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## Transcript of Proceedings

(Reconvened at 10:56 a.m.)
COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Good morning. ALL COUNSEL: Good morning.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: What follows are my reasons in the matter of the ruling on the scope of cross-examination of this witness.

The issue here is to what extent may a witness be cross-examined on a written statement, which she admits having signed, but of whose contents she has no present memory.

The background is that the
witness Daniels/Williams gave a statement to police on the $20 t h$ of March, 1969 marked as 006500 . She has been shown this statement and acknowledges her signature, but does not recall making the statement. She admits some of the contents, but not all. Commission Counsel put some of the statements to her, but not all.

Mr. Fox, for Karst, attempted to put to her parts of the statement not covered by Commission Counsel not, as he said, in an effort to refresh her memory, but to demonstrate that the police had information about Milgaard
which made him a legitimate person of interest. The Milgaard counsel objected that the material gratuitously maligned David Milgaard.

The arguments made, briefly, were as follows. Counsel for the police and the prosecutor argued that reading of the statement should be allowed as demonstrating the type of information which was available to the police and the prosecution at the time, information which justified their interest in Milgaard as a suspect in the Gail Miller murder.

In brief analysis, the arguments for both reading in and declining to do so are soundly based. Gratuitous publication, through a public hearing, of character-damaging references should not be allowed. Relevance is the key. But some of the references relating to legitimate police interest are relevant and, here, the question is simply one of reliable verification.

Turning to the principles and authority, not surprisingly no authorities were cited by counsel, the discretion to refer to documentary evidence in a public inquiry being practically unfettered. The Saskatchewan

Evidence Act does not assist. The rules of evidence from trial practice offer some guidance but do not apply as strictly. Admissibility is much more relaxed here, which accounts for the fact that a Commission of Inquiry makes no findings of civil or criminal liability, because such findings are made without the safeguards of the rules of evidence, that is the findings of a public inquiry are made without the safeguards of the rules of evidence, and lack the reliability needed for rights-based adjudication. In this Inquiry, witnesses are being called at large, and not necessarily for discrete issues. Therefore, a witness' testimony may have relevance over a broad range. Because the rule against hearsay does not apply, written material of all kinds is before the Commission, only its weight being in issue. As a general rule, however, probative value must exceed prejudicial effect.

In his introductory remarks,
Commission Counsel addressed matters of procedure and practice, and it is worthwhile returning briefly to that subject at this time. Commission Counsel has the responsibility to present the
evidence as completely and impartially as he can. He has the discretion to present the evidence in the order he chooses and, save in exceptional circumstances, $I$ will not interfere in his plan of presentation.

For example, he is free to read in as much or as little of a witness' statement or prior testimony as he deems advisable. Thus far, he has asked witnesses for their present recollection of events, and then refers them to statements given by them previously, as well as testimony of theirs in earlier proceedings. The witness is given the chance to explain inconsistencies, but is not challenged on them. That is left to counsel for the parties with standing, who are expected to confine their cross-examination to matters which engage their own clients' interest.

That is the procedure adopted thus far but, in the exercise of my discretion to consider documentary evidence, I should emphasize that, although wide scope is permitted in cross-examination, relevance must be demonstrated. Where character and reputation are at stake, a higher standard of relevancy is
required.
Commission Counsel will use his best judgement to read in or refer witnesses to material which he considers relevant to the Terms of Reference and which he perceives engage the interest of parties with standing. Potential embarrassment alone will not justify refusal to read in, but where the prejudicial effect exceeds probative value, the Commissioner, in his unfettered discretion, may exclude the evidence.

The best evidence available will be adduced unless it is undisputed. Thus, where documentary evidence is available from more than one witness, it will be led through the witness most conversant with the document.

The cases dealing with the
admission of past recollection recorded are not easily applied in a public inquiry setting, which permits the use of hearsay evidence, the main question being the weight to be given to it. The factors which determine the result of the current application to read in are authenticity and relevance.

The first step in determining
authenticity is an acknowledgment by the witness
of her signature on the document and that it is in her own writing, if such be the case. If the document is not in her own writing, and she professes to have no memory of it, then the danger arises that the recording is inaccurate, in which case the best person to establish authenticity would be the recorder, in this case the police officer who copied out the answers to questions he put to her.

The following is a quote:
"All the factors relevant to trustworthiness should be weighed including whether the witness might, when making the record, have had a motive to lie or been under some pressure internally or externally that might have put a blush upon the honest truth." End of quote, which comes from the $R$ v Campbell, the Nova Scotia Court of Appeal, 2002, N.S.J. 120, page 14 of 18.

It is important to remember that the document in question is already part of the record, because all evidence not subject to publication ban and bearing a document ID is accessible through the electronic record. Thus, the public will have a form of access,
notwithstanding that in a given case the material in question has not been read in during the public hearings.

A further factor of practical
importance is that almost all of the material comprising the documentary record has been provided to the Commission by parties with standing, and that most of that is in the public domain already, through media publication or court record.

Nevertheless, we, the
Commission, should not be seen to facilitate media publication, in the course of a public hearing, of inflammatory or prejudicial material of little or no relevance or probative value.

Commission Counsel, in offering documentary evidence as past recollection recorded, will consider, although not be strictly bound by, the usual factors that the witness must have had first-hand knowledge of the event, the document must have been made at or near the time of the event, the witness must lack present memory of the event, and the witness must vouch for the accuracy of the document. Authorities in which these principles are elaborated include $\underset{V}{V}$

Williamson, from the Ontario Court of Justice, 1992, OJ 2416, page 13 of 16.

Thus, for example, if the present disputed document were offered through the police officer who took the statement and wrote it out, those factors would be important.

And see as well in $R \quad v$ Meddoui, Alberta Court of Appeal, 61 Canadian Criminal Cases, 3rd, 375, pages 7, 8, and 9 of 24; and R V D.G., 2004, B.C.J. 1290, pages 5 and 6 of 10; and a work entitled Witnesses in looseleaf authored by Allan W. Mewett and Peter J. Sankoff, pages 1309 to 1311, inclusive; and Billy Kinsey v The State of Arizona, Supreme Court of Arizona, ARIZ 201, pages 9 to 11, inclusive, of 16.

To turn now to the matter at
hand, we have a written statement signed by the witness but written out, presumably by a police officer. The witness has no present memory of the events recorded and efforts to revive her memory by showing her the document have been unsuccessful. Counsel seeking to read in parts of a document which demonstrate bad character or harm reputation must identify to me the claimed point of relevancy before putting the question.

The statement in writing shall then be shown to the witness in a manner which avoids public scrutiny.

Where a witness, for lack of memory, cannot confirm having made a statement, it speaks for itself, and it shall not be read aloud through the witness, although application may later be made to read the document aloud through a witness who can vouch for its authenticity. The mischief to be avoided here is the publication, beyond the official record, of evidence of bad character given in an earlier statement which the witness cannot adopt as hers.

It is recognized that the public record contains some character references and accusations of wrongdoing which are thought to be irrelevant, or false, or of little weight. In the interest of thoroughness and fairness, however, such material has not been discarded because relevancy cannot always be predicted. Therefore, the material is available for legitimate use by the parties, even though it has not been led in evidence by Commission Counsel in whole or in part.

The reach of such material cuts
across the known interests of parties with standing, so these parties arguing for exclusion from reading aloud in a particular case are inviting similar treatment when seeking the benefit of evidence which they may want to lead in this manner.

This Inquiry is public by
definition. It seeks to find answers which could not be revealed through the process of litigation and to expose error, if any occurred, in the process of litigation.

In the matter of admissibility and relevancy, inclusion is favoured, subject to the exceptional treatment of evidence affecting character or reputation where a higher standard of relevancy is required. We can do no more than to seek to strike a reasonable balance between the public's right to know and the individual's expectation of privacy.

These guidelines are offered
this morning, in draft form, as a quick solution to an immediate problem. They will be published in final form as soon as time permits. They might need change and improvement as time goes on. They are not immutable. considerations to the statement in question, 006500 -- and counsel anticipating cross-examination should make note, please, of the following numbers -- there are statements implicating Milgaard in criminal offences which I cannot particularize without rendering nugatory any exclusionary order I might make. The numbers are $006501,502,503,505,506,507,508,509$, 512, and 513. These statements are relevant as supporting legitimate police interest, but because the witness disclaims any memory of making them, she is not the best source to verify the statements and they may not be read in through her.

There is, as well, some
reference to consensual sex, 006502 , 504 and 511, which is irrelevant and may not be read in.

There is some evidence of
non-consensual sex, which supports legitimate police interest, and which the witness has acknowledged independently of the statement and by reference to the March $22 n d, \quad 69$ police report, 009245. These references in her own statement are practically inseparable from the
irrelevant material and, in view of her direct evidence, of slight probative value. They may not be read in.

Consensual sex is described at 006504 , and is irrelevant, and not to be read in.

The non-consensual sex
described at 006506 is relevant to police
interest, but may not be read in through this witness, who disclaims memory.

References to consensual sex
are irrelevant and not to be read in.

Mr. Fox, I believe you were in
the course of cross-examination. If you need a minute to digest what $I$ have just said by reference to your own copy of the statement, you may have it, otherwise, you are free to continue.

## SHARON ANN DANIELS, continued:

CONTINUED EXAMINATION BY MR. FOX:

MR. FOX: Mr. Commissioner, I think probably what $I$ need more than anything is some direction from you in terms of where $I$ was hoping to go, and whether or not that's on side with your ruling or not.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay.
MR. FOX: I think I appreciate what you
have indicated.

What $I$ was hoping to do this morning, there were -- there are a few subject areas $I$ wanted to raise with the witness, and to see if she did have some independent recollection of those subject areas, and $I$ was proposing to raise those in a general way with her as opposed to, say, highlighting a particular paragraph in a statement. And I think you have indicated that if her answer is she doesn't have any independent recollection of it now, and obviously she has had a chance to review her statement previously, that's as far as $I$ can go with it?

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yes.

MR. FOX: But $I$ did want to direct her to those areas. So, umm --

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yes. And my statement of, as you will see when you get a chance to look it over, does not speak to cross-examination at large. You can ask her anything you want which is -- has an element of relevance to it --

MR. FOX: Right.

COMMISSIONER MaCCALLUM: -- provided you don't seek to confirm it by reading aloud the
statements made --
MR. FOX: Right.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: -- and which I have noted. Okay?

MR. FOX: Okay. Now I can tell you -- and I'll raise this with you before $I$ start, Mr. Commissioner -- the question was put to the witness by Commission Counsel about the comment that appeared in Detective Malanowich's report about violence and abnormal behaviour.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Uh-huh.

MR. FOX: And I was going to put the somewhat general question to the witness in terms of the basis in which she would have acknowledged that.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: If I can just find my note of that, please.

MR. FOX: I think that was fairly close to the end, I think, of Commission Counsel's questioning.

MR. BERESH: My Lord, if I might be of assistance, electronic fashion has it at page 3769 .

MR. FOX: I appreciate that, because my electronics aren't working very well this
morning, and $I$ haven't been able to get it up.
COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thanks,
Mr. Beresh. I just wanted to find my own note and I can't understand where it's gone.

MR. BERESH: The answer appears there following a long question.

MR. HODSON: We may be able to put it up on the screen, Mr. Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay.
MR. BERESH: It starts at 3767 .
COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Is this from the police report as opposed to the statement?

MR. FOX: Yeah. It looks like the police report, Mr. Commissioner, was put to the witness, and that's where the question came out of, and that was March 22 nd, '69.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yes. Oh, I see what's the problem here, just a 'sec. Just leave that for a moment.

I have the following note to
March $22 n d, \quad 69$, the police report, in which she
first said she can't remember the events
described there but she never told anybody she
thought Milgaard was capable of murder, she didn't think so, and asked about the sexual
relations described $I$ have her saying "I think it kind of states how it was"; is that what you were interested in, Mr. Fox?

MR. FOX: I think the --
Mr. Commissioner --
COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: I guess I better
start over again, $I$ don't think you heard me?
MR. FOX: No, I -- I'm great at
multi-tasking, $I$ think $I$ did hear you, Mr. Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: The reference to which I believe you are interested, in which I believe you are interested, is March 22nd, '69 police report which was shown to her by Commission Counsel, or parts of it. She couldn't remember the events described and never told anybody she thought Milgaard was capable of murder, and then asked about the description of the sexual relations, and she said, in my recording, " $I$ think it kind of states how it was". Is that the point you want to address?

MR. FOX: Yes. I think it actually is the page that's up on the screen now, page 3771 , it starts where, again, she -- the police report is being put to the witness and, obviously, that's

Detective Malanowich's conclusions.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Give me the line numbers, please?

MR. FOX: I think if you start, you have to start about line 7, that was the portion of the report that was put to her.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yes.

MR. FOX: Okay.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: All right. All
right. I'll tell you what went in and that $I$ made note of. She was asked by counsel "do you think he was an abnormal and violent type of person", and her answer was to the effect that "I think that might be fair but $I$ really can't recall what $I$ thought".

MR. FOX: Yeah, and I think that's right, I think that's clear from her answer there that she says --

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: So that was cross-examination at large, you can go into that if you want.

BY MR. FOX:

Q Ms. Williams -- or Ms. Daniels, sorry, I'm not sure if you recall when you were asked that question yesterday or when Mr. Hodson -- that's

Commission Counsel -- read that quote to you from the detective's report -- and that's his report, that's his comment, not your comments -- but you thought that the description that -- and maybe I'll start out by this. Would it be fair to say that, in terms of your recollection of David Milgaard, there was lots of good recollections of him, in the sense of lots of times he treated you well and you got along well?

Yes, yes.
There were some times when it wasn't so good; would that be fair to say as well?

Yes.
Okay. In the questions that were put to you yesterday you were asked, or read the portion of the report that refers to abnormal or a violent type of person, and $I$ think in fairness it doesn't suggest that that's the way he always was, but it was suggested "was that -- does that apply sometimes", and you seem to say in your answer that "yeah, that's a fair statement". And I'm just asking you; can you tell us why you would have agreed that that was a fair statement?

Well it just -- like what you had said, you know, sometimes it was good and other times it wasn't,
and so I think it was just a -- depending on the circumstance and situation, you know, that we found ourselves in.

Okay. Do you have any -- can you relate to us what circumstances would lead you to the conclusion to say at times that description would have been accurate?

Oh, $I$ can't really particularly think of any particular one.

Do you have any recollection at all?
No. Of?

Why you would say that that statement was fair?
No. Actually, I'm just kind of like -- no, I don't, sorry, $I$ can't think of any, you know, particular.

Okay.
Yeah.

So your rec -- so would it be fair to say that your general recollection would be that, at times, you could describe David as being violent or abnormal; that would be sort of the general recollection you have looking back on 1968-1969?

A
I guess, you know, like "violent", like fists punching and things like that, what do you -- I don't really know, like "violent", I really don't
understand what that could imply.
Sure.
Yeah, okay, yeah.
Let me ask you this question then. I think there was a question asked of you that was sort of along the lines if you had been, and I'm not sure if the word sexually assaulted by him was used, but sort of along the lines of that -- and

Mr. Commissioner, I'm not sure if I have got that right, but -- and it was, and it would have been after that line of questioning that $I$ have just referred to.

MR. BERESH: Page 3759 .
COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thanks,
Mr. Beresh. I have him saying at one point, or her saying, asking her "is it true that you were never sexually assaulted by David Milgaard" and she said that was true. Is that what you are looking for?

MR. FOX: It's starting at the bottom of page 3758, My Lord, I think, which is up on the screen now.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay.
MR. FOX: AND the question is asked at the bottom:
"Did he ever act inappropriately or
without your consent?"
COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay.
MR. FOX: Top of the page then:
"A I guess, yeah."
COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Oh, it's a
different one.
BY MR. FOX:

Q
A Well I guess he was just, just the way he was, he just always would seem to at the time, you know, like forcing himself, but then, you know, I was -in the beginning forcing himself, but then $I$ became a willing partner, so if that's the answer."

Can $I$ just ask you, Ms. Williams or Mrs. Daniels, what you sort of meant by that?

Well I think that $I$ can remember that there were times that he would just be forcing himself on me but, like I said, I then became a willing partner.

Like it was just a matter of almost like game-playing, from what $I$ can recall, you know. Like --

Okay. So, in the end, you would agree to have --

Q

A

Q

A

Q

A
$Q$

A

A Yeah, uh-huh. Oh yes, definitely, yes.

MR. FOX: Mr. Commissioner, there is reference to a -- and, again, I'm raising with you a question $I$ want to ask the witness, and I'm trusting that that's okay with the witness being present, if you prefer I --

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Uh-huh, go ahead?
MR. FOX: Okay. There is a reference made in this statement, and then in the two subsequent statements referred to, to a gun and --

COMMISSIONER MaCCALLUM: That's amongst the list of proscribed pages, I believe.

MR. FOX: The only reason, and the reason why $I$ wanted to ask that, was simply in relation to the evidence that was heard from Shorty Cadrain, if you will recall, Mr. Commissioner, there was reference to that.

COMMISSIONER MaCCALLUM: No, but you are asking the wrong person, according to the reasons I just gave you. It is there, for what it's worth, in the statement, if the police officer that took the statement can shed any more light on it, fine, but it speaks for itself. And, of course, you can relate it, if you think you are able, to Shorty Cadrain's statement later on.

MR. FOX: Yeah. The only thing is, I don't think this witness is -- if she has no independent recollection of it, then obviously that's as far as $I$ can go, I don't think she --

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Well I think she said that, didn't she?

MR. FOX: I don't think she actually was asked that by Commission Counsel. I could be mistaken but $I$ don't think that has been put to the witness.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Well I -- my
impression was she didn't remember anything after
the first page or two.
MR. FOX: With respect, actually if you will recall, $I$ went through with her that the statement actually covers a series of travels that she made, which she independently related to the Commission, to Commission Counsel before she ever got to her statement, so there's -- that was my only reason for wanting --

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: What page is it on?

MR. FOX: Actually the reference to that is found, Mr. Commissioner, in the 1969 statement --

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yes.
MR. FOX: -- at page 505. It's also
found -- it's actually in all three statements,
Mr. Commissioner, it's in the 1960 -- sorry --
1969 statement at page 505; it is in the 1991 statement, which is document 008731, at page 733; and it's in the 1993 statement --

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Well are you seeking to introduce this for the purpose of somehow corroborating what Shorty Cadrain's statement had to say about guns?

MR. FOX: There is no other purpose for it than that, yes.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Well that, no, I won't allow that, because your avowed purpose for going into this witness' statement was to demonstrate that the police had information available to them which would disclose a legitimate interest as a suspect.

MR. FOX: No, but my -- but I also
indicated, and I still indicate, that much has been made about whether or not the police should have been relying upon Shorty Cadrain, and his reliability in terms of what he said, and so on.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Oh yes.

MR. FOX: And so if this witness in 1969, for example, is referring to something that would seem to corroborate what Mr. Cadrain said, it's another reason why.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Uh—huh.

MR. FOX: Now having said that,

Mr. Commissioner, I would agree this falls into a little different category in the sense that it's in the statement, she has referred to the gun in all three statements, and as much as I can ask her is if she has any recollection of it. But certainly we know that was information the police had in 1969 , which is the significance of it, I
guess.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yes, it is, and you are going to hear more about it, I'm sure, later on.

MR. FOX: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: But, for the moment, that page number is one which $I$ referred to as implicating Milgaard in criminal offences, and $I$ said you can't read it in, so you can't read it in.

