

*Commission of Inquiry*  
*Into the Wrongful*  
*Conviction of David Milgaard*  
*before*  
*THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE*  
*EDWARD P. MacCALLUM*

\*\*\*\*\*

Transcript of Proceedings  
and  
Testimony before the Commission  
sitting at the  
Sheraton Cavalier Hotel at  
Saskatoon, Saskatchewan

\*\*\*\*\*

On Wednesday, October 26th, 2005

Volume 85

Inquiry Proceedings



**Commission Staff:**

<i>Mr. Douglas C. Hodson,</i>	Commission Counsel
<i>Ms. Candace D. Congram,</i>	Executive Director
<i>Ms. Sandra Boswell,</i>	Document Manager
<i>Ms. Kara Isabelle,</i>	Document Assistant
<i>Ms. Jodie Kendry,</i>	Document Assistant

**Support Staff:**

<i>Ms. Irene Beitel,</i>	Clerk to the Commission
<i>Ms. Karen Hinz, CSR, and</i>	Official Q.B. Court Reporters
<i>Mr. Don Meyer, RPR, CSR,</i>	
<i>Mr. Jerry Wilde,</i>	Security Officer
<i>Mr. Tony Fitzpatrick,</i>	Inland Audio Technician



**Appearances:**

Mr. Hersh Wolch, Q.C.,       **for** Mr. David Milgaard  
Mr. James Lockyer, Esq.,   **for** Ms. Joyce Milgaard  
Ms. Lana Krogan,           **for** Government of Saskatchewan  
Ms. Catherine Knox,       **for** Mr. T.D.R. (Bobs) Caldwell  
Mr. Garrett Wilson, Q.C., **for** Mr. Serge Kujawa  
Mr. Rick Elson, Esq.,      **for** the Saskatoon Police Service  
Mr. Chris Boychuk, Esq.,   **for** Mr. Eddie Karst  
Mr. Bruce Gibson, Esq.,   **for** the RCMP  
Mr. Eamon O'Keefe, Esq.,   **for** Mr. Larry Fisher  
Mr. David Frayer, Q.C.,   **for** Minister of Justice  
                                      (Canada), The Hon. Irwin Cotler  
Mr. Alexander Pringle, Q.C., **for** Justice Calvin Tallis  
                                      (Retired)



INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS

DESCRIPTION:

PAGE:

THOMAS DAVID ROBERTS CALDWELL, CONTINUED

- BY MR. HODSON

17063



**Transcript of Proceedings**

(Reconvened at 9:05 a.m.)

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Good morning.

ALL COUNSEL: Good morning.

**THOMAS DAVID ROBERTS CALDWELL, continued:**

BY MR. HODSON:

Q Good morning, Mr. Caldwell.

A Good morning, sir.

Q When we adjourned yesterday we were dealing with 006824 and dealing with your parole letters to the parole board, if we could just have that document up, please, and I went through this document with you, Mr. Caldwell, I think we finished going through it, so this would be your first letter to the parole board?

A I assume that's correct, sir.

Q Then if we could go to 006839 and this appears to be the letter back from Mr. Street, the chairman of the parole board, June 20th, 1972, acknowledging your June 14th letter?

A That's right.

Q And then second paragraph, please, it says:

"As you know, he could not even be considered for parole until he has served ten years. We certainly would



1 not consider paroling him unless it was  
2 considered safe to do so. I am sorry to  
3 say that it appears to be one of the  
4 most vicious and senseless killings I  
5 have ever heard of."

6 And then the paragraph down, enclosing brochures  
7 on parole, and I think you had requested those in  
8 the letter; is that correct?

9 A Yes.

10 Q If we could then go to, just a handwritten 006837,  
11 and I'm going to take you to a letter that follows  
12 three days later in 1974 and it looks like this is  
13 a telephone note to Dr. Ian McDonald of yours; is  
14 that right?

15 A It's written by me. It would be Dr. McDonald that  
16 phoned me on the 24th of September, '74 the way I  
17 read it.

18 Q And it says, "Write him with a signed release from  
19 M," who I'm assuming is Milgaard?

20 A Right.

21 Q "To release any info McDonald might have --"

22 A Yeah, might have, I think it's sent.

23 Q To his psychiatrist?

24 A To his psychiatrist.

25 Q History?



1 A Yeah. Oh, I'm sorry.

2 Q Maybe you could just read it for us.

3 A "Write him with a signed release from Milgaard to  
4 release --" and then it says "any information  
5 McDonald might have."

6 Q Pertaining?

7 A That's it, "Pertaining to his psychiatric history.  
8 If not --" I think it's "P.bd," means parole board,  
9 "might conclude that he's not rehabilitated," as a  
10 possibility.

11 Q Okay. And that would have been a note of a  
12 discussion or a message from --

13 A Phone call from him to me the way I'm seeing it  
14 here, and I have the original, sir.

15 Q If we could go to 006833, please, and this is  
16 September 27th, so this is a couple of days later,  
17 and this looks to be a second letter from you to  
18 the parole board; is that correct?

19 A That's right.

20 Q If we can just call out the first paragraph, we'll  
21 go through parts of this. It says:

22 "I enjoyed hearing your talk on the  
23 function of the National Parole Board,  
24 in Banff on September 19, and I was  
25 particularly interested to find out that



1                   you welcome the forwarding of materials  
2                   with respect to the background of  
3                   prisoners who may be coming up for  
4                   parole."

5                   I take it you attended a parole board conference  
6                   where one of their representatives spoke?

7           A        I suspect it was at one of these Western Canada  
8                   Crown seminars or Law Society of Upper Canada  
9                   things, sir. Other than that, that's correct.

10          Q        And then the second paragraph, which I won't go  
11                   through, I think you outline your June 14th letter  
12                   that we went through yesterday and the  
13                   photographs, and then if we can go down to the  
14                   third paragraph, you state:

15                   "Dr. McDonald advises me that he still  
16                   has all the psychiatric history  
17                   pertaining to Milgaard on his file, and  
18                   says that if you will contact him,  
19                   enclosing a signed release from  
20                   Milgaard, authorizing the release of any  
21                   information Dr. McDonald might have  
22                   pertaining to his psychiatric history,  
23                   Dr. McDonald would then be in a position  
24                   to forward all these materials to you  
25                   for placement on your file, so that they





1 will be available to the board when the  
2 question of parole of this prisoner  
3 comes up."

4 And then the next paragraph:

5 "I would strongly urge that you attempt  
6 to obtain such a release from Milgaard  
7 and get this material on your file,  
8 since it presents a very well-documented  
9 history of Milgaard's psychiatric  
10 make-up going back to his very early  
11 youth. I would assume that if Milgaard  
12 would not sign such a release thereby  
13 preventing the board, in due course,  
14 from having access to the largest  
15 possible set of reference materials upon  
16 which to judge whether he was once again  
17 fit to be in society, that the board  
18 might conceivably take this refusal as  
19 some evidence that he was not  
20 rehabilitated."

21 And at this time, Mr. Caldwell, again, did you  
22 have the same feelings or convictions about Mr.  
23 Milgaard's responsibility for the murder of Gail  
24 Miller as you did in 1972?

25 A Yes, sir, I would have.



1 Q And again the letter starts off talking about --  
2 was it your attendance at the seminar and  
3 listening to this parole board representative that  
4 prompted this --

5 A I expect so.

6 Q And did you think that that was, not getting into  
7 a legal term, but was that, did you see that as  
8 part of your responsibility as the prosecutor to  
9 be doing this?

10 A I had a conviction that some of these situations  
11 that I, for instance, or someone else knew about  
12 which should properly be passed on to the right  
13 authorities would, you were kind of under a duty  
14 to do that, and I of course found out that that  
15 was welcomed by them. An example, I think, at a  
16 later time of failing to have this happen was the  
17 *Threinen* case in Saskatoon in which a story ran  
18 headlined why must system wait until victim, until  
19 killer strikes, words to that effect, so I felt,  
20 Mr. Hodson, that in effect it was really my duty  
21 to pass this on.

22 Q And so just so that I understand it, and I don't  
23 want to get too much into the details of the  
24 *Threinen* case, but was there something about that  
25 case that influenced your thinking in writing a



1 letter to the parole board with respect to Mr.  
2 Milgaard?

3 A No, I would stand to be corrected. I think it was  
4 later than this whole case, and I was not,  
5 essentially not involved.

6 Q No, and I think Mr. Threinen was convicted in 1974  
7 if I'm not mistaken.

8 A Okay. What's my date here?

9 Q This is 1974.

10 A Okay.

11 Q And I'm just trying to probe your last answer when  
12 you talk the *Threinen* case, and maybe you could  
13 just elaborate briefly on --

14 A Sure. I remember a newspaper headline which was  
15 to the effect why must system wait until killer  
16 strikes and it was putting forth the idea that,  
17 presumably in the *Threinen* case, people with  
18 knowledge of him had not spoken up in a timely  
19 fashion, which may have, if it had happened, may  
20 have forced all the killing happening, to put it  
21 very awkwardly.

22 Q And just for the record, Mr. Caldwell, David  
23 Threinen was convicted, I believe, or maybe there  
24 was an insanity defence, I can't recall, that  
25 related to the deaths of four teenage children?



1 A That's my very rough recollection, sir.

2 Q In Saskatoon?

3 A It was a Saskatoon matter.

4 Q Right. And so that -- are you saying that that  
5 may have had some influence on your thinking or  
6 are you able to tell us?

7 A Not the -- my thinking was that I was, I suppose,  
8 too often had seen situations where people with  
9 concrete knowledge of, we'll say, dangerous  
10 situations had not passed it on to, if you will,  
11 the proper authorities, and you could arguably put  
12 Threinen in that category, even though it was, I  
13 thought it was later, but whenever it happened I  
14 felt that it was in that category.

15 Q And what were you hoping to accomplish by sending  
16 these letters?

17 A Well, making sure that the parole board or service  
18 or the proper authorities had a full picture of  
19 Mr. Milgaard's situation, psychiatric history,  
20 etcetera, on which they could act, and his was  
21 better documented than many I think we've seen  
22 because of those four items we discussed  
23 yesterday, sir, among others.

24 Q And, sorry, the four items being?

25 A The reports that came to Dr. McDonald from --



1 Q Right.

2 A Yeah.

3 Q If we could go to 006832 and this is just a letter  
4 acknowledging your letter from the parole board, I  
5 don't propose to go through it other than to point  
6 it out for the record, that your information was  
7 received, and if we can go to 006831, and would it  
8 be fair to say that in writing the letters, and I  
9 think the letters state this explicitly, that you  
10 were urging the parole board not to grant Mr.  
11 Milgaard parole?

12 A Well, certainly I guess that would be the broad  
13 situation. Certainly not without them being  
14 reassured that it would be timely. I think the  
15 former would be more accurate.

16 Q Right. But from your perspective, you didn't  
17 think he ought to get parole at all ever; is that  
18 fair?

19 A From -- yeah, that's said in one of the early  
20 letters.

21 Q Yes.

22 A Yeah.

23 Q So here we are in 1977, so this is three years  
24 later, and this is a letter from a parole service  
25 officer to Dr. McDonald that dates August the 5th,



1           1977, I will be going shortly to another letter  
2           this Nancy Flintoft -- sorry, Nancy Meronek sent  
3           to you on the same date, but here she's saying to  
4           Dr. McDonald:

5                   "Mr. Milgaard has recently applied for  
6                   Day Parole and we would be very  
7                   interested in reading your past  
8                   assessments of him as there is very  
9                   little psychiatric documentation of  
10                  which we are aware. Mr. Milgaard will  
11                  not see any information which you send  
12                  to us, however, it will help to shed  
13                  some light on the subject's years prior  
14                  to the offence and perhaps be of some  
15                  assistance in making recommendation to  
16                  the Parole Board. Any information which  
17                  you care to share will be greatly  
18                  appreciated.

19       A           I notice, sir, that a copy of that evidently went  
20                   to me.

21       Q           Yes, it did, and then if we could go to 006830,  
22                   and again this is the same lady parole officer  
23                   writing to you the same date, has a copy of your  
24                   letter to Mr. Outerbridge, chairman of the parole  
25                   board, Canada, dated September, 1974 concerning



1           psychiatric information on the above named  
2           individual.

3                       "After replying to your letter Mr.  
4                       Outerbridge did request that the parole  
5                       service contact Dr. McDonald concerning  
6                       this information, however, the subject  
7                       was transferred several times to  
8                       different institutions across the  
9                       country and I have been unable to  
10                      determine whether this information was  
11                      acquired. I have therefore written to  
12                      Dr. McDonald (copy attached) --"

13           Which is what I just referred to,

14                      "-- with a signed release from Milgaard,  
15                      requesting this information be made  
16                      available to us.

17                      Mr. Milgaard has recently  
18                      applied for a release on Day Parole and  
19                      he has therefore only recently come to  
20                      our attention. We would appreciate any  
21                      information which you could provide us  
22                      concerning him which would assist us in  
23                      knowing his background better and in  
24                      making our recommendation to the Parole  
25                      Board. "



1           And then you have a note, I take it that --

2       A       That's me.

3       Q       And it says, "Will they not see my letter of June  
4               14, '72?"

5       A       That's right.

6       Q       So it looks like on this day that Mr. Milgaard had  
7               applied for day parole, he had signed a release  
8               authorizing Dr. McDonald to provide information  
9               and the parole board was writing to you for  
10              comments; is that fair? It says we would  
11              appreciate any information.

12      A       Yeah, it says information which I could provide  
13              which triggered that note in the margin, Mr.  
14              Hodson.

15      Q       Right. Then if we could go to 006822, and this is  
16              your August 15th, 1977 letter back to the parole  
17              service officer Nancy Meronek and again  
18              acknowledges the August 5 letter, refers to your  
19              earlier letters, and then in the bottom paragraph  
20              you state:

21                       "I am also enclosing an extra copy of  
22                       the booklet of photographs taken by the  
23                       Saskatoon Police Department at the scene  
24                       of the murder and during the autopsy  
25                       which, among other things, shows in





1                    photographs numbers 14 and 15 the  
2                    disfiguration cuts made to the neck of  
3                    the victim by Milgaard. These fifteen  
4                    cuts were made in addition to some  
5                    twelve stab wounds, and the accused also  
6                    raped the victim before leaving her to  
7                    freeze to death in the dark in a back  
8                    alley, at a time when the temperature  
9                    was some 40 degrees below zero."

10                  So again it looks as though you sent photographs  
11                  of both the murder and autopsy; is that --

12        A        It does -- that -- at a glance I must have felt  
13                  that the previous ones that were sent, book or  
14                  books, had not reached this person, Mr. Hodson,  
15                  the way I read it, or may not have.

16        Q        And so then the next page -- actually, just go  
17                  back to the first page.

18        A        Okay.

19        Q        I just want to point out, it looks as though you  
20                  sent your earlier letter, the original 1972 letter  
21                  you enclosed, back to Nancy Meronek, presumably,  
22                  because you may not have known whether they had it  
23                  or not?

24        A        That would be my assumption at this point in the  
25                  second paragraph.



1 Q Right. If we can go to the next page. At the top  
2 you say:

3 "I have read my letter to Mr. Street  
4 over, ...",  
5 and that's the June '72 letter, your first one?

6 A Uh-huh.

7 Q "... and I certainly have no reason to  
8 change the views set out therein.  
9 Subsequent events in this City have, as  
10 a matter of fact, strengthened my views  
11 of the danger of a person like Milgaard  
12 being allowed out of custody in any  
13 time. The most striking recent example  
14 in this City of the inadvisability of  
15 granting freedom to dangerous persons is  
16 the case of David Threinen, and that  
17 case bears a resemblance to this one in  
18 that there were adequate warnings ahead  
19 of time as to what type of behaviour  
20 could be expected from the accused if he  
21 had his freedom."

22 And, again, you had referred a bit earlier this  
23 morning, Mr. Caldwell, to Mr. Threinen, it  
24 appears that that was a factor at least in this  
25 letter; is that correct?



1       A       Yeah, it -- apparently it was, had happened at or  
2       about that time, and certainly impressed me, and  
3       therefore I recited that as an example of, in  
4       effect, how -- what should be or could be avoided.

5       Q       And then the next paragraph you state:

6               "I cannot over-emphasize the danger  
7               which I think Milgaard would present to  
8               other persons if he were to have his  
9               freedom, even on a short and temporary  
10              basis, and we surely do not need any  
11              more striking a demonstration of his  
12              potential than he gave with respect to  
13              Miss Gail Miller in Saskatoon in 1969."

14       And, again, I take it that would have been your  
15       thoughts at the time?

16       A       That's right.

17       Q       And would it be fair to say in 1977, then, your  
18       position, if I can call it that, to the National  
19       Parole Board is that, based on what you knew or  
20       learned as a prosecutor in his case, you did not  
21       think he should be released on any parole?

22       A       That's correct, sir.

23       Q       And, again, in 1977 did it -- did you think it was  
24       appropriate for you, as the prosecutor in the  
25       case, to be providing not only information, but



1           your thoughts on both the crime and Mr. Milgaard,  
2           to the National Parole Board?

3       A       Well I think I had discovered, in this earlier  
4           correspondence we have been going over roughly  
5           yesterday, that the board welcomed input from, I  
6           think it said, judges, police officers, etcetera,  
7           and that that is what, I guess, alerted me to the  
8           fact that there'd be -- my information, I thought,  
9           would fall into that category, and I felt it was  
10          better given to them, Mr. Hodson, than not.

11       Q       And so let's just break this down.

12       A       Okay.

13       Q       Firstly, you are saying you thought it appropriate  
14           to communicate with them?

15       A       Yes.

16       Q       I think, number 1, and I think you said that's  
17           based on a brochure and a speaker at a conference  
18           where you -- where you understood that the  
19           National Parole Board wanted law enforcement,  
20           prosecutors, to communicate with them?

21       A       Umm, in one of the earlier letters I recited the  
22           categories they had assigned. I'm not sure they  
23           said prosecutors but they, I'm sure, said judges  
24           and police officers.

25       Q       Yeah.



1 A That would be where I was --

2 Q But let me just back up.

3 A Sure.

4 Q It was your view, based upon written materials  
5 from the National Parole Board and hearing parole  
6 board representatives speak, that a prosecutor in  
7 a case of an individual, that it would be  
8 appropriate for that prosecutor to provide  
9 information --

10 A Yeah.

11 Q -- to the parole board?

12 A I felt that, sir.

13 Q And in fact the third letter we looked at, in  
14 1977, in that letter they asked for your comments.  
15 I think the two previous letters were, I think you  
16 told us, unsolicited. But just so, on the first  
17 part, am I clear that what you are telling us is  
18 that you believed it was appropriate, and in fact  
19 invited by the National Parole Board, to provide  
20 information?

21 A That was my belief.

22 Q So then let's turn to the type of information.  
23 Let's just take a look at the crime itself. Was  
24 it your view that you ought to be providing  
25 information about the nature of the crime?



1 A I would have thought so.

2 Q And why was that, sir?

3 A Well because the facts of the case at -- which,  
4 which at this point was, of course, a conviction,  
5 would be the, I would think, very solid basis to,  
6 for them to assess and deal with the accused  
7 person.

8 Q And so then the second area, then, would be the  
9 information or thoughts or beliefs you had about  
10 the convicted person, in this case Mr. Milgaard.  
11 And what was your understanding or view as to  
12 what, if anything, you ought to be providing to  
13 the National Parole Board about the person?

14 A Well the, providing the -- what we then had as the  
15 facts, the judgement, etcetera, by definition I  
16 guess you couldn't avoid that being about the  
17 person. I don't know if that's answering your --

18 Q Well, no, I just --

19 A -- query or not.

20 Q In the letters it's clear, I think it's clear  
21 anyway, that you are giving your comments on what  
22 you think about Mr. Milgaard as an individual?

23 A Yeah, I can accept that.

24 Q And his suitability for parole and things of that  
25 nature?



1 A Right.

2 Q And my question is did you think that it was a  
3 necessary part of the communication that you  
4 should be giving your comments on your thoughts  
5 and views of the convicted person?

6 A Well, Mr. Hodson, I couldn't divide, if you will,  
7 or separate the convicted person from the facts  
8 that got him to where he was according to our  
9 Court system. I didn't -- I saw those, I guess,  
10 as one thing.

11 Q I see.

12 A If that makes any sense at all.

13 Q And at this time, or maybe you can tell me just  
14 generally, Mr. Caldwell, did you -- and presumably  
15 at some point you became aware, after Mr. Milgaard  
16 was convicted, that he was disputing his guilt --

17 A Yeah.

18 Q -- or declaring his innocence?

19 A I certainly did.

20 Q And I'm assuming, when he filed the appeal, that  
21 that would be -- you'd be aware that he was  
22 disputing his conviction?

23 A Well, yeah, that would be in -- something that one  
24 would expect and it did happen.

25 Q But once all the appeals were exhausted, and I



1 think that was sometime in 1971, did you become  
2 aware at some point that, in the prison system,  
3 Mr. Milgaard was professing his innocence?

4 A I expect I did.

5 Q And so that that -- and I think certainly we've  
6 heard evidence, and we'll see more evidence, that  
7 certainly in the early 1980s it became more, more  
8 in the public domain, and certainly by the late  
9 '80s and early '90s significantly in the public  
10 domain that Mr. Milgaard was professing his  
11 innocence?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And so I take it that you would have been aware at  
14 some point. Would you have been aware in the  
15 '70s, when you were writing these letters to the  
16 parole board, that Mr. Milgaard was not admitting  
17 responsibility for the murder?

18 A I --

19 Q Or are you able to tell us?

20 A Yeah. I couldn't date that, Mr. Hodson. I  
21 certainly found out at some stage of the, pardon  
22 me, of the proceedings. I may well have known at  
23 this time but I can't date that.

24 Q Would that have -- let's just go back, 1972, 1974,  
25 1977, those are the three years that you wrote





1 letters to the National Parole Board. Let's just  
2 assume for the moment that you were aware that  
3 David Milgaard had (a) never accepted  
4 responsibility for the murder, and (b) was saying  
5 "I'm innocent, I was wrongfully convicted",  
6 although I'm not sure that that was a term used at  
7 the time, --

8 A Uh-huh.

9 Q -- but saying "lookit, I'm not the person who did  
10 this". Would that, do you think, have had any  
11 impact in your writing to the parole board or your  
12 thoughts?

13 A I don't think so, because at that time the state  
14 of affairs was that we had had the jury trial,  
15 the -- presumably the Court of Appeal judgement  
16 had been delivered, if my timing is correct; I'm  
17 not sure about the attempt to the Supreme Court,  
18 but what I had was a criminal prosecution which,  
19 up 'til that point, had been approved, if you  
20 will, by any level of Court which had to deal with  
21 it. So I had no reason to doubt, if you will, the  
22 outcome of the original trial at that time, in  
23 that sense or any other, as far as that goes.

24 Q And so, again, that fact -- well let me put this  
25 question to you. In other cases where persons



1           have been convicted by the courts, have you heard  
2           them state that they were innocent and the wrong  
3           person?

4       A       Yeah.

5       Q       Is that something, as a prosecutor, you hear from  
6           time to time?

7       A       Yes, you do, from time to time.

8       Q       And so again, just back in the '70s, '72, '74,  
9           '77, are you telling us the fact that David  
10          Milgaard may have been proclaiming his innocence  
11          in prison would not have influenced you one way or  
12          the other in the writings of these letters?

13      A       I don't think so, Mr. Hodson, for the reasons that  
14          I recited a moment ago. At that -- and I know you  
15          don't want me to repeat those, but at that point  
16          we had a, what appeared to have been a  
17          properly-processed case which was -- had withstood  
18          all the hurdles it was put over in our Court  
19          system.

20      Q       Let me put this question to you. If -- let's say  
21          Mr. Milgaard had been acquitted at trial of the  
22          murder of Gail Miller.

23      A       Uh-huh.

24      Q       Now, obviously, you wouldn't be writing to the  
25          parole board in connection to that offence?



1 A No.

2 Q But let's say you were asked to comment, for  
3 something completely unrelated he was seeking  
4 parole, and Mr. Milgaard had been acquitted of the  
5 Gail Miller murder; would you have provided any  
6 information or your thoughts to them about the  
7 crime and Mr. Milgaard?

8 A Well I'm not -- I've never pondered that, sir. I  
9 think I'd certainly be reluctant. But the  
10 information that we have been talking about that  
11 came to Dr. McDonald, and through him to me, --

12 Q Yes.

13 A -- was, you know, proper professional information.  
14 I would be rather dubious that I would do it under  
15 those circumstances.

16 Q And I take --

17 A As in acquittal.

18 Q All right.

19 A Or if that had been the --

20 Q And, again, would you have written to the parole  
21 board saying "even though he was acquitted I think  
22 he did it"?

23 A I can't see how I could do that.

24 Q And so, again, I'm trying to probe, Mr. Caldwell,  
25 is the impact, the -- or the influence that the



1 conviction had in your views at the time and your  
2 writings to the parole board. And, putting aside  
3 the Dr. McDonald information, --

4 A Right.

5 Q -- is it fair to say that the -- that if Mr.  
6 Milgaard had been acquitted, obviously, as a  
7 prosecutor you would not be telling anybody that  
8 he was responsible for the murder; is that fair?

9 A No, I think that's fair. If he had been  
10 acquitted, despite the best efforts of the Crown  
11 and the investigation and so on he had been  
12 acquitted, and it's -- I assume, in that question,  
13 that there had been no change in that in the  
14 appeal process, which may never have happened if  
15 he were acquitted, of course.

16 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: I'm sorry, Mr.  
17 Hodson, I might have lost you as to what was the  
18 purpose of your question. The witness has just  
19 said that, had he been acquitted, that would have  
20 been the end of the matter and he would not have  
21 written.

22 MR. HODSON: Yes.

23 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: But had he been  
24 acquitted of this crime and convicted of another  
25 one, would he have written to the parole board,



1           didn't you ask him that --

2                   MR. HODSON:   Yes.

3                   COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM:   -- to start with?

4           Yeah.

5                   MR. HODSON:   Yeah, that's where I started.

6           Maybe I can get --

7   A       Oh, I didn't get the --

8           BY MR. HODSON:

9   Q       I'm sorry, Mr. Caldwell, let's just back up.  I  
10           think you said that once you were done the closing  
11           argument, closing address to the jury and the jury  
12           went out, you said you felt that you had presented  
13           a strong case?

14   A       Yes.

15   Q       You believed that Mr. Milgaard was guilty of the  
16           crime based on that evidence?

17   A       Right.

18   Q       And let's say the jury came back and acquitted  
19           him, and the appeals were finalized, so the final  
20           legal conclusion of the case was that Mr. Milgaard  
21           was acquitted of the murder of Gail Miller.

22   A       And, by definition, no, I don't think a lesser  
23           offence or anything like --

24   Q       That's right.

25   A       Would apply to this?



1 Q No conviction whatsoever.

2 A Okay.

3 Q Acquitted. And so that's the scenario.

4 A Okay.

5 Q Notwithstanding the fact that prior to the jury  
6 coming back, the jury coming back, your belief is  
7 that Nichol John is telling the truth in her sworn  
8 statement, and that the Crown has presented solid  
9 evidence that he did commit the crime, I think  
10 that's what you told us your thoughts were?

11 A Uh-huh.

12 Q Let's go ahead to the 1970s. And again I started  
13 off by saying obviously you wouldn't be writing to  
14 the parole board about Mr. Milgaard seeking parole  
15 for anything related to Gail Miller because he  
16 would have been acquitted?

17 A Yeah.

18 Q But let's say there was another offence completely  
19 unrelated to Gail Miller, --

20 A And --

21 Q -- and Mr. Milgaard had been convicted of and was  
22 seeking parole, and I'm trying to find out whether  
23 you are able to tell us whether in that  
24 circumstance, as the prosecutor in the case that  
25 acquitted him, would you have felt, again, the



1 same duty or would you have written to the parole  
2 board with your thoughts on both the crime and Mr.  
3 Milgaard's background?

4 A And this is this conviction you are speaking of,  
5 the hypothetical one?

6 Q Yes, unrelated to Gail Miller.

7 A No, I understand. The only way I think I could  
8 have or would have done that is if, whatever that  
9 new prosecution was -- (a) was successful, (b)  
10 that I had access to either that original  
11 psychiatric evidence or possibly some new. Other  
12 than that, Mr. Hodson, I don't know how I could do  
13 anything like that. The result was a conviction  
14 in the second hypothetical case, was it?

15 Q Well, obviously it was, because he would be  
16 seeking parole.

17 A Yeah, well I -- does that assist you or do you  
18 want --

19 Q Is what you are saying is that if -- are you  
20 saying you wouldn't have written the parole board  
21 in that situation?

22 A Unless at, during that trial or at the conclusion  
23 of it, I came into possession of valid psychiatric  
24 evidence that I thought might be helpful to them.

25 Q Let me restate it.



1 A Okay.

2 Q This other conviction, you have had absolutely  
3 nothing to do with.

4 A Oh.

5 Q Completely nothing to do with.

6 A Okay.

7 Q It's elsewhere.

8 A But does it involve me as prosecutor?

9 Q No, none whatsoever.

10 A Okay.

11 Q I'm just saying another case in another province,  
12 there is a conviction, and the parole board is  
13 considering his parole; would you have written to  
14 them if Mr. Milgaard had been acquitted --

15 A I --

16 Q -- on the Gail Miller case?

17 A Umm, only again, sir, if I had that kind of  
18 information that I -- we've just gone over.

19 Q That's the psychiatric information?

20 A Yeah. And that, at that, that would be -- I think  
21 I would have to think long and hard about that  
22 before I did it.

23 Q What, do you think you'd write to them about the  
24 crime, the Gail Miller murder that he was  
25 acquitted for?





1 A I can't see how I could or would do that.

2 Q And why not?

3 A Well, because that would be a separate issue  
4 completely, like it would be -- presumably none of  
5 those facts could get into the new trial except  
6 under very unusual --

7 Q No, I'm talking to the parole board.

8 A Yeah, okay.

9 Q And let me --

10 A Okay.

11 Q I'm -- and I can't think, I'm trying to find out  
12 if there would be any reason you might, where Mr.  
13 Milgaard was acquitted of the murder of Gail  
14 Miller, write to the parole board and tell them  
15 about the facts of the Gail Miller murder when he  
16 is acquitted of it?

17 A I'd find it hard to think I would, sir.

18 Q Okay. If we could go to 182381. I'm sorry, this  
19 might be a document that might not be up on that.  
20 Do we have it? This is a document I came across  
21 last night and I don't think it was in the folder  
22 of materials for Mr. Caldwell.

23 A Yeah.

24 Q It's a letter of September 20, 1986, and it's a  
25 handwritten letter from David Milgaard to Hersh



1           Wolch, and I just want to go through parts of it.  
2           And it talks about -- maybe, just put it in  
3           context:

4                   "This is a statement of facts for you to  
5                   consider re: Calgary assessment &  
6                   parole board paper review."

7           And then, if we can scroll down, it says:

8                   "In a summary report there is reference  
9                   to Caldwell's input re: Dr. Roekstro  
10                  (Mom has report)"

11          Do you know a Dr. Roekstro?

12        A        I did know him. He and a man named Dr. Weston  
13                were the first two psychiatrists at the relatively  
14                newly-opened Western Canada, I'm not sure of the  
15                title, Psychiatric Centre in Saskatoon, Mr.  
16                Hodson.

17        Q        And then, if we go down, just again there is a  
18                couple references here.

19                   "On my first trip to Saskatoon  
20                   Psychiatric Centre I asked Dr. Roekstro  
21                   for truth drugs. I believe he himself  
22                   told me a Dr. Weston refused him the  
23                   chance to go ahead with this kind of  
24                   therapy."

25          And who; do you know a Dr. Weston?



1       A       I had met both those gentlemen when they showed up  
2               in Saskatoon.

3       Q       And then I will skip ahead, if we could, to  
4               182383.  Actually, let me just back -- maybe I  
5               should go through -- let's go back to the first  
6               page.

7       A       Okay.

8       Q       And then, again, Mr. Milgaard talks about being:  
9               "... returned to Stoney Mountain before  
10              my assessment was up."

11              This was in 1986.

12              "Dr. Roekstro was away at the time.  I  
13              was jazzing it up lots and this was  
14              assessed as part of my problem but I  
15              believe Dr. Weston sent me back.  
16              Roekstro would of studied all of my  
17              behaviour."

18              And then goes back, and I won't go through all  
19              this, but talks about the Calgary General  
20              Hospital.  And again this:

21              "I never saw Dr. Weston alone in an  
22              interview as I did with Dr. Minto, Dr.  
23              Brown ... and Diana my autobiographical  
24              nurse."

25              And then the next page.  Then it talks about:



1 "This wrap up affair began with  
2 introductions. Dr. Weston and I spoke  
3 about my early trip to Saskatoon. He  
4 never did say he denied my truth test  
5 but he did say it was not an acceptable  
6 form of therapy. He did say there was a  
7 lot of resistance to me going to his  
8 institution because of the offence. He  
9 said I was causing problems and was  
10 returned later, during a conversation  
11 about Dr. Denson's work in the area of  
12 ..."

13 I think that's 'aberration'?

14 A I think it's 'abstraction'.

15 Q 'Abstraction'.

16 "... I told the whole group that Denson  
17 had said this kind of treatment was  
18 generally accepted in England for the  
19 value it has. Dr. Weston said nothing  
20 at this point."

21 Then scroll down:

22 "During the conclusion of this session  
23 Dr. Weston was asking me questions about  
24 the C.B.C. and ministerial presentation.  
25 I gave all of them a sketch of things.



1                   When he questioned further about  
2                   particulars, I said I had to keep  
3                   certain things confidential."

4           Scroll down:

5                   "I did mention the Debbie Hall segment  
6                   and said that I had been told that she  
7                   wrote a statement for police."

8           If I can just pause here, this is September of  
9           1986, and I think we've heard evidence before  
10          that Deborah Hall had given an affidavit, I don't  
11          have the date but it was in 1986, and I think the  
12          *Fifth Estate* or the CBC had broadcast something  
13          with respect to Mr. Milgaard in the -- and I  
14          think it may have included something about Debbie  
15          Hall. So then, the next page, he says at the  
16          top:

17                   "When I said that by law this  
18                   information should have been brought out  
19                   in Court by Mr. Caldwell ...",  
20          and I think he is referring to the Deborah Hall  
21          information:

22                   "... and that I personally wanted to see  
23                   the man arrested the day after the *Fifth*  
24                   *Estate* airs the story, Dr. Weston burst  
25                   out with Mr. Caldwell was a very close



1 friend of his and would not do anything  
2 wrong. I mentioned the situation of  
3 sending pictures etc to parole board ...  
4 and Dr. Minto broke in and ended the  
5 discussion asking me if there was any  
6 final thing I would like to say that  
7 could help them with their assessment.  
8 My closing statement was simply that  
9 they had been asked by the parole board  
10 to consider what sort of person I was  
11 and how well I could be expected to do  
12 in the community. I said as long as  
13 they saw me for the person I really am  
14 and could be expected to be when  
15 released all is okay but as soon as they  
16 placed the offence into the picture they  
17 would be making a mistake."

18 So this is Mr. Milgaard's letter to Mr. Wolch in  
19 1986. If you can just go back up to the top, and  
20 I think the -- first of all, do you have any  
21 recollection of any discussions with any of these  
22 people about this parole hearing in 1986?

23 A No, I don't, sir.

24 Q And Dr. Weston, was Dr. Weston a very good close  
25 friend of yours at the time, is that --



1       A       No, he was not, he -- I think both of those  
2               gentlemen came from England or Scotland, I met  
3               them because the Psych Centre was newly opened and  
4               we had tours, things like that, and I dealt with  
5               either of them briefly.

6                       Mr. Hodson, I think I should  
7               mention that an example of one of my dealings was  
8               that when Constable Brian King was murdered in  
9               Saskatoon, following that drill we talked about  
10              earlier I was the person who arranged for the two  
11              accused persons, Crook and Fisher, to be seen at  
12              the Psych Centre by the two doctors individually.

13      Q       Before we go any further, --

14      A       Yeah.

15      Q       -- that's not Larry Fisher, correct?

16      A       Yes, it isn't, yeah.

17      Q       Okay.

18      A       And I did arrange that, and that was the kind of  
19              approved way of doing things in those days, and in  
20              that case that was the last thing I had -- I did  
21              on the actual case. A couple of my colleagues  
22              handled that case.

23                       Now certainly doctor -- the  
24              doctor, I'm not -- no complaints about him, but I  
25              wouldn't know him if he walked in the door here



1                   today.

2                                 And one thing before I forget,  
3                   maybe this is out of order, but the -- I believe  
4                   it was discovered that, at the time of the trial,  
5                   Debbie Hall was in Alberta, and I stand to be  
6                   corrected if that's incorrect?

7       Q           In British Columbia.

8       A           British Columbia. She wasn't right at hand or  
9                   readily available in terms of the motel room  
10                  business at any rate. Now --

11      Q           And I think we've heard evidence about that, Mr.  
12                  Caldwell, from her.

13      A           I thought so, sir, thanks.

14      Q           Okay. So, as far as Dr. Weston is concerned,  
15                  would you have had -- would you have had, I think  
16                  you told us, some professional dealings with him?

17      A           Yeah. That would be the limit of it.

18      Q           I see. Okay. We're done with that document.

19                                 If we could then just -- I'm  
20                  done with the parole board issues, Mr. Caldwell, I  
21                  want to now move to the 1980 time frame. And let  
22                  me start with the (V10) (V10)- rape and attempted  
23                  murder. We've heard evidence that in March of  
24                  1980 (V10) (V10)- was raped and almost killed by  
25                  Larry Fisher and he was arrested immediately and





1           subsequently convicted about a year later; do you  
2           have any recollection of becoming aware of the  
3           (V10) (V10)- matter?

4       A       Now was that the North Battleford offence?

5       Q       Yes, the North Battleford, yes.

6       A       Okay, thank you. I have no recollection of  
7           learning of it, period.

8       Q       And the prosecutor in that case was a fellow by  
9           the name of David Arnot?

10      A       Yeah, David Arnot was Regional Crown at North  
11           Battleford, --

12      Q       I see.

13      A       -- the equivalent of myself here.

14      Q       And so would that prosecution have been conducted  
15           in his office, out of his office?

16      A       I assume it would be. And for better or for worse  
17           it's very common that celebrated causes, let's say  
18           in North Battleford, for one reason or another we  
19           don't hear about in Saskatoon in the newspaper,  
20           just by way of interest. But he would be the one  
21           who I would expect to prosecute.

22      Q       And, again, you have no recollection of hearing  
23           about that?

24      A       I don't, sir.

25      Q       And can you think of any reason, Mr. Caldwell, if



1           you had heard about that back in 1980, that Larry  
2           Fisher had been convicted of attempted murder and  
3           rape of an elderly woman or a 56-year-old woman,  
4           pardon me, in North Battleford, do you think any  
5           reason that might cause you to connect that in any  
6           way to the Gail Miller murder or the David  
7           Milgaard conviction?

8           A       No, I can't, sir.

9           Q       We have heard evidence that in August of 1980,  
10          August 28th, 1980, Linda Fisher, Larry Fisher's  
11          ex-wife, attended at the Saskatoon City Police  
12          Station and gave a statement to Inspector Ken  
13          Wagner. And we've heard evidence that in the  
14          statement, amongst other things, Linda Fisher  
15          indicated she thought her ex-husband, Larry  
16          Fisher, was the person who killed Gail Miller. Do  
17          you have a recollection of becoming aware of that  
18          back in 1980?

19          A       No, sir.

20          Q       And can you think of any reason, Mr. Caldwell,  
21          that that might have been brought to your  
22          attention by the police or how you might otherwise  
23          have become aware of that?

24          A       Well I heard about it earlier in our proceedings  
25          here, Mr. Hodson.



1 Q Yes.

2 A But no, I can't think of any reason whatever that  
3 it would come to me. Essentially I think she went  
4 to the police station, made a statement which was  
5 taken I believe by Inspector Wagner, and as I  
6 understand it, it -- that didn't lead to any  
7 charge being laid, at least at that point.

8 Q I -- yeah. So, again, you have no recollection of  
9 that?

10 A No, no, that's right.

11 Q Can you tell us, sir, if -- and I don't know, and  
12 we certainly haven't heard any evidence that it  
13 was sent by the police to anybody at the Attorney  
14 General's Department -- but if that had been sent  
15 to you, Mr. Caldwell, if the city police would  
16 have sent over this statement to you -- and at  
17 that time you were the regional director, is that  
18 correct?

19 A Regional Crown.

20 Q Regional Crown?

21 A Yeah.

22 Q Can you tell us what, what you might have done  
23 with it? And I appreciate that you are saying you  
24 didn't get it, but can you help us understand what  
25 might have happened if that statement had gone to



1 a member of the Crown, and in particular to you  
2 being the prosecutor?

3 A Oh, okay. Well if the statement taken by  
4 presumably Inspector Wagner setting out Fisher's  
5 offence, as I understand it, had come to me --  
6 first of all it, all things being equal, it  
7 wouldn't come to me because no charge, as I  
8 understand it, happened at that time. If the  
9 statement came to me, despite that I would think I  
10 would phone the, let's say the city prosecutor or  
11 case prep or someone, and say "what's this all  
12 about". Because the statement, I would think,  
13 clearly would identify the Milgaard, or the Gail  
14 Miller/Milgaard situation --

15 Q Right.

16 A -- that -- and so I would, I would certainly have  
17 to, and would, follow it up in some fashion.

18 Q And let's just back up on how it would get to you.  
19 You are saying that, according to the procedures  
20 at the time, that that wouldn't come to you unless  
21 there was a charge?

22 A That would be the normal thing. And, of course,  
23 many such statements were taken, not of that  
24 gravity, that didn't end up in charges and didn't  
25 end up coming to us.



1 Q Let's go back. I think it was Mr. Penkala who  
2 told us that -- and I stand to be corrected -- but  
3 I think someone told us that the police, where a  
4 conviction had been entered, that they would  
5 likely not or maybe would not start to  
6 reinvestigate unless they got some direction from  
7 the Crown, from the Attorney General's office, and  
8 --

9 A Is this in general?

10 Q In general, yes. And I think that -- and I think  
11 it was Mr. Penkala who said that where a  
12 conviction had been entered on a case and new  
13 information came in later, that the police might  
14 not sort of re-open the investigation, if I can  
15 call it that, --

16 A Uh-huh.

17 Q -- without some direction from the Attorney  
18 General's office. And I'm wondering, maybe this  
19 is -- this isn't a fair question to you, but who,  
20 based on what you knew at the time in 1980, who in  
21 the Attorney General's office in Saskatchewan  
22 would be the person that would -- would -- would  
23 take control of this statement? Let's say the  
24 city police phoned you and said "Mr. Caldwell,  
25 this case is closed, we have a conviction, this



1 lady now says David Milgaard didn't kill Gail  
2 Miller, her husband did --"

3 A Uh-huh.

4 Q "-- and has some information about it, and he's  
5 just got out of jail for some rapes or he has been  
6 convicted for some further information, and we  
7 think this woman is sincere, here it is, what do  
8 we do with it?" Are you able to help us out as to  
9 what you, as being -- and you would have been the  
10 senior Crown in Saskatoon at that point?

11 A At that point.

12 Q Let's assume they go to you with this; what do you  
13 think you would have done with it?

14 A I would believe that I would telephone our head  
15 office in Regina to find out if there was a, if  
16 you will, a policy on how to deal with those  
17 things, which may or may not have been the case.  
18 If there was, I would have followed that, either  
19 myself or one of my colleagues, if we were being  
20 looked to to follow it up or pursue it.

21 Q But what if the police simply said here, we have  
22 this, given that there's a conviction and you were  
23 the prosecutor who secured the conviction, what do  
24 we do with this statement, should we investigate  
25 it, should we not. Are you able to tell us, would



1           that be a Crown issue, is it a police issue or is  
2           it some combination?

3           A       It would be a combination to the extent that the  
4           police are the ones who would have to bring it to  
5           the attention of the Crown, wherever the Crown  
6           was, and then I don't, Mr. Hodson, I assume that  
7           myself or one of my colleagues would be given the  
8           thing and say go ahead and follow this thing  
9           through, which could of course lead to charges.

10          Q       So what if the police then do follow through and  
11         come back to you and say we have some evidence and  
12         some information that suggests that maybe David  
13         Milgaard did not kill Gail Miller, what would you  
14         as the senior prosecutor here and the individual  
15         who prosecuted Mr. Milgaard, what would you do?

16          A       I think again I would consult our office in Regina  
17         first of all and make -- tell them what  
18         information had come in. It might be, in that  
19         scenario, that I would not be the right person to,  
20         if you will, investigate and prosecute it in that  
21         narrow situation where I had been the prosecutor.

22          Q       Okay. And you may be getting a bit ahead when you  
23         say investigate and prosecute. Would you agree,  
24         sir, that you couldn't prosecute Mr. Fisher given  
25         that you had a conviction of Mr. Milgaard for the



1 same crime, unless of course you are saying they  
2 both -- and I think it would be fair to say you  
3 could not prosecute Mr. Fisher for the murder  
4 unless you did something with Mr. Milgaard's  
5 conviction?

6 A Well, the original Milgaard conviction stood.

7 Q Yeah.

8 A I think that would prevent anyone prosecuting  
9 Fisher.

10 Q Right.

11 A And I --

12 Q And let me just -- sorry, Mr. Caldwell, for  
13 interrupting, but I'm not asking you in the sense  
14 of the normal flow of here's police information,  
15 here you go, prosecutor, prosecute this case.

16 A Right.

17 Q So in the normal course if there had not been a  
18 conviction of someone else and they gave you this  
19 information, you've told us what you would do as a  
20 prosecutor, and I think I understand that.

21 A Okay.

22 Q This is different, a person has been convicted,  
23 Mr. Milgaard has been convicted and been in jail  
24 for 10 years, you were the person who prosecuted  
25 him and at that time I think you had strong





1 beliefs that he had committed the crime?

2 A That's correct.

3 Q The city police get information from Linda Fisher  
4 saying I think the wrong person has been  
5 convicted, I think my ex-husband Larry Fisher  
6 committed the crime and here is why and here are  
7 the reasons and the police officer who took the  
8 statement says she's sincere, and I'm not sure if  
9 he used the word credible, but took the  
10 information seriously.

11 A Uh-huh.

12 Q It was not something that would be discarded as  
13 being not credible.

14 A Yeah.

15 Q And so again, and in fairness, it may be that the  
16 police might have done further investigation and  
17 I'm trying to just probe here what, to find out  
18 what might have happened -- sorry, what might have  
19 happened if information went to your office at  
20 that time to see whether subsequent events that  
21 transpired might have changed a little bit, so  
22 let's just go back and let's just talk simply  
23 about the statement; in other words, Inspector  
24 Wagner or Jack Parker phones you up and says I  
25 thought I would let you know that this lady came



1 in and says we've got the wrong guy and that her  
2 husband did it, here's the information, we haven't  
3 started to investigate or anything yet, we've got  
4 the information.

5 A Okay.

6 Q That's scenario 1.

7 A All right. Do you want me to --

8 Q I'm just saying what do you think you would have  
9 done with that?

10 A Well, I would have certainly not ignored it. One  
11 would have to take that, read the statement,  
12 possibly seek advice from my colleagues or  
13 superiors, but would have gone ahead in some  
14 fashion with following it up and trying to  
15 ascertain how -- whether, for instance, there were  
16 other witnesses, how good the statement was to  
17 assess the thing, but you certainly would have to  
18 act on it in some active way.

19 Q So in that scenario 1 where you simply get the  
20 statement, you think what you would have done is  
21 gone back to the police and said check it out,  
22 get -- pursue whatever you need to pursue to  
23 verify or not verify this information?

24 A I would assume so, and there may have been other  
25 witnesses who hadn't been interviewed, for



1 instance, anything like that.

2 Q So you think you would have done something then?

3 A Yeah.

4 Q But would it be back to the police to say follow  
5 it up?

6 A Well, yeah, I don't think there was any, at this  
7 time in Saskatchewan, any sort of internal  
8 investigation or process with our police  
9 department. I may be wrong. If there was, they  
10 would be the ones who should pursue that, but it  
11 would be in consultation with the prosecutor.

12 Q Sorry, you said internal?

13 A There was a thing later on called internal  
14 investigations and I'm saying I don't think this  
15 case, this kind of situation would be followed up  
16 by them.

17 Q Right. So --

18 A In other words, shouldn't go to them is what I'm  
19 trying to say.

20 Q And so would you -- is it possible, Mr. Caldwell,  
21 that you might have said lookit, the courts have  
22 convicted Mr. Milgaard, don't waste your time?

23 A Not with anything that substantial, I can't see  
24 how I could do that.

25 Q And so you would realize at that time, and again



1 we're talking, we're trying to understand what you  
2 would have done if you would have had this  
3 information, but you would agree, sir, that if the  
4 information of Linda Fisher at that time was  
5 correct, and certainly with respect to her  
6 suspicion that Larry Fisher committed the crime,  
7 subsequently we learned that she was correct on  
8 that --

9 A Yes.

10 Q -- that that would necessarily mean that you  
11 convicted, or that the wrong man was convicted?

12 A That is correct.

13 Q -- and you prosecuted in that case?

14 A Right.

15 Q And so that, tell us, what impact would that have  
16 had on your thinking?

17 A Well, it would have had to be followed up, and to  
18 the extent that I was the one who prosecuted the  
19 original case, I might have not been a good  
20 candidate to follow it up, but I would have to be  
21 sure that it was either by my superiors in Regina  
22 or whatever the appropriate agency was.

23 Q And why would you not be a good person to follow  
24 it up?

25 A Because I, you know, it would be difficult, I



1           would think, for me to look dispassionately at the  
2           Milgaard facts as we then had them, and of course  
3           this presumes that that conviction was in and had  
4           already been approved.

5           Q       And are you telling us, and I'm not trying to put  
6           words in your mouth, that you might have, you  
7           might not be as objective as someone else in  
8           looking at new information about --

9           A       I think it would just be very awkward and this is  
10          why quite regularly, for instance, Alberta  
11          prosecutors review things, Manitoba ones  
12          occasionally do, Saskatchewan ones do for other  
13          provinces, to get it at an arm's length thing, and  
14          I think it would be difficult for me to look at  
15          the thing dispassionately. That doesn't mean that  
16          I wouldn't have to make sure it was pursued.

17          Q       So that you are telling us that you would find a  
18          way in the Crown's office for someone to pursue  
19          it?

20          A       Well, either -- yes, it would have to go ahead  
21          somehow.

22          Q       At the time, and this is 1980, so this is 12 years  
23          prior to the *Stinchcomb* decision, do you think,  
24          Mr. Caldwell, again, if you would got -- let's  
25          just talk about the statement from the police. Do



1           you think you would have turned that over to,  
2           again Mr. Tallis was on the bench, but someone on  
3           behalf of David Milgaard?

4       A       Well, I would think so. You would have to find  
5           that someone, but I would think that would be  
6           easy, because I think, you know, the bar would be  
7           in there trying to be of assistance.

8       Q       But let me back up, let me give you this scenario.

9       A       Okay.

10      Q       Mr. Wagner, Mr. Parker sends over a copy of Linda  
11           Fisher's statement and says we just got this in,  
12           what do we do, and you've made the decision that  
13           either you or someone else should follow up and  
14           investigate further.

15      A       Yeah, preferably someone else by my definition.

16      Q       Someone else?

17      A       Yeah.

18      Q       And so the police should follow up, someone else  
19           with the Crown should be involved; is that fair?

20      A       Yes.

21      Q       Now -- and my question was, do you think in 1980  
22           that based upon what the practices were at that  
23           time, do you think you would have taken steps,  
24           just with the one statement, to send that to  
25           someone on behalf of David Milgaard?



1       A       Well, I would think based on what, I don't know  
2               the statement line for line, but based on how  
3               important it was, is that I would certainly have  
4               to send it to whoever his, if he had counsel;  
5               failing that, himself or someone.

6       Q       And why would you do that?

7       A       Well, if the -- did you say the *Stinchcomb* case  
8               had happened by this time?

9       Q       No, no, this is 1980.

10      A       Okay. In much the same spirit that I sent things  
11              on this case to Mr. Tallis so that he would know  
12              what, to the best of my abilities, was going on  
13              with these witnesses, etcetera; in other words,  
14              you should send it to someone.

15      Q       On behalf of David Milgaard?

16      A       Well, certainly.

17      Q       Yeah. So the scenario I gave is just with the  
18              statement itself?

19      A       Uh-huh.

20      Q       And let's carry through, I want to put a couple of  
21              other scenarios to you, the next scenario is where  
22              the police do go back, they investigate it and  
23              they come back to you or someone else in the  
24              Crown's office, I appreciate your comment that you  
25              think someone else would have oversaw it, and they



1           come back and say we've checked it out, we don't  
2           think it has any merit, it's not credible for  
3           whatever reason. What do you think you would have  
4           done with that?

5       A       I would have, I think, made sure that my superiors  
6           in Regina were aware of that state of affairs,  
7           what the statement was, what the follow-up was, so  
8           that they might have different views on it than  
9           me.

10      Q       And your superiors would be, would the title be  
11           director of public prosecution?

12      A       Whoever that was.

13      Q       At the time?

14      A       Yeah.

15      Q       And that would be -- and are you telling us, Mr.  
16           Caldwell, with this type of thing you think you  
17           would have involved head office, if I can call it  
18           that?

19      A       Well, I think so, among other reasons, that they  
20           would be more used to dealing with this kind of  
21           situations elsewhere in the province and know how  
22           to do it.

23      Q       Apart from the David Milgaard case, did you ever  
24           have a case in your career as a prosecutor, as a  
25           provincial prosecutor or federal prosecutor, where





1 after a conviction was obtained and appeals  
2 exhausted, that information came to your attention  
3 that might be exculpatory for the accused, the  
4 convicted person?

5 A It could have happened, Mr. Hodson, and it could  
6 have included cases where it was very readily  
7 evident that the information was worthless. I  
8 don't offhand recall a concrete example of it.

9 Q Let's go back to 1980, and the third scenario I  
10 want to give to you is that you go back to the  
11 police and say, okay, follow it up, and the police  
12 in fact follow it up and come back to you and/or  
13 your colleague and say there's something to this,  
14 this Larry Fisher raped a number of women in and  
15 around that time, served time in jail, he got out  
16 and he attempted to kill someone and rape someone  
17 and Linda Fisher says he wasn't home the morning  
18 of the murder.

19 A Uh-huh.

20 Q And other details that would cause police officers  
21 and/or Crown officers to say there's something to  
22 this.

23 A Yes.

24 Q What would you have done then?

25 A In addition to what I've --



1 Q Yes. So now it has been followed up and you now  
2 have evidence that suggests -- let's put aside Mr.  
3 Milgaard for a moment, let's say he had never been  
4 convicted.

5 A Okay.

6 Q And this was an unsolved crime, and you now get  
7 information that says to you as the Crown  
8 prosecutor, as a Crown officer we have information  
9 that it looks like Mr. Fisher is a real suspect  
10 for the murder of Gail Miller.

11 A Uh-huh.

12 Q And had there been no conviction of David  
13 Milgaard, presumably the police would do, as they  
14 do, and did initially in this case, they would go  
15 investigate and get to the point where they think  
16 they had enough evidence to lay a charge.

17 A And they would, in my view, lay a charge at that  
18 point.

19 Q And might get pre-charge advice?

20 A Might, and in all likelihood just as well might  
21 not, the way things were.

22 Q Okay. And so that's what would happen if Gail  
23 Miller's murder had been unsolved, that the police  
24 would have pursued it?

25 A Yeah.



1 Q I now want to put back into the equation the fact  
2 that there's already a person in jail convicted of  
3 that crime and I'm trying to understand what it is  
4 you would have done differently with this  
5 information in light of the fact that you already  
6 had a conviction for the crime.

7 A Well, I think, Mr. Hodson, that I would have had  
8 to make sure the thing didn't get filed away, make  
9 sure that the thing, if you will, went ahead, and  
10 at an early stage, having been the prosecutor, I  
11 would want myself excluded from the process, or am  
12 I still --

13 Q Yes. Let's just, and again, and maybe in  
14 fairness, Mr. Caldwell, you've said that on a  
15 number of occasions.

16 A Okay.

17 Q Let's assume for a moment that someone else had  
18 prosecuted Mr. Milgaard and you were the other  
19 prosecutor brought in to deal with this?

20 A Uh-huh.

21 Q And had nothing to do with the original  
22 prosecution.

23 A Okay.

24 Q Can you just give us an idea of what you might  
25 have done at that time with information that said



1 we think there's another suspect who is a real  
2 suspect and if we're right on that it means the  
3 wrong person is in jail. Tell me what you think  
4 you could have done or would have done or might  
5 have done?

6 A Well, I would have to start by saying that I would  
7 not want to be doing that while I was in the same  
8 office as the other prosecutor; in other words, I  
9 would want very shortly for it to be handled by  
10 someone from another city as is often done with  
11 charges against policemen.

12 Q And why is that, Mr. Caldwell?

13 A Well, because it's awkward to -- it would be  
14 awkward -- let's say we had four prosecutors. For  
15 me to be pursuing a case in our office which,  
16 which one of my colleagues appeared to be, I don't  
17 know if you say at fault, but clearly in error on  
18 the outcome of the first case, of course he  
19 wouldn't have been the judge, but I would find  
20 that very, very awkward and I think that's avoided  
21 in Saskatchewan, you know, with some effort.

22 Q And I appreciate the point. So let's again take  
23 the situation where let's say this case happened  
24 in Yorkton, exact same facts, the Yorkton  
25 prosecutor sends it to you saying I don't want it



1 in my office, here you go, Mr. Caldwell, we've got  
2 someone in jail, we've now got new information, we  
3 have a different suspect, it's credible, it's not  
4 sort of way out there, it's something that is  
5 real.

6 A If that were the case, that would distance it  
7 somewhat and I might feel more comfortable about  
8 going ahead with it.

9 Q Right. So tell us what you think you would do,  
10 1980, I'm trying to understand what might have  
11 happened, and so what do you think you would do  
12 with that information?

13 A Are we still on the Yorkton plan here?

14 Q Yes.

15 A That would be enough, I think, distance from our  
16 office that I would make sure that the thing got  
17 underway and was properly investigated. If at the  
18 end of that it appeared to me there was an  
19 offence, I would certainly go ahead and prosecute  
20 it.

21 Q Okay, let me just pause there. You've already got  
22 a person in jail for the same crime.

23 A So that -- solving that, Mr. Hodson, I think would  
24 be outside of my terms. I don't know how I would  
25 do it.



1       Q       Right. And so again if you pursued it, you would  
2               get to the point of saying, okay, we now have  
3               enough information that in the absence of someone  
4               else being convicted I might pursue a charge, you  
5               would go to your superiors to say how do we do  
6               this, what happens, and in fairness, Mr. Caldwell,  
7               we'll be hearing some evidence later on in this  
8               Inquiry about the process that undoes a  
9               conviction.

10      A       Okay.

11      Q       And so I think is what you are saying is lookit, I  
12               couldn't prosecute the new person until someone or  
13               somehow the earlier one was undone?

14      A       That's -- as I understand the scenario, that's  
15               right, and the agency I would assume who had  
16               knowledge of how to do that would be our  
17               department in Regina, so-called head office,  
18               because that sort of thing would happen, slightly  
19               more often come to their attention certainly.

20      Q       And can you help us out in the scenarios that I  
21               talked about, scenario 2, scenario 3 -- let me  
22               just clarify. Scenario 1 was you simply got the  
23               Linda Fisher statement and you told us that in  
24               that scenario you would have sent it to Mr.  
25               Milgaard or his counsel or somebody?



1       A       I would -- I agree.

2       Q       Yeah. Scenario 2 is you go back to the police,  
3               they do a further investigation and they come back  
4               and say we don't think there's any merit to this  
5               allegation, we think the right guy is still in  
6               jail, would you have -- what if anything would you  
7               have done with that information vis-a-vis Mr.  
8               Milgaard?

9       A       That's difficult to say. I think I would have  
10              passed it up the line in our department to say  
11              look, here's where we are on this, what do you  
12              think.

13      Q       Right. And what if you -- scenario 3 is you have  
14              it followed up and then come back and there's  
15              merit to the allegation, would you have provided  
16              that to --

17      A       Well, I would -- yes, certainly would, the  
18              question of finding, (a), the accused, which  
19              should be easy, or (b), counsel, and they very  
20              often appear early in those proceedings.

21      Q       And I take it even though Mr. Tallis was on the  
22              Court of Appeal at the time, I take it one  
23              possibility would be that you would call him and  
24              say lookit, we need to get information to Mr.  
25              Milgaard, how should we do it?



1           A           I would doubt that would be my first approach. I  
2                       would think that in a situation like that, either  
3                       the private bar or Legal Aid would come into focus  
4                       early in the proceedings and I would try and make  
5                       sure it got to the proper counsel. I would think  
6                       going to Mr. Justice Tallis would be awkward from  
7                       his point of view, if you will.

8           Q           So the scenarios I gave you, I think we've walked  
9                       through what you think you would have done if this  
10                      information had come to your attention. Would  
11                      things change if, at all if you were also aware of  
12                      the fact that Mr. Milgaard had for 10 years said I  
13                      didn't commit this crime and had been publicly, or  
14                      starting to publicly say that he was innocent and  
15                      the wrong person was in jail and that that was in  
16                      the public domain, would that change in any way  
17                      what you might do?

18          A           I don't think that in itself could because even  
19                       though that turned out to be essentially correct,  
20                       Mr. Hodson, later on, at that point it was simply  
21                       a number of, if you will, press representations  
22                       and publicity, so I don't see, with my then view  
23                       of the case, that that would have affected me.

24          Q           I see. I want to go ahead to 1980 and '81. We've  
25                       heard evidence, and we'll hear more evidence, that





1           in 19 -- I think 1981 David and/or Joyce Milgaard  
2           retained a number of people, retained a couple of  
3           counsel and a number of people to assist them.  
4           The first one is Gary Young who is a lawyer in  
5           Saskatoon. Now, you know of Gary Young?

6       A       I know Gary Young.

7       Q       And as well Tony Merchant, who is a lawyer  
8           practicing in Regina, you know who Tony Merchant  
9           is?

10      A       I do.

11      Q       And I want to go back to 1981. Do you have a  
12           recollection, and you touched on this in the  
13           earlier sittings, of talking to Gary Young about  
14           the David Milgaard matter?

15      A       Yes, I can tell you that, sir. Gary Young was and  
16           is a practicing lawyer here, he contacted me, I  
17           assume by telephone, and if I'm not mistaken,  
18           indicated that as an agent of Tony Merchant, and I  
19           may be incorrect in this, but he wanted to come  
20           over and read the prosecution file on the Milgaard  
21           matter.

22      Q       And -- sorry, at that time, Mr. Caldwell, you were  
23           a prosecutor employed by the Saskatchewan Attorney  
24           General?

25      A       Yeah, I still was.



1 Q Yeah. And where was your office?

2 A Still in the Court, in the ground floor of the  
3 court house at that time.

4 Q That's the Court of Queen's Bench?

5 A That's correct.

6 Q On Spadina?

7 A Yeah. There's now a courtroom there. It's right  
8 under the sheriff's office.

9 Q So your office was there and was your file there  
10 at the court house as well?

11 A Yes, it would be within my office in one form or  
12 another. Not -- like, our office proper or our  
13 closed files somewhere.

14 Q So tell me, you had a call from Mr. Young?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And was he acting for David or Joyce or do you  
17 remember?

18 A I'm not positive of that, but I had the impression  
19 that he was possibly even Mr. Merchant's agent,  
20 but I stand to be corrected.

21 Q And I think we'll be hearing from both of those.

22 A Okay.

23 Q I think, and I stand to be corrected as well, I  
24 think Mr. Merchant came on the scene after Mr.  
25 Young. I may have suggested to you two weeks ago



1           that there was an agency and we'll hear from both  
2           of them, but -- and I don't think that that was  
3           the case, but --

4       A       All right, sir.

5       Q       So in any event, did you -- you believed Gary  
6           Young was acting on behalf of David Milgaard; is  
7           that right?

8       A       In effect, and so he suggested he would like to go  
9           through the file. I invited him to our office  
10          within a day or two or whatever, sat him in our  
11          library in our office with the file which was then  
12          in a, I think it's called a transfer case or  
13          something, but it was the original prosecution  
14          file. I believe I showed him the various folders,  
15          about six of them, and what they represented or  
16          contained, sat him down there, said go ahead, sir,  
17          and call if there's anything you want. I would  
18          have the impression that he may have been there  
19          for more than a day, Mr. Hodson, and I undoubtedly  
20          looked in at him and said how are you making out.

21      Q       And so the file that you gave him, would that be  
22           everything that you had --

23      A       It would.

24      Q       -- in connection with the prosecution of David  
25           Milgaard?



1 A It would be the David Milgaard prosecution file.

2 Q Now, this is 1981, this is about -- let me call up  
3 a document first.

4 A Okay.

5 Q 331926, just to put a date on this, and,  
6 Mr. Commissioner, this is a new document that I  
7 obtained from Gary Young, I think last week, we  
8 obtained his file, it is presently being scanned  
9 and the entire file will be provided to all  
10 parties. I apologize, we couldn't get it up on  
11 CaseVault before today, and there's one note in  
12 the file, this document, and it's Mr. Young's  
13 February 2nd, '81 telephone note, and we'll just  
14 go through it.

15 A Okay.

16 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Just a second,  
17 before you go any further. Who was he acting  
18 for?

19 MR. HODSON: He was acting for David and  
20 Joyce Milgaard.

21 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: And have they  
22 released any claim of privilege?

23 MR. HODSON: Yes, and let me clarify that.  
24 Both Joyce and David Milgaard, through their  
25 counsel, have waived all privilege with respect



1 to communications with all counsel, including  
2 Gary Young and Tony Merchant. I obtained a  
3 signed waiver and an authorization to both Mr.  
4 Young and Mr. Merchant to release the files to us  
5 and they were delivered to us within the last 10  
6 days, and so there is no privilege claimed with  
7 respect to any communications with Gary Young,  
8 and we will be hearing from him next week.

9 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thank you.

10 BY MR. HODSON:

11 Q And so February 1, '81:

12 "Mrs. M phoned - wanted me to look into  
13 the following  
14 - phone Ian Buckwold re getting access  
15 to their files. He will check with  
16 Tallis and advise."

17 I should point out, Ian Buckwold was a lawyer at  
18 Mr. Tallis' former firm and we will be hearing  
19 from Mr. Young about his review of Mr. Tallis'  
20 file that he did as well at this time. Question:

21 "- reward money?"

22 And then:

23 "- Caldwell - phone 664-5149."

24 Was that your phone number?

25 A That was our office phone.



1           Q           "- he says he gave copies of material  
2                       statements to Tallis - however he is  
3                       prepared to go over his file with me -  
4                       but will not release copies to Mrs. M."

5           And again a couple of things, would that be a  
6           fair -- is that what you would have told him?

7           A           That must have been.

8           Q           And so you let Mr. Buckwold go through -- or  
9                       sorry, Mr. Young go through your file, but you  
10                      wouldn't give copies to Mrs. Milgaard?

11          A           That's correct.

12          Q           And why not?

13          A           Well, Mr. Young was a solicitor acting for her or  
14                      the family, as the case may be, and I felt that I  
15                      had no hesitation to do what's just been proposed  
16                      here. I did not -- I did not wish to get involved  
17                      in dealing directly with Mrs. Milgaard.

18          Q           So is it fair to say that legal counsel on behalf  
19                      of David Milgaard you would show your entire file  
20                      to?

21          A           That's what I did, sir.

22          Q           And would you allow them to make copies of --

23          A           Yes, I would have. Now, if you want -- I don't  
24                      see a note of that here, but undoubtedly I would  
25                      have allowed him to. He may know that, Mr. Young.



1 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: What's that?

2 BY MR. HODSON:

3 Q I'm sorry, would you have let legal counsel make  
4 copies of what's on your file?

5 A I would think so. In this instance I still can't  
6 recall whether he did.

7 Q Right. But as far as a non-lawyer then, and in  
8 this case Mrs. Milgaard, it appears from this note  
9 that you had concerns about giving copies to her?

10 A Yeah, that's how it appears.

11 Q And what would be the concern about giving copies  
12 to Mrs. Milgaard?

13 A Well, all things being equal, one would deal with  
14 counsel for -- not that Mrs. Milgaard was an  
15 accused person, but for accused persons,  
16 witnesses, etcetera, as opposed to the individuals  
17 themselves, and that was, I guess, a recognized  
18 way of doing things.

19 Q And so at this time -- so the date here is  
20 February, '81. Does that sound, chronologically,  
21 about the right time you might have had this  
22 discussion with him?

23 A Oh, it must have been then, sir.

24 Q If Linda Fisher's statement to the police in  
25 August of 1980 had been sent to you prior to this



1 date, would you have put it on your file?

2 A I guess that's difficult to answer. In one sense  
3 I should and would have; in the other sense it  
4 would be being pursued in the manner we just  
5 reviewed a minute ago.

6 Q Let's say the city police simply sent you over for  
7 your information, here's a statement we got on the  
8 Gail Miller file. Would you have any reason not  
9 to give that to Gary Young?

10 A I wouldn't think so.

11 Q So --

12 A We would have had more than one copy. I can't  
13 imagine why I wouldn't have let him see it, put it  
14 on this file, whatever I thought of it, sir.

