

Commission of Inquiry
Into the Wrongful
Conviction of David Milgaard
before
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE
EDWARD P. MacCALLUM

Transcript of Proceedings
and
Testimony before the Commission
sitting at the
Radisson Hotel at
Saskatoon, Saskatchewan

On Wednesday, June 15th, 2005

Volume 54

Inquiry Proceedings



Commission Staff:

<i>Mr. Douglas C. Hodson,</i>	Commission Counsel
<i>Ms. Candace D. Congram,</i>	Executive Director
<i>Ms. Sandra Boswell,</i>	Document Manager
<i>Ms. Kara Isabelle,</i>	Document Assistant

Support Staff:

<i>Ms. Irene Beitel,</i>	Clerk to the Commission
<i>Ms. Karen Hinz, CSR, and</i>	Official Q.B. Court Reporters
<i>Mr. Don Meyer, RPR, CSR,</i>	
<i>Mr. Jerry Wilde,</i>	Security Officer
<i>Mr. Larry Prehodchenko,</i>	Inland Audio Technician
<i>and Mr. Shaun Monahan,</i>	



Appearances:

Mr. Hersh Wolch, Q.C., **for** Mr. David Milgaard
Ms. Joanne McLean, **for** Ms. Joyce Milgaard
Ms. Lana Krogan, **for** Government of Saskatchewan
Ms. Catherine Knox, **for** Mr. T.D.R. (Bobs) Caldwell
Mr. Garrett Wilson, Q.C., **for** Mr. Serge Kujawa
Mr. Rick Elson, Esq., **for** the Saskatoon Police Service
Mr. Chris Boychuk, Esq., **for** Mr. Eddie Karst
Ms. Rochelle Wempe, **for** the RCMP
Mr. Brian A. Beresh, Esq., **for** Mr. Larry Fisher
Mr. David Frayer, Q.C., **for** Minister of Justice
 (Canada), The Hon. Irwin Cotler
Mr. Dino Bottos, Esq., **for** Justice Calvin Tallis
 (Retired)



INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS

DESCRIPTION:

PAGE:

RAYMOND WILLIAM MACKIE, CONTINUED

- BY MR. HODSON	10386
- BY MR. WOLCH	10576
- BY MS. MCLEAN	10614



Transcript of Proceedings

(Reconvened at 9:00 a.m.)

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Good morning.

ALL COUNSEL: Good morning.

MR. HODSON: Morning, Mr. Mackie.

RAYMOND WILLIAM MACKIE, continued:

BY MR. HODSON:

Q When we adjourned yesterday we were going through just some general investigation reports and I have a few more of those to go through.

If we could call up 106576, and this is a note or a letter, it's got at the top the date stamp February 24, '69 to Reid and Mackie, and it says:

"In regards to Miller murder file Have checks been made on fellow by name of IBEY - stayed at Holiday House Motel - a year or two ago. Has had record for rape, etc. Could be very dangerous."

Also in 1967, another suspect, and I wonder if you could tell me who signed this -- if you could scroll down -- who the B might be referring to?

A No, I don't.

Q And I take it this would be a note to you and Detective Sergeant Reid to follow up on some



1 suspects?

2 A This would be information for us to work with.

3 Q And would this come from another detective or from
4 -- is this possibly from Jack Ward?

09:05 5 A No, the initial B on the bottom, I'm not sure who
6 that -- there was a number of officers with the
7 initial B.

8 Q So would this type of document, being a note to
9 you to follow up, would this be a common type of
09:05 10 communication on this file?

11 A As I recall, it probably was.

12 Q Yeah. I think you had said yesterday that Jack
13 Ward, when he read the file, would give, I think
14 you said would give notes on some occasions to
09:06 15 officers to follow up; is that right?

16 A Yes.

17 Q So these, this would be the type of document you
18 are talking about where -- handwritten notes
19 saying 'follow up on X'?

09:06 20 A Yes.

21 Q If we can then go to 106589, please, and this is a
22 report of March 1, '69, again it's your report,
23 and if we could just call out those paragraphs
24 please. And actually, sorry, if we could just go
09:06 25 to the top two paragraphs, please, you will see



1 this is just talking about surveillance on a
2 Hartmut Wolfgang Schuleter and a search of his
3 residence, etcetera, and then go down to these
4 paragraphs. It talks about completing the search,
09:07 5 and Hartmut Schuleter was brought to the detective
6 office where he was interviewed regarding this
7 file and was questioned in regards to his
8 activities in cruising bus stops, etcetera, and
9 then I note the rest of the report talks about
09:07 10 information, about information that Schuleter
11 provided you. And if I could go to 006479,
12 please, and this is a statement; that's your
13 handwriting, is it?

14 A Yes it is.

09:07 15 Q And so this is a statement March 1, '69 from
16 Hartmut Schuleter -- and if we could just go back
17 to the report 106589 -- it would appear,
18 Mr. Mackie, in this case that your practice, at
19 least with Mr. Schuleter, was when you took a
09:08 20 statement was to, in addition, put some or all of
21 that information into your investigation report as
22 well; is that fair?

23 A I did on this occasion.

24 Q And would that be a practice, where you
09:08 25 interviewed a witness and took a statement, where



1 you would put that in an investigation report?

2 A I don't know whether that happened all the time or
3 not.

4 Q But certainly, on this occasion, you did?

09:08 5 A Yes I did.

6 Q If we could then go to 106606, and this is a
7 report of March 4th, '69 by you and refers to a
8 David Threinen who has a record for offensive
9 weapon; is that the same David Threinen that was
09:09 10 convicted in the mid-'70s; do you know?

11 A It probably was.

12 Q Yeah. I think that related to some murders. And
13 so, again, this would be checking out -- and it
14 appears that he was eliminated as he had been
09:09 15 serving time in the provincial correctional
16 centre; is that right?

17 A That's what it says.

18 Q Okay. And, again, would that be on the basis of a
19 record for an offensive weapon, was that the type
09:09 20 of thing you were looking at, people who had
21 records or had committed crimes like this in the
22 past?

23 A I don't know as it was necessarily crimes with
24 weapons, but that one was, involved a weapon.

09:10 25 Q And again, if we could go to 106608, we see



1 here -- and, again, the name is not important --
2 but where you are looking at a suspect, and it
3 says here was mentioned being a possible suspect,
4 so it was mentioned in someone else's report --
09:10 5 and maybe that was your report I think of March
6 3rd, possible suspect -- and then you go on to
7 investigation and then you say this fellow can be
8 eliminated; do you see that?

9 A Yes.

09:10 10 Q The spelling might be a bit off but it would
11 appear, here, that you would have eliminated the
12 suspect?

13 A That's what it appears that happened, yes.

14 Q And when that happened, Mr. Mackie, in a report,
09:10 15 was there record, to your knowledge, at the police
16 station keeping track of who were suspects and who
17 was eliminated and who wasn't and who was being
18 checked?

19 A I don't remember.

09:10 20 Q Do you remember a suspect book that had a list of
21 suspects?

22 A I don't remember it, no.

23 Q Okay. If you could go to 182626, do you recall,
24 this report relates to a Giles Beauchamp and
09:11 25 Norman Remenda finding a wallet belonging to Gail



1 Miller on April 4th, '69; do you have any
2 recollection of finding the wallet, Mr. Mackie?

3 A I remember picking a young fellow up and, or being
4 sent to see a young chap that had found a wallet,
09:11 5 and then taking him to where he had -- he showed
6 me where he had found it.

7 Q Yes, and do you remember who that young fellow
8 was?

9 A Only by it being on there, Giles Beauchamp.

09:11 10 Q We've heard evidence in this Inquiry from
11 Mr. Beauchamp who says that he found the wallet
12 and went to Norman Remenda's house and Norman
13 Remenda's mother phoned you, you came and took
14 Mr. Beauchamp back to get the wallet; we've heard
09:12 15 evidence from Norman Remenda that he found the
16 wallet and he went to Beauchamps' house, and that
17 Mrs. Beauchamp phoned you and took Giles Beauchamp
18 back to find the wallet. This report is
19 consistent with Mr. Beauchamp's evidence but I was
09:12 20 wondering, Mr. Mackie, if you can help us out on
21 those two stories, whether -- is it possible that
22 you were mistaken and, in fact, went to the
23 Beauchamp house instead of the Remenda house?

24 A It looks like I went to the Remenda house.

09:08 25 Q That's what the report says, and Norman Remenda



1 says no, you went to the Beauchamp house. Now, in
2 fairness, Mr. Beauchamp says you went to the
3 Remenda house and I'm just asking if you have any
4 recollection or can, in any way, help us
09:08 5 understand what may have happened on April 4th?

6 A Nothing other than what's on the paper, what's
7 written.

8 Q Do you have a recollection of actually going and
9 finding the hospitalization cards in the snow?

09:08 10 A Yes, I remember doing that.

11 Q Do you remember what time of day it was?

12 A No.

13 Q Was it nighttime?

14 A No, it would be daytime.

09:08 15 Q Daytime? And do you remember there being one or
16 two young boys with you then?

17 A I thought there was just one, but --

18 Q Okay.

19 A -- there it says I took two.

09:09 20 Q And I see, here, that your investigation report
21 talks about a short statement being taken by the
22 Beauchamp boy; do you see that?

23 A Yes.

24 Q So again in this report it would appear your
09:09 25 practice was to include in the investigation



1 report the fact that a statement was taken; is
2 that fair?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Now Mr. Mackie, we have a document before the
09:09 5 Commission -- if I could call up 326548, please --
6 and this is a document -- if you could go to page
7 326550 -- and this is a document prepared by our
8 staff based on a review of the police reports, and
9 based on those police reports indicate that
09:09 10 approximately 208 people were checked or
11 investigated in connection with Gail Miller's
12 murder in total. Now I have got another document
13 to show you that suggests that there was probably
14 another 150 people that ought to be added to that
09:10 15 list. But just generally does that, based on your
16 recollection of the investigation, does that sound
17 about right as far as people you checked, or are
18 you able to help us out on that?

19 A No, I couldn't comment on how many people were
09:10 20 checked, I haven't got a clue.

21 Q Were there, based on your involvement and your
22 reports, were there lots of individuals, a
23 significant number, that you checked as suspects?

24 A Yes.

09:10 25 Q So the number 208 doesn't surprise you; is that



1 fair?

2 A That's right.

3 Q And, as well, there is a reference here to, down
4 at the bottom, that indicates 38 people were
09:10 5 checked or investigated for the first time after
6 March 2nd, 1969, the day that the city police
7 received information regarding David Milgaard.

8 And, again, do you have any sense of -- or based
9 on your recollection of the investigation did you
09:11 10 continue, do you recall continuing to investigate
11 other suspects after the police became of -- aware
12 of Mr. Milgaard as a suspect?

13 A I'm not specifically aware of it, no.

14 Q Do you recall continuing, continuing investigation
09:11 15 steps, after Mr. Milgaard came on the radar
16 screen?

17 A I would think it would have been.

18 Q Yeah. Now I understand, and we've heard evidence
19 from others Mr. Mackie, that at some point in the
09:11 20 investigation the police had a blood, a certain
21 blood type they were looking for for a suspect; is
22 that correct?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And what do you recall about that?

09:11 25 A It was a type A secretor.



1 Q And did you have an understanding then, from ident
2 or from someone, that there was physical evidence
3 that suggested the perpetrator was an A secretor;
4 is that --

09:12 5 A From ident or wherever it come from.

6 Q And that would that blood type be a tool, then, to
7 eliminate suspects?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And would it be a tool to assist in identifying
09:12 10 suspects as well?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And was that blood type a tool used in the
13 investigation, then, as far as eliminating and
14 identifying suspects?

09:12 15 A Yes it was.

16 Q If I could call up document 106637, please, and
17 this is a report of yours dated March 20th of '69.
18 Just call out -- and right here it says:

19 "As a result of receiving the blood
09:13 20 grouping of Miller's attacker as being a
21 group A inquiries were made at the Red
22 Cross 4th Ave. and 22nd St. as to what
23 they could do for us concerning possible
24 suspects in regard to this matter. I
09:13 25 was advised that if we had a list of



1 possible suspects or anyone individual
2 they would check them through their
3 index system for us. I was also advised
4 that if we had a suspect that was
09:14 5 agreeable to have a blood grouping done
6 that they would be glad to do it for us.
7 As a result of this inquiry a list has
8 been prepared of names and ages of
9 persons for the Red Cross to check for
09:14 10 us which will undoubtedly eliminate a
11 percentage of the suspects."

12 And then it goes on to say:

13 "While we were at the Red Cross a blood
14 check was done on Les Spence but they
09:14 15 had no record of him ..."

16 What do you recall of your dealings with the Red
17 Cross on the issue of possible suspects?

18 A I remember going to the Red Cross and checking to
19 see whether they could do this for us, but I don't
09:14 20 remember any conversations.

21 Q I'm going to show you a document 106716, please,
22 and this isn't a very good copy but if we could
23 just call out the top part, is that your writing?
24 It says:

09:15 25 "Copy of List to Red Cross for Blood



1 Group Check" ;

2 is that your handwriting?

3 A It looks like it.

4 Q And there is a list of names, addresses, date of
09:15 5 birth, and Saskatoon record number, and a number,
6 I think a couple of hundred of names in this list
7 in alphabetical order; can you tell us what this
8 list is?

9 A It appears to be the list that was probably
09:15 10 provided to the Red Cross for them to provide us
11 any information they might have had on file in
12 regard to these individuals.

13 Q And so, in the case where the Red Cross had a
14 record or had a record of blood type for one of
09:15 15 these persons, are you telling us that the Red
16 Cross would then share that information with you?

17 A I believe that's what happened.

18 Q And what about situations where you had a person
19 on this list that had not given blood and the Red
09:15 20 Cross did not have a record; did you take any
21 steps to have these people go to the Red Cross?

22 A Yes. I, myself, picked up quite a number of folks
23 and spoke to them and, as long as they agreed to
24 go to the Red Cross, we took them there and their
09:16 25 blood types were --



1 Q So that the people on this are the people you
2 would have approached and asked to go to the Red
3 Cross to get blood typed; is that fair?

4 A It could well be.

09:16 5 Q And, Mr. Commissioner, this list -- let me ask
6 this question, Mr. Mackie. Would the people then
7 on this list be considered people that you were
8 checking as possibilities, as suspects in the Gail
9 Miller murder?

09:16 10 A I guess you could call them suspects, people we
11 were for some reason wanting to eliminate.

12 Q And if you go through the list, you'll see some of
13 them, for example, this Saskatoon record number,
14 would that be a criminal record or a police record
09:16 15 or what would that be?

16 A I believe that's criminal record. It could be the
17 first one is an occurrence report number. I'm not
18 sure.

19 Q And if you could just scroll down a bit, we'll see
09:16 20 Albert Cadrain for example. If we could go to the
21 next page, please, and sort of identify some
22 familiar names, we see Dennis Elliott who we've
23 heard about, Victor Fortosky whose name has been
24 mentioned. The next page, please, you'll see
09:17 25 William Ivey which was the name that was referred



1 to in that report, or that note that I read you a
2 few minutes ago. Go to the next page, please,
3 you'll see Mr. Milgaard's name in there; is that
4 correct?

09:17 5 A Yes, it's there.

6 Q And go to the next page, please, or I'm sorry, to
7 721, the next page, and again we see Ronald Wilson
8 there as well; correct?

9 A Yes.

09:17 10 Q Mr. Commissioner, I understand that these names on
11 this Red Cross blood list were not, not all of
12 them were taken into account on the suspect list
13 that we prepared and we will revise that. I am
14 told that some of these names on this list were in
09:18 15 the suspect list. If we add those that were not,
16 the number is 361 persons checked, assuming that
17 all these people were suspects and checked. Who
18 would have prepared this list, Mr. Mackie?

19 A I don't know.

09:18 20 Q But it would be something that you would have used
21 then as far as checking people?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And if you checked the suspects and that person
24 was a blood type other than type A, what did that
09:18 25 tell you as an investigator?



1 A If he was other than a type A?

2 Q Yes.

3 A That he didn't need any further attention.

4 Q So you could eliminate that person as a suspect?

09:19 5 A Yes.

6 Q And what if that person had blood type A, what did
7 that tell you as an investigator?

8 A Needed further investigating.

9 Q I would now turn to the issue of sexual assaults,
09:19 10 Mr. Mackie. We know that the date of Gail
11 Miller's murder was January 31, 1969 and the
12 record shows that in October and November of 1968
13 there were two rapes in Saskatoon, the victims
14 were (V1)--- (V1)-, (V2) (V2)- (V2)-----, they
09:19 15 took place in the area of Avenue E, F, G and 19th
16 Street and in both cases they were taken by the
17 assailant into an alley, had their coat removed
18 and were assaulted and there was a third attempted
19 assault at the end of November in the university
09:19 20 area by the name of (V3)-- (V3)----- . Those
21 three assaults were unsolved at the time of Gail
22 Miller's murder and there has been a number of
23 people commenting and giving evidence about
24 similarities not only between the three of those
09:20 25 assaults, but as well similarities between the



1 three and Gail Miller's murder. Do you recall
2 knowing about those three sexual assault files
3 that were a few months prior to Gail Miller's
4 murder, do you recall them at all?

09:20 5 A No, I don't recall. The names are familiar, but
6 that's all I can say about them.

7 Q Do you recall at the time of your involvement in
8 the Gail Miller murder investigation whether you
9 considered that the perpetrator of those earlier
09:20 10 sexual assaults may have been the killer of Gail
11 Miller?

12 A It's a possibility, but I don't remember anything
13 at all about it.

14 Q Okay. And do you have any recollection of there
09:20 15 being a theory amongst the investigators at the
16 time of the Gail Miller murder investigation that
17 the perpetrator of the previous sexual assaults
18 may also have been the killer of Gail Miller?

19 A I don't recall it, no.

09:21 20 Q I'll just go through a few documents, Mr. Mackie,
21 to see if these refresh your memory, 106142. This
22 is a report of yours, February 1, '69, which is
23 the day after the murder, and the last paragraph
24 talks about an interview of Les Spence and a
09:21 25 fellow by the name of Jack Canton. In any event,



1 your report says:

2 "As a result of this a girl who is one
3 of the complainants in one of the rape
4 files, (V2) (V2)- (V2)-----, was
09:21 5 contacted and brought to the Morality
6 office where M/Sgt. Oleksyn and I
7 interviewed her and showed her a group
8 of photographs of assorted people which
9 she was unable to identify anyone. The
09:21 10 photo of Canton was then pointed out but
11 she claimed that it did not look like
12 the person who was responsible for the
13 rape of which she complained."

14 If we can just go to the top of this document,
09:22 15 please, this is a report that's on the Gail
16 Miller file, you see that; correct?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And it would appear from this that the day after
19 the murder you had interviewed (V2) (V2)-
09:22 20 (V2)----- to show pictures and in particular one
21 of Jack Canton who -- suspect may be the wrong
22 word, but Jack Canton appeared to be a person of
23 interest on the Gail Miller murder file; is that a
24 fair reading of this report?

09:22 25 A Yes.



1 Q So can we take it from this, Mr. Mackie, that at
2 least on February 1, 1969 you would have been
3 aware of (V2) (V2)- (V2)----- and her, at least
4 generally her sexual assault matter?

09:22 5 A Yes.

6 Q And it would also appear on this date that you
7 would have been checking and talking to her to
8 assist you at least in part on the Gail Miller
9 murder investigation; is that fair?

09:23 10 A Yes, I would say so.

11 Q If we can then go to 004090 and this is a report
12 of February 4 and again that's your report, just
13 at the bottom it talks about, and I think this is
14 referring to February 1, and I think it's dealing
09:23 15 with the same interview you had of her that I just
16 referred to, but it just again talks about that
17 she was shown a group of photos which included the
18 Canton brothers. Due to no identification being
19 made she was taken to the ident department where a
09:23 20 composite photo was made up by her, and this is a
21 report on the Gail Miller file, so I take it at
22 this time you were having Ms. (V2)----- give a
23 composite photo to assist you in, at least in
24 part, in the Gail Miller murder investigation; is
09:24 25 that fair?



1 A Yes.

2 Q And obviously if it's the same perpetrator, then
3 it would also be to assist the (V2)-----
4 investigation; is that fair?

09:24 5 A It would be joined together, yes.

6 Q If you can go to 106652, and is this your report
7 dated -- I'm sorry, it doesn't have the, you can't
8 see it, but April of 1969, and just down at the
9 bottom and you are dealing with a fellow by the
09:24 10 name of Clell Crook as a suspect or a person of
11 interest in the Gail Miller matter, and then it
12 says:

13 "Crook was questioned in regard to the
14 two rapes which were reported in the
09:24 15 fall of 1968 and Crook indicated that he
16 was aware of these and he was acquainted
17 with the (V1)- girl. Crook was not
18 aware of his blood grouping."

19 So it would appear here, Mr. Mackie, that again
09:25 20 it talks about the two rapes and the (V1)- girl.
21 Is it fair to conclude that you would have been
22 aware of the (V1)- file as well at that time?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And again 009251, again there's another reference
09:25 25 in your report, this is April 7th again about



1 Mr. Crook:

2 "... did not know his blood grouping,
3 but believed on the morning of this
4 offence he was at home. When
09:25 5 interviewed in regard to his
6 associations and knowledge of (V2)-----
7 and (V1)-, rape occurrences, Crook was
8 acquainted with the (V1)- family and
9 denied being responsible for either of
09:25 10 these offences also. He was unable to
11 supply with his blood grouping and as he
12 was a prisoner he was not taken to the
13 Red Cross for this to be done."

14 Again that's consistent with what you told us,
09:26 15 that A, you would have been aware of the
16 (V1)-/(V2)----- rapes, and B, they would have
17 been part of your investigation of the Gail
18 Miller murder, a consideration; is that fair?

19 A Yes.

09:26 20 Q 004102, please, this is an April 15th report. I
21 believe it's your report of -- I think it may be
22 Bev Cressman, I'm not sure, but in any event it
23 talks about -- is it Cressman? I'm sorry, it is
24 Bev Cressman. Anyway, this just talks about, he's
09:26 25 doing some work, showing some photographs to



1 (V1)--- (V1)- and he mentions here that she
2 identified David Milgaard not as perpetrator of
3 the rape, but as someone I think -- she definitely
4 seen both persons around is what she said, but it
09:27 5 talks about getting that photograph from you. Do
6 you recall providing a photograph of David
7 Milgaard to one of the morality officers to show
8 to these rape victims?

9 A No, I don't.

09:27 10 Q Is that what appears this document says that
11 happened though?

12 A Yes, it says that.

13 Q If we can call up 324806, this is a document that
14 we obtained from Mr. Penkala. I'm not sure of a
09:27 15 date or the author. Does this document look
16 familiar at all to you? Is that in your writing?

17 A No.

18 Q And it's a document that looks at a comparison of
19 the (V1)-, (V2)----- and (V3)----- files. Are
09:27 20 you able to assist in identifying whose writing
21 that may be?

22 A No, I can't.

23 Q And do you recall there being discussions or notes
24 comparing the three sexual assault files as part
09:28 25 of the Gail Miller murder investigation?



1 A No, I don't.

2 Q I'm going to call up 052923, please. This is a
3 letter, February 5, 1969, that Joe Penkala wrote
4 to the RCMP Crime Index section. Were you
09:28 5 familiar with what the RCMP Crime Index was at the
6 time?

7 A I knew it was there, but not very much about the
8 operation of it. We would go through ident to
9 access it or central records.

09:28 10 Q And I think Mr. Penkala's evidence was basically
11 that he would, on occasion they would send in
12 information to this national Crime Index and have
13 someone try and look to see whether there were
14 similarities with other crimes. Does that sound
09:28 15 right?

16 A Sounds like it probably was.

17 Q Yeah. And if we can just go to the next page
18 here, and this is five days after the murder, and
19 if we can just go -- this is a summary that Mr.
09:29 20 Penkala prepared. My question, Mr. Mackie, is
21 whether this is something that would have been
22 shared with you or provided to you. Do you know
23 if that was the case?

24 A Probably was. I don't remember it, but it
09:29 25 probably would be.



1 Q Would this be the type of document that would be
2 brought to your attention do you think?

3 A I think probably.

4 Q If we can just go to the next page, please, and
09:29 5 again there's a reference here in Mr. Penkala's
6 report about, it says:

7 "Our department has two unsolved cases,
8 dating back into October and November of
9 1968, which involve complaints of rape.
09:29 10 In both these cases, the victim was
11 attacked from behind while walking in
12 the late evening, forced into a lane,
13 and under threat of a knife, made to
14 undress and submit to intercourse."

09:29 15 Etcetera. And are you able to recall whether or
16 not you would have seen this, that reference at
17 the time, Mr. Mackie?

18 A No, I don't remember.

19 Q Can we conclude from your earlier answer that it's
09:30 20 likely a document that would have been brought to
21 your attention at or about that time?

22 A It being in the file. Whether it was brought
23 specifically to my attention I couldn't say.

24 Q Do you think it's something that you would have
09:30 25 read at the time?



1 A Quite likely.

2 Q If we can go to 025573, please, and this is a
3 report February 27, '69 prepared by Lieutenant
4 Penkala, it's an ident report, and the first
09:30 5 paragraph says:

6 "In the event a search is being made in
7 connection with the Miller murder case,
8 the following articles should be sought
9 and may be of evidential value:"

09:30 10 And then if we can just scroll down, it talks
11 about the knife handle and other matters relating
12 to the Miller matter, and then if we can just go
13 down here and then it talks about some other
14 items from other occurrences and if we can just
09:31 15 scroll down to the bottom and it says:

16 "The similarity of our departments
17 occurrences numbers 10173/68 and
18 10910/6 --"

19 Which are the (V1)-/(V2)----- files,

09:31 20 "-- complaints of rape, with this murder
21 investigation, lists the following items
22 which are reported missing, identifiable
23 and could be of evidential value."

24 Just pause there. I'm sorry, when I went through
09:31 25 these items, Mr. Mackie, those related to the



1 Gail Miller matter. I'm sorry. So the next
2 page, if we could go to, and then Mr. Penkala
3 lists some items that he testified he took from
4 the (V1)-(V2)----- file that might be of value,
09:31 5 and then he says:

6 "The above information has been left to
7 assist investigators in this file."

8 Do you recall seeing this document back then, Mr.
9 Mackie?

09:32 10 A No.

11 Q Is it likely that you would have been aware of or
12 read this document during the course of the Gail
13 Miller investigation?

14 A Very likely I did.

09:32 15 Q Pardon me?

16 A Very likely that we did.

17 Q And when you say you did, can you tell us just
18 generally, would this type of document be read by
19 a number of the key people involved, are you able
09:32 20 to --

21 A It's one that probably would have related in one
22 of the morning meetings.

23 Q Okay. So this would be something -- at the
24 morning meetings then were matters like this
09:32 25 raised amongst the officers?



1 A I think it was brought to our attention so that
2 everybody is aware of them.

3 Q And I take it you are telling us you don't have a
4 recollection of that, but you are saying it likely
09:32 5 happened?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Is that fair?

8 A Yes.

9 Q If we could call up 250597 and this is a report of
09:33 10 Corporal Rasmussen of the RCMP dated May 7th, 1969
11 and I think you told us yesterday you remembered
12 Corporal Rasmussen being involved, or the name
13 anyway?

14 A It's one of the names.

09:33 15 Q And if we could go to 250603, please, and just
16 down at the bottom, and this is a report that
17 Mr. Rasmussen prepared, Mr. Mackie. First of all,
18 do you remember back in 1969 on the police file
19 whether or not there were copies of RCMP reports,
09:33 20 reports that the RCMP prepared to their superior
21 officers?

22 A I don't remember them, but there likely was.
23 There was good co-operation.

24 Q In this report, and just thinking what day, it's
09:34 25 under a heading March 10th, '69 on the previous



1 page and it talks again about, it mentioned that
2 during the late fall of '68 the local police had
3 reports of two rapes and one attempted rape, and
4 then on to the next page, please, it identifies
09:34 5 the three victims and again talks about the rapes,
6 if we could scroll down to paragraph 20, and it
7 then goes on to talk about getting the physical
8 evidence of those tested by the laboratory to see
9 whether or not physical evidence would link (V1)-,
09:34 10 (V2)----- to Gail Miller. Were you aware of that
11 going on, Mr. Mackie?

12 A I don't know.

13 Q You have no recollection?

14 A No.

09:34 15 Q And then down to paragraph 21, Mr. Rasmussen says:
16 "As a result of the foregoing, it is
17 felt there is a strong possibility that
18 the three rapes and the murder are
19 directly connected. In view of this,
09:35 20 extensive interrogation was conducted
21 with (V2)----- with negative results."
22 And I believe I had showed you a report earlier
23 where you may have been -- you certainly had
24 talked to (V2)-----; is that right? I think you
09:35 25 acknowledged --



1 A It's the one where I showed her the photographs?

2 Q Yes.

3 A Yes.

4 Q Now, do you recall, Mr. Mackie, whether you would
09:35 5 have held a view, a view similar to Mr. Rasmussen,
6 about the connection between the assaults and the
7 murder or whether you had a different view?

8 A I don't really remember. Probably would have been
9 a similar opinion of them.

09:35 10 Q I'm sorry, similar to what?

11 A A similar opinion.

12 Q I would now like to turn your attention to the
13 investigation of David Milgaard, and I believe the
14 record shows that apart from a reference to a
09:36 15 vehicle in a back alley and some of the youths
16 that were in the vehicle, which I think was
17 recorded the weekend after the murder that related
18 to the Danchuks and a tow truck operator, but I
19 believe the first identification of David Milgaard
09:36 20 on this file was March 2nd, 1969 when Albert
21 Cadrain attended at the Saskatoon City Police
22 station and thereafter there was interviews of Ron
23 Wilson, Cadrain and subsequently Nichol John.
24 Now, do you have any recollection of Mr. Cadrain
09:37 25 coming into the police station or dealing with him



1 at all?

2 A No.

3 Q Do you have any recollection of meeting with
4 Albert Cadrain?

09:37 5 A No.

6 Q I'll show you in a moment a statement of March 5,
7 1969 that I believe is in your writing and has
8 your signature. Do you recall taking this
9 statement from Albert Cadrain?

09:37 10 A No, I don't.

11 Q We heard evidence from Mr. Rusty Chartier. You
12 recall Mr. Chartier as a fellow police officer at
13 the time?

14 A Yes.

09:37 15 Q He told us that, and I think he said on March 4th,
16 although he may not have been specific on the
17 dates, but sometime around then that Mr. Cadrain
18 came into the city police station to talk to him
19 with information about the Gail Miller murder and
09:37 20 David Milgaard as a suspect and Mr. Chartier told
21 us the next day he arranged, contacted you and
22 arranged for you to go and interview Cadrain and I
23 think he said that he went and picked him up,
24 perhaps with you, and brought him back to the
09:38 25 police station. Do you have any recollection of



1 that happening?

2 A No.

3 Q If we could call up 006723, and this is your
4 handwriting is it?

09:38 5 A Yes, it is.

6 Q And if we could go to page 006727. That's your
7 signature there?

8 A Yes, it is.

9 Q And was it your practice then to sign at the end
09:38 10 of the statements?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Go back to the first page and the first paragraph
13 says, "In addition to my statement of March 2,
14 1969." Can I presume from that that you would
09:38 15 have been aware that Mr. Cadrain had given an
16 earlier statement a few days earlier?

17 A I would have been, yes.

18 Q And then I don't -- you've had a chance to read
19 through this statement previously haven't you?

09:39 20 A Yes.

21 Q And does any of this refresh your memory as
22 talking to Albert Cadrain?

23 A No.

24 Q I don't want to go through all of it. A couple of
09:39 25 pages deal with losing some boots and finding



1 someone named Betty. I'm not quite sure where
2 they fit in on the matter. Do you recall, would
3 your practice have been simply to write down what
4 Mr. Cadrain told you or would you --

09:39 5 A Yes.

6 Q So it would appear that a least on March 5th --
7 well, actually let me just go to page 006726,
8 please, and there's certainly reference here in
9 the second statement, "Hoppe --" did you recall
09:40 10 that being David Milgaard's nickname at the time?

11 A After I read it here I remembered it, yes.

12 Q It says:

13 "Hoppe mentioned he had blood on his
14 clothes & he had to change."

09:40 15 And then down at the bottom:

16 "On the trip there was no mention of
17 Hoppe or anyone else having had a girl
18 in the back lane."

19 I take it at this time you would have been aware
09:40 20 of not only what Mr. Cadrain told you here, but
21 as well his earlier March 2nd statement; is that
22 fair?

23 A Probably.

24 Q So this is March -- and I just want to go ahead to
09:40 25 April and May and I understand, Mr. Mackie,



1 that -- or let me pause there. Would it be fair
2 to say that at the time Mr. Cadrain came in, that
3 on the basis of what he said, Mr. Milgaard would
4 then become a suspect; is that fair?

09:40 5 A I would think so, yes.

6 Q And then the record indicates some further
7 follow-up interviews and other than the Cadrain
8 statement I don't believe the record shows that
9 you were involved with any further contact with
09:41 10 Cadrain, Wilson or John until May. Does that
11 sound right?

12 A Could be. I don't remember.

13 Q And I'll go through those. I understand that
14 there was a point in the investigation, Mr.
09:41 15 Mackie, where you returned from a holiday from
16 being off work and you spent some time reviewing
17 the Gail Miller file; is that correct?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And can you tell us what you recall about that,
09:41 20 please?

21 A It was a matter of reading the file and finding
22 out what had been done, what needed to be done and
23 this sort of thing.

24 Q And you say you read the file. Where did you
09:41 25 get -- what file did you read?



1 A It would be the one that was in the room where
2 Jack Ward was working.

3 Q So you returned from being away for, what, a week,
4 days, or do you remember?

09:42 5 A I don't remember.

6 Q And what caused you then to go through and read
7 through the file?

8 A I had been absent for a while, you had to go back
9 and refresh your memory and check the file.

09:42 10 Q And what was your reaction after having gone
11 through the file?

12 A I don't really know what triggered it, but it
13 seemed there were a number of things that
14 indicated perhaps David Milgaard was the person,
09:42 15 so then I made a list of some items and saw
16 Lieutenant Short about it and we discussed it and
17 then he contacted Bobs Caldwell and we went and
18 saw him and discussed it again.

19 Q And so let's just back up from a time frame.
09:42 20 We'll be dealing in a moment with the attendance
21 of Art Roberts in Saskatoon, the polygraph and the
22 statements by Wilson and John which are May 23rd
23 and 24th, okay, you know about those; correct?

24 A Yes, yes.

09:43 25 Q Would this review of the file, the meeting with



1 Lieutenant Short and the visit to Bobs Caldwell,
2 would that have been before Mr. Roberts was
3 brought in and before those statements?

4 A It was before.

09:43 5 Q It was before?

6 A I think it was before.

7 Q And so when you went through the file then, did
8 you go -- did you go through the file with the
9 intention of trying to identify information about
09:43 10 David Milgaard or do you just go through the file
11 to see who might be suspects?

12 A With regard to see who might be suspects, what
13 needed to be done.

14 Q At that time had the investigation, were there
09:43 15 any -- prior to your review were there any what
16 would be considered suspects for the murder at
17 that time or had they all been more or less
18 eliminated?

19 A I doubt they had all been eliminated.

09:43 20 Q Would it be fair to say that prior to your review
21 there wasn't sort of one key suspect or a couple
22 of key suspects that you were pursuing?

23 A Not that I recall.

24 Q And so then when you went looking at the file, and
09:44 25 again I'm sorry if you've answered this, was it a



1 general review or was it specific to look for
2 things about David Milgaard?

3 A It started out as a general review.

4 Q And then what happened as you went through it?

09:44 5 A I'm not sure really, but it appears that some
6 things appeared that perhaps it was David
7 Milgaard, so then I made a brief summary,
8 highlighted some of the things that lead in that
9 direction and that's when I went and saw
09:44 10 Lieutenant Short.

11 Q And when you went to Lieutenant Short, what did
12 you say to him, not exact words, but generally
13 what did you say to him about, and you had a
14 document which I'll get to in a moment, but what
09:44 15 was your position with him with respect to David
16 Milgaard as a suspect?

17 A We were just suggesting that it looked like we
18 might have -- David Milgaard might be the suspect
19 or the person that committed the offence.

09:45 20 Q Okay. And so did you go to him with any other
21 suspects; do you recall?

22 A Not at this time I don't think.

23 Q And to give some sense of when you went to him,
24 was it a case of saying 'here, there's things we
09:45 25 need to check out', or 'lookit, I think this is



1 the guy'?

2 A It probably looked like this might be the person
3 responsible.

4 Q Okay. And why did you go to Lieutenant Short?

09:46 5 A He was working, he was the next person superior to
6 myself in the chain of command.

7 Q What, why would you go to him instead of just
8 going out and investigating David Milgaard
9 further?

09:46 10 A Well there's some information on the file, and you
11 put it together, and so it's always good to
12 have -- discuss it with somebody else anyway.

13 Q Okay. So would it be something, then, that you
14 thought required further investigation, then, is
09:46 15 that --

16 A It wouldn't be complete at that time, so it would
17 require, most certainly.

18 Q And, again, I'm just trying to understand why you
19 would go to Short with this as opposed to going
09:46 20 out and investigating yourself. Was there
21 something with this that elevated it to, in your
22 mind to go to Short, or was that a common
23 occurrence?

24 A Well on this one that would be the natural thing,
09:46 25 you would discuss it with Lieutenant Short as to



1 whether this -- he agrees with what you have been
2 finding in the file.

3 Q And so do you recall what Lieutenant Short would
4 have told you based on what you told him?

09:47 5 A No.

6 Q And then I think you said you phoned Bobs
7 Caldwell?

8 A Charlie Short did, yes.

9 Q And do you recall meeting with Mr. Caldwell?

09:47 10 A We went to Bobs' office.

11 Q And what would the purpose of that be?

12 A We would discuss what we had on the file and
13 whether, what his opinion was on it, him being a
14 suspect or possibly being the committer of the
09:47 15 offence.

16 Q What, was it a situation where you were checking
17 to see whether you had enough to charge Mr.
18 Milgaard, was that what you were going to see him
19 about?

09:47 20 A Whether it was worth considering and undoubtedly,
21 at that time, it would have required further
22 investigation.

23 Q So at that time, I think you said 'required
24 further investigation', so at that time did you
09:47 25 form a conclusion in your mind that we ought to



1 consider charging Mr. Milgaard with the murder?

2 A I don't remember whether I had thoughts like that
3 or not.

4 Q So was it common to go to the prosecutor to get
09:48 5 feedback in the midst of an investigation?

6 A I don't really know.

7 Q Okay. Do you remember any of the discussion with
8 Mr. Caldwell and Mr. Short, maybe?

9 A No.

09:48 10 Q Now I think you said that you would have put
11 together, I think, some points or notes on the
12 review of the file; is that correct?

13 A I did.

14 Q And if I could call up 006799, please, and if we
09:48 15 could go to 006803, please. And you have had a
16 chance to review this document in the last while;
17 is that correct?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And is this the typewritten version of the
09:48 20 document that you prepared and provided to
21 Lieutenant Short?

22 A It looks like it probably is, yes.

23 Q And so can you tell us just generally -- and I'll
24 go through this in detail -- but what were you,
09:49 25 what were you writing down here?



1 A Picking out the things in the file that kind of
2 led to David Milgaard being the person that had
3 committed the offence.

4 Q And were they facts or were they theories, ideas?

09:49 5 A Well some of that is facts that are on the file,
6 and others theories, suggestions that might assist
7 in furthering any charges that might be
8 contemplated or considered.

9 Q And would this be a common practice of yours, to
09:49 10 sort of put down thoughts and ideas like this in a
11 written form?

12 A If it was required, I guess, with the more serious
13 files --

14 Q Okay.

09:50 15 A -- to conduct on a different role.

16 Q Now the previous four pages that are with this
17 document -- and if we could just go to page 1,
18 back to 006799 please, and there's four pages,
19 Mr. Mackie, and although I'm not sure when or
09:50 20 where this document originated, but it appears
21 that these four pages were stapled with the fifth
22 page, your summary page, at least in Mr. Penkala's
23 file and in some other sources; are you able to --
24 did you compare the first four pages?

09:50 25 A I don't remember doing it but it's very possible I



1 did.

2 Q Okay. And --

3 A I didn't do the typing, as it were.

4 Q And what it appears to be -- and please correct me
09:50 5 if I'm wrong -- but it appears to be a reference,
6 a review of the police investigation reports and
7 statements, and a summary of what is in the police
8 file as it relates to David Milgaard; is that, is
9 that a fair characterization?

09:51 10 A Yes.

11 Q In other words, if we go Miller file:

12 "Item I - two lumps frozen in the snow
13 under where body was found",

14 and then the blood secretor, it would just be
09:51 15 information summarized from the existing file; is
16 that fair?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And I think you told us earlier that Mr. Ward was
19 responsible for organizing the file and numbering
09:51 20 the pages; is that correct?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And I can tell you, Mr. Mackie, that based on our
23 review we have found, for example page 151, when
24 you go to the police investigation report that
09:51 25 talks about the two frozen lumps of snow there is



1 a 151 in the top right-hand corner; similarly for
2 all of these, we can go through matching it, as
3 well statement 9, the statement of Mrs. Indyk has
4 the number 9 on it. But do I take it that this
09:52 5 would have been prepared based upon Detective
6 Sergeant Ward's organization and numbering of the
7 file at that time?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Now, again, do you have a recollection -- I think
09:52 10 you said you don't recall whether you prepared the
11 first four pages but you likely did, or might have
12 done it, is that --

13 A I may have done it.

14 Q May have done it. If I wasn't you, who else may
09:52 15 have done it, do you know?

16 A Not really, unless it was Elmer Ullrich who did
17 case preparation, but I think it probably is a
18 little early for it to have been turned over to
19 him.

09:52 20 Q Would have Mr. Ward; is it possible that Jack Ward
21 did it?

22 A I don't know whether he was still living in
23 October -- or no, I don't know when it was
24 prepared, that's just a date there.

09:53 25 Q If we just go back, I think you told us -- if we



1 could go to page 006803, please -- and I think you
2 said you prepared this and then you took, took it
3 to Lieutenant Short, and then you went to see
4 Mr. Caldwell; is that right?

09:53 5 A Yes.

6 Q And do you recall whether, when you took this one
7 page to Mr. -- to Lieutenant Short, do you
8 remember if these four pages summarizing the file
9 were with this document or not?

09:53 10 A No, they wouldn't have been.

11 Q They wouldn't have been?

12 A No.

13 Q And so after you went to Lieutenant Short, at some
14 point after, can you help us out as to when the
09:53 15 first four pages would have been prepared?

16 A It would have been after our meeting with Mr.
17 Caldwell.

18 Q Okay. And so do I take it, Mr. Mackie -- and
19 please correct me if I'm wrong -- that after this
09:53 20 was prepared, at some point either you or someone
21 else put together a summary of what was in the
22 voluminous police files; would that be fair?

23 A Yes, it would be.

24 Q And that those four pages would then get attached
09:54 25 to this summary document?



1 A Well somebody did attach them, I don't know
2 whether that would be customary or --

3 Q And then, after your meeting with Lieutenant Short
4 and Mr. Caldwell, did you do anything further with
09:54 5 this document?

6 A I don't remember.

7 Q Did you give this to any other officers, put it on
8 the file, or do you know what was done with it?

9 A I don't know what happened from there on.

09:54 10 Q What was the purpose of this document, the
11 one-page summary?

12 A It would be an investigative aid to pick out the
13 highlights on the file that kind of indicated a
14 given suspect or a specific suspect.

09:54 15 Q And if we can just go to page 006801, you will see
16 at the bottom there is a reference to May 6th,
17 '69, some information with Lieutenant Penkala --
18 and if you could just go to the next page,
19 please -- and May 5th, '69, your discussions with
09:55 20 the Red Cross. And I'm just trying to get a time
21 frame Mr. Mackie, if I can, as to when you would
22 have prepared the one-page summary. And I think,
23 is it fair to say that pages 1, 2, 3, and 4, at
24 least pages 3 and 4 would have been prepared after
09:55 25 May 6th, '69; is that based on --



1 A It would have been done later, yes.

2 Q Yes. And so the summary, the one-page document,
3 may have been prepared prior to May 5th or 6th?

4 A Whenever I -- it would be the first one that I
09:55 5 prepared that -- on -- when I was going through
6 the file.

7 Q So from a time frame late April-early May,
8 somewhere in there, of 1969?

9 A Somewhere in there.

09:56 10 Q And if we could go to the next page please, and go
11 down to the bottom, and one of the suggestions is
12 that Nichol John, Wilson and Cadrain be brought to
13 Saskatoon for a hypnosis or polygraph; can we take
14 it from that that this would have been prepared
09:56 15 prior to Art Roberts coming to Saskatoon on May
16 21?

17 A I would think so.

18 Q So that if we say late April-early May, is that --
19 of '69, does that sound right as far as the time
09:56 20 frame as to when you would have prepared the
21 one-page summary?

22 A Probably is but I can't really say.

23 Q Okay. If we could go to the top, please, and
24 again, I -- would you have dictated it or
09:56 25 handwritten this and had someone type it?



1 A The original one would have been handwritten.

2 Q And then someone would have had it typed up?

3 A Then they probably dictated it, or somebody typed
4 it up, or --

09:57 5 Q When you went to see Detective Short, was it
6 handwritten at that time, do you remember?

7 A Yes it was.

8 Q And based on your discussion with Lieutenant
9 Short, would you have made changes to it, possibly
09:57 10 before it was typed up?

11 A Not likely, but I may have, I don't know. I might
12 have, after meeting with Caldwell, went back to
13 the file, but I don't know, don't remember.

14 Q Okay, no, that's fine. So if we go through --
09:57 15 and, again, I just want to ask you some questions
16 about what you have put down here -- the first two
17 bullets are:

18 "- Milgaard alleges he could not find
19 Cadrain's house even though he lived
09:57 20 there a few days.

21 - On his travels he seems to have no
22 problem finding any particular address
23 to obtain drugs or other things he
24 wants."

09:57 25 What's the significance of those two items as far



1 as you trying to link some evidence to Mr.
2 Milgaard as a suspect?

3 A It would be something that was indicated that it
4 could be evidence, it might not be evidence, it's
09:58 5 just something to work with.

6 Q So we know from the file that Mr. Milgaard, I
7 think in Dennis Cadrain's statement or someone
8 else's statement or a number of statements, that
9 he had been at Cadrain's house a year earlier; is
09:58 10 that fair?

11 A I don't remember.

12 Q Okay. So when you say:

13 "- Milgaard alleges he could not find
14 Cadrain's house ...",

09:58 15 do I take it from that that you are saying, okay,
16 that might not be true?

17 A Well there was probably something in the file to
18 indicate --

19 Q Okay. And then is the second bullet -- and please
09:58 20 correct me if I'm wrong here -- it seems to say
21 'well lookit, he can find other addresses when he
22 needs to obtain other drugs or things he wants',
23 are you doubting that, and again at this time are
24 you doubting that he couldn't find Cadrain's house
09:58 25 as he alleged?



1 A It would appear that way, yes.

2 Q And so at this point is this sort of a theory, a
3 suspicion, help us out on what -- what you are
4 putting down here?

09:59 5 A Probably was more theory than fact, but I don't
6 really remember.

7 Q And then the third bullet:

8 "- From where some articles were found
9 it would appear that possibly no lights
09:59 10 on at Cadrains house when the three
11 first arrived from Regina or shortly
12 after, therefore, they did not call at
13 the house."

14 Can you tell us what that would mean or where
09:59 15 that fits in?

16 A No, I can't.

17 Q Well we know that Gail Miller's wallet, well you
18 found it with Mr. Beauchamp, correct, on April
19 4th, '69 a couple doors down from Cadrains' house,
09:59 20 and there was also, do you recall, a toque being
21 found with the blood substance on it by the
22 neighbour of the Cadrains?

23 A No, I don't remember a toque.

24 Q Okay. So when we look at that, about where
10:00 25 articles were found, would it be fair that this is



1 a hypothesis or a theory that maybe the Milgaard
2 vehicle arrived at Cadrain's, the lights weren't
3 on, so they went elsewhere and then came back
4 later; is that --

10:00 5 A It could be.

6 Q And the next two:

7 "- All were out of funds and may have
8 gone driving with a view to getting
9 money.

10:00 10 - On seeing nurse (Miller) she was
11 approached on pretence of getting
12 directions with a view to stealing her
13 purse."

14 And, at this time, do I take it you had
10:00 15 information that suggested that they were all out
16 of funds?

17 A I don't remember, but it would appear that that's
18 probably true.

19 Q And then again, on just the tense here, it says:

10:00 20 "- On seeing the nurse (Miller) she was
21 approached on pretence of getting
22 directions ...",

23 is this a theory or a possibility or what are you
24 saying here?

10:01 25 A It's a possibility.



1 Q And then it goes on to say:

2 "- This would be around funeral home
3 which would coincide with statements of
4 Nichol John - Diewold seeing lights in
10:01 5 alley - Doell saying Miller took bus at
6 Avenue N."

7 If we can just pause there, we know on the file,
8 Mr. Mackie, that Henry Diewold, who was the
9 church caretaker at St. Mary's, said he saw
10:01 10 lights in the T alley where the body was found I
11 think shortly after 7:00 a.m.; is that what you
12 are referring to here?

13 A I think likely.

14 Q And Simon Doell had given evidence or a statement
10:01 15 to the police saying that Gail Miller took the bus
16 at Avenue N.; is that what you would be referring
17 to there, I presume?

18 A I think so.

19 Q And if we go, if we just take a look at this point
10:02 20 here, certainly a reading of this it says this
21 would coincide with statements, plural, of Nichol
22 John about being around a funeral home and seeing
23 nurse approached on pretence of getting
24 directions; do you see that?

10:02 25 A Yes.



1 Q Now when you wrote this, then, would you have had
2 this information about what Nichol John said from
3 some source?

4 A I would think so, if there was something on the
10:02 5 file, I don't know whether there was two
6 statements, one statement.

7 Q Yeah.

8 A I don't know.

9 Q If we could just go to page 006800, in fact if we
10:02 10 can leave this document on the right-hand side and
11 go to page 006800 on the left side, and if you
12 look at your summary, the points there, seeing the
13 nurse in around the funeral home -- just get that
14 down -- and then here you will see for Nichol John
10:03 15 statement 81, March 11th, 69, which we know is the
16 statement given to Inspector Riddell, and I
17 believe page 355 -- and I could be wrong on
18 that -- I do know that, August 14th, that Nichol
19 John was interviewed by Detective Karst, Walters,
10:03 20 and a few others, but if we look down -- if we can
21 just call out that -- what it says in the summary
22 under Nichol John, it says:

23 "- Admits seeing nurse (looked like
24 nurse) near funeral home. Asked
10:03 25 directions."



1 Do you see that?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And then if you look at the summary, about what
4 you put in your summary and what is attributed to
10:03 5 Nichol John in the file review, it seems to be
6 that the summary is based on that; is that fair?

7 A Yes.

8 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: That was from
9 Nichol John's statement of March the 11th?

10:04 10 BY MR. HODSON:

11 Q I will get that. The document on the left-hand
12 side is page 2 of this five-page document, and so
13 it is a summary, in fact if we could just scroll
14 up a bit on the left-hand side to the top --
10:04 15 further please -- actually, if we could just go
16 back to the -- take that down for a moment. You
17 will see it says here Nichol John, Regina,
18 statement 81, March 11, '69, and then identifies
19 it. And Mr. Mackie, if you take a look at March
10:04 20 11 of '69 in Nichol John's statement there is no
21 reference in that statement where Nichol John says
22 that she admits seeing a nurse, looked like a
23 nurse near the funeral home, asked directions; nor
24 is there any reference to that statement in the
10:04 25 April 1969 police report dealing with her



1 interview. I'm wondering if -- again on your
2 summary it refers to statements, plural, of Nichol
3 John, there's only one referenced on the left-hand
4 side in the file; can you help us understand where
10:05 5 that information may have come from if it's not in
6 the March 11th, '69 statement? And let me just
7 pause. The rest of the information noted there, I
8 think it's fair to say, is in -- is in the March
9 11th, '69 statement, and so I'm seeing if you can
10:05 10 help us out as to where this bullet of information
11 from Nichol John may have come from?

12 A I don't know.

13 Q Would it be possible that you would have learned
14 this information verbally from other officers who
10:05 15 may have been involved, or perhaps from Lieutenant
16 Short?

17 A That I couldn't say, I don't remember, don't
18 remember.

19 Q If it's in your summary are you certain, then,
10:06 20 that it would have been information you got from
21 somewhere?

22 A Yes, probably would have been in the file, but I
23 don't --

24 Q Okay.

10:06 25 A I don't remember where.



1 Q If we can go back to the summary on the right in
2 the full screen, please, then the next bullet:

3 "- Wilson appears to be driver of car,
4 therefore, Milgaard would leave car to
10:06 5 get purse ...",

6 and I take it the purse, would that be your
7 theory then at the time, that this was part of a
8 purse snatching?

9 A Yes.

10:07 10 Q "... having seen Miller closer his sex
11 drive takes over and he forces her down
12 alley to where she is found."

13 What would cause you; would this again be a
14 theory about Milgaard's sex drive taking over?

10:07 15 A Yes.

16 Q And what would cause you to make that theory or to
17 hypothesize that?

18 A What would cause it is you were thinking and you
19 would --

10:07 20 Q You are thinking they are there, and that he might
21 be the perpetrator, therefore this is maybe what
22 happened; is that --

23 A It's, it's a theory or an assumption or a
24 possibility.

10:07 25 Q And then it says:



1 "... and he forces her down alley to
2 where she is found."

3 And then it says:

4 "- Nichol John knows or suspects results
10:07 5 and leaves car. Runs west on 20th
6 Street in 1400 block and is girl seen by
7 Indyh at St. Mary Church. At this point
8 she changes her mind about saying
9 anything and goes north on Avenue O
10:07 10 where she meets car again."

11 Now at this point you would agree that there is
12 no -- 'evidence' is maybe the wrong word --
13 Nichol John hasn't, no one has said that that's
14 happened?

10:08 15 A I don't know, other than what it says there.

16 Q Yeah. And I take it the Marie Indyk, there's some
17 information on file that she saw a woman or maybe
18 two women that morning, and there is reference in
19 the file, so is it a case, Mr. Mackie, where you
10:08 20 are taking existing, known facts, based on what
21 people say, and trying to fit those into what you
22 think might have happened and trying to say 'okay,
23 if Mrs. Indyk saw somebody and Henry Diewold saw
24 somebody how does that fit if Milgaard is the
10:08 25 perpetrator', and try and sort that out, or why



1 would you be referring to Indyk seeing Nichol
2 John?

3 A It's all putting part of the whole picture
4 together if it fits as a picture.

10:09 5 Q In fact, at this time, Nichol John had not said
6 that she knows or suspects results and had left
7 the car; is that fair?

8 A I don't really know whether --

9 Q Okay. I think from, based on the record, I think,
10:09 10 Mr. Mackie, I don't think she had said anything to
11 that effect to the police by that time, if you can
12 accept that?

13 A Yes.

14 Q If we can scroll down, please, it says:

10:09 15 "- Milgaard after murder returns to car
16 with boot and sweater (car possibly
17 followed down lane) to which Wilson
18 objects to and as a result are buried in
19 the snow."

10:09 20 And, again, we know that Jack Parker found the
21 boot and sweater buried in the lane, correct, you
22 would have known that at the time?

23 A I would have known, I think.

24 Q Yeah. Do you recall, do you recall any of this
10:09 25 right now, Mr. Mackie?



1 A No.

2 Q So, again, would it be the case of trying to fit
3 the buried boot and sweater with what you think
4 might have happened with Milgaard as the
10:10 5 perpetrator; is that a fair characterization?

6 A I think so.

7 Q And saying 'okay, well we know that a boot and
8 sweater were found buried in the snow, if Milgaard
9 were the perpetrator then here's maybe how the
10:10 10 boot and sweater got there'; is that fair?

11 A I would think so, yes.

12 Q And you are saying here 'to which Wilson objects',
13 and you are adding, saying 'well maybe the reason
14 he buried it is if Wilson told him 'don't bring
10:10 15 the boot and sweater in the car, bury it'; is that
16 fair?

17 A That's an assumption you could make.

18 Q And when I look at this it appears, based on this
19 theory, that Wilson and John are somewhat
10:10 20 involved, involved in the incident, is that fair,
21 not being the perpetrators of the assault on Gail
22 Miller but certainly being -- and I don't want to
23 use the, as a legal term, 'accomplices' -- but
24 certainly there and facilitating getting rid of
10:11 25 evidence and getting away; is that a fair



1 characterization?

2 A It's possible they were aware of what's happened.
3 I don't know how much their involvement would have
4 been.

10:11 5 Q But I think the part that I just read you, that
6 'Nichol John knows or suspects something', runs
7 away, and here you are theorizing that Wilson
8 objects to Gail Miller's boot and sweater and, as
9 a result, Milgaard buries it in the snow. Okay,
10:11 10 so what, was your theory at this time that Wilson
11 and John may have been more than witnesses?

12 A I don't think I ever thought they were more than
13 witnesses until maybe later on.

14 Q Okay.

10:11 15 A At that time they would have been witnesses, had
16 knowledge.

17 Q And then it says:

18 "- Purse thrown in garbage on way
19 through alley from Avenue N to O -
10:12 20 possibly when Nichol John returns to car
21 and is picked up."

22 And at that time you would have known that Gail
23 Miller's purse was found in the garbage cans in
24 the lane; correct?

10:12 25 A Well I think that probably was in the file



1 somewhere, yes.

2 **Q** Yeah, it's in there, and so again you try and fit
3 that fact into what you think might have happened
4 if Mr. Milgaard had been the perpetrator?

10:12 5 **A** Right.

6 **Q** And then it goes down:

7 "- Wallet and toque are in car and when
8 Milgaard gets keys from Wilson at
9 Cadraains to put suitcase in car, he
10:12 10 disposes of toque and wallet at this
11 time."

12 And in the file and in the statements, Mr.
13 Mackie, there is reference to the fact that when
14 Wilson, Milgaard and John arrived at Cadraains'
10:12 15 that morning, that Milgaard took Wilson's vehicle
16 alone and went for a ride in the car, which some
17 viewed as being unusual; do you recall that
18 information?

19 **A** Not specifically, but I believe it's in the file,
10:13 20 I think I read something about it.

21 **Q** Okay, so again would it be a case of saying 'okay,
22 well that sounds suspicious that someone would
23 leave in the car, maybe that's when he got rid of
24 the wallet and the toque'?

10:13 25 **A** In developing a picture of what might have



1 happened.

2 **Q** Okay. And then if you could scroll down, please,
3 it says:

4 "- Nichol John says Milgaard wore a dark
10:13 5 toque which she has not seen since Jan.
6 31st.