MR. FOX: Okay. Mr. Commissioner, there's - -

COMMISSIONER MaCCALLUM: Nor can you read it in indirectly by asking her if she remembers it. She has already said that she doesn't have any, you know, any memory of this.

MR. FOX: Actually, she hasn't.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Well show me where, then, please?

MR. FOX: It's never been raised with her. Commission Counsel didn't ask her that.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: No, it was my impression that her answers following a certain point in the statement were simply "I can't remember from here on in," and that was from here
on in. Why don't you leave it alone, Mr. Fox, it's going to come back.

MR. FOX: Fine. And, Mr. Commissioner,
just -- there was a reference in --

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yes?

MS. KNOX: Mr. Commissioner, I'm sure that the point has been noted by you that, although the witness said yesterday she didn't remember saying it in 1969, in interviews in the '90s she did remember it. So --

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Well she remembers, I think what she said about those latter interviews, that they were probably -- she probably said that. But I'm not going to -- I don't regard that as being authentic, authentic, or at least useful authentication of a document which she now says, after another 15 years have gone by, that she can't even remember having made.

MS. KNOX: But, Mr. Commissioner, I'll make one other point, if $I$ may, before $I$ sit down.

I think we have had clearly
demonstrated throughout the course of this
hearing with various witnesses, they have said at some points in time they don't remember things,
at subsequent points in time they have in fact remembered in great detail. By way of illustration of that $I$ point you back to the evidence of Deborah Hall. She said in 1981, quite emphatically, nothing had happened; in 1986 she said in an affidavit that some things had happened; in 1989, when she was placed under oath, 35 pages into an interview where she was maintaining she had no memory of things happening she suddenly had quite an elaborate memory of things happening.

And I would suggest to you one of the purposes for which we argued yesterday, and we seek to follow the procedure that $I$ think we had started in this Commission up to the point of this witness, was sometimes the fact of putting the material to them under oath does serve the purpose of refreshing memory. I make the point only because of the difficulties I see Mr. Fox having.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: I agree with you, with that statement, but this statement has been put to her and she says she can't remember, that is her own statement.

Now if you can refer me to a
further statement that $I$ can look at where she has said "oh yes, now I remember"?

MS. KNOX: In 1993, I didn't bring my binder with me, I think Mr. Fox had the reference, he gave the page number, if you would take the time to look at that, and that's just one I looked at, I didn't look at both her statements. But clearly, in an interview with the RCMP, she stated that had she remembered an incident like that, and when they pursued questions with her, she gave them some details with respect of it. And I'm talking specifically about the gun in that case. I can give you the page number if Mr. Fox doesn't readily have it available.

MR. FOX: And I appreciate the point that you are making, Mr. Commissioner, and I probably wouldn't raise it if it hadn't been covered, but in 1991 -- and that was the statement that was reviewed with Commission Counsel, which is statement 008731, it's covered at page 733. And then in the 1993 statement -- and I guess, yeah, that's up there now -- and it's about half-way down, Mr. Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: So it goes down to
"was the gun loaded", "I don't know"; that's the end of your concern, is it?

MR. FOX: Yeah. And then it's actually covered again in 1993, Mr. Commissioner, in document --

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Now just a minute.
MR. FOX: Sorry.
COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: And you say
Mr. Hodson referred this, yesterday, to the witness?

MR. FOX: I don't think the witness was specifically asked about that portion.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: All right, yeah, go -- next, please?

MR. FOX: Then the other document is a statement taken in 1993, Mr. Commissioner, 037204 , and I'm -- and $I$ think it's at pages 214 and 15 .

MS. KNOX: Yeah, I just passed the pages up, and I --

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Oh yeah. Now --
MR. FOX: Sorry, I don't know if I touched something, or --

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Well, no, that's all right. She has been shown it, or it's
legitimate to show her that, I don't perceive that as being character-damaging or inflammatory. MR. FOX: So my simple question would be, at this point, if she has any recollection of that.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yeah. See what he is looking at there, ma'am? Of that incident, yes, yes I do.

BY MR. FOX:
Okay. And can you tell me what you recall about the incident?

A
Just that it was, like we were just playing, playing that Russian roulette, and with this gun, and I don't know if it was loaded, I can't tell you that, and --

Okay. I'm sorry, I might have cut you off there? Yeah, and that's about it.

Do you recall where you were when that was happening, what city you were in?

No, I don't.
And do you -- are you able to put a date to that? I mean you have described the time period that you were with David in 1968, and then saw him again in early '69, are you able to put a time frame on that at all?

A
$Q$

A

Not really. It seems to me that it could have been on the way back from Toronto to Regina, in that time frame, but I'm not sure I could -Okay.

Yeah.

MR. FOX: Now, Mr. Commissioner, if we can -- if you have got the statement, the 1969 statement, there is a reference to some -- to an incident that happened at Langenburg on page 216 of that statement, and I'm not -- and I'm not sure if the witness was asked about that, I didn't think she specifically was, and $I$ was just going to, again, ask if she has any recollection of that.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: 216?
MR. FOX: 216, and I think it's the last, second-last paragraph.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: I don't have. I thought it only went to 2 --

MR. FOX: Sorry. If you have got the statement, Mr. Commissioner, I'll give you the -COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: No, no.

MR. FOX: You don't have the statement?

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: No.

MR. FOX: Are we on 0065 numbers for that
statement?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.

MR. FOX: Then it would be on 006512 .

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yeah, I have noted that in my remarks.

MR. FOX: Was that -- I -- so --

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: It's a reference,
I noted on that page statements implicating Milgaard in criminal offences which I said I could not particularize without --

MR. FOX: Right.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: -- rendering nugatory any exclusionary order $I$ might want to make.

MR. FOX: So that's not something I'm to pursue?

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Right.

MR. FOX: The last area I wanted to ask, and this was covered a bit by the witness, and this is referenced on the pages that you have referred to; in terms of the activities or raising money to support himself, or the two of them together, $I$ wanted to ask that.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: What page?

MR. FOX: Actually, it's -- I wasn't going
to ask, I wasn't going to identify any specific page, $I$ was going to ask the question in general terms.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Umm, yes, I think you are entitled to ask general questions, as long as they don't amount to a reading-in of the pages that $I$ have noted.

BY MR. FOX:

Mrs. Daniels, while in Winnipeg or Ottawa or Toronto or Vancouver, or on the road to some of the places along the way there, can you tell me how you and David supported yourselves? Where did the money come from?

I really don't know. I know that there were times where we were, you know, panhandling, and that -that's all $I$ can really remember, recall.

No other recollection, at this time, of any other source --

No.
-- of income?

No.

Okay. And no idea of where money came from to purchase things?

No.

MR. FOX: Umm, I must say,

Mr. Commissioner, I'm a little troubled with that answer, leaving it lay there.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Go ahead.
MR. FOX: Thank you.
BY MR. FOX:
Do you have any -- and before you answer this,
I'll, I'm going to ask the question, and before you answer it, Ms. Williams, can you just wait in case, Mr. Commissioner, you have any concerns with
the question -- but do you have any recollection,
Mrs. Daniels, of Mr. Milgaard purchasing drugs and
selling drugs while in those cities and --
No recollection.
COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: That's fair. Go
ahead.
A No. Yeah, sorry, I'm sorry.
BY MR. FOX:
Sorry?
No I don't.
Do you know where you got the drugs from that you used?

A
Q
A

Q
$Q$

A
$Q$
used?
No $I$ don't.
So you have no recollection of that at this time? No.

Certainly, in 1969, you would have had a pretty
good recollection of that at that time?

A

Q

A

Q
A

Q

A
Q
A
Q

A
Q

A

Q

A
Probably, yeah.
Sure.
Yeah, according to that, that statement.
And $I$ don't want you to --
No.
I'm just saying would you agree with the general
proposition that in 1969, if you were asked
questions about that or to relay what you did or
didn't do when you were out in Vancouver or Ottawa
or Toronto, you would have recollection of that?
Yes.

Okay.
Uh-huh.
And for example $I$ referred you, when $I$ first began questioning you yesterday, to a person called John that's referenced in the statement; you had a recollection of that individual, you had heard -you remember hearing that name from back then? Yes.

Okay. Do you have any recollection of the name Ken Pells?

No.
Any recollection of the name Richard Bear?
No.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: What was the first name?

MR. FOX: Pells, P-E-L-L-S.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Oh.

MR. FOX: And Bear, Richard Bear.

Thank you, ma'am, those are all
the questions $I$ have.
COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: I don't know who's next, counsel?

MR. ELSON: It was going to be me next, Mr. Commissioner, I have no further -- I have no questions.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thanks, Mr. Elson.
MR. GIBSON: No questions.
COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thank you.
Anybody else left? Any redirect?
MR. HODSON: No, I think --

MS. KNOX: I have no questions.
MR. WOLCH: I have some, sir.
COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Oh, you do? Okay.
Before you start I want to ask the -- are you okay, Mr. Reporter?

COURT REPORTER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay.

## BY MR. WOLCH:

Q

A
$Q$

A

Q

A

Q

A
$Q$

A
$Q$

I won't be that long with you. I'm Hersh Wolch, I'm David Milgaard's lawyer.

Uh-huh.
I wasn't quite clear, maybe you can recall this; when the police first came to talk to you, did you consider yourself David's ex-girlfriend at that time?

In '69?
Yes. I couldn't tell if you were -- thought yourself to be still his girlfriend, his former girlfriend, or somewhere in between? I'm not sure what your status was in your own mind? Umm, ex, yeah.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: This was in St. Albert, was it, after the --

BY MR. WOLCH:
Yes sir.

Yeah, ex-girlfriend, yeah.
Yeah. And most ex's seem to tend to not think too flatteringly of the person they just split from; would that perhaps have been affecting you a little bit?

I don't believe so.

Okay. And as you understood it from your mom, I think, that David was being accused of possibly
being a rapist at that time? You found out it was an allegation of murder, of course, but originally
it came to you as rape $I$ think you said?

From what $I$ can recall, $I$ believe that's what $I$ heard, yes.

Okay. Now I think it would be fair to say that the questioning of you really touched on two areas, one would be what you would know about the arrival of the kids in St. Albert on the -- in close proximity to when Gail Miller was murdered? Yes.

That was one area, and the other one --

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Are you talking about the questioning by police?

MR. WOLCH: Yes, sir, I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: All right.

BY MR. WOLCH:

And the other would be background information regarding you and David; is that fair, those are really the two areas that the police appeared to be concerned about?

Umm, yes.

And basically, in terms of the arrival of the four kids, you didn't have much to say other than the fact that everything appeared normal to you?

As far as $I$ could remember, yes.
Yeah, but you didn't know of anything particular
--

No.
-- to advance anything at all?
No.
And the background was gone into at some length by the officers, as you have seen from the statement?

Yes.
Now $I$ won't bring it up, but $I$ think the first statement is about 2 1/2 hours in duration, and, umm, the second would have been a polygraph that was taken of you?

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Now did she
acknowledge that $2-1 / 2-h o u r ~ b u s i n e s s, ~ I ' m ~ s o r r y, ~$
I had my --
BY MR. WOLCH:
I think she doesn't disagree or agree, I think she accepts it.

I don't remember, yeah.
COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: You don't
remember? Okay.
Yeah, I don't remember how long.

BY MR. WOLCH:

If the statement says that, you are not going to
disagree?
No.
Okay. Now, in terms of your having a polygraph, I would take it that you were being questioned as to your credibility; did you have that feeling at the time?

I don't remember.
Okay.
I don't remember of what $I$ felt.
Okay. But you would agree that the taking of a polygraph suggests that somebody is questioning whether you are telling the truth?

Uh-huh, I would agree, yes.
Now, just before he sat down, counsel for Officer Karst, Mr. Fox, asked you some questions about how you kids were supporting yourself. And when I say "kids", you were both about the same age, right? Uh-huh, yes.

And I wonder if I could get a document pulled up, 000538. This is -- you wouldn't know about this, this is an affidavit that David filed in his application to the Minister, and I want to refer you to 000541 and see if this refreshes your memory. I'll ignore paragraphs 9 and 10, they talk a little background, but paragraph 11, if I
can get that highlighting. Can you tell me if this refreshes your memory and if it helps you at all:
"That on one trip to Regina $I$ met a girl named Sharon Williams with whom $I$ began a steady relationship. We travelled together to such places as Vancouver and Ottawa. I recall that every time we would arrive someplace we would get arrested or stopped by the police. This would result in Sharon Williams being sent home. I would go back to Regina to pick her up and then we would hitch-hike away again. At one point I recall that Sharon worked in a boutique in

Ottawa while $I$ sold a Hippie newspaper called "The Free Press" on the Spark Street Mall in Ottawa."

Now, can you comment on that, based on your memory?

Umm, yes, I would agree.
Do you actually recall working in a boutique?
Yes, I do, yes.
And $I$ noted in your statement there was a reference to an underground newspaper being sold, and $I$ take it this is what you were probably
talking about, The Free Press on Spark Street?
I don't particularly recall the newspaper.

Okay.

But, if it was in Ottawa, then --

And you do recall working at a boutique in
ottawa, --

Yeah, yeah.
-- and I take it that was raising money, and David was making money?

Yes, I guess.

Did you have knowledge of David working for

Maclean's magazine?

Not that $I$ can recall, no.

Now if $I$ could bring up one final document, $I$ only have a couple questions for you, and I think it's 00 - it's either 000302 or 009302 . It's the letter from the City of Calgary Police Department. The last three digits are 302 , that's for certain.

MR. BERESH: 009302 .

MR. WOLCH: That is a 9, then, it's 009302?

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Mr. Beresh, you
are making yourself indispensable.

MR. BERESH: Trying to retain my position.

MR. WOLCH: It's a great change, and a
welcome one.

MR. BERESH: I object.

BY MR. WOLCH:

Q

A
$Q$

A

Q

Q

A

Q

A

Q

In any event, it would appear that Inspector
Roberts is the person who questioned you, and in this letter he says for approximately $31 / 2$ hours;
you see that?
Yes.
Now do I understand that you don't remember the polygraph; is that correct?

No, I don't.
Having thought of it overnight does it come back to you? It's a pretty unusual thing, is what $I$ am getting at.

I know that, but no, I don't recall.
You don't recall that?
No.
Okay. Now I think it would be safe to conclude
that the two areas that you would be questioned on
would be the arrival of the kids and what you knew about David?

Yes.
There was nothing else to be questioned about?
No.
Okay. Now you see the conclusion, here,
incorporated in the Deputy Chief of Police letter
to the Chief:
"It is the opinion of Inspector A. Roberts
that Miss Williams does not have any
information that would assist you in the
prosecution of David Milgaard."

Do you see that?

A

Q
Yes.

And obviously, knowing that David wasn't
responsible for it, that -- and what you know, that's absolutely accurate; isn't it?

Yes.

Those are all my questions, sir.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thanks. Any
redirect?

MR. HODSON: No, Mr. Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thank you,

Ms. Daniels, you are excused.

MR. FOX: Mr. Commissioner, if I might?

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yes?

MR. FOX: In the -- here's a little, I mean here is a bit of the difficulty that we have with this questioning that's put to the witness about - -

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: What is, what's the difficulty?

MR. FOX: Well the difficulty, he has asked about the source of income in Ottawa.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yes.

MR. FOX: She is not allowed to look at her own statement to see if it refreshes her memory.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Those questions were put by you.

MR. FOX: No, I wasn't allowed to put that portion --

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: No, that's correct, yeah. She has already been asked about that.

MR. FOX: -- of the statement to her, but yet a statement made by somebody else, Mr. Milgaard, is put to her, and she is allowed to refresh her memory with that, and then a reference is made to an underground newspaper in her statement, and it's not put to her.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: The difference is that that statement, which came from an affidavit Milgaard filed for a 690 application, had nothing to do with alleged criminal activity. The proscribed statement does.

MR. FOX: Except it creates an unfairness, because it's left the im --

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: No, it's not unfair.

MR. FOX: Well I raise it, My Lord, that, where that issue was specifically addressed in her statement, we should have been allowed to put it to her.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: As I was saying, Ms. Williams -- Ms. Daniels, you are excused.

MR. HARDY: Mr. Commissioner, we're ready to proceed with our next witness, I'm in your hands given the time?

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Well the reporter hasn't had a break, so I think perhaps we will take our lunch break. 2:00, please.
(Adjourned at 11:58 a.m.)
(Reconvened at 2:05 p.m.)
COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Mr. Hardy?
MR. HARDY: Good afternoon,
Mr. Commissioner. We're ready to proceed with
our first witness this afternoon, Leonard
Gorgchuck, who will be continuing his testimony from February 10 th.

## LEONARD JAMES GORGCHUCK, recalled:

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Hello, Mr.

Gorgchuck. You acknowledge that you are still

```
        under oath, sir?
```

A
I do.
COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thank you. Have a chair.

## BY MR. HARDY:

Good afternoon, Mr. Gorgchuck.
Good afternoon.
I want to thank you for returning to our hearings to complete your testimony this afternoon, and I'm going to pick up right where we left off on February 10th. You will recall that $I$ was playing, for you, an audio clip from an interview between Mr. Albert Cadrain and Peter

Carlyle-Gordge from the, sometime in the early '80s I understand. And I'm going to start that clip over again, and ask you to listen to it, and if we could play, please, clips LG5, LG6, and LG7?

## (Clip LG5 played)

CARLYLE-GORDGE: That's not that bad. RICK SHARADE: Yeah. CARLYLE-GORDGE: When you got kids (unintelligible). ALBERT CADRAIN: Ah, anyway $I$ went to Regina. I met this Betty. She says Oh what's happening? You know her friend a big fat pig,
you know. That was ah, with her. She says oh shes living at a, what ya, head house they call it now (unintelligible).

CARLYLE-GORDGE: Ya, right.

ALBERT CADRAIN: I had a friend, Leonard (Worchuck...Phonetic). And I used to play chess with him all night you know, when $I$ was a kid eh.

## (Clip LG6 played)

ALBERT CADRAIN: ... Right next door.

There's little, there's a little (unintelligible ... chapel?), hotel next door there. And ah -Because we didn't feel comfortable about ah, didn't feel comfortable at all about staying in that hippie house because we got real bad vibes. Now these people, Leonard was, this is how the, probably how the murder (unintelligible ...whole?) Happened.

CARLYLE-GORDGE: Uhmmm.

ALBERT CADRAIN: You know.

CARLYLE-GORDGE: Uhmmm.

ALBERT CADRAIN: My friend had a real expensive thirty-five millimiter camera and he was into taking pictures and this and that. When we went into that hippie house he was going click, click, oh wow man, you know. Here's a
little straight boy going oh wow wow. Look at these, $I$ never seen this before. Click, click click. And you should have seen those weirdos look at us. They were sitting there and they all took knives out, sat around the sofa and couch, all around, they sat there with knives and they'd go like this. Weird, boy I was scared. And they were taking little packages of matches in the middle of the room, dropping them on the floor, you know shit like that. And they were ah... (Phone rings in the background) Ah it's my wife. They were just trying to see through our minds to see what we, they thought we were informers eh. (Conversation on the phone).

## (Clip LG7 played)

CARLYLE-GORDGE: Okay. So you were taking pictures.

ALBERT CADRAIN: I wasn't, Leonard was, yeah.

CARLYLE-GORDGE: Leonard, yeah.

