3 A I'm sure we must have had more discussion than is
4 just written here, but I have no recollection of
5 it.

6 Q Okay. If we can scroll down, the 18th, the next
7 day:

8 "Mr. Williams called to advise that a
9 decision has not yet been made regarding
10 the polygraph testing of Fisher."

11 Do I take it from that that it was his decision
12 or his department's decision as opposed to your
13 decision about whether Mr. Fisher should be
14 pursued for another polygraph?

15 A That's -- you know, he was leading the
16 investigation, the 690 was his investigation, so
17 that's who I was dealing with.

18 Q And if Mr. Williams said no polygraph, I take it
19 would that preclude you from pursuing it?

20 A Well, you know, I don't think I would start to
21 challenge Mr. Williams on where he was at, you
22 know. I approached him and laid out what I
23 thought we should do and he was leading the
24 investigation and making decisions based on all
25 the things that were going on.



1 Q And then just scroll down to the bottom of the
2 page, I won't read all this, but it looks on this
3 date there's a discussion with Mr. Williams about
4 getting a hold of Larry Fisher's prison records to
5 see if, the purpose being to determine if there
6 was anything that could be construed as evidence
7 on that file, and in fact I think you and
8 Mr. Williams then went out to Mountain Institution
9 and looked at his prison file; is that correct?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And it talks about his 21-year file history, and
12 what types of things would you be looking for on
13 that file?

14 A Oh, primarily if there was any kinds of admissions
15 at all about an association to the Miller homicide
16 or any other unknown or unsolved crime that he may
17 have made comments on during his history in the
18 institution.

19 Q If we could call up 011905, and this is a
20 September 19th, '91 fax from you to F Division to
21 authorize your travel to go to Vancouver to go
22 look at the files, and if we can go to the next
23 page. Paragraph 5, as support for your trip
24 authorization you say:

25 "In consultation with Federal Justice



1 Department lawyer, Mr. E. Williams, it
2 is agreed this avenue should be pursued
3 for two reasons: (1) Did Fisher, during
4 therapy or contact with the prison
5 system, ever suggest he knew Gail Miller
6 or had anything to do with her death, or
7 the death of a female which he may not
8 have identified; and (2) In view of the
9 publicity the *Milgaard* case has
10 generated so far as Fisher being a
11 suspect, it would be a prudent
12 investigative step to review Fisher's 21
13 year penitentiary file."

14 So, again, does that capture what you were
15 looking for when you went out there?

16 A I think -- I think so.

17 Q And if we could go back to 056802, and again
18 September 19th, we bring up 266. It says:

19 "This a.m. I had a conversation with
20 Supt. Goodman, who indicated he had been
21 at a social with several City Police
22 members, one being retired Insp. Parker,
23 who was talking about having seen what
24 he believed to be moccasin tracks at the
25 scene of the Miller murder. Apparently



1 it was initially thought that a Native
2 Indian may have been responsible. I
3 discussed this matter with Williams and
4 the fact that evidence at trial
5 suggested that Milgaard was in his
6 stocking feet at certain times during
7 the morning of the murder. Could what
8 was believed to be moccasin tracks
9 actually be sock impressions in the
10 snow? I will attempt to interview
11 Parker and determine if he has notes
12 left on this point, and what he has to
13 say."

14 So would this be the first time you became aware
15 that Mr. Parker may have had some relevant
16 information?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And we'll see some notes a bit later about the
19 follow-up. Now the next day, September 20th:

20 "I returned a call to Mr. Williams, who
21 advised that a decision has been made
22 not to have Fisher take the polygraph
23 examination. His superiors have met and
24 decided, "the down side risks outweigh
25 the benefits". He went on to say, "the



1 testing procedure is highly subjective
2 and cannot be converted to evidence."
3 Williams did not indicate if
4 polygraphist Shalk had been consulted."

5 And so I take it that that happened, did it Mr.
6 Pearson, on September 20th Mr. Williams advised
7 you that Federal Justice --

8 A Yes.

9 Q -- decided there would be no polygraph?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Now I think there's some further references where
12 you continue to bring up the polygraph. Now Mr.
13 Fisher never did undergo another polygraph; is
14 that correct?

15 A Umm, there was -- no, he never. There was some
16 ongoing discussion we had about the polygraph, but
17 it never did, never did come about.

18 Q What -- did you agree with this decision?

19 A Well I -- I just felt that it was a potential
20 tool. Mr. Pick, we still had him on side and he
21 had indicated to us quite some time previous to
22 this that we'd certainly have that option
23 available to us, I thought it was a chance for us
24 to again work at having a face-to-face contact at
25 some point. I know I had made the statement about



1 making a no-nonsense interrogation, I think that
2 was being overly optimistic, but at the same time
3 I did think that there was some benefit to working
4 towards having contact with Larry Fisher again.

5 Q And, again, were -- what -- were you aware of any
6 downside risks in conducting another polygraph of
7 Larry Fisher?

8 A Well not from where I sat, I didn't believe there
9 was any downside risks, but that was the position
10 that was taken --

11 Q And --

12 A -- and I can't explain it.

13 Q If we can scroll down to the bottom it looks as
14 though you again expressed your concerns about
15 this, and if we can go over to the next page, it
16 looks like you pled your case to Mr. Williams and
17 then:

18 "It was agreed that Mr. Williams would
19 recontact Mr. Pick and determine if in
20 fact his client would agree to submit
21 himself to a polygraph test. I believe
22 this is being done after some prodding
23 on my part, however, this is an opinion
24 only."

25 And is that an accurate note of what happened in



1 that call?

2 A As I recall, yes.

3 Q And then it looks like the next day:

4 "Mr. Williams called to advise he had
5 spoken to Harold Pick and that Fisher
6 will not take a polygraph test and will
7 not grant any more interviews to the
8 police. There is one window of
9 opportunity which exists, that being
10 that CBC reported Carl Karp is doing a
11 program on the Fisher angle on "The
12 *Fifth Estate*". If this does not go well
13 for Fisher, he may grant further
14 interviews with us. Indications are
15 that Fisher and his current wife are fed
16 up with the publicity and the hounding
17 by the media."

18 And, again, is that an accurate note of what
19 would have happened at the time?

20 A Of my call with Mr. Williams?

21 Q Yes?

22 A Yes, I believe so.

23 Q And so at this point, September of 1991, did Mr.
24 Fisher essentially tell you "no more interviews,
25 no more polygraph, I'm done"?



1 A He didn't tell me that. Mr. Williams passed that
2 on and he got it, obviously I think, from
3 Mr. Pick.

4 Q And did that cause you a concern?

5 A Well, again, it was an opportunity that was no
6 longer available I guess.

7 Q And then if we can scroll down, 272:

8 "During my previous conversation with
9 Mr. Williams, I asked him to ensure that
10 the questions concerning the (V14)-
11 complaint be covered with Mr. Pick, who
12 in turn was to ask the questions of Mr.
13 Fisher."

14 And so, again, the (V14)- complaint -- and we'll
15 deal with that tomorrow -- but that was included
16 in Mr. Milgaard's second 690 application, and the
17 suggestion that Mr. Fisher had committed that
18 rape in 1968, and it looks like you asked Mr.
19 Williams to ask Mr. Pick to ask Mr. Fisher
20 whether he had any knowledge or was involved in
21 that crime; is that correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And that it's reported back:

24 "I have been advised Fisher indicates he
25 does not know where Round Lake is



1 located and does not know what we're
2 referring to when we talk of this
3 incident..."

4 And:

5 "Mr. Pick advised that Fisher indicated
6 he knows where Avenue "H" in Saskatoon
7 is located, however denies being there
8 on 31 Jan 1969, re the (V4)---
9 complaint."

10 So I take it that, through Mr. Williams to Mr.
11 Pick to Mr. Fisher, the question of the (V4)---
12 and (V14)- incidents were put to him and he
13 denied involvement; is that correct?

14 A Yeah, that was a pretty long, roundabout way of
15 doing it, but yes, that's, as I recall, the way it
16 happened.

17 Q And I take it you would have, as an investigator,
18 preferred to have asked Mr. Fisher these questions
19 yourself?

20 A That would have been to our advantage, if we could
21 have had a face-to-face, --

22 Q And then --

23 A -- but, you know, allowed this to happen.

24 Q Then go to 056656. And this is your September
25 23rd, 1991 report, your earlier report is April



1 '91, and this is your first report on the second
2 application. And again, if we can scroll down, it
3 talks about the second application, which I don't
4 think we need to go through. If we can go to the
5 next page, scroll down to the bottom, you talk
6 about:

7 "Attempts to locate the various files
8 associated to Fisher's sexual assaults,
9 for which he is currently serving time,
10 have not been successful."

11 And you go on and talk about the files:

12 "The police files for the two victims
13 ((V8)--- and (V7)---) have been
14 destroyed. Portions of the original
15 police files were located on Fisher's
16 penitentiary records."

17 And I think we touched on that before, that parts
18 of the Fort Garry police file were on Fisher's
19 penitentiary files. Then:

20 "The files associated to Fisher's known
21 sexual assaults in Saskatoon ... are
22 also unavailable from the Saskatoon City
23 Police Department. Portions of the file
24 material have been located so far as the
25 (V5)--- investigation is concerned,



1 however the majority of the file
2 material on these cases is believed to
3 be destroyed."

4 And, again, would that be what you had at the
5 time as far as police files on the --

6 A I don't -- I can't -- I have to go with just
7 what's here, and I believe that's accurate.

8 Q If we can go to the next page you say:

9 "Much has been made of the missing files
10 by both Milgaard's counsel and the news
11 media. The Acting Chief of the
12 Saskatoon Police Department recently
13 requested that the matter of the missing
14 files be examined by the Saskatchewan
15 Police Commission, in an attempt to
16 clear the air. Most of the files from
17 the 1960's and 1970's have been reduced
18 to micro-fiche, however, the files
19 involving Larry Fisher have not been
20 located at all. At the time of writing,
21 personnel from Saskatoon Police
22 Department are searching all micro-fiche
23 files from the late 60's to early 70's,
24 in an effort to locate material which
25 may have been misfiled or contained



1 under separate file cover. The police
2 file associated to Fisher's sexual and
3 attempted murder of one (V10) (V10)- in
4 North Battleford in 1980, while Fisher
5 was on parole, is completely intact and
6 in our possession."

7 And again this comment about:

8 "Much has been made of the missing
9 files by both Milgaard's counsel and the
10 news media.";

11 what was that referring to?

12 A I just think that, again I'm just reflecting here
13 on -- in -- generally at that time I believe there
14 was suspicion of a coverup, and the news media
15 about the missing files and the publicity over
16 what may have gone on in the police department
17 regarding Larry Fisher and the other crime
18 victims.

19 Q Do you recall if there were allegations being
20 leveled against the police about misconduct or
21 impropriety with respect to these files?

22 A I don't know the time frame but, you know, there
23 was allegations of a coverup. I'm not sure that
24 was in this particular time frame, I just can't
25 place it, but that was certainly out there, yes.



1 Q And then scroll down to f), and again at this
2 time -- this is September 23, 1991, and I don't
3 think, I think it was a month later, in October
4 that you interviewed (V14)-- (V14)-, but you talk
5 about:

6 "It will also be noted in Milgaard's
7 most recent Application, the reference
8 to an unsolved RCMP case which took
9 place in 1968 May 08 or 09 at (V14)
10 (V14) on (V14)----- . This offence was
11 investigated by (V14)---- Detachment and
12 the victim was (V14)-- (V14)-. It seems
13 Centurion Ministries included this
14 particular offence because the Peace
15 Officer at the time of returning the
16 victim's clothing approximately 1 1/2
17 years later, commented that David
18 Milgaard had committed the crime, and
19 was being charged for a separate murder.
20 According to the new Application, it is
21 believed that it was Fisher and not
22 Milgaard who was responsible. This
23 conclusion seems based on several
24 points, such as physical description,
25 and the fact Fisher may have been



1 employed on a construction project at
2 the potash mine near (V14)----,
3 Saskatchewan, thus having reason to be
4 in the area. Attempts are currently
5 being made to locate the member who
6 investigated this particular offence.
7 To date the police file associated to
8 this crime has not been located,
9 however, inquiries are continuing."

10 And:

11 "The (V14)- assault will form part of
12 the fact comparison being completed by
13 the Division Analyst."

14 So I take it, at this time, you didn't have the
15 file from 1968 and you had not talked to
16 Ms. (V14)-; is that correct?

17 A I believe that's right, yes.

18 Q And then just scroll down. You talk about:

19 "Attempts have been made to have Fisher
20 re-interviewed at Mountain Prison,
21 however, our request has been denied.
22 Fisher claims he and his current wife
23 have been harassed by the press and he
24 has nothing further to offer on the
25 subject of Ms. Miller's murder.



1 Inquiries into the (V14)- offence are
2 continuing."

3 Again, I think that's consistent with what was in
4 your earlier report. Go to the next page:

5 "Further Investigation",
6 "Efforts are ongoing in an attempt to
7 locate police records from the late
8 1960's and early 1970's associated to
9 Fisher's sex crimes in Saskatoon."

10 Was that the work being done by the Police
11 Commission to try and find files?

12 A Yes, that's right.

13 Q And down in the bottom, if you scroll down to (c),
14 you talk about, and we covered this already, about
15 getting the Analytical Unit to look at similar
16 fact evidence, and you say:

17 "This task is complicated by the fact
18 the original police files, with the
19 exception of the (V10)- case, have not
20 been located and our analysts must rely
21 on the Application material submitted by
22 Centurion Ministries, as well as
23 remnants of file material located within
24 the judicial systems."

25 Then you say:



1 "While the value of a
2 "similarities/differences" analysis
3 remains questionable, it is believed
4 necessary to pursue this avenue to the
5 limit in order to fully examine the
6 Application material."

7 I'm wondering what you mean when you say "the
8 value of this analysis remains questionable"?

9 A Yes. Again, I guess at that time I still had some
10 belief that maybe this was not evidence, you know,
11 my knowledge at the time or my belief at the time,
12 maybe there was a view that this really, you know,
13 wouldn't be considered evidence, but that's all I
14 can really put on it.

15 Q And the next page:

16 "Summary",
17 "The first Application under Section 690
18 ... has been turned down. The second
19 Application will be responded to in the
20 very near future."

21 Then you say:

22 "To date there is no evidence linking
23 Larry Fisher to the Gail Miller murder."

24 And was that your belief at the time, sir?

25 A Yes.



1 Q This is probably an appropriate spot to break for
2 the day, Mr. Commissioner, I'm moving into a
3 different area but I won't get done by 4:30.

4 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay.

5 *(Adjourned at 4:18 p.m.)*
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25



OFFICIAL QUEEN'S BENCH COURT REPORTERS' CERTIFICATES:

We, Karen Hinz, CSR, and Donald G. Meyer, RPR, CSR,
Official Queen's Bench Court Reporters for the Province of
Saskatchewan, hereby certify that the foregoing pages
contain a true and correct transcription of our shorthand
notes taken herein to the best of our knowledge, skill,
and ability.

_____, CSR

Karen Hinz, CSR

Official Queen's Bench Court Reporter

_____, RPR, CSR

Donald G. Meyer, RPR, CSR

Official Queen's Bench Court Reporter



' 60s ^[1] - 19561:11	016130 ^[1] - 19414:16	19414:19, 19417:8,	19417:8, 19418:15,	19417:8, 19418:15,	19397:24, 19473:13,
' 68 ^[2] - 19551:16,	016131 ^[1] - 19422:12	19474:21	19474:21	19418:24, 19422:13,	19479:14, 19480:22,
19551:23	02 ^[1] - 19476:14	14th ^[6] - 19476:17,	14th ^[6] - 19476:17,	19425:1, 19427:8,	19480:23, 19560:23
' 69 ^[1] - 19586:13	056656 ^[1] - 19600:24	19481:3, 19490:9,	19481:3, 19490:9,	19431:18, 19439:17,	20-year-old ^[1] -
' 90 ^[2] - 19488:17,	056783 ^[1] - 19403:25	19490:13, 19491:19,	19490:13, 19491:19,	19441:23, 19446:13,	19546:24
19491:8	056787 ^[1] - 19392:11	19552:15	19552:15	19448:19, 19451:6,	2005 ^[1] - 19388:21
' 91 ^[11] - 19476:8,	056789 ^[1] - 19417:7	15 ^[3] - 19436:11,	15 ^[3] - 19436:11,	19452:22, 19457:12,	201 ^[1] - 19398:2
19478:3, 19482:6,	056790 ^[3] - 19423:22,	19480:22, 19482:6	19480:22, 19482:6	19458:21, 19459:14,	203 ^[1] - 19400:25
19490:5, 19524:4,	19424:11, 19448:18	15th ^[3] - 19414:17,	15th ^[3] - 19414:17,	19463:13, 19464:17,	208 ^[1] - 19419:19
19524:11, 19568:23,	056791 ^[1] - 19452:13	19418:24, 19482:17	19418:24, 19482:17	19467:22, 19473:22,	209 ^[1] - 19420:3
19579:3, 19581:4,	056792 ^[1] - 19462:25	16 ^[1] - 19416:5	16 ^[1] - 19416:5	19474:4, 19474:14,	20th ^[5] - 19411:5,
19593:20, 19601:1	056794 ^[3] - 19474:12,	16th ^[5] - 19401:21,	16th ^[5] - 19401:21,	19474:17, 19474:21,	19467:25, 19471:1,
' n ^[1] - 19422:10	19476:16, 19524:15	19413:13, 19526:15,	19413:13, 19526:15,	19476:18, 19481:3,	19595:19, 19596:6
' s ^[1] - 19582:25	056796 ^[1] - 19524:8	19528:24, 19587:8	19528:24, 19587:8	19481:20, 19482:4,	21 ^[3] - 19533:23,
' theory ' ^[1] - 19412:16	056797 ^[1] - 19556:10	17-23 ^[1] - 19551:16	17-23 ^[1] - 19551:16	19483:9, 19485:19,	19536:1, 19594:12
	056798 ^[1] - 19568:22	17th ^[7] - 19401:1,	17th ^[7] - 19401:1,	19488:1, 19490:14,	21-year ^[1] - 19593:11
	056799 ^[1] - 19575:15	19401:19, 19414:1,	19401:19, 19414:1,	19490:25, 19491:19,	215 ^[1] - 19452:24
	056800 ^[1] - 19581:3	19474:4, 19483:9,	19474:4, 19483:9,	19492:1, 19494:7,	219 ^[1] - 19457:11
	056802 ^[1] - 19594:17	19552:19, 19575:6	19552:19, 19575:6	19495:21, 19503:12,	22 ^[1] - 19572:5
	058291 ^[1] - 19488:12	18th ^[8] - 19401:5,	18th ^[8] - 19401:5,	19503:15, 19512:16,	220 ^[1] - 19458:5
	058342 ^[2] - 19488:11,	19401:20, 19402:20,	19401:20, 19402:20,	19513:15, 19521:24,	221 ^[1] - 19463:1
	19488:13	19431:18, 19470:13,	19431:18, 19470:13,	19523:8, 19524:11,	226932 ^[1] - 19552:18
	058343 ^[2] - 19476:6,	19473:21, 19474:16,	19473:21, 19474:16,	19563:24	227 ^[1] - 19468:15
	19489:19	19592:6	19592:6	1991 ^[44] - 19475:5,	22nd ^[8] - 19388:21,
	058345 ^[2] - 19490:4,	19392 ^[1] - 19391:4	19392 ^[1] - 19391:4	19476:5, 19476:19,	19421:21, 19422:13,
	19492:14	1960's ^[3] - 19528:15,	1960's ^[3] - 19528:15,	19483:1, 19483:2,	19467:24, 19483:1,
	067315 ^[1] - 19474:3	19602:17, 19606:8	19602:17, 19606:8	19485:11, 19485:16,	19485:5, 19556:11,
	067323 ^[1] - 19413:12	1967 ^[1] - 19562:24	1967 ^[1] - 19562:24	19485:17, 19486:1,	19558:7
	08 ^[2] - 19526:24,	1968 ^[7] - 19394:16,	1968 ^[7] - 19394:16,	19487:9, 19488:2,	23 ^[3] - 19421:12,
	19604:9	19533:8, 19544:12,	19533:8, 19544:12,	19488:5, 19488:19,	19422:25, 19604:2
	09 ^[1] - 19604:9	19556:17, 19599:18,	19556:17, 19599:18,	19489:15, 19489:20,	231 ^[2] - 19474:23,
		19604:9, 19605:15	19604:9, 19605:15	19490:25, 19491:9,	19525:3
		1969 ^[19] - 19404:21,	1969 ^[19] - 19404:21,	19492:15, 19494:9,	233 ^[1] - 19478:6
		19411:23, 19419:22,	19411:23, 19419:22,	19494:20, 19495:21,	234 ^[1] - 19479:5
		19421:13, 19421:21,	19421:13, 19421:21,	19510:23, 19511:18,	238 ^[1] - 19533:1
		19422:25, 19423:2,	19422:25, 19423:2,	19512:15, 19512:22,	23rd ^[4] - 19558:8,
		19424:19, 19432:3,	19424:19, 19432:3,	19513:18, 19522:1,	19564:15, 19567:15,
		19436:15, 19533:9,	19436:15, 19533:9,	19522:10, 19523:24,	19600:25
		19546:3, 19546:13,	19546:3, 19546:13,	19524:16, 19524:19,	24 ^[1] - 19421:12
		19547:5, 19547:21,	19547:5, 19547:21,	19526:15, 19544:23,	240 ^[1] - 19534:13
		19547:25, 19549:23,	19547:25, 19549:23,	19546:1, 19548:9,	245 ^[1] - 19562:21
		19556:17, 19600:8	19556:17, 19600:8	19552:15, 19552:19,	247 ^[1] - 19566:3
		1969-1970 ^[1] -	1969-1970 ^[1] -	19556:12, 19575:2,	249 ^[1] - 19570:22
		19408:25	19408:25	19582:25, 19591:2,	250 ^[1] - 19571:23
		1970 ^[2] - 19533:9,	1970 ^[2] - 19533:9,	19598:23, 19600:25,	253 ^[1] - 19578:3
		19556:17	19556:17	19604:2	257 ^[1] - 19581:22
		1970's ^[3] - 19528:16,	1970's ^[3] - 19528:16,	1997 ^[1] - 19516:23	260 ^[1] - 19589:17
		19602:17, 19606:8	19602:17, 19606:8	19th ^[5] - 19463:13,	266 ^[1] - 19594:18
		1971 ^[3] - 19544:14,	1971 ^[3] - 19544:14,	19533:1, 19558:8,	26th ^[3] - 19404:1,
		19560:17, 19575:7	19560:17, 19575:7	19593:20, 19594:18	19466:25, 19485:3
		1980 ^[3] - 19508:14,	1980 ^[3] - 19508:14,	1:30 ^[1] - 19487:19	272 ^[1] - 19599:7
		19544:15, 19603:4	19544:15, 19603:4	1:32 ^[1] - 19487:23	27th ^[8] - 19478:3,
		199 ^[3] - 19392:17,	199 ^[3] - 19392:17,		19483:2, 19485:16,
		19394:11, 19524:3	19394:11, 19524:3		19485:17, 19487:11,
		1990 ^[56] - 19392:15,	1990 ^[56] - 19392:15,		19488:4, 19496:22,
		19394:8, 19395:2,	19394:8, 19395:2,		19536:11
		19397:14, 19401:21,	19397:14, 19401:21,		28th ^[6] - 19427:8,
		19402:20, 19411:5,	19402:20, 19411:5,		19439:16, 19441:23,
		19413:13, 19414:1,	19413:13, 19414:1,		19446:13, 19448:10,
		19414:17, 19416:5,	19414:17, 19416:5,		19448:11



<p>29th [3] - 19474:17, 19482:4, 19568:23 2:53 [1] - 19552:11 2nd [6] - 19475:5, 19476:4, 19476:8, 19489:20, 19524:16, 19524:22</p>	<p>19452:20, 19471:11, 19483:4, 19484:23, 19486:23, 19493:25, 19497:4, 19497:18, 19499:5, 19499:12, 19500:5, 19505:23, 19514:7, 19514:18, 19526:18, 19536:3, 19551:11, 19556:3, 19570:21, 19571:12, 19587:23, 19592:16, 19599:16, 19607:17 6th [1] - 19448:19</p>	<p>absolutely [6] - 19404:13, 19427:6, 19445:3, 19465:25, 19518:3, 19541:1 accepted [2] - 19451:20, 19537:1 access [8] - 19463:3, 19467:9, 19467:17, 19469:4, 19566:21, 19567:24, 19572:24, 19576:6 accessed [1] - 19491:15 accessing [2] - 19430:20, 19576:7 accompanying [1] - 19544:5 accomplish [1] - 19472:15 accomplished [2] - 19455:4 accord [2] - 19515:24, 19581:10 According [2] - 19432:23, 19604:20 according [5] - 19434:16, 19469:10, 19547:3, 19547:5, 19584:15 accurate [7] - 19405:15, 19454:16, 19467:19, 19588:23, 19597:25, 19598:18, 19602:7 accurately [1] - 19481:25 accusation [1] - 19443:13 accused [2] - 19531:24, 19532:3 acid [1] - 19458:12 acknowledge [1] - 19410:5 acknowledgement [1] - 19407:24 acknowledging [1] - 19485:9 acquainted [1] - 19436:25 act [1] - 19498:4 Acting [1] - 19602:11 acting [1] - 19501:25 active [1] - 19546:7 actively [2] - 19477:1, 19579:11 activities [9] - 19399:21, 19400:10, 19449:12, 19449:16, 19477:6, 19479:17, 19518:17, 19526:20, 19579:25</p>	<p>activity [10] - 19418:1, 19443:22, 19454:22, 19456:1, 19476:22, 19480:19, 19482:9, 19482:11, 19490:16, 19576:1 acts [2] - 19531:25, 19539:20 actual [2] - 19544:5, 19563:12 adamant [2] - 19456:7, 19471:20 add [3] - 19482:1, 19511:16, 19527:15 addition [7] - 19438:11, 19438:12, 19500:15, 19508:11, 19510:11, 19512:12, 19526:21 additional [1] - 19543:7 addressed [4] - 19443:6, 19443:22, 19505:11, 19506:13 adjourn [1] - 19487:19 Adjourned [4] - 19448:6, 19487:22, 19552:11, 19608:5 adjudicate [1] - 19543:12 admissible [4] - 19446:8, 19539:20, 19539:22, 19590:1 admission [1] - 19518:4 admissions [2] - 19417:23, 19593:14 admitted [1] - 19532:2 adult [1] - 19408:1 advances [1] - 19502:23 advantage [1] - 19600:20 adversarial [5] - 19499:21, 19501:10, 19501:13, 19501:18, 19501:25 advice [3] - 19495:25, 19570:3, 19590:7 advise [5] - 19412:10, 19423:16, 19527:18, 19592:8, 19598:4 advised [21] - 19396:24, 19417:15, 19420:7, 19421:14, 19469:15, 19521:21, 19536:20, 19539:11, 19559:11, 19560:19, 19561:1, 19561:2, 19561:10, 19561:14, 19570:25, 19571:7, 19578:5, 19595:21, 19596:6, 19599:24, 19600:5</p>	<p>advises [3] - 19468:20, 19572:25, 19573:1 advising [5] - 19456:21, 19499:14, 19526:17, 19564:18, 19569:3 Affair [1] - 19446:17 affix [1] - 19410:6 afraid [1] - 19471:24 afternoon [3] - 19392:3, 19411:8, 19475:9 Ag [2] - 19559:6, 19575:13 Ag's [2] - 19572:2, 19572:18 age [1] - 19551:15 aggressive [1] - 19575:21 ago [2] - 19430:2, 19462:19 agree [12] - 19427:18, 19509:11, 19514:25, 19515:2, 19515:14, 19515:15, 19516:9, 19520:13, 19520:14, 19569:10, 19596:18, 19597:20 agreed [12] - 19414:24, 19416:4, 19440:21, 19444:21, 19519:9, 19534:3, 19539:13, 19550:10, 19572:22, 19581:1, 19594:2, 19597:18 agreeing [1] - 19469:7 agrees [1] - 19468:18 ahead [6] - 19469:12, 19489:18, 19490:4, 19526:13, 19575:6, 19590:17 aide [1] - 19546:24 aids [1] - 19587:20 ailment [1] - 19423:9 air [1] - 19602:16 alarm [3] - 19560:2, 19560:10, 19560:22 Albert [31] - 19405:21, 19406:2, 19406:6, 19407:8, 19408:15, 19408:24, 19432:21, 19432:24, 19434:11, 19434:17, 19434:19, 19440:7, 19440:10, 19440:12, 19448:24, 19457:16, 19457:21, 19459:20, 19460:8, 19460:15, 19461:4, 19461:6, 19461:8, 19461:12, 19462:14, 19462:18, 19469:4, 19470:24, 19503:13,</p>
3				
<p>3 [2] - 19503:8, 19546:3 30 [1] - 19572:10 30th [2] - 19448:21, 19575:2 31 [6] - 19404:21, 19411:22, 19458:7, 19583:3, 19586:13, 19600:8 325149 [1] - 19553:13 332560 [1] - 19409:13 3:12 [1] - 19552:12 3rd [10] - 19485:11, 19487:9, 19490:5, 19492:13, 19492:15, 19494:9, 19494:20, 19495:6, 19521:19, 19522:1</p>				
4				
<p>4 [3] - 19503:12, 19503:20, 19508:1 40 [1] - 19520:4 427 [1] - 19536:5 4:18 [1] - 19608:5 4:30 [1] - 19608:3 4th [3] - 19395:2, 19467:22, 19546:13</p>				
5				
<p>5 [2] - 19504:7, 19593:23 5'5 [1] - 19551:20 50 [1] - 19520:4</p>				
6				
<p>6 [3] - 19402:3, 19513:10, 19544:23 60's [1] - 19602:23 68 [2] - 19526:24, 19562:24 69 [3] - 19436:11, 19458:7, 19562:24 690 [25] - 19452:18,</p>	<p>7</p> <p>7 [1] - 19551:16 70 [3] - 19562:24, 19572:6, 19572:10 70's [1] - 19602:23 797 [1] - 19535:25 7:07 [5] - 19582:7, 19583:2, 19583:22, 19583:24, 19586:2</p>	8		
	8 [3] - 19401:4, 19474:14, 19539:8			
	9			
	<p>90/aug/30 [1] - 19489:5 91 [2] - 19476:14, 19484:10 91/5/2 [1] - 19476:7 96 [1] - 19388:22 9:46 [1] - 19392:2</p>			
	A			
	<p>Aag [1] - 19559:3 Aaron [1] - 19389:13 ability [1] - 19609:7 able [15] - 19393:5, 19393:6, 19400:8, 19416:6, 19441:12, 19451:19, 19485:18, 19487:4, 19487:11, 19494:13, 19555:11, 19561:16, 19563:9, 19577:7, 19582:9 absence [6] - 19401:12, 19401:15, 19509:6, 19509:16, 19509:23, 19519:6</p>			