7 - Milgaard has removed wallet from purse
8 at scene and retains it without Wilson
9 or Nichol John knowing he obtained it."

10:13 10 And I take it that would be a theory, then, as to
11 why the wallet wouldn't be in the purse in the
12 garbage can, or in other words a theory as to how
13 the wallet got to be in the snow by Cadraings'
14 house as opposed to in the garbage can; is that
15 fair?

16 **A** Yes.

17 **Q** And then you say:

18 "- He ...",

19 which I presume was Milgaard:

10:14 20 "... may have been intent on keeping the
21 purse and it is put in garbage after
22 Wilson looks for money in it, and at
23 time Nichol John returns to car."

24 So it looks as, here, you are thinking that maybe
10:14 25 Wilson was involved in at least looking for money



1 in the wallet, Gail Miller's wallet; is that
2 fair?

3 A That's what it's indicating.

4 Q And then you go down, scroll down a bit, sort of
10:14 5 an alternate theory:

6 "- Or did Wilson and Milgaard both
7 become involved in theft of purse and
8 Milgaard intent on rape assaults and
9 murders Gail Miller.

10:14 10 Wilson has purse, goes through it and
11 puts it in the garbage can while waiting
12 on Milgaard who he is aware is raping
13 Miller."

14 So, again, that's sort of another theory that you
10:14 15 think may have happened?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And then it goes down to Suggestions, it says:

18 "- Nichol John, Wilson and Cadrain be
19 brought to Saskatoon where with all
10:14 20 present the true story can be obtained
21 ever if hypnosis or polygraph are
22 necessary.

23 - Milgaard be located and a sperm sample
24 be obtained if possible."

10:15 25 I take it the last item related to the test --



1 A Case of which?

2 Q Pardon me? This last item about Milgaard giving a
3 sperm sample would relate to the fact that either
4 the test was either inconclusive about him being a
10:15 5 secretor, he was certainly blood type A and
6 there's been some evidence that the test was
7 either inconclusive or that it showed that he was
8 a non-secretor, and my question is would this
9 suggestion be to sort of follow up on that?

10:15 10 A Yes, yes.

11 Q So, if we go to this first bullet, what did you
12 mean when you say:

13 "... be brought to Saskatoon where with
14 all present ...",

10:15 15 were you suggesting that the three of them be
16 interviewed together?

17 A No, they be brought to -- you wouldn't win
18 anything in interviewing them together.

19 Q And why not?

10:15 20 A You want their individual stories, you don't want
21 the story of a whole bunch of people.

22 Q And when you said:

23 "... where with all present the true
24 story can be obtained ..."?

10:16 25 A I think, going back to the rest of that summary,



1 there appears the thought that probably there was
2 some omissions, errors, whatever in their stories,
3 that they weren't exactly all the same.

4 Q So you had concerns that you weren't getting the
10:16 5 true story from Wilson, John, and Cadrain; is that
6 fair?

7 A That's what it's saying there.

8 Q And what if Ron Wilson and Nichol John had been
9 brought in and had maintained their earlier story
10:16 10 -- or 'story' is the wrong word there -- earlier
11 statement that Milgaard could not have committed
12 the crime, that he didn't leave their company for
13 more than a couple of minutes; what if that had
14 been what Nichol John and Ron Wilson told you on
10:16 15 May 23rd and 24th, what would you, as an
16 investigator what would you have done?

17 A Go looking further.

18 Q Pardon me?

19 A Go looking further.

10:16 20 Q Further at Mr. Milgaard?

21 A Milgaard or anything else on the file. It could
22 have been Milgaard, it could have been anybody
23 else that was there.

24 Q If Wilson and John and -- let's say Wilson and
10:16 25 John had maintained their earlier statements in



1 polygraph or whatever and you were satisfied with
2 their statements, would you have eliminated David
3 Milgaard as a suspect?

4 A If they said that he wasn't there and all this?

10:17 5 Q Yes. If they would have come forward on May 23rd
6 and 24th and given statements similar to what they
7 had earlier told the police in early March, that
8 he wasn't out of their sight for more than a
9 couple of minutes and that they didn't think it
10:17 10 was possible that he could have committed the
11 murder?

12 A I think probably it would have led down a
13 different road.

14 Q Would you have eliminated Mr. Milgaard as a
10:17 15 suspect then?

16 A Pushed him down the ladder a long way, or a piece.
17 They would look for more evidence, you would have
18 to have some more evidence of some kind to
19 establish or eliminate.

10:17 20 Q Now, when you say here you want to, with all
21 present, get the true story, are you saying to try
22 and get the story that you put forward in the
23 summary; is that what you believed to be the true
24 story at the time?

10:17 25 A I can't really say what I thought at that time.



1 Q Is that because you don't recall?

2 A Right. I don't remember what I would have been
3 thinking.

4 Q Now, it talks about hypnosis. Had you had
10:18 5 experience with using hypnosis with witnesses
6 before?

7 A No.

8 Q And why would you have suggested hypnosis or
9 polygraph here?

10:18 10 A Something I read.

11 Q Pardon me?

12 A Something I read probably somewhere.

13 Q And what about polygraph, had you had experience
14 with polygraph?

10:18 15 A No. I would have heard of it.

16 Q And so you were saying that we should look at
17 either hypnosis or polygraph as a tool to assist
18 with investigation?

19 A It's two suggestions that they could use if they
10:18 20 wished.

21 Q Now, if we look at this summary, there doesn't
22 appear to be anything in there that would be
23 termed as exculpatory to Mr. Milgaard; in other
24 words, sort of the other side of the story saying,
10:19 25 well, here's some reasons why he may not be a



1 suspect. Would you agree with that, that those
2 aren't in there?

3 A No, there's nothing in there saying he might not
4 be.

10:19 5 Q And is there a reason that you wouldn't have
6 included that in this summary?

7 A I don't know for sure, but I would say probably I
8 was kind of thinking Milgaard, putting it
9 together, and that he was one that you were going
10:19 10 to look at at that time.

11 Q For example, and perhaps it's in the first four
12 pages by based on what's in their statements, both
13 Nichol John and Ron Wilson and David Milgaard had
14 given statements to the police in early March,
10:19 15 1969 about the events of that morning that were --
16 and again maybe this is subjective -- but had a
17 number of consistencies in them as to what they
18 did and where they went. Is that fair?

19 A I don't really remember what's in the statements.

10:20 20 Q Okay. But assume that to be the case, that -- and
21 the statements I think at this time, you would
22 agree, that Nichol John and Ron Wilson had not
23 given statements saying David Milgaard killed Gail
24 Miller or David Milgaard was away for long enough
10:20 25 to kill Gail Miller or anything like that?



1 A I don't remember anything like that.

2 Q So that would be exculpatory though, wouldn't it,
3 the fact that the travelling companions gave a
4 statement to the police that was, had some
10:20 5 consistencies, and there may be debate about how
6 many consistencies, but some about what happened
7 and that if those statements are true, then he
8 couldn't have committed the murder and then that
9 would be sort of the other side of the story
10:20 10 wouldn't it?

11 A It would, yes.

12 Q And what about the fact that, and it's referred
13 to -- if you can just go back to page 006801 -- as
14 part of this is the Danchuks, and you refer in the
10:21 15 statement to those people and they were people who
16 spent approximately an hour with David Milgaard,
17 Nichol John and Ron Wilson at about 7:40 a.m. the
18 morning of the murder, and I believe their
19 statements indicated that they saw nothing unusual
10:21 20 and didn't see any blood on Mr. Milgaard's
21 clothing and nothing unusual about his demeanour,
22 and would the fact that if Mr. Milgaard had raped
23 and murdered Gail Miller at 7:00 a.m. or
24 thereabouts, that a half an hour later, that based
10:21 25 on the Danchuks that they could see no visible



1 signs of struggle, blood or anything unusual about
2 him, would that not be something that you would
3 put on the other side of the ledger and say, well,
4 that might suggest he's not a suspect?

10:21 5 A Yes.

6 Q And would there be a reason that that wouldn't be
7 in your summary?

8 A I don't really know. The problem is the summary
9 was less detailed than what this is.

10:22 10 Q Okay. But I guess just back on the summary, was
11 it intentionally done to say look, I'm just going
12 to put together everything that points to David
13 Milgaard as a suspect and the theory that he may
14 have done it versus a document that puts both
10:22 15 sides in, if I can call it that?

16 A That's very possible that's the way it would be.

17 Q So it's possible -- would it be fair to say
18 that -- are you telling us that your summary was
19 intended to be only the inculpatory stuff,
10:22 20 information about David Milgaard as opposed to a
21 more objective here's the other side that suggests
22 he may not?

23 A I would say so at that time, yes, if you are
24 looking at one suspect and picking out item s that
10:22 25 led to support that belief.



1 Q Would it have been helpful, Mr. Mackie, to include
2 in there things that might go counter to that
3 theory; in other words, that might suggest he may
4 not be the suspect?

10:23 5 A I suppose it would be possible, but by this it
6 appears some of that came up later on when you are
7 going further with it.

8 Q Just go back to the summary. What about the
9 timing and the fact that based upon where the
10:23 10 Milgaard group was and the time that Gail Miller
11 left her house and the fact that the Milgaards
12 were I think at the Trav-a-leer motel, and I'm not
13 sure if that information was known by this time,
14 but did you ever sit down and think, okay, was
10:23 15 there enough time for Mr. Milgaard to do these
16 things and did you satisfy yourself that, okay,
17 that doesn't, the timing works or the timing fits,
18 if I can call it that?

19 A Not that I remember. I don't remember
10:24 20 specifically doing something like that.

21 Q Now -- and again this theory talks about I think
22 them stopping the nurse on Avenue N, I think
23 there's a reference to -- if we can just go up a
24 bit -- Doell saying Miller took bus at Avenue N
10:24 25 and that the vehicle -- if we can just scroll up a



1 bit, please, and on seeing nurse, Miller was
2 approached on pretence of getting directions, this
3 would be around funeral home, which would coincide
4 with statements of Nichol John and Avenue N, and
10:24 5 so it appears from this your theory that Gail
6 Miller is stopped for directions on Avenue N and
7 then the vehicle is somewhere in the back alley
8 behind the funeral home facing west so that Mr.
9 Diewold can see the lights. Do you see that?

10:25 10 A Yes.

11 Q So did you, when you put this forward, did you
12 look at the police file and say okay, well, if
13 that's what happened, who might have seen that.
14 Do you know what I'm saying? In other words,
10:25 15 you'll recall early in the investigation the
16 police talked to many, many people who lived in
17 the area who were catching the bus that morning to
18 see what they observed. Do you agree with that
19 generally?

10:25 20 A Yes.

21 Q And did you go back and say okay, if this theory
22 happened and they did stop her on Avenue N and
23 they did go in the alley, at this time I'm not
24 sure if there's any reference to being stuck, but
10:25 25 certainly in the alley, should I go back and see



1 who might have seen it; in other words, to see if
2 there's other evidence on the file that might
3 either support your theory or say, you know what,
4 I'm not sure it happened this way because if it
10:25 5 did, then these people would have seen something.

6 A That's one way of putting it together, building a
7 picture of what may have happened.

8 Q Yes.

9 A And dealing with it from there.

10:26 10 Q If I can maybe call up 106648, please, and this is
11 a report of March 27th, '69 by Detective Sergeant
12 Reid and it talks about interviews on March 26th,
13 '69 with you, interviewed Vicky Fontaine at Main
14 Street, and it says also interviewed on this date
10:26 15 was Arthur Merriman. Now, it doesn't say you were
16 with Mr. Reid, but what this talks about is Arthur
17 and Margaret Merriman lived, and I'll show you a
18 map in a moment, on Avenue N right near the alley
19 behind the funeral home and their front window
10:26 20 looked out right at the entrance to that alley and
21 it says here:

22 "It should be noted that this persons'
23 residence they can look down the T lane
24 rear of Westwood Memorial and
10:27 25 Mr. Merriman advises that his eyesight



1 is not too good but they were looking
2 out this window waiting for the taxi to
3 arrive and nothing unusual was observed
4 pertaining to persons or vehicles, and
10:27 5 Mr. Merriman was unable to offer any
6 further additional information which may
7 be of assistance to us in connection
8 with this occurrence ..."

9 And I believe there's a March 5th report where
10:27 10 Mrs. Merriman told an officer similar information
11 to this. Again, do you recall reviewing this or
12 being present when Mr. Merriman was interviewed?

13 A No, I don't remember anything about it.

14 Q And the fact that, a least according to this
10:27 15 report, that at 6:55 a.m., which would be plus or
16 minus five or 10 minutes of when I think the
17 police thought Gail Miller was murdered; is that
18 fair?

19 A I think so.

10:27 20 Q And so the fact that at 6:55 a.m., and prior to
21 that, that there may have been people looking out
22 their front window at the entrance to the back
23 alley behind the funeral home, would that not be
24 an important piece of information to include in
10:28 25 your theory about what might have happened?



1 A It may have been. It didn't get put in.

2 Q Pardon me?

3 A It may have been that it should have been in
4 there, but it wasn't put in.

10:28 5 Q Do you have any recollection of that, of the
6 interview or this information?

7 A No.

8 Q What about, again just back to your summary, I
9 think you had told us, although you don't recall,
10:28 10 that you assume that you would have known about
11 the potential that the perpetrator of the unsolved
12 section sexual assaults may have been the killer
13 of Gail Miller; is that right?

14 A I think so.

10:28 15 Q And again in this document there's nothing in here
16 that says for this theory to work, then it must be
17 a different person that committed the earlier
18 assaults. Do you see what I'm saying?

19 A Yes.

10:29 20 Q And so had that theory been abandoned or put to
21 the side or just how did that fit in, the fact
22 that the police thought it may be the same
23 perpetrator, how did that fit in with this theory
24 that Mr. Milgaard was the suspect, or a suspect?

10:29 25 A With this summary, I don't think it was considered



1 at that point. It was something else to work with
2 down the road.

3 Q So at this time, Mr. Mackie, I take it based on
4 this summary, that you certainly would have had,
10:29 5 in your mind, a number of unanswered questions
6 about Mr. Milgaard's potential involvement in the
7 file; is that fair?

8 A I would think so, yes.

9 Q And in your mind he was a suspect for the murder
10:30 10 of Gail Miller?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And you had a theory or some theories as to what
13 may have happened; is that fair?

14 A Yes.

10:30 15 Q And you had a number of things that you thought
16 needed to be checked further to get further
17 information; is that fair?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And then based on that information, would it
10:30 20 either solidify your suspicion or weaken it and
21 perhaps eliminate Mr. Milgaard as a suspect; is
22 that fair?

23 A Yes.

24 MR. HODSON: This is probably an
10:30 25 appropriate time for our break, Mr. Commissioner.



COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay.

(Adjourned at 10:30 a.m.)

(Reconvened at 10:48 a.m.)

BY MR. HODSON:

10:48 5 Q Mr. Mackie, after I think you told us the summary
6 was prepared, you think it was handwritten, you
7 went to Lieutenant Short, you went to meet with
8 Mr. Caldwell and then I think you said at some
9 point after that it got typed up and I think you
10:48 10 said it may or may not have been revised based on
11 those discussions; is that fair?

12 A I don't really remember how it was done, I just
13 know those are there. The only one I do remember
14 is I remember I did a summary up and I think that
10:48 15 first one is probably a copy of that.

16 Q Okay. If I could call up 106667 and this is your
17 report dated April 30, 1969 and again I think
18 we've identified the summary you prepared would
19 have been late April, early May you said, is that
10:49 20 the time frame?

21 A I guess somewhere in there.

22 Q So this is the report April 30th and if we could
23 just call that out. It looks on April 29th you
24 are checking out Laronold Cherneski who is charged
10:49 25 with rape, was taken to Red Cross and then you



1 eliminated this man as a suspect regarding this
2 murder, so is it fair to conclude that
3 notwithstanding the summary you prepared on Mr.
4 Milgaard, that you are still out on April 30th and
10:49 5 April 29th investigating other suspects?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And as well a John Nugget and some information
8 there, and why would that, can you help us -- or
9 let me put it this way. I take it that
10:50 10 notwithstanding the summary and your thoughts
11 about Mr. Milgaard as a suspect, would the police
12 have been continuing to look at other leads as
13 well?

14 A That's what it appears. I don't really remember
10:50 15 these things, but I would say that's what would
16 happen, you still continue on, because you haven't
17 got anybody charged yet.

18 Q So just as -- I appreciate you don't recall, but
19 as a general practice then, in your world as an
10:50 20 investigator, that even where you have someone who
21 you think is a suspect then, would you continue to
22 look at other leads?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And would that continue right up until the time
10:50 25 that you've charged somebody?



1 A Yes, it would, or should.

2 Q If we can go to document 052961, please, and this
3 is a May 21, 1969 RCMP report of Inspector
4 Riddell, and I don't suspect you've seen this
10:51 5 before, but I just want to refer to -- these are
6 notes of a meeting that were held on May 16th and
7 it's Inspector Riddell of the RCMP meeting with
8 Wood, Penkala and Short and Edmondson and then it
9 goes on, paragraph 4:

10:51 10 "After a great deal of discussion it was
11 agreed that David Milgaard could be
12 considered as the prime suspect in this
13 case and that further efforts should be
14 made to eliminate or implicate him in
10:51 15 this offence."

16 And then it goes on to talk about some of the
17 evidence. Now, it doesn't list you as being at
18 this meeting, Mr. Mackie. Do you recall either
19 being aware or this meeting taking place?

10:51 20 A No.

21 Q And we heard evidence from Mr. Penkala that -- I'm
22 sorry, there's a new number up there, 250610 is
23 the right doc ID for that -- we heard evidence
24 from Mr. Penkala that the summary document that
10:52 25 you prepared, the one page, and I believe his



1 evidence was not necessarily that it was referred
2 to at the meeting, or the document itself, but
3 certainly some of the content, and I'm wondering
4 if you can help us out whether or not -- do you
10:52 5 know whether your summary document was used or was
6 part of the meeting of the, it looks like the
7 executives or the senior officers with the police
8 and the RCMP?

9 A No, I don't.

10:52 10 Q And then if we could go to the next page,
11 paragraph 6, and it looks like coming out of this
12 meeting that the police will be questioning
13 Wilson and John to establish what knowledge they
14 have and a lie detector test, etcetera, and the
10:52 15 Calgary police have a polygraph, so it appears
16 that on May 16th, Mr. Mackie, your superiors went
17 through a process, concluded that David Milgaard
18 was the prime suspect and that Wilson and John
19 should be brought in and asked to submit to a lie
10:53 20 detector test. Do you see that?

21 A I see that.

22 Q And it doesn't refer to you being involved. Do
23 you have any recollection of that, of your
24 involvement?

10:53 25 A No.



1 Q Now, the record shows that from May 20th to 24,
2 1969 there was a fair bit of activity involving
3 Mr. Wilson and Ms. John and various police
4 officers, and let me just outline quickly what the
10:53 5 record shows and then I have some questions. It
6 shows that, or suggests that you and Mr. Karst
7 went to Regina on May 20th for interviews of Ron
8 Wilson and that Mr. Karst and Mr. Wilson returned
9 on May 21st, you stayed in Regina and brought
10:53 10 Nichol John back on May 22nd. On May 23rd both
11 John and Wilson were interviewed by Roberts.
12 Wilson gave statements on the 23rd and 24th of May
13 and Nichol John gave a statement to you on May
14 24th, and before we go through the documents that
10:54 15 deal with this, Mr. Mackie, I would like to know
16 whether, what your recollection is of your
17 dealings with Nichol John and Ron Wilson on the
18 dates May 20th to 24th, 1969.

19 A I remember bringing her back from Regina and going
10:54 20 around the funeral home.

21 Q Yes.

22 A Her reaction when she looked in an alley past the
23 funeral home.

24 Q And what do you recall -- you are talking about
10:54 25 Nichol John are you?



1 A Yes.

2 Q And what do you recall of her reaction?

3 A Her reaction to two garbage cans and a change in
4 demeanour or --

10:54 5 Q In what way?

6 A Fear or -- I don't know what you would describe
7 it.

8 Q And what else do you have a recollection of as far
9 as those four days?

10:55 10 A That's about it.

11 Q Do you have any recollection of your dealings with
12 Ron Wilson?

13 A No.

14 Q Do you have any recollection of your dealings with
10:55 15 Nichol John other than what you've just described
16 to us?

17 A No.

18 Q Do you have any recollection of taking Nichol
19 John's statement on May 24th, 1969?

10:55 20 A No.

21 Q Do you have any recollection of dealing with Art
22 Roberts of the Calgary Police Service in
23 connection with the polygraph?

24 A I remember taking a suitcase with some clothes
10:55 25 from the police station over to the Cavalier.



1 That's all.

2 Q And you've had a chance, Mr. Mackie, to review the
3 documents that, either your reports and other
4 reports and the statements for that time period,
10:55 5 and again, and I take it those, reviewing those
6 documents, did they refresh your memory at all?

7 A No.

8 Q If we could just start off, and we'll walk through
9 these, Mr. Mackie, and it's an important time
10:56 10 period, and I just want to walk through some of
11 these with you and I have some questions. I
12 appreciate your statement that you have little or
13 no recollection of those, but perhaps we can get
14 your comments on some of them. The first is
10:56 15 009264 and this is a report of Detective Karst
16 dated May 25, '69 and if we could just call out
17 the first paragraph. I'm sorry, the first --
18 yeah, it says:

19 "With regards to the Miller murder file,
10:56 20 D/Sgt. Mackie and myself attended in
21 Regina on Tuesday, May 20th, to make
22 further inquiries with regards to this
23 aspect of the file."

24 Do you recall travelling to Regina with Detective
10:57 25 Karst or being in Regina?



1 A I remember going to Regina, but I don't remember
2 who I went with.

3 Q It says, if we could scroll down:

4 "Insp. Riddell of the RCMP of that
10:57 5 detachment and Constable Walters of the
6 city police in Regina, were interviewed
7 and arrangements made for various
8 appointments and interviews on the
9 following day."

10:57 10 Do you recall meeting with Inspector Riddell and
11 Constable Walters of the Regina police?

12 A I don't specifically remember Riddell. Constable
13 Walters' name is familiar.

14 Q Then it carries on, it says:

10:57 15 "On Wednesday, May 21st, D/Sgt. Mackie
16 and myself and Cst. Walters attended at
17 1769 Cornwall Street, Regina, which is
18 the Dept. Of Welfare where Nickel John's
19 Social Worker was interviewed. Nickel
10:57 20 John being a female and will hereafter
21 in this report be referred to by her
22 nickname which was 'Nickey'."

23 Scroll down:

24 "The interview was taped for further
10:58 25 use, and although the Social Worker



1 interviewed "Mr. Don Robertson", stated
2 there were certain interviews he had had
3 with his girl since he has worked with
4 her, since the time of the alleged
10:58 5 offence in Saskatoon, which is of a
6 confidential nature, he is not prepared
7 to divulge to us, he, whoever, did say
8 that she stated she was not personally
9 involved in a murder."

10:58 10 Do you have any recollection of interviewing a
11 social worker or a Mr. Robertson?

12 A No.

13 Q And then scroll down, the next, it says:

14 "A call was later made to 82 Waldren
10:58 15 Cres., where Nickey's parents were to be
16 interviewed, however, we could not
17 locate them at this time."

18 Do you recall going to Nichol John's parents'
19 house?

10:58 20 A I don't remember.

21 Q It says:

22 "At 2:00 PM, May 21st, Ronald Wilson was
23 interviewed at the Regina City Police
24 station, the following officers being
10:58 25 present, D/Sgt. Mackie, Cst. Walters,



1 and Cst. Dike of the Regina department,
2 along with myself."

3 Which is Mr. Karst.

4 "This conversation also being taped and
10:59 5 presently in my possession."

6 Scroll down:

7 "During this conversation with Ronald
8 Wilson, he admitted attending in
9 Saskatoon with Milgaard and Nickey on
10:59 10 the early morning of January 31st and in
11 contradiction to his original and other
12 interviews, he admitted that Milgaard
13 had left the car when they became stuck
14 at approx. 6:45 that morning, while
10:59 15 looking for the Cadrain residence. All
16 Wilson would state at this time was that
17 Milgaard appeared to be puffing and
18 running, slightly out of breath when he
19 returned to the vehicle, and he admitted
10:59 20 that he had since thought that this was
21 the time that Milgaard was probably
22 involved in a murder."

23 And then just scroll down, it says:

24 "With this information at hand, I
10:59 25 brought Wilson back to Saskatoon while



1 D/Sgt. Mackie stayed in Regina to
2 conduct further inquiries and locate the
3 Nickey referred to in this report, as
4 she no doubt could shed further light on
10:59 5 this investigation."

6 Just go back to the main document, please. Do
7 you recall interviewing Ron Wilson with Walters,
8 Dike and Karst on May 21st in Regina?

9 A No.

11:00 10 Q No recollection of that?

11 A None.

12 Q This report talks about conversations being taped
13 and I believe there's some later references of
14 yours about taping witness conversations. Was
11:00 15 that a practice at the time of yours, to tape
16 record interviews on occasion?

17 A A practice of mine?

18 Q Yes.

19 A Only would have been done if somebody in greater
11:00 20 authority had directed we should do this.

21 Q So your practice would be not to tape record an
22 interview unless someone higher up told you to do
23 so?

24 A I don't recall doing it?

11:00 25 Q Okay. I think there's a reference later on, I'll



1 show you in one of your reports, and maybe we can
2 talk about it further then. So it looks as though
3 here on May 21 -- I take it, are you prepared to
4 accept, and maybe you've already done this, that
11:00 5 you would have been in Regina with Detective Karst
6 and attended the meetings noted here? Do you have
7 any reason to dispute that?

8 A No.

9 Q If we could then skip over to 009222, please. And
11:01 10 this is your May 29th, 1969 report, and it starts
11 about events on May 22nd, do you see at the top;
12 correct?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And I take it, Mr. Mackie, that this report would
11:02 15 have been dictated on May 29th, is that -- you
16 will see -- maybe just go back to the main
17 document. I see May 28th here; would this have
18 been prepared by you, then, on or about May 28th
19 or 29th then?

11:02 20 A Yes it would. I don't know why there is a date
21 discrepancy but --

22 Q But I take it, though, this appears to cover about
23 a week's activities; is that fair?

24 A Yes.

11:02 25 Q And was there any reason that you would not have



1 prepared a daily investigation report as to what
2 you had done on each day as opposed to combining
3 it in one?

4 A Because it's all dealing with what I did in
5 Regina.

6 Q I -- we'll go through it, it deals with Regina and
7 it deals with some events in Saskatoon as well:

8 A I wouldn't have the facilities in Regina to
9 dictate anything.

10 Q So it would be something that you would prepare
11 later, then, from your notes; is that --

12 A Yes.

13 Q And I'm wondering if again, at the time you would
14 have dictated this, May 28th-29th would have been
15 after Nichol John and Ron Wilson would have given
16 their statements which incriminated David
17 Milgaard; you, you would agree with that or can
18 you accept that? The record shows that the
19 statements were given on May 23rd and 24th;
20 correct?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And I'm wondering just if you can help us
23 understand how you would prepare reports, and in
24 particular whether the, for example on May 22nd
25 and 23rd, whether the events that happened



1 subsequent might have influenced what you would
2 have reported on May 22nd. Do you understand my
3 question? In other words, if on May 22nd you
4 prepared a report, you obviously wouldn't know
11:04 5 what's going to transpire on the 23rd, 24th, 25th,
6 26th?

7 A Right.

8 Q When you prepared your report on May 29th -- and
9 I'm not suggesting anything improper, Mr. Mackie
11:04 10 -- but when you prepared your report on May 28th
11 and 29th, at that time when you were reporting
12 what happened on May 22nd you would have known
13 what happened on the subsequent days; do you
14 understand what I am saying?

11:04 15 A Yes.

16 Q And my question is whether, in your preparing this
17 report, whether that would have influenced how you
18 reported or whether you would have just taken it
19 from your notebook?

11:04 20 A It would come from my notebook.

21 Q Okay. So are you telling us that the subsequent
22 events wouldn't have influenced how you reported
23 on the earlier events?

24 A No.

11:04 25 Q So if we go through just the start here -- and



1 this is your report, and you will recall in
2 Mr. Karst's report he has you going to Regina on
3 the 20th and on the 21st Mr. Karst reports about
4 the interviews with Ron Wilson, and we saw this
11:05 5 before when you and Detective Reid worked together
6 where he left the report; would there be -- would
7 that, or why would there not be any report of what
8 happened on May 21?

9 A I don't know.

11:05 10 Q And would that be because Mr. Karst would have
11 reported on the events of that day?

12 A It's possible.

13 Q And you write:

14 "In regards to further investigations
15 that have been carried out in regards to
16 this matter, on May 22nd, while in
17 Regina ... Nichol John was picked up at
18 her home 817 Victoria ... and taken to
19 the Regina City Police station where she
20 was interviewed in regards to her
21 knowledge of this offence. This
22 interview has been taped for further
23 reference."

24 Do you recall picking up Nichol John and
11:05 25 interviewing her at the Regina City Police



1 station on May 22nd, 1969?

2 A No.

3 Q You see reference to this interview being taped,
4 can you tell us whether that -- why that would
11:06 5 have been, Mr. Mackie?

6 A Why it was taped?

7 Q Yes?

8 A I guess I had been directed, or somebody probably
9 suggested that we should be taping all these
11:06 10 interviews.

11 Q And what would you do with the tape, where -- what
12 would happen with the tape?

13 A I don't know.

14 Q Would there be -- is that something you would
11:06 15 store in your locker, or on the file, or do you
16 know how tapes were dealt with at the time?

17 A I don't remember.

18 Q Do you recall anything of that encounter with
19 Nichol John?

11:06 20 A No.

21 Q It says on the same date, May 22nd:

22 "... Barbara Berard 817 Victoria Avenue
23 ...",

24 which is the same address:

25 "... was contacted and interviewed in



1 regards to her knowledge of this murder
2 from what she might have heard from
3 Nichole Johns. Present at this
4 interview was Cst. Ken Walters, Regina
5 City Police and myself. Berard in her
6 interview indicated that Nichole John
7 was disturbed or upset about something
8 that she had started to make statements
9 in regards to what had happened in
10 Saskatoon, and these statements were
11 never completed. In regards to David
12 Milgaard, Barbara Berard stated that
13 Milgaard associated very closely with
14 Bob Harris and George Lapchuk."

11:07 15 Do you recall Barbara Berard and interviewing
16 Barbara Berard?

17 A No.

18 Q The names Bob Harris and George Lapchuk, are those
19 familiar names to you, do you remember them?

11:07 20 A The last one, I think I have heard the name, but
21 --

22 Q Lapchuk?

23 A That's all I can say about it.

24 Q Just scroll down, please. It says:

11:07 25 "On the evening of May 22nd ...",



1 which would be I think the Thursday:

2 "... Nichol John was returned to
3 Saskatoon and I received from her also
4 one maroon coloured pile parka with a
5 white fur trim around the hood and
6 bottom of the coat which she was wearing
7 while in Saskatoon on the 31st of
8 January. I presently have possession of
9 this coat in my locker."

11:07 10 Do you remember getting Nichol John's coat?

11 A No.

12 Q "On returning to Saskatoon, Nichol John
13 was transported to the Freeway where
14 they came into Saskatoon off the Regina
15 Highway, and she felt that they had come
16 into the City via Preston Avenue but she
17 could not pick any streets or familiar
18 markings. She was then transported to
19 20th Street West where she was driven
20 around the area and she stated that she
21 recalled the brick wall on the east side
22 of the Westwood Funeral Chapel, she also
23 indicated that she recalled two garbage
24 cans which she pointed out and where the
25 two where the purse was found. After



1 having left the scene, she stated that
2 the lid on the left hand garbage can,
3 the one being the closest to the houses
4 was tipped. This was however, found to
5 be not so. She also recalled something
6 of the church but she could not remember
7 exactly what the involvement was."

8 Now if I can pause there, do you have any
9 recollection of driving Nichol John around, other
11:08 10 than what you told us earlier about her reaction?

11 A No.

12 Q Can you tell us, based on your practices,
13 Mr. Mackie, would you have told Nichol John, when
14 you drove around the funeral home area, that 'this
11:09 15 is where Gail Miller's body was found'?

16 A No.

17 Q Why not?

18 A I wanted to know what she knows.

19 Q So can we take it from the -- do you recall what
11:09 20 you would have said to her when you drove her
21 around?

22 A I don't know if I said anything.

23 Q Okay.

24 A I don't know, don't remember.

11:09 25 Q But you are telling us that you would not have



1 told her 'this is where the body was found'?

2 A That's right.

3 Q Carry on. It says:

4 "She was then transported west along
5 22nd Street where she pointed out the
6 Way Fare Inn as being a cafe where they
7 had been in the later part of the
8 morning on January 31st, Texaco Service
9 Station, Avenue P and 22nd Street where
10 the car had been repaired, she then went
11 to the rear of apartment blocks on 22nd
12 Street West, where we were directed to
13 the lane of the rear of 129 Avenue T
14 South where she pointed out a house at
15 129 Avenue T South as being the house
16 where they had got stuck the second
17 time. This is also the house where they
18 had been invited in while waiting for
19 the tow truck."

11:10 20 And that would be the Danchuks'; do you have any
21 recollection of driving by the Danchuks'?

22 A No.

23 Q It says:

24 "Then we returned to the 200 block
11:10 25 Avenue M South ...",



1 do you know if that's supposed to be an M or N,
2 Mr. Mackie?

3 A It probably should be N.

4 Q N? Okay.

11:10 5 "... which Nichol John did not ..."

6 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Excuse me, would
7 you point me to which M again?

8 "We returned to the 200 block of Avenue
9 M South ...",

11:10 10 you say it should be what?

11 A N.

12 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: November?

13 A Yes, like that, yes.

14 BY MR. HODSON:

11:10 15 Q And it says:

16 "... which Nichol John did not recognize
17 as being the location where they had
18 asked the girl for directions."

19 And I see, Mr. Mackie, that I believe that's the
11:10 20 first time in this report about a reference to
21 Nichol John asking a girl for directions by
22 saying she didn't recognize that as being the
23 location. Do you recall any discussion with
24 Nichol John about asking a girl for directions?

11:11 25 A No.



1 Q Based upon what you told us about the summary that
2 you had prepared -- and I think you told us that
3 if it was in the summary you would have got it
4 from a document or from someone else; is that
11:11 5 fair?

6 A Yes.

7 Q So at this time are we to conclude, and I
8 appreciate you don't recall, but are we to
9 conclude that you would have believed that Nichol
11:11 10 John had told someone that they stopped a girl for
11 directions?

12 A I would think so, yes.

13 Q It says:

14 "I then drove her into the alley again
15 at the rear of the funeral home and she
16 indicated that the entrance to the alley
17 was approximately where they had been
18 stuck as she recalled the side of the
19 car being up against the snowbank at
11:11 20 about the alley."

21 Do you recall that?

22 A No.

23 Q "Shortly after this I returned to the
24 Police Station where Nichol John was
25 interviewed in regard to LSD trips she



1 had been on and nightmares she had been
2 having since this offence occurred. At
3 this time it appeared that Nichole John
4 had forgotten a great deal of what had
5 happened, possibly due to shock of what
6 she had witnessed."

7 Do you recall that discussion with Nichol John?

8 A No.

9 Q It then says:

10 "At approximately 10:00 p.m., I
11 proceeded to the Cavalier Hotel where
12 Supt. Wood, Lt. Penkala and I
13 interviewed Insp. Roberts of Calgary
14 Police, in regards to this file, so that
15 he would be able to interrogate Ronald
16 Wilson and Nichole John for us on the
17 23rd."

18 Do you remember meeting with Wood, Penkala, and
19 Roberts?

11:12 20 A No I don't.

21 Q If we can then go to the next page, this is the
22 next page on the report, and you will see at the
23 top it starts off on May 24th; do you see that?

24 A Yes.

11:13 25 Q And I'm wondering, Mr. Mackie, if you can tell us



1 why there is no record in your investigation
2 report about the events of May 23rd?

3 A I don't know.

4 Q Now we've heard evidence from others that on May
11:13 5 23rd Inspector Roberts was at the Cavalier Hotel,
6 he interviewed Ron Wilson, conducted a polygraph
7 of Ron Wilson, and interviewed Nichol John over
8 the course of the day; do you recall that
9 happening?

11:13 10 A Not -- I wasn't involved with it at all other
11 than, as I said before, taking a suitcase from the
12 police station over to the Cavalier for them.

13 Q And you have a recollection of that?

14 A Just the suitcase, that's all.

11:13 15 Q If we could call up 006251, please. And this is a
16 report of May 24th, 1969 of Ident Officer Kleiv,
17 and just from -- actually, we should call up this
18 part here that's got the exhibits. He says:

19 At 10:00 a.m. May 23rd, 1969, the
11:14 20 following exhibits were turned over to
21 D/Sgt. Mackie."

22 A, F, and E; so A is the coat, F is the uniform,
23 and E is the lady's brassiere, I believe; so is
24 that what you think you would have taken over?

11:14 25 A That's probably.



1 Q Now if we could just go back to your report,
2 please, 009222. And, again, would it not have
3 been, based on what we've observed in your other
4 reports, your practice to at least put on May 23rd
11:15 5 'I delivered a suitcase of items to Inspector
6 Roberts'; would that have not been consistent with
7 your practice?

8 A It could have been. I don't know.

9 Q And on May 23rd we've heard evidence that Officers
11:15 10 Chartier and Morrison set up in the hotel room
11 next door to Art Roberts' room where he was
12 conducting interviews of Wilson and John, and put
13 a wire through the wall, and listened to and/or
14 recorded some or all of the interview by Roberts
11:15 15 of Wilson and John; do you recall being aware of
16 that or being present when that happened?

17 A Nope, I don't think I knew anything about it.

18 Q Mr. Chartier, I believe, gave evidence indicating
19 that you would have been in the hotel room next to
11:15 20 the room that Roberts was interviewing Wilson and
21 John, and I believe he said he thought you were
22 listening to those interviews; do you have any
23 recollection of being there?

24 A No.

11:16 25 Q Is it possible you were there and you don't



1 recall?

2 A Possible, but I don't think I was even there.

3 Q Okay. And why do you say that?

4 A I think, if I was listening to the interviews, I
11:16 5 might have recalled something like that.

6 Q Okay. In fairness, Mr. Mackie, you have told us
7 you don't remember Nichol John's statement?

8 A Uh-huh.

9 Q Which is significant, isn't it?

11:16 10 A Yes it is.

11 Q Okay. So you are saying you don't think you were
12 there because you think you would have remembered
13 being in the hotel room; is that fair?

14 A It would have been something totally different.

11:16 15 Q Okay.

16 A I had never been involved with any polygraph
17 operators.

18 Q So you think that's something you might have
19 remembered?

11:16 20 A I think probably, but I don't know, maybe not.

21 Q Was it common, or had it happened before or after
22 that where interviews with witnesses by police
23 would be secretly taped -- secret from the
24 witness, not by the police -- by officers by the
11:16 25 room being bugged and taped; did that happen on



1 occasion?

2 A Not that I am aware of, but I don't know.

3 Q Okay. Now we also know that on May 23rd, or we've
4 heard evidence that Ron Wilson was interr -- or

11:17 5 interviewed by Mr. Roberts, he then went I think

6 with Detective Karst to the city police station

7 and gave a statement that was incriminating with

8 respect to David Milgaard; that Nichol John was

9 interviewed by Inspector Roberts at the hotel on

11:17 10 May 23rd and, according to Mr. Roberts' evidence

11 at the Supreme Court of Canada, she told him at

12 that time that she had witnessed David Milgaard

13 kill Gail Miller or stab Gail Miller or something

14 to that effect, on May 23rd, and the record shows

11:17 15 that she didn't give a statement until the next

16 day, May 24th, which is a statement you took. And

17 I'm wondering, Mr. Mackie, if you know why Nichol

18 John would not have given her statement on May

19 23rd, 1969, much like Mr. Wilson did, after she

11:18 20 had been interviewed by Inspector Roberts?

21 A I don't know.

22 Q If we could go back to Mr. Karst' report, 009264,

23 please. And, again, this is Mr. Karst's May 25th

24 report, and if we could go to 009267, and you will

11:18 25 see here on Friday, May 23rd, Mr. Karst says:



1 "On Friday, May 23rd, I attended at 608
2 Cavalier Motel in the company of
3 Inspector Wood, Lt. Short, D/Sgt.
4 Mackie, Cst. Chartier and Morrison ...",

11:19 5 and so this report says you were at the hotel,
6 and I think you said you recall being there to
7 deliver some clothing, is that --

8 A That's all I remember.

9 Q Okay. And then it says:

11:19 10 "... and at 3:00 p.m., I called at room
11 610 of the Cavalier where Wilson picked
12 out a knife which was out of a group of
13 five, which Inspector Roberts had shown
14 him as being similar to the one he
15 states he had seen en route from Regina
16 to Saskatoon on the morning of January
17 31st, this being a reddish brown colored
18 bone handled type paring knife."

19 Scroll down:

20 "Wilson was then brought to the police
21 station and at 3:30 p.m., a statement
22 was taken from his with regards to the
23 above described incident adding to the
24 original that he had seen this knife in
25 the car during the trip, which he



1 previously denied. Also added in his
2 statement was that when Milgaard
3 returned to the car after being stuck,
4 the first time, he stated something to
5 the effect that, "I fixed her", and when
6 Wilson questioned him on this Milgaard
7 declined to make any further comment."

8 And if we can go to the next page, please, and I
9 don't propose to go through this, but Mr. Karst
10 then outlines in his report a number of details
11 which are taken from the statement that Ron
12 Wilson gave that day. If we could actually go
13 to -- yeah, so this, it ends there, so that
14 that's May 23rd. And then, here, Mr. Karst talks
15 about the morning of May 24th 'further interviews
16 were again held with Wilson' and talks further
17 about information he gave.

18 And so I take it, Mr. Mackie,
19 do you have any recollection of anything, hearing
20 anything that Ron Wilson would have said either
21 to Detective Karst or Inspector Roberts that
22 morning?

23 A No.

24 Q If we could go back to your report please, which
25 is 009222, and go to page 223 please. And you



1 will see May 24th starts -- and I'll go in a
2 moment to Nichol John's statement -- but we know
3 that Nichol John gave a statement on May 24th, '69
4 starting at 10:00 a.m. and finishing at 11:55 a.m.
11:21 5 and sworn before a Justice of the Peace -- and
6 we'll go through that in a moment -- but your next
7 report after May 22nd starts:

8 "On May 24th, I returned to Regina with
9 Ronald Wilson and Nichol John ...",
11:21 10 etcetera. And so there is no reference here to
11 anything on May 23rd, which we touched upon, nor
12 is there any reference in your report about
13 taking a statement from Nichol John; do you see
14 that?

11:21 15 A Not there.

16 Q Yeah. And why would that be, Mr. Mackie, is there
17 a reason for that?

18 A I don't know.

19 Q If we could then go to, or call up 006645, do you
11:22 20 recall where Nichol John was staying overnight
21 while she was in Saskatoon?

22 A I think she stayed at the police station.

23 Q And do you know why she stayed there?

24 A Her choice.

11:22 25 Q Okay. And what do you recall of that, why was it



1 her choice, do you know?

2 A I think she indicated she was scared of David
3 Milgaard and --

4 Q And?

11:22 5 A Normally put them up, witnesses or people that we
6 were dealing with from other places, up at the
7 Ritz Hotel, and I think that was offered to her
8 and she rejected, she didn't want to stay there.

9 Q Okay. Now if we go to the statement, it's May
11:23 10 24th, '69 -- and, again, I may have asked you
11 this -- but was there any reason that you are
12 aware of that you would not have taken this
13 statement on the previous day, May 23rd, when she,
14 according to Mr. Roberts, gave incriminating
11:23 15 evidence about witnessing a murder?

16 A I don't really know other than, as I say, I was
17 probably working the day shift and I would have
18 not been at work when they were finished, and they
19 --

11:23 20 Q Would the fact -- oh, I'm sorry?

21 A And they would have probably waited for me, for
22 whatever reason they chose.

23 Q Would the fact that you had spent time with Nichol
24 John and brought her in, would there be some
11:23 25 reason to have you take the statement as opposed



1 to some other officer?

2 A There may have been.

3 Q Do you know that or --

4 A No.

11:24 5 Q But would that be a practice, sir, where an
6 officer may have spent time with the witness, that
7 that officer might be better-suited to take a
8 statement than an officer who the witness is not
9 familiar with?

11:24 10 A It's possible. I don't know.

11 Q Do you have any recollection of the day of May
12 24th, arriving at the police station or meeting,
13 or how this came about that you were to take her
14 statement?

11:24 15 A It seems to me that somebody told me that I should
16 get a statement from her, but I don't know who it
17 was or --

18 Q Now, Mr. Mackie, this -- would it be fair to say
19 that the Gail Miller investigation was one of the
11:24 20 biggest investigations, if not the most
21 significant one, that you had worked on in your
22 career?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And I take it that -- and I think you had
11:24 25 mentioned earlier in April about, I think your



1 words were, I think they were 'stagnated' -- or
2 maybe that's a reference in some other
3 interview -- or things were not progressing very
4 well as far as suspects; is that fair?

11:25 5 A When I returned from holidays?

6 Q Yes?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And so the fact that you now have someone who says
9 'I witnessed the murder and I saw David Milgaard
11:25 10 commit the murder'; that would be a significant
11 piece of evidence, would it not?

12 A It would be.

13 Q In fact, an eye-witness to a murder would be
14 arguably the best piece of evidence the police
11:25 15 could get; is that fair?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And so would the fact that Nichol John, on May
18 23rd, 1969 telling Inspector Roberts that 'oh my
19 God, I witnessed a murder, I saw him drag her down
11:25 20 the alley, I saw him stab her'; would that not be
21 a significant break in the investigation?

22 A I would say it would be.

23 Q And, again, something that would cause there to be
24 discussion amongst the officers? Was there a
11:26 25 morning meeting on the 24th saying 'lookit, we



1 finally got a break', or a case of saying 'lookit,
2 you better go take a statement'?

3 A On the 24th? I don't know.

4 Q It --

11:26 5 A It seems to me somebody told me that I should go
6 get a statement from her.

7 Q And before you went and met with her do you know
8 if you would have been aware of what she had said
9 to Inspector Roberts the day before?

11:26 10 A Not likely, --

11 Q As --

12 A -- but I don't know.

13 Q When you say 'not likely' why do you say that?

14 A Just for no reason. I just have no recollection
11:26 15 of talking to anybody there other than somebody
16 saying I should --

17 Q Is it possible -- I'm sorry, I cut you off.

18 A Somebody saying I should interview her, I don't
19 know.

11:26 20 Q Would it not be -- I think you talked, said
21 something before or I read it somewhere about
22 working long hours on this investigation; would
23 the fact that an eye-witness gave a statement that
24 said 'I saw someone kill Gail Miller', is that not
11:27 25 the type of information that an officer might not



1 call you at home and say 'lookit, we've now
2 cracked the case, we've got an eye-witness'? Is
3 that not the type of event that you would expect
4 to be informed about promptly by others?

11:27 5 A No.

6 Q Why not?

7 A Not necessarily. Because there was lots of people
8 working there and I'm not the only man.

9 Q But you and Detective Sergeant Reid were the
11:27 10 officers assigned to the file; correct?

11 A That was right.

12 Q And you had spent two days with Nichol John, had
13 driven her around and brought her in, and in some
14 respects you were identified as being, perhaps,
11:27 15 the officer most closely connected to her; is that
16 fair, at least in those couple of days you had
17 spent more time with her?

18 A I did.

19 Q And so, again, would you not expect that someone
11:27 20 might let you know about what she said to
21 Inspector Roberts?

22 A Not really.

23 Q What about the morning of May 24th; would you not
24 expect there to be a bit of a buzz at the police
11:28 25 station that you may have solved the Gail Miller



1 murder, that 'we have an eye-witness'; something
2 to that effect?

3 A I don't recall anything of that nature.

4 Q Would you expect something like that to happen?

11:28 5 A Hmm, I don't know.

6 Q You had morning meetings when you didn't have
7 suspects and talked about various leads and
8 various things; can we conclude that when you not
9 only had a suspect but you had an eye-witness,
11:28 10 would that not be something that you would talk
11 about at morning meetings?

12 A I don't know how long those meetings lasted, how
13 long a period of time. It started at the start of
14 the investigation but I don't know how long they
11:28 15 lasted. They did --

16 Q So, again --

17 A I don't recall them occurring --

18 Q I appreciate --

19 A -- in May.

11:28 20 Q I appreciate you --

21 A April.

22 Q -- don't recall the May 24th meeting, but I think
23 you said it was not likely that you would know
24 what Nichol John was going to say, generally,
11:29 25 before you went in and interviewed her; is that



1 fair?

2 A Yes, I think so.

3 Q And, again, you have had a chance to read through
4 this statement recently; is that correct?

11:29 5 A Yes.

6 Q And is there anything, in reading through this
7 statement, that causes you to refresh your memory
8 about it at all?

9 A No.

11:29 10 Q Do you recall Nichol John's demeanour at the time?

11 A Nothing unusual that I recall.

12 Q Would you have threatened her in any way before,
13 during, or after the time that she gave you this
14 statement?

11:29 15 A No.

16 Q Would you have offered her any inducements as part
17 of giving this statement?

18 A No.

19 Q Would you have told her what to say in this
11:29 20 statement?

21 A No.

22 Q And would you have coerced or manipulated her in
23 any way as to what should be put in this
24 statement?

11:29 25 A No.



1 Q And how are you able to -- on what basis are you
2 saying that, Mr. Mackie?

3 A Just general knowledge of how I worked.

4 Q Okay.

11:30 5 A It wasn't one of the things that was done.

6 Q Pardon me?

7 A That wasn't one of the things that I did.

8 Q If we could just go down to the bottom here and
9 you will see, just call out that bottom part, and
11:30 10 it says -- it talks about a knife and has a 'red'
11 handle and then changed to 'maroon'; is that your
12 writing, the 'maroon'?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And would you normally, would you normally initial
11:30 15 changes, or did you have that practice, or do you
16 know how that would have come about?

17 A Well she said that, John had said that the 'red'
18 wasn't right, it was 'maroon', so I would just
19 cross it out, leave the word there, and --

11:30 20 Q Are you saying that by memory or are you assuming
21 that that's what would have happened?

22 A No, I'm assuming that that's the way it would have
23 been done.

24 Q If we go to the next page, and again I take it
11:31 25 that you would have had Nichol John sign every



1 page and you would have signed every page?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Now it says here:

4 "On the way to Saskatoon Dave spoke of
11:31 5 wanting to snatch a purse. I didn't
6 like the idea of the B & E or the
7 snatching the purse bit."

8 Now I think you would agree that that statement
9 fits, which was one of your theories in your
11:31 10 summary, correct, that this started out as a
11 purse snatching; is that fair?

12 A I guess so, yes.

13 Q If you can just scroll down a bit, it says:

14 "After we got to Saskatoon we drove
11:31 15 around for about 10 or 15 minutes and
16 then we talked to this girl. This was
17 in the area where Sergeant Mackie drove
18 me around."

19 And I believe when we looked at your earlier
11:31 20 report of May 29th that talked about May 22nd
21 that she indicated she couldn't identify, did not
22 recognize the 200 block Avenue N as being the
23 location where they had asked the girl for
24 directions -- do you remember when I showed you
11:31 25 that?



1 A Yes.

2 Q So it now appears that she's saying okay, this is
3 where we asked her for directions?

4 A Yes.

11:31 5 Q Did that cause you any concern, that two days
6 earlier when you drove her around there she said
7 'lookit, I don't recognize this area as where we
8 asked the girl for directions' and now she's
9 saying in a statement that 'yeah, where you drove
11:31 10 me around is where we asked her'?

11 A I don't remember anything. I can't --

12 Q Okay. Go to page 006648, and this is where --
13 and, I'm sorry, this is all your handwriting, is
14 that correct, other than Nichol John's signature?

11:32 15 A Yes.

16 Q And this is, the statement says:

17 "I saw him grab her purse. I saw her
18 grab for her purse again. Dave reached
19 into one of his pockets and pulled out
11:32 20 the knife. I don't know which pocket he
21 got the knife from. The knife was in
22 his right hand."

23 If we can pause there. I believe there's
24 evidence somewhere, and maybe it wasn't there at
11:32 25 the time, that Mr. Milgaard was left-handed.



1 Would that -- are you aware of that? Were you
2 aware of that at the time?

3 A No.

4 Q I believe there's a reference actually when you
11:33 5 went to arrest Mr. Milgaard and flew back with
6 him, that there was a discussion about him being
7 left-handed. Do you remember that?

8 A Yes.

9 Q So would that have been an issue at the time that
11:33 10 you might have looked at, that Nichol John said he
11 used his right hand? If he was left-handed would
12 that be something that might concern you?

13 A Not at that time.

14 Q And why is that?

11:33 15 A It's something you might deal with later on, but I
16 don't recall anything about it.

17 Q Okay. And then it says:

18 "I don't know if Dave had a hold of this
19 girl or not at this time. All I recall
11:33 20 seeing is him stabbing her with the
21 knife.

22 The next I recall is him taking
23 her around the corner of the alley. I
24 think I ran after that."

11:33 25 Now, I take it, Mr. Mackie, that you would have



1 been aware that when Gail Miller's body was
2 found, that her nurse's uniform had been taken
3 off, her arms taken out and the uniform rolled
4 down to her waist and that her overcoat or winter
11:34 5 coat put on over top of the, over top with her
6 arms through the holes and that the stab marks
7 were through the coat but not through her dress.
8 Do you remember that?

9 A No.

11:34 10 Q I take it that that's something as an
11 investigator, though, you would have been aware of
12 at the time; is that fair?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And I'm wondering, sir, if you are aware, if
11:34 15 anybody reconciled Nichol John's statement that
16 she saw Dave grab the girl and stab her with the
17 fact that the stab marks didn't go through -- or
18 that it appears at least from the way the body was
19 found, and I think Mr. Penkala's evidence was
11:34 20 that, certainly his assessment and many others,
21 that her coat would have to be removed, her
22 nurse's uniform taken off, her arms out of the
23 holes and her coat put back on before the stabbing
24 took place, that was the assessment, and I'm just
11:35 25 wondering, Mr. Mackie, whether you recall trying



1 to reconcile that with Nichol John's statement
2 that she saw David Milgaard grab the girl and stab
3 her. Do you see what I'm asking?

4 A Yes. No, I don't recall anything like that.

11:35 5 Q And would you agree that that would be a concern
6 if, based upon the way in which Gail Miller's body
7 was found, and -- that sort of the assessment or
8 belief that she would have had to have had her
9 coat removed, her dress top removed, her coat put
11:35 10 back on before the fatal stabs, would that not be
11 a concern in trying to justify that with what
12 Nichol John said she saw?

13 A It might have been after the statement. I don't
14 know.

11:35 15 Q Okay.

16 A I don't recall checking on anything like that. It
17 may have been done.

18 Q But at the time then when you took this statement
19 and she's telling you this, if we presume you
11:36 20 would have known about -- and let me stop there.
21 Would you agree that stab marks through the outer
22 coat but not through the dress, would that be a
23 bit unique in the sense of a victim?

24 A I don't know.

11:36 25 Q But based on your -- would that be unusual, that



1 you would have stab marks through an outer coat
2 but not through the dress, the arms out of the
3 dress -- or the arms out of the arm holes on the
4 dress but through the coat on a 40 below morning?

11:36 5 A I don't know how to answer that.

6 Q You don't see anything unusual about that or
7 something that would be, that might stick in your
8 mind?

9 A It didn't stick in my mind, but I don't know --

11:36 10 Q Fair enough. Who would be, when you say about
11 checking it out later, who would be the person
12 that should have been doing that, saying okay,
13 we've got Nichol John making this statement that
14 she says she witnessed the murder, but let's just
11:37 15 go check that with some other facts we know to see
16 if what she's saying fits.

17 A I don't know.

18 Q Or, for example, the statement now saying, and I
19 think Ron Wilson's statement had them stuck doing
11:37 20 a U-turn on 20th Street and Avenue N and I think
21 Nichol John says that they were in the alleyway
22 entrance and you recall, I read you the police
23 report about what Arthur and Margaret Merriman saw
24 or didn't see that morning; you recall that?

11:37 25 A Yes.



1 Q Who with the police would be, if anyone, would sit
2 down and say okay, we've got this statement from
3 Nichol John that says she witnessed this, does
4 this fit with other facts that we know, can we
11:37 5 test this a bit to see if this is credible, would
6 someone do that?

7 A I don't know.

8 Q Do you recall if you would have done that?

9 A I don't recall doing it.

11:38 10 Q Now, based just on your involvement in the
11 investigation, who should have been, assuming that
12 someone should have done that, where in the
13 organization of the police service, would it be
14 you and Reid, would it be Short, would it be Wood,
11:38 15 who would be the one that most likely would have
16 done that or should have done that?

17 A It could have been anybody that was involved I
18 guess.

19 Q Okay.

11:38 20 A At what point in time it would be brought up, I
21 don't know.

22 Q Okay. Go to page 006652, please, and this talks
23 about Nichol John saying:

24 "On the our way about half way between
11:39 25 Saskatoon and Rosetown I looked in the



1 glove compartment for a map. I saw a
2 cosmetic case which I opened up. There
3 was a compact, 2 lipstick and an eye
4 shadow in it. I asked whose it was.
11:39 5 Nobody knew whose it was. Then Dave
6 grabbed it and threw it out the window.
7 Dave was driving at this time."

8 Do you recall this information about the compact
9 or cosmetic bag?

11:39 10 A No.

11 Q Go to page 006654, and this is page 10 of the
12 statement, and it's recorded here Nichol John
13 saying:

14 "I have not told anyone about witnessing
11:39 15 this murder. I didn't recall actually
16 witnessing a murder until yesterday when
17 I talked with Mr. Roberts. I was aware
18 that however that I was somehow
19 involved."

11:40 20 And I take it you don't recall her saying that to
21 you; is that fair?

22 A Yes. I don't recall it.

23 Q And would that be unusual, Mr. Mackie, based on
24 your experience as a police officer, for a witness
11:40 25 to say 'lookit, I forgot, I didn't recall until



1 yesterday that I actually had witnessed the
2 murder'?

3 A I don't know whether it's unusual or not.

4 Q And why is that?

11:40 5 A A witness tells you something, they tell you
6 something and -- I don't know what all I can say
7 about it.

8 Q So you would write down what she told you and
9 didn't question her on it or --

11:40 10 A No. It's her statement.

11 Q Go to the next page, please. It appears that this
12 witness statement was sworn before a justice of
13 the peace and it's got your signature there,
14 completed and read; is that right?