ALBERT CADRAIN: He didn't know better and I didn't know, just a bunch of kids eh.

CARLYLE-GORDGE: Uhmmm.

ALBERT CADRAIN: Passed the dope around and started doing that to us eh. Then all of a
sudden they said you guys can't stay here.

CARLYLE-GORDGE: Uhmmm.

ALBERT CADRAIN: They said you could stay in the city hall. We got a room for you in an upstairs story of the city hall. Where did they get connections like that? It's kind of a story. I says geez, you know, I, Milgaard was around, I said does anyone know David Milgaard from ahm, ah, Langenburg? Everyone looked at me, that was another mistake. I got Milgaard in big trouble because he, he thought he was connected with some informers. They thought we were informers because Leonard was click, click, click, click, click, click...

CARLYLE-GORDGE: ... Ah, okay. Yeah.

ALBERT CADRAIN: You see.

CARLYLE-GORDGE: Yeah.

ALBERT CADRAIN: It's real scary and I
asked Leonard you got them pictures, there might be a story to it. Can't find them. Those fuckers probably did get a hold of the film.

CARLYLE-GORDGE: Hmmm.

ALBERT CADRAIN: And here's how, that, oh ya, now we ended up going, we stayed at the, they wanted us to stay at the, at the city hall. We
says, naa well, we got money, we'll go and get a hotel room and we did next to smittys Pancake House eh.

CARLYLE-GORDGE: Uhmmm.

ALBERT CADRAIN: We bought a bag there. And a motorcycle gang was connected with those fuckers. That night they, they said, where do you guys live, we didn't know them, we told them. I said we'll be staying at the hotel here. That gotem more suspious too, because you know. We stayed in a hotel next door.

CARLYLE-GORDGE: Uhmmm.

ALBERT CADRAIN: Asked us our room number. Anyway, I hear a knock about three o'clock in the morning. I had a good feel then and Leonard did too cause we were working pretty hard eh.

CARLYLE-GORDGE: Uhmmm.

ALBERT CADRAIN: And ah, all of a sudden I hear a, you know, (sound of knocking) real light on the door. And ah, Leonard ah, was still sleeping and $I$ heard that knock. And I says who is it? He says it's me the guy that opened ah, the guy that ah sold you the pot. I got no place to crash tonight let me in.

CARLYLE-GORDGE: Uhmmm.

ALBERT CADRAIN: I says, just you? He says ya, ya just me. I opened that door and the whole room, the, the, the room was small like this.

CARLYLE-GORDGE: Uhmmm.

ALBERT CADRAIN: Honest, like this, just maybe not even that big, like this here.

CARLYLE-GORDGE: Right.
ALBERT CADRAIN: And I bet you there was 14 or 15 motorcycle guys and they came in there.

CARLYLE-GORDGE: Uhmmm.

ALBERT CADRAIN: Apollos eh. They all
walked, big fuckers. I didn't show no fear though. I said okay you guys, no funny stuff if want to crash here, you can crash on the floor. Now listen to this. Those fuckers, I, I can't, I slept with one eye open all, night.

CARLYLE-GORDGE: Uhmmm.

ALBERT CADRAIN: And these guys crawled, I
heard this, the camera was under the bed.
CARLYLE-GORDGE: Uhmmm.
ALBERT CADRAIN: ...With a locked case, eh. CARLYLE-GORDGE: Yeah.

ALBERT CADRAIN: Now here's this, I heard these guys, all of a sudden $I$ hear one guy, (sounds like rubbing or shuffling) on the floor
eh? . I.

CARLYLE-GORDGE: Uhmmm.

ALBERT CADRAIN: And I heard, this guy was trying to pick the lock on the, on the camera case to get the film out. I'm sure of it eh. I heard click, click, click. And I just, I couldn't take that shit eh, I wasn't afraid to die or afraid of them fuckers eh. And I went flick, the light was right by me, $I$ just, okay you fuckers, get out, everyone of you. Right now. They listened to me they got the fuck out.

CARLYLE-GORDGE: Uhmmm.

ALBERT CADRAIN: Everyone of them. And then from that day on boy, I was being followed, I was being watched. The cops are in it, everybody. The Mafia and I'm not fucking crazy. I'm telling you. Now listen to this. Finally Leonard said oh I'm getting real weird vibrations, I'm scared of this place. I'm going home.

CARLYLE-GORDGE: Uhmmm.

ALBERT CADRAIN: He had enough money to catch the, the bus home eh.

CARLYLE-GORDGE: Right.

ALBERT CADRAIN: He said I'm getting the
hell out of here. And he did. Smart. I was dumb. I stayed. I stayed. Now listen to what happened. Oh I went back to the hip, hippie house, I wasn't afraid of nobody, I was maybe stupid, if $I$ would've known what was really going on I would've got the hell out eh.
(End of clips LG5, LG6, LG7)
BY MR. HARDY:
That's the end of those three clips.
And, Mr. Gorgchuck, $I$ want to
take you through some portions of that, and I'll bring up on the screen the transcript. If we could go to document, please, 040654 and -- sorry, that's -- I was looking for, it's page 040666 . That's right. And first of all, Mr. Gorgchuck, I wanted to ask you; does this sound like the Albert Cadrain that you were friends with prior to 1969? Well, generally it's his voice, and I think he is obviously concerned in this environment that he is discussing this. But generally, yeah, it's him. I'm not sure what you mean?

Is that how Albert used to talk to you?
I think he was more relaxed, generally. I
don't -- there were times, perhaps, when he got excited but, it's hard to remember, but I don't
think we even swore that much, generally.

And do you recall whether Mr. Cadrain was a bit of a story-teller during your time together prior to 1969 ?

I don't, I don't believe he ever really, he might have slightly exaggerated, but $I$ had never known him to really make up stuff. He certainly had his interpretations of what went on, but generally he was a very truthful guy as far as $I$ was concerned, when $I$ knew him.

Okay. I would like to turn, please, to page 040667 of that document, and if we could focus in on this portion here. Do you recall Mr. Cadrain speaking these words on the audio, and I just wanted to ask you a few questions arising from that portion, Mr. Gorgchuck. Do you remember having -- we had talked, in your testimony on February 10 th, about the trip to Regina, and do you remember having a camera with you on that trip?

I did. "Expensive" is relative because we were both quite poor. It was a, it was an interesting little camera, $I$ bought it used. But at any rate, yes, definitely.

Did you recall that?

A

Q

A
$Q$

A

Q

A

Uh-huh.
And the information, in terms of you taking pictures at the hippie house, can you confirm whether that had happened or not?

That's possible. I would suggest it did, yeah.
Okay. And what about the bit of information about the response from the people in the house insofar as Albert indicating that they took knives out?

I don't totally recall the situation, but $I$ think that's probably a fair rendition.

Okay. And do you know what he is referring to in terms of the portion where he is talking about taking little packages of matches in the middle of the room and dropping them on the floor; do you recall anything of that nature?

Once again, $I$ can't remember the exact details, $I$ don't think it was like a ritual thing, but I think a couple of them flung their package of matches. I don't know. It seems Albert seems to have taken and interpreted it to be something specific, and he may be right, I actually probably didn't think that much of it, but $I$ probably didn't even care.

Okay. I'm going to turn you to the next page, 040668 , please. Taking a look at this portion
down here, if we could zoom in on that, perhaps I'll read it just briefly:
"ALBERT CADRAIN: Passed the dope around and started doing that to us eh. Then all of a sudden they said you guys can't stay here.

CARLYLE-GORDGE: Uhmmm.
ALBERT CADRAIN: They said you could stay in the city hall. We got a room for you in an upstairs story of the city hall. Where did they get connections like that? It's kind of a story."

I'll pause there for a moment. Do you recall anything of that nature happening when you were at the hippie house in Regina?

Not exactly. It's, it's possible that they were passing some marijuana around but -- to be honest,

I don't have a total recollection of that, but I would suggest that's probably a fair statement. I just can't, exactly, recall it.

You don't have a memory of that?
Not explicitly.
And what about some suggestion about staying in a room upstairs in City Hall?

I don't recall that at all. It might have been
something they said to him directly, $I$ don't recall that.

Okay. And just that next portion:
"I says geez, you know, I, Milgaard was around, $I$ said does anyone know David Milgaard from ahm, ah, Langenburg?" And I'll pause there. And correct me if I'm wrong, Mr. Gorgchuck, I believe you had indicated in your testimony on February loth that, although you could not recall it, Albert had told you at a later point in time that you had met David Milgaard in Regina?

A
$Q$

A
$Q$

A
$Q$

A
$Q$
Yeah, he had mentioned that, but $I$ certainly
didn't recall it, I'm -- and I'm not sure when we
would have met --

Okay.
-- or where I would have met him.

Does this refresh your memory at all, do you recall Albert asking for David Milgaard when you were in Regina, specifically at the --

Yeah. I don't recall.
-- hippie house?
I don't recall that at all. It could have happened, $I$ just can't recall it.

Okay. I'm going to move to the next page, please,

040669 , and starting with this portion here -- I won't read it out loud again Leonard, but if you can take a look at that please, in particular, Albert's comments.

The first paragraph I'm not sure, I don't remember that part. The visit by them during the night, yes, that did happen.

And by "them" who are you referring to?
I believe they were members of the motorcycle gang, the Apollos, but -- well they definitely were, I would guess. They were definitely wearing the proper attire, I think, at the time.

And do you recall whether, earlier in the day, Albert had purchased drugs from one of those individuals?

Vaguely. I think that was one of our shopping, planned shopping sprees of the trip since we were going down. But Albert did the purchase himself, I kind of waited off to the side, so I'm not even sure who we got it from.

And in terms of Albert's account here, their visiting you in the middle of the night and knocking on the door, you do have a recollection of that?

Vague, but yes.

Q And was it 14 or 15 individuals, from your recollection?

A
Umm, not inside. There might have been that many outside, I didn't see outside, I was -- I was furthest from the door, and Albert answered the door, but totally possible there may have been that many, but there were only, well, possibly four or five that actually came in to spend the night.

And four or five did come in, then, to spend the night?

A

Q

A
$Q$

A
$Q$

A
Q

A
$Q$
2

Yup, to crash.
And just moving on to page 040670 , focusing in on that portion there, please.

Uh-huh.
Again, I'll get you to read that, Leonard, briefly, and it moves to the next page as well.

I'm sorry, you want me to read it?
You don't have to read it out loud.
Oh, okay.
I just want you to take a look at that before I ask my question.

Uh-huh, yes, yup?
And then the top of the next page, please, as well. Do you recall one of the individuals, that
night, trying to pick the lock on your camera case or trying to steal your camera?

Umm, that incident I, I do recall, yeah. I didn't actually see the person doing it, the camera was under my bed and $I$ was probably asleep by then, but after Albert insisted they leave he did mention what they were doing, and I'm not sure, but we may have checked the camera at that time and, as he mentioned, we lost a roll of film, so --

And --
-- that's probably what happened.
Do you recall determining, at that time, that you had lost a roll of film?

I can't say if it was at that time or the next day, but we definitely did lose a roll of film, yes.

And your memory, in terms of this incident, was actually waking up, then, to the ruckus of Albert kicking these individuals out of the room?

Correct.
And that was still in the middle of the night, was it?

Or very early morning, yes.
Okay. Move down the page, please, a little bit.

You can go to a full page view, please. I'm going to get you to read this portion here, Leonard. Uh-huh.

All right, you have given it a read?
Yup.
Okay. What about this information; does this sound accurate, from the best of your recollection?

Yeah. As far as my going, as I mentioned the last time $I$ was here, umm, it's not just that I decided to leave because things were getting weird, but $I$ think our original plan was just to stay the one night, or whatever, with the option of staying longer if we were having fun, and $I$ just wasn't having fun. And as $I$ mentioned, the primary purpose of the visit was for Albert to visit Betty, and he had hooked up and all that, and so I was really just a third wheel hanging around. So, given everything that was going on, I didn't see any reason to stay.

But what about Mr. Cadrain's suggestion that you were freaked out by the activities that the two of you had experienced; was that a motivating factor of you leaving?

Probably, yes, yeah, yeah.

Q
A

## 

I don't, I don't have such a strong recollection of being in danger of my life, for example. Umm, it -- I was probably very passive, watching what was going on, but not really maybe even aware of the situation. It was definitely an uncomfortable visit and $I$ had no reason to be there, I didn't know anybody except Albert, so all in -- I'm sure everything contributed to the idea that there was no sense my hanging around. So would I -- I don't know if $I$ would say the experiences were the primary reason for my leaving, but they were definitely an influence, sure.

Okay. I'm going to turn your attention, Mr. Gorgchuck, to the second audio clip that we have, and this is with respect to Albert Cadrain's interview by RCMP officers in 1993 while they were conducting their investigation, and as we learned on the 10th, you were also interviewed by RCMP officers in the context of that investigation? Right.

And we'll just play a short portion for you, it's clips LG1, LG2, LG3, and LG4, please.

## (Clip LG1 played)

"CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: Okay, Albert we
just started side two of this first tape. The time right now is 1336 hours. As the side one of the tape ended Albert, we were talking about you and Betty Weeks being at city hall. ALBERT CADRAIN: Yes.

CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: And getting arrested by the police.

ALBERT CADRAIN: Yes, but here's how it happened before even. I says to my friend, quite a while ago maybe a month before all this shit happened, I says come on down to Regina with me and I'll get, I'll smoke you up on some real pot, because $I$ used to roll them up some of my tea leaves and smoke 'em up on that and he says "wow, this is good", you know I take his money.

CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: Before, before we go any further, who was your friend?

ALBERT CADRAIN: Leonard Woytowich.

CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: And where was

Leonard Woytowich from?

ALBERT CADRAIN: Saskatoon.

CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: Okay, you and

Leonard Woytowich went to Regina, about when was that.

ALBERT CADRAIN: Oh gees, a couple of months before all this shit happened. And I says come on, show you the hippies. So okay, lets go. So he brought his camera, a nice camera, and he was the head of his camera class in school and he was really proud of himself, you know, and he's a nerd. Leonard was a nerd, but he's my best friend. We used to drink tea and eat peanut butter sandwiches and play chess all night long. I'd never win though, but, he was smart eh, but he was a nerd. Anyways we went there to Regina and we get into that place on Cornwall Street, this hippie house, and there's people everywhere. There's about 30 people in the house eh. They were on all kinds of drugs and everything, you name it they were on it. They were screwed up in the head and here's Leonard with his camera, wow look at this. They had bricks, look like the city of Regina built up with
bricks eh. And here's Leonard, click wow, what a neat picture. Never saw this before, taking pictures with all these fucked up people eh. All of a sudden they got freaked out boy. They got really scared these people. They were into drugs big time and finally Leonard, they pulled out knives like this and they go like this.

CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: Okay, they put the knife to whose throat.

ALBERT CADRAIN: To their own and they all had a knife in their hands. Leonard was already, went, he went home, he got scared. These people were fucked up eh. And they were like this with the sharp knife against their throat and looking at me like this. And one guy says hey, we don't want you to stay here anymore. Take your girlfriend and get out of here. We got a place for you, we got a good place for you they said. So they put me in the city hall upstairs in the city hall, $I$ think it was five stories up and one guy came here and put a big
pile of marijuana, like this, a big bud eh. There was 12 guys, I'm sure there was 12 guys, $I$ counted them, and they all had nice suits on, $\$ 500$ suits on, you know, real expensive suits on, the young gangster punks eh. And they were making a bunch of noise and $I$ was having sex with Betty in the other room. These people were looking for somebody. They were looking for me. They wanted to kill me, thought $I$ was an informer. My friend already jumped on the train and went back to Saskatoon. He was afraid to stick around but me I like cunt too much so I stayed. She says we better see what all this noise is about. I went out there and 00000000 boy they were happy to see me. He dumped that big pile of drugs on the table there and he started rolling joints and passing them around and around, around and around eh. He got me really stoned eh. Then all of a sudden he says, we're going to rape your girlfriend. That's what they says to me eh, and Betty she
was smart. She knew how to talk to street people eh. She says go ahead. She didn't give a fuck eh. But she was playing their heads eh. Now, bang, they punched me. I go flying across the room eh. And eh, it was like wrestling, you know, they're punching me and kicking me. And he says, fuck it you're dead. I'm going to kill you. And he grabbed me by the hair like this, pulled my head back and shoved under arm deodorant down my nose. And $I$ was dying, I was dying, I was dying. My heart was stopping. Girls listen. And my heart was stopping and $I$ said a quick pray, Hail Mary real fast and finally they just dropped the subject and let me go. But they left all the dope there and they thought I was going to pick it up. So they phoned the cops. They set me up. The cops picked me up the next day. My girlfriend told me not to touch the shit. She says it's a trap. She was right and they put me in jail for two weeks for vagrancy or something like that.

CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: Great, just before we go any further, you know, that's when you got arrested as a result of all this....

ALBERT CADRAIN: ... No...
CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: ... Story you just told us.

ALBERT CADRAIN: Yeah because they set me up.

CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: They set you up.
ALBERT CADRAIN: But $I$ didn't take the bait.

CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: You mention, you mention a fellow by the name of Leonard Woytowich which was a friend of yours.

ALBERT CADRAIN: Yeah.

CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: Okay. And sometime prior to the January 31st, 1969 you and Leonard had been in Regina.

ALBERT CADRAIN: Yeah.
CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: Okay. When you were in Regina with Mr. Woytowich, did you meet David Milgaard down there.

ALBERT CADRAIN: No, no but here's what
happened. I asked these people, these hippies, because I knew Milgaard got around but $I$ didn't know he was that big in the crowds and the gangs eh. And I accidently says hey does anyone ever see David Milgaard around, because I knew he gets around eh. Wow I should have never said that name. Because Milgaard knew that an informer they thought. So Milgaard had to prove his innocence to these fuckers or else he was dead meat. That's what it's all about.

CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: When you were in Regina with Leonard on that trip, did you or did you not meet David Milgaard.

ALBERT CADRAIN: No, did not.
CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: Okay. Leonard returned to Saskatoon by himself.

ALBERT CADRAIN: Yup.
CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: Okay, just going to go back to Saskatoon for a second now that you have mentioned Leonard Woytowich, you say he was a very good friend of yours.

ALBERT CADRAIN: Yup.

CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: Okay. Did you say you spent a lot of time with him.

ALBERT CADRAIN: Uh-huh.

CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: Okay. The night before the death of Gail Miller which would have been the evening of the thirtieth of January 1969 , had you spent time with Mr. Woytowich the night before?

ALBERT CADRAIN: I don't even remember, I could have.

CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: Okay.

ALBERT CADRAIN: He used to play chess lots.

CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: Did you smoke marijuana with Mr. Woytowich.

ALBERT CADRAIN: In Regina I did, but I smoked tea with him in Saskatoon.

CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: Okay, you sold Mr. Leonard Woytowich tea as marijuana.

ALBERT CADRAIN: Yeah.

CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: Did you yourself smoke the tea or just Leonard.

ALBERT CADRAIN: Oh yeah I smoked it with him.

CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: Before you left Saskatoon to go to Calgary, Edmonton with David Milgaard, Ron Wilson and Nichol John, did you go to look for your friend, Leonard Woytowich, before you left town.

ALBERT CADRAIN: No.

CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: No, okay.

ALBERT CADRAIN: I had all kinds of friends, eh.

CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: So you have indicated that during your stay in Regina, you're were arrested by the Regina City Police $I$ take it.