<p>19587:13 Albert's [5] - 19406:4, 19432:19, 19440:8, 19460:12, 19461:19 Allan [2] - 19391:3, 19392:5 allegation [2] - 19440:12, 19503:21 allegations [3] - 19455:24, 19603:19, 19603:23 alleged [1] - 19406:11 alley [5] - 19504:12, 19504:13, 19506:6, 19506:8, 19517:17 allow [1] - 19532:1 allowed [3] - 19502:24, 19567:3, 19600:23 almost [1] - 19403:3 alone [1] - 19540:15 alot [2] - 19462:10, 19462:12 alternative [1] - 19427:17 American [2] - 19446:16, 19552:25 amount [1] - 19418:21 ample [1] - 19538:25 analysis [22] - 19400:8, 19464:23, 19468:13, 19506:24, 19529:17, 19531:1, 19532:17, 19533:16, 19538:3, 19538:4, 19547:3, 19547:10, 19549:25, 19554:9, 19555:9, 19556:21, 19556:23, 19578:24, 19580:11, 19580:24, 19607:2, 19607:8 Analyst [1] - 19605:13 Analyst's [1] - 19578:22 analysts [1] - 19606:20 Analytical [3] - 19580:9, 19580:20, 19606:15 analyze [1] - 19579:21 angle [3] - 19470:10, 19470:11, 19598:11 Ann [1] - 19437:14 announced [1] - 19484:11 annual [1] - 19533:3 answer [2] - 19444:21, 19564:25 answered [2] - 19451:11, 19456:24 answers [10] - 19394:1, 19428:10, 19428:15, 19428:24, 19429:10, 19449:10, 19449:19,</p>	<p>19449:21, 19525:7 apart [6] - 19424:22, 19426:11, 19491:5, 19521:4, 19561:23, 19571:9 apparent [1] - 19553:19 Appeal [2] - 19484:18, 19525:23 appeal [2] - 19484:20, 19526:1 appeals [1] - 19497:23 appear [11] - 19413:18, 19432:15, 19476:14, 19478:21, 19482:10, 19485:24, 19486:2, 19494:9, 19524:20, 19564:23, 19567:25 appearance [4] - 19479:12, 19559:8, 19559:18, 19575:10 Appearances [1] - 19390:1 appeared [5] - 19393:8, 19490:22, 19548:17, 19558:13, 19562:7 Appendix [2] - 19433:2, 19436:9 applicable [1] - 19569:10 applicant [3] - 19498:9, 19500:3, 19502:25 Application [14] - 19476:23, 19493:25, 19526:17, 19536:3, 19558:12, 19573:11, 19587:23, 19588:9, 19604:7, 19604:20, 19606:21, 19607:6, 19607:17, 19607:19 application [84] - 19471:12, 19473:25, 19475:23, 19483:3, 19483:5, 19486:22, 19490:17, 19495:16, 19496:18, 19497:4, 19498:5, 19502:7, 19503:6, 19503:18, 19504:2, 19504:18, 19505:12, 19505:25, 19507:12, 19508:24, 19514:7, 19516:6, 19523:13, 19524:7, 19525:17, 19527:5, 19528:25, 19529:4, 19529:8, 19529:11, 19529:21, 19530:22, 19530:24, 19531:11, 19532:19, 19535:4, 19535:22, 19536:7, 19536:17, 19537:14,</p>	<p>19538:1, 19538:7, 19538:10, 19538:18, 19540:3, 19540:7, 19540:11, 19541:2, 19541:24, 19542:7, 19542:14, 19542:21, 19543:1, 19543:5, 19543:16, 19543:18, 19543:23, 19543:24, 19544:6, 19544:18, 19544:23, 19545:25, 19549:19, 19549:20, 19552:14, 19552:16, 19553:25, 19554:3, 19555:8, 19555:14, 19557:24, 19558:15, 19560:13, 19562:5, 19566:10, 19566:13, 19568:7, 19571:12, 19577:24, 19579:7, 19591:10, 19599:16, 19601:2, 19601:3 applied [1] - 19434:22 appreciate [10] - 19412:15, 19445:25, 19488:6, 19489:13, 19491:5, 19492:5, 19495:4, 19498:16, 19529:3, 19561:21 approach [6] - 19393:21, 19499:20, 19501:12, 19534:3, 19565:9, 19565:22 approached [3] - 19545:12, 19563:3, 19592:22 approaching [2] - 19501:18, 19501:21 appropriate [7] - 19448:3, 19457:5, 19486:17, 19552:8, 19563:18, 19589:12, 19608:1 appropriateness [2] - 19563:8, 19564:12 approx [1] - 19459:20 April [1] - 19436:11 April [6] - 19482:20, 19483:1, 19485:2, 19485:5, 19486:1, 19600:25 archived [1] - 19480:16 area [7] - 19436:4, 19483:18, 19514:18, 19565:25, 19584:14, 19605:4, 19608:3 arguments [1] - 19500:1 arise [1] - 19497:13 arisen [1] - 19497:22</p>	<p>arising [3] - 19420:1, 19435:5, 19435:24 arrange [1] - 19527:19 arranged [3] - 19401:3, 19402:2, 19581:5 arrest [4] - 19540:18, 19556:1, 19556:5, 19556:7 arrival [1] - 19457:22 Article [1] - 19489:3 article [13] - 19474:8, 19488:22, 19489:4, 19489:9, 19546:2, 19546:4, 19552:19, 19552:22, 19552:23, 19553:16, 19554:15, 19581:24, 19582:8 articles [3] - 19474:6, 19552:17, 19553:23 ascertaining [1] - 19538:23 aside [5] - 19434:7, 19446:2, 19465:18, 19494:19, 19529:25 aspect [10] - 19419:3, 19433:10, 19436:21, 19437:22, 19479:18, 19483:14, 19504:2, 19504:18, 19505:6, 19588:18 aspects [1] - 19570:21 Asper [13] - 19430:4, 19446:23, 19447:8, 19447:12, 19484:14, 19525:21, 19526:5, 19538:14, 19538:16, 19544:7, 19544:24, 19551:9, 19582:10 assailant [2] - 19508:7, 19582:5 assault [13] - 19395:4, 19397:9, 19398:22, 19399:6, 19400:3, 19400:14, 19435:3, 19582:24, 19585:3, 19585:21, 19586:20, 19605:11 assaulted [3] - 19582:3, 19583:1, 19586:12 assaulting [1] - 19586:2 assaults [10] - 19396:2, 19442:22, 19530:2, 19533:8, 19541:4, 19542:18, 19544:17, 19557:6, 19601:8, 19601:21 assertion [1] - 19554:14 assertions [7] - 19508:12, 19508:15,</p>	<p>19510:12, 19510:16, 19512:5, 19512:12, 19515:7 assess [1] - 19418:19 assessed [1] - 19400:11 assessing [4] - 19412:13, 19454:12, 19520:16, 19530:5 assessment [6] - 19400:21, 19459:4, 19500:22, 19501:16, 19576:24, 19587:25 assist [11] - 19422:17, 19437:5, 19466:23, 19470:7, 19476:12, 19477:3, 19494:13, 19495:5, 19528:16, 19577:18, 19588:6 assistance [3] - 19401:10, 19474:10, 19578:23 Assistant [2] - 19389:5, 19389:6 assisted [3] - 19542:19, 19584:7, 19586:17 assisting [4] - 19522:18, 19573:12, 19584:23, 19584:24 associate [3] - 19426:14, 19433:18, 19531:8 associated [9] - 19412:21, 19434:13, 19435:12, 19571:20, 19601:8, 19601:20, 19603:2, 19605:7, 19606:8 associating [1] - 19433:25 association [2] - 19442:16, 19593:15 assume [5] - 19405:14, 19410:9, 19482:5, 19515:9, 19526:7 assumed [1] - 19567:22 assuming [3] - 19465:9, 19468:13, 19560:9 assumption [1] - 19396:9 attach [2] - 19430:14, 19471:13 attached [1] - 19433:1 Attached [1] - 19436:8 attack [1] - 19557:8 attacked [1] - 19547:1 attacker [1] - 19546:7 attacks [4] - 19539:16, 19540:16, 19550:13, 19559:12</p>
--	--	---	--	--



<p>attempt [7] - 19393:10, 19423:6, 19463:3, 19534:1, 19595:10, 19602:15, 19606:6</p> <p>attempted [3] - 19393:4, 19546:18, 19603:3</p> <p>attempting [2] - 19419:2, 19477:20</p> <p>attempts [4] - 19439:20, 19484:4, 19562:25, 19590:6</p> <p>Attempts [5] - 19398:3, 19477:16, 19601:7, 19605:4, 19605:19</p> <p>Attended [1] - 19457:13</p> <p>attended [3] - 19394:13, 19401:5, 19418:25</p> <p>attention [5] - 19438:1, 19478:20, 19538:22, 19540:19, 19555:22</p> <p>attributed [6] - 19413:3, 19509:5, 19509:16, 19519:6, 19553:22, 19554:7</p> <p>attributes [2] - 19553:6, 19553:18</p> <p>attributing [1] - 19509:23</p> <p>Audio [1] - 19389:13</p> <p>August [32] - 19411:10, 19413:12, 19414:17, 19414:19, 19416:5, 19417:8, 19418:24, 19422:13, 19427:8, 19439:16, 19441:22, 19446:13, 19448:10, 19448:11, 19448:21, 19524:11, 19526:14, 19526:15, 19528:24, 19533:1, 19533:23, 19535:25, 19544:23, 19546:1, 19552:14, 19552:19, 19556:11, 19564:15, 19567:15, 19568:23, 19575:2, 19581:25</p> <p>august [1] - 19413:17</p> <p>author [1] - 19525:4</p> <p>authorities [3] - 19478:17, 19560:16, 19562:2</p> <p>authorization [1] - 19593:24</p> <p>authorize [1] - 19593:21</p> <p>autopsy [2] - 19406:16, 19412:24</p> <p>available [20] - 19397:4,</p>	<p>19397:15, 19397:22, 19421:15, 19428:11, 19433:20, 19440:1, 19444:7, 19469:10, 19499:19, 19530:7, 19536:22, 19539:1, 19549:11, 19570:12, 19571:21, 19578:8, 19579:22, 19596:23, 19599:6</p> <p>avenue [2] - 19594:2, 19607:4</p> <p>Avenue [4] - 19467:25, 19582:7, 19583:2, 19600:6</p> <p>average [1] - 19468:2</p> <p>aware [20] - 19395:3, 19396:4, 19397:1, 19397:10, 19411:11, 19416:19, 19447:5, 19465:2, 19473:22, 19522:16, 19522:20, 19528:24, 19543:6, 19567:7, 19568:14, 19569:23, 19585:2, 19595:14, 19597:5</p> <p>awful [1] - 19426:5</p>	<p>19424:24, 19487:2, 19528:24, 19595:14</p> <p>become [7] - 19433:17, 19435:16, 19473:22, 19493:12, 19499:19, 19576:17, 19585:2</p> <p>becoming [3] - 19397:1, 19472:1, 19573:6</p> <p>began [3] - 19432:21, 19458:2, 19471:1</p> <p>begins [1] - 19552:21</p> <p>behalf [9] - 19497:15, 19502:19, 19503:4, 19506:2, 19522:25, 19539:6, 19551:8, 19566:13, 19570:21</p> <p>behaviour [1] - 19508:2</p> <p>behind [1] - 19441:16</p> <p>Beitel [1] - 19389:9</p> <p>belief [4] - 19540:17, 19607:10, 19607:11, 19607:24</p> <p>believes [3] - 19458:17, 19527:16, 19539:7</p> <p>belonging [2] - 19398:8, 19398:13</p> <p>Bench [4] - 19609:1, 19609:3, 19609:14, 19609:20</p> <p>benefit [1] - 19597:3</p> <p>benefits [1] - 19595:25</p> <p>Bertrand [2] - 19394:15, 19398:22</p> <p>best [1] - 19609:6</p> <p>Between [1] - 19572:12</p> <p>between [22] - 19423:19, 19434:17, 19442:17, 19442:19, 19465:21, 19496:2, 19517:7, 19517:11, 19518:1, 19519:25, 19529:15, 19531:3, 19538:2, 19541:9, 19541:25, 19549:21, 19554:24, 19559:23, 19572:2, 19572:16, 19575:13, 19589:5</p> <p>beyond [3] - 19447:19, 19476:22, 19490:16</p> <p>bid [1] - 19552:21</p> <p>big [1] - 19472:16</p> <p>bit [24] - 19393:22, 19415:25, 19419:17, 19427:1, 19428:14, 19430:16, 19431:22, 19441:18, 19445:8, 19455:20, 19460:23, 19462:1, 19472:22, 19489:23, 19489:25,</p>	<p>19498:2, 19528:21, 19547:18, 19552:6, 19556:19, 19563:24, 19568:21, 19590:12, 19595:18</p> <p>bits [1] - 19492:21</p> <p>blacked [4] - 19566:7, 19566:22, 19566:23, 19567:5</p> <p>blade [2] - 19508:20, 19515:22</p> <p>blood [33] - 19402:4, 19402:15, 19402:25, 19403:15, 19403:18, 19403:22, 19404:4, 19404:7, 19404:17, 19404:20, 19405:2, 19405:5, 19405:10, 19405:15, 19409:7, 19410:16, 19419:21, 19423:3, 19431:8, 19431:17, 19434:8, 19434:11, 19434:14, 19434:15, 19435:2, 19435:19, 19581:6, 19581:9, 19581:13, 19581:18</p> <p>blue [1] - 19398:6</p> <p>blur [1] - 19426:6</p> <p>Board [1] - 19463:3</p> <p>Bobs [2] - 19390:5, 19488:25</p> <p>body [4] - 19474:21, 19504:11, 19506:5, 19519:25</p> <p>bona [1] - 19393:9</p> <p>book [3] - 19493:17, 19493:19, 19525:4</p> <p>boots [3] - 19462:12, 19528:7, 19551:20</p> <p>boss [1] - 19568:25</p> <p>Boswell [1] - 19389:4</p> <p>bothering [1] - 19484:8</p> <p>bottom [18] - 19402:24, 19409:14, 19410:12, 19410:24, 19421:8, 19422:19, 19431:1, 19431:6, 19447:20, 19453:15, 19470:13, 19473:14, 19509:13, 19580:17, 19593:1, 19597:13, 19601:5, 19606:13</p> <p>bounce [1] - 19570:3</p> <p>Boychuk [1] - 19390:8</p> <p>boyfriend [1] - 19436:13</p> <p>boys [1] - 19411:22</p> <p>brass [1] - 19567:9</p> <p>break [5] - 19448:1,</p>	<p>19487:18, 19487:25, 19552:8, 19608:1</p> <p>briefed [2] - 19416:2, 19416:7</p> <p>Briefed [1] - 19401:8</p> <p>bring [3] - 19479:16, 19594:18, 19596:12</p> <p>British [1] - 19534:8</p> <p>brothers [2] - 19545:14, 19563:14</p> <p>brought [6] - 19498:5, 19538:21, 19540:18, 19555:22, 19559:5, 19578:20</p> <p>Brown [1] - 19559:3</p> <p>brown [1] - 19458:6</p> <p>Bruce [1] - 19390:9</p> <p>brutalized [1] - 19540:14</p> <p>build [1] - 19531:19</p> <p>building [1] - 19576:19</p> <p>burn [1] - 19458:12</p> <p>burped [1] - 19420:18</p> <p>business [2] - 19397:24, 19531:2</p>
				C
				<p>Cadrain [72] - 19401:2, 19401:6, 19401:14, 19401:19, 19402:3, 19402:12, 19402:14, 19402:19, 19402:23, 19403:6, 19403:8, 19403:10, 19404:4, 19404:6, 19404:7, 19404:10, 19404:19, 19406:6, 19406:7, 19406:24, 19407:8, 19407:12, 19407:19, 19408:5, 19408:6, 19408:24, 19409:3, 19409:6, 19409:20, 19410:1, 19411:15, 19411:22, 19413:3, 19413:9, 19414:22, 19415:15, 19415:17, 19423:14, 19431:4, 19431:7, 19431:11, 19431:16, 19432:18, 19432:21, 19432:23, 19433:4, 19433:12, 19434:7, 19440:5, 19440:7, 19440:12, 19440:19, 19440:23, 19441:1, 19441:9, 19457:15, 19457:16, 19457:21, 19457:22, 19457:25, 19458:5,</p>



<p>19458:15, 19458:20, 19459:12, 19459:14, 19460:15, 19461:12, 19461:19, 19470:24, 19503:13, 19509:3 Cadrain's [14] - 19404:16, 19409:16, 19419:15, 19420:1, 19431:24, 19432:9, 19432:12, 19433:1, 19434:11, 19434:19, 19435:5, 19435:25, 19471:13 Caldwell [2] - 19390:5, 19489:1 Campbell [5] - 19483:2, 19484:11, 19494:1, 19496:23, 19588:7 Campbell's [2] - 19488:4, 19495:6 Canada [5] - 19390:12, 19417:20, 19446:18, 19484:17, 19525:24 Canada's [1] - 19553:2 Candace [1] - 19389:3 candidate [4] - 19423:11, 19430:9, 19430:23, 19445:22 cannot [5] - 19402:25, 19405:2, 19410:16, 19431:7, 19596:2 canvass [1] - 19415:7 capable [1] - 19586:5 capture [2] - 19435:23, 19594:14 capturing [1] - 19444:10 car [3] - 19467:23, 19504:25, 19507:13 Carl [5] - 19476:3, 19476:13, 19524:17, 19525:4, 19598:10 Carp [1] - 19492:20 carries [2] - 19519:4, 19556:11 carry [3] - 19394:6, 19490:1, 19587:4 carrying [3] - 19408:11, 19408:13, 19491:15 case [38] - 19410:1, 19411:17, 19413:10, 19416:4, 19422:1, 19427:20, 19433:19, 19446:14, 19466:19, 19472:2, 19479:6, 19484:16, 19498:1, 19499:23, 19500:4, 19516:14, 19523:4, 19524:24, 19525:5, 19525:8, 19525:15,</p>	<p>19525:21, 19526:11, 19528:5, 19531:19, 19543:5, 19552:21, 19553:3, 19566:20, 19567:25, 19581:24, 19583:15, 19586:14, 19590:2, 19594:9, 19597:16, 19604:8, 19606:19 cases [7] - 19497:19, 19531:18, 19531:23, 19532:1, 19556:14, 19560:21, 19602:2 cast [1] - 19540:19 caused [4] - 19423:9, 19443:7, 19459:3, 19541:19 causes [2] - 19449:24, 19467:8 cautious [1] - 19418:23 Cavalier [1] - 19388:16 Cbc [7] - 19493:10, 19523:2, 19523:7, 19524:17, 19524:18, 19525:6, 19598:10 Cecil [2] - 19524:17, 19525:6 central [1] - 19559:24 Centurion [20] - 19485:14, 19521:20, 19522:15, 19522:17, 19523:8, 19534:15, 19535:5, 19539:3, 19539:10, 19539:13, 19544:8, 19550:4, 19550:10, 19550:18, 19552:1, 19566:15, 19569:4, 19571:4, 19604:13, 19606:22 certain [8] - 19418:20, 19453:7, 19465:11, 19492:21, 19525:7, 19534:18, 19550:22, 19595:6 certainly [37] - 19396:9, 19400:1, 19400:16, 19405:5, 19407:20, 19407:25, 19408:3, 19417:1, 19417:20, 19426:22, 19426:23, 19429:1, 19431:20, 19442:20, 19443:6, 19443:7, 19443:22, 19445:18, 19446:6, 19446:9, 19447:13, 19466:16, 19486:16, 19491:25, 19517:20, 19519:3, 19520:23, 19547:23, 19554:17, 19555:22, 19576:20,</p>	<p>19581:20, 19585:25, 19587:1, 19587:24, 19596:22, 19603:25 Certificates [1] - 19609:1 certify [1] - 19609:4 chain [3] - 19406:22, 19500:11, 19500:12 challenge [7] - 19428:24, 19429:14, 19445:2, 19454:14, 19484:20, 19520:20, 19592:21 chance [1] - 19596:23 change [3] - 19416:23, 19431:10, 19573:24 changed [4] - 19403:3, 19408:19, 19458:15, 19573:18 channels [1] - 19525:14 character [1] - 19498:10 charge [8] - 19555:25, 19556:8, 19579:3, 19583:14, 19584:20, 19584:21, 19585:1, 19587:7 charged [5] - 19540:15, 19555:20, 19562:7, 19586:19, 19604:19 charges [4] - 19540:23, 19559:25, 19560:8, 19572:15 charging [1] - 19572:8 check [3] - 19438:16, 19461:19, 19552:9 checked [4] - 19394:8, 19410:13, 19436:6, 19489:1 Cheryl [1] - 19389:6 Chief [7] - 19419:5, 19419:12, 19474:18, 19533:19, 19556:25, 19563:23, 19602:11 children [2] - 19407:25, 19459:24 Chris [1] - 19390:8 Christmas [1] - 19420:15 chronology [16] - 19392:12, 19427:11, 19470:7, 19475:1, 19475:12, 19476:20, 19481:2, 19485:25, 19486:9, 19488:9, 19490:12, 19490:20, 19490:22, 19495:23, 19524:8, 19556:11 Cias [1] - 19580:19 circulated [2] -</p>	<p>19494:6, 19494:22 circumstance [5] - 19406:18, 19406:20, 19412:11, 19444:6, 19517:19 circumstances [9] - 19428:3, 19484:2, 19499:23, 19501:9, 19511:25, 19513:8, 19527:5, 19530:4, 19532:1 circumstantial [3] - 19433:20, 19435:17, 19531:21 city [20] - 19395:9, 19396:2, 19399:17, 19402:14, 19424:19, 19454:19, 19461:24, 19463:10, 19533:19, 19533:22, 19546:8, 19546:18, 19547:4, 19547:20, 19547:24, 19549:24, 19557:25, 19568:11, 19570:7, 19571:10 City [22] - 19394:14, 19396:24, 19418:25, 19421:10, 19421:13, 19436:20, 19448:24, 19453:17, 19455:25, 19461:8, 19533:4, 19535:1, 19535:19, 19558:23, 19567:20, 19568:7, 19569:3, 19569:12, 19572:3, 19578:4, 19594:21, 19601:22 claimed [3] - 19493:3, 19567:6, 19585:11 claiming [1] - 19484:7 claims [1] - 19605:22 clear [5] - 19408:9, 19455:22, 19479:8, 19538:8, 19602:16 cleared [1] - 19445:4 clearly [3] - 19553:8, 19553:11, 19554:10 Clerk [1] - 19389:9 client [4] - 19457:3, 19468:19, 19470:17, 19597:20 client/counsel [1] - 19572:23 close [4] - 19407:13, 19454:25, 19459:24, 19477:12 closing [1] - 19416:4 clothes [12] - 19402:5, 19402:15, 19403:1, 19405:3, 19410:17,</p>	<p>19431:8, 19431:9, 19431:17, 19432:4, 19528:7 clothing [3] - 19403:16, 19403:19, 19604:16 co [2] - 19521:5, 19525:4 co-author [1] - 19525:4 co-operation [1] - 19521:5 coat [2] - 19516:24, 19516:25 Code [3] - 19471:12, 19484:24, 19500:6 coffee [1] - 19459:6 coin [1] - 19553:10 coincidence [1] - 19477:11 coincidental [1] - 19509:2 collected [1] - 19581:14 colour [2] - 19508:20, 19515:22 Columbia [1] - 19534:8 column [1] - 19546:20 coming [8] - 19417:1, 19421:24, 19453:18, 19455:12, 19460:8, 19471:11, 19538:1, 19573:3 command [2] - 19500:11, 19500:12 commanding [1] - 19482:21 commencing [1] - 19444:5 comment [26] - 19406:25, 19412:20, 19413:2, 19425:2, 19429:25, 19431:23, 19432:17, 19433:23, 19434:8, 19442:8, 19447:9, 19450:22, 19458:23, 19476:3, 19510:2, 19510:4, 19510:6, 19514:19, 19523:19, 19525:11, 19526:3, 19526:11, 19547:19, 19547:20, 19561:22, 19603:7 commented [1] - 19604:17 commenting [1] - 19525:19 comments [9] - 19415:8, 19433:17, 19444:18, 19448:13, 19471:18, 19484:9, 19558:12, 19562:11, 19593:17</p>
--	---	---	--	--



commission [1] - 19532:11 Commission [13] - 19388:2, 19388:14, 19389:1, 19389:2, 19389:9, 19397:9, 19403:10, 19403:17, 19409:4, 19527:11, 19578:15, 19602:15, 19606:11 Commissioner [15] - 19392:3, 19447:24, 19448:2, 19448:5, 19478:1, 19478:4, 19487:16, 19487:21, 19527:2, 19527:21, 19545:20, 19591:12, 19591:14, 19608:2, 19608:4 commit [3] - 19504:14, 19505:4, 19506:10 committed [37] - 19399:16, 19405:13, 19429:3, 19439:6, 19442:22, 19443:2, 19443:4, 19466:7, 19493:6, 19503:22, 19504:13, 19504:16, 19506:8, 19518:5, 19519:20, 19523:17, 19524:2, 19529:18, 19529:23, 19531:4, 19531:25, 19532:4, 19539:16, 19541:18, 19544:1, 19547:8, 19548:3, 19548:12, 19550:13, 19555:1, 19584:1, 19585:17, 19585:20, 19585:22, 19591:17, 19599:17, 19604:18 commonly [1] - 19498:8 communications [1] - 19513:10 company [1] - 19493:19 compare [3] - 19432:12, 19490:11, 19530:18 compared [3] - 19453:10, 19533:16, 19580:1 comparison [3] - 19515:25, 19579:23, 19605:12 compellingly [2] - 19553:11, 19554:10 complainant [2] - 19561:9, 19561:14 complained [1] -	19420:19 complaining [1] - 19461:13 complaint [5] - 19561:14, 19583:21, 19599:11, 19599:14, 19600:9 complete [4] - 19544:20, 19578:12, 19578:24, 19580:5 completed [4] - 19468:4, 19513:18, 19565:14, 19605:12 completely [4] - 19526:2, 19530:12, 19580:6, 19603:5 complicated [1] - 19606:17 compromise [2] - 19569:7, 19570:15 computer [1] - 19567:1 conceded [1] - 19509:2 concern [9] - 19397:17, 19449:24, 19450:15, 19467:8, 19467:12, 19559:19, 19564:3, 19589:21, 19599:4 concerned [11] - 19449:4, 19450:14, 19450:24, 19452:5, 19459:23, 19476:24, 19483:13, 19490:18, 19510:15, 19555:21, 19601:25 concerning [9] - 19415:1, 19479:17, 19497:21, 19508:5, 19526:20, 19533:5, 19539:2, 19573:9, 19599:10 concerns [7] - 19392:20, 19393:8, 19561:24, 19568:5, 19569:16, 19589:25, 19597:14 conclude [4] - 19482:8, 19580:7, 19583:16, 19584:7 concluded [4] - 19392:8, 19445:24, 19540:24, 19561:9 concluding [2] - 19473:23, 19520:8 conclusion [7] - 19459:3, 19499:24, 19506:15, 19548:17, 19555:2, 19587:23, 19604:23 conclusions [2] - 19416:16, 19416:19	condition [6] - 19393:2, 19420:11, 19420:21, 19423:7, 19439:20, 19483:17 conditions [4] - 19427:18, 19446:1, 19457:5, 19470:16 conduct [4] - 19392:18, 19415:3, 19415:12, 19555:10 conducted [6] - 19422:24, 19445:9, 19449:14, 19468:19, 19484:21, 19500:22 conducting [3] - 19499:13, 19565:4, 19597:6 Confederation [1] - 19440:5 conference [1] - 19496:11 confession [1] - 19577:3 confidential [1] - 19570:11 confirm [7] - 19393:12, 19439:11, 19440:11, 19441:13, 19446:6, 19471:25, 19574:22 confirmed [3] - 19470:15, 19492:20, 19586:24 confirming [1] - 19470:14 conflict [4] - 19405:11, 19428:21, 19488:24, 19489:10 Congram [1] - 19389:3 Conlon [2] - 19401:8, 19401:15 connect [3] - 19508:25, 19516:7, 19516:16 connected [5] - 19520:10, 19520:20, 19520:22, 19549:24, 19561:25 connection [3] - 19495:13, 19496:1, 19517:4 connections [1] - 19517:11 cons [2] - 19456:13, 19569:3 consider [10] - 19407:21, 19472:4, 19472:8, 19473:2, 19483:23, 19500:1, 19500:23, 19540:3, 19542:17, 19561:21 consideration [3] -	19414:10, 19438:18, 19454:24 considered [14] - 19430:22, 19449:5, 19450:24, 19452:5, 19463:22, 19467:15, 19472:12, 19498:7, 19499:6, 19542:9, 19542:24, 19556:9, 19579:20, 19607:13 considering [2] - 19407:4, 19496:8 considers [1] - 19553:1 consistent [11] - 19394:18, 19398:24, 19399:15, 19406:7, 19431:12, 19456:24, 19470:19, 19476:9, 19498:20, 19574:24, 19606:3 conspired [1] - 19480:2 constant [1] - 19446:20 construction [3] - 19462:11, 19528:10, 19605:1 construed [1] - 19593:6 consultation [2] - 19580:12, 19593:25 consulted [4] - 19485:21, 19495:11, 19495:25, 19596:4 Contact [2] - 19440:4, 19576:3 contact [26] - 19394:5, 19402:8, 19403:25, 19414:24, 19423:14, 19424:17, 19425:4, 19460:24, 19463:2, 19467:13, 19478:17, 19484:4, 19486:3, 19488:2, 19502:20, 19523:6, 19528:19, 19532:16, 19551:3, 19558:1, 19564:17, 19565:17, 19582:10, 19594:4, 19596:24, 19597:4 contacted [12] - 19441:4, 19452:25, 19467:3, 19488:25, 19524:18, 19525:6, 19550:21, 19556:25, 19563:11, 19563:23, 19564:2, 19589:18 contacting [2] - 19534:7, 19577:15 contacts [1] - 19486:12 contain [2] - 19539:19, 19609:5 contained [3] -	19433:11, 19437:12, 19602:25 contention [1] - 19439:5 contents [2] - 19467:4, 19503:14 context [1] - 19514:6 continually [2] - 19420:12, 19420:18 continuation [1] - 19490:7 continue [7] - 19437:23, 19442:7, 19452:15, 19477:17, 19573:21, 19590:6, 19596:12 Continued [1] - 19391:3 continued [3] - 19392:5, 19513:25, 19527:22 continues [1] - 19446:14 continuing [2] - 19605:9, 19606:2 continuous [2] - 19476:21, 19490:15 contradicts [1] - 19502:13 contrary [1] - 19452:12 convenience [1] - 19559:9 conversation [10] - 19392:24, 19415:24, 19422:14, 19440:20, 19450:25, 19452:1, 19459:6, 19468:16, 19594:19, 19599:8 conversations [3] - 19451:24, 19485:13, 19486:18 conversely [1] - 19445:20 convert [1] - 19443:8 converted [3] - 19517:21, 19531:7, 19596:2 converting [2] - 19520:19, 19531:15 conveyed [1] - 19508:13 Convict [1] - 19493:2 convict [5] - 19493:3, 19493:8, 19589:23, 19590:20, 19591:24 convicted [10] - 19539:8, 19539:9, 19540:16, 19544:13, 19553:1, 19553:9, 19558:17, 19559:12, 19572:13, 19586:20
--	---	---	---	--