11:41 15 A Yes.

16 Q What does that refer to?

17 A That the statement was completed in writing and
18 she read it over and then it says she read each
19 page and signed each page, and where it came from
11:41 20 that they had arranged or decided that witnesses
21 should be taken to the JP and have their
22 statements sworn to as being correct.

23 Q So I take it that looks like what was done in this
24 case?

11:41 25 A Yes, it does.



1 Q I think we're done with that statement. Now, Mr.
2 Mackie, you recall the summary document that I
3 went through with you. It has been alleged by
4 some recently and over the course of the years
11:41 5 that the police generally, and perhaps you
6 specifically, wrote out Nichol John's statement
7 and made up the story they wanted to hear and had
8 her sign it, or words to that effect, and I'm
9 wondering how you respond to that allegation.

11:42 10 A No, I didn't do that.

11 Q And as well there has been allegations that the
12 summary document you prepared was a script as to
13 what the police said must have happened and got
14 Wilson and John to give statements to fit the
11:42 15 script that was in the summary document?

16 A No.

17 Q And that the document, the summary, your summary
18 is a smoking gun indicating that the police did
19 something inappropriate with that summary in some
11:42 20 way dealing with Nichol John and Ron Wilson in
21 getting them to give statements that were
22 consistent with the story put forward in that
23 document?

24 A Not on my part.

11:42 25 Q Are you aware of, I think you are saying you



1 didn't -- are you saying you didn't do any of
2 that?

3 A That's right.

4 Q Are you aware of any other officers involved doing
11:42 5 that?

6 A No.

7 Q Was that the intent of the summary document you
8 prepared, to be used in a fashion that would be
9 inappropriate to have witnesses give statements
11:43 10 that may not be truthful?

11 A No, that wasn't the purpose of it.

12 Q Now, were you aware -- you've read over Nichol
13 John's statement recently; correct?

14 A Yes.

11:43 15 Q And without going through specifics, you would
16 agree that generally she made a number of
17 statements that would be highly incriminating of
18 Mr. Milgaard, including that she witnessed him
19 stab Gail Miller; correct?

11:43 20 A Yes.

21 Q Were you aware that at the preliminary hearing,
22 that when she gave evidence, that she did not, she
23 did not give evidence -- let me see how I phrase
24 this. That her evidence at the preliminary
11:43 25 hearing did not include much of the incriminating



1 statements that were in the statement she gave to
2 you? In other words, I believe the record shows
3 that she did not say at the preliminary hearing
4 that she witnessed David Milgaard kill Miller.
11:43 5 Were you aware of that at the prelim?

6 A No.

7 Q And that at the trial of David Milgaard, again
8 Nichol John, I believe her evidence was that she
9 recalled being stuck in the alley and the next
11:44 10 thing she remembers is being at the Trav-a-leer
11 and she testified that she had no recollection of
12 seeing David Milgaard do anything in the alley and
13 I believe her evidence generally was that she had
14 no recollection of telling you that she had
11:44 15 witnessed David Milgaard kill Gail Miller, and
16 some other things, I'm just generalizing it. Were
17 you aware or when did you become aware, Mr.
18 Mackie, that when she testified at the trial of
19 David Milgaard, Nichol John did not give evidence
11:44 20 that was consistent with what was in her statement
21 she gave to you on May 24th, '69?

22 A I don't know if I was ever aware.

23 Q At the time of the trial did anybody make you
24 aware of that or did you learn of that?

11:44 25 A Not that I recall.



1 Q And so can you give us any assistance in trying to
2 understand why Nichol John, on May 24th, '69,
3 would tell you that she saw David Milgaard kill
4 Gail Miller and a number of other incriminating
11:45 5 statements, yet three months later at a
6 preliminary hearing not repeat those statements in
7 court?

8 A I don't know.

9 Q And similarly at the trial of David Milgaard as to
11:45 10 why she would say I don't recall a number of these
11 incriminating facts and nor do I recall telling
12 Mr. Mackie that, do you have any explanation as to
13 how that could have happened?

14 A No.

11:45 15 Q If I could call up 009287, please. This is a
16 police dog case report dated May 27th, it's from
17 Vern Passet, and if we could just call out that
18 part. Mr. Passet says:

19 "On May 27 & 28, I was instructed by
11:46 20 Det/Sgt. R. Mackie to employ the
21 tracking dog on an area search. This
22 was to include both sides of highway 7
23 to the junction of 60.

24 The detectives were interested
11:46 25 in locating a cosmetic case belonging to



1 the late Miss Miller, which they were
2 hoping might still be in the ditch from
3 January 31st."

4 It goes on to talk about many objects were
11:46 5 retrieved by the dog, including a wedding
6 invitation for January 30th, however, no cosmetic
7 case were found. Do you recall having Vern
8 Passet go out and search the ditches for the
9 cosmetic bag?

11:46 10 A No.

11 Q I take it from this, at least it appears according
12 to Mr. Passet, that the belief was that the
13 cosmetic bag thrown out the window that Nichol
14 John reported on belonged to the late Miss Miller.
11:47 15 Do you see that?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Would that have been your belief at the time are
18 you able to tell us?

19 A It would be.

11:47 20 Q And if we could just go back up to the main
21 document, please, I think when you looked at this
22 earlier you identified for us that you think there
23 may be an error in those dates as being the date
24 and time requested and the date and time arrived
11:47 25 at the scene. Do you see that?



1 A It must be. There's something wrong here.

2 Q We'll hear from Mr. Passet on that. If we could
3 go to 106684, please. This is a report of Mr.
4 Ullrich on August 26, 1969 and it talks about a
11:48 5 meeting on August 25, '69 which I think would be
6 in the midst of the prelim, and Ullrich says:

7 "I with Detective Sergeant Mackie and
8 Mr. Caldwell, the prosecutor,
9 interviewed the witness Albert Henry
11:48 10 Cadrain in Mr. Caldwell's office and
11 Cadrain goes on to tell us a story he
12 had formerly given about Mr. Milgaard in
13 Calgary."

14 Do you recall being with, meeting with Mr.
11:48 15 Caldwell and interviewing Albert Cadrain in
16 August of 1969?

17 A No.

18 Q Would you be doing -- after the case goes to the
19 prosecutor, Mr. Mackie, would there be officers
11:48 20 then who would be there and available to do
21 follow-up investigation if the prosecution wanted
22 it done?

23 A With Mr. Caldwell at the trial?

24 Q Pardon me?

11:48 25 A At the trial you mean or the preliminary?



1 Q Yes. From the time the police turn it over to the
2 prosecutor, would there be -- let's just talk
3 generally. Would there be occasions where the
4 police have completed an investigation, laid a
11:49 5 charge, turned it over to the prosecutor and in
6 the course of preparing for trial or the prelim or
7 just general preparation the prosecutor might want
8 the police to do some follow-up work?

9 A It's possible.

11:49 10 Q And would there be an officer that was designated
11 to do that or who would the prosecutor call based
12 on your knowledge, general knowledge at the time?

13 A I don't know, but I would suggest that he would
14 refer to the police department and it would be
11:49 15 assigned to somebody to follow up on it.

16 MR. HODSON: Okay. This is probably an
17 appropriate spot to break, Mr. Commissioner.
18 What I have left, just for the sake of other
19 counsel, briefly go through the transcripts from
11:50 20 the trial and probably about another 20 minutes
21 or so and I'll be done with Mr. Mackie.

22 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay. 1:30.

23 (Adjourned at 11:50 a.m.)

24 (Reconvened at 1:30 p.m.)

01:30 25 BY MR. HODSON:



1 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Mackie. If I could just call
2 up for a moment 006799 and go to page 803, please,
3 this is the summary that I went through with you
4 this morning. I'm wondering, Mr. Mackie, do you
01:31 5 have any present recollection of the matters
6 identified on that page?

7 A Not really, no.

8 Q Is there anything in there that you have a present
9 recollection of?

01:31 10 A No.

11 Q So when I went through those with you, then, to
12 get some explanation, I take it that would be
13 based on your, your general familiarity with
14 matters and trying to understand what you would
01:31 15 have written and would have done; is that fair?

16 A Yes, I think so.

17 Q If we could now go -- you testified at the
18 preliminary hearing of David Milgaard; is that
19 fair?

01:32 20 A Yes.

21 Q And I think maybe just generally -- and I'll go
22 through and I'll provide the doc. IDs when I go
23 through -- but in preparation for this, for your
24 testimony here, I provided you with the transcript
01:32 25 of sworn evidence that you gave on I think five



1 different occasions; one, at the preliminary
2 hearing of David Milgaard; two, at the David
3 Milgaard trial; three, at an examination for
4 discovery in a civil proceeding commenced by David
01:32 5 Milgaard against you, I think it was May of 1996
6 where you were examined under oath; fourth, the
7 Larry Fisher preliminary hearing; and five, the
8 Larry Fisher trial, and I believe you have
9 reviewed those and, subject to a couple of
01:32 10 clerical matters that I will go through with you a
11 bit later, you were able to tell us that the
12 evidence you gave was truthful and the best
13 recollection at the time?

14 A The first two I didn't get time to read.

01:32 15 Q That's the prelim, the David Milgaard prelim and
16 trial?

17 A The original trials, yes.

18 Q Yeah. And I think -- I don't actually propose to
19 go through those, they deal primarily with
01:33 20 continuity of exhibits, Mr. Mackie, I think that's
21 the nature of your evidence -- but certainly the
22 examination for discovery transcript which is doc.
23 ID, for the record, 205639; the Larry Fisher
24 preliminary hearing which is doc. ID 314312, and
01:33 25 the Larry Fisher trial which is 311672 and 310021,



1 you are satisfied that they accurately reflect
2 your truthful evidence subject to a couple of
3 clerical things which I'll go through with you in
4 a bit; is that fair?

01:33 5 A To the best of my recollection, yes.

6 Q Okay. So if we go to the, we call up the prelim,
7 008146, and so you -- do you recall testifying at
8 the preliminary hearing at all?

9 A I know I did but I don't recall.

01:34 10 Q Do you recall after -- I had asked you questions
11 this morning about Nichol John's evidence at the
12 David Milgaard preliminary hearing and trial and I
13 think you said you don't recall learning about
14 what she said at the prelim and trial; is that
15 right?

16 A Right.

17 Q And I'm wondering, Mr. Mackie, whether, after the
18 prelim or during the course of the trial, whether
19 you would have had any discussions with Mr.

01:34 20 Caldwell, the prosecutor, arising out of the fact
21 that Nichol John was not giving evidence at trial
22 that was the same -- or not the same -- that her
23 evidence at trial did not include all the things
24 that she put in the statement given to you; do you
01:34 25 understand my question?



1 A I think so.

2 Q In other words, did he call you up and say
3 'lookit, what's going on here, she is saying she
4 doesn't remember telling you these things', and
01:35 5 etcetera, etcetera, 'tell me more about what
6 happened when you took the statement'; or anything
7 like that?

8 A I have no recollection of anything like that.

9 Q And then again at the trial, 104192 -- I don't
01:35 10 propose to go through any of that, I think it goes
11 to continuity -- and then 044526 -- doesn't have
12 to be called up -- and that's where you were
13 recalled. So January 31, 1970 was the date Mr.
14 Milgaard was convicted, Mr. Mackie, on February
01:35 15 21, 1970 a young lady by the name of (V5)--
16 (V5)--- was sexually assaulted near Avenue V in
17 Saskatoon and, later, Larry Fisher confessed to
18 that rape and was convicted of it; do you have any
19 recollection of being -- of that, of learning or
01:35 20 being involved in the (V5)-- (V5)--- sexual
21 assault?

22 A No, I don't.

23 Q And I take it that matter would be dealt with by
24 morality; is that right?

01:36 25 A I don't know. It could be.



1 Q Would sexual assaults normally be dealt with by
2 morality?

3 A They should have been, but I'm not sure that they
4 were all there or whether some were sent to the
01:36 5 detective division, I don't remember.

6 Q Okay. So it's possible detectives would be
7 involved in some of the sexual assault
8 investigations?

9 A It could have been, yeah.

01:36 10 Q Yeah. If we could call up 261605, please -- or is
11 it 695? It might be, I'm not sure. February
12 22nd, 1970 report, it might be part of 26 -- the
13 doc. ID is 261590. And go to page 261 -- if you
14 could go to page 26169 -- 605 maybe. Yeah, this
01:37 15 is the document, thanks. Is that 695 or 605? It
16 should be 0, I think, isn't it? Yes.

17 So for the record, 261605, this
18 is a report dated February 20th, 1970, it's the
19 (V5)-- (V5)--- file, division assigned to
01:37 20 morality, and that's your name at the bottom;
21 correct?

22 A Yes it is.

23 Q And if we could just call out the first part,
24 there, it says:

01:37 25 "On this date, Det. Karst and myself



1 contacted Mr. Wood at the King George
2 Hotel. Mr. Wood had been working at the
3 hotel on the evening of Feb. 21st, and
4 had seen many of the young people who
01:37 5 occasionally frequent the Beverage Room
6 at the King George. He could not,
7 however, recall seeing any person around
8 their premises, fitting the description
9 of that given by (V5)-- (V5)---."

01:38 10 So it would appear here you and Detective Karst
11 are doing some work on the (V5)-- (V5)--- file;
12 is that right?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Do you recall this at all?

01:38 15 A No.

16 Q And, again, would it be unusual for you and
17 Detective Karst to be working on a file assigned
18 to morality, or did that happen from time to time?

19 A I suppose it could have been circumstances that
01:38 20 would happen, and this is apparently one, I'm not
21 sure why.

22 Q Okay. If we go down here you will see, it says:
23 "Albert Cadrain, 334 Ave. O, South, was
24 interviewed in regards to any
01:38 25 information he might be able to supply



1 concerning this suspect, without
2 success."

3 Do you recall talking to Albert Cadrain about the
4 (V5)-- (V5)--- file?

01:38 5 A No.

6 Q And do you know why you and Mr. Karst would have
7 been talking to him about information regarding
8 this sexual assault?

9 A No.

01:38 10 Q I'm done with that document. Now in October of
11 1970 the record shows that Larry Fisher was in
12 Winnipeg in jail, he had been arrested for a
13 sexual assault that he committed in Winnipeg, and
14 then on October 20th, 1970 he advised officers
01:39 15 there that he had committed two assaults in
16 Saskatoon, gave details, and on October 22nd,
17 1970, Detective Karst and Inspector Nordstrom went
18 to Winnipeg and got signed statements from Larry
19 Fisher where he confessed to the attempted assault
01:39 20 or indecent sexual assault of (V3)-- (V3)-----
21 and the sexual assault of (V5)-- (V5)---. Were
22 you aware at the time, do you recall knowing as to
23 whether or not Detective Karst and Inspector
24 Nordstrom went to Winnipeg to get those statements
01:39 25 from Mr. Fisher?



1 A No I don't.

2 Q Would there be anything unusual about Detective
3 Karst and Inspector Nordstrom going to Winnipeg to
4 interview a person in jail there confessing to a
01:40 5 rape or an assault in Saskatoon?

6 A Not that I'm aware of.

7 Q And were you aware, Mr. Mackie, that in December
8 of 1971, that Larry Fisher was convicted of the
9 (V1)-, (V2)-----, (V3)-----, and (V5)--- rapes
01:40 10 committed in Saskatoon?

11 A No.

12 Q Were you aware of any policy or practice back at
13 the time, 1969-1970, about notifying victims, for
14 example of sexual assaults, of the successful
01:40 15 conclusion of the investigation and prosecution?

16 A No.

17 Q Was it your practice to inform victims or do you
18 know what -- whether there was any general
19 practice?

01:40 20 A If I was dealing with a file with a victim, or in
21 any circumstance, you would try and let them know
22 what the final result was.

23 Q You say 'try', so in some cases you did, some
24 cases you didn't, or --

01:41 25 A It's possible you didn't.



1 Q Now I understand, sir, that you retired then in
2 1978?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And do you recall any, anything happening on
01:41 5 either the Gail Miller file or the David Milgaard
6 matter prior to your retirement, sort of
7 post-trial to your retirement in 1978?

8 A No.

9 Q I understand your next contact, at least according
01:41 10 to the documents, would be an interview with a
11 fellow by the name of Peter Carlyle-Gordge;
12 remember that?

13 A A little bit.

14 Q And was that by telephone?

01:41 15 A Telephone, yes.

16 Q And what do you remember about the circumstances
17 about how that happened?

18 A He phoned one time and I wouldn't talk to him,
19 told him I had to -- wanted to speak to Mr.
01:41 20 Caldwell before I talked to him, and I did that,
21 and then he phoned back at a later time, I don't
22 know, the same day, the next day.

23 Q So when he phoned you did he tell you who he was
24 and why he wanted to talk to you?

01:42 25 A Claimed he was writing a book.



1 Q About what?

2 A Murders.

3 Q Were you suspicious of him at all?

4 A I think I was.

01:42 5 Q And what were you suspicious about?

6 A I just didn't know, I didn't --

7 Q Were you concerned that he was not a writer, or
8 what?

9 A No, I was more concerned that, well, here's
01:42 10 something that's been in the courts and it wasn't
11 my place to be discussing anything outside the
12 courts with anybody.

13 Q And so why did you phone Mr. Caldwell?

14 A Because he would have been the prosecutor, and
01:42 15 wanted to clarify it with him, whether it would be
16 all right to -- whether I should talk to this guy
17 or not.

18 Q So you would have called him to see if it was
19 appropriate or okay for you to talk to him?

01:42 20 A Yes.

21 Q And what do you recall Mr. Caldwell telling you?

22 A All I can recall was it was finished in the
23 courts, and dealt with, and there was no problem.

24 Q Okay. And so then did Mr. Carlyle-Gordge call you
01:43 25 back?



1 A Yes he did.

2 Q And interviewed you by telephone?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And I believe that conversation was taped, are you
01:43 5 aware of that, or were you aware of that?

6 A I understand now that it was, at that time, I
7 don't remember back then.

8 Q And would it be fair to say at that time,
9 Mr. Mackie, that you would not have had any

01:43 10 documents or records in your possession relating
11 to the Gail Miller investigation; is that fair?

12 A Right, I didn't have any.

13 Q And so the time frame, I believe through other
14 documents this interview would have been in early
01:43 15 1983, does that sound about right; are you able --

16 A Well, I'm not sure, around there somewhere.
17 Mid-'80s.

18 Q So let's assume for the moment it's 1983. I take
19 it you would not have looked at anything relating
01:43 20 to the Gail Miller file for 13 years, I guess 1970
21 would have been the last time you -- '69-'70 you
22 would have looked at anything on that file; is
23 that fair?

24 A Yes.

01:44 25 Q And did you take any other steps to review, review



1 the file, the investigation, or talk to anybody
2 about it other than Mr. Caldwell?

3 A No.

4 Q When you talked to Mr. Caldwell about whether you
01:44 5 should talk to Mr. Carlyle-Gordge did you talk
6 about the case at all with him, as to what
7 happened, or what you should discuss or not
8 discuss?

9 A No.

01:44 10 Q If we could call up 325616. And this,
11 Mr. Commissioner, is a transcript that Commission
12 staff prepared based upon a tape, and you will see
13 the doc. ID of the tape listed there, 059346. The
14 transcript is a bit incomplete in spots due to the
01:44 15 quality of the tape, and you will see various
16 spots inaudible, etcetera, but it's a best-efforts
17 transcript.

18 If we could go to the next page,
19 please. And 'PG' is obviously Peter
01:45 20 Carlyle-Gordge, RM is Ray Mackie, and you have had
21 a chance to review this transcript, have you not,
22 Mr. Mackie?

23 A I read it, yes.

24 Q And are you prepared to say that it would
01:45 25 accurately reflect your discussion with



1 Mr. Carlyle-Gordge at the time? There is a
2 couple, I know for example here that should be
3 'vagrancy' instead of 'Regency', but I think that
4 was the only item you identified for us; is that
01:45 5 correct?

6 A Yes. And --

7 Q And so would you have told Mr. Carlyle-Gordge sort
8 of the truth to the best of your recollection at
9 the time?

01:45 10 A Yes.

11 Q Would you have been hampered at all by the fact
12 that you hadn't looked at any documents for 13
13 years?

14 A Oh, probably.

01:45 15 Q Do you recall if he asked you whether you could
16 get the files or look at the files or anything of
17 that nature?

18 A Not that I recall.

19 Q And then just down at the bottom talking about
01:45 20 Albert Cadrain, you say, "He was incarcerated in
21 Regina", and Gordge says, "Right. And then he
22 came back and his mother told him about the case."
23 Answer, "Yeah," and Gordge says, "And then that's
24 much the same." And then the next page, "And then
01:46 25 he come forward because he kinda' figured he might



1 be unimplicated." I'm just wondering if you can
2 tell us, Mr. Mackie, what you may have meant by
3 that?

4 A I don't know whether unimplicated is right or
01:46 5 whether it be implicated, I couldn't tell you. I
6 don't remember.

7 Q Okay. Page 620, please, and here's the reference
8 I talked about earlier, about Mr. Milgaard being
9 right-handed and you are talking about coming back
01:46 10 on the airplane from Prince George, had Mr.
11 Milgaard in the window seat with Stan Edmondson
12 and he made some comment about the person we were
13 looking for being right-handed and here he's
14 eating with his left hand and it couldn't be him,
01:47 15 he's left-handed. Do you recall telling Mr.
16 Gordge that?

17 A I don't remember telling him that, but I remember
18 it happening on the aircraft.

19 Q Do you remember that -- is that what you described
01:47 20 there, do you remember that happening in the
21 aircraft?

22 A As I recall it, he was eating using his right hand
23 and he changed it and made a comment about whoever
24 we were looking for was right-handed and he was
01:47 25 left-handed.



1 Q Okay. And then if you could just scroll down
2 here, please, and it says here, Mr. Mackie, "Oh
3 yeah. When I went on holidays and then when I
4 come back, the thing the whole thing was
01:47 5 stagnated. I was kind of the Officer in charge of
6 it all." And is that accurate, Mr. Mackie?

7 A To the best of my knowledge, yes.

8 Q And then, "So, when you come back, like I forget
9 however ... a week, two weeks on holidays, you
01:48 10 gotta go back and start reading the file and
11 there's reams of it. So then, it starts putting
12 things together. And, I think something else had
13 come up with Cadrain, something that kinda got
14 stagnated. But I started, made a list of all the
01:48 15 points that were highlights and that led ... might
16 lead to anybody." "Yeah." "And then, when you
17 start putting these together on paper, then it
18 pointed to Milgaard." "Yeah." "It had to be him.
19 So, I suppose more so then, then even today --"
01:48 20 Let me pause there and I'll come back in a moment.
21 Was that the summary that we were talking about
22 this morning that you were referring to with Mr.
23 Gordge?

24 A I don't know. It could be.

01:48 25 Q And then it goes on to say, "It had to be him.



1 So, I suppose more so then, then even today, the
2 monetary side of it all comes into play. I
3 shouldn't, but it does." Yeah, O.K." "And, they
4 were concerned about the money they were spending
01:48 5 on travel and expenses that were incurred that are
6 not normally incurred. So, I went to Charlie
7 Short with this. And, he ended up going to Bobs
8 Caldwell and then we both went down to Bobs and
9 went over it. And it ended up in result of charge
01:49 10 being laid and warrant issued for ..." Is that
11 correct, Mr. Mackie?

12 A Well, it looks like the previous page isn't
13 totally in context with what the second page is at
14 the top, is when I went to Charlie Short.

01:49 15 Q Let's just talk about the spending on travel and
16 expenses. Do you recall anything about, back in
17 1969, there being a concern about travel and
18 expenses on this investigation?

19 A Well, there's always a concern when you are
01:49 20 travelling, when you are dealing with something,
21 should you write a letter or a phone call to
22 another police department to continue on or go
23 yourself, but I was never involved in decisions in
24 regard to expenditures of funds.

01:49 25 Q Okay. And then I think, if we could just scroll



1 down, please, just stop there, and then you talk
2 about -- let me sort of back up, please, "... and
3 it ended up being charged ..." then Mr. Gordge
4 says, "I saw the file and it was like ... an
01:50 5 unbelievable number of interviews." Did you have
6 any understanding whether Mr. Carlyle-Gordge had
7 looked at either the police files or the
8 prosecution files or both?

9 A I didn't know if he had or not.

01:50 10 Q It would appear that he told you he had?

11 A It would appear that he had.

12 Q And then you say, "And you would ... he wouldn't
13 have it all." Were you referring to Mr. Caldwell?

14 A Could be. I don't know.

01:50 15 Q You say, "There would be part of it in a file at
16 the station that was ... cover things that were
17 done and yet not connected with it at all. Like
18 we picked up innumerable people and took them into
19 the Red Cross for blood tests for samples and the
01:50 20 only way they could do that was if they were going
21 to be blood donors." "Now if they were going to
22 donate blood, O.K., they'd take it. But, if the
23 person said, 'No, I don't want to donate blood,'
24 that'd put rejected on them, and yet they still
01:51 25 give us his blood type." "So this was the biggest



1 aid there was in eliminating possible suspects."
2 And I think that's what you told us this morning
3 about the blood groupings in that document I
4 showed you?

01:51 5 A Yes.

6 Q And if we could scroll down, and then Mr. Gordge
7 says, "Right. I read all the antigen stuff, it's
8 very complicated stuff. I noticed too in the
9 files the police interview somebody at Cadrain's
01:51 10 address beforehand, someone called Larry Fisher.
11 Who is he?" You answer, "I don't recall Larry
12 Fisher." And Gordge says, "There was an address
13 there. 335 it's the same." I think it should be
14 334. And you say, "At Cadrain's, it's on Avenue
01:51 15 O, south." "Right." "And 19th Street." And I
16 take it at that time were you aware of who Larry
17 Fisher was when Mr. Gordge talked to you?

18 A No.

19 Q Do you know what he was referring to when he said
01:51 20 that he had noticed Mr. Fisher being in the files
21 and that the police had interviewed him?

22 A I don't recall anything on it.

23 Q Then the next page, please, and Mr. Gordge asks
24 you about Ron Wilson and asks you, "Did you know
01:52 25 he was lying?" And you say, "I wouldn't say



1 there was anything particular, at this time, I'd
2 say he was being ... withholding things.

3 Although, I think probably now, that I would say
4 that there's a lot of people that we interviewed
01:52 5 in Regina that were withholding things because of
6 fear. Especially when the two come up afterwards
7 and offered the information and evidence as to
8 what had occurred in the hotel or motel room or
9 whatever it was in Regina." And just the first
01:52 10 part there about the withholding things, did you
11 have that view at the time, Mr. Mackie? Can you
12 explain what you are referring to there?

13 A I don't really remember, but -- not telling
14 everything, you know, I guess is what I would be
01:53 15 referring to.

16 Q Do you have any recollection of Ron Wilson being,
17 withholding things because of fear?

18 A No.

19 Q It then goes on to talk about the two that come up
01:53 20 afterwards, about the hotel or motel room, and I
21 think that's Craig Melnyk and George Lapchuk. Do
22 you recall those individuals and their evidence?

23 A No.

24 Q Do you know what -- is that what you would have
01:53 25 been referring to there?



1 A Yeah, I think what I had probably heard there was
2 two people appeared on their own from Regina with
3 evidence and they were in court, but I don't know
4 who they were.

01:53 5 Q Okay. Do you recall that today?

6 A No, other than here.

7 Q Next page, please, and then you are asked about
8 the polygraph, "How important is a polygraph in a
9 case like this? Do you use them a lot?" And your
01:53 10 answer was, "Not too much. They use polygraph.
11 And I don't know, during my time, I never really
12 saw that much come out of the results of a
13 polygraph that were theoretically good. Maybe it
14 satisfies your own mind, but it'll leave doubts
01:54 15 and wonders anyway." And that was your view at
16 the time, was it, about polygraph?

17 A I don't know about then, but that would be my view
18 now too.

19 Q It is your view now about polygraph?

01:54 20 A I would think so.

21 Q And then just scroll down, you are asked about,
22 "What about Nicole. What was your impression of
23 her? She was the younger of the two. Do you
24 remember?" And you say, "She, as I recall, very
01:54 25 obviously had information. She said things ..."



1 and Gordge says, "See, that's what the most
2 important thing is about this case. That's like a
3 legal precedent. That's why I am quite
4 interested." And you say, "I brought Nicole back
01:54 5 from Regina and took her up to the scene." Do you
6 have a recollection today about Nichol John very
7 obviously having information?

8 A No.

9 Q Scroll down to the bottom, please, and you say,
01:54 10 "And she related things and then she ... before we
11 got to an alley, she said something about some
12 garbage cans down there. When we got to the alley
13 where I could see them, they were there. So,
14 she'd been in the alley obviously. And
01:55 15 psychologically, I would think, probably, she
16 witnessed more and there was a psychological block
17 that even though she knew it, it wasn't there."
18 And the next page, Gordge says, "A trauma ...
19 right? The interesting thing legally, not as far
01:55 20 as the facts, is that she gave that statement and
21 then repudiated it before she went on the stand.
22 Did you ... take that from her?" "I took a
23 statement from her, yeah." "I think I tried to
24 record it too and got a lot of echos on it." Do
01:55 25 you recall trying to record the statement from



1 Nichol John?

2 A No.

3 Q Do you know what this is referring to then when
4 you are talking to Mr. Carlyle-Gordge?

01:55 5 A Probably just as it says.

6 Q And then Gordge says, "And she gave a blow-by-blow
7 and, in the one she denied, she said that she saw
8 the whole thing that night. Saw the stabbing,"
9 and you say, "I think there was some suggestion in
01:56 10 it, it wasn't fully detailed, but there was some
11 indication that she probably had seen it. Yeah."
12 What are you referring to there?

13 A Could be the statement. I don't know.

14 Q And then you go on to say, "She'd back-up. She
01:56 15 would go so far and then she'd back and then she'd
16 go ahead again and she was a difficult witness to
17 deal with." And is that, would that have been
18 your assessment at the time, Mr. Mackie?

19 A I assume so. I don't recall that, but --

01:56 20 Q Do you have any recollection of that being your
21 assessment of Ms. John today?

22 A No.

23 Q Next page, please, you are asked by Mr. Gordge,
24 "What other things ... do you ever think about the
01:56 25 case now and on? Or do you discard it with the



1 rest of them?" "That's where it's gone out the
2 window, let it all go." Is that accurate?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Page 325629, and you say here, "Yeah. In fact, I
01:57 5 think I suggested to you that I was a little
6 wondering, a little bit weary of you yourself
7 because I figured well, maybe you're on her team."
8 What were you referring to when you say on her
9 team?

01:57 10 A Working for the Milgaards or counsel or whatever.

11 Q Okay. And in fairness, in the paragraph before
12 it's talking about moral support from his mother.
13 I presume that's Joyce Milgaard you were referring
14 to?

01:58 15 A Yes.

16 Q And then Gordge says, "No, I'm a ... writer ..."
17 something, "you're kicking up." And you say, "...
18 I'm trying to ... not to worry about that. No, I
19 talked to Bobs. I wasn't concerned about ...
01:58 20 talking to you, but I was ..." etcetera, and then
21 you go on to say, scroll down, "My concern was
22 that ... if anything is published, there's, police
23 force, and I think in all of Canada, they have
24 what they call an oath of secrecy. In other
01:58 25 words, you're not supposed to discuss your



1 involvements in cases outside ... the department."
2 And can you tell us what that would be referring
3 to?

4 A If I remember right, the police department had
01:58 5 rules that covered that sort of thing. What was
6 within the department stayed there and you didn't
7 just go out on the street and just talk to
8 everybody and discuss things, whatever.

9 Q So did you believe, sir, that you had an
01:58 10 obligation to keep secret matters related to the
11 investigation, and maybe secret -- well, no, it
12 does say oath of secrecy.

13 A I don't know. I didn't care to discuss anything
14 of police work. When I did work there, I went
01:59 15 home and left everything at work as best as
16 possible.

17 Q And what I'm getting at is at the time in 1983,
18 according to what this says here, were you of the
19 view that it was not appropriate for you to be
01:59 20 talking about an investigation file with a third
21 party?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And that was based on what, why did you think that
24 was inappropriate?

01:59 25 A I didn't feel it would be proper at all.



1 Q Okay.

2 A I don't know why, but --

3 Q And would that be based upon what, some policy of
4 the police?

01:59 5 A It was at one time. I don't know whether it still
6 is, but it was.

7 Q Now, I understand your next dealings with this
8 matter were in 1993 when you were interviewed by
9 the RCMP. Do you remember that?

02:00 10 A A little bit of it.

11 Q If we could call up 035 -- sorry, I've got the
12 last page -- 035012 and go to page 035023, and
13 this is a date of April 21st, 1993, Constable
14 Cunningham. Does that sound familiar?

02:00 15 A I don't know the name at all.

16 Q Was that your address at the time listed on the
17 document?

18 A No.

19 Q 303 Streb, did you ever live there?

02:00 20 A No.

21 Q No?

22 A That was my sister's home.

23 Q Okay. So it's possible that that's where you had
24 the interview?

02:00 25 A Yes, they did come there and see me once.



1 Q Okay. And then what it says here:

2 "He was polite and co-operative and did
3 not hesitate to answer any questions put
4 to him. Mr. Mackie chose not to review
02:01 5 any of the material presented to him,
6 which included his previous interview on
7 tape provided to an unidentified author,
8 Mackie's reports and so on. We
9 questioned him on this point and he
02:01 10 offered the following explanation."

11 So it appears here the RCMP had some documents
12 for you to look at and you declined to look at
13 them; is that fair?

14 A Yes.

02:01 15 Q And why was that?

16 A Just didn't want to be involved.

17 Q And then it goes:

18 "Mackie stated that he retired as an
19 inspector after 30 years of service.
02:01 20 (He retired in 1978). At that time and
21 since then, he has chosen to divest
22 himself completely from SCP to the
23 extent that he does not associate with
24 his former co-workers nor does he
02:01 25 readily admit to others that he was a



1 former member of that force."

2 And I think that's kind of what you told us
3 yesterday and today; is that right?

4 A Yes. Still the same way.

02:01 5 Q And I should have asked this before, that decision
6 to "divest himself completely from the police
7 force," did that have anything to do with the Gail
8 Miller investigation?

9 A Anything to do with --

02:02 10 Q I'm sorry, I maybe didn't ask that quite right.
11 Your decision to sort of divest yourself of any
12 relationship with the police, I take it you made a
13 conscious decision to do that; correct?

14 A Yes.

02:02 15 Q And did the fact -- did your role in the Gail
16 Miller murder investigation play any role in your
17 decision to divest yourself from the city police?

18 A Not really.

19 Q Next page, please, and you go on to say:

02:02 20 "Mackie explained with obvious sincerity
21 that his time with SCP is a chapter of
22 his life that is now closed and he
23 doesn't wish to be reminded of the
24 events which occurred. He stated that
02:02 25 he would help in any way he could but



1 was quite candid in stating that our
2 investigation was not money wise since
3 there was never any "cover up" at any
4 level. Mackie stated that he put in a
02:03 5 great deal of time and effort on the
6 Miller murder and that he was satisfied
7 that David Milgaard was properly
8 convicted."

9 Does that accurately record what you would have
02:03 10 said to the RCMP?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Would you have been aware of what the RCMP were
13 investigating or why they were talking to you?

14 A Not really, other than I knew there was something
02:03 15 going on.

16 Q Do you recall them telling you that they were
17 investigating some allegations made about the
18 conduct of police and others in the investigation?

19 A Not really.

02:03 20 Q Then they go on to say:

21 "We were provided with the following
22 information:

23 - he definitely did not prepare the
24 "summary" and attached "can says", but
02:03 25 feels Elmer Ullrich may have done so.



1 Mackie does not recognize the drawing on
2 the back of the summary (found in
3 Caldwell's file ... I believe)."

4 And if I can pause there. I think that's the one
02:03 5 page summary that you told us you did prepare.

6 Do you remember the RCMP showing you that?

7 A No.

8 Q And then it says:

9 "Mackie explained that it was not
02:04 10 unusual for such documents to be
11 prepared for senior management on a
12 timely basis to inform them of the
13 status of the cases. Ullrich apparently
14 did so as he was responsible for
02:04 15 preparing case files for Court. The
16 "summary" did not represent theories
17 which investigators wanted to provide to
18 John - Wilson so that the latter
19 witnesses would be told what to say."

02:04 20 So do you recall, does that accurately record
21 what you would have told the RCMP?

22 A Yes, I think so.

23 Q And then they make a note that:

24 "The summary and can-say referred to
02:04 25 above are the only documents read by



1 Mackie."

2 So it appears here that you would have read that
3 five page document; is that --

4 A I guess so. It appears that way.

02:04 5 Q Do you have any recollection of that?

6 A No.

7 Q The next page, please, it says:

8 "- Mackie relates that there was never
9 any split in the department, to his
02:04 10 knowledge, as to who was responsible for
11 the murder. When the murder occurred
12 and for some time afterward, there were
13 no suspects other than the boyfriend,
14 Les Spence who was eliminated quickly.
02:05 15 Investigators then set about eliminating
16 known offenders who in the opinion of
17 the police, had the potential for
18 murder-sex crimes. There were a number
19 of sexual offences being committed but
02:05 20 there was no specific connection between
21 them and the Miller murder.

22 Investigators utilized blood grouping
23 through the Red Cross to eliminate
24 suspects in this file and others. Until
02:05 25 Milgaard's name surfaced, there were no



1 definite suspects."

2 Does that accurately record what you would have
3 told the RCMP?

4 A I think so.

02:05 5 Q "- Mackie cannot recall ever knowing of
6 Larry Fisher until just prior to the
7 Supreme Court of Canada hearings, and at
8 the time the matter began to receive a
9 lot of press (about 1990 I assume)."

02:05 10 And does that accurately record what you would
11 have told the RCMP?

12 A I guess so. I don't remember that part, but I
13 don't know when I found out about Larry Fisher.

14 Q According to this, it says that you at least had
02:06 15 told the RCMP, according to this note, just prior
16 to the Supreme Court of Canada hearings which were
17 in early 1992. Does that sound right?

18 A Could be.

19 Q It says:
02:06 20 "He does not recall (V5)---, (V2)-----
21 in spite of Cst. Cunningham and I
22 informing him that he and Karst worked
23 on the former, Mackie said that he does
24 recall the name "(V1)--- (V1)-" and that
02:06 25 she had been raped but he cannot recall



1 any of the circumstances."

2 Do you recall the name (V1)--- (V1)- today?

3 A Yes.

4 Q As being involved in a rape?

02:06 5 A It was a rape.

6 Q It says:

7 "He does not recall that Fisher was
8 convicted of these rapes nor did he know
9 that Karst went to Winnipeg to interview
02:06 10 Fisher. This would not surprise him
11 since Karst was a good investigator and
12 favoured by senior people. Mackie
13 contends that he was not made aware of
14 the results of any of the rape charges,
02:06 15 to his knowledge. He feels he would
16 possibly have been transferred to
17 another area, therefore would not have
18 known."

19 Again, does that accurately record what you would
02:07 20 have told the RCMP?

21 A I think so.

22 Q And I believe that's what you told us today; is
23 that correct?

24 A I believe it was.

02:07 25 Q It says:



1 "- Mackie states that SCP was very
2 "sectionalized" and it was common for
3 teams not to share information for fear
4 of being "scooped" by other
02:07 5 investigators. He could further
6 rationalize not being aware of the
7 Karst-Fisher matter in Winnipeg for
8 these reasons. He advised that in his
9 estimation, there would be no cover-up
02:07 10 but rather, individuals jealously
11 guarding their territory and
12 accomplishments."

13 And does that accurately record what you would
14 have told the RCMP?

02:07 15 A I don't know as I feel that way today, but it
16 probably is. They had no reason to write anything
17 down differently.

18 Q So let's just -- are you saying this is what you
19 would have told the RCMP in '93 do you think?

02:07 20 A I think probably.

21 Q And is this what you think today?

22 A I don't know whether they were jealously guarding
23 their territories or not. I don't know.

24 Q So this part here, you have some pause at adopting
02:08 25 that as your position; is that what you are



1 saying?

2 A Yes, I think I would have to say I'm not so sure
3 about that.

4 Q Okay. And at the bottom:

02:08 5 "- he did not know of Linda Fisher. He
6 stated there was no immediate release of
7 information ... etc on the day --"

8 If we could then just scroll down, please, to:

9 "- he felt that Nicole John was a victim
02:08 10 of being caught up in the wrong crowd,
11 so to speak. Over the period of time
12 that he dealt with her, Mackie found her
13 to be open and talkative, a pleasant
14 girl but again, one who was in with the
02:08 15 wrong type of people. In his opinion,
16 she was absolutely terrified of David
17 Milgaard and she described being raped
18 by him in Victoria Park, Regina during
19 the day at some undisclosed date."

02:08 20 Let me pause there. Does that accurately record
21 what you would have told the RCMP?

22 A I think so.

23 Q And do you have a recollection of what I read to
24 you and what you told the RCMP?

02:09 25 A I remember her telling me about being raped by



1 Milgaard in a park in Regina.

2 Q And when do you recall her telling you that?

3 A It would be back in '69 or '70.

4 Q Was it in Regina, on the trip back, in Saskatoon,
02:09 5 do you remember, or when she gave the statement,
6 do you remember where it would have been in that
7 time frame?

8 A No, I don't.

9 Q And you expressed the opinion at this time that
02:09 10 she was absolutely terrified of David Milgaard; is
11 that correct?

12 A That's the impression I had, yes.

13 Q And today, sir, is that your recollection of the
14 impression you had back in 1969 of Nichol John?

02:09 15 A Yes.

16 Q And at the bottom it says:

17 "She was not told what to say by him or
18 anyone else and he believes that John's
19 lapse of memory was due to a "mental
02:10 20 block", present for reasons he doesn't
21 understand. (He said he was no
22 psychiatrist)."

23 Again, does that accurately record what you would
24 have told the RCMP?

02:10 25 A I think so, yes.



1 Q And then again:

2 "- John was not familiar with the City
3 of Saskatoon. Mackie recalls driving
4 her from Regina to various locations in
02:10 5 Saskatoon but he can't recall the
6 circumstances. He does recall taking
7 her to the scene and pulling into the
8 east west alley behind the funeral home.
9 When he looked at John's face, he saw
02:10 10 that she had immediately undergone a
11 transformation from conversing normally
12 to a state which he could not describe
13 (more like terror, he said). It was his
14 opinion that she had been at the scene
02:10 15 before and that she witnessed something.

16 And does that accurately record what you would
17 have told the RCMP?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And is that consistent with what you believe today
02:10 20 or you recall today?

21 A Yes.

22 Q The bottom:

23 "- he explained that he had no
24 uncertainty in terms of Milgaard
02:11 25 committing the offence. When he



1 returned from holidays, he reviewed the
2 file as not much had been done while he
3 was away. Upon doing so he compiled a
4 list of the evidence implicating
02:11 5 Milgaard. He and Short met with Bobs
6 Caldwell and it was decided that they
7 should proceed. There was no mention of
8 pressure to lay a charge."

9 If I can pause there. Does that accurately
02:11 10 record what you would have told the RCMP?

11 A Yes, I believe so.

12 Q And again was that your view at the time, sir,
13 that there was no uncertainty in your mind in
14 terms of Milgaard committing the offence?

02:11 15 A Yes.

16 Q And then if you could just scroll down and the
17 RCMP note, it says:

18 "(A review of the police reports suggest
19 that Milgaard may have been suspected of
02:11 20 committing the rapes. His photograph
21 was shown to victims. Mackie states
22 that he doesn't believe this to be the
23 case, namely that Milgaard was suspected
24 of other rapes)."

02:12 25 I believe that's a reference on the (V1)- file,



1 and does this accurately report what you would
2 have told the RCMP, Mr. Mackie?

3 A I guess so. I don't know.

4 Q Do you have any recollection of that?

02:12 5 A No.

6 Q Do you have any recollection as to whether or not
7 David Milgaard was either suspected of or
8 eliminated as a suspect in the (V1)-, (V2)-----
9 and (V3)----- rapes?

02:12 10 A I don't recall other than what we read, you read
11 early this morning about his photo being included
12 with the photos shown to some of these folks.

13 Q But do you have any recollection about whether or
14 not he was a suspect or eliminated as one?

02:12 15 A No.

16 Q If he was a suspect in those rapes, would it be
17 fair to conclude that further steps -- he would
18 have been interrogated about those and further
19 steps taken to either establish responsibility or
02:12 20 eliminate him as a suspect?

21 A I think it should have been.

22 Q And if that's not on the file can one conclude,
23 from that, that he may not have been a suspect in
24 those rapes?

02:13 25 A I don't know.



1 Q Next page, please.

2 "- Jack Ward, a now deceased member of
3 SCP, was conducting a file analysis of
4 the case while the investigation was
02:13 5 on-going. It was he, Mackie states,
6 that brought to investigators' attention
7 that neighbourhood inquiries had not
8 included people who lived south of 20th
9 Street. Once this was known, inquiries
02:13 10 were made."

11 And do you recall anything about that,
12 Mr. Mackie?

13 A Just a slight recollection that it happened and
14 nobody seemed to know why 20th Street seemed to be
02:14 15 a cutoff.

16 Q And do you recall Jack Ward bringing that to your
17 attention?

18 A Not specifically, no.

19 Q Would this accurately record what you would have
02:14 20 said to the RCMP?

21 A I think so.

22 Q And if we look at the map over there, which we
23 will be dealing with a bit later, where we have, I
24 think, pegged all of the door-to-door searches, it
02:14 25 appears that, at least generally that the focus



1 was north of 20th Street and there may not have
2 been much door-to-door work south of 20th Street;
3 is that consistent with your recollection?

4 A Yes.

02:14 5 Q Scroll down to the bottom, it says:

6 "- he heard an allegation of destroyed
7 SCP files but knows nothing of the
8 circumstances."

9 Is that correct, Mr. Mackie, are you --

02:14 10 A I don't know anything about any files being
11 destroyed.

12 Q Next page, please. You say:

13 "- Albert Cadrain was an informant for
14 SCP and was possibly handled by 'Rusty'
02:15 15 Chartier of SCP."

16 Do you recall telling the RCMP that?

17 A No.

18 Q Do you recall Albert Cadrain being an informant
19 for the police?

02:15 20 A No.

21 Q We heard from Jack Parker, yesterday, that he used
22 Albert Cadrain as an informant from time to time;
23 are you aware of that?

24 A No.

02:15 25 Q Scroll down, please. It says:



1 "- he has no knowledge of any SCP member
2 interviewing witnesses 'over
3 aggressively'. He said you have to get
4 the job done but there was no overly
02:15 5 aggressive questioning that was
6 performed."

7 And does that record what you would have told the
8 RCMP?

9 A I think so. I wasn't aware of anything like that,
02:15 10 I don't recall anything along that lines.

11 Q And then it says:

12 "Mackie can't recall an ..."
13 undercover, I think 'U/C' means undercover:
14 "... operator being in police cells with
02:15 15 Milgaard."

16 Do you recall the RCMP asking you about that?

17 A No.

18 Q Do you have any recollection of an undercover
19 operator being used in the cells with David
02:16 20 Milgaard?

21 A No.

22 Q Bottom, scroll down:

23 "- in his opinion, as mentioned, there
24 was no split in the department. Mackie
02:16 25 also stated he was never under the



1 impression that Milgaard was not
2 responsible."

3 And is that what you would have told the RCMP?

4 A I think so, yes.

02:16 5 Q Now if we could go to page 205648 of the discovery
6 transcript, please, I think that's doc. ID 205639
7 and page 205648. Now this is the transcript, and
8 I believe I had earlier -- I think you earlier,
9 subject to some clerical items you were, you said
02:17 10 that you accepted this transcript as being
11 accurate; correct?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And right here there is a reference about when the
14 RCMP had came to Meadow Lake once and saw me, and
02:17 15 I think you advised us, when you went through
16 that, that that should be Emma Lake -- or, no,
17 Christopher Lake?

18 A Emma lake is where I live.

19 Q It should be Emma Lake?

02:17 20 A Emma lake is where I live, Christopher Lake is the
21 post office.

22 Q So, apart from that correction, I believe
23 everything else in the transcript you are -- you
24 accept as being accurate and truthful?

02:17 25 A Yes, everything that I recall reading, yes.



1 Q Okay. If we can go to 205642, please, with that
2 and just call out that answer. You were asked
3 about your responsibilities to oversee the
4 investigation and you say:

02:18 5 "A Really, you didn't report directly to
6 anybody, but there's an inspector, or
7 superintendent, I guess he was, that
8 would be there and he kind of over-sees
9 everything. And the inspector, I
02:18 10 believe Charlie Short was the inspector
11 at that time, and Jack Wood and Hugh
12 Fraser were around, I'm not sure which
13 one was in -- Wood was there at the time
14 this occurred, and I'm not sure when
02:18 15 Fraser come back in or what the occasion
16 was that he wasn't there.

17 Q Did you report to Charlie Short about
18 the progress of the investigation, from
19 time to time?

02:18 20 A There would always be discussions on
21 it."

22 And, again, is that accurate Mr. Mackie?

23 A Yes, I think so.

24 Q And then down at the bottom you were asked
02:18 25 question 24:



1 "Q Well who was responsible for directing
2 the other investigators that were
3 involved in the investigation?"

4 And next page:

02:19 5 "A We had an officer, Jack Ward, who
6 coordinated the file, kept it going,
7 there was so much paper coming in, he
8 put it together, numbered the pages, and
9 this sort of thing. As he read these
02:19 10 reports and put things together he made
11 notes of what had to be done, things
12 that should be done, people to see, or
13 whatever, and they would be sent out.
14 It might have gone through me, it might
02:19 15 have gone through Reid, some of them
16 would just go and pick it up on their
17 own."

18 And is that generally what you were telling us
19 earlier about Jack Ward's role?

02:19 20 A Yes.

21 Q Page 205646, please. And, again, you are asked a
22 question here about reading police reports:

23 "Q Okay. But in order for you to do your
24 job, you'd agree with me that you would
02:19 25 have reviewed the police reports as they



1 came in, the reports filed by the
2 investigators; is that correct?"

3 And your answer:

4 "A No."

02:20 5 And I believe that's what you told us earlier
6 today, that you didn't read all the reports; is
7 that correct?

8 A Right.

9 Q And in fact just scroll down here, I think again
02:20 10 you said that as well:

11 "Never read all the filed reports, no."

12 And that's correct; is it?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Page 205649, you are asked a question at the
02:20 15 bottom about what went to the prosecutor:

16 "Q Well during the course of a police
17 investigation, I'm sure you're aware
18 that the police tend to collect reams of
19 documents, some of which may not bear on
02:20 20 the prosecution of the individual who is
21 eventually charged, some might bear
22 marginally and some might be directly
23 relevant. My question is really aimed
24 at trying to determine who would decide
02:20 25 what information is disclosed to the



1 prosecutors once charges are laid and
2 what's held back and what the basis for
3 that decision might be; do you follow
4 me?

02:21 5 A None of it was held back, in theory,
6 but what would happen, there was a
7 court preparation office and the whole
8 file would have been sent to them. He
9 reads it all, makes brief outlines,
02:21 10 summaries of it, and that portion of
11 the file that he made up the summary
12 would be sent with it, or anything
13 that might be closely related. This
14 particular file, there was a lot of
02:21 15 documentation that was irrelevant to
16 the final court prosecution. Like per
17 se, I went around and knocked on the
18 doors on certain streets and talked
19 with certain people, if there was
02:21 20 nothing there relating to the charges
21 that were pending it wouldn't have
22 been included.

23 Q And whose decision was that?

24 A It would come out of the court
02:21 25 preparation officer."



1 And down here:

2 "Q Do you recall whether Mr. Caldwell, in
3 fact, was provided with the entire
4 police file in this case?

02:21 5 A I don't know."

6 And, again, is that an accurate statement there,
7 Mr. Mackie? I think I had asked you earlier and
8 you said you didn't know what would have been
9 sent, here you seem to be saying that there might
02:21 10 be some, generally some process to take out
11 materials that might be irrelevant?

12 A I think that's probably true, the way it is there.

13 Q Do you have any knowledge as to what Mr. Caldwell
14 got from the police?

02:22 15 A No.

16 Q Page 205660, question 134, you were asked:

17 "Q Who's responsible for making sure that,
18 in fact, the leads are followed up on,
19 somebody, I would imagine has to,
02:22 20 although it's nice to assume that the
21 proper things are done, whose
22 responsibility was it to ensure they
23 were done in the course of this
24 investigation?

02:22 25 A I suppose there would be several of us



1 involved. Sergeant Reid, myself,
2 Short, Wood, Ward would be covering a
3 lot of that."

4 And I think I asked you a question similar to
02:23 5 that yesterday, Mr. Mackie, and I can't recall
6 specifically your answer, but does this, as far
7 as who is responsible for making sure leads are
8 followed up, etcetera, does this answer -- is
9 this answer correct; being Reid, you, Short,
02:23 10 Wood, Ward?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Page 205663, and it appears here from the question
13 you were asked:

14 "Q You're aware that Nichol John, at the
02:23 15 trial, failed to confirm that she told
16 police that she saw the event,
17 basically?

18 A No, I'm not aware of that.

19 Q You didn't know that she said that at
02:23 20 the trial?

21 A No."

22 It would appear here, Mr. Mackie, that in 1996
23 you were saying that you didn't know that Nichol
24 John, at trial, failed to confirm what she had
02:24 25 told the police in her statement; is that



1 correct?

2 A Yes.

3 Q At the bottom of the page you are asked the
4 question from Nichol -- about Nichol John:

02:24 5 "Q Well maybe I'm misstating it, could you
6 tell me what she told you?"

7 And the next page you say:

8 "A I don't recall what she told me. What
9 she told me has been written down, but I
02:24 10 don't recall her telling me that.

11 Something about, I don't know, a hotel
12 room in Regina and a stabbing incident."

13 Do you know what that relates to?

14 A I think that's probably something to do with the
02:24 15 -- an incident in a hotel in Regina where Milgaard
16 was supposed to have stabbed a pillow or
17 something.

18 Q And I think the question related to Nichol John;
19 to your recollection, was Nichol John involved in
02:25 20 that at all?

21 A I don't know.

22 Q If we could go to page 205679, and you were asked
23 the question at the bottom:

24 "Q All right. Mr. Mackie, were you aware
02:25 25 that initially the police investigating



1 the Miller murder believed that the
2 person who was responsible for a series
3 of rape offences which had, then,
4 recently occurred in Saskatoon, may well
02:25 5 have also been responsible for the
6 Miller murder?"

7 And next page you say:

8 "A It never come to my mind."

9 And I think you told us yesterday and this
02:25 10 morning that you would acknowledge that that
11 thought or idea or theory would have been,
12 although you can't recall it, you acknowledge
13 that you would have been aware of it; is that
14 fair?

02:25 15 A I think so, yes.

16 Q Go to page 205684, please, question 277. And:

17 "Q Okay. I show you -- perhaps your
18 counsel can show you a copy of our
19 document 8, your All, and I'd ask you to
02:26 20 note that this is a police report which
21 was filed in connection with the Miller
22 murder and it relates to a complaint of
23 an assault, an apparent attempt at
24 sexual assault which occurred, actually,
02:26 25 on the day of the Miller murder; do you



1 recall seeing that in the course of your
2 investigation?

3 A Not specifically, no.

4 Q Would you agree with me that the
02:26 5 overwhelming likelihood is that you
6 would have been informed that there was
7 another sexual assault fairly close to
8 where the body was found, Gail Miller
9 was found, fairly close in terms of
02:27 10 time, as well, on the same day, that's
11 something that would have been
12 discussed; is that correct?

13 A I couldn't really say. Honestly, I
14 couldn't really say whether it would
02:27 15 have been discussed or not."

16 And there is a report -- I don't think I need to
17 bring it up, Mr. Mackie -- but on January 31, the
18 morning of the murder, there was a report by a
19 woman named (V4)---- (V4)--- or (V4)---; does
02:27 20 that name sound familiar at all to you?

21 A No.

22 Q And she reported to the police that evening that
23 at 7:07 a.m. she was assaulted by a man I think on
24 Avenue H, so about six blocks away from where Gail
02:27 25 Miller's body was found, so at 7:07 a.m., which



1 would be the vicinity at the time of the murder,
2 and she reported that an individual ran his hand
3 up her dress and knocked the books out of her hand
4 and then ran off; do you recall being aware of
02:27 5 that incident at the time?

6 A No.

7 Q Do you recall considering or talking to other
8 officers about how this assault may have been
9 connected or may not have been connected to the
02:28 10 Gail Miller murder?

11 A No.

12 Q And again, if you would have read that report on
13 the file of an assault happening that morning, or
14 an attempted assault -- I guess it was an
02:28 15 assault -- would that be something that would
16 cause -- would be a consideration for you as an
17 investigator to look at?

18 A That would have been a consideration in the file
19 to be investigated.

02:28 20 Q Do you have any recollection of you, yourself,
21 investigating that?

22 A No.

23 Q Page 205729, please. About that, at question 582,
24 you were asked the question:

02:30 25 "But do you understand what I'm getting



1 at, it's a hypothetical to a degree but
2 --

3 A You're asking me if after Milgaard's
4 conviction, and Fisher come to my
02:30 5 attention, I would have come back and
6 investigated?

7 MR. KENNEDY: What could you have done?

8 Q MR. RODIN: What could you have done
9 if he was a suspect that you felt ought
02:30 10 to have been pursued? That's an
11 assumption that I'm saying you can make
12 and then we'll talk about the
13 techniques. I appreciate that you're
14 not going to grant me that and that's
02:30 15 not the intent of the question.

16 A If during the investigation that had
17 been carried on in 1969 something like
18 this had come to the attention it
19 would have been investigated. But
02:30 20 after Milgaard had been to court
21 before a jury and convicted, I
22 wouldn't have carried it anywhere
23 because it's a case that's already
24 been dealt with by the courts.

02:31 25 Q Okay. And you simply would not have



1 done anything to investigate it under
2 any circumstances, no matter what you'd
3 learned; is that correct?

4 A If something had been brought up to
5 cause it to happen, I might have done
6 it, but not likely. It's a file
7 that's been completed by the courts."

8 And again, I appreciate that you retired in 1978,
9 but after David Milgaard had been convicted in
02:31 10 1970 and all of his appeals to the courts were
11 exhausted, do I take it from your answer here
12 that, as far as you were concerned as a police
13 officer, that you would not do any further
14 investigation -- let me put it this way -- that
02:31 15 if there was another possible suspect, if it came
16 to your attention that perhaps someone else and
17 not Mr. Milgaard might be the perpetrator, that,
18 because Mr. Milgaard was already convicted, that
19 you either would not, or likely not, pursue that
02:31 20 other suspect? Do you understand my question?