ALBERT CADRAIN: Yeah like after these people, and I'm not finished neither, these fucking guys with the suits on and everything else. They, the leader of the gang his name was Richard Bear (phonetic). How could I forget that fucking name, he's the worst fucking guy in the world. He grabbed me here, and here and he had me right out of the window, and he says I'm going to drop you right here. He was going to kill me
the fucker. But he figured he could get me and set me up with drugs eh. And the cops they picked me up the next day."

## (Clip LG2 played)

"CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: Okay. When you returned to Saskatoon, what did you do.

ALBERT CADRAIN: Well as soon as $I$ walked in, a lot of things have happened to me, you know, and $I$ couldn't understand the whole trip or anything. The first thing I did was, the door opened up and look and the fucking news is on full blast, $\$ 2,000$ reward consisting, hear all this shit...

CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: ... Albert, what time of the day did you return.

ALBERT CADRAIN: Night.
CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: It was at night.
ALBERT CADRAIN: Seven, eight o'clock at night.

CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: Seven, eight o'clock at night. And you went $I$ take it, directly to your parents' home.

ALBERT CADRAIN: Yup.
CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: Were you alone at
that time when you got to your parents' home.

ALBERT CADRAIN: Yeah I was beside myself but the whole family was home eh.

CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: So you walked into the house and who was there? Who did you speak to first, when you got into the house.

ALBERT CADRAIN: Well as soon as I walked into the house, my mom say hi how are you, she says, you know the day you left, there was a murder that happened that day. I says, what? She says, yeah there was, Mary Marcoux, a girl we went to school with, she found a body of a woman just up her back alley, that's not far from our place eh. And I says, oh is that right. And then I'd seen the news bulletin on $T V, 2,000$ reward for anyone leading to the conviction of the murderer and all this. And I started thinking about the way Milgaard acted on the trip, leaving town, $I$ started thinking about the blood on his clothes. I started thinking about him going to
the garbage can. You know I started thinking about all this shit. About the cops asking me in Regina. Everything that Leonard Gorgchuck with his fucking camera taking pictures and you know."

## (Clip LG3 played)

"CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: Was there anybody else taken to the police station.

ALBERT CADRAIN: No, just me. I'd rather die than go through that shit again. They tortured me those fuckers. I forgive them, but they tortured me. They played with my head for a long time.

CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: Okay, Albert, just as you are getting a cup of coffee there, we'll talk about it when you get back so the tape recorder will be able to pick it up.

ALBERT CADRAIN: Yeah, Leonard Woytowich and his camera, if he would have left his camera at home when he went to Regina, that murder wouldn't have happened. He can't find the film neither."
$Q$
"CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: Okay. So you were straight when you gave those statements $I$ take it.

ALBERT CADRAIN: Yeah.

CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: Okay. No drugs.
ALBERT CADRAIN: Nothing.
CORPORAL JIM TEMPLETON: Nothing.
ALBERT CADRAIN: I never, I never ever did much drugs anyways. The only drugs I did was marijuana with Leonard a few times and Milgaard a few times. I was never into it that much."
(End of clips LG1, LG2, LG3, LG4)
BY MR. HARDY:
That was the last clip, Mr. Gorgchuck. Do you recall Mr. Cadrain ever talking to you about concerns he had after the fact about the pictures that you had taken or the use of your camera in Regina?

I think he did mention that it probably brought some concerns, but in our discussions not to this extreme, but then of course we never dwelled on it, I --

What do you recall?

A
$Q$

Well just the fact that $I$ think he was suggesting that it was probably -- well, it wasn't well-received.

And do you recall what he explained to you or how he explained that?

Just generally, saying "given the places we were at it was probably not a good idea," and I agree with him, but I didn't really know we were going to be going there on that level when we left and, as I mentioned, $I$ was in the camera club and $I$ was into photography and it was one of my few trips out of Saskatoon in my life, so I decided to take it, so yeah, looking at this it obviously wasn't a good idea from that perspective, but like I said, I don't think he or $I$ planned to get as involved as it turned out.

And again $I$ asked you this question before, Mr . Gorgchuck, but does this sound like the Albert Cadrain that you knew prior to 1969 during your friendship?

Other than the stress and the story, really I remember Albert as a very, very quiet, gentle person. That's probably what made him more outstanding than a lot of people at the time. I considered him a very good friend and trusted him
implicitly. He would give you the shirt off his back type of guy.

And you mentioned the stress and the story, what did you mean by that?

Very simple speech mannerisms type of thing. He was a very down-to-earth person. Umm, I -- and perhaps I feel at a lost and I'm not sure what you are going for.

I think you had said when I asked you whether that sounded like the Albert Cadrain that you remembered when you were friends, you said "other than the stress and the story"?

Yeah, uh-huh.
And $I$ was wondering what you meant when you said that?

We just spent a lot of time just relaxed. He is obviously -- like, he's obviously trying to tell the story and perhaps that's what I am reading the stress out of too, so just generally $I$, you
know -- I'm not sure if I'm making myself clear.
No, that's fine, no, that's fine. And are you finding Albert believable when you listened to this knowing him from your friendship?

Yes, absolutely. I think, you know, if there's any benefit, if there's any doubt, it's probably a
slight exaggeration, but $I$ can't even comment on that because -- but it -- a lot of that might simply be confusion of telling the tale over a -or telling the story over and at different times, but I think basically definitely the basics of what he described are things that $I$ at least vaguely recall.

And I'm going to challenge you a little bit, Mr. Gorgchuck, on this. What about comments respecting the Mafia and things of that nature? Those were his interpretations. I can't comment on that. I didn't go through the experiences he did, so I really can't say anything. He -- to define a Mafia you are going to find people who believe in it and people who don't. I have no idea. It suited, the word "suited" his intention for what he was trying to describe. Whether he meant organized or Mafia-like I have no idea, but I didn't have those experiences with him, so generally $I$ would say that, at that time, back in '69, I would definitely believe anything he had to say. His, as I said, his choice of words and interpretations and everything were up to him, I can't comment because $I$ wasn't there.

Fair enough. And what about his comments in terms
of your activities together playing chess, eating peanut butter sandwiches, drinking tea; is that something you recall?

Absolutely. I was on welfare, my mother and us were on welfare, that was our treat, peanut butter and corn syrup actually, we couldn't afford honey. Chess was something we were interested in and, yeah, it was just a way to spend time.

What about smoking tea leaves?
We did that a couple of times. As I mentioned, we were very poor and we couldn't afford this stuff, anything really $I$ suppose, but $I$ think he had introduced that as something that came relatively close. I don't ever think we enjoyed it that much, probably tried it a couple of times just to see, but yeah, I actually remember doing that. That was wild.

And do you have a recollection of Albert staying in rooms above City Hall or of Albert telling you about that in and around that time period?

I vaguely remember him commenting about that, but no, $I$ certainly wasn't aware, $I$ wasn't there when it was being discussed, so $I$ have no idea. And what about Albert's account of running into some individuals in expensive suits?

A

A Yeah. I wasn't there. I have no idea. I -- I would like to say $I$ vaguely remember him mentioning that, but $I$ really can't even confirm that. I didn't see him that much after he left for Edmonton and we didn't have a chance, that much of a time, a chance to talk about things either. I think he might have mentioned stuff similar to that, but $I$ really couldn't -- couldn't verify that or couldn't be sure.

Okay. Now, he indicates during one portion of the interview, $I$ think upon a direct question, that he was not with you on January 30th, $1969 ?$

Right.

And $I$ believe from your statement and your earlier testimony you confirmed that the two of you were together?

Umm, yes. Once again, $I$ can't, $I$ can't recall it, because I -- I don't even know if $I$ would have ever bothered to. Generally it was an uneventful night other than what happened outside of that and it's only because of previous testimony or statements that it must have happened. I don't know.

I'm correct, though, on February 10 th you accepted your statement as accurate? I think you had
clarified a couple of matters relating to the amount of drugs consumed, but you had otherwise accepted that statement as accurate?

A
That's right, and the comment about the drugs, I would suggest that perhaps the police officers felt it was important to stress that and I think they might have stretched it that much, but as I mentioned on the 10th, we didn't have a lot of money anyways, so -- and it really wasn't something we were that excited about. We did it experimentally, going to Regina was a big thing and picking this up, and -- but other than that, it -- we -- it just really wasn't part of our lifestyle.

Okay. Thank you, Mr. Gorgchuck, those are all the questions I have.

You're welcome. My friends may have some questions for you. All right.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Has an order been established, Mr. Hardy?

MR. HARDY: I'm not aware of an order, no, Mr. Commissioner.

MR. HODSON: It's scramble parking. I'm not sure if counsel have decided on an order, who
is prepared either to go first or to address the Commissioner on the order you think you should be.

MR. GIBSON: No questions at this point. I don't know if there's anybody else in that same boat.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Anybody else
without questions?

MR. ELSON: I don't have any questions, Mr. Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: No, no.

MR. KENNEDY: I have no questions.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: No.

MR. WATSON: Nor do I.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thank you.

Mr. Fox and Mr. -- I'm sorry?

MR. FOX: I do have some questions.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Go ahead then,
please. Would you rather go last, Mr. Wolch? I think $I$ would extend that privilege to you if you wish.

MR. WOLCH: I would rather go last because had Mr. Fox said no, I would have said no.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay.

MR. FOX: So do I get three minutes to
consider my options? I'll still take a chance, Mr. Commissioner.

BY MR. FOX:

Q
Mr. Gorgchuck, I'm Aaron Fox, I'm the lawyer for Eddie Karst, he was one of the detectives involved in the original investigation.

I'm not sure if that name rings
a bell for you at all?

It does.

And do you know how you would have heard that name or how you --

I had thought that he was the original police officer picking me up for questioning in '69.

Okay. And thinking back to -- and that, would that be when you gave your statement in 1969?

I believe so.

And $I$ think that statement was referred to when we were here before?

Right.

Umm, do you recollect any difficulties in dealing with the police you dealt with in $1969 ?$

None, other than the comment $I$ made suggesting they might have -- they didn't misrepresent the fact that we had done marijuana, but in reading the statement after the fact, I think they might
have put a little more emphasis on it, but $I$ don't know if that's wrongful or not, but my point is we really weren't, like, stone-heads or anything like that.

Okay. So the statement may give the impression you used a lot more marijuana -That's right.
-- than what you actually did use at that time?
Correct. But beyond that $I$ think everything else was pretty much --

And any recollection of them putting any pressure on you, for example, to say something that you didn't agree with?

No recollection, no.
Okay. You had indicated in that statement that you had seen what you understood to be David Milgaard the afternoon of January 31st, 1969. Do you recall any pressure being put on you to suggest that you saw blood on his clothing or anything like that?

No. I might have been asked, but I'm sure I made it clear to them that no, I didn't see anything. As I mentioned, Albert was out in the car or Albert was in the house and there were three people, I believe. I actually didn't even see, I
didn't see the car or anything, and one of them did come to use the bathroom and, as I mentioned, I didn't know who it was, and Albert mentioned it was David Milgaard, and -- but that's about it. Okay. So your best recollection would be you were asked if you saw any blood, you would have said no, you didn't, and that was sort of the end of that inquiry?

That's correct.
Okay. Umm, you mentioned, you were asked some questions about the Mafia and the reference to that and would you know what Mr. Cadrain or Albert was referring to as "the Mafia," in other words, what he defined the Mafia as?

I would have to answer that "no" but I would assume it was just any type of organized activity, organized illegal activity. I don't know if he would have said -- meant it to suggest, you know, a country-wide or a worldwide organization or if he just meant that it was a group of organized people.

Okay. And the reason -- I'm going to ask you
this. In the taped interview of Mr. Cadrain with Mr. Carlyle-Gordge -- and I don't have the document number for that, Mr. Commissioner, but it
was the tape that was played as part of the Albert Cadrain evidence -- at about the 76 minute mark as listed on the transcript he talks I think about Mafia as organized crime for young kids. Do you have any recollection of him sort of indicating that that's what he thought the Mafia was?

I honestly don't have any recollection.
Okay. So what his definition of that was or what somebody might have told even him what that was, that's not something you have a recollection of? Yeah. I -- if he, if we, if it ever came up in discussion, $I$ certainly didn't pursue it, I -- you know, generally you have an idea of what it means and whether it's true or not it doesn't matter, I think it's just representing a concept if nothing else.

Okay. And that concept would be, for example, people involved in selling drugs, that sort of thing?

I'm assuming that's what it was in this case, yeah.

Okay. In 19 -- going back to 1968 and 1969 , did you -- were you aware of Albert suffering from any sort of mental illness or anything like that at that time?
if he was going to go through that trouble I think I might have noticed something because we saw each other on a regular basis, but $I$ honestly don't know. Obviously I can't speak for him, but -Yep.
-- to answer your question, I -- I don't think he would have, but $I$ don't know.

And, again, and my question is just of a general nature.

Yeah.

Obviously you can't speak specifically. Those are all the questions $I$ had, Mr. Gorgchuck, thank you. You're welcome.

## BY MR. WOLCH:

I only have a couple of questions for you. I'm David Milgaard's lawyer.

Did you know of Albert taking
LSD?

Umm, actually, I think I ran across one of the statements where Albert mentioned that he and I did, and I think we did it once.

Okay. So -- and that would change your perception of things, wouldn't it?

Only during the, only for the duration of the effect.

Q

A
flashbacks later or anything like that?
Not that $I$ am aware of.
Okay. You used words, a couple words, and I -I'm going to ask you to elaborate, if you can. I thought $I$ heard you use the word "exaggerate" or "might exaggerate" and if you didn't, you don't remember, that's fine, but would that be a word you might use in connection with Albert?

No, not generally. I was only referring to his, for his statements, and even then, to be honest, $I$ can't confirm that. Like, for example, he made the comment about 15 Apollo members and I think at one time he said that they were in the room. I think there is some confusion there. There may have been 15 outside, but of course the room was very small, so that's actually all I meant. Probably more confused than -- exaggeration? Another example might be where he is referring to them as the Mafia. They -- I don't have a clue if they were organized. If he had a bunch of people come in in suits, that would suggest an organization of some type perhaps. Umm -- but I actually don't have a clue. He might have been right, who knows.

So do I take it what you are saying is then that
he might interpret things a little bit different than you might?

A

Q
I -- well we always interpret our experiences based on our history. I don't know if he was really exaggerating all that much or he was just exaggerating in his choice of words to describe what he was believing.

Thank you very much.
MR. HARDY: No questions.
COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: No redirect?
Thanks, Mr. Gorgchuck, you are excused.
You are very welcome. Thanks.
MR. HARDY: Mr. Commissioner, what we're proposing to do next is read-ins with respect to George Lapchuk.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay.
GEORGE LAPCHUK (deceased)
MR. HARDY: Mr. Lapchuk passed away earlier
this year, in April. I believe we've already
made reference to the first few documents, and
perhaps to save time I'll simply bring them up,
note them. The first was the investigation
report dated May $29 t h, 1969, ~ d o c ~ I D ~ 106676, ~$
that's the first mention we see of Mr. Lapchuk,
and $I$ believe we referred to these portions
before but I -- Sergeant Mackie and Constable Walters from Regina were meeting with a Barbara Berard, now Wyspianski, who we'll hear from later in the hearings, and she had indicated at that time in the last sentence:
"... that Milgaard associated very closely with Bob Harris and George Lapchuk."

And if we turn to the next page, 106677 , and we see another short reference in this paragraph, I think it's an indication of an intention for Constable Walters to meet with Mr. Lapchuk and Mr. Harris. That's the only investigation report we have in that respect.

The next document that $I$ would move to is Mr. Lapchuk's statement and that is document ID 002129 and again we have seen this document, statement given to the Saskatoon City Police on January 19th, 1970, and that was in Regina, and I believe we've read from the document and $I$ don't propose to read anything from that statement at this point in time.

Similarly with the testimony of
Mr. Lapchuk given at the trial of David Milgaard, that document is document 006010 , and again $I$ believe we've read from the relevant portion Mr.

Lapchuk's testimony with respect to his account of the events in the motel room on the evening in question and again $I$ don't propose to reread those portions at this point.

The next document that we have that references Mr. Lapchuk is a transcription of a telephone conversation that took place between Joyce Milgaard and George Lapchuk. I'll bring up that document, 054420 . The date of that -perhaps we will just focus on the top portion of the page, please. It notes it's the January 24 th weekend of 1981 and it's somewhat of a disjointed conversation, but $I$ am going to read some portions of the conversation. If we could move, please, to page 054421 and focus in starting here, please, I'll read that:
"J: Actually, after talking to you, I got thinking: Look, am $I$ on the wrong track; what's going on? You know. I listened to you, I thought this man is sincere?

G: Listen, Mrs. Milgaard, I got involved in this whole thing without -- you know, as far as I'm concerned $I$ know less than nothing. I have my own convictions, which I told you, and I'm sticking by them. Until somebody
can prove to me irrefutably that David did
not kill her, $I$ am convinced he killed her.

But --

J: If you're not, he was being railroaded.
G: But $I$ don't like being lied to and somebody is lying to me, Mrs. Milgaard.

J: I think so.

G: Something stinks."
And there are some editorial comments and I'll just read those as parts of these passages.
" (I think, noticing his frequent use of
'Mrs. Milgaard', as if he's respectful of
Joyce, that he's trying to cover up fact
he's not sincere, or that he's been lying all along, and is now nervous)."

And back to George's words:
"Now, maybe you can't threaten these people, but $I$ sure as hell can. I'll go on Canada AM and start dragging everybody's name thru the dirt, and I'm a Crown witness! Cuz I don't like being lied to, and somebody is lying to me! Something stinks, Mrs. Milgaard."

If we could move to the next page, please,
054422 , start focusing in on that portion:
"G: Why -- why are these people upset about
talking to you?! Now, $I$ can understand
Craig. Now that $I$ can understand, because
of his wife and his family.
J: But $I$ mean, Craig did talk to me,
though.
G: But Dale and Dale's mother, though -yeah, I know Craig talked to you. He phoned me to apologize for the way he behaved when

I phoned him. (Sounds as though everyone's under Mr. Lapchuk's thumb! They all seem afraid of crossing him).

J: He was very nice and he talked to me, and I thought he was -- I tried to explain to him that $I$ wasn't trying to drag him through anything. That wasn't my intention at all. And $I$ tried to do the same thing with Nichol, becuz I'm a reasonable person. As a mother, $I$ know what it's like to go thru a lot of problems when you've got kids, cuz I've been there".

Continuing on the next page, please:
"Could get the truth out of him?
G: Well, he works for me -- well, someone's going to have to come you with the truth,

Mrs. Milgaard, cuz I -- like this whole weekend for me has been a complete disaster. (Why? He must be awfully afraid!) J: I'm sure.

G: Like, that's all we've been talking about. And this phone has been going 24
hours -- well, since 8 a.m., this phone has been ringing steady. (Must be a lot of guilty, scared people there!) And I, for one, want some answers as to what exactly is the big deal. You know, like you want the answers to some questions and $I$ see no reason why anybody --

J: I shouldn't have them?
G: Yeah, exactly. Now, you know, if
someone's trying to hide something, I'd like to know what it is. Becuz I happen to be very personally involved with it.

J: I can understand.
G: And I'd like it over and done with. One way or t'other.

J: Well, that's exactly. It's gotta be one way or the other. It just can't stay the way it is now.

G: No, it's not --

J: -- it's going to drive you up a wall, and me.

G: No, it's not going to stay that way, Mrs. Milgaard. I guarantee you that.