15 Q The National Parole Board information would have  
16 been on your file, like, subsequent information?

17 A Yeah, there was a brad called correspondence, I  
18 suspect all of that was on there, and of course as  
19 you know, sir, there's no guarantee that things  
20 were not either added to or subtracted from my  
21 file as we know, but that I expect would have been  
22 there.

23 Q And at this time with Mr. Young, were you prepared  
24 to answer questions from him regarding the case?

25 A I'm sure that I, during or at the end of his





1 review, asked him if there's anything he wanted to  
2 discuss or follow up, etcetera.

3 Q And at this time we've seen evidence from letters  
4 that Mr. Young wrote to the police, the Saskatoon  
5 City Police I think in late December of '80 or  
6 early '81 asking for a copy of the police file,  
7 the police reports, and the police responded  
8 saying no, we won't give them to you without some  
9 direction from the Attorney General's office.

10 A Who was it who wrote that, sir?

11 Q I think it was the deputy chief.

12 A Oh, the other --

13 Q In 1981, Gibbon I think?

14 A In the other letter though?

15 Q Oh, Mr. Young?

16 A Mr. Young.

17 Q We have seen it, I don't think I need to call it  
18 up, --

19 A No.

20 Q -- but Mr. Young wrote to the city police saying  
21 (a) I want some names and addresses, I want some  
22 addresses, contact information for Cadrain, Wilson  
23 and John, plus I want to see the police file, and  
24 the police responded back saying "well we can't  
25 give you the police file without some direction



1 from the Attorney General"?

2 A No.

3 Q If Gary Young, or any other legal counsel of the  
4 day acting on behalf of David Milgaard in 1981 had  
5 asked you "would you arrange with the city police  
6 for me to look at their police file" would you  
7 have done so?

8 A Well to distinguish, of course, there were on my  
9 file a number of police reports because of the way  
10 in which --

11 Q Fair enough, and I'm sorry, I should have just  
12 clarified that.

13 A Yeah.

14 Q You've told us, Mr. Caldwell, that there are  
15 two -- there is the Gail Miller murder file or  
16 police file, --

17 A Yeah.

18 Q -- which is everything related to Gail Miller, and  
19 you've told us that there is the David Milgaard  
20 prosecution file?

21 A That's right.

22 Q Which is a lesser --

23 A Yeah.

24 Q Which doesn't contain all the police information;  
25 okay?



1 A Yeah. I had the latter one, sir, and the  
2 other, --

3 Q Yeah?

4 A -- as I've said, never came to our office.

5 Q Right. And my question is if Mr. Young, or any  
6 other legal counsel acting on behalf of David  
7 Milgaard, had come to you and said  
8 "Mr. Prosecutor, would you please contact the  
9 Saskatoon City Police and ask them to arrange for  
10 me to look at their entire police file on Gail  
11 Miller because I'm looking into matters relating  
12 to David Milgaard", would you have done so?

13 A Well, that's difficult to answer. I think that,  
14 in effect, in fact did happen in latter years, Mr.  
15 Hodson, without my involvement, --

16 Q Yes.

17 A -- as you know. The -- Chief Gibbon, I think I  
18 saw the letter in question, --

19 Q Yes?

20 A -- said "we can't give you addresses", etcetera --

21 Q Right?

22 A -- "without the permission of the individuals", as  
23 I recall, which I understand.

24 Q Subject to -- let me add this caveat.

25 A Okay.



1 Q Subject to protection of confidentiality concerns  
2 that the police might have, such as phone numbers,  
3 witness names, etcetera, and sort of whatever  
4 restrictions the police might say --

5 A Yeah.

6 Q -- they want in place with respect to protecting  
7 identities, etcetera, --

8 A Uh-huh.

9 Q -- assuming that that's all looked after by the  
10 police, would you, as the Crown attorney in this  
11 case, would you have arranged or assisted  
12 Mr. Young in his efforts to try and look at the  
13 police file?

14 A I think I would have, because I felt there was  
15 nothing improper about that, and that of course  
16 was a much larger collection.

17 Q And you were prepared to let him look at every  
18 single one of your notes?

19 A Well, yeah, everything I had on the Milgaard  
20 prosecution was in the, what I have been calling  
21 the prosecutor's file, it was all in our office, I  
22 had not discarded any of the material over -- at  
23 all.

24 Q And I'm not sure that privilege applies with  
25 respect to the Crown, but were there any documents



1           that were related to the prosecution that were of  
2           a privileged nature that you might not have had on  
3           your file?

4       A       I can't think of any, no.

5       Q       And so, again, you would have -- and you are  
6           telling us that you did let Mr. Young look at the  
7           entire file?

8       A       Absolutely.

9       Q       And then apart from any police concerns, then, do  
10          you -- can you think of any reason why you would  
11          not have assisted or arranged to have Mr. Young  
12          get access, Mr. Young or anybody else as legal  
13          counsel, access?

14      A       Well I, in principle, I see no reason why I  
15          wouldn't have assisted them with that venture. I  
16          don't know, Mr. Hodson, what the results would be  
17          at the police end of it.

18      Q       And were you ever asked by Mr. Young?

19      A       I've -- to do that?

20      Q       Yes.

21      A       I very much doubt it.

22      Q       Were you ever asked by Mr. Merchant to assist him  
23          in looking at the police file?

24      A       My memory is that I didn't deal face to face with  
25          Mr. Merchant at all over these things and I'm not



1 even -- I have no memory even of being on the  
2 phone with him, Mr. Hodson.

3 Q Okay. Let me just call up 216040.

4 A Okay.

5 Q And this is a letter May 26 of 1981, so a couple  
6 of months later, and it's a letter from you to --  
7 or Mr. Merchant to you.

8 "Thank you for meeting with me briefly a  
9 few days ago at Saskatoon regarding the  
10 Milgaard matter."

11 He asks for information about the reward and  
12 says:

13 "For the reasons which I expressed, I  
14 believe full disclosure will ultimately  
15 serve your interests better than  
16 appearing to the Milgaards to be  
17 covering things up, and I urge you to  
18 release this information to me and to  
19 use your best offices to convince the  
20 City Police to release the information  
21 if the information is not currently  
22 known to you."

23 A Well, I would take it, first of all I accept his  
24 recitation of the fact that we met --

25 Q And then --



1 A -- for sure.

2 Q And then, if we could call up 216052, here is your  
3 reply.

4 A Okay.

5 Q And I think you say:

6 "It is my understanding that the reward  
7 offered, in connection with the murder  
8 of Gail Miller, was offered by the  
9 Saskatoon Police Commission, and that  
10 the decision as to the allocation of  
11 this reward was made by the Commission.

12 I am not aware of whether the  
13 Commission makes a policy of disclosing  
14 this information, however, I suggest  
15 that you seek the information you  
16 require from the Commission."

17 So you appeared to respond to the letter about  
18 the reward; do you have any recollection of any  
19 other discussions with Tony Merchant?

20 A No, and I'm -- I don't, but I can't say they  
21 didn't happen.

22 Q If Tony Merchant -- do you know if Tony Merchant  
23 asked to look at your file?

24 A Well he would be in the same, assuming that he was  
25 acting for the Milgaards, he would be in the same



1 category as Gary Young, as a barrister who had  
2 business, if you will, legitimate reason for doing  
3 that --

4 Q Yeah.

5 A -- so I wouldn't see anything difficult about  
6 that.

7 Q Do you recall showing your file to Mr. Merchant?

8 A Not to Mr. Merchant.

9 Q Okay. And again, if Mr. Merchant had asked for  
10 your assistance in getting access to the police  
11 file, the murder file, subject to police  
12 concerns --

13 A Uh-huh.

14 Q -- or issues, would you have assisted him in doing  
15 so?

16 A I would assume I would, because he would be in the  
17 same category as Mr. Young in that respect.

18 Q Sir, do you know of a David Asper?

19 A Umm, the --

20 Q Do you know who he is?

21 A -- the lawyer? I do, sir, in Winnipeg.

22 Q Yes. Did David Asper ever speak to you about this  
23 matter ever?

24 A Well I better, in view of my answer about  
25 Mr. Merchant, I'd better be careful.





1 Q Do you have any recollection of ever talking to  
2 David Asper?

3 A I don't, sir.

4 Q Do you know if David Asper ever called you, from  
5 and after 1986 through until whenever, say 1997,  
6 to talk about the case?

7 A I have no recollection of that happening.

8 Q Did David Asper ever ask to look at your  
9 prosecution file?

10 A Not in my recollection, sir.

11 Q If David Asper would have called you in 1986 and  
12 said "I'm the lawyer for David Milgaard, can I  
13 look at your prosecution file", would you have let  
14 him?

15 A I would assume, again he's in the same status as  
16 Messrs. Merchant and Young, that I would have done  
17 that.

18 Q And, again, you know Mr. Wolch, who is here, --

19 A I do.

20 Q -- who represented Mr. Milgaard as well?

21 A I do.

22 Q Do you recall any discussions with Mr. Wolch about  
23 the file?

24 A I don't recall any, sir.

25 Q Do you know if Mr. Wolch asked to look at your



1 prosecution file at any time?

2 A I don't recall that happening.

3 Q If Mr. Wolch, in his capacity as counsel for David  
4 Milgaard, had asked you to look at your  
5 prosecution file at any time, would you have  
6 obliged?

7 A I can't see why not, for the same reasons.

8 Q This might be an appropriate spot to break, Mr.  
9 Commissioner, I'm moving on to a different area.

10 (Adjourned at 10:31 a.m.)

11 (Reconvened at 10:48 a.m.)

12 BY MR. HODSON:

13 Q Mr. Caldwell, just before the break I was dealing  
14 with your file and who you showed it to and who  
15 asked you to review it.

16 I now want to turn to some  
17 dealings I think you had with a gentleman by the  
18 name of Peter Carlyle-Gordge. Do you know of  
19 Peter Carlyle-Gordge?

20 A Yes, I met him, Mr. Hodson.

21 Q And he will be testifying in a couple of weeks, or  
22 in the next couple of weeks before the Inquiry,  
23 and I will go through, there is a couple of  
24 transcripts of interviews he had with you. But  
25 just before we do that, generally Mr. Caldwell --



1 and if you need to see the documents first we can  
2 do that -- but just generally what do you remember  
3 about this individual and how he came to contact  
4 you?

5 A Well he, first of all he was a person who I had  
6 seen having bylines in both the *Maclean's* magazine  
7 and *The Globe and Mail*, and to that extent I knew  
8 or was satisfied he was a journalist.

9 He contacted me I believe by  
10 telephone, initially, and indicated that he was  
11 going to do a book on interesting Western Canadian  
12 murders, and I believe it was Maggie Siggins (ph),  
13 but I stand to be corrected, had suggested to him  
14 that the Milgaard matter, of course, would be a  
15 very worthy case to write up, I certainly felt  
16 that way as well. And Mr. Carlyle-Gordge arranged  
17 to come to Saskatoon and meet me, and this was  
18 at -- clearly at a stage where the conviction was  
19 still in full force and effect, etcetera, and to  
20 my understanding, Mr. Hodson, the matter was just  
21 utterly and totally complete, which --

22 Q I'm going to suggest a date, --

23 A Sure.

24 Q -- and it may be '81 to '83, maybe 1983; does that  
25 sound right?



1       A       Yeah, I think those, those are the dates that we  
2               have in mind.

3       Q       Right.

4       A       And he came to Saskatoon, came to my office on a  
5               weekend, and I had him -- sat him down again in  
6               some office with the prosecution file, the David  
7               Milgaard murder prosecution file, the same one we  
8               have been discussing, and probably gave him some  
9               explanations as to what filing folders meant what,  
10              and left him to read it, in effect, to his heart's  
11              content.

12      Q       And, at that time, was it your understanding that  
13               he was reviewing the matter for the -- in his  
14               capacity as a writer?

15      A       Yeah, with a view to writing this book on Western  
16               Canadian murders, was my understanding.

17      Q       And, again, so did you give him access to your  
18               entire files?

19      A       Yes, yes I did, the same as we have been speaking  
20               of here. And one of the, one of the things I felt  
21               was of course at that time, and that the -- our  
22               police department had done a very fine job on the  
23               investigation, starting essentially from nowhere,  
24               and I stressed that with Mr. Gordge, and I felt  
25               that way, yes.



1 Q And do you recall how many times -- so he came to  
2 Saskatoon, you gave him access to your file, --

3 A Yeah.

4 Q -- and then did he interview you or did he talk to  
5 you?

6 A He did. He came either once or twice, once for  
7 sure and likely twice, and at some stage of the  
8 proceedings he asked me if I minded him  
9 tape-recording our discussion and I agreed to  
10 that.

11 Q And in any of your discussions with Mr. Peter  
12 Carlyle-Gordge did he give you any indication that  
13 he might be working with, in some capacity, either  
14 Joyce or David Milgaard in his efforts to set  
15 aside his conviction?

16 A No, he didn't, sir.

17 Q Would that have made any difference to you, if he  
18 would have told you that, in your decision to meet  
19 with him?

20 A Well he -- his -- my personal knowledge that he  
21 was a journalist is what made it, if you will, so  
22 easy for me to accept him on that footing in the  
23 first place. That other matter was never revealed  
24 and -- during my dealings with him, and I can't  
25 say, you know, how I would have reacted to that.



1 Q And did you ever subsequently learn anything  
2 different about what the purpose of  
3 Mr. Carlyle-Gordge's visit with you?

4 A Yes, I did. He had left me an address and phone  
5 number in Winnipeg where he lived, and my oldest  
6 son was in Winnipeg working and I asked him to  
7 look this gentleman up and see how the book was  
8 coming, and he was no longer at that address,  
9 phone number, etcetera, in Winnipeg,  
10 Mr. Carlyle-Gordge that was.

11 Now I somehow learned of the  
12 existence of the book called the *Winnipeg 8, The*  
13 *Ice-cold Hothouse*, and I was by this time with  
14 Federal Justice and I tried to convince the local  
15 Federal Justice office that they should finance  
16 buying a copy of that book and they demurred,  
17 however I did so myself, Mr. Hodson, ordered it,  
18 got it in, and it's certainly in the possession of  
19 my counsel, or the Commission, for that matter.

20 Q Yeah, we've got a copy of it.

21 A Yes, that's right.

22 Q And I think that that article may have, I'm not  
23 sure, but it may have predated the visit with you;  
24 do you know or do you --

25 A Oh, I think that it followed the visit with me. I



1           was looking for a book on Western Canadian  
2           murders, including Milgaard, this was the only  
3           printed byproduct I ever saw, but I may be wrong  
4           in my --

5                   COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM:   Excuse me, what is  
6           it about, murder or --

7       A        It's a book, Mr. Chairman, the title is *Winnipeg* 8  
8           colon *The Ice-cold Hothouse*.

9       BY MR. HODSON:

10      Q        Yeah, I will be putting it up to Mr. Caldwell in a  
11           bit and, --

12      A        Yeah.

13      Q        -- as well, Mr. Carlyle-Gordge will be referring  
14           to it as well.

15      A        Yes.

16                   COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM:   And this is  
17           Mr. Carlyle-Gordge's work; was it?

18      A        The chapter -- he did one chapter on Mrs. Milgaard  
19           and her efforts to assist David, Mr. Commissioner,  
20           so --

21                   COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM:   Oh, yes, okay.

22      A        Yeah.

23      BY MR. HODSON:

24      Q        Maybe we could just -- sorry, 150983, page 3.  
25           That's the document you are referring to?



1 A That's the cover, sir. The --

2 Q And the next page has got "Copyright 1982", I'm  
3 not sure if that's the date --

4 A Oh, okay.

5 Q -- it was published, and the article I think is  
6 *Two Kinds of Liberty*, Joyce Milgaard; that's the  
7 article you are referring to?

8 A That's the one, sir, and I do note the date there  
9 seems to clash with my impression.

10 Q Yeah, and we'll deal with that a bit later, --

11 A Very good.

12 Q -- but did you ever talk to Mr. Peter  
13 Carlyle-Gordge after the initial meetings about  
14 this book?

15 A I think, after the initial meeting or meetings, I  
16 did -- have no memory of talking to him again  
17 personally, or by phone, or any other way.

18 Q And, again, and Mr. Carlyle-Gordge will be here to  
19 testify --

20 A Yeah.

21 Q -- and talk about, obviously, what he was doing at  
22 the time.

23 A Yes.

24 Q And I think you said, Mr. Caldwell, the fact that  
25 he was a writer; is that what prompted you to let





1 him see the file?

2 A Yeah. He, I had personally seen bylines of his  
3 both in *The Globe and Mail* and in *Maclean's*  
4 magazine, so I had felt that was very good bona  
5 fides of being a journalist or writer, I didn't  
6 attempt to go behind that at all.

7 Q And would you have told him the truth to your best  
8 ability at the time?

9 A Absolutely.

10 Q And did you perceive him to be, if I can call it,  
11 a third party unconnected to this matter?

12 A Oh, that was my understanding and assumption.

13 Q If we could go to -- or let me just back up.  
14 Again, on the file, did -- do you remember going  
15 through parts of the file with him and --

16 A Umm, I very well may have said "look, these are  
17 the six file folders, this is what they contain",  
18 I was -- this was a weekend, I know I was  
19 physically around the office but I let him, you  
20 know, go through it at his own pace, etcetera.

21 Q If we could call up 325653. And this, Mr.  
22 Commissioner, is a transcript that I think the  
23 Commission had typed up and the tape, the audio  
24 tape, is on the record, it is 048705, and it  
25 appears to be a telephone conversation between



1 Peter Carlyle-Gordge and Mr. Caldwell.

2 There is a second tape, Mr.

3 Caldwell, of part of your interview with him in  
4 person, so there is two transcripts that we have.

5 A Okay.

6 Q And so this appears to be -- and the reason I say  
7 a phone call, if you look at the second line, PCG  
8 is Peter Carlyle-Gordge --

9 A Yeah.

10 Q -- and he says:

11 "Yes, thank you for calling back."

12 A Yeah.

13 Q And so is it possible; do you have a recollection  
14 of talking to him on the telephone?

15 A I don't, but -- I don't doubt this happened, sir,  
16 but it would have been recorded, of course, at the  
17 other end, if you will.

18 Q And would you -- were you aware that he was  
19 recording the phone call?

20 A I certainly wouldn't think so.

21 Q And would you have any objection to him  
22 tape-recording your phone call?

23 A Not, all things being equal, I -- this is, I don't  
24 know, not a practice that anyone would encourage.  
25 I don't believe I knew he was doing it, sir.



1 Q Did you subsequently hear, in the media, your  
2 recorded voice from your discussions with  
3 Mr. Carlyle-Gordge?

4 A I certainly did, on a Sunday morning on what I  
5 believe was CBC radio, my own voice coming out  
6 describing some of the things that I said to him,  
7 which astounded me to say the least.

8 Q Why was that?

9 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Did you say -- I'm  
10 sorry.

11 MR. HODSON: Yeah.

12 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Did I hear you say  
13 you would have had no objection, but you didn't  
14 know, or you would have --

15 A To the?

16 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: To the taping.

17 A I don't think I would have liked the idea of it  
18 being taped, Mr. Commissioner.

19 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Oh, okay.

20 A But it clearly was.

21 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Uh-huh.

22 A And, in due course, I guess we'll see the rest of  
23 the page but --

24 BY MR. HODSON:

25 Q What's -- and you said when you heard this, your



1 voice on the radio, I think you said astounded  
2 you; were those your words?

3 A Certainly did, because I had certainly given him  
4 no permission to use any recording of me in any  
5 fashion other than to assist him with his own  
6 notes-taking, in effect.

7 Q And for what purpose did you think he was  
8 recording?

9 A Well, just that, I think he told me words to the  
10 effect "would you mind if I do this, it will help  
11 me".

12 Q For the book?

13 A Yeah, yes, presumably.

14 Q And do you recall when it was when you heard this  
15 on CBC?

16 A I recall it being a Sunday morning. As a matter  
17 of fact, I have, I think my counsel has and I  
18 think Commission have a transcript --

19 Q I have the transcript of it, later, I can show  
20 you.

21 A Yeah. But I believe it was a Sunday morning, I  
22 was walking down to church, believe it or not, and  
23 had a pocket radio, and that's how I found out.

24 Q And did that up -- cause you concern?

25 A Absolutely it did.



1 Q And why was that?

2 A Well, because I don't know how far the entire kind  
3 of publicity engine was going at that time, but it  
4 upset me to have an unauthorized recording of my  
5 voice going out across Canada on CBC in my --

6 Q And would this have been some time after,  
7 obviously after your interview with  
8 Mr. Carlyle-Gordge, --

9 A Yeah.

10 Q -- are we talking years after, months after?

11 A I think there is a note my counsel has, I think,  
12 of when the date was. So I can't recall that,  
13 sir, but I think it was soon after.

14 Q And as we take a look at this transcript, and  
15 again the quality of the tape is not great so  
16 there's some inaudible parts, but it appears that  
17 Mr. Gordge or Carlyle-Gordge is talking about  
18 Manitoba Maclean's magazine, various murder cases,  
19 and then goes on to talk about you as the  
20 prosecutor; and that's what your recollection is?

21 A It -- well, I'm sure this all happened, Mr.  
22 Hodson.

23 Q If we can go to the next page. And  
24 Mr. Carlyle-Gordge says:

25 "... I will look at the stuff and chat



1                   with you for more detail but just ...",  
2                   and earlier on I think you may have invited him  
3                   to attend at your office but:

4                   "... I will look at the stuff and chat  
5                   with you for more detail but just talk  
6                   to me off the top about how you first  
7                   came in contact with it, what the unique  
8                   problems were for you as a prosecutor."

9                   And you answer:

10                  "Well, I, I actually ...",  
11                  wandered, that should be 'wandered', not  
12                  'wondered':

13                  "... through the Identification section  
14                  of the police department one day and saw  
15                  the photographs sitting in the -- in the  
16                  uh, fix or whatever."

17                  And I think that's what you told us earlier  
18                  about?

19           A           Yes, sir, same episode.

20           Q           And then goes on to say:

21                  "Very grizzly. I'll show you all that  
22                  stuff that's out there. It's horrible."

23                  So it appears that this may be a phone call  
24                  that's contemplating an in-person meeting where  
25                  you are going to show some of this information to



1 Mr. Carlyle-Gordge; is that fair?

2 A That's how I read it.

3 Q And then at the bottom:

4 "... I guess there was only two ...".

5 Next page, a typo:

6 "There was myself and one Dell Harris."

7 That should be Del Perras.

8 "There were only two full time

9 prosecutors."

10 Then you say:

11 "... Crown Prosecutors, we used to have

12 City and Crown and uh, I got the case

13 and, uh, it was a, and I you know, I

14 don't mean this to sound egomaniacal,

15 but it was a, a real shaky bloody case

16 at the outset."

17 And I'm wondering what, if you can tell us what

18 you would have meant by that phrase, Mr.

19 Caldwell?

20 A I -- I can't offhand, sir. It must mean at the

21 very -- I hadn't got it sorted out to my

22 satisfaction, I suppose I could say.

23 Q Okay. We can scroll down, please, to the -- and

24 it appears he says:

25 "I was going to ask you if you knew



1 where Tallis is now?"

2 "Yes, he's on the Saskatchewan Court of  
3 Appeals."

4 "Yeah, he's a - you know, he may even be  
5 in town, he, the Court of Appeal was  
6 sitting here and I saw him Friday and  
7 they may still be here Monday so he  
8 could be for instance at the Bess."

9 And it appears, from the transcript, that you  
10 offered to Mr. Carlyle-Gordge to get Mr. Tallis  
11 to talk to him?

12 A Does it say that a little --

13 Q I think it does.

14 A -- further? Okay. That wouldn't surprise me,  
15 sir, in view of that sentence.

16 Q And next page, if we can skip ahead to the next  
17 page, and a comment, and I think this comes up  
18 later in the second interview where you say:

19 "So what I did was that I, I  
20 deliberately engineered this thing that  
21 I would look understaffed. I was the  
22 only person in view at the Court ..."  
23 "I had the job Del Perras sitting down  
24 in my office and, and he did all the  
25 law, he was there with briefs and ready





1 to go and everything."

2 "Yeah, we had the, uh, fellow in charge  
3 of what is called case preparations at  
4 the police department sitting in court  
5 in civilian clothes."

6 That would be Ullrich, I presume?

7 A That's right.

8 Q "And ... one of the investigators was  
9 one, uh, I think he was a Lieutenant  
10 Charlie Short, and he was out in the  
11 hall ready to get people to run, so I  
12 had a huge staff plus the investigators  
13 but I, I wanted to look understaffed and  
14 you see Tallis had Ian Disbery with him  
15 so ..."

16 Can you explain that comment?

17 A Yes, that was all correct, in terms of who was  
18 where. And in prosecuting in those days at least  
19 you would, when some job came up to do, to do with  
20 the trial, defence counsel would occasionally say  
21 things like "My Learned Friend has all the  
22 resources of the State at his beck and call", and  
23 they may not have said the army and navy and air  
24 force, and that would have been a bit exaggerated,  
25 but I didn't want to appear, you know,



1           overwhelming in terms of staffing. All those  
2           things I said were correct though.

3       Q       And so this would appear to what, the public, or  
4           the Court, or the jury?

5       A       Well yeah, to who the -- I suppose the public.

6       Q       If you could go to the next page, please. Next  
7           page. There's just a comment here that I want to  
8           ask you to clarify, it may have been that it was  
9           an error.

10      A       Okay.

11      Q       And I think it's talking about, if you could just  
12           scroll up please, talking about Cadrain. And you  
13           say:

14                    "No, he didn't know, no. Although  
15                    Milgaard had said something to him. I  
16                    think we've got in evidence though, that  
17                    he said something to Cadrain like "I  
18                    killed a woman in Saskatoon" or  
19                    something, and Cadrain ...",  
20           and I think in fairness, Mr. Caldwell, that the  
21           record of the trial indicates that that was Mr.  
22           Wilson who that was said to?

23      A       Yeah.

24      Q       Do you have -- was this a mistake --

25      A       I --



1 Q -- or did you have a memory of --

2 A No, I'm sure I simply stuck in the name 'Cadrain'  
3 mistakenly, it clearly was Wilson, so that was  
4 just an error on my part.

5 Q If you could go two more pages ahead, please.  
6 And, again, this I think is the phone call and you  
7 say:

8 "So we called these two guys ...",  
9 We're talking about Melnyk and Lapchuk:

10 "... we called these two guys as  
11 witnesses, and you can do that, but, you  
12 just have to let the defence know as  
13 soon as you know. Well, I, that's as  
14 soon as I knew, and uh, Tallis like, you  
15 know this is nothing I want printed,  
16 but, Tallis said "oh, I," you know  
17 later, "I understand the police give  
18 those guys favors for that" but, they  
19 were, one of them at least, was a  
20 criminal so he was up on charges in  
21 Regina. I'm sure that didn't happen, if  
22 it did, that's news to me."

23 And can you tell us what that relates to?

24 A Umm, --

25 Q And in particular, I mean you are talking about



1 Melnyk and Lapchuk, and then you say that Tallis  
2 said "I understand the police gave those guys  
3 favours", and you say -- "because of the charges  
4 in Regina", and you say "I'm sure that didn't  
5 happen, if it did, that's news to me."

6 A The -- yeah, the -- I find it difficult to -- Mr.  
7 Tallis must have said something to me along the  
8 lines of "I understand or I've heard the police  
9 gave those guys favours for that".

10 Q I think, in fairness, he cross-examined them both  
11 on that --

12 A Okay.

13 Q -- point in the trial.

14 A Okay. I hope that was it, because I couldn't see  
15 him, you know.

16 Q And I'm not sure if he used "favours", I can't  
17 recall the words he used, but there was some --

18 A "Breaks" or -- yeah.

19 Q Umm, and it says here, you are saying:

20 "I'm sure that didn't happen, if it did,  
21 that's news to me."

22 A Yeah, that's right, and I'm pleased to hear that  
23 it was in the trial, not at the way it appears  
24 here.

25 Q Do you have a recollection, sorry, of talking to



1 Mr. Tallis after the trial about Melnyk and  
2 Lapchuk getting breaks?

3 A Umm, I don't, sir. If any, I would assume, made  
4 -- might have been during the trial, but I don't.

5 Q And then, if we can go next page, please. And  
6 then Mr. Carlyle-Gordge asks you:

7 "Isn't that weird. What about exhibits,  
8 knives, cars, the blood things, what  
9 happened to all of them?"

10 You say:

11 "They'd be sitting in the Court House.  
12 But I have the photos and the maps  
13 which'll be interesting part of it. All  
14 the lockets and knives and this, that,  
15 other would be buried somewhere in the  
16 exhibit room. Now I could get that if  
17 you are interested in ..."

18 And then he says:

19 "Well, it's the weekend, it, it's not  
20 that important ..."

21 A Yeah.

22 Q So I take it you arranged for Mr. Carlyle-Gordge  
23 to look at the exhibits as well?

24 A That's how it appears.

25 Q And then scroll down further, to this, and you



1 say:

2 "There was a pair of pants that someone  
3 discovered late in the game ... and they  
4 turned out to be, to not be ...",

5 And then a break in the tape:

6 "They either didn't match or --  
7 something. I remember something a  
8 little panic over pants appeared. But  
9 then they either ... were, they simply  
10 didn't add anything like, in fact, I  
11 guess they, they were eliminated as  
12 being the ... evidence on this case in  
13 terms of blood types and all that.

14 There's probably something in there ..."

15 A Yeah.

16 Q And I take it that's the Maurice Cerato pants?

17 A I assumed that it would have to be, sir.

18 Q And then if we could go ahead and call up document  
19 167995, please. And this is a handwritten  
20 transcript, it says of Caldwell/Peter Carlyle on  
21 tape, and I stand to be corrected on this, I think  
22 this may have been done by Mr. Carlyle/Gordge or  
23 someone on behalf of David and Joyce Milgaard, I'm  
24 not positive, but there is a handwritten interview  
25 transcript and then the RCMP transcribed it. I



1 won't be going through the handwritten version, --

2 A Okay.

3 Q -- I just wanted to show it to you and others  
4 because it exists. If we could call up 0 -- and  
5 maybe just take a look at the top, we'll just look  
6 at the top part of this transcript, and it says:

7 "Cadrain reported some bad goings on in  
8 Calgary, you know, that never got in,  
9 into the trial."

10 A Yeah.

11 Q So that's, "C" is Caldwell, I believe "P" is Peter  
12 Carlyle-Gordge. So then if we can go to --

13 A I see.

14 Q -- 050033. And you will see at the top, actually  
15 the -- this transcript doesn't have the words  
16 "Cadrain reported".

17 And I think -- and we will be  
18 hearing this from Mr. Peter Carlyle-Gordge -- and  
19 maybe just for the record, Mr. Commissioner, I  
20 know it was some time ago, but back I think in  
21 February we listened to the very first tape of  
22 Albert Cadrain from, I think he was in Dalmeny and  
23 I think it was around 1983, and that was Peter  
24 Carlyle-Gordge and another fellow who interviewed  
25 Mr. Cadrain, and we listened to that tape in its



1           entirety and so that tape is before the  
2           Commission. He also interviewed Dennis Cadrain,  
3           and so I think we may hear evidence that at this  
4           time, the -- and I'm not sure at this time whether  
5           Mr. Carlyle-Gordge had interviewed Albert and  
6           Dennis Cadrain and others before and after Mr.  
7           Caldwell.

8                           But in any event this  
9           transcript, Mr. Caldwell, it appears to be -- and  
10          there is a later RCMP report that suggests that  
11          this is only a partial tape of the discussion, in  
12          other words --

13       A       Uh-huh.

14       Q       -- part-way through; do you remember how it came  
15          to be that the tape was -- or that the  
16          conversation was taped?

17       A       I don't. Part of it was taped with my knowledge  
18          and consent. I had the impression that possibly  
19          on another occasion some was taped without either  
20          of those in person with Mr. Gordge.

21       Q       All right. And I went through what I think is the  
22          telephone call.

23       A       Oh, yeah.

24       Q       This now appears to be the interview in person  
25          between you and Mr. Carlyle-Gordge.





1       A       Okay.

2       Q       But it looks as though, and I'll refer to an RCMP  
3               report later where they identify that the tape is  
4               sort of mid, I can't recall the term they used,  
5               but that it's not from the start of the  
6               conversation?

7       A       That could be, but --

8       Q       And again, do you recall -- where was it when --  
9               when you remember Mr. Carlyle-Gordge taping you,  
10              where were you, where did that take place?

11      A       It must have been in my office, whatever that was.

12      Q       And he asked if he could tape you and you said  
13              yes?

14      A       That's right, yeah.

15      Q       Correct?

16      A       Now, Mr. Hodson, when it's appropriate I would  
17              like to explain what this particular page --

18      Q       I'm going to go through the entire transcript.

19      A       Thank you very much.

20      Q       So if we can start off, and again the, it says  
21              sad, I think it was sad goings on in Calgary or  
22              bad goings on in Calgary:

23                   "... that, you know, never got into the  
24                   trial and I think that there's an awful  
25                   lot of stuff like that that's..."



1 And then Carlyle-Gordge:

2 "I certainly didn't read that in the  
3 trial."

4 You say:

5 "Yeah, witness or if you use the  
6 criminal right, I think, unless they  
7 came out in the trial..."

8 And then again Carlyle-Gordge says:

9 "In the transcript."

10 "And, to some extent."

11 "Yeah that came out with Wilson."

12 So I'm not sure whether you are both talking at  
13 that time. Then you say:

14 "Yeah, I think to some extent things  
15 that are in police reports that didn't  
16 get into evidence."

17 And let me just pause there. Did you let Mr.  
18 Carlyle-Gordge look at police reports on your  
19 file that were not in evidence at the Gail Miller  
20 trial, police reports that were on your file?

21 A Presumably I did, if there were such in that  
22 category, and I assume --

23 Q We went through what was in your file and you told  
24 us you received some of the police reports;  
25 correct?



1 A Uh-huh, that's correct.

2 Q So would those have been on the file that Mr.  
3 Carlyle-Gordge looked at?

4 A Yeah, the ones I received would be on that file.

5 Q And in fairness, I'll go a bit later and have some  
6 of Mr. Carlyle-Gordge's notes that he dictated  
7 based on police reports.

8 A Okay.

9 Q And the police reports wouldn't have been in at  
10 trial; is that fair?

11 A No, they wouldn't have been submitted or made an  
12 exhibit or anything like that.

13 Q And so it would be fair to say that some of the  
14 information in the police reports would not be in  
15 the public domain?

16 A I assume so.

17 Q So then you say:

18 "I think to some extent things that were  
19 in the police reports that didn't get  
20 into evidence. Now, I think it's great  
21 if you say the investigation was done  
22 down this way, boom, boom, boom, so on  
23 and then there's at least one place in  
24 there where they're talking about rapes  
25 where he was a suspect and that was



1                   never brought home to him and I think it  
2                   ... it ... bloody well, you know,  
3                   slanderous."

4           And he says:

5                   "Yeah, it's confusing."

6           And you say:

7                   "Yeah, you know, aside from, those are  
8                   the only things that I wanted to..."

9           And then Carlyle-Gordge says:

10                   "O.K. one of the main things that I  
11                   wanted to get from the horse's mouth, is  
12                   the business with Nichol because that's  
13                   interesting, legally. What was it you  
14                   were trying to do ..."

15           Etcetera. So if can just go back up to this  
16           part, can you tell us what you are referring to  
17           when you say things in the police reports that  
18           didn't get into evidence where they are talking  
19           about rapes, where he, I think Milgaard, was a  
20           suspect and was never brought home to him and  
21           might be slanderous?

22       A           I think, Mr. Hodson, that was the matter that  
23           Mr. Cadrain had reported that allegedly happened  
24           in Calgary involving the bathtubs, etcetera. I  
25           was -- I was cautioning Mr. Carlyle-Gordge and I



1 think it's --

2 Q Maybe just go back up to the top, I think the sad  
3 goings on in Calgary.

4 A These were things that Mr. Milgaard was accused of  
5 doing the so-called bathtub episodes, which did  
6 not get into the trial in my memory, and I was  
7 saying there's an awful lot of stuff like that,  
8 and then if you use the -- unless it comes out in  
9 the trial I really think -- I was cautioning him  
10 not to use those things, (a), were not in the  
11 trial, and (b), were slanderous. I mean, that's  
12 an, in effect, a false accusation against Milgaard  
13 is what that is.

14 Q And we saw a couple of days ago or two weeks ago  
15 when we went through your file the August 26th,  
16 1969 police report dealing with Ed Schellenberg  
17 where Albert Cadrain told you about the bathtub  
18 incident?

19 A Yeah, I'm sure we did.

20 Q And again, is that, I think you told us that was  
21 on your prosecution file?

22 A Yeah, it was, and it, I was trying to impress on  
23 Mr. Carlyle-Gordge that it would be slanderous  
24 versus Milgaard or anyone else if you printed  
25 things like that that were not, you know, factual



1 or verified. I tried to impress on him there were  
2 criminal records on the file of probably three  
3 witnesses or so, that he must not print those for  
4 similar reasons, I think that's further down. Oh,  
5 yeah, where he was a suspect, that was never  
6 brought home to him. It bloody well, you know,  
7 slanderous is what I said.

8 Q So when you are saying, talking about rapes where  
9 he was a suspect, you are referring to Mr.  
10 Milgaard?

11 A Yeah, and the Schellenberg, the bathtub episode,  
12 and that was never brought home to Mr. Milgaard.

13 Q At the trial?

14 A Well, period, and it shouldn't be -- I mean, it  
15 shouldn't be, you know, printed.

16 Q Now, later, and I'll be taking you to some  
17 documents on this, Mr. Caldwell, later some  
18 suggested that what you were saying in this  
19 statement to Mr. Carlyle-Gordge is you were  
20 talking about the rapes of (V1)-, (V2)-----,  
21 (V3)----- and (V5)--- that Mr. Fisher had been  
22 convicted of.

23 A Absolutely --

24 Q Were you doing so?

25 A Absolutely not.



1 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: I'm sorry, I  
2 couldn't get the question. I'm sorry.

3 BY MR. HODSON:

4 Q I'm sorry, and I'll be going to these documents  
5 later, but later on, after this interview, Mr.  
6 Caldwell, a number of people associated with David  
7 Milgaard's cause suggested that what you were  
8 talking about here in this interview about rapes  
9 that he was a suspect that were never brought home  
10 to him at trial, that you were actually referring  
11 to the (V1)-, (V2)-----, (V3)-----, (V5)--- rapes  
12 that Mr. Fisher had already been convicted of, and  
13 I asked you the question, is that what you were  
14 referring to, and you said no.

15 A That's correct. The very top line in this page  
16 is, "....sad goings on in Calgary that, you know,  
17 never got into the trial," etcetera. The Calgary  
18 business was the Schellenberg matter as we've been  
19 talking about.

20 Q And that was the bathtub incident?

21 A That's right, and it was none of the three names  
22 you mentioned, (V1)- -- what were they?

23 Q (V1)-, (V2)----- and (V3)-----.

24 A It was not in reference to those.

25 Q At this time, whether it's 1981 or 1983, at the



1           time you talked to Mr. Carlyle-Gordge, do you  
2           know, Mr. Caldwell, whether at that time you would  
3           have been aware of either Mr. Fisher or his  
4           conviction or these rapes?

5       A       I think all this predated Mr. Eugene Williams  
6           coming out and --

7       Q       Yes, they did, it did.

8       A       Okay. So no, I wouldn't be.

9       Q       And if we can carry on, Carlyle-Gordge says:  
10                "O.K. one of the main things that I  
11                wanted to get from the horse's mouth, is  
12                the business with Nichol because that's  
13                interesting, legally."

14               What was it you were trying to do, though, with  
15               her? She made a statement and then he says:

16               "And I'd like to know what impression  
17               you got."

18               And you say:

19               "Well I interviewed her and she was  
20               very, she was very reluctant in the  
21               interview. She didn't get along with  
22               Ray Mackie. That's the one thing that  
23               happened, and she ran out of my office  
24               once in tears and I forget who, someone  
25               else kind of took over shepherding her





1                   around, who got along with her. And, as  
2                   you know, this nine two of the Evidence  
3                   Act was a brand new thing, about adverse  
4                   witnesses and this one, if not the,  
5                   certainly one of the first full dress  
6                   runs of that and it's still a milestone  
7                   case."

8                   And, "... in the law reports." Tell us about  
9                   this information about Ray Mackie and his  
10                  relationship with Nichol John?

11        A        There were -- I think I indicated that I  
12                  interviewed her about three times.

13        Q        Yes.

14        A        At least one of those was in our office in the  
15                  court house and my memory, I think Ullrich was  
16                  there, I think Ray Mackie was there, and very  
17                  early in the interview, that Nichol John simply  
18                  blew up, got into tears and ran out the back  
19                  entrance of our office which would take her onto  
20                  the parking lot. My memory is that her father had  
21                  driven her to Saskatoon and that he was seated out  
22                  in front of the building in a truck and we had a  
23                  view of that from our window, and I think one of  
24                  the other investigators simply told me that she  
25                  didn't, for whatever, or no reason, get along with



1 Ray Mackie.

2 Q And did that -- we know that Ray Mackie is the one  
3 who took her May 24th statement; correct?

4 A Yeah, that's right, sir.

5 Q Did it cause you any concern at the time that the  
6 officer who took the very statement that she at  
7 trial would not adopt parts of and claim that she  
8 didn't remember saying that, parts of to him, did  
9 that ever cause you concern?

10 A No, it wouldn't. Ray Mackie was a mature  
11 policeman who had, you know, all kinds of  
12 experience in various areas. I had no reason to  
13 feel that he was the -- at fault, if you will, in  
14 that thing. I think it was just a young woman who  
15 clearly, you know, was under a lot of stress of  
16 her own simply blowing up because -- in this  
17 fashion. I don't know if that answers you very  
18 well.

19 Q The next page, Mr. Carlyle-Gordge asks:

20 "Do you think she did, she made that  
21 statement of blow by blow description,  
22 do you believe that she saw that?"

23 And you say:

24 "Yes I do. I mean I wasn't there, I  
25 wasn't in on the investigation, but I



1 believe ...."

2 And I take it at that time, sir, you did believe;  
3 is that fair?

4 A Yeah, that's correct.

5 Q And then you talk about here:

6 "But there was some, there was the usual  
7 divergence on details, like, as you  
8 know, you get that in all cases."

9 A Yeah.

10 Q And you say:

11 "Which is absolutely legitimate, you  
12 know."

13 And is that what you talked about earlier, about  
14 people being mistaken on details?

15 A That's right, and it follows a sentence:

16 "Like it seems to me the general idea  
17 was the intersection, wasn't it?"

18 And that was an example of the things that I  
19 would have expected there to be divergence on.

20 Q And then you say:

21 "So I felt that the final versions they  
22 came out with, I felt to be the truth  
23 and of course one thing, you know, is  
24 that these people are frightened of that  
25 guy. That's another that's not to be



1 overlooked."

2 A Yeah.

3 Q Carlyle-Gordge:

4 "That's another thing all together,  
5 yeah. When you interviewed her did she  
6 seem to be in shock ..."

7 And you say:

8 "No, it was long after the event, but  
9 she was very defensive and very uptight  
10 all the time and you, you know, she gets  
11 on the witness stand, up there and I'm  
12 here and the accused is here and he's,  
13 you know, it's very very tough to  
14 incriminate someone like that when  
15 they're sitting there and when you're  
16 terrified of the guy. And these guys  
17 were, you know, very much afraid of  
18 Milgaard."

19 Can you explain that comment?

20 A Yeah. The one about where everyone was sitting,  
21 the -- in the Court of Queen's Bench number 1  
22 room, the witness would be 15 or 20 feet ahead of  
23 me and on a raised dais, if you will, next to the  
24 judge. When the witness looked down at me, the  
25 accused, Milgaard, would be three or four feet to



1 my left in the sense that if I turned around he  
2 was in the prisoner's box and you couldn't very  
3 well look at me without him being in your field of  
4 view is what I meant, sir.

5 Q And so that's what you are referring to about  
6 where the accused is here and there?

7 A Oh, yes, that's right, exactly.

8 Q And when you say:

9 "These guys were, you know, very much  
10 afraid of Milgaard."

11 Who are you referring to?

12 A I would assume certainly Nichol John. I expect  
13 Wilson off the top.

14 Q And what caused you to think that they were  
15 afraid, very much afraid of Mr. Milgaard?

16 A Well, Nichol John, in this same interview, either  
17 by her actions or verbally, made it clear to me  
18 that she didn't want to have anything to do with  
19 testifying against him. This same episode.

20 Q Right. What about, I think you said Wilson?

21 A Yeah, I learned through the passage of time that  
22 they would all be happier if they didn't have to  
23 come to Court and testify against him, very, you  
24 know, very clearly.

25 Q Okay. And why?



1       A       Well, because of at least their view of his  
2               character as they knew it before this trip to  
3               Saskatoon happened, they knew him at that --  
4               before that, as I recall. I clearly didn't of  
5               course.

6       Q       I wonder if we can go to the next page, Mr.  
7               Carlyle-Gordge right at the top, if you can call  
8               that out, he says:

9                       "One thing that surprised me when she,  
10                      let's assumed she saw this thing in the  
11                      car. She went on to Edmonton with him.  
12                      If she had any brains she would have got  
13                      out in Saskatoon."

14               And let me just pause there. That's a fairly  
15               logical statement; would you agree?

16       A       Yeah, certainly.

17       Q       And you say:

18                      "Well if she could get away from the  
19                      guy."

20                      "She's, I guess, was her home Regina?"

21               And then you go on to say:

22                      "Yeah, so you know, she's in Saskatoon,  
23                      she's with him and with Wilson in the  
24                      car and is, I suppose, would be quite an  
25                      orphan. And I found something in there



1                   where Nichol John had told two or three  
2                   people something or other that Milgaard  
3                   had said. Now that never, never came  
4                   out in evidence and I can't remember  
5                   what it was."

6                   That latter point, was that the statement she  
7                   made in the witness room?

8       A       No, I think the next -- I'll just see --

9       Q       I'm sorry, do you want me to keep --

10      A       I think this is Carlyle-Gordge, I remember that,  
11              they told her or told somebody that the police  
12              were going to get him for murder, I think that's  
13              what -- and then I replied:

14                   "Something like that, I can't remember  
15                   what. But the reason, you know, she  
16                   wouldn't try to leave is that she'd be  
17                   you know scared. You said you've talked  
18                   to her, eh. In Vancouver, did you  
19                   say..."

20                   So that would be my basic view on it, sir. Does  
21                   that --

22      Q       Yeah. Umm, I'm sorry, let me just back up. When  
23              you say here:

24                   "I found something in there where Nichol  
25                   John had told two or three people



1 something or other that Milgaard had  
2 said. Now that never, never came out in  
3 evidence and I can't remember what it  
4 was."

5 A That must be the declaration outside the witness  
6 room to Mrs. Miller, Cadrain and the young lady,  
7 the young witness, sir.

8 Q Okay.

9 A And then the second, the thing Carlyle-Gordge says  
10 is a different thing entirely and I believe  
11 there's evidence somewhere that in fact he had  
12 told somebody they were going to get him for  
13 murder. It seems to me that happened in Regina.

14 Q Yes, there's evidence from Barbara Berard or  
15 Wispinski where she told Nichol John in early  
16 March before Nichol John's first statement that  
17 David Milgaard had told her words to the effect  
18 that he was going to be picked up for murder.

19 A So Mr. Carlyle-Gordge evidently knew about that  
20 and I was referring to that witness room episode,  
21 sir, up above there.

22 Q Do you know if this taped conversation took place  
23 before, during or after Mr. Carlyle-Gordge's  
24 review of your file?

25 A This is not a phone call, this one.





1 Q I believe this is an in-person interview, Mr.  
2 Caldwell.

3 A I think so too. I couldn't say that without some  
4 more surrounding information.

5 Q Do you have any recollection of whether he had  
6 looked at the file first or during the interview?

7 A I can't -- Mr. Hodson, that may become more  
8 evident later.

9 Q Let's just go back to the point at the top.

10 A Okay.

11 Q Mr. Carlyle-Gordge says, you know, if she had any  
12 brains she would have got out in Saskatoon. I  
13 think we may have touched on this earlier, but I  
14 want to ask a few more questions about it.

15 A Okay, very good.

16 Q And I think you agree that if Nichol John had  
17 actually witnessed David Milgaard stab and kill  
18 Gail Miller, that, as Mr. Carlyle-Gordge put it,  
19 if she had any brains she would have got out in  
20 Saskatoon, and I think you said that's fair?

21 A That is fair. She would have to have had the kind  
22 of gumption to get out and run as far as my  
23 knowledge of the facts went.

24 Q And certainly at the Trav-a-leer Motel she could  
25 have got out?



1       A       Yeah, within reason, in the understanding that  
2               they were there with Wilson's car, and I believe  
3               the three of them were in the car; were they not?

4       Q       Mr. Milgaard went into the motel.

5       A       Went into the motel, that's right.

6       Q       What about at the Danchuks' house, when they are  
7               in that house for about an hour?

8       A       Well, those would all be opportunities if she kind  
9               of had the fortitude to say, hey, I'm leaving and  
10              leave, and that would have been difficult for them  
11              if they wanted to catch up with her I would have  
12              thought.

13      Q       And at the Cadrain house when David Milgaard took  
14              the car out for a ride, she could have --

15      A       That would be another opportunity, she could have  
16              left.

17      Q       And when they took the vehicle into the service  
18              station and she and Albert Cadrain went to the  
19              bank or the Credit Union and the store alone --

20      A       Right.

21      Q       -- again that would have been an opportunity for  
22              her to leave?

23      A       It would have.

24      Q       Did that ever occur to you or cause you concern at  
25              the time you were prosecuting the file, as to



1           how -- given that Nichol John, I think as you've  
2           said, was so terrified at the time of trial and so  
3           upset, did you ever think, well, why didn't she  
4           leave, why did she go on a four day trip and on  
5           the four day trip appear to be, you know, pretty  
6           normal?

7           A       Well, the original intention I think was for all  
8           of them to go to Alberta, I think they went to  
9           Calgary instead of Edmonton or vice versa, but I  
10          think that had been stated earlier on in the  
11          proceedings. She was part of this party of three  
12          friends of sorts and --

13         Q       Yeah. I'm sorry, I didn't mean to --

14         A       That's fine. And she had all those opportunities,  
15          she didn't take any of them, and I don't know how  
16          much more I can add, sir.

17         Q       Well, if we take for the moment that at the time  
18          of the preliminary hearing and trial she was  
19          terrified, so terrified that she wouldn't repeat  
20          the allegations and she was afraid of him --

21         A       Right.

22         Q       -- presumably or arguably she would have been just  
23          as terrified right after she saw it happen, would  
24          that --

25         A       Oh, I would think so. She may have been, in



1 layman's language, paralyzed by what she saw  
2 temporarily.

3 Q And again, just back trying to understand, Mr.  
4 Caldwell, whether as a prosecutor when you are  
5 trying to fit these things together saying, well,  
6 why would she not be -- or would you have  
7 expected, given her conduct at the trial and the  
8 prelim and when you interviewed her, did you  
9 think, well, if she's acting this way with me, it  
10 doesn't fit that on the trip she didn't act the  
11 same way?

12 A Well, I wouldn't equate those completely. I saw  
13 her at a different point in the proceedings or  
14 different points in the proceedings, interviewed  
15 her a few times. How she acted at the time of the  
16 episode, I obviously had no control over that.

17 Q Now, I suppose one explanation for a difference in  
18 behaviour at and around the time of the trip  
19 versus later might be in her statement to  
20 Inspector Roberts where she says, on May 23rd --  
21 in her May 24th statement she says it wasn't until  
22 the day before, being May 23rd, in my meeting with  
23 Inspector Roberts, that I realized I witnessed a  
24 murder.

25 A Uh-huh. Well, that wouldn't occur to me at the



1           time, but that would be certainly a legitimate  
2           factor I would say.

3           Q       And would that be something unusual or that might  
4                    cause you concern about her credibility, to see a  
5                    witness saying that she, not until a few months  
6                    later, first realized that she had witnessed a  
7                    murder?

8           A       No, I don't think that's unheard of, Mr. Hodson,  
9                    and I don't think it's totally accurate, but  
10                   hysterical amnesia is one thing that happens to  
11                   people who see very painful events and their mind  
12                   acts to block them until at some point the memory  
13                   is restored by some means or other. Inspector  
14                   Roberts was a legitimate polygraph operator and  
15                   went through the things we've read about and I can  
16                   believe that at this point she -- the thing came  
17                   back to her.

18          Q       I see. If we can actually scroll down, you  
19                    actually mention that to Mr. Carlyle-Gordge, you  
20                    say:

21                    "Well, as you know, you know a thing  
22                    like that is a, not only the murder but  
23                    the whole thing would be just an agony  
24                    for someone like that and it's a  
25                    terrifying thing because they're still



1                   afraid of that guy. And I wouldn't be  
2                   surprised if, not literally, but you've  
3                   things similar to hysterical amnesia.  
4                   You know the mind finds it so painful  
5                   that you just forget it."

6                   Is that what you are referring to?

7           A       That's right, and I had learned a little about  
8                   amnesia in the Hartridge trial, Q.B. trial, which  
9                   was a case on automatism, and the different kinds  
10                  of amnesia were explained at that point by the  
11                  psychiatrist, including Dr. McDonald.

12          Q       And so can we conclude from that, Mr. Caldwell,  
13                   that back at the time you prepared and prosecuted  
14                   the file, do you think you would have had this  
15                   same thought about hysterical amnesia possibly  
16                   being an explanation for her --

17          A       I would think so. This Carlyle-Gordge -- well,  
18                   some time had gone by, but I would expect, even if  
19                   I didn't use that terminology, I knew about that  
20                   general process.

21          Q       And so back again '69, '70, in your mind, and I  
22                   think you've told us this, in your mind you  
23                   attributed Nichol John's failure or refusal to  
24                   repeat the incriminating parts of her May 24th  
25                   statement as being driven by fear of Mr. Milgaard?



1       A       That's correct.

2       Q       Did you ever consider any other explanations,  
3               that, for example, that maybe she didn't see  
4               anything and maybe her statement of May 24th was  
5               not accurate, and, sorry, and maybe her interview  
6               with Mr. Roberts might have had something to do  
7               with her sudden recollection of witnessing the  
8               murder?

9       A       Well, I didn't -- you know, I had a certain  
10              understanding of what she had said she had seen  
11              and I realize that changed as time went on. I  
12              didn't -- a good deal of what she said, of course,  
13              was in accordance with other witnesses, the trip  
14              up from Regina, etcetera, it could be --

15      Q       Right.

16      A       -- could be independently verified, if you will.  
17              I didn't feel that I had to, you know, say hey,  
18              this could all be wrong. I probably didn't do  
19              that.

20      Q       Right. And I'm just trying to understand, let me  
21              go back and be a bit more specific.

22      A       Okay.

23      Q       Did you ever consider, at the time you were  
24              prosecuting, a scenario to try and rationalize or  
25              explain why Nichol John would not repeat the



1           incriminating parts of the statement, and granted  
2           you've said the other parts fit, the trip, asking  
3           for directions, getting stuck, the Trav-a-leer,  
4           the Danchuks, I'm talking about the specific "I  
5           saw David grab a girl, I saw David stab her, I saw  
6           him throw the purse in the garbage can."

7           A        Okay.

8           Q        So those -- did you ever consider the fact that  
9                   that information never came from her until after  
10           she was interviewed by Inspector Roberts; correct?

11          A        I think that's when that came out.

12          Q        And prior to her being interviewed by Inspector  
13           Roberts, she had never said any of that to any  
14           Saskatoon City Police member?

15          A        That's my understanding.

16          Q        The day after Roberts' interview she puts it in a  
17           written statement?

18          A        Yes.

19          Q        An 11 page written statement written out by Mr.  
20           Mackie and sworn; correct?

21          A        Yeah.

22          Q        And then other than the utterance in the witness  
23           room and possibly in an interview with you, I'm  
24           not sure, but at the preliminary hearing at the  
25           trial when it gets to that part she says I don't





1 recall what happened, and when those specific  
2 things are put to her she says I don't remember it  
3 and I don't remember telling Mr. Mackie that on  
4 those incriminating things, and my question is  
5 this, did you ever consider, is it possible that  
6 maybe she didn't see those things and is it  
7 possible that something wrong happened in either  
8 the interview with Mr. Roberts or the statement  
9 taken by Mr. Mackie and that maybe the reason  
10 Nichol John can't repeat the incriminating  
11 statements at trial is because they didn't happen  
12 and she didn't see them?

13 A I don't think in so many words I considered that,  
14 sir. First of all, she, you know, Mr. Mackie was  
15 an experienced investigator, Mr. Roberts was a  
16 polygraph operator as we know, the only one at  
17 that time I had ever been involved with, and --

18 Q I'm sorry, he didn't do the polygraph on Nichol  
19 John.

20 A No, no, I understand, but, I mean, he was a person  
21 who was presumably qualified to do what he did and  
22 her evidence, her statement of course followed  
23 that of Wilson as I recall and was not the result  
24 of a polygraph. What I attributed her failure to  
25 repeat that, sir, at the trial, was to fear, is



1           that 9(2) business, simple fear on her part.

2           Q       And so I think what you are saying is no, you  
3                didn't, it didn't come to your mind or you didn't  
4                think at the time that there might be an  
5                explanation for her failure to repeat the  
6                incriminating statements that might be more  
7                favourable to Mr. Milgaard, if I can put it that  
8                way?

9           A       I think that's right, sir.

10          Q       And if you had, as the prosecutor at the time, if  
11                you had come to the conclusion that something is  
12                not right with Nichol John's evidence, it doesn't  
13                make sense that she would not say anything until  
14                she meets with Roberts, then says she just first  
15                realized that day that she witnessed a murder,  
16                puts it in a statement the next day, and then  
17                thereafter says "I can't remember witnessing a  
18                murder"; if you would have, as the prosecutor,  
19                said "something is not right here", what, tell me  
20                what things you might have done?

21          A       Well I, if I took that stance I think I'd have to  
22                look at the rest of my case and see if I felt that  
23                I still had a, if you will, legitimate or a  
24                compelling case to run, like in this instance, in  
25                effect without her or discounting her completely.



1           That's all I could do if I'm faced with "eh,  
2           Nichol John doesn't count, what do we do now", I  
3           would have to look at what that left, sir.

4       Q       And let me give you two scenarios. One is let's  
5           say Nichol John could not testify, was completely  
6           incapacitated for whatever reason, --

7       A       Uh-huh.

8       Q       -- not available to the Crown; with the rest of  
9           the case, are you able to tell us whether you  
10          would have proceeded with the case, based on the  
11          other evidence?

12      A       Yeah. My sort of estimate now is that I probably  
13          would have because I felt there was quite a bit of  
14          other substantial evidence --

15      Q       Okay?

16      A       -- including, of course, mainly circumstantial  
17          evidence.

18      Q       So that's one scenario. The second scenario is  
19          that if Nichol John -- and again, where you  
20          conclude that this statement just doesn't fit --

21      A       Uh-huh.

22      Q       -- and something is wrong with it, and so that the  
23          only evidence you can get from her is the evidence  
24          that you got from her in examination-in-chief, --

25      A       Uh-huh.



1 Q -- in other words from the time they got stuck to  
2 the Trav-a-leer Motel she didn't see anything  
3 happen --

4 A Yeah.

5 Q -- so no, no eye-witness account. And again, if  
6 that had been the evidence, do you think you would  
7 have still proceeded with the case?

8 A I would have to look at that slowly and carefully,  
9 Mr. Hodson. I may well have concluded that the  
10 rest of the case was quite compelling without her,  
11 but it would certainly be an obstacle and I can't,  
12 you know, I don't know how I can --

13 Q That's fair.

14 A Yeah, okay.

15 Q And let me add one further wrinkle. If Nichol  
16 John had said "lookit, I was in the car and  
17 nothing happened, I saw nothing", pretty much  
18 like -- I don't want to say her first statement --

19 A No.

20 Q -- her evidence was "we got stuck, we stopped and  
21 asked a girl for directions, David left the car,  
22 Ron left the car, David got back, I didn't see  
23 anything unusual, he wasn't gone that long, and  
24 off we went, I didn't see any blood and nothing  
25 was ever mentioned about a murder", --



1 A Uh-huh.

2 Q -- given that evidence from her and given that she  
3 was compelling and believable, --

4 A Well that -- okay.

5 Q -- taking that with the rest of the case, the  
6 Wilson, Cadrain, Melnyk, Lapchuk, are you able to  
7 tell us what that might have done?

8 A The term that, I think you said that she was  
9 credible in, as part of your question?

10 Q Yes.

11 A Did --

12 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: I'm not sure  
13 whether you are referring to pretrial or in her  
14 evidence?

15 MR. HODSON: No, right at the trial.

16 BY MR. HODSON:

17 Q I'm sorry, let's say that the case you are going  
18 to present at trial, it's the day before trial and  
19 the evidence you have is all the evidence that  
20 went in --

21 A Right.

22 Q -- except for Nichol John, and the only difference  
23 is that Nichol John's evidence is that they were  
24 in Saskatoon, they got stuck, "Ron and David left  
25 the car, I looked out the window, I saw nothing,



1 David got back in the car, he said nothing,  
2 nothing was unusual, he wasn't gone very long, and  
3 away we went", so nothing directly incriminating  
4 against Mr. Milgaard --

5 A Okay.

6 Q -- but supportive of the fact that they were in  
7 the area and he had left the car for some time  
8 period, but nothing that she saw, okay?

9 A Okay.

10 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: And no May 24th  
11 statement?

12 BY MR. HODSON:

13 Q And no May 24th statement.

14 A Yeah, okay.

15 Q Yeah, I should have -- I'm sorry, thank you for  
16 that. No May 24th statement, her statement was "I  
17 was there, nothing unusual happened, I saw  
18 nothing, he said nothing", and corroborates the  
19 before and the after, that they are in the area or  
20 in some area and they got stuck and he left the  
21 car?

22 A Well I might, Mr. -- I'd have to look very  
23 carefully at all the evidence at that point. I  
24 might very well have decided that there was enough  
25 evidence to legitimately run the case, and of



1 course no one knows what the outcome of any of  
2 these things would be.

3 Q Sure.

4 A There were other, you know, "I hit a girl in  
5 Saskatoon", that kind of evidence, which I don't  
6 know if you want me to go through.

7 Q Yes.

8 A But Nichol John's was very important, but it  
9 wasn't all the evidence by any stretch.

10 Q Right. And, again, in that last scenario, and I  
11 appreciate your answer, but in that last scenario  
12 obviously her evidence that "I was there and I saw  
13 nothing" might be used by the defence to say --

14 A Oh.

15 Q -- "you would think she would, if David Milgaard  
16 had killed Gail Miller, you would have thought one  
17 of his travelling companions who was in the car at  
18 the time would have noticed something"; would that  
19 be fair?

20 A It would be extremely useful to the defence  
21 because it would be, you know, almost  
22 inexplicable, that version of it, --

23 Q Right.

24 A -- is what I am --

25 Q Thank you.



1       A       Okay.

2       Q       If we can go to the next page, please. Again,  
3               just on a couple of points here, you are telling  
4       Mr. Carlyle-Gordge about your notes, you said:

5               "... over 100 things, I've got seeming  
6               to have two sets of N.B. for trial ...,  
7       and we went all through that, and you say:

8               "I ran a quick 119 things up without too  
9               much trouble ...",  
10       and so those are the notes that we went through  
11       in detail?

12      A       Yes, they would be.

13      Q       And those are what you let Mr. Carlyle-Gordge look  
14       at?

15      A       They'd be on the file and I would have let him  
16       look at 'em.

17      Q       Next page, please. You then talk about, you say:

18               "And then Joe Penkala, who's the Chief  
19               here now, was the Ident fellow who  
20               examined the area around the body and  
21               came up with the seminal fluid and all.  
22               Now, Charlie Short, I told you is  
23               retired, was a Lieutenant ...",

24       And then:

25               "... Ed Karst, who was then a detective





1                   and he's now a Staff Sergeant was  
2                   probably, I guess the main  
3                   investigator."

4                   And then carry down, scroll down:

5                   "Yeah, Ray Mackie was another."

6                   And Carlyle-Gordge talks about meeting him and it  
7                   appears from the transcript, and I think we'll  
8                   certainly hear from Mr. Carlyle-Gordge, that you  
9                   gave him the names of some of the police officers  
10                  involved?

11          A           That's right, in this very manner.

12          Q           And he in fact interviewed, I think, Mr. Mackie  
13                   and Mr. Karst for sure; do you remember --

14          A           I remember, earlier in the hearing I think those  
15                   witnesses said that, Mr. Hodson.

16          Q           Yes. And I think Mr. Mackie's evidence, or it's  
17                   written somewhere that Mr. Mackie phoned you and  
18                   said "Peter Carlyle-Gordge is calling me, should I  
19                   talk to him", and I think his evidence was you  
20                   said "yes"?

21          A           That -- I think you are correct on all of that, or  
22                   I certainly didn't tell him he shouldn't or  
23                   couldn't.

24          Q           And then, down at the bottom, you are -- you say:  
25                   "The guy, the hero of the thing, other



1                   than policemen was this Albert Cadrain."

2       A       Right.

3       Q       Right. And we have seen this repeated in later  
4               reports and media reports, etcetera; was that what  
5               you thought at the time, Mr. Caldwell?

6       A       Well, yeah. It's sort of exaggerated language,  
7               but he was certainly the thing that made the case  
8               happen, in my estimation.

9       Q       Next page. You are talking about Dr. Emson here,  
10              you say:

11                   "Yeah. He got, bought an identical  
12                   knife to the one that was found broken,  
13                   and, in case he was asked about you  
14                   know, how these cuts compare to this  
15                   knife he made a number of test cuts and  
16                   was prepared to testify to that, not on  
17                   this body but on some unnamed ..."

18      A       Yeah, that would be a cadaver, in effect.

19      Q       And so --

20      A       Dr. Emson had -- I was aware he had done that, he  
21              told me that.

22      Q       And so, what, he took a matching knife and did  
23              what?

24      A       I -- it says "made a number of test cuts", "was  
25              prepared to testify to that, not on this body but



1           on", we'll say, "unnamed", another body; that, if  
2           necessary, he could testify how those cuts, umm,  
3           were produced by the knife he used, how they would  
4           compare with the cuts of the body, in effect.

5       Q       I see.

6       A       Which --

7       Q       So, in order to examine the knife wounds on Gail  
8           Miller and whether they were caused by the  
9           maroon-handled paring knife, he had a similar  
10          knife and a cadaver and he did tests?

11      A       That's what he told me at the time. I'm sure  
12          that's right.

13      Q       And then scroll down a bit. And he says:

14                       "Yeah and he came into my office."

15      Sorry, scroll down a bit more:

16                       "Yeah and Harry came in and I asked him  
17                       about this business and he pulled his  
18                       sleeve up and he had this knife taped to  
19                       his arm so that he could produce it if  
20                       necessary and I was kind of relieved  
21                       when that didn't ..."

22          Can you tell us what that was about?

23      A       Dr. Emson had the knife we've just been talking  
24          about taped to his arm so that he could produce it  
25          if this was necessary, and the whole thing



1           didn't -- didn't happen, in effect, and I noted  
2           there I was relieved that it didn't.

3       Q       And was that at trial, then, you were referring  
4           to?

5       A       It --

6       Q       The reference; did he have a knife taped to his  
7           arm when he testified at the trial?

8       A       One or the other, Mr. Hodson.

9       Q       Prelim or the trial?

10      A       I can't tell from this without a little more  
11           research, but certainly one or the other.

12      Q       Next page. Again, there's just a reference here  
13           where you mention:

14                   "... the two guys turned out to be Ray  
15                   Mackie and George Reid, were the two who  
16                   were sort of assigned with the thing.  
17                   And my memory is, and you could ask  
18                   either of them, because they are both  
19                   around."

20           And, again, I think you said earlier you may have  
21           directed Mr. Carlyle-Gordge to some of the police  
22           officers to talk to?

23      A       I certainly may have given him their names and  
24           phone numbers and things like that because they,  
25           of course, were free not to talk to him if they



1           wished.

2           **Q**       Right. And scroll down. You talk about the motel  
3           incident, you say -- and Carlyle-Gordge says:

4                    "And again that's kind of a fluke isn't  
5                    it?"

6                    "Oh sure it is. The police went down on  
7                    the Sunday night, the day before the  
8                    trial started to Regina to get Wilson  
9                    and I think Nichol John. And Wilson  
10                   told I believe, Karst that these fellows  
11                   had told him about this episode in the  
12                   motel. Well, they came to see me, our  
13                   office used to be in the court house and  
14                   they come in Sunday night and said, you  
15                   know we've found this guy who's  
16                   supposedly seen this reenactment and, of  
17                   course, I just about fell ..."

18                    "What was your reaction?"

19                    "Oh just, I didn't know what to do.

20                    Like I didn't know whether I could call  
21                   that evidence because it was ..."

22                    "And you were probably pretty organized  
23                   at that time?"

24                    "Oh well yeah I was and I was also, you  
25                   know, pretty jumpy because it was a big



1 deal and about to start the next  
2 morning, you're confronted with  
3 something like this."

4 And Carlyle-Gordge says:

5 "That was a gift from heaven."