21 A I think so, but I'm not sure this didn't have some
22 other lead-in as to times after I had retired.

23 Q Okay. Well let me ask you this.

24 A It should, it's something that should be followed
02:32 25 through, sure.



1 Q So that if after -- let me put it this way,
2 Mr. Mackie. If you, as a police officer, became
3 aware of information that suggested, for example,
4 that Larry Fisher might be responsible for the
02:32 5 murder of Gail Miller, and David Milgaard had
6 already been convicted of that crime, was in jail,
7 and all of his appeals had been exhausted; and I'm
8 wondering, as a police officer back at that time,
9 what, if anything, would you have done to pursue
02:32 10 the information that perhaps Larry Fisher was a
11 suspect? And I'm not suggesting that you had the
12 information or that you didn't, I'm simply asking
13 to try to understand at the time, 1970 to 1978,
14 what would be your view as a police officer as to
02:32 15 what you would or wouldn't do?

16 A I would have been -- if the information had been
17 provided to myself I would have forwarded it to
18 the appropriate department to deal with it.

19 Q Well, let's say you're a detective sergeant of
02:33 20 detectives, and that would be the department to
21 deal with murders; right?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And let's say, let's say a detective came and gave
24 you the information, and you were in charge of
02:33 25 detectives, and said 'here's some information --'



1 and I'm not suggesting this happened, Mr. Mackie,
2 I'm trying to get you to tell us what would have
3 been your thought process at the time -- a
4 detective came and said 'here's some information
02:33 5 that suggests person X might be responsible for
6 Gail Miller's murder, I know that David Milgaard
7 has been convicted, appeals exhausted and he is in
8 jail, but here's some information that suggests
9 that maybe we got the wrong guy' or 'maybe this is
02:33 10 the right guy', something like that, what would
11 you do as the person in charge?

12 A I would put someone on to investigate, follow up
13 on it.

14 Q So the fact that David Milgaard was convicted
02:33 15 would not preclude you from following up
16 information on a concluded file?

17 A I don't think so.

18 Q Would you look at it with a bit more skepticism
19 than you might if the file had not been concluded,
02:34 20 in other words if -- would the fact that you had a
21 convicted person in jail influence your thinking
22 as to whether or not this new information might be
23 credible or would not lead anywhere?

24 A Probably would have some influence.

02:34 25 Q Would there be any --



1 A How much, I don't know.

2 Q Would there be a thinking, sir, that, you know,
3 the courts have dealt with this guy, he's in jail,
4 it's done?

02:34 5 A I don't really know.

6 Q Okay. I think we've talked about the Larry Fisher
7 prelim, if we could bring up 314312, please. And
8 if we could go to the next, or to page 314 -- this
9 is the doc. ID -- 314323, please. And this is at
02:35 10 the preliminary hearing, you are being
11 cross-examined by Mr. Beresh, call that out
12 please. And this would be in I think 1999 -- is
13 that right, Brian, 1999 was the prelim?

14 MR. BERESH: I think so. It's a long time
02:35 15 ago.

16 MS. McLEAN: 8, 1998 would be the --

17 MR. BERESH: '98, '99 was the trial.

18 BY MR. HODSON:

19 Q "Q Okay. Well, I want to ask you about
02:35 20 the next paragraph, and I have a
21 specific reason for asking it. The
22 paragraph in the report reads as
23 follows, 'During this conversation',
24 referring to the taped conversation with
02:35 25 Ron Wilson, 'he admitted attending at



1 Saskatoon with Milgaard and Nickey on
2 the early morning of January 31st', it
3 looks like, 'In contradiction to his
4 original and other interviews, he
02:35 5 admitted that Milgaard had left the car
6 when they became stuck at approximately
7 6:45 that morning while looking for the
8 Cadrain residence'; right?

9 A Right.

02:36 10 Q Now, you recall him saying that or words
11 to that effect during the interview, do
12 you?"

13 And your answer is:

14 "A I recall a bit about him talking about
02:36 15 his being stuck with a car, and as I
16 recall, it was near St. Mary's Church at
17 Avenue O and 20th Street."

18 And I'm wondering, Mr. Mackie, do you have any
19 recollection today about what you testified about
02:36 20 at the Fisher preliminary which I just read to
21 you about Ron Wilson?

22 A Not really, no. I remember some information,
23 where it come from, about them being stuck, that's
24 all.

02:36 25 Q I take it at the time of the prelim you would have



1 had, according to this you said 'I recall a bit
2 about Wilson talking about being stuck with a car
3 near St. Mary's Church'; that's what the
4 transcript suggests?

02:36 5 A Yes.

6 Q And today you are telling us you don't have any
7 recollection left of that; is that --

8 A No, that's right.

9 Q Page 314335. And, again, this is Mr. Beresh
02:37 10 cross-examining you about Nichol John's statement:

11 "Q Okay. Was there any doubt in your mind
12 that she was freely and voluntarily
13 giving this statement?

14 A To the best of my recollection it was
02:38 15 her story and what she knew."

16 And I take it that would be correct, is it,
17 Mr. Mackie?

18 A Yes.

19 Q If we could then go to 311672, please. And this
02:38 20 is, I think, testimony at the trial proper.

21 311700 please -- pardon me -- 311695. And you
22 were asked the question by Mr. Beresh:

23 "Q And were you alone with her at the time,
24 or was someone else present?"

02:39 25 and you say:



1 "A No, I'd be alone with her, as I recall."

2 At the Fisher trial, Mr. Mackie, do you remember
3 whether you had any recollection, at that time,
4 of taking Nichol John's statement?

02:39 5 A No.

6 Q You are saying 'no', you didn't have any recall at
7 that time?

8 A I don't remember.

9 Q You don't remember if you remembered then, is that
02:39 10 what you are saying, I'm sorry?

11 A Right.

12 Q 311700. You were asked the question by
13 Mr. Beresh:

14 "Q Okay. Do you remember Nichol John's
02:40 15 demeanour, mood, during his interview?
16 Again without telling us about anything
17 you said, just her demeanour or mood."
18 and you say:

19 "A I don't recall anything unusual about
02:40 20 it."

21 Is that a correct statement?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And here's what I was looking for. If we could go
24 to page 310043, please, and down at the bottom --
02:40 25 this is at the voir dire, one of the voir dieres --



1 it says:

2 "Q The first full paragraph."

3 And again, this is from the statement,

4 Mr. Johnson I think:

5 "'I have not told ...'",

6 quoting from Nichol John's statement:

7 "'I have not told anyone about

8 witnessing this murder. I didn't

9 actually recall -- I'm sorry -- I didn't

10 recall actually witnessing a murder

11 until yesterday when I talked to Mr.

12 Roberts.'"

13 Next page.

14 "Q Do you remember her telling you that?

02:41 15 A Only because it's written here.

16 Q So you have no specific recollection of
17 that?

18 A No."

19 So it would appear, at the Fisher trial, that you

02:41 20 did not have a specific recollection of taking

21 this statement from Nichol John; is that fair?

22 A Yes.

23 Q That's it with the transcript. Just a couple of
24 wrap-up questions, Mr. Mackie, and we have touched
02:41 25 on this. As far as the role that you played in



1 the decision to pursue David Milgaard as a suspect
2 in the murder of Gail Miller, I think we've gone
3 through yesterday and today your role in preparing
4 the summary and going to Lieutenant Short and Mr.
02:42 5 Caldwell, correct, and that those were steps that
6 you thought ought to be taken to pursue him as a
7 suspect; is that fair?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And so the question about what role you played in
02:42 10 the decision to pursue David Milgaard as a suspect
11 in the murder of Gail Miller; from your
12 perspective, sir, what role did you play?

13 A On whether to charge him, this sort of thing?

14 Q Whether to pursue him as a suspect?

02:42 15 A I don't really know.

16 Q Well I think, as I said, you will agree that when
17 we reviewed the file -- and I don't wish to go
18 over the evidence -- but your evidence about
19 reviewing the file and preparing a summary and
02:42 20 going to Lieutenant Short and saying 'I think Mr.
21 Milgaard is a suspect', or words to that effect;
22 you would agree, sir, that you were pursuing him
23 as a suspect at that time?

24 A Yes, he would be the one that was suspect and,
02:43 25 putting things together, the evidence was there.



1 Q What role did you play in the decision to charge
2 David Milgaard with the murder of Gail Miller?

3 A I don't recall being involved in that at all.

4 Q Did you have a belief at that time, back in May of
02:43 5 1969, about David Milgaard's responsibility for
6 the murder of Gail Miller?

7 A That's what it appeared to be, according to what
8 we have written in summaries and such.

9 Q Okay. So as far as the -- you are saying that you
02:43 10 did believe that he was responsible for the Gail
11 Miller murder?

12 A I think so, yes.

13 Q And, again, would it be on the basis -- and I went
14 through in a fair bit of detail the information in
02:43 15 the statements -- would it be based on the
16 information, then, that was on the police file and
17 the statements at the time?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And would the statement of Nichol John of May 24,
02:43 20 1969 have been a significant factor in you
21 concluding that David Milgaard was responsible for
22 the murder?

23 A Oh, I think so, yes.

24 Q One of the mandates of this Commission of Inquiry
02:44 25 is to inquire into the conduct of the



1 investigation into the death of Gail Miller. As
2 you know, Larry Fisher has been convicted of the
3 rape and murder of Gail Miller, and the Government
4 of Saskatchewan has exonerated David Milgaard and
02:44 5 declared him to be factually innocent of this
6 crime. If you look back at your role in the
7 investigation, Mr. Mackie, into the death of Gail
8 Miller, is there anything that you believe you
9 could have, or should have, done differently?

02:44 10 A I don't think so.

11 Q If you look back at the original police
12 investigation in its entirety, not just your role,
13 and based upon your observations or personal
14 knowledge of the investigation, what do you think
02:44 15 could have or should have been done differently?

16 A I don't know.

17 Q Mr. Commissioner, those are my questions for
18 Mr. Mackie, and I'm wondering, given the time and
19 it might be appropriate for me to canvass counsel
02:45 20 for cross-examination and order, whether we take a
21 break now? Unless anybody wishes to pop up and
22 start.

23 (Adjourned at 2:45 p.m.)

24 (Reconvened at 3:00 p.m.)

25 BY MR. WOLCH:



1 Q Mr. Mackie, I'm Hersh Wolch, I'm Mr. Milgaard's
2 lawyer.

3 You indicated that you retired
4 in the late '70s; is that correct?

03:01 5 A Yes, 1978, I left the police department in
6 January.

7 Q And how old would you have been then?

8 A 51, or 50 coming 51.

9 Q In answer to Commission Counsel as to whether this
03:01 10 particular case influenced your retirement, I
11 heard you to say, I believe, not really. By not
12 really, is that a definitive no or it may have,
13 I'm not -- might you elaborate on that?

14 A I started in the police department in 1948. At
03:02 15 that time we went to pension in 30 years and that
16 was my plan at that time, to leave, and the last
17 20 years I suppose I kept looking at different
18 businesses, I went in business, went broke, so I
19 was looking at leaving anyway for many years.

03:02 20 Q So as opposed to not really, the answer would be
21 no, it had no effect?

22 A Yes, I would say so.

23 Q And also in answer to Commission Counsel it was
24 put to you that in talking to the reporter you
03:02 25 indicated that you were in charge of the



1 investigation, I think that word was used. Do you
2 adopt that today, that you were, in your own mind,
3 in charge?

4 A You mean to Carlyle-Gordge?

03:03 5 Q Yes. I saw the word you were in charge and I --

6 A Yes, it has been put that way because I was the
7 senior detective sergeant and I should have been,
8 I guess I was expected to be in charge of it. I
9 had the position, but not the authority as it
03:03 10 were.

11 Q Okay. The evidence appears to be that the killer
12 of Gail Miller lived in the home of Cadrain, the
13 home that David Milgaard came to, and yet given
14 all that, neither he nor his wife were interviewed
03:03 15 as living in that home; that is, what they would
16 have seen that day or anything like that. Can you
17 explain why that would be?

18 A No.

19 Q As I understand it, when you returned from
03:04 20 vacation you appreciated that in effect the
21 investigation was hitting a road block or going
22 nowhere or stagnating or whatever it might be?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And an effort was made to look at an overview and
03:04 25 see where to go; would that be fair?



1 A Yes.

2 Q And I wasn't quite clear whether you felt that
3 this was an overall picture or a picture only
4 designed to look at David Milgaard.

03:04 5 A That summary that I made up --

6 Q Yes.

7 A -- or I believe I made up, I think it was just an
8 overall picture to look at the file.

9 Q If we can pull it up, 006799. Now, one issue I
03:05 10 want to canvass with you, and it comes from this
11 report, and if you look at this page, it's the
12 issue of taking the bus at Avenue N. Now, when
13 you were looking at the matter and considering it,
14 was it your belief that the offence would have
03:05 15 been committed with Gail Miller walking down
16 Avenue N or Avenue O?

17 A I don't know. I don't know whether I really
18 checked on that.

19 Q It would have been important as to which avenue
03:05 20 she was on; would it not?

21 A I think there was probably some discussion on
22 that, but I don't recall any specifics on it.

23 Q But it would have been very crucial as to what
24 people might have seen and things like that, as to
03:06 25 where she would have gone?



1 A I think that's probably part of the reason that
2 various household residents, people in that area
3 were contacted, interviewed.

4 Q The point I want to bring to your attention is
03:06 5 that Mr. Doell had not lived in the area for a bit
6 of time and was not personally really acquainted
7 with Gail Miller to the extent of her roommates
8 and they had made statements that were in the
9 police file that she would have gone down Avenue O
03:06 10 and there are people on the corner of Avenue O who
11 would have seen her taking the bus there on 20th
12 regularly, so there's an abundance of evidence
13 that Avenue O was the avenue she travelled down,
14 and also commonsense, that on 40 below you take
03:07 15 the shortest route to the bus stop. Given that,
16 can you explain why you would have focused on
17 Simon Doell and Avenue N at that point in time?

18 A Not really, no.

19 Q No explanation as to why you would put the file
03:07 20 together and have her on Avenue N?

21 A No, I don't know why.

22 Q Might it be that your theory as to the involvement
23 of John and Wilson couldn't work on Avenue O?

24 A Not really. I wasn't looking at it that way.

03:07 25 Q But you see where you have -- if you can remove



1 the highlight -- you see where you have on this
2 page Marie Indyk and I believe somewhere around
3 here is Mr. -- yes, Mr. Diewold. That is, the
4 theory of the car stopping, asking for directions
03:08 5 and all that, getting stuck, couldn't work with
6 Diewold and Indyk could it?

7 A There's an alley going through behind --

8 Q I -- go ahead, sir. Go ahead.

9 A -- behind the funeral chapel towards Avenue O and
03:08 10 St. Mary's and if I interpret what is written
11 there properly, Indyk was at St. Mary's church.

12 Q Yeah. Maybe I can help you this way, that if the
13 car -- if the car, in your theory, had been
14 driving up the street and asked Gail Miller for
03:08 15 directions with the intention of robbing her and
16 then got stuck, Diewold would have seen a car
17 stuck and Indyk would have seen a car stuck.

18 A If the time frame was proper, yes, it's possible.

19 Q So it couldn't happen -- that's why it couldn't
03:09 20 happen on Avenue O.

21 A In that interpretation, yes.

22 Q Yeah. Because even when you are preparing, when
23 this is being prepared, in the back of your mind
24 is your theory isn't it?

03:09 25 A A theory is what you work with and try to



1 establish it or eliminate it.

2 Q But the theory couldn't work on Avenue O?

3 A No, I guess not, that way, no.

4 Q And let me tell you this. I'm never going to ever

03:09 5 suggest you were trying to get an innocent person

6 in your mind or anything like that, I'm interested

7 really on what we call tunnel vision and getting

8 focused and not looking at other things, I want to

9 explain that to you very clearly, but what I'm

03:09 10 saying is in order to put Gail Miller on Avenue N

11 to corroborate, to go with your theory, you had to

12 put aside logic and evidence that would have put

13 her on Avenue O. Do you see that?

14 A I know what you are saying, but I'm not sure

03:10 15 whether that fits with all the other things in

16 regard to the Avenue N location.

17 Q Well, I'm simply -- I know it has been a long

18 time, but take it from me there are two roommates

19 who gave statements saying that she would go up

03:10 20 Avenue O, to the O and 20th bus stop, and Mary

21 Gallucci took the bus regularly and had the nurse

22 or lady in the white coming from the other

23 direction, it's pretty clear, and so what I'm

24 suggesting is that that is probably stuff you had

03:10 25 to put aside in order to have your theory work.



1 A I don't think I was looking at it that way.

2 Q Oh, never deliberately, I'll never suggest you did
3 it deliberately, in the sense you wanted to
4 mislead or anything, but I'm just saying that you
03:10 5 had it fixed in your head that David Milgaard is a
6 good suspect, let's see -- let's focus on how we
7 can make it work that he is the person who did it
8 or how it could have happened, not out of
9 malicious intent, but just intent. Do you see
03:11 10 that?

11 A Well, you have information and you try and develop
12 that information to see where it led to. If it
13 goes that way, okay. If it doesn't, well, go
14 somewhere else.

03:11 15 Q No, I appreciate that, but I think Commission
16 Counsel at the end of questioning, he said what
17 could be done differently, and what we're seeing
18 is that there's nobody who seems to be saying wait
19 a minute, let's look at Simon Doell, he barely
03:11 20 knows and wasn't even there, let's look at the
21 other evidence, and so we're trying to see maybe
22 there could be a recommendation that this wouldn't
23 happen again, and maybe if you are asked the
24 question by Commission Counsel as to what might be
03:11 25 done differently, maybe there should have been



1 somebody looking at challenging what was going
2 down here. Do you follow me?

3 A Yes.

4 Q But there was nobody challenging you at all?

03:12 5 A I don't think so.

6 Q Okay. And if I can just -- give him the next
7 page, please. Now, in putting together the
8 summary of what people said, and Commission
9 Counsel did this very well and extensively, he
03:12 10 drew to your attention that Nichol John's
11 statement, which I can tell you was taken very
12 carefully by experienced officers, contains
13 everything in here with the exception of "admits
14 seeing nurse near funeral home." Now, that would
03:12 15 appear to be clearly an error on putting it in
16 unless somebody can find something somewhere else,
17 but having said that, and I'm not suggesting this
18 was anything malicious on your part at all, is it
19 possible that you got your theory a little bit
03:13 20 ahead of yourself; that is, as you are sitting
21 around thinking and thinking and thinking and
22 there's so many facts to absorb, that that somehow
23 got into your head that that happened and you put
24 it down there by innocent error?

03:13 25 A In Nichol John's statement you are speaking of?



1 Q Yes. You seem to remember something about
2 somebody asking for directions and that became
3 that.

4 A I would -- on taking the statement I wrote down
03:13 5 basically what she's telling me.

6 Q I can tell you as a fact that her statement
7 doesn't contain that.

8 A I don't know.

9 Q And that's an important fact as you might agree,
03:13 10 it's pretty significant.

11 A Oh, yeah.

12 Q And there's no record of her ever having said that
13 prior to taking this down, so what I'm saying is
14 the more likely interpretation is that that was
03:14 15 something you picked up, perhaps when you and a
16 fellow officer were speculating, and then you
17 thought it was in the statement and just recorded
18 it honestly and by error?

19 A I don't know.

03:14 20 Q I'm not suggesting you made it up deliberately to
21 fool somebody, but it is there, so what I'm
22 suggesting is that it may have been an honest
23 error on your part as to what you remembered as
24 being the most likely interpretation of how it got
03:14 25 there.



1 A I don't know. Sorry.

2 Q Okay. But that did colour your theory
3 considerably; correct? I mean, that led to your
4 theory, that's pretty well the foundation of the
03:14 5 theory.

6 A It would be a piece of it, yes.

7 Q I mean, that's like the hallmark, it's the
8 benchmark for the theory, the theory starts with
9 that really as to what occurred, but it's there
03:15 10 presumably by error. And then if we can just turn
11 the page and to the next one, please. I'm sorry,
12 one more. And if we go to the summary -- now, I
13 take it summary would not be an appropriate word
14 for that because it's really, it's not a summary
03:15 15 of evidence, it's more of a theory than a summary.
16 Would that be fair to say?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Because a lot of what's said here isn't factual,
19 it's not based on anything more than a detective
03:15 20 trying to figure out his case or what happened;
21 right?

22 A To my recollection, which is pretty vague any
23 more, I probably was reading the file and these
24 were things I would pick up from the file somehow.
03:15 25 Of where I don't know.



1 Q But pretty well pointing towards David, I mean --

2 A Well, it eventually did, yes.

3 Q When you had another suspect, you had the unknown
4 rapist in the area, but you just didn't know who
03:16 5 he was, he was still a suspect?

6 A Oh, yes.

7 Q Now, if we go to the bottom of the page, you use
8 the word hypnosis, and I'm going to suggest to you
9 that that's there for a reason because in your
03:16 10 theorizing you had thought that a very likely
11 explanation of what is occurring here is that
12 Nichol John had blocked out a very traumatic event
13 and hypnosis might bring it back to her.

14 A I had that thought, yes.

03:16 15 Q So that part of your theory was that Nichol John
16 had a mental block as to what occurred, that was
17 part of your theory?

18 A Yeah, there was things missing.

19 Q You presumably would have had police reports that
03:17 20 referred to her in fairly positive terms. By that
21 I mean that she appeared credible or she appeared
22 to be telling a convincing story or words that
23 made her sound, to some degree, credible, and yet
24 the alternatives are either she's lying, which
03:17 25 would be contrary to what the impression she was



1 given, giving rather, or she had mentally blocked
2 out a murder or something like that?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Yeah. And I'm not saying there's anything wrong
03:17 5 with what you are doing, but you are coming up
6 with a scenario based on an assumption that Nichol
7 John in all likelihood blocked out a traumatic
8 event; would that be fair?

9 A I think so.

03:18 10 Q And in taking her to the area where roughly the
11 crime was committed, was that, was your purpose to
12 try and jar her memory?

13 A See what she might remember or might not remember.

14 Q That is, if the memory was there, it should be
03:18 15 fairly easy for her to say, you know, this is what
16 I saw here, this is what I saw there, the way she
17 did with Danchuks, I mean, there's the house?

18 A Yeah.

19 Q You think it's more likely she would remember a
03:18 20 murder scene than she would remember where the
21 vehicle got stuck?

22 A That I can't answer.

23 Q But in any event, I would think taking her there
24 was to jar her memory?

03:18 25 A True, to see if she remembered anything, yes.



1 Q Yeah. And you weren't expecting it to be a little
2 bit here or a little bit here, 'now I remember
3 this, now I remember that,' it would have been,
4 'oh, now it comes back to me, I remember a
03:19 5 murder.' You don't expect her to say one moment,
6 'oh, now I remember a knife,' and then 10 minutes
7 later, 'now I remember leaving the car,' and then
8 half an hour later 'now I remember --' that
9 doesn't make any sense does it? It would be all
03:19 10 at once that it comes back to you; right?

11 A I don't know.

12 Q Well, you know what I'm getting at?

13 A I think so.

14 Q If somebody blocks something out traumatically, if
03:19 15 it's going to come back, it's going to come back,
16 it's not going to come back in little bits and
17 pieces, dribs and drabs?

18 A Right. The only thing that appeared there was the
19 two garbage cans and response to it. Other than
03:19 20 that, there was no recollection of anything.

21 Q Okay. And it would appear that you went and got
22 the exhibits, I think Commission Counsel showed
23 you the report that you --

24 A That were taken to the Cavalier?

03:19 25 Q Yes.



1 A Yes, somebody told me to go and get them and take
2 them to them.

3 Q And do you know why that would be?

4 A I assumed they wanted them for some purpose. I
03:20 5 don't know what.

6 Q Can you, with your years of experience, deduce why
7 they would want those exhibits?

8 A I don't know why they wanted them.

9 Q Might I suggest it was to perhaps shock a memory
03:20 10 into Nichol John?

11 A Possible.

12 Q Is there any other explanation --

13 A I don't know of one.

14 Q -- that you can think of?

03:20 15 A I don't know. I'm not familiar with polygraph and
16 how they worked it.

17 Q Okay. Well, polygraph would be to test whether
18 the person is telling the truth.

19 A Okay.

03:20 20 Q Right, like, are you telling the truth or is it
21 correct that you didn't see anything or whatever
22 it might be. Showing bloody clothes really can't
23 help with that can it?

24 A I don't suppose. I don't know.

03:20 25 Q And there was no suggestion at that point that she



1 had ever seen any bloody clothes or -- I
2 apologize.

3 MR. HODSON: I think that means you have to
4 stop.

03:21 5 BY MR. WOLCH:

6 Q In any event, the clothes, I mean, it would be
7 impossible for her to have seen the clothes; would
8 it not? There's no reason to believe that she saw
9 the clothes?

03:21 10 A Not that I'm aware of. I don't know.

11 Q Had it crossed your mind that it might be a very
12 scary thing?

13 A To see the clothes?

14 Q Yes.

03:22 15 A I don't recall looking at them myself, so I don't
16 know.

17 Q No, I mean, like, for a young girl to be shown
18 bloody clothes.

19 A Oh, I would think it probably would be. I don't
03:22 20 know.

21 Q Now, I'm a little bewildered by the fact that
22 there doesn't appear to be any police report where
23 you would document that you took the exhibits,
24 delivered them or took them back as authored by
03:22 25 you or as to what was going on with you on the



1 crucial day when Roberts was questioning Nichol
2 John. Can you explain why there wouldn't be a
3 report on this extremely crucial day?

4 A No. I don't know whether I was -- I don't recall
03:23 5 being involved with Roberts at the hotel room.
6 The only thing I recall is taking a suitcase of
7 clothes to them. Whether I left a report or not,
8 I don't know.

9 Q Well, the statement that she apparently made to
03:23 10 Roberts, we're not sure what it was exactly, but
11 it would have been implicating David Milgaard, can
12 you explain why there was no rush to record what
13 she was saying?

14 A No.

03:23 15 Q What I'm getting at is if you look at what Roberts
16 did, which is really important, and you look at
17 what transpired with Nichol John after Roberts, up
18 until the time you took your statement there's
19 virtually a vacuum of recorded information. Had
03:24 20 it been decided that nothing would be recorded in
21 this period of time?

22 A Not to my knowledge.

23 Q Because we have records of interviews with minor
24 players, with suspects and everything, and here we
03:24 25 have a crucial time and it appears nothing is



1 really being recorded of that very crucial time
2 and I'm wondering if you can help me, if you can
3 recall if it was a joint decision not to make
4 records of this and not report it or whatever.

03:24 5 A Not that I'm aware of.

6 Q You do agree with me that when you get a break in
7 the case, such as somebody saying I saw a murder,
8 the first thing you would want to do would be to
9 record it?

03:25 10 A It should have been.

11 Q You wouldn't expect to wait a day?

12 A Not really, no.

13 Q Might it have been that the reason for the delay
14 was that the statement had to be coordinated with
03:25 15 what Ron Wilson was saying?

16 A Not to my knowledge, no.

17 Q You do appreciate that it would have been quite
18 difficult if Nichol John was saying she saw a
19 murder and Ron Wilson was saying I didn't and I'm
03:25 20 in the car too, that that would have caused
21 problems?

22 A If they would have said that, that's the way it
23 would have been.

24 Q But it would have made for a very much more shaky
03:25 25 case if you have two people who both can't be



1 telling the truth?

2 A You would hit that to some degree anyway. I'm not
3 sure. I don't remember that much about it.

4 Q The suggestion that was made in the document we
03:25 5 went over was that they would be interviewed
6 together or at least there would be a
7 togetherness. Did you have any concern back then
8 about one person knowing what the other was
9 saying?

03:26 10 A That was in that one document there where they
11 would all be interviewed and their interpretation
12 was being put on that that they were all being
13 interviewed together. That wouldn't have been my
14 thought on doing that. These people are three
03:26 15 individuals, they all have to be interviewed, but
16 not necessarily together. There wouldn't be any
17 purpose in interviewing them together.

18 Q Did you have any policy back then about
19 interviewing potential witnesses together or
03:26 20 having one influence the other as to what
21 occurred?

22 A I don't recall any specific policy.

23 Q Based on your experience, would you agree with the
24 suggestion that until virtually everything a
03:26 25 witness says they know has been exhausted, they



1 shouldn't be told of what another witness has
2 said?

3 A I don't know if they should ever be told about it,
4 unless they would hear about it in court or
03:27 5 something.

6 Q Now, you indicated that Nichol John had been kept
7 in the jail overnight, and I think you offered an
8 explanation for that. Can you tell us again why
9 she would have been kept in a cell?

03:27 10 A I took her there because -- as I recall, she was
11 offered to stay at the Ritz, which was a common
12 place for this type of individual to be taken that
13 would have been brought from other points as
14 witnesses, and as I recall she asked if David
03:27 15 Milgaard was in custody or something of that
16 nature and she was scared of David and if he
17 wasn't, she didn't want to go to a hotel, and
18 there was no women in women's cells, which was
19 basically like a dormitory, so she was offered
03:28 20 that accommodation and that's what she accepted.

21 Q Well, just backing up on that, I take it you would
22 agree there was no way that David Milgaard would
23 have known she was in Saskatoon?

24 A I don't know.

03:28 25 Q You had brought her there, I mean, it wasn't



1 announced to the world you were taking her there?

2 A No. There was other people in Regina would have
3 known I assume.

4 Q But she never -- even though she had been living
03:28 5 in Regina, she hadn't expressed any fear of him
6 there had she?

7 A I don't know. I don't remember.

8 Q Well, not to you?

9 A Not to me.

03:28 10 Q So she had been living there for quite a bit of
11 time not saying a word about being scared of David
12 Milgaard?

13 A Right.

14 Q It was only after she talked to yourself and
03:28 15 Roberts that she expressed fear of David Milgaard;
16 is that not true?

17 A Before she talked to Roberts. I believe she
18 stayed in the women's cells on the night of the
19 22nd when I brought her back to Saskatoon.

03:29 20 Q Well, you knew the background, that she had been
21 with David in Regina, you knew that, and that they
22 had had sex and then she left her job and
23 travelled with him and even in his presence she
24 had become Cadrain's girlfriend for a while and it
03:29 25 seemed fairly normal up until then. Can you



1 explain why suddenly in Saskatoon she wants to go
2 to a jail she's that scared of him?

3 A No.

4 Q And after she made her statement, she was taken
03:29 5 back to Regina?

6 A Sometime after that. I'm not sure when.

7 Q Any precautions made for her safety at her
8 request?

9 A No.

03:30 10 Q Now in terms of taking her statement, you -- that
11 you took from her, was it your view that she was
12 now unblocking whatever was blocking her mind?

13 A I don't recall thinking of anything along that
14 line, just --

03:30 15 Q Did you find it somewhat amazing that she was
16 basically going along, in many ways, with what you
17 had thought had happened?

18 A I had never thought about that either. Nothing
19 surprises you with police work.

03:31 20 Q Yeah. I would have thought it would have been
21 like a Sherlock Holmes moment; you had a theory
22 and sure enough, here it comes, she had a blocked
23 mind, she saw it? I mean it must have been a
24 moment of, at that time, of great pride?

03:31 25 A No, I wouldn't say so.



1 Q Well you had solved a very difficult case all by
2 your analysing of a file; I would have thought
3 that would have been quite exciting?

4 A I don't think I completely finalized it.

03:31 5 Q And, as you were questioned by Commission Counsel,
6 you didn't look at her statement with any kind of
7 skepticism about 'how could you have seen this
8 happen when it was impossible that a knife could
9 go through a coat and not through a dress'; you
03:31 10 didn't query or challenge anything like that?

11 A No.

12 Q My experience with statements has been that very
13 often officers, at the end of a statement, ask
14 pointed questions to clarify or whatever. You
03:32 15 never considered, you know, saying to her 'how
16 could this be that you would have seen this or
17 that' or whatever?

18 A I don't remember anything like that.

19 Q Now I won't pull up the statement unless we have
03:32 20 to, but there was a remark that was put to you
21 earlier in the statement where she indicates that
22 she didn't remember until talking to Inspector
23 Roberts; do you recall that?

24 A Yes.

03:32 25 Q And did you consider asking her 'what did Roberts



1 do to remind you' or anything like that?

2 A No. Basically, as I recall, I was just writing
3 down her story that she was about to tell me.

4 Q Well the statement goes along in a narrative and
03:32 5 then it starts with 'I now remember, I remember, I
6 remember, I remember,' and then she says that 'I
7 didn't remember until Inspector Roberts'; did you
8 try to find out what Roberts could have done to
9 unblock the blockage?

03:33 10 A No I didn't.

11 Q Now in her statement she also -- and I'll remind
12 you, hopefully correctly -- she states that in
13 Calgary -- and I'm paraphrasing -- Wilson made a
14 comment about David having murdered a girl in
03:33 15 Saskatoon and she is saying 'I know'. That -- I'm
16 paraphrasing but it's in the statement -- would
17 that not have struck you as peculiar and
18 inconsistent with a blockage?

19 A It may have. I don't remember anything about
03:33 20 that, thinking about it that way.

21 Q You see, if it's correct that she has blocked it
22 out one would assume she blocked it out right
23 after it happening, which might explain her normal
24 behaviour with the Danchuks and everything else,
03:34 25 but surely it doesn't make any sense that she



1 would block it out, she would remember it in
2 Calgary, and then block it out again? Do you
3 follow me?

4 A Yes.

03:34 5 Q So, having seen that in her statement, I'm
6 wondering why, as an investigator, at the end of
7 her statement you wouldn't have put to her 'look,
8 if it was blocked out until Roberts, how did you
9 remember it in Calgary?'

03:34 10 A I don't know.

11 Q So you never really challenged her on the logic of
12 her statement?

13 A No.

14 Q Like you never said to her 'well how did you
03:34 15 behave normally afterwards', or 'why did you not
16 go to the police when you were in Calgary', or
17 anything like that, to challenge her on what she
18 had been -- what she is saying?

19 A No I didn't.

03:34 20 Q Would it be fair to say that you were just happy
21 to get something to get somebody, who you thought
22 was guilty, convicted?

23 A No.

24 Q You were happy to get the statement, I would
03:35 25 assume?



1 A I was -- she gave her statement but --

2 Q Now the statement that was taken from Nichol John
3 encountered difficulties at the preliminary and at
4 the trial, and I take it you would have been aware
03:35 5 of that then, at least?

6 A What went on in the trial?

7 Q Yes. I mean I can't imagine the prosecutor not
8 talking to you and saying 'look, what's going on
9 here, she's not remembering this, can you tell me
03:35 10 what -- how did you take the statement, what's the
11 story'? I mean --

12 A I'm not aware of it.

13 Q Well, obviously you and Mr. Caldwell have a good
14 relationship, or -- over the years?

03:36 15 A Oh yes.

16 Q I mean, when you had Carlyle-Gordge calling you,
17 it was Caldwell you turned to to get advice?

18 A Yes. He was the prosecutor so he's --

19 Q Yeah. But, I mean, surely the two of you would
03:36 20 have communicated over the statement you took from
21 Nichol John at the time of the trial?

22 A Not that I remember.

23 Q So your evidence is, as far as you remember, he
24 never asked you 'what is going on with Nichol
03:36 25 John'?



1 A Yes.

2 Q Okay. Is it your view that you never found out
3 that she didn't adopt her statement?

4 A I don't know what he found out,

03:36 5 Q But, I mean, at -- in and around the time of the
6 trial you wouldn't have known that there was a
7 problem?

8 A No, not likely.

9 Q Would have -- you would not have followed the
03:37 10 trial in the press?

11 A No.

12 Q And, after the trial, you were on the force for a
13 number of years?

14 A Until 1978 in January.

03:37 15 Q And, as a police officer, you are interested in
16 what the law is that affects policing?

17 A Well, I guess I would have been.

18 Q Was it brought to your attention that that
19 particular statement is one of the best-known and
03:37 20 most famous statements in criminal law?

21 A No.

22 Q That it gave birth to a rule in law that's been
23 followed in many, many cases; no one ever told you
24 that?

03:37 25 A No.



1 Q So do I have it correctly that this statement --
2 which, please accept, is extremely important in
3 criminal law, to lawyers at least, this is a very
4 important statement about witnesses and previous
03:38 5 statements -- that you have really no memory of
6 taking it, and Nichol John has no memory of giving
7 it, and there is no reports surrounding it; is
8 that fair?

9 A That's the way it appears to be.

03:38 10 Q Now, obviously, there was a rapist in the area
11 that was committing crimes that were quite
12 horrific and would have been known to the entire
13 police force at that time; correct?

14 A I would think they would all know about it.

03:38 15 Q Yeah. I mean the person we now know as Larry
16 Fisher was committing a number of crimes in the
17 vicinity?

18 A That's what I understand.

19 Q Right. And it's understood that two officers, one
03:39 20 being Karst, went to Winnipeg to question Fisher,
21 and eventually he was convicted of the Saskatoon
22 rapes, that's -- you can take that as a given; is
23 it your evidence that you didn't even know that?

24 A That's right.

03:39 25 Q That is you didn't know he went to Winnipeg at all



1 for this, for the -- to talk to the suspect?

2 A No.

3 Q And when he came back he never shared with you, or
4 anybody who then shared with you, the break in one
03:39 5 of the bigger cases in Saskatoon?

6 A No, not that I remember at all.

7 Q So, as far as you were concerned, the serial
8 rapist had never been apprehended?

9 A To my knowledge, that's what it would have been.

03:40 10 Q Now Nichol John basically confirmed your theory,
11 i.e. she had blocked it out and she had seen it;
12 would that be fair?

13 A I guess so.

14 Q Can you account for any reason why she would have
03:40 15 done that if it wasn't true?

16 A No.

17 Q Outside of her being scared or coerced, is there
18 any other logical explanation that you can tell us
19 to help us with that?

03:40 20 A I don't know of any.

21 Q You do appreciate that people, and young people
22 perhaps, will, under coercion, not tell the truth?

23 A Could be. I don't know.

24 Q You, you know that in your police experience
03:41 25 dealing with people on a regular basis that it is



1 a danger, if people are coerced, that they won't
2 tell the truth?

3 A It's always possible that they won't tell the
4 truth. They don't even have to be coerced, I
03:41 5 guess.

6 Q But there is no motive that you can see for Nichol
7 John to say she saw a murder she didn't; right?

8 A No.

9 Q So I'm just asking you; other than coercion -- I'm
03:41 10 not, maybe you, maybe Roberts, I don't know --
11 but, other than coercion, there is no other
12 logical explanation; is there?

13 A I don't know what explanation would be left.

14 Q Now there's evidence that in the '80s, early,
03:42 15 Linda Fisher, Larry's wife, came into the police
16 station and basically identified the killer; was
17 that brought to your attention at all?

18 A I wasn't there in 1980.

19 Q Well, through the grapevine, somebody called you
03:42 20 up and said 'look, remember that case that you
21 were charged with -- in charge of, rather, we've
22 got somebody here saying this'?

23 A Nope. That wouldn't have happened. They didn't
24 keep in touch with me and I didn't keep in touch
03:42 25 with them.



1 Q Can you tell us what you think you might have done
2 if she talked to you and said 'I believe that my
3 husband committed that crime that you solved many
4 years ago'?

03:42 5 A Passed it on to the appropriate department to deal
6 with it.

7 Q If you were the party that was asked to deal with
8 it, would you have followed it up, or do you think
9 you would have said 'no, no, he's guilty, forget
03:42 10 it'?

11 A I would have probably followed it up.

12 Q Yeah. I would like to, before closing, turn to
13 your examination, I think it's 205639, I hope I
14 have it right. This would have been the
03:43 15 examination in connection with the civil suit, and
16 I want to go over a few questions and answers with
17 you, and I would assume that you were under oath
18 and doing your very best to tell the truth at that
19 time?

03:43 20 A Yes.

21 Q Okay. Could we go to 663, please. You were being
22 asked about any, whether you have any -- you can
23 highlight that portion there -- whether you have
24 any doubt about the guilt of David Milgaard. At
03:44 25 156:



1 "Q That wasn't my question. At the time --
2 he still isn't, was your answer?

3 A That's right. There wasn't then and
4 there still isn't any doubt.

03:44 5 Q In your mind?

6 A If there was I'd be concerned, but --

7 Q Okay. So there's no reason based on --
8 you are aware -- at least you're aware
9 that some of the evidence against David
03:44 10 Milgaard has fallen apart to some
11 degree, that is, you're aware that
12 Wilson recanted, are you?

13 A I heard somebody say that once, but a
14 little coercion will do that."

03:44 15 What did you mean by that?

16 A I was, if I remember this correctly, probably I
17 was just suggesting that somebody else other than
18 the police had talked to whomever and they had
19 caused them to change.

03:44 20 Q Well Wilson, I think what's being put to you there
21 quite fairly is that Wilson was no longer
22 implicating David Milgaard or was taking back what
23 he said or whatever, and it appears to me that you
24 are suggesting that he may have been coerced into,
03:45 25 into recanting; is that what you are trying to



1 convey there?

2 A I think so.

3 Q Then again, in essence, Nichol John recanted with
4 you?

03:45 5 A Nichol?

6 Q Well she recanted, basically she can't remember
7 anything and now she saw this, she changed her
8 story at least?

9 A The story has changed, yes.

03:45 10 Q And you are aware of the fact that a little
11 coercion will do that kind of thing?

12 A Oh, I think so.

13 Q I wonder if we could turn to 661, if we could just
14 highlight that portion there, you were asked this
03:46 15 question:

16 "Q Do you think -- you're aware that Linda
17 Fisher came forward, you became aware
18 this morning that Linda Fisher came
19 forward in August of 1980 and provided a
03:46 20 member of the Saskatoon Police
21 Department, Detective Wagner, I believe,
22 with information suggesting that David
23 Milgaard might be innocent and Larry
24 Fisher might be actually responsible for
03:46 25 the murder of Gail Miller?



1 A I heard something like that this
2 morning.

3 Q Were you shocked to hear that?

4 A Nothing to be shocked about.

03:46 5 Q Were you informed as to whether or not
6 there was any follow-up investigation,
7 this morning?

8 A No.

9 Q In your view, is this a proper thing
03:46 10 that should have been followed up on?

11 A If I'd have been there I probably
12 wouldn't have followed it up because
13 there was probably something wrong
14 with it. My opinion is that Mr.
03:46 15 Milgaard is responsible."

16 Now that answer appears to be a little
17 inconsistent with what you are saying here; can
18 you help me with that?

19 A Time has passed and --

03:47 20 Q Sorry, I couldn't hear you?

21 A Time has passed and the -- I know a little bit
22 more about Fisher now because I have been to
23 trials on him.

24 Q Okay. I think you are being very fair with me,
03:47 25 but I think what you are saying is that when you



1 believe David is guilty you look, you may look at
2 the same thing very different than if you believe
3 he is not guilty; do you see what I am saying?
4 That's not, that's just human nature.

03:47 5 A Yes.

6 Q But what I am saying is when you are answering
7 this question there, and you are conveying to the
8 examiner that you believe David is guilty --
9 because you said it very clearly there --

03:47 10 A That's right.

11 Q -- 'I believe David is guilty' -- and when he
12 suggests to you 'well if somebody came in and said
13 look, I have got evidence that he might be
14 innocent', you would basically shrug it off and
03:47 15 say 'forget it, I know he is guilty'; now, when
16 you are asked the question knowing he is innocent,
17 your answer is 'of course I would look into it'.
18 Do you see the difference?

19 A Yes.

03:48 20 Q So maybe when we're talking about suggestions the
21 suggestion might be that, if somebody has
22 information that may cast doubt or purports to
23 cast doubt on a conviction, the last person we
24 should go to is the one who was involved in the
03:48 25 convicting; might you agree with that?



1 A Meaning that --

2 Q Meaning that you are not the kind of person that
3 they should have gone to because you had a real
4 bias -- and that's not a bad word -- a bias that
03:48 5 David was guilty?

6 A At that point in time I felt he was.

7 Q Yeah. So at that point in time, if Linda Fisher
8 comes in and says to you 'eh, here's a story for
9 you', your inclination is to say 'I know he's
03:48 10 guilty, go away'?

11 A I think probably the other thing that was
12 affecting there is the fact that it was occurring
13 in 1980 and I was being asked if I would
14 investigate it, no, I wasn't there.

03:49 15 Q But that's not -- that's a bit technical, I think.
16 The question wasn't put 'would you investigate it
17 after you were retired', the question was 'if you
18 were a police officer, in your frame of mind,
19 would you have followed up on it', and I think you
03:49 20 are, you know, telling it quite accurately that
21 you are so convinced of the guilt and the file is
22 closed, you know, it's something, you know,
23 there's probably something wrong with it, as you
24 say?

03:49 25 A Well, there could be. You would have to look at



1 both sides of it I guess.

2 Q Yeah. You are not going to look at it without
3 your bias accumulated over time. Okay.

4 The final matter I want to
03:49 5 canvass with you very briefly is Commission
6 Counsel asked you, in effect, what might be done
7 differently or what could be done differently and
8 whether you do something differently, and is it
9 correct you don't see anything that could have
03:50 10 been done differently or should have been done
11 differently in this particular case?

12 A I'm not sure of what one would do differently.

13 Q Well, on reflection, should not your summary, and
14 that sort of thing, have been challenged and
03:50 15 looked at more carefully by somebody?

16 A Well it maybe should have been.

17 Q Yeah.

18 A It was sent through to other people and they did
19 the work on it.

03:50 20 Q Yeah. And in terms of Nichol John's crucial
21 statement, do you not think some records should
22 have been kept, maybe tapes or something, as to
23 what Roberts said, as to what you did, and all
24 that sort of thing, leading up to her statement?

03:50 25 A I don't know whether there was no reports from



1 Roberts, or anything about that, or not.

2 Q Or yourself? There's nothing. You seem to have a
3 blank as to what you did those days, too, in
4 reports?

03:50 5 A I have a blank on most of it any more, but --

6 Q Well, I'm talking about reports, sorry. So I'm
7 saying that might be something else we should
8 have?

9 A There should have been reports from somebody at
03:51 10 the Cavalier, I don't know whether there was
11 reports for that or they went missing, I don't
12 know.

13 Q And it would appear that the statement Nichol John
14 gave, which seems to have been authored in part in
03:51 15 the summary -- in part, the suggestion is there --
16 may have been caused by her being under pressure,
17 scared, fearful or whatever, that's the -- it
18 appears that way. Is there anything that can be
19 done to either satisfy people that it isn't that
03:51 20 way, or satisfy people that it is that way, in the
21 future?

22 A I don't know, to be honest with you.

23 Q Do you appreciate, and -- that that summary, which
24 I concede was done with the best of intentions,
03:52 25 I'm not going to suggest you had any ulterior



1 motive -- that that summary plus Nichol John's
2 statement, albeit it wasn't admitted in evidence
3 but that statement, that those two events, both of
4 which emanate from yourself, that those two events
03:52 5 could be considered one of the main causes, if not
6 the main cause, in contributing to David being
7 convicted?

8 A Sorry, I don't really know. It may have led that
9 way, probably did. Here again, these things were
03:53 10 sent to the prosecution to deal with, and they got
11 a lot more knowledge than I ever thought of having
12 of what to do with it.

13 Q Well I appreciate, I appreciate that, but do you
14 have some personal concern that your summary,
03:53 15 provided with the best of intentions, and the
16 statement you took from Nichol John, played a very
17 major, if not the most major, role in the wrongful
18 incarceration?

19 A Could be. I don't know.

03:53 20 Q Do you appreciate that?

21 A I appreciate what you are saying.

22 Q Do you have any comment to make about that or --

23 A No.

24 Q Those are my questions, Mr. Commissioner.

03:53 25 BY MS. McLEAN:



1 Q Sir, my name is Joanne McLean, I represent Joyce
2 Milgaard.

3 Could I have 006799, the
4 summary, please. The document that we have been
03:54 5 talking about and calling the summary, this is not
6 somebody's -- something that somebody asked you to
7 do, is it, it's something that you did yourself?

8 A Yes it is.

9 Q Sorry, I asked you two questions that contradict.
03:54 10 It's something you did without being asked to do?

11 A To the best of my recollection, I made it, I did
12 it when I come back from holidays.

13 Q At nobody's direction, it's just something that
14 you did?

03:54 15 A Yes.

16 Q Okay. And then you used this document or
17 something, an earlier version of it perhaps, to
18 basically convince Short that David is somebody
19 that you should be looking at?

03:55 20 A To -- discussed with him as to whether this could
21 be the, what we're looking at, what we should be
22 looking at.

23 Q Okay. And then in turn this document, or
24 something close to it, was used to discuss the
03:55 25 same sorts of things with Mr. Caldwell?



1 A Yes.

2 Q And then I think you said, also, that there may
3 have been some other things added to it after
4 meeting with Mr. Caldwell?

03:55 5 A That I can't answer, I don't know.

6 Q Okay. I thought you had said that, I may have
7 your evidence wrong, I thought you had said that
8 you may have gone back and added some more things
9 to it after meeting with Mr. Caldwell?

03:55 10 A That would be going up and going more thoroughly
11 through the file and finding other things relating
12 or going against. I just don't remember.

13 Q You don't remember if you did that or not?

14 A Right.

03:55 15 Q Okay. And you will agree that, because of the
16 dates of certain things that we know about, that
17 this was prepared after May the 7th; right?

18 A We're --

19 Q Commission Counsel talked to you about that?

03:56 20 A Yeah, I don't know when it was prepared, I don't
21 know the dates of it.

22 Q Okay. It was in May of 1969; can we go that?

23 A Could be.

24 Q And it would have been prepared before the 20th of
03:56 25 May because that's when you went to Regina?



1 A Yes, I think it would have been.

2 Q Okay. And Commission Counsel asked you about a
3 meeting that took place on May the 16th -- and
4 this is one of the document numbers I'm afraid I
03:56 5 don't have, I think it's, I think it's Riddell's
6 statement -- but in any event there was a meeting
7 on May the 16th of your superior officers; do you
8 remember Commission Counsel asking about that?

9 A Yes.

03:56 10 Q And it's a meeting that you did not attend; right?

11 A Right.

12 Q Did you know anything about this meeting going to
13 take place?

14 A No.

03:56 15 Q Did anybody speak to you about it afterwards?

16 A Not that I recall.

17 Q And we understand from the report that has been
18 prepared about that meeting that the conclusion of
19 the officers that had been present was that David
03:57 20 Milgaard ought to be considered the suspect; okay?
21 That's in the reports that we have. Now looking
22 at the document -- oh thank you, you have found
23 it, all you need to do is find the page that it's
24 on, it refers -- it's May. There it is.

03:57 25 Present at the meeting



1 Superintendent J. Wood, Lieutenant Penkala,
2 Lieutenant Short, Staff Sergeant Edmondson from
3 the RCMP, and this is the report of Rasmussen, I
4 believe, from the RCMP. Okay. So did any of
03:57 5 those officers speak to you, before or after the
6 meeting, about the subject of this meeting?

7 A Not that I remember of.

8 Q But at least Short is somebody that you had
9 discussed your summary with; correct?

03:58 10 A Yes.

11 Q Did you ever discuss it with Superintendent Wood
12 or Lieutenant Penkala?

13 A No, not that I remember.

14 Q Okay. And did Lieutenant Short ever tell you that
03:58 15 he had discussed it with other people --

16 A No, that --

17 Q -- higher up the ladder, so to speak?

18 A I assume it would have happened, but I don't know
19 anything about it, I don't remember anything on
03:58 20 it.

21 Q Now given the subject matter of your summary --
22 and back to 006799, please -- does it make some
23 sense to you that this document was used at the
24 May 16th meeting?

03:58 25 A I don't know whether that was used or what



1 documents they used.

2 Q But does it make sense to you that it would have
3 been?

4 A In part, I can see them using it.

03:59 5 Q Yeah, especially with the conclusion that they
6 reached; right?

7 A And there's another one that I don't know who
8 authored, but they raised points in the file where
9 various things were located that may have been
03:59 10 used as well, I don't know.

11 Q Okay, sorry, I missed the first part of what you
12 said?

13 A There was some more pages attached to this,
14 another four pages I believe, with page numbers on
15 it and --

16 Q Yeah. Could we go to the earlier pages?

17 A Yeah, that may have been included when they went
18 to the meeting, I don't know.

19 Q Yeah. And these are the kinds of things that you
03:59 20 were finding in the files; right?

21 A Would have, yes.

22 Q Right.

23 A But I don't remember authoring that, but I may
24 have, I don't know.

03:59 25 Q It's certainly very consistent with the focus of



1 your summary; isn't it?

2 A Yes, I believe it is.

3 Q Yeah. Because the only things on here, right, are
4 the things that point to, or point towards David
04:00 5 Milgaard; right?

6 A Right.

7 Q And that is absolutely consistent with your
8 summary?

9 A Okay.

04:00 10 Q Okay. And there's actually quite a few things on
11 here that are a little bit questionable, here.
12 Umm, for instance if we look at the very last one,
13 the Simon Doell one, now this says here that the
14 page reference is 135. Now I have actually looked
04:00 15 for that and all I can find is 138, so I think
16 there might be a typographical error there,
17 because the information is the same about Simon
18 Doell working as an x-ray at the City Hospital and
19 he rides the bus to work, okay. And then it says,
04:00 20 and he is certain, right, that she caught the bus.
21 Now the interesting thing there is that Simon
22 Doell never did give a formal statement to the
23 police; right?

24 A I don't know.

04:01 25 Q He was interviewed -- perhaps we could have



1 106238. This is a report of somebody whose name I
2 believe is Harry, I think is his first name, the
3 last name is Dimmitt; do you remember him?

4 A Yes.

04:01 5 Q Okay. And he says that on the 4th of February he
6 and Detective Oleksyn went to the City Hospital
7 and interviewed Simon Doell. It says that he was
8 formerly at the address of Avenue R South, and
9 that he has now moved, and he moved out prior to
04:01 10 the murder. Okay? And he states that on
11 occasions he had been riding the bus and when
12 Miller got on the bus she always sat -- she always
13 got on at the corner of N -- and blah, blah, blah,
14 it goes on -- and missing her on the bus a couple
04:02 15 of times and asking her how she was getting to
16 work, she stated she is getting a ride to work.

17 Now that was the full extent, as
18 far as I can find, of the police file up until
19 1992, all right, with respect to any conversations
04:02 20 with Mr. Doell, and I'm wondering if you are aware
21 of anything that we don't know about that involves
22 an interview with Simon Doell where he expressed
23 some certainty about Ms. Miller and her habits on
24 the early part of the -- 1969?

04:02 25 A No, I don't.



1 Q Just for the record, if you can blow up this whole
2 page, please, the full page. Right up here on the
3 top corner it says A138. Is that the page
4 numbering that's put on by Officer Ullrich or
04:03 5 somebody else?

6 A I don't know. I don't know where that number is
7 from.

8 MR. HODSON: If I might just assist, if you
9 could call up 009334, it's the Court brief
04:03 10 version of this document that has 135 on it. I
11 think it's the same -- is that not the same?

12 MS. McLEAN: Yes, it is, okay, that's what
13 we were looking for. Okay, so that's the page
14 number that's referred to and the document is the
04:03 15 same. The other place that Simon Doell
16 appears --

17 MR. HODSON: Sorry, Ms. McLean, if I can
18 just clarify, sorry to interrupt. The 135, the
19 numbering is the Court brief numbering. The A138
04:03 20 is the binder number that the police put on in
21 1990 under Chief Penkala, so that's the
22 difference. The binder numbering in 1990 always
23 has a letter in front of it, the Court brief did
24 not.

04:04 25 MS. McLEAN: Okay.



MR. HODSON: Sorry.

BY MS. McLEAN:

Q No, it's helpful. I was trying to figure it out.
Could we go back to the 006799 and the second page
of it, please. Looking at the second page here,
we're talking about the statement of Nichol John,
you see that at the top, and it's supposed to be
the statement of Nichol John on March the 11th,
and Mr. Wolch was asking you about this part here,
about seeing a nurse and that that's not in her
statement of March the 11th. Now, the other thing
that's not in her statement is that David was
wearing a dark coloured toque which she has not
seen since; right? You see that?

A Yes.

Q Now, that's something else that's not in the March
11th statement. It is, however, in an interview
that Detective Karst had with her in a slightly
different version. If we could have 002196.
Detective Karst spoke to Nichol John on April the
18th and she described David's clothes, including,
right:

"She also stated he was wearing a dark
colored toque when in Regina however she
did not recall seeing this toque again.



1 This being of interest as a toque had
2 been found by myself --"

3 That being Detective Karst,

4 "-- in the yard directly north on
04:06 5 Cadrain residence which had a red
6 substance on it."

7 Now, do you understand that the three kids left
8 Regina on January the 31st, the early morning
9 hours?

04:06 10 A Sometime on the 30th, 31st. I'm not sure when
11 they left.

12 Q Very -- after midnight on the Thursday-Friday?

13 A I don't know. Could have been. I don't remember.

14 Q So she's saying to Detective Karst that she didn't
04:06 15 recall seeing it after Regina, all right, and you
16 see how that's different or creates a much
17 different impression? Back to 006799, please.
18 See how that creates a different impression than
19 saying came to Saskatoon January 31st, Milgaard
04:07 20 wore dark coloured toque which she has not seen
21 since?

22 A Okay.

23 Q All right. You see how it's starting to create
24 the impression that perhaps he was missing a dark
04:07 25 coloured toque in Saskatoon?



1 A It looks like that, yes.

2 Q Yeah. And what she told you, all right, in fact,
3 was that David had been wearing, in Saskatoon, a
4 green striped toque. Do you remember that from
04:07 5 the statement?

6 A No.

7 Q Okay. The summary itself, you've agreed that this
8 is primarily -- well, some fact, some theory, but
9 it's all about maybe David did it and this is how
04:08 10 it could fit; right?

11 A Yes.

12 Q If you had known that your senior officers had
13 been having a meeting and using this document to
14 conclude as to who should be the best suspect,
04:08 15 would you have had some concerns that maybe they
16 should have been told that there were other things
17 that pointed the other way?

18 A I don't know whether this was the only document
19 they used or not. I don't know what they used.

04:08 20 Q Are you aware of any other documents that
21 prepared, that were prepared that said here's the
22 problems with the case against David Milgaard?

23 A No.

24 Q Are you aware of anybody ever saying anywhere in
04:09 25 the police department, but wait a minute, what



1 about the Danchuks, they didn't see any blood on
2 him?

3 A I don't remember anything about that.

4 Q All right. Anything about anybody ever saying why
04:09 5 is he clear over on the other side of town 15
6 minutes after killing her looking for a map of how
7 to get back to the area where he killed her?

8 A Not aware of any.

9 Q Not aware of any discussion about it, nor have you
04:09 10 ever seen any compilation of documents that
11 suggest that there's a problem with some of the
12 evidence against David; right?