Because something is going to be done about
this. This is garbage -- pure and
unadulterated garbage! People are lying,
they're not telling the truth; I'm not
getting the truth; one person says 'yes I
will'; the next person says 'no $I$ won't.'
When is this going to end -- never?! I'm sorry. I want this over with.

J: The other, you know, haven't you kind of thought that it was really funny that the police started looking for everybody as soon as I got on TV?

G: Mrs. Milgaard, remember what we
discussed in Dionysus' -- that $I$ was not going to debate Dave's guilt or innocence with you?

J: Uh-huh.
G: Well, that still remains truth. I'm not going to debate his guilt or innocence; $I$ just want to know who's lying to me and why.

J: No, no, I don't mean. Don't you think
it's, like --
G: No, I don't.
J: You don't think that's strange --? That they've started to locate everybody?

G: No. No, cuz it's --
J: Why? If they're convinced he's
guilty --
G: Cuz you see, as soon as David escaped, I
got a phone call from a friend of mine at
city police, telling me that he escaped and to watch what $I$ was doing. You know. And so did Craig, and so did Dale.
$\mathrm{J}: \quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
G: So it doesn't strike me as strange that
they would, as soon as your investigation
started -- I mean, they're in the process of
covering their parts, too, eh?
J: Yes, but then, if they have to cover something, then it must mean that they're hiding something.

G: Well...yeah...
J: And Caldwell's reaction was violent to
it.
G: You could interpret that in a lot of different ways, Mrs. Milgaard. You could
interpret it in the way that the police would like to save a lot of people from a lot $o f$ embarrassment and grief, by giving them fair warning that something is going to happen.

J: But the other thing that we found out was -- I'm just trying to really be up front with you now, George and --

G: Well, I wish you would be.

J: We found out that Caldwell, the day
after $I$ got on this, he's been in and going
thru all the transcripts, thru all of the
transcripts --

G: Well, I'm sure he must be.

J: But why?

G: Well --

J: Like, if he had tried someone, and he was sure in his mind that this guy is guilty and put away, what's he going to start and going in and digging --

G: I'll tell you exactly why, cuz as soon as you start asking questions about something that happened 10 years ago, you're up on your research and he isn't. It seems very reasonable to me that he would be up
and reading the transcripts, cuz obviously you'd been using the media and Mr. Caldwell is not going to get caught with his pants around his ankles. He is going to know exactly what he is talking about.

J: That's a good explanation, really. I hadn't thought of it that way.

G: Well, that's the way I look at it J: To me, well every place that we've been today and yesterday looking for Nicky, the police had already been there.

G: Mm-hmm. Well, like I say. You are sure of your facts, Mrs. Milgaard. You've got them down pat. Have you got a copy of the transcript?

J: Uh, I haven't got the preliminary yet.
G: But you have the trial transcript?
J: I have the one trial transcript, yes.
G: With my testimony and Dale's and
everybody else's?
J: Uh, yes --
G: So, therefore you've been reading it and you're familiar with it?

J: I haven't got it down pat, if that's
what you mean. But $I$ do have it and I do
know what's there.
G: The point is, the prosecutor hasn't looked at it for 10 years, and that's probably why he is looking at it, and the reason the police are going around is becuz you've used the media. And, like, let's face it -- maybe nobody is all that sure, except for me. You know.

J: Well....I think I'm going to get you yet. (Laughs)

G: (Chuckles). As I said to you in the bar, I'm a reasonable man; $I$ will listen to problems --

J: No, no I don't mean that $I$ am, but the circumstances are -- you know, I am so sure -- so sure -- and it's more than just a mother's sureness. I am just so sure, George, and believe me, you and I are going to sit down after all this is over and say 'You really, it really has been t-------. I know that. I know it in my heart.

G: Well --
J: Even though it looks the worst possible
time right now: Nicky is gone and all the
rest of it -- I still believe that all this
is going to come out. I have that
conviction and I'll tell you, George, if I have to continue this until I'm 90, I'm not going to let up.

G: Well, I realize that. That's what I
told everybody; I said 'Look, you either
talk to this lady now, or you talk to her
later -- but you're going to have to talk to
her.'
J: Yeah. Next week, the week after,
whatever it takes -- I'm going to be around.
I really even got to the stage of quitting my job where $I$ was and moving out to Regina and being out here so that $I$ can just -- or Saskatoon or whatever -- and continue on a day by day basis.

G: Well --
J: I am that convinced that there is just something really, really fishy about the whole thing.

G: Oh, there's something fishy. I don't -like I say, something stinks. Now I'm sure you can smell it a lot better than I can, but just today, something does not ring true."

If we could move to the next page, please. Start at this portion here:
"G: Well, Mrs. Milgaard, I'm not going to get walked over by anybody, whether that's you or whether that's Dale, but I'm not going to get walked over by anybody. And like $I$ said, $I$ spent 10 very long years trying to live down my reputation, and don't plan to stop now. Now, I refuse to be tricked, and $I$ think somebody is trying to trick me: And not you.

J: No! I haven't! I mean, I've really -you know --

G: No. No. No. You've been no threat
with me. I'm glad you didn't try that
little hidden microphone thing that the guy
from Maclean's wanted. I appreciate that.
That was very good of you.
J: I'll tell you, Chris is -- you've know
Chris and $I$ think he has a reputation in the
city too.
G: Yep.
J: I mean he -- I mean, he is the same way
as I am as far as principles are
concerned -- he believes in being up front
with people.
G: Well that's the only way we're going to know anything about anything. Like I told you, $I$ know what $I$ know, like in my own heart. Until you can prove otherwise that's the way I'm going to feel, but I told you I'm going to help you any way I can. I mean that sincerely. I will.

J: I certainly appreciate it. (Says she'll fly to Winnipeg, then return later in the day). So if there's any way that you and I can sit down with these people tomorrow night, you --

G: I'll give it a try. I'm going to phone everybody tomorrow. There's no point in getting hold of them tonight; everybody's still worked into a lather and they're not going to listen to sense anyway. And I'm going to try to set up a meeting with just the people involved, nobody's girlfriend or wife or mother involved; just the ones involved in this. And try and get this out in the open, cuz, like $I$ say, I'm fed up to the teeth with this. You know, I really am and $I$ want to get it over with and as
quickly as possible. And the only way $I$ can do that is to give you the answers to the questions you're asking."

Those are the only portions I'm going to read from that conversation.

There is a conversation that
followed --
COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Excuse me,
Mr. Hardy, do we know who the author of the bracketed words are? You described them as editorial comments.

MR. HARDY: Yes, I'm not certain, and I'm not sure if anybody can help us here with that. I'm not certain who the author is.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay, thanks.
MR. HARDY: There is a second conversation, a taped telephone conversation, and we have the tape for this one, and it's a shorter conversation between Mr . Lapchuk and

Mrs. Milgaard. The first one I read was January $24 t h$ weekend and this one is dated January 26 th, both of 1981, and I'll play that tape now, please.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Do we have a number?

MR. HARDY: I'm not sure what the tape is. The transcript that accompanies it is 046753 .

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thank you.

## (TAPE OF A CONVERSATION BETWEEN MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD AND GEORGE LAPCHUK)

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Hello.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Hello, George.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: Yes.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Joyce Milgaard.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Hi, how are ya.
MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Oh, I'm fine. I decided to not fly back this morning and $I$ stayed and had a conference call instead with my investors, so it worked out all right.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Oh, yeah. Yeah, I just walked through the door myself.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Oh, I see. Well I just thought $I$ would phone you because I'm not at Susan's, I'm at Chris'.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Oh yeah.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Okay. And do you have the number here?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Yeah, I do. You gave me that one.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: What's your number,

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Oh, I'll make another note of it.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Okay.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: Well anyway, I talked to Dale this morning.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Did you?
GEORGE LAPCHUK: Yeah.
MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: And?
GEORGE LAPCHUK: And he is not going to talk to you.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: He's not?
GEORGE LAPCHUK: No, and I don't know why. He won't tell me.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Did you --
GEORGE LAPCHUK: The way it was told to me,
I was told to, like, mind my own business.
MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Uh-huh?
GEORGE LAPCHUK: And not to bother him with that any more, so there.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: You're kidding?
GEORGE LAPCHUK: Oh no, and that was it, no more discussion about it, period. Like, not even a "well, why", you know, just --

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Uh-huh.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: -- I'm not talking about
it and that's it.
MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: And would he not say why he had lied to you or anything?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Not a damn thing. He up and out. I mean, short of, you know, grabbing him by the throat and throttling him --

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Uh-huh.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: -- he said no, it's none of your business and that's it. So I don't know. I tried to tell him, but even, like, Billy, the guy that works for me, eh --

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Yeah.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: -- he's sort of following this, he says "well, why the hell don't you talk to him? What the hell is the matter with you?" No. That's it.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: He wouldn't discuss it in any way, shape or form?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Nope. Not a word. I asked him why he told me he would if he wasn't going to. Well, things change, eh.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: He said what?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Things change. Now, what that's supposed to mean $I$ don't know.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: You remember Debbie?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Debbie Hall?

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Yeah.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Yeah.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: She's a Wilson now.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: A Wilson?

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Yeah.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: Any relation?

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: I was going to ask you that, George.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: I don't know. First I
heard of it.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Yeah, well --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: I - -

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Apparently her name is Wilson now and $I$ just wondered if there was any --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Yeah, no, it couldn't be any -- maybe to a cousin or something like that, because Dale's brother is much, much younger than he is.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: I see.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: He's only 22 and he's in Edmonton.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Oh, I see. So --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: So it would have to be a distant relative. It couldn't be his brother.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Yeah. It seemed like such a shock when they said that her name was Wilson and I thought, oh.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: The only thing that I could find out was that Dale and Nicky are supposed to go for a drink sometimes this week.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Sometime this week?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: He wouldn't tell me where or what. Like, it's a complete -- all of a sudden, you know, $I^{\prime} m$ starting to feel like a mushroom, eh. You know, I want to get this thing over and done with and $I$ don't know what the hell's going on.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Did you talk to Craig at all?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: No, not yet, but I figured if you haven't talked to Dale, there's not much point in, you know --

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: I really, like, in the course of making (inaudible) $I$ don't want to embarrass her and go to work or anything like that where she works. I know where she works and everything, you know, I could do that, but --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Has she been in Regina all this time?

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Pardon?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Had she been in Regina all this time?

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Yeah, apparently.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: You're kidding?

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: No, I'm not.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: And I've lived here all
these years and $I$ never ran into her?

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Uh-huh.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: She must be laying awful low.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Well --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Because I get around quite a bit. There's not many people I don't know.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: I'll tell you, she was so, oh, just out of it when $I$ went to the door. It was like she had been waiting for me to knock on the door all her life.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Really.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: And yet she seemed to indicate that Ron had done an awful lot for her, so I think he must have known where she's been all this time.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: According to him he didn't.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Well --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: He told me that he was shocked as hell that she phoned and they are supposed to go for a drink, and I said, "well, what do you have to talk about that you can't talk to Mrs. Milgaard about?"

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Uh-huh.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: And that's when he said "listen, it's none of your goddamn business, keep your nose out of it" just like that.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: They are sure hiding something.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: It sounds like it, doesn't it.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: It really does.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Yeah. Well, I don't know what else $I$ can do short of, as $I$ say, holding him by the throat.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Well, I'd much appreciate it.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: I've been told, eh, like, mind your own goddamn business or else. Now, what the "or else" is supposed to mean I don't
know, but I'm -- I don't know, I'm really starting to get a little bit leery about this. What the hell is going on.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Well, I'll tell you --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: It's very strange.
MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Yeah. He's really got me --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Like, all 1 can do, like, as $I$ say, even Billy told him, "well talk to her," and he just clammed right up, that's it. Like, nary a word, eh.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Uh-huh.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: So I don't know, I figured
I could get everybody together and we could hash this whole thing out, but --

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Well, you see --
GEORGE LAPCHUK: I'm scared to phone his mom. Well, not scared, but I'm leery of phoning his mom because if that's what I get from him, what am $I$ going to get from her.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Well, of course you know the fact that he works for you might tone her down a little bit don't you think?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Well, he didn't seem all
that concerned about who he was working for today when he told me to mind my own business.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Is that right,
because I thought --
GEORGE LAPCHUK: I think if I pushed him too far he would just quit.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Quit? Well, George, if that's the case, then he's hiding something. GEORGE LAPCHUK: Well --

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Because you don't blow a job, you know --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: When jobs are as hard to find as they are right now.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: No, no.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: Well, I don't know, I'm going to give it another try, you know, but I'm going to try to be a little more subtle about it. MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Uh-huh.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: You know, maybe I came on a little too strong and scared him, but --

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Doesn't sound like he's scared, he just sounds like he's just not budging.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Oh, no, he's got his heels dug right in, eh. He has no intentions of
talking to anybody.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Well, as I said, maybe the thing to do is maybe just go get -well, $I ' l l$ try to go visit Nicky again. GEORGE LAPCHUK: Well, yeah, that would probably be your best bet. If you could -- I think if you could talk to either one of them, the other one would probably go along. MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Uh-huh.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: That's the impression I get, because the impression $I$ get is that Dale is going to talk to Nicky, but he doesn't want to talk to you or to me or to anybody else, and like he says, no way.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Well then somehow or other they must, you know, they must know something.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Well, I don't know. As I say, like, this whole thing bothers me, like I told you last night.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Uh-huh.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Because all of a sudden,
you know, things aren't making very much sense.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: No, no, they don't. GEORGE LAPCHUK: Oh, this damn phone. I've
got a 15 foot cord on it, so $I$ walk around the house as I'm talking and every now and then $I$ drag it off this little table.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Uh-huh. Well -GEORGE LAPCHUK: Anyhow, are you going to be around for a while?

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Well, I'll be at

Chris' tonight and I'll be flying out tomorrow morning and $I$ think that I'll maybe try to see Nicky again tonight, but $I$ intend to come back, you know.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Mrs. Milgaard, listen, why don't you try something.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: What's that?
GEORGE LAPCHUK: Don't say that $I$ said anything, but -- what time is it right now, about five after seven?

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Uh-huh.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Wait til about 7:30 and phone Dale at home.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Phone him at home?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Catch him alone without his mom around, see what happens.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: What's his phone number at home?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Didn't I give it to you last night?

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: I don't -- you may have, but I --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Hang on, I'll get it. 352-1723.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: 1723. Well, I'll give it a shot.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: No, just try it.
MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Uh-huh.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Just on the off chance that maybe without mommy's influence around --

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Do you think it's his mom that's influencing him?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Well, okay, Mrs. Milgaard, when $I$ talked to him yesterday morning, he phoned me to see what was going on and he said he was going to get in touch with you.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Uh-huh.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: He said give me your phone number, I'm going to phone.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Uh-huh.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: Well, then he was going to go over to his mom's place and talk and then all of a sudden he doesn't want to talk to, you know,
so what do you think, you know.
MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Well --
GEORGE LAPCHUK: Like, he was -- when $I$ talked to him yesterday morning he was more than willing to talk --

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Uh-huh.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: -- you know, and like I
say, $I$ just want to get this thing over with.
MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Well, I'll sure give him a call and see what happens. He may not even want to -- like, his wife might not want him to talk to me or something.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Well, all you can do is try, you know.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Yeah, I'll try that, but if anything comes up, give my a call. As I said, I'll be at Chris' tonight.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Okey doke.
MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Okay.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: Give me the number again?
I didn't get a chance to jot it down. I've got
it here somewhere, but --
MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: 352 --
GEORGE LAPCHUK: Yup.
MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: -- 0812. And did you
talk to Craig at all today?
GEORGE LAPCHUK: Nope.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Not yet, eh.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: Nope. Well, as I say, I just got home, we had a rather long day today and a little bit hectic.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Okay.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: So okey doke.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Thanks very much.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: You're welcome.

MRS. JOYCE MILGAARD: Bye-bye.
(End of tape recording)

MR. HARDY: Mr. Commissioner, I note it's about quarter after three. If you would like to take a break, $I$ have some further read-ins left, I'm not certain that we'll get done this afternoon, but $I$ can sure give it a try. There's one longer audio tape in particular, the RCMP interview with Mr. Lapchuk.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: We'll take our 15 minutes then.

MR. HARDY: Okay.

$$
\text { (Adjourned at } 3: 17 \text { p.m.) }
$$

(Reconvened at 3:36 p.m.)
MR. HARDY: Mr. Commissioner, just to let
you know what $I$ have left, $I$ have a short memo from Eugene Williams from 1990; a memo dated 1991 done by, $I$ believe, someone on behalf of the Centurion Ministries; we have Mr. Lapchuk's testimony from the Supreme Court reference case; and then an audio of his interview with RCMP officers in 1993.

And in the interests of knowing where we're going to be at, I'm going to propose that we play the audio tape from the 1993 interview first. I'm not certain how long it is, I'm suspecting it may be about an hour long, but I may be off on that, and that will perhaps give us a better idea where we're going to be at at that point.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay.
(TAPE OF RCMP INTERVIEW OF GEORGE LAPCHUK)

KEN HOMENIUK: Okay. The date is 29th of April, 1993, there's myself Ken Homeniuk, Bob Gagne, George Lapchuk --

JAN PETERS: Jan Peters.

KEN HOMENIUK: -- and Jan Peters that are present in Surrey.

BOB GAGNE: Okay, George, like we've said before, we are here to more or less investigate
the allegations made by Mrs. Milgaard and her lawyer concerning the court proceedings about her son, David. I have showed you a statement, there, and $I$ believe it is the first one you gave back in January 19th, 1990 --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: 19th? This is 1970 . BOB GAGNE: '79, I'm sorry, 1970. The first question $I$ would like to ask you is did the Saskatoon City Police come and see you for this statement, did they pick you up, or -GEORGE LAPCHUK: Yeah, actually, they picked me up. I was wandering up Victoria Avenue in Regina and they actually pulled over and asked if I would be willing to speak to them, and I sort of had a feeling it was coming because $I$ had heard all the publicity and everything on the TV radio, and I said "yeah, why not". So they gave me their room number at the Westward Motel, and I conferred with some of my associates and I, you know, told them about my misgivings about what should I say, you know, and I thought about it, and about what happened in the hotel that night, figured, well, what the hell. You know, if $I$ don't go $I$ will probably get subpoenaed anyway, because Dale Wilson had told them that I had
heard this conversation, because $I$ had mentioned it to Dale because we were all buddies, that it scared the hell out of me, so $I$ went up and, yeah, I was interviewed by them.

KEN HOMENIUK: So it was actually Dale Wilson was the guy that --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Well he was -- it was --
now $I$ don't know this for a fact, $I$ just got this, but my name just didn't come out of nowhere, but Dale had given my name to saskatoon City Police, because $I$ knew that he had been speaking to them.

BOB GAGNE: Well, the City Police that picked you up there, I don't imagine you remember their names or what they looked like?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: One guy had blonde hair. BOB GAGNE: A tall, husky guy?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: That I remember, yeah.

BOB GAGNE: Was he the same guy
that probably --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Heavy-set fellow.

KEN HOMENIUK: -- took the statement? I
think that he's the guy that would have -- his name is Eddie Karst?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Could be.

BOB GAGNE: Okay.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: Could be. He's a heavy-set blonde fellow, $I$ remember, very pleasant.