6 And you say:

7 "Well it was, but initially, it shook me  
8 because I didn't know whether, in law,  
9 you could call that evidence, you see  
10 when you hadn't ... and then we looked  
11 into that very quickly and I phoned  
12 Tallis ..."

13 Next page:

14 "CARLYLE-GORDGE: You have to let the  
15 defence know."

16 "Yeah immediately. I phoned him, I  
17 wrote him. I interviewed the guys  
18 toward the end of that week, gave him  
19 their statements and, in law, I notice  
20 that there's some, I don't have it at my  
21 fingertips, but the law is that as soon  
22 as you find it you let the other side  
23 know and then it go in all things being  
24 equal."

25 So would that be an accurate --



1 A Yeah, that's quite accurate as far as -- yeah.

2 Q Of what you went through at the time, back in  
3 1970?

4 A That's correct.

5 Q Next page. And then, again, there is a discussion  
6 about Mr. Tallis. Carlyle-Gordge says:

7 "... now you mentioned Tallis, Mr.  
8 Justice Tallis, I guess now ...",  
9 you say:

10 "He ... by the way he was here last  
11 night. There's a big law dance ..."  
12 etcetera, yeah:

13 "You're kidding. He was here last time  
14 I came up."

15 And you say:

16 "Yeah so he may conceivably be in the  
17 Bessborough."

18 And he says:

19 "Oh I'll check that."

20 So would you have been directing him to Mr.  
21 Tallis, then, Mr. Justice Tallis?

22 A Yeah, certainly saying he may still be there,  
23 because he was presumably up from Regina for that  
24 law function.

25 Q And --



1 A And --

2 Q I'm sorry?

3 A No, the way I read it, sir.

4 Q And then Mr. Carlyle-Gordge says:

5 "O.K. What I was going to ask was, he's  
6 a good lawyer, obviously, he was a good  
7 private lawyer."

8 And then you answer:

9 "Yes."

10 And I take it that is accurate, is it, sir?

11 A Yes, absolutely.

12 Q He says:

13 "What were the areas that really had you  
14 a bit worried, if any? In terms of his  
15 defence? Were there any areas that  
16 ...",

17 and you say:

18 "Well first of all I didn't know what  
19 his defence was. You see, like, we  
20 essentially never do know that. And he  
21 had done ..."

22 Let me pause there, and is that a fair comment,  
23 or an accurate comment at the time?

24 A Well, yes it is, because I didn't know whether or  
25 not Mr. Tallis would be calling a defence and, if





1           he was, what form it would take. You very seldom  
2           do know that in these kind of situations.

3           Q       So in this case, prior to the trial, would you  
4           have had any discussion with Mr. Tallis to say  
5           "well lookit, what is your defence, is it alibi,  
6           is it credibility, etcetera, what, how are you  
7           responding to this", or would you have discussions  
8           like that?

9           A       Umm, maybe --

10          Q       And I'm not talking, put aside the Carlyle-Gordge  
11          interview for a moment, --

12          A       Yeah, okay.

13          Q       -- I'm just asking you for your recollection --

14          A       Okay.

15          Q       -- prior to the trial in '69-'70.

16          A       Well we -- I -- I had a very open and, I'm sure,  
17          trusting relationship with Mr. Tallis. I wouldn't  
18          have hesitated to ask him things like that, but I  
19          haven't, in this instance I don't have a memory of  
20          asking him.

21          Q       And, at the time, did he have any obligation to  
22          tell you anything about what the defence was?

23          A       Oh, no, he didn't, he didn't. And I wouldn't  
24          hesitate to discuss it with him and he, of course,  
25          could approach me, if he wanted, on any footing.



1       Q       Right. Next page, please. Mr. Carlyle-Gordge  
2 asks you:

3               "How did you feel when you concluded  
4 your case? The jury was out for 24  
5 hours, I think? Did you feel, you must  
6 get a feeling at the end of the trial?"

7       And you say:

8               "Well I think I was content with how the  
9 trial had gone, but, you know, juries,  
10 you just never count the chickens until  
11 they are hatched with juries, they are  
12 notorious for being unpredictable and  
13 one person can cause a hung jury ...  
14 and, you know, anyone who's been  
15 involved with jury trials for a while  
16 has had some very nasty surprises. I  
17 have a speech, which I've written, over  
18 the years that's nothing but genuine  
19 things that have happened to either me  
20 or my colleagues. I used to give, yeah  
21 and I, everyone got tired of it. But  
22 every year there are some more horror  
23 stories about jury trials. And so this  
24 one, I was ... you know I felt good  
25 about how the case had gone. And then



1                   it's a matter of waiting it out and  
2                   hoping that the jury sees it your way ,  
3                   you see."

4                   And is that truthful and accurate?

5       A           Yes, that's correct, sir.

6       Q           Next page, at the bottom, Mr. Carlyle-Gordge says:

7                   "Another really interesting area, I  
8                   figured it out basically is the blood  
9                   type and the antigen argument. Did you  
10                  see those as a pretty important element  
11                  and the problem of explaining it to a  
12                  civilian jury?"

13                  You say:

14                  "Yeah cause I didn't understand the  
15                  whole thing myself."

16                  And Carlyle-Gordge says:

17                  "Yeah I had reread it."

18                  Would that have been in your materials or do you  
19                  know if Mr. Carlyle-Gordge had the transcript?

20       A           By the way that reads, he must have had a  
21                  transcript or -- I'm assuming, sir.

22       Q           And the next page, at the top:

23                  "The only, what essentially, I think it,  
24                  if I'm not mistaken, Wilson was  
25                  eliminated as being the source of the



1 seminal fluid, or whatever by this whole  
2 antigen or whatever business it was.

3 The, I think the deceased's blood was  
4 the wrong, or the right, depending on  
5 your point of view, type. It seems to  
6 me Milgaard was did give a blood sample  
7 and he was a possible source of whatever  
8 the stuff was. Now that's a terribly  
9 clumsy explanation."

10 Carlyle-Gordge says:

11 "It gets complex sometimes."

12 And then you say:

13 "But what it added up to is that he  
14 could have been the source of the  
15 seminal fluid that was found. And  
16 Wilson, as I recall, could not have been  
17 and there was no suggestion of anyone  
18 else being around. So that was one more  
19 piece of evidence, you know."

20 And is that an accurate --

21 A I think it is, Mr. Hodson, and based on you  
22 reviewing that evidence in this hearing several  
23 days ago, I think that's essentially the same, and  
24 I hope I'm right.

25 Q And I promise not to go through the secretor issue



1 with you again, Mr. Caldwell, but that would be  
2 your understanding at the time?

3 A It, it would be.

4 Q And down at the bottom Mr. Carlyle-Gordge asks  
5 you, and says:

6 "And it began as a purse snatching ..."

7 And you say:

8 "Well, I would think it began as a, you  
9 know, if you see this guy's character, I  
10 mean he wasn't going to take that answer  
11 from anybody. They were asking for  
12 Peace Hill and the real name of the area  
13 was Pleasant Hill and this girl said I,  
14 in effect, I don't know where it is or  
15 whatever and he called her the "stupid  
16 bitch" and so I don't, I'm not sure if  
17 it began as a purse snatching, I think  
18 it was just one of his forays, which,  
19 hey, here's a chance to do this and away  
20 he went. You know, it's no wonder that  
21 poor old Nichol John and Wilson were  
22 afraid of him."

23 And, again, is that --

24 A That was my opinion at the time.

25 Q Based on what?



1           A           Well, on my knowledge of the file and what had  
2                       gone on in the trial and on the, some of the  
3                       pretrial things in Regina that we know about.

4           Q           And then again, if we can just scroll down,  
5                       Mr. Carlyle-Gordge says:

6                               "I suppose one of the most interesting  
7                               things about it, considering the nature  
8                               of the crime, is how cool he was about  
9                               the thing afterwards. That's  
10                              fascinating."

11                   And he's talking about David Milgaard. You say:  
12                               "Yeah he was. I noticed that when they  
13                               arrested him in B.C. he said something  
14                               about "when do they charge you with  
15                               capital murder" or something and or  
16                               "would they charge you with murder if  
17                               they didn't have any evidence" and the  
18                               guy said no and he said something like  
19                               "that's what I was afraid of". That's  
20                               in the file and then there's something  
21                               about him saying in the police station  
22                               to another prisoner, he's thinking about  
23                               what it will be like to spend his life  
24                               in the penitentiary."

25                   And those comments, that information, Mr.



1 Caldwell, was that anything that played any part  
2 in your view of your case against Mr. Milgaard  
3 back in 1969-1970?

4 A I wouldn't think so. I believe all those things  
5 happened, sir, but I don't think they'd be, you  
6 know, considerations for me in that sense.

7 Q And then the next page, there is a comment here  
8 when you are talking about Mr. Milgaard's  
9 treatment in the institutions, and:

10 "... he says he didn't need treatment  
11 because he didn't commit the offense."

12 So I take it at this time, sir, you would have  
13 been aware that David Milgaard was maintaining  
14 his innocence?

15 A That's right. And that refers to that Regional  
16 Psych Centre, I had been -- learned at the time  
17 that he was sent back where he had been because he  
18 said he didn't need treatment because he didn't  
19 commit the offence, which of course I didn't  
20 believe to be the state of affairs then.

21 Q Okay. That's an appropriate spot to break, Mr.  
22 Commissioner.

23 (Adjourned at 12:02 p.m.)

24 (Reconvened at 1:34 p.m.)

25 MR. HODSON: Mr. Commissioner, just before



1 we start, earlier this morning when I was  
2 examining Mr. Caldwell regarding -- I'll just  
3 call up the document, one of his letters to the  
4 parole board, 006824 -- and in the first  
5 paragraph reference is made by Mr. Caldwell in  
6 1972 to a booklet printed by the National Parole  
7 Board titled "An Outline of Canada's Parole  
8 System for Judges, Magistrates and the Police"  
9 and I indicated when I asked him about that that  
10 we had tried to find a copy and had not been able  
11 to locate it. I found out over the lunch hour  
12 that we actually have found a copy in the  
13 national archives and it's being sent to us,  
14 hopefully within the next few days or week or so,  
15 so at some point either I or someone else will  
16 come back to that. I just thought I would point  
17 that out.

18 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thanks.

19 BY MR. HODSON:

20 Q If we could go back to the Peter Carlyle-Gordge  
21 interview, please, go to page 050048, and again  
22 these are just comments with you and Mr.  
23 Carlyle-Gordge, you say:

24 "And I have, you know have had, but this  
25 thing it seemed that so much rode on it





1 and there had been so much effort by  
2 everybody, like the police and the  
3 civilians and the lab people and the  
4 pathologist and everyone and our office  
5 and everyone. Second it was such a  
6 horrible thing in the beginning. Third,  
7 the whole sort of attention of the  
8 community is on the thing and if you  
9 believe that this is the guy and you've  
10 the evidence, it would be a very, you  
11 know, severe disappointment if you  
12 couldn't bring it home. And I just I  
13 think it would, I think it would just  
14 really be very very difficult to come  
15 back from that, when in effect, we all  
16 felt that there was no question that  
17 this is the guy and all this effort's  
18 been made and then, not necessarily say  
19 my fault, but I mean, one way or another  
20 the thing falls on the floor, you see.  
21 I wouldn't have cared for that."

22 And again if I can just scroll up a bit further,  
23 you talk about you've had your share of losses,  
24 needless to say. And I take it you would have  
25 made these comments, Mr. Caldwell?



1 A Oh, I'm sure I did, sir.

2 Q And we've heard, or I've heard from time to time  
3 the, and I'm not sure where it originated, whether  
4 it was a case or a text about, that the Crown  
5 doesn't have wins or losses, but justice, or words  
6 to that effect. You know of the --

7 A I do, sir. I used it in a jury trial once and I  
8 lost as a matter of fact.

9 Q And so again, did you view, if Mr. Milgaard had  
10 been acquitted, would you have considered that to  
11 be a loss?

12 A I would have been disappointed because it would  
13 leave me with, you know, the suspicion or question  
14 of whether it was my doing that the case hadn't  
15 been properly run, in effect, sir.

16 Q And would it be, notwithstanding what the jury  
17 might have concluded, it was your view at the time  
18 that there was sufficient evidence to prove beyond  
19 a reasonable doubt that Mr. Milgaard had committed  
20 the crime?

21 A That's right.

22 Q And so again you talk about disappointment, you  
23 are relating that to your own involvement then are  
24 you?

25 A I would think so.



1 Q Now, the next page, please, and we're talking  
2 about Cadrain here, Albert Cadrain, and Mr.  
3 Carlyle-Gordge asks, says:

4 "He was from a big family, wasn't he?"

5 You say:

6 "I think so. I remember, we went to his  
7 house, yeah I think so and he was just  
8 an absolute, like I just couldn't say  
9 enough good about the guy."

10 Just pause there, and I had asked you this  
11 before, I think you said you don't have a  
12 recollection of going to the Cadrain house. Does  
13 that assist your memory at all, Mr. Caldwell?

14 A No, but I said it so much earlier than today that  
15 I wouldn't -- I didn't think I did, Mr. Hodson,  
16 but I wouldn't argue that I -- based on this, I  
17 may well have.

18 Q And can you tell us what might have been the  
19 reason? I appreciate you don't recall, but under  
20 what circumstances would you as a prosecutor go to  
21 a witness' home?

22 A Well, in this case there were several Cadrain  
23 people, as we know, and it may have been to talk  
24 to one or two who hadn't been interviewed or to  
25 speak to the mother about how Albert was or any



1           number of things. I frankly don't recall.

2           Q       And again, would there be anything unusual  
3                   about -- let's assume you went there to interview  
4                   Albert. Anything unusual about that?

5           A       Yeah, that isn't something that would ordinarily  
6                   happen from day to day.

7           Q       So normally you would have the witness into your  
8                   office; is that --

9           A       Yeah, that's correct, and there may have -- all I  
10                  can think of is possibly some need or desire to  
11                  talk to one or two of the other Cadraings the way I  
12                  see this now, but that may not be the case, sir.

13          Q       And then you go on to say:

14                   "Of course Nichol John was a problem,  
15                   but I can understand why she'd be that  
16                   way, under the circumstances. The  
17                   police, of course, were you know,  
18                   marvelous. The two the Lapchuk and  
19                   Melnik or whoever they were, were  
20                   actually were very good. Tallis tried  
21                   to float the idea that they had been  
22                   promised considerations by the Regina  
23                   police on charges against them if they'd  
24                   testify on this and I remember, I was  
25                   very impressed. I talked to those guys



1 and I said I don't want you leaning  
2 either way, I don't want you, you know,  
3 trying to nail this fellow. I don't  
4 want you backing off. I want you to go  
5 tell what you heard and that's all and  
6 don't slant it either way and I thought  
7 they came through very very well, like  
8 they hung in there in the face of very  
9 determined cross-examination, you see.  
10 And as I look at it again I can see why  
11 they felt that way. The one, I can't  
12 remember which one, was particularly  
13 good and is that ever eery evidence, you  
14 know."

15 And again, does that accurately represent your  
16 views at the time?

17 A Yes, it would, sir.

18 Q And then just scroll down -- just up a bit higher,  
19 please -- Carlyle-Gordge says:

20 "Well that's why, a fluke not just  
21 because it came at the last minute, but  
22 the fact that the subject even came up,  
23 like they were with him."

24 And you say:

25 "It isn't, you know, when do you ever



1                   get a murder reenactment? And of  
2                   course, the other thing about that is  
3                   that only, only the person who did it  
4                   could have known what he did there, you  
5                   know, stabbing, saying I stabbing her  
6                   "X" number of times and then she died  
7                   and then to look up and laugh. I mean  
8                   this is just, holy ..."

9                   Mr. Carlyle-Gordge:

10                  "That's sick."

11                  Caldwell says:

12                  "... suffering... so that I mean  
13                  couldn't have been invented by them, in  
14                  my opinion. Like that had to come from  
15                  the guy who did the actual murder,  
16                  yeah."

17                  Again, is that what you would have thought at the  
18                  time?

19           A           That is, sir.

20           Q           And then Mr. Carlyle-Gordge says:

21                  "And they were theorizing as to whether  
22                  it could actually have happened in  
23                  Wilson's car."

24                  And you say -- and let me just pause there.

25           A           Yeah.



1 Q Do you know where Mr. Carlyle-Gordge would have  
2 got that information from?

3 A I don't. I don't recall that being suggested  
4 anywhere in the files I had.

5 Q And then you say:

6 "Well somebody, somebody raised that and  
7 that was the case prep fellow, I think,  
8 but what I notice today is that it  
9 wasn't it that there were no stab marks  
10 in the coat but it was on..."

11 Let me pause there. I think when we went through  
12 Mr. Ullrich's summary, the police summary,  
13 remember that?

14 A Uh-huh.

15 Q And in that document there was some reference I  
16 think that Mr. Ullrich made, and maybe you are not  
17 the one to answer this, maybe Mr. Carlyle-Gordge  
18 is, but would you have provided that police  
19 summary then? That would have been in your files.

20 A It would have been on the file and open to him to  
21 read certainly.

22 Q And then at the bottom:

23 "Well somebody, somebody raised that and  
24 that was the case --"

25 I'm sorry,



1 "... there were no stab marks in the  
2 coat, but it was on..."

3 The next page:

4 "On the back. The dress was down."

5 And then you say:

6 "Making it seem as if it had been off at  
7 one point. And then put back on. But  
8 there was never anything to support that  
9 idea that that she had been in the car.  
10 That is, yeah, that is, I suppose that  
11 came out when Wilson and Nichol John  
12 were obviously dragging their heels.  
13 You'd immediately, I suppose, start to  
14 think maybe they're involved. Maybe she  
15 was in the car and they are parties  
16 (unintelligible). Nothing, that never  
17 was you know, it just didn't gel at  
18 all."

19 And then again let me pause there. Again, that  
20 would have been your view at the time, sir?

21 A Well, that would have been a possibility, what I  
22 recited there, and of course I didn't feel I ever  
23 thoroughly understood that coat/dress/stabbing  
24 situation, sir.

25 Q And then Mr. Carlyle-Gordge says:





1 "And then the evidence of the, what was  
2 her name, the woman who saw the girl  
3 running or walking like a ghost."

4 You say:

5 "Yeah that was the one that I called the  
6 very last witness that I called, at  
7 Tallis' request, who I had concluded  
8 didn't ... she testified at the Prelim  
9 and I thought she was very very badly  
10 confused. I wasn't going to call her.  
11 There is a little thing, you see, if he  
12 had called her as a defense witness, he  
13 would have had to go ... he would have  
14 called defense and therefore he would  
15 have had to go first when he spoke to  
16 the jury. So I agreed to call her as  
17 part of the Crown's case even though I  
18 didn't think she was ..."

19 "... good at all."

20 And is that accurate? I think that's what you  
21 told us earlier in these proceedings.

22 A That's right, sir.

23 Q And then the next page you ask -- or, I'm sorry,  
24 just scroll up a bit, Mr. Carlyle-Gordge says:

25 "Well unless you have anything else you



1                                   ..."

2                   You say:

3                                   "No I haven't got anything, Peter. And  
4                                   if you think of anything, you know,  
5                                   phone me."

6                   And he says:

7                                   "I'll give you a buzz or whatever."  
8                   Do you know if you ever heard back from Mr.  
9                   Carlyle-Gordge?

10          A           I'm not sure. I may have or not.

11          Q           And then you say:

12                                   "... what are you, how many cases are  
13                                   you looking at in this project?"

14                   And the project, is that the book that you talked  
15                   about earlier?

16          A           That's what I assumed.

17          Q           So then if we can go -- we're done with that  
18                   script. I want to call up 174037, and these  
19                   are -- I believe we will hear evidence from Mr.  
20                   Carlyle-Gordge that these were his -- well, what  
21                   it says, police notes from Caldwell dictated from  
22                   files by Peter Carlyle-Gordge, and I take it, Mr.  
23                   Caldwell, that you allowed Mr. Carlyle-Gordge to  
24                   dictate notes?

25          A           Yeah.



1 Q Or you had no problem with that?

2 A I may not have realized it was going on. I would  
3 take it that this was happening while he was in my  
4 office looking through the file the way this  
5 reads.

6 Q And then if you can go to the next page, and in  
7 Mr. Carlyle-Gordge's note he refers to February 5,  
8 '69 police report:

9 "At 6:49 a.m. police checked at 300  
10 block in Ave. O South, Larry Fisher, 334  
11 Ave. O South, works at Masonry  
12 Contractors at the Education bldg., U of  
13 Saskatchewan; wearing a yellow hard hat;  
14 said last Friday he caught the bus at  
15 6:30 a.m. at Ave. O and 20th St. He  
16 says there was no one else around at  
17 that time and he had no information to  
18 offer."

19 Now, I think that's taken verbatim from the  
20 police report?

21 A It looks like it.

22 Q And there's a note on the side that I'm not sure I  
23 can tell you when or who prepared that. It says:

24 "No, a lie! Wife says he was home all  
25 day."



1 And we'll hear from Mr. Carlyle-Gordge on when  
2 that was put in.

3 A Okay, sir.

4 Q But do you recall, and certainly we've seen the  
5 tape recording that at least is a part of your  
6 conversation with him. Do you recall him asking  
7 about this entry in the police reports or about  
8 Larry Fisher?

9 A I don't. That of course is the Detective  
10 McCorriston interview in which he was talking to  
11 various people who may have taken the same bus and  
12 Fisher was one of, I don't know, a dozen or more  
13 of those. That's what that's referring to.

14 Q No, and I believe that's correct, and I'm just  
15 wondering if whether in the course of your  
16 discussion with him he would have asked you about  
17 Larry Fisher. Do you have any recollection of  
18 that?

19 A I don't. He's evidently underlined it, but that's  
20 all I can say.

21 Q Then if we can go to 225006, and this is a  
22 document that appears to be part of a transcript  
23 of an interview with you, it's got Caldwell at the  
24 top and starts partway through and then tape ends,  
25 it says important, Caldwell on tape, and again



1           it's got the C and the P. I can't tell you, sir,  
2           where it fits in with the other transcripts that I  
3           went through with you, whether it was the phone  
4           call or the interview, but it looks to be a  
5           transcript of discussions, and I just want to ask  
6           you about -- actually, maybe just go to the top,  
7           it says:

8                        "Killing near Brandon and Caldwell has  
9                        heard of it; C wants to know when it'll  
10                      be out -- P says within a year,  
11                      probably.)"

12           And that may be referring to a book?

13        A           I think, sir, the last thing we looked at, I asked  
14           him something about is that the such and such case  
15           in -- I'm not sure if I said Brandon. Was that  
16           not the --

17        Q           Yeah, and that was -- was that the Katie Harper?

18        A           Well, I think I mentioned the name Harper. At  
19           this point I have no idea what that was, but I  
20           would suggest that would refer to that.

21        Q           And then you, assuming C is you:

22                        "Are you going to try and see Karst, or  
23                        phone him?"

24           Carlyle-Gordge says:

25                        "Yes, tomorrow I hope to see Mackie and



1 Karst."

2 You say:

3 "You've got them booked, more or less?  
4 (Offers to help P get in touch with  
5 other people -- Says Charlie Short is  
6 away; says he thinks the book is a  
7 worthy thing to be written; I think it's  
8 a fascinating thing. And what I would  
9 do is, if you want to phone me or write  
10 me, I could get Charlie in here on the  
11 phone, courtesy of the Prov. of  
12 Saskatchewan."

13 And then Peter:

14 "Says he want to see Tallis."

15 And then let me just pause there. It looks as  
16 though maybe this is a summary prepared by Mr.  
17 Carlyle-Gordge about what was said?

18 A It looks that way to me, sir.

19 Q Do you have any reason to dispute that you would  
20 have done what Mr. Carlyle-Gordge wrote here,  
21 about helping him get in touch with other  
22 officers?

23 A No, I don't, do not.

24 Q And then it says Peter:

25 "Says he want to see Tallis."



1 And then by C, which I presume is Caldwell, in  
2 brackets:

3 "(Says it's a little tough; can't say  
4 too much cuz Tallis is on the bench.)  
5 He's a very conservative guy. Like, he  
6 and I got along just fine. In fact, we  
7 combined forces to put away a guy called  
8 Leslie Klassen who murdered a girl here  
9 ... It's a long story, but Tallis did  
10 what turned out to be a manslaughter or  
11 crim-neg. case and I did the 'dangerous  
12 sexual offender' on the guy; between us  
13 we got him locked away forever. So  
14 we've been allies on various things.  
15 And he's a very -- I had a funny  
16 episode."

17 Tape ends.

18 A I see.

19 Q Now, in subsequent materials which I will go to  
20 later, Mr. Caldwell, and we'll hear more about  
21 this, there has been some suggestion that, by some  
22 that you and Mr. Tallis may have been allies on  
23 this case, the David Milgaard prosecution, and  
24 first of all, did that happen?

25 A No. The joined forces to do something referred to



1 the Leslie Klassen matter. I can expand on that  
2 if you wish.

3 Q Please do.

4 A Okay. Leslie Klassen was a person who was  
5 committing offences about the time I got back here  
6 in 1962 and we kept various, if you will, closed  
7 files on him. There was a lot of difficulty, you  
8 know, successfully prosecuting him. There was a  
9 woman who was eventually killed, I think left in  
10 the country shortly west of Saskatoon, and she  
11 died, and that prosecution involved three  
12 different people. I believe it started with  
13 Mr. Tallis, he went on the bench; it then went to  
14 Mr. Perras who moved to Alberta to be the head of  
15 prosecutions there, and then I believe Bill  
16 Pinckley, was part of my office, took it over, and  
17 I believe, as a matter of fact Mr. Halyk defended  
18 that gentleman, but it ended up with a conviction  
19 I think of manslaughter or something. Now,  
20 essentially the same time I had launched the  
21 dangerous sexual offender procedure against Mr.  
22 Klassen, and those things are long and  
23 complicated, but it ended up with a finding that  
24 he in fact was a dangerous sexual offender.

25 Q And do you know if that was before or after the





1 David Milgaard prosecution?

2 A Umm, I assume after, but I would want to check,  
3 sir.

4 Q And just so we have this clear, you and Mr. Tallis  
5 would have, as you say, combined forces and  
6 worked, and been allies on matters unrelated to  
7 the David Milgaard prosecution?

8 A Oh, absolutely, allies on various things. I think  
9 I assisted him with a murder prelim and trial  
10 against a young medical student that Mr. Carter  
11 defended, for instance, but this accusation was  
12 floated long ago and I, at that time, explained it  
13 to some agency that's what it meant. That's all  
14 it could mean. We certainly didn't gang up on Mr.  
15 Milgaard.

16 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Mr. Caldwell,  
17 Mr. Tallis' function in this excerpt was as a  
18 prosecutor; was it not?

19 A In this he was, yeah, he would be --

20 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: He was acting as a  
21 prosecutor?

22 A Yeah, that's correct.

23 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yeah.

24 BY MR. HODSON:

25 Q And so the references where you were allies and



1           working on matters, I think you said earlier in  
2           your evidence that Mr. Tallis did farm-out  
3           prosecutions and did the Humboldt prosecutions?

4       A       That's right, he had that Judicial Centre, and any  
5           time we could enlist him for a serious case, we of  
6           course would try and do that.

7       Q       And the fact that you and Mr. Tallis had worked  
8           together on other cases, did that, sir, in any way  
9           affect, in your view, your ability to deal with  
10          Mr. Tallis as opposing counsel in the David  
11          Milgaard prosecution?

12      A       Not at all. I think I was aware of what a very  
13          capable person he was and essentially, you know, I  
14          tried to be on my P's and Q's to make sure  
15          everything is done properly, which it should be  
16          done anyway of course.

17      Q       If we can go to 054119, please, and this is the  
18          transcript, it's CBC Sunday morning telecast with  
19          Peter Leo, a documentary on David Milgaard, and I  
20          won't go through it, at least not with this  
21          witness, but if I could go to page 054126, and I  
22          think, Mr. Caldwell, this may be the CBC report  
23          you talked about earlier. Do you remember --  
24          maybe I can just --

25      A       It could well be, sir.



1           Q           And here's Peter Leo saying:

2                       "Both the Saskatoon police and Robert  
3                       Caldwell, who was the Provincial Crown  
4                       Prosecutor on the case, declined to  
5                       comment because of a Federal Justice  
6                       Department's ongoing review of the case.  
7                       But in 1983, Caldwell, who was now a  
8                       Federal Prosecutor, was interviewed by  
9                       author Peter Carlyle-Gordge. Caldwell  
10                      said he was convinced Nichol John's  
11                      written statement was in fact the real  
12                      version of events."

13                     And then scroll down, inserted comment of Mr.  
14                     Caldwell, and then attributed to you:

15                     "The investigation went a long way, but  
16                     police felt that she and Wilson both saw  
17                     more than they said they saw."

18                     And then scroll down, Mr. Carlyle-Gordge,  
19                     "Right," and then:

20                     "And finally they got them to come out  
21                     with what, you know, we think is the  
22                     accurate story. So I felt that the  
23                     final versions they came out with I felt  
24                     would be the truth, and for one thing,  
25                     you know, is that these people are



1                   frightened of that guy. That's another  
2                   one."

3                   "That's another thing."

4                   "Not to be overlooked, yeah."

5                   End of insert. And then again I'll just refer to  
6                   one other, go to the next page --

7       A           Mr. Hodson --

8       Q           Yes?

9       A           I think that '83 date, which didn't come from me,  
10                  has to be incorrect, there's a mention of --

11      Q           That was referencing the interview by Peter  
12                  Carlyle-Gordge of you.

13      A           Okay. Let me think now.

14      Q           We can go back, maybe we'll just show that. What  
15                  this article, what this says, that in 19 -- I'm  
16                  sorry, if you can go to page 126, the previous  
17                  page, what Mr. Peter Leo says, in 1983 Caldwell  
18                  was interviewed by author Peter Carlyle-Gordge.

19      A           Okay, I'm sorry, that could well be the case, I  
20                  think that's right. I was thinking of when I left  
21                  the province, which was later, I left the  
22                  provincial department of the Attorney General,  
23                  '87.

24      Q           I see. So I think if we -- just go back to the  
25                  full paragraph, if we look at this, I think this



1           may tell us a couple of things, assuming it's  
2           accurate, about dates; one, that this news story  
3           ran after December, 1988, being the date of Mr.  
4           Milgaard's first application to the federal  
5           justice minister, and secondly it tells us, again  
6           assuming it's accurate, that it was 1983 that  
7           Peter Carlyle-Gordge interviewed you, and do you  
8           have any reason to dispute either of those?

9       A       No, I don't, sir.

10      Q       If we could then go ahead to page 128 --

11      A       When you asked me a question there's something I  
12           do want to point out about these quotations, sir.

13      Q       Sure, please do.

14      A       Is that the beginning of them at the top on the  
15           previous page?

16      Q       Well, if we can go back -- I'm not sure what you  
17           are asking. The interview quotes a number of  
18           other people and I'm only reading to you that  
19           which is attributed to you.

20      A       Okay. Now, if you could go back, Mr. Hodson,  
21           there was one line there that sort of set this  
22           off, one page back, if you will.

23      Q       Okay. I think this is the first reference here,  
24           this is where I started. Is it something that I  
25           read to you?



1 A No.

2 Q Okay. Is there -- I'm sorry, I'm not sure what  
3 you are looking for.

4 A There's a statement by this Peter Leo --

5 Q Yes.

6 A -- saying, implying that the witness had been  
7 badgered, that would be Nichol John I think, in  
8 the 9(2) proceedings. Oh, yeah. And the other  
9 day I researched this and found out that all the  
10 questions that he lists there were by Chief  
11 Justice Bence which, without exactly saying it was  
12 the crown or it was the judge, in fact, as it  
13 happens it was Chief Justice Bence in the process  
14 of trying to get --

15 Q Okay, I've got that. Let me go back to 054123.

16 A Very good.

17 Q And maybe I can clarify this at the bottom.

18 A Okay.

19 Q Peter Leo says:

20 "David Milgaard says he was a hippie in  
21 nineteen sixty-nine. He admits he  
22 smoked dope and had a good time. The  
23 police in Saskatoon investigating the  
24 Miller murder had a different view of  
25 Milgaard. They saw him as a so-called



1                   impulse-rapist and that's what happened  
2                   in this instance."

3           And then scroll down to the bottom -- no,  
4           sorry --

5       A       Right.

6       Q       Can you go back up, please. Right here it says:

7                   "Nichol John proved to be a more  
8                   difficult witness for the Crown. On the  
9                   stand she refused to validate a  
10                  statement taken by police from her.  
11                  This is from court transcripts of the  
12                  Crown's cross-examination of Nichol."

13          And then I think these are your questions, if we  
14          follow --

15       A       It says that, I -- and there may be -- the thing  
16               I'm talking about may be a page or two more.

17       Q       I'm sorry, yeah, we can go to the next page.

18       A       Okay.

19       Q       Those are your questions, and then at the top, --

20       A       Okay.

21       Q       -- if we go to the top answer:

22                   "I don't remember."

23          And then here the Court, I think that Mr. Leo may  
24          have -- I think this is where then Chief Justice  
25          Bence questions:



1 "You don't know whether it did or it  
2 didn't?

3 ANSWER: No, I don't."

4 And then you question here. Is that what you are  
5 referring to?

6 A Well the, I believe that top question and answer  
7 were the Chief Justice -- or a question:

8 "And whether or not you remember telling  
9 him, did that happen? Did you see  
10 that?"

11 I believe that was the judge but I stand to be  
12 corrected.

13 Q Okay. I had thought it was your question and that  
14 this --

15 A Oh, then it says "the Court question", and there  
16 may be more further down, Mr. Hodson.

17 Q Okay, we'll see more maybe when we go through it.

18 A Okay, very good.

19 Q Okay. Maybe if we can go to the next page,  
20 please, and then the next page. And maybe this is  
21 the reference here:

22 "In an interview with David Milgaard's  
23 mother, Joyce, in nineteen eighty-one,  
24 Nichol was clearly still bothered by  
25 whatever transpired twelve years





1 earlier."

2 And then there is a quote with Nichol, but I  
3 think that was in connection with an interview  
4 with Mrs. Milgaard.

5 A Yeah, that's not what I was referring to, sir.

6 Q If we go to page 054128. And, again, there is a  
7 reference here, Peter Leo says:

8 "In his ninety eighty-three interview  
9 with Peter Carlyle-Gordge, Robert  
10 Caldwell said he found Lapchuk and  
11 Melnyk to be very believable witnesses."

12 And then an inserted comment, and the question:

13 "When do you ever get a murder  
14 re-enacted?"

15 "Yeah."

16 And you say:

17 "And of course, the other think about  
18 that is that only, only the person who  
19 did it could have known what he did say,  
20 you know, stabbing, saying I stabbed her  
21 X number of times and then she died, and  
22 then to look up and laugh, I mean that  
23 is just sick. Totally sick. So, you  
24 know, that couldn't have been invented  
25 by them and made to like, that had to



1                   come from the guy who did the actual  
2                   murder, yeah. End of insert)."

3                   So, again, it looks like that portion was in that  
4                   article from your interview with  
5                   Mr. Carlyle-Gordge?

6           A        It does.

7           Q        Those are all the comments I had on this article.  
8                   Perhaps at a break, Mr. Caldwell, I'll look  
9                   through it again to see if --

10          A        Yeah.

11          Q        Is there something in there, still, that you had  
12                   concerns about?

13          A        I guess I'd better look at the whole thing myself,  
14                   sir.

15          Q        Okay, that's fine, we can come back to that.

16          A        Thank you.

17          Q        I now want to move on to 1989, Mr. Caldwell, and  
18                   the Section 690 proceedings and application. And  
19                   I think you told us, on the first day of giving  
20                   evidence, that you left your employment as a  
21                   provincial Crown attorney in 1987; is that right?

22          A        That's correct.

23          Q        And you then started to work with Federal Justice?

24          A        Yeah, around the beginning of 1988.

25          Q        And were you -- what was your role or occupation



1 at Federal Justice?

2 A I think -- I was a prosecutor, I don't know what  
3 title they used, but a Crown prosecutor, or words  
4 to that effect, with Federal Justice.

5 Q And as part of your job description at the time  
6 were you involved in any way in dealing with  
7 applications to the Federal Minister of Justice  
8 under Section 690 of the *Criminal Code*?

9 A No, I was not. The only one was the one with, to  
10 do with Mr. Williams, which wasn't part of my work  
11 ordinarily of course.

12 Q And did you become aware then at some time, I mean  
13 I think the record shows December 30th or  
14 thereabouts of 1988 that Mr. Milgaard made his  
15 application to the Federal Minister of Justice,  
16 did you become aware of that at some point?

17 A I probably did.

18 Q Do you know how you became aware?

19 A I don't know if it was through press means or  
20 otherwise, sir.

21 Q Now I will show you some documents in a moment  
22 that suggest in September or October of 1989 Mr.  
23 Williams would have been in touch with you to have  
24 you review your file; do you remember that?

25 A Yes, I -- that happened.



1 Q And maybe you can tell us; when was the first time  
2 you ever talked or met Eugene Williams?

3 A I think the dates will be on the material the  
4 Commission has, but he -- so I don't have that,  
5 but he telephoned Horst Dahlem, who was my boss at  
6 that time in Federal Justice, said he was looking  
7 for some -- the person -- something to do with the  
8 Milgaard prosecution, and Horst advised him that  
9 the prosecutor was sitting across the desk from  
10 him, that being me, and I'm sure I got on the  
11 phone to him, if not at that time shortly  
12 thereafter, with Mr. Williams that is.

13 Q And what is it that he asked from you just  
14 generally?

15 A Okay.

16 Q And I'll take you through the documents.

17 A Okay. He, at some point he asked me to search the  
18 Milgaard prosecution file for the name of Fisher,  
19 and I went over to the provincial department  
20 office across the street, Canterbury Towers,  
21 searched through the prosecution file, and did  
22 come up with the -- with that name in that same  
23 Detective McCorriston report that we've looked at  
24 before, sir.

25 Q And just to go back with this call from Eugene



1 Williams, did you say, were you in Mr. Dahlem's  
2 office?

3 A Yes, I was, yeah.

4 Q And for what reason?

5 A Well I'd be -- he was my boss, we would no doubt  
6 be discussing whatever I was doing, or cases, or  
7 --

8 Q Are you telling us -- sorry to interrupt -- are  
9 you telling us it was a coincidence that you  
10 happened to be in his office?

11 A Yeah, exactly.

12 Q And do you know at that time, based on how this  
13 came about, do you know whether Mr. Williams was  
14 aware that you were working for Federal Justice at  
15 the time?

16 A I doubt it, the way it came about, because of the  
17 way Horst answered his question.

18 Q And what; did you understand Mr. Williams was  
19 calling Mr. Dahlem to find out who the prosecutor  
20 was?

21 A Well, or to start getting some information lined  
22 up, and Horst volunteered that he had the  
23 prosecutor right there.

24 Q I see. So he handed you the phone and you talked  
25 to him?



1 A Either that or I called him back shortly, I  
2 suspect the former though.

3 Q And had you ever met Mr. Williams prior to this  
4 time?

5 A No, I hadn't.

6 Q Did you know anything about him or who he was?

7 A No, except that he was, had something to do with  
8 the Federal Government in Ottawa.

9 Q And what did you -- what was your understanding at  
10 or around this time, '89-'90, about what the  
11 Section 690 process was; like what would happen  
12 under that?

13 A Mr. Hodson, to be truthful, I knew virtually  
14 nothing about it. Just by the way my work was  
15 going at that time I may have read the section,  
16 but that's about all, so I really, even now, can't  
17 say too much to you about it.

18 Q What was your understanding of the role that  
19 Mr. Eugene Williams was playing with respect to  
20 Mr. Milgaard's application to the federal  
21 minister?

22 A Well I would understand that he would be lining up  
23 and researching material which could be useful in  
24 the application, to put it broadly.

25 Q And we'll go through some documents, I think



1           you've told us that you went and looked at your  
2           file and provided information, is that right?

3       A       Yes, that name was the first thing. Oh, I  
4           provided two or three batches of things, sir, I  
5           think you have maybe knowledge of that.

6       Q       So one thing, and again we'll go through this in  
7           some detail, one, you would have reviewed your  
8           file and provided information to Mr. Williams; is  
9           that fair?

10      A       That's right.

11      Q       And would you have talked to Mr. Williams about  
12           matters relating to the prosecution?

13      A       Umm, yes. He, umm, I sent him I think the first  
14           thing where I found the name, and either then or  
15           shortly after I sent him maybe the short summary,  
16           the longer summary, and then I think in a second  
17           round, roughly speaking, I might have sent other  
18           documents from, in effect, from the -- our file or  
19           the trial.

20      Q       Apart from your role in providing documents from  
21           the file -- and I think you said you --

22      A       Yeah.

23      Q       -- would you have talked to him saying "here's  
24           what happened, let me tell you what I think about  
25           Nichol John or Ron Wilson"; did any of those



1 things happen?

2 A Well what I -- one thing I recall doing is  
3 assisting him and Sergeant Pearson with whether  
4 various of these civilians and police were still  
5 alive, still in the city, how available, phone  
6 numbers, addresses. I made a point of, and I  
7 think it's reported somewhere, of not trying to  
8 influence their view on, you know, their own  
9 investigation, how it had been done, what they  
10 thought of it, because I know that would be  
11 unwelcome and improper as far as I'm concerned.

12 Q And why is that?

13 A Well because I was -- I shouldn't be there being  
14 an advocate for the Crown on the prosecution. I  
15 was in a different office but I had a lot of  
16 knowledge where things were. I think it would be  
17 highly im -- irregular for me to say "by the way,  
18 I think (a) and (b) and (c)". I'd certainly  
19 answer questions about factual things.

20 Q Did -- were you involved in giving any advice to  
21 Mr. Williams, or your thoughts, to assist him in  
22 his deliberations?

23 A He may well have asked me, you know, what I  
24 thought about certain things, I don't recall that  
25 now, but I attempted to kind of stay arm's length





1 on that.

2 Q Mr. Commissioner, I have a question, and I'm not  
3 sure whether Mr. Frayer might have -- the question  
4 I wish to ask, and it may broach on privilege, is  
5 whether or not Mr. Caldwell gave any advice to the  
6 Federal Minister of Justice in connection with  
7 either of the Section 690 applications, and I  
8 think it does go into privilege, and I'm not sure  
9 whether -- maybe I can just have a moment to  
10 quickly discuss it with him.

11 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yes.

12 *(Discussion off the record)*

13 MR. HODSON: I'm advised by both Mr. Frayer  
14 and Ms. Knox that they do not object to that  
15 question.

16 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: All right.

17 BY MR. HODSON:

18 Q And so did you give any advice to the Federal  
19 Minister of Justice in connection with his/her  
20 consideration of the Section 690 applications  
21 filed by Mr. Milgaard?

22 A I don't believe so, sir.

23 Q And, again, I'm not intending to broach any  
24 privilege here, and if I am I'm sure my friends  
25 appropriate, who represent the parties, will rise;



1 but were you involved in any of the deliberations  
2 by the Federal Justice people looking after the  
3 Section 690 applications as to what they should do  
4 with them?

5 A No, absolutely no.

6 Q If we can call up 016105. And again, Mr.  
7 Caldwell, this is the first dated document that I  
8 can find detailing discussions between you and Mr.  
9 Williams.

10 A All right.

11 Q And it's October 23, 1989 and it actually, I think  
12 in another document, refers to a telephone  
13 conversation in September. And this is Mr.  
14 Williams' file, he says:

15 "In view of the postponed trip I spoke  
16 to Bobs Caldwell and asked him to  
17 photocopy the testimony of Nichol John,  
18 Ron Wilson and Shorty Cadrain and any  
19 other file materials he had identified.  
20 He would forward it to me as soon as  
21 possible. He mentioned that Mr. Enson,  
22 had performed certain tests on the body  
23 in relation to the knife wounds. These  
24 tests indicate that the wounds were  
25 consistent with penetration from a



1                   paring knife. See page 13 of Dr.

2                   Ferris' report of October 18. Also see

3                   Dr. Ferris' comments in relation to the

4                   semen samples and the contamination of

5                   the seminal fluids."

6                   And then:

7                   "On October 21, 1989 Bobs Caldwell gave

8                   me Harry Enson's telephone numbers ...",

9                   etcetera. And so any reason to dispute what's in  
10                  that memorandum?

11          A           No, there isn't.

12          Q           And it looks as though maybe Mr. Williams had  
13                      planned on coming out to see you; is that --

14          A           It -- yeah, the first five or six words would  
15                      leave me with that impression, that for some  
16                      reason it had to be postponed.

17          Q           Then if we can call up 150975, please.

18                      MS. KNOX: Mr. Commissioner, I'm noting in  
19                      this document that my client gave his phone  
20                      numbers, and appears to be his home phone number,  
21                      to Williams. I presume this will become a public  
22                      document and I would be hesitant to suggest or  
23                      that my client would want information he gave in  
24                      this regard to become part of the public record.

25                      MR. HODSON: We will redact it in the



1 document, the phone numbers.

2 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: All right. In the  
3 meantime, please don't publish it, members of the  
4 press.

5 A Thanks.

6 BY MR. HODSON:

7 Q So here is a letter October 25, 1989 to Mr.  
8 Williams, Department of Justice, Ottawa, and this  
9 is from you. If we could go to the fifth page,  
10 1509 --

11 A That's right.

12 Q That's your signature?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q And at that time you are Counsel, Criminal  
15 Prosecutions?

16 A That was our title.

17 Q So back to the first page. Now I note that you  
18 wrote it on your Department of Justice Canada  
19 letterhead, and was there any particular reason?

20 A Well that's where I worked, sir. I was no longer  
21 with the province in any way, shape, or form, and  
22 this would be a, in effect, business letter from  
23 our local branch, as I would put it.

24 Q Were you -- in your mind, sir, were you providing  
25 this information to Mr. Williams wearing your hat



1 as the former prosecutor of David Milgaard or  
2 wearing your hat as a member of the Federal  
3 Department of Justice Criminal Prosecutions?

4 A Well, strictly speaking, I think it would be the  
5 latter of those, sir, in that I was now working in  
6 that office and I was --

7 Q Yeah?

8 A -- helping with something I happened to know about  
9 from my previous career, hopefully. Does that --  
10 I --

11 Q Put it this way; had you not been the prosecutor  
12 in the Gail Miller case do you think Eugene  
13 Williams would have asked you to get this file  
14 information?

15 A I don't think so, I think he would have been  
16 searching for that person.

17 Q And so -- fair enough. If we could go now to the  
18 body of the letter, we will go through parts of  
19 this, it says, 'Further to our recent telephone  
20 conversations, I have now had an opportunity to go  
21 to the provincial prosecutor's office here and go  
22 through the Milgaard file again in detail.' And  
23 it says here "again in detail"; do you know what  
24 you are referring to there?

25 A To me that means I read the whole file again.



1 Q And --

2 A And, of course, that being the one that we have  
3 been talking about.

4 Q But reading it again as in the first time was  
5 1970, or again as in you did it again a month  
6 earlier?

7 A Well if -- I guess, pardon me, a month or so  
8 earlier must have been when I found the name of  
9 Fisher and communicated that to him, I don't know  
10 if that was by phone or letter, so I would assume  
11 that this would refer to essentially a month  
12 later, Mr. Hodson, if that -- if that appears to  
13 make sense.

14 Q Prior to being contacted by Eugene Williams in  
15 let's say September-October of 1989, in the year  
16 or two prior, is there any reason why you would  
17 have sat down and gone through your prosecution  
18 file?

19 A I don't recall any, and I can't think that there  
20 would be, unless someone can point out to me that  
21 I did.

22 Q So maybe on this point here, where you say you  
23 went through the file again, one of two  
24 possibilities; "again" being you obviously  
25 reviewed it in 1970, but it's also possible that



1           it was in the months, weeks, months, prior to  
2           October '89, it's possible that that's when you  
3           had gone through it; and, secondly, I think you  
4           said if you had it would have been in relation to  
5           a request by Mr. Williams; is that --

6       A       Yeah, to look for that name Fisher, sir. I --

7       Q       You have a memory -- you have brought that up a  
8           couple times.

9       A       Yeah, okay.

10      Q       Tell us what you remember about that?

11      A       Only that I'm sure it was Mr. Williams who asked  
12           me to search the file for the name of Mr. Fisher,  
13           and in fairly short order I went over, read the  
14           whole file, I'm sure I read past the McCorriston  
15           report, but I expect that I then photocopied that  
16           and got it to him either by letter or --

17      Q       In -- let me just outline, Mr. Caldwell, February  
18           28th, 1990 when we haven't heard from Mr. Williams  
19           yet, --

20      A       Uh-huh.

21      Q       -- but the documents certainly suggest that's when  
22           he first became aware of the name, now subject to  
23           what his evidence is, of Larry Fisher, --

24      A       Okay.

25      Q       -- and then inquiries were made after, and I think



1           there's some documents where you may have been  
2           asked to review the file after that, so that is  
3           months after this letter of October of 1989.

4       A       Oh, well that may be --

5       Q       Sorry.

6       A       I'm sorry.

7       Q       Now are you telling us that you looked at the file  
8           for Larry Fisher's name prior to sending your  
9           first letter off with file materials to Mr.  
10          Williams?

11      A       That's my impression, because my impression was  
12           the first thing I was asked to do was look for  
13           that name, which I did, but I may have my sequence  
14           wrong here sir.

15      Q       Earlier this morning, and I think -- and I stand  
16           to be corrected -- when I asked you or went  
17           through your statement to the Police Commission in  
18           1991 to Mr. Graham I thought you had said, and I  
19           could be wrong, that that's when you first -- the  
20           name Fisher came up in the letter from Mr. Corey?

21      A       Oh, well that, yeah, that is also true, but that  
22           was a, sort of a free-standing --

23      Q       Let's go through the documents --

24      A       Okay.

25      Q       -- and I'll come back to this, Mr. Caldwell.





1 A Very good, sir.

2 Q And sorry, just so that we have it clear what your  
3 memory is, Mr. Williams called and said "go look  
4 through your file to see if you can find the name  
5 Larry Fisher"?

6 A That's the, yeah, sort of bottom --

7 Q And you went through the file, and at the time he  
8 asked you to do that, did the name Larry Fisher  
9 mean anything to you?

10 A It, it still didn't, it was only about this time  
11 it stood out as apparently being meaningful.

12 Q Okay. No, no, when Mr. Williams called you --

13 A Yeah.

14 Q -- and said "Mr. Caldwell, go look at your file  
15 and look for anything with the name Larry  
16 Fisher" --

17 A Yeah.

18 Q -- at that time, the moment he called you, did the  
19 name Larry Fisher mean anything to you?

20 A I still don't think it did, Mr. Hodson.

21 Q Okay.

22 A Yeah.

23 Q And then you went and looked through your file?

24 A I did.

25 Q And what did you find?



1       A       I found that name and address that the Detective  
2               McCorriston interviewed.

3       Q       At the bus stop?

4       A       Yes.

5       Q       And then what did you do with that information?

6       A       In all likelihood I would have photocopied the,  
7               that report, and sent it to Mr. Williams. I may  
8               conceivably have phoned in addition to that, but  
9               I'm, I expect that there would be a paper result  
10              out of that.

11      Q       And are you able to tell us, do you think that  
12               would have been -- was that the first thing you  
13               did on behalf of Mr. Williams, are you able to put  
14               it in a timeline?

15      A       I really can't. I thought it was but I could very  
16               well be wrong.

17      Q       And when you say -- what causes you to say you  
18               think it was the first thing you did?

19      A       Well the fact that Mr. Williams phoned and Horst  
20               Dahlem was able to say that I was sitting across  
21               the desk from him, I think, was the occasion on  
22               which I was asked to look for that.

23      Q       Okay.

24      A       But I can't be positive, sir, about the order. I  
25               -- there should be documents somewhere that --



1 Q Would you have made any notes while at Federal  
2 Justice about that?

3 A I should have. And --

4 Q And where would you have put them?

5 A In whatever file we had in that office about this  
6 matter.

7 Q Okay. Let's, and I don't know what files existed,  
8 but let's assume for the moment at this time the  
9 only file is in Ottawa with Mr. Williams.

10 A Yeah.

11 Q Would there be a reason for you to have a file  
12 related to the re-opening?

13 A No, I don't, I don't mean it in that, in that  
14 sense, but any correspondence must have got filed  
15 in Federal Justice here under some --

16 Q I see.

17 A -- recognizable heading. I don't think of -- have  
18 any reason to think there was an existing file.

19 Q So, for example, your file copy of this letter --  
20 and I'm not sure where I got this from, --

21 A No.

22 Q -- this may be from, via Mr. Williams through to  
23 somebody, --

24 A Yeah.

25 Q -- but would you have kept a copy of this letter



1 on your own file?

2 A Well only my, whatever my office file was, I --

3 Q Scroll up to the top.

4 A Yeah.

5 Q What is this S-14411; would that be a Saskatchewan  
6 -- what's the significance of that?

7 A Do you know that? I don't know, sir.

8 Q We'll come back to this, --

9 A Okay.

10 Q -- Mr. Caldwell. If we can go through, 'Further  
11 to our recent telephone conversations I have now  
12 had the opportunity to go through it again in  
13 detail', and you copy and set out some  
14 information. If we can just go through A, it  
15 looks like you put together a binder for him,  
16 material relating to and following the preliminary  
17 inquiry, the covering letter July 8th we talked  
18 about, that's the letter that engaged you.

19 A Yes.

20 Q Number 2 is a document entitled Brief Outline  
21 Setting Out the Case in Brief Form?

22 A Yes.

23 Q I'm not sure what that --

24 A I think that was one of those documents, and right  
25 after it there would be a lengthy summary.



1 Q This may have been Mr. Ullrich's summary?

2 A That's my assumption, sir.

3 Q And then the next page, I think this is the  
4 witness required --

5 A Oh yeah.

6 Q -- re: David Milgaard; that was the witness  
7 summary Mr. Ullrich prepared?

8 A And that's the one I was just trying to describe,  
9 sir, yeah.

10 Q Well remember when we went through, though, there  
11 was two documents Mr. Ullrich gave you; one was  
12 the police theory, is what I called it, remember  
13 that? That's where Mr. Ullrich set out for you  
14 sort of a chronology, outline of the case?

15 A I must say at this moment I don't, but I'm sure  
16 that that's correct.

17 Q So is it -- well certainly, here, the witnesses  
18 required, --

19 A Yeah.

20 Q -- that's the summary that Mr. Ullrich --

21 A That's right, and that's what I would call the  
22 main one.

23 Q Right.

24 A The principal --

25 Q And that's what the witnesses could say?



1 A That was -- that's correct.

2 Q Right. And then you gave him copy of transcripts  
3 from John, Wilson, and Cadrain from the prelim;  
4 correct?

5 A That's right.

6 Q And then under B, material relating to trial, you  
7 say, memo entitled re: New witnesses  
8 Lapchuk-Melnyk-Frank, and sets out the chronology  
9 relating to the discovery of these witnesses. We  
10 saw that document in your file, I think it's -- we  
11 don't need to call it up, it's 007069, that was  
12 that memo you created back at the time?

13 A That's right, sir.

14 Q And then, again, the indictment, opening address,  
15 photographs -- maybe go to the next page -- copy  
16 of the statement of Nichol John on which she was  
17 eventually cross-examined, you indicate the  
18 original is still on the Q.B. file?

19 A Yeah.

20 Q I take it the original was marked as an exhibit  
21 for identification?

22 A That would be correct.

23 Q You gave Mr. Williams your report on the completed  
24 case on February 12th, the one we went through  
25 yesterday, the one you gave to your superiors



1 after the trial?

2 A That's right, as well as the closing address in  
3 number 13 there, sir.

4 Q And number 15, copy of the index of statements.  
5 And we have seen that document before, that's the  
6 95 individuals who gave statements, so you gave  
7 him the index?

8 A That's correct.

9 Q And I don't want to go through this, --

10 A Okay.

11 Q -- but you gave him correspondence relating to a  
12 disclosure that you had given to Mr. Tallis?

13 A That's correct. That I think we all saw a little  
14 earlier, sir.

15 Q Right. That's the letter to Mr. Tallis that has  
16 the disclosure. 17, the two statements that Mr.  
17 Milgaard gave dated March 3 and April 18th;  
18 correct?

19 A That's, that's right.

20 Q And then, the next page, you sent him your notes  
21 of January 14th, 1970 with Paynter on the secretor  
22 issue?

23 A Yeah.

24 Q And again we went through that, just for the  
25 record -- we don't need to call it up -- but



1           that's 009372, and that was the notes that you  
2           made about how he explained the secretor issue?

3       A       That's correct.

4       Q       And if we can go down to D he says, or the heading  
5           you have is Other Attacks On Nurses Or Other Women  
6           By Knife Wielding Assailants, and do you know what  
7           prompted that heading?

8       A       Well it goes on to say, sir, and I'm sure this is  
9           what I understood, 'on September 16th you advised  
10          me by telephone that Mr. Wolch had suggested that  
11          on the provincial file there were either news  
12          clippings or police reports to the above effect  
13          and asked me to check and see if this is so.' And  
14          then I say --

15      Q       Scroll down, please.

16      A       Yeah, 'There were no news clippings of this  
17          description on the file.'

18      Q       Yeah.

19      A       Yeah, I'm sorry.

20      Q       So, again, it looks like on September 26th Mr.  
21          Williams had asked you to go through the file  
22          looking for information that Mr. Wolch suggested  
23          was on your file?

24      A       That's right, and I did that.

25      Q       Do you know, at that time, how Mr. Wolch knew what





1           might be on your file?

2       A       Well, I don't know, but there were all kinds of  
3           suggestions of other offences. I don't know how  
4           he -- I assume he thought they may be on the file  
5           and, in this instance, they weren't.

6       Q       And so, again, you would have gone through the  
7           file specifically looking for that?

8       A       That, that's right.

9       Q       And the file, at this time, was located where?

10      A       In -- with the Provincial Crown Prosecutor's,  
11           Saskatoon, in Canterbury Tower.

12      Q       And then again under the heading Other Attacks on  
13           Nurses Or Other Women By Knife Wielding  
14           Assailants, number 20, you say, 'I enclose a  
15           report dated February 4th, 1969 by Detective  
16           Sergeant R. Mackie which in the final paragraph  
17           deals with an apparently unrelated sexual  
18           assault.' And I want to call up 002104, maybe  
19           just side by side, please.

20      A       Okay.

21                   COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: What's that  
22           number, I'm sorry, I can't read it?

23                   MR. HODSON: This is 150978.

24                   COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Oh, that's the  
25           document in question, all right, and then 002104.



1 Thank you.

2 MR. HODSON: And then if we can just call  
3 out that, those two, please. And so again in the  
4 letter to Mr. Williams, and I think you told us  
5 you went through your file looking for other  
6 attacks on nurses or other women by  
7 knife-wielding assailants --

8 A Yes.

9 Q -- and you referred to, or you send him a copy of  
10 this report that talks about (V2) (V2)- (V2)-----  
11 who had been a rape victim, and we've gone through  
12 this report before, I put this report to you?

13 A Yes, sir.

14 Q And again, just going back to what you said to Mr.  
15 Williams, you say it is -- 'deals with an  
16 apparently unrelated sexual assault', and I'm  
17 wondering; what would have prompted you to say  
18 that at the time?

19 A Well, it's the one, it's a sort of final paragraph  
20 in a one page report and it says due to no  
21 identification being made of either of these men.  
22 These were the presumed suspects, the Canton  
23 brothers.

24 Q Let me back up. When you are saying in an  
25 apparently unrelated sexual assault, are you



1 saying unrelated to the murder of Gail Miller?

2 A I don't -- I don't know what I meant by unrelated.

3 It could be that and -- or not.

4 Q If we can go back just to the document on the  
5 left.

6 A Okay.

7 Q Well, again let me just go back. Let's just take  
8 a look at 20, go back to the full page, please.  
9 You told us Mr. Williams asked you to go through  
10 the Gail Miller, or pardon me, the David Milgaard  
11 prosecution file I think is what you called it?

12 A Yeah.

13 Q To look for other attacks on nurses or other  
14 attacks by women by knife-wielding assailants and  
15 you send him a copy of the February 4th report by  
16 Mackie and you tell him that it deals with an  
17 apparently unrelated sexual assault. I'm  
18 wondering what possibly that could mean other than  
19 an apparent -- a sexual assault apparently  
20 unrelated to Gail Miller.

21 A Well, that would be I think the most obvious  
22 reference, if you will.

23 Q Is there anything else that you might have been --  
24 would that have been your thought at the time, Mr.  
25 Caldwell?



1           A           Yeah, it must have related to the Miller matter by  
2                       the way this is set out.

3           Q           Go down to paragraph 21:

4                       "I also enclose copies of statements  
5                       number 38, 39, 40, 63, 67, from among  
6                       the 95 civilian witness statements  
7                       mentioned above. These are the only  
8                       statements which I could find relating  
9                       to other supposed sexual offences or  
10                      attempts on the Provincial file. None  
11                      of these episodes involved a knife or  
12                      other weapon."

13                     And just for the record, I don't think we need to  
14                     call these up, but 38 is the statement of (V)--  
15                     (V)----, 39 is the statement of (V9) (V9)----, 40  
16                     is the statement of (V4)---- (V4)---, 63 is the  
17                     statement of (V)- (V)----, which is 006476, 67 is  
18                     (V6)--- (V6)-, and the statement 63 of (V)-  
19                     (V)---- we have not referred to before, I don't  
20                     think we need to call it up, it's in the record,  
21                     it's simply a lady who met a fellow I think in a  
22                     newspaper ad and claimed that she was assaulted  
23                     by him, but in any event, so you would have sent  
24                     those files off to Mr. Williams?

25           A           I would indeed.



1 Q Or those statements, pardon me?

2 A Yes, sir.

3 Q Do you know why Mr. Williams was asking you to  
4 look through your file to find documents relating  
5 to other attacks on nurses or other women by  
6 knife-wielding assailants?

7 A I don't know of my own knowledge, sir, based on  
8 him saying anything, anything like that.

9 Q Okay. If we can then go ahead to 016106, and this  
10 is October 25, 1989 -- sorry, no, I've got just a  
11 different version. 150983. And this is a letter,  
12 October 31, '89, again to Mr. Williams, call out  
13 the first part, please, it says:

14 "Further to our recent telephone  
15 conversations, I now enclose the  
16 following additional material for your  
17 file:"

18 The autopsy report, Ron Wilson's statement and  
19 Albert Cadrain's statement. So I take it you  
20 would have had a follow-up call with  
21 Mr. Williams?

22 A Apparently more than one by the way this reads,  
23 telephone conversations.

24 Q And then scroll down to the next paragraph, you  
25 say:



1 "I also located a note of mine in the  
2 Provincial Prosecutor's file indicating  
3 that Nichol John at some stage of the  
4 proceedings said that "... she saw it,  
5 and doesn't know why he didn't kill  
6 her". This statement was heard by  
7 witnesses M. Marcoux, and A. Cadrain and  
8 Mrs. Miller. From this, I infer that  
9 this statement was made at the time of  
10 either the preliminary inquiry or the  
11 trial herein, since those would be the  
12 only times when those three individuals  
13 would be assembled in one location."

14 And again that's the note that we've canvassed  
15 before; is that right?

16 A That's right, sir.

17 Q And then you say, scroll down:

18 "I have also received, and enclose an  
19 excerpt from the book entitled "Winnipeg  
20 8 - the Ice-cold Hothouse", which has at  
21 pages 115 through 151 a story by Peter  
22 Carlyle-Gordge concerning the mother of  
23 the accused and her efforts on his  
24 behalf."

25 And then you say:



1 "You may wonder if you are reading about  
2 the same murder currently under review."

3 And it goes on to talk about where you will be.

4 A Yeah.

5 Q What prompted you to write, send a copy of Mr.  
6 Carlyle-Gordge's article?

7 A I would assume the fact that Mr. Williams was  
8 asking questions related to the David Milgaard  
9 prosecution.

10 Q I'm going to -- I think, and I think the evidence  
11 that we may hear, Mr. Caldwell, is that the  
12 information that Mr. Wolch was asking Mr. Williams  
13 to check in the prosecutor's file may have been  
14 based on Mr. Peter Carlyle-Gordge's review of your  
15 file; in other words, the newspaper clippings or  
16 the -- I'm sorry, I forgot, the other attacks on  
17 nurses or other women by knife-wielding  
18 assailants.

19 A I had a feeling that that did come from Mr. Wolch  
20 to Mr. Williams. I may be --

21 Q And I'm just wondering whether the fact that you  
22 are sending a copy of Mr. Carlyle-Gordge's article  
23 here, whether or not Mr. Williams might have told  
24 you that the source of the information as to what  
25 might be on your file originated with Mr.



1 Carlyle-Gordge.

2 A It's possible, but I can't tell from my letter,  
3 sir.

4 Q And if we can go to the next page, it says  
5 "Milgaard miscellaneous files," I think it says,  
6 "This is crap." Is that your writing?

7 A No, I'm pleased to report.

8 Q Do you know whose it is?

9 A No. "- they don't even have the facts that are  
10 there on public record --" I don't know what the  
11 last --

12 Q Right.

13 A Right.

14 Q It says:

15 "This is crap - they don't even have the  
16 facts that are on public record right."

17 A Right.

18 Q And now in fairness, I'm not sure, this may be a  
19 version of documents we got from someone else, so  
20 you wouldn't have written on this before you sent  
21 it?

22 A No, and it's not my writing, neither is the upper  
23 left corner writing, sir.

24 MS. KNOX: I can indicate for the record,  
25 in Mr. Caldwell's file what he had was the full





1 book, I still have it, it was a dark bluey, or  
2 purply colour, and there's no way it could be  
3 written on like this, so clearly it's not a copy  
4 of the cover of his version of the book.

5 BY MR. HODSON:

6 Q I have a feeling that it may have been something  
7 that passed through Mr. Williams' office and  
8 whether it came from him or someone who looked at  
9 it after, but I'm sure by the time we're done  
10 we'll figure out whose it is.

11 If we can then go to 112584,  
12 this is a memorandum to file of February 28th,  
13 1990 from Mr. Williams that indicates he got a  
14 call that day from Mr. Asper following up on some  
15 further information, and in this memorandum  
16 Mr. Williams reports, and again just the  
17 background, Mr. Caldwell, we've heard evidence  
18 about this, that on February 28th, 1990, or  
19 thereabouts, Mr. Wolch received a call from an  
20 anonymous caller that had information suggesting  
21 Larry Fisher was the person who killed Gail  
22 Miller. That information was conveyed in a letter  
23 to Eugene Williams and a follow-up call and  
24 thereafter the documents suggest that Mr. Williams  
25 made some inquiries, and in this memo it's



1 reported that what Mr. Asper told Mr. Williams is  
2 that Linda Fisher was interviewed by T.D.R.  
3 Caldwell about this matter at or shortly after the  
4 event in 1969. Did you ever interview Linda  
5 Fisher?

6 A I'm certain I didn't, sir. If I had, I would  
7 have -- one place I would look is if her name was  
8 among the civilian witnesses for whom I got  
9 statements and who didn't get called. I don't  
10 think that's the case and I have no reason to  
11 think I ever interviewed her.

12 Q If we could call up 045233, and again this is a  
13 document that's on the Saskatoon City Police file,  
14 and I think it's a note regarding a call  
15 presumably March 1, 1990 which is right around  
16 this time. Whoever is the author returned a call  
17 to Eugene Williams, again:

18 "Mr. Williams advises that a Sidney  
19 Wilson approached council for David  
20 Milgaard, claiming that:"

21 Again, the information about Larry Fisher, and  
22 then it says:

23 "- he was not only interviewed by  
24 police --"

25 Sorry, let me back up. I'm not sure if the he is



1           referring to Sidney Wilson or Larry Fisher, but I  
2           want to ask you about both. It says he was not  
3           -- and this is information that Mr. Williams says  
4           came from counsel for David Milgaard, it says:

5                   "- he was not only interviewed by  
6                   police, but also by Mr. T.D.R. Caldwell,  
7                   Crown Prosecutor."

8           Did you ever interview Larry Fisher?

9       A       No, I do not believe I would know him again, sir,  
10           if he walked in the door, and I'm sure I didn't  
11           interview him.

12       Q       A fellow by the name of Bruce LaFreniere testified  
13           at this Inquiry as being the person who phoned Mr.  
14           Wolch in 1990. Did you ever interview  
15           Mr. LaFreniere?

16       A       I haven't -- I don't know if I was here the day  
17           that happened, but I don't have any knowledge of  
18           Mr. LaFreniere; in other words, if I was at the  
19           hearing that day, sir.

20       Q       And I'm not sure whether there is a Sidney Wilson,  
21           but I will ask anyway, did you ever interview a  
22           Sidney Wilson?

23       A       No, I didn't. I inquired from my counsel earlier  
24           on, I think possibly when the civil proceedings  
25           were going on, as to who that was. I don't think



1 I ever got an answer and I have no idea who he is,  
2 if anyone.

3 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Do we have any  
4 clue as to the author of this thing?

5 MR. HODSON: No. I think it's on the city  
6 police file because it's got the E120 and I think  
7 we'll be hearing some evidence later that this  
8 may have been a mistaken suggestion on all  
9 counts. I'm not sure about that, but --

10 MR. LOCKYER: May be what?

11 MR. HODSON: Mistaken. There's some  
12 reference that, and I think it may have been from  
13 Mr. Williams, but I'm not sure. I know that  
14 there's a document where, and I stand to be  
15 corrected, it may be the Linda Fisher memo, the  
16 112584 -- we'll clear this up with  
17 Mr. Williams -- but 112584, if we could have that  
18 up, I have a recollection of seeing a document  
19 somewhere where Mr. Williams may have commented  
20 on this, but perhaps I should leave it until we  
21 hear from others on that.