13 A No.

14 Q And if somebody was using just this document or
04:09 15 the other pages attached to it to do an assessment
16 of the case against David or to do an assessment
17 as to who should be the best suspect, all right,
18 they would have to actually read pretty much the
19 entirety of the brief, wouldn't they, to get the
04:10 20 full picture?

21 A You mean what's attached to this particular page
22 that you got on the screen?

23 Q Yeah, what's attached to it -- you can go back
24 to --

04:10 25 A I would think so, yes.



1 Q There's references to the individual statements,
2 so if somebody went to the statement of Mr.
3 Diewold, they would find out what time it was that
4 he had opened the church and that might twig
04:10 5 somebody that that was the time that David was
6 supposed to be over at a motel getting a map, all
7 right, there's reference elsewhere in this to the
8 Danchuks, so if somebody went to their statements
9 they would find out that the Danchuks' opinion was
04:11 10 that the three kids were behaving pretty normally
11 and that there wasn't any blood on anybody; right?

12 A That's what was in the file that they showed
13 today.

14 Q Yeah. So you would have to actually go to it
04:11 15 because the only thing it tells you here, right,
16 is about the vehicle getting stuck in the alley at
17 the rear of their home, right, and that a tow
18 truck had been called, all right?

19 A That's the Danchuk one?

04:11 20 Q Yeah.

21 A Yes.

22 Q So, you see, to get a full picture, one would
23 really have to go to those statements and read it;
24 right?

04:11 25 A You would have to put it all together.



1 Q Yeah. Do you think somebody should have done that
2 prior to making a decision as to whether or not
3 David should be a good suspect or, more
4 particularly, the suspect?

04:11 5 A In this summary here the Danchuks are in there, so
6 I would assume they took it all into
7 consideration. I don't know.

8 Q So you are assuming that they would have turned to
9 statement number 72 and statement number 76 and
04:12 10 read them in their entirety?

11 A I don't know what they did at the meeting. I
12 wasn't there and I wasn't told about it. I have
13 no way of telling what they did or didn't do.

14 Q My question was what you thought would have been
04:12 15 preferable to have been done. Do you think it
16 would have been a good idea if somebody, prior to
17 a meeting like that, had made a summary that
18 included both pro and con?

19 A I suppose it would be fair.

04:12 20 Q All right. Particularly if somebody is trying to
21 make a decision as to is this our best suspect, is
22 this where we should go; right?

23 A Right.

24 Q Because perhaps if that had been done, okay, the
04:12 25 investigation would have continued a little



1 further, right, there might have been a canvass of
2 this south part of Avenue O, there may have been
3 more investigation of this guy that had missed
4 taking the bus on the morning of the murder and
04:13 5 the real killer may have been caught some years
6 earlier; correct?

7 A Could be. I don't know.

8 Q We don't know, we're talking about what could be
9 done in the future so that we can prevent this
04:13 10 from happening. You understand that?

11 A I understand that's what this is about.

12 Q Was it a complete mystery to you, sir, about why
13 you were going to Regina on May the 20th?

14 A To see Nichol John and Ron Wilson.

04:13 15 Q Were you directed to do that?

16 A I would say yes.

17 Q And who would have directed you?

18 A Probably come from Superintendent Wood.

19 Q Directly from Wood?

04:14 20 A Probably.

21 Q And that interview I believe would have been on
22 the -- sorry, that interview would have been
23 reported on the May -- was it the May 29th one?
24 009222. Maybe it's not. You picked her up at
04:14 25 home, right -- if I could -- yeah, here it is.



1 Just this part here, the coat, it says on the
2 evening of May 22nd Nichol John was returned to
3 Saskatoon, all right, so this is the first day
4 that you actually spoke to Nichol. You had been
04:15 5 there I believe since the 20th, you had been part
6 of the interview with Ronald Wilson and then, as I
7 understand it, you were left behind in Regina when
8 Detective Karst came back to Saskatoon with Ronald
9 Wilson. Do you remember that?

04:15 10 A I think I was left behind because we couldn't,
11 hadn't located Nichol John.

12 Q Yes. That was his report, that you were left
13 behind to try and locate her.

14 A I think that's right.

04:15 15 Q So that's why you are still there on the 22nd, and
16 you say that:

17 "... I received from her also one maroon
18 coloured pile parka with a white fur
19 trim around the hood and bottom of the
04:15 20 coat which she was wearing while in
21 Saskatoon on the 31st of January. I
22 presently have possession of this coat
23 in my locker."

24 Now, I presume she didn't wear that to Saskatoon,
04:16 25 that's something that you seized from her home in



1 Regina?

2 A I suppose. I don't remember.

3 Q This is May, she wasn't wearing it then; right?

4 A I don't think so.

04:16 5 Q She wasn't carrying it around with her in May?

6 A I don't remember her carrying it around. I don't
7 know.

8 Q You have no recollection of seizing her coat at
9 all?

04:16 10 A No.

11 Q Could I see 009110, and I hope it's the right
12 number. No, it's not.

13 MR. HODSON: Which document are you looking
14 for?

04:16 15 MS. McLEAN: I think I've got it somewhere
16 else.

17 (Discussion off the record)

18 MR. HODSON: 006251.

19 BY MS. McLEAN:

04:17 20 Q No, no, no, no, that's not the one. Perhaps I'll
21 save that one for tomorrow and we'll get the
22 correct number for it.

23 There's a record, sir, the
24 number is what I'm having trouble finding, it's a
04:18 25 record of the seizure of Nichol John's coat for



1 the purpose of showing it to Ms. Indyk to see if
2 she could recognize the -- presumably to see if
3 she could recognize the coat. Do you have any
4 recollection of that being the purpose of seizing
04:18 5 Ms. John's coat?

6 A No.

7 Q But you recall that that is part of the theory in
8 this document that we keep referring to, that
9 maybe the female person that Ms. Indyk had seen
04:18 10 was in fact Nichol John?

11 A It could have been.

12 Q And do you remember that --

13 MR. HODSON: I found it.

14 MS. McLEAN: You've got it?

04:19 15 MR. HODSON: Yeah. It's Mr. Karst's
16 report.

17 MS. McLEAN: No, that's not it. It's an
18 actual exhibit report, you know, those seized
19 article reports.

04:19 20 MR. HODSON: Go down, you'll see it there,
21 that paragraph talks about it. Call out the
22 second last paragraph. Does that help?

23 BY MS. McLEAN:

24 Q Yeah, that's certainly the intention, so that will
04:19 25 do as well. It's on the seized article report as



1 well.

2 So Ms. Indyk had described a
3 woman in her 30s with a dark coat with a scarf
4 around her neck coming along 20th Street and then
04:20 5 going up Avenue O when Ms. Indyk was standing
6 outside the church. Do you remember reading about
7 that?

8 A No.

9 Q Okay. We did that either yesterday or today and
04:20 10 it's part of this document that we've been at,
11 006799. Could we have them both? So this is the
12 description of the statement from Ms. Marie Indyk,
13 it's the first page attached onto that summary,
14 description of the woman, 25 to 30 years, black
04:20 15 coat and black coloured dark high boots, white
16 scarf over head, one end hanging down side, dark
17 hair. That is not a description that fits Nichol
18 John, is it, on its face?

19 A No, no.

04:21 20 Q Could we go to the summary itself. Sorry, the
21 summary. On the summary, sir, we have, "Nichol
22 John knows or suspects results --" and this is
23 supposedly after having watched David stab Miss
24 Miller through her coat without having removed the
04:21 25 dress first.



1 "Nichol knows or suspects results and
2 leaves car. Runs west on 20th
3 Street --"

4 That will be towards the church,

04:21 5 "-- and is girl seen by Indyk at St.
6 Mary church. At this point she changes
7 her mind about saying anything and goes
8 north on Avenue O."

9 So would you not have had the actual statement of
04:22 10 Ms. Indyk in front of you when this was being
11 thought out?

12 A I don't remember. I don't know.

13 Q Do you have any recollection as to whether or not
14 Ms. Indyk was shown Nichol John's coat?

04:22 15 A No, I haven't.

16 Q And there seems to be, in Detective Karst's
17 report, the idea that perhaps Ms. Indyk should see
18 Nickey in her dark-coloured coat to see whether
19 it's similar and you have no idea as to whether or
04:22 20 not that was done?

21 A No, I haven't.

22 Q And do you think that that would be a proper thing
23 to do given the discrepancies between the
24 description she had given and Nichol John?

04:23 25 A I don't know.



1 Q Do you think it might have tainted the evidence of
2 one or both people, either Ms. Indyk or Ms. John?

3 A I guess it could.

4 Q And do you think maybe this whole discussion of
04:23 5 Nichol John's coat and seizing it for the purpose
6 of showing it to anybody, do you think that might
7 have made its way to Nichol in terms of
8 information?

9 A Not to my knowledge, but I don't know.

04:23 10 Q What reason would she have been given for seizing
11 her coat?

12 A What the purpose -- it might have been for the
13 fibre comparisons.

14 Q Well, the true purpose was to show it to Ms. Indyk
04:23 15 to see if she could assist in identifying Nichol
16 John as the 30 year old woman she had seen. Is
17 that what Nichol was told?

18 A I don't know.

19 Q Would she have been told that she had been seen by
04:24 20 Ms. Indyk?

21 A I don't know.

22 Q 009222, please. So May 22nd you are back in -- I
23 hope I have the right one. Yes, I do. You are
24 back in Saskatoon now with Nichol John, you've
04:24 25 driven her around the murder scene and then you've



1 taken her back and you had some, there's some
2 discussion with her about her LSD trips she had
3 been on, the nightmares she had had. Is there any
4 record of that anywhere, sir, that discussion?

04:25 5 A Not that I know of, but I don't remember.

6 Q Do you agree there certainly should have been?

7 A Probably should have been.

8 Q Is there really a probably about it, is there some
9 doubt as to whether or not there should have been?

04:25 10 A If it was relevant to the file, it should have
11 been put on it.

12 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: What do you mean
13 any record of this?

14 MS. McLEAN: The conversation, sir. This
04:25 15 is --

16 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: It's a record
17 right there isn't it?

18 MS. McLEAN: That the conversation
19 occurred.

04:25 20 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yes.

21 MS. McLEAN: Not the content of it.

22 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: What's the
23 difference?

24 MS. McLEAN: He interviewed the girl.

04:25 25 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yes.



1 MS. McLEAN: We don't know what she said.

2 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: You are looking
3 for a statement from her then?

4 MS. McLEAN: Whether it's a statement,
04:25 5 whether it's police notes, whether it's a police
6 report as to what she told him.

7 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Who is the author
8 of this report?

9 MS. McLEAN: This is Detective Mackie.

04:25 10 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Well, so it's a
11 record of what he discussed with Nichol John. I
12 just don't -- I'm not trying to argue with you,
13 I'm just trying to find out what you are after.

14 MS. McLEAN: It's a record that says I
04:26 15 spoke to her about LSD trips she had been on.

16 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: So what are you --

17 MS. McLEAN: Presumably she said I've been
18 on LSD every day, I've been on LSD every second
19 day, I only took it --

04:26 20 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: So you want more
21 detail; is that it?

22 BY MS. McLEAN:

23 Q It's the content of what she said to him that's
24 missing, right.

04:26 25 And then you say in that same



1 report, sir, at this time it appeared that she had
2 forgotten a great deal of what had happened,
3 possibly due to the shock of what she had
4 witnessed. Now, this may be asking you rather a
04:26 5 lot after this length of time, but at this time it
6 appeared -- now, that kind of suggests to me that
7 you were talking to her further about the events
8 of January 31st during this extra interview at the
9 police station. Do you remember that at all?

04:26 10 A No.

11 Q And then at about 10 o'clock at night you went to
12 the Cavalier hotel and met with Inspector Roberts,
13 he's the polygraph operator, with Superintendent
14 Wood and Lieutenant Penkala so that he would be
04:27 15 able to interrogate Wilson and Nichol John for us
16 on the 23rd. No recollection whatsoever about the
17 content of that meeting, sir?

18 A No.

19 Q Do you have any recollection as to how long that
04:27 20 meeting lasted?

21 A No.

22 Q Can you tell us whose idea it was to show Nichol
23 the bloody clothes?

24 A No.

04:27 25 Q You're picking up the clothes at 10 o'clock in the



1 morning the next day and that's the day that
2 Inspector Roberts is going to be interviewing
3 Mr. Wilson and Ms. John. Is that something that
4 you knew the night before, that you would be going
04:28 5 and picking up the clothes, or is that something
6 where somebody would have called you?

7 A As I recall, somebody called me and told me to go
8 to ident and pick up a suitcase and take it to
9 them.

04:28 10 Q And do you have any recall as to who it was that
11 told you to go and pick them up?

12 A No.

13 Q So were you working that day and you received the
14 call at the office?

04:28 15 A Wherever I was at, whether I was in the office or
16 out in the vehicle. I don't know where I was at.

17 Q It wouldn't have been at home?

18 A No.

19 MS. McLEAN: That may be an appropriate
04:28 20 point, Mr. Commissioner.

21 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay. Nine
22 o'clock tomorrow, please.

23 (Adjourned at 4:28 p.m.)
24
25



OFFICIAL QUEEN'S BENCH COURT REPORTERS' CERTIFICATE:

We, Karen Hinz, CSR, and Donald G. Meyer, RPR, CSR,
Official Queen's Bench Court Reporters for the Province of
Saskatchewan, hereby certify that the foregoing pages
contain a true and correct transcription of our shorthand
notes taken herein to the best of my knowledge, skill, and
ability.

_____, CSR

Karen Hinz, CSR

Official Queen's Bench Court Reporter

_____, RPR, CSR

Donald G. Meyer, RPR, CSR

Official Queen's Bench Court Reporter



'68 - 10412:2
'69 - 10386:13,
10387:22, 10388:15,
10389:7, 10391:1,
10395:17, 10401:22,
10409:3, 10411:25,
10428:17, 10428:19,
10428:25, 10429:19,
10432:19, 10436:18,
10436:20, 10437:6,
10437:9, 10455:11,
10455:13, 10465:16,
10488:3, 10489:10,
10508:21, 10509:2,
10511:5, 10547:3
'69-'70 - 10523:21
'70 - 10547:3
'70s - 10577:4
'80s - 10605:14
'93 - 10545:19
'98 - 10569:17
'99 - 10569:17
'accomplices' -
10441:23
'during' - 10569:23
'evidence' - 10439:12
'follow' - 10387:19
'forget' - 10610:15
'further' - 10487:15
'he' - 10569:25
'here' - 10420:24
'how' - 10598:7,
10598:15
'i' - 10573:5, 10573:7
'if' - 10611:17
'in' - 10570:3
'look' - 10600:7,
10601:8, 10605:20
'lookit' - 10420:25,
10491:25, 10492:1,
10493:1, 10498:7,
10504:25, 10516:3
'maroon' - 10496:11,
10496:12, 10496:18
'maybe' - 10568:9
'nichol' - 10442:6
'nickey' - 10466:22
'no' - 10529:23,
10606:9
'no' - 10572:6
'not' - 10492:13
'now' - 10589:2,
10589:7, 10589:8
'of' - 10610:17
'oh' - 10491:18,
10589:4, 10589:6
'okay' - 10439:22,
10441:7, 10443:21
'over' - 10553:2
'pg' - 10524:19
'red' - 10496:10,
10496:17
'regency' - 10525:3
'required' - 10422:23
'rusty' - 10552:14
'stagnated' - 10491:1
'story' - 10447:10
'tell' - 10516:5
'this' - 10477:14,
10478:1
'to' - 10441:12
'try' - 10520:23
'u/c' - 10553:13
'vagranacy' - 10525:3
'we' - 10494:1
'well' - 10431:21,
10441:13, 10600:14,

10610:12
'what' - 10598:25,
10601:24
'why' - 10600:15
'would' - 10611:16
'yeah' - 10498:9

0

0 - 10517:16
002196 - 10623:19
004090 - 10403:11
004102 - 10405:20
006251 - 10482:15,
10631:18
006479 - 10388:11
006645 - 10488:19
006648 - 10498:12
006652 - 10503:22
006654 - 10504:11
006723 - 10415:3
006726 - 10416:7
006727 - 10415:6
006799 - 10423:14,
10424:18, 10513:2,
10579:9, 10615:3,
10618:22, 10623:4,
10624:17, 10633:11
006800 - 10435:9,
10435:11
006801 - 10428:15,
10451:13
006803 - 10423:15,
10427:1
008146 - 10515:7
009110 - 10631:11
009222 - 10470:9,
10483:2, 10487:25,
10629:24, 10635:22
009251 - 10404:24
009264 - 10465:15,
10485:22
009267 - 10485:24
009287 - 10509:15
009334 - 10622:9
025573 - 10409:2
035 - 10537:11
035012 - 10537:12
035023 - 10537:12
044526 - 10516:11
052923 - 10407:2
052961 - 10461:2
059346 - 10524:13

1

1 - 10387:22,
10388:15, 10401:22,
10403:2, 10403:14,
10424:17, 10428:23
10 - 10456:16,
10497:15, 10504:11,
10589:6, 10638:11,
10638:25
10173/68 - 10409:17
10386 - 10385:4
104192 - 10516:9
10576 - 10385:5
10614 - 10385:6
106142 - 10401:21
106238 - 10621:1
106576 - 10386:11
106589 - 10387:21,
10388:17
106606 - 10389:6
106608 - 10389:25
106637 - 10395:16
106648 - 10455:10
106652 - 10404:6
106667 - 10459:16

106684 - 10511:3
106716 - 10396:21
10910/6 - 10409:18
10:00 - 10481:10,
10482:19, 10488:4
10:30 - 10459:2
10:48 - 10459:3
10th - 10411:25
11 - 10436:18,
10436:20
11:50 - 10512:23
11:55 - 10488:4
11th - 10435:15,
10436:9, 10437:6,
10437:9, 10623:8,
10623:11, 10623:17
129 - 10478:13,
10478:15
13 - 10523:20,
10525:12
134 - 10559:16
135 - 10620:14,
10622:10, 10622:18
138 - 10620:15
1400 - 10439:6
14th - 10435:18
15 - 10497:15, 10626:5
150 - 10393:14
151 - 10425:23,
10426:1
156 - 10606:25
15th - 10382:21,
10405:20
16th - 10461:6,
10462:16, 10617:3,
10617:7, 10618:24
1769 - 10466:17
182626 - 10390:23
18th - 10623:21
1948 - 10577:14
1967 - 10386:20
1968 - 10400:12,
10404:15, 10408:9
1969 - 10394:6,
10400:11, 10403:2,
10404:8, 10407:3,
10411:10, 10411:18,
10413:20, 10414:7,
10415:14, 10429:8,
10436:25, 10450:15,
10459:17, 10461:3,
10463:2, 10463:18,
10464:19, 10470:10,
10474:1, 10482:16,
10482:19, 10485:19,
10491:18, 10511:4,
10511:16, 10528:17,
10547:14, 10565:17,
10575:5, 10575:20,
10616:22, 10621:24
1969-1970 - 10520:13
1970 - 10516:13,
10516:15, 10517:12,
10517:18, 10519:11,
10519:14, 10519:17,
10523:20, 10566:10,
10567:13
1971 - 10520:8
1978 - 10521:2,
10521:7, 10538:20,
10566:8, 10567:13,
10577:5, 10602:14
1980 - 10605:18,
10608:19, 10611:13
1983 - 10523:15,
10523:18, 10536:17
1990 - 10543:9,
10622:21, 10622:22
1992 - 10543:17,
10621:19

1993 - 10537:8,
10537:13
1996 - 10514:5,
10560:22
1998 - 10569:16
1999 - 10569:12,
10569:13
19th - 10400:15,
10530:15
1:30 - 10512:22,
10512:24

2

2 - 10415:13,
10428:23, 10436:12,
10504:3
20 - 10412:6,
10512:20, 10577:17
200 - 10478:24,
10479:8, 10497:22
2005 - 10382:21
205639 - 10514:23,
10554:6, 10606:13
205642 - 10555:1
205646 - 10556:21
205648 - 10554:5,
10554:7
205649 - 10557:14
205660 - 10559:16
205663 - 10560:12
205679 - 10561:22
205684 - 10562:16
205729 - 10564:23
208 - 10393:10,
10393:25
20th - 10395:17,
10439:5, 10463:1,
10463:7, 10463:18,
10465:21, 10473:3,
10476:19, 10502:20,
10517:18, 10519:14,
10551:8, 10551:14,
10552:1, 10552:2,
10570:17, 10580:11,
10582:20, 10616:24,
10629:13, 10630:5,
10633:4, 10634:2
21 - 10412:15,
10429:16, 10461:3,
10470:3, 10473:8,
10516:15
21st - 10463:9,
10466:15, 10467:22,
10469:8, 10473:3,
10518:3, 10537:13
223 - 10487:25
22nd - 10395:22,
10463:10, 10470:11,
10471:24, 10472:2,
10472:3, 10472:12,
10473:16, 10474:1,
10474:21, 10475:25,
10478:5, 10478:9,
10478:11, 10488:7,
10497:20, 10517:12,
10519:16, 10596:19,
10630:2, 10630:15,
10635:22
23rd - 10418:22,
10447:15, 10448:5,
10463:10, 10463:12,
10471:19, 10471:25,
10472:5, 10481:17,
10482:2, 10482:5,
10482:19, 10483:4,
10483:9, 10485:3,
10485:10, 10485:14,
10485:19, 10485:25,
10486:1, 10487:14,

10488:11, 10489:13,
10491:18, 10638:16
24 - 10386:13,
10463:1, 10555:25,
10575:19
24th - 10418:23,
10447:15, 10448:6,
10463:12, 10463:14,
10463:18, 10464:19,
10471:19, 10472:5,
10481:23, 10482:16,
10485:16, 10487:15,
10488:1, 10488:3,
10488:8, 10489:10,
10490:12, 10491:25,
10492:3, 10493:23,
10494:22, 10508:21,
10509:2
25 - 10465:16,
10511:5, 10633:14
250597 - 10411:9
250603 - 10411:15
250610 - 10461:22
25th - 10472:5,
10485:23
26 - 10511:4, 10517:12
261 - 10517:13
261590 - 10517:13
261605 - 10517:10,
10517:17
26169 - 10517:14
26th - 10455:12,
10472:6
27 - 10409:3, 10509:19
277 - 10562:16
27th - 10455:11,
10509:16
28 - 10509:19
28th - 10470:17,
10470:18, 10472:10
28th-29th - 10471:14
29th - 10459:23,
10460:5, 10470:10,
10470:15, 10470:19,
10472:8, 10472:11,
10497:20, 10629:23
2:00 - 10467:22
2:45 - 10576:23
2nd - 10394:6,
10413:20, 10416:21

3

3 - 10428:23, 10428:24
30 - 10459:17,
10538:19, 10577:15,
10633:14, 10635:16
303 - 10537:19
30s - 10633:3
30th - 10459:22,
10460:4, 10510:6,
10624:10
31 - 10400:11,
10516:13, 10563:17
310021 - 10514:25
310043 - 10572:24
311672 - 10514:25,
10571:19
311695 - 10571:21
311700 - 10571:21,
10572:12
314 - 10569:8
314312 - 10514:24,
10569:7
314323 - 10569:9
314335 - 10571:9
31st - 10444:6,
10468:10, 10476:7,
10478:8, 10486:17,
10510:3, 10624:8,



10624:10, 10624:19,
10630:21, 10638:8
31st - 10570:2
324806 - 10406:13
325616 - 10524:10
325629 - 10535:4
326548 - 10393:5
326550 - 10393:7
334 - 10518:23,
10530:14
335 - 10530:13
355 - 10435:17
361 - 10399:16
38 - 10394:4
3:00 - 10486:10,
10576:24
3:30 - 10486:21
3rd - 10390:6

4

4 - 10403:12,
10428:23, 10428:24,
10461:9
40 - 10502:4, 10580:14
4:28 - 10639:23
4th - 10389:7, 10391:1,
10392:5, 10395:22,
10414:15, 10432:19,
10621:5

5

5 - 10407:3, 10414:6
50 - 10577:8
51 - 10577:8
54 - 10382:22
582 - 10564:23
5th - 10416:6,
10428:19, 10429:3,
10456:9

6

6 - 10462:11
60 - 10509:23
605 - 10517:14,
10517:15
608 - 10486:1
610 - 10486:11
620 - 10526:7
661 - 10608:13
663 - 10606:21
69 - 10435:15
695 - 10517:11,
10517:15
6:45 - 10468:14,
10570:7
6:55 - 10456:15,
10456:20
6th - 10428:16,
10428:25, 10429:3

7

7 - 10509:22
72 - 10628:9
721 - 10399:7
76 - 10628:9
7:00 - 10434:11,
10451:23
7:07 - 10563:23,
10563:25
7:40 - 10451:17
7th - 10404:25,
10411:10, 10616:17

8

8 - 10562:19, 10569:16

803 - 10513:2
81 - 10435:15,
10436:18
817 - 10473:18,
10474:22
82 - 10467:14

9

9 - 10426:3, 10426:4
9:00 - 10386:2

A

A11 - 10562:19
A138 - 10622:3,
10622:19
abandoned - 10457:20
ability - 10640:7
able - 10393:18,
10406:20, 10408:15,
10410:19, 10424:23,
10481:15, 10496:1,
10510:18, 10514:11,
10518:25, 10523:15,
10638:15
absent - 10418:8
absolutely - 10546:16,
10547:10, 10620:7
absorb - 10584:22
abundance - 10580:12
accept - 10440:12,
10470:4, 10471:18,
10554:24, 10603:2
accepted - 10554:10,
10595:20
access - 10407:9
accommodation -
10595:20
accomplishments -
10545:12
according - 10456:14,
10485:10, 10489:14,
10510:11, 10521:9,
10536:18, 10543:15,
10571:1, 10575:7
According - 10543:14
account - 10399:12,
10604:14
accumulated -
10612:3
accurate - 10527:6,
10535:2, 10554:11,
10554:24, 10555:22,
10559:6
accurately - 10515:1,
10524:25, 10540:9,
10541:20, 10543:2,
10543:10, 10544:19,
10545:13, 10546:20,
10547:23, 10548:16,
10549:9, 10550:1,
10551:19, 10611:20
acknowledge -
10562:10, 10562:12
acknowledged -
10412:25
acquainted -
10404:16, 10405:8,
10580:6
activities - 10388:8,
10470:23
activity - 10463:2
actual - 10632:18,
10634:9
add - 10399:15
added - 10393:14,
10487:1, 10616:3,
10616:8
adding - 10441:13,

10486:23
addition - 10388:20,
10415:13
additional - 10456:6
address - 10430:22,
10474:24, 10530:10,
10530:12, 10537:16,
10621:8
addresses - 10397:4,
10431:21
adjourned - 10386:8
Adjourned - 10459:2,
10512:23, 10576:23,
10639:23
admit - 10538:25
Admits - 10435:23
admits - 10436:22,
10584:13
admitted - 10468:8,
10468:12, 10468:19,
10569:25, 10570:5,
10614:2
adopt - 10578:2,
10602:3
adopting - 10545:24
advice - 10601:17
advised - 10395:25,
10396:3, 10519:14,
10545:8, 10554:15
advises - 10455:25
affecting - 10611:12
affects - 10602:16
afraid - 10617:4
afternoon - 10513:1
afterwards - 10531:6,
10531:20, 10617:15
afterwards' - 10600:15
ages - 10396:8
aggressive - 10553:5
aggressively' -
10553:3
ago - 10386:18,
10399:2, 10569:15
ago' - 10606:4
agree - 10439:11,
10450:1, 10450:22,
10454:18, 10471:17,
10497:8, 10501:5,
10501:21, 10507:16,
10556:24, 10563:4,
10574:16, 10574:22,
10585:9, 10593:6,
10594:23, 10595:22,
10610:25, 10616:15,
10636:6
agreeable - 10396:5
agreed - 10397:23,
10461:11, 10625:7
agrees - 10422:1
ahead - 10416:24,
10534:16, 10581:8,
10584:20
aid - 10428:12,
10530:1
aimed - 10557:23
aircraft - 10526:18,
10526:21
airplane - 10526:10
albeit - 10614:2
Albert - 10398:20,
10413:20, 10414:4,
10414:9, 10415:22,
10511:9, 10511:15,
10518:23, 10519:3,
10525:20, 10552:13,
10552:18, 10552:22
allegation - 10506:9,
10552:6
allegations -
10506:11, 10540:17

alleged - 10431:25,
10467:4, 10506:3
alleges - 10430:18,
10431:13
alley - 10400:17,
10413:15, 10434:5,
10434:10, 10438:12,
10439:1, 10442:19,
10454:7, 10454:23,
10454:25, 10455:18,
10455:20, 10456:23,
10463:22, 10480:14,
10480:16, 10480:20,
10491:20, 10499:23,
10508:9, 10508:12,
10533:11, 10533:12,
10533:14, 10548:8,
10581:7, 10627:16
alleyway - 10502:21
alone - 10443:16,
10571:23, 10572:1
alphabetical - 10397:7
alternate - 10445:5
alternatives -
10587:24
amazing - 10597:15
analysing - 10598:2
analysis - 10551:3
announced - 10596:1
answer - 10408:19,
10502:5, 10530:11,
10532:10, 10538:3,
10555:2, 10557:3,
10560:6, 10560:8,
10560:9, 10566:11,
10570:13, 10577:9,
10577:20, 10577:23,
10588:22, 10607:2,
10609:16, 10610:17,
10616:5
Answer - 10525:23
answered - 10419:25
answering - 10610:6
answers - 10606:16
antigen - 10530:7
anyway - 10411:13,
10421:12, 10532:15,
10577:19, 10594:2
Anyway - 10405:24
apart - 10413:14,
10554:22, 10607:10
apartment - 10478:11
apologize - 10591:2
apparent - 10562:23
appeals - 10566:10,
10567:7, 10568:7
appear - 10388:17,
10390:11, 10392:24,
10402:18, 10403:6,
10404:19, 10416:6,
10432:1, 10432:9,
10433:17, 10449:22,
10518:10, 10529:10,
10529:11, 10560:22,
10573:19, 10584:15,
10589:21, 10591:22,
10613:13
Appearances -
10384:1
appeared - 10402:22,
10420:6, 10468:17,
10481:3, 10532:2,
10575:7, 10587:21,
10589:18, 10638:1,
10638:6
appointments -
10466:8
appreciate - 10460:18,
10465:12, 10480:8,
10494:18, 10494:20,

10565:13, 10566:8,
10583:15, 10593:17,
10604:21, 10613:23,
10614:13, 10614:20,
10614:21
appreciated -
10578:20
apprehended -
10604:8
approached - 10398:2,
10433:11, 10433:21,
10434:23, 10454:2
appropriate -
10458:25, 10512:17,
10522:19, 10536:19,
10567:18, 10576:19,
10586:13, 10606:5,
10639:19
approx - 10468:14
April - 10391:1,
10392:5, 10404:8,
10404:25, 10405:20,
10416:25, 10429:7,
10429:18, 10432:18,
10436:25, 10459:17,
10459:19, 10459:22,
10459:23, 10460:4,
10460:5, 10490:25,
10494:21, 10537:13,
10623:20
April-early - 10429:7,
10429:18
area - 10400:15,
10400:20, 10454:17,
10476:20, 10477:14,
10497:17, 10498:7,
10509:21, 10544:17,
10580:2, 10580:5,
10587:4, 10588:10,
10603:10, 10626:7
arguably - 10491:14
argue - 10637:12
arising - 10515:20
arm - 10502:3
arms - 10500:3,
10500:6, 10500:22,
10502:2, 10502:3
arranged - 10414:21,
10414:22, 10505:20
arrangements -
10466:7
arrest - 10499:5
arrested - 10519:12
arrive - 10456:3
arrived - 10432:11,
10433:2, 10443:14,
10510:24
arriving - 10490:12
Art - 10418:21,
10429:15, 10464:21,
10483:11
Arthur - 10455:15,
10455:16, 10502:23
article - 10632:19,
10632:25
articles - 10409:8,
10432:8, 10432:25
aside - 10582:12,
10582:25
aspect - 10465:23
assailant - 10400:17
assault - 10400:19,
10401:2, 10403:4,
10406:24, 10441:21,
10516:21, 10517:7,
10519:8, 10519:13,
10519:19, 10519:20,
10519:21, 10520:5,
10562:23, 10562:24,
10563:7, 10564:8,



10564:13, 10564:14,
10564:15
assaulted - 10400:18,
10516:16, 10563:23
assaults - 10400:9,
10400:21, 10400:25,
10401:10, 10401:17,
10413:6, 10445:8,
10457:12, 10457:18,
10517:1, 10519:15,
10520:14
assessment -
10500:20, 10500:24,
10501:7, 10534:18,
10534:21, 10626:15,
10626:16
assigned - 10493:10,
10512:15, 10517:19,
10518:17
assist - 10395:9,
10403:8, 10403:23,
10404:3, 10406:20,
10410:7, 10424:6,
10449:17, 10622:8,
10635:15
assistance - 10456:7,
10509:1
Assistant - 10383:5
associate - 10538:23
associated - 10475:13
associations -
10405:6
assorted - 10402:8
assume - 10450:20,
10457:10, 10523:18,
10534:19, 10543:9,
10559:20, 10596:3,
10599:22, 10600:25,
10606:17, 10618:18,
10628:6
assumed - 10590:4
assuming - 10399:16,
10496:20, 10496:22,
10503:11, 10628:8
assumption -
10438:23, 10441:17,
10565:11, 10588:6
attach - 10428:1
attached - 10427:24,
10540:24, 10619:13,
10626:15, 10626:21,
10626:23, 10633:13
attacked - 10408:11
attacker - 10395:20
attempt - 10562:23
attempted - 10400:18,
10412:3, 10519:19,
10564:14
attend - 10617:10
attendance - 10418:20
attended - 10413:21,
10465:20, 10466:16,
10470:6, 10486:1
attending - 10468:8,
10569:25
attention - 10400:3,
10408:2, 10408:21,
10408:23, 10411:1,
10413:12, 10551:6,
10551:17, 10565:5,
10565:18, 10566:16,
10580:4, 10584:10,
10602:18, 10605:17
attributed - 10436:4
Audio - 10383:13
August - 10435:18,
10511:4, 10511:5,
10511:16, 10608:19
author - 10406:15,
10538:7, 10637:7

authored - 10591:24,
10613:14, 10619:8
authoring - 10619:23
authority - 10469:20,
10578:9
available - 10511:20
Ave - 10395:22,
10518:23
avenue - 10579:19,
10580:13
Avenue - 10400:15,
10434:6, 10434:16,
10439:9, 10442:19,
10453:22, 10453:24,
10454:4, 10454:6,
10454:22, 10455:18,
10474:22, 10476:16,
10478:9, 10478:13,
10478:15, 10478:25,
10479:8, 10497:22,
10502:20, 10516:16,
10530:14, 10563:24,
10570:17, 10579:12,
10579:16, 10580:9,
10580:10, 10580:13,
10580:17, 10580:20,
10580:23, 10581:9,
10581:20, 10582:2,
10582:10, 10582:13,
10582:16, 10582:20,
10621:8, 10629:2,
10633:5, 10634:8
aware - 10394:11,
10394:13, 10403:3,
10404:16, 10404:18,
10404:22, 10405:15,
10410:11, 10411:2,
10412:10, 10415:15,
10416:19, 10442:2,
10445:12, 10461:19,
10483:15, 10485:2,
10489:12, 10492:8,
10499:1, 10499:2,
10500:1, 10500:11,
10500:14, 10504:17,
10506:25, 10507:4,
10507:12, 10507:21,
10508:5, 10508:17,
10508:22, 10508:24,
10519:22, 10520:6,
10520:7, 10520:12,
10523:5, 10530:16,
10540:12, 10544:13,
10545:6, 10552:23,
10553:9, 10557:17,
10560:14, 10560:18,
10561:24, 10562:13,
10564:4, 10567:3,
10591:10, 10593:5,
10601:4, 10601:12,
10607:8, 10607:11,
10608:10, 10608:16,
10608:17, 10621:20,
10625:20, 10625:24,
10626:8, 10626:9
away - 10611:10

B

back-up - 10534:14
background -
10596:20
backing - 10595:21
bad - 10611:4
bag - 10504:9,
10510:9, 10510:13
Barbara - 10474:22,
10475:12, 10475:15,
10475:16
barely - 10583:19
Based - 10480:1,
10594:23
based - 10393:8,
10393:9, 10393:15,
10393:21, 10394:8,
10422:4, 10425:22,
10426:5, 10428:25,
10430:8, 10436:6,
10439:20, 10440:9,
10441:18, 10450:12,
10451:24, 10453:9,
10458:3, 10458:19,
10459:10, 10477:12,
10483:3, 10501:6,
10501:25, 10503:10,
10504:23, 10512:11,
10513:13, 10524:12,
10536:23, 10537:3,
10575:15, 10576:13,
10586:19, 10588:6,
10607:7
basis - 10389:18,
10417:3, 10496:1,
10541:12, 10558:2,
10575:13, 10604:25
bear - 10557:19,
10557:21
Beauchamp -
10390:24, 10391:9,
10391:11, 10391:14,
10391:17, 10391:23,
10392:1, 10392:2,
10392:22, 10432:18
Beauchamp's -
10391:19
Beauchamps' -
10391:16
became - 10394:11,
10468:13, 10567:2,
10570:6, 10585:2,
10608:17
become - 10417:4,
10445:7, 10508:17,
10596:24
beforehand -
10530:10
began - 10543:8
behave - 10600:15
behaving - 10627:10
behaviour - 10599:24
behind - 10408:11,
10454:8, 10455:19,
10456:23, 10548:8,
10581:7, 10581:9,
10630:7, 10630:10,
10630:13
Beitel - 10383:9
belief - 10452:25,
10501:8, 10510:12,
10510:17, 10575:4,
10579:14
believes - 10547:18
belonged - 10510:14
belonging - 10390:25,
10509:25
below - 10502:4,
10580:14
Bench - 10640:1,
10640:3, 10640:14,
10640:18
benchmark - 10586:8
Berard - 10474:22,
10475:5, 10475:12,
10475:15, 10475:16
Beresh - 10384:10,
10569:11, 10569:14,
10569:17, 10571:9,
10571:22, 10572:13
best - 10491:14,
10514:12, 10515:5,

10524:16, 10525:8,
10527:7, 10536:15,
10571:14, 10602:19,
10606:18, 10613:24,
10614:15, 10615:11,
10625:14, 10626:17,
10628:21, 10640:6
best-efforts -
10524:16
best-known -
10602:19
better - 10490:7,
10492:2
better-suited -
10490:7
Betty - 10416:1
between - 10400:24,
10400:25, 10413:6,
10503:24, 10542:20,
10634:23
Bev - 10405:22,
10405:24
Beverage - 10518:5
bewildered - 10591:21
bias - 10611:4,
10612:3
bigger - 10604:5
biggest - 10490:20,
10529:25
binder - 10622:20,
10622:22
birth - 10397:5,
10602:22
bit - 10390:10,
10398:19, 10436:14,
10445:4, 10453:24,
10454:1, 10463:2,
10493:24, 10497:7,
10497:13, 10501:23,
10503:5, 10514:11,
10515:4, 10521:13,
10524:14, 10535:6,
10537:10, 10551:23,
10568:18, 10570:14,
10571:1, 10575:14,
10580:5, 10584:19,
10589:2, 10596:10,
10609:21, 10611:15,
10620:11
bits - 10589:16
black - 10633:14,
10633:15
blah - 10621:13
blank - 10613:3,
10613:5
block - 10439:6,
10478:24, 10479:8,
10497:22, 10533:16,
10547:20, 10578:21,
10587:16, 10600:1,
10600:2
blockage - 10599:9,
10599:18
blocked - 10587:12,
10588:1, 10588:7,
10597:22, 10599:21,
10599:22, 10600:8,
10604:11
blocking - 10597:12
blocks - 10478:11,
10563:24, 10589:14
Blood - 10396:25
blood - 10394:20,
10394:21, 10395:6,
10395:12, 10395:19,
10396:5, 10396:13,
10397:14, 10397:19,
10397:25, 10398:3,
10399:11, 10399:24,
10400:6, 10404:18,

10405:2, 10405:11,
10416:13, 10425:14,
10432:21, 10446:5,
10451:20, 10452:1,
10529:19, 10529:21,
10529:22, 10529:23,
10529:25, 10530:3,
10542:22, 10626:1,
10627:11
bloody - 10590:22,
10591:1, 10591:18,
10638:23
blow - 10534:6,
10622:1
blow-by-blow -
10534:6
Bob - 10475:14,
10475:18
Bobs - 10384:5,
10418:17, 10419:1,
10422:6, 10528:7,
10528:8, 10535:19,
10549:5
Bobs' - 10422:10
body - 10425:13,
10434:10, 10477:15,
10478:1, 10500:1,
10500:18, 10501:6,
10563:8, 10563:25
bone - 10486:18
book - 10390:20,
10521:25
books - 10564:3
boot - 10440:16,
10440:21, 10441:3,
10441:7, 10441:10,
10441:15, 10442:8
boots - 10415:25,
10633:15
Boswell - 10383:4
bottom - 10387:5,
10394:4, 10403:13,
10404:9, 10409:15,
10411:16, 10416:15,
10428:16, 10429:11,
10476:6, 10496:8,
10496:9, 10517:20,
10525:19, 10533:9,
10546:4, 10547:16,
10548:22, 10552:5,
10555:24, 10557:15,
10561:3, 10561:23,
10572:24, 10587:7,
10630:19
Bottom - 10553:22
Bottos - 10384:13
boy - 10392:22
Boychuk - 10384:8
boyfriend - 10542:13
boys - 10392:16
brassiere - 10482:23
break - 10458:25,
10491:21, 10512:17,
10576:21, 10593:6,
10604:4
break' - 10492:1
breath - 10468:18
Brian - 10384:10,
10569:13
brick - 10476:21
brief - 10420:7,
10558:9, 10622:9,
10622:19, 10622:23,
10626:19
briefly - 10512:19,
10612:5
bring - 10441:14,
10563:17, 10569:7,
10580:4, 10587:13
bringing - 10463:19,



10551:16
broke - 10577:18
brothers - 10403:18
brought - 10388:5,
 10402:5, 10408:2,
 10408:20, 10408:22,
 10411:1, 10414:24,
 10419:3, 10429:12,
 10445:19, 10446:13,
 10446:17, 10447:9,
 10462:19, 10463:9,
 10468:25, 10486:20,
 10489:24, 10493:13,
 10503:20, 10533:4,
 10551:6, 10566:4,
 10595:13, 10595:25,
 10596:19, 10602:18,
 10605:17
brown - 10486:17
bugged - 10484:25
building - 10455:6
bullet - 10431:19,
 10432:7, 10437:10,
 10438:2, 10446:11
bullets - 10430:17
bunch - 10446:21
buried - 10440:18,
 10440:21, 10441:3,
 10441:8, 10441:14
buries - 10442:9
bury - 10441:15
bus - 10388:8,
 10434:5, 10434:15,
 10453:24, 10454:17,
 10579:12, 10580:11,
 10580:15, 10582:20,
 10582:21, 10620:19,
 10620:20, 10621:11,
 10621:12, 10621:14,
 10629:4
business - 10577:18
businesses - 10577:18
buzz - 10493:24

C

Cadrain - 10398:20,
 10413:21, 10413:23,
 10413:24, 10414:4,
 10414:9, 10414:17,
 10414:22, 10415:15,
 10415:22, 10416:4,
 10416:20, 10417:2,
 10417:7, 10417:10,
 10429:12, 10445:18,
 10447:5, 10468:15,
 10511:10, 10511:11,
 10511:15, 10518:23,
 10519:3, 10525:20,
 10527:13, 10552:13,
 10552:18, 10552:22,
 10570:8, 10578:12,
 10624:5
Cadrain's - 10430:19,
 10431:7, 10431:9,
 10431:14, 10431:24,
 10433:2, 10530:9,
 10530:14, 10596:24
Cadrains - 10432:10,
 10432:22, 10443:9
Cadrains' - 10432:19,
 10443:14, 10444:13
cafe - 10478:6
Caldwell - 10384:5,
 10418:17, 10419:1,
 10422:7, 10422:9,
 10423:8, 10427:4,
 10427:17, 10428:4,
 10430:12, 10459:8,
 10511:8, 10511:15,

10511:23, 10515:20,
 10521:20, 10522:13,
 10522:21, 10524:2,
 10524:4, 10528:8,
 10529:13, 10549:6,
 10559:2, 10559:13,
 10574:5, 10601:13,
 10601:17, 10615:25,
 10616:4, 10616:9
Caldwell's - 10511:10,
 10541:3
Calgary - 10462:15,
 10464:22, 10481:13,
 10511:13, 10599:13,
 10600:2, 10600:9
Calgary' - 10600:16
Calvin - 10384:13
can-say - 10541:24
Canada - 10384:12,
 10485:11, 10535:23,
 10543:7, 10543:16
Candace - 10383:3
candid - 10540:1
cannot - 10543:5,
 10543:25
cans - 10442:23,
 10464:3, 10476:24,
 10533:12, 10589:19
Canton - 10401:25,
 10402:10, 10402:21,
 10402:22, 10403:18
canvass - 10576:19,
 10579:10, 10612:5,
 10629:1
car - 10438:3, 10438:4,
 10439:5, 10439:10,
 10440:7, 10440:15,
 10440:16, 10441:15,
 10442:20, 10443:7,
 10443:9, 10443:16,
 10443:23, 10444:23,
 10468:13, 10478:10,
 10480:19, 10486:25,
 10487:3, 10570:5,
 10570:15, 10571:2,
 10581:4, 10581:13,
 10581:16, 10581:17,
 10589:7, 10593:20,
 10634:2
cards - 10392:9
care - 10536:13
career - 10490:22
carefully - 10584:12,
 10612:15
caretaker - 10434:9
Carlyle - 10521:11,
 10522:24, 10524:5,
 10524:20, 10525:1,
 10525:7, 10529:6,
 10534:4, 10578:4,
 10601:16
Carlyle-gordge -
 10521:11, 10522:24,
 10524:5, 10524:20,
 10525:1, 10525:7,
 10529:6, 10534:4,
 10578:4, 10601:16
carried - 10473:15,
 10565:17, 10565:22
carries - 10466:14
Carry - 10478:3
carrying - 10631:5,
 10631:6
case - 10388:18,
 10397:13, 10407:23,
 10409:7, 10420:24,
 10426:17, 10439:19,
 10441:2, 10443:21,
 10450:20, 10461:13,
 10492:1, 10493:2,

10504:2, 10505:24,
 10509:16, 10509:25,
 10510:7, 10511:18,
 10524:6, 10525:22,
 10532:9, 10533:2,
 10534:25, 10541:15,
 10549:23, 10551:4,
 10559:4, 10565:23,
 10577:10, 10586:20,
 10593:7, 10593:25,
 10598:1, 10605:20,
 10612:11, 10625:22,
 10626:16
Case - 10446:1
cases - 10400:16,
 10408:7, 10408:10,
 10520:23, 10520:24,
 10536:1, 10541:13,
 10602:23, 10604:5
cast - 10610:22,
 10610:23
catching - 10454:17
Catherine - 10384:5
caught - 10546:10,
 10620:20, 10629:5
caused - 10418:6,
 10593:20, 10607:19,
 10613:16
causes - 10495:7,
 10614:5
Cavalier - 10464:25,
 10481:11, 10482:5,
 10482:12, 10486:2,
 10486:11, 10589:24,
 10613:10, 10638:12
cell - 10595:9
cells - 10553:14,
 10553:19, 10595:18,
 10596:18
central - 10407:9
centre - 10389:16
certain - 10394:20,
 10437:19, 10467:2,
 10558:18, 10558:19,
 10616:16, 10620:20,
certainly - 10389:4,
 10412:23, 10416:8,
 10421:17, 10434:20,
 10441:22, 10441:24,
 10446:5, 10454:25,
 10458:4, 10462:3,
 10500:20, 10514:21,
 10619:25, 10632:24,
 10636:6
certainty - 10621:23
Certificate - 10640:1
certify - 10640:4
chain - 10421:6
challenge - 10598:10,
 10600:17
challenged -
 10600:11, 10612:14
challenging - 10584:1,
 10584:4
chance - 10415:18,
 10423:16, 10465:2,
 10495:3, 10524:21
change - 10416:14,
 10464:3, 10607:19
changed - 10496:11,
 10526:23, 10608:7,
 10608:9
changes - 10430:9,
 10439:8, 10496:15,
 10634:6
chap - 10391:4
Chapel - 10476:22
chapel - 10581:9
chapter - 10539:21
characterization -

10425:9, 10441:5,
 10442:1
charge - 10422:17,
 10512:5, 10527:5,
 10528:9, 10549:8,
 10567:24, 10568:11,
 10574:13, 10575:1,
 10577:25, 10578:3,
 10578:5, 10578:8,
 10605:21
charged - 10459:24,
 10460:17, 10460:25,
 10529:3, 10557:21,
 10605:21
charges - 10424:7,
 10544:14, 10558:1,
 10558:20
charging - 10423:1
Charlie - 10422:8,
 10528:6, 10528:14,
 10555:10, 10555:17
Chartier - 10414:11,
 10414:12, 10414:20,
 10483:10, 10483:18,
 10486:4, 10552:15
check - 10396:2,
 10396:9, 10396:14,
 10418:9, 10420:25,
 10502:15
Check - 10397:1
checked - 10390:18,
 10393:10, 10393:17,
 10393:20, 10393:23,
 10394:5, 10399:16,
 10399:17, 10399:23,
 10458:16, 10579:18
checking - 10389:13,
 10396:18, 10398:8,
 10399:21, 10403:7,
 10422:16, 10459:24,
 10501:16, 10502:11
checks - 10386:16
Cherneski - 10459:24
Chief - 10622:21
choice - 10488:24,
 10489:1
chose - 10489:22,
 10538:4
chosen - 10538:21
Chris - 10384:8
Christopher -
 10554:17, 10554:20
church - 10434:9,
 10477:6, 10581:11,
 10627:4, 10633:6,
 10634:4, 10634:6
Church - 10439:7,
 10570:16
Church' - 10571:3
circumstance -
 10520:21
circumstances -
 10518:19, 10521:16,
 10544:1, 10548:6,
 10552:8, 10566:2
city - 10394:6,
 10414:18, 10466:6,
 10485:6, 10539:17
City - 10413:21,
 10467:23, 10473:19,
 10473:25, 10475:5,
 10476:16, 10548:2,
 10620:18, 10621:6
civil - 10514:4,
 10606:15
claimed - 10402:11
Claimed - 10521:25
clarify - 10522:15,
 10598:14, 10622:18
clear - 10579:2,

10582:23, 10626:5
clearly - 10582:9,
 10584:15, 10610:9
Clell - 10404:10
clerical - 10514:10,
 10515:3, 10554:9
Clerk - 10383:9
close - 10563:7,
 10563:9, 10615:24
closed - 10539:22,
 10611:22
closely - 10475:13,
 10493:15, 10558:13
closer - 10438:10
closest - 10477:3
closing - 10606:12
clothes - 10416:14,
 10464:24, 10590:22,
 10591:1, 10591:6,
 10591:7, 10591:9,
 10591:13, 10591:18,
 10592:7, 10623:21,
 10638:23, 10638:25,
 10639:5
clothing - 10451:21,
 10486:7
clue - 10393:20
co - 10411:23,
 10538:2, 10538:24
co-operation -
 10411:23
co-operative - 10538:2
co-workers - 10538:24
coat - 10400:17,
 10476:6, 10476:9,
 10476:10, 10482:22,
 10500:5, 10500:7,
 10500:21, 10500:23,
 10501:9, 10501:22,
 10502:1, 10502:4,
 10598:9, 10630:1,
 10630:20, 10630:22,
 10631:8, 10631:25,
 10632:3, 10632:5,
 10633:3, 10633:15,
 10633:24, 10634:14,
 10634:18, 10635:5,
 10635:11
coerced - 10495:22,
 10604:17, 10605:1,
 10605:4, 10607:24
coercion - 10604:22,
 10605:9, 10605:11,
 10607:14, 10608:11
coincide - 10434:3,
 10434:21, 10454:3
collect - 10557:18
colored - 10486:17,
 10623:24
colour - 10586:2
coloured - 10476:4,
 10623:13, 10624:20,
 10624:25, 10630:18,
 10633:15, 10634:18
combining - 10471:2
coming - 10413:25,
 10429:15, 10462:11,
 10526:9, 10556:7,
 10577:8, 10582:22,
 10588:5, 10633:4
command - 10421:6
commenced - 10514:4
comment - 10393:19,
 10487:7, 10526:12,
 10526:23, 10599:14,
 10614:22
commenting -
 10400:23
comments - 10465:14
Commission -



10382:2, 10382:14,
10383:1, 10383:2,
10383:9, 10393:5,
10524:11, 10575:24,
10577:9, 10577:23,
10583:15, 10583:24,
10584:8, 10589:22,
10598:5, 10612:5,
10616:19, 10617:2,
10617:8
Commissioner -
10386:3, 10398:5,
10399:10, 10436:8,
10458:25, 10459:1,
10479:6, 10479:12,
10512:17, 10512:22,
10524:11, 10576:17,
10614:24, 10636:12,
10636:16, 10636:20,
10636:22, 10636:25,
10637:2, 10637:7,
10637:10, 10637:16,
10637:20, 10639:20,
10639:21
commit - 10491:10
committed - 10389:21,
10420:19, 10424:3,
10447:11, 10448:10,
10451:8, 10457:17,
10519:13, 10519:15,
10520:10, 10542:19,
10579:15, 10588:11,
10606:3
committer - 10422:14
committing -
10548:25, 10549:14,
10549:20, 10603:11,
10603:16
common - 10387:9,
10421:22, 10423:4,
10424:9, 10484:21,
10545:2, 10595:11
commonsense -
10580:14
communicated -
10601:20
communication -
10387:10
compact - 10504:3,
10504:8
companions - 10451:3
company - 10447:12,
10486:2
compare - 10424:24
comparing - 10406:24
comparison -
10406:18
comparisons -
10635:13
compartment -
10504:1
compilation -
10626:10
compiled - 10549:3
complainants -
10402:3
complained -
10402:13
complaint - 10562:22
complaints - 10408:9,
10409:20
complete - 10421:16,
10629:12
completed - 10475:11,
10505:14, 10505:17,
10512:4, 10566:7
completely -
10538:22, 10539:6,
10598:4
completing - 10388:4

complicated - 10530:8
composite - 10403:20,
10403:23
con - 10628:18
concede - 10613:24
concern - 10498:5,
10499:12, 10501:5,
10501:11, 10528:17,
10528:19, 10535:21,
10594:7, 10614:14
concerned - 10522:7,
10522:9, 10528:4,
10535:19, 10566:12,
10604:7, 10607:6
concerning -
10395:23, 10519:1
concerns - 10447:4,
10625:15
conclude - 10404:21,
10408:19, 10460:2,
10480:7, 10480:9,
10494:8, 10550:17,
10550:22, 10625:14
concluded - 10462:17,
10568:16, 10568:19
concluding - 10575:21
conclusion -
10422:25, 10520:15,
10617:18, 10619:5
conduct - 10424:15,
10469:2, 10540:18,
10575:25
conducted - 10412:20,
10482:6
conducting -
10483:12, 10551:3
confessed - 10516:17,
10519:19
confessing - 10520:4
confidential - 10467:6
confirm - 10560:15,
10560:24
confirmed - 10604:10
Congram - 10383:3
connected - 10412:19,
10493:15, 10529:17,
10564:9
connection -
10393:11, 10409:7,
10413:6, 10456:7,
10464:23, 10542:20,
10562:21, 10606:15
conscious - 10539:13
consider - 10423:1,
10598:25
considerably -
10586:3
consideration -
10405:18, 10564:16,
10564:18, 10628:7
considered - 10398:7,
10401:9, 10419:16,
10424:8, 10457:25,
10461:12, 10598:15,
10614:5, 10617:20
considering -
10422:20, 10564:7,
10579:13
consistencies -
10450:17, 10451:5,
10451:6
consistent - 10391:19,
10405:14, 10483:6,
10506:22, 10508:20,
10548:19, 10552:3,
10619:25, 10620:7
Constable - 10466:5,
10466:11, 10466:12,
10537:13
contact - 10417:9,

10521:9
contacted - 10402:5,
10414:21, 10418:17,
10474:25, 10518:1,
10580:3
contain - 10585:7,
10640:5
contains - 10584:12
contemplated -
10424:8
contents - 10544:13
content - 10462:3,
10636:21, 10637:23,
10638:17
context - 10528:13
continue - 10394:10,
10460:16, 10460:21,
10460:24, 10528:22
Continued - 10385:3
continued - 10386:6,
10628:25
continuing - 10394:10,
10394:14, 10460:12
continuity - 10514:20,
10516:11
contradict - 10615:9
contradiction -
10468:11, 10570:3
contrary - 10587:25
contributing - 10614:6
conversation -
10468:4, 10468:7,
10523:4, 10569:24,
10636:14, 10636:18
conversation' -
10569:23
conversations -
10396:20, 10469:12,
10469:14, 10621:19
conversing - 10548:11
convey - 10608:1
conveying - 10610:7
convicted - 10389:10,
10516:14, 10516:18,
10520:8, 10540:8,
10544:8, 10565:21,
10566:9, 10566:18,
10567:6, 10568:7,
10568:14, 10568:21,
10576:2, 10600:22,
10603:21, 10614:7
convicting - 10610:25
conviction - 10565:4,
10610:23
Conviction - 10382:4
convince - 10615:18
convinced - 10611:21
convincing - 10587:22
coordinated -
10556:6, 10593:14
copies - 10411:19
copy - 10396:22,
10459:15, 10562:18
Copy - 10396:25
corner - 10426:1,
10499:23, 10580:10,
10621:13, 10622:3
Cornwall - 10466:17
Corporal - 10411:10,
10411:12
correct - 10394:22,
10399:4, 10399:8,
10402:16, 10417:17,
10418:23, 10423:12,
10423:17, 10425:4,
10425:20, 10427:19,
10431:20, 10432:18,
10440:21, 10442:24,
10470:12, 10471:20,
10493:10, 10495:4,