KEN HOMENIUK: Oh, they were --
GEORGE LAPCHUK: Very pleasant.
BOB GAGNE: How did they treat you? That's what $I$ want to know next. They didn't -- you have always heard about the good cop/bad cop type of thing?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Oh, no, no, no. I went through that, you know, I walked the mean sides of the streets. No, it was nothing like that at all. They didn't hustle me off the street or anything. They asked me if $I$ would be willing to talk to them, they asked me -- they knew I had been in the motel room, they asked -- like they had spoken to somebody else.

BOB GAGNE: Uhum.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: They didn't mention where. They told me what, basically, they had. They said "were you a witness to anything in that motel room that could possibly have anything to do with this", and $I$ said "okay, this is what happened", verbatim, and I told them, because it
had been bothering me. He scared the shit out of me. You've got to remember, $I$ was 17 years old, I'm 41 now, $I$ can, you know, look back at it a little more dispassionately 23 years later, but at the time it scared the shit out of me. And so I told them what happened, you know. And no, no, they were -- hell, it was just like the three of us sitting here, there was no -- there was none of this, like Joyce Milgaard says, deals were made and -- what deals. Shortly after this whole thing went down $I$ got sent up for 15 months for breaking and entering, a hell of a deal I cut there, any better deal $I$ could have done a deuce less. You know, the whole -- it's ludicrous, you know.

KEN HOMENIUK: That's right.
BOB GAGNE: Now talking like you mentioned back there, I don't want to go through the whole reenactment word for word and stuff like that, -GEORGE LAPCHUK: No, no.

BOB GAGNE: -- but the way I've read your testimony is that you witnessed David jump on the bed, and grab a pillow, and do it in stabbing motions?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Yeah, well he took the
pillow and he threw it on the floor, eh, and he straddled the pillow with his knee on each side, and then started making stabbing motions into the pillow, saying "yeah, I stabbed her, I killed her", and he scared the shit right out of me. Because like the -- you know, it's just not something -- you know, guys fuck around and fuck around, but sometimes there is something the matter with it.

BOB GAGNE: Uh-huh.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: And there was something the matter that night in the motel room, and it really bothered me, and $I$ quit hanging out with the creep after that, you know, we just sort of parted our ways. He went to work selling magazines, or $I$ guess he was at the time or whatever, he wasn't in town all the time, and $I$ was happier for it. Because he was a cadet right from the, you know, right from day one he wasn't all -- all his pickles weren't floating at the top, you know what $I$ mean?

BOB GAGNE: Yes. This reenactment, or whatever you want to call it, about the stabbing; was -- did he have anything in his hand when he was doing the stabbing?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: No, he was just like bare-assed naked.

BOB GAGNE: He was bare-assed naked?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Yeah. Well he was gang-banging Ute Frank.

BOB GAGNE: Oh.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: What can $I$ say, it was the '70s, what can $I$ say.

BOB GAGNE: How about, were you there in the, when Ute gave evidence in the, at the court hearings in Ottawa?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: No.

KEN HOMENIUK: You did not hear?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: I didn't hear anybody else's testimony. No. They kept us all -- like I haven't seen Ute in 15 years.

KEN HOMENIUK: You saw her about -- you saw here since then, though?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: No.

KEN HOMENIUK: Or I mean like you say 15
years ago, like since after the trial?
GEORGE LAPCHUK: Oh yeah, oh yeah. Like she had lived over in the north end, eh.

KEN HOMENIUK: Okay.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Yeah, like we saw each
other, we socialized, $I$ dated her for a while, a very short while, so did Craig. You know, it was -- we were all -- Regina is a small town. KEN HOMENIUK: Yeah, exactly. GEORGE LAPCHUK: And -- but no, her again, she drifted away, and we all went our separate ways as life went on.

BOB GAGNE: My next thing I would like to know is that, during this reenactment, do you remember who was in the room?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Well, okay, there was me, Craig, Debbie Hall, Ute, Dave, and I'm pretty sure Bobby Harris was there. Now here is a real point of contention, Craig says he is not sure, but $I$ am sure Bobby Harris was in the room at the time.

BOB GAGNE: So chances are Debbie would have seen this reenactment, eh?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Uh-huh. I can remember where she was sitting. She was sitting right by the TV set, but the way the room was set up -like stupid things, right -- the room was set up, say I'm sitting here. Okay. Here is the bare motel room, one bedroom, the bathroom is a little alcove here.

BOB GAGNE: Uh-huh.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: The TV is by the window here, and Debbie was sitting right here, the bed is right there, $I$ was sitting right there. Debbie was right there, and he enacted it right here.

BOB GAGNE: Okay. So --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Right by the little bedside table. So, yeah, she saw the whole thing.

BOB GAGNE: What do you think of her version of saying he was fluffing the pillow in a jovial manner?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: What can I say.

BOB GAGNE: Yeah.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Obviously, one of us was doing something they shouldn't have been.

BOB GAGNE: Okay.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Because I know what I saw. You know. Like where she comes off with this "well I didn't know he was in jail", that's horse shit, because $I$ had been seeing Debbie, you know, on a -- not on a daily basis, but she used to cut my hair once in a while, $I$ would drop by her shop there in the Golden Mile, Rudy's, and this is up
until a year before $I$ moved out, that's six years ago.

BOB GAGNE: Uh-huh.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: And, you know, it came up in conversation "I wonder when he is going to get out" because he -- every now and then it would come up, well she knew damn well he has doing life. I hear -- what, she says she doesn't know he was doing life, we talked about it.

KEN HOMENIUK: In Regina?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Yeah. You know, like that whole thing of hers, $I$ don't know like whether somebody slipped her a few bucks, or maybe she is worried with wearing a rat jacket, or what the whole thing was about but -- because that's, that is completely false, that thing about her not knowing he was doing life. She knew fucking well. She used to drink at Esmerelda's which was my watering hole. A good friend of hers, Grace, was the head waitress there. We, yeah, we used to party together right up, as $I$ say, up until $I$ moved out here to B.C. you know, I stayed at her place when she -- when the Craven festival was on. She used to have a
house just five miles from there, just at the top of the Craven hill, you know.

BOB GAGNE: So you are pretty sure she knew about it, then, eh?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Oh shit, $I$ know she knew about it, there is no pretty sure about it.

BOB GAGNE: Yeah.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: Because we had discussed
it. I remember when he escaped the one time back in the '80s there when he got shot in the ass. BOB GAGNE: Uh-huh?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: And she came up to me in the Vagabond and says "well what are you going to do?" And I says "what am I going to do? He comes for me I'm going to send him back in a box, that's easy." And Ed Swayze phoned me up, he is now chief I believe.

BOB GAGNE: Umm --

KEN HOMENIUK: Ex-chief.
BOB GAGNE: Ex-chief.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: Is he ex-chief?
KEN HOMENIUK: Yeah, he retired?
GEORGE LAPCHUK: He retired? Well, damn, I have known Ed ever since he was on the beat.

Good cop. He was a real thieves cop, you
could -- you know, I won this time, you won that time sort of thing.

BOB GAGNE: That's right.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: But he phoned me up and he says "yeah, they got him", and I says "oh, really", and he says "yeah, the OPP never did know how to shoot, got him in the ass." He said "another foot lower and we would have been rid of him."

BOB GAGNE: Yeah?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: But anyway, yeah, oh yeah, like she knew all about it right -- this was, this thing of hers, turning around and saying, "I knew nothing" that's an out and out lie.

BOB GAGNE: Well why would she be doing stuff like that?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: I have no idea. That was as much of a surprise to me as my insane ex-wife ending up in Ottawa. That really blew me away. BOB GAGNE: We'll get to that. GEORGE LAPCHUK: Oh that, eh, that's a story, I'll tell ya, $I$ could write a book over that one.

BOB GAGNE: Well the, after this
reenactment and all that, $I$ gather some people
must have left; did you stay there most of the night or --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: No. Me, let me see now, me and Craig gave Debbie a ride out of there, I believe.

BOB GAGNE: Uh-huh.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Well because it -- like they were all fucked up, eh, they had been doing THC and they were pretty messed up. They didn't save any for us, the swine.

BOB GAGNE: But that's, I -- if I remember right, though, in your Supreme Court testimony you didn't -- you guys were straight that night?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Yeah, we were, because they had done it all by the time we got to the room. By the time we got to the party they had eaten everything that worth -- you know, they were high, we weren't, and -- you know, so we bailed out of there. Like I say, the whole situation didn't -- but you brush it off. And then as time went on, it was about a year after that, $I$ guess, after that episode that he was actually charged, or there was quite a time period there, anyway, --

KEN HOMENIUK: Yeah.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: -- between that episode and when he was charged. And $I$ went "holy shit", you know, and then it all came back to me. I figured oh well, ho hum, life goes on, mind your own fuckin' business, do your time and doin' the crime and all this. Next thing I know, wow, now what do you do? Are you going to play the duck and lie or are you going to toss and turn over this? So, okay, $I$ wore a rat jacket for a while, but I got over that, you know. Because my friends were my friends, and the people that I dealt with know $I$ was solid, this was just something I had to do.

BOB GAGNE: Yeah.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: I don't think somebody that stabs a girl that many times and then has sex with her is in my category of criminal. That's, like $I$ stole from people, I didn't kill people, that's a different breed of cat.

BOB GAGNE: Well we -- we've spoken to Ute on this and she remembers, and I'm -- just kind of wonder if you remember, a few days after the whole incident, like after the motel incident, she figures or remembers or thinks that you and Craig drove her and Debbie to Alberta?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: To Alberta?
BOB GAGNE: St. Albert, Alberta, or St. Albert?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: I don't --

BOB GAGNE: You don't recall anything?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: I don't recall driving.
Like I used to spend a lot of time in St. Albert, that's how I always ended up doing time in jail
in --

KEN HOMENIUK: Fort Saskatchewan?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: -- Fort Saskatchewan and Bowden, but $I$ can't remember taking Ute and Debbie with me. But that really evades me. Who was supposed to be with us?

BOB GAGNE: Umm --
GEORGE LAPCHUK: All -- if it -- was there me -- okay, I'm trying to put this all together. There was, I remember the one trip where $I$ ended up doing six months, there was me and Dale and Woody went to Edmonton.

KEN HOMENIUK: Woody would be?
GEORGE LAPCHUK: Wayne Wood. He's deceased now, he's passed away.

KEN HOMENIUK: Oh.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: That was the last time
that $I$ actually made a road trip to Edmonton, that's why $I$ ended up doing time there, well actually the three of us did but $I$ did the longest bit.

KEN HOMENIUK: Okay. BOB GAGNE: See, it was one of the -GEORGE LAPCHUK: But $I$ can't remember her and Ute being there.

BOB GAGNE: That's one of the --
GEORGE LAPCHUK: That's not, that's -- I mean I'm not saying it's not possible, but I don't actually recall them being -- because what the hell happened to them when we were in -- when we went to jail? That, you'd think that would stick out in my mind, that $I$ lost a couple of girls.

BOB GAGNE: Yeah.

KEN HOMENIUK: What was she like in those days, Ute?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: She, oh, she was all
right. She was like the rest of us, overdrugged, a little wild, a product of the '70s, eh.

BOB GAGNE: Yeah.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Like we were bad kids, but not really bad, you know like we, you know,
bounced a few cheques, steal a little of this, steal a little of that, get pinched.

BOB GAGNE: Get away with a few and -GEORGE LAPCHUK: Yeah, you win some, you lose some.

BOB GAGNE: -- lose some, yeah.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Yeah.

BOB GAGNE: After the motel incident, did you and Craig and Ute ever sit down and talk about holy mackerel, you know, what did he do and --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Not --

BOB GAGNE: -- you know, stuff like that
or --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Not in a structured way, you know, like not to actually sit down and tear the whole thing apart. I'm sure it must have come up in conversation, 'cause that was a really weird night. You know, actually any night with Hoppy around was weird, because he was, well, he was a flaky kid.

BOB GAGNE: The girls all liked him, though, eh?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Yeah, 'cause he always had drugs. He was a party animal, he had a lot of
good connections, he had connections downtown where a lot of us were scared to go. That was in the day of Richard Bear and Kenny Pells and all that and, you know, we weren't street smart enough. We were hippies, we weren't rounders, right. But he seemed to sort of drift in and out of there, so he could go down there and score when we couldn't, so, yeah, he was popular with the ladies.

KEN HOMENIUK: What else was he doing? Now you say he was little off the wall, or, like -GEORGE LAPCHUK: Well, he was hustling queers, right. That was a big thing of his. He had this one director of Langenburg Hospital on the string, he was getting him a lot of like morphine, demerol, hospital drugs, and he was sleeping with him from time to time, shit like that. Which really didn't go over all that well with the rest of us because, you know, being brought up in Regina, Saskatchewan, I mean this is where they built a gay bar, it burned, they built another one, it burned, it really wasn't all that acceptable.

KEN HOMENIUK: That's right.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: You know, it might have
panned out for him here in Vancouver, but not all that well there. Well, shit like that, he's just a flaky kid. He was doing shit that the rest of us, like we were borderline, he was on the other side already.

KEN HOMENIUK: Okay.
BOB GAGNE: One step ahead?
GEORGE LAPCHUK: Yeah. Like he'd come back from Vancouver and talk about how -- what a great high he got off heroin. Well, to us, heroin was, you know, something you saw in the movies.

KEN HOMENIUK: Uh-huh.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: He was just a little too
far. Smoking pot and doing acid was okay, but, you know.

KEN HOMENIUK: So he was -- he had been
around for only being 16 years old?
GEORGE LAPCHUK: Oh, well fuck yeah, I mean he was well travelled.

KEN HOMENIUK: Yeah.
BOB GAGNE: After the whole incident, or after the whole motel reenactment and all that, you guys were contacted in January of 1970; after your testimony in court, and all that, were you ever contacted again by either -- Mrs. Milgaard?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Oh yeah. Well as I said, like, when all the appeals started to come up -now I'm using ten years ago, that's just a rough, a very rough guess, it may have been longer ago than that, $I$ could narrow it down to about two years because that's the length of that time that that restaurant was open, I know because I worked on it, right -- but, yeah, she interviewed me then, and she phoned me on a couple of occasions. She would come out of nowhere. Like when she showed up here in Vancouver, same sort of thing, just at my door with a camera crew. Yeah. Get a grip, lady.

KEN HOMENIUK: You wonder what she was expecting, eh.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Well, you know.

KEN HOMENIUK: A big admission or what? GEORGE LAPCHUK: You know, she says
"well" -- you know, she shows up at the door and she says "I would like to talk to you", and I opened up the door and $I$ said "sure, but not those guys", and they are standing there with a camera. Right? And she just, like she was shocked, eh, because $I$ was inviting her in. Like I don't know what the camera was there, to see me
slam the door in her face. I said "I got nothing to hide", I says "sure, come on in", that's when she brought that clown in.

KEN HOMENIUK: Do you remember his name? GEORGE LAPCHUK: You just mentioned it. KEN HOMENIUK: Paul Henderson?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Paul Henderson, I think that was -- he was from the Christian miniseries. BOB GAGNE: Yeah, that --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: -- or miseries, or whatever it was. He was a guy just -BOB GAGNE: Did they tape your conversation like we're doing here?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: He, yeah, he did as a matter of fact. He phoned me like on three occasions previous to that trying to get me to come to Seattle. He said he'd pay for the trip down there. Now like $I$ didn't know this guy from a hole in the ground, here's this guy phoning me long distance telling me he's going to pay for my trip to Seattle about the Milgaards, you know, and finally $I$ just, you know, quit answering, every time $I$ would hear his voice $I$ would hang up.

KEN HOMENIUK: Yeah?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: -- 'cause -- and when the A.G.'s office phoned me from Ottawa, I did the same thing to them, I thought it was another scam. You gotta do better than that, Jack, you know.

BOB GAGNE: Yeah. Well Mr. Williams came to see you too then, eh?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Yeah. Well he phoned me from Ottawa first, and $I$ hung up on him too, $I$ said "get fucked". And then he got his secretary to call back and say "here is a number, phone it and ask", you know, and it was the Justice Department. Oh, sorry, you know.

KEN HOMENIUK: That's when he wanted to stop by and see you?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Yeah, well it's just -that's why the phone is not in my name.

BOB GAGNE: Yeah.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: The crank calls, I don't need 'em.

BOB GAGNE: Yeah.

KEN HOMENIUK: That's right.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: You know, the media has already declared him innocent, so.

BOB GAGNE: Well --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Well, I have a theory on that. I think maybe about 23 years from now -you know that Jeffrey Dahmer?

BOB GAGNE: Uh-huh.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Well, 23 years from now someone is going to come up and say "well, you know, that old Jeff Dahmer --

BOB GAGNE: Yeah?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: -- maybe he wasn't a murdering cannibal, maybe he just came from a dysfunctional home and he was really hungry all the time, or he took "eat me" a step too far with his lovers.

BOB GAGNE: Uh-huh.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: You know, like 23 years
later, I mean the man -- like Dave, Hoppy, has been judged, tried and convicted according to the laws of Canada, he has appealed to the -- as far as you can go, and no one yet has said he is innocent. Now I don't know whether he killed her or not but $I$ know damn well what $I$ saw in that motel room.

BOB GAGNE: Exactly.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: And that's what I
testified to and that's what I stand by.

BOB GAGNE: Now the, this Mr. Henderson, Centurion Ministries, did they ever offer you any more, or anything like that, --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Nope.

BOB GAGNE: -- to change your testimony or something, you know.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Nope.

KEN HOMENIUK: Or anything?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Nope.

BOB GAGNE: You know, besides the trip to

Seattle, $I$ mean --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: No. No, they never offered me money, but $I$ think Joyce is smarter than that.

BOB GAGNE: Yeah.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Because I'd have taken the money and gone right to the cops. I'd have turned her in so fast, just like -- I would have turned her like a $\$ 2$ hooker at a Shriners convention, right now.

BOB GAGNE: That kind of brings us to your buddy, Mr. Wilson.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Oh, Dale.

BOB GAGNE: He was --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: What's, or Ron/Dale,
whatever. What name is he using now?

BOB GAGNE: Dale. He's -- he changed his testimony. Do you have any, you know, knowledge why he would do that or --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Because Dale is Dale.

BOB GAGNE: Oh, all right.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Okay? He changed his testimony, and then wonder of wonders, don't $I$ get an invitation to his sister's Gail's wedding in Alumbe, British Columbia, and who should appear at this wedding but Dale. So I'm standing there and he says "can I talk to you for a minute?", and $I$ says "sure", and we walk down this little creek and he says "are you going to drown me in the creek or bash my head in with a rock", and $I$ said "no, why?" He says "well I just had to do it", and I says "Dale, I don't want to know why you did it", because he was going to start explaining it to me, "I'm sure there's a really good reason, and I'm sure it involves money, and $I$ don't want to hear about it." Exact -- do you want my personal opinion? He was bought. There's -- I have no doubt, like I can't prove it, --

BOB GAGNE: Uh-huh.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: -- but $I$ have no doubt he's been bought.

KEN HOMENIUK: He never came across and said it at that time that --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: No, no. And as I say, I have no proof, this is -- but $I$ have known Dale for damn near as long as $I$ have known Craig, and that little weasel would sell his mother for a $\$ 10$ bill. You want him to change it again? I'll give him a phone call, and you give me maybe four, five grand to play with, marked money, I'll get him to change his story. I'll get him to go on national TV. That's just Dale.