<p>conviction [12] - 19406:6, 19427:4, 19439:11, 19484:13, 19497:22, 19540:21, 19589:22, 19590:20, 19590:24, 19590:25, 19591:4, 19591:18</p> <p>Conviction [1] - 19388:4</p> <p>convictions [1] - 19560:17</p> <p>convinced [2] - 19526:1, 19587:3</p> <p>cooperate [4] - 19449:23, 19478:9, 19478:22, 19575:23</p> <p>cooperating [1] - 19576:21</p> <p>cooperation [4] - 19427:16, 19441:25, 19575:22, 19576:5</p> <p>cooperative [1] - 19576:17</p> <p>coordinated [1] - 19418:5</p> <p>copies [3] - 19396:6, 19567:4, 19575:11</p> <p>copy [11] - 19394:15, 19396:16, 19447:21, 19459:13, 19487:10, 19493:21, 19494:3, 19494:10, 19522:1, 19522:11, 19571:2</p> <p>cordial [1] - 19451:24</p> <p>corduroy [1] - 19458:6</p> <p>Coroner [1] - 19474:22</p> <p>Corporal [1] - 19401:15</p> <p>correct [53] - 19393:19, 19395:18, 19401:22, 19402:16, 19402:20, 19410:20, 19419:17, 19422:6, 19424:4, 19424:10, 19427:12, 19430:17, 19430:18, 19438:16, 19441:20, 19456:16, 19466:5, 19468:8, 19475:13, 19485:6, 19488:9, 19500:10, 19510:21, 19517:1, 19517:18, 19519:21, 19520:3, 19522:19, 19524:19, 19529:23, 19530:19, 19533:17, 19535:23, 19537:7, 19537:13, 19540:4, 19541:4, 19541:5, 19543:20, 19544:18, 19557:24, 19574:6, 19574:19, 19574:20, 19575:13,</p>	<p>19578:25, 19586:11, 19593:9, 19596:14, 19599:21, 19600:13, 19605:16, 19609:5</p> <p>corrected [1] - 19497:2</p> <p>correctly [1] - 19414:10</p> <p>correctness [1] - 19497:21</p> <p>correspondence [2] - 19572:16, 19575:12</p> <p>corroborate [1] - 19434:10</p> <p>Cotler [1] - 19390:12</p> <p>Counsel [1] - 19389:2</p> <p>counsel [11] - 19446:22, 19495:15, 19500:2, 19505:21, 19521:21, 19525:13, 19534:5, 19580:14, 19587:6, 19602:10, 19603:9</p> <p>counsel's [1] - 19500:4</p> <p>counter [1] - 19429:18</p> <p>country [1] - 19418:10</p> <p>couple [15] - 19401:24, 19405:17, 19425:18, 19425:22, 19475:14, 19475:20, 19483:8, 19512:17, 19522:9, 19533:23, 19541:17, 19546:10, 19552:16, 19568:10, 19575:4</p> <p>coupled [1] - 19423:9</p> <p>Courier [1] - 19536:2</p> <p>course [8] - 19397:24, 19421:5, 19506:12, 19514:15, 19535:11, 19538:1, 19560:11, 19568:11</p> <p>Court [21] - 19389:10, 19398:6, 19417:20, 19446:9, 19458:16, 19479:12, 19484:16, 19484:18, 19525:23, 19525:24, 19532:1, 19532:2, 19558:13, 19558:24, 19572:12, 19583:15, 19583:16, 19609:1, 19609:3, 19609:14, 19609:20</p> <p>court [1] - 19564:21</p> <p>courtesy [2] - 19564:3, 19564:6</p> <p>cover [2] - 19427:11, 19603:1</p> <p>coverage [4] - 19446:15, 19446:18, 19447:11, 19555:12</p> <p>covered [4] - 19395:1, 19448:12, 19599:11,</p>	<p>19606:14</p> <p>covert [2] - 19513:9, 19521:8</p> <p>coverup [9] - 19454:19, 19454:22, 19455:9, 19455:11, 19455:23, 19456:1, 19473:5, 19603:14, 19603:23</p> <p>Cpl [1] - 19401:8</p> <p>create [1] - 19514:17</p> <p>created [3] - 19455:15, 19547:14, 19560:4</p> <p>creates [1] - 19479:12</p> <p>credibility [4] - 19408:4, 19477:23, 19479:13, 19493:11</p> <p>Credit [1] - 19457:24</p> <p>credit [1] - 19431:24</p> <p>crime [36] - 19419:20, 19439:6, 19441:17, 19444:16, 19484:8, 19503:3, 19503:22, 19504:15, 19505:4, 19506:11, 19509:7, 19509:18, 19509:24, 19511:2, 19516:16, 19518:2, 19518:5, 19518:8, 19518:11, 19518:15, 19519:8, 19519:11, 19519:17, 19519:20, 19523:17, 19524:2, 19532:2, 19532:4, 19541:15, 19565:6, 19591:17, 19593:16, 19599:21, 19603:17, 19604:18, 19605:8</p> <p>Crimes [1] - 19550:5</p> <p>crimes [13] - 19394:19, 19398:24, 19399:16, 19399:22, 19443:1, 19443:4, 19463:5, 19529:17, 19530:2, 19531:4, 19544:1, 19548:23, 19606:9</p> <p>Criminal [6] - 19471:12, 19484:24, 19500:6, 19578:22, 19580:9, 19580:19</p> <p>criminal [10] - 19443:3, 19443:21, 19472:2, 19508:1, 19508:22, 19516:4, 19529:24, 19531:25, 19532:24, 19576:1</p> <p>cross [1] - 19454:20</p> <p>crossed [2] - 19455:11, 19456:4</p> <p>Crown [4] - 19440:6, 19440:13, 19502:14,</p>	<p>19523:15</p> <p>Csr [8] - 19389:10, 19389:11, 19609:2, 19609:12, 19609:13, 19609:18, 19609:19</p> <p>cup [1] - 19459:6</p> <p>curiosity [1] - 19454:23</p> <p>current [2] - 19598:15, 19605:22</p> <p>Current [2] - 19424:21, 19446:17</p>	<p>19434:11, 19434:17, 19436:7, 19436:12, 19436:18, 19438:22, 19439:9, 19439:13, 19443:19, 19446:11, 19446:23, 19447:12, 19453:8, 19453:24, 19454:4, 19455:25, 19465:5, 19466:2, 19466:8, 19466:15, 19472:10, 19483:3, 19484:13, 19484:14, 19501:8, 19503:5, 19504:8, 19504:13, 19505:9, 19505:13, 19505:21, 19506:2, 19506:9, 19521:22, 19522:18, 19523:21, 19523:23, 19525:12, 19536:14, 19539:22, 19543:9, 19544:24, 19545:2, 19545:10, 19553:3, 19562:2, 19566:14, 19584:25, 19590:25, 19591:4, 19591:18, 19604:17</p> <p>David's [2] - 19403:19, 19437:18</p> <p>days [5] - 19414:21, 19533:23, 19546:3, 19552:20, 19559:23</p> <p>Dd [1] - 19482:5</p> <p>dead [1] - 19537:2</p> <p>deal [7] - 19401:15, 19401:24, 19407:11, 19472:14, 19514:1, 19568:21, 19599:15</p> <p>dealing [16] - 19409:20, 19417:24, 19418:12, 19444:24, 19477:8, 19500:15, 19502:17, 19507:20, 19511:13, 19527:4, 19528:25, 19529:5, 19551:10, 19554:2, 19564:22, 19592:17</p> <p>dealings [7] - 19407:8, 19407:9, 19418:3, 19424:14, 19458:20, 19511:8, 19560:11</p> <p>dealt [8] - 19426:25, 19502:1, 19502:10, 19559:16, 19560:16, 19570:1, 19572:15, 19574:1</p> <p>death [33] - 19428:4, 19428:9, 19435:10, 19435:14, 19436:8, 19437:2, 19437:12, 19441:15, 19442:3,</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">D</p>				
<p>D-8 [1] - 19467:23</p> <p>Dale [3] - 19415:4, 19422:24, 19503:11</p> <p>Dan [1] - 19401:5</p> <p>data [1] - 19557:18</p> <p>date [40] - 19392:14, 19392:15, 19401:17, 19402:8, 19410:25, 19439:16, 19441:24, 19449:16, 19449:22, 19452:25, 19468:6, 19476:14, 19476:21, 19478:14, 19480:13, 19480:14, 19481:5, 19481:8, 19481:24, 19482:6, 19482:13, 19482:14, 19482:17, 19482:22, 19483:18, 19490:15, 19491:18, 19492:16, 19493:14, 19494:25, 19504:5, 19524:22, 19540:21, 19551:15, 19552:15, 19553:16, 19558:5, 19593:3, 19605:7, 19607:22</p> <p>dated [6] - 19424:21, 19473:21, 19475:1, 19489:4, 19572:5, 19581:25</p> <p>dates [6] - 19414:2, 19456:20, 19475:18, 19550:22, 19551:23, 19552:1</p> <p>David [73] - 19388:4, 19390:2, 19390:11, 19402:15, 19403:16, 19404:7, 19404:20, 19405:13, 19406:12, 19412:21, 19413:3, 19414:2, 19424:22, 19424:23, 19426:17, 19430:1, 19430:4, 19430:5, 19431:17, 19432:13, 19434:4,</p>				



<p>19442:10, 19442:15, 19442:17, 19443:5, 19443:15, 19444:4, 19444:15, 19453:4, 19474:6, 19477:7, 19508:17, 19514:24, 19515:13, 19515:17, 19516:11, 19520:12, 19521:12, 19532:16, 19541:12, 19546:24, 19549:1, 19586:18, 19594:6, 19594:7 Deborah [1] - 19502:12 Dec [1] - 19572:10 deceased [10] - 19435:2, 19435:10, 19436:8, 19436:11, 19436:24, 19437:2, 19437:11, 19441:14, 19454:14, 19479:24 deceased's [1] - 19419:3 December [19] - 19474:4, 19474:21, 19476:17, 19481:3, 19485:19, 19488:1, 19488:15, 19488:17, 19490:9, 19490:13, 19490:24, 19491:8, 19491:18, 19492:1, 19494:7, 19495:21, 19521:24, 19524:11, 19563:24 deception [1] - 19394:5 deceptive [3] - 19393:25, 19445:12, 19445:25 decide [2] - 19464:12, 19570:4 decided [2] - 19595:24, 19596:9 decision [17] - 19471:11, 19472:19, 19475:2, 19492:2, 19494:21, 19494:25, 19525:20, 19538:21, 19543:8, 19587:6, 19588:8, 19592:9, 19592:11, 19592:12, 19592:13, 19595:21, 19596:18 decisions [2] - 19588:14, 19592:24 declare [1] - 19543:9 declined [2] - 19498:4, 19498:8 definite [1] - 19586:6 definitely [1] - 19549:13 definition [1] - 19584:12</p>	<p>deliberations [2] - 19503:25, 19508:3 Delisle [1] - 19453:18 delivered [2] - 19458:16, 19536:2 demonstrates [1] - 19553:8 denial [1] - 19445:10 denials [1] - 19449:6 denied [8] - 19427:24, 19428:2, 19445:23, 19451:12, 19483:19, 19585:3, 19600:13, 19605:21 denies [3] - 19453:3, 19453:19, 19600:7 Dennis [1] - 19460:15 departed [1] - 19582:5 department [4] - 19567:1, 19567:7, 19569:24, 19603:16 Department [21] - 19463:23, 19471:9, 19484:23, 19499:15, 19499:25, 19500:13, 19534:17, 19535:7, 19536:21, 19551:10, 19563:5, 19569:6, 19571:5, 19572:3, 19572:18, 19573:9, 19580:13, 19594:1, 19601:23, 19602:12, 19602:22 department's [1] - 19592:12 deposited [2] - 19504:11, 19506:5 deposition [3] - 19428:1, 19510:21, 19512:18 depth [2] - 19529:17, 19530:25 Deputy [1] - 19463:16 describe [1] - 19460:8 Description [1] - 19391:2 description [1] - 19604:24 desire [2] - 19414:23, 19415:15 destroyed [4] - 19397:23, 19530:9, 19601:14, 19602:3 Detachment [1] - 19604:11 detail [7] - 19416:9, 19416:25, 19427:9, 19432:18, 19540:7, 19540:8, 19549:6 detailed [1] - 19563:12</p>	<p>detailing [1] - 19485:25 details [10] - 19398:20, 19419:9, 19424:16, 19425:5, 19426:8, 19531:1, 19541:21, 19556:16, 19580:1 Detective [2] - 19458:18, 19572:5 detectives [2] - 19421:14, 19453:18 determination [1] - 19414:15 determine [28] - 19393:6, 19394:17, 19398:4, 19398:23, 19399:22, 19404:12, 19430:22, 19441:12, 19449:18, 19453:24, 19463:4, 19463:6, 19471:10, 19475:17, 19477:20, 19494:24, 19531:7, 19533:6, 19563:1, 19563:3, 19566:5, 19571:16, 19583:7, 19588:1, 19588:3, 19593:5, 19595:11, 19597:19 determined [6] - 19400:11, 19437:1, 19442:2, 19442:9, 19445:11, 19538:22 detracted [1] - 19584:7 develop [3] - 19442:16, 19568:15, 19583:20 developed [9] - 19405:6, 19406:10, 19406:21, 19437:4, 19539:14, 19550:11, 19583:13, 19584:18, 19591:9 developing [1] - 19544:2 development [6] - 19431:2, 19436:4, 19441:16, 19529:10, 19540:12, 19564:17 developments [7] - 19401:12, 19416:3, 19416:8, 19423:16, 19543:7, 19564:24, 19573:14 diary [4] - 19482:5, 19482:13, 19482:17, 19482:22 difference [1] - 19509:25 differences [2] - 19579:23, 19579:25 different [11] - 19493:9, 19508:19, 19515:21,</p>	<p>19529:7, 19530:21, 19543:23, 19558:2, 19561:17, 19574:22, 19584:4, 19608:3 differently [1] - 19547:18 difficult [4] - 19418:22, 19467:10, 19467:14, 19478:14 difficulty [2] - 19514:17, 19576:7 direct [8] - 19407:9, 19418:3, 19478:16, 19517:13, 19532:8, 19532:10, 19534:8, 19559:18 directed [2] - 19575:19, 19576:10 direction [2] - 19569:9, 19573:3 directly [3] - 19417:16, 19418:7, 19533:19 Director [1] - 19389:3 dirty [1] - 19551:20 disadvantage [3] - 19428:5, 19444:23, 19477:8 disbarred [1] - 19573:1 disclosure [1] - 19543:13 discomfort [1] - 19423:8 discount [2] - 19501:7, 19501:8 discovery [1] - 19499:17 discredit [1] - 19502:25 discretion [1] - 19505:23 discuss [4] - 19570:4, 19576:1, 19578:22, 19588:18 discussed [24] - 19420:6, 19450:3, 19463:17, 19467:6, 19468:25, 19479:6, 19479:7, 19479:13, 19533:2, 19538:14, 19538:16, 19558:11, 19562:22, 19563:2, 19572:1, 19572:20, 19574:15, 19581:23, 19582:12, 19587:17, 19589:4, 19589:18, 19592:1, 19595:3 Discussed [1] - 19569:2 discussing [2] - 19530:16, 19569:17 discussion [37] - 19409:23, 19414:19,</p>	<p>19433:8, 19434:17, 19450:1, 19456:17, 19461:14, 19461:22, 19464:12, 19469:14, 19476:13, 19480:3, 19480:11, 19481:11, 19488:14, 19488:17, 19489:7, 19489:19, 19490:7, 19492:20, 19493:14, 19523:7, 19524:16, 19526:4, 19533:24, 19538:11, 19558:3, 19558:10, 19571:24, 19573:8, 19574:3, 19575:9, 19575:15, 19584:4, 19592:3, 19593:3, 19596:16 Discussion [1] - 19521:16 discussions [12] - 19393:7, 19460:14, 19466:16, 19470:4, 19485:22, 19485:25, 19486:7, 19488:7, 19538:17, 19562:18, 19587:5, 19589:4 dismissed [1] - 19483:5 disposal [1] - 19587:20 dispose [2] - 19559:25, 19560:7 disposition [2] - 19551:16, 19590:2 dispute [3] - 19423:18, 19428:11, 19429:13 disputed [1] - 19451:20 distance [3] - 19468:1, 19507:4, 19519:25 distinct [2] - 19477:8, 19536:24 distinction [2] - 19496:2, 19554:24 diverse [1] - 19521:1 Division [4] - 19578:21, 19580:9, 19593:20, 19605:13 division [1] - 19579:4 Dna [2] - 19516:20, 19517:1 doc [7] - 19409:10, 19475:9, 19536:5, 19545:20, 19545:23, 19566:10 Document [3] - 19389:4, 19389:5, 19389:6 document [17] - 19392:11, 19410:23, 19456:10, 19486:16, 19494:2, 19494:22,</p>
---	---	---	---	--



<p>19496:25, 19521:18, 19521:21, 19521:22, 19552:6, 19552:8, 19557:2, 19566:4, 19566:16, 19567:14, 19580:22</p> <p>documented [2] - 19450:5, 19545:16</p> <p>documents [5] - 19535:10, 19547:12, 19567:11, 19575:11, 19581:9</p> <p>Doell [2] - 19570:23, 19571:6</p> <p>dog [1] - 19395:22</p> <p>Don [1] - 19389:11</p> <p>Donald [2] - 19609:2, 19609:19</p> <p>done [21] - 19439:24, 19500:24, 19509:12, 19512:3, 19513:13, 19513:23, 19514:5, 19514:8, 19514:12, 19516:23, 19521:9, 19527:15, 19529:11, 19531:10, 19540:6, 19540:8, 19568:2, 19597:22, 19598:25, 19606:10, 19608:3</p> <p>door [7] - 19403:4, 19408:10, 19408:17, 19408:21, 19432:1, 19568:1, 19571:19</p> <p>doubt [10] - 19462:13, 19467:16, 19545:1, 19545:9, 19589:22, 19590:20, 19590:24, 19591:4, 19591:18</p> <p>doubters [1] - 19587:2</p> <p>doubting [1] - 19545:17</p> <p>doubts [3] - 19497:21, 19540:20, 19545:18</p> <p>Douglas [1] - 19389:2</p> <p>down [59] - 19398:2, 19401:25, 19402:24, 19409:24, 19409:25, 19410:8, 19410:23, 19410:24, 19412:10, 19419:19, 19420:3, 19421:8, 19422:19, 19431:1, 19431:21, 19432:17, 19434:23, 19437:9, 19441:22, 19444:17, 19456:20, 19457:11, 19459:6, 19464:22, 19470:13, 19470:22, 19471:1, 19473:14, 19475:21, 19479:20, 19484:3, 19498:2, 19502:19,</p>	<p>19533:1, 19538:13, 19551:22, 19558:5, 19562:21, 19564:15, 19571:23, 19578:3, 19579:6, 19580:17, 19583:6, 19587:7, 19589:15, 19592:6, 19593:1, 19595:24, 19597:13, 19599:7, 19601:2, 19601:5, 19604:1, 19605:18, 19606:13, 19607:18</p> <p>downside [2] - 19597:6, 19597:9</p> <p>Dr [2] - 19434:25, 19488:17</p> <p>drafted [1] - 19496:6</p> <p>draw [2] - 19496:2, 19554:24</p> <p>dress [2] - 19516:25, 19582:4</p> <p>dresser [1] - 19437:18</p> <p>drier [1] - 19458:9</p> <p>Drive [1] - 19440:5</p> <p>driven [1] - 19520:2</p> <p>driving [1] - 19468:2</p> <p>dropped [1] - 19560:21</p> <p>drove [2] - 19467:23, 19507:2</p> <p>During [4] - 19436:14, 19436:23, 19484:10, 19599:8</p> <p>during [31] - 19397:2, 19418:2, 19420:17, 19428:8, 19430:2, 19433:7, 19433:21, 19447:3, 19449:7, 19451:16, 19462:14, 19477:21, 19480:16, 19480:18, 19482:9, 19482:23, 19486:12, 19499:20, 19501:12, 19509:4, 19522:18, 19523:8, 19533:7, 19533:8, 19533:10, 19537:25, 19576:2, 19584:2, 19593:17, 19594:3, 19595:6</p> <p>duties [1] - 19501:6</p> <p>duty [2] - 19499:16, 19500:1</p> <p>dwelt [1] - 19540:5</p>	<p>19602:23, 19606:8</p> <p>east [1] - 19467:24</p> <p>easy [1] - 19552:4</p> <p>easy-to-follow [1] - 19552:4</p> <p>Eddie [1] - 19390:8</p> <p>Edward [1] - 19388:7</p> <p>Ee [1] - 19436:9</p> <p>effect [5] - 19403:21, 19407:16, 19466:4, 19483:5, 19574:14</p> <p>effort [4] - 19440:7, 19567:12, 19587:21, 19602:24</p> <p>Efforts [2] - 19441:11, 19606:6</p> <p>efforts [14] - 19397:7, 19427:16, 19439:18, 19442:6, 19452:23, 19469:13, 19477:4, 19477:17, 19477:19, 19536:14, 19575:17, 19577:20, 19578:23, 19582:12</p> <p>Egan [2] - 19485:3, 19485:9</p> <p>either [16] - 19393:7, 19403:22, 19418:7, 19426:16, 19439:4, 19440:11, 19441:3, 19480:14, 19486:9, 19495:22, 19495:24, 19516:14, 19523:7, 19535:5, 19555:13, 19585:25</p> <p>Either [1] - 19409:19</p> <p>elaborate [10] - 19428:13, 19432:8, 19433:22, 19442:12, 19446:24, 19449:25, 19450:9, 19492:22, 19563:11, 19569:16</p> <p>elaborated [1] - 19462:1</p> <p>eliminate [8] - 19439:4, 19445:13, 19451:13, 19477:14, 19478:9, 19478:23, 19511:14, 19581:20</p> <p>eliminated [7] - 19442:5, 19442:12, 19444:20, 19448:16, 19451:9, 19452:10, 19523:13</p> <p>Ellerman [1] - 19389:6</p> <p>Elmer [1] - 19572:10</p> <p>embroided [1] - 19570:18</p> <p>emphasis [1] - 19408:25</p>	<p>emphasize [1] - 19497:24</p> <p>employed [2] - 19528:10, 19605:1</p> <p>employment [1] - 19577:22</p> <p>empt [1] - 19393:9</p> <p>Emson [3] - 19434:25, 19474:22, 19488:17</p> <p>enclosed [1] - 19485:13</p> <p>encloses [1] - 19521:20</p> <p>enclosing [3] - 19474:5, 19539:17, 19550:14</p> <p>encourage [1] - 19457:3</p> <p>encouraged [1] - 19447:6</p> <p>encouragement [2] - 19446:21, 19447:14</p> <p>end [6] - 19472:12, 19476:19, 19481:20, 19486:13, 19537:2, 19564:17</p> <p>ended [3] - 19472:23, 19492:7, 19512:9</p> <p>enlighten [2] - 19491:2, 19565:25</p> <p>enquiries [1] - 19473:17</p> <p>ensuing [1] - 19414:21</p> <p>ensure [3] - 19467:3, 19527:10, 19599:9</p> <p>ensured [1] - 19561:13</p> <p>entered [2] - 19481:10, 19491:16</p> <p>entire [4] - 19479:10, 19508:23, 19516:5, 19537:20</p> <p>entirely [1] - 19509:19</p> <p>entries [3] - 19475:11, 19475:15, 19491:12</p> <p>entry [20] - 19474:17, 19474:25, 19475:6, 19475:25, 19476:6, 19488:12, 19488:13, 19488:14, 19488:16, 19488:19, 19489:15, 19489:18, 19489:23, 19490:3, 19490:9, 19490:13, 19490:25, 19494:8, 19494:20</p> <p>equally [1] - 19499:25</p> <p>error [2] - 19394:7, 19491:19</p> <p>Esq [4] - 19390:5, 19390:7, 19390:8, 19390:10</p> <p>essential [2] - 19445:3, 19449:15</p>	<p>essentially [1] - 19598:24</p> <p>Esson [1] - 19389:12</p> <p>establish [4] - 19440:8, 19531:24, 19532:3, 19536:14</p> <p>established [1] - 19480:7</p> <p>Estate [2] - 19456:23, 19598:12</p> <p>Estelle [5] - 19440:4, 19457:15, 19458:13, 19458:20, 19459:12</p> <p>etc [2] - 19402:5, 19588:12</p> <p>etcetera [6] - 19392:21, 19411:25, 19434:18, 19548:13, 19562:8, 19567:13</p> <p>Eugene [9] - 19401:22, 19438:13, 19494:20, 19495:12, 19500:9, 19580:15, 19587:11, 19589:2, 19589:5</p> <p>event [4] - 19401:11, 19439:19, 19453:23, 19463:22</p> <p>events [6] - 19434:20, 19461:20, 19463:20, 19463:21, 19464:16, 19468:12</p> <p>eventually [3] - 19400:8, 19452:19, 19518:16</p> <p>evidence [87] - 19395:19, 19396:19, 19397:6, 19403:13, 19403:21, 19406:4, 19408:22, 19408:24, 19419:9, 19433:21, 19435:17, 19439:5, 19439:11, 19439:12, 19443:8, 19443:17, 19465:19, 19468:10, 19477:4, 19480:5, 19484:25, 19488:6, 19489:13, 19497:20, 19499:19, 19500:24, 19502:11, 19502:14, 19503:1, 19503:2, 19503:10, 19503:13, 19504:5, 19507:8, 19508:16, 19508:24, 19509:7, 19509:17, 19509:24, 19514:14, 19514:23, 19515:2, 19515:12, 19516:7, 19516:10, 19516:15, 19516:19, 19517:2, 19517:13, 19517:22,</p>
<p>E</p>				
<p>Eamon [1] - 19390:10</p> <p>Earl [2] - 19508:6, 19579:8</p> <p>early [3] - 19435:11,</p>				



19518:6, 19518:11, 19518:12, 19518:19, 19519:7, 19519:10, 19519:14, 19519:16, 19520:19, 19520:22, 19522:23, 19523:14, 19523:20, 19526:19, 19529:1, 19530:23, 19531:8, 19531:15, 19531:18, 19531:21, 19531:22, 19540:2, 19542:25, 19544:2, 19548:9, 19548:11, 19553:7, 19554:8, 19554:22, 19579:8, 19593:6, 19595:4, 19596:2, 19606:16, 19607:10, 19607:13, 19607:22 evidentiary [1] - 19429:11 evolved [1] - 19560:24 ex [2] - 19449:12, 19528:17 ex-s/sgt [1] - 19528:17 ex-wife [1] - 19449:12 exact [2] - 19484:19, 19580:21 exactly [3] - 19402:6, 19408:24, 19505:8 examination [18] - 19419:21, 19430:7, 19430:24, 19439:22, 19449:14, 19457:4, 19468:19, 19477:19, 19483:14, 19483:22, 19499:18, 19500:24, 19505:5, 19534:2, 19569:13, 19569:21, 19582:14, 19595:23 examine [2] - 19503:12, 19607:5 examined [1] - 19602:14 example [2] - 19416:13, 19496:16 examples [2] - 19516:13, 19518:13 excellent [1] - 19591:10 exception [3] - 19446:20, 19530:9, 19606:19 excerpt [1] - 19432:2 excited [1] - 19412:4 exculpate [2] - 19426:17, 19439:12 exculpates [1] - 19503:2 Executive [1] - 19389:3 exercise [2] - 19483:25,	19505:22 exhausted [4] - 19455:1, 19497:24, 19526:2, 19588:14 exhibit [1] - 19398:11 exist [7] - 19397:16, 19423:3, 19518:13, 19531:22, 19535:2, 19535:20, 19580:3 existed [4] - 19421:12, 19518:20, 19583:12, 19583:14 exists [2] - 19488:25, 19598:9 expand [1] - 19585:18 expectation [1] - 19394:3 experience [5] - 19407:15, 19418:11, 19447:2, 19531:20, 19565:11 experienced [1] - 19587:16 expert [2] - 19565:13, 19566:4 expertise [1] - 19580:10 explain [7] - 19449:11, 19455:8, 19481:13, 19494:17, 19533:12, 19548:21, 19597:12 explained [7] - 19449:8, 19449:19, 19449:22, 19451:7, 19452:6, 19453:1, 19492:24 explanation [15] - 19413:2, 19425:1, 19451:20, 19451:21, 19480:24, 19481:7, 19481:8, 19491:8, 19491:11, 19491:17, 19492:6, 19523:16, 19524:1, 19540:22, 19559:10 explanations [1] - 19491:10 express [1] - 19452:11 expressed [6] - 19393:13, 19450:16, 19452:4, 19452:8, 19589:21, 19597:14 expressing [1] - 19393:1 extend [1] - 19482:5 extends [1] - 19482:16 extension [4] - 19482:13, 19482:18, 19482:19, 19529:9 extensive [2] - 19446:15, 19567:11 extent [7] - 19426:1,	19442:24, 19466:12, 19502:9, 19511:9, 19511:24, 19555:12 extreme [1] - 19514:11 extremely [1] - 19467:13 eyewitness [1] - 19517:14 F face [5] - 19449:21, 19596:24, 19600:21 face-to-face [2] - 19596:24, 19600:21 fact [86] - 19393:1, 19397:8, 19397:14, 19397:19, 19397:21, 19399:14, 19400:8, 19404:11, 19406:12, 19407:11, 19424:20, 19427:20, 19434:16, 19435:12, 19435:21, 19437:21, 19443:19, 19444:1, 19444:5, 19444:14, 19451:11, 19454:7, 19455:2, 19455:23, 19456:7, 19456:11, 19457:20, 19458:4, 19465:18, 19465:19, 19467:6, 19469:1, 19471:1, 19471:24, 19473:7, 19473:12, 19477:21, 19479:23, 19491:12, 19491:18, 19513:24, 19514:9, 19518:19, 19519:16, 19526:19, 19526:22, 19529:1, 19529:10, 19529:16, 19530:23, 19531:3, 19531:18, 19532:17, 19540:1, 19540:13, 19541:7, 19541:17, 19542:1, 19545:7, 19547:2, 19547:3, 19547:16, 19547:21, 19548:10, 19548:14, 19548:25, 19549:23, 19554:9, 19555:8, 19556:21, 19558:16, 19559:11, 19560:23, 19574:23, 19577:1, 19578:24, 19579:7, 19580:24, 19590:18, 19593:7, 19595:4, 19597:20, 19604:25, 19605:12, 19606:16, 19606:17 factor [6] - 19400:1,	19400:15, 19400:20, 19545:10, 19547:10, 19548:18 factors [2] - 19421:2, 19443:7 facts [6] - 19433:19, 19493:9, 19499:17, 19500:3, 19505:10, 19587:21 faded [1] - 19471:23 failed [5] - 19422:21, 19445:22, 19453:13, 19456:12, 19588:12 failure [1] - 19561:24 fair [32] - 19396:13, 19397:11, 19401:16, 19413:6, 19413:7, 19434:6, 19435:6, 19438:10, 19466:9, 19466:10, 19468:12, 19469:5, 19481:16, 19485:6, 19489:16, 19489:17, 19500:16, 19501:15, 19504:22, 19507:9, 19507:10, 19507:23, 19507:24, 19510:17, 19510:18, 19517:6, 19532:20, 19534:11, 19564:22, 19574:6, 19591:19, 19591:22 fairly [3] - 19425:24, 19458:19, 19500:1 fairness [1] - 19525:10 fall [4] - 19546:8, 19546:13, 19546:16, 19547:1 familiar [2] - 19496:24, 19582:17 familiarize [1] - 19426:3 familiarizing [1] - 19426:12 family [20] - 19446:22, 19447:8, 19447:12, 19454:9, 19455:2, 19472:14, 19527:7, 19527:8, 19527:12, 19544:24, 19544:25, 19545:4, 19545:8, 19545:12, 19545:17, 19563:2, 19563:11, 19563:20, 19564:6 far [38] - 19411:20, 19412:8, 19417:2, 19433:24, 19434:25, 19438:9, 19439:3, 19439:15, 19450:9, 19468:11, 19476:22, 19483:11, 19490:16, 19496:12, 19498:18,	19500:20, 19501:4, 19501:10, 19505:3, 19510:14, 19511:17, 19512:5, 19516:10, 19523:21, 19537:3, 19538:8, 19545:16, 19555:5, 19555:7, 19555:21, 19562:14, 19569:20, 19571:5, 19584:20, 19592:1, 19594:10, 19601:24, 19602:5 favour [2] - 19583:11, 19584:19 fax [4] - 19474:5, 19575:2, 19579:3, 19593:20 Fbi [1] - 19565:16 fear [2] - 19471:25, 19541:1 feared [2] - 19420:8, 19420:24 Feb [1] - 19484:10 February [17] - 19476:19, 19478:3, 19483:2, 19485:16, 19485:17, 19487:11, 19488:4, 19496:22, 19510:23, 19511:18, 19512:15, 19512:22, 19513:17, 19523:24, 19536:11, 19546:3, 19546:12 fed [1] - 19598:15 Federal [17] - 19401:10, 19452:20, 19476:23, 19484:16, 19484:22, 19490:17, 19495:12, 19497:15, 19525:24, 19529:5, 19540:2, 19551:10, 19573:6, 19573:20, 19580:12, 19593:25, 19596:7 federal [3] - 19560:6, 19560:7, 19579:4 feeding [1] - 19513:20 feet [1] - 19595:6 fellow [1] - 19424:21 felt [18] - 19438:19, 19454:16, 19456:12, 19501:20, 19512:23, 19519:19, 19545:8, 19547:6, 19554:17, 19556:5, 19556:6, 19556:7, 19560:14, 19563:18, 19569:22, 19582:4, 19588:23, 19596:19 female [1] - 19594:7 few [3] - 19394:21,
--	--	--	--	---