10497:10, 10498:14,
10505:22, 10507:13,
10507:19, 10517:21,
10525:5, 10528:11,
10539:13, 10544:23,
10547:11, 10552:9,
10554:11, 10557:2,
10557:7, 10557:12,
10560:9, 10561:1,
10563:12, 10566:3,
10571:16, 10572:21,
10574:5, 10577:4,
10586:3, 10590:21,
10599:21, 10603:13,
10612:9, 10618:9,
10629:6, 10631:22,
10640:5
correction - 10554:22
correctional -
10389:15
correctly - 10599:12,
10603:1, 10607:16
corroborate -
10582:11
cosmetic - 10504:2,
10504:9, 10509:25,
10510:6, 10510:9,
10510:13
Cotler - 10384:12
counsel - 10512:19,
10535:10, 10562:18,
10576:19
Counsel - 10383:2,
10386:4, 10577:9,
10577:23, 10583:16,
10583:24, 10584:9,
10589:22, 10598:5,
10612:6, 10616:19,
10617:2, 10617:8
counter - 10453:2
couple - 10397:6,
10415:24, 10419:21,
10432:19, 10447:13,
10448:9, 10493:16,
10514:9, 10515:2,
10525:2, 10573:23,
10621:14
course - 10410:12,
10482:8, 10506:4,
10512:6, 10515:18,
10557:16, 10559:23,
10563:1, 10610:17
Court - 10383:10,
10485:11, 10541:15,
10543:7, 10543:16,
10622:9, 10622:19,
10622:23, 10640:1,
10640:3, 10640:14,
10640:18
court - 10509:7,
10532:3, 10558:7,
10558:16, 10558:24,
10565:20, 10595:4
courts - 10522:10,
10522:12, 10522:23,
10565:24, 10566:7,
10566:10, 10569:3
cover - 10470:22,
10529:16, 10540:3,
10545:9
cover-up - 10545:9
covered - 10536:5
covering - 10560:2
cracked - 10493:2
Craig - 10531:21
create - 10624:23
creates - 10624:16,
10624:18
credible - 10503:5,
10568:23, 10587:21,

10587:23
Cres - 10467:15
Cressman - 10405:22,
10405:23, 10405:24
crime - 10447:12,
10567:6, 10576:6,
10588:11, 10606:3
Crime - 10407:4,
10407:5, 10407:12
crimes - 10389:21,
10389:23, 10407:14,
10542:18, 10603:11,
10603:16
criminal - 10398:14,
10398:16, 10602:20,
10603:3
Crook - 10404:10,
10404:13, 10404:15,
10404:17, 10405:1,
10405:7
Cross - 10395:22,
10396:9, 10396:13,
10396:17, 10396:18,
10396:25, 10397:10,
10397:13, 10397:16,
10397:20, 10397:21,
10397:24, 10398:3,
10399:11, 10405:13,
10428:20, 10459:25,
10529:19, 10542:23
cross - 10496:19,
10569:11, 10571:10,
10576:20
cross-examination -
10576:20
cross-examined -
10569:11
cross-examining -
10571:10
crossed - 10591:11
crowd - 10546:10
crucial - 10579:23,
10592:1, 10592:3,
10592:25, 10593:1,
10612:20
cruising - 10388:8
Csr - 10383:10,
10383:11, 10640:2,
10640:12, 10640:13,
10640:16, 10640:17
Cst - 10466:16,
10467:25, 10468:1,
10475:4, 10486:4,
10543:21
Cunningham -
10537:14, 10543:21
custody - 10595:15
customary - 10428:2
cut - 10492:17
cutoff - 10551:15

D

D/sgt - 10465:20,
10466:15, 10467:25,
10469:1, 10482:21,
10486:3
daily - 10471:1
Danchuk - 10627:19
Danchuks - 10413:18,
10451:14, 10451:25,
10588:17, 10599:24,
10626:1, 10627:8,
10628:5
Danchuks' - 10478:20,
10478:21, 10627:9
danger - 10605:1
dangerous - 10386:19
dark - 10444:4,
10623:13, 10623:23,



10624:20, 10624:24,
10633:3, 10633:15,
10633:16, 10634:18
dark-coloured -
10634:18
date - 10386:13,
10397:4, 10400:10,
10403:6, 10406:15,
10426:24, 10455:14,
10470:20, 10474:21,
10510:23, 10510:24,
10516:13, 10517:25,
10537:13, 10546:19
dated - 10395:17,
10404:7, 10411:10,
10459:17, 10465:16,
10509:16, 10517:18
dates - 10414:17,
10463:18, 10510:23,
10616:16, 10616:21
dating - 10408:8
Dave - 10497:4,
10498:18, 10499:18,
10500:16, 10504:5,
10504:7
David - 10382:4,
10384:2, 10384:11,
10389:8, 10389:9,
10394:7, 10406:2,
10406:6, 10413:13,
10413:19, 10414:20,
10416:10, 10418:14,
10419:10, 10420:2,
10420:6, 10420:15,
10420:18, 10421:8,
10424:2, 10425:8,
10448:2, 10450:13,
10450:23, 10450:24,
10451:16, 10452:12,
10452:20, 10461:11,
10462:17, 10471:16,
10475:11, 10485:8,
10485:12, 10489:2,
10491:9, 10501:2,
10508:4, 10508:7,
10508:12, 10508:15,
10508:19, 10509:3,
10509:9, 10513:18,
10514:2, 10514:4,
10514:15, 10515:12,
10521:5, 10540:7,
10546:16, 10547:10,
10550:7, 10553:19,
10566:9, 10567:5,
10568:6, 10568:14,
10574:1, 10574:10,
10575:2, 10575:5,
10575:21, 10576:4,
10578:13, 10579:4,
10583:5, 10587:1,
10592:11, 10595:14,
10595:16, 10595:22,
10596:11, 10596:15,
10596:21, 10599:14,
10606:24, 10607:9,
10607:22, 10608:22,
10610:1, 10610:8,
10610:11, 10611:5,
10614:6, 10615:18,
10617:19, 10620:4,
10623:12, 10625:3,
10625:9, 10625:22,
10626:12, 10626:16,
10627:5, 10628:3,
10633:23
David's - 10623:21
days - 10407:18,
10415:16, 10418:4,
10430:20, 10464:9,
10472:13, 10493:12,

10493:16, 10498:5,
10613:3
daytime - 10392:14
Daytime - 10392:15
deal - 10415:25,
10461:10, 10463:15,
10481:4, 10499:15,
10514:19, 10534:17,
10540:5, 10567:18,
10567:21, 10606:5,
10606:7, 10614:10,
10638:2
dealing - 10403:14,
10404:9, 10413:25,
10418:20, 10436:25,
10455:9, 10464:21,
10471:4, 10489:6,
10506:20, 10520:20,
10528:20, 10551:23,
10604:25
dealings - 10396:16,
10463:17, 10464:11,
10464:14, 10537:7
deals - 10471:6,
10471:7
dealt - 10474:16,
10516:23, 10517:1,
10522:23, 10546:12,
10565:24, 10569:3
death - 10576:1,
10576:7
debate - 10451:5
deceased - 10551:2
December - 10520:7
decide - 10557:24
decided - 10505:20,
10549:6, 10592:20
decision - 10539:5,
10539:11, 10539:13,
10539:17, 10558:3,
10558:23, 10574:1,
10574:10, 10575:1,
10593:3, 10628:2,
10628:21
decisions - 10528:23
declared - 10576:5
declined - 10487:7,
10538:12
deduce - 10590:6
definite - 10543:1
definitely - 10406:3,
10540:23
definitive - 10577:12
degree - 10565:1,
10587:23, 10594:2,
10607:11
delay - 10593:13
deliberately - 10583:2,
10583:3, 10585:20
deliver - 10486:7
delivered - 10483:5,
10591:24
demeanour -
10451:21, 10464:4,
10495:10, 10572:15,
10572:17
denied - 10405:9,
10487:1, 10534:7
Dennis - 10398:22,
10431:7
department -
10403:19, 10408:7,
10468:1, 10512:14,
10528:22, 10536:1,
10536:4, 10536:6,
10542:9, 10553:24,
10567:18, 10567:20,
10577:5, 10577:14,
10606:5, 10625:25
Department - 10608:21

departments -
10409:16
Dept - 10466:18
describe - 10464:6,
10548:12
described - 10464:15,
10486:23, 10526:19,
10546:17, 10623:21,
10633:2
Description - 10385:2
description - 10518:8,
10633:12, 10633:14,
10633:17, 10634:24
designated - 10512:10
designed - 10579:4
destroyed - 10552:6,
10552:11
Des - 10517:25
Det/sgt - 10509:20
detachment - 10466:5
detail - 10423:24,
10575:14, 10637:21
detailed - 10452:9,
10534:10
details - 10487:10,
10519:16
Detective - 10386:25,
10426:5, 10430:5,
10435:19, 10455:11,
10465:15, 10465:24,
10470:5, 10473:5,
10485:6, 10487:21,
10493:9, 10511:7,
10518:10, 10518:17,
10519:17, 10519:23,
10520:2, 10608:21,
10621:6, 10623:18,
10623:20, 10624:3,
10624:14, 10630:8,
10634:16, 10637:9
detective - 10387:3,
10388:5, 10517:5,
10567:19, 10567:23,
10568:4, 10578:7,
10586:19
detectives - 10509:24,
10517:6, 10567:20,
10567:25
detector - 10462:14,
10462:20
determine - 10557:24
develop - 10583:11
developing - 10443:25
dictate - 10471:9
dictated - 10429:24,
10430:3, 10470:15,
10471:14
Diwold - 10434:4,
10434:8, 10439:23,
10454:9, 10581:3,
10581:6, 10581:16,
10627:3
difference - 10610:18,
10622:22, 10636:23
different - 10413:7,
10424:15, 10448:13,
10457:17, 10484:14,
10514:1, 10577:17,
10610:2, 10623:19,
10624:16, 10624:17,
10624:18
differently - 10545:17,
10576:9, 10576:15,
10583:17, 10583:25,
10612:7, 10612:8,
10612:10, 10612:11,
10612:12
difficult - 10534:16,
10593:18, 10598:1
difficulties - 10601:3

Dike - 10468:1,
10469:8
Dimmitt - 10621:3
Dino - 10384:13
dire - 10572:25
directed - 10469:20,
10474:8, 10478:12,
10629:15, 10629:17
directing - 10556:1
direction - 10420:9,
10582:23, 10615:13
directions - 10433:12,
10433:22, 10434:24,
10435:25, 10436:23,
10454:2, 10454:6,
10479:18, 10479:21,
10479:24, 10480:11,
10497:24, 10498:3,
10581:4, 10581:15,
10585:2
directions' - 10498:8
directly - 10412:19,
10555:5, 10557:22,
10624:4
Directly - 10629:19
Director - 10383:3
dires - 10572:25
discard - 10534:25
disclosed - 10557:25
discovery - 10514:4,
10514:22, 10554:5
discrepancies -
10634:23
discrepancy -
10470:21
discuss - 10421:12,
10421:25, 10422:12,
10524:7, 10524:8,
10535:25, 10536:8,
10536:13, 10615:24,
10618:11
discussed - 10418:16,
10418:18, 10563:12,
10563:15, 10615:20,
10618:9, 10618:15,
10637:11
discussing - 10522:11
discussion - 10423:7,
10430:8, 10461:10,
10479:23, 10481:7,
10491:24, 10499:6,
10524:25, 10579:21,
10626:9, 10635:4,
10636:2, 10636:4
Discussion - 10631:17
discussions -
10406:23, 10428:19,
10459:11, 10515:19,
10555:20
disposes - 10443:10
dispute - 10470:7
disturbed - 10475:7
ditch - 10510:2
ditches - 10510:8
divest - 10538:21,
10539:6, 10539:11,
10539:17
division - 10517:5,
10517:19
divulge - 10467:7
doc - 10461:23,
10513:22, 10514:22,
10514:24, 10517:13,
10524:13, 10554:6,
10569:9
Document - 10383:4,
10383:5
document - 10387:8,
10387:17, 10393:4,
10393:6, 10393:7,

10393:12, 10395:16,
10396:21, 10402:14,
10406:10, 10406:13,
10406:15, 10406:18,
10408:1, 10408:20,
10410:8, 10410:12,
10410:18, 10420:14,
10423:16, 10423:20,
10424:17, 10424:20,
10427:9, 10427:25,
10428:5, 10428:10,
10429:2, 10435:10,
10436:11, 10436:12,
10452:14, 10457:15,
10461:2, 10461:24,
10462:2, 10462:5,
10469:6, 10470:17,
10480:4, 10506:2,
10506:12, 10506:15,
10506:17, 10506:23,
10507:7, 10510:21,
10517:15, 10519:10,
10530:3, 10537:17,
10542:3, 10562:19,
10591:23, 10594:4,
10594:10, 10615:4,
10615:16, 10615:23,
10617:4, 10617:22,
10618:23, 10622:10,
10622:14, 10625:13,
10625:18, 10626:14,
10631:13, 10632:8,
10633:10
documentation -
10558:15
documents -
10401:20, 10463:14,
10465:3, 10465:6,
10521:10, 10523:10,
10523:14, 10525:12,
10538:11, 10541:10,
10541:25, 10557:19,
10619:1, 10625:20,
10626:10
Doell - 10434:5,
10434:14, 10453:24,
10580:5, 10580:17,
10583:19, 10620:13,
10620:18, 10620:22,
10621:7, 10621:20,
10621:22, 10622:15
dog - 10509:16,
10509:21, 10510:5
Don - 10383:11,
10467:1
Donald - 10640:2,
10640:17
donate - 10529:22,
10529:23
done - 10396:5,
10396:14, 10405:13,
10417:22, 10419:13,
10426:12, 10426:13,
10426:14, 10426:15,
10428:8, 10429:1,
10447:16, 10452:11,
10452:14, 10459:12,
10469:19, 10470:4,
10471:2, 10496:5,
10496:23, 10501:17,
10503:8, 10503:12,
10503:16, 10505:23,
10506:1, 10511:22,
10512:21, 10513:15,
10519:10, 10529:17,
10540:25, 10549:2,
10553:4, 10556:11,
10556:12, 10559:21,
10559:23, 10565:7,
10565:8, 10566:1,



10566:5, 10567:9,
10569:4, 10576:9,
10576:15, 10583:17,
10583:25, 10599:8,
10604:15, 10606:1,
10612:6, 10612:7,
10612:10, 10613:19,
10613:24, 10628:1,
10628:15, 10628:24,
10629:9, 10634:20
donors - 10529:21
door - 10483:11,
10551:24, 10552:2
door-to-door -
10551:24, 10552:2
doors - 10432:19,
10558:18
dormitory - 10595:19
doubt - 10419:19,
10469:4, 10571:11,
10606:24, 10607:4,
10610:22, 10610:23,
10636:9
doubting - 10431:23,
10431:24
doubts - 10532:14
Douglas - 10383:2
down - 10386:22,
10388:3, 10394:3,
10398:19, 10404:8,
10409:10, 10409:13,
10409:15, 10411:16,
10412:6, 10412:15,
10416:3, 10416:15,
10423:25, 10424:10,
10429:11, 10430:16,
10432:4, 10432:19,
10435:14, 10435:20,
10436:16, 10438:11,
10439:1, 10440:14,
10440:17, 10443:6,
10444:2, 10445:4,
10445:17, 10448:12,
10448:16, 10453:14,
10455:23, 10458:2,
10466:3, 10466:23,
10467:13, 10468:6,
10468:23, 10475:24,
10486:19, 10491:19,
10496:8, 10497:13,
10500:4, 10503:2,
10505:8, 10518:22,
10525:19, 10527:1,
10528:8, 10529:1,
10530:6, 10532:21,
10533:9, 10533:12,
10535:21, 10545:17,
10546:8, 10549:16,
10552:5, 10552:25,
10553:22, 10555:24,
10557:9, 10559:1,
10561:9, 10572:24,
10579:15, 10580:9,
10580:13, 10584:2,
10584:24, 10585:4,
10585:13, 10599:3,
10632:20, 10633:16
drabs - 10589:17
drag - 10491:19
drawing - 10541:1
dress - 10500:7,
10501:9, 10501:22,
10502:2, 10502:3,
10502:4, 10564:3,
10633:25
dress' - 10598:9
draw - 10584:10
dribs - 10589:17
drive - 10438:11,
10438:14

driven - 10476:19,
10493:13, 10635:25
driver - 10438:3
driving - 10433:8,
10477:9, 10478:21,
10504:7, 10548:3,
10581:14
drove - 10477:14,
10477:20, 10480:14,
10497:14, 10497:17,
10498:6, 10498:9
drugs - 10430:23,
10431:22
Due - 10403:18
due - 10481:5,
10524:14, 10547:19,
10638:3
During - 10468:7
during - 10410:12,
10412:2, 10486:25,
10495:13, 10515:18,
10532:11, 10546:18,
10557:16, 10565:16,
10570:11, 10572:15,
10638:8

E

early - 10426:18,
10429:7, 10429:18,
10448:7, 10450:14,
10454:15, 10459:19,
10468:10, 10523:14,
10543:17, 10550:11,
10570:2, 10605:14,
10621:24, 10624:8
east - 10476:21,
10548:8
easy - 10588:15
eating - 10526:14,
10526:22
echos - 10533:24
Eddie - 10384:8
Edmondson - 10461:8,
10526:11, 10618:2
Edward - 10382:7
effect - 10440:11,
10485:14, 10487:5,
10494:2, 10506:8,
10570:11, 10574:21,
10577:21, 10578:20,
10612:6
effort - 10540:5,
10578:24
efforts - 10461:13,
10524:16
either - 10405:9,
10427:20, 10446:3,
10446:4, 10446:7,
10449:17, 10455:3,
10458:20, 10461:18,
10465:3, 10487:20,
10521:5, 10529:7,
10550:7, 10550:19,
10566:19, 10587:24,
10597:18, 10613:19,
10633:9, 10635:2
elaborate - 10577:13
elevated - 10421:21
eliminate - 10395:7,
10396:10, 10398:11,
10400:4, 10448:19,
10458:21, 10461:14,
10542:23, 10550:20,
10582:1
eliminated - 10389:14,
10390:8, 10390:11,
10390:17, 10419:18,
10419:19, 10448:2,
10448:14, 10460:1,

10542:14, 10550:8,
10550:14
eliminating -
10395:13, 10530:1,
10542:15
Elliott - 10398:22
Elmer - 10426:16,
10540:25
elsewhere - 10433:3,
10627:7
Elson - 10384:7
emanate - 10614:4
Emma - 10554:16,
10554:18, 10554:19,
10554:20
employ - 10509:20
en - 10486:15
encounter - 10474:18
encountered -
10601:3
end - 10400:19,
10415:9, 10583:16,
10598:13, 10600:6,
10633:16
ended - 10528:7,
10528:9, 10529:3
ends - 10487:13
ensure - 10559:22
entire - 10559:3,
10603:12
entirety - 10576:12,
10626:19, 10628:10
entrance - 10455:20,
10456:22, 10480:16,
10502:22
error - 10510:23,
10584:15, 10584:24,
10585:18, 10585:23,
10586:10, 10620:16
errors - 10447:2
Especially - 10531:6
especially - 10619:5
Esq - 10384:7,
10384:8, 10384:10,
10384:13
essence - 10608:3
establish - 10448:19,
10462:13, 10550:19,
10582:1
estimation - 10545:9
etc - 10386:19,
10546:7
Etcetera - 10408:15
etcetera - 10388:3,
10388:8, 10462:14,
10488:10, 10516:5,
10524:16, 10535:20,
10560:8
evening - 10408:12,
10475:25, 10518:3,
10563:22, 10630:2
event - 10401:25,
10405:22, 10409:6,
10493:3, 10560:16,
10587:12, 10588:8,
10588:23, 10591:6,
10617:6
events - 10450:15,
10470:11, 10471:7,
10471:25, 10472:22,
10472:23, 10473:11,
10482:2, 10539:24,
10614:3, 10614:4,
10638:7
eventually - 10557:21,
10587:2, 10603:21
evidence - 10391:10,
10391:15, 10391:19,
10394:18, 10395:2,
10400:23, 10407:10,

10412:8, 10412:9,
10414:11, 10431:1,
10431:4, 10434:14,
10441:25, 10446:6,
10448:17, 10448:18,
10455:2, 10461:17,
10461:21, 10461:23,
10462:1, 10482:4,
10483:9, 10483:18,
10485:4, 10485:10,
10489:15, 10491:11,
10491:14, 10498:24,
10500:19, 10507:22,
10507:23, 10507:24,
10508:8, 10508:13,
10508:19, 10513:25,
10514:12, 10514:21,
10515:2, 10515:11,
10515:21, 10515:23,
10531:7, 10531:22,
10532:3, 10549:4,
10574:18, 10574:25,
10578:11, 10580:12,
10582:12, 10583:21,
10586:15, 10601:23,
10603:23, 10605:14,
10607:9, 10610:13,
10614:2, 10616:7,
10626:12, 10635:1
evidential - 10409:9,
10409:23
exact - 10420:12
exactly - 10447:3,
10477:7, 10592:10
examination -
10514:3, 10514:22,
10576:20, 10606:13,
10606:15
examined - 10514:6,
10569:11
examiner - 10610:8
examining - 10571:10
example - 10398:13,
10398:20, 10425:23,
10450:11, 10471:24,
10502:18, 10520:14,
10525:2, 10567:3
exception - 10584:13
exciting - 10598:3
exculpatory -
10449:23, 10451:2
Excuse - 10479:6
Executive - 10383:3
executives - 10462:7
exhausted - 10566:11,
10567:7, 10568:7,
10594:25
exhibit - 10632:18
exhibits - 10482:18,
10482:20, 10514:20,
10589:22, 10590:7,
10591:23
existing - 10425:15,
10439:20
exonerated - 10576:4
expect - 10493:3,
10493:19, 10493:24,
10494:4, 10589:5,
10593:11
expected - 10578:8
expecting - 10589:1
expenditures -
10528:24
expenses - 10528:5,
10528:16, 10528:18
experience - 10449:5,
10449:13, 10504:24,
10590:6, 10594:23,
10598:12, 10604:24
experienced -

10584:12
explain - 10531:12,
10578:17, 10580:16,
10582:9, 10592:2,
10592:12, 10597:1,
10599:23
explained - 10539:20,
10541:9, 10548:23
explanation -
10509:12, 10513:12,
10538:10, 10580:19,
10587:11, 10590:12,
10595:8, 10604:18,
10605:12, 10605:13
expressed - 10547:9,
10596:5, 10596:15,
10621:22
extensive - 10412:20
extensively - 10584:9
extent - 10538:23,
10580:7, 10621:17
extra - 10638:8
extremely - 10592:3,
10603:2
eye - 10491:13,
10492:23, 10493:2,
10494:1, 10494:9,
10504:3
eye-witness -
10491:13, 10492:23,
10494:9
eye-witness' -
10493:2, 10494:1
eyesight - 10455:25

F

face - 10548:9,
10633:18
facilitating - 10441:24
facilities - 10471:8
facing - 10454:8
fact - 10391:22,
10393:1, 10432:5,
10435:9, 10436:13,
10440:5, 10443:3,
10443:13, 10446:3,
10451:3, 10451:12,
10451:22, 10453:9,
10453:11, 10456:14,
10456:20, 10457:21,
10489:20, 10489:23,
10491:8, 10491:13,
10491:17, 10492:23,
10500:17, 10515:20,
10525:11, 10535:4,
10539:15, 10557:9,
10559:3, 10559:18,
10568:14, 10568:20,
10585:6, 10585:9,
10591:21, 10608:10,
10611:12, 10625:2,
10625:8, 10632:10
factor - 10575:20
facts - 10424:4,
10424:5, 10439:20,
10502:15, 10503:4,
10509:11, 10533:20,
10584:22
factual - 10586:18
factually - 10576:5
failed - 10560:15,
10560:24
Fair - 10502:10
fair - 10388:22,
10393:2, 10394:1,
10398:3, 10402:24,
10403:9, 10403:25,
10404:4, 10404:21,
10405:18, 10411:7,



10416:22, 10417:1,
10417:4, 10419:20,
10425:9, 10425:16,
10427:22, 10428:23,
10431:10, 10432:25,
10436:6, 10437:8,
10440:7, 10441:5,
10441:10, 10441:16,
10441:20, 10441:25,
10444:15, 10445:2,
10447:6, 10450:18,
10452:17, 10456:18,
10458:7, 10458:13,
10458:17, 10458:22,
10459:11, 10460:2,
10463:2, 10470:23,
10480:5, 10484:13,
10490:18, 10491:4,
10491:15, 10493:16,
10495:1, 10497:11,
10500:12, 10504:21,
10513:15, 10513:19,
10515:4, 10523:8,
10523:11, 10523:23,
10538:13, 10550:17,
10562:14, 10573:21,
10574:7, 10575:14,
10578:25, 10586:16,
10588:8, 10600:20,
10603:8, 10604:12,
10609:24, 10628:19
fairly - 10563:7,
10563:9, 10587:20,
10588:15, 10596:25,
10607:21
fairness - 10392:2,
10484:6, 10535:11
fall - 10404:15,
10412:2
fallen - 10607:10
familiar - 10398:22,
10401:5, 10406:16,
10407:5, 10466:13,
10475:19, 10476:17,
10490:9, 10537:14,
10548:2, 10563:20,
10590:15
familiarity - 10513:13
family - 10405:8
famous - 10602:20
far - 10393:17,
10395:13, 10399:21,
10429:19, 10430:25,
10464:8, 10491:4,
10533:19, 10534:15,
10560:6, 10566:12,
10573:25, 10575:9,
10601:23, 10604:7,
10621:18
Fare - 10478:6
fashion - 10507:8
fatal - 10501:10
favoured - 10544:12
fear - 10531:6,
10531:17, 10545:3,
10596:5, 10596:15
Fear - 10464:6
fearful - 10613:17
Feb - 10518:3
February - 10386:13,
10401:22, 10403:2,
10403:12, 10403:14,
10407:3, 10409:3,
10516:14, 10517:11,
10517:18, 10621:5
feedback - 10423:5
fellow - 10386:16,
10390:7, 10391:3,
10391:7, 10401:25,
10404:9, 10414:12,

10521:11, 10585:16
felt - 10412:17,
10476:15, 10546:9,
10565:9, 10579:2,
10611:6
female - 10466:20,
10632:9
few - 10386:10,
10399:2, 10401:3,
10401:20, 10415:16,
10430:20, 10435:20,
10606:16, 10620:10
fibre - 10635:13
fifth - 10424:21
figure - 10586:20,
10623:3
figured - 10525:25,
10535:7
file - 10386:15,
10387:10, 10387:13,
10388:7, 10397:11,
10402:16, 10402:23,
10403:21, 10404:22,
10408:22, 10410:4,
10410:7, 10411:18,
10413:20, 10417:17,
10417:21, 10417:24,
10417:25, 10418:7,
10418:9, 10418:11,
10418:25, 10419:7,
10419:8, 10419:10,
10419:24, 10421:10,
10422:2, 10422:12,
10423:12, 10424:1,
10424:5, 10424:23,
10425:8, 10425:11,
10425:15, 10425:19,
10426:7, 10427:8,
10428:8, 10428:13,
10429:6, 10430:13,
10431:6, 10431:17,
10434:7, 10435:5,
10436:5, 10437:4,
10437:22, 10439:17,
10439:19, 10442:25,
10443:12, 10443:19,
10447:21, 10454:12,
10455:2, 10458:7,
10465:19, 10465:23,
10474:15, 10481:14,
10493:10, 10517:19,
10518:11, 10518:17,
10519:4, 10520:20,
10521:5, 10523:20,
10523:22, 10524:1,
10527:10, 10529:4,
10529:15, 10536:20,
10541:3, 10542:24,
10549:2, 10549:25,
10550:22, 10551:3,
10556:6, 10558:8,
10558:11, 10558:14,
10559:4, 10564:13,
10564:18, 10566:6,
10568:16, 10568:19,
10574:17, 10574:19,
10575:16, 10579:8,
10580:9, 10580:19,
10586:23, 10586:24,
10598:2, 10611:21,
10616:11, 10619:8,
10621:18, 10627:12,
10636:10
filed - 10557:1,
10557:11, 10562:21
files - 10401:2,
10402:4, 10406:19,
10406:24, 10409:19,
10424:13, 10427:22,
10525:16, 10529:7,

10529:8, 10530:9,
10530:20, 10541:15,
10552:7, 10552:10,
10619:20
final - 10520:22,
10558:16, 10612:4
finalized - 10598:4
finally - 10492:1
fine - 10430:14
finished - 10489:18,
10522:22
finishing - 10488:4
first - 10394:5,
10398:17, 10409:4,
10413:19, 10415:12,
10424:24, 10426:11,
10427:15, 10429:4,
10430:16, 10432:11,
10446:11, 10450:11,
10459:15, 10465:14,
10465:17, 10479:20,
10487:4, 10514:14,
10517:23, 10531:9,
10573:2, 10593:8,
10619:11, 10621:2,
10630:3, 10633:13,
10633:25
First - 10411:17
fisher - 10545:7
Fisher - 10384:10,
10514:7, 10514:8,
10514:23, 10514:25,
10516:17, 10519:11,
10519:19, 10519:25,
10520:8, 10530:10,
10530:12, 10530:17,
10530:20, 10543:6,
10543:13, 10544:7,
10544:10, 10546:5,
10565:4, 10567:4,
10567:10, 10569:6,
10570:20, 10572:2,
10573:19, 10576:2,
10603:16, 10603:20,
10605:15, 10608:17,
10608:18, 10608:24,
10609:22, 10611:7
fit - 10416:2, 10439:21,
10439:24, 10441:2,
10443:2, 10457:21,
10457:23, 10503:4,
10506:14, 10625:10
fits - 10432:15,
10440:4, 10453:17,
10497:9, 10502:16,
10582:15, 10633:17
fitting - 10518:8
five - 10407:18,
10436:12, 10456:16,
10486:13, 10513:25,
10514:7, 10542:3
five-page - 10436:12
fixed - 10487:5,
10583:5
flew - 10499:5
focus - 10551:25,
10583:6, 10619:25
focused - 10580:16,
10582:8
folks - 10397:22,
10550:12
follow - 10386:25,
10387:9, 10387:15,
10417:7, 10446:9,
10511:21, 10512:8,
10512:15, 10558:3,
10568:12, 10584:2,
10600:3, 10609:6
follow-up - 10417:7,
10511:21, 10512:8,

10609:6
followed - 10440:17,
10559:18, 10560:8,
10566:24, 10602:9,
10602:23, 10606:8,
10606:11, 10609:10,
10609:12, 10611:19
following - 10409:8,
10409:21, 10466:9,
10467:24, 10482:20,
10538:10, 10540:21,
10568:15
follows - 10569:23
Fontaine - 10455:13
fool - 10585:21
force - 10535:23,
10539:1, 10539:7,
10602:12, 10603:13
forced - 10408:12
forces - 10438:11,
10439:1
foregoing - 10412:16,
10640:4
forget - 10527:8,
10606:9
forgot - 10504:25
forgotten - 10481:4,
10638:2
form - 10422:25,
10424:11
formal - 10620:22
former - 10538:24,
10539:1, 10543:23
formerly - 10511:12,
10621:8
Fortosky - 10398:23
forward - 10448:5,
10448:22, 10454:11,
10506:22, 10525:25,
10608:17, 10608:19
forwarded - 10567:17
found - 10477:15,
10478:1
foundation - 10586:4
four - 10424:16,
10424:18, 10424:21,
10424:24, 10426:11,
10427:8, 10427:15,
10427:24, 10450:11,
10464:9, 10619:14
fourth - 10514:6
frame - 10418:19,
10428:21, 10429:7,
10429:20, 10459:20,
10523:13, 10547:7,
10581:18, 10611:18
Fraser - 10555:12,
10555:15
Fraye - 10384:11
freely - 10571:12
Freeway - 10476:13
frequent - 10518:5
friday - 10624:12
Friday - 10485:25,
10486:1
front - 10455:19,
10456:22, 10622:23,
10634:10
frozen - 10425:12,
10425:25
full - 10438:2, 10573:2,
10621:17, 10622:2,
10626:20, 10627:22
fully - 10534:10
funds - 10433:7,
10433:16, 10528:24
Funeral - 10476:22
funeral - 10434:2,
10434:22, 10435:13,
10435:24, 10436:23,

10454:3, 10454:8,
10455:19, 10456:23,
10463:20, 10463:23,
10477:14, 10480:15,
10548:8, 10581:9,
10584:14
fur - 10476:5,
10630:18
furthering - 10424:7
future - 10613:21,
10629:9

G

Gail - 10390:25,
10393:11, 10398:8,
10400:10, 10400:21,
10401:1, 10401:3,
10401:8, 10401:10,
10401:16, 10401:18,
10402:15, 10402:23,
10403:8, 10403:21,
10403:24, 10404:11,
10405:17, 10406:25,
10410:1, 10410:12,
10412:10, 10414:19,
10417:17, 10432:17,
10434:15, 10441:21,
10442:8, 10442:22,
10445:1, 10445:9,
10450:23, 10450:25,
10451:23, 10453:10,
10454:5, 10456:17,
10457:13, 10458:10,
10477:15, 10485:13,
10490:19, 10492:24,
10493:25, 10500:1,
10501:6, 10507:19,
10508:15, 10509:4,
10521:5, 10523:11,
10523:20, 10539:7,
10539:15, 10563:8,
10563:24, 10564:10,
10567:5, 10568:6,
10574:2, 10574:11,
10575:2, 10575:6,
10575:10, 10576:1,
10576:3, 10576:7,
10578:12, 10579:15,
10580:7, 10581:14,
10582:10, 10608:25
Gallucci - 10582:21
garbage - 10442:18,
10442:23, 10444:12,
10444:14, 10444:21,
10445:11, 10464:3,
10476:23, 10477:2,
10533:12, 10589:19
Garrett - 10384:6
general - 10386:9,
10420:1, 10420:3,
10460:19, 10496:3,
10512:7, 10512:12,
10513:13, 10520:18
generalizing -
10508:16
generally - 10393:15,
10403:4, 10410:18,
10420:12, 10423:23,
10454:19, 10494:24,
10506:5, 10507:16,
10508:13, 10512:3,
10513:21, 10551:25,
10556:18, 10559:10
George - 10475:14,
10475:18, 10518:1,
10518:6, 10526:10,
10531:21
Giles - 10390:24,
10391:9, 10391:17



girl - 10402:2,
10404:17, 10404:20,
10416:17, 10439:6,
10467:3, 10479:18,
10479:21, 10479:24,
10480:10, 10497:16,
10497:23, 10498:8,
10499:19, 10500:16,
10501:2, 10546:14,
10591:17, 10599:14,
10634:5, 10636:24
girlfriend - 10596:24
Given - 10580:15
given - 10397:19,
10415:15, 10428:14,
10434:14, 10435:16,
10448:6, 10450:14,
10450:23, 10471:15,
10471:19, 10485:18,
10511:12, 10515:24,
10518:9, 10576:18,
10578:13, 10588:1,
10603:22, 10618:21,
10634:23, 10634:24,
10635:10
glad - 10396:6
glove - 10504:1
God - 10491:19
gordge - 10521:11,
10522:24, 10524:5,
10524:20, 10525:1,
10525:7, 10529:6,
10534:4, 10578:4,
10601:16
Gordge - 10525:21,
10525:23, 10526:16,
10527:23, 10529:3,
10530:6, 10530:12,
10530:17, 10530:23,
10533:1, 10533:18,
10534:6, 10534:23,
10535:16
gotta - 10527:10
Government - 10384:4,
10576:3
grab - 10498:17,
10498:18, 10500:16,
10501:2
grabbed - 10504:6
grant - 10565:14
grapevine - 10605:19
great - 10461:10,
10481:4, 10540:5,
10597:24, 10638:2
greater - 10469:19
green - 10625:4
group - 10395:21,
10402:7, 10403:17,
10453:10, 10486:12
Group - 10397:1
grouping - 10395:20,
10396:5, 10404:18,
10405:2, 10405:11,
10542:22
groupings - 10530:3
guarding - 10545:11,
10545:22
guess - 10398:10,
10424:12, 10452:10,
10459:21, 10474:8,
10497:12, 10503:18,
10523:20, 10531:14,
10542:4, 10543:12,
10550:3, 10555:7,
10564:14, 10578:8,
10582:3, 10602:17,
10604:13, 10605:5,
10612:1, 10635:3
guilt - 10606:24,
10611:21

guilty - 10600:22,
10606:9, 10610:1,
10610:3, 10610:8,
10611:5, 10611:10
guilty - 10610:11,
10610:15
gun - 10506:18
guy - 10522:16,
10569:3, 10629:3
guy - 10421:1,
10568:9, 10568:10

H

habits - 10621:23
hair - 10633:17
half - 10451:24,
10503:24, 10589:8
hallmark - 10586:7
hampered - 10525:11
hand - 10426:1,
10435:10, 10436:11,
10436:14, 10437:3,
10468:24, 10477:2,
10498:22, 10499:11,
10526:14, 10526:22,
10564:2, 10564:3
handed - 10498:25,
10499:7, 10499:11,
10526:9, 10526:13,
10526:15, 10526:24,
10526:25
handle - 10409:11,
10496:11
handled - 10486:18,
10552:14
handwriting -
10388:13, 10397:2,
10415:4, 10498:13
handwritten -
10387:18, 10429:25,
10430:1, 10430:6,
10459:6
hanging - 10633:16
happy - 10600:20,
10600:24
Harris - 10475:14,
10475:18
Harry - 10621:2
Hartmut - 10388:2,
10388:5, 10388:16
head - 10583:5,
10584:23, 10633:16
heading - 10411:25
hear - 10506:7,
10511:2, 10595:4,
10609:3, 10609:20
heard - 10391:10,
10391:14, 10394:18,
10398:23, 10414:11,
10449:15, 10461:21,
10461:23, 10475:2,
10475:20, 10482:4,
10483:9, 10485:4,
10532:1, 10552:6,
10552:21, 10577:11,
10607:13, 10609:1
hearing - 10487:19,
10507:21, 10507:25,
10508:3, 10509:6,
10513:18, 10514:2,
10514:7, 10514:24,
10515:8, 10515:12,
10569:10
hearings - 10543:7,
10543:16
held - 10413:5,
10461:6, 10487:16,
10558:2, 10558:5
help - 10391:20,

10392:4, 10393:18,
10427:14, 10432:3,
10437:4, 10437:10,
10460:8, 10462:4,
10471:22, 10539:25,
10581:12, 10590:23,
10593:2, 10604:19,
10609:18, 10632:22
helpful - 10453:1,
10623:3
Henry - 10434:8,
10439:23, 10511:9
her - 10491:20,
10498:10
hereafter - 10466:20
hereby - 10640:4
herein - 10640:6
Hersh - 10384:2,
10577:1
hesitate - 10538:3
high - 10633:15
higher - 10469:22,
10618:17
highlight - 10581:1,
10606:23, 10608:14
highlighted - 10420:8
highlights - 10428:13,
10527:15
highly - 10507:17
Highway - 10476:15
highway - 10509:22
himself - 10538:22,
10539:6
Hinz - 10383:10,
10640:2, 10640:13
hit - 10594:2
hitting - 10578:21
Hmm - 10494:5
Hodson - 10383:2,
10385:4, 10386:5,
10386:7, 10436:10,
10458:24, 10459:4,
10479:14, 10512:16,
10512:25, 10569:18,
10591:3, 10622:8,
10622:17, 10623:1,
10631:13, 10631:18,
10632:13, 10632:15,
10632:20
hold - 10499:18
holes - 10500:6,
10500:23, 10502:3
holiday - 10417:15
Holiday - 10386:17
holidays - 10491:5,
10527:3, 10527:9,
10549:1, 10615:12
Holmes - 10597:21
home - 10405:4,
10434:2, 10434:22,
10435:13, 10435:24,
10436:23, 10454:3,
10454:8, 10455:19,
10456:23, 10463:20,
10463:23, 10473:18,
10477:14, 10480:15,
10493:1, 10536:15,
10537:22, 10548:8,
10578:12, 10578:13,
10578:15, 10584:14,
10627:17, 10629:25,
10630:25, 10639:17
Hon - 10384:12
honest - 10585:22,
10613:22
Honestly - 10563:13
honestly - 10585:18
Honourable - 10382:6
hood - 10476:5,
10630:19

hope - 10606:13,
10631:11, 10635:23
hopefully - 10599:12
hoping - 10510:2
Hoppe - 10416:9,
10416:13, 10416:17
horrific - 10603:12
Hospital - 10620:18,
10621:6
hospitalization -
10392:9
hotel - 10483:10,
10483:19, 10484:13,
10485:9, 10486:5,
10518:3, 10531:8,
10531:20, 10561:11,
10561:15, 10592:5,
10595:17, 10638:12
Hotel - 10382:16,
10481:11, 10482:5,
10489:7, 10518:2
hour - 10451:16,
10451:24, 10589:8
hours - 10492:22,
10624:9
House - 10386:17
house - 10391:12,
10391:16, 10391:23,
10391:24, 10392:1,
10392:3, 10430:19,
10431:9, 10431:14,
10431:24, 10432:10,
10432:13, 10432:19,
10444:14, 10453:11,
10467:19, 10478:14,
10478:15, 10478:17,
10588:17
household - 10580:2
houses - 10477:3
Hugh - 10555:11
human - 10610:4
hundred - 10397:6
husband - 10606:3
hypnosis - 10429:13,
10445:21, 10449:4,
10449:5, 10449:8,
10449:17, 10587:8,
10587:13
hypothesis - 10433:1
hypothesize -
10438:17
hypothetical - 10565:1

I

Ibey - 10386:17
Id - 10461:23,
10514:23, 10514:24,
10517:13, 10524:13,
10554:6, 10569:9
idea - 10497:6,
10562:11, 10628:16,
10634:17, 10634:19,
10638:22
ideas - 10424:4,
10424:10
ident - 10395:1,
10395:5, 10403:19,
10407:8, 10409:4,
10639:8
Ident - 10482:16
identifiable - 10409:22
identification -
10403:18, 10413:19
identified - 10406:2,
10459:18, 10493:14,
10510:22, 10513:6,
10525:4, 10605:16
identifies - 10412:4,
10436:18
identify - 10398:21,
10402:9, 10419:9,
10497:21
identifying - 10395:9,
10395:14, 10406:20,
10635:15
lds - 10513:22
imagine - 10559:19,
10601:7
immediate - 10546:6
immediately -
10548:10
implicate - 10461:14
implicated - 10526:5
implicating - 10549:4,
10592:11, 10607:22
important - 10390:1,
10456:24, 10465:9,
10532:8, 10533:2,
10579:19, 10585:9,
10592:16, 10603:2,
10603:4
impossible - 10591:7,
10598:8
impression -
10532:22, 10547:12,
10547:14, 10554:1,
10587:25, 10624:17,
10624:18, 10624:24
improper - 10472:9
inappropriate -
10506:19, 10507:9,
10536:24
inaudible - 10524:16
incarcerated -
10525:20
incarceration -
10614:18
incident - 10441:20,
10486:23, 10561:12,
10561:15, 10564:5
inclination - 10611:9
include - 10392:25,
10453:1, 10456:24,
10507:25, 10509:22,
10515:23
included - 10403:17,
10450:6, 10538:6,
10550:11, 10551:8,
10558:22, 10619:17,
10628:18
including - 10507:18,
10510:5, 10623:21
incomplete - 10524:14
inconclusive -
10446:4, 10446:7
inconsistent -
10599:18, 10609:17
incriminated -
10471:16
incriminating -
10485:7, 10489:14,
10507:17, 10507:25,
10509:4, 10509:11
inculpatory - 10452:19
incurred - 10528:5,
10528:6
indecent - 10519:20
index - 10396:3
Index - 10385:1,
10407:4, 10407:5,
10407:12
indicate - 10393:9,
10431:18
indicated - 10404:15,
10418:14, 10428:13,
10431:3, 10451:19,
10475:6, 10476:23,
10480:16, 10489:2,
10497:21, 10577:3,



10577:25, 10595:6
indicates - 10394:4,
 10417:6, 10598:21
indicating - 10445:3,
 10483:18, 10506:18
indication - 10534:11
individual - 10396:1,
 10446:20, 10557:20,
 10564:2, 10595:12,
 10627:1
individuals -
 10393:22, 10397:12,
 10531:22, 10545:10,
 10594:15
inducements -
 10495:16
Indyk - 10439:7
Indyk - 10426:3,
 10439:16, 10439:23,
 10440:1, 10581:2,
 10581:6, 10581:11,
 10581:17, 10632:1,
 10632:9, 10633:2,
 10633:5, 10633:12,
 10634:5, 10634:10,
 10634:14, 10634:17,
 10635:2, 10635:14,
 10635:20
influence - 10568:21,
 10568:24, 10594:20
influenced - 10472:1,
 10472:17, 10472:22,
 10577:10
inform - 10520:17,
 10541:12
informant - 10552:13,
 10552:18, 10552:22
information - 10387:2,
 10388:10, 10388:21,
 10394:7, 10397:11,
 10397:16, 10407:12,
 10410:6, 10414:19,
 10419:9, 10421:10,
 10425:15, 10428:17,
 10433:15, 10435:2,
 10437:5, 10437:7,
 10437:10, 10437:14,
 10437:20, 10439:17,
 10443:18, 10452:20,
 10453:13, 10456:6,
 10456:10, 10456:24,
 10457:6, 10458:17,
 10458:19, 10460:7,
 10468:24, 10487:17,
 10492:25, 10504:8,
 10518:25, 10519:7,
 10531:7, 10532:25,
 10533:7, 10540:22,
 10545:3, 10546:7,
 10557:25, 10567:3,
 10567:10, 10567:12,
 10567:16, 10567:24,
 10567:25, 10568:4,
 10568:8, 10568:16,
 10568:22, 10570:22,
 10575:14, 10575:16,
 10583:11, 10583:12,
 10592:19, 10608:22,
 10610:22, 10620:17,
 10635:8
informed - 10493:4,
 10563:6, 10609:5
informing - 10543:22
initial - 10387:5,
 10387:7, 10496:14
Inland - 10383:13
Inn - 10478:6
innocent - 10576:5,
 10582:5, 10584:24,
 10608:23, 10610:16
innocent' - 10610:14
innumerable -
 10529:18
inquire - 10575:25
inquiries - 10395:21,
 10465:22, 10469:2,
 10551:7, 10551:9
Inquiry - 10382:2,
 10382:23, 10391:10,
 10575:24
inquiry - 10396:7
Insp - 10466:4,
 10481:13
Inspector - 10435:16,
 10461:3, 10461:7,
 10466:10, 10482:5,
 10483:5, 10485:9,
 10485:20, 10486:3,
 10486:13, 10487:21,
 10491:18, 10492:9,
 10493:21, 10519:17,
 10519:23, 10520:3,
 10598:22, 10599:7,
 10638:12, 10639:2
inspector - 10538:19,
 10555:6, 10555:9,
 10555:10
instance - 10620:12
instead - 10391:23,
 10421:7, 10525:3
instructed - 10509:19
intended - 10452:19
intent - 10444:20,
 10445:8, 10507:7,
 10565:15, 10583:9
intention - 10419:9,
 10581:15, 10632:24
intentionally -
 10452:11
intentions - 10613:24,
 10614:15
intercourse - 10408:14
interest - 10402:23,
 10404:11, 10624:1
interested - 10509:24,
 10533:4, 10582:6,
 10602:15
interesting - 10533:19,
 10620:21
interpret - 10581:10
interpretation -
 10581:21, 10585:14,
 10585:24, 10594:11
interr - 10485:4
interrogate -
 10481:15, 10638:15
interrogated -
 10550:18
interrogation -
 10412:20
interrupt - 10622:18
interview - 10401:24,
 10403:15, 10414:22,
 10437:1, 10457:6,
 10466:24, 10469:22,
 10473:22, 10474:3,
 10475:4, 10475:6,
 10483:14, 10491:3,
 10492:18, 10520:4,
 10521:10, 10523:14,
 10530:9, 10537:24,
 10538:6, 10544:9,
 10570:11, 10572:15,
 10621:22, 10623:17,
 10629:21, 10629:22,
 10630:6, 10638:8
interviewed - 10388:6,
 10388:25, 10402:7,
 10402:19, 10405:5,
 10435:19, 10446:16,
 10455:13, 10455:14,
 10456:12, 10463:11,
 10466:6, 10466:19,
 10467:1, 10467:16,
 10467:23, 10473:20,
 10474:25, 10480:25,
 10481:13, 10482:6,
 10482:7, 10485:5,
 10485:9, 10485:20,
 10494:25, 10511:9,
 10518:24, 10523:2,
 10530:21, 10531:4,
 10537:8, 10578:14,
 10580:3, 10594:5,
 10594:11, 10594:13,
 10594:15, 10620:25,
 10621:7, 10636:24
intervening -
 10446:18, 10467:10,
 10469:7, 10473:25,
 10475:15, 10483:20,
 10511:15, 10553:2,
 10594:17, 10594:19,
 10639:2
interviews - 10413:22,
 10417:7, 10455:12,
 10463:7, 10466:8,
 10467:2, 10468:12,
 10469:16, 10473:4,
 10474:10, 10483:12,
 10483:22, 10484:4,
 10484:22, 10487:15,
 10529:5, 10570:4,
 10592:23
investigate -
 10394:10, 10566:1,
 10568:12, 10611:14,
 10611:16
investigated -
 10393:11, 10394:5,
 10564:19, 10565:6,
 10565:19
investigating -
 10400:8, 10421:8,
 10421:20, 10460:5,
 10540:13, 10540:17,
 10561:25, 10564:21
investigation -
 10386:9, 10388:21,
 10389:1, 10390:7,
 10392:20, 10392:25,
 10393:16, 10394:9,
 10394:14, 10394:20,
 10395:13, 10401:8,
 10401:16, 10403:9,
 10403:24, 10404:4,
 10405:17, 10406:25,
 10409:21, 10410:13,
 10413:13, 10417:14,
 10419:14, 10421:14,
 10422:22, 10423:5,
 10425:6, 10425:24,
 10449:18, 10454:15,
 10469:5, 10471:1,
 10482:1, 10490:19,
 10491:21, 10492:22,
 10494:14, 10503:11,
 10511:21, 10512:4,
 10520:15, 10523:11,
 10524:1, 10528:18,
 10536:11, 10536:20,
 10539:8, 10539:16,
 10540:2, 10540:18,
 10551:4, 10555:4,
 10555:18, 10556:3,
 10557:17, 10559:24,
 10563:2, 10565:16,
 10566:14, 10576:1,
 10576:7, 10576:12,
 10576:14, 10578:1,
 10578:21, 10609:6,
 10628:25, 10629:3
investigation' -
 10422:24
investigations -
 10473:14, 10490:20,
 10517:8
investigative -
 10428:12
investigator -
 10399:25, 10400:7,
 10447:16, 10460:20,
 10500:11, 10544:11,
 10564:17, 10600:6
investigators -
 10401:15, 10410:7,
 10541:17, 10545:5,
 10556:2, 10557:2
Investigators -
 10542:15, 10542:22
investigators' -
 10551:6
invitation - 10510:6
invited - 10478:18
involve - 10408:9
involved - 10389:24,
 10410:19, 10411:12,
 10417:9, 10437:15,
 10441:20, 10444:25,
 10445:7, 10462:22,
 10467:9, 10468:22,
 10482:10, 10484:16,
 10503:17, 10504:19,
 10507:4, 10516:20,
 10517:7, 10528:23,
 10538:16, 10544:4,
 10556:3, 10560:1,
 10561:19, 10575:3,
 10592:5, 10610:24
involvement -
 10393:21, 10401:7,
 10442:3, 10458:6,
 10462:24, 10477:7,
 10503:10, 10580:22
involvements -
 10536:1
involves - 10621:21
involving - 10463:2
Irene - 10383:9
irrelevant - 10558:15,
 10559:11
Irwin - 10384:12
Isabelle - 10383:5
issue - 10396:17,
 10400:9, 10499:9,
 10579:9, 10579:12
issued - 10528:10
it' - 10441:15,
 10606:10, 10610:17,
 10611:19
it'll - 10532:14
item - 10445:25,
 10446:2, 10452:24,
 10525:4
Item - 10425:12
items - 10409:14,
 10409:21, 10409:25,
 10410:3, 10418:15,
 10430:25, 10483:5,
 10554:9
itself - 10462:2,
 10625:7, 10633:20
Ivey - 10398:25

J

Jack - 10387:4,
 10387:12, 10401:25,
 10402:21, 10402:22,
 10418:2, 10426:20,
 10440:20, 10551:2,
 10551:16, 10552:21,
 10555:11, 10556:5,
 10556:19
jail - 10519:12,
 10520:4, 10567:6,
 10568:8, 10568:21,
 10569:3, 10595:7,
 10597:2
Jan - 10444:5
January - 10400:11,
 10468:10, 10476:8,
 10478:8, 10486:16,
 10510:3, 10510:6,
 10516:13, 10563:17,
 10570:2, 10577:6,
 10602:14, 10624:8,
 10624:19, 10630:21,
 10638:8
jar - 10588:12,
 10588:24
jealously - 10545:10,
 10545:22
Jerry - 10383:12
Joanne - 10384:3,
 10615:1
job - 10553:4,
 10556:24, 10596:22
Joe - 10407:3
John - 10413:23,
 10417:10, 10418:22,
 10429:12, 10434:4,
 10434:22, 10435:2,
 10435:14, 10435:19,
 10435:22, 10436:5,
 10436:17, 10436:21,
 10437:3, 10437:11,
 10439:4, 10439:13,
 10440:2, 10440:5,
 10441:19, 10442:6,
 10442:11, 10442:20,
 10443:14, 10444:4,
 10444:9, 10444:23,
 10445:18, 10447:5,
 10447:8, 10447:14,
 10447:24, 10447:25,
 10450:13, 10450:22,
 10451:17, 10454:4,
 10460:7, 10462:13,
 10462:18, 10463:3,
 10463:10, 10463:11,
 10463:13, 10463:17,
 10463:25, 10464:15,
 10466:20, 10471:15,
 10473:17, 10473:24,
 10474:19, 10475:6,
 10476:2, 10476:12,
 10477:9, 10477:13,
 10479:5, 10479:16,
 10479:21, 10479:24,
 10480:10, 10480:24,
 10481:3, 10481:7,
 10481:16, 10482:7,
 10483:12, 10483:15,
 10483:21, 10485:8,
 10485:18, 10488:3,
 10488:9, 10488:13,
 10488:20, 10489:24,
 10491:17, 10493:12,
 10494:24, 10496:17,
 10496:25, 10499:10,
 10501:12, 10502:13,
 10502:21, 10503:3,
 10503:23, 10504:12,
 10506:14, 10506:20,
 10508:8, 10508:19,
 10509:2, 10510:14,
 10515:21, 10533:6,
 10534:1, 10534:21,
 10541:18, 10546:9,



10547:14, 10548:2,
10560:14, 10560:24,
10561:4, 10561:18,
10561:19, 10573:21,
10575:19, 10580:23,
10587:12, 10587:15,
10588:7, 10590:10,
10592:2, 10592:17,
10593:18, 10595:6,
10601:2, 10601:21,
10603:6, 10604:10,
10605:7, 10608:3,
10613:13, 10614:16,
10623:6, 10623:8,
10623:20, 10629:14,
10630:2, 10630:11,
10632:10, 10633:18,
10633:22, 10634:24,
10635:2, 10635:16,
10635:24, 10637:11,
10638:15, 10639:3
John- 10601:25
John's- 10436:9,
10436:20, 10464:19,
10466:18, 10467:18,
10476:10, 10484:7,
10488:2, 10495:10,
10498:14, 10500:15,
10501:1, 10506:6,
10507:13, 10515:11,
10547:18, 10548:9,
10571:10, 10572:4,
10572:14, 10573:6,
10584:10, 10584:25,
10612:20, 10614:1,
10631:25, 10632:5,
10634:14, 10635:5
Johns- 10475:3
Johnson- 10573:4
joined - 10404:5
joint - 10593:3
Joyce- 10384:3,
10535:13, 10615:1
Jp- 10505:21
junction - 10509:23
June- 10382:21
jury - 10565:21
Justice- 10382:6,
10384:11, 10384:13,
10488:5
justice - 10505:12
justify - 10501:11

K

Kara - 10383:5
Karen - 10383:10,
10640:2, 10640:13
Karst - 10384:8,
10435:19, 10463:6,
10463:8, 10465:15,
10465:25, 10468:3,
10469:8, 10470:5,
10473:3, 10473:10,
10485:6, 10485:25,
10487:9, 10487:14,
10487:21, 10517:25,
10518:10, 10518:17,
10519:6, 10519:17,
10519:23, 10520:3,
10543:22, 10544:9,
10544:11, 10545:7,
10603:20, 10623:18,
10623:20, 10624:3,
10624:14, 10630:8
Karst' - 10485:22
Karst's - 10473:2,
10485:23, 10632:15,
10634:16
Karst-fisher - 10545:7

keep - 10536:10,
10605:24, 10632:8
keeping - 10390:16,
10444:20
Ken- 10475:4
Kennedy - 10565:7
kept - 10556:6,
10577:17, 10595:6,
10595:9, 10612:22
key - 10410:19,
10419:21, 10419:22
keys - 10443:8
kicking - 10535:17
kids - 10624:7,
10627:10
kill - 10450:25,
10485:13, 10492:24,
10508:4, 10508:15,
10509:3
killed - 10450:23,
10626:7
killer - 10401:10,
10401:18, 10457:12,
10578:11, 10605:16,
10629:5
killing - 10626:6
kind - 10424:1,
10428:13, 10448:18,
10450:8, 10527:5,
10539:2, 10555:8,
10598:6, 10608:11,
10611:2, 10638:6
kinda - 10527:13
kinda' - 10525:25
kinds - 10619:19
King - 10518:1,
10518:6
Kleiv - 10482:16
knife - 10408:13,
10409:11, 10486:12,
10486:18, 10486:24,
10496:10, 10498:20,
10498:21, 10499:21,
10589:6, 10598:8
knocked - 10558:17,
10564:3
know' - 10599:15
knowing - 10401:2,
10444:9, 10519:22,
10543:5, 10594:8,
10610:16
knowledge -
10390:15, 10405:6,
10442:16, 10462:13,
10473:21, 10475:1,
10496:3, 10512:12,
10527:7, 10542:10,
10544:15, 10553:1,
10559:13, 10576:14,
10592:22, 10593:16,
10604:9, 10614:11,
10635:9, 10640:6
known - 10439:20,
10440:22, 10440:23,
10442:22, 10453:13,
10457:10, 10472:12,
10501:20, 10542:16,
10544:18, 10551:9,
10595:23, 10596:3,
10602:6, 10602:19,
10603:12, 10625:12
knows - 10439:4,
10440:6, 10442:6,
10477:18, 10552:7,
10583:20, 10633:22,
10634:1
Knox - 10384:5
Krogan - 10384:4
Kujawa - 10384:6