BOB GAGNE: Is that right, eh.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: He's been like that all his life. Like when we got pinched in Alberta, right, he had two charges, one of possession of burglary tools and possession of LSD, he did three months, $I$ did six; he had already done time before, I had never done time. He named me as the ring leader, he flipped me. I know that's the kind of guy he is, you know, and I'm his partner. No, there's -- no, somebody, somebody got to him with money.

BOB GAGNE: Did he ever tell you how it
came about that this Centurion Ministries got him to change his story or, you know, was he -- he never did say anything about it?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: I partied with him at the wedding, that's when $I$ was still drinking, for two days -- because we stayed there, my girlfriend and $I$-- for two days, and the subject of Milgaard never came up aside from that one little episode down by the creek. I just said "eh man, you make your bed, you are going to sleep in it, you ain't taking me with you." Maybe I should have played it out, maybe he would have tried to cut me in on it, I don't know. BOB GAGNE: Yeah. KEN HOMENIUK: He probably would have told you. GEORGE LAPCHUK: Maybe. You know, after 23 years you get a little fed up with this shit. Same thing people ask me at work, "did he do it", and $I$ says "how in the fuck should $I$ know, I wasn't there, ask somebody that was there". BOB GAGNE: That's right, yeah. GEORGE LAPCHUK: Like leave me alone. BOB GAGNE: Uh-huh. GEORGE LAPCHUK: You know. I have said my
piece sort of thing. I mean $I$ testified at the original trial, $I$ have given statements from -to everybody from Joyce Milgaard to Mr. Williams to you fellows to -- and my story is the same as it was 23 years ago. He really -- he admitted to me that he killed that nurse and he reenacted the thing in front of me. My personal opinion as to whether he did it or not doesn't amount to a hill of shit, that's up to a judge and jury. I have my own personal opinion, but that's mine. But I know what $I$ saw, and that's what $I$ saw, and that's the end of the story.

KEN HOMENIUK: Exactly.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: Yeah. And Joyce Milgaard, and I don't give a good leaping Christ who recants or what they want to say, but $I$ know what the fuck I saw. And I didn't put up with a couple years that $I$, eh, I had a couple of rough years after that, being hung with a rat jacket. BOB GAGNE: Uh-huh.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: And it was only through the grace of God and the good nature of some people that knew that $I$ wasn't like that that saved my ass, especially in jail. You know, you get hung with a rat jacket for a guy doing life,
and things can get rather tense, but $I$ had some good friends. And $I$ put up with all that shit, and $I$ didn't retract then, $I$ 'm sure as to hell am not going to do it now.

BOB GAGNE: Now Mrs. Milgaard --
GEORGE LAPCHUK: No matter how much of a thief and a con artist you are, sooner or later you gotta draw the line. Like, even $I$ have to live with me, you know.

KEN HOMENIUK: That's right.
BOB GAGNE: One of Mrs. Milgaard's
allegations is that, like Craig put it, you and Craig and Ute all got together and planned this whole story, and the main reason you guys did that is that, at the time, you guys were facing a number of charges on $I$ can't remember what it was.

KEN HOMENIUK: You had an armed robbery charge, I think, around that time?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Craig did, yeah. That was the armed robbery he didn't do.

KEN HOMENIUK: Oh, right, Craig.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: That was Craig, not me.
You must have read the book, see they screwed that all up in the book, too.

KEN HOMENIUK: Well, we read everything, we read it somewhere anyway.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Yeah, well don't bother.

BOB GAGNE: Anyway, the bottom line is that you, you guys got a lighter sentence, or somebody got a lighter sentence from --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Yeah, right, well like I told them, it's all -- I went through this thing in Ottawa with his lawyer. So the lawyer's bringing up all these things, "you got this suspended sentence", and $I$ says "you now, you are not looking at the pertinent facts here." So all I received, I did receive one light sentence, my father had just passed away shortly before that and I, as the only child I was my mother's sole support, and that was brought up in court. It was the only reason. I had been out of jail for a short while, and it was on a possess for the purposes of trafficking -- cultivating for the purposes of trafficking, which even then was not like, you know, carrying a loaded gun in your hand.

BOB GAGNE: That's right.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: So yeah, I got a suspended sentence when $I$ maybe should have got three
months, but the next kick at the cat I got 15 months for $B \& E$, so it couldn't have been a hell of a deal that $I$ cut there.

And as far as Craig's sentence goes, well here again, he didn't do the armed robbery. He didn't. We know who did it.

BOB GAGNE: It's just that he was there and --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Wrong place at the wrong time. He got pinched in my car. I know who robbed that goddam drug store. But what am I gonna do? It's like Craig said, what's he gonna do, turn the guy that did it? Well, he ate it, he did the time.

BOB GAGNE: He got a -- six months?
GEORGE LAPCHUK: A six-month sentence, yeah.

BOB GAGNE: Well --
GEORGE LAPCHUK: But everybody knew he didn't do it, but they wanted to see Ray behind bars, but even Ray didn't do it. It was one of those things, Ray was --

KEN HOMENIUK: How much time did he get for that?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Oh, Ray is still doing
time, he did -- he got nine years for that, I think.

BOB GAGNE: Yeah. Oh, wow.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: He is still a -- but Ray had shot, what, the Safeway manager in the holdup before that so, I mean, it was time to put this guy away.

BOB GAGNE: Yeah. Uh-huh.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: You might want to erase part of these tapes later, you know.

BOB GAGNE: Uh-huh.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: But I'm telling it like it
is, like we all know how the game is played, there's some people that shouldn't be walking around there.

BOB GAGNE: That's right.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: And maybe if they don't stumble and fall, maybe they have to be tripped, and that's all part of the great game too. We live with that.

BOB GAGNE: Back in '86, when Milgaard escaped, you were still in Regina $I$ think, eh?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Yes.

BOB GAGNE: And Mrs. Milgaard in one of her other, in one of her many allegations, that you
went and bought yourself a gun and you told Dale "I'm going to go -- I'm buying myself a gun", and more or less for protection, and she says you did that because you had framed him and, you know, you figured you had lied and he was coming back and he was going to get you?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: She is so -- she is
so --she is so full of shit. I was a registered handgun owner a long time before he escaped. This goes back -- see, "and how", you ask, "does a guy with a criminal record get -- become a registered handgun owner?"

BOB GAGNE: Uh-huh.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: Well my ex-wife Sherry -that's number 1, not the crazy one -- got the handguns in her name, in her maiden name when we were living together, then we got married and they remained in her name, and when we separated she sold the guns to me, and since I had been in care and control of the Registrar at the time, Eric West -- whose daughter I used to date -felt that it was okay to give it to me. But I was a registered handgun owner a long time before he ever escaped. Oh yeah, if he had have come after me I'd have put him in a box, but not
because I framed him, because the guy's a
lunatic. And yeah, $I$ helped put him in jail, there's no ifs, ands or buts, $I$ didn't frame him but $I$ helped put him in jail.

BOB GAGNE: Yeah.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: And yeah, if I'd have saw his hairy ass, I'd have cashed his ticket. No problem. I mean hell, the OPP shot him in the back, I would have at least looked him face to face, and $I$ wouldn't have got him in the ass.

BOB GAGNE: Another one that she mentions is that back, again in the '80s, that when they were drumming up the evidence to free Milgaard on the mercy application, is that the Saskatoon City Police went out of their way to come to Regina and contact, like, yourself and all the other witnesses and tell them, "you know, Milgaard's crew are coming in and they are going to ask you some questions, and you don't have to talk to them if you don't want to." Do you remember anything about that?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: I wonder if that couldn't have been when -- just before she asked for that taped interview? I don't remember. The only person $I$ remember talking to me about Milgaard
was Ed Swayze, because he got ahold of my common-law wife at the time when Hoppy escaped, and then $I$ think he, he may have gotten ahold of me about that.

But once she got ahold of me I said "sure, I'll talk to you, sure, turn on the damn tape recorder, $I$ don't care."

BOB GAGNE: You know, but $I$ think what we mean is that --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: I don't remember Saskatoon
City Police, per se --
BOB GAGNE: Okay. GEORGE LAPCHUK: -- getting ahold of me. BOB GAGNE: Yeah.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: You know. And then like
you are saying, like as in a collusion sort of thing, like, okay, get your story straight because they are coming?

BOB GAGNE: Yeah.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: No, no, no, no, no, no. BOB GAGNE: Like --

GEORGE LAPCHUK: That's more Joyce, no, that's Joyce Milgaard propaganda. That's -- you know, she's -- she's got a really good script writer, whoever she's got working for her, he's

BOB GAGNE: Sounds like they're going to make a movie, I mean.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Yeah, I hear that there's, you know, there's been bits, but --

BOB GAGNE: Well who's going to play you?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Well I was thinking Telly Savalas would be good.

BOB GAGNE: Say, that's a good one.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Well Yul Brynner's gone, but, you know.

BOB GAGNE: Yeah.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: No, you know, her -- her allegations, $I$ would like to get her and me on a talk show.

BOB GAGNE: Nobody asks, and she's making sure she doesn't.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: I would like to confront her one to one on a talk show, like with somebody good, like Dini Petty or somebody like that.

BOB GAGNE: Uh-huh.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Okay, let's, you know,
let's get some time frames straight here.

BOB GAGNE: Uh-huh.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Like that book that came
out that that idiot published, --
BOB GAGNE: Uh-huh.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: -- When Justice Fails, I have never read such a -- they got the goddam names mixed up, the charges mixed up, the dates mixed up, and all this reference to me, ex-motorcycle gang member. True, at one time I was a member of the Apollos, but that was a long time after the Milgaard episode. I mean, you know, that was eons after that $I$ joined the club. It has absolutely nothing to do with the Milgaard thing. You know, I mean that's like saying "well", why don't they say "well George Lapchuk, ex-Shriner", you know.

BOB GAGNE: Yeah.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: Or -- it's just Milgaard propaganda. They twist the time frame, twist the words, oh yeah. It's a civil suit. But I would love to sue her bag off, her and his, for all of the bullshit that they have come across with on the TV that -- you know, George Lapchuk, ex-motorcycle gang member said this, said this, you know, made a patch. I never made a patch with anybody. And if $I$-- because I'll tell you honestly, I'm the kind of guy that, if $I$ would
have cut a deal, $I$ would have cut a fucking deal. I wouldn't have done 15 months like six months down the road, I'd have been walking around with loot in my pockets.

BOB GAGNE: Yeah.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: You know, to get, to wear a rat jacket for nothing else, like I did that because that's what I thought I had to do, and I stand by that, and that's the way it is.

BOB GAGNE: Judgement call, eh. GEORGE LAPCHUK: Eh. BOB GAGNE: At the time? GEORGE LAPCHUK: If I'd have known what I was going to go through, not a fucking chance, I'd have clammed up tighter than a goddam tortoise. If I'd have known that 23 years later, I'd still be haunted with this bullshit, not a chance.

BOB GAGNE: After that reenactment there, when you and Ute and Craig were with Dave, did he threaten you guys at all, that "if you guys ever said something I'm gonna get", you know, "get even with you", or anything like that?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: No. Not that I can
recall. I can't remember him ever threatening
me.
KEN HOMENIUK: Well did you have much to do with him after that?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: No. I tried, I -- like I say, he -- like he -- it's hard to -- going back now, after that thing, he got more involved in this selling magazines thing and he was on the road a lot, and I don't know whether it was consciously or subconsciously, but we sort of drifted away from him. Like he'd showed up in town, and he'd want to party for two or three days, and then he'd disappear again, and then sometimes one of us would see him, or two of us would see him, and then other times we would "oh yeah, Hoppy was in town", "oh yeah", well he never called and he wasn't around, or we were off doing something else, and by that time we were getting into working, and having our own money, and buying cars and, yeah, we weren't really into hanging out in hotel rooms and gettin' fucked up during the middle of the week 'cause we had to work. You know, so it just sort of -- and then -- you know. I don't know, it wasn't a, it wasn't a conscious, like a breaking-off, like "we're staying away from this guy", it just -- I
don't know. He wasn't any fun any more, at least not for me, $I$ don't know about Ute.

BOB GAGNE: Oh, yeah.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: But I --

BOB GAGNE: Yeah. Well Ute, when we were, I know we talked to her here.

KEN HOMENIUK: A couple days ago. Had a lot of things different that she brought out from when, from when you guys were in the hotel room, was the fact that she says that he went into this bathroom and beat his head against the wall?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: See, and that, that came up too. I can't remember that. I don't remember that. That came up in the Supreme Court. KEN HOMENIUK: Yeah. GEORGE LAPCHUK: And, to save my life, I can't remember that.

BOB GAGNE: Well it was very long ago. GEORGE LAPCHUK: Yeah. BOB GAGNE: Umm -KEN HOMENIUK: Hang on a sec'. BOB GAGNE: Okay. Now we come to the part of Debbie Hall. I'd like, you know, I would like to talk about that, and that Milgaard -- she must have been at a different place than you guys were
at during the reenactment?
GEORGE LAPCHUK: Well it -- she certainly must have, because she was sitting right there and she saw the whole damn thing, and if she figures that's fluffing a pillow, well, fuck me for a sheep as a ram.

BOB GAGNE: Uh-huh.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: That ain't what $I$ saw.

What can $I$ say. She was sitting right there in that chair, right next to that $T V$ set, she saw the whole damn thing.

KEN HOMENIUK: Did you talk to her at all at the, in Ottawa at the Supreme Court hearing?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Didn't see anybody except Craig, Craig and $I$ flew down there together, and like we never got to see any of the other witnesses, we never heard any of the other testimony, it was -- like I haven't seen Debbie, well, since $I$ moved out here, so it's -- what would that be, five years, well it would be five years to september $I$ moved out here. And I was back at Thanksgiving, but $I$ didn't look her up for obvious reasons, I mean, --

BOB GAGNE: Uh-huh.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: -- you know, I really have
a lot in common with her.

KEN HOMENIUK: So how about Launa, there? GEORGE LAPCHUK: Oh, boy, that one I could write a book on.

KEN HOMENIUK: A best seller.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Well when I heard that she
was -- I said, you know, what in the -- she was 11 years old when that happened, what in the name of Christ -- and then she brought Bobbie Stadnyk into it and $I$-- well she, she's often said that, you know, "if $I$ can't have you, no one is going to have you", and she's -- well, she's certifiable, I mean that -- that's a matter of record. It's like I told the Supreme Court Justices, I mean, I wish you guys would do your homework, $I$ mean the woman is a professional witness. In fact, my exact words were "she spends more time in court than Judge Wopner". You know, I mean, all you have to do is check her medical background. She has been in and out of psych wards, she has had her child taken away from her for abandonment on numerous occasions, like the woman is emotionally, emotionally and mentally unstable and --

You know, this thing, she --
she had the RCMP -- you guys, right -BOB GAGNE: Uh-huh.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: -- keeping her under protective custody because somebody -- who the fuck would want to bother to off her. I wish her a long and miserable life, may she live to be 100 years old, and never have a happy day. God.

BOB GAGNE: And yet she keeps mentioning that she's a bit scared of you?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Scared of me? Christ, if

I saw her, I'd swim the Fraser to get away from her. When Serious Crimes came to see me -apparently -- well, you see, I have been working this job in White Rock since last May, this school that $I$ am doing for Craig, and $I$ got a little visit at the apartment -- I used to live across the way here before Jan and $I$ moved in together -- and it was from the sergeant from Serious Crimes, he wanted to speak to me about something, so I -- okay, I phoned him, I said "yeah, I'll meet you down there, I'll come right down". So I went down there, $I$ come walking in, and $I$ says "so what's this all about?" He says "do you know Launa Edwards", and I says "oh, shit, what now?" Well apparently, like, there's
a little mall there right on the corner of 24 th and 152 up in White Rock --

BOB GAGNE: Uh-huh.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: -- not far from the school where $I$ work. Well $I$ stopped in the mall to grab a pack of cigarettes, and she must have either been in there, or somebody that she knows spotted me in there. Well, all of a sudden, she's telling the RCMP I'm stalking her.

BOB GAGNE: Oh, all right.
GEORGE LAPCHUK: I asked them, I said
"look, the reason $I$ moved from Vancouver is I knew she was on that side of the Fraser, I wanted a river between us." You know, I'm the one that's going to run when $I$ see her, she's got nothing to worry about me. You know, all I want from her is peace and goddam quiet, just leave me alone crazy woman.

BOB GAGNE: Hmm. Well we don't have too much more to ask you there, George, I know it's been a marathon already.

GEORGE LAPCHUK: I'll just be waiting for my subpoena and my plane tickets, just let me know.

BOB GAGNE: Know where.

KEN HOMENIUK: For the civil suit?

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Oh, fuck, for whatever. This is -- this is never going to end. I know it. You know, $I$ will be in an urn on top of the mantle place there and Jan will be "eh, George, RCMP Serious Crimes here for you about the Milgaard thing", you know.

BOB GAGNE: And you will say "again?"

GEORGE LAPCHUK: Again.

KEN HOMENIUK: We better shut this tape off."
(Tape recording ends)
MR. HARDY: That's the end of the tape. I'll turn now, please, to document 002131, it's a memo by Eugene Williams, it's dated August 6th, 1990 respecting David Milgaard, Section 690 interview with George Lapchuk. I'm going to read a portion of that:
"At 7:50 p.m. on August 2nd ...", actually, if we can focus in at the top and follow along, $I$ think I'll read all of this:
"At 7:50 p.m. on August 2nd, 1990, I spoke
with Mr. Lapchuk at his Vancouver residence
... After I explained the purpose of my
visit, Mr. Lapchuk told me that he had
mistaken me when he first spoke with me for
a representative of a Seattle based
religious organization. Apparently a
representative of that organization had
called him urging him to recant his
testimony in the name of the Lord. The
representative had noted that "Dale Wilson
has recanted, why don't you?"
I asked Mr. Lapchuk to explain
in his own words what he saw that evening.
He also demonstrated how David Milgaard stabbed the pillow. He demonstrated a violent stabbing motion of a pillow while kneeling on the floor. The pillow was between his knees. He said that he was shocked and frightened by what he saw After Mr. Lapchuk examined Ms. Hall's affidavit, he disputed Ms. Hall's assessment of Milgaard's action. He also noted that the drug that Milgaard, Ute Frank, and Debbie Hall had been taking was phencyclidine (angel dust) and not THC. I asked Mr. Lapchuk to re-read, initial and sign pages 1046 to 1049 of the trial transcript which recorded his
testimony, if that record accurately
reflected the events as he witnessed them.

Mr. Lapchuk did so. He noted that "I told the truth in 1969, $I$ will take a polygraph if necessary, but $I$ don't want Craig
involved in this." He said that Melnyk was well on his way to re-establishing his life on the west coast (wife and two children) after they were driven from Regina by adverse publicity surrounding (inter alia) the Milgaard case.

When questioned concerning his
contacts with the Milgaard family, Mr.

Lapchuk said that he spoke to Mrs. Milgaard
approximately 6 years ago at Dionysus

Restaurant in Regina. He told Mrs. Milgaard
what he had seen and indicated to her that
"David showed me he did it". Mr. Lapchuk has not had any further contacts with the Milgaard family since then. Mr. Lapchuk further stated to me that he was not retracting a word of his earlier testimony because that's what he saw.

Mr. Lapchuk noted that he spoke
with Ron Wilson after the trial. Wilson
told him (Lapchuk) that he saw blood on the clothes of David Milgaard when they were in Saskatoon.

Recently Ron Wilson met George
Lapchuk. Mr. Wilson attempted to offer an explanation for his recent actions.