22 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: All right, thank  
23 you.

24 MS. KNOX: Mr. Commissioner, I wasn't here  
25 for the Linda Fisher evidence, I don't know if my



1 client was, but am I correct, or should we  
2 clarify on the record that Linda Fisher stated in  
3 her testimony under oath that she had never  
4 spoken to Mr. Caldwell, for his benefit, in case  
5 he wasn't here. I believe that was her evidence  
6 on that point as I understand it.

7 MR. HODSON: That was her evidence, yes.

8 A And, Mr. Hodson, I, as it happens, I'm sure was  
9 not here for her evidence.

10 BY MR. HODSON:

11 Q I think your evidence, sir, is that you don't  
12 think you interviewed Linda Fisher; is that right?

13 A Yes, and I don't believe I was in the Inquiry when  
14 she testified is what I'm saying. I certainly  
15 didn't interview her in my memory.

16 Q 016111, please, and this is August 29, 1990, and  
17 again a memo by Mr. Williams, and it just  
18 references:

19 "This was the second recent indication  
20 of media interest. On Friday, August  
21 24, 1990 Bob Caldwell called to advise  
22 that "A Current Affair", a U.S. news  
23 magazine, had requested him to appear on  
24 camera; he refused the request."

25 Do you remember that happening?



1       A       I think so. I think that was an occasion when  
2               they, in effect, phoned me by cell phone or some  
3               means and after some debate it turned out they  
4               were sitting in a van outside of the Churchill  
5               Building where our office was and I declined that  
6               opportunity.

7       Q       And why was that?

8       A       Well, because I -- it's very, very inconsiderate  
9               to show up and pressure me, or anyone else, you've  
10              got to give this interview because we came all the  
11              way up here from what I believe was the States,  
12              and I simply didn't want to dignify it by taking  
13              part in it.

14      Q       If we can then go to 164582, please, this is a  
15              letter of April 10, 1991 from Mr. Wolch to Legal  
16              Aid Manitoba, Mr. Fineblit, and relating to a  
17              request for some Legal Aid assistance relating to  
18              the application. If we can just go down to the  
19              bottom, and Mr. Wolch is talking about the  
20              department, federal department of justice, and the  
21              bottom paragraph:

22                    "Only witnesses submitted by Milgaard  
23                    were examined under oath by Departmental  
24                    officials. We understand that over  
25                    three dozen individuals were



1 interviewed, and yet only two were  
2 interviewed under oath. Moreover, these  
3 witnesses were not only simply examined,  
4 but more importantly, they were  
5 cross-examined as to their credibility.  
6 All of this occurred in our absence,  
7 even though we made repeated requests in  
8 the case of one witness to be present  
9 during the cross-examination."

10 Then the next page at the top, it says:

11 "The original prosecutor in the Milgaard  
12 case is now employed with the Department  
13 of Justice in Saskatoon. We understand  
14 that he was used in some respect as part  
15 of the investigating team in spite of  
16 the obvious conflict of interest."

17 And again, Mr. Caldwell, I would just like your  
18 evidence, sir, and again it may depend on what's  
19 meant by the investigating team, but what you did  
20 with respect to Mr. Milgaard's applications under  
21 Section 690 and your dealings with Mr. Williams,  
22 were you doing some of the investigation work?

23 A Not in any sense at all. I was attempting to  
24 assist, as I've said, as to names, addresses,  
25 where policemen and civilians were, whether they



1           were still around. I remember dealing with  
2           Sergeant Pearson in that general vein and I think  
3           it's actually recorded somewhere in all this  
4           material that I made a point of, you know,  
5           obviously not trying to influence what  
6           Mr. Williams and his colleagues, what the outcome  
7           should or would be, so that's -- in that sense  
8           that's not correct.

9           Q       Did you participate in any interviews or  
10           examinations of any witnesses conducted by Eugene  
11           Williams?

12          A       I don't believe so. I have no memory of that at  
13           all.

14          Q       Did you yourself interview any witnesses, 1988 to  
15           1992, related to the David Milgaard matter?

16          A       Not to my knowledge, sir.

17          Q       Do you recall whether or not either Rick Pearson  
18           or Eugene Williams or others working with them  
19           asked for your input or comments on certain of the  
20           witnesses?

21          A       I don't recall that happening. I'd be surprised  
22           if it did. I'd be surprised if I would really  
23           wish to further that if they did ask.

24          Q       For example, a call such as tell us what you  
25           really thought of Nichol John, we're trying to





1 figure out what her story is, do you remember  
2 anything like that?

3 A Well, I don't remember it, Mr. Hodson.

4 MR. HODSON: This is probably a good spot  
5 to break, Mr. Commissioner.

6 (Adjourned at 2:49 p.m.)

7 (Reconvened at 3:08 p.m.)

8 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Ladies and  
9 gentlemen, the time changes from daylight savings  
10 to standard in provinces other than this one on  
11 Sunday, October 30th, as I understand.  
12 Therefore, to accommodate the later arrival of  
13 flights on Monday morning, we will begin our  
14 sittings at 1:30 on Monday instead of one  
15 o'clock, and so as not to waste hearing time on  
16 Thursday, we will sit until 4 p.m. on Thursday  
17 instead of 3:30. So when we resume on October  
18 31st, Monday, it will be at 1:30.

19 BY MR. HODSON:

20 Q Just a couple of matters I should mention from,  
21 that I raised before we broke. 112854, just call  
22 that up, I had asked you about the interview of  
23 Larry Fisher, this was the information that came  
24 from Sidney Wilson, and I said I thought, I recall  
25 seeing something about this not being the case,



1 and in fact Mr. LaFreniere who testified before  
2 the Inquiry said that, I believe his evidence was,  
3 is that he did not tell Mr. Wolch or pass on the  
4 information that Linda Fisher was interviewed by  
5 you, and so I'm just clarifying that,  
6 Mr. Commissioner, and similarly when we talk about  
7 045233, and I think I made reference to this, I  
8 think the evidence of Mr. LaFreniere was that he  
9 did not, although he said he was interviewed by  
10 the police, it was the RCMP in Shellbrook in 1986,  
11 and I think Mr. LaFreniere told the Inquiry that  
12 he was not interviewed by Mr. Caldwell at any time  
13 I believe was his evidence.

14 If we can just go back, again  
15 trying to sort out the dealings with Mr. Williams,  
16 I did find one additional document that might  
17 assist, 027172, and again this is a memo of  
18 October 23, 1989 from Ellen Gunn, executive  
19 director, public prosecutions, and it refers to a  
20 discussion she had with Eugene Williams, and it  
21 just says here:

22 "Bobs Caldwell, who now works for the  
23 Department of Justice, has already  
24 reviewed the Crown file in Saskatoon and  
25 has provided them with some



1 information."

2 So it looks like on October 23, 1989 you had  
3 already looked at the file once; is that fair?

4 A That's fine, sir. I'm sure that's correct.

5 Q And I will look tonight to see, I think there may  
6 be a few other documents that might assist on this  
7 point, but I'll deal with those tomorrow morning.

8 If we could go to 063193, and do  
9 you ever have a recollection of dealing with  
10 Sergeant Rick Pearson in 1992?

11 A Yes, I did.

12 Q And I think the record is clear that Mr. Pearson  
13 was assisting Eugene Williams in the  
14 investigation?

15 A That's correct, sir.

16 Q Do you recall, again just generally, getting  
17 requests from Mr. Pearson to look at the file or  
18 get phone numbers, things of that nature? Did you  
19 have dealings with him?

20 A Yes. I think they were along those general lines,  
21 sir.

22 Q And so here, this note, I think it's March 11th,  
23 '92, and this is right around in the midst of the  
24 Supreme Court reference, attending at your  
25 residence to get a statement. Do you remember



1 giving a statement for -- to the RCMP for use by  
2 Federal Justice in the Supreme Court reference?

3 A Yes, I did.

4 Q And do you have a recollection, Mr. Caldwell, and  
5 I'll take you to some documents in a moment, a  
6 recollection of being asked possibly to testify at  
7 the Supreme Court in the reference case?

8 A I was -- I hope I have my cases correct. That's  
9 the -- I went to Ottawa and did not testify, and I  
10 could expand on that.

11 Q Please, yes.

12 A The -- the situation was that I felt that the  
13 declaration by Nichol John in the corridor, or the  
14 one we've been over a number of times, all other  
15 things being equal, could be evidence that was,  
16 you know, of im -- incriminating evidence against  
17 David Milgaard the way it came out. Now, whatever  
18 else happened with it, I spoke to Mr. Beresh it  
19 seems to me. I hope I've got my facts straight on  
20 this. Mr. Williams asked me to attend to Ottawa  
21 and issued me a voucher. I went to the airport,  
22 flew to Ottawa, which was allegedly the night  
23 before the last date on which evidence was given  
24 in that case, and went to the hotel in Ottawa and  
25 as requested I phoned Eugene Williams once I was



1 moved into the hotel late in the afternoon and he  
2 advised me that it had, in effect, had been  
3 decided that they did not wish to have or need my  
4 evidence, which was I think largely on that point  
5 other than a bunch of background and explanatory  
6 things, and I assumed from that that the, there  
7 must be an assumption that the case was in good  
8 order and that was unnecessary.

9 Now, I asked Mr. Williams about  
10 it. He advised me that at the very end of the --  
11 while they were planning upcoming witnesses and  
12 evidence, that Mr. Wolch had said that he wanted  
13 to examine me on the matter of discovery of  
14 documents. Whoever was there for the Provincial  
15 Crown replied that if that's the case, I'm going  
16 to, you know, examine Caldwell on that, that  
17 declaration in the hallway made by Nichol John,  
18 the one we've been speaking of, and according to  
19 Mr. Williams, at that point either the judge or  
20 Mr. Wolch, it was collectively decided that he  
21 would not call me on disclosure which would, you  
22 know, would derail that other matter. I hope  
23 that's --

24 Q And that's your recollection?

25 A Yes, it is, and I haven't really looked at --



1 Q When you went to Ottawa, who did you think might  
2 be asking you to be a witness?

3 A Well, it would be -- I was dealing with Eugene  
4 Williams.

5 Q Yes.

6 A And I presume if there was a Commission Counsel,  
7 I'm not sure that it was him, but it would be  
8 the --

9 Q Does the names Mr. Frater (ph) or Fainstein --

10 A I seem to recall those names, but I don't think I  
11 dealt with either of them by phone.

12 Q In any event, you were in Ottawa. Were you  
13 prepared to testify if asked to do so?

14 A Oh, I was, and I had three or four documents with  
15 me and that didn't occur. I stayed overnight.

16 Q If we could go to 05 -- pardon me, this talks  
17 about the statement, let's go to 056937 and --  
18 sorry, I've called up the wrong document. 056937?  
19 Sorry, 058938 is the page number of that document.  
20 The next page, 056938?

21 MR. LOCKYER: You said 058938.

22 BY MR. HODSON:

23 Q Sorry, this is what I'm looking for. Did I get  
24 the number wrong? 056937 is the doc ID and this  
25 is 938, the next page.



1 MS. KNOX: This is Mr. Caldwell's statement  
2 in his own hand?

3 BY MR. HODSON:

4 Q Yes. So this is a handwritten statement, and  
5 there's a typewritten version of this which we'll  
6 go through, but would I be correct, Mr. Caldwell,  
7 that you went through and gave a statement to Rick  
8 Pearson about the matters stated in this  
9 statement?

10 A That's right. He did the writing.

11 Q If we could go to 056952, and that's your  
12 signature at the end?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q And if we can go to 301002, this is a memorandum  
15 of March 11th, '92 of Mr. Pearson to Mr. Williams  
16 with the statement taken from you and appendices.  
17 Maybe we'll just go through parts of this. Go to  
18 the next page. This looks as though it's a  
19 question and answer session with Mr. Pearson, he  
20 says:

21 "I've been requested by the Federal  
22 Justice Department to ask you certain  
23 questions pertaining to your  
24 involvement, as prosecutor, in the  
25 Milgaard case. I am showing you a copy



1 of a handwritten sheet of paper which is  
2 identified as Appendix "A". Do you know  
3 anything about this?"

4 If we can just go to the next page, please, I  
5 think that's Appendix "A", and we've looked at  
6 that before, that's the note which we touched on  
7 before:

8 "All heard N. John say "I don't know why  
9 he didn't till me too - I was right  
10 there + saw it all, but I'm not going to  
11 say nothing."

12 And then the second, maybe just scroll down,  
13 please:

14 "John told Wilson when Wilson returned  
15 to car that she had seen M. stabbing the  
16 girl. John was screaming, etc. When  
17 Wilson told John what M. told him in  
18 Calgary, she already knew."

19 Again, we've looked at that note before, I think  
20 that's Appendix "A". So if we can go back to the  
21 previous page, and you say:

22 "At the Preliminary Inquiry, one of the  
23 witnesses, Mary Marcoux, or Albert  
24 Cadrain, or both of them, advised me  
25 that they, plus Mrs. Miller, Gail





1 Miller's mother, who was present at the  
2 hearing, all heard Nichol John, "I don't  
3 know why he didn't kill me too. I was  
4 right there, and saw it all, but I'm not  
5 going to say nothing." I copied this  
6 down immediately and incorporated it in  
7 a list of things to be followed up after  
8 the Preliminary Hearing. The note,  
9 Appendix "A", to this statement, was  
10 made in my handwriting from the entry on  
11 that list, which is still on the  
12 original prosecution file, which  
13 remained with Sask Justice and is  
14 currently in Regina ..."

15 And I take it that's -- is that accurate, Mr.  
16 Caldwell?

17 A Yeah, yes.

18 Q And then it says:

19 "Q When did you write out Appendix "A"?

20 A It was located in 1989 as a result of  
21 me going through the prosecution file  
22 on the request of Eugene Williams, to  
23 forward to him various items from that  
24 file. Appendix "A" was written in my  
25 handwriting at an earlier date.



1 Q And are the comments which are  
2 attributed to Nichol John on Appendix  
3 "A" word for word from the original  
4 prosecution file?

5 A Yes they are."  
6 Is that accurate and truthful?

7 A Yes.

8 Q "Q What did you do with the information  
9 provided to you as noted on Appendix  
10 "A"?

11 A I was unable to envision a way this  
12 statement of Nichol John could be used  
13 in Court. She was not the accused and  
14 any attempt to lead that evidence from  
15 one of those witnesses would be  
16 regarded as 'hearsay' evidence. In  
17 interviews with Nichol John, she  
18 repeated to me what was in her final  
19 statement, but in neither the  
20 Preliminary Hearing or trial did she  
21 describe fully the encounter between  
22 Milgaard and Gail Miller."

23 Let me pause there.

24 A Uh-huh.

25 Q Again, Mr. Caldwell, I had asked you this question



1 earlier and you said you don't recall her telling  
2 you or repeating what was in her final statement.  
3 According to this statement, it suggests that at  
4 that time you did think that?

5 A Well, this -- this would be, in my view, the  
6 authoritative version of that. This is an  
7 interview with the policeman, "she repeated to me  
8 what was in her final statement", so I think at  
9 that time, Mr. Hodson, my memory of that episode  
10 would be much more fresh, and I'm sure that's the,  
11 if you will, the accurate version of it there.

12 Q So I think you are telling us, although you don't  
13 have a recollection, you are telling us, based on  
14 this document and perhaps a few others I've shown  
15 you, it's your belief, sir, that Nichol John did  
16 repeat the incriminating -- or did repeat the  
17 contents of her May 24th statement to you in  
18 interviews?

19 A That's correct, so that's more than one interview,  
20 and I'm sure that when I gave this statement to  
21 the sergeant my memory on it was, you know, good,  
22 as opposed to now, shall we say. But I certainly  
23 think that's correct, sir.

24 Q And then you say:

25 "I inferred that the terminology, "I



1 don't know why he didn't cell he too - I  
2 was right there and saw it all, but I'm  
3 not going to say nothing" may have  
4 indicated that Nichol John witnessed  
5 more of the confrontation between  
6 Milgaard and Gail Miller than was in the  
7 final statement Nichol John gave to  
8 police."

9 And why did you infer that?

10 A Well the final statement she gave the police was  
11 before this in time. "The terminology", and then  
12 it's recited there, "may have indicated that  
13 Nichol John witnessed more of the confrontation  
14 between Milgaard and Gail Miller than was in the  
15 final statement Nichol John gave the police". I  
16 thought that was, you know, an inevitable  
17 conclusion from that set of facts, Mr. Hodson.

18 Q Okay. Then, if we can scroll down, it says, the  
19 question:

20 "Q Again referring to Appendix "A", the  
21 bottom half beginning with "John told  
22 Wilson ...",  
23 and I just read that to you:

24 "... what is this regarding?"

25 You say:



1                   "A    They are notes of mine from information  
2                   on the prosecutor's file that appeared  
3                   to relate to what Nichol John told  
4                   Wilson about this same part of the  
5                   confrontation between Milgaard and Gail  
6                   Miller."

7                   And is that accurate?

8       A           Yes it is.

9       Q           And then:

10                  "Q    I wish to have you examine what is noted  
11                  as Appendix "B" and tell me if it is  
12                  something which you authored.  Appendix  
13                  "B" is made up of five typed pages."

14                  And if you can go to 301016, please, and Appendix  
15                  B is what we've called, I think, the 'script  
16                  document'; you are familiar with that?

17       A           Yes sir, that's my understanding.

18       Q           If we can go back to 301005.  And, again, your  
19                  answer is:

20                  "A    I was not the author of this document.  
21                  To my knowledge this document was never  
22                  part of the prosecution file.

23                  Q    Do you have any knowledge of who may  
24                  have authored this document?

25       A           No.



1 Q When did you first see the document  
2 referred to as Appendix "B"?

3 A I first saw a copy of it in the  
4 possession of Inspector Quinn,  
5 Saskatoon City Police, on March 2nd,  
6 1992. I believe the copy that  
7 Inspector Quinn was showing me had  
8 been received by him shortly before  
9 Monday, March 2nd. Also, on March  
10 10th, 1992, I received a copy of  
11 Appendix "B" from Mr. Neufeld in  
12 Ottawa via fax to Saskatoon Crown  
13 Prosecutor's office. On March the  
14 10th I attended at the Prosecutor's  
15 office in the Regina Court House in  
16 the company of Sergeant Pearson of the  
17 Saskatoon RCMP. The purpose was to  
18 review the file to provide answers to  
19 questions which were being posed to me  
20 by Federal Justice. The bulk of the  
21 original prosecution file from  
22 Saskatoon was there with some items  
23 moved into different folders and some  
24 material which I believe to be in  
25 Ottawa with Crown Counsel on the



1 application. For instance, file  
2 folder #5, which was "Witness  
3 Statements Not Used" was not there and  
4 I understand to be in Ottawa.

5 I did not locate a copy of  
6 Appendix "B" on the original  
7 prosecution file which was stored in  
8 the second drawer of a legal size  
9 filing cabinet. While attempting to  
10 match statement numbers to numbers  
11 shown on Appendix "B", I observed file  
12 folders which were new to me, set up  
13 by names of witnesses, some of which  
14 were not called at trial. In a file  
15 entitled "Milgaard - Witness Roberts -  
16 Art - Polygraph Operator" I located a  
17 copy of Appendix "B".

18 Q Can you speculate as to where Appendix  
19 "B" may have originated?"

20 Let me just pause there. Is that last answer  
21 accurate and truthful?

22 A All that is, sir.

23 Q And you answer:

24 "A I understand the Saskatoon Police Dept.  
25 have a large investigation file on the



1 Gail Miller murder, which I believe  
2 contains much more material than was  
3 ever forwarded to the Saskatoon Crown  
4 Prosecutors' Office for use in the court  
5 case. It is my understanding that a  
6 copy of this entire police file was  
7 supplied to Sask Justice in Regina,  
8 Sask. It is possible this document  
9 could have come from the police file.

10 Q Is there anything further you wish to  
11 add about Appendix "B" before we move  
12 on?

13 A Under the final heading "Suggestions"  
14 of Appendix "B", where it states,  
15 "Nichol John, Wilson and Cadrain  
16 brought to Saskatoon where with all  
17 present the true story can be obtained  
18 even if hypnosis or polygraph are  
19 necessary" suggests to me the police  
20 investigation was still ongoing when  
21 this document was compiled. I believe  
22 Wilson gave his final statements on  
23 May 23 and 24, 1969 after the  
24 polygraph examination was complete and  
25 the file was forwarded to the





1 Prosecutors' office on July 8, 1969."

2 Is that accurate and truthful?

3 A Yes, sir.

4 Q So it would appear, in March of 1992, Sergeant  
5 Pearson and Federal Justice and others were trying  
6 to ascertain a couple things; one, whether you  
7 authored the script document?

8 A Correct.

9 Q And you said "no" at that time?

10 A That's right.

11 Q Two, whether it was on your file in the course of  
12 the prosecution, and you said "no" at that time?

13 A That's also right, sir.

14 Q And three, it appears that a copy of this was on  
15 the file or was located in the documents on the  
16 file in Regina, on the prosecutor's file in  
17 Regina; is that right?

18 A Yes, in the Regina courthouse basement where I  
19 believe it was there as a part of the letting the  
20 counsel there work on getting ready for the  
21 application that was going on, Mr. Neufeld and I  
22 think Mr. Brown, I'm not sure.

23 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: This document,  
24 301016, is that the five-page summary?

25 MR. HODSON: That's the -- Appendix "B" is



1 the five-page summary.

2 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yes.

3 BY MR. HODSON:

4 Q And I'm sorry, I do have the actual file that's  
5 got "Milgaard - Witness Roberts - Art - Polygraph  
6 Operator"; is that where the script document was  
7 located?

8 A Well I --

9 Q Do you want to -- sorry.

10 A Yeah, I'll certainly look at it. Thanks.

11 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: What did you say,  
12 "script"?

13 MR. HODSON: "Script", yes.

14 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay.

15 BY MR. HODSON:

16 Q I think that's what it's -- it's the five-page  
17 document that Mr. Mackie, I think, acknowledged in  
18 his evidence that he prepared.

19 A By the look of this, sir, I would say that this is  
20 the file we found it in in Regina.

21 Q And what else was in that file?

22 A Umm --

23 Q I mean, by looking at it, what -- you've got the  
24 file folder in front of you, sir?

25 A Okay. Well I trust it's similar materials. There



1 is a blue sheet in the front that's part of some  
2 investigative materials by the RCMP.

3 MS. KNOX: Perhaps for the record if we  
4 could separate out the Flicker folder, because  
5 that wouldn't have been there in 1992.

6 MR. HODSON: Yes, please.

7 BY MR. HODSON:

8 Q And yes, for the record what we have is what the  
9 Government of Saskatchewan gave us as being the  
10 files, I think when they were done with them, if I  
11 can say, after the Supreme Court reference.

12 A Okay.

13 Q And I think this, this folder, this is where you  
14 found it in Regina in 1992; is that right?

15 A That appears that way by the heading on the file  
16 tab, folder.

17 Q When you were preparing your files back in  
18 1969-1970 did you have a file folder called  
19 "Milgaard - Witness Roberts - Art - Polygraph  
20 Operator"?

21 A No.

22 Q Is there anything in that file that assists you in  
23 determining whether that scripted document would  
24 have been on your files, or not, back in  
25 1969-1970?



1       A       Well not on this file, Mr. Hodson, but I clearly  
2               am of the view that it never was on our  
3               prosecution file --

4       Q       Okay.

5       A       -- at any time.

6               MS. KNOX: Mr. Commissioner, to assist  
7               other counsel perhaps in -- if they seek to  
8               locate this, this is a file that was contained in  
9               the public prosecution boxes forwarded to the  
10              Commission office that Mr. Kennedy of my office  
11              and I searched some weeks ago and located. It is  
12              in RCMP Flicker file folder 93-153 that bears the  
13              label "Sask. Justice, Roberts, Art, Polygraph",  
14              and in box, as I said, PP number 3 in the  
15              Commission office documents.

16             COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Counsel, if you  
17             did not get that down and you want to get it,  
18             please see Ms. Knox afterwards.

19             MR. HODSON: And I think we will be hearing  
20             later from Murray Brown as far as their dealings  
21             with the files back then.

22             COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay.

23       BY MR. HODSON:

24       Q       So, in any event, in 1992 you were asked to direct  
25               your mind to the question to review the file as it



1           existed and prepare a statement to say, I think  
2           what I said first of all, whether you prepared it,  
3           which you said "no"; second of all, whether you  
4           had it on your file, which you said "no"; and  
5           thirdly, to explain, I think, or speculate, as  
6           Pearson asked you, as to how it would be on your  
7           file. Did you come to any conclusion Mr.  
8           Caldwell, based upon your review then or  
9           subsequent, as to how it was that that script  
10          document would be on the -- on part of your files  
11          in Regina in 1992?

12        A       Well I guess it's significant to note that where  
13               it was in Regina was in a filing folder, I believe  
14               the one you have there, that had never been part  
15               of my or our Saskatoon David Milgaard prosecution  
16               file. It -- the writing is unknown to me, the --

17        Q       I see.

18        A       Etcetera. And I -- I don't know how I could, how  
19               I can help you further than that, sir. I did not  
20               know where it came from.

21        Q       So if we can then just carry on, here:

22                       "Can you please ...",  
23               the question is:

24               "Q    Can you please provide me with details  
25                       of the extent of disclosure provided to



1 Mr. Tallis regarding the Milgaard  
2 prosecution."

3 And I don't propose to go through this, but you  
4 then outline -- again, would it be fair to say  
5 that what you, and I think you simply go through  
6 all the letters that we've already gone through,  
7 and that would be accurate?

8 A Yes, I assume it is, sir.

9 Q So, if we look at this, it looks as though -- and  
10 please correct me if I'm wrong -- the first  
11 subject matter you are dealing with is Nichol  
12 John's utterance in the witness room, her  
13 statement; the second one is the script document,  
14 which we know from other transcripts had become an  
15 issue at the Supreme Court reference?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And in fact who -- and I think, and I will be  
18 showing you in a moment a letter that Mr. Wolch  
19 had written -- I think his position was that it  
20 was prepared by a senior police officer, or  
21 perhaps you as the Crown prosecutor --

22 A Yeah.

23 Q -- given the nature of the document, so it looks  
24 as though that issue was addressed in this  
25 statement?



1 A I would think so.

2 Q And then, thirdly, disclosure was addressed in  
3 detail?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And am I correct that this statement may have been  
6 a summary of the evidence that you might be able  
7 to provide if you were called as a witness at the  
8 reference?

9 A Oh, it was nothing, sir, that I created  
10 whatsoever.

11 Q No, I appreciate that, Mr. Caldwell.

12 A Yeah, okay.

13 Q My question is this: In looking at the content of  
14 what Mr. Pearson asked you, you answered his  
15 questions, the subject matters that he asked you  
16 about were Nichol John's statement to you in the  
17 witness room, you already told me that one of the  
18 reasons you went to Ottawa is because you thought  
19 you might have to testify on that subject matter?

20 A That's right.

21 Q Do you remember that?

22 A Yeah.

23 Q Secondly it talks about the script document, that  
24 he asks you about the script document?

25 A Correct.



1 Q And I'm telling you, sir, that that was an issue  
2 at the Supreme Court at the time, it had come up,  
3 and the parties, or some of the parties, were  
4 trying to figure out who prepared it --

5 A Yes.

6 Q -- and when it was prepared; okay?

7 A Okay.

8 Q And so I'm suggesting, is it possible that you  
9 were -- one of the subject matters that you might  
10 testify on might be your knowledge of the script  
11 document?

12 A Umm, I -- I can't see how, Mr. Hodson, except to  
13 be asked "do you know anything about it" and say  
14 "no I don't". Like the statement I gave Sergeant  
15 Pearson, I thought, was very clear and --

16 Q Let me try this again, --

17 A Yeah okay.

18 Q -- Mr. Caldwell.

19 A Okay.

20 Q I'm simply asking, Mr. Pearson --

21 A Yeah.

22 Q -- it appears is asking you for your information  
23 on some specific subject matters.

24 A Right.

25 Q Forget your answers.





1 A Okay.

2 Q I'm just simply trying to understand whether it's  
3 possible that somebody was looking at having you  
4 testify at the Supreme Court reference on these  
5 three subject matters, one of them being your  
6 knowledge, whatever it might be, of the script  
7 document?

8 A Yeah, that's quite possible, sir. I did --

9 Q Do you have any recollection of that being an area  
10 that Mr. Williams said you might have to talk  
11 about, the script document?

12 A No I don't.

13 Q And then I think, on disclosure, you've already  
14 told us your discussion with Mr. Williams about  
15 giving evidence about the -- the nature of your  
16 disclosure; is that correct?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And then if we can go to page 301013, and again  
19 just picking up on the disclosure aspect, you are  
20 asked:

21 "Q Earlier in this statement you indicated  
22 that 95 statements obtained from  
23 civilian witnesses by the police during  
24 their investigation into the Miller  
25 murder were turned over to you. Did you



1 turn those over to Mr. Tallis?

2 A No, not all of them. As a result of  
3 Mr. Tallis' letter, Appendix "G", I  
4 obtained all the statements that had  
5 been obtained from civilian witnesses  
6 in relation to this investigation,  
7 totalling 95, and read them all over  
8 as set out in Appendix "H" and also  
9 forwarding four civilian witness  
10 statements and two statements taken  
11 from the accused."

12 And, again, we've already covered all of that;  
13 correct?

14 A Yes, sir.

15 Q And then:

16 "Q It is believed that during the time  
17 period prior to and after the Miller  
18 murder, there were several reports from  
19 women, to the Saskatoon City Police,  
20 that they had been sexually assaulted.  
21 Can you recall if there was ever an  
22 association made between these reports  
23 and the Miller investigation?

24 A At this time I have no recollection of  
25 other reports of sexual assaults over



1                   this time period. On October 25,  
2                   1989, I wrote Mr. Eugene Williams,  
3                   Federal Justice, forwarding him  
4                   several items from the Saskatoon  
5                   Provincial Crown Prosecutor's file  
6                   under the heading, "Other Attacks on  
7                   Nurses Or Other Women By Knife  
8                   Wielding Assailants". I recorded that  
9                   Mr. Williams had advised me by phone  
10                  on September 26, 1989, that Mr. Wolch  
11                  had suggested that on the Provincial  
12                  file there were either news clippings  
13                  or police reports to the above effect,  
14                  and asked me to check and see if this  
15                  was so. I replied that there were no  
16                  news clippings of this description on  
17                  the file. I also enclosed a copy of a  
18                  Feb. 4, 1969 police report by  
19                  Det./Sgt. R. Mackie which in the final  
20                  paragraph dealt with an apparently  
21                  unrelated sexual assault."

22        A           Yes.

23        Q           And, again, that's what we've already went  
24                    through, the (V1)-?

25        A           Yes sir.



1 Q Then, again, you say -- scroll down please:

2 "I enclosed copies of statements, #38,  
3 39, 40, 63 and 67 from among the 95  
4 civilian witness statements, saying  
5 these were the only statements I could  
6 find relating to other supposed sexual  
7 offences or attempts on the Provincial  
8 file and that none of these episodes  
9 involved a knife or other weapon."

10 Is that all true, sir?

11 A Yes sir.

12 Q And then finally:

13 "On Jan. 31, 1969, (V4)---- (V4)---  
14 complained to the Saskatoon City Police  
15 that at 7:07 a.m. on Jan. 31, 1969, she  
16 had been sexually assaulted while en  
17 route to a bus stop. Do you have any  
18 recollection of this incident being  
19 associated to the Miller investigation?

20 A At this time I do not recall the name  
21 (V4)---- (V4)---, but without having  
22 access to a copy of the index of the  
23 95 civilian witness statements which  
24 were on the Provincial Crown  
25 Prosecutor's file in Saskatoon, I



1 cannot say whether I ever received a  
2 statement by this person. I also do  
3 not recall her name in any police  
4 reports which came to that office, but  
5 I have never searched the file  
6 material looking for the name  
7 (V4)---."

8 And is that accurate?

9 A Yes, sir.

10 Q And I think at that time the witness statements  
11 were in Ottawa; is that fair?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Because in those witness statements I think you've  
14 acknowledged that there was a statement from  
15 (V4)---- (V4)---?

16 A I don't doubt that, sir.

17 Q And if we can go to 008716, this is Mr. Pearson's,  
18 I think this is Mr. Pearson's summary note, and it  
19 just says, 'During the Supreme Court review,  
20 consideration was given to calling the original  
21 prosecutor in the Milgaard case, Mr. Caldwell.  
22 Questions of disclosure at the time of the  
23 original trial were being raised by Milgaard's  
24 present counsel, suggesting that there was  
25 evidence available which may have shed a different



1 light on the prosecution. It was also suggested  
2 by Milgaard's counsel the existence of Larry  
3 Fisher may have been known to police investigators  
4 and Crown prosecutor during the murder  
5 investigation. A statement was provided by Mr.  
6 Caldwell, which answered specific questions being  
7 asked of him by Federal Justice. Mr. Caldwell's  
8 statement and applicable attachments are attached  
9 as Appendix QQQ."

10 Again, that's the statement I  
11 went through, so I take it that that --

12 A Oh.

13 Q Do you have any reason to dispute what Mr. Pearson  
14 said?

15 A No, that's accurate.

16 Q Now I want to go through, in somewhat of a  
17 chronological fashion, some allegations and other  
18 matters that were made following the Supreme Court  
19 decision. And I take it, Mr. Caldwell, that you  
20 would have become aware, in April of 1992, of the  
21 Supreme Court decision?

22 A I must have, sir.

23 Q Do you recall what reaction, if any, you would  
24 have had to that decision? I presume you would  
25 have read it at the time?



1 A I, again I assume I did, I -- this is the one in  
2 which the new trial was ordered I take it?

3 Q It's the decision where they set aside the  
4 conviction --

5 A Yeah.

6 Q -- and directed, I think they didn't order a new  
7 trial, but I think left it up to the Attorney  
8 General.

9 A Oh, yeah, okay. Yeah, I knew of that outcome.

10 Q And, at that time, you were no longer employed by  
11 the Attorney General for Saskatchewan?

12 A What was the date of that, Mr. --

13 Q April of '92?

14 A No, that's correct.

15 Q And you would have been with Federal Justice at  
16 that time?

17 A Umm, I believe my employment there ceased at the  
18 end of October of '91, --

19 Q I see.

20 A -- if I'm correct, sir. I --

21 Q And so -- I'm sorry?

22 A No, I'm just, I could double-check that.

23 Q No, that's fine.

24 A Yeah.

25 Q So April of '92, we know from other documents that



1 the Government of Saskatchewan, through the  
2 Attorney General Robert Mitchell, made the  
3 decision not to proceed with a new trial of Mr.  
4 Milgaard; were you aware of that at the time?

5 A I undoubtedly learned it at the time.

6 Q Did you play any role at all in the decision made  
7 by the Attorney General of Saskatchewan regarding  
8 the decision not to proceed with another trial  
9 against Mr. Milgaard?

10 A No, sir.

11 Q And I take it that, I'm not sure if I can give you  
12 an exact starting date, but let's say sometime in  
13 the early '90s would you have become aware, Mr.  
14 Caldwell, of allegations being made against you by  
15 persons acting on behalf of Mr. Milgaard?

16 A I, in a general way, I -- I think I was.

17 Q And again, we'll go through some of them, but they  
18 would be in letters suggesting that you acted  
19 improperly. You are aware, generally, of those  
20 being made by --

21 A I'm sure they happened, sir.

22 Q And, as well, in the media?

23 A In --

24 Q You would have been aware, in the newspapers and  
25 the other media, of allegations made by people on





1           behalf of David Milgaard that you did wrong?

2       A       Yeah, I'm sure that I was aware of those.

3       Q       And just generally, and again I'll go through some  
4           of these, can you tell us, sir, what -- how did  
5           you react to that or what, if anything, did you do  
6           about that?

7       A       Well I, at that time I was not with, I was not  
8           with either of the two justice departments, I was  
9           -- I don't know how much I could or did do about  
10          it or tried to do about it. Maybe you can -- you  
11          may have some documents.

12      Q       I'll go through some documents with you.

13      A       Yeah, okay.

14      Q       I'm just talking generally.

15      A       Well I -- it was exceedingly upsetting to myself  
16           and my family, needless to say, because these  
17           accusations were incorrect and wrong.

18      Q       And again, generally, I'll go through some of  
19           them; do you recall whether you took steps to try  
20           and correct them or to publicly state your  
21           position?

22      A       I think, by that time, I may have felt that it  
23           would be an uphill battle to get out in public and  
24           try to, in effect, slug it out with the accusers  
25           verbally, as it were.



1 Q And when you say "uphill battle" what do you mean  
2 by that?

3 A Well I don't -- I was, in effect, all on my own at  
4 that time and I -- I think it would have been a  
5 massive task to try to run down each of those  
6 allegations and rebut them, if that makes any  
7 sense at all.

8 Q If we can call up 026935, please. Again, this is  
9 April 20, 1992, I think it's about six days after  
10 the Supreme Court ruling, and we will see much of  
11 this letter later on, I think with Mr. Mitchell,  
12 but it's a request by Mr. Wolch on behalf of Mr.  
13 Milgaard, I think, for a public inquiry. And if  
14 we can go to -- in fact I think, let me correct  
15 that, I think Mr. Mitchell may have announced that  
16 there wasn't going to be an inquiry.

17 A All right.

18 Q Mr. Wolch says:

19 "... it is not the purpose of this

20 letter to debate those reasons, ...",

21 I think he is talking about the Supreme Court:

22 "... but to express further concern that

23 you announced that there would be no

24 inquiry and no compensation from the

25 Saskatchewan Government.",



1 and goes on to talk about the reasons. Before --  
2 if we can go to the next page, it goes on to say  
3 here:

4 "There are three main "players" in the  
5 prosecution of David Milgaard. The role  
6 of each must be examined in light of the  
7 above."

8 It goes on to talk about Detective Karst, and  
9 then the next page, and states:

10 "In March of 1971, ...",  
11 this relates to Mr. T.D.R. Caldwell:

12 "... the Deputy Chief of Police was  
13 requested by Mr. Caldwell to forward the  
14 particulars of the Fisher crimes to  
15 Regina ... This of course was when David  
16 Milgaard was in the process of preparing  
17 his final appeal to the Supreme Court of  
18 Canada. Once again, the question of why  
19 the charges should go to Regina and not  
20 to Saskatoon has not been satisfactorily  
21 answered.

22 We also enclosed for your  
23 information copies of letters that  
24 Mr. Fisher's counsel wrote complaining  
25 of the abnormal delay in processing the



1 Fisher cases ... The Milgaard/Miller  
2 file clearly demonstrates that  
3 Mr. Caldwell had to have made the  
4 connection. There is no evidence that  
5 he disclosed the information to David  
6 Milgaard's counsel."

7 So here, sir, you would agree that on April 20th,  
8 1992, Mr. Milgaard's counsel is telling the  
9 Minister of Justice, the Attorney General Robert  
10 Mitchell, that you had made a connection between  
11 the Milgaard/Miller file and the Fisher matters?

12 A That's what he is saying.

13 Q And that, "There is no evidence that he disclosed  
14 ..." it. Now you've told us before I think, Mr.  
15 Caldwell, that -- and, again, did you ever make  
16 any such connection?

17 A No I didn't.

18 Q And it goes on to say:

19 "We are also enclosing for your  
20 information copies of letters that Mr.  
21 Caldwell wrote to the Parole Board  
22 regarding David Milgaard ... These  
23 letters were never shown to David at any  
24 time, and it was only recently through  
25 disclosure that we were able to obtain



1 same. What affect they had on parole  
2 can easily be surmised. The unfairness  
3 is obvious. The information contained  
4 therein is wrong on many cogent points.  
5 Anecdotes from unnamed social workers,  
6 stories from a mentally ill Albert  
7 Cadrain, and references to non-existent  
8 psychiatric reports clearly are  
9 prejudicial, irresponsible, and in the  
10 absence of the ability to respond,  
11 extremely damaging. In our view, they  
12 are inconsistent with the Crown's  
13 responsibilities as an officer of the  
14 Court, and are not within the  
15 responsibilities of the Crown."

16 Again, would you have been aware of those at the  
17 time?

18 A Well I don't think -- Mr. Hodson, those are very  
19 specifically laid out, and this letter went to  
20 Mr. Mitchell, unless parallel ones were put in the  
21 press I don't know how I would have known it.

22 Q And again, just to the last page, Mr. Wolch is  
23 asking Mr. Mitchell to reconsider his position on  
24 both compensation and his refusal to call an  
25 inquiry. So, again, is this something you think



1           you might have become aware of at the time?

2       A       I don't know how I would have, Mr. Hodson,  
3               certainly not through Mr. Mitchell or his office,  
4               and this is not a public document as I read it.

5       Q       I think it might have become public at some point?

6       A       Oh, no doubt.

7       Q       I think it was distributed at a press conference.  
8               I stand to be corrected on that. I know that  
9               there was a package -- well, we'll hear evidence  
10              on that. I can't say that for certain that was,  
11              Mr. Caldwell.

12      A       Okay, sir.

13      Q       If we could then go to 003987, this is  
14              Mr. Mitchell's eight page response to Mr. Wolch's  
15              April 20th letter, and again we'll hear more about  
16              this letter later on. If we can go to the next  
17              page, please, just down at the bottom, Mr.  
18              Mitchell says:

19                   "Fourth, you indicate that the Court  
20                   found there is wrong doing when it said,  
21                   "More importantly, there was evidence  
22                   led as to sexual assaults by Larry  
23                   Fisher which came to light in October  
24                   1970, when Fisher made a confession."  
25                   Again, with the greatest respect to your



1 understanding of the Supreme Court's  
2 decision, that sentence does not say  
3 there was any fault in the conduct of  
4 either the police or the Crown. Surely,  
5 if the Court wanted to say that the  
6 prosecutor had made some error, they  
7 would have used somewhat clearer  
8 language. The Justices of the Supreme  
9 Court certainly aren't in the business  
10 of protecting Mr. Caldwell or Mr. Kujawa  
11 and certainly don't have any obvious  
12 reason for doing so."

13 Next page, the top:

14 "Indeed, Mr. Wolch, the Court goes out  
15 of its way throughout that judgment to  
16 indicate that, contrary to the  
17 submissions you urged on the Court, both  
18 in your courtroom submissions and during  
19 your press briefings, there was no fault  
20 to be found in either the actions of the  
21 Crown or the police. You will recall  
22 that in your press briefings both you  
23 and Mr. Asper made much about the fact  
24 that you were going to show there had  
25 been misconduct by the police in their



1 investigation and by the Crown in  
2 failing to disclose. You will recall as  
3 well, that the Supreme Court in its  
4 decision has stated you have failed to  
5 demonstrate either of these allegation  
6 have any substance to them."

7 And then again do you recall at the time, Mr.  
8 Caldwell, did you take the Supreme Court  
9 decision, and I don't want to get into  
10 interpretations, it says what it says, but did  
11 you feel that you were somehow vindicated by this  
12 decision on your role in the prosecution?

13 A Well, in the kinds of things that are,  
14 Mr. Mitchell has recited in that paragraph and  
15 things along those lines, I felt that the decision  
16 had not dealt unfairly with me, in any event, by  
17 the way it was worded.

18 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: You mean didn't  
19 criticize you?

20 A Well, I think, Mr. Commissioner, the decision I  
21 think specifically found that there was not, what  
22 shall we say, misdeeds on the part of the Crown.

23 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Right.

24 A I can't --

25 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: I have your





1           answer, thank you.

2           A           Yeah, okay. That's what I'm thinking.

3           BY MR. HODSON:

4           Q           And then we'll go through this, he says your  
5                       claim, this is Mr. Mitchell:

6                       "Your claim that the Crown mis-conducted  
7                       itself by not disclosing the "Fisher"  
8                       evidence rests squarely on the  
9                       assumption that this evidence was so  
10                      obviously relevant and so obviously  
11                      probative to the Miller murder even an  
12                      incompetent lawyer would have noticed  
13                      immediately the connection between these  
14                      two cases. In this regard, it is  
15                      instructive to note, that 5 of the 8  
16                      sexual assault incidents prior to the  
17                      end of October 1970 that you rely on as  
18                      showing a similar fact pattern had  
19                      occurred before the Mr. Milgaard's  
20                      trial. If this evidence was so  
21                      obviously relevant to the Miller murder,  
22                      as you argued to the Supreme Court,  
23                      these 5 incidents should have been  
24                      disclosed to defence counsel at trial.  
25                      You have to agree with me that it's



1           trite law that disclosure is required of  
2           any evidence tending to suggest that the  
3           accused was not guilty. That was so  
4           even in 1969 and 1970. Given that, Mr.  
5           Wolch, the Supreme Court's decision  
6           would appear to be at odds with the  
7           argument you now advance to suggest  
8           wrong doing."

9           Again, I suspect we'll hear more from  
10          Mr. Mitchell, and perhaps even Mr. Wolch in his  
11          examination of Mr. Mitchell, about these points,  
12          but were you involved at all, Mr. Caldwell, in  
13          these discussions or the response?

14        A       Not in the least, sir.

15        Q       If you can go down, he says:

16                "By concluding that the Crown had  
17                properly disclosed everything necessary  
18                to defence counsel, the Court has  
19                specifically rejected the argument you  
20                are again making to me. If the Court  
21                had shared your view that there was an  
22                such an obvious and unavoidable  
23                connection between these 5 Fisher cases  
24                and the Miller murder, they could not  
25                have concluded the Crown had disclosed



1 everything that was required prior to  
2 your clients trial. Consideration of  
3 the 3 other Fisher cases which occurred  
4 after the conclusion of the trial,  
5 really doesn't change the pattern of  
6 facts you say exists in the first 5  
7 cases and therefore, doesn't add  
8 anything to your argument. Since the  
9 Supreme Court concluded that Mr.  
10 Caldwell acted properly when he did not  
11 notice the so-called "obvious"  
12 connection with the first five cases,  
13 there is no reason to see why any Court  
14 would conclude that Mr. Kujawa would  
15 have been in error when he also failed  
16 to notice this connection a few months  
17 later."

18 And again, I think in fairness, this might be  
19 both Mr. Wolch's and Mr. Mitchell's letters  
20 disputing the interpretation that ought to be  
21 placed on the Supreme Court decision, but again,  
22 would these have been comments made by  
23 Mr. Mitchell on behalf of the Crown as opposed to  
24 specifically at your request and on your behalf?

25 A Yeah, I had nothing to do with asking Mr. Mitchell



1 to take any position or advance anything whatever,  
2 sir. I'm gratified that he seems to take that out  
3 of the judgment.

4 Q If we could then go ahead to 003992, Mr. Mitchell  
5 says:

6 "I note in this regard as well, the fact  
7 that you and Mr. Asper were given  
8 unrestricted access to the Crown's  
9 entire files for both the Milgaard and  
10 Fisher cases. That opportunity included  
11 access to everything. As the Chief  
12 Justice of the Supreme Court noted in  
13 open Court, since the hearing was not a  
14 trial, there was no need for there to be  
15 any disclosure, never mind the free  
16 access you received. It stands to  
17 reason, that if we had been trying to  
18 hide anything or to protect Mr. Kujawa  
19 or Mr. Caldwell or anyone else, you  
20 would never have seen those files. At  
21 the very least, the materials you  
22 mention would have been taken off them.  
23 To a reasonable person Mr. Wolch, the  
24 extraordinary opportunity you got for  
25 access to these files has to indicate



1                   that we did not have anything to hide  
2                   nor did we attempt or intend to do so."

3           Now, as far as the access to the file, I think,  
4           and we'll be hearing evidence from other  
5           witnesses, were you involved, Mr. Caldwell, in  
6           the process that is talked about here and the  
7           providing of access to Mr. Asper and Mr. Wolch?

8           A       I don't think so, sir. I think this reads as if  
9                   it was at the hearing stage as opposed to anything  
10                  we had to do with it. I hope I'm --

11          Q       Then if I can call up 026996, and again this is a  
12                   letter, and there's a similar letter I think to  
13                   some others, it's from Mr. Mitchell, the Minister  
14                   of Justice and Attorney General, to a woman who  
15                   had written in I think expressing concern about  
16                   the government's position following the Supreme  
17                   Court decision, and what Mr. Mitchell writes back,  
18                   he says:

19                   "In my previous letter I indicated that  
20                   no police officers were called to give  
21                   evidence. In fact, that was not  
22                   correct. Retired police officers, Eddie  
23                   Karst and Art Roberts, were called and  
24                   did give evidence at Mr. Wolch's  
25                   request. Further, two other police



1 officers were under subpoena, but Mr.  
2 Wolch decided not to call them to the  
3 stand to give evidence. Similarly, Mr.  
4 Wolch could have called both Mr.  
5 Caldwell, the trial prosecutor, and Mr.  
6 Kujawa, the appeal prosecutor, if he had  
7 wanted them. Indeed, Mr. Caldwell was  
8 flown to Ottawa to be ready to testify  
9 at Mr. Wolch's request. It was Mr.  
10 Wolch who decided not to call Mr.  
11 Caldwell or Mr. Kujawa."

12 I'm wondering, Mr. Caldwell, if you have any  
13 knowledge of what's stated in that letter as to  
14 who it was or why it was you were sent to Ottawa  
15 and not called?

16 A I had -- I had forgotten. I assume it's correct  
17 that Mr. Wolch had made the request for me to go.  
18 I was given transportation, I went there, and the  
19 fact that I wasn't called was explained to me by  
20 Mr. Williams as having arisen from Mr. Wolch's  
21 original position that he wanted to call me on  
22 disclosure, the Crown, whoever it may have been,  
23 Mr. Neufeld, responding that if that happened, he  
24 would call me on the Nichol John hallway  
25 declaration, and at that point Mr. Wolch, or the



1           presiding judge or someone, decided that they  
2           would not call me at all. I don't know if -- I  
3           think that's roughly what I said previously, sir.

4           Q       Right. And again, I'm just wanting to know what  
5           you recall and what you knew at the time about why  
6           you were sent to Ottawa and why you weren't called  
7           to testify, and I think you've told us. Is there  
8           anything else that you know from your own  
9           knowledge? We'll be hearing from other witnesses  
10          on this subject.

11          A       No. Mr. -- I did talk to Mr. Williams. I also  
12          talked to Murray Brown while I was in Ottawa by  
13          phone just asking him in general how things had  
14          gone. He was there as well.

15          Q       And if we can go to 026968, again just to complete  
16          the loop on this, this is the September 8th --  
17          actually, just scroll up a bit more, 1992 letter  
18          from Mr. Wolch to Mr. Mitchell, and I think he is  
19          responding to one of the letters that Mr. Mitchell  
20          wrote to a member of the public, and what Mr.  
21          Wolch says is:

22                   "Prior to the Supreme Court Reference  
23                   the Chief Justice in Chambers advised  
24                   all counsel that the question for the  
25                   Court to answer did not involve any



1 inquiry into police misconduct and that  
2 there should be no effort made to focus  
3 on that area. The Chief Justice  
4 indicated on the record that the  
5 Reference was not an Inquiry virtually  
6 immediately upon the commencement of the  
7 proceedings. At a later point in time,  
8 when a highly suspect document found in  
9 Crown counsel's file (T.D.R. Caldwell)  
10 was entered into evidence, the Chief  
11 Justice clearly indicated to counsel  
12 that the document could be used to  
13 assist in establishing Mr. Milgaard's  
14 innocence, but in no way could it be  
15 used to impugn police conduct in the  
16 matter, since that was beyond the scope  
17 of the Reference.

18 The three key police  
19 investigators in the case were Officers  
20 Mackie, Short and Karst. Karst's  
21 testimony at the Reference was very  
22 helpful and that it formed the basis for  
23 the Supreme Court concluding that  
24 cogent, credible evidence relating to  
25 Larry Fisher was known to authorities in





1                   October of 1970. We had specifically  
2                   requested Mackie and Short as witnesses,  
3                   but were advised that Mackie was  
4                   somewhere in the southern United States  
5                   and could not be located, and that Short  
6                   was seriously ill. We were also guided  
7                   by the fact that within a few days of  
8                   the hearing the Chief Justice indicated  
9                   that the Court was more concerned with  
10                  hearing Saskatchewan evidence that  
11                  pointed to guilt than any other aspect  
12                  of the potential evidence."

13               And then:

14               "In terms of calling Mr. Caldwell or  
15               perhaps Mr. Kujawa, discussions with  
16               your senior Crown resulted in our being  
17               offered a summary of what Mr. Caldwell  
18               could say, which did not add very much  
19               in the case at all. Your Crown's  
20               position was firstly that Mr. Caldwell  
21               could not really add to the evidence  
22               touching upon the innocence of David  
23               Milgaard. Secondly, any other inquiry  
24               as to Mr. Caldwell's conduct would be  
25               strenuously objected to as irrelevant to



1 the hearing, which it indeed would have  
2 been having regard to the Chief

3 Justice's remarks referred to herein."

4 So again it appears that there is a bit of a  
5 difference in opinion and I'm just -- I want to  
6 know, Mr. Caldwell, whether you were involved in  
7 any of those discussions or do you have anything  
8 to add about the reasons for you being in Ottawa  
9 and not testifying?

10 A No. I had never seen this letter before, sir, and  
11 all of that is, in effect, news to me. The one  
12 thing I'll mention is that I assisted with  
13 Lieutenant Short who was very ill and very  
14 concerned about going to Ottawa through medical  
15 people here in getting him excused because he knew  
16 me, I did spend some time on that. My memory of  
17 the thing, I don't think it contradicts this, is  
18 that he did not in fact go.

19 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Could I see just  
20 the top of that document?

21 MR. HODSON: Sure.

22 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: So it's Mr.  
23 Wolch's letter?

24 BY MR. HODSON:

25 Q Yes, September 8th, 1992 to Mr. Mitchell.



1                   If we can just go to the next  
2                   page, there's one other part I want to read, and  
3                   Mr. Wolch says -- is there any way we can get that  
4                   larger? It says:

5                   "Since the Larry Fisher evidence came to  
6                   light in October, 1970, the Crown was  
7                   aware in October of 1970 that there  
8                   existed credible evidence which could  
9                   reasonably be expected to have affected  
10                  the verdict of the jury considering the  
11                  guilt or innocence of David Milgaard.

12                  This evidence was willfully suppressed  
13                  by the Crown Attorney's office."

14                 Now, again, Mr. Caldwell, you would have been  
15                 part of the Crown attorney's office at that time?

16         A         Yes.

17         Q         And so would you have been aware at this time of  
18                   an allegation that you willfully suppressed  
19                   information from Mr. Milgaard?

20         A         I wouldn't -- I don't recall it, but there were  
21                   various accusations flying which were untrue.  
22                   That may well have been one of them. I don't  
23                   recall that one, sir.

24         Q         And so in October, let's talk about October of  
25                   1970, and Mr. Milgaard was convicted on January



1           31, 1970, I think the October, 1970 date is when  
2           Mr. Fisher confessed to two of the -- one rape,  
3           one attempted rape.

4       A       Oh, okay.

5       Q       What involvement did you have in the file, the  
6           Milgaard prosecution file at that time?

7       A       Well, the -- had the trial not simply --

8       Q       The trial had ended, the appeal I think was argued  
9           in November of 1970 and the decision came out in  
10          January of 1971.

11      A       Okay. I can't think that I would be doing much  
12          with the Milgaard prosecution file at that point.  
13          All the appeals, as we know, were being handled  
14          elsewhere by other people. Unless someone asked  
15          me to do something, I can't imagine what I would  
16          have done.

17      Q       And did you willfully suppress information on the  
18          file?

19      A       Absolutely not.

20                   MR. HODSON: Mr. Commissioner, I'm  
21          wondering if we might -- I'm going into some of  
22          the RCMP investigations and there's a tape of an  
23          interview that's about an hour and 20 minutes and  
24          I'm wondering if this might be an appropriate  
25          spot to break for the day. We're not going to --



1                   COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yes, it is,  
2                   counsel. Just let me have a minute to finish  
3                   writing this note.

4                   *(Adjourned at 4:06 p.m.)*



**OFFICIAL QUEEN'S BENCH COURT REPORTERS' CERTIFICATE:**

We, Karen Hinz, CSR, and Donald G. Meyer, RPR, CSR,  
Official Queen's Bench Court Reporters for the Province of  
Saskatchewan, hereby certify that the foregoing pages  
contain a true and correct transcription of our shorthand  
notes taken herein to the best of my knowledge, skill, and  
ability.

\_\_\_\_\_, CSR

Karen Hinz, CSR

Official Queen's Bench Court Reporter

\_\_\_\_\_, RPR, CSR

Donald G. Meyer, RPR, CSR

Official Queen's Bench Court Reporter



#	0			
#38 [1] - 17302:2	0 [1] - 17161:4 002104 [2] - 17259:18, 17259:25 003987 [1] - 17312:13 003992 [1] - 17318:4 006476 [1] - 17262:17 006822 [1] - 17074:15 006824 [2] - 17063:10, 17210:4 006830 [1] - 17072:21 006831 [1] - 17071:7 006832 [1] - 17071:3 006833 [1] - 17065:15 006837 [1] - 17064:10 006839 [1] - 17063:17 007069 [1] - 17256:11 008716 [1] - 17303:17 009372 [1] - 17258:1 016105 [1] - 17244:6 016106 [1] - 17263:9 016111 [1] - 17271:16 026935 [1] - 17308:8 026968 [1] - 17321:15 026996 [1] - 17319:11 027172 [1] - 17276:17 045233 [2] - 17268:12, 17276:7 048705 [1] - 17147:24 05 [1] - 17280:16 050033 [1] - 17161:14 050048 [1] - 17210:21 054119 [1] - 17228:17 054123 [1] - 17232:15 054126 [1] - 17228:21 054128 [1] - 17235:6 056937 [3] - 17280:17, 17280:18, 17280:24 056938 [1] - 17280:20 056952 [1] - 17281:11 058938 [2] - 17280:19, 17280:21 063193 [1] - 17277:8	10th [2] - 17288:10, 17288:14 11 [1] - 17186:19 112584 [3] - 17267:11, 17270:16, 17270:17 112854 [1] - 17275:21 115 [1] - 17264:21 119 [1] - 17194:8 11th [2] - 17277:22, 17281:15 12 [1] - 17111:22 126 [1] - 17230:16 128 [1] - 17231:10 12:02 [1] - 17209:23 12th [1] - 17256:24 13 [2] - 17245:1, 17257:3 14 [2] - 17074:4, 17075:1 14th [3] - 17063:20, 17066:11, 17257:21 15 [3] - 17075:1, 17174:22, 17257:4 1509 [1] - 17246:10 150975 [1] - 17245:17 150978 [1] - 17259:23 150983 [2] - 17145:24, 17263:11 151 [1] - 17264:21 15th [1] - 17074:16 164582 [1] - 17272:14 167995 [1] - 17160:19 16th [1] - 17258:9 17 [1] - 17257:16 17063 [1] - 17062:4 174037 [1] - 17220:18 18 [1] - 17245:2 182381 [1] - 17091:18 182383 [1] - 17093:4 18th [1] - 17257:17 19 [3] - 17065:24, 17123:1, 17230:15 1962 [1] - 17226:6 1969 [10] - 17077:13, 17167:16, 17259:15, 17268:4, 17290:23, 17291:1, 17301:18, 17302:13, 17302:15, 17316:4 1969-1970 [3] - 17209:3, 17293:18, 17293:25 1970 [14] - 17201:3, 17248:5, 17248:25, 17257:21, 17312:24, 17315:17, 17316:4, 17323:1, 17325:6, 17325:7, 17325:25, 17326:1, 17326:9 1970s [1] - 17088:12	1971 [3] - 17082:1, 17309:10, 17326:10 1972 [5] - 17063:19, 17067:24, 17075:20, 17082:24, 17210:6 1974 [5] - 17064:12, 17069:6, 17069:9, 17072:25, 17082:24 1977 [7] - 17071:23, 17072:1, 17074:16, 17077:17, 17077:23, 17079:14, 17082:25 1980 [14] - 17098:21, 17098:24, 17100:1, 17100:9, 17100:10, 17100:18, 17103:20, 17111:22, 17112:21, 17113:9, 17115:9, 17119:10, 17122:24, 17129:25 1980s [1] - 17082:7 1981 [7] - 17123:1, 17123:11, 17126:2, 17131:13, 17132:4, 17136:5, 17169:25 1982 [1] - 17146:2 1983 [6] - 17141:24, 17161:23, 17169:25, 17229:7, 17230:17, 17231:6 1986 [9] - 17091:24, 17093:11, 17095:9, 17095:11, 17096:19, 17096:22, 17139:5, 17139:11, 17276:10 1987 [1] - 17236:21 1988 [4] - 17231:3, 17236:24, 17237:14, 17274:14 1989 [13] - 17236:17, 17237:22, 17244:11, 17245:7, 17246:7, 17248:15, 17250:3, 17263:10, 17276:18, 17277:2, 17283:20, 17301:2, 17301:10 1990 [7] - 17249:18, 17267:13, 17267:18, 17268:15, 17269:14, 17271:16, 17271:21 1991 [2] - 17250:18, 17272:15 1992 [14] - 17274:15, 17277:10, 17288:6, 17288:10, 17291:4, 17293:5, 17293:14, 17294:24, 17295:11, 17304:20, 17308:9, 17310:8, 17321:17, 17324:25	1997 [1] - 17139:5 1:30 [2] - 17275:14, 17275:18 1:34 [1] - 17209:24
'				2
'69 [2] - 17184:21, 17221:8 '69-'70 [1] - 17203:15 '70 [1] - 17184:21 '70s [2] - 17082:15, 17084:8 '72 [3] - 17074:4, 17076:5, 17084:8 '74 [2] - 17064:16, 17084:8 '77 [1] - 17084:9 '80 [1] - 17131:5 '80s [1] - 17082:9 '81 [6] - 17122:24, 17126:13, 17127:11, 17129:20, 17131:6, 17141:24 '83 [2] - 17141:24, 17230:9 '87 [1] - 17230:23 '89 [2] - 17249:2, 17263:12 '89-'90 [1] - 17240:10 '90s [2] - 17082:9, 17306:13 '91 [1] - 17305:18 '92 [4] - 17277:23, 17281:15, 17305:13, 17305:25 'abreaction' [1] - 17094:13 'abstraction' [2] - 17094:14, 17094:15 'cadrain' [1] - 17157:2 'dangerous' [1] - 17225:11 'deals' [1] - 17260:15 'during' [1] - 17303:19 'em' [1] - 17194:16 'further' [2] - 17247:19, 17254:10 'hearsay' [1] - 17284:16 'on' [1] - 17258:9 'script' [1] - 17287:15 'there' [1] - 17258:16 'wandered' [1] - 17152:11 'wondered' [1] - 17152:12	1 1 [7] - 17078:16, 17108:6, 17108:19, 17120:22, 17127:11, 17174:21, 17268:15 10 [4] - 17106:24, 17122:12, 17127:5, 17272:15 100 [1] - 17194:5 10:31 [1] - 17140:10 10:48 [1] - 17140:11		2 [3] - 17120:21, 17121:2, 17254:20 20 [6] - 17091:24, 17174:22, 17259:14, 17261:8, 17308:9, 17326:23 2005 [1] - 17059:20 20th [4] - 17063:19, 17221:15, 17310:7, 17312:15 21 [2] - 17245:7, 17262:3 216040 [1] - 17136:3 216052 [1] - 17137:2 225006 [1] - 17222:21 23 [4] - 17244:11, 17276:18, 17277:2, 17290:23 23rd [2] - 17182:20, 17182:22 24 [3] - 17204:4, 17271:21, 17290:23 24th [9] - 17064:16, 17172:3, 17182:21, 17184:24, 17185:4, 17192:10, 17192:13, 17192:16, 17285:17 25 [3] - 17246:7, 17263:10, 17301:1 26 [2] - 17136:5, 17301:10 26th [3] - 17059:20, 17167:15, 17258:20 27th [1] - 17065:16 28th [4] - 17100:10, 17249:18, 17267:12, 17267:18 29 [1] - 17271:16 2:49 [1] - 17275:6 2nd [3] - 17126:13, 17288:5, 17288:9	3 3 [6] - 17120:21, 17121:13, 17145:24, 17257:17, 17294:14, 17317:3 300 [1] - 17221:9 301002 [1] - 17281:14 301005 [1] - 17287:18



<b>301013</b> [1] - 17299:18 <b>301016</b> [2] - 17287:14, 17291:24 <b>30th</b> [2] - 17237:13, 17275:11 <b>31</b> [4] - 17263:12, 17302:13, 17302:15, 17326:1 <b>31st</b> [1] - 17275:18 <b>325653</b> [1] - 17147:21 <b>331926</b> [1] - 17126:5 <b>334</b> [1] - 17221:10 <b>38</b> [2] - 17262:5, 17262:14 <b>39</b> [3] - 17262:5, 17262:15, 17302:3 <b>3:08</b> [1] - 17275:7 <b>3:30</b> [1] - 17275:17	<b>7</b>  <b>7:07</b> [1] - 17302:15  <b>8</b>  <b>8</b> [5] - 17144:12, 17145:7, 17264:20, 17291:1, 17315:15 <b>85</b> [1] - 17059:21 <b>8th</b> [3] - 17254:17, 17321:16, 17324:25  <b>9</b>  <b>9(2)</b> [2] - 17188:1, 17232:8 <b>93-153</b> [1] - 17294:12 <b>938</b> [1] - 17280:25 <b>95</b> [6] - 17257:6, 17262:6, 17299:22, 17300:7, 17302:3, 17302:23 <b>9:05</b> [1] - 17063:2  <b>A</b>  <b>abilities</b> [1] - 17113:12 <b>ability</b> [4] - 17147:8, 17228:9, 17311:10, 17328:7 <b>able</b> [13] - 17070:6, 17082:19, 17088:23, 17104:8, 17104:25, 17189:9, 17191:6, 17210:10, 17252:11, 17252:13, 17252:20, 17297:6, 17310:25 <b>abnormal</b> [1] - 17309:25 <b>absence</b> [3] - 17120:3, 17273:6, 17311:10 <b>absolute</b> [1] - 17213:8 <b>Absolutely</b> [6] - 17135:8, 17147:9, 17150:25, 17168:23, 17168:25, 17326:19 <b>absolutely</b> [5] - 17090:2, 17173:11, 17202:11, 17227:8, 17244:5 <b>accept</b> [3] - 17080:23, 17136:23, 17143:22 <b>acceptable</b> [1] - 17094:5 <b>accepted</b> [2] - 17083:3,	17094:18 <b>access</b> [15] - 17067:14, 17089:10, 17127:14, 17135:12, 17135:13, 17138:10, 17142:17, 17143:2, 17302:22, 17318:8, 17318:11, 17318:16, 17318:25, 17319:3, 17319:7 <b>accommodate</b> [1] - 17275:12 <b>accomplish</b> [1] - 17070:15 <b>accordance</b> [1] - 17185:13 <b>According</b> [1] - 17285:3 <b>according</b> [3] - 17081:8, 17102:19, 17279:18 <b>account</b> [1] - 17190:5 <b>accurate</b> [22] - 17071:15, 17183:9, 17185:5, 17200:25, 17201:1, 17202:10, 17202:23, 17205:4, 17206:20, 17219:20, 17229:22, 17231:2, 17231:6, 17283:15, 17284:6, 17285:11, 17287:7, 17289:21, 17291:2, 17296:7, 17303:8, 17304:15 <b>accurately</b> [1] - 17215:15 <b>accusation</b> [2] - 17167:12, 17227:11 <b>accusations</b> [2] - 17307:17, 17325:21 <b>accused</b> [16] - 17075:5, 17076:20, 17080:6, 17097:11, 17115:3, 17121:18, 17129:15, 17167:4, 17174:12, 17174:25, 17175:6, 17264:23, 17284:13, 17300:11, 17316:3 <b>accusers</b> [1] - 17307:24 <b>acknowledged</b> [2] - 17292:17, 17303:14 <b>acknowledges</b> [1] - 17074:18 <b>acknowledging</b> [2] - 17063:20, 17071:4 <b>acquired</b> [1] - 17073:11 <b>acquittal</b> [1] - 17085:17 <b>acquitted</b> [18] - 17084:21, 17085:4, 17085:21, 17086:6, 17086:10, 17086:12, 17086:15, 17086:19,	17086:24, 17087:18, 17087:21, 17088:16, 17088:25, 17090:14, 17090:25, 17091:13, 17091:16, 17212:10 <b>Acquitted</b> [1] - 17088:3 <b>act</b> [3] - 17070:20, 17108:18, 17182:10 <b>Act</b> [1] - 17171:3 <b>acted</b> [3] - 17182:15, 17306:18, 17317:10 <b>acting</b> [11] - 17124:16, 17125:6, 17126:17, 17126:19, 17128:13, 17132:4, 17133:6, 17137:25, 17182:9, 17227:20, 17306:15 <b>actions</b> [2] - 17175:17, 17313:20 <b>active</b> [1] - 17108:18 <b>acts</b> [1] - 17183:12 <b>actual</b> [4] - 17097:21, 17216:15, 17236:1, 17292:4 <b>ad</b> [1] - 17262:22 <b>add</b> [9] - 17133:24, 17160:10, 17181:16, 17190:15, 17290:11, 17317:7, 17323:18, 17323:21, 17324:8 <b>added</b> [2] - 17130:20, 17206:13 <b>addition</b> [3] - 17075:4, 17115:25, 17252:8 <b>additional</b> [2] - 17263:16, 17276:16 <b>address</b> [6] - 17087:11, 17144:4, 17144:8, 17252:1, 17256:14, 17257:2 <b>addressed</b> [2] - 17296:24, 17297:2 <b>addresses</b> [5] - 17131:21, 17131:22, 17133:20, 17242:6, 17273:24 <b>adequate</b> [1] - 17076:18 <b>Adjourned</b> [4] - 17140:10, 17209:23, 17275:6, 17327:4 <b>adjourned</b> [1] - 17063:9 <b>admits</b> [1] - 17232:21 <b>admitting</b> [1] - 17082:16 <b>adopt</b> [1] - 17172:7 <b>advance</b> [2] - 17316:7, 17318:1 <b>adverse</b> [1] - 17171:3 <b>advice</b> [5] - 17108:12, 17116:19, 17242:20,	17243:5, 17243:18 <b>advise</b> [2] - 17127:16, 17271:21 <b>advised</b> [9] - 17238:8, 17243:13, 17258:9, 17279:2, 17279:10, 17282:24, 17301:9, 17321:23, 17323:3 <b>advises</b> [2] - 17066:15, 17268:18 <b>advocate</b> [1] - 17242:14 <b>Affair</b> [1] - 17271:22 <b>affair</b> [1] - 17094:1 <b>affairs</b> [3] - 17083:14, 17114:6, 17209:20 <b>affect</b> [2] - 17228:9, 17311:1 <b>affected</b> [2] - 17122:23, 17325:9 <b>affidavit</b> [1] - 17095:10 <b>afraid</b> [8] - 17174:17, 17175:10, 17175:15, 17181:20, 17184:1, 17207:22, 17208:19 <b>afternoon</b> [1] - 17279:1 <b>afterwards</b> [2] - 17208:9, 17294:18 <b>agency</b> [4] - 17110:22, 17120:15, 17125:1, 17227:13 <b>agent</b> [2] - 17123:18, 17124:19 <b>ago</b> [10] - 17084:14, 17124:25, 17130:5, 17136:9, 17161:20, 17167:14, 17206:23, 17227:12, 17294:11 <b>agony</b> [1] - 17183:23 <b>agree</b> [7] - 17105:23, 17110:3, 17121:1, 17176:15, 17179:16, 17310:7, 17315:25 <b>agreed</b> [2] - 17143:9, 17219:16 <b>ahead</b> [20] - 17076:18, 17088:12, 17092:23, 17093:3, 17105:8, 17105:22, 17108:13, 17111:20, 17117:9, 17119:8, 17119:19, 17122:24, 17125:16, 17154:16, 17157:5, 17160:18, 17174:22, 17231:10, 17263:9, 17318:4 <b>Aid</b> [3] - 17122:3, 17272:16, 17272:17 <b>air</b> [1] - 17155:23 <b>airport</b> [1] - 17278:21 <b>airs</b> [1] - 17095:24