L

laboratory - 10412:8
ladder - 10448:16,
10618:17
lady - 10516:15,
10582:22
lady's - 10482:23
laid - 10512:4,
10528:10, 10558:1
Lake - 10554:14,
10554:16, 10554:17,
10554:19, 10554:20
lake - 10554:18,
10554:20
Lana - 10384:4
lane - 10408:12,
10416:18, 10440:17,
10440:21, 10442:24,
10455:23, 10478:13
Lapchuk - 10475:14,
10475:18, 10475:22,
10531:21
lapse - 10547:19
Laronold - 10459:24
Larry - 10383:13,
10384:10, 10514:7,
10514:8, 10514:23,
10514:25, 10516:17,
10519:11, 10519:18,
10520:8, 10530:10,
10530:11, 10530:16,
10543:6, 10543:13,
10567:4, 10567:10,
10569:6, 10576:2,
10603:15, 10608:23
Larry's - 10605:15
last - 10401:23,
10423:16, 10445:25,
10446:2, 10475:20,
10523:21, 10537:12,
10577:16, 10610:23,
10620:12, 10621:3,
10632:22
lasted - 10494:12,
10494:15, 10638:20
late - 10408:12,
10412:2, 10429:7,
10429:18, 10459:19,
10510:1, 10510:14,
10577:4
latter - 10541:18
law - 10602:16,
10602:20, 10602:22,
10603:3
lawyer - 10577:2
lawyers - 10603:3
lay - 10549:8
lead - 10420:8,
10527:16, 10566:22,
10568:23
lead-in - 10566:22
leading - 10612:24
leads - 10460:12,
10460:22, 10494:7,
10559:18, 10560:7
learn - 10508:24
learned - 10437:13,
10566:3
learning - 10515:13,
10516:19
least - 10388:19,
10403:2, 10403:3,
10403:8, 10403:23,
10416:6, 10424:22,
10428:24, 10444:25,
10456:14, 10483:4,
10493:16, 10500:18,
10510:11, 10521:9,
10543:14, 10551:25,

10594:6, 10601:5,
10603:3, 10607:8,
10608:8, 10618:8
leave - 10435:10,
10438:4, 10443:23,
10447:12, 10496:19,
10532:14, 10577:16
leaves - 10439:5,
10634:2
leaving - 10577:19,
10589:7
led - 10424:2,
10448:12, 10452:25,
10527:15, 10583:12,
10586:3, 10614:8
ledger - 10452:3
leer - 10453:12,
10508:10
left - 10410:6,
10435:11, 10436:11,
10436:14, 10437:3,
10440:6, 10453:11,
10468:13, 10473:6,
10477:1, 10477:2,
10498:25, 10499:7,
10499:11, 10512:18,
10526:14, 10526:15,
10526:25, 10536:15,
10570:5, 10571:7,
10577:5, 10592:7,
10596:22, 10605:13,
10624:7, 10624:11,
10630:7, 10630:10,
10630:12
left-hand - 10436:11,
10436:14, 10437:3
left-handed -
10498:25, 10499:7,
10499:11, 10526:15,
10526:25
legal - 10441:23,
10533:3
legally - 10533:19
length - 10638:5
Les - 10396:14,
10401:24, 10542:14
less - 10419:17,
10452:9
letter - 10386:12,
10407:3, 10528:21,
10622:23
level - 10540:4
lid - 10477:2
lie - 10462:14,
10462:19
Lieutenant - 10409:3,
10418:16, 10419:1,
10420:10, 10420:11,
10421:4, 10421:25,
10422:3, 10423:21,
10427:3, 10427:7,
10427:13, 10428:3,
10428:17, 10430:8,
10437:15, 10459:7,
10574:4, 10574:20,
10618:1, 10618:2,
10618:12, 10618:14,
10638:14
life - 10539:22
light - 10469:4
lights - 10432:9,
10433:2, 10434:4,
10434:10, 10454:9
likelihood - 10563:5,
10588:7
likely - 10408:20,
10409:1, 10410:11,
10410:14, 10410:16,
10411:4, 10411:22,
10426:11, 10430:11,

10434:13, 10492:10,
10494:23, 10503:15,
10566:6, 10566:19,
10585:14, 10585:24,
10587:10, 10588:19,
10602:8
likely' - 10492:13
Linda - 10546:5,
10605:15, 10608:16,
10608:18, 10611:7
line - 10597:14
lines - 10553:10
link - 10412:9, 10431:1
lipstick - 10504:3
List - 10396:25
list - 10390:20,
10393:15, 10395:25,
10396:7, 10397:4,
10397:6, 10397:8,
10397:9, 10397:19,
10398:5, 10398:7,
10398:12, 10399:11,
10399:12, 10399:14,
10399:15, 10399:18,
10418:15, 10461:17,
10527:14, 10549:4
listed - 10524:13,
10537:16
listened - 10483:13
listening - 10483:22,
10484:4
lists - 10409:21,
10410:3
live - 10537:19,
10554:18, 10554:20
lived - 10430:19,
10454:16, 10455:17,
10551:8, 10578:12,
10580:5
living - 10426:22,
10578:15, 10596:4,
10596:10
local - 10412:2
locate - 10467:17,
10469:2, 10630:13
located - 10445:23,
10619:9, 10630:11
locating - 10509:25
location - 10479:17,
10479:23, 10497:23,
10582:16
locations - 10548:4
locker - 10474:15,
10476:9, 10630:23
logic - 10582:12,
10600:11
logical - 10604:18,
10605:12
look - 10402:11,
10406:15, 10407:13,
10420:1, 10432:24,
10434:19, 10435:12,
10435:20, 10436:3,
10436:19, 10441:18,
10448:17, 10449:16,
10449:21, 10450:10,
10452:11, 10454:12,
10455:23, 10460:12,
10460:22, 10525:16,
10538:12, 10551:22,
10564:17, 10568:18,
10576:6, 10576:11,
10578:24, 10579:4,
10579:8, 10579:11,
10583:19, 10583:20,
10592:15, 10592:16,
10598:6, 10610:1,
10610:13, 10610:17,
10611:25, 10612:2,
10620:12



looked - 10420:17,
10421:2, 10435:23,
10436:22, 10455:20,
10463:22, 10497:19,
10499:10, 10503:25,
10510:21, 10523:19,
10523:22, 10525:12,
10529:7, 10548:9,
10612:15, 10620:14
Looking- 10623:5
looking - 10389:20,
10390:2, 10394:21,
10419:24, 10444:25,
10447:17, 10447:19,
10452:24, 10456:1,
10456:21, 10468:15,
10526:13, 10526:24,
10570:7, 10572:23,
10577:17, 10577:19,
10579:13, 10580:24,
10582:8, 10583:1,
10584:1, 10591:15,
10615:19, 10615:21,
10615:22, 10617:21,
10622:13, 10626:6,
10631:13, 10637:2
lookit - 10431:2
looks - 10391:24,
10397:3, 10406:18,
10423:22, 10444:22,
10444:24, 10459:23,
10462:6, 10462:11,
10470:2, 10505:23,
10528:12, 10570:3,
10625:1
losing - 10415:25
Lsd - 10480:25,
10636:2, 10637:15,
10637:18
Lt - 10481:12, 10486:3
lumps - 10425:12,
10425:25
lying - 10530:25,
10587:24

M

M/sgt - 10402:6
Maccallum - 10382:7,
10386:3, 10436:8,
10459:1, 10479:6,
10479:12, 10512:22,
10636:12, 10636:16,
10636:20, 10636:22,
10636:25, 10637:2,
10637:7, 10637:10,
10637:16, 10637:20,
10639:21
Mackie - 10385:3,
10386:5, 10386:6,
10386:14, 10388:18,
10390:14, 10391:2,
10391:20, 10393:4,
10394:19, 10398:6,
10399:18, 10400:10,
10401:20, 10403:1,
10404:19, 10407:20,
10408:17, 10409:25,
10410:9, 10411:17,
10412:11, 10413:4,
10416:25, 10417:15,
10424:19, 10425:22,
10427:18, 10428:21,
10434:8, 10436:19,
10439:19, 10440:10,
10440:25, 10443:13,
10453:1, 10458:3,
10459:5, 10461:18,
10462:16, 10463:15,
10465:2, 10465:9,
10465:20, 10466:15,
10467:25, 10469:1,
10470:14, 10472:9,
10474:5, 10477:13,
10479:2, 10479:19,
10481:25, 10482:21,
10484:6, 10485:17,
10486:4, 10487:18,
10488:16, 10490:18,
10496:2, 10497:17,
10499:25, 10500:25,
10504:23, 10506:2,
10508:18, 10509:12,
10509:20, 10511:7,
10511:19, 10512:21,
10513:1, 10513:4,
10514:20, 10515:17,
10516:14, 10520:7,
10523:9, 10524:20,
10524:22, 10526:2,
10527:2, 10527:6,
10528:11, 10531:11,
10534:18, 10538:4,
10538:18, 10539:20,
10540:4, 10541:1,
10541:9, 10542:1,
10542:8, 10543:5,
10543:23, 10544:12,
10545:1, 10546:12,
10548:3, 10549:21,
10550:2, 10551:5,
10551:12, 10552:9,
10553:12, 10553:24,
10555:22, 10559:7,
10560:5, 10560:22,
10561:24, 10563:17,
10567:2, 10568:1,
10570:18, 10571:17,
10572:2, 10573:24,
10576:7, 10576:18,
10577:1, 10637:9
Mackie's - 10538:8
main - 10469:6,
10470:16, 10510:20,
10614:5, 10614:6
Main - 10455:13
maintained - 10447:9,
10447:25
major - 10614:17
malicious - 10583:9,
10584:18
man - 10460:1,
10493:8, 10563:23
management -
10541:11
Manager - 10383:4
mandates - 10575:24
manipulated -
10495:22
map - 10455:18,
10504:1, 10551:22,
10626:6, 10627:6
March - 10387:22,
10388:15, 10389:7,
10390:5, 10394:6,
10395:17, 10411:25,
10413:20, 10414:6,
10414:15, 10415:13,
10416:6, 10416:21,
10416:24, 10435:15,
10436:9, 10436:18,
10436:19, 10437:6,
10437:8, 10448:7,
10450:14, 10455:11,
10455:12, 10456:9,
10623:8, 10623:11,
10623:16
Margaret - 10455:17,
10502:23
marginally - 10557:22

Marie - 10439:16,
10581:2, 10633:12
markings - 10476:18
marks - 10500:6,
10500:17, 10501:21,
10502:1
maroon - 10476:4,
10630:17
Mary - 10439:7,
10582:20, 10634:6
Mary's - 10434:9,
10570:16, 10571:3,
10581:10, 10581:11
matching - 10426:2
material - 10538:5
materials - 10559:11
matter - 10395:24,
10403:4, 10404:11,
10409:12, 10410:1,
10416:2, 10417:21,
10473:16, 10516:23,
10521:6, 10537:8,
10543:8, 10545:7,
10566:2, 10579:13,
10612:4, 10618:21
matters - 10409:11,
10410:24, 10513:5,
10513:14, 10514:10,
10536:10
McClean - 10384:3,
10385:6, 10569:16,
10614:25, 10615:1,
10622:12, 10622:17,
10622:25, 10623:2,
10631:15, 10631:19,
10632:14, 10632:17,
10632:23, 10636:14,
10636:18, 10636:21,
10636:24, 10637:1,
10637:4, 10637:9,
10637:14, 10637:17,
10637:22, 10639:19
Meadow - 10554:14
mean - 10432:14,
10446:12, 10511:25,
10578:4, 10586:3,
10586:7, 10587:1,
10587:21, 10588:17,
10591:6, 10591:17,
10595:25, 10597:23,
10601:7, 10601:11,
10601:16, 10601:19,
10602:5, 10603:15,
10607:15, 10626:21,
10636:12
Meaning - 10611:1,
10611:2
means - 10553:13,
10591:3
meant - 10526:2
meet - 10459:7
meeting - 10414:3,
10418:25, 10422:9,
10427:16, 10428:3,
10430:12, 10461:6,
10461:7, 10461:18,
10461:19, 10462:2,
10462:6, 10462:12,
10466:10, 10481:18,
10490:12, 10491:25,
10494:22, 10511:5,
10511:14, 10616:4,
10616:9, 10617:3,
10617:6, 10617:10,
10617:12, 10617:18,
10617:25, 10618:6,
10618:24, 10619:18,
10625:13, 10628:11,
10628:17, 10638:17,
10638:20

meetings - 10410:22,
10410:24, 10470:6,
10494:6, 10494:11,
10494:12
meets - 10439:10
Melnyk - 10531:21
member - 10539:1,
10551:2, 10553:1,
10608:20
Memorial - 10455:24
memory - 10401:21,
10415:21, 10418:9,
10465:6, 10495:7,
10496:20, 10547:19,
10588:12, 10588:14,
10588:24, 10590:9,
10603:5, 10603:6
mental - 10547:19,
10587:16
mentally - 10588:1
mention - 10416:16,
10549:7
mentioned - 10390:3,
10390:4, 10398:24,
10412:1, 10416:13,
10490:25, 10553:23
mentions - 10406:1
Merriman - 10455:15,
10455:17, 10455:25,
10456:5, 10456:10,
10456:12, 10502:23
met - 10492:7,
10549:5, 10638:12
Meyer - 10383:11,
10640:2, 10640:17
mid-'70s - 10389:10
Mid-'80s - 10523:17
midnight - 10624:12
midst - 10423:5,
10511:6
might - 10386:22,
10390:10, 10397:11,
10410:4, 10419:11,
10419:12, 10420:18,
10421:2, 10424:6,
10424:7, 10426:11,
10430:11, 10431:4,
10431:16, 10438:20,
10439:22, 10441:4,
10443:3, 10443:25,
10450:3, 10452:4,
10453:2, 10453:3,
10454:13, 10455:1,
10455:2, 10456:25,
10472:1, 10475:2,
10484:5, 10484:18,
10490:7, 10492:25,
10493:20, 10499:10,
10499:12, 10499:15,
10501:13, 10502:7,
10510:2, 10512:7,
10517:11, 10517:12,
10518:25, 10525:25,
10527:15, 10556:14,
10557:21, 10557:22,
10558:3, 10558:13,
10559:9, 10559:11,
10566:5, 10566:17,
10567:4, 10568:5,
10568:19, 10568:22,
10576:19, 10577:13,
10578:22, 10579:24,
10583:24, 10585:9,
10587:13, 10588:13,
10590:22, 10591:11,
10599:23, 10606:1,
10608:23, 10608:24,
10610:13, 10610:21,
10610:25, 10612:6,
10613:7, 10620:16,

10622:8, 10627:4,
10629:1, 10635:1,
10635:6, 10635:12
Might - 10580:22,
10590:9, 10593:13
Milgaard - 10382:4,
10384:2, 10384:3,
10394:7, 10394:12,
10394:15, 10406:2,
10406:7, 10413:13,
10413:19, 10414:20,
10417:3, 10418:14,
10419:10, 10420:2,
10420:7, 10420:16,
10420:18, 10421:8,
10422:18, 10423:1,
10424:2, 10425:8,
10430:18, 10431:2,
10431:6, 10431:13,
10433:1, 10438:4,
10439:24, 10440:15,
10441:4, 10441:8,
10442:9, 10443:4,
10443:8, 10443:14,
10443:15, 10444:4,
10444:7, 10444:19,
10445:6, 10445:8,
10445:12, 10445:23,
10446:2, 10447:11,
10447:20, 10447:21,
10447:22, 10448:3,
10448:14, 10449:23,
10450:8, 10450:13,
10450:23, 10450:24,
10451:16, 10451:22,
10452:13, 10452:20,
10453:10, 10453:15,
10457:24, 10458:21,
10460:4, 10460:11,
10461:11, 10462:17,
10468:9, 10468:12,
10468:17, 10468:21,
10471:17, 10475:12,
10475:13, 10485:8,
10485:12, 10487:2,
10487:6, 10489:3,
10491:9, 10498:25,
10499:5, 10501:2,
10507:18, 10508:4,
10508:7, 10508:12,
10508:15, 10508:19,
10509:3, 10509:9,
10511:12, 10513:18,
10514:2, 10514:3,
10514:5, 10514:15,
10515:12, 10516:14,
10521:5, 10526:8,
10526:11, 10527:18,
10535:13, 10540:7,
10546:17, 10547:1,
10547:10, 10548:24,
10549:5, 10549:14,
10549:19, 10549:23,
10550:7, 10553:15,
10553:20, 10554:1,
10561:15, 10565:20,
10566:9, 10566:17,
10566:18, 10567:5,
10568:6, 10568:14,
10570:1, 10570:5,
10574:1, 10574:10,
10574:21, 10575:2,
10575:21, 10576:4,
10578:13, 10579:4,
10583:5, 10592:11,
10595:15, 10595:22,
10596:12, 10596:15,
10606:24, 10607:10,
10607:22, 10608:23,
10609:15, 10615:2,



10617:20, 10620:5,
10624:19, 10625:22
Milgaard's - 10399:3,
10416:10, 10438:14,
10451:20, 10458:6,
10542:25, 10565:3,
10575:5, 10577:1
Milgaards - 10453:11,
10535:10
Miller - 10386:15,
10391:1, 10398:9,
10401:8, 10401:11,
10401:16, 10401:18,
10402:16, 10402:23,
10403:8, 10403:21,
10403:24, 10404:11,
10405:18, 10406:25,
10409:7, 10409:12,
10410:1, 10410:13,
10412:10, 10414:19,
10417:17, 10425:11,
10433:10, 10433:20,
10434:5, 10434:15,
10438:10, 10441:22,
10445:9, 10445:13,
10450:24, 10450:25,
10451:23, 10453:10,
10453:24, 10454:1,
10454:6, 10456:17,
10457:13, 10458:10,
10465:19, 10485:13,
10490:19, 10493:25,
10507:19, 10508:4,
10508:15, 10509:4,
10510:1, 10510:14,
10521:5, 10523:11,
10523:20, 10539:8,
10539:16, 10540:6,
10542:21, 10562:1,
10562:6, 10562:21,
10562:25, 10563:8,
10564:10, 10567:5,
10574:2, 10574:11,
10575:2, 10575:6,
10575:11, 10576:1,
10576:3, 10576:8,
10578:12, 10579:15,
10580:7, 10581:14,
10582:10, 10608:25,
10621:12, 10621:23,
10633:24
Miller' - 10492:24
Miller's - 10393:11,
10395:20, 10400:11,
10400:22, 10401:1,
10401:3, 10432:17,
10442:8, 10442:23,
10445:1, 10477:15,
10500:1, 10501:6,
10563:25, 10568:6
mind - 10421:22,
10422:25, 10439:8,
10458:5, 10458:9,
10502:8, 10502:9,
10532:14, 10549:13,
10562:8, 10571:11,
10578:2, 10581:23,
10582:6, 10591:11,
10597:12, 10597:23,
10607:5, 10611:18,
10634:7
mine - 10469:17
Minister - 10384:11
minor - 10592:23
minus - 10456:16
minute - 10583:19,
10625:25
minutes - 10399:2,
10447:13, 10448:9,
10456:16, 10497:15,

10512:20, 10589:6,
10626:6
mislead - 10583:4
Miss - 10510:1,
10510:14, 10633:23
missed - 10619:11,
10629:3
missing - 10409:22,
10587:18, 10613:11,
10621:14, 10624:24,
10637:24
misstating - 10561:5
mistaken - 10391:22
moment - 10414:6,
10418:20, 10420:14,
10436:16, 10455:18,
10488:2, 10488:6,
10513:2, 10523:18,
10527:20, 10589:5,
10597:21, 10597:24
Monahan - 10383:14
monetary - 10528:2
money - 10433:9,
10444:22, 10444:25,
10528:4, 10540:2
months - 10401:3,
10509:5
mood - 10572:15,
10572:17
moral - 10535:12
Morality - 10402:5
morality - 10406:7,
10516:24, 10517:2,
10517:20, 10518:18
morning - 10386:3,
10386:4, 10405:3,
10410:22, 10410:24,
10439:18, 10443:15,
10450:15, 10451:18,
10454:17, 10468:10,
10468:14, 10478:8,
10486:16, 10487:15,
10487:22, 10491:25,
10493:23, 10494:6,
10494:11, 10502:4,
10502:24, 10513:4,
10515:11, 10527:22,
10530:2, 10550:11,
10562:10, 10563:18,
10564:13, 10570:2,
10570:7, 10608:18,
10609:2, 10609:7,
10624:8, 10629:4,
10639:1
Morning - 10386:5
Morrison - 10483:10,
10486:4
most - 10421:17,
10490:20, 10493:15,
10503:15, 10533:1,
10585:24, 10602:20,
10613:5, 10614:17
Motel - 10386:17,
10486:2
motel - 10453:12,
10531:8, 10531:20,
10627:6
mother - 10391:13,
10525:22, 10535:12
motive - 10605:6,
10614:1
moved - 10621:9
murder - 10386:15,
10393:12, 10398:9,
10400:11, 10400:22,
10401:1, 10401:4,
10401:8, 10401:16,
10401:23, 10402:19,
10402:23, 10403:9,
10403:24, 10405:18,

10406:25, 10407:18,
10409:7, 10409:20,
10412:18, 10413:7,
10413:17, 10414:19,
10419:16, 10423:1,
10440:15, 10448:11,
10451:8, 10451:18,
10458:9, 10460:2,
10465:19, 10467:9,
10468:22, 10475:1,
10489:15, 10491:9,
10491:13, 10491:19,
10494:1, 10502:14,
10504:15, 10504:16,
10539:16, 10540:6,
10542:11, 10542:18,
10542:21, 10562:1,
10562:6, 10562:22,
10562:25, 10563:18,
10564:1, 10564:10,
10567:5, 10568:6,
10573:8, 10573:10,
10574:2, 10574:11,
10575:2, 10575:6,
10575:11, 10575:22,
10576:3, 10588:2,
10588:20, 10589:5,
10593:7, 10593:19,
10605:7, 10608:25,
10621:10, 10629:4,
10635:25
murder' - 10491:10,
10505:2
murder-sex - 10542:18
murdered - 10451:23,
10456:17, 10599:14
murders - 10389:12,
10445:9, 10567:21
Murders - 10522:2
must - 10457:16,
10506:13, 10511:1,
10597:23
mystery - 10629:12

N

name - 10386:16,
10390:1, 10398:23,
10398:25, 10399:3,
10400:20, 10401:25,
10404:10, 10411:12,
10466:13, 10475:20,
10516:15, 10517:20,
10521:11, 10537:15,
10542:25, 10543:24,
10544:2, 10563:20,
10615:1, 10621:1,
10621:2, 10621:3
named - 10416:1,
10563:19
namely - 10549:23
names - 10396:8,
10397:4, 10397:6,
10398:22, 10399:10,
10399:14, 10401:5,
10411:14, 10475:18,
10475:19
narrative - 10599:4
national - 10407:12
natural - 10421:24
nature - 10467:6,
10494:3, 10514:21,
10525:17, 10595:16,
10610:4
near - 10435:24,
10436:23, 10455:18,
10516:16, 10570:16,
10571:3, 10584:14
necessarily -
10389:23, 10462:1,

10493:7, 10594:16
necessary - 10445:22
neck - 10633:4
need - 10400:3,
10420:25, 10563:16,
10617:23
needed - 10417:22,
10419:13, 10458:16
Needed - 10400:8
needs - 10431:22
negative - 10412:21
neighbour - 10432:22
neighbourhood -
10551:7
Never - 10557:11
never - 10475:11,
10484:16, 10528:23,
10532:11, 10540:3,
10542:8, 10553:25,
10562:8, 10582:4,
10583:2, 10596:4,
10597:18, 10598:15,
10600:11, 10600:14,
10601:24, 10602:2,
10604:3, 10604:8,
10620:22
new - 10461:22,
10568:22
next - 10398:21,
10398:24, 10399:2,
10399:6, 10399:7,
10407:17, 10408:4,
10410:1, 10412:4,
10414:21, 10421:5,
10428:18, 10429:10,
10433:6, 10438:2,
10462:10, 10467:13,
10481:21, 10481:22,
10483:11, 10483:19,
10485:15, 10487:8,
10488:6, 10496:24,
10499:22, 10505:11,
10508:9, 10521:9,
10521:22, 10524:18,
10525:24, 10530:23,
10533:18, 10537:7,
10542:7, 10556:4,
10561:7, 10562:7,
10569:8, 10569:20,
10584:6, 10586:11,
10639:1
Next - 10532:7,
10534:23, 10539:19,
10551:1, 10552:12,
10573:13
nice - 10559:20
Nichol - 10413:23,
10429:12, 10434:4,
10434:21, 10435:2,
10435:14, 10435:18,
10435:22, 10436:5,
10436:9, 10436:17,
10436:20, 10436:21,
10437:2, 10437:11,
10439:4, 10439:13,
10440:1, 10440:5,
10442:20, 10444:4,
10444:9, 10444:23,
10445:18, 10447:8,
10447:14, 10450:13,
10450:22, 10451:17,
10454:4, 10463:10,
10463:13, 10463:17,
10463:25, 10464:15,
10464:18, 10467:18,
10471:15, 10473:17,
10473:24, 10474:19,
10476:2, 10476:10,
10476:12, 10477:9,
10477:13, 10479:5,

10479:16, 10479:21,
10479:24, 10480:9,
10480:24, 10481:7,
10482:7, 10484:7,
10485:8, 10485:17,
10488:2, 10488:3,
10488:9, 10488:13,
10488:20, 10489:23,
10491:17, 10493:12,
10494:24, 10495:10,
10496:25, 10498:14,
10499:10, 10500:15,
10501:1, 10501:12,
10502:13, 10502:21,
10503:3, 10503:23,
10504:12, 10506:6,
10506:20, 10507:12,
10508:8, 10508:19,
10509:2, 10510:13,
10515:11, 10515:21,
10533:6, 10534:1,
10547:14, 10560:14,
10560:23, 10561:4,
10561:18, 10561:19,
10571:10, 10572:4,
10572:14, 10573:6,
10573:21, 10575:19,
10584:10, 10584:25,
10587:12, 10587:15,
10588:6, 10590:10,
10592:1, 10592:17,
10593:18, 10595:6,
10601:2, 10601:21,
10601:24, 10603:6,
10604:10, 10605:6,
10608:3, 10608:5,
10612:20, 10613:13,
10614:1, 10614:16,
10623:6, 10623:8,
10623:20, 10629:14,
10630:2, 10630:4,
10630:11, 10631:25,
10632:10, 10633:17,
10633:21, 10634:1,
10634:14, 10634:24,
10635:5, 10635:7,
10635:15, 10635:17,
10635:24, 10637:11,
10638:15, 10638:22
Nichole - 10475:3,
10475:6, 10481:3,
10481:16
Nickel - 10466:18,
10466:19
Nickey - 10468:9,
10469:3, 10570:1,
10634:18
Nickey's - 10467:15
nickname - 10416:10,
10466:22
Nicole - 10532:22,
10533:4, 10546:9
night - 10534:8,
10596:18, 10638:11,
10639:4
nightmares - 10481:1,
10636:3
nighttime - 10392:13
Nine - 10639:21
nobody - 10551:14,
10583:18, 10584:4
Nobody - 10504:5
nobody's - 10615:13
non - 10446:8
non-secretor -
10446:8
None - 10469:11,
10558:5
Nordstrom - 10519:17,
10519:24, 10520:3



normal - 10596:25, 10599:23
Normally - 10489:5
normally - 10496:14, 10517:1, 10528:6, 10548:11, 10600:15, 10627:10
Norman - 10390:25, 10391:12, 10391:15, 10391:25
north - 10439:9, 10552:1, 10624:4, 10634:8
note - 10386:12, 10386:24, 10387:8, 10388:9, 10399:1, 10541:23, 10543:15, 10549:17, 10562:20
notebook - 10472:19, 10472:20
noted - 10437:7, 10455:22, 10470:6
notes - 10387:14, 10387:18, 10406:23, 10423:11, 10461:6, 10471:11, 10556:11, 10637:5, 10640:6
Nothing - 10392:6, 10495:11, 10597:18, 10609:4
nothing - 10450:3, 10451:19, 10451:21, 10456:3, 10457:15, 10552:7, 10558:20, 10592:20, 10592:25, 10613:2
noticed - 10530:8, 10530:20
notifying - 10520:13
notwithstanding - 10460:3, 10460:10
November - 10400:12, 10400:19, 10408:8, 10479:12
nowhere - 10578:22
Nugget - 10460:7
number - 10387:6, 10393:23, 10393:25, 10397:5, 10397:22, 10398:13, 10398:17, 10399:16, 10400:22, 10410:19, 10418:13, 10426:4, 10431:8, 10450:17, 10458:5, 10458:15, 10461:22, 10487:10, 10507:16, 10509:4, 10509:10, 10529:5, 10542:18, 10602:13, 10603:16, 10622:6, 10622:14, 10622:20, 10628:9, 10631:12, 10631:22, 10631:24
numbered - 10556:8
numbering - 10425:19, 10426:6, 10622:4, 10622:19, 10622:22
numbers - 10409:17, 10617:4, 10619:14
nurse - 10433:10, 10433:20, 10434:23, 10435:13, 10435:23, 10435:24, 10436:22, 10436:23, 10453:22, 10454:1, 10582:21, 10584:14, 10623:10
nurse's - 10500:2, 10500:22

O

o'clock - 10638:11, 10638:25, 10639:22
oath - 10514:6, 10535:24, 10536:12, 10606:17
objective - 10452:21
objects - 10440:18, 10442:8, 10510:4
objects' - 10441:12
obligation - 10536:10
observations - 10576:13
observed - 10454:18, 10456:3, 10483:3
obtain - 10430:23, 10431:22
obtained - 10406:14, 10444:9, 10445:20, 10445:24, 10446:24
obvious - 10539:20
obviously - 10404:2, 10472:4, 10524:19, 10532:25, 10533:7, 10533:14, 10601:13, 10603:10
occasion - 10388:23, 10389:4, 10407:11, 10469:16, 10485:1, 10555:15
occasionally - 10518:5
occasions - 10387:14, 10512:3, 10514:1, 10621:11
occurred - 10481:2, 10531:8, 10539:24, 10542:11, 10555:14, 10562:4, 10562:24, 10586:9, 10587:16, 10594:21, 10636:19
occurrence - 10398:17, 10421:23, 10456:8
occurrences - 10405:7, 10409:14, 10409:17
occurring - 10494:17, 10587:11, 10611:12
October - 10400:12, 10408:8, 10426:23, 10519:10, 10519:14, 10519:16
offence - 10405:4, 10420:19, 10422:15, 10424:3, 10461:15, 10467:5, 10473:21, 10481:2, 10548:25, 10549:14, 10579:14
offences - 10405:10, 10542:19, 10562:3
offenders - 10542:16
offensive - 10389:8, 10389:19
offer - 10456:5
offered - 10489:7, 10495:16, 10531:7, 10538:10, 10595:7, 10595:11, 10595:19
office - 10388:6, 10402:6, 10422:10, 10511:10, 10554:21, 10558:7, 10639:14, 10639:15
officer - 10414:12, 10456:10, 10490:1, 10490:6, 10490:7, 10490:8, 10492:25, 10493:15, 10504:24, 10512:10, 10556:5, 10558:25, 10566:13, 10567:2, 10567:8, 10567:14, 10585:16, 10602:15, 10611:18
Officer - 10383:12, 10482:16, 10527:5, 10622:4
officers - 10387:6, 10387:15, 10406:7, 10410:25, 10411:21, 10428:7, 10437:14, 10462:7, 10463:4, 10467:24, 10484:24, 10491:24, 10493:10, 10507:4, 10511:19, 10519:14, 10564:8, 10584:12, 10598:13, 10603:19, 10617:7, 10617:19, 10618:5, 10625:12
Officers - 10483:9
Official - 10383:10, 10640:1, 10640:3, 10640:14, 10640:18
often - 10598:13
old - 10577:7, 10635:16
Oleksyn - 10402:6, 10621:6
omissions - 10447:2
on-going - 10551:5
once - 10537:25, 10554:14, 10558:1, 10589:10, 10607:13
Once - 10551:9
one - 10389:24, 10392:15, 10392:17, 10397:14, 10398:17, 10402:2, 10402:3, 10402:20, 10406:7, 10410:21, 10411:14, 10412:3, 10413:1, 10418:1, 10419:21, 10421:24, 10427:6, 10428:11, 10428:22, 10429:2, 10429:4, 10429:11, 10429:21, 10430:1, 10435:6, 10437:3, 10439:13, 10450:9, 10452:24, 10455:6, 10459:13, 10459:15, 10461:25, 10470:1, 10471:3, 10475:20, 10476:4, 10477:3, 10486:14, 10490:19, 10490:21, 10496:5, 10496:7, 10497:9, 10498:19, 10503:15, 10514:1, 10518:20, 10521:18, 10534:7, 10537:5, 10541:4, 10546:14, 10550:14, 10550:22, 10555:13, 10572:25, 10574:24, 10579:9, 10586:11, 10586:12, 10589:5, 10590:13, 10594:8, 10594:10, 10594:20, 10599:22, 10602:19, 10602:23, 10603:19, 10604:4, 10610:24, 10612:12, 10614:5, 10617:4, 10619:7, 10620:12, 10620:13, 10627:19, 10627:22, 10629:23, 10630:17, 10631:20, 10631:21, 10633:16, 10635:2, 10635:23
One - 10575:24

one-page - 10428:11, 10428:22, 10429:2, 10429:21
open - 10546:13
opened - 10504:2, 10627:4
operation - 10407:8, 10411:23
operative - 10538:2
operator - 10413:18, 10553:14, 10553:19, 10638:13
operators - 10484:17
opinion - 10413:9, 10413:11, 10422:13, 10542:16, 10546:15, 10547:9, 10548:14, 10553:23, 10609:14, 10627:9
opposed - 10421:19, 10444:14, 10452:20, 10471:2, 10489:25, 10577:20
order - 10397:7, 10556:23, 10576:20, 10582:10, 10582:25
organization - 10426:6, 10503:13
organizing - 10425:19
original - 10430:1, 10468:11, 10486:24, 10514:17, 10570:4, 10576:11
originated - 10424:20
ought - 10393:14, 10422:25, 10565:9, 10574:6, 10617:20
out' - 10420:25
outer - 10501:21, 10502:1
outline - 10463:4
outlines - 10487:10, 10558:9
outside - 10522:11, 10536:1, 10633:6
Outside - 10604:17
over-sees - 10555:8
overall - 10579:3, 10579:8
overcoat - 10500:4
overly - 10553:4
overnight - 10488:20, 10595:7
oversee - 10555:3
overview - 10578:24
overwhelming - 10563:5
own - 10532:2, 10532:14, 10556:17, 10578:2

P

Page - 10385:2, 10526:7, 10535:4, 10556:21, 10557:14, 10559:16, 10560:12, 10564:23, 10571:9
page - 10393:6, 10398:21, 10398:24, 10399:2, 10399:6, 10399:7, 10407:17, 10408:4, 10410:2, 10412:1, 10412:4, 10415:6, 10415:12, 10416:7, 10424:17, 10424:22, 10425:23, 10427:1, 10427:7, 10428:11, 10428:15, 10428:18, 10428:22, 10429:2, 10429:10, 10435:9, 10435:11, 10435:17, 10436:12, 10451:13, 10461:25, 10462:10, 10481:21, 10481:22, 10487:8, 10487:25, 10496:24, 10497:1, 10498:12, 10503:22, 10504:11, 10505:11, 10505:19, 10513:2, 10513:6, 10517:13, 10517:14, 10524:18, 10525:24, 10528:12, 10528:13, 10530:23, 10532:7, 10533:18, 10534:23, 10537:12, 10539:19, 10541:5, 10542:3, 10542:7, 10551:1, 10552:12, 10554:5, 10554:7, 10556:4, 10561:3, 10561:7, 10561:22, 10562:7, 10562:16, 10569:8, 10572:24, 10573:13, 10579:11, 10581:2, 10584:7, 10586:11, 10587:7, 10617:23, 10619:14, 10620:14, 10622:2, 10622:3, 10622:13, 10623:4, 10623:5, 10626:21, 10633:13
pages - 10415:25, 10424:16, 10424:18, 10424:21, 10424:24, 10425:20, 10426:11, 10427:8, 10427:15, 10427:24, 10428:23, 10428:24, 10450:12, 10556:8, 10619:13, 10619:14, 10619:16, 10626:15, 10640:4
paper - 10392:6, 10527:17, 10556:7
paragraph - 10401:23, 10409:5, 10412:6, 10412:15, 10415:12, 10461:9, 10462:11, 10465:17, 10535:11, 10569:20, 10569:22, 10573:2, 10632:21, 10632:22
paragraphs - 10387:23, 10387:25, 10388:4
paraphrasing - 10599:13, 10599:16
Pardon - 10410:15, 10446:2, 10447:18, 10449:11, 10457:2, 10496:6, 10511:24
pardon - 10571:21
parents - 10467:15
parents' - 10467:18
paring - 10486:18
Park - 10546:18
park - 10547:1
parka - 10476:4, 10630:18
Parker - 10440:20, 10552:21
part - 10396:23, 10403:8, 10403:24, 10405:17, 10406:24, 10438:7, 10440:3, 10442:5, 10451:14, 10462:6, 10478:7, 10482:18, 10495:16, 10496:9, 10506:24,



10509:18, 10517:12,
10517:23, 10529:15,
10531:10, 10543:12,
10545:24, 10580:1,
10584:18, 10585:23,
10587:15, 10587:17,
10613:14, 10613:15,
10619:4, 10619:11,
10621:24, 10623:9,
10629:2, 10630:1,
10630:5, 10632:7,
10633:10
particular - 10402:20,
10430:22, 10471:24,
10531:1, 10558:14,
10577:10, 10602:19,
10612:11, 10626:21
particularly - 10628:4
Particularly - 10628:20
party - 10536:21,
10606:7
Passed - 10606:5
passed - 10609:19,
10609:21
Passet - 10509:17,
10509:18, 10510:8,
10510:12, 10511:2
past - 10389:22,
10463:22
pause - 10409:24,
10417:1, 10434:7,
10437:7, 10477:8,
10498:23, 10527:20,
10541:4, 10545:24,
10546:20, 10549:9
peace - 10505:13
Peace - 10488:5
peculiar - 10599:17
pegged - 10551:24
pending - 10558:21
Penkala - 10406:14,
10407:3, 10407:20,
10409:4, 10410:2,
10428:17, 10461:8,
10461:21, 10461:24,
10481:12, 10481:18,
10618:1, 10618:12,
10622:21, 10638:14
Penkala's - 10407:10,
10408:5, 10424:22,
10500:19
pension - 10577:15
people - 10389:20,
10393:10, 10393:14,
10393:17, 10393:19,
10394:4, 10397:21,
10398:1, 10398:6,
10398:7, 10398:10,
10399:17, 10399:21,
10400:23, 10402:8,
10410:19, 10439:21,
10446:21, 10451:15,
10454:16, 10455:5,
10456:21, 10489:5,
10493:7, 10518:4,
10529:18, 10531:4,
10532:2, 10544:12,
10546:15, 10551:8,
10556:12, 10558:19,
10579:24, 10580:2,
10580:10, 10584:8,
10593:25, 10594:14,
10596:2, 10604:21,
10604:25, 10605:1,
10612:18, 10613:19,
10613:20, 10618:15,
10635:2
per - 10558:16
percentage - 10396:11
performed - 10553:6
perhaps - 10414:24,
10418:14, 10420:6,
10437:15, 10450:11,
10458:21, 10465:13,
10493:14, 10506:5,
10562:17, 10566:16,
10567:10, 10585:15,
10590:9, 10604:22,
10615:17, 10620:25,
10624:24, 10628:24,
10634:17
Perhaps - 10631:20
period - 10465:4,
10465:10, 10494:13,
10546:11, 10592:21
perpetrator - 10395:3,
10401:9, 10401:17,
10404:2, 10406:2,
10438:21, 10441:5,
10441:9, 10443:4,
10457:11, 10457:23,
10566:17
perpetrator' -
10439:25
perpetrators -
10441:21
person - 10397:18,
10399:23, 10400:4,
10400:6, 10402:12,
10402:22, 10404:10,
10418:14, 10420:19,
10421:2, 10421:5,
10424:2, 10457:17,
10502:11, 10518:7,
10520:4, 10526:12,
10529:23, 10562:2,
10568:5, 10568:11,
10568:21, 10582:5,
10583:7, 10590:18,
10594:8, 10603:15,
10610:23, 10611:2,
10632:9
personal - 10576:13,
10614:14
personally - 10467:8,
10580:6
persons - 10396:9,
10397:15, 10399:16,
10406:4, 10456:4
persons' - 10455:22
perspective -
10574:12
pertaining - 10456:4
Peter - 10521:11,
10524:19
phone - 10522:13,
10528:21
phoned - 10391:13,
10391:17, 10422:6,
10521:18, 10521:21,
10521:23
photo - 10402:10,
10403:20, 10403:23,
10550:11
photograph - 10406:5,
10406:6, 10549:20
photographs -
10402:8, 10405:25,
10413:1
photos - 10403:17,
10550:12
phrase - 10507:23
physical - 10395:2,
10412:7, 10412:9
pick - 10428:12,
10476:17, 10556:16,
10586:24, 10639:8,
10639:11
picked - 10397:22,
10414:23, 10442:21,
10473:17, 10486:11,
10529:18, 10585:15,
10629:24
Picking - 10424:1
picking - 10391:3,
10452:24, 10473:24,
10638:25, 10639:5
picture - 10440:3,
10440:4, 10443:25,
10455:7, 10579:3,
10579:8, 10626:20,
10627:22
pictures - 10402:20
piece - 10448:16,
10456:24, 10491:11,
10491:14, 10586:6
pieces - 10589:17
pile - 10476:4,
10630:18
pillow - 10561:16
place - 10400:15,
10461:19, 10500:24,
10522:11, 10595:12,
10617:3, 10617:13,
10622:15
places - 10489:6
plan - 10577:16
play - 10528:2,
10539:16, 10574:12,
10575:1
played - 10573:25,
10574:9, 10614:16
players - 10592:24
pleasant - 10546:13
plural - 10434:21,
10437:2
plus - 10456:15,
10614:1
Pm - 10467:22,
10481:10, 10486:10,
10486:21, 10512:24,
10576:23, 10576:24,
10639:23
pocket - 10498:20
pockets - 10498:19
point - 10394:19,
10417:14, 10427:14,
10427:20, 10432:2,
10434:19, 10439:7,
10439:11, 10458:1,
10459:9, 10479:7,
10503:20, 10538:9,
10580:4, 10580:17,
10590:25, 10611:6,
10611:7, 10620:4,
10634:6, 10639:20
pointed - 10402:10,
10476:24, 10478:5,
10478:14, 10527:18,
10598:14, 10625:17
pointing - 10587:1
points - 10423:11,
10435:12, 10452:12,
10527:15, 10595:13,
10619:8
police - 10390:15,
10393:8, 10393:9,
10394:6, 10394:11,
10394:20, 10398:14,
10411:18, 10412:2,
10413:25, 10414:12,
10414:18, 10414:25,
10425:6, 10425:7,
10425:24, 10427:22,
10434:15, 10436:25,
10440:11, 10448:7,
10450:14, 10451:4,
10454:12, 10454:16,
10456:17, 10457:22,
10460:11, 10462:7,
10462:12, 10462:15,
10463:3, 10464:25,
10466:6, 10466:11,
10482:12, 10484:22,
10484:24, 10485:6,
10486:20, 10488:22,
10490:12, 10491:14,
10493:24, 10502:22,
10503:1, 10503:13,
10504:24, 10506:5,
10506:13, 10506:18,
10509:16, 10512:1,
10512:4, 10512:8,
10512:14, 10528:22,
10529:7, 10530:9,
10530:21, 10535:22,
10536:4, 10536:14,
10537:4, 10539:6,
10539:12, 10539:17,
10540:18, 10542:17,
10549:18, 10552:19,
10553:14, 10556:22,
10556:25, 10557:16,
10557:18, 10559:4,
10559:14, 10560:16,
10560:25, 10561:25,
10562:20, 10563:22,
10566:12, 10567:2,
10567:8, 10567:14,
10575:16, 10576:11,
10577:5, 10577:14,
10580:9, 10587:19,
10591:22, 10597:19,
10600:16, 10602:15,
10603:13, 10604:24,
10605:15, 10607:18,
10611:18, 10620:23,
10621:18, 10622:20,
10625:25, 10637:5,
10638:9
Police - 10384:7,
10413:21, 10464:22,
10467:23, 10473:19,
10473:25, 10475:5,
10480:24, 10481:14,
10608:20
policing - 10602:16
policy - 10520:12,
10537:3, 10594:18,
10594:22
polite - 10538:2
polygraph - 10418:21,
10429:13, 10445:21,
10448:1, 10449:9,
10449:13, 10449:14,
10449:17, 10462:15,
10464:23, 10482:6,
10484:16, 10532:8,
10532:10, 10532:13,
10532:16, 10532:19,
10590:15, 10590:17,
10638:13
pop - 10576:21
portion - 10558:10,
10606:23, 10608:14
position - 10420:15,
10545:25, 10578:9
positive - 10587:20
possession - 10468:5,
10476:8, 10523:10,
10630:22
possibilities - 10398:8
possibility - 10401:12,
10412:17, 10433:23,
10433:25, 10438:24
Possible - 10484:2,
10590:11
possible - 10390:3,
10390:6, 10391:21,
10395:23, 10396:1,
10396:17, 10424:25,
10426:20, 10437:13,
10442:2, 10445:24,
10448:10, 10452:16,
10452:17, 10453:5,
10473:12, 10483:25,
10490:10, 10492:17,
10512:9, 10517:6,
10520:25, 10530:1,
10536:16, 10537:23,
10566:15, 10581:18,
10584:19, 10605:3
possibly - 10387:4,
10422:14, 10430:9,
10432:9, 10440:16,
10442:20, 10481:5,
10544:16, 10552:14,
10638:3
post - 10521:7,
10554:21
post-trial - 10521:7
potential - 10457:11,
10458:6, 10542:17,
10594:19
practice - 10388:18,
10388:24, 10392:25,
10415:9, 10416:3,
10424:9, 10460:19,
10469:15, 10469:17,
10469:21, 10483:4,
10483:7, 10490:5,
10496:15, 10520:12,
10520:17, 10520:19
practices - 10477:12
precautions - 10597:7
precedent - 10533:3
preclude - 10568:15
preferable - 10628:15
Prehodchenko -
10383:13
prelim - 10508:5,
10511:6, 10512:6,
10514:15, 10515:6,
10515:14, 10515:18,
10569:7, 10569:13,
10570:25
preliminary -
10507:21, 10507:24,
10508:3, 10509:6,
10511:25, 10513:18,
10514:1, 10514:7,
10514:24, 10515:8,
10515:12, 10569:10,
10570:20, 10601:3
premises - 10518:8
preparation -
10426:17, 10512:7,
10513:23, 10558:7,
10558:25
prepare - 10471:10,
10471:23, 10540:23,
10541:5
prepared - 10393:7,
10396:8, 10399:13,
10399:18, 10407:20,
10409:3, 10411:17,
10411:20, 10423:20,
10426:5, 10426:10,
10426:24, 10427:2,
10427:15, 10427:20,
10428:22, 10428:24,
10429:3, 10429:5,
10429:14, 10429:20,
10459:6, 10459:18,
10460:3, 10461:25,
10467:6, 10470:3,
10470:18, 10471:1,
10472:4, 10472:8,
10472:10, 10480:2,
10506:12, 10507:8,



10524:12, 10524:24,
10541:11, 10581:23,
10616:17, 10616:20,
10616:24, 10617:18,
10625:21
preparing - 10472:16,
10512:6, 10541:15,
10574:3, 10574:19,
10581:22
presence - 10596:23
present - 10445:20,
10446:14, 10446:23,
10448:21, 10456:12,
10467:25, 10483:16,
10513:5, 10513:8,
10547:20, 10571:24,
10617:19
Present - 10475:3,
10617:25
presented - 10538:5
presently - 10468:5,
10476:8, 10630:22
press - 10543:9,
10602:10
pressure - 10549:8,
10613:16
Preston - 10476:16
Presumably -
10637:17
presumably -
10586:10, 10587:19,
10632:2
presume - 10415:14,
10434:17, 10444:19,
10501:19, 10535:13,
10630:24
pretence - 10433:11,
10433:21, 10434:23,
10454:2
pretty - 10582:23,
10585:10, 10586:4,
10586:22, 10587:1,
10626:18, 10627:10
prevent - 10629:9
previous - 10401:17,
10411:25, 10424:16,
10489:13, 10528:12,
10538:6, 10603:4
previously - 10415:19,
10487:1
pride - 10597:24
primarily - 10514:19,
10625:8
prime - 10461:12,
10462:18
Prince - 10526:10
prisoner - 10405:12
pro - 10628:18
problem - 10430:22,
10452:8, 10522:23,
10602:7, 10626:11
problems - 10593:21,
10625:22
proceed - 10549:7
proceeded - 10481:11
proceeding - 10514:4
Proceedings -
10382:12, 10382:23,
10385:1, 10386:1
process - 10462:17,
10559:10, 10568:3
progress - 10555:18
progressing - 10491:3
promptly - 10493:4
proper - 10536:25,
10559:21, 10571:20,
10581:18, 10609:9,
10634:22
properly - 10540:7,
10581:11

propose - 10487:9,
10514:18, 10516:10
prosecution -
10511:21, 10520:15,
10529:8, 10557:20,
10558:16, 10614:10
prosecutor - 10423:4,
10511:8, 10511:19,
10512:2, 10512:5,
10512:7, 10512:11,
10515:20, 10522:14,
10557:15, 10601:7,
10601:18
prosecutors - 10558:1
provide - 10397:10,
10513:22, 10541:17
provided - 10388:11,
10397:10, 10407:22,
10423:20, 10513:24,
10538:7, 10540:21,
10559:3, 10567:17,
10608:19, 10614:15
providing - 10406:6
Province - 10640:3
provincial - 10389:15
psychiatrist -
10547:22
psychological -
10533:16
psychologically -
10533:15
published - 10535:22
puffing - 10468:17
pull - 10579:9,
10598:19
pulled - 10498:19
pulling - 10548:7
purports - 10610:22
purpose - 10422:11,
10428:10, 10507:11,
10588:11, 10590:4,
10594:17, 10632:1,
10632:4, 10635:5,
10635:12, 10635:14
Purse - 10442:18
purse - 10433:13,
10438:5, 10438:6,
10438:8, 10442:23,
10444:7, 10444:11,
10444:21, 10445:7,
10445:10, 10476:25,
10497:5, 10497:7,
10497:11, 10498:17,
10498:18
pursue - 10566:19,
10567:9, 10574:1,
10574:6, 10574:10,
10574:14
pursued - 10565:10
pursuing - 10419:22,
10574:22
Pushed - 10448:16
put - 10388:20,
10389:1, 10421:11,
10423:10, 10424:10,
10427:21, 10428:7,
10430:16, 10436:4,
10443:9, 10444:21,
10448:22, 10452:3,
10452:12, 10454:11,
10457:1, 10457:4,
10457:20, 10460:9,
10483:4, 10483:12,
10489:5, 10495:23,
10500:5, 10500:23,
10501:9, 10506:22,
10515:24, 10529:24,
10538:3, 10540:4,
10556:8, 10556:10,
10566:14, 10567:1,

10568:12, 10577:24,
10578:6, 10580:19,
10582:10, 10582:12,
10582:25, 10584:23,
10594:12, 10598:20,
10600:7, 10607:20,
10611:16, 10622:4,
10622:20, 10627:25,
10636:11
puts - 10445:11,
10452:14
putting - 10432:4,
10440:3, 10450:8,
10455:6, 10527:11,
10527:17, 10574:25,
10584:7, 10584:15

Q

Qb - 10383:10
Qc - 10384:2, 10384:6,
10384:11
quality - 10524:15
Queen's - 10640:1,
10640:3, 10640:14,
10640:18
query - 10598:10
questionable -
10620:11
questioned - 10388:7,
10404:13, 10487:6,
10538:9, 10598:5
questioning - 10553:5,
10583:16, 10592:1
questions - 10430:15,
10458:5, 10463:5,
10465:11, 10515:10,
10538:3, 10573:24,
10576:17, 10598:14,
10606:16, 10614:24,
10615:9
quickly - 10463:4,
10542:14
quite - 10397:22,
10416:1, 10533:3,
10539:10, 10540:1,
10579:2, 10593:17,
10596:10, 10598:3,
10603:11, 10607:21,
10611:20, 10620:10
Quite - 10409:1
quoting - 10573:6

R

radar - 10394:15
Radisson - 10382:16
raised - 10410:25,
10619:8
ran - 10499:24,
10564:2, 10564:4
rape - 10386:19,
10402:3, 10402:13,
10405:7, 10406:3,
10406:8, 10408:9,
10409:20, 10412:3,
10445:8, 10459:25,
10516:18, 10520:5,
10544:4, 10544:5,
10544:14, 10562:3,
10576:3
raped - 10451:22,
10543:25, 10546:17,
10546:25
rapes - 10400:13,
10404:14, 10404:20,
10405:16, 10412:3,
10412:5, 10412:18,
10520:9, 10544:8,
10549:20, 10549:24,

10550:9, 10550:16,
10550:24, 10603:22
raping - 10445:12
rapist - 10587:4,
10603:10, 10604:8
Rasmussen -
10411:10, 10411:12,
10411:17, 10412:15,
10413:5, 10618:3
rather - 10545:10,
10588:1, 10605:21,
10638:4
rationalize - 10545:6
Ray - 10524:20
ray - 10620:18
Raymond - 10385:3,
10386:6
Rcmp - 10384:9,
10407:4, 10407:5,
10411:10, 10411:19,
10411:20, 10461:3,
10461:7, 10462:8,
10466:4, 10537:9,
10538:11, 10540:10,
10540:12, 10541:6,
10541:21, 10543:3,
10543:11, 10543:15,
10544:20, 10545:14,
10545:19, 10546:21,
10546:24, 10547:24,
10548:17, 10549:10,
10549:17, 10550:2,
10551:20, 10552:16,
10553:8, 10553:16,
10554:3, 10554:14,
10618:3, 10618:4
reached - 10498:18,
10619:6
reaction - 10418:10,
10463:22, 10464:2,
10464:3, 10477:10
read - 10387:13,
10399:1, 10408:25,
10410:12, 10410:18,
10415:18, 10416:11,
10417:24, 10417:25,
10418:6, 10442:5,
10443:20, 10449:10,
10449:12, 10492:21,
10495:3, 10502:22,
10505:14, 10505:18,
10507:12, 10514:14,
10524:23, 10530:7,
10541:25, 10542:2,
10546:23, 10550:10,
10556:9, 10557:6,
10557:11, 10564:12,
10570:20, 10626:18,
10627:23, 10628:10
readily - 10538:25
reading - 10402:24,
10417:21, 10434:20,
10495:6, 10527:10,
10554:25, 10556:22,
10586:23, 10633:6
reads - 10558:9,
10569:22
real - 10611:3, 10629:5
really - 10413:8,
10418:12, 10420:5,
10423:6, 10426:16,
10429:22, 10432:6,
10440:8, 10448:25,
10450:19, 10452:8,
10459:12, 10460:14,
10489:16, 10493:22,
10513:7, 10531:13,
10532:11, 10539:18,
10540:14, 10540:19,
10557:23, 10563:13,

10563:14, 10569:5,
10570:22, 10574:15,
10577:11, 10577:12,
10577:20, 10579:17,
10580:6, 10580:18,
10580:24, 10582:7,
10586:9, 10586:14,
10590:22, 10592:16,
10593:1, 10593:12,
10600:11, 10603:5,
10614:8, 10627:23,
10636:8
Really - 10555:5
reams - 10527:11,
10557:18
rear - 10455:24,
10478:11, 10478:13,
10480:15, 10627:17
reason - 10398:11,
10441:13, 10450:5,
10452:6, 10470:7,
10470:25, 10488:17,
10489:11, 10489:22,
10489:25, 10492:14,
10545:16, 10569:21,
10580:1, 10587:9,
10591:8, 10593:13,
10604:14, 10607:7,
10635:10
reasons - 10449:25,
10545:8, 10547:20
recalled - 10476:21,
10476:23, 10477:5,
10480:18, 10484:5,
10508:9, 10516:13
recanted - 10607:12,
10608:3, 10608:6
recanting - 10607:25
receive - 10543:8
received - 10394:7,
10476:3, 10630:17,
10639:13
receiving - 10395:19
recently - 10495:4,
10506:4, 10507:13,
10562:4
recognize - 10479:16,
10479:22, 10497:22,
10498:7, 10541:1,
10632:2, 10632:3
recollection - 10391:2,
10392:4, 10392:8,
10393:16, 10394:9,
10401:14, 10411:4,
10412:13, 10413:24,
10414:3, 10414:25,
10426:9, 10457:5,
10462:23, 10463:16,
10464:8, 10464:11,
10464:14, 10464:18,
10464:21, 10465:13,
10467:10, 10469:10,
10477:9, 10478:21,
10482:13, 10483:23,
10487:19, 10490:11,
10492:14, 10508:11,
10508:14, 10513:5,
10513:9, 10514:13,
10515:5, 10516:8,
10516:19, 10525:8,
10531:16, 10533:6,
10534:20, 10542:5,
10546:23, 10547:13,
10550:4, 10550:6,
10550:13, 10551:13,
10552:3, 10553:18,
10561:19, 10564:20,
10570:19, 10571:7,
10571:14, 10572:3,
10573:16, 10573:20,