According to Mr. Lapchuk, he (Lapchuk) did not want to hear any explanations from Wilson. (From him long-term acquaintance with Wilson, Lapchuk gave me some insights into Wilson's character. I am left me with the impression that Ron Wilson had gotten more than religion for changing his story.)
(The writer was left with the distinct impression that Lapchuk and Melnyk were very disappointed by the Wilson retraction, because it reminds them of a period of their life that they would like to put behind them. It was suggested that there was a financial incentive for Mr. Wilson to recant his earlier testimony. Despite his checkered past, Mr. Lapchuk left me with the impression that he told the truth at trial, and is strong in his recollection of the events today. He
noted that the expression on Milgaard's face was so frightening that it remains vivid in his memory to this day. Much the same comment was echoed by Mr. Melnyk when I spoke with him."

I'll move, now, to document
054412 . Focus in beginning at the top, please. It's a memo I understand, or we understand, that was taken by someone on behalf of the Centurion Ministries dated August 8th, 1991 respecting George Lapchuk. I'll read starting under the summary:
"George Lapchuk is an enigma. He seems reasonably bright and, in a vulgar sort of way, he's also quite articulate. George has an answer for everything and even professes to have a political perspective on the Milgaard case. As long as the conservatives are in office in Canada, he predicted, the government will never relent and agree to a new trial for David Milgaard - despite any new evidence that might be uncovered.

Lapchuk also has an anti-social
personality, a drinking problem and a serious nervous disorder. During our talk
in his living room, George pumped his leg incessantly. Lying seems to be second nature to Lapchuk. A half dozens claims he made to us (primarily relating to his
criminal background and relationship with Ron Wilson) are directly contradicted by his own testimony in the Milgaard trial. I have a hunch that Lapchuk is one of those people who lie so naturally that they actually believe their own lies.

Based on what he told us and
what I observed, I wouldn't be surprised if Lapchuk has been in and out of trouble with the law non-stop since his involvement in the Milgaard case."

Then I'll move down just a little bit and continue reading here: "In a 40-minute interview, Lapchuk:

- Complained of being haunted and harassed through the years by Joyce Milgaard.
- Professed or have no knowledge or insights that could possibly be of benefit to the Milgaard cause and expressed bewilderment over why Joyce continues to press the issue.
- Threatened to take legal action against Joyce Milgaard if she pushes him too far.
- Insisted that he did not lie about seeing David Milgaard confess to killing Gail Miller and reenact the murder.
- Stated that he will go to court and repeat his 1970 testimony if called up to do so.
- Denied that any criminal charges were pending against him when he testified to what he saw and heard.
(NOTE: To the contrary, Lapchuk admitted during his trial testimony that he was facing prosecution for check forgery.)
- Denied being a police informant at any time or receiving anything in return for his testimony against Milgaard.
- Admitted being offered money "many times" by Regina Police to be an informant on other cases but said he never acted in that capacity/
- Reiterated 1970 testimony that he was not under the influence of drugs when he heard Milgaard confess to killing Gail

Miller and witnessed him reenact the murder.
(NOTE: In a later slip of the tongue, Lapchuk admitted that everyone in the motel room was high on drugs.)

- Labeled Ron Wilson a liar and weakling who can be "talked into saying anything you want him to say." (This comment was made in reference to Wilson's 1990 recantation.)
- Stated that when he saw Wilson in July 1990 (after the recantation) that Wilson apologized for "dragging my name into it."
(NOTE: Although I distinctly recall Wilson telling me that Lapchuk was a very nasty, hardcore character, as well as a drug dealer, nothing in Wilson's statement either disparages or discredits Lapchuk. During my interview with Wilson last year, Wilson said he knew how to contact Lapchuk in Vancouver but said it would be useless to try and get truth from him. I sensed that Wilson was somewhat intimidated by Lapchuk.)
- Volunteered that Wilson did not seem to
regret recanting his testimony and did not appear to be fearful of
repercussions from authorities for recanting.
- Admitted that he'd lied to us in claiming the previous night that the Justice Department had told him not to talk to Joyce and to alert Ottawa if she tried to contact him. He amended this by saying that Ottawa told him he didn't HAVE to talk to Joyce.
(NOTE: David Asper's theory is that Lapchuk called Ottawa after slamming the door on us Wednesday night and that Ottawa advised him to be more receptive to Joyce if she showed up again.)
- Stated he was contacted about eight months ago by a Justice investigator who wanted to take a statement. Lapchuk said the investigator was black, flew to Vancouver from Ottawa and took a brief statement in which Lapchuk swore that his 1970 testimony was truthful. He claimed not to remember the guy's name.
(NOTE: Lapchuk's comments on the
investigator and the meeting were laced with
racist overtones and macho talk about
kicking his ass.)
- Volunteered that he he was the person I had a heated phone conversation with last year and admitted he'd lied in claiming to me that he was someone else.
- Admitted to having outstanding felony warrants in Saskatchewan."

And, lastly, I'll turn to document ID 044326 , please, it's Mr. Lapchuk's testimony from the Supreme Court reference case. If we could start, please, it's examination by Mr. Neufeld, and turn to page 044333. Start reading here at the top:
"Q I understand that in 1969, I believe it was in the month of May, you had occasion to be in the company of Mr. Milgaard and other individuals at a motel in Regina. Is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q And I understand as well that your observations of the events of that particular evening resulted in you eventually having some contact with the
police?
A That is correct.
Q And actually being subpoenaed to testify at Mr. Milgaard's trial in January of 1970. Is that correct?

A Yes, it is.
Q I understand as well that that is the very reason you are here today.

A That is also very correct.
Q And under subpoena of this Court to testify?

A Yes, sir.
Q All right. We
have a transcript -- you will have to understand that this case is made up not only of live witnesses who testify, but there is a vast amount of material that we all have access to that obviously you haven't. But $I$ want you to know that we have a copy of your transcript of the testimony that you gave at Mr.

Milgaard's trial.
For the Court's reference, that
is in Volume 12 of the Case on

Reference, at pages 1041 to 1066.
First of all, do you recall giving evidence at that trial?

A Oh, yes.
Q Did you tell the truth at that trial based on what you recalled of the incident at the time?

A I most certainly did." If we could turn to page 044340 , please, beginning at the top:
"In 1969 and 1970, did you have any grudge against Mr. Milgaard or any reason to lie in respect of your testimony.

A No. This situation between Mr. Milgaard and $I, ~ u p ~ u n t i l ~ t h a t ~ p o i n t, ~$ is that we got along okay. We had -there was no animosity between us. I mean, we shared just about everything: Drugs, women. It was that time of the century where such things were commonplace.

Q All right.
A No, I had no reason to lie whatsoever.

Q Were you promised or did you receive
anything in return for your testifying at the trial?

A I certainly did not and -- no." If we could turn to the next page, please. 044341 , beginning at the top:
"Q Do you know anything more about the Gail Miller murder other than what you told the court?

A I don't know any more about it now than $I$ did then. I mean, after that night at the Parklane Motel, I tried to stay as far away from him as I possibly could, which isn't easy to do in a small town. But as far as actual facts go, no.

Q All right.

This incident, we understand from the evidence given so far, happened in the month of May of 1969 , but you weren't approached by the police until January of 1970. Is that correct?

A That's right.
Q Do you know how it was that they got onto you as it were?

A To this day, I still do not know. I
was incarcerated in Alberta. I was released on December of 1969. So I was in prison during most of those events.

Q Pretty easily accessible, I guess.
A Yes. It is not really that hard to find. And to this day, I don't know how they got my name. I was actually -- I was walking down -- as I remember, as $I$ recall, $I$ was walking down Victoria Avenue when $I$ was approached by members of the Saskatoon City Police and asked me if I would willingly go with them to their motel room. They were staying at the Westward Motor Inn and being as how I just been released from prison, I had just turned 18, I went along with it and they already -- they had spoken to some of the other people involved in this matter because they knew all of the details, you know, and they basically had me. It was either out and out lie or else tell the truth, and I opted to tell the truth."

Turn to the next page, please, 044343 , the bottom of the page:
"Q All right?
Did you have discussions with
Mr. Wilson about being a witness?
A Oh, yes.
Q Can you relate those to us as best you recall?

A Well, now, we are getting into a real -- I will tell you what $I$ can recall.

Q That's all we are asking you to do.
A But please keep in mind that it was 22 years ago.

Q I am not suggesting that you shouldn't tell us anything more than you remember.

A I asked them -- I can remember we never discussed it a lot, but there was some discussion on it, obviously, amongst all of us. The big question was, "Well, did he do it?" And according to what Mr. Wilson and Nichol John had indicated to me, they were sure that he had.

Q Do you recall anything specific that was told to you?

A No. No, I couldn't swear to anything specific. As $I$ say, that was a lot of years ago."

If we could move to page 044346 , please, starting
here:
"Q Do you have a criminal record, sir?

A Yes, I do.

Q Do you know a person by the name of Launa Edwards?

A Oh, yeah. That's my estranged wife.

Q She is a person to whom you are still married?

A That's a good question. I haven't seen her in almost two years and I hope, with any luck, $I$ won't ever see her again.

Q All right.

A She instituted proceedings about a year and half ago and $I$ haven't heard anything since.

Q All right. You haven't been approached on new proceedings. You have a lawyer
on this matter, I suppose?
A No.
Q Do you and she communicate at all?
A No. I haven't spoken to her, as I said, in almost two years.

Q Do you have any interest in communicating with her?

A No, I certainly do not.
Q Do you know where she lives?
A No idea, and $I$ don't want to know.
Q Ms Edwards has testified that on three occasions between 1985 and $I$ think she said 1988, perhaps 1989, you have told her or spoken in her presence that you lied at the Milgaard trial. Can you comment on that, sir?

A She wouldn't know the truth if she was standing on it. She is a professional witness. If anybody here would have done their homework and looked into her background over the last couple of years, she has spent more time in court rooms than Judge Wopner. She is a professional witness. She sold her soul --

Q Did you ever tell anyone in her presence that you had lied at the Milgaard trial?

A I certainly did not because $I$ did not lie. And if perchance $I$ would have lied, I certainly would not be foolish enough to tell anybody, in front of a witness especially. It is -- I am sorry, I just can't -- with all due respect, sir, to yourself and to the Court, I cannot lend any sort of credence to her testimony whatsoever.

I just can't take it seriously?
Q I still want to know clearly the answer to my question?

A No.
Q Did you ever say to anyone in her presence that you had lied at the Milgaard trial?

A No, I did not.
Q Did you ever telephone her in 1990, late 1990 or 1991, and indicate to her that the Milgaard case was being revived and that they, $I$ assume meaning the authorities, would never find you?

A I can't see that. I mean, I am listed in the Vancouver --

Q Could you just --
A No, I don't believe I did. If anything was said about the Milgaard case, it may have been taken out of context or something. But seeing as how I am listed in the Vancouver telephone directory, $I$ don't see how it would be all that hard to find me." If we could turn to the next page, please, 044350 . Beginning at the very bottom of the page:
"Q Let me start again. It was suggested in testimony in this Court that -- I believe Mr. Wilson indicated that you and he had had some conversation about this matter in the fairly recent past.

A Yes, that's very true.
Q Can you tell me about that, please?

A Yes. I went to his sister's wedding in Alumbe, British Columbia, Gail, and Ron/Dale was there along with his father and mother, who $I$ am quite well acquainted with, and we took a little
walk. He just looked at me and said,
"Let's go for a walk," and I said,
"Sure." We walked down to this creek
and he said, "So now what?" And I
said, "So now what?" I said, "What are you doing?" And he said, "I don't know. I really don't know," and then he said, "You are not going to draw me in the river," or something to that effect, in a joking manner. I just shook my head and I said, "Do what you got to do, man. We all have our own dragons to slay." I don't know why he is doing it, why he recanted, why -we never went any further than that.

Q Did you threaten him in any way?
A No. Good god, no?
Q Did you assault him?
A Pardon?
Q Did you assault him?
A No.
Q Did you threaten to assault him?
A No. We went -- as a matter of fact, we went on to party at the wedding."

Then the examination by Mr. Wolch begins, and if we could turn, please, to page 044361 , focus in starting there, please:
"Q Tell us now what kind of drugs were taken in the room.

A It was supposed to be THC. That's what they said it was. Now, being a little older and wiser, $I$ really think it was not tetra hydra cannibinal. I think it was probably some veterinary drug.

Q What would that be?
A Lord knows.
Q Mr. Williams' notes of the interview referring to that part of the interview say,
"He also noted that the drug that Milgaard, Ute Frank and Debbie Hall had been taking was phencyclidine (Angel Dust) and not THC."

A Yes. Well, that's why I say I don't think it was PCP. But here, again, there was no label on the bottle.

Q It was in a bottle?
A As I recall, yes, capsules? You see,

I got there -- it was all gone when I got there which is one of the reasons I did not indulge. I probably would have, and it was all gone. So $I$ can only go by what everybody was talking about when $I$ got there.

Q THC doesn't come in capsules, does it?

A Back in 1970, just about everything came in capsules.

Q In any event, I am curious, when you told Mr. Williams that it was phencyclidine --

A
That was an educated guess on my part from the reactions that the people were having, that especially Dave was having to it."

Then if we could move forward, please, to page 04439, beginning there, please:
"Q Do you now remember arriving with Craig Melynk.

A Apparently $I$ must have. This statement was signed at a time when my memory was a lot fresher than it is 22 years later. So I have to stand by
what $I$ said then.

Q This statement is dated January the $19 t h$ of 1970 at three $o^{\prime} c l o c k$ in Regina, and that's a statement you gave to Eddie Karst.

A Yes. That would have been the statement taken in the motel room where $I$ was being interviewed, $I$ would think anyway, because $I$ never had $--I$ only had contact with the two fellows from Saskatoon once or twice in the whole affair. The rest of the time was strictly with the court system.

Q There is a statement from Mr. Melynk dated January 19, 1970 at 2:00 p.m. in Regina taken by Karst. Do you recall Melynk being around there at the time?

A I recall -- and here, again, $I$ can't swear to it. As I recall, the only people in that motel room was myself, the two detectives from Saskatoon and

I believe Ute Frank was in the room.

I cannot recall Mr. Melynk being in
the room. Now, he may have been.

Here, again, we are going back 22
years.
Q We appreciate that and you are trying your best.

A But I do recall that Ute Frank was in the room."

If we could move, please, to page 044376 -- sorry that was a mistake. 044390 , please, beginning at the bottom of the page:
"Q Armed with the information you had, did you go to the authorities?

A I did not.
Q Did you go and say, "I have vital
information that might assist you in
your case against David Milgaard?"
A I did not.
Q The fact is you never went to the authorities; they came to you.

A That is correct?
Q As I understand it, sir -- you may agree
or not -- in 1968 in the St. Albert,
Alberta, you were convicted of forgery
and fined \$100?
A That is correct.
Q In March 1969 in Regina, you were
convicted of theft and fined \$35?

A That is correct.
Q In June of 1969 in Regina, you were convicted of possession of a sawed-off rifle or shotgun and fined $\$ 35$ ?

A That is correct.
Q In August 1969, you were convicted in Edmonton of conspiracy to commit fraud and sentenced to six months?

A That is correct.
Q Did that involve David's property?
A No.

Q In October 1969, in Innisfail, Alberta, for forging and uttering, you were placed on a 12-month suspended sentence.

A That's correct.

Q Would it be fair, then, to say that you were on probation when you testified in this particular trial?

A Yes, I believe $I$ was on probation -no. I should have got an abstract on my record because -- here, again, we are talking dates and times and this is a long time. I believe $I$ was on
probation and also out on bail.
Q That's correct. You were on bail also for forging and uttering.

A No, I was out on bail for breaking and entering and possession of burglary tools.

Q Yes, but that came in 1971, your conviction.

A That is when $I$ was convicted.
Q You may have been on bail; you are right.

A But $I$ believe $I$ was out on bail and while $I$ was on probation because I
remember when $I$ was serving my
sentence for the break and enter, I
got a breach of probation order which
was dismissed.

Q Just after you testified, you went to Regina on a forging and uttering charge and appeared on March the 19th. Do you recall that?

A If that is what my abstract says --
Q Yes. Your abstract says that you were convicted on March 19th in Regina of forging and uttering and got another
suspended sentence.
A Oh, yes, now $I$ do recall that.
Q At that point in time, just after testifying, which was very close, you had served time before.

A Yes.
Q You had just been convicted of forging and uttering and had not gone to jail?

A Right.
Q You were on probation for that and you don't go to jail again?

A No.
Q And you are saying that there was no consideration given here?

A None whatsoever; none whatsoever.
Q You just walked in with that record just after testifying and, sure enough, even though you were on probation for the same crime, had been incarcerated before and were building a pretty good record, you didn't go to jail?

A That's correct. Let's just say I had a better lawyer than they did a prosecutor.

Q Was the fact that you had testified
mentioned?
A Pardon? No.
Q Are you sure?
A It was never brought up and even if it was, I would not -- the legal system -- and I sure -- Mr. Wolch, I realize
you are trying to build a case for
your client, but $I$ have great faith in
the Canadian legal system, even
though, obviously, I have walked the other side of the line. That record is two decades old also.

I don't believe favours, such
as getting off on a fraud charge that
at maximum $I$ might have got 90 days
for, under any circumstances, aside
from having a very good, expensive
lawyer -- I don't believe I would have
been offered any favours and if I
would have been offered any favours, I
certainly wouldn't have taken them for
that. I wouldn't.
Q You say back in --
A I cannot be bought is what $I$ am trying
to say, not by favours or with money."

And the last section, if we could turn please to page 044411 , these are some questions that Chief Justice Lamer had beginning at the bottom:
"LAMER, C.J.: You made a reference at the beginning of your testimony to the fact that your estranged wife was a professional witness. You mentioned she is in court all the time testifying. Could you expand upon that, please. THE WITNESS: In the past two years, two years previous to this because $I$ have had no contact with her in the past 12 months whatsoever, she has been involved in three cases that $I$ know of; one concerning myself where $I$ was convicted of dangerous driving and which was later overturned on appeal.

Then, she was involved in a rape case which involved her, where she went to court. It was thrown out the first time and there was another trial ordered. She went to court again and the fellow accused of the crime was acquitted. This is all in a very short period of time.
As to speaking to the matter, elaborating on my wife, my ex-wife's testimony, all $I$ can tell you that might clarify the matter is she told me a long time ago, after $I$ was served with the divorce papers, that if she couldn't have me, then nobody would have me.

She has made a concerted effort over a period of time to do me dirt. I sincerely believe that the only reason she showed up here is because my name was on that -- is involved in this. She strictly did it to discredit me. I have nothing but pity for her because she has lowered herself."

Mr. Commissioner, that completes my read-ins for Mr. Lapchuk.

COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thank you.

MR. HODSON: I think as far as tomorrow, Mr. Commissioner, we did have Bobbie Stadnyk scheduled. She has advised us earlier this week that, due to $I$ think school commitments, that doesn't work any more. We will reschedule her, I think, right after the Ron Wilson evidence in March.

The only other evidence we have tomorrow is the Estelle Cadrain read-in and, in light of that, I'm going to suggest that we maybe adjourn until March 7th and not sit tomorrow. The only matter we have on is that read-in, and the Cadrain read-in can be done at some point in March when we have time, if that's acceptable.

I have canvassed counsel, and I think everybody is in agreement with that. COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yes. Until March the 7th, then, 10:00 a.m. MR. HODSON: Yes. (Adjourned at 4:39 p.m.)
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