<p>19439:25, 19580:3 Ff^[1] - 19433:2 fiche ^[2] - 19602:18, 19602:22 fide ^[1] - 19393:10 Fifth^[2] - 19456:23, 19598:12 fights ^[1] - 19462:9 File^[2] - 19530:7, 19530:8 file ^[76] - 19394:16, 19395:12, 19395:14, 19395:15, 19395:16, 19396:1, 19399:19, 19406:15, 19414:18, 19419:1, 19422:13, 19425:10, 19425:19, 19425:20, 19426:11, 19427:2, 19430:21, 19436:21, 19438:4, 19441:20, 19465:8, 19480:15, 19480:17, 19480:20, 19480:21, 19480:22, 19481:9, 19482:23, 19486:10, 19489:1, 19491:13, 19491:14, 19491:15, 19492:9, 19495:18, 19501:3, 19521:25, 19530:10, 19530:11, 19531:1, 19537:19, 19537:20, 19537:21, 19544:19, 19549:11, 19549:15, 19549:23, 19557:20, 19561:8, 19567:2, 19567:10, 19568:1, 19569:14, 19569:24, 19571:7, 19578:5, 19578:6, 19578:11, 19578:12, 19580:5, 19584:5, 19593:7, 19593:9, 19593:11, 19593:13, 19594:13, 19601:18, 19601:23, 19602:1, 19603:1, 19603:2, 19605:7, 19605:15, 19606:23 filed ^[4] - 19526:18, 19528:25, 19552:14, 19566:13 files ^[48] - 19396:5, 19396:7, 19396:17, 19396:25, 19397:1, 19397:4, 19397:9, 19397:14, 19397:21, 19397:25, 19398:22, 19399:5, 19399:6, 19422:21, 19463:4, 19463:10, 19491:6,</p>	<p>19530:13, 19537:7, 19547:24, 19560:21, 19560:22, 19561:23, 19568:15, 19568:17, 19568:18, 19571:18, 19571:20, 19578:16, 19593:22, 19601:7, 19601:11, 19601:12, 19601:15, 19601:19, 19601:20, 19602:5, 19602:9, 19602:14, 19602:16, 19602:18, 19602:23, 19603:9, 19603:15, 19603:21, 19606:11, 19606:18 filling ^[1] - 19409:21 final ^[3] - 19484:24, 19496:13, 19588:15 finalized ^[1] - 19496:17 financed ^[1] - 19493:18 financial ^[1] - 19432:22 findings ^[2] - 19539:19, 19550:16 Findlay^[1] - 19456:22 fine ^[1] - 19445:17 finger ^[1] - 19584:8 fingerprint ^[1] - 19517:14 finish ^[2] - 19487:18, 19512:3 finished ^[2] - 19392:7, 19448:10 fired ^[1] - 19567:8 firm ^[3] - 19494:4, 19565:8, 19572:21 firmly ^[1] - 19536:14 first ^[48] - 19396:1, 19401:24, 19402:24, 19405:20, 19410:2, 19461:5, 19487:12, 19489:15, 19498:14, 19502:7, 19502:9, 19505:12, 19523:19, 19524:22, 19529:8, 19529:11, 19529:21, 19530:24, 19531:11, 19532:19, 19535:5, 19535:22, 19536:17, 19537:13, 19538:1, 19538:7, 19538:18, 19540:7, 19541:2, 19541:24, 19542:7, 19542:14, 19542:21, 19543:24, 19544:17, 19551:13, 19551:14, 19553:25, 19557:23, 19560:12, 19576:9, 19577:23, 19578:10, 19581:8, 19595:14, 19601:1, 19607:17</p>	<p>Fisher^[266] - 19390:10, 19392:8, 19392:19, 19392:23, 19393:4, 19394:1, 19394:5, 19394:17, 19395:9, 19396:2, 19398:4, 19398:15, 19398:17, 19399:2, 19399:18, 19399:21, 19400:18, 19402:9, 19403:13, 19417:12, 19417:23, 19420:6, 19420:7, 19420:11, 19420:12, 19420:13, 19420:17, 19420:24, 19423:10, 19423:15, 19426:14, 19427:17, 19427:24, 19428:2, 19428:6, 19438:11, 19438:25, 19439:3, 19439:14, 19439:19, 19442:1, 19442:4, 19442:17, 19443:18, 19443:19, 19443:22, 19444:19, 19444:24, 19445:22, 19446:5, 19448:15, 19449:5, 19449:7, 19449:9, 19449:13, 19449:22, 19450:19, 19450:24, 19451:2, 19451:11, 19451:16, 19451:21, 19452:5, 19452:7, 19452:9, 19452:16, 19456:21, 19456:24, 19457:8, 19462:3, 19462:8, 19466:1, 19466:5, 19466:7, 19466:13, 19466:18, 19467:2, 19467:7, 19467:17, 19469:1, 19469:6, 19477:5, 19477:6, 19477:12, 19477:14, 19477:21, 19478:8, 19478:12, 19478:20, 19483:9, 19483:12, 19483:19, 19483:21, 19493:4, 19493:5, 19493:6, 19501:5, 19501:8, 19503:21, 19506:20, 19508:6, 19508:8, 19508:16, 19508:17, 19509:3, 19509:6, 19509:16, 19510:8, 19510:25, 19511:8, 19511:11, 19511:12, 19511:14, 19511:17, 19511:19, 19512:5, 19512:13, 19512:14, 19512:23, 19513:16, 19513:23,</p>	<p>19514:23, 19515:10, 19515:13, 19515:16, 19515:19, 19517:3, 19517:7, 19517:16, 19517:23, 19518:1, 19518:4, 19518:11, 19518:23, 19519:6, 19520:10, 19521:11, 19523:5, 19523:24, 19528:9, 19529:15, 19529:18, 19529:23, 19530:5, 19531:8, 19532:15, 19534:1, 19534:10, 19534:24, 19535:16, 19536:18, 19536:23, 19537:4, 19539:3, 19539:21, 19540:14, 19540:18, 19541:10, 19541:18, 19542:15, 19544:1, 19544:13, 19548:12, 19549:14, 19550:5, 19553:20, 19554:20, 19555:1, 19555:10, 19555:19, 19556:1, 19558:13, 19558:17, 19558:24, 19559:6, 19559:11, 19559:16, 19560:17, 19562:6, 19565:6, 19572:2, 19572:5, 19572:8, 19572:13, 19574:1, 19574:11, 19575:18, 19575:22, 19576:6, 19576:8, 19576:12, 19577:21, 19579:8, 19579:11, 19579:15, 19579:18, 19579:19, 19581:5, 19581:18, 19582:2, 19582:13, 19582:23, 19583:1, 19583:9, 19583:16, 19583:17, 19583:19, 19584:8, 19584:17, 19584:21, 19585:3, 19585:7, 19585:20, 19586:4, 19586:12, 19586:14, 19586:18, 19586:19, 19587:2, 19587:14, 19587:18, 19588:2, 19589:6, 19589:23, 19590:3, 19590:15, 19590:21, 19591:3, 19591:12, 19591:13, 19591:15, 19591:17, 19591:24, 19592:1, 19592:2, 19592:10, 19592:13, 19594:3, 19594:10, 19595:22, 19596:13, 19597:4, 19597:7,</p>	<p>19598:5, 19598:11, 19598:13, 19598:15, 19598:24, 19599:13, 19599:17, 19599:19, 19599:24, 19600:5, 19600:11, 19600:18, 19602:19, 19603:4, 19603:17, 19604:21, 19604:25, 19605:19, 19605:22, 19607:23 Fishers ^[47] - 19398:9, 19423:5, 19423:7, 19423:10, 19429:17, 19443:3, 19443:10, 19445:2, 19449:16, 19449:23, 19457:6, 19463:4, 19483:16, 19508:1, 19508:12, 19508:22, 19510:16, 19511:1, 19512:11, 19514:22, 19515:5, 19516:4, 19516:25, 19520:18, 19521:4, 19526:20, 19533:9, 19537:7, 19538:23, 19539:12, 19539:15, 19540:23, 19541:4, 19550:12, 19557:6, 19572:18, 19575:10, 19579:25, 19581:9, 19593:4, 19594:12, 19601:8, 19601:15, 19601:18, 19601:20, 19603:2, 19606:9 Fisher/miller/milgaard ^[1] - 19569:21 fit ^[11] - 19417:2, 19427:3, 19432:9, 19437:6, 19455:9, 19475:15, 19482:1, 19484:6, 19557:5, 19557:7, 19582:24 five ^[2] - 19407:12, 19502:6 flashed ^[1] - 19473:4 flip ^[1] - 19472:21 fluid ^[1] - 19435:1 focus ^[5] - 19443:2, 19450:22, 19545:2, 19575:18, 19579:19 focusing ^[2] - 19543:25, 19561:3 follow ^[12] - 19392:10, 19393:18, 19394:4, 19412:23, 19419:23, 19422:2, 19440:22, 19468:25, 19484:5, 19489:9, 19552:4, 19595:19 follow-up ^[5] -</p>
--	---	--	--	--



19392:10, 19440:22, 19468:25, 19484:5, 19595:19 followed [4] - 19396:21, 19436:22, 19564:9, 19564:10 following [10] - 19394:12, 19411:11, 19412:23, 19414:1, 19424:25, 19432:1, 19437:25, 19438:12, 19501:3, 19545:3 follows [1] - 19394:11 Force [1] - 19565:13 fore [1] - 19479:16 foregoing [1] - 19609:4 forensic [1] - 19502:25 Forensic [1] - 19566:5 forgot [2] - 19471:7, 19473:12 forgotten [1] - 19454:11 form [9] - 19409:24, 19499:22, 19503:10, 19509:6, 19509:17, 19509:24, 19519:7, 19540:8, 19605:11 formal [3] - 19473:24, 19485:20, 19544:6 formally [1] - 19497:3 former [3] - 19414:25, 19508:8, 19510:9 Fort [3] - 19537:6, 19544:14, 19601:18 forth [2] - 19503:4, 19557:25 forward [7] - 19417:22, 19470:5, 19485:1, 19500:2, 19505:20, 19509:11, 19538:2 forwarded [3] - 19406:22, 19536:6, 19546:1 Forwarded [1] - 19580:18 founder [1] - 19539:10 four [11] - 19395:4, 19396:7, 19399:6, 19431:6, 19468:3, 19530:3, 19540:13, 19544:12, 19546:3, 19559:17, 19572:8 fourth [3] - 19399:9, 19402:1, 19507:20 frame [12] - 19395:1, 19488:1, 19488:22, 19522:19, 19524:6, 19563:17, 19578:14, 19583:25, 19584:3, 19585:18, 19603:22, 19603:24	Frank [1] - 19502:12 Frayer [2] - 19390:11, 19552:9 free [2] - 19438:19, 19552:25 freed [1] - 19539:8 fresh [1] - 19543:4 Friday [1] - 19546:25 front [1] - 19451:24 fronts [1] - 19477:2 frozen [1] - 19581:14 full [9] - 19410:23, 19474:15, 19487:8, 19488:15, 19497:22, 19499:23, 19543:13, 19575:2, 19580:12 fullest [2] - 19511:9, 19511:24 fully [6] - 19478:8, 19508:10, 19510:11, 19512:23, 19587:22, 19607:5 function [2] - 19397:21, 19497:25 future [1] - 19607:20	19530:18, 19531:9, 19532:16, 19539:17, 19541:10, 19541:12, 19542:16, 19544:24, 19546:12, 19547:9, 19548:5, 19548:14, 19548:15, 19549:1, 19549:23, 19550:14, 19553:20, 19557:6, 19576:13, 19579:9, 19580:1, 19581:19, 19583:25, 19584:9, 19585:22, 19586:3, 19586:15, 19586:18, 19594:5, 19607:23 gain [4] - 19427:16, 19456:14, 19534:1, 19576:5 gained [1] - 19514:14 gaining [1] - 19441:25 gall [1] - 19423:8 garbage [2] - 19408:16, 19408:20 Garrett [1] - 19390:6 Garry [2] - 19537:6, 19601:18 Garry/winnipeg [1] - 19544:14 gather [3] - 19477:4, 19510:25, 19587:21 gathered [1] - 19533:6 gathering [2] - 19460:21 Gee [1] - 19495:1 general [21] - 19394:23, 19398:16, 19419:6, 19447:1, 19464:25, 19492:21, 19498:24, 19504:21, 19511:5, 19520:6, 19531:17, 19532:6, 19554:16, 19570:13, 19582:20, 19582:21, 19584:14, 19584:15, 19587:17 Generally [1] - 19509:10 generally [10] - 19397:10, 19397:13, 19398:25, 19416:13, 19417:25, 19425:17, 19426:7, 19496:24, 19516:14, 19603:13 generated [1] - 19594:10 gentlemen [1] - 19524:23 genuine [1] - 19393:9 Gibson [1] - 19390:9 girl [4] - 19406:14, 19459:22, 19465:5, 19465:20	girlfriend [1] - 19437:13 given [13] - 19405:9, 19410:2, 19411:16, 19424:18, 19432:2, 19455:17, 19456:22, 19465:3, 19505:10, 19542:5, 19547:21, 19566:21, 19567:9 globally [1] - 19512:14 Globe [2] - 19552:18, 19581:25 Goa [2] - 19572:10, 19575:5 gonna [1] - 19413:14 Goodman [4] - 19568:23, 19569:2, 19569:18, 19594:20 Government [1] - 19390:4 grab [2] - 19466:3, 19466:8 grabbing [1] - 19465:20 grant [2] - 19598:7, 19598:13 great [1] - 19549:6 Greenberg [6] - 19559:7, 19572:19, 19572:25, 19573:2, 19574:5, 19575:13 Greenberg's [1] - 19572:21 grounds [3] - 19505:20, 19556:6, 19556:8 grouping [1] - 19552:4 guess [17] - 19399:13, 19402:1, 19405:8, 19428:16, 19428:18, 19434:16, 19452:3, 19472:22, 19500:21, 19529:16, 19531:12, 19547:16, 19564:25, 19568:16, 19568:19, 19599:6, 19607:9 guessing [1] - 19526:9 guilt [16] - 19438:21, 19438:24, 19509:4, 19509:5, 19509:15, 19509:21, 19511:1, 19519:5, 19519:13, 19538:24, 19545:2, 19545:9, 19545:17, 19555:6 guilty [3] - 19479:2, 19574:11, 19576:23 gut [2] - 19520:17, 19591:8	H hair [2] - 19528:8, 19551:20 Hall [1] - 19502:12 hallway [3] - 19408:19, 19431:11, 19458:7 hand [4] - 19420:13, 19476:7, 19496:4, 19585:8 handle [2] - 19508:20, 19515:21 handled [1] - 19570:5 hands [1] - 19412:8 handwriting [2] - 19409:11, 19410:1 handwritten [3] - 19409:8, 19409:9, 19410:14 happy [1] - 19413:13 harassed [1] - 19605:23 hard [4] - 19393:15, 19462:11, 19520:19, 19522:8 Harold [3] - 19446:1, 19534:5, 19598:5 hat [2] - 19438:14, 19462:12 haul [1] - 19513:12 head [2] - 19553:15, 19583:23 hear [2] - 19397:6, 19462:17 heard [11] - 19393:22, 19397:6, 19458:13, 19459:21, 19486:24, 19486:25, 19527:17, 19527:20, 19547:24, 19548:9, 19559:15 hearing [3] - 19434:9, 19458:13, 19460:9 heart [1] - 19554:23 heightened [1] - 19434:3 held [3] - 19398:6, 19420:18, 19536:13 help [3] - 19446:6, 19523:1, 19563:22 helped [1] - 19555:13 Henderson [3] - 19522:16, 19550:21, 19550:23 Henderson's [2] - 19566:18, 19567:17 hereby [1] - 19609:4 herein [1] - 19609:6 Hersh [3] - 19390:2, 19485:15, 19487:10 hesitation [1] -
--	--	--	---	--



<p>19590:17 hidden [1] - 19397:23 highly [4] - 19430:8, 19472:1, 19479:15, 19596:1 himself [5] - 19478:9, 19478:16, 19478:23, 19478:24, 19597:21 hindered [1] - 19555:13 hindsight [2] - 19405:8, 19405:11 Hinz [3] - 19389:10, 19609:2, 19609:13 hired [1] - 19494:4 history [6] - 19440:10, 19447:17, 19561:7, 19586:1, 19593:11, 19593:17 Hodson [16] - 19389:2, 19391:4, 19392:6, 19447:24, 19448:3, 19448:8, 19478:1, 19478:3, 19478:5, 19487:16, 19487:24, 19521:17, 19527:23, 19545:22, 19552:13, 19591:16 hold [2] - 19564:19, 19593:4 hole [1] - 19458:9 holidays [1] - 19412:6 home [8] - 19415:23, 19431:11, 19433:8, 19437:18, 19453:1, 19457:14, 19459:25, 19567:2 homicide [4] - 19483:20, 19529:15, 19587:1, 19593:15 Hon [1] - 19390:12 honest [1] - 19491:4 honestly [1] - 19450:16 honesty [4] - 19445:2, 19451:4, 19457:17, 19459:1 Honourable [1] - 19388:6 hopeful [1] - 19483:20 hopefully [3] - 19440:1, 19478:12, 19576:5 hospital [1] - 19420:14 hosted [1] - 19448:23 hostile [1] - 19464:19 Hotel [2] - 19388:16, 19450:7 hotel [1] - 19449:1 hotels [1] - 19411:25 hounding [1] - 19598:16 hours [1] - 19444:4</p>	<p>House [1] - 19458:16 house [9] - 19403:8, 19405:20, 19407:14, 19408:10, 19411:15, 19432:7, 19457:23, 19458:7, 19460:1 Hq [1] - 19565:14 Hugh [1] - 19389:12 husband [1] - 19458:10 hypnosis [4] - 19463:18, 19464:2, 19466:22, 19479:14 hypnotized [1] - 19463:24</p> <p style="text-align: center;">I</p> <p>Id [7] - 19409:10, 19475:9, 19536:5, 19545:21, 19545:23, 19566:10 idea [5] - 19426:1, 19496:8, 19496:17, 19516:17, 19524:9 identical [1] - 19410:14 identified [8] - 19398:7, 19398:12, 19415:9, 19490:10, 19492:12, 19523:2, 19567:17, 19594:8 identifies [2] - 19553:11, 19554:11 identify [9] - 19413:19, 19413:24, 19414:3, 19423:3, 19475:5, 19527:8, 19527:13, 19551:13, 19566:6 identifying [1] - 19493:1 identity [2] - 19534:20, 19583:9 ill [1] - 19420:13 immediately [1] - 19403:3 impact [3] - 19503:23, 19508:1, 19576:24 impartial [6] - 19499:18, 19499:22, 19500:24, 19500:25, 19501:24, 19543:12 importance [1] - 19471:10 important [13] - 19399:10, 19399:24, 19400:6, 19404:6, 19404:11, 19404:23, 19405:3, 19433:18, 19435:16, 19447:4, 19499:4, 19549:2,</p>	<p>19571:12 impression [1] - 19531:13 impressions [1] - 19595:9 improper [1] - 19456:2 impropriety [1] - 19603:21 in-depth [2] - 19529:17, 19530:25 inactivity [2] - 19480:18, 19482:23 inappropriate [1] - 19525:11 Inc [1] - 19539:4 incarceration [1] - 19576:8 incident [8] - 19450:6, 19527:25, 19529:25, 19551:24, 19582:5, 19582:18, 19584:14, 19600:3 incidents [1] - 19600:12 include [1] - 19534:5 included [5] - 19404:22, 19419:14, 19431:19, 19599:15, 19604:13 includes [1] - 19526:22 including [3] - 19497:23, 19499:17, 19539:1 incomplete [1] - 19537:9 inconclusive [3] - 19420:22, 19588:11, 19590:5 incorrectly [1] - 19475:1 incriminate [1] - 19426:17 incriminating [2] - 19523:14, 19523:20 indeed [2] - 19403:17, 19547:6 independent [2] - 19569:7, 19570:16 Index [1] - 19391:1 Indian [1] - 19595:2 indicate [2] - 19439:15, 19596:3 indicated [19] - 19392:18, 19414:22, 19430:6, 19453:22, 19458:5, 19458:13, 19467:1, 19483:6, 19492:8, 19508:19, 19515:21, 19516:24, 19525:9, 19525:19, 19550:20, 19589:19,</p>	<p>19594:20, 19596:21, 19600:5 indicates [6] - 19405:1, 19428:21, 19436:21, 19495:18, 19565:16, 19599:24 indicating [2] - 19423:13, 19488:24 indication [1] - 19406:17 Indications [1] - 19598:14 indictment [2] - 19559:5, 19559:18 individual [4] - 19432:20, 19528:6, 19567:20, 19573:13 individually [1] - 19418:20 individuals [1] - 19415:9 inexcusable [1] - 19541:1 inference [1] - 19528:8 influence [2] - 19400:16, 19555:23 influenced [1] - 19411:19 info [1] - 19492:22 informants [3] - 19417:21, 19418:4, 19418:13 information [87] - 19394:2, 19402:13, 19404:3, 19405:19, 19406:7, 19406:9, 19407:22, 19407:23, 19409:1, 19412:7, 19412:17, 19413:20, 19414:5, 19414:9, 19414:14, 19415:11, 19415:18, 19416:21, 19417:1, 19417:4, 19418:17, 19421:4, 19422:4, 19426:19, 19428:7, 19428:11, 19440:18, 19441:7, 19441:13, 19443:18, 19444:25, 19446:3, 19450:21, 19457:19, 19460:4, 19460:19, 19460:20, 19460:21, 19462:21, 19463:12, 19463:15, 19464:15, 19464:23, 19464:25, 19470:23, 19471:21, 19472:6, 19473:16, 19477:9, 19496:3, 19497:20, 19499:1, 19499:5, 19499:7,</p>	<p>19505:17, 19510:25, 19513:21, 19515:9, 19528:12, 19530:11, 19533:13, 19535:5, 19538:5, 19538:6, 19539:23, 19541:22, 19542:2, 19542:18, 19547:12, 19556:4, 19556:20, 19557:4, 19563:20, 19568:8, 19570:8, 19570:12, 19571:6, 19574:13, 19578:13, 19581:17, 19583:7, 19584:6, 19585:7, 19591:3, 19591:8, 19592:2, 19595:16 Information [4] - 19572:7, 19572:9, 19580:9, 19580:20 Informations [1] - 19572:11 informations [2] - 19575:5, 19575:12 informed [2] - 19487:6, 19571:10 initial [5] - 19393:20, 19398:21, 19430:2, 19436:14, 19458:23 initiate [2] - 19460:24, 19551:2 initiated [7] - 19425:14, 19447:11, 19447:14, 19505:2, 19529:13, 19533:14, 19533:20 initiating [1] - 19573:10 Inland [1] - 19389:13 inmate [3] - 19417:11, 19418:22, 19467:12 Inn [1] - 19449:2 innocence [7] - 19438:21, 19438:25, 19536:15, 19553:9, 19555:6, 19575:21, 19576:18 innocent [3] - 19478:25, 19543:10, 19576:20 input [2] - 19496:5, 19496:7 inquire [1] - 19549:10 Inquiries [2] - 19508:5, 19606:1 inquiries [6] - 19409:1, 19415:1, 19545:13, 19563:14, 19569:22, 19605:9 inquiring [1] - 19563:15 inquiry [4] - 19421:16, 19499:22, 19569:8,</p>
--	--	---	--	--



<p>19570:16 Inquiry [3] - 19388:2, 19388:23, 19403:18 inside [1] - 19469:2 Insofar [1] - 19504:19 Insp [7] - 19394:21, 19421:9, 19556:13, 19565:13, 19571:6, 19578:4, 19594:22 Inspector [5] - 19404:2, 19557:15, 19565:24, 19570:23 instability [1] - 19479:9 instance [1] - 19498:8 institution [5] - 19413:21, 19413:25, 19469:2, 19560:9, 19593:18 Institution [1] - 19593:8 institutionalised [2] - 19514:16, 19577:9 institutions [1] - 19418:9 instructor [1] - 19414:25 insufficient [3] - 19504:14, 19505:4, 19506:9 intact [3] - 19530:12, 19580:6, 19603:5 intention [1] - 19463:2 intentions [1] - 19526:6 intercepted [1] - 19513:9 interest [7] - 19446:18, 19457:20, 19488:24, 19489:10, 19551:11, 19554:3, 19557:10 interested [8] - 19399:13, 19467:5, 19477:5, 19534:22, 19568:20, 19584:23, 19585:1, 19590:22 interfere [1] - 19569:19 interim [1] - 19536:13 internal [6] - 19566:24, 19569:13, 19570:19, 19570:24, 19571:17, 19573:8 interpretation [1] - 19519:1 interrogation [6] - 19393:19, 19477:18, 19575:24, 19577:5, 19577:8, 19597:1 intervene [1] - 19484:12 interview [26] - 19392:8, 19392:16, 19401:3, 19401:19,</p>	<p>19402:2, 19402:12, 19403:6, 19404:5, 19404:10, 19413:8, 19420:17, 19421:20, 19421:23, 19423:15, 19424:12, 19427:25, 19433:6, 19434:24, 19440:25, 19449:7, 19453:19, 19456:22, 19478:11, 19539:12, 19576:6, 19595:10 interviewed [5] - 19401:13, 19493:8, 19579:18, 19604:4, 19605:20 interviewing [3] - 19407:18, 19418:6, 19428:6 interviews [5] - 19502:20, 19582:15, 19598:7, 19598:14, 19598:24 investigate [5] - 19512:20, 19513:16, 19514:6, 19562:6, 19587:22 investigated [14] - 19508:11, 19510:11, 19510:16, 19510:24, 19511:9, 19511:24, 19512:23, 19523:25, 19528:2, 19546:6, 19548:15, 19549:1, 19604:11, 19605:6 investigating [17] - 19398:14, 19438:11, 19439:3, 19452:15, 19466:4, 19494:4, 19503:6, 19504:2, 19504:18, 19517:12, 19518:22, 19546:22, 19548:10, 19551:8, 19568:6, 19574:18, 19580:13 investigation [72] - 19401:9, 19407:9, 19425:20, 19426:4, 19430:4, 19431:3, 19433:11, 19436:5, 19436:15, 19436:22, 19437:22, 19438:16, 19439:15, 19446:4, 19447:3, 19451:16, 19454:18, 19456:18, 19457:8, 19463:25, 19466:12, 19479:11, 19483:12, 19483:15, 19484:21, 19496:3, 19499:13, 19499:20, 19499:24, 19500:17,</p>	<p>19500:21, 19500:23, 19501:13, 19502:2, 19502:17, 19503:17, 19506:13, 19507:1, 19507:22, 19511:17, 19511:19, 19512:4, 19512:14, 19512:16, 19513:4, 19513:19, 19514:2, 19514:15, 19521:10, 19522:21, 19525:12, 19528:20, 19535:12, 19541:8, 19544:9, 19548:1, 19555:20, 19560:12, 19565:10, 19570:19, 19573:10, 19583:8, 19583:13, 19585:7, 19586:17, 19588:5, 19588:19, 19588:22, 19592:16, 19592:24, 19601:25 Investigation [2] - 19550:5, 19606:5 investigations [6] - 19418:12, 19486:13, 19486:15, 19531:17, 19543:19, 19557:21 investigative [5] - 19567:11, 19587:20, 19588:13, 19592:2, 19594:12 Investigative [1] - 19578:7 investigator [6] - 19436:17, 19505:7, 19555:14, 19568:9, 19570:24, 19600:17 investigator's [2] - 19444:17, 19484:9 investigators [8] - 19428:5, 19432:3, 19444:23, 19522:25, 19539:13, 19569:25, 19570:13, 19571:4 Investigators [1] - 19550:10 involved [31] - 19399:18, 19399:21, 19400:18, 19434:5, 19442:3, 19442:10, 19442:14, 19443:5, 19443:15, 19456:1, 19460:2, 19463:6, 19472:1, 19502:9, 19507:13, 19510:20, 19516:11, 19520:25, 19522:17, 19532:16, 19545:3, 19549:14, 19558:25, 19573:6, 19576:2, 19579:11,</p>	<p>19579:16, 19580:23, 19583:16, 19586:3, 19599:20 involvement [18] - 19417:12, 19430:3, 19442:20, 19451:12, 19483:19, 19500:8, 19500:20, 19502:16, 19502:18, 19503:5, 19503:16, 19504:1, 19504:17, 19504:25, 19520:18, 19529:19, 19543:18, 19600:13 involving [3] - 19394:16, 19527:25, 19602:19 Irene [1] - 19389:9 Irwin [1] - 19390:12 Isabelle [1] - 19389:5 issue [23] - 19393:2, 19398:14, 19398:18, 19434:13, 19443:23, 19456:14, 19477:24, 19487:3, 19498:11, 19502:10, 19507:23, 19538:1, 19545:4, 19545:6, 19560:18, 19562:6, 19568:7, 19570:14, 19570:20, 19583:21, 19583:24, 19586:7, 19587:24 issued [1] - 19494:1 issues [12] - 19393:14, 19407:20, 19409:24, 19437:25, 19447:5, 19472:15, 19498:6, 19501:2, 19505:25, 19568:10, 19571:22, 19589:4 item [2] - 19474:23, 19492:25 items [1] - 19438:21 itself [1] - 19560:22</p>	<p>19586:12 Jersey [1] - 19539:5 jibe [1] - 19480:13 Jim [1] - 19539:10 Joanne [1] - 19390:3 job [2] - 19528:10, 19548:9 Joe [1] - 19587:15 John [17] - 19407:5, 19415:2, 19416:14, 19422:11, 19422:25, 19457:23, 19463:18, 19464:3, 19464:5, 19464:13, 19466:2, 19466:8, 19466:20, 19471:4, 19479:10, 19479:25, 19503:14 Johrls [2] - 19464:16, 19470:25 Joyce [3] - 19390:3, 19521:22, 19522:18 judgement [2] - 19456:9, 19459:10 judicial [2] - 19497:23, 19606:24 Julian [1] - 19456:22 July [12] - 19392:15, 19394:8, 19394:11, 19395:2, 19395:12, 19401:1, 19401:19, 19401:21, 19402:19, 19411:4, 19414:1, 19431:18 jump [1] - 19531:14 jumped [1] - 19427:3 June [3] - 19404:1, 19503:11, 19503:15 jury [7] - 19498:7, 19498:12, 19499:7, 19532:3, 19589:23, 19590:20, 19591:24 jury's [2] - 19503:24, 19508:2 Justice [44] - 19388:6, 19390:11, 19401:11, 19452:20, 19463:23, 19471:9, 19475:2, 19476:18, 19476:23, 19483:7, 19484:10, 19484:22, 19485:18, 19485:20, 19486:22, 19488:25, 19490:17, 19492:3, 19495:13, 19495:14, 19496:23, 19497:3, 19497:15, 19498:1, 19498:3, 19499:2, 19499:15, 19499:16, 19499:25, 19500:14, 19505:19, 19507:19, 19525:16,</p>
J				
<p>Jack [2] - 19474:18, 19563:23 jailhouse [3] - 19417:21, 19418:4, 19418:12 James [3] - 19522:16, 19553:5, 19553:17 Jan [2] - 19458:7, 19600:8 Jan/31 [1] - 19459:19 January [6] - 19404:21, 19411:22, 19482:6, 19483:9, 19583:2,</p>				