10586:22, 10589:20,
10615:11, 10631:8,
10632:4, 10634:13,
10638:16, 10638:19
recommendation -
10583:22
reconcile - 10501:1
reconciled - 10500:15
Reconvened- 10386:2,
10459:3, 10512:24,
10576:24
record - 10386:18,
10389:8, 10389:19,
10390:15, 10396:15,
10397:5, 10397:14,
10397:20, 10398:13,
10398:14, 10398:16,
10400:12, 10413:14,
10417:6, 10417:8,
10440:9, 10463:1,
10463:5, 10469:16,
10469:21, 10471:18,
10482:1, 10485:14,
10508:2, 10514:23,
10517:17, 10519:11,
10533:24, 10533:25,
10540:9, 10541:20,
10543:2, 10543:10,
10544:19, 10545:13,
10546:20, 10547:23,
10548:16, 10549:10,
10551:19, 10553:7,
10585:12, 10592:12,
10593:9, 10622:1,
10631:17, 10631:23,
10631:25, 10636:4,
10636:13, 10636:16,
10637:11, 10637:14
recorded - 10413:17,
10483:14, 10504:12,
10585:17, 10592:19,
10592:20, 10593:1
records - 10389:21,
10407:9, 10523:10,
10592:23, 10593:4,
10612:21
Red- 10395:21,
10396:9, 10396:13,
10396:16, 10396:18,
10396:25, 10397:10,
10397:13, 10397:15,
10397:19, 10397:21,
10397:24, 10398:2,
10399:11, 10405:13,
10428:20, 10459:25,
10529:19, 10542:23
red - 10624:5
reddish - 10486:17
refer - 10451:14,
10461:5, 10462:22,
10505:16, 10512:14
reference - 10394:3,
10404:24, 10408:5,
10408:16, 10413:14,
10416:8, 10425:5,
10428:16, 10436:21,
10436:24, 10439:18,
10443:13, 10453:23,
10454:24, 10469:25,
10473:23, 10474:3,
10479:20, 10488:10,
10488:12, 10491:2,
10499:4, 10526:7,
10549:25, 10554:13,
10620:14, 10627:7
referenced - 10437:3
references - 10469:13,
10627:1
referred - 10398:25,
10403:16, 10451:12,
10462:1, 10466:21,
10469:3, 10541:24,
10587:20, 10622:14
referring - 10386:22,
10403:14, 10434:12,
10434:16, 10440:1,
10527:22, 10529:13,
10530:19, 10531:12,
10531:15, 10531:25,
10534:3, 10534:12,
10535:8, 10535:13,
10536:2, 10569:24,
10632:8
refers - 10389:7,
10437:2, 10617:24
reflect - 10515:1,
10524:25
reflection - 10612:13
refresh - 10401:21,
10415:21, 10418:9,
10465:6, 10495:7
regard - 10395:24,
10397:12, 10404:13,
10405:5, 10419:12,
10480:25, 10528:24,
10582:16
regarding - 10388:6,
10394:7, 10460:1,
10519:7
regards - 10386:15,
10388:7, 10465:19,
10465:22, 10473:14,
10473:15, 10473:20,
10475:1, 10475:9,
10475:11, 10481:14,
10486:22, 10518:24
Regina- 10432:11,
10436:17, 10463:7,
10463:9, 10463:19,
10465:21, 10465:24,
10465:25, 10466:1,
10466:6, 10466:11,
10466:17, 10467:23,
10468:1, 10469:1,
10469:8, 10470:5,
10471:5, 10471:6,
10471:8, 10473:2,
10473:17, 10473:19,
10473:25, 10475:4,
10476:14, 10486:15,
10488:8, 10525:21,
10531:5, 10531:9,
10532:2, 10533:5,
10546:18, 10547:1,
10547:4, 10548:4,
10561:12, 10561:15,
10596:2, 10596:5,
10596:21, 10597:5,
10616:25, 10623:24,
10624:8, 10624:15,
10629:13, 10630:7,
10631:1
regular - 10604:25
regularly - 10580:12,
10582:21
Reid- 10386:13,
10386:25, 10455:12,
10455:16, 10473:5,
10493:9, 10503:14,
10556:15, 10560:1,
10560:9
rejected - 10489:8,
10529:24
relate - 10446:3
related - 10389:12,
10409:25, 10410:21,
10413:17, 10445:25,
10533:10, 10536:10,
10558:13, 10561:18
relates - 10390:24,
10425:8, 10542:8,
10561:13, 10562:22
relating - 10409:11,
10523:10, 10523:19,
10558:20, 10616:11
relationship -
10539:12, 10601:14
release - 10546:6
relevant - 10557:23,
10636:10
remark - 10598:20
remember - 10390:19,
10390:20, 10390:22,
10391:3, 10391:7,
10392:10, 10392:11,
10392:15, 10396:18,
10396:20, 10401:12,
10407:24, 10408:18,
10411:18, 10411:22,
10413:8, 10417:12,
10418:4, 10418:5,
10423:2, 10423:7,
10424:25, 10427:8,
10428:6, 10430:6,
10430:13, 10431:11,
10432:6, 10432:23,
10433:17, 10437:17,
10437:18, 10437:25,
10449:2, 10450:19,
10451:1, 10453:19,
10456:13, 10459:12,
10459:13, 10459:14,
10460:14, 10463:19,
10464:24, 10466:1,
10466:12, 10467:20,
10474:17, 10475:19,
10476:10, 10477:6,
10477:24, 10481:18,
10484:7, 10486:8,
10497:24, 10498:11,
10499:7, 10500:8,
10516:4, 10517:5,
10521:12, 10521:16,
10523:7, 10526:6,
10526:17, 10526:19,
10526:20, 10531:13,
10532:24, 10536:4,
10537:9, 10541:6,
10543:12, 10546:25,
10547:5, 10547:6,
10570:22, 10572:2,
10572:8, 10572:9,
10572:14, 10573:14,
10585:1, 10588:13,
10588:19, 10588:20,
10589:2, 10589:3,
10589:4, 10589:6,
10589:7, 10589:8,
10594:3, 10596:7,
10598:18, 10598:22,
10599:5, 10599:6,
10599:7, 10599:19,
10600:1, 10600:9,
10601:22, 10601:23,
10604:6, 10605:20,
10607:16, 10608:6,
10616:12, 10616:13,
10617:8, 10618:7,
10618:13, 10618:19,
10619:23, 10621:3,
10624:13, 10625:4,
10626:3, 10630:9,
10631:2, 10631:6,
10632:12, 10633:6,
10634:12, 10636:5,
10638:9
remembered -
10411:11, 10416:11,
10484:12, 10484:19,
10572:9, 10585:23,
10588:25
remembering -
10601:9
remembers - 10508:10
Remenda- 10390:25,
10391:15, 10391:23,
10391:24, 10391:25,
10392:3
Remenda's- 10391:12,
10391:13
remind - 10599:1,
10599:11
reminded - 10539:23
remove - 10580:25
removed - 10400:17,
10444:7, 10500:21,
10501:9, 10633:24
repaired - 10478:10
repeat - 10509:6
report - 10387:22,
10388:9, 10388:17,
10388:21, 10389:1,
10389:7, 10390:4,
10390:5, 10390:14,
10390:24, 10391:18,
10391:25, 10392:20,
10392:24, 10393:1,
10395:17, 10398:17,
10399:1, 10401:22,
10402:1, 10402:15,
10402:24, 10403:11,
10403:12, 10403:21,
10404:6, 10404:25,
10405:20, 10405:21,
10408:6, 10409:3,
10409:4, 10411:9,
10411:16, 10411:24,
10412:22, 10425:24,
10436:25, 10455:11,
10456:9, 10456:15,
10459:17, 10459:22,
10461:3, 10465:15,
10466:21, 10469:3,
10469:12, 10470:10,
10470:14, 10471:1,
10472:4, 10472:8,
10472:10, 10472:17,
10473:1, 10473:2,
10473:6, 10473:7,
10479:20, 10481:22,
10482:2, 10482:16,
10483:1, 10485:22,
10485:24, 10486:5,
10487:10, 10487:24,
10488:7, 10488:12,
10497:20, 10502:23,
10509:16, 10511:3,
10517:12, 10517:18,
10550:1, 10555:5,
10555:17, 10562:20,
10563:16, 10563:18,
10564:12, 10569:22,
10579:11, 10589:23,
10591:22, 10592:3,
10592:7, 10593:4,
10617:17, 10618:3,
10621:1, 10630:12,
10632:16, 10632:18,
10632:25, 10634:17,
10637:6, 10637:8,
10638:1
reported - 10404:14,
10409:22, 10472:2,
10472:18, 10472:22,
10473:11, 10510:14,
10563:22, 10564:2,
10629:23
Reporter- 10640:14,
10640:18
reporter - 10577:24
Reporters- 10383:10,
10640:3
Reporters'- 10640:1
reporting - 10472:11
reports - 10386:9,
10393:8, 10393:9,
10393:22, 10411:19,
10411:20, 10412:3,
10425:6, 10465:3,
10465:4, 10470:1,
10471:23, 10473:3,
10483:4, 10538:8,
10549:18, 10556:10,
10556:22, 10556:25,
10557:1, 10557:6,
10557:11, 10587:19,
10603:7, 10612:25,
10613:4, 10613:6,
10613:9, 10613:11,
10617:21, 10632:19
represent - 10541:16,
10615:1
repudiated - 10533:21
request - 10597:8
requested - 10510:24
questioning -
10462:12
require - 10421:17
required - 10421:14,
10422:21, 10424:12
residence - 10388:3,
10455:23, 10468:15,
10624:5
residence' - 10570:8
residents - 10580:2
respect - 10420:15,
10485:8, 10621:19
respects - 10493:14
respond - 10506:9
response - 10589:19
responsibilities -
10555:3
responsibility -
10550:19, 10559:22,
10575:5
responsible -
10402:12, 10405:9,
10421:3, 10425:19,
10541:14, 10542:10,
10554:2, 10556:1,
10559:17, 10560:7,
10562:2, 10562:5,
10567:4, 10568:5,
10575:10, 10575:21,
10608:24, 10609:15
rest - 10388:9,
10437:7, 10446:25,
10535:1
result - 10395:19,
10396:7, 10402:2,
10412:16, 10440:18,
10442:9, 10520:22,
10528:9
results - 10412:21,
10439:4, 10440:6,
10532:12, 10544:14,
10633:22, 10634:1
retains - 10444:8
Retired- 10384:14
retired - 10521:1,
10538:18, 10538:20,
10566:8, 10566:22,
10577:3
retired' - 10611:17
retirement - 10521:6,
10521:7, 10577:10
retrieved - 10510:5
returned - 10417:15,
10418:3, 10463:8,
10468:19, 10476:2,



10478:24, 10479:8,
10480:23, 10487:3,
10488:8, 10491:5,
10549:1, 10578:19,
10630:2
returning - 10476:12
returns - 10440:15,
10442:20, 10444:23
review - 10393:8,
10418:25, 10419:15,
10419:20, 10420:1,
10420:3, 10423:12,
10423:16, 10425:6,
10425:23, 10436:5,
10465:2, 10523:25,
10524:21, 10538:4,
10549:18
reviewed - 10514:9,
10549:1, 10556:25,
10574:17
reviewing - 10417:16,
10456:11, 10465:5,
10574:19
revise - 10399:13
revised - 10459:10
Rick - 10384:7
rid - 10441:24,
10443:23
Riddell - 10435:16,
10461:4, 10461:7,
10466:4, 10466:10,
10466:12
Riddell's - 10617:5
ride - 10443:16,
10621:16
rides - 10620:19
riding - 10621:11
right-hand - 10426:1,
10435:10
right-handed -
10526:9, 10526:13,
10526:24
Ritz - 10489:7,
10595:11
Rm - 10524:20
road - 10448:13,
10458:2, 10578:21
robbing - 10581:15
Roberts - 10418:21,
10419:2, 10429:15,
10463:11, 10464:22,
10481:13, 10481:19,
10482:5, 10483:14,
10483:20, 10485:5,
10485:9, 10485:20,
10486:13, 10487:21,
10489:14, 10491:18,
10492:9, 10493:21,
10504:17, 10573:12,
10592:1, 10592:5,
10592:10, 10592:15,
10592:17, 10596:15,
10596:17, 10598:23,
10598:25, 10599:8,
10600:8, 10605:10,
10612:23, 10613:1,
10638:12, 10639:2
Roberts - 10483:6,
10483:11, 10485:10,
10599:7
Robertson - 10467:1,
10467:11
Rochelle - 10384:9
Rodin - 10565:8
role - 10424:15,
10539:15, 10539:16,
10556:19, 10573:25,
10574:3, 10574:9,
10574:12, 10575:1,
10576:6, 10576:12,

10614:17
rolled - 10500:3
Ron - 10413:22,
10447:8, 10447:14,
10450:13, 10450:22,
10451:17, 10463:7,
10463:17, 10464:12,
10469:7, 10471:15,
10473:4, 10482:6,
10482:7, 10485:4,
10487:11, 10487:20,
10502:19, 10506:20,
10530:24, 10531:16,
10569:25, 10570:21,
10593:15, 10593:19,
10629:14
Ronald - 10399:7,
10467:22, 10468:7,
10481:15, 10488:9,
10630:6, 10630:8
room - 10418:1,
10483:10, 10483:11,
10483:19, 10483:20,
10484:13, 10484:25,
10486:10, 10531:8,
10531:20, 10561:12,
10592:5
Room - 10518:5
roommates - 10580:7,
10582:18
Rosetown - 10503:25
roughly - 10588:10
route - 10486:15,
10580:15
Rpr - 10383:11,
10640:2, 10640:16,
10640:17
rule - 10602:22
rules - 10536:5
running - 10468:18
Runs - 10439:5,
10634:2
runs - 10442:6
rush - 10592:12
Rusty - 10414:11

S

safety - 10597:7
sake - 10512:18
sample - 10445:23,
10446:3
samples - 10529:19
Sandra - 10383:4
Saskatchewan -
10382:17, 10384:4,
10576:4, 10640:4
Saskatoon - 10382:17,
10384:7, 10397:5,
10398:13, 10400:13,
10413:21, 10418:21,
10429:13, 10429:15,
10445:19, 10446:13,
10467:5, 10468:9,
10468:25, 10471:7,
10475:10, 10476:3,
10476:7, 10476:12,
10476:14, 10486:16,
10488:21, 10497:4,
10497:14, 10503:25,
10516:17, 10519:16,
10520:5, 10520:10,
10547:4, 10548:3,
10548:5, 10562:4,
10570:1, 10595:23,
10596:19, 10597:1,
10599:15, 10603:21,
10604:5, 10608:20,
10624:19, 10624:25,
10625:3, 10630:3,
10630:8, 10630:21,
10630:24, 10635:24
sat - 10621:12
satisfied - 10448:1,
10515:1, 10540:6
satisfies - 10532:14
satisfy - 10453:16,
10613:19, 10613:20
save - 10631:21
Saw - 10534:8
saw - 10418:15,
10418:18, 10420:9,
10434:9, 10439:17,
10439:23, 10451:19,
10473:4, 10491:9,
10491:19, 10491:20,
10492:24, 10498:17,
10500:16, 10501:2,
10501:12, 10502:23,
10504:1, 10509:3,
10529:4, 10532:12,
10534:7, 10548:9,
10554:14, 10560:16,
10578:5, 10588:16,
10591:8, 10593:7,
10593:18, 10597:23,
10605:7, 10608:7
scared - 10489:2,
10595:16, 10596:11,
10597:2, 10604:17,
10613:17
scarf - 10633:3,
10633:16
scary - 10591:12
scenario - 10588:6
scene - 10444:8,
10477:1, 10510:25,
10533:5, 10548:7,
10548:14, 10588:20,
10635:25
Schuleter - 10388:2,
10388:5, 10388:10,
10388:16, 10388:19
scooped - 10545:4
Scp - 10538:22,
10539:21, 10545:1,
10551:3, 10552:7,
10552:14, 10552:15,
10553:1
screen - 10394:16,
10438:2, 10626:22
script - 10506:12,
10506:15
scroll - 10386:22,
10398:19, 10409:10,
10409:15, 10412:6,
10436:13, 10440:14,
10444:2, 10445:4,
10453:25, 10466:3,
10467:13, 10468:23,
10475:24, 10497:13,
10527:1, 10528:25,
10530:6, 10532:21,
10535:21, 10546:8,
10549:16, 10553:22,
10557:9
Scroll - 10466:23,
10468:6, 10486:19,
10533:9, 10552:5,
10552:25
se - 10558:17
search - 10388:2,
10388:4, 10409:6,
10509:21, 10510:8
searches - 10551:24
seat - 10526:11
second - 10416:9,
10431:19, 10478:16,
10528:13, 10623:4,
10623:5, 10632:22,

10637:18
secrecy - 10535:24,
10536:12
secret - 10484:23,
10536:10, 10536:11
secretly - 10484:23
secretor - 10394:25,
10395:3, 10425:14,
10446:5, 10446:8
section - 10407:4,
10457:12
sectionalized -
10545:2
Security - 10383:12
See - 10533:1,
10588:13, 10624:18
see - 10387:25,
10389:25, 10390:8,
10391:4, 10392:20,
10392:22, 10396:19,
10398:12, 10398:19,
10398:22, 10398:24,
10399:3, 10399:7,
10401:21, 10402:16,
10404:8, 10407:13,
10412:8, 10419:11,
10419:12, 10422:17,
10422:18, 10427:3,
10428:15, 10430:5,
10434:24, 10435:14,
10436:1, 10436:17,
10451:20, 10451:25,
10454:9, 10454:18,
10454:25, 10455:1,
10457:18, 10462:20,
10462:21, 10470:11,
10470:16, 10470:17,
10474:3, 10479:19,
10481:22, 10481:23,
10485:25, 10488:1,
10488:13, 10496:9,
10501:3, 10502:6,
10502:15, 10502:24,
10503:5, 10507:23,
10510:15, 10510:25,
10518:22, 10522:18,
10524:12, 10524:15,
10533:13, 10537:25,
10556:12, 10578:25,
10580:25, 10581:1,
10582:13, 10583:6,
10583:9, 10583:12,
10583:21, 10588:25,
10590:21, 10591:13,
10599:21, 10605:6,
10610:3, 10610:18,
10612:9, 10619:4,
10623:7, 10623:14,
10624:16, 10624:23,
10626:1, 10627:22,
10629:14, 10631:11,
10632:1, 10632:2,
10632:20, 10634:17,
10634:18, 10635:15
seeing - 10410:8,
10433:10, 10433:20,
10434:4, 10434:22,
10435:12, 10435:23,
10436:22, 10437:9,
10440:1, 10454:1,
10499:20, 10508:12,
10518:7, 10563:1,
10583:17, 10584:14,
10623:10, 10623:25,
10624:15
seem - 10559:9,
10585:1, 10613:2
sees - 10555:8
seized - 10630:25,
10632:18, 10632:25

seizing - 10631:8,
10632:4, 10635:5,
10635:10
seizure - 10631:25
send - 10407:11
senior - 10462:7,
10541:11, 10544:12,
10578:7, 10625:12
sense - 10394:8,
10420:23, 10501:23,
10583:3, 10589:9,
10599:25, 10618:23,
10619:2
sent - 10391:4,
10517:4, 10556:13,
10558:8, 10558:12,
10559:9, 10612:18,
10614:10
Serge - 10384:6
Sergeant - 10386:25,
10426:6, 10455:11,
10493:9, 10497:17,
10511:7, 10560:1,
10618:2
sergeant - 10567:19,
10578:7
serial - 10604:7
series - 10562:2
serious - 10424:12
service - 10503:13,
10538:19
Service - 10384:7,
10464:22, 10478:8
serving - 10389:15
set - 10483:10,
10542:15
several - 10559:25
sex - 10438:10,
10438:14, 10542:18,
10596:22
sexual - 10400:9,
10401:2, 10401:10,
10401:17, 10403:4,
10406:24, 10457:12,
10516:20, 10517:1,
10517:7, 10519:8,
10519:13, 10519:20,
10519:21, 10520:14,
10542:19, 10562:24,
10563:7
sexually - 10516:16
shadow - 10504:4
shaky - 10593:24
share - 10397:16,
10545:3
shared - 10407:22,
10604:3, 10604:4
Shaun - 10383:14
shed - 10469:4
Sherlock - 10597:21
shift - 10489:17
shock - 10481:5,
10590:9, 10638:3
shocked - 10609:3,
10609:4
Short - 10418:16,
10419:1, 10420:10,
10420:11, 10421:4,
10421:19, 10421:22,
10421:25, 10422:3,
10422:8, 10423:8,
10423:21, 10427:3,
10427:7, 10427:13,
10428:3, 10430:5,
10430:9, 10437:16,
10459:7, 10461:8,
10486:3, 10503:14,
10528:7, 10528:14,
10549:5, 10555:10,
10555:17, 10560:2,



10560:9, 10574:4,
10574:20, 10615:18,
10618:2, 10618:8,
10618:14
short - 10392:21
shortest - 10580:15
shorthand - 10640:5
Shortly - 10480:23
shortly - 10432:11,
10434:11
show - 10393:13,
10396:21, 10402:20,
10406:7, 10414:6,
10455:17, 10470:1,
10562:17, 10562:18,
10635:14, 10638:22
showed - 10391:5,
10402:7, 10412:22,
10413:1, 10446:7,
10497:24, 10530:4,
10589:22, 10627:12
Showing - 10590:22
showing - 10405:25,
10541:6, 10632:1,
10635:6
shown - 10403:17,
10486:13, 10549:21,
10550:12, 10591:17,
10634:14
shows - 10400:12,
10413:14, 10417:8,
10463:1, 10463:5,
10463:6, 10471:18,
10485:14, 10508:2,
10519:11
shrug - 10610:14
side - 10435:10,
10435:11, 10436:12,
10436:14, 10437:4,
10449:24, 10451:9,
10452:3, 10452:21,
10457:21, 10476:21,
10480:18, 10528:2,
10626:5, 10633:16
sides - 10452:15,
10509:22, 10612:1
sight - 10448:8
sign - 10415:9,
10496:25, 10506:8
signature - 10414:8,
10415:7, 10498:14,
10505:13
signed - 10386:21,
10497:1, 10505:19,
10519:18
significance -
10430:25
significant - 10393:23,
10484:9, 10490:21,
10491:10, 10491:21,
10575:20, 10585:10
signs - 10452:1
similar - 10413:5,
10413:9, 10413:10,
10413:11, 10448:6,
10456:10, 10486:14,
10560:4, 10634:19
similarities -
10400:24, 10400:25,
10407:14
similarity - 10409:16
similarly - 10426:1,
10509:9
Simon - 10434:14,
10580:17, 10583:19,
10620:13, 10620:17,
10620:21, 10621:7,
10621:22, 10622:15
simply - 10416:3,
10565:25, 10567:12,
10582:17
sincerity - 10539:20
sister's - 10537:22
sit - 10453:14, 10503:1
sitting - 10382:15,
10584:20
situation - 10422:16
situations - 10397:18
six - 10563:24
skepticism - 10568:18,
10598:7
skill - 10640:6
skip - 10470:9
slight - 10551:13
slightly - 10468:18,
10623:18
smoking - 10506:18
snatch - 10497:5
snatching - 10438:8,
10497:7, 10497:11
snow - 10392:9,
10425:12, 10425:25,
10440:19, 10441:8,
10442:9, 10444:13
snowbank - 10480:19
Social - 10466:19,
10466:25
social - 10467:11
solidify - 10458:20
solved - 10493:25,
10598:1, 10606:3
someone - 10390:4,
10395:2, 10406:3,
10407:13, 10416:1,
10427:20, 10429:25,
10430:2, 10431:7,
10443:22, 10460:20,
10469:22, 10480:4,
10480:10, 10491:8,
10492:24, 10493:19,
10503:6, 10503:12,
10530:10, 10566:16,
10568:12, 10571:24
something - 10442:6
sometime - 10414:17
Sometime - 10597:6,
10624:10
somewhat - 10441:19,
10597:15
somewhere - 10429:8,
10437:21, 10443:1,
10449:12, 10454:7,
10459:21, 10492:21,
10498:24, 10523:16,
10581:2, 10583:14,
10584:16, 10631:15
Somewhere - 10429:9
Sorry - 10586:1,
10609:20, 10614:8,
10615:9, 10622:17,
10623:1, 10633:20
sorry - 10387:24,
10399:6, 10404:7,
10405:23, 10409:24,
10410:1, 10413:10,
10419:25, 10461:22,
10465:17, 10489:20,
10492:17, 10498:13,
10537:11, 10539:10,
10572:10, 10573:9,
10586:11, 10613:6,
10619:11, 10622:18,
10629:22
sort - 10398:21,
10417:23, 10419:21,
10424:10, 10432:2,
10439:25, 10445:4,
10445:14, 10446:9,
10449:24, 10451:9,
10501:7, 10521:6,
10525:7, 10529:2,
10536:5, 10539:11,
10556:9, 10574:13,
10612:14, 10612:24
sorts - 10615:25
sought - 10409:8
sound - 10393:16,
10407:14, 10417:11,
10429:19, 10523:15,
10537:14, 10543:17,
10563:20, 10587:23
Sounds - 10407:16
sounds - 10443:22
source - 10435:3
sources - 10424:23
South - 10478:14,
10478:15, 10478:25,
10479:9, 10518:23,
10621:8
south - 10530:15,
10551:8, 10552:2,
10629:2
speaking - 10584:25
specific - 10414:16,
10420:1, 10428:14,
10542:20, 10569:21,
10573:16, 10573:20,
10594:22
specifically -
10394:13, 10408:23,
10443:19, 10453:20,
10466:12, 10506:6,
10551:18, 10560:6,
10563:3
specifics - 10507:15,
10579:22
speculating -
10585:16
spelling - 10390:10
Spence - 10396:14,
10401:24, 10542:14
spending - 10528:4,
10528:15
spent - 10417:16,
10451:16, 10489:23,
10490:6, 10493:12,
10493:17
sperm - 10445:23,
10446:3
spite - 10543:21
split - 10542:9,
10553:24
spot - 10512:17
spots - 10524:14,
10524:16
St - 10395:22, 10434:9,
10439:7, 10570:16,
10571:3, 10581:10,
10581:11, 10634:5
stab - 10485:13,
10491:20, 10500:6,
10500:16, 10500:17,
10501:2, 10501:21,
10502:1, 10507:19,
10633:23
stabbed - 10561:16
stabbing - 10499:20,
10500:23, 10534:8,
10561:12
stabs - 10501:10
Staff - 10383:1,
10383:8, 10618:2
staff - 10393:8,
10524:12
stagnated - 10527:5,
10527:14
stagnating - 10578:22
stamp - 10386:13
Stan - 10526:11
stand - 10533:21
standing - 10633:5
stapled - 10424:21
start - 10465:8,
10472:25, 10494:13,
10527:10, 10527:17,
10576:22
started - 10420:3,
10475:8, 10494:13,
10497:10, 10527:14,
10577:14
starting - 10488:4,
10624:23
starts - 10470:10,
10481:23, 10488:1,
10488:7, 10527:11,
10586:8, 10599:5
state - 10468:16,
10548:12
statement - 10388:12,
10388:15, 10388:20,
10388:25, 10392:21,
10393:1, 10414:6,
10414:9, 10415:13,
10415:16, 10415:19,
10416:9, 10416:21,
10417:8, 10426:3,
10431:7, 10431:8,
10434:14, 10435:6,
10435:15, 10435:16,
10436:9, 10436:18,
10436:20, 10436:21,
10436:24, 10437:6,
10437:9, 10447:11,
10451:4, 10451:15,
10463:13, 10464:19,
10465:12, 10484:7,
10485:7, 10485:15,
10485:16, 10485:18,
10486:21, 10487:2,
10487:11, 10488:2,
10488:3, 10488:13,
10489:9, 10489:13,
10489:25, 10490:8,
10490:14, 10490:16,
10492:6, 10492:23,
10495:4, 10495:7,
10495:14, 10495:17,
10495:20, 10495:24,
10497:8, 10498:9,
10498:16, 10500:15,
10501:1, 10501:13,
10501:18, 10502:13,
10502:18, 10502:19,
10503:2, 10504:12,
10505:10, 10505:12,
10505:17, 10506:1,
10506:6, 10507:13,
10508:1, 10508:20,
10515:24, 10533:20,
10533:23, 10533:25,
10534:13, 10547:5,
10559:6, 10560:25,
10571:10, 10571:13,
10572:4, 10572:21,
10573:3, 10573:6,
10573:21, 10575:19,
10584:11, 10584:25,
10585:4, 10585:6,
10585:17, 10592:9,
10592:18, 10593:14,
10597:4, 10597:10,
10598:6, 10598:13,
10598:19, 10598:21,
10599:4, 10599:11,
10599:16, 10600:5,
10600:7, 10600:12,
10600:24, 10601:1,
10601:2, 10601:10,
10601:20, 10602:3,
10602:19, 10603:1,
10603:4, 10612:21,
10612:24, 10613:13,
10614:2, 10614:3,
10614:16, 10617:6,
10620:22, 10623:6,
10623:8, 10623:11,
10623:12, 10623:17,
10625:5, 10627:2,
10628:9, 10633:12,
10634:9, 10637:3,
10637:4
statement' - 10492:2,
10516:6
statements -
10415:10, 10418:22,
10419:3, 10425:7,
10431:8, 10434:3,
10434:21, 10435:6,
10437:2, 10443:12,
10447:25, 10448:2,
10448:6, 10450:12,
10450:14, 10450:19,
10450:21, 10450:23,
10451:7, 10451:19,
10454:4, 10463:12,
10465:4, 10471:16,
10471:19, 10475:8,
10475:10, 10505:22,
10506:14, 10506:21,
10507:9, 10507:17,
10508:1, 10509:5,
10509:6, 10519:18,
10519:24, 10575:15,
10575:17, 10580:8,
10582:19, 10598:12,
10602:20, 10603:5,
10627:1, 10627:8,
10627:23
states - 10486:15,
10545:1, 10549:21,
10551:5, 10599:12,
10621:10
stating - 10540:1
Station - 10478:9,
10480:24
station - 10390:16,
10413:22, 10413:25,
10414:18, 10414:25,
10464:25, 10467:24,
10473:19, 10474:1,
10482:12, 10485:6,
10486:21, 10488:22,
10490:12, 10493:25,
10529:16, 10605:16,
10638:9
status - 10541:13
stay - 10489:8,
10595:11
stayed - 10386:17,
10463:9, 10469:1,
10488:22, 10488:23,
10536:6, 10596:18
staying - 10488:20
stealing - 10433:12
steps - 10394:15,
10397:21, 10523:25,
10550:17, 10550:19,
10574:5
stick - 10502:7,
10502:9
Still - 10539:4
still - 10426:22,
10460:4, 10460:16,
10510:2, 10529:24,
10537:5, 10587:5,
10607:2, 10607:4,
10630:15
stop - 10454:22,
10501:20, 10529:1,
10580:15, 10582:20,



10591:4
stopped - 10454:6,
 10480:10
stopping - 10453:22,
 10581:4
stops - 10388:8
store - 10474:15
stories - 10391:21,
 10446:20, 10447:2
story - 10445:20,
 10446:21, 10446:24,
 10447:5, 10447:9,
 10448:21, 10448:22,
 10448:24, 10449:24,
 10451:9, 10506:7,
 10506:22, 10511:11,
 10571:15, 10587:22,
 10599:3, 10608:8,
 10608:9, 10611:8
story' - 10601:11
Streb - 10537:19
Street - 10400:16,
 10439:6, 10455:14,
 10466:17, 10476:19,
 10478:5, 10478:9,
 10478:12, 10502:20,
 10530:15, 10551:9,
 10551:14, 10552:1,
 10552:2, 10570:17,
 10633:4, 10634:3
street - 10536:7,
 10581:14
streets - 10476:17,
 10558:18
striped - 10625:4
strong - 10412:17
struck - 10599:17
struggle - 10452:1
stuck - 10454:24,
 10468:13, 10478:16,
 10480:18, 10487:3,
 10502:19, 10508:9,
 10570:6, 10570:15,
 10570:23, 10571:2,
 10581:5, 10581:16,
 10581:17, 10588:21,
 10627:16
stuff - 10452:19,
 10530:7, 10530:8,
 10582:24
subject - 10514:9,
 10515:2, 10554:9,
 10618:6, 10618:21
subjective - 10450:16
submit - 10408:14,
 10462:19
subsequent - 10472:1,
 10472:13, 10472:21
subsequently -
 10413:23
substance - 10432:21,
 10624:6
success - 10519:2
successful - 10520:14
suddenly - 10597:1
suggest - 10452:4,
 10453:3, 10512:13,
 10549:18, 10582:5,
 10583:2, 10587:8,
 10590:9, 10613:25,
 10626:11
suggested - 10395:3,
 10433:15, 10449:8,
 10474:9, 10535:5,
 10567:3
suggesting -
 10420:17, 10446:15,
 10472:9, 10567:11,
 10568:1, 10582:24,
 10584:17, 10585:20,

10585:22, 10607:17,
 10607:24, 10608:22
suggestion - 10446:9,
 10534:9, 10590:25,
 10594:4, 10594:24,
 10610:21, 10613:15
suggestions -
 10424:6, 10429:11,
 10449:19, 10610:20
Suggestions -
 10445:17
suggests - 10393:13,
 10452:21, 10463:6,
 10568:5, 10568:8,
 10571:4, 10610:12,
 10638:6
suit - 10606:15
suitcase - 10443:9,
 10464:24, 10482:11,
 10482:14, 10483:5,
 10592:6, 10639:8
suited - 10490:7
summaries -
 10558:10, 10575:8
summarized -
 10425:15
summarizing -
 10427:8
summary - 10407:19,
 10420:7, 10424:22,
 10425:7, 10427:21,
 10427:25, 10428:11,
 10428:22, 10429:2,
 10429:21, 10435:12,
 10435:21, 10436:3,
 10436:4, 10436:6,
 10436:13, 10437:2,
 10437:19, 10438:1,
 10446:25, 10448:23,
 10449:21, 10450:6,
 10452:7, 10452:8,
 10452:10, 10452:18,
 10453:8, 10457:8,
 10457:25, 10458:4,
 10459:5, 10459:14,
 10459:18, 10460:3,
 10460:10, 10461:24,
 10462:5, 10480:1,
 10480:3, 10497:10,
 10506:2, 10506:12,
 10506:15, 10506:17,
 10506:19, 10507:7,
 10513:3, 10527:21,
 10540:24, 10541:2,
 10541:5, 10541:16,
 10541:24, 10558:11,
 10574:4, 10574:19,
 10579:5, 10584:8,
 10586:12, 10586:13,
 10586:14, 10586:15,
 10612:13, 10613:15,
 10613:23, 10614:1,
 10614:14, 10615:4,
 10615:5, 10618:9,
 10618:21, 10620:1,
 10620:8, 10625:7,
 10628:5, 10628:17,
 10633:13, 10633:20,
 10633:21
Superintendent -
 10618:1, 10618:11,
 10629:18, 10638:13
superintendent -
 10555:7
superior - 10411:20,
 10421:5, 10617:7
superiors - 10462:16
supply - 10405:11,
 10518:25
support - 10452:25,

10455:3, 10535:12
Support - 10383:8
suppose - 10453:5,
 10518:19, 10527:19,
 10528:1, 10559:25,
 10577:17, 10590:24,
 10628:19, 10631:2
supposed - 10479:1,
 10535:25, 10561:16,
 10623:7, 10627:6
supposedly -
 10633:23
Supreme - 10485:11,
 10543:7, 10543:16
Supt - 10481:12
surely - 10599:25,
 10601:19
surfaced - 10542:25
surprise - 10393:25,
 10544:10
surprises - 10597:19
surrounding - 10603:7
surveillance - 10388:1
suspect - 10386:20,
 10390:2, 10390:3,
 10390:6, 10390:12,
 10390:20, 10394:12,
 10394:21, 10396:4,
 10399:12, 10399:15,
 10400:4, 10402:21,
 10404:10, 10414:20,
 10417:4, 10419:21,
 10420:16, 10420:18,
 10422:14, 10428:14,
 10431:2, 10448:3,
 10448:15, 10450:1,
 10452:4, 10452:13,
 10452:24, 10453:4,
 10457:24, 10458:9,
 10458:21, 10460:1,
 10460:11, 10460:21,
 10461:4, 10461:12,
 10462:18, 10494:9,
 10519:1, 10550:8,
 10550:14, 10550:16,
 10550:20, 10550:23,
 10565:9, 10566:15,
 10566:20, 10567:11,
 10574:1, 10574:7,
 10574:10, 10574:14,
 10574:23, 10574:24,
 10583:6, 10587:3,
 10587:5, 10604:1,
 10617:20, 10625:14,
 10626:17, 10628:3,
 10628:4, 10628:21
suspect' - 10574:21
suspected - 10549:19,
 10549:23, 10550:7
suspects - 10387:1,
 10390:16, 10390:21,
 10393:23, 10394:11,
 10395:7, 10395:10,
 10395:14, 10395:24,
 10396:1, 10396:11,
 10396:17, 10398:8,
 10398:10, 10399:17,
 10399:23, 10419:11,
 10419:12, 10419:16,
 10419:22, 10420:21,
 10439:4, 10440:6,
 10442:6, 10460:5,
 10491:4, 10494:7,
 10530:1, 10542:13,
 10542:24, 10543:1,
 10592:24, 10633:22,
 10634:1
suspicion - 10432:3,
 10458:20
suspicious -

10443:22, 10522:3,
 10522:5
sweater - 10440:16,
 10440:21, 10441:3,
 10441:8, 10441:10,
 10441:15, 10442:8
sworn - 10488:5,
 10505:12, 10505:22,
 10513:25
system - 10396:3

T
tainted - 10635:1
talkative - 10546:13
talks - 10388:4,
 10388:9, 10392:21,
 10401:24, 10403:13,
 10403:16, 10404:20,
 10405:23, 10405:24,
 10406:5, 10409:10,
 10409:13, 10412:1,
 10412:5, 10425:25,
 10449:4, 10453:21,
 10455:12, 10455:16,
 10469:12, 10487:14,
 10487:16, 10496:10,
 10503:22, 10511:4,
 10632:21
Tallis - 10384:13
tape - 10469:15,
 10469:21, 10474:11,
 10474:12, 10524:12,
 10524:13, 10524:15,
 10538:7
taped - 10466:24,
 10468:4, 10469:12,
 10473:22, 10474:3,
 10474:6, 10484:23,
 10484:25, 10523:4,
 10569:24
tapes - 10474:16,
 10612:22
taping - 10469:14,
 10474:9
taxi - 10456:2
Tdr - 10384:5
team - 10535:7,
 10535:9
teams - 10545:3
technical - 10611:15
Technician - 10383:13
techniques - 10565:13
telephone - 10521:14,
 10523:2
Telephone - 10521:15
tend - 10557:18
tense - 10433:19
term - 10441:23
termed - 10449:23
terms - 10548:24,
 10549:14, 10563:9,
 10587:20, 10597:10,
 10612:20, 10635:7
terrified - 10546:16,
 10547:10
territories - 10545:23
territory - 10545:11
terror - 10548:13
test - 10445:25,
 10446:4, 10446:6,
 10462:14, 10462:20,
 10503:5, 10590:17
tested - 10412:8
testified - 10410:3,
 10508:11, 10508:18,
 10513:17, 10570:19
testifying - 10515:7
Testimony - 10382:14
testimony - 10513:24,

10571:20
tests - 10529:19
Texaco - 10478:8
that' - 10598:17
that'd - 10529:24
theft - 10445:7
theoretically -
 10532:13
theories - 10424:4,
 10424:6, 10458:12,
 10497:9, 10541:16
theorizing - 10442:7,
 10587:10
theory - 10401:15,
 10432:2, 10432:5,
 10433:1, 10433:23,
 10438:7, 10438:14,
 10438:16, 10438:23,
 10441:19, 10442:10,
 10444:10, 10444:12,
 10445:5, 10445:14,
 10452:13, 10453:3,
 10453:21, 10454:5,
 10454:21, 10455:3,
 10456:25, 10457:16,
 10457:20, 10457:23,
 10458:12, 10558:5,
 10562:11, 10580:22,
 10581:4, 10581:13,
 10581:24, 10581:25,
 10582:2, 10582:11,
 10582:25, 10584:19,
 10586:2, 10586:4,
 10586:5, 10586:8,
 10586:15, 10587:15,
 10587:17, 10597:21,
 10604:10, 10625:8,
 10632:7
there - 10441:10
thereabouts -
 10451:24
thereafter - 10413:22
therefore - 10432:12,
 10438:4, 10438:21,
 10544:17
things' - 10516:4
thinking - 10411:24,
 10438:18, 10438:20,
 10444:24, 10449:3,
 10450:8, 10568:21,
 10569:2, 10584:21,
 10597:13, 10599:20
third - 10400:18,
 10432:7, 10536:20
this' - 10605:22
thoroughly - 10616:10
thoughts - 10423:2,
 10424:10, 10460:10
threat - 10408:13
threatened - 10495:12
three - 10400:21,
 10400:24, 10401:1,
 10401:2, 10406:24,
 10412:5, 10412:18,
 10432:10, 10446:15,
 10509:5, 10514:3,
 10594:14, 10624:7,
 10627:10
Threinen - 10389:8,
 10389:9
threw - 10504:6
thrown - 10442:18,
 10510:13
Thursday - 10476:1,
 10624:12
Thursday-friday -
 10624:12
timely - 10541:12
timing - 10453:9,
 10453:17



tipped - 10477:4
today - 10527:19,
 10528:1, 10532:5,
 10533:6, 10534:21,
 10539:3, 10544:2,
 10544:22, 10545:15,
 10545:21, 10547:13,
 10548:19, 10548:20,
 10557:6, 10570:19,
 10571:6, 10574:3,
 10578:2, 10627:13,
 10633:9
together - 10404:5,
 10421:11, 10423:11,
 10427:21, 10440:4,
 10446:16, 10446:18,
 10450:9, 10452:12,
 10455:6, 10473:5,
 10527:12, 10527:17,
 10556:8, 10556:10,
 10574:25, 10580:20,
 10584:7, 10594:6,
 10594:13, 10594:16,
 10594:17, 10594:19,
 10627:25
togetherness -
 10594:7
tomorrow - 10631:21,
 10639:22
took - 10388:19,
 10388:25, 10391:13,
 10391:17, 10392:19,
 10397:24, 10400:15,
 10410:3, 10427:2,
 10427:6, 10434:5,
 10434:15, 10443:15,
 10453:24, 10485:16,
 10500:24, 10501:18,
 10516:6, 10529:18,
 10533:5, 10533:22,
 10582:21, 10591:23,
 10591:24, 10592:18,
 10595:10, 10597:11,
 10601:20, 10614:16,
 10617:3, 10628:6,
 10637:19
tool - 10395:6,
 10395:9, 10395:12,
 10449:17
top - 10386:12,
 10387:25, 10396:23,
 10402:14, 10426:1,
 10429:23, 10436:14,
 10470:11, 10481:23,
 10500:5, 10501:9,
 10528:14, 10622:3,
 10623:7
toque - 10432:20,
 10432:23, 10443:7,
 10443:10, 10444:5,
 10623:13, 10623:24,
 10623:25, 10624:1,
 10624:20, 10624:25,
 10625:4
toque' - 10443:24
total - 10393:12
totally - 10484:14,
 10528:13
touch - 10605:24
touched - 10488:11,
 10573:24
tow - 10413:18,
 10478:19, 10627:17
towards - 10581:9,
 10587:1, 10620:4,
 10634:4
town - 10626:5
track - 10390:16
tracking - 10509:21
transcript - 10513:24,

10514:22, 10524:11,
 10524:14, 10524:17,
 10524:21, 10554:6,
 10554:7, 10554:10,
 10554:23, 10571:4,
 10573:23
Transcript- 10382:12,
 10386:1
transcription -
 10640:5
transcripts - 10512:19
transferred - 10544:16
transformation -
 10548:11
transpire - 10472:5
transpired - 10592:17
transported -
 10476:13, 10476:18,
 10478:4
trauma - 10533:18
traumatic - 10587:12,
 10588:7
traumatically -
 10589:14
Trav - 10453:12,
 10508:10
Trav-a-leer - 10453:12,
 10508:10
travel - 10528:5,
 10528:15, 10528:17
travelled - 10580:13,
 10596:23
travelling - 10451:3,
 10465:24, 10528:20
travels - 10430:21
trial - 10508:7,
 10508:18, 10508:23,
 10509:9, 10511:23,
 10511:25, 10512:6,
 10512:20, 10514:3,
 10514:8, 10514:16,
 10514:25, 10515:12,
 10515:14, 10515:18,
 10515:21, 10515:23,
 10516:9, 10521:7,
 10560:15, 10560:20,
 10560:24, 10569:17,
 10571:20, 10572:2,
 10573:19, 10601:4,
 10601:6, 10601:21,
 10602:6, 10602:10,
 10602:12
trials - 10514:17,
 10609:23
tried - 10533:23
triggered - 10418:12
trim - 10476:5,
 10630:19
trip - 10416:16,
 10486:25, 10547:4
trips - 10480:25,
 10636:2, 10637:15
trouble - 10631:24
truck - 10413:18,
 10478:19, 10627:18
True- 10588:25
true - 10431:16,
 10433:18, 10445:20,
 10446:23, 10447:5,
 10448:21, 10448:23,
 10451:7, 10559:12,
 10596:16, 10604:15,
 10635:14, 10640:5
truth - 10525:8,
 10590:18, 10590:20,
 10594:1, 10604:22,
 10605:2, 10605:4,
 10606:18
truthful - 10507:10,
 10514:12, 10515:2,

10554:24
try - 10407:13,
 10439:25, 10443:2,
 10448:21, 10520:21,
 10567:13, 10581:25,
 10583:11, 10588:12,
 10599:8, 10630:13
trying - 10419:9,
 10421:18, 10428:20,
 10431:1, 10439:21,
 10439:22, 10441:2,
 10500:25, 10501:11,
 10509:1, 10513:14,
 10533:25, 10535:18,
 10557:24, 10568:2,
 10582:5, 10583:21,
 10586:20, 10607:25,
 10623:3, 10628:20,
 10637:12, 10637:13
Tuesday- 10465:21
tunnel - 10582:7
turn - 10400:9,
 10413:12, 10502:20,
 10512:1, 10586:10,
 10606:12, 10608:13,
 10615:23
turned - 10426:18,
 10482:20, 10512:5,
 10601:17, 10628:8
twig - 10627:4
two - 10386:18,
 10387:25, 10391:21,
 10392:16, 10392:19,
 10400:13, 10404:14,
 10404:20, 10408:7,
 10412:3, 10425:12,
 10425:25, 10430:16,
 10430:25, 10433:6,
 10435:5, 10439:18,
 10449:19, 10464:3,
 10476:23, 10476:25,
 10493:12, 10498:5,
 10514:2, 10514:14,
 10519:15, 10527:9,
 10531:6, 10531:19,
 10532:2, 10532:23,
 10582:18, 10589:19,
 10593:25, 10601:19,
 10603:19, 10614:3,
 10614:4, 10615:9
type - 10387:8,
 10387:9, 10387:17,
 10389:19, 10394:21,
 10394:25, 10395:6,
 10395:12, 10397:14,
 10399:24, 10400:1,
 10400:6, 10408:1,
 10410:18, 10429:25,
 10446:5, 10486:18,
 10492:25, 10493:3,
 10529:25, 10546:15,
 10595:12
typed - 10398:3,
 10430:2, 10430:3,
 10430:10, 10459:9
types - 10397:25
typewritten - 10423:19
typing - 10425:3
typographical -
 10620:16
U
U-turn - 10502:20
Ulrich - 10426:16,
 10511:4, 10511:6,
 10540:25, 10541:13,
 10622:4
ulterior - 10613:25
Umm - 10620:12

unable - 10402:9,
 10405:10, 10456:5
unanswered - 10458:5
unbelievable -
 10529:5
unblock - 10599:9
unblocking - 10597:12
uncertainty -
 10548:24, 10549:13
under - 10408:13,
 10411:25, 10425:13,
 10435:22, 10514:6,
 10553:25, 10566:1,
 10604:22, 10606:17,
 10613:16, 10622:21
undercover -
 10553:13, 10553:18
undergone - 10548:10
understood -
 10603:19
undisclosed -
 10546:19
undoubtedly -
 10396:10, 10422:20
undress - 10408:14
unidentified - 10538:7
uniform - 10482:22,
 10500:2, 10500:3,
 10500:22
unimplicated -
 10526:1, 10526:4
unique - 10501:23
university - 10400:19
unknown - 10587:3
unless - 10426:16,
 10469:22, 10584:16,
 10595:4, 10598:19
Unless - 10576:21
unsolved - 10400:21,
 10408:7, 10457:11
unusual - 10443:17,
 10451:19, 10451:21,
 10452:1, 10456:3,
 10495:11, 10501:25,
 10502:6, 10504:23,
 10505:3, 10518:16,
 10520:2, 10541:10,
 10572:19
up - 10386:11,
 10386:25, 10387:9,
 10387:15, 10387:19,
 10391:3, 10393:5,
 10395:16, 10397:22,
 10403:20, 10406:13,
 10407:2, 10411:9,
 10414:23, 10415:3,
 10417:7, 10418:19,
 10423:14, 10430:2,
 10430:4, 10430:10,
 10436:14, 10442:21,
 10446:9, 10453:6,
 10453:23, 10453:25,
 10455:10, 10459:9,
 10459:14, 10459:16,
 10460:24, 10461:22,
 10469:22, 10473:17,
 10473:24, 10480:19,
 10482:15, 10482:17,
 10483:10, 10488:19,
 10489:5, 10489:6,
 10503:20, 10504:2,
 10506:7, 10509:15,
 10510:20, 10511:21,
 10512:8, 10512:15,
 10513:2, 10515:6,
 10516:2, 10516:12,
 10517:10, 10524:10,
 10527:13, 10528:7,
 10528:9, 10529:2,
 10529:3, 10529:18,

10531:6, 10531:19,
 10533:5, 10534:14,
 10535:17, 10537:11,
 10540:3, 10545:9,
 10546:10, 10556:16,
 10558:11, 10559:18,
 10560:8, 10563:17,
 10564:3, 10566:4,
 10568:12, 10568:15,
 10569:7, 10573:24,
 10576:21, 10579:5,
 10579:7, 10579:9,
 10581:14, 10582:19,
 10585:15, 10585:20,
 10586:24, 10588:5,
 10592:17, 10595:21,
 10596:25, 10598:19,
 10605:20, 10606:8,
 10606:11, 10609:6,
 10609:10, 10609:12,
 10611:19, 10612:24,
 10616:10, 10618:17,
 10621:18, 10622:1,
 10622:2, 10622:9,
 10629:24, 10633:5,
 10638:25, 10639:5,
 10639:8, 10639:11
upset - 10475:7
utilized - 10542:22
V
V1 - 10400:14,
 10404:17, 10404:20,
 10404:22, 10405:7,
 10405:8, 10406:1,
 10406:19, 10412:9,
 10520:9, 10543:24,
 10544:2, 10549:25,
 10550:8
V1)-(v2 - 10405:16,
 10409:19, 10410:4
V2 - 10400:14,
 10402:4, 10402:19,
 10402:20, 10403:3,
 10403:22, 10404:3,
 10405:6, 10406:19,
 10412:10, 10412:21,
 10412:24, 10520:9,
 10543:20, 10550:8
V3 - 10400:20,
 10406:19, 10519:20,
 10520:9, 10550:9
V4 - 10563:19
V5 - 10516:15,
 10516:16, 10516:20,
 10517:19, 10518:9,
 10518:11, 10519:4,
 10519:21, 10520:9,
 10543:20
vacation - 10578:20
vacuum - 10592:19
vague - 10586:22
value - 10409:9,
 10409:23, 10410:4
various - 10463:3,
 10466:7, 10494:7,
 10494:8, 10524:15,
 10548:4, 10580:2,
 10619:9
vehicle - 10413:15,
 10413:16, 10433:2,
 10443:15, 10453:25,
 10454:7, 10468:19,
 10588:21, 10627:16,
 10639:16
vehicles - 10456:4
verbally - 10437:14
Vern - 10509:17,
 10510:7



version - 10423:19,
10615:17, 10622:10,
10623:19
versus - 10452:14
via - 10476:16
vicinity - 10564:1,
10603:17
Vicky - 10455:13
victim - 10408:10,
10501:23, 10520:20,
10546:9
victims - 10400:13,
10406:8, 10412:5,
10520:13, 10520:17,
10549:21
Victor - 10398:23
Victoria - 10473:18,
10474:22, 10546:18
view - 10412:19,
10413:5, 10413:7,
10433:8, 10433:12,
10531:11, 10532:15,
10532:17, 10532:19,
10536:19, 10549:12,
10567:14, 10597:11,
10602:2, 10609:9
viewed - 10443:17
virtually - 10592:19,
10594:24
visible - 10451:25
vision - 10582:7
visit - 10419:1
voir - 10572:25
Volume - 10382:22
voluminous -
10427:22
voluntarily - 10571:12

W

Wagner - 10608:21
waist - 10500:4
wait - 10583:18,
10593:11, 10625:25
waited - 10489:21
waiting - 10445:11,
10456:2, 10478:18
Waldren - 10467:14
walk - 10465:8,
10465:10
walking - 10408:11,
10579:15
wall - 10476:21,
10483:13
Wallet - 10443:7
wallet - 10390:25,
10391:2, 10391:4,
10391:11, 10391:14,
10391:16, 10391:18,
10432:17, 10443:10,
10443:24, 10444:7,
10444:11, 10444:13,
10445:1
Walters - 10435:19,
10466:5, 10466:11,
10466:16, 10467:25,
10469:7, 10475:4
Walters' - 10466:13
wants - 10430:24,
10597:1
wants' - 10431:22
Ward - 10387:4,
10387:13, 10418:2,
10425:18, 10426:20,
10551:2, 10551:16,
10556:5, 10560:2,
10560:10
Ward's - 10426:6,
10556:19
warrant - 10528:10

watched - 10633:23
ways - 10597:16
weaken - 10458:20
weapon - 10389:9,
10389:19, 10389:24
weapons - 10389:24
wear - 10630:24
wearing - 10476:6,
10623:13, 10623:23,
10625:3, 10630:20,
10631:3
weary - 10535:6
wedding - 10510:5
Wednesday -
10382:21, 10466:15
week - 10418:3,
10527:9
week's - 10470:23
weekend - 10413:17
weeks - 10527:9
Welfare - 10466:18
Wempe - 10384:9
west - 10439:5,
10454:8, 10478:4,
10548:8, 10634:2
West - 10476:19,
10478:12
Westwood - 10455:24,
10476:22
whatsoever -
10638:16
white - 10476:5,
10582:22, 10630:18,
10633:15
whole - 10440:3,
10446:21, 10527:4,
10534:8, 10558:7,
10622:1, 10635:4
wife - 10578:14,
10605:15
Wilde - 10383:12
William - 10385:3,
10386:6, 10398:25
Wilson - 10384:6,
10399:7, 10413:23,
10417:10, 10418:22,
10429:12, 10438:3,
10440:17, 10441:12,
10441:14, 10441:19,
10442:7, 10442:10,
10443:8, 10443:14,
10444:8, 10444:22,
10444:25, 10445:6,
10445:10, 10445:18,
10447:5, 10447:8,
10447:14, 10447:24,
10450:13, 10450:22,
10451:17, 10462:13,
10462:18, 10463:3,
10463:8, 10463:11,
10463:12, 10463:17,
10464:12, 10467:22,
10468:8, 10468:16,
10468:25, 10469:7,
10471:15, 10473:4,
10481:16, 10482:6,
10482:7, 10483:12,
10483:15, 10483:20,
10485:4, 10485:19,
10486:11, 10486:20,
10487:6, 10487:12,
10487:20, 10488:9,
10506:14, 10506:20,
10530:24, 10531:16,
10541:18, 10569:25,
10570:21, 10571:2,
10580:23, 10593:15,
10593:19, 10599:13,
10607:12, 10607:20,
10607:21, 10629:14,

10630:6, 10630:9,
10638:15, 10639:3
Wilson' - 10487:16
Wilson's - 10443:15,
10502:19
win - 10446:17
window - 10455:19,
10456:2, 10456:22,
10504:6, 10510:13,
10526:11, 10535:2
Winnipeg - 10519:12,
10519:13, 10519:18,
10519:24, 10520:3,
10544:9, 10545:7,
10603:20, 10603:25
winter - 10500:4
wire - 10483:13
wise - 10540:2
wish - 10539:23,
10574:17
wished - 10449:20
wishes - 10576:21
withholding - 10531:2,
10531:5, 10531:10,
10531:17
witness - 10388:25,
10469:14, 10484:24,
10490:6, 10490:8,
10491:13, 10492:23,
10494:9, 10504:24,
10505:5, 10505:12,
10511:9, 10534:16,
10594:25, 10595:1
witness' - 10493:2,
10494:1
witnessed - 10481:6,
10485:12, 10491:9,
10491:19, 10502:14,
10503:3, 10505:1,
10507:18, 10508:4,
10508:15, 10533:16,
10548:15, 10638:4
witnesses - 10442:11,
10442:13, 10442:15,
10449:5, 10484:22,
10489:5, 10505:20,
10507:9, 10541:19,
10553:2, 10594:19,
10595:14, 10603:4
witnessing -
10489:15, 10504:14,
10504:16, 10573:8,
10573:10
Wolch - 10384:2,
10385:5, 10576:25,
10577:1, 10591:5,
10623:9
Wolfgang - 10388:2
woman - 10439:17,
10563:19, 10633:3,
10633:14, 10635:16
women - 10439:18,
10595:18
women's - 10595:18,
10596:18
wonder - 10386:20,
10608:13
wondering - 10391:20,
10437:1, 10462:3,
10471:13, 10471:22,
10481:25, 10485:17,
10500:14, 10500:25,
10506:9, 10513:4,
10515:17, 10526:1,
10535:6, 10567:8,
10570:18, 10576:18,
10593:2, 10600:6,
10621:20
wonders - 10532:15
Wood - 10461:8,

10481:12, 10481:18,
10486:3, 10503:14,
10518:1, 10518:2,
10555:11, 10555:13,
10560:2, 10560:10,
10618:1, 10618:11,
10629:18, 10629:19,
10638:14
word - 10402:22,
10439:12, 10447:10,
10496:19, 10578:1,
10578:5, 10586:13,
10587:8, 10596:11,
10611:4
words - 10420:12,
10425:11, 10444:12,
10449:24, 10453:3,
10454:14, 10455:1,
10472:3, 10491:1,
10506:8, 10508:2,
10516:2, 10535:25,
10568:20, 10570:10,
10574:21, 10587:22
wore - 10444:4,
10624:20
Worker - 10466:19,
10466:25
worker - 10467:11
workers - 10538:24
works - 10453:17
world - 10460:19,
10596:1
worry - 10535:18
worth - 10422:20
wrap - 10573:24
wrap-up - 10573:24
write - 10416:3,
10473:13, 10505:8,
10528:21, 10545:16
writer - 10522:7,
10535:16
writing - 10396:23,
10406:16, 10406:20,
10414:7, 10423:25,
10496:12, 10505:17,
10521:25, 10599:2
written - 10392:7,
10424:11, 10513:15,
10561:9, 10573:15,
10575:8, 10581:10
Wrongful - 10382:3
wrongful - 10614:17
wrote - 10407:3,
10435:1, 10506:6,
10585:4

X

X' - 10387:19
x-ray - 10620:18

Y

yard - 10624:4
year - 10386:18,
10431:9, 10635:16
years - 10506:4,
10523:20, 10525:13,
10538:19, 10577:15,
10577:17, 10577:19,
10590:6, 10601:14,
10602:13, 10606:4,
10629:5, 10633:14
yesterday - 10386:8,
10387:12, 10411:11,
10504:16, 10505:1,
10539:3, 10552:21,
10560:5, 10562:9,
10573:11, 10574:3,
10633:9

you' - 10599:1,
10611:9
young - 10391:3,
10391:4, 10391:7,
10392:16, 10516:15,
10518:4, 10591:17,
10604:21
younger - 10532:23
yourself - 10421:20,
10453:16, 10528:23,
10535:6, 10539:11,
10539:17, 10564:20,
10584:20, 10596:14,
10613:2, 10614:4,
10615:7
youths - 10413:15