<p><b>Albert</b><sup>[11]</sup> - 17161:22, 17162:5, 17167:17, 17180:18, 17196:1, 17213:2, 17213:25, 17214:4, 17263:19, 17282:23, 17311:6</p> <p><b>Alberta</b><sup>[4]</sup> - 17098:5, 17111:10, 17181:8, 17226:14</p> <p><b>alerted</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17078:7</p> <p><b>Alexander</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17061:13</p> <p><b>alibi</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17203:5</p> <p><b>alive</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17242:5</p> <p><b>allegation</b><sup>[4]</sup> - 17121:5, 17121:15, 17314:5, 17325:18</p> <p><b>allegations</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 17181:20, 17304:17, 17306:14, 17306:25, 17308:6</p> <p><b>allegedly</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 17166:23, 17278:22</p> <p><b>alley</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17075:8</p> <p><b>allies</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 17225:14, 17225:22, 17227:6, 17227:8, 17227:25</p> <p><b>allocation</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17137:10</p> <p><b>allow</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17128:22</p> <p><b>allowed</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 17076:12, 17128:25, 17220:23</p> <p><b>almost</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 17098:24, 17193:21</p> <p><b>alone</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 17093:21, 17180:19</p> <p><b>amnesia</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 17183:10, 17184:3, 17184:8, 17184:10, 17184:15</p> <p><b>Anecdotes</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17311:5</p> <p><b>announced</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 17308:15, 17308:23</p> <p><b>anonymous</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17267:20</p> <p><b>Answer</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17234:3</p> <p><b>answer</b><sup>[20]</sup> - 17069:11, 17130:2, 17130:24, 17133:13, 17138:24, 17152:9, 17193:11, 17202:8, 17207:10, 17217:17, 17233:21, 17234:6, 17242:19, 17270:1, 17281:19, 17287:19, 17289:20, 17289:23, 17315:1, 17321:25</p> <p><b>answered</b><sup>[4]</sup> - 17239:17, 17297:14, 17304:6, 17309:21</p> <p><b>answering</b><sup>[1]</sup> -</p>	<p>17080:17</p> <p><b>answers</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 17172:17, 17288:18, 17298:25</p> <p><b>antigen</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 17205:9, 17206:2</p> <p><b>anyway</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 17080:21, 17228:16, 17269:21</p> <p><b>apart</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17135:9</p> <p><b>Apart</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 17114:23, 17241:20</p> <p><b>apologize</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17126:10</p> <p><b>apparent</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17261:19</p> <p><b>appeal</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 17081:20, 17086:14, 17309:17, 17320:6, 17326:8</p> <p><b>Appeal</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 17083:15, 17121:22, 17154:5</p> <p><b>Appeals</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17154:3</p> <p><b>appeals</b><sup>[4]</sup> - 17081:25, 17087:19, 17115:1, 17326:13</p> <p><b>appear</b><sup>[7]</sup> - 17121:20, 17155:25, 17156:3, 17181:5, 17271:23, 17291:4, 17316:6</p> <p><b>Appearances</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17061:1</p> <p><b>appeared</b><sup>[6]</sup> - 17084:16, 17118:16, 17119:18, 17137:17, 17160:8, 17287:2</p> <p><b>appearing</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17136:16</p> <p><b>appendices</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17281:16</p> <p><b>Appendix</b><sup>[24]</sup> - 17282:2, 17282:5, 17282:20, 17283:9, 17283:19, 17283:24, 17284:2, 17284:9, 17286:20, 17287:11, 17287:12, 17287:14, 17288:2, 17288:11, 17289:6, 17289:11, 17289:17, 17289:18, 17290:11, 17290:14, 17291:25, 17300:3, 17300:8, 17304:9</p> <p><b>applicable</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17304:8</p> <p><b>application</b><sup>[8]</sup> - 17231:4, 17236:18, 17237:15, 17240:20, 17240:24, 17272:18, 17289:1, 17291:21</p> <p><b>applications</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 17237:7, 17243:7, 17243:20, 17244:3, 17273:20</p> <p><b>applied</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 17072:5,</p>	<p>17073:18, 17074:7</p> <p><b>applies</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17134:24</p> <p><b>apply</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17087:25</p> <p><b>appreciate</b><sup>[8]</sup> - 17073:20, 17074:11, 17101:23, 17113:24, 17118:22, 17193:11, 17213:19, 17297:11</p> <p><b>appreciated</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17072:18</p> <p><b>approach</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 17122:1, 17203:25</p> <p><b>approached</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17268:19</p> <p><b>appropriate</b><sup>[10]</sup> - 17077:24, 17078:13, 17079:8, 17079:18, 17110:22, 17140:8, 17163:16, 17209:21, 17243:25, 17326:24</p> <p><b>approved</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 17083:19, 17097:19, 17111:4</p> <p><b>April</b><sup>[8]</sup> - 17257:17, 17272:15, 17304:20, 17305:13, 17305:25, 17308:9, 17310:7, 17312:15</p> <p><b>archives</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17210:13</p> <p><b>area</b><sup>[11]</sup> - 17080:8, 17094:11, 17140:9, 17192:7, 17192:19, 17192:20, 17194:20, 17205:7, 17207:12, 17299:9, 17322:3</p> <p><b>areas</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 17172:12, 17202:13, 17202:15</p> <p><b>arguably</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 17070:11, 17181:22</p> <p><b>argue</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17213:16</p> <p><b>argued</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 17315:22, 17326:8</p> <p><b>argument</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 17087:11, 17205:9, 17316:7, 17316:19, 17317:8</p> <p><b>arisen</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17320:20</p> <p><b>arm</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 17197:19, 17197:24, 17198:7</p> <p><b>arm's</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 17111:13, 17242:25</p> <p><b>army</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17155:23</p> <p><b>Arnot</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 17099:9, 17099:10</p> <p><b>arrange</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 17097:18, 17132:5, 17133:9</p> <p><b>arranged</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 17097:10, 17134:11, 17135:11, 17141:16, 17159:22</p>	<p><b>arrested</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 17095:23, 17098:25, 17208:13</p> <p><b>arrival</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17275:12</p> <p><b>Art</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 17289:16, 17292:5, 17293:19, 17294:13, 17319:23</p> <p><b>article</b><sup>[8]</sup> - 17144:22, 17146:5, 17146:7, 17230:15, 17236:4, 17236:7, 17265:6, 17265:22</p> <p><b>ascertain</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 17108:15, 17291:6</p> <p><b>aside</b><sup>[6]</sup> - 17086:2, 17116:2, 17143:15, 17166:7, 17203:10, 17305:3</p> <p><b>aspect</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 17299:19, 17323:11</p> <p><b>Asper</b><sup>[11]</sup> - 17138:18, 17138:22, 17139:2, 17139:4, 17139:8, 17139:11, 17267:14, 17268:1, 17313:23, 17318:7, 17319:7</p> <p><b>Assailants</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 17258:6, 17259:14, 17301:8</p> <p><b>assailants</b><sup>[4]</sup> - 17260:7, 17261:14, 17263:6, 17265:18</p> <p><b>assault</b><sup>[6]</sup> - 17259:18, 17260:25, 17261:17, 17261:19, 17301:21, 17315:16</p> <p><b>assault'</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17260:16</p> <p><b>assaulted</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 17262:22, 17300:20, 17302:16</p> <p><b>assaults</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 17300:25, 17312:22</p> <p><b>assembled</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17264:13</p> <p><b>assess</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 17080:6, 17108:17</p> <p><b>assessed</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17093:14</p> <p><b>assessment</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 17092:5, 17093:10, 17096:7</p> <p><b>assessments</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17072:8</p> <p><b>assigned</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 17078:22, 17198:16</p> <p><b>assist</b><sup>[13]</sup> - 17073:22, 17089:17, 17123:3, 17135:22, 17145:19, 17150:5, 17213:13, 17242:21, 17273:24, 17276:17, 17277:6,</p>	<p>17294:6, 17322:13</p> <p><b>assistance</b><sup>[4]</sup> - 17072:15, 17112:7, 17138:10, 17272:17</p> <p><b>Assistant</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 17060:5, 17060:6</p> <p><b>assisted</b><sup>[6]</sup> - 17134:11, 17135:11, 17135:15, 17138:14, 17227:9, 17324:12</p> <p><b>assisting</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 17242:3, 17277:13</p> <p><b>assists</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17293:22</p> <p><b>associated</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 17169:6, 17302:19</p> <p><b>association</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17300:22</p> <p><b>assume</b><sup>[26]</sup> - 17063:16, 17067:11, 17083:2, 17086:12, 17099:16, 17104:12, 17105:6, 17108:24, 17117:17, 17120:15, 17123:17, 17138:16, 17139:15, 17159:3, 17164:22, 17165:16, 17175:12, 17214:3, 17227:2, 17248:10, 17253:8, 17259:4, 17265:7, 17296:8, 17305:1, 17320:16</p> <p><b>assumed</b><sup>[4]</sup> - 17160:17, 17176:10, 17220:16, 17279:6</p> <p><b>assuming</b><sup>[8]</sup> - 17064:19, 17081:20, 17134:9, 17137:24, 17205:21, 17223:21, 17231:1, 17231:6</p> <p><b>assumption</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 17075:24, 17147:12, 17255:2, 17279:7, 17315:9</p> <p><b>astounded</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 17149:7, 17150:1</p> <p><b>attached</b><sup>[2]</sup> - 17073:12, 17304:8</p> <p><b>attachments</b><sup>[1]</sup> - 17304:8</p> <p><b>Attacks</b><sup>[3]</sup> - 17258:5, 17259:12, 17301:6</p> <p><b>attacks</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 17260:6, 17261:13, 17261:14, 17263:5, 17265:16</p> <p><b>attempt</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 17067:5, 17083:17, 17147:6, 17284:14, 17319:2</p> <p><b>attempted</b><sup>[5]</sup> - 17098:22, 17100:2, 17115:16, 17242:25,</p>
---	--	---	--	--



17326:3 <b>attempting</b> [2] - 17273:23, 17289:9 <b>attempts</b> [2] - 17262:10, 17302:7 <b>attend</b> [2] - 17152:3, 17278:20 <b>attendance</b> [1] - 17068:2 <b>attended</b> [3] - 17066:5, 17100:11, 17288:14 <b>attending</b> [1] - 17277:24 <b>attention</b> [7] - 17073:20, 17100:22, 17105:5, 17115:2, 17120:19, 17122:10, 17211:7 <b>attorney</b> [2] - 17134:10, 17236:21 <b>Attorney</b> [14] - 17101:13, 17103:7, 17103:17, 17103:21, 17123:23, 17131:9, 17132:1, 17230:22, 17305:7, 17305:11, 17306:2, 17306:7, 17310:9, 17319:14 <b>Attorney's</b> [1] - 17325:13 <b>attorney's</b> [1] - 17325:15 <b>attributed</b> [5] - 17184:23, 17187:24, 17229:14, 17231:19, 17284:2 <b>Audio</b> [1] - 17060:13 <b>audio</b> [1] - 17147:23 <b>August</b> [9] - 17071:25, 17074:16, 17074:18, 17100:9, 17100:10, 17129:25, 17167:15, 17271:16, 17271:20 <b>author</b> [5] - 17229:9, 17230:18, 17268:16, 17270:4, 17287:20 <b>authored</b> [3] - 17287:12, 17287:24, 17291:7 <b>authoritative</b> [1] - 17285:6 <b>authorities</b> [4] - 17068:13, 17070:11, 17070:18, 17322:25 <b>authorization</b> [1] - 17127:3 <b>authorizing</b> [2] - 17066:20, 17074:8 <b>autobiographical</b> [1] - 17093:23	<b>automatism</b> [1] - 17184:9 <b>autopsy</b> [3] - 17074:24, 17075:11, 17263:18 <b>available</b> [6] - 17067:1, 17073:16, 17098:9, 17189:8, 17242:5, 17303:25 <b>Ave</b> [3] - 17221:10, 17221:11, 17221:15 <b>avoid</b> [1] - 17080:16 <b>avoided</b> [2] - 17077:4, 17118:20 <b>aware</b> [33] - 17072:10, 17081:15, 17081:21, 17082:2, 17082:13, 17082:14, 17083:2, 17099:2, 17100:17, 17100:23, 17114:6, 17122:11, 17137:12, 17148:18, 17170:3, 17196:20, 17209:13, 17228:12, 17237:12, 17237:16, 17237:18, 17239:14, 17249:22, 17304:20, 17306:4, 17306:13, 17306:19, 17306:24, 17307:2, 17311:16, 17312:1, 17325:7, 17325:17 <b>awful</b> [2] - 17163:24, 17167:7 <b>awkward</b> [5] - 17111:9, 17118:13, 17118:14, 17118:20, 17122:6 <b>awkwardly</b> [1] - 17069:21	17112:22, 17113:1, 17113:2, 17165:7, 17189:10, 17206:21, 17213:16, 17239:12, 17263:7, 17265:14, 17285:13, 17295:8 <b>basement</b> [1] - 17291:18 <b>basic</b> [1] - 17177:20 <b>basis</b> [3] - 17077:10, 17080:5, 17322:22 <b>batches</b> [1] - 17241:4 <b>bathtub</b> [4] - 17167:5, 17167:17, 17168:11, 17169:20 <b>bathtubs</b> [1] - 17166:24 <b>battle</b> [2] - 17307:23, 17308:1 <b>Battleford</b> [5] - 17099:4, 17099:5, 17099:11, 17099:18, 17100:4 <b>Bc</b> [1] - 17208:13 <b>bears</b> [2] - 17076:17, 17294:12 <b>became</b> [4] - 17081:15, 17082:7, 17237:18, 17249:22 <b>beck</b> [1] - 17155:22 <b>become</b> [12] - 17082:1, 17100:23, 17179:7, 17237:12, 17237:16, 17245:21, 17245:24, 17296:14, 17304:20, 17306:13, 17312:1, 17312:5 <b>becoming</b> [2] - 17099:2, 17100:17 <b>began</b> [4] - 17094:1, 17207:6, 17207:8, 17207:17 <b>begin</b> [1] - 17275:13 <b>beginning</b> [4] - 17211:6, 17231:14, 17236:24, 17286:21 <b>behalf</b> [15] - 17112:3, 17112:25, 17113:15, 17125:6, 17128:18, 17132:4, 17133:6, 17160:23, 17252:13, 17264:24, 17306:15, 17307:1, 17308:12, 17317:23, 17317:24 <b>behaviour</b> [3] - 17076:19, 17093:17, 17182:18 <b>behind</b> [1] - 17147:6 <b>Beitel</b> [1] - 17060:9 <b>belief</b> [3] - 17079:21, 17088:6, 17285:15 <b>beliefs</b> [2] - 17080:9, 17107:1	<b>believable</b> [2] - 17191:3, 17235:11 <b>below</b> [1] - 17075:9 <b>Bence</b> [3] - 17232:11, 17232:13, 17233:25 <b>Bench</b> [6] - 17124:4, 17174:21, 17328:1, 17328:3, 17328:14, 17328:18 <b>bench</b> [3] - 17112:2, 17225:4, 17226:13 <b>benefit</b> [1] - 17271:4 <b>Berard</b> [1] - 17178:14 <b>Beresh</b> [1] - 17278:18 <b>Bess</b> [1] - 17154:8 <b>Bessborough</b> [1] - 17201:17 <b>best</b> [5] - 17086:10, 17113:12, 17136:19, 17147:7, 17328:6 <b>better</b> [8] - 17070:21, 17073:23, 17078:10, 17099:16, 17136:15, 17138:24, 17138:25, 17236:13 <b>between</b> [12] - 17147:25, 17162:25, 17225:12, 17244:8, 17284:21, 17286:5, 17286:14, 17287:5, 17300:22, 17310:10, 17315:13, 17316:23 <b>beyond</b> [2] - 17212:18, 17322:16 <b>big</b> [3] - 17199:25, 17201:11, 17213:4 <b>Bill</b> [1] - 17226:15 <b>binder</b> [1] - 17254:15 <b>bit</b> [17] - 17076:22, 17105:22, 17107:21, 17145:11, 17146:10, 17155:24, 17165:5, 17185:21, 17189:13, 17197:13, 17197:15, 17202:14, 17211:22, 17215:18, 17219:24, 17321:17, 17324:4 <b>bitch</b> [1] - 17207:16 <b>bldg</b> [1] - 17221:12 <b>blew</b> [1] - 17171:18 <b>block</b> [2] - 17183:12, 17221:10 <b>blood</b> [6] - 17159:8, 17160:13, 17190:24, 17205:8, 17206:3, 17206:6 <b>bloody</b> [3] - 17153:15, 17166:2, 17168:6 <b>blow</b> [2] - 17172:21	<b>blowing</b> [1] - 17172:16 <b>blue</b> [1] - 17293:1 <b>bluey</b> [1] - 17267:1 <b>board</b> [36] - 17063:11, 17063:15, 17063:19, 17065:8, 17065:18, 17066:5, 17067:1, 17067:13, 17067:17, 17068:3, 17069:1, 17070:17, 17071:4, 17071:10, 17072:25, 17074:9, 17078:5, 17079:6, 17079:11, 17082:16, 17083:11, 17084:25, 17085:21, 17086:2, 17086:25, 17088:14, 17089:2, 17089:20, 17090:12, 17091:7, 17091:14, 17092:6, 17096:3, 17096:9, 17098:20, 17210:4 <b>Board</b> [13] - 17065:23, 17072:16, 17073:25, 17077:19, 17078:2, 17078:19, 17079:5, 17079:19, 17080:13, 17083:1, 17130:15, 17210:7, 17310:21 <b>Bob</b> [1] - 17271:21 <b>Bobs</b> [4] - 17061:5, 17244:16, 17245:7, 17276:22 <b>body</b> [7] - 17194:20, 17196:17, 17196:25, 17197:1, 17197:4, 17244:22, 17247:18 <b>bona</b> [1] - 17147:4 <b>book</b> [16] - 17075:13, 17141:11, 17142:15, 17144:7, 17144:12, 17144:16, 17145:1, 17145:7, 17146:14, 17150:12, 17220:14, 17223:12, 17224:6, 17264:19, 17267:1, 17267:4 <b>booked</b> [1] - 17224:3 <b>booklet</b> [2] - 17074:22, 17210:6 <b>books</b> [1] - 17075:14 <b>boom</b> [3] - 17165:22 <b>boss</b> [2] - 17238:5, 17239:5 <b>Boswell</b> [1] - 17060:4 <b>bothered</b> [1] - 17234:24 <b>bottom</b> [13] - 17074:19, 17153:3, 17195:24, 17205:6, 17207:4, 17217:22, 17232:17,
--	---	---	---	--



<p>17233:3, 17251:6, 17272:19, 17272:21, 17286:21, 17312:17 <b>bought</b> [1] - 17196:11 <b>box</b> [2] - 17175:2, 17294:14 <b>boxes</b> [1] - 17294:9 <b>Boychuk</b> [1] - 17061:8 <b>brackets</b> [1] - 17225:2 <b>brad</b> [1] - 17130:17 <b>brains</b> [3] - 17176:12, 17179:12, 17179:19 <b>branch</b> [1] - 17246:23 <b>brand</b> [1] - 17171:3 <b>Brandon</b> [2] - 17223:8, 17223:15 <b>break</b> [8] - 17078:11, 17140:8, 17140:13, 17160:5, 17209:21, 17236:8, 17275:5, 17326:25 <b>Breaks</b> [1] - 17158:18 <b>breaks</b> [1] - 17159:2 <b>Brian</b> [1] - 17097:8 <b>Brief</b> [2] - 17254:20, 17254:21 <b>briefings</b> [2] - 17313:19, 17313:22 <b>briefly</b> [3] - 17069:13, 17097:5, 17136:8 <b>briefs</b> [1] - 17154:25 <b>bring</b> [2] - 17105:4, 17211:12 <b>British</b> [2] - 17098:7, 17098:8 <b>broach</b> [2] - 17243:4, 17243:23 <b>broad</b> [1] - 17071:12 <b>broadcast</b> [1] - 17095:12 <b>broadly</b> [1] - 17240:24 <b>brochure</b> [1] - 17078:17 <b>brochures</b> [1] - 17064:6 <b>broke</b> [2] - 17096:4, 17275:21 <b>broken</b> [1] - 17196:12 <b>brothers</b> [1] - 17260:23 <b>brought</b> [10] - 17095:18, 17100:21, 17117:19, 17166:1, 17166:20, 17168:6, 17168:12, 17169:9, 17249:7, 17290:16 <b>Brown</b> [4] - 17093:23, 17291:22, 17294:20, 17321:12 <b>Bruce</b> [2] - 17061:9, 17269:12 <b>Buckwold</b> [3] - 17127:14, 17127:17,</p>	<p>17128:8 <b>Building</b> [1] - 17272:5 <b>building</b> [1] - 17171:22 <b>bulk</b> [1] - 17288:20 <b>bunch</b> [1] - 17279:5 <b>buried</b> [1] - 17159:15 <b>burst</b> [1] - 17095:24 <b>bus</b> [4] - 17221:14, 17222:11, 17252:3, 17302:17 <b>business</b> [10] - 17098:10, 17138:2, 17166:12, 17169:18, 17170:12, 17188:1, 17197:17, 17206:2, 17246:22, 17313:9 <b>buying</b> [1] - 17144:16 <b>buzz</b> [1] - 17220:7 <b>bylines</b> [2] - 17141:6, 17147:2 <b>byproduct</b> [1] - 17145:3</p>	<p>17132:14, 17140:13, 17140:25, 17145:10, 17146:24, 17148:1, 17148:3, 17153:19, 17156:20, 17161:11, 17162:7, 17162:9, 17168:17, 17169:6, 17170:2, 17179:2, 17182:4, 17184:12, 17196:5, 17207:1, 17209:1, 17210:2, 17210:5, 17211:25, 17213:13, 17216:11, 17220:21, 17220:23, 17222:23, 17222:25, 17223:8, 17225:1, 17225:20, 17227:16, 17228:22, 17229:3, 17229:7, 17229:9, 17229:14, 17230:17, 17235:10, 17236:8, 17236:17, 17243:5, 17244:7, 17244:16, 17245:7, 17249:17, 17250:25, 17251:14, 17254:10, 17261:25, 17265:11, 17267:17, 17268:3, 17269:6, 17271:4, 17271:21, 17273:17, 17276:12, 17276:22, 17278:4, 17279:16, 17281:6, 17283:16, 17284:25, 17295:8, 17297:11, 17298:18, 17303:21, 17304:6, 17304:19, 17306:14, 17309:11, 17309:13, 17310:3, 17310:15, 17310:21, 17312:11, 17313:10, 17314:8, 17316:12, 17317:10, 17318:19, 17319:5, 17320:5, 17320:7, 17320:11, 17320:12, 17322:9, 17323:14, 17323:17, 17323:20, 17324:6, 17325:14 <b>Caldwells</b> [5] - 17092:9, 17266:25, 17281:1, 17304:7, 17323:24 <b>Caldwell/peter</b> [1] - 17160:20 <b>Calgary</b> [11] - 17092:5, 17093:19, 17161:8, 17163:21, 17163:22, 17166:24, 17167:3, 17169:16, 17169:17, 17181:9, 17282:18 <b>caller</b> [1] - 17267:20</p>	<p><b>Calvin</b> [1] - 17061:13 <b>camera</b> [1] - 17271:24 <b>Canada</b> [8] - 17061:12, 17066:7, 17066:8, 17072:25, 17092:14, 17151:5, 17246:18, 17309:18 <b>Canada's</b> [1] - 17210:7 <b>Canadian</b> [3] - 17141:11, 17142:16, 17145:1 <b>Candace</b> [1] - 17060:3 <b>candidate</b> [1] - 17110:20 <b>cannot</b> [2] - 17077:6, 17303:1 <b>Canterbury</b> [2] - 17238:20, 17259:11 <b>Canton</b> [1] - 17260:22 <b>canvassed</b> [1] - 17264:14 <b>capable</b> [1] - 17228:13 <b>capacity</b> [3] - 17140:3, 17142:14, 17143:13 <b>capital</b> [1] - 17208:15 <b>car</b> [17] - 17176:11, 17176:24, 17180:2, 17180:3, 17180:14, 17190:16, 17190:21, 17190:22, 17191:25, 17192:1, 17192:7, 17192:21, 17193:17, 17216:23, 17218:9, 17218:15, 17282:15 <b>care</b> [1] - 17072:17 <b>cared</b> [1] - 17211:21 <b>career</b> [2] - 17114:24, 17247:9 <b>careful</b> [1] - 17138:25 <b>carefully</b> [2] - 17190:8, 17192:23 <b>Carlyle</b> [99] - 17140:18, 17140:19, 17141:16, 17143:12, 17144:3, 17144:10, 17145:13, 17145:17, 17146:13, 17146:18, 17148:1, 17148:8, 17149:3, 17151:8, 17151:17, 17151:24, 17153:1, 17154:10, 17159:6, 17159:22, 17160:20, 17161:12, 17161:18, 17161:24, 17162:5, 17162:25, 17163:9, 17164:1, 17164:8, 17164:18, 17165:3, 17166:9, 17166:25, 17167:23, 17168:19, 17170:1, 17170:9, 17172:19, 17174:3, 17176:7, 17177:10, 17178:9, 17178:19, 17179:11, 17179:18, 17183:19, 17184:17, 17194:4, 17194:13, 17195:6, 17195:8, 17195:18, 17198:21, 17199:3, 17200:4, 17200:14, 17201:6, 17202:4, 17203:10, 17204:1, 17205:6,</p>	<p>17170:9, 17172:19, 17174:3, 17176:7, 17177:10, 17178:9, 17178:19, 17178:23, 17179:11, 17179:18, 17183:19, 17184:17, 17194:4, 17194:13, 17195:6, 17195:8, 17195:18, 17198:21, 17199:3, 17200:4, 17200:14, 17201:6, 17202:4, 17203:10, 17204:1, 17205:6, 17205:16, 17205:19, 17206:10, 17207:4, 17208:5, 17210:20, 17210:23, 17213:3, 17215:19, 17216:9, 17216:20, 17217:1, 17217:17, 17218:25, 17219:24, 17220:9, 17220:20, 17220:22, 17220:23, 17221:7, 17222:1, 17223:24, 17224:17, 17224:20, 17229:9, 17229:18, 17230:12, 17230:18, 17231:7, 17235:9, 17236:5, 17264:22, 17265:6, 17265:14, 17265:22, 17266:1 <b>Carlyle-gordge</b> [90] - 17140:18, 17140:19, 17141:16, 17143:12, 17144:10, 17145:13, 17146:13, 17146:18, 17148:1, 17148:8, 17149:3, 17151:8, 17151:17, 17151:24, 17153:1, 17154:10, 17159:6, 17159:22, 17161:12, 17161:18, 17161:24, 17162:5, 17162:25, 17163:9, 17164:1, 17164:8, 17164:18, 17165:3, 17166:9, 17166:25, 17167:23, 17168:19, 17170:1, 17170:9, 17172:19, 17174:3, 17176:7, 17177:10, 17178:9, 17178:19, 17179:11, 17179:18, 17183:19, 17184:17, 17194:4, 17194:13, 17195:6, 17195:8, 17195:18, 17198:21, 17199:3, 17200:4, 17200:14, 17201:6, 17202:4, 17203:10, 17204:1, 17205:6,</p>
---	---	---	---	---



17205:16, 17205:19, 17206:10, 17207:4, 17208:5, 17210:20, 17210:23, 17213:3, 17215:19, 17216:9, 17216:20, 17217:1, 17217:17, 17218:25, 17219:24, 17220:9, 17220:20, 17220:22, 17220:23, 17222:1, 17223:24, 17224:17, 17224:20, 17229:9, 17229:18, 17230:12, 17230:18, 17231:7, 17235:9, 17236:5, 17264:22, 17266:1 <b>Carlyle-gordge's</b> [8] - 17144:3, 17145:17, 17165:6, 17178:23, 17221:7, 17265:6, 17265:14, 17265:22 <b>Carlyle/gordge</b> [1] - 17160:22 <b>carry</b> [4] - 17113:20, 17170:9, 17195:4, 17295:21 <b>cars</b> [1] - 17159:8 <b>Carter</b> [1] - 17227:10 <b>Case</b> [1] - 17254:21 <b>case</b> [102] - 17068:17, 17068:24, 17068:25, 17069:4, 17069:12, 17069:17, 17076:16, 17076:17, 17077:20, 17077:25, 17079:7, 17080:3, 17080:10, 17084:17, 17087:13, 17087:20, 17088:24, 17089:14, 17090:11, 17090:16, 17097:20, 17097:21, 17097:22, 17099:8, 17102:11, 17103:12, 17103:25, 17104:17, 17106:15, 17109:15, 17110:13, 17110:19, 17113:7, 17113:11, 17114:23, 17114:24, 17116:14, 17118:15, 17118:18, 17118:23, 17119:6, 17122:23, 17125:3, 17125:12, 17128:14, 17129:8, 17130:24, 17134:11, 17139:6, 17141:15, 17153:12, 17153:15, 17155:3, 17160:12, 17171:7, 17184:9, 17188:22, 17188:24, 17189:9, 17189:10, 17190:7,	17190:10, 17191:5, 17191:17, 17192:25, 17196:7, 17196:13, 17203:3, 17204:4, 17204:25, 17209:2, 17212:4, 17212:14, 17213:22, 17214:12, 17217:7, 17217:24, 17219:17, 17223:14, 17225:11, 17225:23, 17228:5, 17229:4, 17229:6, 17230:19, 17247:12, 17255:14, 17256:24, 17268:10, 17271:4, 17273:8, 17273:12, 17275:25, 17278:7, 17278:24, 17279:7, 17279:15, 17281:25, 17290:5, 17303:21, 17322:19, 17323:19 <b>cases</b> [15] - 17083:25, 17115:6, 17151:18, 17173:8, 17220:12, 17228:8, 17239:6, 17278:8, 17310:1, 17315:14, 17316:23, 17317:3, 17317:7, 17317:12, 17318:10 <b>Casevault</b> [1] - 17126:11 <b>catch</b> [1] - 17180:11 <b>categories</b> [1] - 17078:22 <b>category</b> [6] - 17070:12, 17070:14, 17078:9, 17138:1, 17138:17, 17164:22 <b>Catherine</b> [1] - 17061:5 <b>caught</b> [1] - 17221:14 <b>caused</b> [2] - 17175:14, 17197:8 <b>causes</b> [2] - 17099:17, 17252:17 <b>causing</b> [1] - 17094:9 <b>cautioning</b> [2] - 17166:25, 17167:9 <b>Cavalier</b> [1] - 17059:15 <b>caveat</b> [1] - 17133:24 <b>Cbc</b> [7] - 17094:24, 17095:12, 17149:5, 17150:15, 17151:5, 17228:18, 17228:22 <b>ceased</b> [1] - 17305:17 <b>celebrated</b> [1] - 17099:17 <b>cell</b> [2] - 17272:2, 17286:1 <b>Centre</b> [6] - 17092:15, 17092:20, 17097:3,	17097:12, 17209:16, 17228:4 <b>Cerato</b> [1] - 17160:16 <b>certain</b> [8] - 17095:3, 17185:9, 17242:24, 17244:22, 17268:6, 17274:19, 17281:22, 17312:10 <b>certainly</b> [51] - 17063:25, 17071:12, 17076:7, 17077:2, 17081:19, 17082:5, 17082:7, 17082:8, 17082:21, 17085:9, 17097:23, 17101:12, 17102:16, 17108:10, 17108:17, 17110:5, 17113:3, 17113:16, 17119:19, 17120:19, 17121:17, 17141:15, 17144:18, 17148:20, 17149:4, 17150:3, 17164:2, 17171:5, 17175:12, 17176:16, 17179:24, 17183:1, 17190:11, 17195:8, 17195:22, 17196:7, 17198:11, 17198:23, 17201:22, 17217:21, 17222:4, 17227:14, 17242:18, 17249:21, 17255:17, 17271:14, 17285:22, 17292:10, 17312:3, 17313:9, 17313:11 <b>Certainly</b> [2] - 17071:13, 17150:3 <b>Certificate</b> [1] - 17328:1 <b>certify</b> [1] - 17328:4 <b>Chairman</b> [1] - 17145:7 <b>chairman</b> [2] - 17063:18, 17072:24 <b>Chambers</b> [1] - 17321:23 <b>chance</b> [2] - 17092:23, 17207:19 <b>change</b> [5] - 17076:8, 17086:13, 17122:11, 17122:16, 17317:5 <b>changed</b> [2] - 17107:21, 17185:11 <b>changes</b> [1] - 17275:9 <b>chapter</b> [2] - 17145:18 <b>character</b> [2] - 17176:2, 17207:9 <b>charge</b> [10] - 17101:7, 17102:7, 17102:21, 17116:16, 17116:17, 17116:19, 17120:4, 17155:2, 17208:14,	17208:16 <b>charges</b> [7] - 17102:24, 17105:9, 17118:11, 17157:20, 17158:3, 17214:23, 17309:19 <b>Charlie</b> [4] - 17155:10, 17194:22, 17224:5, 17224:10 <b>chat</b> [2] - 17151:25, 17152:4 <b>check</b> [8] - 17108:21, 17127:15, 17201:19, 17227:2, 17258:13, 17265:13, 17301:14, 17305:22 <b>checked</b> [2] - 17114:1, 17221:9 <b>chickens</b> [1] - 17204:10 <b>chief</b> [2] - 17131:11, 17189:24 <b>Chief</b> [13] - 17133:17, 17194:18, 17232:10, 17232:13, 17233:24, 17234:7, 17309:12, 17318:11, 17321:23, 17322:3, 17322:10, 17323:8, 17324:2 <b>children</b> [1] - 17069:25 <b>Chris</b> [1] - 17061:8 <b>chronological</b> [1] - 17304:17 <b>chronologically</b> [1] - 17129:20 <b>chronology</b> [2] - 17255:14, 17256:8 <b>church</b> [1] - 17150:22 <b>Churchill</b> [1] - 17272:4 <b>circumstance</b> [1] - 17088:24 <b>circumstances</b> [3] - 17085:15, 17213:20, 17214:16 <b>circumstantial</b> [1] - 17189:16 <b>City</b> [12] - 17076:9, 17076:14, 17100:11, 17131:5, 17133:9, 17136:20, 17153:12, 17186:14, 17268:13, 17288:5, 17300:19, 17302:14 <b>city</b> [10] - 17101:15, 17102:10, 17103:24, 17107:3, 17118:10, 17130:6, 17131:20, 17132:5, 17242:5, 17270:5 <b>civil</b> [1] - 17269:24 <b>civilian</b> [9] - 17155:5, 17205:12, 17262:6,	17268:8, 17299:23, 17300:5, 17300:9, 17302:4, 17302:23 <b>civilians</b> [3] - 17211:3, 17242:4, 17273:25 <b>claim</b> [4] - 17126:22, 17172:7, 17315:5, 17315:6 <b>claimed</b> [2] - 17127:6, 17262:22 <b>claiming</b> [1] - 17268:20 <b>clarified</b> [1] - 17132:12 <b>clarify</b> [5] - 17120:22, 17126:23, 17156:8, 17232:17, 17271:2 <b>clarifying</b> [1] - 17276:5 <b>clash</b> [1] - 17146:9 <b>clear</b> [9] - 17079:17, 17080:20, 17175:17, 17227:4, 17251:2, 17270:16, 17277:12, 17298:15 <b>clearer</b> [1] - 17313:7 <b>clearly</b> [14] - 17102:13, 17118:17, 17141:18, 17149:20, 17157:3, 17172:15, 17175:24, 17176:4, 17234:24, 17267:3, 17294:1, 17310:2, 17311:8, 17322:11 <b>Clerk</b> [1] - 17060:9 <b>client</b> [3] - 17245:19, 17245:23, 17271:1 <b>clients</b> [1] - 17317:2 <b>clippings</b> [5] - 17258:12, 17258:16, 17265:15, 17301:12, 17301:16 <b>close</b> [2] - 17095:25, 17096:24 <b>closed</b> [3] - 17103:25, 17124:13, 17226:6 <b>closing</b> [4] - 17087:10, 17087:11, 17096:8, 17257:2 <b>clothes</b> [1] - 17155:5 <b>clue</b> [1] - 17270:4 <b>clumsy</b> [1] - 17206:9 <b>coat</b> [2] - 17217:10, 17218:2 <b>coat/dress/stabbing</b> [1] - 17218:23 <b>Code</b> [1] - 17237:8 <b>cogent</b> [2] - 17311:4, 17322:24 <b>coincidence</b> [1] - 17239:9 <b>cold</b> [3] - 17144:13, 17145:8, 17264:20
---	---	--	--	---



<p><b>colleague</b> [1] - 17115:13</p> <p><b>colleagues</b> [7] - 17097:21, 17104:19, 17105:7, 17108:12, 17118:16, 17204:20, 17274:6</p> <p><b>collection</b> [1] - 17134:16</p> <p><b>collectively</b> [1] - 17279:20</p> <p><b>colon</b> [1] - 17145:8</p> <p><b>colour</b> [1] - 17267:2</p> <p><b>Columbia</b> [2] - 17098:7, 17098:8</p> <p><b>combination</b> [2] - 17105:2, 17105:3</p> <p><b>combined</b> [2] - 17225:7, 17227:5</p> <p><b>comfortable</b> [1] - 17119:7</p> <p><b>coming</b> [8] - 17066:3, 17088:6, 17102:25, 17144:8, 17149:5, 17170:6, 17245:13</p> <p><b>commencement</b> [1] - 17322:6</p> <p><b>comment</b> [12] - 17085:2, 17113:24, 17154:17, 17155:16, 17156:7, 17174:19, 17202:22, 17202:23, 17209:7, 17229:5, 17229:13, 17235:12</p> <p><b>commented</b> [1] - 17270:19</p> <p><b>comments</b> [12] - 17074:10, 17079:14, 17080:21, 17081:4, 17208:25, 17210:22, 17211:25, 17236:7, 17245:3, 17274:19, 17284:1, 17317:22</p> <p><b>Commission</b> [18] - 17059:1, 17059:13, 17060:1, 17060:2, 17060:9, 17137:9, 17137:11, 17137:13, 17137:16, 17144:19, 17147:23, 17150:18, 17162:2, 17238:4, 17250:17, 17280:6, 17294:10, 17294:15</p> <p><b>Commissioner</b> [59] - 17063:3, 17086:16, 17086:23, 17087:3, 17126:6, 17126:16, 17126:21, 17127:9, 17129:1, 17140:9, 17145:5, 17145:16,</p>	<p>17145:19, 17145:21, 17147:22, 17149:9, 17149:12, 17149:16, 17149:18, 17149:19, 17149:21, 17161:19, 17169:1, 17191:12, 17192:10, 17209:22, 17209:25, 17210:18, 17227:16, 17227:20, 17227:23, 17243:2, 17243:11, 17243:16, 17245:18, 17246:2, 17259:21, 17259:24, 17270:3, 17270:22, 17270:24, 17275:5, 17275:8, 17276:6, 17291:23, 17292:2, 17292:11, 17292:14, 17294:6, 17294:16, 17294:22, 17314:18, 17314:20, 17314:23, 17314:25, 17324:19, 17324:22, 17326:20, 17327:1</p> <p><b>commit</b> [4] - 17088:9, 17122:13, 17209:11, 17209:19</p> <p><b>committed</b> [4] - 17107:1, 17107:6, 17110:6, 17212:19</p> <p><b>committing</b> [1] - 17226:5</p> <p><b>common</b> [1] - 17099:17</p> <p><b>communicate</b> [2] - 17078:14, 17078:20</p> <p><b>communicated</b> [1] - 17248:9</p> <p><b>communication</b> [1] - 17081:3</p> <p><b>communications</b> [2] - 17127:1, 17127:7</p> <p><b>community</b> [2] - 17096:12, 17211:8</p> <p><b>companions</b> [1] - 17193:17</p> <p><b>company</b> [1] - 17288:16</p> <p><b>compare</b> [2] - 17196:14, 17197:4</p> <p><b>compelling</b> [3] - 17188:24, 17190:10, 17191:3</p> <p><b>compensation</b> [2] - 17308:24, 17311:24</p> <p><b>compiled</b> [1] - 17290:21</p> <p><b>complained</b> [1] - 17302:14</p> <p><b>complaining</b> [1] - 17309:24</p> <p><b>complaints</b> [1] - 17097:24</p>	<p><b>complete</b> [3] - 17141:21, 17290:24, 17321:15</p> <p><b>completed</b> [1] - 17256:23</p> <p><b>Completely</b> [1] - 17090:5</p> <p><b>completely</b> [6] - 17085:3, 17088:18, 17091:4, 17182:12, 17188:25, 17189:5</p> <p><b>complex</b> [1] - 17206:11</p> <p><b>complicated</b> [1] - 17226:23</p> <p><b>conceivably</b> [3] - 17067:18, 17201:16, 17252:8</p> <p><b>concern</b> [8] - 17129:11, 17150:24, 17172:5, 17172:9, 17180:24, 17183:4, 17308:22, 17319:15</p> <p><b>concerned</b> [4] - 17098:14, 17242:11, 17323:9, 17324:14</p> <p><b>concerning</b> [4] - 17072:25, 17073:5, 17073:22, 17264:22</p> <p><b>concerns</b> [5] - 17129:9, 17134:1, 17135:9, 17138:12, 17236:12</p> <p><b>conclude</b> [4] - 17065:9, 17184:12, 17189:20, 17317:14</p> <p><b>concluded</b> [6] - 17190:9, 17204:3, 17212:17, 17219:7, 17316:25, 17317:9</p> <p><b>concluding</b> [2] - 17316:16, 17322:23</p> <p><b>conclusion</b> [7] - 17087:20, 17089:22, 17094:22, 17188:11, 17286:17, 17295:7, 17317:4</p> <p><b>concrete</b> [2] - 17070:9, 17115:8</p> <p><b>conduct</b> [4] - 17182:7, 17313:3, 17322:15, 17323:24</p> <p><b>conducted</b> [3] - 17099:14, 17274:10, 17315:6</p> <p><b>conference</b> [3] - 17066:5, 17078:17, 17312:7</p> <p><b>confessed</b> [1] - 17326:2</p> <p><b>confession</b> [1] - 17312:24</p> <p><b>confidential</b> [1] -</p>	<p>17095:3</p> <p><b>confidentiality</b> [1] - 17134:1</p> <p><b>conflict</b> [1] - 17273:16</p> <p><b>confrontation</b> [3] - 17286:5, 17286:13, 17287:5</p> <p><b>confronted</b> [1] - 17200:2</p> <p><b>confused</b> [1] - 17219:10</p> <p><b>confusing</b> [1] - 17166:5</p> <p><b>Congram</b> [1] - 17060:3</p> <p><b>connect</b> [1] - 17100:5</p> <p><b>connection</b> [13] - 17084:25, 17125:24, 17137:7, 17235:3, 17243:6, 17243:19, 17310:4, 17310:10, 17310:16, 17315:13, 17316:23, 17317:12, 17317:16</p> <p><b>consent</b> [1] - 17162:18</p> <p><b>conservative</b> [1] - 17225:5</p> <p><b>consider</b> [7] - 17064:1, 17092:5, 17096:10, 17185:2, 17185:23, 17186:8, 17187:5</p> <p><b>Consideration</b> [1] - 17317:2</p> <p><b>consideration</b> [2] - 17243:20, 17303:20</p> <p><b>considerations</b> [2] - 17209:6, 17214:22</p> <p><b>considered</b> [4] - 17063:24, 17064:2, 17187:13, 17212:10</p> <p><b>considering</b> [3] - 17090:13, 17208:7, 17325:10</p> <p><b>consistent</b> [1] - 17244:25</p> <p><b>Constable</b> [1] - 17097:8</p> <p><b>consult</b> [1] - 17105:16</p> <p><b>consultation</b> [1] - 17109:11</p> <p><b>contact</b> [6] - 17066:18, 17073:5, 17131:22, 17133:8, 17141:3, 17152:7</p> <p><b>contacted</b> [3] - 17123:16, 17141:9, 17248:14</p> <p><b>contain</b> [3] - 17132:24, 17147:17, 17328:5</p> <p><b>contained</b> [3] - 17125:16, 17294:8, 17311:3</p> <p><b>contains</b> [1] - 17290:2</p>	<p><b>contamination</b> [1] - 17245:4</p> <p><b>contemplating</b> [1] - 17152:24</p> <p><b>content</b> [3] - 17142:11, 17204:8, 17297:13</p> <p><b>contents</b> [1] - 17285:17</p> <p><b>context</b> [1] - 17092:3</p> <p><b>Continued</b> [1] - 17062:3</p> <p><b>continued</b> [1] - 17063:5</p> <p><b>Contractors</b> [1] - 17221:12</p> <p><b>contradicts</b> [1] - 17324:17</p> <p><b>contrary</b> [1] - 17313:16</p> <p><b>control</b> [2] - 17103:23, 17182:16</p> <p><b>conversation</b> [7] - 17094:10, 17147:25, 17162:16, 17163:6, 17178:22, 17222:6, 17244:13</p> <p><b>conversations</b> [4] - 17247:20, 17254:11, 17263:15, 17263:23</p> <p><b>conveyed</b> [1] - 17267:22</p> <p><b>convicted</b> [26] - 17069:6, 17069:23, 17080:10, 17081:5, 17081:7, 17081:16, 17083:5, 17084:1, 17086:24, 17088:21, 17099:1, 17100:2, 17104:6, 17106:22, 17106:23, 17107:5, 17109:22, 17110:11, 17115:4, 17116:4, 17117:2, 17120:4, 17168:22, 17169:12, 17325:25</p> <p><b>conviction</b> [29] - 17068:10, 17080:4, 17081:22, 17086:1, 17088:1, 17089:4, 17089:13, 17090:2, 17090:12, 17100:7, 17103:4, 17103:12, 17103:25, 17104:22, 17104:23, 17105:25, 17106:5, 17106:6, 17106:18, 17111:3, 17115:1, 17116:12, 17117:6, 17120:9, 17141:18, 17143:15, 17170:4, 17226:18, 17305:4</p> <p><b>Conviction</b> [1] - 17059:3</p> <p><b>convictions</b> [1] -</p>
--	---	--	---	--



<p>17067:22  <b>convince</b> [2] -  17136:19, 17144:14  <b>convinced</b> [1] -  17229:10  <b>cool</b> [1] - 17208:8  <b>copied</b> [1] - 17283:5  <b>copies</b> [11] - 17128:1,  17128:4, 17128:10,  17128:22, 17129:4,  17129:9, 17129:11,  17262:4, 17302:2,  17309:23, 17310:20  <b>copy</b> [32] - 17072:19,  17072:23, 17073:12,  17074:21, 17112:10,  17130:12, 17131:6,  17144:16, 17144:20,  17210:10, 17210:12,  17253:19, 17253:25,  17254:13, 17256:2,  17256:15, 17257:4,  17260:9, 17261:15,  17265:5, 17265:22,  17267:3, 17281:25,  17288:3, 17288:6,  17288:10, 17289:5,  17289:17, 17290:6,  17291:14, 17301:17,  17302:22  <b>Copyright</b> [1] - 17146:2  <b>Corey</b> [1] - 17250:20  <b>corner</b> [1] - 17266:23  <b>correct</b> [62] - 17063:16,  17064:8, 17065:18,  17066:9, 17076:25,  17077:22, 17083:16,  17097:15, 17101:18,  17107:2, 17110:5,  17110:7, 17110:12,  17122:19, 17124:5,  17128:11, 17125:17,  17156:2, 17164:25,  17165:1, 17169:15,  17172:3, 17173:4,  17185:1, 17186:10,  17186:20, 17195:21,  17201:4, 17205:5,  17214:9, 17222:14,  17227:22, 17236:22,  17246:13, 17255:16,  17256:1, 17256:4,  17256:22, 17257:8,  17257:13, 17257:18,  17258:3, 17271:1,  17274:8, 17277:4,  17277:15, 17278:8,  17281:6, 17281:13,  17285:19, 17285:23,  17296:10, 17297:5,</p>	<p>17299:16, 17300:13,  17305:14, 17305:20,  17307:20, 17308:14,  17319:22, 17320:16,  17328:5  <b>Correct</b> [3] - 17163:15,  17291:8, 17297:25  <b>corrected</b> [11] -  17069:3, 17098:6,  17103:2, 17124:20,  17124:23, 17141:13,  17160:21, 17234:12,  17250:16, 17270:15,  17312:8  <b>correspondence</b> [4] -  17078:4, 17130:17,  17253:14, 17257:11  <b>corridor</b> [1] - 17278:13  <b>corroborates</b> [1] -  17192:18  <b>Cotler</b> [1] - 17061:12  <b>council</b> [1] - 17268:19  <b>Counsel</b> [6] - 17060:2,  17063:4, 17246:14,  17280:6, 17288:25,  17294:16  <b>counsel</b> [33] - 17113:4,  17120:25, 17121:19,  17122:5, 17123:3,  17126:25, 17127:1,  17128:18, 17129:3,  17129:14, 17132:3,  17133:6, 17135:13,  17140:3, 17144:19,  17150:17, 17151:11,  17155:20, 17228:10,  17269:4, 17269:23,  17291:20, 17294:7,  17303:24, 17304:2,  17309:24, 17310:6,  17310:8, 17315:24,  17316:18, 17321:24,  17322:11, 17327:2  <b>counsel's</b> [1] - 17322:9  <b>count</b> [2] - 17189:2,  17204:10  <b>country</b> [2] - 17073:9,  17226:10  <b>counts</b> [1] - 17270:9  <b>couple</b> [16] - 17065:16,  17092:18, 17097:21,  17113:20, 17123:2,  17128:5, 17136:5,  17140:21, 17140:22,  17140:23, 17167:14,  17194:3, 17231:1,  17249:8, 17275:20,  17291:6  <b>course</b> [40] - 17067:13,  17068:14, 17080:4,</p>	<p>17086:15, 17102:22,  17105:9, 17106:1,  17106:17, 17111:2,  17118:18, 17130:18,  17132:8, 17134:15,  17141:14, 17142:21,  17148:16, 17149:22,  17173:23, 17176:5,  17185:12, 17187:22,  17189:16, 17193:1,  17198:25, 17199:17,  17203:24, 17209:19,  17214:14, 17214:17,  17216:2, 17218:22,  17222:9, 17222:15,  17228:6, 17228:16,  17235:17, 17237:11,  17248:2, 17291:11,  17309:15  <b>Court</b> [59] - 17060:10,  17081:9, 17083:15,  17083:17, 17083:20,  17084:18, 17095:19,  17121:22, 17124:2,  17124:4, 17154:2,  17154:5, 17154:22,  17156:4, 17159:11,  17174:21, 17175:23,  17233:23, 17234:15,  17277:24, 17278:2,  17278:7, 17284:13,  17288:15, 17293:11,  17296:15, 17298:2,  17299:4, 17303:19,  17304:18, 17304:21,  17308:10, 17308:21,  17309:17, 17311:14,  17312:19, 17313:5,  17313:9, 17313:14,  17313:17, 17314:3,  17314:8, 17315:22,  17316:18, 17316:20,  17317:9, 17317:13,  17317:21, 17318:12,  17318:13, 17319:17,  17321:22, 17321:25,  17322:23, 17323:9,  17328:1, 17328:3,  17328:14, 17328:18  <b>court</b> [7] - 17124:3,  17124:10, 17155:4,  17171:15, 17199:13,  17233:11, 17290:4  <b>Courts</b> [2] - 17313:1,  17316:5  <b>courtesy</b> [1] - 17224:11  <b>courthouse</b> [1] -  17291:18  <b>courtroom</b> [2] -  17124:7, 17313:18</p>	<p><b>courts</b> [2] - 17084:1,  17109:21  <b>cover</b> [2] - 17146:1,  17267:4  <b>covered</b> [1] - 17300:12  <b>covering</b> [2] -  17136:17, 17254:17  <b>crap</b> [2] - 17266:6,  17266:15  <b>created</b> [2] - 17256:12,  17297:9  <b>credibility</b> [3] -  17183:4, 17203:6,  17273:5  <b>credible</b> [7] - 17107:9,  17107:13, 17114:2,  17119:3, 17191:9,  17322:24, 17325:8  <b>Credit</b> [1] - 17180:19  <b>crim</b> [1] - 17225:11  <b>crim-neg</b> [1] - 17225:11  <b>crime</b> [20] - 17078:1,  17079:23, 17079:25,  17085:7, 17086:24,  17087:16, 17088:9,  17089:2, 17090:24,  17106:1, 17107:1,  17107:6, 17110:6,  17116:6, 17117:3,  17117:6, 17119:22,  17122:13, 17208:8,  17212:20  <b>crimes</b> [1] - 17309:14  <b>criminal</b> [4] - 17083:18,  17157:20, 17164:6,  17168:2  <b>Criminal</b> [3] - 17237:8,  17246:14, 17247:3  <b>criticize</b> [1] - 17314:19  <b>Crook</b> [1] - 17097:11  <b>cross</b> [6] - 17158:10,  17215:9, 17233:12,  17256:17, 17273:5,  17273:9  <b>cross-examination</b> [3] -  17215:9, 17233:12,  17273:9  <b>cross-examined</b> [3] -  17158:10, 17256:17,  17273:5  <b>Crown</b> [53] - 17066:8,  17086:10, 17088:8,  17099:10, 17101:19,  17101:20, 17102:1,  17103:7, 17104:10,  17105:1, 17105:5,  17112:19, 17115:21,  17116:7, 17116:8,  17134:10, 17134:25,  17153:11, 17153:12,</p>	<p>17189:8, 17212:4,  17229:3, 17233:8,  17236:21, 17237:3,  17242:14, 17259:10,  17269:7, 17276:24,  17279:15, 17288:12,  17288:25, 17290:3,  17296:21, 17301:5,  17302:24, 17304:4,  17311:15, 17313:4,  17313:21, 17314:1,  17314:22, 17315:6,  17316:16, 17316:25,  17317:23, 17320:22,  17322:9, 17323:16,  17325:6, 17325:13,  17325:15  <b>crown</b> [1] - 17232:12  <b>Crown's</b> [7] - 17111:18,  17113:24, 17219:17,  17233:12, 17311:12,  17318:8, 17323:19  <b>Csr</b> [8] - 17060:10,  17060:11, 17328:2,  17328:12, 17328:13,  17328:16, 17328:17  <b>Current</b> [1] - 17271:22  <b>custody</b> [1] - 17076:12  <b>cuts</b> [7] - 17075:2,  17075:4, 17196:14,  17196:15, 17196:24,  17197:2, 17197:4  <b>cuz</b> [1] - 17225:4</p>
<b>D</b>				
<p><b>Dahlem</b> [3] - 17238:5,  17239:19, 17252:20  <b>Dahlem's</b> [1] - 17239:1  <b>dais</b> [1] - 17174:23  <b>Dalmeny</b> [1] - 17161:22  <b>damaging</b> [1] -  17311:11  <b>dance</b> [1] - 17201:11  <b>Danchuks</b> [1] - 17186:4  <b>Danchuks'</b> [1] - 17180:6  <b>danger</b> [2] - 17076:11,  17077:6  <b>dangerous</b> [4] -  17070:9, 17076:15,  17226:21, 17226:24  <b>dark</b> [2] - 17075:7,  17267:1  <b>date</b> [20] - 17069:8,  17072:3, 17072:23,  17082:20, 17082:23,  17095:11, 17126:5,  17129:19, 17130:1,  17141:22, 17146:3,</p>				



<p>17146:8, 17151:12, 17230:9, 17231:3, 17278:23, 17283:25, 17305:12, 17306:12, 17326:1 <b>dated</b> [4] - 17072:25, 17244:7, 17257:17, 17259:15 <b>dates</b> [4] - 17071:25, 17142:1, 17231:2, 17238:3 <b>David</b> [82] - 17059:3, 17061:2, 17061:11, 17062:3, 17063:5, 17069:22, 17076:16, 17083:3, 17084:9, 17091:25, 17099:9, 17099:10, 17100:6, 17104:1, 17105:12, 17112:3, 17112:25, 17113:15, 17114:23, 17116:12, 17123:1, 17123:14, 17124:16, 17125:6, 17125:24, 17126:1, 17126:19, 17126:24, 17128:19, 17132:4, 17132:19, 17133:6, 17133:12, 17138:18, 17138:22, 17139:2, 17139:4, 17139:8, 17139:11, 17139:12, 17140:3, 17142:6, 17143:14, 17145:19, 17160:23, 17169:6, 17178:17, 17179:17, 17180:13, 17186:5, 17190:21, 17190:22, 17191:24, 17192:1, 17193:15, 17208:11, 17209:13, 17225:23, 17227:1, 17227:7, 17228:10, 17228:19, 17232:20, 17234:22, 17247:1, 17255:6, 17261:10, 17265:8, 17268:19, 17269:4, 17274:15, 17278:17, 17295:15, 17307:1, 17309:5, 17309:15, 17310:5, 17310:22, 17310:23, 17323:22, 17325:11 <b>daylight</b> [1] - 17275:9 <b>days</b> [11] - 17064:12, 17065:16, 17097:19, 17127:6, 17136:9, 17155:18, 17167:14, 17206:23, 17210:14, 17308:9, 17323:7 <b>deal</b> [11] - 17080:6,</p>	<p>17083:20, 17104:16, 17117:19, 17129:13, 17135:24, 17146:10, 17185:12, 17200:1, 17228:9, 17277:7 <b>dealing</b> [11] - 17063:9, 17063:10, 17114:20, 17128:17, 17140:13, 17167:16, 17237:6, 17274:1, 17277:9, 17280:3, 17296:11 <b>dealings</b> [8] - 17097:7, 17098:16, 17140:17, 17143:24, 17273:21, 17276:15, 17277:19, 17294:20 <b>deals</b> [2] - 17259:17, 17261:16 <b>dealt</b> [4] - 17097:4, 17280:11, 17301:20, 17314:16 <b>death</b> [1] - 17075:7 <b>deaths</b> [1] - 17069:25 <b>debate</b> [2] - 17272:3, 17308:20 <b>Debbie</b> [3] - 17095:5, 17095:14, 17098:5 <b>Deborah</b> [2] - 17095:10, 17095:20 <b>deceased's</b> [1] - 17206:3 <b>December</b> [3] - 17131:5, 17231:3, 17237:13 <b>decided</b> [6] - 17192:24, 17279:3, 17279:20, 17320:2, 17320:10, 17321:1 <b>decision</b> [21] - 17111:23, 17112:12, 17137:10, 17143:18, 17304:19, 17304:21, 17304:24, 17305:3, 17306:3, 17306:6, 17306:8, 17313:2, 17314:4, 17314:9, 17314:12, 17314:15, 17314:20, 17316:5, 17317:21, 17319:17, 17326:9 <b>declaration</b> [4] - 17178:5, 17278:13, 17279:17, 17320:25 <b>declaring</b> [1] - 17081:18 <b>declined</b> [2] - 17229:4, 17272:5 <b>defence</b> [13] - 17069:24, 17155:20, 17157:12, 17193:13,</p>	<p>17193:20, 17200:15, 17202:15, 17202:19, 17202:25, 17203:5, 17203:22, 17315:24, 17316:18 <b>defended</b> [2] - 17226:17, 17227:11 <b>defense</b> [2] - 17219:12, 17219:14 <b>defensive</b> [1] - 17174:9 <b>definition</b> [3] - 17080:15, 17087:22, 17112:15 <b>degrees</b> [1] - 17075:9 <b>Del</b> [2] - 17153:7, 17154:23 <b>delay</b> [1] - 17309:25 <b>deliberately</b> [1] - 17154:20 <b>deliberations</b> [2] - 17242:22, 17244:1 <b>delivered</b> [2] - 17083:16, 17127:5 <b>Dell</b> [1] - 17153:6 <b>demonstrate</b> [1] - 17314:5 <b>demonstrates</b> [1] - 17310:2 <b>demonstration</b> [1] - 17077:11 <b>demurred</b> [1] - 17144:16 <b>denied</b> [1] - 17094:4 <b>Dennis</b> [2] - 17162:2, 17162:6 <b>Denson</b> [1] - 17094:16 <b>Denson's</b> [1] - 17094:11 <b>Department</b> [8] - 17074:23, 17101:14, 17246:8, 17246:18, 17247:3, 17273:12, 17276:23, 17281:22 <b>department</b> [10] - 17109:9, 17120:17, 17121:10, 17142:22, 17152:14, 17155:4, 17230:22, 17238:19, 17272:20 <b>Department's</b> [1] - 17229:6 <b>Departmental</b> [1] - 17272:23 <b>departments</b> [1] - 17307:8 <b>Dept</b> [1] - 17289:24 <b>deputy</b> [1] - 17131:11 <b>Deputy</b> [1] - 17309:12 <b>derail</b> [1] - 17279:22 <b>describe</b> [2] - 17255:8, 17284:21</p>	<p><b>describing</b> [1] - 17149:6 <b>Description</b> [1] - 17062:2 <b>description</b> [4] - 17172:21, 17237:5, 17258:17, 17301:16 <b>desire</b> [1] - 17214:10 <b>desk</b> [2] - 17238:9, 17252:21 <b>despite</b> [2] - 17086:10, 17102:9 <b>Det./sgt</b> [1] - 17301:19 <b>detail</b> [7] - 17152:1, 17152:5, 17194:11, 17241:7, 17247:22, 17247:23, 17297:3 <b>detail'</b> [1] - 17254:13 <b>detailing</b> [1] - 17244:8 <b>details</b> [5] - 17068:23, 17115:20, 17173:7, 17173:14, 17295:24 <b>detective</b> [1] - 17194:25 <b>Detective</b> [5] - 17222:9, 17238:23, 17252:1, 17259:15, 17309:8 <b>determine</b> [1] - 17073:10 <b>determined</b> [1] - 17215:9 <b>determining</b> [1] - 17293:23 <b>Diana</b> [1] - 17093:23 <b>dictate</b> [1] - 17220:24 <b>dictated</b> [2] - 17165:6, 17220:21 <b>died</b> [3] - 17216:6, 17226:11, 17235:21 <b>difference</b> [4] - 17143:17, 17182:17, 17191:22, 17324:5 <b>different</b> [16] - 17073:8, 17106:22, 17114:8, 17119:3, 17140:9, 17144:2, 17178:10, 17182:13, 17182:14, 17184:9, 17226:12, 17232:24, 17242:15, 17263:11, 17288:23, 17303:25 <b>differently</b> [1] - 17117:4 <b>difficult</b> [10] - 17110:25, 17111:14, 17121:9, 17130:2, 17133:13, 17138:5, 17158:6, 17180:10, 17211:14, 17233:8 <b>difficulty</b> [1] - 17226:7 <b>dignify</b> [1] - 17272:12 <b>direct</b> [1] - 17294:24</p>	<p><b>directed</b> [2] - 17198:21, 17305:6 <b>directing</b> [1] - 17201:20 <b>direction</b> [4] - 17103:6, 17103:17, 17131:9, 17131:25 <b>directions</b> [2] - 17186:3, 17190:21 <b>directly</b> [2] - 17128:17, 17192:3 <b>Director</b> [1] - 17060:3 <b>director</b> [3] - 17101:17, 17114:11, 17276:19 <b>disappointed</b> [1] - 17212:12 <b>disappointment</b> [2] - 17211:11, 17212:22 <b>Disbery</b> [1] - 17155:14 <b>discarded</b> [2] - 17107:12, 17134:22 <b>disclose</b> [1] - 17314:2 <b>disclosed</b> [5] - 17310:5, 17310:13, 17315:24, 17316:17, 17316:25 <b>disclosing</b> [2] - 17137:13, 17315:7 <b>disclosure</b> [14] - 17136:14, 17257:12, 17257:16, 17279:21, 17295:25, 17297:2, 17299:13, 17299:16, 17299:19, 17303:22, 17310:25, 17316:1, 17318:15, 17320:22 <b>discounting</b> [1] - 17188:25 <b>discovered</b> [3] - 17078:3, 17098:4, 17160:3 <b>discovery</b> [2] - 17256:9, 17279:13 <b>discuss</b> [3] - 17131:2, 17203:24, 17243:10 <b>discussed</b> [1] - 17070:22 <b>discussing</b> [2] - 17142:8, 17239:6 <b>discussion</b> [10] - 17065:12, 17096:5, 17129:22, 17143:9, 17162:11, 17201:5, 17203:4, 17222:16, 17276:20, 17299:14 <b>Discussion</b> [1] - 17243:12 <b>discussions</b> [11] - 17096:21, 17137:19, 17139:22, 17143:11, 17149:2, 17203:7, 17223:5, 17244:8,</p>
--	---	--	--	--