19529:5, 19551:10, 19553:2, 19556:4, 19563:5, 19573:7, 19573:21, 19580:13, 19588:7, 19593:25, 19596:7 Justices [1] - 19494:11	19504:10, 19505:9, 19505:13, 19505:14, 19505:15, 19506:3, 19506:4, 19506:25, 19511:20, 19512:21, 19513:17, 19523:23, 19547:9, 19548:5 killer [8] - 19523:3, 19553:12, 19553:20, 19554:12, 19556:2, 19576:12, 19584:8, 19586:14 killing [5] - 19445:23, 19508:25, 19516:7, 19518:23, 19519:18 kilometres [1] - 19520:4 Kim [7] - 19483:2, 19484:10, 19488:4, 19494:1, 19495:6, 19496:23, 19588:7 kind [11] - 19406:20, 19459:8, 19459:9, 19496:11, 19505:1, 19506:20, 19514:13, 19533:20, 19555:16, 19561:14, 19583:13 kinds [2] - 19399:20, 19593:14 kitchen [2] - 19403:7, 19459:7 kms [1] - 19468:1 knife [9] - 19443:13, 19463:8, 19508:9, 19508:18, 19508:21, 19510:9, 19515:20, 19515:23, 19528:5 knowing [3] - 19397:20, 19496:14, 19558:25 knowledge [11] - 19398:7, 19428:3, 19464:14, 19471:25, 19496:5, 19496:19, 19568:2, 19574:8, 19599:20, 19607:11, 19609:6 knowledgeable [1] - 19479:19 known [22] - 19405:13, 19424:21, 19436:7, 19437:11, 19441:4, 19444:14, 19454:4, 19455:13, 19465:9, 19465:10, 19465:15, 19479:23, 19505:10, 19539:24, 19544:17, 19544:19, 19579:15, 19581:12, 19589:23, 19590:21, 19591:24, 19601:20	knows [1] - 19600:6 Krogan [1] - 19390:4 Kujawa [1] - 19390:6 L Lab [1] - 19566:5 lab [2] - 19395:23, 19419:21 Ladd [1] - 19389:13 laid [5] - 19427:18, 19572:8, 19572:10, 19585:1, 19592:22 Lake [3] - 19526:23, 19528:17, 19599:25 Lana [1] - 19390:4 Langenburg [1] - 19437:18 language [1] - 19550:7 Lapchuk [3] - 19394:25, 19416:14, 19502:15 Larry [120] - 19390:10, 19392:8, 19398:5, 19398:15, 19398:18, 19423:5, 19423:7, 19426:14, 19438:11, 19438:25, 19439:3, 19439:14, 19439:19, 19442:1, 19442:17, 19443:3, 19443:19, 19443:22, 19444:19, 19446:5, 19448:15, 19450:19, 19451:21, 19452:7, 19452:9, 19452:15, 19462:3, 19462:7, 19462:10, 19462:11, 19466:4, 19466:7, 19466:13, 19478:20, 19483:9, 19501:5, 19501:7, 19503:21, 19506:20, 19508:1, 19508:6, 19508:16, 19511:1, 19511:11, 19511:12, 19511:14, 19511:19, 19512:13, 19512:14, 19512:23, 19513:16, 19513:23, 19514:23, 19515:12, 19515:16, 19516:25, 19517:3, 19517:7, 19517:16, 19517:23, 19518:1, 19518:4, 19518:23, 19520:10, 19520:18, 19521:11, 19523:5, 19523:24, 19529:15, 19529:17, 19529:23, 19530:5, 19531:8, 19532:15, 19534:24,	19535:16, 19536:18, 19538:23, 19539:21, 19540:14, 19540:18, 19541:3, 19541:9, 19541:15, 19541:18, 19542:15, 19544:1, 19548:12, 19549:14, 19550:5, 19553:20, 19554:20, 19555:18, 19557:6, 19560:16, 19562:6, 19574:10, 19576:12, 19579:8, 19579:11, 19581:5, 19581:18, 19582:23, 19583:1, 19583:17, 19584:8, 19585:7, 19586:11, 19586:14, 19586:18, 19590:15, 19591:3, 19592:1, 19592:2, 19593:4, 19597:4, 19597:7, 19602:19, 19603:17, 19607:23 Last [1] - 19546:16 last [16] - 19410:22, 19415:25, 19431:3, 19431:6, 19449:3, 19452:3, 19459:17, 19476:10, 19510:3, 19510:4, 19527:2, 19546:8, 19546:13, 19547:1, 19575:7, 19575:25 late [3] - 19563:24, 19602:23, 19606:7 latest [3] - 19416:3, 19416:8, 19446:15 latter [1] - 19523:18 latter's [1] - 19415:8 Lawrence [1] - 19559:7 lawyer [12] - 19430:6, 19467:18, 19469:7, 19478:16, 19484:14, 19500:13, 19559:7, 19572:19, 19577:10, 19582:9, 19583:5, 19594:1 lead [3] - 19441:15, 19444:15, 19446:4 leading [3] - 19408:1, 19592:15, 19592:23 leak [5] - 19568:12, 19569:5, 19569:23, 19571:10, 19571:17 leaking [1] - 19571:6 leaks [1] - 19569:14 learn [1] - 19538:25 learned [5] - 19423:6, 19433:3, 19487:4, 19516:23, 19562:18	learning [1] - 19416:16 least [14] - 19397:15, 19421:20, 19429:6, 19492:1, 19520:25, 19539:1, 19540:6, 19547:3, 19547:5, 19547:25, 19548:16, 19574:5, 19581:7, 19581:9 leave [3] - 19412:6, 19452:1, 19471:8 leaves [1] - 19469:4 leaving [1] - 19411:9 led [1] - 19407:21 leer [2] - 19507:3, 19520:1 left [2] - 19432:6, 19595:12 leg [1] - 19458:10 legal [1] - 19525:14 legitimately [1] - 19454:11 Ler [1] - 19457:15 Leonard [1] - 19458:20 letter [44] - 19413:25, 19483:4, 19485:3, 19485:8, 19485:10, 19485:15, 19485:17, 19485:21, 19487:8, 19487:10, 19487:11, 19487:13, 19487:17, 19488:4, 19492:13, 19492:17, 19494:11, 19494:24, 19495:6, 19496:16, 19496:21, 19496:22, 19497:2, 19497:14, 19497:16, 19498:20, 19505:19, 19507:18, 19507:19, 19515:3, 19519:24, 19521:19, 19521:25, 19536:11, 19536:12, 19538:20, 19540:10, 19543:2, 19543:4, 19544:5, 19550:6, 19558:15, 19558:20, 19575:7 letters [1] - 19436:16 level [6] - 19416:8, 19476:22, 19490:16, 19529:5, 19531:5, 19573:7 leveled [1] - 19603:20 life [1] - 19486:14 light [9] - 19412:2, 19412:14, 19454:2, 19473:17, 19473:18, 19503:14, 19543:3, 19556:1, 19587:6 likelihood [1] -
K Kara [1] - 19389:5 Karen [3] - 19389:10, 19609:2, 19609:13 Karp [14] - 19476:3, 19476:8, 19476:13, 19489:20, 19490:3, 19524:17, 19525:4, 19525:8, 19525:10, 19525:15, 19525:19, 19525:25, 19526:10, 19598:10 Karst [2] - 19390:8, 19572:5 keen [1] - 19439:23 keep [2] - 19486:11, 19567:3 keeping [1] - 19583:10 Ken [42] - 19401:2, 19401:6, 19401:14, 19402:2, 19402:12, 19402:14, 19402:19, 19402:23, 19403:6, 19403:8, 19403:10, 19404:3, 19404:5, 19404:7, 19404:10, 19404:16, 19404:19, 19406:7, 19406:24, 19409:16, 19409:20, 19410:1, 19419:15, 19420:1, 19431:7, 19431:16, 19431:24, 19432:12, 19432:18, 19432:23, 19433:1, 19433:4, 19433:12, 19433:23, 19434:7, 19434:14, 19434:16, 19435:5, 19435:24, 19441:1, 19441:9 Kennedy [1] - 19390:5 Kenneth [3] - 19409:3, 19415:3, 19431:4 Kenny [6] - 19407:12, 19407:18, 19408:5, 19409:3, 19413:3, 19413:9 kept [1] - 19459:24 key [1] - 19440:6 kill [1] - 19466:2 killed [15] - 19504:9,				



<p>19440:20 likely [3] - 19510:14, 19517:4, 19523:3 limit [2] - 19511:16, 19607:5 limited [3] - 19394:20, 19469:3, 19565:11 Linda [28] - 19398:4, 19398:17, 19402:9, 19429:17, 19443:10, 19443:17, 19443:18, 19449:13, 19462:8, 19462:10, 19467:2, 19508:8, 19510:8, 19510:16, 19510:25, 19511:5, 19511:8, 19511:13, 19511:17, 19512:5, 19512:11, 19514:21, 19515:4, 19515:10, 19515:18, 19583:19, 19587:2 line [4] - 19419:23, 19456:18, 19510:4, 19531:3 lines [1] - 19431:6 link [30] - 19398:14, 19399:3, 19442:19, 19465:17, 19465:21, 19508:16, 19514:23, 19515:12, 19515:16, 19516:16, 19517:7, 19517:17, 19518:1, 19518:7, 19518:11, 19518:15, 19518:21, 19518:23, 19519:1, 19529:14, 19531:13, 19532:8, 19532:10, 19541:9, 19541:14, 19542:16, 19547:7, 19548:13, 19581:18 linking [10] - 19465:16, 19509:7, 19509:17, 19509:24, 19519:7, 19519:10, 19519:16, 19519:17, 19521:12, 19607:22 links [1] - 19517:10 list [2] - 19415:6, 19415:9 listed [1] - 19476:17 lived [1] - 19540:25 locate [9] - 19394:24, 19397:9, 19397:14, 19419:4, 19441:11, 19601:7, 19602:24, 19605:5, 19606:7 located [11] - 19397:1, 19397:3, 19411:13, 19600:1, 19600:7, 19601:15, 19601:24,</p>	<p>19602:20, 19605:8, 19606:20, 19606:23 locating [2] - 19418:5, 19431:4 location [6] - 19400:14, 19443:20, 19504:11, 19506:5, 19506:25, 19559:24 locations [1] - 19448:13 logical [2] - 19396:10, 19396:22 look [19] - 19399:25, 19400:4, 19400:13, 19405:12, 19426:18, 19435:8, 19439:8, 19443:21, 19466:13, 19466:14, 19505:7, 19507:8, 19513:5, 19514:12, 19532:20, 19533:16, 19549:20, 19593:22, 19606:15 looked [13] - 19427:2, 19430:16, 19453:21, 19474:7, 19524:21, 19529:7, 19529:22, 19530:4, 19549:6, 19549:13, 19582:2, 19584:17, 19593:9 looking [28] - 19399:4, 19399:11, 19399:20, 19400:23, 19426:10, 19426:13, 19428:17, 19438:14, 19439:10, 19457:9, 19466:1, 19507:22, 19526:10, 19532:7, 19532:14, 19541:7, 19541:9, 19541:23, 19542:15, 19547:2, 19548:11, 19556:21, 19557:5, 19562:9, 19578:15, 19582:23, 19593:12, 19594:15 looks [23] - 19392:17, 19413:19, 19414:18, 19434:24, 19462:4, 19475:10, 19485:4, 19488:18, 19489:14, 19491:25, 19524:19, 19528:23, 19552:3, 19570:22, 19571:23, 19577:20, 19581:4, 19591:23, 19593:2, 19597:13, 19597:16, 19598:3, 19599:18 Loran [1] - 19390:7 loss [2] - 19455:20, 19491:2 lunch [2] - 19459:19, 19487:18</p>	<p>M</p> <p>Maccallum [12] - 19388:7, 19392:3, 19448:2, 19448:5, 19478:1, 19478:4, 19487:21, 19527:21, 19545:20, 19591:12, 19591:14, 19608:4 Mackie [2] - 19421:22, 19458:18 Mail [2] - 19552:19, 19581:25 main [4] - 19426:14, 19430:20, 19510:15, 19536:19 maintaining [1] - 19576:18 majority [1] - 19602:1 man [4] - 19467:14, 19477:9, 19546:17, 19552:20 managed [1] - 19566:25 Manager [1] - 19389:4 mandate [1] - 19513:14 Manitoba [1] - 19430:5 manner [4] - 19400:2, 19520:11, 19520:21, 19532:11 March [7] - 19424:19, 19482:17, 19488:19, 19489:6, 19512:16, 19513:15, 19575:6 march [1] - 19424:19 marital [1] - 19432:22 Marlborough [2] - 19449:1, 19450:6 Mary's [1] - 19457:24 matched [1] - 19516:25 material [25] - 19394:20, 19399:19, 19419:1, 19480:14, 19481:9, 19530:7, 19530:8, 19534:18, 19539:1, 19544:19, 19549:11, 19549:12, 19549:15, 19557:20, 19566:7, 19569:11, 19569:14, 19569:24, 19570:25, 19601:24, 19602:2, 19602:24, 19606:21, 19606:23, 19607:6 matter [17] - 19438:20, 19438:24, 19444:3, 19464:1, 19464:7, 19483:11, 19492:2, 19499:14, 19525:22, 19543:13, 19544:15,</p>	<p>19544:16, 19560:14, 19571:8, 19587:17, 19595:3, 19602:13 matters [9] - 19401:14, 19426:16, 19438:12, 19439:8, 19473:23, 19498:6, 19512:25, 19547:10, 19558:8 Mccloskey [6] - 19522:16, 19539:11, 19550:22, 19550:23, 19553:5, 19553:22 Mccloskey's [1] - 19554:7 Mckay [1] - 19565:14 McClean [1] - 19390:3 Meadow [1] - 19528:17 mean [13] - 19396:4, 19426:19, 19467:9, 19471:4, 19472:7, 19511:3, 19514:11, 19521:1, 19561:21, 19564:6, 19570:17, 19573:16, 19607:7 meaning [1] - 19469:3 means [2] - 19520:22, 19520:23 meant [4] - 19406:1, 19406:2, 19442:13, 19585:16 measure [1] - 19500:3 media [23] - 19446:15, 19447:2, 19447:3, 19447:10, 19447:11, 19447:17, 19462:15, 19477:1, 19478:19, 19487:5, 19526:5, 19526:7, 19527:14, 19527:16, 19547:4, 19553:25, 19554:3, 19555:12, 19576:11, 19598:17, 19602:11, 19603:10, 19603:14 Media [1] - 19446:18 medical [3] - 19419:2, 19457:6, 19483:17 meet [1] - 19484:6 meeting [6] - 19397:3, 19401:25, 19463:16, 19496:10, 19577:21, 19587:9 meetings [1] - 19512:17 Melnyk [3] - 19394:25, 19416:14, 19502:14 member [3] - 19527:7, 19527:12, 19605:5 members [3] - 19454:9, 19527:9, 19594:22 Members [1] - 19521:23</p>	<p>memo [5] - 19396:15, 19401:21, 19414:17, 19422:13, 19544:23 memorandum [1] - 19396:14 memory [8] - 19409:19, 19409:21, 19450:10, 19453:12, 19456:11, 19471:23, 19481:13, 19491:9 menstrual [3] - 19406:17, 19419:21, 19423:3 menstruating [4] - 19413:1, 19419:4, 19419:11, 19435:22 menstruation [2] - 19435:11, 19444:6 mental [1] - 19440:8 mention [1] - 19417:9 mentioned [11] - 19399:12, 19400:17, 19406:13, 19436:13, 19436:19, 19437:21, 19442:6, 19443:16, 19513:6, 19514:9, 19585:15 merit [2] - 19434:2, 19506:16 merits [1] - 19470:4 message [1] - 19474:5 met [5] - 19394:14, 19394:21, 19431:17, 19570:23, 19595:23 method [1] - 19449:18 Meyer [3] - 19389:11, 19609:2, 19609:19 micro [2] - 19602:18, 19602:22 micro-fiche [2] - 19602:18, 19602:22 mid [2] - 19413:17, 19424:19 mid-august [1] - 19413:17 mid-march [1] - 19424:19 might [39] - 19397:2, 19400:3, 19400:15, 19408:22, 19413:1, 19413:2, 19416:17, 19426:13, 19426:16, 19427:5, 19439:11, 19439:12, 19445:13, 19448:3, 19450:2, 19453:25, 19455:8, 19456:5, 19478:22, 19480:16, 19481:18, 19481:20, 19481:21, 19491:7, 19512:20,</p>
--	---	---	---	---



<p>19513:16, 19514:13, 19518:10, 19518:14, 19524:1, 19527:8, 19527:13, 19532:1, 19542:18, 19547:7, 19552:7, 19552:9, 19563:12, 19565:19 Mike [7] - 19392:21, 19393:23, 19414:24, 19420:4, 19421:5, 19422:11, 19424:9 Milgaard [111] - 19388:4, 19390:2, 19390:3, 19403:2, 19405:13, 19405:20, 19405:23, 19406:12, 19407:14, 19408:9, 19408:14, 19411:15, 19412:21, 19413:3, 19414:2, 19416:3, 19424:22, 19424:23, 19426:17, 19430:1, 19430:6, 19430:9, 19431:7, 19431:10, 19431:25, 19433:11, 19434:4, 19434:8, 19434:9, 19434:12, 19435:13, 19435:18, 19436:7, 19436:12, 19436:19, 19436:23, 19436:25, 19437:1, 19437:11, 19438:22, 19439:9, 19439:13, 19441:14, 19443:19, 19444:1, 19444:14, 19446:11, 19446:14, 19446:22, 19447:8, 19447:11, 19453:4, 19453:8, 19453:24, 19455:13, 19455:25, 19457:22, 19464:7, 19465:5, 19466:2, 19466:15, 19472:10, 19473:25, 19477:12, 19479:23, 19480:2, 19480:7, 19480:22, 19484:13, 19486:23, 19491:14, 19493:19, 19494:5, 19501:8, 19503:5, 19504:8, 19504:13, 19505:9, 19505:13, 19505:21, 19506:2, 19506:9, 19521:22, 19522:18, 19523:13, 19523:21, 19523:23, 19525:5, 19525:10, 19525:13, 19525:17, 19526:1, 19540:20, 19543:5, 19543:10, 19543:14, 19545:2, 19545:10,</p>	<p>19551:9, 19551:17, 19553:3, 19562:2, 19566:14, 19580:5, 19584:25, 19591:5, 19594:9, 19595:5, 19604:18, 19604:22 Milgaard's [36] - 19402:5, 19402:15, 19403:1, 19403:16, 19404:4, 19404:8, 19404:20, 19405:3, 19410:17, 19427:4, 19430:5, 19430:21, 19431:9, 19431:17, 19432:2, 19432:14, 19433:14, 19433:17, 19437:14, 19440:11, 19468:11, 19483:3, 19484:14, 19495:15, 19497:4, 19509:4, 19523:1, 19536:15, 19539:22, 19568:9, 19590:25, 19591:18, 19599:16, 19602:10, 19603:9, 19604:6 Milgaard/miller [1] - 19565:6 Milgaards [1] - 19535:6 Miller [118] - 19399:3, 19399:23, 19407:2, 19412:25, 19419:10, 19424:13, 19424:14, 19424:18, 19425:3, 19425:19, 19428:4, 19433:25, 19435:14, 19435:19, 19436:3, 19436:10, 19436:14, 19436:15, 19437:6, 19437:21, 19438:5, 19441:12, 19442:4, 19442:10, 19442:15, 19442:17, 19443:5, 19443:15, 19444:4, 19444:15, 19445:11, 19445:24, 19452:23, 19453:1, 19453:23, 19453:24, 19454:2, 19454:5, 19454:17, 19466:2, 19466:8, 19471:19, 19472:4, 19472:14, 19473:7, 19474:7, 19474:19, 19483:20, 19484:4, 19484:6, 19493:7, 19493:20, 19504:9, 19505:10, 19505:13, 19505:14, 19505:15, 19506:3, 19506:25, 19509:1, 19511:21, 19512:21, 19513:17, 19515:16, 19516:8,</p>	<p>19516:12, 19517:4, 19517:8, 19517:18, 19517:24, 19518:24, 19519:18, 19521:13, 19523:23, 19529:15, 19529:19, 19530:6, 19530:18, 19532:17, 19539:17, 19541:10, 19541:12, 19542:16, 19544:24, 19545:4, 19545:7, 19545:8, 19545:12, 19545:17, 19547:9, 19548:5, 19548:14, 19549:23, 19550:14, 19553:21, 19557:7, 19559:1, 19563:2, 19563:10, 19563:15, 19563:23, 19564:2, 19576:3, 19576:13, 19579:9, 19579:13, 19580:2, 19581:19, 19584:9, 19585:22, 19586:3, 19586:8, 19586:15, 19586:19, 19593:15, 19594:5, 19594:25, 19607:23 Miller's [21] - 19399:8, 19424:15, 19428:9, 19445:1, 19449:17, 19455:1, 19477:7, 19479:21, 19508:7, 19508:17, 19514:24, 19515:13, 19516:24, 19520:11, 19531:9, 19546:12, 19548:16, 19549:1, 19582:6, 19583:25, 19605:25 Millers [1] - 19564:18 mind [25] - 19397:18, 19434:19, 19438:15, 19439:14, 19443:6, 19449:9, 19451:13, 19454:20, 19455:11, 19455:17, 19456:4, 19462:13, 19486:11, 19499:8, 19501:21, 19505:11, 19505:16, 19506:13, 19520:25, 19521:1, 19532:7, 19541:10, 19543:22, 19554:23, 19583:10 mind-set [1] - 19541:10 mind-ed [2] - 19500:25, 19501:7 mine [2] - 19533:21, 19605:2 Minister [36] - 19390:11, 19475:3, 19476:18, 19478:2,</p>	<p>19483:2, 19483:7, 19484:10, 19485:18, 19485:20, 19486:22, 19492:3, 19494:10, 19495:14, 19496:23, 19497:2, 19497:15, 19498:1, 19499:2, 19499:6, 19499:11, 19499:15, 19502:6, 19502:10, 19505:19, 19507:25, 19509:8, 19515:3, 19515:10, 19516:3, 19519:4, 19520:8, 19525:16, 19540:2, 19553:2, 19558:16, 19588:6 minister [1] - 19496:6 Minister's [2] - 19485:15, 19507:19 minister's [5] - 19487:10, 19487:17, 19494:21, 19494:25, 19496:16 Ministers [1] - 19498:3 Ministries [15] - 19485:14, 19521:20, 19522:15, 19522:17, 19523:8, 19534:16, 19535:6, 19539:4, 19544:9, 19550:4, 19550:18, 19552:2, 19569:5, 19604:13, 19606:22 Ministries' [1] - 19566:15 Ministry [1] - 19484:11 minute [2] - 19408:13, 19447:25 minutes [2] - 19394:22, 19468:3 misconduct [1] - 19603:20 misfiled [1] - 19602:25 misrecorded [2] - 19403:23, 19404:18 Miss [2] - 19428:8, 19449:16 missing [14] - 19422:11, 19443:13, 19508:9, 19508:21, 19510:10, 19515:22, 19544:20, 19568:16, 19568:17, 19578:16, 19602:9, 19602:13, 19603:8, 19603:15 mistaken [3] - 19472:5, 19472:8, 19575:8 mistreatment [1] - 19461:13 misunderstood [2] -</p>	<p>19403:23, 19404:19 mix [1] - 19556:21 Mo [7] - 19394:18, 19398:23, 19463:7, 19533:9, 19551:19, 19579:20, 19579:23 moccasin [2] - 19594:24, 19595:8 modus [4] - 19442:23, 19530:16, 19532:12, 19532:23 moment [9] - 19401:18, 19483:5, 19492:15, 19510:6, 19516:22, 19530:1, 19535:4, 19558:6, 19561:3 Monday [1] - 19546:3 money [2] - 19457:24, 19586:4 monitor [1] - 19561:13 monitored [1] - 19561:20 monitoring [1] - 19478:10 month [2] - 19459:21, 19604:3 months [3] - 19430:2, 19483:8, 19483:16 morning [31] - 19402:15, 19403:16, 19404:21, 19407:14, 19408:11, 19411:14, 19411:22, 19421:9, 19429:7, 19432:13, 19433:13, 19443:12, 19443:20, 19448:1, 19460:16, 19470:25, 19477:22, 19488:18, 19489:22, 19490:13, 19490:20, 19492:1, 19492:12, 19507:2, 19517:5, 19517:17, 19530:14, 19583:2, 19585:10, 19586:12, 19595:7 Morrisson [3] - 19423:25, 19424:1, 19424:6 Most [1] - 19602:16 most [8] - 19431:2, 19471:6, 19478:13, 19485:12, 19486:19, 19507:21, 19523:3, 19604:7 mostly [1] - 19417:22 Motel [3] - 19467:24, 19507:4, 19520:1 mother [1] - 19440:6 motivate [1] - 19576:16 motivated [3] -</p>
---	---	--	--	---



19478:13, 19478:25, 19575:20 motivation [1] - 19479:3 motive [4] - 19437:3, 19441:16, 19444:16, 19453:25 Mountain [6] - 19413:20, 19413:25, 19414:5, 19467:7, 19593:8, 19605:20 move [5] - 19479:15, 19512:13, 19521:11, 19524:6, 19564:19 moved [3] - 19467:7, 19469:3, 19475:19 movement [1] - 19559:23 movements [2] - 19444:25, 19477:10 moving [3] - 19470:5, 19531:5, 19608:2 murder [71] - 19399:3, 19399:8, 19402:16, 19403:17, 19417:13, 19417:24, 19425:20, 19429:3, 19443:12, 19443:20, 19444:8, 19445:1, 19445:10, 19449:17, 19454:5, 19458:1, 19460:10, 19465:4, 19465:14, 19465:17, 19465:18, 19465:22, 19466:7, 19470:25, 19471:5, 19473:19, 19477:10, 19477:13, 19477:22, 19479:25, 19484:12, 19493:20, 19507:3, 19507:15, 19508:10, 19508:19, 19510:10, 19515:20, 19516:1, 19517:5, 19517:11, 19517:18, 19530:6, 19530:18, 19531:9, 19542:16, 19546:4, 19546:12, 19548:14, 19548:16, 19552:21, 19555:1, 19557:7, 19576:3, 19579:9, 19579:14, 19580:2, 19581:14, 19581:19, 19582:6, 19583:25, 19585:10, 19585:11, 19585:22, 19586:4, 19594:25, 19595:7, 19603:3, 19604:19, 19605:25, 19607:23 murdered [2] - 19419:12, 19459:22	Murray [1] - 19559:3 must [7] - 19428:9, 19428:14, 19429:10, 19434:21, 19467:11, 19592:3, 19606:20 mystery [1] - 19559:13 N name [9] - 19395:16, 19453:8, 19453:14, 19472:9, 19472:23, 19527:3, 19527:7, 19527:12, 19555:18 named [2] - 19424:21, 19437:19 names [6] - 19395:3, 19417:18, 19426:5, 19527:7, 19527:12, 19557:16 narrative [1] - 19409:24 Native [2] - 19528:6, 19595:1 native [1] - 19551:21 nature [7] - 19416:17, 19484:19, 19499:21, 19501:14, 19527:10, 19541:18, 19555:12 near [7] - 19459:22, 19504:15, 19506:10, 19528:10, 19581:14, 19605:2, 19607:20 nearby [1] - 19460:10 necessarily [1] - 19434:14 necessary [3] - 19579:21, 19588:1, 19607:4 need [5] - 19476:8, 19516:15, 19517:12, 19552:9, 19601:4 nefarious [1] - 19456:6 negative [1] - 19452:2 neighbourhood [4] - 19583:17, 19585:10, 19586:1, 19586:25 nervous [3] - 19420:8, 19420:11, 19420:24 nervousness [1] - 19423:10 never [29] - 19398:7, 19446:1, 19471:20, 19473:7, 19480:7, 19496:9, 19496:10, 19502:4, 19511:13, 19536:25, 19537:24, 19538:4, 19540:25, 19541:23, 19545:12, 19549:12, 19554:17,	19556:5, 19556:6, 19556:7, 19559:10, 19560:19, 19561:1, 19561:2, 19564:10, 19596:13, 19596:15, 19596:17 new [24] - 19408:22, 19411:17, 19412:8, 19412:16, 19412:20, 19413:9, 19413:10, 19444:6, 19473:16, 19477:3, 19482:4, 19484:7, 19497:20, 19499:1, 19499:6, 19499:18, 19500:24, 19502:11, 19503:9, 19530:1, 19544:2, 19544:12, 19552:21, 19604:20 New [1] - 19539:5 news [9] - 19447:2, 19459:21, 19462:15, 19476:25, 19488:22, 19581:24, 19602:10, 19603:10, 19603:14 newscasts [1] - 19461:12 newspaper [5] - 19446:19, 19489:9, 19546:2, 19552:17, 19582:8 Next [6] - 19414:16, 19422:4, 19471:18, 19477:25, 19484:4, 19544:22 next [68] - 19392:16, 19396:22, 19400:25, 19410:11, 19427:14, 19427:22, 19429:24, 19431:21, 19434:23, 19435:8, 19436:2, 19440:3, 19446:12, 19452:13, 19460:12, 19462:2, 19466:24, 19467:22, 19468:22, 19470:22, 19474:16, 19474:20, 19475:22, 19487:16, 19488:19, 19489:18, 19490:2, 19490:4, 19490:6, 19490:8, 19496:22, 19499:10, 19502:5, 19519:23, 19520:7, 19521:18, 19523:10, 19526:13, 19526:14, 19535:25, 19540:10, 19544:4, 19544:7, 19544:12, 19544:22, 19545:19, 19546:9, 19549:16, 19550:2,	19550:3, 19551:12, 19551:23, 19553:13, 19575:4, 19575:7, 19579:17, 19589:15, 19591:21, 19592:6, 19593:22, 19595:19, 19597:15, 19598:3, 19601:5, 19602:8, 19606:4, 19607:15 nice [2] - 19457:16, 19458:25 Nichol [13] - 19407:5, 19416:14, 19422:25, 19464:2, 19464:5, 19464:13, 19464:16, 19466:2, 19466:8, 19466:20, 19470:24, 19471:1, 19503:14 Nicole [5] - 19457:23, 19457:25, 19458:1, 19463:18, 19479:10 night [1] - 19459:21 no-nonsense [3] - 19575:24, 19577:4, 19597:1 non [2] - 19539:4, 19570:8 non-police [1] - 19570:8 non-profit [1] - 19539:4 none [2] - 19424:24, 19541:11 nonsense [3] - 19575:24, 19577:4, 19597:1 normal [3] - 19397:24, 19468:2, 19513:7 normally [1] - 19588:21 North [1] - 19603:4 note [29] - 19411:5, 19412:15, 19412:22, 19448:20, 19469:20, 19474:21, 19476:9, 19480:20, 19482:4, 19490:21, 19491:6, 19491:8, 19491:9, 19491:13, 19491:25, 19493:13, 19494:8, 19494:13, 19494:19, 19495:4, 19495:22, 19507:2, 19524:12, 19530:15, 19574:2, 19574:21, 19597:25, 19598:18 notebook [6] - 19488:9, 19489:15, 19490:2, 19490:12, 19492:7, 19524:21 notebooks [11] - 19474:25, 19475:4,	19475:10, 19475:20, 19476:4, 19486:9, 19486:19, 19488:5, 19488:12, 19491:16, 19495:22 noted [9] - 19422:20, 19423:2, 19476:4, 19508:17, 19515:19, 19534:24, 19535:17, 19580:2, 19604:6 notes [29] - 19394:9, 19412:23, 19425:2, 19426:9, 19439:2, 19469:19, 19469:24, 19470:6, 19480:10, 19480:11, 19480:16, 19481:2, 19481:6, 19481:10, 19481:15, 19485:25, 19486:14, 19489:12, 19489:14, 19489:21, 19492:10, 19492:16, 19495:20, 19563:12, 19564:13, 19595:11, 19595:18, 19609:6 nothing [14] - 19417:15, 19442:1, 19442:9, 19442:13, 19442:18, 19456:10, 19460:2, 19477:3, 19482:4, 19515:16, 19573:2, 19573:18, 19584:11, 19605:24 noticed [1] - 19458:9 notified [2] - 19540:25, 19558:19 notify [1] - 19561:24 notwithstanding [1] - 19519:12 November [3] - 19388:21, 19474:17, 19482:4 number [10] - 19411:24, 19417:21, 19474:7, 19474:23, 19475:9, 19504:7, 19507:25, 19512:19, 19568:11, 19578:1 Number [2] - 19503:8, 19503:20 numbers [1] - 19551:14 nurse [1] - 19417:14 nurses' [1] - 19546:24 nutshell [1] - 19493:11 O O'keefe [1] - 19390:10 oath [1] - 19465:3
--	---	--	--	--



objective [2] - 19499:16, 19531:6 observation [4] - 19405:7, 19431:25, 19508:8, 19510:8 observations [5] - 19562:11, 19562:14, 19574:1, 19574:9, 19574:12 observed [2] - 19435:19, 19574:16 obtain [3] - 19436:16, 19440:10, 19567:1 obtained [5] - 19394:15, 19395:12, 19401:7, 19457:19, 19572:4 obvious [5] - 19467:10, 19543:10, 19548:21, 19568:3, 19571:17 obviously [10] - 19429:3, 19442:21, 19461:23, 19466:3, 19466:19, 19482:2, 19555:23, 19569:23, 19570:9, 19599:2 occasion [2] - 19419:5, 19487:12 occasions [6] - 19392:25, 19425:18, 19425:22, 19474:8, 19541:17, 19550:19 occur [1] - 19458:4 occurred [4] - 19399:7, 19423:17, 19475:17, 19584:13 occurrence [2] - 19395:21, 19396:17 occurs [1] - 19400:3 Oct [1] - 19572:6 October [8] - 19467:22, 19470:13, 19473:21, 19474:14, 19474:16, 19551:23, 19604:3 odd [2] - 19429:15, 19471:22 odds [1] - 19473:6 offence [11] - 19394:16, 19405:14, 19480:1, 19493:7, 19504:12, 19526:23, 19559:1, 19604:10, 19604:14, 19605:6, 19606:1 offences [30] - 19400:18, 19529:22, 19530:8, 19530:17, 19532:11, 19532:21, 19533:5, 19533:7, 19536:22, 19537:4, 19538:3, 19541:11, 19542:1, 19544:13, 19544:15, 19548:12, 19549:14, 19549:21, 19556:15, 19556:16, 19558:18, 19559:17, 19562:23, 19572:7, 19572:9, 19572:14, 19574:11, 19579:12, 19579:15, 19580:4 offer [1] - 19605:24 offered [1] - 19523:16 offhand [1] - 19512:7 office [4] - 19486:9, 19490:19, 19568:25, 19578:22 Officer [2] - 19389:12, 19604:15 officer [15] - 19395:23, 19402:14, 19407:15, 19453:15, 19482:21, 19510:15, 19511:7, 19517:12, 19518:14, 19518:22, 19531:17, 19567:21, 19568:8, 19570:7, 19579:3 officer's [1] - 19438:14 officers [3] - 19396:24, 19528:15, 19547:25 Official [5] - 19389:10, 19609:1, 19609:3, 19609:14, 19609:20 officially [1] - 19534:18 Officials [1] - 19471:9 officials [2] - 19535:1, 19535:19 often [1] - 19558:2 old [8] - 19402:3, 19407:13, 19407:19, 19408:3, 19534:22, 19535:15, 19551:16 once [3] - 19392:19, 19469:4, 19590:3 Once [1] - 19538:21 One [1] - 19546:5 one [68] - 19395:12, 19396:15, 19399:9, 19399:12, 19399:23, 19404:11, 19404:24, 19405:14, 19411:21, 19418:19, 19421:2, 19421:19, 19426:13, 19431:4, 19435:22, 19440:1, 19443:7, 19449:18, 19450:20, 19454:8, 19459:20, 19464:6, 19472:24, 19475:12, 19475:18, 19481:7, 19489:20, 19489:23, 19496:4, 19498:18, 19501:22, 19503:21, 19506:1, 19508:6, 19516:20, 19524:14, 19529:4, 19529:19, 19530:1, 19539:2, 19544:11, 19545:12, 19545:14, 19546:16, 19546:18, 19548:1, 19551:13, 19551:14, 19551:23, 19552:22, 19553:15, 19556:23, 19556:25, 19561:8, 19561:12, 19561:19, 19563:13, 19566:20, 19568:11, 19570:2, 19571:15, 19580:23, 19583:19, 19585:8, 19586:9, 19594:22, 19598:8, 19603:3 ones [2] - 19399:6, 19399:18 ongoing [2] - 19596:16, 19606:6 Ongoing [2] - 19439:18, 19477:19 open [7] - 19468:21, 19500:25, 19501:7, 19501:21, 19513:3, 19525:14, 19547:16 open-minded [2] - 19500:25, 19501:7 opening [1] - 19571:7 operandi [4] - 19442:23, 19530:16, 19532:12, 19532:23 operating [1] - 19556:3 operation [3] - 19513:9, 19514:13, 19521:5 operations [1] - 19521:8 operator [8] - 19392:18, 19394:4, 19420:5, 19445:11, 19445:21, 19445:24, 19483:24, 19587:12 operators [4] - 19415:7, 19422:5, 19422:15, 19422:17 opinion [3] - 19461:7, 19479:18, 19597:23 opportunities [1] - 19478:11 opportunity [6] - 19410:2, 19410:4, 19527:19, 19575:25, 19598:9, 19599:5 opposed [5] - 19417:5, 19451:5, 19454:24, 19544:2, 19592:12 optimistic [2] - 19577:6, 19597:2 option [3] - 19468:20, 19469:9, 19596:22 options [1] - 19514:10 order [2] - 19478:9, 19607:5 organization [3] - 19539:5, 19561:7, 19561:17 original [9] - 19395:21, 19398:11, 19403:25, 19433:21, 19440:9, 19440:14, 19578:8, 19601:14, 19606:18 originally [1] - 19548:15 originated [1] - 19440:17 otherwise [1] - 19587:3 Ottawa [4] - 19492:19, 19496:8, 19565:14, 19565:24 ought [1] - 19451:21 outlet [1] - 19527:16 outline [1] - 19590:9 outlines [2] - 19579:10, 19579:17 outlining [1] - 19579:7 outside [1] - 19559:25 outstanding [1] - 19483:11 outweigh [1] - 19595:24 overall [4] - 19400:12, 19400:20, 19461:21, 19464:21 overlooked [1] - 19430:12 overly [2] - 19577:6, 19597:2 overwhelmingly [1] - 19553:19 own [9] - 19393:7, 19425:15, 19440:24, 19454:9, 19500:22, 19501:2, 19505:2, 19533:20, 19561:7 ownership [1] - 19516:20	19422:4, 19427:14, 19427:22, 19429:24, 19431:21, 19434:23, 19436:2, 19440:3, 19446:12, 19448:12, 19452:13, 19460:12, 19462:2, 19466:24, 19470:22, 19471:18, 19474:13, 19474:15, 19474:20, 19475:9, 19476:10, 19477:25, 19481:1, 19484:4, 19487:8, 19488:15, 19490:2, 19490:4, 19490:6, 19490:8, 19495:10, 19496:22, 19497:1, 19497:2, 19499:10, 19502:5, 19507:17, 19507:18, 19519:23, 19520:7, 19522:13, 19523:10, 19524:10, 19524:15, 19526:13, 19526:14, 19535:25, 19536:5, 19540:10, 19544:4, 19544:7, 19544:22, 19545:19, 19545:23, 19546:9, 19550:2, 19550:3, 19551:12, 19566:12, 19566:17, 19575:2, 19575:7, 19575:8, 19579:18, 19593:2, 19593:23, 19597:15, 19601:5, 19602:8, 19606:4, 19607:15 Page [1] - 19391:2 pages [6] - 19395:20, 19413:24, 19522:9, 19537:12, 19575:4, 19609:4 pain [2] - 19420:19, 19423:8 paints [1] - 19432:19 pair [1] - 19458:6 palm [1] - 19420:12 panties [1] - 19516:25 pants [11] - 19404:4, 19404:8, 19404:17, 19404:20, 19405:23, 19408:18, 19431:10, 19458:6, 19458:15, 19458:16, 19459:17 paper [3] - 19426:5, 19475:19, 19554:8 Paragraph [1] - 19593:23 paragraph [29] - 19392:17, 19398:2, 19402:1, 19405:19, 19410:11, 19410:23, 19410:23,
P	
package [4] - 19526:22, 19538:9, 19549:13, 19550:17 page [79] - 19392:13, 19392:16, 19400:25, 19402:24, 19410:9, 19410:11, 19410:23,	



<p>19427:15, 19427:22, 19431:1, 19433:9, 19434:23, 19435:9, 19437:9, 19443:24, 19452:24, 19459:16, 19459:17, 19463:1, 19467:22, 19468:22, 19475:22, 19478:6, 19479:5, 19499:11, 19525:3, 19534:13, 19538:20, 19566:19, 19570:22, 19571:23, 19589:16 paragraphs [1] - 19401:24 pardon [1] - 19422:10 parents [1] - 19457:15 paring [2] - 19508:9, 19510:9 Parker [4] - 19553:17, 19594:22, 19595:11, 19595:15 Parliament [1] - 19521:23 Parole [1] - 19463:3 parole [2] - 19463:4, 19603:5 part [49] - 19392:12, 19406:4, 19410:15, 19419:16, 19424:24, 19443:16, 19447:4, 19454:21, 19454:23, 19455:1, 19455:2, 19461:21, 19463:11, 19486:20, 19488:11, 19488:12, 19491:14, 19496:10, 19498:17, 19499:3, 19499:4, 19503:6, 19503:17, 19507:18, 19511:13, 19513:10, 19523:18, 19523:20, 19528:1, 19528:6, 19544:22, 19545:24, 19546:2, 19546:14, 19549:25, 19551:20, 19552:24, 19556:20, 19560:1, 19562:1, 19562:4, 19562:8, 19566:9, 19566:12, 19566:14, 19568:17, 19576:9, 19597:23, 19605:11 partial [3] - 19395:14, 19395:15, 19544:21 participating [1] - 19568:18 particular [18] - 19428:14, 19429:23, 19433:14, 19453:5, 19463:7, 19465:12,</p>	<p>19488:2, 19501:5, 19528:20, 19554:16, 19559:17, 19570:19, 19581:24, 19582:24, 19584:4, 19603:24, 19604:14, 19605:6 particulars [1] - 19552:1 parties [1] - 19467:6 parts [11] - 19402:22, 19405:18, 19408:22, 19429:6, 19465:11, 19465:14, 19496:25, 19509:9, 19510:1, 19544:8, 19601:17 party [2] - 19480:1, 19568:20 pass [2] - 19415:20, 19462:21 passed [8] - 19401:14, 19416:21, 19441:8, 19445:9, 19468:5, 19556:4, 19588:11, 19599:1 Passett [1] - 19395:22 passing [1] - 19471:15 past [8] - 19432:19, 19443:3, 19443:21, 19479:22, 19534:6, 19536:22, 19537:4, 19580:4 Pat [1] - 19390:7 pathologist [1] - 19434:25 pattern [1] - 19536:24 Paul [1] - 19522:15 pause [10] - 19401:18, 19450:22, 19478:18, 19516:22, 19527:1, 19537:3, 19558:14, 19559:14, 19565:18, 19590:23 Peace [1] - 19604:14 Pearson [54] - 19391:3, 19392:5, 19392:7, 19393:5, 19394:9, 19396:23, 19398:25, 19404:15, 19406:9, 19408:8, 19411:7, 19411:18, 19415:6, 19416:2, 19417:19, 19424:6, 19434:6, 19437:24, 19450:1, 19455:8, 19460:5, 19461:11, 19464:2, 19466:11, 19472:3, 19474:23, 19485:19, 19487:25, 19490:11, 19494:8, 19498:14, 19500:8, 19501:17,</p>	<p>19509:10, 19510:14, 19516:13, 19521:25, 19528:21, 19530:14, 19536:9, 19537:5, 19540:1, 19541:2, 19543:16, 19545:25, 19549:5, 19551:25, 19552:14, 19553:25, 19558:14, 19559:14, 19567:14, 19581:8, 19596:6 Peggy [31] - 19424:12, 19424:14, 19424:18, 19425:3, 19436:3, 19436:10, 19436:15, 19437:6, 19438:5, 19441:11, 19452:23, 19452:25, 19453:4, 19453:9, 19453:14, 19453:17, 19453:23, 19454:2, 19454:17, 19455:1, 19471:18, 19471:20, 19471:24, 19472:4, 19473:7, 19479:21, 19484:4, 19484:6, 19545:7, 19563:15, 19564:2 Peggy's [2] - 19453:12, 19474:19 penis [1] - 19435:20 penitentiary [8] - 19430:14, 19530:10, 19537:7, 19560:6, 19560:7, 19594:13, 19601:16, 19601:19 Penitentiary [1] - 19430:21 Penkala [6] - 19419:6, 19419:12, 19474:18, 19533:19, 19556:25, 19563:23 people [22] - 19416:16, 19416:20, 19417:25, 19418:8, 19418:9, 19457:17, 19458:25, 19459:5, 19459:9, 19460:1, 19479:19, 19502:1, 19502:19, 19502:21, 19520:15, 19539:9, 19560:2, 19561:1, 19567:6, 19576:11, 19583:23, 19585:23 perceived [1] - 19393:14 performed [2] - 19419:22, 19420:7 performing [1] - 19501:6 perhaps [6] - 19409:6,</p>	<p>19472:4, 19475:8, 19476:5, 19481:21, 19563:24 period [3] - 19480:18, 19482:24, 19495:21 permission [2] - 19467:4, 19572:24 permit [3] - 19470:16, 19497:19, 19572:24 perpetrator [2] - 19503:3, 19536:19 person [26] - 19458:17, 19462:14, 19511:20, 19512:21, 19513:17, 19523:2, 19546:23, 19546:25, 19547:7, 19547:9, 19548:2, 19548:4, 19567:17, 19567:24, 19568:4, 19568:6, 19571:13, 19571:14, 19571:19, 19573:6, 19579:9, 19582:2, 19583:10, 19584:1, 19585:25 personal [1] - 19462:18 personnel [3] - 19569:15, 19570:8, 19602:21 perspective [2] - 19501:17, 19513:13 persuasive [1] - 19590:1 petitioned [1] - 19553:2 phone [3] - 19466:20, 19558:1, 19558:11 phoned [2] - 19412:10, 19463:14 photo [4] - 19508:18, 19515:19, 19582:1, 19584:16 phrase [1] - 19505:18 physical [7] - 19393:2, 19393:13, 19420:21, 19423:7, 19439:20, 19516:19, 19604:24 physically [1] - 19420:13 pick [2] - 19457:23, 19578:9 Pick [20] - 19392:17, 19456:21, 19457:2, 19468:17, 19468:20, 19469:10, 19470:15, 19534:5, 19534:7, 19576:4, 19577:12, 19581:5, 19596:20, 19597:19, 19598:5, 19599:3, 19599:11, 19599:19, 19600:5, 19600:11</p>	<p>Pick's [1] - 19446:1 picked [1] - 19479:24 picking [1] - 19577:22 picture [4] - 19400:12, 19432:19, 19437:17, 19472:16 pie [1] - 19498:18 piece [4] - 19435:16, 19460:4, 19498:18, 19507:7 pieces [1] - 19572:16 place [15] - 19432:16, 19459:23, 19460:6, 19476:15, 19489:23, 19526:23, 19532:7, 19551:15, 19558:4, 19572:2, 19582:6, 19583:22, 19584:14, 19603:25, 19604:9 Placed [1] - 19420:4 placed [5] - 19460:7, 19498:11, 19528:13, 19536:25, 19537:24 places [2] - 19584:2, 19586:5 placing [1] - 19408:15 plane [1] - 19560:9 plans [1] - 19447:25 play [4] - 19446:7, 19475:21, 19516:21, 19578:20 pled [2] - 19574:11, 19597:16 plus [1] - 19421:5 Pm [4] - 19487:23, 19552:11, 19552:12, 19608:5 pm [1] - 19401:4 pocket [1] - 19458:10 point [40] - 19394:6, 19397:2, 19397:13, 19398:12, 19404:23, 19405:4, 19408:9, 19416:1, 19419:13, 19426:6, 19429:11, 19434:3, 19443:9, 19450:20, 19454:13, 19470:2, 19471:10, 19473:22, 19475:15, 19476:2, 19477:2, 19479:1, 19497:10, 19507:9, 19507:20, 19510:3, 19511:18, 19511:23, 19527:25, 19529:18, 19536:8, 19547:14, 19554:18, 19563:19, 19565:8, 19576:21, 19590:8, 19595:12, 19596:25, 19598:23</p>
--	---	--	--	---



<p>pointed [3] - 19438:21, 19438:24, 19475:16</p> <p>pointing [1] - 19584:8</p> <p>points [3] - 19479:7, 19498:9, 19604:24</p> <p>Police [33] - 19390:7, 19394:14, 19396:24, 19397:8, 19418:25, 19421:10, 19421:14, 19436:20, 19448:24, 19453:18, 19456:1, 19461:8, 19533:4, 19534:17, 19535:1, 19535:7, 19535:19, 19546:22, 19558:23, 19561:6, 19567:20, 19568:8, 19569:3, 19569:12, 19572:3, 19578:4, 19578:15, 19594:21, 19601:23, 19602:12, 19602:15, 19602:21, 19606:10</p> <p>police [100] - 19395:9, 19395:11, 19395:13, 19396:2, 19396:6, 19396:17, 19399:5, 19402:14, 19406:15, 19407:15, 19421:19, 19422:21, 19424:19, 19425:19, 19425:25, 19436:16, 19438:4, 19438:14, 19441:20, 19453:3, 19453:6, 19453:8, 19453:15, 19453:20, 19454:19, 19455:24, 19455:25, 19460:13, 19460:16, 19461:4, 19461:7, 19461:13, 19461:25, 19463:10, 19465:3, 19465:8, 19467:23, 19471:6, 19472:6, 19472:23, 19472:25, 19474:19, 19477:17, 19479:11, 19483:22, 19508:14, 19510:15, 19511:7, 19513:4, 19513:13, 19514:2, 19518:14, 19531:17, 19533:19, 19533:22, 19534:22, 19535:15, 19536:21, 19537:3, 19537:6, 19539:2, 19546:6, 19546:13, 19546:16, 19547:4, 19547:6, 19547:20, 19547:23, 19547:24, 19548:2, 19548:7, 19548:15, 19548:25, 19549:22, 19549:24,</p>	<p>19551:17, 19557:25, 19560:16, 19562:1, 19566:21, 19566:25, 19567:12, 19568:12, 19569:20, 19570:7, 19570:8, 19571:10, 19587:16, 19588:22, 19598:8, 19601:12, 19601:15, 19601:18, 19602:5, 19603:1, 19603:16, 19603:20, 19605:7, 19606:7, 19606:18</p> <p>policing [1] - 19579:4</p> <p>policy [3] - 19561:5, 19561:10, 19561:18</p> <p>polygraph [78] - 19392:18, 19392:22, 19392:23, 19393:9, 19393:18, 19394:4, 19414:11, 19414:25, 19415:3, 19415:7, 19415:11, 19415:12, 19420:5, 19421:3, 19422:5, 19422:15, 19422:17, 19422:23, 19423:6, 19423:11, 19427:19, 19430:1, 19430:7, 19430:23, 19439:21, 19439:24, 19442:7, 19445:3, 19445:9, 19445:11, 19445:17, 19445:21, 19445:24, 19446:8, 19449:14, 19449:17, 19457:4, 19457:10, 19468:18, 19468:25, 19469:6, 19469:13, 19469:15, 19470:3, 19470:5, 19470:17, 19477:18, 19483:14, 19483:21, 19483:24, 19512:8, 19512:10, 19512:18, 19521:5, 19534:2, 19534:11, 19555:11, 19582:14, 19587:12, 19588:20, 19589:7, 19589:10, 19589:19, 19589:25, 19590:4, 19590:14, 19592:10, 19592:14, 19592:18, 19595:22, 19596:9, 19596:12, 19596:13, 19596:16, 19597:6, 19597:21, 19598:6, 19598:25</p> <p>polygraphist [4] - 19415:2, 19483:22, 19587:16, 19596:4</p> <p>portion [7] - 19495:8,</p>	<p>19534:19, 19566:7, 19566:22, 19566:23, 19569:11, 19571:3</p> <p>Portions [2] - 19601:14, 19601:23</p> <p>portions [1] - 19394:5</p> <p>pose [1] - 19591:22</p> <p>posed [1] - 19591:21</p> <p>position [12] - 19451:21, 19454:8, 19569:7, 19570:16, 19573:15, 19573:16, 19573:24, 19577:8, 19587:18, 19588:12, 19590:3, 19597:9</p> <p>positions [1] - 19590:9</p> <p>positive [1] - 19461:2</p> <p>possessed [2] - 19460:20, 19554:22</p> <p>possession [5] - 19428:7, 19465:7, 19537:21, 19571:1, 19603:6</p> <p>possibilities [2] - 19439:10, 19546:5</p> <p>possibility [18] - 19406:19, 19407:1, 19421:11, 19430:11, 19435:18, 19450:20, 19457:9, 19463:17, 19469:8, 19471:14, 19472:24, 19473:3, 19475:21, 19491:24, 19546:23, 19565:4, 19583:12, 19583:14</p> <p>possible [11] - 19424:8, 19437:2, 19441:2, 19453:12, 19491:10, 19491:19, 19491:21, 19538:24, 19557:20, 19565:9, 19566:6</p> <p>possibly [13] - 19419:3, 19441:15, 19450:19, 19466:22, 19475:18, 19491:11, 19491:15, 19513:9, 19513:12, 19517:14, 19525:24, 19531:12, 19583:20</p> <p>Possibly [2] - 19518:25, 19584:19</p> <p>post [1] - 19475:2</p> <p>post-decision [1] - 19475:2</p> <p>potash [1] - 19605:2</p> <p>potential [12] - 19422:14, 19430:9, 19479:13, 19504:25, 19513:6, 19518:19, 19519:3, 19531:22, 19565:21, 19569:5,</p>	<p>19569:14, 19596:19</p> <p>potentially [1] - 19520:24</p> <p>practice [2] - 19409:18, 19409:21</p> <p>pre [2] - 19393:9, 19406:17</p> <p>pre-empt [1] - 19393:9</p> <p>pre-menstrual [1] - 19406:17</p> <p>preclude [3] - 19564:17, 19564:24, 19592:19</p> <p>precluded [1] - 19421:2</p> <p>predate [1] - 19492:2</p> <p>preference [1] - 19393:17</p> <p>preferred [2] - 19559:5, 19600:18</p> <p>preliminary [1] - 19458:12</p> <p>premenstrual [1] - 19433:25</p> <p>prepare [1] - 19415:6</p> <p>prepared [5] - 19424:7, 19449:20, 19477:14, 19484:12, 19573:23</p> <p>preparing [2] - 19473:24, 19495:14</p> <p>present [6] - 19440:12, 19462:17, 19462:19, 19484:1, 19540:8, 19547:19</p> <p>presented [1] - 19480:6</p> <p>press [2] - 19454:17, 19605:23</p> <p>pressing [2] - 19454:8, 19456:13</p> <p>pressure [3] - 19478:7, 19555:25, 19576:19</p> <p>pressured [1] - 19556:5</p> <p>presumably [2] - 19424:9, 19507:7</p> <p>presume [3] - 19413:16, 19497:9, 19589:2</p> <p>presumed [1] - 19408:17</p> <p>pretty [5] - 19404:23, 19405:3, 19456:7, 19580:4, 19600:14</p> <p>prevent [1] - 19573:4</p> <p>previous [25] - 19392:13, 19418:11, 19442:22, 19463:5, 19474:13, 19481:1, 19488:13, 19495:9, 19524:9, 19524:10, 19524:14, 19527:15, 19529:22, 19530:1,</p>	<p>19531:25, 19532:2, 19539:2, 19547:8, 19548:3, 19550:19, 19557:6, 19565:1, 19566:12, 19596:21, 19599:8</p> <p>previously [7] - 19416:24, 19442:6, 19474:24, 19513:7, 19534:25, 19535:18, 19558:11</p> <p>primarily [1] - 19593:14</p> <p>Prince [3] - 19448:23, 19469:4, 19587:13</p> <p>Princeton [1] - 19539:5</p> <p>Prison [2] - 19467:8, 19605:20</p> <p>prison [3] - 19593:4, 19593:9, 19594:4</p> <p>prisoners [1] - 19552:25</p> <p>privacy [1] - 19570:14</p> <p>private [6] - 19483:24, 19494:4, 19513:10, 19569:25, 19570:11, 19570:13</p> <p>privilege [1] - 19572:23</p> <p>privy [1] - 19476:25</p> <p>probe [1] - 19394:1</p> <p>problem [6] - 19464:6, 19464:21, 19464:22, 19465:1, 19525:18, 19568:11</p> <p>problems [7] - 19392:20, 19393:10, 19432:23, 19457:6, 19462:18, 19463:19, 19566:25</p> <p>procedure [2] - 19497:17, 19596:1</p> <p>proceed [2] - 19470:3, 19538:22</p> <p>proceeded [1] - 19501:1</p> <p>proceedings [1] - 19403:14</p> <p>Proceedings [4] - 19388:12, 19388:23, 19391:1, 19392:1</p> <p>process [15] - 19428:16, 19429:21, 19432:11, 19452:21, 19454:4, 19497:23, 19498:15, 19499:5, 19526:2, 19563:6, 19567:15, 19584:24, 19588:13, 19591:10</p> <p>processed [1] - 19572:12</p> <p>prodding [1] - 19597:22</p>
--	--	--	--	---