17316:13, 17323:15, 17324:7 <b>disfiguration</b> [1] - 17075:2 <b>dispassionately</b> [2] - 17111:1, 17111:15 <b>dispute</b> [4] - 17224:19, 17231:8, 17245:9, 17304:13 <b>disputing</b> [3] - 17081:16, 17081:22, 17317:20 <b>distance</b> [2] - 17119:6, 17119:15 <b>distinguish</b> [1] - 17132:8 <b>distributed</b> [1] - 17312:7 <b>divergence</b> [2] - 17173:7, 17173:19 <b>divide</b> [1] - 17081:6 <b>doc</b> [1] - 17280:24 <b>doctor</b> [2] - 17097:23, 17097:24 <b>doctors</b> [1] - 17097:12 <b>Document</b> [3] - 17060:4, 17060:5, 17060:6 <b>document</b> [53] - 17063:11, 17063:12, 17091:19, 17091:20, 17098:18, 17126:3, 17126:6, 17126:12, 17145:25, 17160:18, 17210:3, 17217:15, 17222:22, 17244:7, 17244:12, 17245:19, 17245:22, 17246:1, 17254:20, 17256:10, 17257:5, 17259:25, 17261:4, 17268:13, 17270:14, 17270:18, 17276:16, 17280:18, 17280:19, 17285:14, 17287:20, 17287:21, 17287:24, 17288:1, 17290:8, 17290:21, 17291:7, 17291:23, 17292:6, 17292:17, 17293:23, 17295:10, 17296:13, 17296:23, 17297:23, 17297:24, 17298:11, 17299:7, 17299:11, 17312:4, 17322:8, 17322:12, 17324:20 <b>document'</b> [1] - 17287:16 <b>documentary</b> [1] - 17228:19	<b>documentation</b> [1] - 17072:9 <b>documented</b> [2] - 17067:8, 17070:21 <b>documents</b> [27] - 17134:25, 17141:1, 17168:17, 17169:4, 17237:21, 17238:16, 17240:25, 17241:18, 17241:20, 17249:21, 17250:1, 17250:23, 17252:25, 17254:24, 17255:11, 17263:4, 17266:19, 17267:24, 17277:6, 17278:5, 17279:14, 17280:14, 17291:15, 17294:15, 17305:25, 17307:11, 17307:12 <b>domain</b> [4] - 17082:8, 17082:10, 17122:16, 17165:15 <b>Don</b> [1] - 17060:11 <b>Donald</b> [2] - 17328:2, 17328:17 <b>done</b> [39] - 17087:10, 17089:8, 17098:18, 17098:20, 17101:22, 17104:13, 17107:16, 17108:9, 17108:20, 17109:2, 17110:2, 17114:4, 17115:24, 17117:4, 17117:25, 17118:4, 17118:5, 17118:10, 17121:7, 17122:9, 17132:7, 17133:12, 17139:16, 17142:22, 17160:22, 17165:21, 17188:20, 17191:7, 17196:20, 17202:21, 17220:17, 17224:20, 17228:15, 17228:16, 17242:9, 17267:9, 17293:10, 17326:16 <b>door</b> [2] - 17097:25, 17269:10 <b>dope</b> [1] - 17232:22 <b>double</b> [1] - 17305:22 <b>double-check</b> [1] - 17305:22 <b>doubt</b> [9] - 17083:21, 17122:1, 17135:21, 17148:15, 17212:19, 17239:5, 17239:16, 17303:16, 17312:6 <b>Douglas</b> [1] - 17060:2 <b>down</b> [47] - 17064:6, 17066:13, 17078:11, 17092:7, 17092:17,	17094:21, 17095:4, 17125:16, 17142:5, 17150:22, 17153:23, 17154:23, 17159:25, 17165:22, 17168:4, 17174:24, 17183:18, 17195:4, 17195:24, 17197:13, 17197:15, 17199:2, 17199:6, 17207:4, 17208:4, 17215:18, 17218:4, 17229:13, 17229:18, 17233:3, 17234:16, 17248:17, 17258:4, 17258:15, 17262:3, 17263:24, 17264:17, 17272:18, 17282:12, 17283:6, 17286:18, 17294:17, 17302:1, 17308:5, 17312:17, 17316:15 <b>dozen</b> [2] - 17222:12, 17272:25 <b>Dr</b> [39] - 17064:13, 17064:15, 17066:15, 17066:21, 17066:23, 17070:25, 17071:25, 17072:4, 17073:5, 17073:12, 17074:8, 17085:11, 17086:3, 17092:9, 17092:11, 17092:12, 17092:20, 17092:22, 17092:25, 17093:12, 17093:15, 17093:21, 17093:22, 17094:2, 17094:11, 17094:19, 17094:23, 17095:24, 17096:4, 17096:24, 17098:14, 17184:11, 17196:9, 17196:20, 17197:23, 17245:1, 17245:3 <b>dragging</b> [1] - 17218:12 <b>drawer</b> [1] - 17289:8 <b>dress</b> [2] - 17171:5, 17218:4 <b>drill</b> [1] - 17097:9 <b>driven</b> [2] - 17171:21, 17184:25 <b>drugs</b> [1] - 17092:21 <b>dubious</b> [1] - 17085:14 <b>due</b> [3] - 17067:13, 17149:22, 17260:20 <b>During</b> [1] - 17094:22 <b>during</b> [13] - 17074:24, 17089:22, 17094:10, 17130:25, 17143:24, 17159:4, 17178:23, 17179:6, 17273:9, 17299:23, 17300:16,	17304:4, 17313:18 <b>duty</b> [3] - 17068:13, 17068:20, 17089:1  <b>E</b>  <b>E120</b> [1] - 17270:6 <b>Eamon</b> [1] - 17061:10 <b>early</b> [12] - 17067:10, 17071:19, 17082:7, 17082:9, 17094:3, 17117:10, 17121:20, 17122:4, 17131:6, 17171:17, 17178:15, 17306:13 <b>easily</b> [1] - 17311:2 <b>easy</b> [3] - 17112:6, 17121:19, 17143:22 <b>Ed</b> [2] - 17167:16, 17194:25 <b>Eddie</b> [2] - 17061:8, 17319:22 <b>Edmonton</b> [2] - 17176:11, 17181:9 <b>Education</b> [1] - 17221:12 <b>Edward</b> [1] - 17059:6 <b>eery</b> [1] - 17215:13 <b>effect</b> [30] - 17068:19, 17068:20, 17069:15, 17077:4, 17125:8, 17133:14, 17141:19, 17142:10, 17150:6, 17150:10, 17167:12, 17178:17, 17188:25, 17196:18, 17197:4, 17198:1, 17207:14, 17211:15, 17212:6, 17212:15, 17237:4, 17241:18, 17246:22, 17258:12, 17272:2, 17279:2, 17301:13, 17307:24, 17308:3, 17324:11 <b>effort</b> [3] - 17118:21, 17211:1, 17322:2 <b>effort's</b> [1] - 17211:17 <b>efforts</b> [5] - 17086:10, 17134:12, 17143:14, 17145:19, 17264:23 <b>egomaniacal</b> [1] - 17153:14 <b>eight</b> [1] - 17312:14 <b>eighty</b> [2] - 17234:23, 17235:8 <b>eighty-one</b> [1] - 17234:23 <b>eighty-three</b> [1] - 17235:8	<b>Either</b> [1] - 17240:1 <b>either</b> [36] - 17089:10, 17097:5, 17104:18, 17110:21, 17111:20, 17112:13, 17122:2, 17130:20, 17143:6, 17143:13, 17160:6, 17160:9, 17162:19, 17170:3, 17175:16, 17187:7, 17198:18, 17204:19, 17210:15, 17215:2, 17215:6, 17231:8, 17241:14, 17243:7, 17249:16, 17258:11, 17260:21, 17264:10, 17274:17, 17279:19, 17280:11, 17301:12, 17307:8, 17313:4, 17313:20, 17314:5 <b>elaborate</b> [1] - 17069:13 <b>elderly</b> [1] - 17100:3 <b>element</b> [1] - 17205:10 <b>eliminated</b> [2] - 17160:11, 17205:25 <b>Ellen</b> [1] - 17276:18 <b>elsewhere</b> [3] - 17090:7, 17114:21, 17326:14 <b>Elson</b> [1] - 17061:7 <b>emphasize</b> [1] - 17077:6 <b>employed</b> [3] - 17123:23, 17273:12, 17305:10 <b>employment</b> [2] - 17236:20, 17305:17 <b>Emson</b> [3] - 17196:9, 17196:20, 17197:23 <b>en</b> [1] - 17302:16 <b>enacted</b> [1] - 17235:14 <b>enclose</b> [4] - 17259:14, 17262:4, 17263:15, 17264:18 <b>enclosed</b> [4] - 17075:21, 17301:17, 17302:2, 17309:22 <b>enclosing</b> [4] - 17064:6, 17066:19, 17074:21, 17310:19 <b>encounter</b> [1] - 17284:21 <b>encourage</b> [1] - 17148:24 <b>end</b> [13] - 17086:20, 17102:24, 17102:25, 17119:18, 17130:25, 17135:17, 17148:17, 17200:18, 17204:6,
---	---	--	--	--





17279:10, 17281:12, 17305:18, 17315:17 <b>End</b> [2] - 17230:5, 17236:2 <b>ended</b> [4] - 17096:4, 17226:18, 17226:23, 17326:8 <b>ends</b> [2] - 17222:24, 17225:17 <b>enforcement</b> [1] - 17078:19 <b>engaged</b> [1] - 17254:18 <b>engine</b> [1] - 17151:3 <b>engineered</b> [1] - 17154:20 <b>England</b> [2] - 17094:18, 17097:2 <b>enjoyed</b> [1] - 17065:22 <b>enlist</b> [1] - 17228:5 <b>Enson</b> [1] - 17244:21 <b>Enson's</b> [1] - 17245:8 <b>entered</b> [3] - 17103:4, 17103:12, 17322:10 <b>entire</b> [9] - 17126:9, 17128:19, 17133:10, 17135:7, 17142:18, 17151:2, 17163:18, 17290:6, 17318:9 <b>entirely</b> [1] - 17178:10 <b>entirety</b> [1] - 17162:1 <b>entitled</b> [4] - 17254:20, 17256:7, 17264:19, 17289:15 <b>entrance</b> [1] - 17171:19 <b>entry</b> [2] - 17222:7, 17283:10 <b>envision</b> [1] - 17284:11 <b>episode</b> [8] - 17152:19, 17168:11, 17175:19, 17178:20, 17182:16, 17199:11, 17225:16, 17285:9 <b>episodes</b> [3] - 17167:5, 17262:11, 17302:8 <b>equal</b> [5] - 17102:6, 17129:13, 17148:23, 17200:24, 17278:15 <b>equate</b> [1] - 17182:12 <b>equation</b> [1] - 17117:1 <b>equivalent</b> [1] - 17099:13 <b>error</b> [5] - 17118:17, 17156:9, 17157:4, 17313:6, 17317:15 <b>Esq</b> [5] - 17061:3, 17061:7, 17061:8, 17061:9, 17061:10 <b>Essentially</b> [1] - 17101:3 <b>essentially</b> [9] -	17069:5, 17122:19, 17142:23, 17202:20, 17205:23, 17206:23, 17226:20, 17228:13, 17248:11 <b>establishing</b> [1] - 17322:13 <b>Estate</b> [2] - 17095:12, 17095:24 <b>estimate</b> [1] - 17189:12 <b>estimation</b> [1] - 17196:8 <b>etc</b> [2] - 17096:3, 17282:16 <b>Etcetera</b> [2] - 17166:15, 17295:18 <b>etcetera</b> [19] - 17070:20, 17078:6, 17080:15, 17113:13, 17129:16, 17131:2, 17133:20, 17134:3, 17134:7, 17141:19, 17144:9, 17147:20, 17166:24, 17169:17, 17185:14, 17196:4, 17201:12, 17203:6, 17245:9 <b>Eugene</b> [16] - 17170:5, 17238:2, 17238:25, 17240:19, 17247:12, 17248:14, 17267:23, 17268:17, 17274:10, 17274:18, 17276:20, 17277:13, 17278:25, 17280:3, 17283:22, 17301:2 <b>event</b> [8] - 17125:5, 17162:8, 17174:8, 17262:23, 17268:4, 17280:12, 17294:24, 17314:16 <b>events</b> [4] - 17076:9, 17107:20, 17183:11, 17229:12 <b>eventually</b> [2] - 17226:9, 17256:17 <b>Evidence</b> [1] - 17171:2 <b>evidence</b> [108] - 17067:19, 17082:6, 17087:16, 17088:9, 17089:11, 17089:24, 17095:9, 17098:11, 17098:23, 17100:9, 17100:13, 17101:12, 17105:11, 17116:2, 17116:16, 17120:7, 17122:25, 17131:3, 17156:16, 17160:12, 17162:3, 17164:16, 17164:19, 17165:20,	17166:18, 17177:4, 17178:3, 17178:11, 17178:14, 17187:22, 17188:12, 17189:11, 17189:14, 17189:17, 17189:23, 17190:6, 17190:20, 17191:2, 17191:14, 17191:19, 17191:23, 17192:23, 17192:25, 17193:5, 17193:9, 17193:12, 17195:16, 17195:19, 17199:21, 17200:9, 17206:19, 17206:22, 17208:17, 17211:10, 17212:18, 17215:13, 17219:1, 17220:19, 17228:2, 17236:20, 17249:23, 17265:10, 17267:17, 17270:7, 17270:25, 17271:5, 17271:7, 17271:9, 17271:11, 17273:18, 17276:2, 17276:8, 17276:13, 17278:15, 17278:16, 17278:23, 17279:4, 17279:12, 17284:14, 17284:16, 17292:18, 17297:6, 17299:15, 17303:25, 17310:4, 17310:13, 17312:9, 17312:21, 17315:8, 17315:9, 17315:20, 17316:2, 17319:4, 17319:21, 17319:24, 17320:3, 17322:10, 17322:24, 17323:10, 17323:12, 17323:21, 17325:5, 17325:8, 17325:12 <b>evident</b> [2] - 17115:7, 17179:8 <b>evidently</b> [3] - 17072:19, 17178:19, 17222:19 <b>ex</b> [3] - 17100:11, 17100:15, 17107:5 <b>ex-husband</b> [2] - 17100:15, 17107:5 <b>ex-wife</b> [1] - 17100:11 <b>exact</b> [2] - 17118:24, 17306:12 <b>exactly</b> [3] - 17175:7, 17232:11, 17239:11 <b>exaggerated</b> [2] - 17155:24, 17196:6 <b>examination</b> [6] - 17189:24, 17215:9, 17233:12, 17273:9, 17290:24, 17316:11	<b>examination-in-chief</b> [1] - 17189:24 <b>examinations</b> [1] - 17274:10 <b>examine</b> [4] - 17197:7, 17279:13, 17279:16, 17287:10 <b>examined</b> [7] - 17158:10, 17194:20, 17256:17, 17272:23, 17273:3, 17273:5, 17309:6 <b>examining</b> [1] - 17210:2 <b>example</b> [9] - 17068:15, 17076:13, 17077:3, 17097:7, 17115:8, 17173:18, 17185:3, 17253:19, 17274:24 <b>exceedingly</b> [1] - 17307:15 <b>except</b> [4] - 17091:5, 17191:22, 17240:7, 17298:12 <b>excerpt</b> [2] - 17227:17, 17264:19 <b>excluded</b> [1] - 17117:11 <b>exculpatory</b> [1] - 17115:3 <b>Excuse</b> [1] - 17145:5 <b>excused</b> [1] - 17324:15 <b>Executive</b> [1] - 17060:3 <b>executive</b> [1] - 17276:18 <b>exhausted</b> [2] - 17081:25, 17115:2 <b>exhibit</b> [3] - 17159:16, 17165:12, 17256:20 <b>exhibits</b> [2] - 17159:7, 17159:23 <b>existed</b> [3] - 17253:7, 17295:1, 17325:8 <b>existence</b> [2] - 17144:12, 17304:2 <b>existent</b> [1] - 17311:7 <b>existing</b> [1] - 17253:18 <b>exists</b> [2] - 17161:4, 17317:6 <b>expand</b> [2] - 17226:1, 17278:10 <b>expect</b> [9] - 17068:5, 17081:24, 17082:4, 17099:21, 17130:21, 17175:12, 17184:18, 17249:15, 17252:9 <b>expected</b> [6] - 17076:20, 17096:11, 17096:14, 17173:19, 17182:7, 17325:9 <b>experience</b> [1] - 17172:12	<b>experienced</b> [1] - 17187:15 <b>explain</b> [5] - 17155:16, 17163:17, 17174:19, 17185:25, 17295:5 <b>explained</b> [4] - 17184:10, 17227:12, 17258:2, 17320:19 <b>explaining</b> [1] - 17205:11 <b>explanation</b> [4] - 17182:17, 17184:16, 17188:5, 17206:9 <b>explanations</b> [2] - 17142:9, 17185:2 <b>explanatory</b> [1] - 17279:5 <b>explicitly</b> [1] - 17071:9 <b>express</b> [1] - 17308:22 <b>expressed</b> [1] - 17136:13 <b>expressing</b> [1] - 17319:15 <b>extent</b> [7] - 17105:3, 17110:18, 17141:7, 17164:10, 17164:14, 17165:18, 17295:25 <b>extra</b> [1] - 17074:21 <b>extraordinary</b> [1] - 17318:24 <b>extremely</b> [2] - 17193:20, 17311:11 <b>eye</b> [1] - 17190:5 <b>eye-witness</b> [1] - 17190:5
<b>F</b>				
<b>face</b> [3] - 17135:24, 17215:8 <b>faced</b> [1] - 17189:1 <b>fact</b> [41] - 17076:10, 17078:8, 17079:13, 17079:18, 17083:24, 17084:9, 17088:5, 17115:12, 17117:1, 17117:5, 17122:12, 17133:14, 17136:24, 17146:24, 17150:17, 17160:10, 17178:11, 17186:8, 17192:6, 17195:12, 17212:8, 17215:22, 17225:6, 17226:17, 17226:24, 17228:7, 17229:11, 17232:12, 17252:19, 17265:7, 17265:21, 17276:1, 17296:17, 17308:14, 17313:23,				



<p>17315:18, 17318:6, 17319:21, 17320:19, 17323:7, 17324:18 <b>factor</b> [2] - 17076:24, 17183:2 <b>facts</b> [14] - 17080:3, 17080:15, 17081:7, 17091:5, 17091:15, 17092:4, 17111:2, 17118:24, 17179:23, 17266:9, 17266:16, 17278:19, 17286:17, 17317:6 <b>factual</b> [2] - 17167:25, 17242:19 <b>failed</b> [2] - 17314:4, 17317:15 <b>failing</b> [3] - 17068:16, 17113:5, 17314:2 <b>failure</b> [3] - 17184:23, 17187:24, 17188:5 <b>Fainstein</b>[1] - 17280:9 <b>fair</b> [26] - 17071:8, 17071:18, 17074:10, 17077:17, 17086:5, 17086:8, 17086:9, 17103:19, 17106:2, 17112:19, 17128:6, 17128:18, 17153:1, 17165:10, 17165:13, 17173:3, 17179:20, 17179:21, 17190:13, 17193:19, 17202:22, 17241:9, 17247:17, 17277:3, 17296:4, 17303:11 <b>Fair</b>[1] - 17132:11 <b>fairly</b> [2] - 17176:14, 17249:13 <b>fairness</b> [8] - 17107:15, 17117:14, 17120:6, 17156:20, 17158:10, 17165:5, 17266:18, 17317:18 <b>fall</b> [1] - 17078:9 <b>falls</b> [1] - 17211:20 <b>false</b> [1] - 17167:12 <b>familiar</b> [1] - 17287:16 <b>family</b> [3] - 17128:14, 17213:4, 17307:16 <b>far</b> [9] - 17083:23, 17098:14, 17129:7, 17151:2, 17179:22, 17201:1, 17242:11, 17294:20, 17319:3 <b>farm</b> [1] - 17228:2 <b>farm-out</b> [1] - 17228:2 <b>fascinating</b> [2] - 17208:10, 17224:8 <b>fashion</b> [6] - 17069:19,</p>	<p>17102:17, 17108:14, 17150:5, 17172:17, 17304:17 <b>father</b> [1] - 17171:20 <b>fault</b> [5] - 17118:17, 17172:13, 17211:19, 17313:3, 17313:19 <b>favours</b> [1] - 17157:18 <b>favourable</b> [1] - 17188:7 <b>favours</b> [3] - 17158:3, 17158:9, 17158:16 <b>fax</b> [1] - 17288:12 <b>fear</b> [3] - 17184:25, 17187:25, 17188:1 <b>Feb</b>[1] - 17301:18 <b>February</b>[11] - 17126:13, 17127:11, 17129:20, 17161:21, 17221:7, 17249:17, 17256:24, 17259:15, 17261:15, 17267:12, 17267:18 <b>federal</b> [4] - 17114:25, 17231:4, 17240:20, 17272:20 <b>Federal</b>[25] - 17144:14, 17144:15, 17229:5, 17229:8, 17236:23, 17237:1, 17237:4, 17237:7, 17237:15, 17238:6, 17239:14, 17240:8, 17243:6, 17243:18, 17244:2, 17247:2, 17253:1, 17253:15, 17278:2, 17281:21, 17288:20, 17291:5, 17301:3, 17304:7, 17305:15 <b>feelings</b> [1] - 17067:22 <b>feet</b> [2] - 17174:22, 17174:25 <b>fell</b> [1] - 17199:17 <b>fellow</b> [8] - 17099:8, 17155:2, 17161:24, 17194:19, 17215:3, 17217:7, 17262:21, 17269:12 <b>fellows</b> [1] - 17199:10 <b>felt</b> [26] - 17068:19, 17070:14, 17075:12, 17078:9, 17079:12, 17087:12, 17088:25, 17128:14, 17134:14, 17141:15, 17142:20, 17142:24, 17147:4, 17173:21, 17173:22, 17188:22, 17189:13, 17204:24, 17211:16, 17215:11, 17229:16,</p>	<p>17229:22, 17229:23, 17278:12, 17307:22, 17314:15 <b>Ferris</b> [2] - 17245:2, 17245:3 <b>few</b> [9] - 17136:9, 17179:14, 17182:15, 17183:5, 17210:14, 17277:6, 17285:14, 17317:16, 17323:7 <b>fides</b> [1] - 17147:5 <b>field</b> [1] - 17175:3 <b>fifteen</b> [1] - 17075:3 <b>Fifth</b>[2] - 17095:12, 17095:23 <b>fifth</b> [1] - 17246:9 <b>figure</b> [3] - 17267:10, 17275:1, 17298:4 <b>figured</b> [1] - 17205:8 <b>file</b> [176] - 17066:17, 17066:25, 17067:7, 17123:20, 17124:9, 17125:9, 17125:11, 17125:14, 17125:21, 17126:1, 17126:8, 17126:9, 17126:12, 17127:20, 17128:3, 17128:9, 17128:19, 17129:4, 17130:1, 17130:8, 17130:14, 17130:16, 17130:21, 17131:6, 17131:23, 17131:25, 17132:6, 17132:9, 17132:15, 17132:16, 17132:20, 17133:10, 17134:13, 17134:21, 17135:3, 17135:7, 17135:23, 17137:23, 17138:7, 17138:11, 17139:9, 17139:13, 17139:23, 17140:1, 17140:5, 17140:14, 17142:6, 17142:7, 17143:2, 17147:1, 17147:14, 17147:15, 17147:17, 17164:19, 17164:20, 17164:23, 17165:2, 17165:4, 17167:15, 17167:21, 17168:2, 17178:24, 17179:6, 17180:25, 17184:14, 17194:15, 17208:1, 17208:20, 17217:20, 17221:4, 17237:24, 17238:18, 17238:21, 17241:2, 17241:8, 17241:18, 17241:21, 17244:14, 17244:19, 17247:13, 17247:22,</p>	<p>17247:25, 17248:18, 17248:23, 17249:12, 17249:14, 17250:2, 17250:7, 17250:9, 17251:4, 17251:7, 17251:14, 17251:23, 17253:5, 17253:9, 17253:11, 17253:18, 17253:19, 17254:1, 17254:2, 17256:10, 17256:18, 17258:11, 17258:17, 17258:21, 17258:23, 17259:1, 17259:4, 17259:7, 17259:9, 17260:5, 17261:11, 17262:10, 17263:4, 17263:17, 17264:2, 17265:13, 17265:15, 17265:25, 17266:25, 17267:12, 17268:13, 17270:6, 17276:24, 17277:3, 17277:17, 17283:12, 17283:21, 17283:24, 17284:4, 17287:2, 17287:22, 17288:18, 17288:21, 17289:1, 17289:7, 17289:11, 17289:14, 17289:25, 17290:6, 17290:9, 17290:25, 17291:11, 17291:15, 17291:16, 17292:4, 17292:20, 17292:21, 17292:24, 17293:15, 17293:18, 17293:22, 17294:1, 17294:3, 17294:8, 17294:12, 17294:25, 17295:4, 17295:7, 17295:16, 17301:5, 17301:12, 17301:17, 17302:8, 17302:25, 17303:5, 17310:2, 17310:11, 17319:3, 17322:9, 17326:5, 17326:6, 17326:12, 17326:18 <b>filed</b> [4] - 17081:20, 17117:8, 17243:21, 17253:14 <b>files</b> [19] - 17124:13, 17127:4, 17127:15, 17142:18, 17217:4, 17217:19, 17220:22, 17226:7, 17253:7, 17262:24, 17266:5, 17293:10, 17293:17, 17293:24, 17294:21, 17295:10, 17318:9, 17318:20, 17318:25 <b>filing</b> [3] - 17142:9,</p>	<p>17289:9, 17295:13 <b>final</b> [16] - 17087:19, 17096:6, 17173:21, 17229:23, 17259:16, 17260:19, 17284:18, 17285:2, 17285:8, 17286:7, 17286:10, 17286:15, 17290:13, 17290:22, 17301:19, 17309:17 <b>finalized</b> [1] - 17087:19 <b>finally</b> [2] - 17229:20, 17302:12 <b>finance</b> [1] - 17144:15 <b>fine</b> [6] - 17142:22, 17181:14, 17225:6, 17236:15, 17277:4, 17305:23 <b>Fineblit</b>[1] - 17272:16 <b>fingertips</b> [1] - 17200:21 <b>finish</b> [1] - 17327:2 <b>finished</b> [1] - 17063:13 <b>firm</b> [1] - 17127:18 <b>First</b>[1] - 17187:14 <b>first</b> [54] - 17063:14, 17065:20, 17075:17, 17076:5, 17079:16, 17092:13, 17092:19, 17093:5, 17096:20, 17102:6, 17105:17, 17118:18, 17122:1, 17123:4, 17126:3, 17136:23, 17141:1, 17141:5, 17143:23, 17152:6, 17161:21, 17171:5, 17178:16, 17179:6, 17183:6, 17188:14, 17190:18, 17202:18, 17210:4, 17219:15, 17225:24, 17231:4, 17231:23, 17236:19, 17238:1, 17241:3, 17241:13, 17244:7, 17245:14, 17246:17, 17248:4, 17249:22, 17250:9, 17250:12, 17250:19, 17252:12, 17252:18, 17263:13, 17288:1, 17288:3, 17295:2, 17296:10, 17317:6, 17317:12 <b>Firstly</b>[1] - 17078:13 <b>firstly</b> [1] - 17323:20 <b>Fisher</b>[61] - 17061:10, 17097:11, 17097:15, 17098:25, 17100:2, 17100:10, 17100:14, 17100:16, 17105:24,</p>
--	--	---	--	--



17106:3, 17106:9, 17107:3, 17107:5, 17110:4, 17110:6, 17115:14, 17115:17, 17116:9, 17120:23, 17168:21, 17169:12, 17170:3, 17221:10, 17222:8, 17222:12, 17222:17, 17238:18, 17248:9, 17249:6, 17249:12, 17249:23, 17250:20, 17251:5, 17251:8, 17251:16, 17251:19, 17267:21, 17268:2, 17268:5, 17268:21, 17269:1, 17269:8, 17270:15, 17270:25, 17271:2, 17271:12, 17275:23, 17276:4, 17304:3, 17309:14, 17310:1, 17310:11, 17312:23, 17312:24, 17315:7, 17316:23, 17317:3, 17318:10, 17322:25, 17325:5, 17326:2 <b>Fishers</b> [6] - 17100:10, 17102:4, 17112:11, 17129:24, 17250:8, 17309:24 <b>fit</b> [5] - 17067:17, 17182:5, 17182:10, 17186:2, 17189:20 <b>fits</b> [1] - 17223:2 <b>Fitzpatrick</b> [1] - 17060:13 <b>five</b> [6] - 17245:14, 17287:13, 17291:24, 17292:1, 17292:16, 17317:12 <b>five-page</b> [3] - 17291:24, 17292:1, 17292:16 <b>fix</b> [1] - 17152:16 <b>flew</b> [1] - 17278:22 <b>Flicker</b> [2] - 17293:4, 17294:12 <b>flights</b> [1] - 17275:13 <b>Flintoft</b> [1] - 17072:2 <b>float</b> [1] - 17214:21 <b>floated</b> [1] - 17227:12 <b>floor</b> [2] - 17124:2, 17211:20 <b>flow</b> [1] - 17106:14 <b>flown</b> [1] - 17320:8 <b>fluid</b> [3] - 17194:21, 17206:1, 17206:15 <b>fluids</b> [1] - 17245:5 <b>fluke</b> [2] - 17199:4, 17215:20	<b>flying</b> [1] - 17325:21 <b>focus</b> [2] - 17122:3, 17322:2 <b>folder</b> [9] - 17091:21, 17289:2, 17292:24, 17293:4, 17293:13, 17293:16, 17293:18, 17294:12, 17295:13 <b>folders</b> [5] - 17125:14, 17142:9, 17147:17, 17288:23, 17289:12 <b>follow</b> [16] - 17102:17, 17104:20, 17105:8, 17105:10, 17109:4, 17110:20, 17110:23, 17112:13, 17112:18, 17114:7, 17115:11, 17115:12, 17131:2, 17233:14, 17263:20, 17267:23 <b>follow-up</b> [3] - 17114:7, 17263:20, 17267:23 <b>followed</b> [8] - 17104:18, 17109:15, 17110:17, 17116:1, 17121:14, 17144:25, 17187:22, 17283:7 <b>following</b> [8] - 17097:9, 17108:14, 17127:13, 17254:16, 17263:16, 17267:14, 17304:18, 17319:16 <b>follows</b> [2] - 17064:11, 17173:15 <b>footing</b> [2] - 17143:22, 17203:25 <b>forays</b> [1] - 17207:18 <b>force</b> [2] - 17141:19, 17155:24 <b>forced</b> [1] - 17069:20 <b>forces</b> [3] - 17225:7, 17225:25, 17227:5 <b>foregoing</b> [1] - 17328:4 <b>forever</b> [1] - 17225:13 <b>forget</b> [3] - 17098:2, 17170:24, 17184:5 <b>Forget</b> [1] - 17298:25 <b>forgot</b> [1] - 17265:16 <b>forgotten</b> [1] - 17320:16 <b>Form</b> [1] - 17254:21 <b>form</b> [4] - 17094:6, 17124:11, 17203:1, 17246:21 <b>formed</b> [1] - 17322:22 <b>former</b> [4] - 17071:15, 17127:18, 17240:2, 17247:1 <b>forth</b> [1] - 17069:16 <b>fortitude</b> [1] - 17180:9 <b>forward</b> [4] - 17066:24,	17244:20, 17283:23, 17309:13 <b>forwarded</b> [3] - 17290:3, 17290:25, 17294:9 <b>forwarding</b> [3] - 17066:1, 17300:9, 17301:3 <b>four</b> [9] - 17069:25, 17070:22, 17070:24, 17118:14, 17174:25, 17181:4, 17181:5, 17280:14, 17300:9 <b>Fourth</b> [1] - 17312:19 <b>frame</b> [1] - 17098:21 <b>frank</b> [1] - 17256:8 <b>frankly</b> [1] - 17214:1 <b>Frater</b> [1] - 17280:9 <b>Framer</b> [3] - 17061:11, 17243:3, 17243:13 <b>free</b> [3] - 17198:25, 17250:22, 17318:15 <b>free-standing</b> [1] - 17250:22 <b>freedom</b> [3] - 17076:15, 17076:21, 17077:9 <b>freeze</b> [1] - 17075:7 <b>fresh</b> [1] - 17285:10 <b>Friday</b> [3] - 17154:6, 17221:14, 17271:20 <b>friend</b> [2] - 17096:1, 17096:25 <b>Friend</b> [1] - 17155:21 <b>friends</b> [2] - 17181:12, 17243:24 <b>frightened</b> [2] - 17173:24, 17230:1 <b>front</b> [3] - 17171:22, 17292:24, 17293:1 <b>full</b> [8] - 17070:18, 17136:14, 17141:19, 17153:8, 17171:5, 17230:25, 17261:8, 17266:25 <b>fully</b> [1] - 17284:21 <b>function</b> [3] - 17065:23, 17201:24, 17227:17 <b>funny</b> [1] - 17225:15	17104:1, 17105:13, 17116:10, 17116:22, 17130:8, 17132:15, 17132:18, 17133:10, 17137:8, 17164:19, 17179:18, 17193:16, 17197:7, 17247:12, 17261:1, 17261:10, 17261:20, 17267:21, 17282:25, 17284:22, 17286:6, 17286:14, 17287:5, 17290:1 <b>game</b> [1] - 17160:3 <b>gang</b> [1] - 17227:14 <b>garbage</b> [1] - 17186:6 <b>Garrett</b> [1] - 17061:6 <b>Gary</b> [12] - 17123:4, 17123:5, 17123:6, 17123:13, 17123:15, 17125:5, 17126:7, 17127:2, 17127:7, 17130:9, 17132:3, 17138:1 <b>gel</b> [1] - 17218:17 <b>General</b> [10] - 17093:19, 17123:24, 17132:1, 17230:22, 17305:8, 17305:11, 17306:2, 17306:7, 17310:9, 17319:14 <b>general</b> [8] - 17103:9, 17103:10, 17173:16, 17184:20, 17274:2, 17277:20, 17306:16, 17321:13 <b>Generals</b> [5] - 17101:14, 17103:7, 17103:18, 17103:21, 17131:9 <b>generally</b> [10] - 17081:14, 17094:18, 17140:25, 17141:2, 17238:14, 17277:16, 17306:19, 17307:3, 17307:14, 17307:18 <b>gentleman</b> [3] - 17140:17, 17144:7, 17226:18 <b>gentlemen</b> [3] - 17093:1, 17097:2, 17275:9 <b>genuine</b> [1] - 17204:18 <b>George</b> [1] - 17198:15 <b>ghost</b> [1] - 17219:3 <b>Gibbon</b> [2] - 17131:13, 17133:17 <b>Gibson</b> [1] - 17061:9 <b>gift</b> [1] - 17200:5 <b>girl</b> [7] - 17186:5, 17190:21, 17193:4,	17207:13, 17219:2, 17225:8, 17282:16 <b>given</b> [17] - 17078:10, 17095:10, 17104:22, 17105:7, 17105:24, 17150:3, 17181:1, 17182:7, 17191:2, 17198:23, 17257:12, 17278:23, 17296:23, 17303:20, 17318:7, 17320:18 <b>Given</b> [1] - 17316:4 <b>glance</b> [1] - 17075:12 <b>Globe</b> [2] - 17141:7, 17147:3 <b>goings</b> [5] - 17161:7, 17163:21, 17163:22, 17167:3, 17169:16 <b>Gordge</b> [3] - 17142:24, 17151:17, 17162:20 <b>gordge</b> [90] - 17140:18, 17140:19, 17141:16, 17143:12, 17144:10, 17145:13, 17146:13, 17146:18, 17148:1, 17148:8, 17149:3, 17151:8, 17151:17, 17151:24, 17153:1, 17154:10, 17159:6, 17159:22, 17161:12, 17161:18, 17161:24, 17162:5, 17162:25, 17163:9, 17164:1, 17164:8, 17164:18, 17165:3, 17166:9, 17166:25, 17167:23, 17168:19, 17170:1, 17170:9, 17172:19, 17174:3, 17176:7, 17177:10, 17178:9, 17178:19, 17179:11, 17179:18, 17183:19, 17184:17, 17194:4, 17194:13, 17195:6, 17195:8, 17195:18, 17198:21, 17199:3, 17200:4, 17200:14, 17201:6, 17202:4, 17203:10, 17204:1, 17205:6, 17205:16, 17205:19, 17206:10, 17207:4, 17208:5, 17210:20, 17210:23, 17213:3, 17215:19, 17216:9, 17216:20, 17217:1, 17217:17, 17218:25, 17219:24, 17220:9, 17220:20, 17220:22, 17220:23, 17222:1, 17223:24,
		<b>G</b>		
		<b>Gail</b> [39] - 17067:23, 17077:13, 17084:22, 17085:5, 17087:21, 17088:15, 17088:19, 17089:6, 17090:16, 17090:24, 17091:13, 17091:15, 17100:6, 17100:16, 17102:13,		



17224:17, 17224:20, 17229:9, 17229:18, 17230:12, 17230:18, 17231:7, 17235:9, 17236:5, 17264:22, 17266:1 <b>gordge's</b> [8] - 17144:3, 17145:17, 17165:6, 17178:23, 17221:7, 17265:6, 17265:14, 17265:22 <b>Government</b> [5] - 17061:4, 17240:8, 17293:9, 17306:1, 17308:25 <b>government's</b> [1] - 17319:16 <b>grab</b> [1] - 17186:5 <b>Graham</b> [1] - 17250:18 <b>grant</b> [1] - 17071:10 <b>granted</b> [1] - 17186:1 <b>granting</b> [1] - 17076:15 <b>gratified</b> [1] - 17318:2 <b>gravity</b> [1] - 17102:24 <b>great</b> [2] - 17151:15, 17165:20 <b>greatest</b> [1] - 17312:25 <b>greatly</b> [1] - 17072:17 <b>grizzly</b> [1] - 17152:21 <b>ground</b> [1] - 17124:2 <b>group</b> [1] - 17094:16 <b>guarantee</b> [1] - 17130:19 <b>guess</b> [15] - 17071:12, 17078:7, 17080:16, 17081:9, 17129:17, 17130:2, 17149:22, 17153:4, 17160:11, 17176:20, 17195:2, 17201:8, 17236:13, 17248:7, 17295:12 <b>guided</b> [1] - 17323:6 <b>guilt</b> [3] - 17081:16, 17323:11, 17325:11 <b>guilty</b> [2] - 17087:15, 17316:3 <b>gumption</b> [1] - 17179:22 <b>Gunn</b> [1] - 17276:18 <b>guy</b> [18] - 17108:1, 17121:5, 17173:25, 17174:16, 17176:19, 17184:1, 17195:25, 17199:15, 17208:18, 17211:9, 17211:17, 17213:9, 17216:15, 17225:5, 17225:7, 17225:12, 17230:1, 17236:1 <b>guys</b> [10] - 17157:8,	17157:10, 17157:18, 17158:2, 17158:9, 17174:16, 17175:9, 17198:14, 17200:17, 17214:25  <b>H</b>  <b>half</b> [1] - 17286:21 <b>Hall</b> [5] - 17095:5, 17095:10, 17095:15, 17095:20, 17098:5 <b>hall</b> [1] - 17155:11 <b>hallway</b> [2] - 17279:17, 17320:24 <b>Halyk</b> [1] - 17226:17 <b>hand</b> [2] - 17098:8, 17281:2 <b>handed</b> [1] - 17239:24 <b>handled</b> [4] - 17097:22, 17118:9, 17197:9, 17326:13 <b>handwriting</b> [2] - 17283:10, 17283:25 <b>handwritten</b> [7] - 17064:10, 17091:25, 17160:19, 17160:24, 17161:1, 17281:4, 17282:1 <b>happier</b> [1] - 17175:22 <b>hard</b> [3] - 17090:21, 17091:17, 17221:13 <b>Harper</b> [2] - 17223:17, 17223:18 <b>Harris</b> [1] - 17153:6 <b>Harry</b> [2] - 17197:16, 17245:8 <b>Hartridge</b> [1] - 17184:8 <b>hat</b> [3] - 17221:13, 17246:25, 17247:2 <b>hatched</b> [1] - 17204:11 <b>head</b> [4] - 17104:14, 17114:17, 17120:17, 17226:14 <b>heading</b> [7] - 17253:17, 17258:4, 17258:7, 17259:12, 17290:13, 17293:15, 17301:6 <b>headline</b> [1] - 17069:14 <b>headlined</b> [1] - 17068:18 <b>hear</b> [17] - 17084:5, 17099:19, 17122:25, 17125:1, 17149:1, 17149:12, 17158:22, 17162:3, 17195:8, 17220:19, 17222:1, 17225:20, 17265:11, 17270:21, 17312:9,	17312:15, 17316:9 <b>heard</b> [25] - 17064:5, 17082:6, 17084:1, 17095:9, 17098:11, 17098:23, 17100:1, 17100:9, 17100:13, 17100:24, 17101:12, 17122:25, 17149:25, 17150:14, 17158:8, 17212:2, 17215:5, 17220:8, 17223:9, 17249:18, 17264:6, 17267:17, 17282:8, 17283:2 <b>hearing</b> [25] - 17065:22, 17079:5, 17096:22, 17099:22, 17120:7, 17124:21, 17127:8, 17127:18, 17161:18, 17181:18, 17186:24, 17195:14, 17206:22, 17269:19, 17270:7, 17275:15, 17283:2, 17294:19, 17318:13, 17319:4, 17319:9, 17321:9, 17323:8, 17323:10, 17324:1 <b>Hearing</b> [2] - 17283:8, 17284:20 <b>heart's</b> [1] - 17142:10 <b>heaven</b> [1] - 17200:5 <b>heels</b> [1] - 17218:12 <b>help</b> [8] - 17072:12, 17096:7, 17101:24, 17104:8, 17120:20, 17150:10, 17224:4, 17295:19 <b>helpful</b> [2] - 17089:24, 17322:22 <b>helping</b> [2] - 17224:21, 17247:8 <b>hereby</b> [1] - 17328:4 <b>herein</b> [3] - 17264:11, 17324:3, 17328:6 <b>hero</b> [1] - 17195:25 <b>Hersh</b> [2] - 17061:2, 17091:25 <b>hesitant</b> [1] - 17245:22 <b>hesitate</b> [1] - 17203:24 <b>hesitated</b> [1] - 17203:18 <b>hesitation</b> [1] - 17128:15 <b>hide</b> [2] - 17318:18, 17319:1 <b>higher</b> [1] - 17215:18 <b>highly</b> [2] - 17242:17, 17322:8 <b>Hill</b> [2] - 17207:12, 17207:13 <b>himself</b> [2] - 17092:21,	17113:5 <b>Hinz</b> [3] - 17060:10, 17328:2, 17328:13 <b>hippie</b> [1] - 17232:20 <b>his/her</b> [1] - 17243:19 <b>History</b> [1] - 17064:25 <b>history</b> [5] - 17065:7, 17066:16, 17066:22, 17067:9, 17070:19 <b>hit</b> [1] - 17193:4 <b>Hodson</b> [98] - 17060:2, 17062:4, 17063:6, 17068:20, 17074:14, 17075:14, 17078:10, 17081:6, 17082:20, 17084:13, 17086:17, 17086:22, 17087:2, 17087:5, 17087:8, 17089:12, 17092:16, 17097:6, 17100:25, 17105:6, 17115:5, 17117:7, 17119:23, 17122:20, 17125:19, 17126:19, 17126:23, 17127:10, 17129:2, 17133:15, 17135:16, 17136:2, 17140:12, 17140:20, 17141:20, 17144:17, 17145:9, 17145:23, 17149:11, 17149:24, 17151:22, 17163:16, 17166:22, 17169:3, 17179:7, 17183:8, 17190:9, 17191:15, 17191:16, 17192:12, 17195:15, 17198:8, 17206:21, 17209:25, 17210:19, 17213:15, 17227:24, 17230:7, 17231:20, 17234:16, 17240:13, 17243:13, 17243:17, 17245:25, 17246:6, 17248:12, 17251:20, 17259:23, 17260:2, 17267:5, 17270:5, 17270:11, 17271:7, 17271:8, 17271:10, 17275:3, 17275:4, 17275:19, 17280:22, 17281:3, 17285:9, 17286:17, 17291:25, 17292:3, 17292:13, 17292:15, 17293:6, 17293:7, 17294:1, 17294:19, 17294:23, 17298:12, 17311:18, 17312:2, 17315:3, 17324:21, 17324:24, 17326:20	<b>holy</b> [1] - 17216:8 <b>home</b> [11] - 17115:17, 17166:1, 17166:20, 17168:6, 17168:12, 17169:9, 17176:20, 17211:12, 17213:21, 17221:24, 17245:20 <b>Hon</b> [1] - 17061:12 <b>Honourable</b> [1] - 17059:5 <b>hope</b> [7] - 17158:14, 17206:24, 17223:25, 17278:8, 17278:19, 17279:22, 17319:10 <b>hopefully</b> [2] - 17210:14, 17247:9 <b>hoping</b> [2] - 17070:15, 17205:2 <b>horrible</b> [2] - 17152:22, 17211:6 <b>horror</b> [1] - 17204:22 <b>horse's</b> [2] - 17166:11, 17170:11 <b>Horst</b> [5] - 17238:5, 17238:8, 17239:17, 17239:22, 17252:19 <b>Hospital</b> [1] - 17093:20 <b>hotel</b> [2] - 17278:24, 17279:1 <b>Hotel</b> [1] - 17059:15 <b>Hothouse</b> [3] - 17144:13, 17145:8, 17264:20 <b>hour</b> [3] - 17180:7, 17210:11, 17326:23 <b>hours</b> [1] - 17204:5 <b>house</b> [9] - 17124:3, 17124:10, 17171:15, 17180:6, 17180:7, 17180:13, 17199:13, 17213:7, 17213:12 <b>House</b> [2] - 17159:11, 17288:15 <b>huge</b> [1] - 17155:12 <b>Humboldt</b> [1] - 17228:3 <b>hung</b> [2] - 17204:13, 17215:8 <b>hurdles</b> [1] - 17084:18 <b>husband</b> [4] - 17100:15, 17104:2, 17107:5, 17108:2 <b>hypnosis</b> [1] - 17290:18 <b>hypothetical</b> [2] - 17089:5, 17089:14 <b>hysterical</b> [3] - 17183:10, 17184:3, 17184:15
--	--	---	--	--



<p><b>I</b></p> <p><b>lan</b> [4] - 17064:13, 17127:14, 17127:17, 17155:14</p> <p><b>Ice</b> [3] - 17144:13, 17145:8, 17264:20</p> <p><b>Ice-cold</b> [3] - 17144:13, 17145:8, 17264:20</p> <p><b>Id</b> [1] - 17280:24</p> <p><b>idea</b> [8] - 17069:16, 17117:24, 17149:17, 17173:16, 17214:21, 17218:9, 17223:19, 17270:1</p> <p><b>Ident</b> [1] - 17194:19</p> <p><b>identical</b> [1] - 17196:11</p> <p><b>Identification</b> [1] - 17152:13</p> <p><b>identification</b> [2] - 17256:21, 17260:21</p> <p><b>identified</b> [2] - 17244:19, 17282:2</p> <p><b>identify</b> [2] - 17102:13, 17163:3</p> <p><b>identities</b> [1] - 17134:7</p> <p><b>ignored</b> [1] - 17108:10</p> <p><b>ill</b> [3] - 17311:6, 17323:6, 17324:13</p> <p><b>imagine</b> [2] - 17130:13, 17326:15</p> <p><b>immediately</b> [6] - 17098:25, 17200:16, 17218:13, 17283:6, 17315:13, 17322:6</p> <p><b>impact</b> [3] - 17083:11, 17085:25, 17110:15</p> <p><b>implying</b> [1] - 17232:6</p> <p><b>important</b> [5] - 17113:3, 17159:20, 17193:8, 17205:10, 17222:25</p> <p><b>importantly</b> [2] - 17273:4, 17312:21</p> <p><b>impress</b> [2] - 17167:22, 17168:1</p> <p><b>impressed</b> [2] - 17077:2, 17214:25</p> <p><b>impression</b> [8] - 17124:18, 17125:18, 17146:9, 17162:18, 17170:16, 17245:15, 17250:11</p> <p><b>improper</b> [2] - 17134:15, 17242:11</p> <p><b>improperly</b> [1] - 17306:19</p> <p><b>impugn</b> [1] - 17322:15</p> <p><b>impulse</b> [1] - 17233:1</p> <p><b>impulse-rapist</b> [1] -</p>	<p>17233:1</p> <p><b>imput</b> [1] - 17092:9</p> <p><b>in-person</b> [2] -</p> <p>17152:24, 17179:1</p> <p><b>inadvisability</b> [1] - 17076:14</p> <p><b>inaudible</b> [1] - 17151:16</p> <p><b>incapacitated</b> [1] - 17189:6</p> <p><b>incident</b> [4] - 17167:18, 17169:20, 17199:3, 17302:18</p> <p><b>incidents</b> [2] - 17315:16, 17315:23</p> <p><b>included</b> [3] - 17095:14, 17115:6, 17318:10</p> <p><b>including</b> [4] - 17127:1, 17145:2, 17184:11, 17189:16</p> <p><b>incompetent</b> [1] - 17315:12</p> <p><b>inconsiderate</b> [1] - 17272:8</p> <p><b>inconsistent</b> [1] - 17311:12</p> <p><b>incorporated</b> [1] - 17283:6</p> <p><b>incorrect</b> [4] - 17098:6, 17123:19, 17230:10, 17307:17</p> <p><b>incriminate</b> [1] - 17174:14</p> <p><b>incriminating</b> [8] - 17184:24, 17186:1, 17187:4, 17187:10, 17188:6, 17192:3, 17278:16, 17285:16</p> <p><b>Indeed</b> [2] - 17313:14, 17320:7</p> <p><b>indeed</b> [2] - 17262:25, 17324:1</p> <p><b>independently</b> [1] - 17185:16</p> <p><b>index</b> [3] - 17257:4, 17257:7, 17302:22</p> <p><b>Index</b> [1] - 17062:1</p> <p><b>indicate</b> [6] - 17244:24, 17256:17, 17266:24, 17312:19, 17313:16, 17318:25</p> <p><b>indicated</b> [12] - 17100:15, 17123:18, 17141:10, 17171:11, 17210:9, 17286:4, 17286:12, 17299:21, 17319:19, 17322:4, 17322:11, 17323:8</p> <p><b>indicates</b> [2] -</p>	<p>17156:21, 17267:13</p> <p><b>indicating</b> [1] - 17264:2</p> <p><b>indication</b> [2] -</p> <p>17143:12, 17271:19</p> <p><b>indictment</b> [1] - 17256:14</p> <p><b>individual</b> [5] - 17073:2, 17079:7, 17080:22, 17105:14, 17141:3</p> <p><b>individually</b> [1] - 17097:12</p> <p><b>individuals</b> [5] - 17129:16, 17133:22, 17257:6, 17264:12, 17272:25</p> <p><b>inevitable</b> [1] - 17286:16</p> <p><b>inexplicable</b> [1] - 17193:22</p> <p><b>infer</b> [2] - 17264:8, 17286:9</p> <p><b>inferred</b> [1] - 17285:25</p> <p><b>influence</b> [4] - 17070:5, 17085:25, 17242:8, 17274:5</p> <p><b>influenced</b> [2] - 17068:25, 17084:11</p> <p><b>info</b> [1] - 17064:21</p> <p><b>information</b> [103] - 17065:4, 17066:21, 17071:6, 17072:11, 17072:16, 17073:1, 17073:6, 17073:10, 17073:15, 17073:21, 17074:8, 17074:11, 17074:12, 17077:25, 17078:8, 17079:9, 17079:20, 17079:22, 17079:25, 17080:9, 17085:6, 17085:10, 17085:13, 17086:3, 17090:18, 17090:19, 17095:18, 17095:21, 17103:13, 17104:4, 17104:6, 17105:12, 17105:18, 17106:14, 17106:19, 17107:3, 17107:10, 17107:19, 17108:2, 17108:4, 17108:23, 17110:3, 17110:4, 17111:8, 17115:2, 17115:7, 17116:7, 17116:8, 17117:5, 17117:25, 17119:2, 17119:12, 17120:3, 17121:7, 17121:24, 17122:10, 17130:7, 17130:15, 17130:16, 17131:22,</p>	<p>17132:24, 17136:11, 17136:18, 17136:20, 17136:21, 17137:14, 17137:15, 17152:25, 17165:14, 17171:9, 17179:4, 17186:9, 17208:25, 17217:2, 17221:17, 17239:21, 17241:2, 17241:8, 17245:23, 17246:25, 17247:14, 17252:5, 17254:14, 17258:22, 17265:12, 17265:24, 17267:15, 17267:20, 17267:22, 17268:21, 17269:3, 17275:23, 17276:4, 17277:1, 17284:8, 17287:1, 17298:22, 17309:23, 17310:5, 17310:20, 17311:3, 17325:19, 17326:17</p> <p><b>initial</b> [2] - 17146:13, 17146:15</p> <p><b>Inland</b> [1] - 17060:13</p> <p><b>innocence</b> [8] - 17081:18, 17082:3, 17082:11, 17084:10, 17209:14, 17322:14, 17323:22, 17325:11</p> <p><b>innocent</b> [3] - 17083:5, 17084:2, 17122:14</p> <p><b>input</b> [2] - 17078:5, 17274:19</p> <p><b>inquired</b> [1] - 17269:23</p> <p><b>inquiries</b> [2] - 17249:25, 17267:25</p> <p><b>Inquiry</b> [10] - 17059:1, 17059:22, 17120:8, 17140:22, 17269:13, 17271:13, 17276:2, 17276:11, 17282:22, 17322:5</p> <p><b>inquiry</b> [8] - 17254:17, 17264:10, 17308:13, 17308:16, 17308:24, 17311:25, 17322:1, 17323:23</p> <p><b>insanity</b> [1] - 17069:24</p> <p><b>insert</b> [2] - 17230:5, 17236:2</p> <p><b>inserted</b> [2] - 17229:13, 17235:12</p> <p><b>Inspector</b> [11] - 17100:12, 17101:5, 17102:4, 17107:23, 17182:20, 17182:23, 17183:13, 17186:10, 17186:12, 17288:4, 17288:7</p>	<p><b>instance</b> [12] - 17068:11, 17108:15, 17109:1, 17111:10, 17129:5, 17154:8, 17188:24, 17203:19, 17227:11, 17233:2, 17259:5, 17289:1</p> <p><b>instead</b> [3] - 17181:9, 17275:14, 17275:17</p> <p><b>institution</b> [1] - 17094:8</p> <p><b>institutions</b> [2] - 17073:8, 17209:9</p> <p><b>instructive</b> [1] - 17315:15</p> <p><b>intend</b> [1] - 17319:2</p> <p><b>intending</b> [1] - 17243:23</p> <p><b>intention</b> [1] - 17181:7</p> <p><b>interest</b> [3] - 17099:20, 17271:20, 17273:16</p> <p><b>interested</b> [3] - 17065:25, 17072:7, 17159:17</p> <p><b>interesting</b> [6] - 17141:11, 17159:13, 17166:13, 17170:13, 17205:7, 17208:6</p> <p><b>interests</b> [1] - 17136:15</p> <p><b>internal</b> [3] - 17109:7, 17109:12, 17109:13</p> <p><b>interpretation</b> [1] - 17317:20</p> <p><b>interpretations</b> [1] - 17314:10</p> <p><b>interrupt</b> [1] - 17239:8</p> <p><b>interrupting</b> [1] - 17106:13</p> <p><b>intersection</b> [1] - 17173:17</p> <p><b>interview</b> [42] - 17093:22, 17143:4, 17148:3, 17151:7, 17154:18, 17160:24, 17162:24, 17169:5, 17169:8, 17170:21, 17171:17, 17175:16, 17179:1, 17179:6, 17185:5, 17186:16, 17186:23, 17187:8, 17203:11, 17210:21, 17214:3, 17222:10, 17222:23, 17223:4, 17230:11, 17231:17, 17234:22, 17235:3, 17235:8, 17236:4, 17268:4, 17269:8, 17269:11, 17269:14, 17269:21, 17271:15, 17272:10, 17274:14, 17275:22, 17285:7,</p>
--	--	--	---	--



17285:19, 17326:23 <b>interviewed</b> [28] - 17108:25, 17161:24, 17162:2, 17162:5, 17170:19, 17171:12, 17174:5, 17182:8, 17182:14, 17186:10, 17186:12, 17195:12, 17200:17, 17213:24, 17229:8, 17230:18, 17231:7, 17252:2, 17268:2, 17268:11, 17268:23, 17269:5, 17271:12, 17273:1, 17273:2, 17276:4, 17276:9, 17276:12 <b>interviews</b> [4] - 17140:24, 17274:9, 17284:17, 17285:18 <b>introductions</b> [1] - 17094:2 <b>invented</b> [2] - 17216:13, 17235:24 <b>investigate</b> [7] - 17104:24, 17105:20, 17105:23, 17108:3, 17112:14, 17113:22, 17116:15 <b>investigated</b> [1] - 17119:17 <b>investigating</b> [3] - 17232:23, 17273:15, 17273:19 <b>investigation</b> [20] - 17086:11, 17103:14, 17107:16, 17109:8, 17121:3, 17142:23, 17165:21, 17172:25, 17229:15, 17242:9, 17273:22, 17277:14, 17289:25, 17290:20, 17299:24, 17300:6, 17300:23, 17302:19, 17304:5, 17314:1 <b>investigations</b> [2] - 17109:14, 17326:22 <b>investigative</b> [1] - 17293:2 <b>investigator</b> [2] - 17187:15, 17195:3 <b>investigators</b> [5] - 17155:8, 17155:12, 17171:24, 17304:3, 17322:19 <b>invited</b> [3] - 17079:19, 17125:9, 17152:2 <b>involve</b> [2] - 17090:8, 17321:25 <b>involved</b> [17] - 17069:5, 17112:19, 17114:17,	17128:16, 17187:17, 17195:10, 17204:15, 17218:14, 17226:11, 17237:6, 17242:20, 17244:1, 17262:11, 17302:9, 17316:12, 17319:5, 17324:6 <b>involvement</b> [4] - 17133:15, 17212:23, 17281:24, 17326:5 <b>involving</b> [1] - 17166:24 <b>Irene</b> [1] - 17060:9 <b>irregular</b> [1] - 17242:17 <b>irrelevant</b> [1] - 17323:25 <b>irresponsible</b> [1] - 17311:9 <b>Irwin</b> [1] - 17061:12 <b>Isabelle</b> [1] - 17060:5 <b>issue</b> [9] - 17091:3, 17105:1, 17206:25, 17257:22, 17258:2, 17296:15, 17296:24, 17298:1 <b>issued</b> [1] - 17278:21 <b>issues</b> [2] - 17098:20, 17138:14 <b>it'll</b> [1] - 17223:9 <b>items</b> [5] - 17070:22, 17070:24, 17283:23, 17288:22, 17301:4 <b>itself</b> [4] - 17079:23, 17113:18, 17122:18, 17315:7	17177:25, 17178:15, 17179:16, 17181:1, 17185:25, 17187:10, 17187:19, 17189:2, 17189:5, 17189:19, 17190:16, 17191:22, 17199:9, 17207:21, 17214:14, 17218:11, 17232:7, 17233:7, 17241:25, 17244:17, 17256:3, 17256:16, 17264:3, 17274:25, 17278:13, 17279:17, 17282:8, 17282:14, 17282:16, 17282:17, 17283:2, 17284:2, 17284:12, 17284:17, 17285:15, 17286:4, 17286:7, 17286:13, 17286:15, 17286:21, 17287:3, 17290:15, 17320:24 <b>Johrls</b> [8] - 17178:16, 17184:23, 17188:12, 17191:23, 17193:8, 17229:10, 17296:12, 17297:16 <b>joined</b> [1] - 17225:25 <b>journalist</b> [3] - 17141:8, 17143:21, 17147:5 <b>Joyce</b> [9] - 17061:3, 17123:1, 17124:16, 17126:20, 17126:24, 17143:14, 17146:6, 17160:23, 17234:23 <b>judge</b> [7] - 17067:16, 17118:19, 17174:24, 17232:12, 17234:11, 17279:19, 17321:1 <b>judgement</b> [2] - 17080:15, 17083:15 <b>Judges</b> [1] - 17210:8 <b>judges</b> [2] - 17078:6, 17078:23 <b>judgment</b> [2] - 17313:15, 17318:3 <b>Judicial</b> [1] - 17228:4 <b>July</b> [2] - 17254:17, 17291:1 <b>jumpy</b> [1] - 17199:25 <b>June</b> [5] - 17063:19, 17063:20, 17066:11, 17074:3, 17076:5 <b>juries</b> [2] - 17204:9, 17204:11 <b>jury</b> [17] - 17083:14, 17087:11, 17087:18, 17088:5, 17088:6, 17156:4, 17204:4, 17204:13, 17204:15,	17204:23, 17205:2, 17205:12, 17212:7, 17212:16, 17219:16, 17325:10 <b>justice</b> [4] - 17212:5, 17231:5, 17272:20, 17307:8 <b>Justice</b> [47] - 17059:5, 17061:11, 17061:13, 17122:6, 17144:14, 17144:15, 17201:8, 17201:21, 17229:5, 17232:11, 17232:13, 17233:24, 17234:7, 17236:23, 17237:1, 17237:4, 17237:7, 17237:15, 17238:6, 17239:14, 17243:6, 17243:19, 17244:2, 17246:8, 17246:18, 17247:3, 17253:2, 17253:15, 17273:13, 17276:23, 17278:2, 17281:22, 17283:13, 17288:20, 17290:7, 17291:5, 17294:13, 17301:3, 17304:7, 17305:15, 17310:9, 17318:12, 17319:14, 17321:23, 17322:3, 17322:11, 17323:8 <b>Justices</b> [1] - 17324:3 <b>Justices</b> [1] - 17313:8	<b>killed</b> [6] - 17098:24, 17100:16, 17156:18, 17193:16, 17226:9, 17267:21 <b>killer</b> [2] - 17068:19, 17069:15 <b>Killing</b> [1] - 17223:8 <b>killing</b> [1] - 17069:20 <b>killings</b> [1] - 17064:4 <b>kind</b> [16] - 17068:13, 17090:17, 17092:23, 17094:17, 17097:18, 17109:15, 17114:20, 17151:2, 17170:25, 17179:21, 17180:8, 17193:5, 17197:20, 17199:4, 17203:2, 17242:25 <b>Kinds</b> [1] - 17146:6 <b>kinds</b> [4] - 17172:11, 17184:9, 17259:2, 17314:13 <b>King</b> [1] - 17097:8 <b>Klassen</b> [4] - 17225:8, 17226:1, 17226:4, 17226:22 <b>knife</b> [18] - 17196:12, 17196:15, 17196:22, 17197:3, 17197:7, 17197:9, 17197:10, 17197:18, 17197:23, 17198:6, 17244:23, 17245:1, 17260:7, 17261:14, 17262:11, 17263:6, 17265:17, 17302:9 <b>Knife</b> [3] - 17258:6, 17259:13, 17301:7 <b>knife-wielding</b> [4] - 17260:7, 17261:14, 17263:6, 17265:17 <b>knives</b> [2] - 17159:8, 17159:14 <b>knowing</b> [1] - 17073:23 <b>knowledge</b> [19] - 17069:18, 17070:9, 17120:16, 17143:20, 17162:17, 17179:23, 17208:1, 17241:5, 17242:16, 17263:7, 17269:17, 17274:16, 17287:21, 17287:23, 17298:10, 17299:6, 17320:13, 17321:9, 17328:6 <b>known</b> [8] - 17075:22, 17082:22, 17136:22, 17216:4, 17235:19, 17304:3, 17311:21, 17322:25
<b>J</b>		<b>K</b>		
Jack[1] - 17107:24 jail [9] - 17104:5, 17106:23, 17115:15, 17117:2, 17118:3, 17119:2, 17119:22, 17121:6, 17122:15 James[1] - 17061:3 Jan[2] - 17302:13, 17302:15 January[3] - 17257:21, 17325:25, 17326:10 jazzing [1] - 17093:13 Jerry[1] - 17060:12 job [4] - 17142:22, 17154:23, 17155:19, 17237:5 Jodie[1] - 17060:6 Joe[1] - 17194:18 John[50] - 17088:7, 17131:23, 17171:10, 17171:17, 17175:12, 17175:16, 17177:1,		Kara[1] - 17060:5 Karen[3] - 17060:10, 17328:2, 17328:13 Karst[9] - 17061:8, 17194:25, 17195:13, 17199:10, 17223:22, 17224:1, 17309:8, 17319:23, 17322:20 Karst's [1] - 17322:20 Katie[1] - 17223:17 keep [2] - 17095:2, 17177:9 Ken[1] - 17100:12 Kendry[1] - 17060:6 Kennedy[1] - 17294:10 kept [2] - 17226:6, 17253:25 key [1] - 17322:18 kidding [1] - 17201:13 kill [6] - 17104:1, 17105:13, 17115:16, 17179:17, 17264:5, 17283:3		



<b>knows</b> [1] - 17193:1 <b>Knox</b> [9] - 17061:5, 17243:14, 17245:18, 17266:24, 17270:24, 17281:1, 17293:3, 17294:6, 17294:18 <b>Krogan</b> [1] - 17061:4 <b>Kujawa</b> [7] - 17061:6, 17313:10, 17317:14, 17318:18, 17320:6, 17320:11, 17323:15	17215:21, 17219:6, 17221:14, 17223:13, 17266:11, 17278:23, 17289:20, 17311:22 <b>late</b> [4] - 17082:8, 17131:5, 17160:3, 17279:1 <b>latter</b> [4] - 17133:1, 17133:14, 17177:6, 17247:5 <b>laugh</b> [2] - 17216:7, 17235:22 <b>launched</b> [1] - 17226:20 <b>law</b> [10] - 17078:19, 17095:17, 17154:25, 17171:8, 17200:8, 17200:19, 17200:21, 17201:11, 17201:24, 17316:1 <b>Law</b> [1] - 17066:8 <b>lawyer</b> [10] - 17123:4, 17123:7, 17123:16, 17127:17, 17129:7, 17138:21, 17139:12, 17202:6, 17202:7, 17315:12 <b>lay</b> [2] - 17116:16, 17116:17 <b>layman's</b> [1] - 17182:1 <b>lead</b> [3] - 17101:6, 17105:9, 17284:14 <b>leaning</b> [1] - 17215:1 <b>learn</b> [1] - 17144:1 <b>Learned</b> [1] - 17155:21 <b>learned</b> [7] - 17077:20, 17110:7, 17144:11, 17175:21, 17184:7, 17209:16, 17306:5 <b>learning</b> [1] - 17099:7 <b>least</b> [12] - 17076:24, 17101:7, 17149:7, 17155:18, 17157:19, 17165:23, 17171:14, 17176:1, 17222:5, 17228:20, 17316:14, 17318:21 <b>leave</b> [7] - 17177:16, 17180:10, 17180:22, 17181:4, 17212:13, 17245:15, 17270:20 <b>leaving</b> [2] - 17075:6, 17180:9 <b>led</b> [1] - 17312:22 <b>leer</b> [3] - 17179:24, 17186:3, 17190:2 <b>left</b> [17] - 17142:10, 17144:4, 17175:1, 17180:16, 17189:3, 17190:21, 17190:22, 17191:24, 17192:7,	17192:20, 17226:9, 17230:20, 17230:21, 17236:20, 17261:5, 17266:23, 17305:7 <b>legal</b> [8] - 17068:7, 17087:20, 17128:18, 17129:3, 17132:3, 17133:6, 17135:12, 17289:8 <b>Legal</b> [3] - 17122:3, 17272:15, 17272:17 <b>legally</b> [2] - 17166:13, 17170:13 <b>legitimate</b> [5] - 17138:2, 17173:11, 17183:1, 17183:14, 17188:23 <b>legitimately</b> [1] - 17192:25 <b>length</b> [2] - 17111:13, 17242:25 <b>lengthy</b> [1] - 17254:25 <b>Lea</b> [7] - 17228:19, 17229:1, 17230:17, 17232:4, 17232:19, 17233:23, 17235:7 <b>Leslie</b> [3] - 17225:8, 17226:1, 17226:4 <b>less</b> [1] - 17224:3 <b>lesser</b> [2] - 17087:22, 17132:22 <b>letter</b> [65] - 17063:14, 17063:18, 17063:20, 17064:8, 17064:11, 17065:17, 17066:11, 17068:1, 17069:1, 17071:3, 17071:4, 17071:24, 17072:1, 17072:24, 17073:3, 17074:3, 17074:16, 17074:18, 17075:20, 17076:3, 17076:5, 17076:25, 17079:13, 17079:14, 17091:24, 17091:25, 17096:18, 17131:14, 17133:18, 17136:5, 17136:6, 17137:17, 17246:7, 17246:22, 17247:18, 17248:10, 17249:16, 17250:3, 17250:9, 17250:20, 17253:19, 17253:25, 17254:17, 17254:18, 17257:15, 17260:4, 17263:11, 17266:2, 17267:22, 17272:15, 17296:18, 17300:3, 17308:11, 17308:20, 17311:19, 17312:15, 17312:16, 17319:12, 17319:19,	17320:13, 17321:17, 17324:10, 17324:23 <b>letterhead</b> [1] - 17246:19 <b>letters</b> [21] - 17063:10, 17070:16, 17071:8, 17071:9, 17071:20, 17074:19, 17078:21, 17079:15, 17080:20, 17082:15, 17083:1, 17084:12, 17131:3, 17210:3, 17296:6, 17306:18, 17309:23, 17310:20, 17310:23, 17317:19, 17321:19 <b>letting</b> [1] - 17291:19 <b>level</b> [1] - 17083:20 <b>Liberty</b> [1] - 17146:6 <b>library</b> [1] - 17125:11 <b>lie</b> [1] - 17221:24 <b>Lieutenant</b> [3] - 17155:9, 17194:23, 17324:13 <b>life</b> [1] - 17208:23 <b>light</b> [6] - 17072:13, 17117:5, 17304:1, 17309:6, 17312:23, 17325:6 <b>likelihood</b> [2] - 17116:20, 17252:6 <b>likely</b> [2] - 17103:5, 17143:7 <b>limit</b> [1] - 17098:17 <b>Linda</b> [15] - 17100:10, 17100:14, 17107:3, 17110:4, 17112:10, 17115:17, 17120:23, 17129:24, 17268:2, 17268:4, 17270:15, 17270:25, 17271:2, 17271:12, 17276:4 <b>line</b> [6] - 17113:2, 17121:10, 17148:7, 17169:15, 17231:21 <b>lined</b> [1] - 17239:21 <b>lines</b> [3] - 17158:8, 17277:20, 17314:15 <b>lining</b> [1] - 17240:22 <b>list</b> [2] - 17283:7, 17283:11 <b>listened</b> [2] - 17161:21, 17161:25 <b>listening</b> [1] - 17068:3 <b>lists</b> [1] - 17232:10 <b>literally</b> [1] - 17184:2 <b>lived</b> [1] - 17144:5 <b>local</b> [2] - 17144:14, 17246:23 <b>locate</b> [3] - 17210:11, 17289:5, 17294:8	<b>located</b> [8] - 17259:9, 17264:1, 17283:20, 17289:16, 17291:15, 17292:7, 17294:11, 17323:5 <b>location</b> [1] - 17264:13 <b>locked</b> [1] - 17225:13 <b>lockets</b> [1] - 17159:14 <b>Lockyer</b> [3] - 17061:3, 17270:10, 17280:21 <b>logical</b> [1] - 17176:15 <b>look</b> [55] - 17079:23, 17111:1, 17111:14, 17121:11, 17127:12, 17132:6, 17133:10, 17134:12, 17134:17, 17135:6, 17137:23, 17139:8, 17139:13, 17139:25, 17140:4, 17144:7, 17147:16, 17148:7, 17151:14, 17151:25, 17152:4, 17154:21, 17155:13, 17159:23, 17161:5, 17164:18, 17175:3, 17188:22, 17189:3, 17190:8, 17192:22, 17194:13, 17194:16, 17215:10, 17216:7, 17230:25, 17235:22, 17236:8, 17236:13, 17249:6, 17250:12, 17251:3, 17251:14, 17251:15, 17252:22, 17261:8, 17261:13, 17263:4, 17268:7, 17277:5, 17277:17, 17292:10, 17292:19, 17296:9 <b>looked</b> [19] - 17079:13, 17104:20, 17125:20, 17134:9, 17165:3, 17174:24, 17179:6, 17191:25, 17200:10, 17223:13, 17238:23, 17241:1, 17250:7, 17251:23, 17267:8, 17277:3, 17279:25, 17282:5, 17282:19 <b>looking</b> [17] - 17111:8, 17133:11, 17135:23, 17145:1, 17220:13, 17221:4, 17232:3, 17238:6, 17244:2, 17258:22, 17259:7, 17260:5, 17280:23, 17292:23, 17297:13, 17299:3, 17303:6 <b>lookit</b> [6] - 17083:9, 17109:21, 17120:11,
--	---	--	---	--



17121:24, 17190:16, 17203:5 <b>looks</b> [19] - 17064:12, 17065:17, 17074:6, 17075:10, 17075:19, 17116:9, 17163:2, 17221:21, 17223:4, 17224:15, 17224:18, 17236:3, 17245:12, 17254:15, 17258:20, 17277:2, 17281:18, 17296:9, 17296:23 <b>loop</b> [1] - 17321:16 <b>loss</b> [1] - 17212:11 <b>losses</b> [2] - 17211:23, 17212:5 <b>lost</b> [2] - 17086:17, 17212:8 <b>lunch</b> [1] - 17210:11	17141:6, 17147:3, 17151:18 <b>magazine</b> [4] - 17141:6, 17147:4, 17151:18, 17271:23 <b>Maggie</b> [1] - 17141:12 <b>Magistrates</b> [1] - 17210:8 <b>Mail</b> [2] - 17141:7, 17147:3 <b>main</b> [5] - 17166:10, 17170:10, 17195:2, 17255:22, 17309:4 <b>maintaining</b> [1] - 17209:13 <b>make-up</b> [1] - 17067:10 <b>man</b> [3] - 17092:12, 17095:23, 17110:11 <b>Manager</b> [1] - 17060:4 <b>Manitoba</b> [3] - 17111:11, 17151:18, 17272:16 <b>manner</b> [2] - 17130:4, 17195:11 <b>manslaughter</b> [2] - 17225:10, 17226:19 <b>maps</b> [1] - 17159:12 <b>March</b> [12] - 17098:23, 17178:16, 17257:17, 17268:15, 17277:22, 17281:15, 17288:5, 17288:9, 17288:13, 17291:4, 17309:10 <b>Marcoux</b> [2] - 17264:7, 17282:23 <b>margin</b> [1] - 17074:13 <b>marked</b> [1] - 17256:20 <b>marks</b> [2] - 17217:9, 17218:1 <b>maroon</b> [1] - 17197:9 <b>maroon-handled</b> [1] - 17197:9 <b>marvelous</b> [1] - 17214:18 <b>Mary</b> [1] - 17282:23 <b>Masonry</b> [1] - 17221:11 <b>massive</b> [1] - 17308:5 <b>match</b> [2] - 17160:6, 17289:10 <b>matching</b> [1] - 17196:22 <b>material</b> [12] - 17067:7, 17128:1, 17134:22, 17238:3, 17240:23, 17254:16, 17256:6, 17263:16, 17274:4, 17288:24, 17290:2, 17303:6 <b>materials</b> [12] - 17066:1, 17066:24,	17067:15, 17079:4, 17091:22, 17205:18, 17225:19, 17244:19, 17250:9, 17292:25, 17293:2, 17318:21 <b>matter</b> [30] - 17070:3, 17076:10, 17086:20, 17099:3, 17123:14, 17123:21, 17136:10, 17138:23, 17141:14, 17141:20, 17142:13, 17143:23, 17144:19, 17147:11, 17150:16, 17166:22, 17169:18, 17205:1, 17212:8, 17226:1, 17226:17, 17253:6, 17262:1, 17268:3, 17274:15, 17279:13, 17279:22, 17296:11, 17297:19, 17322:16 <b>matters</b> [12] - 17133:11, 17227:6, 17228:1, 17241:12, 17275:20, 17281:8, 17297:15, 17298:9, 17298:23, 17299:5, 17304:18, 17310:11 <b>mature</b> [1] - 17172:10 <b>Maurice</b> [1] - 17160:16 <b>Mccorriston</b> [4] - 17222:10, 17238:23, 17249:14, 17252:2 <b>Mcdonald</b> [16] - 17064:13, 17064:15, 17064:21, 17065:5, 17066:15, 17066:21, 17066:23, 17070:25, 17071:25, 17072:4, 17073:5, 17073:12, 17074:8, 17085:11, 17086:3, 17184:11 <b>mean</b> [24] - 17110:10, 17111:15, 17153:14, 17153:20, 17157:25, 17167:11, 17168:14, 17172:24, 17181:13, 17187:20, 17207:10, 17211:19, 17216:7, 17216:12, 17227:14, 17235:22, 17237:12, 17251:9, 17251:19, 17253:13, 17261:18, 17292:23, 17308:1, 17314:18 <b>meaningful</b> [1] - 17251:11 <b>means</b> [6] - 17065:8, 17118:2, 17183:13, 17237:19, 17247:25,	17272:3 <b>meant</b> [6] - 17142:9, 17153:18, 17175:4, 17227:13, 17261:2, 17273:19 <b>meantime</b> [1] - 17246:3 <b>media</b> [5] - 17149:1, 17196:4, 17271:20, 17306:22, 17306:25 <b>medical</b> [2] - 17227:10, 17324:14 <b>meet</b> [2] - 17141:17, 17143:18 <b>meeting</b> [5] - 17136:8, 17146:15, 17152:24, 17182:22, 17195:6 <b>meetings</b> [2] - 17146:13, 17146:15 <b>meets</b> [1] - 17188:14 <b>Melnyk</b> [6] - 17157:9, 17158:1, 17159:1, 17191:6, 17214:19, 17235:11 <b>melnyk</b> [1] - 17256:8 <b>member</b> [4] - 17102:1, 17186:14, 17247:2, 17321:20 <b>members</b> [1] - 17246:3 <b>memo</b> [6] - 17256:7, 17256:12, 17267:25, 17270:15, 17271:17, 17276:17 <b>memorandum</b> [4] - 17245:10, 17267:12, 17267:15, 17281:14 <b>memory</b> [18] - 17135:24, 17136:1, 17146:16, 17157:1, 17167:6, 17171:15, 17171:20, 17183:12, 17198:17, 17203:19, 17213:13, 17249:7, 17251:3, 17271:15, 17274:12, 17285:9, 17285:21, 17324:16 <b>men</b> [1] - 17260:21 <b>mentally</b> [1] - 17311:6 <b>mention</b> [8] - 17095:5, 17097:7, 17183:19, 17198:13, 17230:10, 17275:20, 17318:22, 17324:12 <b>mentioned</b> [7] - 17096:2, 17169:22, 17190:25, 17201:7, 17223:18, 17244:21, 17262:7 <b>Merchant</b> [17] - 17123:7, 17123:8, 17123:18, 17124:24,	17127:2, 17127:4, 17135:22, 17135:25, 17136:7, 17137:19, 17137:22, 17138:7, 17138:8, 17138:9, 17138:25, 17139:16 <b>Merchant's</b> [1] - 17124:19 <b>merit</b> [3] - 17114:2, 17121:4, 17121:15 <b>Meronek</b> [3] - 17072:2, 17074:17, 17075:21 <b>message</b> [1] - 17065:12 <b>Messrs</b> [1] - 17139:16 <b>met</b> [7] - 17093:1, 17097:2, 17136:24, 17140:20, 17238:2, 17240:3, 17262:21 <b>Meyer</b> [3] - 17060:11, 17328:2, 17328:17 <b>mid</b> [1] - 17163:4 <b>midst</b> [1] - 17277:23 <b>Might</b> [1] - 17116:20 <b>might</b> [76] - 17064:21, 17064:22, 17065:5, 17065:9, 17066:21, 17067:18, 17086:17, 17089:24, 17091:12, 17091:19, 17100:5, 17100:21, 17100:22, 17101:22, 17101:25, 17103:13, 17105:18, 17107:16, 17107:18, 17107:21, 17109:21, 17110:19, 17111:6, 17111:7, 17114:8, 17115:3, 17116:19, 17116:20, 17117:24, 17118:4, 17119:7, 17119:10, 17120:4, 17122:17, 17129:21, 17134:2, 17134:4, 17135:2, 17140:8, 17143:13, 17159:4, 17166:21, 17182:19, 17183:3, 17185:6, 17188:4, 17188:6, 17188:20, 17191:7, 17192:22, 17192:24, 17193:13, 17212:17, 17213:18, 17241:17, 17243:3, 17259:1, 17261:23, 17265:23, 17265:25, 17276:16, 17277:6, 17280:1, 17297:6, 17297:19, 17298:9, 17298:10, 17299:6, 17299:10, 17312:1, 17312:5, 17317:18, 17326:21,
<b>M</b>				
<b>Maccallum</b> [44] - 17059:6, 17063:3, 17086:16, 17086:23, 17087:3, 17126:16, 17126:21, 17127:9, 17129:1, 17145:5, 17145:16, 17145:21, 17149:9, 17149:12, 17149:16, 17149:19, 17149:21, 17169:1, 17191:12, 17192:10, 17210:18, 17227:16, 17227:20, 17227:23, 17243:11, 17243:16, 17246:2, 17259:21, 17259:24, 17270:3, 17270:22, 17275:8, 17291:23, 17292:2, 17292:11, 17292:14, 17294:16, 17294:22, 17314:18, 17314:23, 17314:25, 17324:19, 17324:22, 17327:1 <b>Mackie</b> [22] - 17170:22, 17171:9, 17171:16, 17172:1, 17172:2, 17172:10, 17186:20, 17187:3, 17187:9, 17187:14, 17195:5, 17195:12, 17195:17, 17198:15, 17223:25, 17259:16, 17261:16, 17292:17, 17301:19, 17322:20, 17323:2, 17323:3 <b>Mackie's</b> [1] - 17195:16 <b>Macleans</b> [3] -				





17326:24 <b>milestone</b> [1] - 17171:6 <b>Milgaard</b> [171] - 17059:3, 17061:2, 17061:3, 17064:19, 17065:3, 17066:17, 17066:20, 17067:6, 17067:11, 17069:2, 17071:11, 17072:5, 17072:10, 17073:14, 17073:17, 17074:6, 17075:3, 17076:11, 17077:7, 17078:1, 17080:10, 17080:22, 17081:15, 17082:3, 17082:10, 17082:16, 17083:3, 17084:10, 17084:21, 17085:4, 17085:7, 17086:6, 17087:15, 17087:20, 17088:14, 17088:21, 17090:14, 17091:13, 17091:25, 17093:8, 17095:13, 17100:7, 17102:13, 17104:1, 17105:13, 17105:15, 17105:25, 17106:6, 17106:23, 17109:22, 17111:2, 17112:3, 17112:25, 17113:15, 17114:23, 17116:3, 17116:13, 17117:18, 17120:25, 17121:8, 17121:25, 17122:12, 17123:1, 17123:14, 17123:20, 17125:6, 17125:25, 17126:1, 17126:20, 17126:24, 17128:10, 17128:17, 17128:19, 17129:8, 17129:12, 17129:14, 17132:4, 17132:19, 17133:7, 17133:12, 17134:19, 17136:10, 17139:12, 17139:20, 17140:4, 17141:14, 17142:7, 17143:14, 17145:2, 17145:18, 17146:6, 17156:15, 17160:23, 17166:19, 17167:4, 17167:12, 17167:24, 17168:10, 17168:12, 17174:18, 17174:25, 17175:10, 17175:15, 17177:2, 17178:1, 17178:17, 17179:17, 17180:4, 17180:13, 17184:25, 17188:7, 17192:4, 17193:15, 17206:6, 17208:11, 17209:2,	17209:13, 17212:9, 17212:19, 17225:23, 17227:1, 17227:7, 17227:15, 17228:11, 17228:19, 17232:20, 17232:25, 17235:4, 17237:14, 17238:8, 17238:18, 17243:21, 17247:1, 17247:22, 17255:6, 17257:17, 17261:10, 17265:8, 17266:5, 17268:20, 17269:4, 17272:22, 17273:11, 17274:15, 17278:17, 17281:25, 17284:22, 17286:6, 17286:14, 17287:5, 17289:15, 17292:5, 17293:19, 17295:15, 17296:1, 17303:21, 17306:4, 17306:9, 17306:15, 17307:1, 17308:13, 17309:5, 17309:16, 17310:22, 17318:9, 17323:23, 17325:11, 17325:19, 17325:25, 17326:6, 17326:12 <b>Milgaard's</b> [18] - 17067:9, 17067:23, 17070:19, 17089:3, 17096:18, 17106:4, 17169:7, 17209:8, 17231:4, 17234:22, 17240:20, 17273:20, 17303:23, 17304:2, 17310:6, 17310:8, 17315:19, 17322:13 <b>Milgaard/miller</b> [2] - 17310:1, 17310:11 <b>Milgaards</b> [2] - 17136:16, 17137:25 <b>Miller</b> [48] - 17067:24, 17077:13, 17084:22, 17085:5, 17087:21, 17088:15, 17088:19, 17089:6, 17090:16, 17090:24, 17091:14, 17091:15, 17100:6, 17100:16, 17104:2, 17105:13, 17116:10, 17130:8, 17132:15, 17132:18, 17133:11, 17137:8, 17164:19, 17178:6, 17179:18, 17193:16, 17197:8, 17232:24, 17247:12, 17261:1, 17261:10, 17261:20, 17262:1, 17264:8, 17267:22, 17282:25, 17284:22,	17286:6, 17286:14, 17287:6, 17290:1, 17299:24, 17300:17, 17300:23, 17302:19, 17315:11, 17315:21, 17316:24 <b>Miller's</b> [2] - 17116:23, 17283:1 <b>Miller/milgaard</b> [1] - 17102:14 <b>mind</b> [10] - 17142:2, 17150:10, 17183:11, 17184:4, 17184:21, 17184:22, 17188:3, 17246:24, 17294:25, 17318:15 <b>minded</b> [1] - 17143:8 <b>mine</b> [2] - 17264:1, 17287:1 <b>minister</b> [2] - 17231:5, 17240:21 <b>Minister</b> [7] - 17061:11, 17237:7, 17237:15, 17243:6, 17243:19, 17310:9, 17319:13 <b>ministerial</b> [1] - 17094:24 <b>Minto</b> [2] - 17093:22, 17096:4 <b>minute</b> [3] - 17130:5, 17215:21, 17327:2 <b>minutes</b> [1] - 17326:23 <b>mis</b> [1] - 17315:6 <b>mis-conducted</b> [1] - 17315:6 <b>miscellaneous</b> [1] - 17266:5 <b>misconduct</b> [2] - 17313:25, 17322:1 <b>misdeeds</b> [1] - 17314:22 <b>Miss</b> [1] - 17077:13 <b>mistake</b> [2] - 17096:17, 17156:24 <b>Mistaken</b> [1] - 17270:11 <b>mistaken</b> [5] - 17069:7, 17123:17, 17173:14, 17205:24, 17270:8 <b>mistakenly</b> [1] - 17157:3 <b>Mitchell</b> [20] - 17306:2, 17308:11, 17308:15, 17310:10, 17311:20, 17311:23, 17312:3, 17312:18, 17314:14, 17315:5, 17316:10, 17316:11, 17317:23, 17317:25, 17318:4, 17319:13, 17319:17, 17321:18, 17321:19,	17138:11, 17142:7, 17145:6, 17151:18, 17177:12, 17178:13, 17178:18, 17182:24, 17183:7, 17183:22, 17185:8, 17188:15, 17188:18, 17190:25, 17208:15, 17208:16, 17216:1, 17216:15, 17227:9, 17232:24, 17235:13, 17236:2, 17261:1, 17265:2, 17290:1, 17299:25, 17300:18, 17304:4, 17315:11, 17315:21, 17316:24 <b>murdered</b> [2] - 17097:8, 17225:8 <b>murders</b> [3] - 17141:12, 17142:16, 17145:2 <b>Murray</b> [2] - 17294:20, 17321:12 <b>must</b> [19] - 17068:18, 17069:15, 17075:12, 17128:7, 17129:23, 17153:20, 17158:7, 17163:11, 17168:3, 17178:5, 17204:5, 17205:20, 17248:8, 17253:14, 17255:15, 17262:1, 17279:7, 17304:22, 17309:6
<b>N</b>			
<b>nail</b> [1] - 17215:3 <b>name</b> [27] - 17099:9, 17140:18, 17157:2, 17207:12, 17219:2, 17223:18, 17238:18, 17238:22, 17241:3, 17241:14, 17248:8, 17249:6, 17249:12, 17249:22, 17250:8, 17250:13, 17250:20, 17251:4, 17251:8, 17251:15, 17251:19, 17252:1, 17268:7, 17269:12, 17302:20, 17303:3, 17303:6 <b>named</b> [2] - 17073:1, 17092:12 <b>names</b> [9] - 17131:21, 17134:3, 17169:21, 17195:9, 17198:23, 17273:24, 17280:9, 17280:10, 17289:13 <b>Nancy</b> [4] - 17072:2, 17074:17, 17075:21			



<p><b>narrow</b> [1] - 17105:21  <b>nasty</b> [1] - 17204:16  <b>National</b> [10] -  17065:23, 17077:18,  17078:2, 17078:19,  17079:5, 17079:19,  17080:13, 17083:1,  17130:15, 17210:6  <b>national</b> [1] - 17210:13  <b>nature</b> [7] - 17079:25,  17080:25, 17135:2,  17208:7, 17277:18,  17296:23, 17299:15  <b>navy</b> [1] - 17155:23  <b>Nb</b> [1] - 17194:6  <b>near</b> [1] - 17223:8  <b>necessarily</b> [2] -  17110:10, 17211:18  <b>necessary</b> [6] -  17081:3, 17197:2,  17197:20, 17197:25,  17290:19, 17316:17  <b>neck</b> [1] - 17075:2  <b>need</b> [14] - 17077:10,  17108:22, 17121:24,  17131:17, 17141:1,  17209:10, 17209:18,  17214:10, 17256:11,  17257:25, 17262:13,  17262:20, 17279:3,  17318:14  <b>needless</b> [2] -  17211:24, 17307:16  <b>neg</b> [1] - 17225:11  <b>Neufeld</b> [3] - 17288:11,  17291:21, 17320:23  <b>never</b> [35] - 17083:3,  17085:8, 17086:14,  17093:21, 17094:4,  17116:3, 17133:4,  17143:23, 17161:8,  17163:23, 17166:1,  17166:20, 17168:5,  17168:12, 17169:9,  17169:17, 17177:3,  17178:2, 17186:9,  17186:13, 17202:20,  17204:10, 17218:8,  17218:16, 17271:3,  17287:21, 17294:2,  17295:14, 17303:5,  17310:23, 17318:15,  17318:20, 17324:10  <b>new</b> [13] - 17089:9,  17089:11, 17091:5,  17103:12, 17111:8,  17119:2, 17120:12,  17126:6, 17171:3,  17289:12, 17305:2,  17305:6, 17306:3</p>	<p><b>New</b> [1] - 17256:7  <b>newly</b> [2] - 17092:14,  17097:3  <b>newly-opened</b> [1] -  17092:14  <b>news</b> [10] - 17157:22,  17158:5, 17158:21,  17231:2, 17258:11,  17258:16, 17271:22,  17301:12, 17301:16,  17324:11  <b>newspaper</b> [4] -  17069:14, 17099:19,  17262:22, 17265:15  <b>newspapers</b> [1] -  17306:24  <b>next</b> [47] - 17067:4,  17075:16, 17076:1,  17077:5, 17093:25,  17095:15, 17113:21,  17127:8, 17140:22,  17146:2, 17151:23,  17154:16, 17156:6,  17159:5, 17172:19,  17174:23, 17176:6,  17177:8, 17188:16,  17194:2, 17200:1,  17205:22, 17209:7,  17210:14, 17213:1,  17218:3, 17219:23,  17221:6, 17230:6,  17233:17, 17234:19,  17234:20, 17255:3,  17256:15, 17257:20,  17263:24, 17266:4,  17273:10, 17280:20,  17280:25, 17281:18,  17282:4, 17309:2,  17309:9, 17312:16,  17325:1  <b>Next</b> [10] - 17153:5,  17156:6, 17194:17,  17196:9, 17198:12,  17200:13, 17201:5,  17204:1, 17205:6,  17313:13  <b>Nichol</b> [56] - 17088:7,  17166:12, 17170:12,  17171:10, 17171:17,  17175:12, 17175:16,  17177:1, 17177:24,  17178:15, 17178:16,  17179:16, 17181:1,  17184:23, 17185:25,  17187:10, 17187:18,  17188:12, 17189:2,  17189:5, 17189:19,  17190:15, 17191:22,  17191:23, 17193:8,  17199:9, 17207:21,</p>	<p>17214:14, 17218:11,  17229:10, 17232:7,  17233:7, 17233:12,  17234:24, 17235:2,  17241:25, 17244:17,  17256:16, 17264:3,  17274:25, 17278:13,  17279:17, 17283:2,  17284:2, 17284:12,  17284:17, 17285:15,  17286:4, 17286:7,  17286:13, 17286:15,  17287:3, 17290:15,  17296:11, 17297:16,  17320:24  <b>night</b> [5] - 17091:21,  17199:7, 17199:14,  17201:11, 17278:22  <b>nine</b> [2] - 17171:2,  17232:21  <b>nineteen</b> [2] - 17232:21,  17234:23  <b>ninety</b> [1] - 17235:8  <b>non</b> [2] - 17129:7,  17311:7  <b>non-existent</b> [1] -  17311:7  <b>non-lawyer</b> [1] -  17129:7  <b>none</b> [4] - 17090:9,  17091:4, 17169:21,  17302:8  <b>None</b> [1] - 17262:10  <b>normal</b> [4] - 17102:22,  17106:14, 17106:17,  17181:6  <b>normally</b> [1] - 17214:7  <b>North</b> [5] - 17099:4,  17099:5, 17099:10,  17099:18, 17100:4  <b>note</b> [25] - 17064:13,  17065:11, 17074:1,  17074:13, 17126:11,  17126:13, 17128:24,  17129:8, 17146:8,  17151:11, 17221:7,  17221:22, 17246:17,  17264:1, 17264:14,  17268:14, 17277:22,  17282:6, 17282:19,  17283:8, 17295:12,  17303:18, 17315:15,  17318:6, 17327:3  <b>noted</b> [4] - 17198:1,  17284:9, 17287:10,  17318:12  <b>notes</b> [12] - 17134:18,  17150:6, 17165:6,  17194:4, 17194:10,  17220:21, 17220:24,</p>	<p>17253:1, 17257:20,  17258:1, 17287:1,  17328:6  <b>notes-taking</b> [1] -  17150:6  <b>Nothing</b> [1] - 17218:16  <b>nothing</b> [25] - 17090:3,  17090:5, 17094:19,  17117:21, 17134:15,  17157:15, 17190:17,  17190:24, 17191:25,  17192:1, 17192:2,  17192:3, 17192:8,  17192:17, 17192:18,  17193:13, 17204:18,  17240:14, 17282:11,  17283:5, 17286:3,  17297:9, 17317:25  <b>notice</b> [5] - 17072:19,  17200:19, 17217:8,  17317:11, 17317:16  <b>noticed</b> [3] - 17193:18,  17208:12, 17315:12  <b>noting</b> [1] - 17245:18  <b>notorious</b> [1] -  17204:12  <b>notwithstanding</b> [1] -  17212:16  <b>Notwithstanding</b> [1] -  17088:5  <b>November</b> [1] - 17326:9  <b>nowhere</b> [1] - 17142:23  <b>number</b> [28] - 17078:16,  17115:14, 17117:15,  17122:21, 17123:2,  17123:3, 17127:24,  17132:9, 17144:5,  17144:9, 17169:6,  17174:21, 17196:15,  17196:24, 17214:1,  17216:6, 17231:17,  17235:21, 17245:20,  17257:3, 17257:4,  17259:14, 17259:22,  17262:5, 17278:14,  17280:19, 17280:24,  17294:14  <b>Number</b> [1] - 17254:20  <b>numbers</b> [10] - 17075:1,  17134:2, 17198:24,  17242:6, 17245:8,  17245:20, 17246:1,  17277:18, 17289:10  <b>nurse</b> [1] - 17093:24  <b>Nurses</b> [3] - 17258:5,  17259:13, 17301:7  <b>nurses</b> [4] - 17260:6,  17261:13, 17263:5,  17265:17</p>	<p><b>O</b></p> <p><b>o'clock</b> [1] - 17275:15  <b>O'keefe</b> [1] - 17061:10  <b>oath</b> [3] - 17271:3,  17272:23, 17273:2  <b>object</b> [1] - 17243:14  <b>objected</b> [1] - 17323:25  <b>objection</b> [2] -  17148:21, 17149:13  <b>objective</b> [1] - 17111:7  <b>obligation</b> [1] -  17203:21  <b>obliged</b> [1] - 17140:6  <b>observed</b> [1] -  17289:11  <b>obstacle</b> [1] - 17190:11  <b>obtain</b> [2] - 17067:6,  17310:25  <b>obtained</b> [8] - 17115:1,  17126:7, 17126:8,  17127:2, 17290:17,  17299:22, 17300:4,  17300:5  <b>obvious</b> [6] - 17261:21,  17273:16, 17311:3,  17313:11, 17316:22,  17317:11  <b>obviously</b> [15] -  17084:24, 17086:6,  17088:13, 17089:15,  17146:21, 17151:7,  17182:16, 17193:12,  17202:6, 17218:12,  17248:24, 17274:5,  17315:10, 17315:21  <b>occasion</b> [3] -  17162:19, 17252:21,  17272:1  <b>occasionally</b> [2] -  17111:12, 17155:20  <b>occasions</b> [1] -  17117:15  <b>occupation</b> [1] -  17236:25  <b>occur</b> [3] - 17180:24,  17182:25, 17280:15  <b>occurred</b> [3] - 17273:6,  17315:19, 17317:3  <b>october</b> [1] - 17248:15  <b>October</b> [24] - 17059:20,  17237:22, 17244:11,  17245:2, 17245:7,  17246:7, 17249:2,  17250:3, 17263:10,  17263:12, 17275:11,  17275:17, 17276:18,  17277:2, 17301:1,  17305:18, 17312:23,</p>
---	---	---	---	---



<p>17315:17, 17323:1, 17325:6, 17325:7, 17325:24, 17326:1 <b>odds</b> [1] - 17316:6 <b>offence</b> [10] - 17072:14, 17084:25, 17087:23, 17088:18, 17094:8, 17096:16, 17099:4, 17102:5, 17119:19, 17209:19 <b>offences</b> [4] - 17226:5, 17259:3, 17262:9, 17302:7 <b>offender</b> [2] - 17226:21, 17226:24 <b>offender'</b> [1] - 17225:12 <b>offense</b> [1] - 17209:11 <b>offer</b> [1] - 17221:18 <b>offered</b> [4] - 17137:7, 17137:8, 17154:10, 17323:17 <b>Offers</b> [1] - 17224:4 <b>offhand</b> [2] - 17115:8, 17153:20 <b>office</b> [63] - 17099:15, 17103:7, 17103:18, 17103:21, 17104:15, 17105:16, 17107:19, 17111:18, 17113:24, 17114:17, 17118:8, 17118:15, 17119:1, 17119:16, 17120:17, 17124:1, 17124:8, 17124:9, 17124:11, 17124:12, 17125:9, 17125:11, 17127:25, 17131:9, 17133:4, 17134:21, 17142:4, 17142:6, 17144:15, 17147:19, 17152:3, 17154:24, 17163:11, 17170:23, 17171:14, 17171:19, 17197:14, 17199:13, 17211:4, 17214:8, 17221:4, 17226:16, 17238:20, 17239:2, 17239:10, 17242:15, 17247:6, 17247:21, 17253:5, 17254:2, 17267:7, 17272:5, 17288:13, 17288:15, 17291:1, 17294:10, 17294:15, 17303:4, 17312:3, 17325:13, 17325:15 <b>Office</b> [1] - 17290:4 <b>Officer</b> [1] - 17060:12 <b>officer</b> [8] - 17071:25, 17072:22, 17074:17, 17107:7, 17116:8,</p>	<p>17172:6, 17296:20, 17311:13 <b>Officers</b> [1] - 17322:19 <b>officers</b> [10] - 17078:6, 17078:24, 17115:20, 17115:21, 17195:9, 17198:22, 17224:22, 17319:20, 17319:22, 17320:1 <b>offices</b> [1] - 17136:19 <b>Official</b> [5] - 17060:10, 17328:1, 17328:3, 17328:14, 17328:18 <b>officials</b> [1] - 17272:24 <b>often</b> [4] - 17070:8, 17118:10, 17120:19, 17121:20 <b>old</b> [1] - 17207:21 <b>oldest</b> [1] - 17144:5 <b>once</b> [9] - 17067:16, 17081:25, 17087:10, 17143:6, 17170:24, 17212:7, 17277:3, 17278:25 <b>Once</b> [1] - 17309:18 <b>one</b> [126] - 17064:3, 17066:6, 17066:7, 17071:19, 17076:5, 17076:17, 17078:21, 17081:10, 17081:23, 17084:11, 17086:25, 17089:5, 17097:7, 17098:2, 17099:18, 17099:20, 17104:19, 17105:7, 17110:18, 17112:24, 17118:16, 17120:13, 17121:22, 17123:4, 17124:11, 17126:11, 17129:13, 17130:2, 17130:12, 17133:1, 17134:18, 17142:7, 17142:20, 17145:18, 17146:8, 17152:14, 17153:6, 17155:8, 17155:9, 17157:19, 17165:23, 17166:10, 17170:10, 17170:22, 17171:4, 17171:5, 17171:14, 17171:23, 17172:2, 17173:23, 17174:20, 17178:25, 17182:17, 17183:10, 17187:16, 17189:18, 17190:15, 17193:1, 17193:16, 17196:12, 17198:11, 17204:13, 17204:24, 17206:18, 17207:18, 17208:6, 17210:3, 17211:19, 17213:24,</p>	<p>17214:11, 17215:11, 17215:12, 17217:17, 17218:7, 17219:5, 17221:16, 17222:12, 17229:24, 17230:2, 17230:6, 17231:2, 17231:21, 17231:22, 17234:23, 17237:9, 17241:6, 17241:7, 17242:2, 17248:2, 17248:23, 17254:24, 17255:8, 17255:11, 17255:22, 17256:24, 17256:25, 17260:19, 17260:20, 17263:22, 17264:13, 17268:7, 17273:8, 17275:10, 17275:14, 17276:16, 17278:14, 17279:18, 17282:22, 17284:15, 17285:19, 17291:6, 17295:14, 17296:13, 17297:17, 17298:9, 17299:5, 17305:1, 17321:19, 17324:11, 17325:2, 17325:22, 17325:23, 17326:2, 17326:3 <b>One</b> [4] - 17108:10, 17176:9, 17189:4, 17198:8 <b>ones</b> [7] - 17075:13, 17105:4, 17109:10, 17111:11, 17111:12, 17165:4, 17311:20 <b>ongoing</b> [2] - 17229:6, 17290:20 <b>open</b> [4] - 17103:14, 17203:16, 17217:20, 17318:13 <b>opened</b> [2] - 17092:14, 17097:3 <b>opening</b> [2] - 17253:12, 17256:14 <b>operator</b> [2] - 17183:14, 17187:16 <b>Operator</b> [3] - 17289:16, 17292:6, 17293:20 <b>opinion</b> [3] - 17207:24, 17216:14, 17324:5 <b>opportunities</b> [2] - 17180:8, 17181:14 <b>opportunity</b> [7] - 17180:15, 17180:21, 17247:20, 17254:12, 17272:6, 17318:10, 17318:24 <b>opposed</b> [4] - 17129:16, 17285:22, 17317:23, 17319:9</p>	<p><b>opposing</b> [1] - 17228:10 <b>order</b> [6] - 17098:3, 17197:7, 17249:13, 17252:24, 17279:8, 17305:6 <b>ordered</b> [2] - 17144:17, 17305:2 <b>ordinarily</b> [2] - 17214:5, 17237:11 <b>organized</b> [1] - 17199:22 <b>original</b> [19] - 17065:14, 17075:20, 17083:22, 17089:10, 17106:6, 17110:19, 17117:21, 17125:13, 17181:7, 17256:18, 17256:20, 17273:11, 17283:12, 17284:3, 17288:21, 17289:6, 17303:20, 17303:23, 17320:21 <b>originated</b> [3] - 17212:3, 17265:25, 17289:19 <b>orphan</b> [1] - 17176:25 <b>otherwise</b> [2] - 17100:22, 17237:20 <b>Ottawa</b> [20] - 17240:8, 17246:8, 17253:9, 17278:9, 17278:20, 17278:22, 17278:24, 17280:1, 17280:12, 17288:12, 17288:25, 17289:4, 17297:18, 17303:11, 17320:8, 17320:14, 17321:6, 17321:12, 17324:8, 17324:14 <b>ought</b> [4] - 17071:17, 17079:24, 17080:12, 17317:20 <b>outcome</b> [5] - 17083:22, 17118:18, 17193:1, 17274:6, 17305:9 <b>Outerbridge</b> [2] - 17072:24, 17073:4 <b>Outline</b> [2] - 17210:7, 17254:20 <b>outline</b> [4] - 17066:11, 17249:17, 17255:14, 17296:4 <b>outset</b> [1] - 17153:16 <b>outside</b> [3] - 17119:24, 17178:5, 17272:4 <b>over-emphasize</b> [1] - 17077:6 <b>overlooked</b> [2] - 17174:1, 17230:4</p>	<p><b>overnight</b> [1] - 17280:15 <b>oversaw</b> [1] - 17113:25 <b>overwhelming</b> [1] - 17156:1 <b>own</b> [11] - 17147:20, 17149:5, 17150:5, 17172:16, 17212:23, 17242:8, 17254:1, 17263:7, 17281:2, 17308:3, 17321:8</p>
<b>P</b>				
<p><b>P's</b> [1] - 17228:14 <b>P.bd</b> [1] - 17065:8 <b>pace</b> [1] - 17147:20 <b>package</b> [1] - 17312:9 <b>page</b> [75] - 17075:16, 17075:17, 17076:1, 17093:6, 17093:25, 17095:15, 17145:24, 17146:2, 17149:23, 17151:23, 17153:5, 17154:16, 17154:17, 17156:6, 17156:7, 17159:5, 17163:17, 17169:15, 17172:19, 17176:6, 17186:19, 17194:2, 17194:17, 17196:9, 17198:12, 17200:13, 17201:5, 17204:1, 17205:6, 17205:22, 17209:7, 17210:21, 17213:1, 17218:3, 17219:23, 17221:6, 17228:21, 17230:6, 17230:16, 17230:17, 17231:10, 17231:15, 17231:22, 17233:16, 17233:17, 17234:19, 17234:20, 17235:6, 17245:1, 17246:9, 17246:17, 17255:3, 17256:15, 17257:20, 17260:20, 17261:8, 17266:4, 17273:10, 17280:19, 17280:20, 17280:25, 17281:18, 17282:4, 17282:21, 17291:24, 17292:1, 17292:16, 17299:18, 17309:2, 17309:9, 17311:22, 17312:14, 17312:17, 17313:13, 17325:2 <b>Page</b> [1] - 17062:2 <b>pages</b> [4] - 17157:5, 17264:21, 17287:13,</p>				



<p>17328:4  <b>painful</b> [2] - 17183:11,  17184:4  <b>pair</b> [1] - 17160:2  <b>panic</b> [1] - 17160:8  <b>pants</b> [3] - 17160:2,  17160:8, 17160:16  <b>paper</b> [3] - 17092:6,  17252:9, 17282:1  <b>paragraph</b> [18] -  17063:22, 17064:6,  17065:20, 17066:10,  17066:14, 17067:4,  17074:19, 17075:25,  17077:5, 17210:5,  17230:25, 17259:16,  17260:19, 17262:3,  17263:24, 17272:21,  17301:20, 17314:14  <b>parallel</b> [1] - 17311:20  <b>paralyzed</b> [1] - 17182:1  <b>pardon</b> [6] - 17082:21,  17100:4, 17248:7,  17261:10, 17263:1,  17280:16  <b>paring</b> [2] - 17197:9,  17245:1  <b>Parker</b> [2] - 17107:24,  17112:10  <b>parking</b> [1] - 17171:20  <b>Parole</b> [16] - 17065:23,  17072:6, 17072:16,  17073:18, 17073:24,  17077:19, 17078:2,  17078:19, 17079:5,  17079:19, 17080:13,  17083:1, 17130:15,  17210:6, 17210:7,  17310:21  <b>parole</b> [53] - 17063:10,  17063:11, 17063:15,  17063:19, 17063:24,  17064:7, 17065:8,  17065:18, 17066:4,  17066:5, 17067:2,  17068:3, 17069:1,  17070:17, 17071:4,  17071:10, 17071:11,  17071:17, 17071:24,  17072:22, 17072:24,  17073:4, 17074:7,  17074:9, 17074:16,  17077:21, 17079:5,  17079:11, 17080:24,  17082:16, 17083:11,  17084:25, 17085:4,  17085:20, 17086:2,  17086:25, 17088:14,  17088:22, 17089:1,  17089:16, 17089:20,</p>	<p>17090:12, 17090:13,  17091:7, 17091:14,  17092:6, 17096:3,  17096:9, 17096:22,  17098:20, 17210:4,  17311:1  <b>paroling</b> [1] - 17064:1  <b>part</b> [34] - 17068:8,  17079:17, 17081:3,  17093:14, 17148:3,  17157:4, 17159:13,  17161:6, 17162:14,  17166:16, 17181:11,  17186:25, 17188:1,  17191:9, 17209:1,  17219:17, 17222:5,  17222:22, 17226:16,  17237:5, 17237:10,  17245:24, 17263:13,  17272:13, 17273:14,  17287:4, 17287:22,  17291:19, 17293:1,  17295:10, 17295:14,  17314:22, 17325:2,  17325:15  <b>Part</b> [1] - 17162:17  <b>part-way</b> [1] - 17162:14  <b>partial</b> [1] - 17162:11  <b>participate</b> [1] -  17274:9  <b>particular</b> [4] - 17102:1,  17157:25, 17163:17,  17246:19  <b>particularly</b> [2] -  17065:25, 17215:12  <b>particulars</b> [2] -  17095:2, 17309:14  <b>parties</b> [5] - 17126:10,  17218:15, 17243:25,  17298:3  <b>parts</b> [11] - 17065:21,  17092:1, 17147:15,  17151:16, 17172:7,  17172:8, 17184:24,  17186:1, 17186:2,  17247:18, 17281:17  <b>partway</b> [1] - 17222:24  <b>party</b> [2] - 17147:11,  17181:11  <b>pass</b> [2] - 17068:21,  17276:3  <b>passage</b> [1] - 17175:21  <b>passed</b> [4] - 17068:12,  17070:10, 17121:10,  17267:7  <b>past</b> [2] - 17072:7,  17249:14  <b>pathologist</b> [1] -  17211:4  <b>pattern</b> [2] - 17315:18,</p>	<p>17317:5  <b>pause</b> [12] - 17095:8,  17119:21, 17164:17,  17176:14, 17202:22,  17213:10, 17216:24,  17217:11, 17218:19,  17224:15, 17284:23,  17289:20  <b>Paynter</b> [1] - 17257:21  <b>Pcg</b> [1] - 17148:7  <b>Peace</b> [1] - 17207:12  <b>Pearson</b> [16] - 17242:3,  17274:2, 17274:17,  17277:10, 17277:12,  17277:17, 17281:8,  17281:15, 17281:19,  17288:16, 17291:5,  17295:6, 17297:14,  17298:15, 17298:20,  17304:13  <b>Pearson's</b> [2] -  17303:17, 17303:18  <b>penetration</b> [1] -  17244:25  <b>penitentiary</b> [1] -  17208:24  <b>Penkala</b> [3] - 17103:1,  17103:11, 17194:18  <b>people</b> [23] - 17069:17,  17070:8, 17096:22,  17123:2, 17123:3,  17155:11, 17169:6,  17173:14, 17173:24,  17177:2, 17177:25,  17183:11, 17211:3,  17213:23, 17222:11,  17224:5, 17226:12,  17229:25, 17231:18,  17244:2, 17306:25,  17324:15, 17326:14  <b>perceive</b> [1] - 17147:10  <b>performed</b> [1] -  17244:22  <b>Perhaps</b> [2] - 17236:8,  17293:3  <b>perhaps</b> [7] - 17072:14,  17270:20, 17285:14,  17294:7, 17296:21,  17316:10, 17323:15  <b>period</b> [5] - 17099:7,  17168:14, 17192:8,  17300:17, 17301:1  <b>permission</b> [2] -  17133:22, 17150:4  <b>Perras</b> [3] - 17153:7,  17154:23, 17226:14  <b>person</b> [46] - 17075:14,  17076:11, 17080:7,  17080:10, 17080:13,  17080:17, 17081:5,</p>	<p>17081:7, 17083:9,  17084:3, 17096:10,  17096:13, 17097:10,  17100:16, 17103:22,  17105:19, 17106:22,  17106:24, 17107:4,  17110:23, 17115:4,  17117:2, 17118:3,  17119:22, 17120:12,  17122:15, 17129:15,  17141:5, 17148:4,  17152:24, 17154:22,  17162:20, 17162:24,  17179:1, 17187:20,  17204:13, 17216:3,  17226:4, 17228:13,  17235:18, 17238:7,  17247:16, 17267:21,  17269:13, 17303:2,  17318:23  <b>personal</b> [1] - 17143:20  <b>personally</b> [3] -  17095:22, 17146:17,  17147:2  <b>persons</b> [6] - 17076:15,  17077:8, 17083:25,  17097:11, 17129:15,  17306:15  <b>perspective</b> [1] -  17071:16  <b>Pertaining</b> [2] -  17065:6, 17065:7  <b>pertaining</b> [3] -  17066:17, 17066:22,  17281:23  <b>Peter</b> [28] - 17140:18,  17140:19, 17143:11,  17146:12, 17148:1,  17148:8, 17161:11,  17161:18, 17161:23,  17195:18, 17210:20,  17220:3, 17220:22,  17224:13, 17224:24,  17228:19, 17229:1,  17229:9, 17230:11,  17230:17, 17230:18,  17231:7, 17232:4,  17232:19, 17235:7,  17235:9, 17264:21,  17265:14  <b>ph</b> [2] - 17141:12,  17280:9  <b>phone</b> [34] - 17102:10,  17127:14, 17127:23,  17127:24, 17127:25,  17134:2, 17136:2,  17144:4, 17144:9,  17146:17, 17148:7,  17148:19, 17148:22,  17152:23, 17157:6,</p>	<p>17178:25, 17198:24,  17220:5, 17223:3,  17223:23, 17224:9,  17224:11, 17238:11,  17239:24, 17242:5,  17245:19, 17245:20,  17246:1, 17248:10,  17272:2, 17277:18,  17280:11, 17301:9,  17321:13  <b>Phone</b> [1] - 17065:13  <b>phoned</b> [11] - 17064:16,  17103:24, 17127:12,  17195:17, 17200:11,  17200:16, 17252:8,  17252:19, 17269:13,  17272:2, 17278:25  <b>phones</b> [1] - 17107:24  <b>photocopied</b> [2] -  17249:15, 17252:6  <b>photocopy</b> [1] -  17244:17  <b>photographs</b> [6] -  17066:13, 17074:22,  17075:1, 17075:10,  17152:15, 17256:15  <b>photos</b> [1] - 17159:12  <b>phrase</b> [1] - 17153:18  <b>physically</b> [1] -  17147:19  <b>picked</b> [1] - 17178:18  <b>picking</b> [1] - 17299:19  <b>picture</b> [2] - 17070:18,  17096:16  <b>pictures</b> [1] - 17096:3  <b>piece</b> [1] - 17206:19  <b>Pinckley</b> [1] - 17226:16  <b>place</b> [6] - 17134:6,  17143:23, 17163:10,  17165:23, 17178:22,  17268:7  <b>placed</b> [2] - 17096:16,  17317:21  <b>placement</b> [1] -  17066:25  <b>plan</b> [1] - 17119:13  <b>planned</b> [1] - 17245:13  <b>planning</b> [1] - 17279:11  <b>play</b> [1] - 17306:6  <b>played</b> [1] - 17209:1  <b>players</b> [1] - 17309:4  <b>playing</b> [1] - 17240:19  <b>Pleasant</b> [1] - 17207:13  <b>pleased</b> [2] - 17158:22,  17266:7  <b>plus</b> [3] - 17131:23,  17155:12, 17282:25  <b>Pm</b> [6] - 17209:23,  17209:24, 17275:6,  17275:7, 17275:16,</p>
--	---	---	--	--



<p>17327:4  <b>pocket</b> [1] - 17150:23  <b>point</b> [48] - 17071:5, 17075:19, 17075:24, 17080:4, 17081:15, 17082:2, 17082:14, 17083:19, 17084:15, 17094:20, 17101:7, 17104:10, 17104:11, 17116:15, 17116:18, 17118:22, 17120:2, 17122:7, 17122:20, 17127:17, 17158:13, 17177:6, 17179:9, 17182:13, 17183:12, 17183:16, 17184:10, 17192:23, 17206:5, 17210:15, 17210:16, 17218:7, 17223:19, 17231:12, 17237:16, 17238:17, 17242:6, 17248:20, 17248:22, 17271:6, 17274:4, 17277:7, 17279:4, 17279:19, 17312:5, 17320:25, 17322:7, 17326:12  <b>pointed</b> [1] - 17323:11  <b>points</b> [4] - 17182:14, 17194:3, 17311:4, 17316:11  <b>Police</b> [16] - 17061:7, 17074:23, 17100:11, 17131:5, 17133:9, 17136:20, 17137:9, 17186:14, 17210:8, 17250:17, 17268:13, 17288:5, 17289:24, 17300:19, 17302:14, 17309:12  <b>police</b> [118] - 17078:6, 17078:24, 17095:7, 17100:22, 17101:4, 17101:13, 17101:15, 17103:3, 17103:13, 17103:24, 17104:21, 17105:1, 17105:4, 17105:10, 17106:14, 17107:3, 17107:7, 17107:16, 17108:21, 17109:4, 17109:8, 17111:25, 17112:18, 17113:22, 17115:11, 17115:20, 17116:13, 17116:23, 17121:2, 17129:24, 17130:6, 17131:4, 17131:6, 17131:7, 17131:20, 17131:23, 17131:24, 17131:25, 17132:5,</p>	<p>17132:6, 17132:9, 17132:16, 17132:24, 17133:10, 17134:2, 17134:4, 17134:10, 17134:13, 17135:9, 17135:17, 17135:23, 17138:10, 17138:11, 17142:22, 17152:14, 17155:4, 17157:17, 17158:2, 17158:8, 17164:15, 17164:18, 17164:20, 17164:24, 17165:7, 17165:9, 17165:14, 17165:19, 17166:17, 17167:16, 17177:11, 17195:9, 17198:21, 17199:6, 17208:21, 17211:2, 17214:17, 17214:23, 17217:12, 17217:18, 17220:21, 17221:8, 17221:9, 17221:20, 17222:7, 17229:2, 17229:16, 17232:23, 17233:10, 17242:4, 17255:12, 17258:12, 17268:24, 17269:6, 17270:6, 17276:10, 17286:8, 17286:10, 17286:15, 17290:6, 17290:9, 17290:19, 17296:20, 17299:23, 17301:13, 17301:18, 17303:3, 17304:3, 17313:4, 17313:21, 17313:25, 17319:20, 17319:22, 17319:25, 17322:1, 17322:15, 17322:18  <b>policeman</b> [2] - 17172:11, 17285:7  <b>policemen</b> [3] - 17118:11, 17196:1, 17273:25  <b>policy</b> [2] - 17104:16, 17137:13  <b>Polygraph</b> [4] - 17289:16, 17292:5, 17293:19, 17294:13  <b>polygraph</b> [6] - 17183:14, 17187:16, 17187:18, 17187:24, 17290:18, 17290:24  <b>pondered</b> [1] - 17085:8  <b>poor</b> [1] - 17207:21  <b>portion</b> [1] - 17236:3  <b>posed</b> [1] - 17288:19  <b>position</b> [9] - 17066:23, 17077:18, 17296:19, 17307:21, 17311:23,</p>	<p>17318:1, 17319:16, 17320:21, 17323:20  <b>positive</b> [3] - 17124:18, 17160:24, 17252:24  <b>possession</b> [3] - 17089:23, 17144:18, 17288:4  <b>possibilities</b> [1] - 17248:24  <b>possibility</b> [3] - 17065:10, 17121:23, 17218:21  <b>possible</b> [14] - 17067:15, 17109:20, 17148:13, 17187:5, 17187:7, 17206:7, 17244:21, 17248:25, 17249:2, 17266:2, 17290:8, 17298:8, 17299:3, 17299:8  <b>possibly</b> [10] - 17089:11, 17108:12, 17124:19, 17162:18, 17184:15, 17186:23, 17214:10, 17261:18, 17269:24, 17278:6  <b>postponed</b> [2] - 17244:15, 17245:16  <b>potential</b> [2] - 17077:12, 17323:12  <b>Pp</b> [1] - 17294:14  <b>practice</b> [1] - 17148:24  <b>practices</b> [1] - 17112:22  <b>practicing</b> [2] - 17123:8, 17123:16  <b>pre</b> [1] - 17116:19  <b>pre-charge</b> [1] - 17116:19  <b>predated</b> [2] - 17144:23, 17170:5  <b>preferably</b> [1] - 17112:15  <b>prejudicial</b> [1] - 17311:9  <b>prelim</b> [3] - 17182:8, 17227:9, 17256:3  <b>Prelim</b> [2] - 17198:9, 17219:8  <b>Preliminary</b> [3] - 17282:22, 17283:8, 17284:20  <b>preliminary</b> [4] - 17181:18, 17186:24, 17254:16, 17264:10  <b>prep</b> [2] - 17102:11, 17217:7  <b>preparations</b> [1] - 17155:3  <b>prepare</b> [1] - 17295:1  <b>prepared</b> [15] -</p>	<p>17128:3, 17130:23, 17134:17, 17184:13, 17196:16, 17196:25, 17221:23, 17224:16, 17255:7, 17280:13, 17292:18, 17295:2, 17296:20, 17298:4, 17298:6  <b>preparing</b> [2] - 17293:17, 17309:16  <b>present</b> [6] - 17077:7, 17191:18, 17273:8, 17283:1, 17290:17, 17303:24  <b>presentation</b> [1] - 17094:24  <b>presented</b> [2] - 17087:12, 17088:8  <b>presently</b> [1] - 17126:8  <b>presents</b> [1] - 17067:8  <b>presiding</b> [1] - 17321:1  <b>press</b> [7] - 17122:21, 17237:19, 17246:4, 17311:21, 17312:7, 17313:19, 17313:22  <b>pressure</b> [1] - 17272:9  <b>presumably</b> [12] - 17069:17, 17075:21, 17081:14, 17083:15, 17091:4, 17102:4, 17116:13, 17150:13, 17181:22, 17187:21, 17201:23, 17268:15  <b>Presumably</b> [1] - 17164:21  <b>presume</b> [5] - 17155:6, 17225:1, 17245:21, 17280:6, 17304:24  <b>presumed</b> [1] - 17260:22  <b>presumes</b> [1] - 17111:3  <b>pretrial</b> [2] - 17191:13, 17208:3  <b>pretty</b> [5] - 17181:5, 17190:17, 17199:22, 17199:25, 17205:10  <b>prevent</b> [1] - 17106:8  <b>preventing</b> [1] - 17067:13  <b>previous</b> [7] - 17075:13, 17079:15, 17230:16, 17231:15, 17247:9, 17282:21, 17319:19  <b>previously</b> [1] - 17321:3  <b>principal</b> [1] - 17255:24  <b>principle</b> [1] - 17135:14  <b>Pringle</b> [1] - 17061:13  <b>print</b> [1] - 17168:3</p>	<p><b>printed</b> [5] - 17145:3, 17157:15, 17167:24, 17168:15, 17210:6  <b>prison</b> [2] - 17082:2, 17084:11  <b>prisoner</b> [2] - 17067:2, 17208:22  <b>prisoner's</b> [1] - 17175:2  <b>prisoners</b> [1] - 17066:3  <b>private</b> [2] - 17122:3, 17202:7  <b>privilege</b> [7] - 17126:22, 17126:25, 17127:6, 17134:24, 17243:4, 17243:8, 17243:24  <b>privileged</b> [1] - 17135:2  <b>probative</b> [1] - 17315:11  <b>probe</b> [3] - 17069:11, 17085:24, 17107:17  <b>problem</b> [4] - 17093:14, 17205:11, 17214:14, 17221:1  <b>problems</b> [2] - 17094:9, 17152:8  <b>procedure</b> [1] - 17226:21  <b>procedures</b> [1] - 17102:19  <b>proceed</b> [2] - 17306:3, 17306:8  <b>proceeded</b> [2] - 17189:10, 17190:7  <b>Proceedings</b> [4] - 17059:11, 17059:22, 17062:1, 17063:1  <b>proceedings</b> [14] - 17082:22, 17100:24, 17121:20, 17122:4, 17143:8, 17181:11, 17182:13, 17182:14, 17219:21, 17232:8, 17236:18, 17264:4, 17269:24, 17322:7  <b>process</b> [9] - 17086:14, 17109:8, 17117:11, 17120:8, 17184:20, 17232:13, 17240:11, 17309:16, 17319:6  <b>processed</b> [1] - 17084:17  <b>processing</b> [1] - 17309:25  <b>proclaiming</b> [1] - 17084:10  <b>produce</b> [2] - 17197:19, 17197:24  <b>produced</b> [1] - 17197:3  <b>professing</b> [2] - 17082:3, 17082:10</p>
--	--	---	---	--



<p><b>professional</b> [2] - 17085:13, 17098:16</p> <p><b>project</b> [2] - 17220:13, 17220:14</p> <p><b>promise</b> [1] - 17206:25</p> <p><b>promised</b> [1] - 17214:22</p> <p><b>prompted</b> [5] - 17068:4, 17146:25, 17258:7, 17260:17, 17265:5</p> <p><b>proper</b> [5] - 17070:11, 17070:18, 17085:13, 17122:5, 17124:12</p> <p><b>properly</b> [7] - 17068:12, 17084:17, 17119:17, 17212:15, 17228:15, 17316:17, 17317:10</p> <p><b>properly-processed</b> [1] - 17084:17</p> <p><b>propose</b> [2] - 17071:5, 17296:3</p> <p><b>proposed</b> [1] - 17128:15</p> <p><b>prosecute</b> [8] - 17099:21, 17105:20, 17105:23, 17105:24, 17106:3, 17106:15, 17119:19, 17120:12</p> <p><b>prosecuted</b> [6] - 17105:15, 17106:24, 17110:13, 17110:18, 17117:18, 17184:13</p> <p><b>prosecuting</b> [5] - 17106:8, 17155:18, 17180:25, 17185:24, 17226:8</p> <p><b>prosecution</b> [48] - 17083:18, 17089:9, 17099:14, 17114:11, 17117:22, 17123:20, 17125:13, 17125:24, 17126:1, 17132:20, 17134:20, 17135:1, 17139:9, 17139:13, 17140:1, 17140:5, 17142:6, 17142:7, 17167:21, 17225:23, 17226:11, 17227:1, 17227:7, 17228:11, 17238:8, 17238:18, 17238:21, 17241:12, 17242:14, 17248:17, 17261:11, 17265:9, 17283:12, 17283:21, 17284:4, 17287:22, 17288:21, 17289:7, 17291:12, 17294:3, 17294:9, 17295:15, 17296:2, 17304:1, 17309:5, 17314:12,</p>	<p>17326:6, 17326:12</p> <p><b>Prosecutions</b> [2] - 17246:15, 17247:3</p> <p><b>prosecutions</b> [4] - 17226:15, 17228:3, 17276:19</p> <p><b>Prosecutor</b> [4] - 17133:8, 17229:4, 17229:8, 17269:7</p> <p><b>prosecutor</b> [50] - 17068:8, 17077:20, 17077:24, 17079:6, 17079:8, 17084:5, 17086:7, 17088:24, 17090:8, 17099:8, 17102:2, 17102:10, 17104:23, 17105:14, 17105:21, 17106:15, 17106:20, 17109:11, 17114:24, 17114:25, 17116:8, 17117:10, 17117:19, 17118:8, 17118:25, 17123:23, 17151:20, 17152:8, 17182:4, 17188:10, 17188:18, 17213:20, 17227:18, 17227:21, 17237:2, 17237:3, 17238:9, 17239:19, 17239:23, 17247:1, 17247:11, 17273:11, 17281:24, 17296:21, 17303:21, 17304:4, 17313:6, 17320:5, 17320:6</p> <p><b>prosecutor's</b> [5] - 17134:21, 17247:21, 17265:13, 17287:2, 17291:16</p> <p><b>Prosecutor's</b> [6] - 17259:10, 17264:2, 17288:13, 17288:14, 17301:5, 17302:25</p> <p><b>Prosecutors</b> [1] - 17153:11</p> <p><b>prosecutors</b> [5] - 17078:20, 17078:23, 17111:11, 17118:14, 17153:9</p> <p><b>Prosecutors'</b> [2] - 17290:4, 17291:1</p> <p><b>protect</b> [1] - 17318:18</p> <p><b>protecting</b> [2] - 17134:6, 17313:10</p> <p><b>protection</b> [1] - 17134:1</p> <p><b>Prov</b> [1] - 17224:11</p> <p><b>prove</b> [1] - 17212:18</p> <p><b>proved</b> [1] - 17233:7</p> <p><b>provide</b> [8] - 17073:21, 17074:8, 17074:12,</p>	<p>17079:8, 17079:19, 17288:18, 17295:24, 17297:7</p> <p><b>provided</b> [11] - 17085:5, 17121:15, 17126:9, 17217:18, 17241:2, 17241:4, 17241:8, 17276:25, 17284:9, 17295:25, 17304:5</p> <p><b>providing</b> [7] - 17077:25, 17079:24, 17080:12, 17080:14, 17241:20, 17246:24, 17319:7</p> <p><b>Province</b> [1] - 17328:3</p> <p><b>province</b> [4] - 17090:11, 17114:21, 17230:21, 17246:21</p> <p><b>provinces</b> [2] - 17111:13, 17275:10</p> <p><b>Provincial</b> [9] - 17229:3, 17259:10, 17262:10, 17264:2, 17279:14, 17301:5, 17301:11, 17302:7, 17302:24</p> <p><b>provincial</b> [6] - 17114:25, 17230:22, 17236:21, 17238:19, 17247:21, 17258:11</p> <p><b>Psych</b> [3] - 17097:3, 17097:12, 17209:16</p> <p><b>psychiatric</b> [11] - 17065:7, 17066:16, 17066:22, 17067:9, 17070:19, 17072:9, 17073:1, 17089:11, 17089:23, 17090:19, 17311:8</p> <p><b>Psychiatric</b> [2] - 17092:15, 17092:20</p> <p><b>psychiatrist</b> [3] - 17064:23, 17064:24, 17184:11</p> <p><b>psychiatrists</b> [1] - 17092:13</p> <p><b>public</b> [18] - 17082:8, 17082:9, 17114:11, 17122:16, 17156:3, 17156:5, 17165:15, 17245:21, 17245:24, 17266:10, 17266:16, 17276:19, 17294:9, 17307:23, 17308:13, 17312:4, 17312:5, 17321:20</p> <p><b>publicity</b> [2] - 17122:22, 17151:3</p> <p><b>publicly</b> [3] - 17122:13, 17122:14, 17307:20</p>	<p><b>publish</b> [1] - 17246:3</p> <p><b>published</b> [1] - 17146:5</p> <p><b>pulled</b> [1] - 17197:17</p> <p><b>purply</b> [1] - 17267:2</p> <p><b>purpose</b> [5] - 17086:18, 17144:2, 17150:7, 17288:17, 17308:19</p> <p><b>purse</b> [3] - 17186:6, 17207:6, 17207:17</p> <p><b>pursue</b> [6] - 17104:20, 17108:22, 17109:10, 17111:18, 17120:4</p> <p><b>pursued</b> [4] - 17111:16, 17116:24, 17120:1, 17130:4</p> <p><b>pursuing</b> [1] - 17118:15</p> <p><b>Put</b> [1] - 17247:11</p> <p><b>put</b> [27] - 17069:20, 17070:11, 17083:24, 17084:18, 17084:20, 17092:2, 17111:5, 17113:20, 17116:2, 17117:1, 17126:5, 17130:1, 17130:13, 17179:18, 17187:2, 17188:7, 17203:10, 17218:7, 17222:2, 17225:7, 17240:24, 17246:23, 17252:13, 17253:4, 17254:15, 17260:12, 17311:20</p> <p><b>puts</b> [2] - 17186:16, 17188:16</p> <p><b>putting</b> [3] - 17069:16, 17086:2, 17145:10</p>	<p>17233:13, 17233:19, 17233:25, 17242:19, 17265:8, 17281:23, 17288:19, 17297:15, 17304:6</p> <p><b>quick</b> [1] - 17194:8</p> <p><b>quickly</b> [2] - 17200:11, 17243:10</p> <p><b>Quinn</b> [2] - 17288:4, 17288:7</p> <p><b>quite</b> [6] - 17111:10, 17176:24, 17189:13, 17190:10, 17201:1, 17299:8</p> <p><b>quotations</b> [1] - 17231:12</p> <p><b>quote</b> [1] - 17235:2</p> <p><b>quotes</b> [1] - 17231:17</p>
<b>R</b>				
<p><b>radio</b> [3] - 17149:5, 17150:1, 17150:23</p> <p><b>raised</b> [5] - 17174:23, 17217:6, 17217:23, 17275:21, 17303:23</p> <p><b>ran</b> [5] - 17068:17, 17170:23, 17171:18, 17194:8, 17231:3</p> <p><b>rape</b> [6] - 17098:22, 17100:3, 17115:16, 17260:11, 17326:2, 17326:3</p> <p><b>raped</b> [3] - 17075:6, 17098:24, 17115:14</p> <p><b>rapes</b> [8] - 17104:5, 17165:24, 17166:19, 17168:8, 17168:20, 17169:8, 17169:11, 17170:4</p> <p><b>rapist</b> [1] - 17233:1</p> <p><b>rate</b> [1] - 17098:10</p> <p><b>rather</b> [1] - 17085:14</p> <p><b>rationalize</b> [1] - 17185:24</p> <p><b>Ray</b> [8] - 17170:22, 17171:9, 17171:16, 17172:1, 17172:2, 17172:10, 17195:5, 17198:14</p> <p><b>Rcmp</b> [10] - 17061:9, 17160:25, 17162:10, 17163:2, 17276:10, 17278:1, 17288:17, 17293:2, 17294:12, 17326:22</p> <p><b>re</b> [8] - 17092:5, 17092:9, 17103:14, 17127:14, 17235:14,</p>				
<b>Q</b>				
<p><b>Q's</b> [1] - 17228:14</p> <p><b>Qb</b> [3] - 17060:10, 17184:8, 17256:18</p> <p><b>Qc</b> [4] - 17061:2, 17061:6, 17061:11, 17061:13</p> <p><b>Qqq</b> [1] - 17304:9</p> <p><b>qualified</b> [1] - 17187:21</p> <p><b>quality</b> [1] - 17151:15</p> <p><b>Queen's</b> [6] - 17124:4, 17174:21, 17328:1, 17328:3, 17328:14, 17328:18</p> <p><b>query</b> [1] - 17080:19</p> <p><b>questioned</b> [1] - 17095:1</p> <p><b>Questions</b> [1] - 17303:22</p> <p><b>questions</b> [13] - 17094:23, 17130:24, 17179:14, 17232:10,</p>				