<p>produced [1] - 19492:25</p> <p>production [1] - 19493:10</p> <p>profile [4] - 19539:14, 19550:11, 19565:5, 19565:15</p> <p>profiling [3] - 19448:22, 19565:11, 19565:23</p> <p>profit [1] - 19539:4</p> <p>program [3] - 19446:17, 19456:23, 19598:11</p> <p>project [1] - 19605:1</p> <p>prompted [3] - 19421:16, 19438:7, 19440:25</p> <p>proof [1] - 19554:19</p> <p>proper [2] - 19534:2, 19568:4</p> <p>properly [3] - 19449:11, 19449:14, 19543:14</p> <p>propose [4] - 19414:3, 19427:9, 19522:24, 19551:22</p> <p>pros [2] - 19456:13, 19569:2</p> <p>prospects [1] - 19507:13</p> <p>protection [1] - 19478:15</p> <p>prove [2] - 19460:2, 19575:20</p> <p>proved [2] - 19554:18, 19555:5</p> <p>proves [3] - 19553:12, 19554:11, 19555:1</p> <p>provide [17] - 19401:9, 19441:13, 19453:9, 19457:3, 19464:24, 19480:24, 19483:21, 19503:2, 19508:15, 19514:22, 19515:2, 19515:12, 19548:13, 19571:2, 19571:3, 19578:23, 19580:10</p> <p>provided [19] - 19407:22, 19411:13, 19417:18, 19449:10, 19449:21, 19456:25, 19471:21, 19492:21, 19492:23, 19493:10, 19503:11, 19528:12, 19534:17, 19557:16, 19569:12, 19569:25, 19571:4, 19574:12, 19582:11</p> <p>provides [2] - 19432:18, 19467:3</p> <p>providing [3] - 19483:23, 19568:8,</p>	<p>19570:7</p> <p>province [1] - 19559:25</p> <p>Province [1] - 19609:3</p> <p>provinces [1] - 19559:24</p> <p>Provincial [1] - 19559:6</p> <p>proving [1] - 19576:22</p> <p>provisions [1] - 19500:5</p> <p>proximity [1] - 19477:13</p> <p>prudent [1] - 19594:11</p> <p>psychiatric [1] - 19432:22</p> <p>psychological [3] - 19448:22, 19565:5, 19565:15</p> <p>public [9] - 19447:4, 19478:7, 19487:2, 19494:2, 19494:22, 19555:19, 19568:19, 19568:20, 19570:13</p> <p>public's [1] - 19555:22</p> <p>publication [4] - 19527:3, 19527:6, 19527:14, 19527:16</p> <p>publicity [12] - 19420:9, 19420:25, 19447:6, 19484:7, 19487:5, 19563:6, 19575:19, 19576:10, 19576:24, 19594:9, 19598:16, 19603:15</p> <p>publicized [3] - 19472:1, 19508:15, 19515:7</p> <p>publicly [1] - 19556:2</p> <p>pulled [4] - 19491:16, 19492:9, 19547:15, 19567:7</p> <p>pure [1] - 19514:1</p> <p>purpose [8] - 19426:11, 19460:18, 19469:16, 19497:17, 19539:6, 19542:23, 19569:13, 19593:5</p> <p>purposely [1] - 19397:23</p> <p>purposes [2] - 19417:4, 19480:4</p> <p>pursue [19] - 19412:24, 19438:13, 19439:18, 19440:16, 19453:23, 19454:7, 19456:18, 19472:20, 19479:8, 19525:14, 19530:24, 19532:18, 19534:4, 19549:16, 19574:4, 19574:5, 19582:13, 19589:13, 19607:4</p>	<p>pursued [23] - 19405:6, 19407:1, 19419:14, 19438:19, 19447:6, 19483:15, 19513:1, 19513:3, 19514:18, 19534:25, 19535:18, 19535:21, 19541:25, 19542:3, 19545:18, 19547:15, 19548:2, 19573:5, 19584:20, 19587:19, 19589:20, 19592:14, 19594:2</p> <p>pursuing [31] - 19417:5, 19435:5, 19435:24, 19441:19, 19451:15, 19457:8, 19466:21, 19469:6, 19469:14, 19469:16, 19470:10, 19477:1, 19484:15, 19513:20, 19521:7, 19525:22, 19526:19, 19530:23, 19535:11, 19545:4, 19548:7, 19555:8, 19559:2, 19570:20, 19571:8, 19577:23, 19583:11, 19588:20, 19589:10, 19590:22, 19592:19</p> <p>Put [1] - 19494:19</p> <p>put [33] - 19396:15, 19398:10, 19403:19, 19407:16, 19409:10, 19433:24, 19445:15, 19450:13, 19455:5, 19472:25, 19480:15, 19480:20, 19481:9, 19492:9, 19500:2, 19503:4, 19505:8, 19505:20, 19509:11, 19519:2, 19523:21, 19532:15, 19549:12, 19550:17, 19552:2, 19564:19, 19583:17, 19585:9, 19586:4, 19590:19, 19600:12, 19607:14</p> <p>putting [13] - 19406:8, 19408:25, 19434:7, 19446:2, 19465:18, 19472:9, 19529:25, 19531:3, 19538:2, 19538:9, 19552:3, 19555:18</p>	<p>19390:6, 19390:11</p> <p>qualified [1] - 19421:6</p> <p>Queen's [4] - 19609:1, 19609:3, 19609:14, 19609:20</p> <p>question/answer [1] - 19432:1</p> <p>questionable [3] - 19484:1, 19607:3, 19607:8</p> <p>questioned [3] - 19457:2, 19462:3, 19588:2</p> <p>questions [13] - 19393:24, 19444:22, 19448:20, 19449:11, 19451:12, 19456:24, 19479:9, 19497:13, 19563:4, 19588:9, 19599:10, 19599:12, 19600:18</p> <p>quickly [1] - 19502:8</p> <p>quietly [1] - 19558:24</p> <p>Quinn [7] - 19421:9, 19421:14, 19556:13, 19556:18, 19557:15, 19570:23, 19578:4</p> <p>quite [11] - 19412:4, 19418:1, 19418:5, 19426:5, 19433:13, 19453:6, 19471:20, 19509:2, 19567:10, 19568:3, 19596:21</p> <p>quote [3] - 19553:6, 19553:7, 19553:18</p> <p>quoted [1] - 19432:3</p> <p>quotes [1] - 19590:18</p>	<p>19565:10</p> <p>rationale [1] - 19434:22</p> <p>rationality [1] - 19432:25</p> <p>Ray [2] - 19422:6, 19422:10</p> <p>Rcmp [8] - 19390:9, 19415:1, 19528:14, 19561:19, 19568:24, 19580:22, 19587:12, 19604:8</p> <p>re [7] - 19433:11, 19442:7, 19503:12, 19562:23, 19573:2, 19600:8, 19605:20</p> <p>re-examine [1] - 19503:12</p> <p>re-interviewed [1] - 19605:20</p> <p>re-investigation [1] - 19433:11</p> <p>re-test [1] - 19442:7</p> <p>reach [4] - 19459:3, 19506:15, 19548:17, 19555:2</p> <p>reached [1] - 19416:17</p> <p>reaction [6] - 19443:13, 19470:25, 19471:3, 19554:6, 19559:15, 19562:17</p> <p>read [21] - 19408:7, 19410:3, 19410:4, 19438:5, 19448:19, 19453:2, 19481:2, 19481:15, 19486:1, 19490:13, 19491:25, 19497:10, 19524:12, 19524:25, 19526:5, 19550:6, 19552:22, 19558:15, 19574:2, 19574:21, 19593:2</p> <p>readily [2] - 19397:15, 19570:12</p> <p>reading [7] - 19406:15, 19425:25, 19495:3, 19495:8, 19522:8, 19550:8, 19582:8</p> <p>real [6] - 19393:14, 19551:5, 19553:12, 19554:11, 19576:12, 19584:12</p> <p>reality [1] - 19405:12</p> <p>realize [1] - 19405:9</p> <p>really [45] - 19393:12, 19393:15, 19397:4, 19397:19, 19412:9, 19416:11, 19447:18, 19450:4, 19450:10, 19451:4, 19455:10, 19456:10, 19460:7,</p>
R				
<p>radio [1] - 19446:19</p> <p>raise [1] - 19397:17</p> <p>raised [4] - 19455:22, 19479:9, 19505:25, 19563:10</p> <p>raising [1] - 19497:20</p> <p>ran [2] - 19419:5, 19479:22</p> <p>rape [3] - 19546:18, 19579:12, 19599:18</p> <p>rapes [10] - 19503:23, 19533:7, 19541:18, 19541:19, 19541:22, 19546:17, 19547:8, 19547:22, 19548:3, 19562:23</p> <p>rapist [1] - 19546:7</p> <p>rather [4] - 19433:17, 19471:22, 19499:21,</p>				
Q				
<p>Qb [2] - 19389:10, 19398:6</p> <p>Qc [3] - 19390:2,</p>				



<p>19464:4, 19464:10, 19464:11, 19472:15, 19481:23, 19491:1, 19494:17, 19499:9, 19514:17, 19520:14, 19521:2, 19551:7, 19554:21, 19556:4, 19557:12, 19559:20, 19560:1, 19560:5, 19560:10, 19560:22, 19565:22, 19573:23, 19576:19, 19576:23, 19577:1, 19577:7, 19577:9, 19578:2, 19578:13, 19591:7, 19607:12, 19607:14 reason [8] - 19423:18, 19430:20, 19458:2, 19471:2, 19551:2, 19551:5, 19571:16, 19605:3 reasonable [3] - 19540:22, 19545:1, 19545:9 reasons [5] - 19404:24, 19420:15, 19458:3, 19589:14, 19594:3 recalled [5] - 19403:15, 19403:18, 19404:7, 19471:4, 19471:5 recalling [1] - 19463:19 recanted [1] - 19416:22 receive [1] - 19588:17 received [16] - 19394:20, 19396:1, 19401:2, 19413:20, 19414:5, 19415:18, 19436:17, 19448:24, 19449:3, 19470:23, 19485:3, 19487:13, 19494:10, 19495:19, 19496:9, 19526:16 receiving [2] - 19421:5, 19529:4 recent [4] - 19432:20, 19483:16, 19485:12, 19604:7 recently [4] - 19475:3, 19525:16, 19565:14, 19602:12 recollection [40] - 19396:8, 19396:23, 19403:6, 19405:16, 19407:17, 19408:2, 19409:2, 19412:1, 19412:9, 19415:16, 19415:21, 19416:11, 19416:18, 19419:13, 19421:24, 19432:13, 19437:5, 19440:22,</p>	<p>19441:3, 19450:5, 19465:6, 19470:2, 19474:1, 19480:25, 19491:4, 19491:5, 19494:15, 19495:2, 19495:11, 19495:17, 19496:13, 19496:15, 19533:22, 19537:25, 19549:22, 19554:1, 19564:13, 19581:10, 19592:4 recollections [1] - 19464:16 recommendation [1] - 19499:3 recommendations [1] - 19415:14 recontact [2] - 19398:4, 19597:19 reconvene [1] - 19475:8 Reconvened [4] - 19392:2, 19448:7, 19487:23, 19552:12 record [12] - 19414:4, 19424:25, 19508:22, 19508:23, 19516:5, 19521:16, 19521:24, 19532:24, 19550:4, 19559:4, 19572:11 recorded [5] - 19405:1, 19431:13, 19475:11, 19481:17, 19486:8 records [16] - 19395:9, 19395:11, 19421:15, 19422:23, 19430:13, 19430:15, 19529:24, 19537:16, 19572:21, 19577:22, 19579:21, 19580:3, 19581:8, 19593:4, 19601:16, 19606:7 recount [2] - 19431:5, 19460:11 recover [1] - 19419:2 recovered [1] - 19481:10 reddish [1] - 19435:1 reduced [1] - 19602:17 refer [2] - 19412:19, 19469:19 reference [16] - 19407:2, 19407:5, 19409:7, 19417:21, 19418:2, 19421:22, 19424:12, 19424:20, 19436:20, 19437:10, 19519:24, 19524:16, 19555:9, 19569:4, 19581:8, 19604:7</p>	<p>references [1] - 19596:11 referred [6] - 19461:11, 19471:22, 19489:21, 19521:18, 19547:23, 19565:12 referring [7] - 19455:24, 19474:5, 19510:3, 19576:13, 19577:5, 19600:2, 19603:11 refers [3] - 19422:14, 19427:19, 19546:13 reflecting [1] - 19603:12 reflects [1] - 19481:25 refresh [1] - 19394:25 refuse [1] - 19575:23 refute [1] - 19440:11 regard [6] - 19415:5, 19417:6, 19495:8, 19507:1, 19569:10, 19573:14 regarding [9] - 19424:15, 19428:7, 19432:18, 19477:5, 19524:6, 19566:16, 19574:1, 19592:9, 19603:17 regardless [1] - 19573:20 regards [2] - 19431:3, 19500:17 Regina [18] - 19395:10, 19421:21, 19421:23, 19460:9, 19540:24, 19544:14, 19558:13, 19558:17, 19559:8, 19559:18, 19559:22, 19560:8, 19560:17, 19562:8, 19566:5, 19574:2, 19574:12, 19575:10 reiterate [1] - 19527:4 rejected [1] - 19486:22 relate [3] - 19399:22, 19412:17, 19497:14 related [8] - 19417:16, 19435:4, 19541:11, 19541:14, 19562:2, 19565:10, 19572:9, 19579:15 Related [1] - 19541:13 relates [4] - 19474:18, 19488:14, 19488:16, 19498:6 relating [5] - 19396:2, 19429:6, 19527:5, 19560:14, 19575:11 relation [2] - 19403:13, 19423:5</p>	<p>relationship [4] - 19451:23, 19461:24, 19569:20, 19577:16 relay [1] - 19450:16 released [1] - 19534:19 relevance [1] - 19533:12 relevant [7] - 19410:15, 19412:12, 19429:6, 19510:25, 19541:23, 19548:19, 19595:15 reliability [1] - 19418:17 reliable [2] - 19421:3, 19520:9 relief [1] - 19498:8 relieved [1] - 19573:12 reluctance [1] - 19449:23 rely [7] - 19428:9, 19428:14, 19428:22, 19429:10, 19429:12, 19531:18, 19606:20 relying [3] - 19421:6, 19428:19, 19451:5 remain [2] - 19451:22, 19458:3 remains [7] - 19449:9, 19484:19, 19559:13, 19573:15, 19573:16, 19607:3, 19607:8 remarks [1] - 19520:8 remember [18] - 19396:11, 19398:16, 19403:7, 19408:14, 19450:2, 19450:6, 19450:10, 19455:7, 19461:16, 19486:21, 19487:3, 19489:22, 19522:2, 19522:6, 19538:11, 19554:15, 19558:20 remnants [1] - 19606:23 removed [1] - 19527:13 removing [1] - 19458:8 Renaud [2] - 19422:6, 19422:10 reopen [5] - 19525:21, 19543:5, 19552:21, 19553:3, 19583:8 repeat [2] - 19465:11, 19465:19 Repeat [2] - 19562:13, 19574:7 rephrase [2] - 19396:5, 19427:1 replied [4] - 19405:23, 19476:19, 19478:2, 19483:3 reply [1] - 19495:13</p>	<p>report [51] - 19395:21, 19395:22, 19395:24, 19396:17, 19406:16, 19414:20, 19421:19, 19427:8, 19430:14, 19431:19, 19439:16, 19444:19, 19448:10, 19448:14, 19448:15, 19448:21, 19449:3, 19452:3, 19453:22, 19470:13, 19473:21, 19474:14, 19474:16, 19482:5, 19483:1, 19483:7, 19485:4, 19485:5, 19485:9, 19485:14, 19485:16, 19486:1, 19493:23, 19494:3, 19494:6, 19495:23, 19496:13, 19496:14, 19521:20, 19522:14, 19533:4, 19534:15, 19534:19, 19539:2, 19569:5, 19569:11, 19571:2, 19600:25, 19601:1, 19606:4 Report [1] - 19493:24 reported [8] - 19423:19, 19473:20, 19499:2, 19500:9, 19568:24, 19582:3, 19598:10, 19599:23 Reporter [2] - 19609:14, 19609:20 Reporters [2] - 19389:10, 19609:3 Reporters [1] - 19609:1 reporting [2] - 19431:3, 19434:24 reports [25] - 19414:1, 19419:2, 19419:4, 19425:25, 19463:5, 19496:9, 19534:23, 19535:2, 19535:15, 19535:20, 19536:22, 19537:4, 19537:6, 19544:10, 19544:11, 19547:4, 19547:5, 19547:6, 19549:22, 19554:1, 19566:21, 19567:3, 19567:8, 19578:7 represented [3] - 19481:17, 19543:14, 19559:7 request [10] - 19421:18, 19468:4, 19503:12, 19505:3, 19507:6, 19533:18, 19580:8, 19580:11, 19581:1,</p>
--	---	--	---	---



<p>19605:21 requested [6] - 19430:8, 19529:12, 19538:5, 19556:24, 19571:21, 19602:13 require [1] - 19479:18 required [1] - 19438:15 requirements [1] - 19561:8 resided [1] - 19509:3 residence [3] - 19401:4, 19509:3, 19527:9 resolved [1] - 19511:15 respect [2] - 19439:9, 19603:21 responded [2] - 19480:4, 19607:19 responding [1] - 19497:3 response [6] - 19404:15, 19473:24, 19495:15, 19496:1, 19496:6, 19588:5 responsibility [1] - 19574:18 responsible [5] - 19546:17, 19554:20, 19579:9, 19595:2, 19604:22 rest [1] - 19544:16 restating [1] - 19506:1 result [3] - 19493:7, 19511:13, 19564:11 results [6] - 19468:5, 19561:15, 19581:6, 19588:3, 19588:15, 19589:25 resurrected [1] - 19480:15 retired [3] - 19534:5, 19577:12, 19594:22 retry [1] - 19498:1 return [2] - 19413:17, 19450:7 returned [3] - 19408:12, 19537:22, 19595:20 returning [1] - 19604:15 reveal [1] - 19467:4 revealed [1] - 19534:14 reveals [2] - 19508:24, 19516:6 revelations [1] - 19536:16 review [18] - 19397:8, 19398:21, 19410:4, 19423:14, 19425:24, 19426:2, 19426:3, 19438:3, 19441:20, 19473:23, 19497:19, 19501:3, 19530:12,</p>	<p>19533:15, 19538:8, 19549:12, 19584:24, 19594:12 reviewed [6] - 19419:1, 19497:10, 19498:21, 19536:8, 19567:2, 19583:6 reviewing [2] - 19425:10, 19426:11 reward [1] - 19461:6 Richard [2] - 19391:3, 19392:5 right-hand [1] - 19476:7 rightfully [1] - 19529:14 ripped [3] - 19403:2, 19410:18, 19431:9 risk [2] - 19418:18, 19418:21 risks [3] - 19595:24, 19597:6, 19597:9 road [1] - 19468:8 robbery [1] - 19437:3 Robert [1] - 19390:5 Robinson [15] - 19392:21, 19393:8, 19393:23, 19414:24, 19415:8, 19420:5, 19420:7, 19420:10, 19420:16, 19420:19, 19420:23, 19421:5, 19422:11, 19424:3, 19424:9 Rochelle [1] - 19390:9 role [3] - 19568:6, 19569:8, 19570:16 Ron [4] - 19394:15, 19415:12, 19416:14, 19422:15 Ronald [4] - 19421:13, 19422:24, 19458:14, 19503:11 room [1] - 19449:1 Rosner [3] - 19524:17, 19525:6, 19526:10 Rossne/carp [2] - 19492:25, 19493:12 Round [2] - 19526:23, 19599:25 roundabout [1] - 19600:14 Rpr [4] - 19389:11, 19609:2, 19609:18, 19609:19 ruled [2] - 19475:3, 19525:17</p>	<p>19528:13 s/sgt [1] - 19528:17 safety [7] - 19392:20, 19393:2, 19393:13, 19420:8, 19420:25, 19459:23, 19467:11 samples [1] - 19453:9 Sandra [1] - 19389:4 sane [1] - 19462:14 Sask [5] - 19525:23, 19528:18, 19559:3, 19572:2, 19572:18 Saskatchewan [6] - 19388:17, 19390:4, 19484:17, 19602:14, 19605:3, 19609:4 Saskatoon [46] - 19388:17, 19390:7, 19394:14, 19395:4, 19395:13, 19396:24, 19399:6, 19399:7, 19406:14, 19411:7, 19417:14, 19418:25, 19422:21, 19436:20, 19438:4, 19440:6, 19446:16, 19453:20, 19455:25, 19461:8, 19503:23, 19530:3, 19533:11, 19534:17, 19534:23, 19535:7, 19535:16, 19540:23, 19544:12, 19546:11, 19558:18, 19559:17, 19561:6, 19567:20, 19568:7, 19570:7, 19572:15, 19574:11, 19579:12, 19600:6, 19601:21, 19601:22, 19602:12, 19602:21, 19606:9 sat [1] - 19597:8 satisfied [4] - 19510:23, 19511:7, 19511:23, 19513:18 saw [23] - 19396:13, 19402:25, 19403:9, 19403:22, 19404:17, 19404:20, 19405:2, 19408:11, 19410:16, 19421:19, 19425:9, 19426:23, 19437:17, 19447:5, 19465:4, 19465:23, 19466:2, 19478:19, 19507:2, 19517:16, 19517:23, 19574:9, 19584:16 scenario [2] - 19479:22, 19583:19 scene [8] - 19458:1, 19477:13, 19504:15,</p>	<p>19506:10, 19507:3, 19519:25, 19581:15, 19594:25 science [1] - 19445:17 scientific [1] - 19502:24 scope [1] - 19527:18 scratched [1] - 19583:23 scratches [1] - 19420:12 scream [2] - 19458:3, 19471:2 screen [2] - 19487:15, 19495:9 screwed [5] - 19405:24, 19433:15, 19434:10, 19435:15, 19444:2 screwing [2] - 19412:18, 19434:18 scroll [28] - 19401:25, 19419:19, 19431:1, 19431:21, 19432:17, 19444:17, 19470:13, 19470:22, 19473:14, 19479:20, 19484:3, 19533:1, 19538:13, 19551:22, 19558:6, 19578:3, 19579:6, 19580:17, 19587:7, 19592:6, 19593:1, 19597:13, 19599:7, 19601:2, 19601:5, 19604:1, 19605:18, 19606:13 Scroll [2] - 19420:3, 19562:21 search [4] - 19422:20, 19556:18, 19557:19, 19578:19 searches [1] - 19397:7 searching [1] - 19602:22 second [45] - 19392:19, 19436:4, 19437:10, 19439:21, 19457:4, 19459:17, 19468:18, 19470:18, 19475:23, 19483:13, 19507:12, 19510:4, 19524:7, 19526:17, 19527:5, 19528:24, 19530:21, 19536:7, 19538:7, 19538:10, 19540:3, 19543:1, 19543:15, 19543:18, 19543:23, 19545:24, 19549:18, 19549:20, 19552:15, 19554:2, 19555:7, 19555:10, 19555:14, 19560:12, 19562:5,</p>	<p>19566:17, 19571:12, 19573:11, 19579:7, 19589:11, 19599:16, 19601:1, 19601:3, 19607:18 second-last [2] - 19459:17, 19510:4 Secondly [1] - 19502:22 secondly [4] - 19400:2, 19443:10, 19496:4, 19585:14 secretary [1] - 19412:5 Section [13] - 19483:4, 19484:23, 19486:23, 19497:4, 19497:18, 19499:5, 19499:12, 19500:5, 19505:23, 19526:18, 19536:3, 19587:23, 19607:17 secure [3] - 19477:17, 19535:15, 19570:11 securing [1] - 19534:22 Security [1] - 19389:12 see [21] - 19392:14, 19404:25, 19405:4, 19405:10, 19412:22, 19417:9, 19417:19, 19447:20, 19459:20, 19466:8, 19469:23, 19490:8, 19521:24, 19528:19, 19544:10, 19555:9, 19557:2, 19567:14, 19576:4, 19593:5, 19595:18 seed [1] - 19447:15 seeing [11] - 19402:4, 19403:15, 19403:18, 19404:4, 19404:7, 19431:10, 19434:8, 19434:11, 19434:15, 19547:11, 19558:20 seeking [2] - 19569:9, 19570:2 seem [6] - 19397:25, 19450:17, 19494:12, 19495:7, 19540:22, 19560:20 self [1] - 19501:16 self-assessment [1] - 19501:16 semen [2] - 19516:24, 19581:14 seminar [1] - 19448:23 send [2] - 19494:2, 19494:23 sending [4] - 19487:9, 19493:21, 19495:14, 19522:2 senior [1] - 19573:5 sense [7] - 19408:23,</p>
--	---	--	--	--



19445:10, 19445:21, 19464:8, 19464:13, 19541:13, 19555:17 sensitive [1] - 19472:13 sensitivity [1] - 19454:16 sent [13] - 19413:21, 19414:6, 19418:7, 19430:16, 19447:20, 19447:21, 19485:4, 19485:20, 19496:17, 19497:8, 19521:23, 19575:11, 19583:5 sentence [1] - 19429:23 separate [3] - 19452:21, 19603:1, 19604:19 separation [1] - 19586:6 September [19] - 19448:19, 19452:22, 19457:11, 19458:21, 19459:14, 19463:13, 19464:17, 19466:24, 19579:3, 19581:4, 19587:8, 19591:2, 19593:20, 19594:18, 19595:19, 19596:6, 19598:23, 19600:24, 19604:2 sequence [1] - 19475:13 Serge [1] - 19390:6 Sergeant [2] - 19411:7, 19587:9 series [1] - 19579:12 serious [3] - 19454:24, 19508:21, 19516:4 seriously [1] - 19556:9 service [1] - 19536:2 Service [2] - 19390:7, 19561:6 Services [2] - 19580:10, 19580:20 serving [1] - 19601:9 session [1] - 19496:11 sessions [1] - 19422:23 set [5] - 19393:23, 19480:2, 19498:19, 19513:8, 19541:10 sets [2] - 19475:20, 19502:6 setting [1] - 19505:20 seven [3] - 19420:14, 19530:1, 19579:14 Several [1] - 19430:2 several [5] - 19475:14, 19477:2, 19572:16, 19594:21, 19604:23 severe [2] - 19432:24, 19540:20	severely [1] - 19484:8 sex [10] - 19435:19, 19435:21, 19435:22, 19533:5, 19556:15, 19556:16, 19562:23, 19572:8, 19579:14, 19606:9 sexual [8] - 19398:22, 19435:3, 19442:22, 19533:8, 19541:4, 19601:8, 19601:21, 19603:2 Sgt [6] - 19408:8, 19411:18, 19415:5, 19416:2, 19424:6, 19528:14 Shalk [6] - 19587:15, 19587:24, 19588:2, 19588:9, 19588:16, 19596:4 Sharon [3] - 19437:14, 19437:17, 19438:6 shed [4] - 19412:2, 19412:14, 19473:18, 19587:6 Sheraton [1] - 19388:16 shield [1] - 19478:16 shocked [1] - 19460:10 shorthand [1] - 19609:5 shortly [4] - 19435:13, 19457:21, 19474:6, 19525:20 Shorty's [1] - 19432:7 shot [1] - 19505:1 show [5] - 19461:16, 19516:19, 19517:3, 19546:10, 19552:16 showing [2] - 19539:14, 19550:11 shown [2] - 19405:1, 19538:10 sic [1] - 19392:4 side [9] - 19446:11, 19452:20, 19466:14, 19472:21, 19476:7, 19506:21, 19553:10, 19595:24, 19596:20 sides [4] - 19466:17, 19477:23, 19533:21, 19586:7 sideways [1] - 19553:14 signature [7] - 19409:13, 19409:16, 19410:7, 19410:9, 19410:12, 19411:2, 19453:10 signatures [1] - 19453:11 significance [2] -	19406:8, 19585:6 significant [4] - 19400:15, 19431:2, 19433:10, 19471:3 similar [30] - 19400:8, 19482:12, 19490:14, 19508:18, 19515:20, 19518:19, 19526:19, 19526:22, 19529:1, 19529:10, 19529:16, 19530:23, 19531:3, 19531:18, 19532:17, 19539:20, 19540:1, 19541:7, 19547:2, 19547:16, 19548:10, 19548:13, 19554:9, 19555:8, 19556:21, 19578:24, 19579:7, 19579:20, 19580:24, 19606:15 similarities [10] - 19463:7, 19518:17, 19531:22, 19536:25, 19537:24, 19538:2, 19543:25, 19549:21, 19579:22, 19579:24 similarities/ differences [1] - 19607:2 similarity [8] - 19400:10, 19538:8, 19538:9, 19539:15, 19541:25, 19548:24, 19550:12, 19552:4 similarly [1] - 19439:8 simply [4] - 19474:17, 19494:19, 19527:18, 19543:11 Simpson [2] - 19394:21, 19404:2 sincere [1] - 19453:7 sincerity [2] - 19457:17, 19459:1 sister [5] - 19424:15, 19436:10, 19436:18, 19454:14, 19474:19 sit [1] - 19459:5 site [1] - 19527:11 sitting [2] - 19388:15, 19403:7 sittings [1] - 19527:2 situation [1] - 19467:20 six [4] - 19407:12, 19407:13, 19407:19, 19408:2 size [1] - 19459:8 skill [1] - 19609:6 skip [1] - 19526:13 slashed [1] - 19546:23 snow [1] - 19595:10	social [1] - 19594:21 sock [1] - 19595:9 solely [2] - 19418:21, 19477:15 solicit [1] - 19576:4 solidify [1] - 19400:9 someone [10] - 19406:13, 19437:19, 19465:17, 19465:20, 19487:6, 19517:16, 19531:1, 19554:25, 19587:10 somewhat [7] - 19406:22, 19449:4, 19450:14, 19450:23, 19452:4, 19533:10, 19538:24 somewhere [1] - 19526:5 Sorry [2] - 19481:15, 19545:23 sorry [7] - 19409:9, 19431:21, 19431:22, 19549:18, 19562:13, 19589:17, 19591:15 sort [2] - 19440:24, 19500:14 source [8] - 19463:12, 19534:16, 19535:6, 19566:24, 19568:18, 19571:5, 19571:14, 19571:16 south [1] - 19467:25 specialist [1] - 19415:12 specific [4] - 19396:8, 19419:9, 19499:9, 19507:1 specifically [6] - 19396:12, 19451:6, 19504:21, 19506:14, 19506:23, 19574:17 specifics [3] - 19398:17, 19498:25, 19520:5 speculate [1] - 19584:11 speculating [2] - 19450:12, 19578:20 speculation [1] - 19586:10 speeds [1] - 19468:3 spent [3] - 19507:21, 19535:11, 19553:24 spoken [1] - 19598:5 spot [4] - 19448:4, 19455:5, 19552:8, 19608:1 squarely [1] - 19498:11 St [2] - 19457:24,	19467:25 stab [3] - 19465:5, 19466:3, 19466:9 stabbing [1] - 19517:24 stability [1] - 19440:8 stabilized [1] - 19392:19 stabilizes [1] - 19439:20 stable [1] - 19432:20 Staff [2] - 19389:1, 19389:8 stages [3] - 19430:3, 19435:11, 19484:24 stand [2] - 19497:1, 19580:19 Starphoenix [4] - 19474:6, 19474:8, 19489:4, 19553:17 start [8] - 19400:7, 19450:11, 19454:8, 19487:19, 19560:24, 19567:15, 19576:21, 19592:20 started [4] - 19447:15, 19512:16, 19568:14, 19568:16 starting [1] - 19416:23 startling [4] - 19536:16, 19539:14, 19540:12, 19550:11 starts [1] - 19490:9 state [6] - 19406:18, 19434:1, 19525:2, 19547:18, 19554:25, 19565:2 statement [88] - 19395:21, 19401:7, 19402:19, 19403:12, 19403:14, 19403:19, 19403:20, 19403:22, 19404:14, 19404:22, 19408:7, 19409:5, 19409:8, 19409:9, 19409:19, 19410:14, 19410:19, 19411:14, 19415:24, 19419:15, 19420:1, 19424:15, 19424:18, 19424:23, 19428:21, 19429:15, 19429:17, 19431:5, 19431:13, 19432:2, 19432:9, 19432:14, 19433:1, 19433:12, 19433:15, 19435:5, 19435:13, 19435:25, 19436:9, 19437:13, 19438:6, 19443:11, 19444:1, 19446:25, 19453:2, 19453:6,
---	--	--	--	--