17253:12, 17255:6, 17256:7 <b>re-enacted</b> [1] - 17235:14 <b>re-open</b> [1] - 17103:14 <b>re-opening</b> [1] - 17253:12 <b>reached</b> [1] - 17075:14 <b>react</b> [1] - 17307:5 <b>reacted</b> [1] - 17143:25 <b>reaction</b> [2] - 17199:18, 17304:23 <b>read</b> [23] - 17064:17, 17065:2, 17075:15, 17076:3, 17108:11, 17123:20, 17142:10, 17153:2, 17164:2, 17183:15, 17202:3, 17217:21, 17231:25, 17240:15, 17247:25, 17249:13, 17249:14, 17259:22, 17286:23, 17300:7, 17304:25, 17312:4, 17325:2 <b>readily</b> [2] - 17098:9, 17115:6 <b>reading</b> [4] - 17072:7, 17231:18, 17248:4, 17265:1 <b>reads</b> [4] - 17205:20, 17221:5, 17263:22, 17319:8 <b>ready</b> [4] - 17154:25, 17155:11, 17291:20, 17320:8 <b>real</b> [6] - 17116:9, 17118:1, 17119:5, 17153:15, 17207:12, 17229:11 <b>realize</b> [2] - 17109:25, 17185:11 <b>realized</b> [4] - 17182:23, 17183:6, 17188:15, 17221:2 <b>really</b> [13] - 17068:20, 17096:13, 17167:9, 17202:13, 17205:7, 17211:14, 17240:16, 17252:15, 17274:22, 17274:25, 17279:25, 17317:5, 17323:21 <b>reason</b> [35] - 17076:7, 17083:21, 17091:12, 17099:18, 17099:25, 17100:5, 17100:20, 17101:2, 17114:3, 17130:8, 17135:10, 17135:14, 17138:2, 17148:6, 17171:25, 17172:12, 17177:15,	17180:1, 17187:9, 17189:6, 17213:19, 17224:19, 17231:8, 17239:4, 17245:9, 17245:16, 17246:19, 17248:16, 17253:11, 17253:18, 17268:10, 17304:13, 17313:12, 17317:13, 17318:17 <b>reasonable</b> [2] - 17212:19, 17318:23 <b>reasonably</b> [1] - 17325:9 <b>reasons</b> [10] - 17084:13, 17107:7, 17114:19, 17136:13, 17140:7, 17168:4, 17297:18, 17308:20, 17309:1, 17324:8 <b>reassured</b> [1] - 17071:14 <b>rebut</b> [1] - 17308:6 <b>received</b> [9] - 17071:7, 17164:24, 17165:4, 17264:18, 17267:19, 17288:8, 17288:10, 17303:1, 17318:16 <b>recent</b> [5] - 17076:13, 17247:19, 17254:11, 17263:14, 17271:19 <b>recently</b> [4] - 17072:5, 17073:17, 17073:19, 17310:24 <b>recitation</b> [1] - 17136:24 <b>recited</b> [6] - 17077:3, 17078:21, 17084:14, 17218:22, 17286:12, 17314:14 <b>recognizable</b> [1] - 17253:17 <b>recognized</b> [1] - 17129:17 <b>recollection</b> [29] - 17070:1, 17096:21, 17099:2, 17099:6, 17099:22, 17100:17, 17101:8, 17123:12, 17137:18, 17139:1, 17139:7, 17139:10, 17148:13, 17151:20, 17158:25, 17179:5, 17185:7, 17203:13, 17213:12, 17222:17, 17270:18, 17277:9, 17278:4, 17278:6, 17279:24, 17285:13, 17299:9, 17300:24, 17302:18 <b>recommendation</b> [2] -	17072:15, 17073:24 <b>reconsider</b> [1] - 17311:23 <b>Reconvened</b> [4] - 17063:2, 17140:11, 17209:24, 17275:7 <b>record</b> [19] - 17069:22, 17071:6, 17147:24, 17156:21, 17161:19, 17237:13, 17243:12, 17245:24, 17257:25, 17262:13, 17262:20, 17266:10, 17266:16, 17266:24, 17271:2, 17277:12, 17293:3, 17293:8, 17322:4 <b>recorded</b> [4] - 17148:16, 17149:2, 17274:3, 17301:8 <b>recording</b> [7] - 17143:9, 17148:19, 17148:22, 17150:4, 17150:8, 17151:4, 17222:5 <b>records</b> [1] - 17168:2 <b>record</b> [1] - 17245:25 <b>reenactment</b> [2] - 17199:16, 17216:1 <b>refer</b> [4] - 17163:2, 17223:20, 17230:5, 17248:11 <b>Reference</b> [4] - 17321:22, 17322:5, 17322:17, 17322:21 <b>reference</b> [20] - 17067:15, 17092:8, 17169:24, 17198:6, 17198:12, 17210:5, 17217:15, 17231:23, 17234:21, 17235:7, 17261:22, 17270:12, 17276:7, 17277:24, 17278:2, 17278:7, 17293:11, 17296:15, 17297:8, 17299:4 <b>references</b> [4] - 17092:18, 17227:25, 17271:18, 17311:7 <b>referencing</b> [1] - 17230:11 <b>referred</b> [7] - 17073:13, 17076:22, 17225:25, 17260:9, 17262:19, 17288:2, 17324:3 <b>referring</b> [21] - 17095:20, 17145:13, 17145:25, 17146:7, 17166:16, 17168:9, 17169:10, 17169:14, 17175:5, 17175:11, 17178:20, 17184:6,	17191:13, 17198:3, 17222:13, 17223:12, 17234:5, 17235:5, 17247:24, 17269:1, 17286:20 <b>refers</b> [5] - 17074:18, 17209:15, 17221:7, 17244:12, 17276:19 <b>refusal</b> [3] - 17067:18, 17184:23, 17311:24 <b>refused</b> [3] - 17092:22, 17233:9, 17271:24 <b>regard</b> [4] - 17245:24, 17315:14, 17318:6, 17324:2 <b>regarded</b> [1] - 17284:16 <b>regarding</b> [8] - 17130:24, 17136:9, 17210:2, 17268:14, 17286:24, 17296:1, 17306:7, 17310:22 <b>Regina</b> [27] - 17104:15, 17105:16, 17110:21, 17114:6, 17120:17, 17123:8, 17157:21, 17158:4, 17176:20, 17178:13, 17185:14, 17199:8, 17201:23, 17208:3, 17214:22, 17283:14, 17288:15, 17290:7, 17291:16, 17291:17, 17291:18, 17292:20, 17293:14, 17295:11, 17295:13, 17309:15, 17309:19 <b>Regional</b> [4] - 17099:10, 17101:19, 17101:20, 17209:15 <b>regional</b> [1] - 17101:17 <b>regularly</b> [1] - 17111:10 <b>rehabilitated</b> [2] - 17065:9, 17067:20 <b>Reid</b> [1] - 17198:15 <b>reinvestigate</b> [1] - 17103:6 <b>rejected</b> [1] - 17316:19 <b>relate</b> [1] - 17287:3 <b>related</b> [8] - 17069:25, 17088:15, 17132:18, 17135:1, 17253:12, 17262:1, 17265:8, 17274:15 <b>relates</b> [2] - 17157:23, 17309:11 <b>relating</b> [13] - 17133:11, 17212:23, 17241:12, 17254:16, 17256:6, 17256:9, 17257:11, 17262:8, 17263:4, 17272:16, 17272:17,	17302:6, 17322:24 <b>relation</b> [4] - 17244:23, 17245:3, 17249:4, 17300:6 <b>relationship</b> [2] - 17171:10, 17203:17 <b>relatively</b> [1] - 17092:13 <b>release</b> [15] - 17064:18, 17064:21, 17065:3, 17065:4, 17066:19, 17066:20, 17067:6, 17067:12, 17073:14, 17073:18, 17074:7, 17127:4, 17128:4, 17136:18, 17136:20 <b>released</b> [3] - 17077:21, 17096:15, 17126:22 <b>relevant</b> [2] - 17315:10, 17315:21 <b>relieved</b> [2] - 17197:20, 17198:2 <b>reluctant</b> [2] - 17085:9, 17170:20 <b>rely</b> [1] - 17315:17 <b>remained</b> [1] - 17283:13 <b>remarks</b> [1] - 17324:3 <b>remember</b> [34] - 17069:14, 17124:17, 17141:2, 17147:14, 17160:7, 17162:14, 17163:9, 17172:8, 17177:4, 17177:10, 17177:14, 17178:3, 17187:2, 17187:3, 17188:17, 17195:13, 17195:14, 17213:6, 17214:24, 17215:12, 17217:13, 17228:23, 17233:22, 17234:8, 17237:24, 17249:10, 17255:10, 17255:12, 17271:25, 17274:1, 17275:1, 17275:3, 17277:25, 17297:21 <b>repeat</b> [9] - 17084:15, 17181:19, 17184:24, 17185:25, 17187:10, 17187:25, 17188:5, 17285:16 <b>repeated</b> [4] - 17196:3, 17273:7, 17284:18, 17285:7 <b>repeating</b> [1] - 17285:2 <b>replied</b> [3] - 17177:13, 17279:15, 17301:15 <b>reply</b> [1] - 17137:3 <b>replying</b> [1] - 17073:3 <b>report</b> [22] - 17092:8, 17092:10, 17162:10,
---	---	---	--	--



17163:3, 17167:16, 17221:8, 17221:20, 17228:22, 17238:23, 17245:2, 17249:15, 17252:7, 17256:23, 17259:15, 17260:10, 17260:12, 17260:20, 17261:15, 17263:18, 17266:7, 17301:18 <b>reported</b> [5] - 17161:7, 17161:16, 17166:23, 17242:7, 17268:1 <b>Reporter</b> [2] - 17328:14, 17328:18 <b>Reporters</b> [2] - 17060:10, 17328:3 <b>Reporters</b> [1] - 17328:1 <b>reports</b> [24] - 17070:25, 17131:7, 17132:9, 17164:15, 17164:18, 17164:20, 17164:24, 17165:7, 17165:9, 17165:14, 17165:19, 17166:17, 17171:8, 17196:4, 17222:7, 17258:12, 17267:16, 17300:18, 17300:22, 17300:25, 17301:13, 17303:4, 17311:8 <b>represent</b> [2] - 17215:15, 17243:25 <b>representations</b> [1] - 17122:21 <b>representative</b> [1] - 17068:3 <b>representatives</b> [2] - 17066:6, 17079:6 <b>represented</b> [2] - 17125:15, 17139:20 <b>request</b> [11] - 17073:4, 17219:7, 17249:5, 17271:24, 17272:17, 17283:22, 17308:12, 17317:24, 17319:25, 17320:9, 17320:17 <b>requested</b> [6] - 17064:7, 17271:23, 17278:25, 17281:21, 17309:13, 17323:2 <b>requesting</b> [1] - 17073:15 <b>requests</b> [2] - 17273:7, 17277:17 <b>require</b> [1] - 17137:16 <b>required</b> [4] - 17255:4, 17255:18, 17316:1, 17317:1 <b>reread</b> [1] - 17205:17 <b>research</b> [1] - 17198:11 <b>researched</b> [1] -	17232:9 <b>researching</b> [1] - 17240:23 <b>resemblance</b> [1] - 17076:17 <b>residence</b> [1] - 17277:25 <b>resistance</b> [1] - 17094:7 <b>resources</b> [1] - 17155:22 <b>respect</b> [14] - 17066:2, 17069:1, 17077:12, 17095:13, 17110:5, 17126:25, 17127:7, 17134:6, 17134:25, 17138:17, 17240:19, 17273:14, 17273:20, 17312:25 <b>respond</b> [2] - 17137:17, 17311:10 <b>responded</b> [2] - 17131:7, 17131:24 <b>responding</b> [3] - 17203:7, 17320:23, 17321:19 <b>response</b> [2] - 17312:14, 17316:13 <b>responsibilities</b> [2] - 17311:13, 17311:15 <b>responsibility</b> [4] - 17067:23, 17068:8, 17082:17, 17083:4 <b>responsible</b> [1] - 17086:8 <b>rest</b> [5] - 17149:22, 17188:22, 17189:8, 17190:10, 17191:5 <b>restate</b> [1] - 17089:25 <b>restored</b> [1] - 17183:13 <b>restrictions</b> [1] - 17134:4 <b>rests</b> [1] - 17315:8 <b>result</b> [5] - 17089:13, 17187:23, 17252:9, 17283:20, 17300:2 <b>resulted</b> [1] - 17323:16 <b>results</b> [1] - 17135:16 <b>resume</b> [1] - 17275:17 <b>retained</b> [2] - 17123:2 <b>retired</b> [1] - 17194:23 <b>Retired</b> [2] - 17061:14, 17319:22 <b>returned</b> [4] - 17093:9, 17094:10, 17268:16, 17282:14 <b>revealed</b> [1] - 17143:23 <b>review</b> [15] - 17092:6, 17111:11, 17127:19, 17131:1, 17140:15, 17178:24, 17229:6,	17237:24, 17250:2, 17265:2, 17265:14, 17288:18, 17294:25, 17295:8, 17303:19 <b>reviewed</b> [4] - 17130:5, 17241:7, 17248:25, 17276:24 <b>reviewing</b> [2] - 17142:13, 17206:22 <b>reward</b> [5] - 17127:21, 17136:11, 17137:6, 17137:11, 17137:18 <b>Rick</b> [4] - 17061:7, 17274:17, 17277:10, 17281:7 <b>ride</b> [1] - 17180:14 <b>rise</b> [1] - 17243:25 <b>Robert</b> [4] - 17229:2, 17235:9, 17306:2, 17310:9 <b>Roberts</b> [16] - 17062:3, 17063:5, 17182:20, 17182:23, 17183:14, 17185:6, 17186:10, 17186:13, 17187:8, 17187:15, 17188:14, 17289:15, 17292:5, 17293:19, 17294:13, 17319:23 <b>Roberts</b> [1] - 17186:16 <b>rode</b> [1] - 17210:25 <b>Roekstro</b> [5] - 17092:9, 17092:11, 17092:20, 17093:12, 17093:16 <b>role</b> [6] - 17236:25, 17240:18, 17241:20, 17306:6, 17309:5, 17314:12 <b>Ron</b> [5] - 17190:22, 17191:24, 17241:25, 17244:18, 17263:18 <b>room</b> [9] - 17098:9, 17159:16, 17174:22, 17177:7, 17178:6, 17178:20, 17186:23, 17296:12, 17297:17 <b>rough</b> [1] - 17070:1 <b>roughly</b> [3] - 17078:4, 17241:17, 17321:3 <b>round</b> [1] - 17241:17 <b>route</b> [1] - 17302:17 <b>Rpr</b> [4] - 17060:11, 17328:2, 17328:16, 17328:17 <b>ruling</b> [1] - 17308:10 <b>run</b> [6] - 17155:11, 17179:22, 17188:24, 17192:25, 17212:15, 17308:5 <b>running</b> [1] - 17219:3	<b>runs</b> [1] - 17171:6  <b>S</b>  <b>S-14411</b> [1] - 17254:5 <b>sad</b> [4] - 17163:21, 17167:2, 17169:16 <b>safe</b> [1] - 17064:2 <b>sample</b> [1] - 17206:6 <b>samples</b> [1] - 17245:4 <b>Sandra</b> [1] - 17060:4 <b>Sask</b> [4] - 17283:13, 17290:7, 17290:8, 17294:13 <b>Saskatchewan</b> [18] - 17059:16, 17061:4, 17103:21, 17109:7, 17111:12, 17118:21, 17123:23, 17154:2, 17221:13, 17224:12, 17254:5, 17293:9, 17305:11, 17306:1, 17306:7, 17308:25, 17323:10, 17328:4 <b>Saskatoon</b> [53] - 17059:16, 17061:7, 17068:17, 17070:2, 17070:3, 17074:23, 17077:13, 17092:15, 17092:19, 17093:2, 17094:3, 17097:9, 17099:19, 17100:11, 17104:10, 17123:5, 17131:4, 17133:9, 17136:9, 17137:9, 17141:17, 17142:4, 17143:2, 17156:18, 17171:21, 17176:3, 17176:13, 17176:22, 17179:12, 17179:20, 17186:14, 17191:24, 17193:5, 17226:10, 17229:2, 17232:23, 17259:11, 17268:13, 17273:13, 17276:24, 17288:5, 17288:12, 17288:17, 17288:22, 17289:24, 17290:3, 17290:16, 17295:15, 17300:19, 17301:4, 17302:14, 17302:25, 17309:20 <b>sat</b> [4] - 17125:10, 17125:16, 17142:5, 17248:17 <b>satisfaction</b> [1] - 17153:22 <b>satisfactorily</b> [1] - 17309:20	<b>satisfied</b> [1] - 17141:8 <b>savings</b> [1] - 17275:9 <b>saw</b> [32] - 17081:9, 17093:21, 17096:13, 17133:18, 17145:3, 17152:14, 17154:6, 17167:14, 17172:22, 17176:10, 17181:23, 17182:1, 17182:12, 17186:5, 17190:17, 17191:25, 17192:8, 17192:17, 17193:12, 17219:2, 17229:16, 17229:17, 17232:25, 17256:10, 17257:13, 17264:4, 17282:10, 17283:4, 17286:2, 17288:3 <b>scanned</b> [1] - 17126:8 <b>scared</b> [1] - 17177:17 <b>scenario</b> [18] - 17088:3, 17105:19, 17108:6, 17108:19, 17112:8, 17113:17, 17113:21, 17115:9, 17120:14, 17120:21, 17120:24, 17121:13, 17185:24, 17189:18, 17193:10, 17193:11 <b>Scenario</b> [2] - 17120:22, 17121:2 <b>scenarios</b> [4] - 17113:21, 17120:20, 17122:8, 17189:4 <b>scene</b> [2] - 17074:23, 17124:24 <b>Schellenberg</b> [3] - 17167:16, 17168:11, 17169:18 <b>scope</b> [1] - 17322:16 <b>Scotland</b> [1] - 17097:2 <b>screaming</b> [1] - 17282:16 <b>Script</b> [1] - 17292:13 <b>script</b> [11] - 17220:18, 17291:7, 17292:6, 17292:12, 17295:9, 17296:13, 17297:23, 17297:24, 17298:10, 17299:6, 17299:11 <b>scripted</b> [1] - 17293:23 <b>scroll</b> [23] - 17092:7, 17094:21, 17153:23, 17156:12, 17159:25, 17183:18, 17195:4, 17197:13, 17197:15, 17199:2, 17208:4, 17211:22, 17215:18, 17219:24, 17229:13, 17229:18, 17233:3,
---	--	--	---	---





<p>17263:24, 17264:17, 17282:12, 17286:18, 17302:1, 17321:17 <b>Scroll</b> [3] - 17095:4, 17254:3, 17258:15 <b>search</b> [2] - 17238:17, 17249:12 <b>searched</b> [3] - 17238:21, 17294:11, 17303:5 <b>searching</b> [1] - 17247:16 <b>seated</b> [1] - 17171:21 <b>second</b> [18] - 17063:22, 17065:17, 17066:10, 17075:25, 17080:8, 17089:14, 17126:16, 17148:2, 17148:7, 17154:18, 17178:9, 17189:18, 17241:16, 17271:19, 17282:12, 17289:8, 17295:3, 17296:13 <b>Second</b> [1] - 17211:5 <b>secondly</b> [2] - 17231:5, 17249:3 <b>Secondly</b> [2] - 17297:23, 17323:23 <b>secretor</b> [3] - 17206:25, 17257:21, 17258:2 <b>Section</b> [7] - 17236:18, 17237:8, 17240:11, 17243:7, 17243:20, 17244:3, 17273:21 <b>section</b> [2] - 17152:13, 17240:15 <b>secured</b> [1] - 17104:23 <b>Security</b> [1] - 17060:12 <b>see</b> [76] - 17068:7, 17072:11, 17074:3, 17081:11, 17082:6, 17085:23, 17091:1, 17095:22, 17098:18, 17099:12, 17107:20, 17109:23, 17122:22, 17122:24, 17128:24, 17130:13, 17131:23, 17135:14, 17138:5, 17140:7, 17141:1, 17144:7, 17147:1, 17149:22, 17155:14, 17158:14, 17161:13, 17161:14, 17177:8, 17183:4, 17183:11, 17183:18, 17185:3, 17187:6, 17187:12, 17188:22, 17190:2, 17190:22, 17190:24, 17197:5, 17199:12, 17200:9, 17202:19,</p>	<p>17205:3, 17205:10, 17207:9, 17211:20, 17214:12, 17215:9, 17215:10, 17219:11, 17223:22, 17223:25, 17224:14, 17224:25, 17225:18, 17230:24, 17234:9, 17234:17, 17236:9, 17239:24, 17245:2, 17245:13, 17251:4, 17253:16, 17258:13, 17277:5, 17288:1, 17294:18, 17295:17, 17298:12, 17301:14, 17305:19, 17308:10, 17317:13, 17324:19 <b>See</b> [1] - 17245:1 <b>seeing</b> [3] - 17065:13, 17270:18, 17275:25 <b>seek</b> [3] - 17108:12, 17137:15, 17294:7 <b>seeking</b> [4] - 17085:3, 17088:14, 17088:22, 17089:16 <b>seem</b> [3] - 17174:6, 17218:6, 17280:10 <b>seeming</b> [1] - 17194:5 <b>sees</b> [1] - 17205:2 <b>segment</b> [1] - 17095:5 <b>seldom</b> [1] - 17203:1 <b>semen</b> [1] - 17245:4 <b>seminal</b> [4] - 17194:21, 17206:1, 17206:15, 17245:5 <b>seminar</b> [1] - 17068:2 <b>seminars</b> [1] - 17066:8 <b>send</b> [7] - 17072:11, 17112:24, 17113:4, 17113:14, 17260:9, 17261:15, 17265:5 <b>sending</b> [4] - 17070:15, 17096:3, 17250:8, 17265:22 <b>sends</b> [2] - 17112:10, 17118:25 <b>senior</b> [4] - 17104:10, 17105:14, 17296:20, 17323:16 <b>sense</b> [13] - 17081:12, 17083:23, 17106:13, 17130:2, 17130:3, 17175:1, 17188:13, 17209:6, 17248:13, 17253:14, 17273:23, 17274:7, 17308:7 <b>senseless</b> [1] - 17064:4 <b>sent</b> [24] - 17064:22, 17072:2, 17075:10, 17075:13, 17075:20,</p>	<p>17093:15, 17101:13, 17101:14, 17101:16, 17113:10, 17120:24, 17129:25, 17130:6, 17209:17, 17210:13, 17241:13, 17241:15, 17241:17, 17252:7, 17257:20, 17262:23, 17266:20, 17320:14, 17321:6 <b>sentence</b> [3] - 17154:15, 17173:15, 17313:2 <b>separate</b> [3] - 17081:7, 17091:3, 17293:4 <b>September</b> [14] - 17064:16, 17065:16, 17065:24, 17072:25, 17091:24, 17095:8, 17237:22, 17244:13, 17248:15, 17258:9, 17258:20, 17301:10, 17321:16, 17324:25 <b>September-october</b> [1] - 17248:15 <b>sequence</b> [1] - 17250:13 <b>Serge</b> [1] - 17061:6 <b>sergeant</b> [1] - 17285:21 <b>Sergeant</b> [8] - 17195:1, 17242:3, 17259:16, 17274:2, 17277:10, 17288:16, 17291:4, 17298:14 <b>serious</b> [1] - 17228:5 <b>seriously</b> [2] - 17107:10, 17323:6 <b>serve</b> [1] - 17136:15 <b>served</b> [2] - 17063:25, 17115:15 <b>Service</b> [1] - 17061:7 <b>service</b> [5] - 17070:17, 17071:24, 17073:5, 17074:17, 17180:17 <b>session</b> [2] - 17094:22, 17281:19 <b>set</b> [11] - 17067:15, 17076:8, 17143:14, 17231:21, 17254:13, 17255:13, 17262:2, 17286:17, 17289:12, 17300:8, 17305:3 <b>sets</b> [2] - 17194:6, 17256:8 <b>setting</b> [1] - 17102:4 <b>Setting</b> [1] - 17254:21 <b>several</b> [5] - 17073:7, 17206:22, 17213:22, 17300:18, 17301:4 <b>severe</b> [1] - 17211:11</p>	<p><b>sexual</b> [14] - 17225:12, 17226:21, 17226:24, 17259:17, 17260:16, 17260:25, 17261:17, 17261:19, 17262:9, 17300:25, 17301:21, 17302:6, 17312:22, 17315:16 <b>sexually</b> [2] - 17300:20, 17302:16 <b>shaky</b> [1] - 17153:15 <b>shall</b> [2] - 17285:22, 17314:22 <b>shape</b> [1] - 17246:21 <b>share</b> [2] - 17072:17, 17211:23 <b>shared</b> [1] - 17316:21 <b>shed</b> [2] - 17072:12, 17303:25 <b>sheet</b> [2] - 17282:1, 17293:1 <b>Shellbrook</b> [1] - 17276:10 <b>shepherding</b> [1] - 17170:25 <b>Sheraton</b> [1] - 17059:15 <b>sheriff's</b> [1] - 17124:8 <b>shock</b> [1] - 17174:6 <b>shook</b> [1] - 17200:7 <b>short</b> [3] - 17077:9, 17241:15, 17249:13 <b>Short</b> [7] - 17155:10, 17194:22, 17224:5, 17322:20, 17323:2, 17323:5, 17324:13 <b>shorthand</b> [1] - 17328:5 <b>shortly</b> [8] - 17072:1, 17118:9, 17226:10, 17238:11, 17240:1, 17241:15, 17268:3, 17288:8 <b>Shorty</b> [1] - 17244:18 <b>show</b> [9] - 17128:19, 17150:19, 17152:21, 17152:25, 17161:3, 17230:14, 17237:21, 17272:9, 17313:24 <b>showed</b> [3] - 17093:1, 17125:14, 17140:14 <b>showing</b> [5] - 17138:7, 17281:25, 17288:7, 17296:18, 17315:18 <b>shown</b> [3] - 17285:14, 17289:11, 17310:23 <b>shows</b> [2] - 17074:25, 17237:13 <b>sick</b> [3] - 17216:10, 17235:23 <b>side</b> [4] - 17200:22, 17221:22, 17259:19</p>	<p><b>Sidney</b> [5] - 17268:18, 17269:1, 17269:20, 17269:22, 17275:24 <b>Siggins</b> [1] - 17141:12 <b>sign</b> [1] - 17067:12 <b>signature</b> [2] - 17246:12, 17281:12 <b>signed</b> [6] - 17064:18, 17065:3, 17066:19, 17073:14, 17074:7, 17127:3 <b>significance</b> [1] - 17254:6 <b>significant</b> [1] - 17295:12 <b>significantly</b> [1] - 17082:9 <b>similar</b> [6] - 17168:4, 17184:3, 17197:9, 17292:25, 17315:18, 17319:12 <b>Similarly</b> [1] - 17320:3 <b>similarly</b> [1] - 17276:6 <b>simple</b> [1] - 17188:1 <b>simply</b> [19] - 17096:8, 17104:21, 17107:22, 17108:19, 17120:22, 17122:20, 17130:6, 17157:2, 17160:9, 17171:17, 17171:24, 17172:16, 17262:21, 17272:12, 17273:3, 17296:5, 17298:20, 17299:2, 17326:7 <b>sincere</b> [2] - 17104:7, 17107:8 <b>single</b> [1] - 17134:18 <b>sit</b> [1] - 17275:16 <b>sitting</b> [11] - 17059:14, 17152:15, 17154:6, 17154:23, 17155:4, 17159:11, 17174:15, 17174:20, 17238:9, 17252:20, 17272:4 <b>sittings</b> [2] - 17123:13, 17275:14 <b>situation</b> [11] - 17070:19, 17071:13, 17089:21, 17096:2, 17102:14, 17105:21, 17109:15, 17118:23, 17122:2, 17218:24, 17278:12 <b>situations</b> [5] - 17068:10, 17070:8, 17070:10, 17114:21, 17203:2 <b>six</b> [4] - 17125:15, 17147:17, 17245:14, 17308:9</p>
---	---	--	--	---



<p><b>sixty</b> [1] - 17232:21  <b>sixty-nine</b> [1] - 17232:21  <b>size</b> [1] - 17289:8  <b>sketch</b> [1] - 17094:25  <b>skill</b> [1] - 17328:6  <b>skip</b> [2] - 17093:3, 17154:16  <b>slandorous</b> [5] - 17166:3, 17166:21, 17167:11, 17167:23, 17168:7  <b>slant</b> [1] - 17215:6  <b>sleeve</b> [1] - 17197:18  <b>slightly</b> [1] - 17120:18  <b>slowly</b> [1] - 17190:8  <b>slug</b> [1] - 17307:24  <b>smoked</b> [1] - 17232:22  <b>snatching</b> [2] - 17207:6, 17207:17  <b>so-called</b> [4] - 17120:17, 17167:5, 17232:25, 17317:11  <b>social</b> [1] - 17311:5  <b>society</b> [1] - 17067:17  <b>Society</b> [1] - 17066:8  <b>solicitor</b> [1] - 17128:13  <b>solid</b> [2] - 17080:5, 17088:8  <b>solving</b> [1] - 17119:23  <b>Someone</b> [1] - 17112:16  <b>someone</b> [34] - 17068:11, 17102:11, 17103:3, 17106:18, 17111:7, 17111:18, 17112:2, 17112:5, 17112:13, 17112:15, 17112:18, 17112:25, 17113:5, 17113:14, 17113:23, 17113:25, 17115:16, 17117:17, 17118:10, 17119:2, 17120:3, 17120:12, 17160:2, 17160:23, 17170:24, 17174:14, 17183:24, 17210:15, 17248:20, 17266:19, 17267:8, 17321:1, 17326:14  <b>sometime</b> [2] - 17082:1, 17306:12  <b>sometimes</b> [1] - 17206:11  <b>somewhat</b> [3] - 17119:7, 17304:16, 17313:7  <b>somewhere</b> [9] - 17124:13, 17159:15, 17178:11, 17195:17, 17242:7, 17252:25,</p>	<p>17270:19, 17274:3, 17323:4  <b>son</b> [1] - 17144:6  <b>soon</b> [6] - 17096:15, 17151:13, 17157:13, 17157:14, 17200:21, 17244:20  <b>sorry</b> [45] - 17064:2, 17065:1, 17070:24, 17072:2, 17086:16, 17087:9, 17091:18, 17106:12, 17107:18, 17123:22, 17128:9, 17129:3, 17132:11, 17145:24, 17149:10, 17158:25, 17169:1, 17169:2, 17169:4, 17177:9, 17177:22, 17181:13, 17185:5, 17187:18, 17191:17, 17192:15, 17202:2, 17217:25, 17219:23, 17230:16, 17230:19, 17232:2, 17233:4, 17233:17, 17239:8, 17250:6, 17251:2, 17258:19, 17259:22, 17263:10, 17265:16, 17280:18, 17292:4, 17292:9, 17305:21  <b>Sorry</b> [6] - 17109:12, 17197:15, 17250:5, 17268:25, 17280:19, 17280:23  <b>sort</b> [17] - 17096:10, 17103:14, 17109:7, 17119:4, 17120:18, 17134:3, 17163:4, 17189:12, 17196:6, 17198:16, 17211:7, 17231:21, 17250:22, 17251:6, 17255:14, 17260:19, 17276:15  <b>sorted</b> [1] - 17153:21  <b>sorts</b> [1] - 17181:12  <b>sound</b> [3] - 17129:20, 17141:25, 17153:14  <b>source</b> [4] - 17205:25, 17206:7, 17206:14, 17265:24  <b>South</b> [2] - 17221:10, 17221:11  <b>southern</b> [1] - 17323:4  <b>Spadina</b> [1] - 17124:6  <b>speaker</b> [1] - 17078:17  <b>speaking</b> [5] - 17089:4, 17142:19, 17241:17, 17247:4, 17279:18  <b>specific</b> [5] - 17185:21, 17186:4, 17187:1,</p>	<p>17298:23, 17304:6  <b>specifically</b> [6] - 17259:7, 17311:19, 17314:21, 17316:19, 17317:24, 17323:1  <b>speculate</b> [2] - 17289:18, 17295:5  <b>speech</b> [1] - 17204:17  <b>spend</b> [2] - 17208:23, 17324:16  <b>spirit</b> [1] - 17113:10  <b>spite</b> [1] - 17273:15  <b>spoken</b> [2] - 17069:18, 17271:4  <b>spot</b> [4] - 17140:8, 17209:21, 17275:4, 17326:25  <b>squarely</b> [1] - 17315:8  <b>St</b> [1] - 17221:15  <b>stab</b> [5] - 17075:5, 17179:17, 17186:5, 17217:9, 17218:1  <b>stabbed</b> [1] - 17235:20  <b>stabbing</b> [4] - 17216:5, 17235:20, 17282:15  <b>staff</b> [1] - 17155:12  <b>Staff</b> [3] - 17060:1, 17060:8, 17195:1  <b>staffing</b> [1] - 17156:1  <b>stage</b> [6] - 17082:21, 17117:10, 17141:18, 17143:7, 17264:3, 17319:9  <b>stance</b> [1] - 17188:21  <b>stand</b> [14] - 17069:3, 17098:5, 17103:2, 17124:20, 17124:23, 17141:13, 17160:21, 17174:11, 17233:9, 17234:11, 17250:15, 17270:14, 17312:8, 17320:3  <b>standard</b> [1] - 17275:10  <b>standing</b> [1] - 17250:22  <b>stands</b> [1] - 17318:16  <b>start</b> [10] - 17087:3, 17098:22, 17103:5, 17118:6, 17163:5, 17163:20, 17200:1, 17210:1, 17218:13, 17239:21  <b>started</b> [7] - 17087:5, 17088:12, 17108:3, 17199:8, 17226:12, 17231:24, 17236:23  <b>starting</b> [3] - 17122:14, 17142:23, 17306:12  <b>starts</b> [2] - 17068:1, 17222:24  <b>State</b> [1] - 17155:22</p>	<p><b>state</b> [9] - 17066:14, 17071:9, 17074:20, 17077:5, 17083:13, 17084:2, 17114:6, 17209:20, 17307:20  <b>statement</b> [98] - 17088:8, 17092:4, 17095:7, 17096:8, 17100:12, 17100:14, 17101:4, 17101:16, 17101:25, 17102:3, 17102:9, 17102:12, 17103:23, 17104:24, 17107:8, 17107:23, 17108:11, 17108:16, 17108:20, 17111:25, 17112:11, 17112:24, 17113:2, 17113:18, 17114:7, 17120:23, 17129:24, 17130:7, 17168:19, 17170:15, 17172:3, 17172:6, 17172:21, 17176:15, 17177:6, 17178:16, 17182:19, 17182:21, 17184:25, 17185:4, 17186:1, 17186:17, 17186:19, 17187:8, 17187:22, 17188:16, 17189:20, 17190:18, 17192:11, 17192:13, 17192:16, 17229:11, 17232:4, 17233:10, 17250:17, 17256:16, 17262:14, 17262:15, 17262:16, 17262:17, 17262:18, 17263:18, 17263:19, 17264:6, 17264:9, 17277:25, 17278:1, 17280:17, 17281:1, 17281:4, 17281:7, 17281:9, 17281:16, 17283:9, 17284:12, 17284:19, 17285:2, 17285:3, 17285:8, 17285:17, 17285:20, 17286:7, 17286:10, 17286:15, 17289:10, 17295:1, 17296:13, 17296:25, 17297:5, 17297:16, 17298:14, 17299:21, 17303:2, 17303:14, 17304:5, 17304:8, 17304:10  <b>statements</b> [24] - 17102:23, 17128:2, 17187:11, 17188:6, 17200:19, 17257:4, 17257:6, 17257:16, 17262:4, 17262:6,</p>	<p>17262:8, 17263:1, 17268:9, 17290:22, 17299:22, 17300:4, 17300:10, 17302:2, 17302:4, 17302:5, 17302:23, 17303:10, 17303:13  <b>Statements</b> [1] - 17289:3  <b>States</b> [2] - 17272:11, 17323:4  <b>states</b> [2] - 17290:14, 17309:9  <b>Station</b> [1] - 17100:12  <b>station</b> [3] - 17101:4, 17180:18, 17208:21  <b>status</b> [1] - 17139:15  <b>stay</b> [1] - 17242:25  <b>stayed</b> [1] - 17280:15  <b>steps</b> [2] - 17112:23, 17307:19  <b>Still</b> [1] - 17124:2  <b>still</b> [24] - 17066:15, 17117:12, 17119:13, 17121:5, 17123:25, 17129:5, 17141:19, 17154:7, 17171:6, 17183:25, 17188:23, 17190:7, 17201:22, 17234:24, 17236:11, 17242:4, 17242:5, 17251:10, 17251:20, 17256:18, 17267:1, 17274:1, 17283:11, 17290:20  <b>Stinchcomb</b> [2] - 17111:23, 17113:7  <b>Stoney</b> [1] - 17093:9  <b>stood</b> [2] - 17106:6, 17251:11  <b>stop</b> [2] - 17252:3, 17302:17  <b>stopped</b> [1] - 17190:20  <b>store</b> [1] - 17180:19  <b>stored</b> [1] - 17289:7  <b>stories</b> [2] - 17204:23, 17311:6  <b>story</b> [8] - 17068:17, 17095:24, 17225:9, 17229:22, 17231:2, 17264:21, 17275:1, 17290:17  <b>straight</b> [1] - 17278:19  <b>street</b> [1] - 17238:20  <b>Street</b> [2] - 17063:18, 17076:3  <b>strengthened</b> [1] - 17076:10  <b>strenuously</b> [1] - 17323:25</p>
--	---	--	---	--



<p><b>stress</b> [1] - 17172:15  <b>stressed</b> [1] - 17142:24  <b>stretch</b> [1] - 17193:9  <b>strictly</b> [1] - 17247:4  <b>strikes</b> [2] - 17068:19, 17069:16  <b>striking</b> [2] - 17076:13, 17077:11  <b>strong</b> [2] - 17087:13, 17106:25  <b>strongly</b> [1] - 17067:5  <b>stuck</b> [6] - 17157:2, 17186:3, 17190:1, 17190:20, 17191:24, 17192:20  <b>student</b> [1] - 17227:10  <b>studied</b> [1] - 17093:16  <b>stuff</b> [6] - 17151:25, 17152:4, 17152:22, 17163:25, 17167:7, 17206:8  <b>stupid</b> [1] - 17207:15  <b>subject</b> [11] - 17073:6, 17138:11, 17215:22, 17249:22, 17296:11, 17297:15, 17297:19, 17298:9, 17298:23, 17299:5, 17321:10  <b>Subject</b> [2] - 17133:24, 17134:1  <b>subject's</b> [1] - 17072:13  <b>submissions</b> [2] - 17313:17, 17313:18  <b>submitted</b> [2] - 17165:11, 17272:22  <b>subpoena</b> [1] - 17320:1  <b>Subsequent</b> [1] - 17076:9  <b>subsequent</b> [4] - 17107:20, 17130:16, 17225:19, 17295:9  <b>subsequently</b> [4] - 17099:1, 17110:7, 17144:1, 17149:1  <b>substance</b> [1] - 17314:6  <b>substantial</b> [2] - 17109:23, 17189:14  <b>subtracted</b> [1] - 17130:20  <b>successful</b> [1] - 17089:9  <b>successfully</b> [1] - 17226:8  <b>sudden</b> [1] - 17185:7  <b>suffering</b> [1] - 17216:12  <b>sufficient</b> [1] - 17212:18  <b>suggest</b> [9] - 17137:14, 17141:22, 17223:20, 17237:22, 17245:22,</p>	<p>17249:21, 17267:24, 17316:2, 17316:7  <b>suggested</b> [10] - 17124:25, 17125:8, 17141:13, 17168:18, 17169:7, 17217:3, 17258:10, 17258:22, 17301:11, 17304:1  <b>suggesting</b> [4] - 17267:20, 17298:8, 17303:24, 17306:18  <b>suggestion</b> [3] - 17206:17, 17225:21, 17270:8  <b>suggestions</b> [1] - 17259:3  <b>Suggestions</b> [1] - 17290:13  <b>suggests</b> [5] - 17105:12, 17116:2, 17162:10, 17285:3, 17290:19  <b>suitability</b> [1] - 17080:24  <b>summary</b> [16] - 17092:8, 17217:12, 17217:19, 17224:16, 17241:15, 17241:16, 17254:25, 17255:1, 17255:7, 17255:20, 17291:24, 17292:1, 17297:6, 17303:18, 17323:17  <b>Sunday</b> [7] - 17149:4, 17150:16, 17150:21, 17199:7, 17199:14, 17228:18, 17275:11  <b>superiors</b> [6] - 17108:13, 17110:21, 17114:5, 17114:10, 17120:5, 17256:25  <b>supplied</b> [1] - 17290:7  <b>support</b> [1] - 17218:8  <b>Support</b> [1] - 17060:8  <b>supportive</b> [1] - 17192:6  <b>suppose</b> [8] - 17070:7, 17153:22, 17156:5, 17176:24, 17182:17, 17208:6, 17218:10, 17218:13  <b>supposed</b> [2] - 17262:9, 17302:6  <b>supposedly</b> [1] - 17199:16  <b>suppress</b> [1] - 17326:17  <b>suppressed</b> [2] - 17325:12, 17325:18  <b>Supreme</b> [26] -</p>	<p>17083:17, 17277:24, 17278:2, 17278:7, 17293:11, 17296:15, 17298:2, 17299:4, 17303:19, 17304:18, 17304:21, 17308:10, 17308:21, 17309:17, 17313:1, 17313:8, 17314:3, 17314:8, 17315:22, 17316:5, 17317:9, 17317:21, 17318:12, 17319:16, 17321:22, 17322:23  <b>Surely</b> [1] - 17313:4  <b>surely</b> [1] - 17077:10  <b>surmised</b> [1] - 17311:2  <b>surprise</b> [1] - 17154:14  <b>surprised</b> [4] - 17176:9, 17184:2, 17274:21, 17274:22  <b>surprises</b> [1] - 17204:16  <b>surrounding</b> [1] - 17179:4  <b>suspect</b> [14] - 17066:7, 17116:9, 17118:1, 17118:2, 17119:3, 17130:18, 17165:25, 17166:20, 17168:5, 17168:9, 17169:9, 17240:2, 17316:9, 17322:8  <b>suspects</b> [1] - 17260:22  <b>suspicion</b> [2] - 17110:6, 17212:13  <b>sworn</b> [2] - 17088:7, 17186:20  <b>System</b> [1] - 17210:8  <b>system</b> [5] - 17068:18, 17069:15, 17081:9, 17082:2, 17084:19</p>	<p>17201:7, 17201:8, 17201:21, 17202:25, 17203:4, 17203:17, 17214:20, 17224:14, 17224:25, 17225:4, 17225:9, 17225:22, 17226:13, 17227:4, 17228:2, 17228:7, 17228:10, 17257:12, 17257:15, 17296:1, 17300:1  <b>Tallis</b> [5] - 17127:18, 17127:19, 17219:7, 17227:17, 17300:3  <b>tape</b> [19] - 17143:9, 17147:23, 17147:24, 17148:2, 17148:22, 17151:15, 17160:5, 17160:21, 17161:21, 17161:25, 17162:1, 17162:11, 17162:15, 17163:3, 17163:12, 17222:5, 17222:24, 17222:25, 17326:22  <b>Tape</b> [1] - 17225:17  <b>tape-recording</b> [2] - 17143:9, 17148:22  <b>taped</b> [8] - 17149:18, 17162:16, 17162:17, 17162:19, 17178:22, 17197:18, 17197:24, 17198:6  <b>taping</b> [2] - 17149:16, 17163:9  <b>task</b> [1] - 17308:5  <b>Tdr</b> [5] - 17061:5, 17268:2, 17269:6, 17309:11, 17322:9  <b>team</b> [2] - 17273:15, 17273:19  <b>tears</b> [2] - 17170:24, 17171:18  <b>Technician</b> [1] - 17060:13  <b>teenage</b> [1] - 17069:25  <b>telecast</b> [1] - 17228:18  <b>telephone</b> [15] - 17064:13, 17104:14, 17123:17, 17126:13, 17141:10, 17147:25, 17148:14, 17162:22, 17244:12, 17245:8, 17247:19, 17254:11, 17258:10, 17263:14, 17263:23  <b>telephoned</b> [1] - 17238:5  <b>temperature</b> [1] - 17075:8  <b>temporarily</b> [1] -</p>	<p>17182:2  <b>temporary</b> [1] - 17077:9  <b>ten</b> [1] - 17063:25  <b>tending</b> [1] - 17316:2  <b>term</b> [4] - 17068:7, 17083:6, 17163:4, 17191:8  <b>terminology</b> [3] - 17184:19, 17285:25, 17286:11  <b>terms</b> [7] - 17098:9, 17119:24, 17155:17, 17156:1, 17160:13, 17202:14, 17323:14  <b>terribly</b> [1] - 17206:8  <b>terrified</b> [5] - 17174:16, 17181:2, 17181:19, 17181:23  <b>terrifying</b> [1] - 17183:25  <b>test</b> [3] - 17094:4, 17196:15, 17196:24  <b>testified</b> [5] - 17198:7, 17219:8, 17269:12, 17271:14, 17276:1  <b>testify</b> [15] - 17146:19, 17175:23, 17189:5, 17196:16, 17196:25, 17197:2, 17214:24, 17278:6, 17278:9, 17280:13, 17297:19, 17298:10, 17299:4, 17320:8, 17321:7  <b>testifying</b> [3] - 17140:21, 17175:19, 17324:9  <b>Testimony</b> [1] - 17059:13  <b>testimony</b> [3] - 17244:17, 17271:3, 17322:21  <b>tests</b> [3] - 17197:10, 17244:22, 17244:24  <b>text</b> [1] - 17212:4  <b>themselves</b> [1] - 17129:17  <b>theorizing</b> [1] - 17216:21  <b>theory</b> [1] - 17255:12  <b>therapy</b> [2] - 17092:24, 17094:6  <b>there'd</b> [1] - 17078:8  <b>thereabouts</b> [2] - 17237:14, 17267:19  <b>thereafter</b> [3] - 17188:17, 17238:12, 17267:24  <b>thereby</b> [1] - 17067:12  <b>Therefore</b> [1] - 17275:12  <b>therefore</b> [5] - 17073:11, 17073:19,</p>
<b>T</b>				
<p><b>tab</b> [1] - 17293:16  <b>talks</b> [8] - 17092:2, 17093:8, 17093:19, 17093:25, 17195:6, 17260:10, 17280:16, 17297:23  <b>Tallis</b> [39] - 17061:13, 17112:2, 17113:11, 17121:21, 17122:6, 17127:16, 17128:2, 17154:1, 17154:10, 17155:14, 17157:14, 17157:16, 17158:1, 17158:7, 17159:1, 17200:12, 17201:6,</p>				



17077:3, 17219:14, 17317:7 <b>therein</b> [2] - 17076:8, 17311:4 <b>thinking</b> [7] - 17068:25, 17070:5, 17070:7, 17110:16, 17208:22, 17230:20, 17315:2 <b>thinks</b> [1] - 17224:6 <b>Third</b> [1] - 17211:6 <b>third</b> [4] - 17066:14, 17079:13, 17115:9, 17147:11 <b>thirdly</b> [2] - 17295:5, 17297:2 <b>Thomas</b> [2] - 17062:3, 17063:5 <b>thoroughly</b> [1] - 17218:23 <b>thoughts</b> [9] - 17077:15, 17078:1, 17080:9, 17081:4, 17083:12, 17085:6, 17088:10, 17089:2, 17242:21 <b>three</b> [21] - 17064:12, 17071:23, 17082:25, 17168:2, 17169:21, 17171:12, 17174:25, 17177:1, 17177:25, 17180:3, 17181:11, 17226:11, 17235:8, 17241:4, 17264:12, 17272:25, 17280:14, 17291:14, 17299:5, 17309:4, 17322:18 <b>Threinen</b> [9] - 17068:17, 17068:24, 17069:6, 17069:12, 17069:17, 17069:23, 17070:12, 17076:16, 17076:23 <b>throughout</b> [1] - 17313:15 <b>throw</b> [1] - 17186:6 <b>Thursday</b> [2] - 17275:16 <b>timeline</b> [1] - 17252:14 <b>timely</b> [2] - 17069:18, 17071:14 <b>timing</b> [1] - 17083:16 <b>tired</b> [1] - 17204:21 <b>title</b> [5] - 17092:15, 17114:10, 17145:7, 17237:3, 17246:16 <b>titled</b> [1] - 17210:7 <b>today</b> [4] - 17098:1, 17126:11, 17213:14, 17217:8 <b>together</b> [4] - 17174:4, 17182:5, 17228:8, 17254:15	<b>tomorrow</b> [2] - 17223:25, 17277:7 <b>tonight</b> [1] - 17277:5 <b>Tony</b> [8] - 17060:13, 17123:7, 17123:8, 17123:18, 17127:2, 17137:19, 17137:22 <b>took</b> [12] - 17107:7, 17107:9, 17170:25, 17172:3, 17172:6, 17178:22, 17180:13, 17180:17, 17188:21, 17196:22, 17226:16, 17307:19 <b>top</b> [23] - 17076:1, 17095:16, 17096:19, 17152:6, 17161:5, 17161:6, 17161:14, 17167:2, 17169:15, 17175:13, 17176:7, 17179:9, 17205:22, 17222:24, 17223:6, 17231:14, 17233:19, 17233:21, 17234:6, 17254:3, 17273:10, 17313:13, 17324:20 <b>totalling</b> [1] - 17300:7 <b>totally</b> [2] - 17141:21, 17183:9 <b>Totally</b> [1] - 17235:23 <b>touch</b> [3] - 17224:4, 17224:21, 17237:23 <b>touched</b> [3] - 17123:12, 17179:13, 17282:6 <b>touching</b> [1] - 17323:22 <b>tough</b> [2] - 17174:13, 17225:3 <b>tours</b> [1] - 17097:4 <b>toward</b> [1] - 17200:18 <b>Tower</b> [1] - 17259:11 <b>Towers</b> [1] - 17238:20 <b>town</b> [1] - 17154:5 <b>transcribed</b> [1] - 17160:25 <b>Transcript</b> [2] - 17059:11, 17063:1 <b>transcript</b> [18] - 17147:22, 17150:18, 17150:19, 17151:14, 17154:9, 17160:20, 17160:25, 17161:6, 17161:15, 17162:9, 17163:18, 17164:9, 17195:7, 17205:19, 17205:21, 17222:22, 17223:5, 17228:18 <b>transcription</b> [1] - 17328:5 <b>transcripts</b> [6] - 17140:24, 17148:4,	17223:2, 17233:11, 17256:2, 17296:14 <b>transfer</b> [1] - 17125:12 <b>transferred</b> [1] - 17073:7 <b>transpired</b> [2] - 17107:21, 17234:25 <b>transportation</b> [1] - 17320:18 <b>Trav</b> [3] - 17179:24, 17186:3, 17190:2 <b>Trava-leer</b> [3] - 17179:24, 17186:3, 17190:2 <b>travelling</b> [1] - 17193:17 <b>treatment</b> [4] - 17094:17, 17209:9, 17209:10, 17209:18 <b>trial</b> [67] - 17083:14, 17083:22, 17084:21, 17089:22, 17091:5, 17098:4, 17155:20, 17156:21, 17158:13, 17158:23, 17159:1, 17159:4, 17161:9, 17163:24, 17164:3, 17164:7, 17164:20, 17165:10, 17167:6, 17167:9, 17167:11, 17168:13, 17169:10, 17169:17, 17172:7, 17181:2, 17181:18, 17182:7, 17184:8, 17186:25, 17187:11, 17187:25, 17191:15, 17191:18, 17194:6, 17198:3, 17198:7, 17198:9, 17199:8, 17203:3, 17203:15, 17204:6, 17204:9, 17208:2, 17212:7, 17227:9, 17241:19, 17256:6, 17257:1, 17264:11, 17284:20, 17289:14, 17303:23, 17305:2, 17305:7, 17306:3, 17306:8, 17315:20, 17315:24, 17317:2, 17317:4, 17318:14, 17320:5, 17326:7, 17326:8 <b>trials</b> [2] - 17204:15, 17204:23 <b>tried</b> [6] - 17144:14, 17168:1, 17210:10, 17214:20, 17228:14, 17307:10 <b>triggered</b> [1] - 17074:13 <b>trip</b> [10] - 17092:19,	17094:3, 17176:2, 17181:4, 17181:5, 17182:10, 17182:18, 17185:13, 17186:2, 17244:15 <b>trite</b> [1] - 17316:1 <b>trouble</b> [1] - 17194:9 <b>truck</b> [1] - 17171:22 <b>true</b> [4] - 17250:21, 17290:17, 17302:10, 17328:5 <b>trust</b> [1] - 17292:25 <b>trusting</b> [1] - 17203:17 <b>truth</b> [6] - 17088:7, 17092:21, 17094:4, 17147:7, 17173:22, 17229:24 <b>truthful</b> [5] - 17205:4, 17240:13, 17284:6, 17289:21, 17291:2 <b>try</b> [10] - 17122:4, 17134:12, 17177:16, 17185:24, 17223:22, 17228:6, 17298:16, 17307:19, 17307:24, 17308:5 <b>trying</b> [29] - 17069:11, 17085:24, 17088:22, 17091:11, 17107:17, 17108:14, 17109:19, 17110:1, 17111:5, 17112:7, 17117:3, 17119:10, 17166:14, 17167:22, 17170:14, 17182:3, 17182:5, 17185:20, 17215:3, 17232:14, 17242:7, 17255:8, 17274:5, 17274:25, 17276:15, 17291:5, 17298:4, 17299:2, 17318:17 <b>turn</b> [3] - 17079:22, 17140:16, 17300:1 <b>turned</b> [8] - 17112:1, 17122:19, 17160:4, 17175:1, 17198:14, 17225:10, 17272:3, 17299:25 <b>twelve</b> [2] - 17075:5, 17234:25 <b>twice</b> [2] - 17143:6, 17143:7 <b>two</b> [37] - 17079:15, 17092:13, 17097:10, 17097:12, 17124:25, 17125:10, 17132:15, 17148:4, 17153:4, 17153:8, 17157:5, 17157:8, 17157:10, 17167:14, 17171:2,	17177:1, 17177:25, 17189:4, 17194:6, 17198:14, 17198:15, 17213:24, 17214:11, 17214:18, 17233:16, 17241:4, 17248:16, 17248:23, 17255:11, 17257:16, 17260:3, 17273:1, 17300:10, 17307:8, 17315:14, 17319:25, 17326:2 <b>Two</b> [2] - 17146:6, 17291:11 <b>type</b> [5] - 17076:19, 17079:22, 17114:16, 17205:9, 17206:5 <b>typed</b> [2] - 17147:23, 17287:13 <b>types</b> [1] - 17160:13 <b>typewritten</b> [1] - 17281:5 <b>typo</b> [1] - 17153:5
<h2>U</h2>				
Ullrich [7] - 17155:6, 17171:15, 17217:16, 17255:7, 17255:11, 17255:13, 17255:20 Ullrich's [2] - 17217:12, 17255:1 ultimately [1] - 17136:14 umm [2] - 17197:2, 17241:13 Umm [14] - 17078:21, 17090:17, 17138:19, 17147:16, 17157:24, 17158:19, 17159:3, 17177:22, 17203:9, 17227:2, 17241:13, 17292:22, 17298:12, 17305:17 unable [2] - 17073:9, 17284:11 unauthorized [1] - 17151:4 unavoidable [1] - 17316:22 unconnected [1] - 17147:11 under [19] - 17068:13, 17085:14, 17091:6, 17124:8, 17172:15, 17213:19, 17214:16, 17237:8, 17240:12, 17253:15, 17256:6, 17259:12, 17265:2, 17271:3, 17272:23,				



<p>17273:2, 17273:20, 17301:6, 17320:1 <b>Under</b> [1] - 17290:13 <b>underlined</b> [1] - 17222:19 <b>understaffed</b> [2] - 17154:21, 17155:13 <b>understood</b> [3] - 17078:18, 17218:23, 17258:9 <b>underway</b> [1] - 17119:17 <b>undoes</b> [1] - 17120:8 <b>undone</b> [1] - 17120:13 <b>undoubtedly</b> [3] - 17125:19, 17128:24, 17306:5 <b>unfairly</b> [1] - 17314:16 <b>unfairness</b> [1] - 17311:2 <b>unheard</b> [1] - 17183:8 <b>unintelligible</b> [1] - 17218:16 <b>Union</b> [1] - 17180:19 <b>unique</b> [1] - 17152:7 <b>United</b> [1] - 17323:4 <b>unknown</b> [1] - 17295:16 <b>Unless</b> [2] - 17089:22, 17326:14 <b>unless</b> [10] - 17064:1, 17102:20, 17103:6, 17106:1, 17106:4, 17164:6, 17167:8, 17219:25, 17248:20, 17311:20 <b>unnamed</b> [3] - 17196:17, 17197:1, 17311:5 <b>unnecessary</b> [1] - 17279:8 <b>unpredictable</b> [1] - 17204:12 <b>unrelated</b> [12] - 17085:3, 17088:19, 17089:6, 17227:6, 17259:17, 17260:16, 17260:25, 17261:1, 17261:2, 17261:17, 17261:20, 17301:21 <b>unrestricted</b> [1] - 17318:8 <b>unsolicited</b> [1] - 17079:16 <b>unsolved</b> [2] - 17116:6, 17116:23 <b>untrue</b> [1] - 17325:21 <b>unusual</b> [7] - 17091:6, 17183:3, 17190:23, 17192:2, 17192:17,</p>	<p>17214:2, 17214:4 <b>unwelcome</b> [1] - 17242:11 <b>up</b> [117] - 17063:12, 17066:3, 17067:3, 17067:10, 17069:18, 17079:2, 17083:19, 17087:9, 17091:19, 17093:1, 17093:10, 17093:13, 17094:1, 17096:19, 17102:17, 17102:18, 17102:24, 17102:25, 17104:20, 17107:24, 17108:14, 17109:5, 17109:15, 17110:17, 17110:20, 17110:24, 17112:8, 17112:13, 17112:18, 17114:7, 17115:11, 17115:12, 17116:1, 17121:10, 17121:14, 17126:2, 17126:10, 17131:2, 17131:18, 17136:3, 17136:17, 17137:2, 17141:15, 17144:7, 17145:10, 17147:13, 17147:21, 17147:23, 17150:24, 17154:17, 17155:19, 17156:12, 17157:20, 17160:18, 17161:4, 17166:15, 17167:2, 17171:18, 17172:16, 17174:11, 17177:22, 17178:18, 17178:21, 17180:11, 17185:14, 17194:8, 17194:21, 17197:18, 17201:14, 17201:23, 17206:13, 17210:3, 17211:22, 17215:18, 17215:22, 17216:7, 17219:24, 17220:18, 17226:18, 17226:23, 17227:14, 17233:6, 17235:22, 17238:22, 17239:22, 17240:22, 17244:6, 17245:17, 17249:7, 17250:20, 17254:3, 17256:11, 17257:25, 17259:18, 17260:24, 17262:14, 17262:20, 17263:20, 17267:14, 17267:23, 17268:12, 17268:25, 17270:16, 17270:18, 17272:9, 17272:11, 17275:22, 17280:18, 17283:7, 17287:13, 17289:12, 17298:2, 17299:19, 17305:7, 17308:8,</p>	<p>17319:11, 17321:17 <b>upcoming</b> [1] - 17279:11 <b>uphill</b> [2] - 17307:23, 17308:1 <b>Upper</b> [1] - 17066:8 <b>upper</b> [1] - 17266:22 <b>upset</b> [2] - 17151:4, 17181:3 <b>upsetting</b> [1] - 17307:15 <b>uptight</b> [1] - 17174:9 <b>urge</b> [2] - 17067:5, 17136:17 <b>urged</b> [1] - 17313:17 <b>urging</b> [1] - 17071:10 <b>useful</b> [2] - 17193:20, 17240:23 <b>usual</b> [1] - 17173:6 <b>utterance</b> [2] - 17186:22, 17296:12 <b>utterly</b> [1] - 17141:21</p>	<p><b>verbatim</b> [1] - 17221:19 <b>verdict</b> [1] - 17325:10 <b>verified</b> [2] - 17168:1, 17185:16 <b>verify</b> [2] - 17108:23 <b>versa</b> [1] - 17181:9 <b>version</b> [9] - 17161:1, 17193:22, 17229:12, 17263:11, 17266:19, 17267:4, 17281:5, 17285:6, 17285:11 <b>versions</b> [2] - 17173:21, 17229:23 <b>versus</b> [2] - 17167:24, 17182:19 <b>via</b> [2] - 17253:22, 17288:12 <b>vice</b> [1] - 17181:9 <b>vicious</b> [1] - 17064:4 <b>victim</b> [4] - 17068:18, 17075:3, 17075:6, 17260:11 <b>view</b> [27] - 17079:4, 17079:24, 17080:11, 17116:17, 17122:7, 17122:22, 17138:24, 17142:15, 17154:15, 17154:22, 17171:23, 17175:4, 17176:1, 17177:20, 17206:5, 17209:2, 17212:9, 17212:17, 17218:20, 17228:9, 17232:24, 17242:8, 17244:15, 17285:5, 17294:2, 17311:11, 17316:21 <b>views</b> [6] - 17076:8, 17076:10, 17081:5, 17086:1, 17114:8, 17215:16 <b>vindicated</b> [1] - 17314:11 <b>virtually</b> [2] - 17240:13, 17322:5 <b>vis</b> [2] - 17121:7 <b>vis-a-vis</b> [1] - 17121:7 <b>visit</b> [3] - 17144:3, 17144:23, 17144:25 <b>voice</b> [4] - 17149:2, 17149:5, 17150:1, 17151:5 <b>Volume</b> [1] - 17059:21 <b>volunteered</b> [1] - 17239:22 <b>voucher</b> [1] - 17278:21</p>	<p>17101:5, 17102:4, 17107:24, 17112:10 <b>wait</b> [2] - 17068:18, 17069:15 <b>waiting</b> [1] - 17205:1 <b>waived</b> [1] - 17126:25 <b>waiver</b> [1] - 17127:3 <b>walked</b> [3] - 17097:25, 17122:8, 17269:10 <b>walking</b> [2] - 17150:22, 17219:3 <b>wandered</b> [1] - 17152:11 <b>wants</b> [1] - 17223:9 <b>warnings</b> [1] - 17076:18 <b>waste</b> [2] - 17109:22, 17275:15 <b>weapon</b> [2] - 17262:12, 17302:9 <b>wearing</b> [3] - 17221:13, 17246:25, 17247:2 <b>Wednesday</b> [1] - 17059:20 <b>week</b> [4] - 17126:7, 17127:8, 17200:18, 17210:14 <b>weekend</b> [3] - 17142:5, 17147:18, 17159:19 <b>weeks</b> [6] - 17124:25, 17140:21, 17140:22, 17167:14, 17249:1, 17294:11 <b>weird</b> [1] - 17159:7 <b>welcome</b> [1] - 17066:1 <b>welcomed</b> [2] - 17068:15, 17078:5 <b>well-documented</b> [1] - 17067:8 <b>west</b> [1] - 17226:10 <b>Western</b> [5] - 17066:7, 17092:14, 17141:11, 17142:15, 17145:1 <b>Weston</b> [12] - 17092:12, 17092:22, 17092:25, 17093:15, 17093:21, 17094:2, 17094:19, 17094:23, 17095:24, 17096:24, 17098:14 <b>whatsoever</b> [3] - 17088:1, 17090:9, 17297:10 <b>which'll</b> [1] - 17159:13 <b>whole</b> [10] - 17069:4, 17094:16, 17183:23, 17197:25, 17205:15, 17206:1, 17211:7, 17236:13, 17247:25, 17249:14 <b>Wielding</b> [3] - 17258:6, 17259:13, 17301:8</p>
		<p><b>V</b></p>		
		<p><b>V1</b> [5] - 17168:20, 17169:11, 17169:22, 17169:23, 17301:24 <b>V10</b> [6] - 17098:22, 17098:24, 17099:3 <b>V2</b> [6] - 17168:20, 17169:11, 17169:23, 17260:10 <b>V3</b> [3] - 17168:21, 17169:11, 17169:23 <b>V4</b> [9] - 17262:16, 17302:13, 17302:21, 17303:7, 17303:15 <b>V5</b> [2] - 17168:21, 17169:11 <b>V6</b> [2] - 17262:18 <b>V9</b> [2] - 17262:15 <b>valid</b> [1] - 17089:23 <b>validate</b> [1] - 17233:9 <b>value</b> [1] - 17094:19 <b>van</b> [1] - 17272:4 <b>Vancouver</b> [1] - 17177:18 <b>various</b> [10] - 17125:14, 17151:18, 17172:12, 17222:11, 17225:14, 17226:6, 17227:8, 17242:4, 17283:23, 17325:21 <b>vehicle</b> [1] - 17180:17 <b>vein</b> [1] - 17274:2 <b>venture</b> [1] - 17135:15 <b>verbally</b> [2] - 17175:17, 17307:25</p>		
			<p><b>W</b></p>	
			<p><b>Wagner</b> [5] - 17100:13,</p>	



<b>wielding</b> [4] - 17260:7, 17261:14, 17263:6, 17265:17 <b>Wife</b> [1] - 17221:24 <b>wife</b> [1] - 17100:11 <b>Wilde</b> [1] - 17060:12 <b>willfully</b> [3] - 17325:12, 17325:18, 17326:17 <b>Williams</b> [76] - 17170:5, 17237:10, 17237:23, 17238:2, 17238:12, 17239:1, 17239:13, 17239:18, 17240:3, 17240:19, 17241:8, 17241:11, 17242:21, 17244:9, 17245:12, 17245:21, 17246:8, 17246:25, 17247:13, 17248:14, 17249:5, 17249:11, 17249:18, 17250:10, 17251:3, 17251:12, 17252:7, 17252:13, 17252:19, 17253:9, 17253:22, 17256:23, 17258:21, 17260:4, 17260:15, 17261:9, 17262:24, 17263:3, 17263:12, 17263:21, 17265:7, 17265:12, 17265:20, 17265:23, 17267:13, 17267:16, 17267:23, 17267:24, 17268:1, 17268:17, 17268:18, 17269:3, 17270:13, 17270:17, 17270:19, 17271:17, 17273:21, 17274:6, 17274:11, 17274:18, 17276:15, 17276:20, 17277:13, 17278:20, 17278:25, 17279:9, 17279:19, 17280:4, 17281:15, 17283:22, 17299:10, 17299:14, 17301:2, 17301:9, 17320:20, 17321:11 <b>Williams'</b> [2] - 17244:14, 17267:7 <b>Wilson</b> [32] - 17061:6, 17131:22, 17156:22, 17157:3, 17164:11, 17175:13, 17175:20, 17176:23, 17187:23, 17191:6, 17199:8, 17199:9, 17205:24, 17206:16, 17207:21, 17218:11, 17229:16, 17241:25, 17244:18, 17256:3, 17268:19,	17269:1, 17269:20, 17269:22, 17275:24, 17282:14, 17282:17, 17286:22, 17287:4, 17290:15, 17290:22 <b>Wilson's</b> [3] - 17180:2, 17216:23, 17263:18 <b>window</b> [2] - 17171:23, 17191:25 <b>Winnipeg</b> [7] - 17138:21, 17144:5, 17144:6, 17144:9, 17144:12, 17145:7, 17264:19 <b>wins</b> [1] - 17212:5 <b>wish</b> [7] - 17128:16, 17226:2, 17243:4, 17274:23, 17279:3, 17287:10, 17290:10 <b>wished</b> [1] - 17199:1 <b>Wisninski</b> [1] - 17178:15 <b>withstood</b> [1] - 17084:17 <b>Witness</b> [4] - 17289:2, 17289:15, 17292:5, 17293:19 <b>witness</b> [32] - 17086:18, 17134:3, 17164:5, 17174:11, 17174:22, 17174:24, 17177:7, 17178:5, 17178:7, 17178:20, 17183:5, 17186:22, 17190:5, 17214:7, 17219:6, 17219:12, 17228:21, 17232:6, 17233:8, 17255:4, 17255:6, 17262:6, 17273:8, 17280:2, 17296:12, 17297:7, 17297:17, 17300:9, 17302:4, 17302:23, 17303:10, 17303:13 <b>witness'</b> [1] - 17213:21 <b>witnessed</b> [6] - 17179:17, 17182:23, 17183:6, 17188:15, 17286:4, 17286:13 <b>witnesses</b> [30] - 17108:16, 17108:25, 17113:13, 17129:16, 17157:11, 17168:3, 17171:4, 17185:13, 17195:15, 17235:11, 17255:17, 17255:25, 17256:7, 17256:9, 17264:7, 17268:8, 17272:22, 17273:3, 17274:10, 17274:14,	17274:20, 17279:11, 17282:23, 17284:15, 17289:13, 17299:23, 17300:5, 17319:5, 17321:9, 17323:2 <b>witnessing</b> [2] - 17185:7, 17188:17 <b>Wolch</b> [37] - 17061:2, 17092:1, 17096:18, 17139:18, 17139:22, 17139:25, 17140:3, 17258:10, 17258:22, 17258:25, 17265:12, 17265:19, 17267:19, 17269:14, 17272:15, 17272:19, 17276:3, 17279:12, 17279:20, 17296:18, 17301:10, 17308:12, 17308:18, 17311:22, 17313:14, 17316:5, 17316:10, 17318:23, 17319:7, 17320:2, 17320:4, 17320:10, 17320:17, 17320:25, 17321:18, 17321:21, 17325:3 <b>Wolch's</b> [6] - 17312:14, 17317:19, 17319:24, 17320:9, 17320:20, 17324:23 <b>woman</b> [8] - 17100:3, 17104:7, 17156:18, 17172:14, 17219:2, 17226:9, 17319:14 <b>Women</b> [3] - 17258:5, 17259:13, 17301:7 <b>women</b> [6] - 17115:14, 17260:6, 17261:14, 17263:5, 17265:17, 17300:19 <b>wonder</b> [3] - 17176:6, 17207:20, 17265:1 <b>wondering</b> [9] - 17103:18, 17153:17, 17222:15, 17260:17, 17261:18, 17265:21, 17320:12, 17326:21, 17326:24 <b>word</b> [3] - 17107:9, 17284:3 <b>worded</b> [1] - 17314:17 <b>words</b> [19] - 17068:19, 17107:23, 17109:18, 17111:6, 17113:13, 17118:8, 17150:2, 17150:9, 17158:17, 17161:15, 17162:12, 17178:17, 17187:13, 17190:1, 17212:5, 17237:3, 17245:14,	17265:15, 17269:18 <b>workers</b> [1] - 17311:5 <b>works</b> [2] - 17221:11, 17276:22 <b>worried</b> [1] - 17202:14 <b>worse</b> [1] - 17099:16 <b>worthless</b> [1] - 17115:7 <b>worthy</b> [2] - 17141:15, 17224:7 <b>wounds</b> [4] - 17075:5, 17197:7, 17244:23, 17244:24 <b>wrap</b> [1] - 17094:1 <b>wrinkle</b> [1] - 17190:15 <b>Write</b> [2] - 17064:18, 17065:3 <b>write</b> [6] - 17090:23, 17091:14, 17141:15, 17224:9, 17265:5, 17283:19 <b>writer</b> [3] - 17142:14, 17146:25, 17147:5 <b>writes</b> [1] - 17319:17 <b>writing</b> [15] - 17068:25, 17071:8, 17072:23, 17074:9, 17082:15, 17083:11, 17084:24, 17088:13, 17142:15, 17266:6, 17266:22, 17266:23, 17281:10, 17295:16, 17327:3 <b>writings</b> [2] - 17084:12, 17086:2 <b>written</b> [21] - 17064:15, 17073:11, 17079:4, 17085:20, 17086:21, 17086:25, 17089:1, 17089:20, 17090:13, 17186:17, 17186:19, 17195:17, 17204:17, 17224:7, 17229:11, 17266:20, 17267:3, 17283:24, 17296:19, 17319:15 <b>Wrongful</b> [1] - 17059:2 <b>wrongfully</b> [1] - 17083:5 <b>wrote</b> [12] - 17082:25, 17095:7, 17131:4, 17131:10, 17131:20, 17200:17, 17224:20, 17246:18, 17301:2, 17309:24, 17310:21, 17321:20	17248:15 <b>years</b> [11] - 17063:25, 17071:23, 17072:13, 17082:25, 17106:24, 17111:22, 17122:12, 17133:14, 17151:10, 17204:18, 17234:25 <b>yellow</b> [1] - 17221:13 <b>yesterday</b> [5] - 17063:9, 17066:12, 17070:23, 17078:5, 17256:25 <b>Yorkton</b> [3] - 17118:24, 17119:13 <b>young</b> [4] - 17172:14, 17178:6, 17178:7, 17227:10 <b>Young</b> [32] - 17123:4, 17123:5, 17123:6, 17123:13, 17123:15, 17124:14, 17124:25, 17125:6, 17126:7, 17127:2, 17127:4, 17127:7, 17127:19, 17128:9, 17128:13, 17128:25, 17130:9, 17130:23, 17131:4, 17131:15, 17131:16, 17131:20, 17132:3, 17133:5, 17134:12, 17135:6, 17135:11, 17135:12, 17135:18, 17138:1, 17138:17, 17139:16 <b>Young's</b> [1] - 17126:12 <b>yourself</b> [1] - 17274:14 <b>youth</b> [1] - 17067:11
<b>Z</b>				
<b>zero</b> [1] - 17075:9				
<b>Y</b>				
<b>year</b> [4] - 17099:1, 17204:22, 17223:10,				