<p>19453:11, 19453:16, 19453:20, 19455:15, 19456:5, 19456:6, 19456:25, 19459:11, 19459:13, 19460:6, 19461:10, 19462:23, 19465:3, 19465:12, 19467:5, 19467:19, 19471:6, 19471:13, 19471:19, 19472:6, 19472:7, 19472:24, 19472:25, 19473:9, 19479:21, 19501:10, 19501:11, 19503:10, 19503:15, 19510:20, 19514:25, 19515:25, 19516:9, 19519:10, 19520:13, 19537:23, 19554:7, 19566:11, 19572:4, 19582:11, 19596:25</p> <p>statements [15] - 19403:12, 19405:9, 19409:22, 19418:6, 19418:8, 19421:12, 19425:25, 19464:24, 19502:21, 19539:17, 19550:14, 19554:19, 19578:8, 19582:25, 19583:5</p> <p>states [12] - 19436:12, 19459:18, 19476:20, 19497:16, 19508:4, 19516:3, 19520:8, 19536:12, 19540:10, 19540:11, 19543:2, 19587:24</p> <p>States [1] - 19539:10 stating [6] - 19458:14, 19465:4, 19480:5, 19564:16, 19573:11, 19589:21</p> <p>station [2] - 19460:13, 19460:16</p> <p>stationed [1] - 19587:13</p> <p>statistical [6] - 19533:4, 19533:15, 19538:5, 19538:6, 19556:22, 19557:9</p> <p>stats [2] - 19533:3, 19562:22</p> <p>status [1] - 19401:8 stay [1] - 19409:25 stayed [1] - 19462:10 step [3] - 19396:22, 19549:16, 19594:12 steps [2] - 19483:6, 19512:19 still [38] - 19439:13,</p>	<p>19439:23, 19440:1, 19442:21, 19449:4, 19449:13, 19450:24, 19451:8, 19452:5, 19452:7, 19452:17, 19457:3, 19457:7, 19467:15, 19468:17, 19468:20, 19469:5, 19469:8, 19469:9, 19470:9, 19470:10, 19477:5, 19512:9, 19512:25, 19513:2, 19513:24, 19514:4, 19519:12, 19519:18, 19519:19, 19521:6, 19525:14, 19577:15, 19577:18, 19589:20, 19596:20, 19607:9</p> <p>stocking [1] - 19595:6 stomach [2] - 19392:20, 19420:18</p> <p>stones [1] - 19423:8 Stony [3] - 19413:20, 19413:25, 19414:5</p> <p>stop [3] - 19423:25, 19451:15, 19469:12</p> <p>stopped [1] - 19560:20 stories [1] - 19555:16 story [5] - 19434:11, 19447:16, 19455:1, 19477:1, 19546:12</p> <p>strategy [1] - 19496:11 Street [1] - 19471:1 streets [1] - 19546:19 strengthened [1] - 19586:13 strengthens [1] - 19446:9 stress [1] - 19432:25 stretch [1] - 19433:24 stretching [1] - 19406:18 striking [2] - 19539:19, 19549:20 strikingly [1] - 19548:13 strong [1] - 19517:19 strongly [1] - 19588:20 struggled [2] - 19472:18, 19472:19 stuck [1] - 19491:24 stuff [1] - 19577:25 subconscious [1] - 19479:16 subfiles [2] - 19480:22, 19480:23 subject [6] - 19463:24, 19464:1, 19492:1, 19587:15, 19588:1, 19605:25</p>	<p>subjected [1] - 19575:24 subjective [1] - 19596:1 submission [8] - 19502:11, 19502:17, 19502:23, 19503:4, 19503:9, 19506:1, 19506:14, 19508:6 submissions [2] - 19500:4, 19504:8 submit [1] - 19597:20 submitted [5] - 19494:3, 19496:15, 19544:6, 19566:8, 19606:21 subsequent [4] - 19479:11, 19483:17, 19494:19, 19579:13 success [1] - 19534:4 successful [3] - 19393:3, 19441:24, 19601:10 suggest [8] - 19419:10, 19442:2, 19442:9, 19442:14, 19443:4, 19443:14, 19517:20, 19594:5 suggested [17] - 19412:5, 19415:2, 19417:11, 19420:10, 19421:20, 19493:17, 19525:15, 19525:21, 19525:25, 19534:16, 19536:23, 19542:8, 19564:24, 19565:3, 19575:17, 19588:16, 19595:5 suggesting [8] - 19417:22, 19435:14, 19437:10, 19484:15, 19542:11, 19549:7, 19573:7, 19576:12 suggestion [10] - 19404:16, 19409:6, 19436:6, 19436:24, 19463:21, 19466:21, 19536:18, 19558:23, 19560:3, 19599:17 suggests [5] - 19481:2, 19486:3, 19495:24, 19559:4, 19579:8 suitability [1] - 19414:15 suitable [3] - 19415:6, 19430:23, 19445:22 suite [1] - 19462:10 summarize [1] - 19458:19 summary [16] - 19427:13, 19427:24,</p>	<p>19441:22, 19443:24, 19480:11, 19484:20, 19523:12, 19539:18, 19544:8, 19550:15, 19551:21, 19551:25, 19566:15, 19566:18, 19567:17, 19588:23 Summary [3] - 19473:15, 19550:3, 19607:16 Superintendent [2] - 19568:23, 19569:17 superior [2] - 19570:3, 19580:18 superiors [6] - 19427:8, 19471:16, 19495:24, 19573:4, 19590:8, 19595:23 supervisor [1] - 19561:12 support [5] - 19439:5, 19534:1, 19580:18, 19583:18, 19593:23 Support [1] - 19389:8 supported [2] - 19434:19, 19585:9 supporting [1] - 19557:18 supportive [1] - 19534:6 supports [1] - 19480:6 suppose [15] - 19399:20, 19400:9, 19400:20, 19450:20, 19464:10, 19509:13, 19517:13, 19518:16, 19520:15, 19542:2, 19560:4, 19560:18, 19560:24, 19583:10, 19585:24 Supreme [1] - 19417:20 Supt [2] - 19569:2, 19594:20 surfaced [2] - 19578:19, 19583:4 surprised [1] - 19538:25 surrounding [3] - 19428:4, 19484:7, 19563:6 suspect [66] - 19399:2, 19420:10, 19421:1, 19429:1, 19429:2, 19439:4, 19439:14, 19439:19, 19441:25, 19442:5, 19442:12, 19443:1, 19444:20, 19445:4, 19445:13, 19446:5, 19447:7, 19448:17, 19449:5,</p>	<p>19449:9, 19450:20, 19450:25, 19451:2, 19451:9, 19451:13, 19451:16, 19451:22, 19452:6, 19452:10, 19452:16, 19452:18, 19465:17, 19465:21, 19466:1, 19467:15, 19477:15, 19478:10, 19478:23, 19505:16, 19511:14, 19512:15, 19512:24, 19513:5, 19513:24, 19514:1, 19514:4, 19514:14, 19516:16, 19517:11, 19518:15, 19519:19, 19521:12, 19528:5, 19528:9, 19530:5, 19531:19, 19531:24, 19541:19, 19542:15, 19581:21, 19582:23, 19585:8, 19585:9, 19586:18, 19591:11, 19594:11 suspected [1] - 19452:7 suspicion [26] - 19397:17, 19400:9, 19434:4, 19442:20, 19443:6, 19443:8, 19443:16, 19443:23, 19446:7, 19446:10, 19509:5, 19509:15, 19509:21, 19511:2, 19512:20, 19519:5, 19519:14, 19519:15, 19520:15, 19520:24, 19531:14, 19532:25, 19544:3, 19547:14, 19560:4, 19603:14 suspensions [9] - 19508:13, 19511:11, 19511:12, 19514:22, 19515:5, 19519:12, 19519:20, 19561:24, 19591:4 suspicious [3] - 19397:22, 19493:12, 19560:15 Swift [1] - 19424:21 switch [1] - 19452:19 sworn [2] - 19465:12, 19512:18 system [4] - 19467:11, 19556:18, 19568:19, 19594:5 systems [2] - 19397:20, 19606:24</p>
--	---	--	---	--



<p>T</p> <p>table [2] - 19403:7, 19459:7</p> <p>talks [19] - 19392:19, 19404:3, 19406:24, 19422:4, 19437:16, 19459:16, 19475:1, 19475:3, 19483:8, 19489:21, 19490:4, 19499:12, 19515:18, 19536:11, 19552:20, 19553:5, 19579:18, 19593:11, 19601:3</p> <p>tape [1] - 19421:22</p> <p>tapes [4] - 19401:25, 19421:11, 19421:15, 19422:22</p> <p>taping [1] - 19446:16</p> <p>task [4] - 19542:5, 19566:2, 19570:20, 19606:17</p> <p>tasked [1] - 19574:17</p> <p>tasking [1] - 19542:12</p> <p>tasks [1] - 19501:3</p> <p>Tdr [1] - 19390:5</p> <p>technician [1] - 19421:6</p> <p>Technician [1] - 19389:13</p> <p>technology [1] - 19502:24</p> <p>telephone [7] - 19420:4, 19448:25, 19450:8, 19468:16, 19468:24, 19528:13, 19533:24</p> <p>telephoned [1] - 19411:8</p> <p>television [2] - 19446:19, 19461:15</p> <p>temperature [1] - 19474:22</p> <p>tend [3] - 19426:16, 19527:8, 19529:18</p> <p>tendered [1] - 19523:14</p> <p>terms [12] - 19398:16, 19450:15, 19498:24, 19504:21, 19504:22, 19511:5, 19520:6, 19527:22, 19532:6, 19554:17, 19587:18, 19590:2</p> <p>test [26] - 19392:19, 19392:25, 19393:3, 19393:9, 19393:11, 19393:24, 19415:4, 19420:6, 19420:20, 19420:21, 19423:6, 19430:10, 19442:7,</p>	<p>19445:20, 19445:23, 19446:2, 19446:3, 19468:8, 19468:10, 19483:24, 19516:23, 19588:4, 19588:20, 19590:4, 19597:21, 19598:6</p> <p>testable [3] - 19587:15, 19587:25, 19588:2</p> <p>tested [2] - 19420:6, 19470:17</p> <p>testified [5] - 19403:10, 19403:18, 19409:4, 19498:16, 19537:11</p> <p>testimony [2] - 19458:14, 19498:14</p> <p>Testimony [1] - 19388:14</p> <p>testing [3] - 19589:19, 19592:10, 19596:1</p> <p>tests [2] - 19423:2, 19588:10</p> <p>theories [1] - 19548:1</p> <p>theorizing [1] - 19586:10</p> <p>theory [15] - 19411:17, 19412:8, 19412:16, 19413:10, 19435:4, 19435:23, 19444:16, 19454:3, 19455:9, 19480:6, 19504:25, 19548:8, 19548:18, 19549:2, 19585:19</p> <p>therapy [1] - 19594:4</p> <p>thick [1] - 19567:10</p> <p>thinking [7] - 19419:24, 19428:22, 19432:25, 19532:14, 19548:19, 19577:11, 19583:9</p> <p>thinks [1] - 19554:25</p> <p>thirdly [1] - 19574:16</p> <p>thorough [1] - 19425:24</p> <p>thoughts [6] - 19418:16, 19444:10, 19445:5, 19447:7, 19481:17, 19565:19</p> <p>three [7] - 19399:7, 19460:1, 19537:17, 19547:1, 19547:8, 19548:3, 19552:20</p> <p>threw [1] - 19408:18</p> <p>throughout [1] - 19479:10</p> <p>throw [1] - 19556:20</p> <p>thrust [2] - 19426:15, 19536:19</p> <p>thwart [1] - 19393:10</p> <p>tied [1] - 19506:20</p> <p>timeline [3] - 19468:13, 19504:19, 19505:5</p>	<p>timetable [1] - 19414:19</p> <p>timing [1] - 19468:12</p> <p>today [1] - 19477:16</p> <p>together [6] - 19531:3, 19538:9, 19547:15, 19550:17, 19552:2, 19552:3</p> <p>tomorrow [2] - 19401:4, 19599:15</p> <p>took [11] - 19402:19, 19418:8, 19459:11, 19459:14, 19476:15, 19507:4, 19526:23, 19582:6, 19583:21, 19584:14, 19604:8</p> <p>tool [2] - 19464:10, 19596:20</p> <p>tools [2] - 19439:25, 19565:19</p> <p>top [5] - 19410:12, 19431:23, 19522:23, 19546:20, 19581:3</p> <p>topic [1] - 19409:25</p> <p>toque [4] - 19398:5, 19398:6, 19398:10, 19398:14</p> <p>Toronto [1] - 19583:5</p> <p>total [1] - 19579:14</p> <p>totally [1] - 19411:19</p> <p>touch [2] - 19405:18, 19489:25</p> <p>touched [9] - 19393:16, 19402:12, 19429:25, 19441:18, 19445:8, 19488:18, 19504:4, 19556:19, 19601:17</p> <p>tough [1] - 19591:6</p> <p>towards [3] - 19511:1, 19529:19, 19597:4</p> <p>track [1] - 19502:19</p> <p>tracks [3] - 19490:19, 19594:24, 19595:8</p> <p>traditionally [1] - 19498:3</p> <p>traffic [1] - 19468:2</p> <p>tragedy [1] - 19454:9</p> <p>trained [1] - 19531:2</p> <p>training [1] - 19565:24</p> <p>Transcript [2] - 19388:12, 19392:1</p> <p>transcript [4] - 19392:9, 19423:15, 19486:14, 19527:11</p> <p>transcription [1] - 19609:5</p> <p>transcripts [1] - 19422:22</p> <p>transfer [2] - 19483:18, 19562:7</p> <p>transferred [1] -</p>	<p>19483:9</p> <p>transpired [2] - 19562:15, 19564:14</p> <p>transpiring [1] - 19476:24</p> <p>trauma [1] - 19471:8</p> <p>Travel [2] - 19507:3, 19520:1</p> <p>Trava-leer [2] - 19507:3, 19520:1</p> <p>Travaleer [1] - 19467:24</p> <p>travel [1] - 19593:21</p> <p>treat [1] - 19543:4</p> <p>treated [1] - 19461:8</p> <p>treatment [1] - 19461:25</p> <p>trial [23] - 19398:11, 19406:5, 19424:23, 19424:25, 19433:21, 19436:23, 19440:9, 19440:14, 19444:8, 19462:15, 19465:11, 19465:19, 19468:11, 19498:7, 19502:13, 19503:1, 19508:23, 19516:6, 19523:15, 19539:21, 19539:23, 19595:4</p> <p>tribunal [1] - 19543:12</p> <p>tried [2] - 19506:14, 19561:13</p> <p>trip [3] - 19406:13, 19460:12, 19593:23</p> <p>trouble [1] - 19469:2</p> <p>true [4] - 19439:13, 19480:9, 19523:3, 19609:5</p> <p>truth [9] - 19428:10, 19428:15, 19428:19, 19429:4, 19429:11, 19429:13, 19429:19, 19445:16, 19587:22</p> <p>truthful [1] - 19428:23</p> <p>truthfulness [1] - 19449:18</p> <p>try [19] - 19393:10, 19394:2, 19397:9, 19422:2, 19424:7, 19454:13, 19464:12, 19486:15, 19491:2, 19494:23, 19505:18, 19518:14, 19521:11, 19532:3, 19551:13, 19562:25, 19577:18, 19577:21, 19606:11</p> <p>trying [32] - 19394:17, 19394:24, 19397:13, 19398:14, 19398:23, 19399:2, 19400:12, 19422:17, 19425:1,</p>	<p>19429:9, 19432:12, 19439:4, 19455:5, 19461:5, 19462:16, 19464:8, 19466:11, 19466:13, 19475:16, 19481:13, 19481:23, 19490:11, 19502:18, 19512:9, 19530:17, 19532:7, 19534:10, 19542:15, 19549:8, 19555:10, 19557:4, 19567:16</p> <p>Tuesday [1] - 19388:21</p> <p>turn [5] - 19422:22, 19553:14, 19553:15, 19582:9, 19599:12</p> <p>turned [3] - 19417:15, 19467:24, 19607:18</p> <p>turns [1] - 19558:7</p> <p>Tv [1] - 19446:16</p> <p>twenty [2] - 19453:13, 19462:19</p> <p>two [13] - 19392:25, 19423:19, 19442:19, 19448:13, 19453:17, 19477:23, 19530:2, 19544:14, 19546:17, 19584:2, 19586:5, 19594:3, 19601:12</p> <p>type [11] - 19418:17, 19446:3, 19508:20, 19515:21, 19541:22, 19581:6, 19581:7, 19581:10, 19581:13, 19581:18</p> <p>typed [4] - 19409:5, 19410:14, 19410:19, 19459:13</p> <p>types [8] - 19399:10, 19443:1, 19443:3, 19516:15, 19516:17, 19517:10, 19518:12, 19593:12</p> <p>typographical [1] - 19394:7</p> <p>U</p> <p>Umm [7] - 19406:10, 19415:13, 19469:14, 19505:3, 19556:22, 19564:10, 19596:15</p> <p>umm [1] - 19506:23</p> <p>unable [2] - 19442:16, 19445:1</p> <p>unavailable [1] - 19601:22</p> <p>unclear [1] - 19484:19</p> <p>uncommon [1] -</p>
---	--	---	---	--



<p>19480:21 under [25] - 19444:17, 19445:25, 19452:18, 19465:3, 19483:3, 19484:1, 19484:8, 19484:23, 19486:23, 19497:4, 19501:8, 19505:23, 19511:25, 19513:7, 19513:10, 19514:18, 19526:17, 19531:12, 19536:3, 19551:11, 19556:3, 19573:10, 19582:4, 19603:1, 19607:17 undercover [3] - 19513:8, 19514:13, 19521:8 undergo [3] - 19534:10, 19589:6, 19596:13 undergoing [1] - 19432:24 understood [2] - 19498:21, 19539:25 understudy [1] - 19565:15 unfolded [1] - 19454:6 unfortunately [1] - 19467:14 Union [1] - 19457:24 unique [3] - 19399:17, 19533:10, 19533:16 Unit [1] - 19606:15 United [1] - 19539:9 units [1] - 19580:23 unknown [7] - 19420:15, 19437:20, 19458:2, 19458:3, 19534:21, 19548:4, 19593:16 unlikely [2] - 19430:8, 19479:15 unreliable [1] - 19440:13 unsavoury [1] - 19498:10 unsolved [4] - 19503:23, 19551:18, 19593:16, 19604:8 unsuitable [1] - 19423:11 unusual [7] - 19398:1, 19427:2, 19558:19, 19560:5, 19560:15, 19561:4, 19574:10 up [70] - 19392:10, 19393:18, 19394:4, 19396:21, 19403:11, 19403:24, 19406:22, 19409:10, 19412:23, 19413:23, 19419:23,</p>	<p>19422:2, 19422:12, 19422:22, 19424:25, 19436:22, 19437:25, 19438:12, 19440:22, 19448:9, 19451:24, 19457:23, 19459:8, 19459:12, 19461:23, 19464:2, 19464:4, 19464:11, 19468:25, 19472:23, 19474:3, 19476:5, 19476:6, 19479:24, 19480:2, 19480:22, 19484:5, 19485:19, 19486:13, 19489:9, 19492:7, 19496:7, 19506:20, 19511:17, 19511:23, 19513:8, 19527:24, 19531:16, 19535:3, 19538:2, 19538:10, 19545:4, 19546:20, 19550:8, 19550:22, 19551:4, 19553:13, 19558:6, 19564:9, 19564:10, 19566:9, 19567:7, 19577:22, 19578:9, 19593:19, 19594:18, 19595:19, 19596:12, 19598:16 update [1] - 19482:22 updated [2] - 19394:22, 19419:7 upper [1] - 19495:8 upset [1] - 19462:15 upside [2] - 19478:7, 19478:19 upstairs [1] - 19482:21 Usa [1] - 19446:18 Ute [1] - 19502:12</p>	<p>19599:14, 19600:12, 19604:4, 19604:9, 19604:10, 19604:11, 19604:12, 19605:2, 19605:11, 19605:16, 19606:1 V2 [2] - 19547:22, 19557:17 V3 [3] - 19547:22, 19557:18, 19572:6 V4 [29] - 19578:5, 19581:23, 19582:1, 19582:3, 19582:7, 19582:15, 19582:18, 19582:24, 19582:25, 19583:11, 19583:15, 19583:21, 19584:4, 19584:15, 19584:22, 19584:23, 19585:3, 19585:13, 19585:14, 19585:15, 19585:21, 19586:2, 19586:11, 19586:21, 19600:8, 19600:11 V5 [5] - 19557:17, 19566:16, 19572:6, 19601:25 V7 [1] - 19601:13 V8 [1] - 19601:13 vacation [3] - 19402:10, 19411:9, 19413:14 valid [3] - 19445:2, 19445:9, 19445:20 value [17] - 19400:11, 19406:21, 19406:23, 19449:21, 19454:13, 19455:14, 19460:5, 19464:11, 19483:25, 19542:25, 19557:12, 19565:8, 19566:1, 19572:22, 19588:4, 19607:1, 19607:8 Vancouver [2] - 19587:15, 19593:21 variances [1] - 19586:8 various [11] - 19414:2, 19418:9, 19467:5, 19468:12, 19478:11, 19479:8, 19549:14, 19550:20, 19552:1, 19555:16, 19601:7 verbal [1] - 19433:15 verify [1] - 19418:23 Vern [1] - 19395:22 versa [1] - 19466:5 version [2] - 19434:20, 19461:19 versus [2] - 19432:13, 19519:14 vett [1] - 19534:20</p>	<p>via [1] - 19558:1 via [2] - 19523:16, 19524:1 vice [1] - 19466:5 vicinity [2] - 19458:1, 19584:2 vicious [1] - 19540:16 victim [10] - 19406:17, 19480:8, 19526:24, 19544:9, 19544:11, 19551:14, 19551:15, 19551:17, 19564:6, 19604:12 victim's [1] - 19604:16 victims [25] - 19395:4, 19526:21, 19534:23, 19535:16, 19539:3, 19539:12, 19539:18, 19540:24, 19541:3, 19541:22, 19542:3, 19542:10, 19542:17, 19549:17, 19550:15, 19557:17, 19558:19, 19559:10, 19560:19, 19561:2, 19561:25, 19565:7, 19571:20, 19601:12, 19603:18 view [21] - 19419:14, 19429:11, 19447:10, 19449:6, 19450:18, 19457:18, 19459:1, 19473:24, 19499:1, 19541:19, 19543:6, 19544:2, 19554:14, 19563:5, 19570:6, 19575:18, 19585:24, 19586:13, 19589:11, 19594:8, 19607:12 viewed [1] - 19442:18 views [4] - 19452:8, 19452:11, 19481:25, 19521:2 violence [1] - 19400:22 violent [1] - 19586:1 virgin [14] - 19405:24, 19405:25, 19406:1, 19406:25, 19412:18, 19433:16, 19434:10, 19434:18, 19435:15, 19435:21, 19444:2, 19444:3 virginal [1] - 19435:3 visit [1] - 19509:4 vividly [1] - 19433:13 Volume [1] - 19388:22 vulnerable [1] - 19478:13</p>	<p>W</p> <p>wait [1] - 19573:13 waiting [1] - 19495:7 walking [1] - 19470:25 washed [1] - 19458:8 Watson [1] - 19415:3 ways [3] - 19445:14, 19504:23, 19514:1 weapon [12] - 19394:18, 19394:19, 19398:24, 19399:15, 19399:17, 19399:24, 19508:19, 19515:20, 19516:1, 19530:17, 19533:7, 19551:19 weapons [2] - 19556:14, 19563:1 wearing [1] - 19528:6 web [1] - 19527:11 week [1] - 19448:21 weekly [1] - 19558:1 weigh [3] - 19445:19, 19446:10, 19456:13 weight [3] - 19407:16, 19473:11, 19519:2 Weller [2] - 19415:2, 19422:11 Wempe [1] - 19390:9 whack [1] - 19494:17 whereabouts [2] - 19428:8, 19429:7 Whereas [1] - 19536:23 whole [3] - 19464:6, 19480:19, 19531:20 wide [1] - 19446:17 widely [2] - 19494:5, 19494:22 wielding [1] - 19528:6 wife [5] - 19449:12, 19508:9, 19510:9, 19598:15, 19605:22 Williams [180] - 19394:13, 19395:3, 19399:13, 19401:10, 19401:22, 19411:6, 19412:1, 19412:7, 19413:21, 19414:6, 19414:17, 19415:10, 19416:7, 19416:15, 19417:10, 19417:14, 19418:10, 19421:17, 19422:1, 19422:16, 19423:19, 19425:7, 19425:8, 19425:13, 19430:17, 19437:15, 19437:17, 19438:10, 19438:13, 19440:15, 19440:21, 19447:22,</p>
--	---	--	---	--



19448:25, 19449:2, 19449:8, 19449:20, 19450:8, 19450:23, 19451:1, 19451:8, 19451:15, 19451:23, 19452:14, 19457:1, 19461:15, 19462:22, 19462:24, 19463:14, 19463:22, 19466:17, 19467:1, 19468:5, 19468:7, 19468:24, 19469:11, 19469:15, 19470:3, 19471:16, 19473:23, 19475:23, 19479:7, 19479:22, 19480:4, 19481:12, 19484:22, 19485:5, 19485:22, 19486:8, 19486:18, 19487:6, 19487:9, 19488:3, 19488:8, 19488:21, 19489:7, 19490:5, 19492:13, 19492:19, 19492:22, 19492:24, 19493:7, 19493:14, 19493:20, 19494:21, 19495:12, 19495:25, 19496:4, 19496:21, 19497:9, 19497:16, 19500:9, 19500:13, 19501:4, 19502:19, 19505:3, 19507:5, 19507:6, 19510:19, 19512:18, 19513:14, 19513:21, 19520:2, 19522:2, 19525:8, 19526:16, 19528:12, 19530:15, 19533:2, 19533:14, 19533:25, 19534:7, 19534:14, 19534:21, 19535:14, 19536:7, 19538:12, 19542:9, 19542:11, 19545:15, 19546:1, 19556:24, 19557:10, 19558:10, 19559:1, 19562:19, 19562:22, 19563:7, 19564:16, 19565:3, 19565:13, 19565:16, 19566:1, 19572:1, 19572:17, 19572:20, 19572:25, 19573:1, 19573:7, 19573:11, 19574:4, 19574:16, 19574:21, 19575:3, 19575:9, 19575:10, 19575:16, 19575:17, 19580:15, 19581:1, 19581:23, 19587:10, 19587:11, 19588:17, 19589:2,	19589:5, 19589:12, 19589:18, 19589:20, 19589:24, 19590:14, 19591:21, 19592:8, 19592:18, 19592:21, 19593:3, 19593:8, 19594:1, 19595:3, 19595:20, 19596:3, 19596:6, 19597:16, 19597:18, 19598:4, 19598:20, 19599:1, 19599:9, 19599:19, 19600:10 Williams' [10] - 19396:14, 19411:24, 19422:13, 19438:6, 19485:8, 19485:10, 19492:16, 19521:19, 19564:12, 19564:21 Williams's [1] - 19590:7 willing [1] - 19577:18 Wilson [12] - 19390:6, 19415:4, 19415:12, 19416:14, 19416:22, 19421:13, 19421:23, 19422:15, 19422:24, 19458:14, 19479:25, 19503:11 Wilson's [1] - 19503:15 window [1] - 19598:8 Winnipeg [9] - 19430:5, 19523:2, 19523:7, 19524:18, 19530:2, 19530:8, 19559:8, 19572:14, 19572:19 wire [1] - 19546:12 wish [9] - 19402:22, 19408:8, 19463:20, 19463:23, 19478:8, 19497:24, 19527:4, 19569:6, 19570:15 wished [2] - 19441:5, 19453:14 wishes [4] - 19488:21, 19527:17, 19563:7, 19590:7 wishful [1] - 19577:10 wishing [1] - 19525:7 witness [11] - 19411:13, 19411:16, 19411:21, 19440:6, 19440:13, 19464:6, 19464:19, 19464:22, 19498:10, 19501:23, 19517:23 witnessed [3] - 19465:4, 19465:14, 19465:20 witnesses [2] - 19502:14, 19542:3 witnessing [1] -	19471:5 Wolch [10] - 19390:2, 19396:14, 19485:15, 19487:10, 19496:24, 19497:3, 19538:17, 19544:6, 19551:9, 19566:13 Wolch's [2] - 19550:6, 19558:15 women [4] - 19533:8, 19540:13, 19546:18, 19547:1 wonder [1] - 19398:2 wondered [1] - 19459:25 wondering [11] - 19428:13, 19446:24, 19449:25, 19461:18, 19462:20, 19472:3, 19474:19, 19498:13, 19506:12, 19515:14, 19607:7 word [6] - 19410:13, 19412:16, 19418:21, 19571:3 words [9] - 19439:10, 19498:17, 19509:8, 19516:15, 19547:7, 19548:7, 19548:11, 19554:13, 19574:14 wore [3] - 19398:5, 19462:11, 19551:19 works [1] - 19552:25 worth [1] - 19483:25 worthy [1] - 19438:18 write [2] - 19430:1, 19471:2 writing [6] - 19401:23, 19409:18, 19484:18, 19496:1, 19496:7, 19602:20 written [9] - 19401:7, 19409:24, 19409:25, 19450:12, 19472:7, 19481:24, 19493:18, 19494:16, 19592:4 wrongdoing [1] - 19562:1 Wrongful [1] - 19388:3 wrongfully [1] - 19529:14 wrongly [3] - 19539:8, 19539:9, 19553:1 wrote [2] - 19450:17, 19496:21	19551:16, 19594:13 years [21] - 19397:20, 19397:25, 19406:5, 19407:12, 19407:13, 19407:19, 19408:3, 19432:21, 19447:18, 19453:13, 19456:12, 19462:19, 19473:13, 19479:14, 19533:10, 19551:17, 19556:16, 19560:23, 19562:24, 19604:17 Yesterday [1] - 19566:4 yesterday [6] - 19392:7, 19393:16, 19395:1, 19395:5, 19402:13, 19429:25 yourself [4] - 19426:12, 19505:8, 19560:25, 19600:19 ys [1] - 19402:3 yup [1] - 19510:5
Y			
year [3] - 19399:9,			

